

Ph.D. defense

Probabilistic models of impedance matrices Application to dynamic soil-structure interaction

Régis Cottereau¹

under the supervision of Prof. D. Clouteau 1 and Prof. C. \mbox{Soize}^2

¹ LMSSMat, École Centrale Paris, France ² LaM, Université de Marne-la-Vallée, France

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 1/39



Impedance in the time domain

 $u(t_0) \to f(t)$



- Det. model
- Prob. model Application

Conclusion

=



- Force f(t) to impose a displacement $u(t_0)$ on the boundary
- Causality $\Rightarrow Z(t < t_0, t_0) = 0$

 $\Omega_{\rm b}$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 2/39



Impedance in the frequency domain



- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



- Linearity
- $\hat{f}(\omega) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega)\hat{u}(\omega)$
- Complex, frequency-dependent spring.

January 18th, 2006 – p. 3/39



Example of impedance

Rigid surface foundation on homogeneous soil

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application Conclusion



Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 4/39

2

2



Example of impedance

Rigid surface foundation on homogeneous soil

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 4/39



Variability of the impedance



• Introduction

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Probabilistic analysis

- Consider all possible situations (?)
- Assign probability weights (?)



Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 5/39



Variability of the impedance



• Introduction

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Probabilistic analysis

- Consider all possible situations (?)
- Assign probability weights (?)



Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 5/39



Variability of the impedance

Rigid surface foundation on uncertain soil

• Introduction

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Probabilistic analysis

- Consider all possible situations (?)
- Assign probability weights (?)



January 18th, 2006 – p. 5/39



Objectives

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model Application
- Conclusion



Goal: construct models for the impedance matrix \rightarrow Always causal

 \rightarrow Accounting for variability

- Challenges:
 - Unbounded domain
 - Frequency-dependence



Outline

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Introduction

Part I: Deterministic model of the impedance matrix $[Z(\omega)]$

- Definition of the impedance matrix
- The Hidden Variables (HV) model
- Identification of the HV model

Part II: Probabilistic model of the impedance matrix $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$

- Parametric approach
- Nonparametric approach
- Comparison
- Part III: Seismic design of a structure on a random soil
- Conclusions and Perspectives

[Cottereau *et al.*, CMAME, 2006, *accepted*]: Parts I-II [Cottereau *et al.*, EESD, *in preparation*]: Parts III

Châtenay-Malabry, France



Outline

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Introduction

Part I: Deterministic model of the impedance matrix $[Z(\omega)]$

- Definition of the impedance matrix
- The Hidden Variables (HV) model
- Identification of the HV model
- **Part II: Probabilistic model of the impedance matrix** $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$
 - Parametric approach
 - Nonparametric approach
 - Comparison
- Part III: Seismic design of a structure on a random soil
- Conclusions and Perspectives

[Cottereau *et al.*, CMAME, 2006, *accepted*]: Parts I-II [Cottereau *et al.*, EESD, *in preparation*]: Parts III

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 7/39



- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Simple and general model taking into account causality

Definition of the impedance matrix

- Definition
- Numerical methods
- Properties of the impedance matrix

The Hidden Variables (HV) model

- Causality condition
- The HV model

Identification of the HV model

- Methodology
- Interpolation
- Identification



Definition of the impedance matrix

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model Application
- Conclusion



■ Harmonic (linear) Boundary Value Problem: find $\boldsymbol{u}_s \in V(\Omega_s)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{ij,j}(\boldsymbol{u}_s) + \rho \omega^2 \boldsymbol{u}_s = \boldsymbol{0} & \text{in } \Omega_s \\ \underline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{u}_s) n_j = \boldsymbol{0} & \text{on } \partial \Omega_s \backslash \Gamma \\ \boldsymbol{u}_s = \boldsymbol{u}_{\Gamma} & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$
(1)

