The superconducting proximity effect, from metals to molecules

PhD	M. Kociak, M. Ferrier, L. Angers, F. Chiodi, A. Chepelianskii, C. Ojeda,
Post-doc	A. Rowe, P-E. Roche, A. Shailos, M. Monteverde
Interns	Yu. Kasumov, P. Delplace, E. Zakka-Bajjani
The group!	M. Ferrier, R. Deblock, S. Guéron, A. Kasumov, B. Reulet, H. Bouchiat,

With help from:

- F. Fortuna, R. Weil, D. Debarre (Orsay)
- F. Pierre, U. Gennsner, D. Mailly (Marcoussis)
- O. Stéphan, M. Kociak, A. Gloter, C. Colliex (Orsay)
- F. Ladieu, M. Ocio (Saclay), P. Poulin (Bordeaux), P. Launois (Orsay)
- S. Nakamae (Saclay); M. Cazayous, Y. Gallais, A. Sacuto (Paris 7),
- L. Buchaillot, V. Agache, A-S. Rollier (IEMN Lille); A.M. Bonnot (Grenoble)
- O. Pietrement, E. Le Cam (IGR Villejuif); S. Lyonnais, J-L. Mergny (MNHN Paris), D. Klinov (Moscow)
- F. Livolant, A. Leforestier, E. Raspaud (Orsay)
- G. Montambaux, C. Texier, J-N. Fuchs (Orsay), D. Maslov (U. Florida)
- J.C. Cuevas (Madrid),
- M. Polianski (Copenhage), K. Tikhonov, M. Feigelman (Moscow)

The proximity effect can be induced in many systems

•The classical proximity effect: in a metal (μm³)



 S-Molecular wire-S junctions (μm x nm²) : suspended carbon nanotubes, DNA molecules



•S-Molecule-S junction (nm³): métallofullérène (molecule with spin)

S S S

 S-Molecular plane-S junctions (μm²xÅ) : graphene



What is the Superconducting proximity effect?

Superconductor





Superconductor/Normal junction



NS current : two electrons passing from N to S or : one electron reflected into a hole (Andreev reflection) Superconductor/Normal/Superconductor junction where N is a clean (ballistic) metal



Traversal time $\tau_e = \tau_h = L/v_F$, dephasing $e^{i\epsilon_n \tau/\hbar}$



Resonance condition on accumulated phase: $\epsilon_n \tau_e / \hbar + \phi_2 - \arccos(\epsilon_n / \Delta) - \epsilon_n \tau_h / \hbar - \phi_1 - \arccos(\epsilon_n / \Delta) = 2\pi n$ $\epsilon_n (\phi_1 - \phi_2)$: And reev bound states in N

Superconductor/Normal/Superconductor junction N is a diffusive metal

D diffusion constant D=1/3 $v_F I_e$



Traversal time $\tau_e = \tau_h$ varies! Typical $\tau_D = L^2/D$

Still, Andreev bound states exist also in diffusive N Proximity effect is a consequence of these states Property n°1 Density of states in N (long diffusive SNS junction)

Long junction: $L >> \xi_{S} = (\hbar D/\Delta)^{1/2} (E_{Th} << \Delta)$



- minigap δ =3.5 E_{Th}
- $E_{Th} = \hbar/\tau_D = \hbar D/L^2$ Thouless energy
- $\delta << \Delta$ in long junction

Small (« mini ») induced gap in the quasi-continuum of Andreev levels

Property n°2 Supercurrent flows through N

The Andreev levels depend on S phase difference (Minigap fully modulated)



Consequence: Supercurrent (if N quantum coherent)!

Maximum supercurrent ~ $I_c=10 E_{Th}/eR_N << \Delta/eR_N$

Some questions and answers in this presentation

Q1: Do Andreev bound states live long enough? Observe a supercurrent in a N

S

metal? A1: Large supercurrents through coherent μ m-long normal metals at

Ν

low T



Q3: What about supercurrents through molecules ? Magnetic molecules?

