



HAL
open science

Variability of the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic in response to atmospheric weather regimes

Nicolas Barrier

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Barrier. Variability of the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic in response to atmospheric weather regimes. Earth Sciences. Université de Bretagne occidentale - Brest, 2013. English. NNT : 2013BRES0064 . tel-01124247

HAL Id: tel-01124247

<https://theses.hal.science/tel-01124247>

Submitted on 6 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**THÈSE / UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE
OCCIDENTALE**

sous le sceau de l'Université européenne de Bretagne

pour obtenir le titre de

**DOCTEUR DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE
OCCIDENTALE**

présentée par

Nicolas Barrier

Préparée à l'Unité Mixte de Recherche n°6523
CNRS-IFREMER-UBO-IRD
Laboratoire de Physique des Océans

Thèse soutenue le 25 Novembre 2013

devant le jury composé de :

Alain COLIN DE VERDIERE

Professeur des Universités, UBO, LPO Brest / *Président*

David MARSHALL

Professor, University of Oxford / *Rapporteur*

Claude FRANKIGNOUL

Professeur des Universités, UPMC, LOCEAN Paris / *Rapporteur*

Juliette MIGNOT

Chargée de recherche IRD, LOCEAN Paris / *Examinatrice*

Anne-Marie TREGUIER

Directrice de recherche CNRS, LPO Brest / *Directrice de thèse*

Christophe CASSOU

Chercheur CNRS, CERFACS Toulouse / *Co-directeur de thèse*

Julie DESHAYES

Chercheur CNRS, LPO Brest / *Co-directrice de thèse*

Variability of the ocean
circulation in the North-Atlantic
in response to atmospheric
weather regimes

The greatest good you can do for another is not just share your riches, but to reveal to him his own.

Le plus grand bien que vous pouvez faire aux autres n'est pas juste de partager vos richesses, mais de reveler aux autres les leurs.

Benjamin Disraeli

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge David Marshall and Claude Frankignoul, who kindly accepted to review my PhD. I also thank the “Université de Bretagne Occidentale”, Ifremer and the “Europôle Mer”, which funded my PhD.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge my PhD advisors Anne-Marie Treguier, Christophe Cassou and Julie Deshayes, for their trust and support during these past three years. Anne-Marie, “trugarez braz” for sharing with me your knowledge of ocean models and for your encouragements to publish and to keep doing research. Christophe, “milesker hanitz” for your enthusiasm and for all the energy you give to the understanding of climate and in the transmission of this knowledge. Not only to your students, but also to the general audience through the numerous outreach activities you are involved in. Julie, “baie dankie” for the many hours of interesting discussions about the North-Atlantic. I also thank you for having taught me how to decently write in English (I am afraid, however, that I have a lot more to learn), how to make a decent presentation, how to make a decent curriculum vitae. But more importantly for having guided me and your students of South-Africa through the meanders of statistical analysis.

I also thank Cecile Cabanes, who provided me with the planetary geostrophic model. Claude Talandier, who helped a lot to solve the issues that any ocean modeller ultimately encounters. Jean-Marc Molines, who guided me through the settings of the barotropic and wind-only configurations of the model and who provided me with the NATL12 outputs. I wish to thank Gurvan Madec, Thierry Huck, Laurent Terray, Paul Treguer, Eric Goberville, Marie Minvielle, Bertrand Gobert, Raphael Dussin, Laurent Memery, Morganne Dessert (among others) for the nice discussions I had with them. I also thank all the members of the “Laboratoire de Physique des Océans”, who welcomed

me for three years. I especially thank Florence Lhostis, Carole Despinoy, Françoise Cudennec and Christelle Lanchec who helped me a lot with the paperwork. I do not forget to thank Elisabeth Bondu, of the “Ecole Doctorale des Sciences de la Mer”, who has the hard task of taking care of a huge number of PhD students.