- There exists, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, a unique displacement field u_s solution of (1)
- f_{Γ} the corresponding traction field on Γ
- The impedance is defined, $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\omega)\boldsymbol{u}_{\Gamma}(\omega) = \boldsymbol{f}_{\Gamma}(\omega) \Rightarrow [\mathbf{Z}(\omega)][u(\omega)] = [f(\omega)].$$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 9/39



Numerical methods for $[Z(\omega)]$

Finite Element method

- Complex
- \Rightarrow Absorbing boundary conditions ? [Givoli, 1992] •

Boundary Element method

- Complex
 - \Rightarrow Green's functions ?
- Lumped-parameter models [Wolf, 1988]
 - Simple
 - ◆ ⇒ Limited applicability: network chosen *a priori*? coupling?
- Charts, Winkler spring foundation, Cone models, ...

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Symmetry

- symmetry of elasticity tensors
- $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]^T = [\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$
- Stability
 - bounded $[u(\omega)] \Rightarrow$ bounded $[f(\omega)]$
 - Poles with negative real value

Damped system

- Sink of energy
- $\Im{[Z(\omega)]}$ positive

Causality

• \Rightarrow enforcement in frequency domain ?

Prob. model Application

Introduction

• Det. model



Introduction
Det. model
Prob. model
Application
Conclusion

Physical approach: Kramers-Kronig relations

$$\Re\{[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Im\{[\mathbf{Z}(\omega')]\}}{\omega - \omega'} d\omega'$$

Mathematical approach: expansion on a Hardy functions basis

$$[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)] = -\omega^2[\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma}] + i\omega[\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma}] + [\mathbf{K}_{\Gamma}] + \sum_{n \ge 0} [\mathbf{Z}_n]e_b(\omega)$$

Alternative approach

Sufficient condition: Condensation of an underlying causal system

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 12/39



the HV model: definition

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Structure of the approximation

Structure of the boundary impedance matrix of a mechanical system whose vibrations in the time domain follow a second-order differential equation with constant coefficients.

[Chabas and Soize, LRA, 1987]

Block decomposition



$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{K}_{\Gamma}] & [\mathbf{K}_{c}] \\ [\mathbf{K}_{c}]^{T} & [\mathbf{K}_{h}] \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma}] & [\mathbf{C}_{c}] \\ [\mathbf{C}_{c}]^{T} & [\mathbf{C}_{h}] \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma}] & [\mathbf{M}_{c}] \\ [\mathbf{M}_{c}]^{T} & [\mathbf{M}_{h}] \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [u_{\Gamma}] \\ [u_{h}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [\mathbf{Z}][u_{\Gamma}] \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Schur complement (with $[A_{\alpha}(\omega)] = -\omega^2[M_{\alpha}] + i\omega[C_{\alpha}] + [K_{\alpha}]$)

$$[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)] = [A_{\Gamma}(\omega)] - [A_c(\omega)][A_h(\omega)]^{-1}[A_c(\omega)]^T = \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)}$$



the HV model: properties

- Basic properties verified when [M], [C], and [K] positive definite
 - Symmetry
 - Causality
 - Stability
 - Damped system

Comparison with lumped-parameter models

- Simple Inexpensive
- Network of elements NOT defined a priori
- Coupling taken into account
- \Rightarrow Identification ?

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Methodology for identification

- Input impedance matrix [Ž]
 - discrete set $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 \leq \ell \leq L}$
 - computed or measured
- **Rational interpolation** $[\tilde{Z}] \Rightarrow ([P], Q)$
 - Using existing numerical schemes [van Barel and Bultheel, NM, 1992]
 - Choice of number of $HV \Rightarrow$ degree of approximation

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega)] \approx \frac{[\mathbf{P}(\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(\omega)} = \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{2N+2} (i\omega)^{\ell} [\mathbf{P}_{\ell}]}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{2N} (i\omega)^{\ell} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}}$$

- Identification $([P], Q) \Rightarrow ([K], [C], [M])$
 - No approximation
 - Non-unique identification

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{h} \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{C}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{M}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)\mathbf{A}_{h}(\omega)^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)^{T}$$

- Example
- Diagonalization of the hidden part
- Cancellation of the mass coupling



- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{h} \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{C}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{M}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)\mathbf{A}_{h}(\omega)^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)^{T}$$

Example

- Diagonalization of the hidden part
- Cancellation of the mass coupling

 $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \mathbf{\Phi} \\ \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{h} \mathbf{\Phi} \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \mathbf{\Phi} \\ \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{h} \mathbf{\Phi} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \mathbf{\Phi} \\ \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{M}_{h} \mathbf{\Phi} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u_{\Gamma} \\ u'_{h} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega) \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{h}(\omega)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^{-T} \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)^{T}$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 16/39



- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{h} \end{aligned} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{C}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{M}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)\mathbf{A}_{h}(\omega)^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)^{T} \end{aligned}$$

- Example
- Diagonalization of the hidden part
- Cancellation of the mass coupling

 $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{h}^{2} \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & 2\Xi_{h}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{I}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}'(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$

$$Z(\omega) = A_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{A_{c}(\omega)A_{c}^{T}(\omega)}{-\omega^{2} + 2i\zeta_{\ell}\omega_{\ell}\omega + \omega_{\ell}^{2}}$$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 16/39



- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{K}_{h} \end{aligned} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{C}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{M}_{c} \\ \mathbf{M}_{c}^{T} & \mathbf{M}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \\ \mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \mathbf{A}_{\Gamma}(\omega) - \mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)\mathbf{A}_{h}(\omega)^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{c}(\omega)^{T} \end{aligned}$$

- Example
- Diagonalization of the hidden part
- Cancellation of the mass coupling

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{K}_{c} \\ \mathbf{K}_{c}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{h}^{2} \end{bmatrix} + i\omega \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{C}_{c} \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{T} & 2\Xi_{h}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{h} \end{bmatrix} - \omega^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{h} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ u_{h}'(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\omega)u_{\Gamma}(\omega) \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z(\omega) = -\omega^2 M_{\Gamma} + i\omega C_{\Gamma} + K_{\Gamma} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(i\omega C_{c}^{\ell} + K_{c}^{\ell})(i\omega C_{c}^{\ell} + K_{c}^{\ell})^{T}}{-\omega^2 + 2i\zeta_{\ell}\omega_{\ell}\omega + \omega_{\ell}^{2}}$$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 16/39



Identification of $[\mathrm{M}]\text{, }[\mathrm{C}]$ and $[\mathrm{K}]$

Pole-residue expansion

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$[\tilde{Z}(\omega)] \approx \frac{[P(i\omega)]}{Q(i\omega)} = -\omega^2 R_2 + i\omega R_1 + R_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{i\omega R_{-1}^{\ell} + R_{-2}^{\ell}}{-\omega^2 + i\omega p_1^{\ell} + p_0^{\ell}}$$

Schur complement

$$\mathbf{Z}(\omega) = -\omega^2 \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} + i\omega \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} + \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})^{T}}{-\omega^{2} + 2i\zeta_{\ell}\omega_{\ell}\omega + \omega_{\ell}^{2}} = \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)}$$



Identification of $[\mathrm{M}]\text{, }[\mathrm{C}]$ and $[\mathrm{K}]$

Pole-residue expansion

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega)] \approx \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)} = -\omega^2 \mathbf{R_2} + i\omega \mathbf{R_1} + \mathbf{R_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{i\omega \mathbf{R_{-1}}^\ell + \mathbf{R_{-2}}}{-\omega^2 + i\omega \mathbf{p_1}^\ell + \mathbf{p_0}^\ell}$$

Schur complement

$$Z(\omega) = -\omega^2 \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} + i\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} + \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})^{T}}{-\omega^{2} + 2i\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\ell}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\ell}\omega + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\ell}^{2}} = \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)}$$

Identification

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell T} - 2\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} = -\mathbf{R}_{-2}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell T} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{2} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} = -\mathbf{R}_{-1}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \end{cases}$$

January 18th, 2006 – p. 17/39



Pole-residue expansion

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model Application
- Conclusion