A3: Proximity effect through graphene and metallofullerenes



S



Q1: Do Andreev bound states live long enough? Can one measure a supercurrent in a N metal?

Three ways of making SNS junctions







Angle evaporation: S and N without breaking vacuum $S=AIT_c=1K$

Thesis L. Angers, F. Chiodi

Nb/Au bilayer, then etch away Nb to get N (coll LPN Marcoussy) $T_{\rm c}{=}8{-}9~{\rm K}$

N first, then use focused ion beam to prepare interface and deposit W (with A. Kasumov, coll. F. Fortuna CSNSM Orsay) T_c =3-5 K, high H_c

Note: S doesn't need to be bigger than N S only needs to be bigger than ξ_S

Induced superconductivity at low temperature Two long junctions with Nb: $T_c = 9 K$, $\Delta = 16 \text{ K} >> \text{E}_{\text{Th}}$

E_{Th}=0.05 K



R=0 when normal metal PHASE COHERENT: No spin-flip or thermal fluctuations during $\tau_{\rm D}$ (Even at k_BT=10 δ !)

At low temperature, Zero R with a critical current



- $I_c(T=0) \sim 10 E_{Th}/eR_N$, $E_{Th=}\hbar D/L^2$ (S gap doesn't come into play!)
- $I_c(T)$: ~ exp(-T/10E_{Th}) understood (Dubos 2001)
- I_c(H): depends on aspect ratio of N (Angers 2008, Cuevas 2008)
- Hysteresis in V(I) curve? Still debated

Some questions and answers in this presentation

Q1: Do Andreev bound states live long enough? Observe a supercurrent in a N metal? A1: Large supercurrents through coherent μm-long normal metals at

S

Ν

low T

Q2: Can we take a snapshot of these Andreev states ? A2: Measurement of I(ϕ) at high frequencies $\phi_{dc}+\phi_{ac}\cos\omega t$ S N

Q3: What about supercurrents through molecules ? Magnetic molecules?

A3: Proximity effect through graphene and metallofullerenes



S



Snapshots of the Andreev levels: Dynamics of the proximity effect?



• What about high frequency measurement (t< $n\tau_D$)?

$I(\phi)$ measured at $\omega=0$ with Hall bar (Strunk 2009)

Impose ϕ with a ring geometry and Aharonov Bohm flux Φ : $\phi = 2\pi \Phi / \Phi_0$, $\Phi_0 = h/2e$



Non sinusoidal I(ϕ) confirmed with high harmonics content at low T Higher harmonics appear under rf irradiation, especially at high T

What happens at high frequency?



Other way to see things:

I=Y(ω)V, V=iωΦ,I=iωY(ω)Φ, complex admittance of system

Goal : determine ac response experimentally

Measurement: SNS ring coupled to rf resonator

resonator, inductance



ac flux imposed by resonator

$$i_{ac}(\omega_R) \longrightarrow \Phi_{ac} = Mi_{ac} \longrightarrow i_{ac} = (\chi' + i\chi'')Mi_{ac}$$

Change of resonator inductance and resonance frequency:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}i_{ac}(\omega_{\mathsf{R}}) &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}i_{ac}(\omega_{\mathsf{R}}) + \chi'\mathsf{M}^{2}i_{ac} \\ 2\delta f/f = -\delta \mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{L} = -\chi'\mathsf{M}^{2}/\mathfrak{L} \end{aligned}$$

Change of resonator quality factor due to dissipation

 $\delta(1/Q)$: losses: out-of-phase response χ "

In practice: equivalent setup



inductive coupling

direct coupling

Use of multimode hf resonator

Bouchiat, Reulet, 1995



 $f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(LC)}} = 380 \text{MHz}$ $f_n = nf_1$, up to 8 GHz or more

Then: couple SNS loop

In practice: the sample





 $E_{Th} \sim 50 \text{ mK}$ $I_c \sim \mu A \text{ at low T}$

Response of single ring in a 20 cm long resonator



 $\chi' \neq \partial I / \partial \Phi$ at these frequencies!

