











# Quantum information with superconducting circuits

**Benjamin Huard** Quantum Electronics group LPA - Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris, France







#### Quantum information















					JEAN	JEAN MICHEL JARRE EN CONCERT LYON	
10 <sup>6</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup>		10 <sup>12</sup>	opti	optics 10 <sup>15</sup>		Frequency (Hz)	
radio	μwaves	THz	IR	U	V		







### Superconducting circuits







#### Superconducting circuits

dissipationless LC circuit...

....canonically quantized



ħω0





1st mode : 7.63 GHz  $Q\approx 10^6$ 

### Superconducting circuits with Josephson junctions

dissipation-less non linear LC circuit



$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{q}^2}{2C_J} - E_J \cos \frac{\hat{\phi}}{\hbar/2e} = \frac{\hat{q}^2}{2C_J} + \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{2L_J} + H_{\text{non-lin}}(\hat{\phi})$$





transitions observed in 1980's [Berkeley & Saclay] strong coupling regime of CQED in 2004 [Yale]

### Superconducting circuits with non linear systems

#### Rydberg atoms



[pic from CQED group at ENS]



NV centers

#### Organic quantum dots



#### **Piezoelectric** oscillator



[pic from UCSB group]



### Metallic membrane



[pic from Boulder group]

#### Semiconductor quantum dots



[pic from ETH group]

### Superconducting circuits with Josephson junctions

dissipation-less non linear LC circuit



$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{q}^2}{2C_J} - E_J \cos \frac{\hat{\phi}}{\hbar/2e} = \frac{\hat{q}^2}{2C_J} + \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{2L_J} + H_{\text{non-lin}}(\hat{\phi})$$





#### Non-linear superconducting circuits



#### Non-linear superconducting circuits



#### Degenerate parametric amplification



$$H = \hbar \omega_0 p^{\dagger} p - \hbar \alpha p^{\dagger} p^{\dagger} p p / 2$$

$$\hat{a}_{out} = \sqrt{G'} \operatorname{Re}(\hat{a}_{in}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{G'}} \operatorname{Im}(\hat{a}_{in})$$

[Bell Labs, 1989] [Boulder, 2007] [Chalmers, 2010] [ETH Zurich, 2011] [Berkeley, 2011]

• • •

## Ne partez pas en vacances sans un SUPERHÉTÉRODYNE

 $\hbar(\omega_0 - 2\alpha) \\ \hbar(\omega_0 - \alpha)$ 

 $\hbar\omega_0$ 



#### Three wave mixing at micro-wave frequencies

$$\hat{H}_{\text{mix}} = \hbar \chi (\hat{p} + \hat{p}^{\dagger}) (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}) (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger})$$



#### Three wave mixing at micro-wave frequencies



Central inductor enables to operate the device on a larger flux range

→ frequency tunability

[Roch, Flurin et al., PRL (2012)]

improvement on

[Bergeal *et al.*, Nature (2010)] [Bergeal *et al.*, Nature Physics (2010)]

#### Realization



#### Parametric down-conversion mode

$$\hat{H}_{\text{mix}} = \hbar \chi (\hat{p} + \hat{p}^{\dagger}) (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}) (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{\dagger})$$



Unitary evolution



2-mode squeezing operator 
$$\hat{S} = e^{r \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} - r^{*} \hat{a} \hat{b}}$$

#### Josephson mixer



### Josephson mixer



$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{out} &= \hat{S}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{in} \hat{S} = \cosh(r) \hat{a}_{in} + e^{i\theta_p} \sinh(r) \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \\ \hat{b}_{out}^{\dagger} &= \hat{S}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \hat{S} = \cosh(r) \ \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} + e^{-i\theta_p} \sinh(r) \hat{a}_{in} \end{aligned}$$

with 
$$\hat{S} = e^{r\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b}^{\dagger} - r^{*}\hat{a}\hat{b}}$$

#### Josephson mixer as an amplifier

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Quantum limited phase preserving amplifier} \\ \left\langle \hat{a}_{out} \right\rangle = \cosh(r) \left\langle \hat{a}_{in} \right\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad \left\langle \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \right\rangle = 0 \end{array} \right\}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_{out} = \hat{S}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{in} \hat{S} = \cosh(r) \hat{a}_{in} + e^{i\theta_p} \sinh(r) \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \\ \hat{b}_{out}^{\dagger} = \hat{S}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \hat{S} = \cosh(r) \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} + e^{-i\theta_p} \sinh(r) \hat{a}_{in} \end{cases}$$

#### Josephson mixer as an amplifier

Quantum limited phase preserving amplifier  $\langle \hat{a}_{out} \rangle = \cosh(r) \langle \hat{a}_{in} \rangle$  for  $\langle \hat{b}_{in}^{\dagger} \rangle = 0$ 