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega)] \approx \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)} = -\omega^2 \mathbf{R_2} + i\omega \mathbf{R_1} + \mathbf{R_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{i\omega \mathbf{R_{-1}}^\ell + \mathbf{R_{-2}}}{-\omega^2 + i\omega \mathbf{p_1}^\ell + \mathbf{p_0}^\ell}$$

Schur complement

$$Z(\omega) = -\omega^2 \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} + i\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma} + \mathbf{K}_{\Gamma} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})(i\omega \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell})^{T}}{-\omega^2 + 2i\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\ell}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\ell}\omega + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\ell}^{2}} = \frac{[\mathbf{P}(i\omega)]}{\mathbf{Q}(i\omega)}$$

Identification [Takagi, JJM, 1925] [Cottereau et al., CMAME, 2006, accepted]

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell T} - 2\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} = -\mathbf{R}_{-2}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \\ \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell T} + \mathbf{K}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^{2} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell} \mathbf{C}_{c}^{\ell T} = -\mathbf{R}_{-1}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} [L^{\ell}][L^{\ell}]^T = [\boldsymbol{c_1}] \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \boldsymbol{c_2}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \Re\{[L^{\ell}]\} + \boldsymbol{c_3}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \Im\{[L^{\ell}]\} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \\ \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \Im\{[L^{\ell}]\} & 1 \leq \ell \leq N \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 17/39



Application

Embedded foundation on layer of homogeneous soil over bedrock

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Part II: Probabilistic model of $[Z(\omega)]$

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Find a simple and general model taking into account soil variability

- Introduction
- Parametric approach: SFE method
 - Principle
 - Example
- Nonparametric approach
 - Principle
 - Methodology
 - Application
- Comparison



Origin of the uncertainty

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Model uncertainties
 - Homogeneity within a layer
 - Nonlinearity (behavior)
 - **۰**...

- Parameter uncertainties
 - Measurement errors
 - Reshuffling
 - Under-sampling
 - ...

Probabilistic model

 $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega,\theta)]$ random process indexed on $\mathbb{B}_\Omega~$ with values in \mathbb{M}

- Space of matrices M
 - \Rightarrow Symmetric
 - ◆ ⇒ Positive imaginary part
- Trajectories of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega, \theta)]$
 - \Rightarrow Causal
 - \Rightarrow Stable

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 20/39



Parametric approach: Principle







$$\mathsf{MODEL} \longrightarrow (\rho, \lambda, \mu, h, ...) \longrightarrow [\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$$



Parametric approach: Principle

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Limitations

- Size of the random domain cost of the approach
- Appropriate choice of stochastic model (including correlation model) ?
- Appropriate representation of random fields

Previous studies at ECP

- [Savin and Clouteau, IJNME, 2002] [Lafargue et al., 2003, SIAM CSE]
- Software MISSVAR [Clouteau, Tech. Rep.]



Parametric approach: Example

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Embedded foundation on layer of heterogeneous soil over bedrock

- Random Lamé coefficients
- Karhunen-Loève decomposition
- Uniform random variables (60% variance)
- Exponential correlation: parameter L = 5 m
- Shear-wave velocity $c_s = 200$ m/s



Parametric approach: Results $[Z(\omega)]$





Parametric approach: Variance

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Variability considered mostly on pumping element

January 18th, 2006 – p. 24/39



Nonparametric approach: Principle (1)

Uncertainty taken into account at a higher level

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{MODEL} \longrightarrow (\rho, \lambda, \mu, h, \ldots) \longrightarrow [\mathbf{Z}(\omega)] \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\ & | \\$$

- Interests
 - No stochastic model of the soil parameters
 - No meshing of the soil required
- Parametric uncertainties and some model uncertainties
 - Heterogeneity of the soil
 - NO nonlinearities
- Already applied for stochastic reduced dynamic models [Soize, PEM, 2000] [Soize, JASA, 2001]

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application

January 18th, 2006 – p. 25/39



Nonparametric approach: Principle (2)