Out of phase response: dissipation

 $-\delta(1/Q) \sim \chi$ "



Losses greatest at $\Phi_{ext}=\Phi_0/2$, when minigap closes (even though T>> δ)



Comparison with simplest dissipation model (relaxation time)

 $\chi = \chi_0 / (1 + i\omega\tau)$ /
relaxation time

 $\chi' = \chi_0 / (1 + \omega^2 \tau^2)$ $\chi'' = \omega \tau / (1 + \omega^2 \tau^2)$

Seems as though relaxation time is longer than τ_D : maybe τ_{e-ph} or τ_{e-e} ?

Linear response of SNS ring at high frequency Thesis F. Chiodi

Preliminary experiments: L=1.5 µm, f_{Th}=1GHz , E_{Th}=50 mK

Large dissipation: identify cause of relaxation (from T dependence)? Both linear and non linear regime were accessed.

<u>Next</u>: Directly measure $I_c(T)$ at $\omega=0$ to determine E_{Th} (cut resonator...)

<u>Then</u>: Adjust parameters to enable Exploration at lower T (full harmonics content): need smaller I_c , smaller L Increase E_{Th} to see clear change in regime: $\omega < E_{Th} /\hbar$

<u>Possibly</u>: Lower resonator frequency (to 10 MHz) Observe crossover from mostly inductive to mostly dissipative

<u>Theory</u>?? In progress...

Some questions and answers in this presentation

Q1: Do Andreev bound states live long enough? Observe a supercurrent in a N metal? A1: Large supercurrents through coherent µm-long normal metals at

low T



Q3: What about supercurrents through molecules ? Magnetic molecules?

A3: Proximity effect through graphene and metallofullerenes

S





Between metals and molecules: Proximity effect in graphene

C. Ojeda, M. Monteverde, A. Shailos, P. Delplace, W. Nativel, C. Collet, F. Fortuna, M. Ferrier, R. Deblock, S. Guéron, A. Kasumov, H. Bouchiat

thin graphite (graphene multilayer)







graphene = single C plane

Why is graphene interesting?



Purely quantum physics...

Consequence on the Proximity effect?





Like in usual conductors

New: Specular Andreev reflection at zero doping (Beenakker 2006)





Specific to graphene

But: need zero doping ($E_F << \Delta$) and ballistic transport !

For starters: a tunable proximity effect in graphene



S=Pt/Ta contacts (T_c =2.5 K)

T=50mK 1500 No supercurrent! (₪)lb//b dips 1000 annealing Idea: « anneal » the ()current device with a large dc current for a few minutes. 500 3 mA (Bachtold 2007) 2 6 mA 3 10 mA 0 0.5 V(mV)0.0 -0.5

Resistance decreases upon annealing, full proximity effect at 4th step! Can we relate R decrease to improved S/graphene contact?

Contrast of Multiple Andreev Reflection dips changes

At $V_{dc} \neq 0$: Multiple Andreev reflexions transfer Cooper pairs.

New MAR possible when $2\Delta = neV$, (theory for ballistic metal:Blonder 1982, n Cooper pairs transfered.



Probability of n pair transfer depends on interface transparency T

Flensberg 1998)

eV=2∆

(**b**)

T=0.99

Contrast of MAR in S/G/S



T(3) highest

Contact transparency improves with annealing No theory exists for S/disordered N/S or S/graphene/S

 $\frac{eV}{\Lambda}$



Field dependence of critical current corresponds to wide junction (and not to a metal chain)

Current annealing improves the quality of contacts

⇒ full Proximity effect in diffusive regime.

To improve graphene quality, achieve low doping, and ballistic regime, need cleaner samples:

⇒ suspend graphene!

Suspended 30 sheet graphene/ite (on N contacts)



Measure as deposited (bad contacts) R = 200 kOhms T=4.2 K

Vibrational mode of the whole sheet seen on R



Higher energy phonon modes (5-20 meV) also detected (dynamical Coulomb blockade, Chepelianskii 2009)

Future work with graphene:

Improve the quality of graphene to reach uniform low doping regime, and ballisticity: with suspension and current annealing

Observe special proximity effect of graphene

Interplay of vibrations and superconductivity, proximity effect?