A special thank goes to my PhD and postdoc friends, with who I have spent incredible moments. Henrick Berger, who has supported me for two years as an officemate, and whom I congratulate on his baby Matteo. Damien Desbruyeres, with who I had vigorous debate on the key question “EAP vs. NAO”. I wish to him all the success he deserves at the NOCS. Tanguy Szekely for his nice adages and theories (I am pretty sure you can submit a *Nature* about your evolutionary theory of gravitation). I also thank Clement Vic, my Python/NCL padawan, with who I spent a lot of time trying to make science look pretty. The student has surpassed the master, and I wish to him all the best during his PhD. I also thank Patricia Zunino for her daily enthusiastic greetings, Solene Jousset and Guillaume Dencausse for their cheerfulness, Xavier Couvelard and Gaelle Herbert for their kindness.

Finally, I wish to thank my parents Gilles and Sophie and my siblings Anthony and Audrey, who always encouraged me to do what I wanted to and who supported me in many ways during all these (long) years of study. And I wish to gratefully thank Coralie Cathala, who bore the burden of listening, on a daily basis, the progress (but also the lack of progress) of my PhD.

The aim of the PhD is to investigate the impacts of the large-scale atmospheric variability on the North-Atlantic ocean circulation. This question has already been addressed in a large number of studies, in which the atmospheric variability is decomposed into modes of variability, determined by decomposing sea-level pressure anomalies into Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOFs). These modes of variability are the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP) and the Scandinavian Pattern (SCAN). EOF decomposition assumes that the modes are orthogonal and symmetric. The latter assumption, however, has been shown to be inadequate for the NAO. Hence, a different framework is used in this study to assess the atmospheric variability, the so-called weather regimes. These are large-scale, recurrent and quasi-stationary atmospheric patterns that have been shown to capture well the interannual and decadal variability of atmospheric forcing to the ocean. Furthermore, they allow to separate the spatial patterns of the positive and negative NAO phases. Hence, these weather regimes are a promising alternative to modes of variability in the study of the ocean response to atmospheric variability.

Using observations and numerical models (realistic or in idealised settings), we have shown that the Atlantic Ridge (AR), NAO^- and NAO^+ regimes drive a fast (monthly to interannual) wind-driven response of the subtropical and subpolar gyres (topographic Sverdrup balance) and of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC, driven by Ekman transport anomalies). At decadal timescales, the subpolar gyre strengthens for persistent NAO^+ and Scandinavian Blocking (BLK) conditions via baroclinic adjustment to buoyancy fluxes and slackens for persistent AR conditions via baroclinic adjustment to wind-stress curl anomalies. The latter mechanism also accounts for the strengthening of the subtropical gyre for persistent NAO^+ conditions and its weakening for persistent AR conditions. The gyres response to persistent NAO^- conditions reflects the southward shift of the gyre system (the intergyre gyre). The MOC spins-up for persistent NAO^+ and BLK conditions via increased deep water formation in the Labrador Sea, and conversely for the NAO^- and AR regimes.

Last, heat budget calculations in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas have been performed using four global ocean hindcasts. The winter averaged heat convergence in the western subpolar gyre is positively correlated with the NAO^- winter occurrences, which is due to the intergyre-gyre circulation, while it is negatively correlated with AR winter occurrences, because of the wind-driven reduction of both gyres. Downward surface heat flux anomalies are negatively correlated with NAO^+ occurrences, and conversely for the NAO^- . In the Nordic Seas, they are positively correlated with BLK and to a lesser extent AR occurrences. Furthermore, we suggest that the heat content variability in the western subpolar gyre is the signature of the delayed response (6-year lag) to the time-integrated NAO^+ forcing, due to the combination of the immediate (0-lag) response of surface heat flux and the lagged (3 year lag) response of ocean heat convergence.