Gain = 20dB Bandwidth = 10 MHz Tunability > 400 MHz [Roch et al., PRL (2012)]

System efficiency > 80% [Campagne et al., PRX (2013)]

#### Lumped version

Gain = 20 dB Bandwidth > 50 MHz P1dB = -104 dBm Tunability = 1 GHz[Pillet et al., in prep. (2014)]

#### **EPR state generation**



#### EPR state generation



#### **EPR state generation**



Spatially degenerate case done in 2011 at ETH Zurich

#### Entanglement measurement





### Generation and measurement of entangled beams



#### Josephson Mixer as Entanglement witness



#### **EPR: Entanglement measurement**



[E. Flurin *et al.*, PRL (2012)]

### Can we do something useful of CV-entanglement?

#### quantum network



#### Quantum memory for microwaves



#### Quantum memory for microwaves



#### Quantum memory for microwaves



#### **Entanglement generation**



#### Retrieval





#### **Covariance matrix and entanglement**



#### Non-linear superconducting circuits



#### Circuit-QED





#### Measurement based feedback



#### Measurement based feedback

Cavity state: CQED group at ENS (2011) Qubit state: Berkeley (2011) & Delft (2012)



#### What is needed for measurement based feedback?





Decrease actuation delay

#### **Dispersive readout**



#### What is needed for quantum feedback?





Isolate the system  $\Gamma \searrow$  best < 10 kbit/s

Improve measurement rate

Decrease actuation delay



#### closing the feedback loop: FPGA board







# Stabilizing $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$



76% purity on average 92% purity right after feedback

[Campagne-Ibarcq et al., PRX 2013 (Paris)]

 $R_{\pm \frac{\pi}{2}}$ 

### Stabilizing Rabi oscillations



[Campagne-Ibarcq et al., PRX 2013, ENS Paris]

### Fluorescence with past and future information





[Campagne et al., PRL 2014]

### Fluorescence with past and future information

- Monitoring fluorescence in time
- Time-reversal symmetry between preparation and post-selection even with decoherence





- Interference between past and future quantum states
  - Non-classical weak values
  - Direct probing of a non-Hermitian operator

[Campagne et al., PRL 2014]

### Summary



### **Ongoing projects**



### Thanks





François Nguyen



Vlad Manucharyan (JQI Maryland)



Mazyar Mirrahimi (INRIA)



Pierre Rouchon (Mines)



Alexia Auffèves (Grenoble)

Alain Sarlette (Gent, INRIA), Ananda Roy (Yale, ENS), Joachim Cohen (INRIA, ENS), Pierre Six (Mines)

### Thanks

#### LPA admin and technical services

Pascal Morfin David Darson Jean-Charles Dumont Anne Matignon Fabienne Renia Anne Denis Philippe Pace Jules Silembo Claude Delalande Jean-Marc Berroir

#### **ENS** admin and technical services

Jack Olejnik Michael Rosticher et Jose Palomo Didier Courtiade et son équipe Jean-Marc Jusseau et son équipe Equipe Info Equipe Cryogénique Jean-Michel Isaac et son équipe Audrey Caradec Radya El Ayachi Stéphanie Troufflard Ada Coronado Jean-Michel Raimond Werner Krauth All the LPA and especially Takis Kontos, Matthieu Delbecq, Jérémie Viennot, Matthieu Dartiailh and the rest of the HQC team, Bernard Placais, Gwendal Feve, Christophe Mora, Gérald Bastard, Christos Flytzanis and Sukhdeep Dhillon.

#### All the Quantronics group at Saclay

#### and also ...

Aleksandra Walczak, Jean-Michel Raimond, Pierre-François Cohadon, Leticia Cugliandolo, Benoît Douçot, Fabien Portier, Max Hofheinz, Patrice Roche, Nicolas Bergeal, Jérôme Lesueur, Pascal Degiovanni, Maxime Clusel, Dominique Mailly, Stephan Suffit, Roland Lefevre, Olivier Arcizet, Jérôme Cayssol, Denis Bernard, Antoine Tilloy, Patrick Pari, Philippe Forget, Matthieu de Combarieu...

#### Yale

Rob Schoelkopf, Steve Girvin, Zaki Leghtas, Flavius Schakert, Archana Kamal, Hanhee Paik

#### Interns

Pierre Heidmann, Cyprien Poirier, Yael Gagnepain, Jules Denier, Lauriane Contamin, Nathanael Cottet, Lola El Sahmarany, Florent Baboux, Elisabeth Bonnefoy

#### The committee members

Karin and Bethsabée