Principle

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Identification of the available information

Construction of the laws of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$ by Entropy Maximization \Rightarrow HV model

Available information

- Properties of [Z(ω)]
 - Causality ensured by the form of the HV model
 - Algebraic information on ${\bf K},\, {\bf C}$ and ${\bf M}$
- Matrices of the mean model \underline{K} , \underline{C} and $\underline{M} \leftarrow$ Identification
- Dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$

[Soize, CMAME, 2005] [Arnst et al., PEM, 2005]



Nonparametric approach: Example

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Embedded foundation on layer of heterogeneous soil over bedrock

- Chosen model
 - Mean impedance $[\tilde{Z}(\omega)]$ computed using BE software MISS (deterministic)
 - Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
 - Choice of $\delta_{\rm K} = \delta_{\rm C} = \delta_{\rm M} = 0.1$



Methodology

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Computation of an input impedance matrix (set of $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 < \ell < L}$)
- Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
- Choice of dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$
- Computation of stochastic models for K, C and M
- Computation of stochastic model of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$





Nonparametric model: Methodology

Methodology

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Computation of an input impedance matrix (set of $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 < \ell < L}$)
- Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
- Choice of dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$
- Computation of stochastic models for K, C and M
- Computation of stochastic model of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$





 $[\underline{M}]$



Nonparametric model: Methodology

Methodology

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Computation of an input impedance matrix (set of $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 < \ell < L}$)
- Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
- Choice of dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$
- Computation of stochastic models for K, C and M
- Computation of stochastic model of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$





 $[\boldsymbol{M}]^{(1)}$

Châtenay-Malabry, France



Nonparametric model: Methodology

Methodology

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Computation of an input impedance matrix (set of $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 < \ell < L}$)
- Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
- Choice of dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$
- Computation of stochastic models for K, C and M
- Computation of stochastic model of $[\mathbf{Z}(\omega)]$





 $[M]^{(2)}$

Châtenay-Malabry, France



Methodology

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

- Computation of an input impedance matrix (set of $\{[\tilde{Z}(\omega_{\ell})]\}_{1 < \ell < L}$)
- Identification of \underline{K} , \underline{C} and \underline{M}
- Choice of dispersion parameters $\delta_{\rm K}$, $\delta_{\rm C}$ and $\delta_{\rm M}$
- Computation of stochastic models for K, C and M
- Computation of stochastic model of [Z(ω)]





Nonparametric approach: Results



- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 29/39



Nonparametric approach: Variance

Introduction

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



- Variability on shaking AND pumping
- Identification of δ on pumping term

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 30/39





CENTRALE P A R I S

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





- Parametric approach
 - Possibility to control the length of correlation, when available
- Nonparametric approach
 - No required identification of the variability of the soil parameters
 - Non-localized variability
 - Inexpensive

Choice of nonparametric approach for most seismic design problems

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion



Part III: Seismic design on random soil

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion







[Cottereau et al., EESD, in preparation]

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 – p. 33/39



HV model of the impedance



Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 34/39



Results: Response on top

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE

Results: maximum acceleration

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion





Conclusions

Features of HV model

- Ensures the validation of the basic properties of the impedance
- Takes into account matrix-character of the impedance
- Usable for stochastic applications
- Usable in time domain

Features of nonparametric method

- No meshing of the soil
- No identification of the soil parameters variability
- Inexpensive
- Correlation between seismic input and impedance
- Problems in identification

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application



Perspectives

Problems in identification

- Enforce impedance conditions during interpolation
- Stability [Strelitz, AMM, 1977]
- Positivity

Extension to experimental impedances matrices

- Measures of wave propagation in Lincent, Belgium [Arnst et al., Tech. Rep., 2006]
- Modification of interpolation
- Extension to complex and flexible foundations [Taherzadeh and Clouteau, 1st ECEE, 2006]

- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application



...

Thank you...

- Introduction
- Det. model
- Prob. model
- Application
- Conclusion

Châtenay-Malabry, France

January 18th, 2006 - p. 39/39