Le but de la thèse est d'analyser les impacts de la variabilité atmosphérique grande échelle sur la circulation océanique. Ceci a déjà fait l'objet de nombreuses publications, dans lesquelles la variabilité atmosphérique est analysée en termes de modes de variabilité, déterminés par analyse en composantes principales (EOF en anglais) des anomalies de pression de surface. Ces modes sont l'Oscillation Nord-Atlantique (NAO), le Pattern Est-Atlantique (EAP) et le Pattern Scandinave (SCAN). La décomposition en EOF implique que les modes sont orthogonaux et symétriques. Cette dernière hypothèse a été montrée comme étant invalide pour la NAO. Par conséquent, un nouveau concept est proposé dans cette étude pour estimer la variabilité atmosphérique, celui des régimes de temps. Ces derniers sont des structures spatiales de grande échelle, récurrents et quasi-stationnaires qui permettent de capturer la variabilité des forçages atmosphériques. De plus, ils permettent de séparer les patterns spatiaux des deux phases de la NAO. Ces régimes de temps sont donc une alternative prometteuse pour l'analyse de la variabilité océanique forcée par l'atmosphère.

A partir d'observation et de modèles numériques (réalistes ou idéalisés), nous avons montré que les régimes Atlantic Ridge (AR), NAO^- et NAO^+ induisent une réponse rapide (échelles mensuelles à interannuelles) des gyres subtropical et subpolaire (via un mécanisme de Sverdrup topographique) et de la cellule de retournement (MOC, ajustement aux anomalies de transport d'Ekman). Aux échelles décennales, le gyre subpolaire s'intensifie lors de conditions NAO^+ et BLK persistantes via un ajustement barocline aux flux de flottabilité et s'affaiblit pour AR via un ajustement barocline aux anomalies de rotationnel de vent. Ce dernier mécanisme explique aussi l'augmentation du gyre subtropical pour une NAO^+ persistante et son affaiblissement pour un AR persistant. La réponse des gyres pour des conditions de NAO^- persistantes est un déplacement vers le sud des gyres (l'intergyre gyre). L'intensité de la MOC est augmentée pour des conditions de NAO^+ et BLK persistantes, dû à l'augmentation de la formation d'eau dense en mer du Labrador, et inversement pour NAO^- et AR.

Finalement, des bilans de contenu de chaleur dans la gyre subpolaire et les mers Nordiques ont été effectués dans quatre modèles océaniques globaux. Les moyennes d'hiver de convergence océanique de chaleur dans la partie ouest de la gyre subpolaire sont positivement corrélées aux occurrences d'hiver de NAO^- , ce qui est dû à la présence de l'intergyre, tandis que cette convergence est négativement corrélée aux occurrences d'AR, ce qui est dû à la réduction des deux gyres qui lui est associée. Les flux de chaleur vers l'océan dans la gyre subpolaire sont négativement corrélés aux occurrences d'hiver de la NAO^+ et inversement pour la NAO^- . Dans les mers Nordiques, ils sont positivement corrélés aux occurrences de BLK et, dans une moindre mesure, aux occurrences de AR. De plus, nous suggérons que la variabilité du contenu de chaleur dans la partie ouest du gyre subpolaire est la réponse décalée (lag de 6 ans) à l'intégration temporelle du forçage lié au régime NAO^+ , due à la combinaison de la réponse en phase (0-lag) des flux de chaleur et à la réponse décalée (lag de 3 ans) de la convergence de chaleur.

Contents

List of abbreviations	11
Introduction étendue en français	13
1 Introduction	17
1.1 The role of the ocean in the climate system	17
1.2 Atmospheric forcing of the North-Atlantic Ocean	22
1.3 Aims of the PhD	23
2 Atmospheric modes of variability and weather regimes	25
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2 Winter modes of variability	27
2.3 Winter weather regimes	32
2.4 Linkages between weather regimes and surface forcings	39
2.5 Conclusion	40
3 Impact of North-Atlantic Weather Regimes on subtropical sea-surface height	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Bermuda tide-gauge data	44
3.3 Article	47
3.4 Conclusion and discussions	61
4 Impact of North-Atlantic Weather Regimes on the ocean circulation	63
4.1 Introduction	63
4.2 Idealized forcing construction	65
4.3 Article	68
4.4 Conclusion and discussions	121
5 Interannual to decadal heat budget in the subpolar North Atlantic and the Nordic Seas	125
5.1 Introduction	126
5.2 Methodology	129
5.3 Comparison with observations	135
5.4 Variability of winter heat transport and heat fluxes	139
5.5 Heat content variability	147
5.6 Conclusion	158
6 Conclusion and discussions	161
6.1 Summary	161
6.2 Perspectives	166
References	179