Understand electron-phonon coupling in suspended graphene (number of layers)

Hall effect and superconductivity with high Hc superconductor (W_{FIB})



- Supercurrent in normal metals L> $1\mu m$ at low T: large phase coherence length
- Supercurrent in graphene? yes for L= 0.3 μ m, not L=2 μ m
- Proximity effect tests phase coherence!
- Can proximity effect test spin state? What is the effect of magnetism on supercurrent ?

Probing molecules with the proximity effect

Normal metal=universal, Molecules are each different! Molecule=resolved molecular levels $\delta E > k_B T$ (also called « quantum dots »)

Motivation: test interplay of superconductivity and spin at the simplest level



In fact, rich physics!

pairs can go through!

How? Coupling to leads Γ broadens molecular levels

Pairs can go through a magnetic molecule

Nature of proximity effect depends on gap, coupling, level position...

In practice: molecule=suspended metallofulerene dimer

A. Kasumov, K. Tsukagoshi, M. Kawamura, T. Kobayashi, Y. Aoyagi (RIKEN, Japan) K. Senba, T. Kodama, H. Nishikawa, I. Ikemoto, K. Kikuchi, (Tokyo, Japan)

Effect of molecular magnetism on supercurrent

What is a metallofullerene ?

A fullerene molecule with a metal atom inside.

Charge transfer \Rightarrow Gd ³⁺, C₈₂ ³⁻ S = 7/2, s = 1/2 S = 3 for single Gd ³⁺@ C₈₂ ³⁻

Dimer Gd@C82: 2 coupled spins 7/2

Furukawa *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. A 2003 Paramagnetic above 3 K, Antiferromagnetic with J=0.7 K Dipolar coupling in dimer J_d=0.1 K

How do magnetic states influence transport?

Fabrication of electrodes for measurement and visualization

Used for nanotubes: too big! Decrease spacing between electrodes

Making electrodes with a nanometer sized gap

Alik Kasumov, Rikken, Thalès, CSNSM Orsay

FIB image

And finally insert molecule

A look at the sample...

and measure...

Kasumov et al, Phys. Rev. B 72, 033414 (2005)

Can pairs go through metallofullerene dimers?

Depending on magnetic state, proximity effect can develop

Peaks in dV/ dI at V=2 Δ /ne (Δ =0.9 meV): Multiple Andreev reflexion ? Extra peaks related to the internal energy levels of the dimer, only visible with S electrodes ?

Theoretical suggestion (Bergeret 2006)

Control magnetic state of dimer with externally imposed phase difference?

Conclusions and prospects

- Molecular magnetic configuration affects the proximity effect.
- Conversely: Control molecular magnetism with the superconducting phase?
- $I(\phi)$ relation in a ring configuration can test and change molecular configuration.

Many molecules to probe in this way: metallofullerenes, (suspended) graphene, nanotubes in dc and ac configuration Develop appropriate small current detector...

1999-2009: Ten years of fun...

Tunneling spectroscopy of cobalt r	nanoparticles M. Deshmukh, D. Ralph
Superconducting ropes of nanotub	M. Kociak, M. Ferrier, A. Kasumov, H. Bouchiat
Suspended carbon nanotubes	M. Kociak, M. Ferrier, A. Shailos, A. Kasumov, H. Bouchiat
Suspended metallofullerenes	R. Deblock, A. Kasumov, H. Bouchiat
Suspended graphene	C. Ojeda, P. Delplace, M. Monteverde, A. Kasumov, M. Ferrier, H. Bouchiat
Dna	M. Kociak, A. Chepelianskii, A. Kasumov, M. Ferrier, H. Bouchiat
Proximity effect in metals	L. Angers, F. Chiodi, M. Ferrier, H. Bouchiat

Phase coherence, interactions in mesoscopic samples M. Ferrier, L. Angers, E. Zakka-Bajjani, H. Bouchiat

... and many more to come!