List of abbreviations

A

AL Atlantic Low
AR Atlantic Ridge

B

BLK Scandinavian Blocking

E

EAP East-Atlantic Pattern
EGC East-Greenland Current
ENAC Eastern North-Atlantic Current
EOF Empirical Orthogonal Function

F

FC Faroe Current

H

HR High Resolution

I

IC Irminger Current

L

LR Low Resolution
LS Labrador Sea

M

MSLP Mean Sea-Level Pressure

N

NAE North-Atlantic/Europe
NAO North-Atlantic Oscillation
NATL North-Atlantic
NIIC North Irminger Icelandic Current
NWAC Norwegian Atlantic Current

O

OC Ocean heat convergence

P

PC Principal Component
PSMSL Permanent Service for Mean Sea-Level
PW Petawatts ($10^{15} W$)

S

SBL Scandinavian Blocking
SC Shetland Current
SCAN Scandinavian Pattern
SF Surface flux
SSH Sea-Surface Height
SSS Sea-Surface Salinity
SST Sea-Surface Temperature
SV Sverdrup ($10^6 kg m^{-3}$)

T

TOC Time-integrated ocean heat convergence
TSF Time-integrated surface flux
TW Terawatts ($10^{12} W$)

W

WNAC Western North-Atlantic Current
WR Weather Regime

Z

Z500 Geopotential height at 500 mb
ZJ Zetta joule ($10^{21} J$)

Introduction étendue en français

Les probables impacts sociétaux et environnementaux du changement climatique (inondations, exodes massifs, maladies) ont considérablement favorisé le développement des sciences du climat telles que la climatologie, la météorologie, la biogéochimie ou l'océanographie. Le but de la thèse est de mieux comprendre la réponse de l'océan Atlantique à la variabilité atmosphérique, pour éventuellement mieux prédire son devenir dans le contexte du changement climatique.

La source principale de chaleur de la Terre provient de l'énergie solaire. Cependant, cette énergie n'est pas répartie équitablement à l'échelle du globe: il y a un excès de chaleur aux Tropiques et un déficit de chaleur aux Pôles. Pour atteindre un climat stationnaire, il est donc nécessaire que ce déséquilibre soit comblé, ce qui est accompli par les circulations atmosphérique et océanique. La contribution de l'océan est dominante dans les Tropiques tandis que la contribution atmosphérique domine aux latitudes moyennes, bien que la contribution océanique y soit non négligeable. De plus, dans le contexte du changement climatique, la température moyenne de surface à l'échelle du globe risque d'augmenter dramatiquement de par les rejets de plus en plus importants de gaz à effet de serre. L'océan ayant une inertie thermique bien plus grande que l'atmosphère ou les continents, la plupart de cet excès de chaleur sera stocké par les océans. Cette augmentation du contenu de chaleur est responsable d'environ 30% de l'augmentation du niveau de la mer observé entre 1993 and 2007, via les effets thermostériques, tandis que 55% de cette augmentation est due à la fonte des glaciers et des calottes glaciaires (Cazenave and Llovel 2010). De par sa contribution au transport méridien de chaleur et de par sa grande capacité de stockage, l'océan est donc une composante majeure du système climatique.

Lorsque le transport méridien de chaleur par l'océan est décomposé en trois contributions, celles de l'océan Pacifique, de l'océan Indien et de l'océan Atlantique, on remarque une particularité importante de ce dernier: le transport méridien est dirigé vers le nord à toutes les latitudes, contrairement

à l'océan Pacifique, pour lequel le transport est dirigé vers le nord dans l'hémisphère nord et inversement dans l'hémisphère sud. Dans le Pacifique, le transport méridien de chaleur est principalement dû à la circulation horizontale (les gyres subtropicaux et subpolaires), tandis que dans l'Atlantique, ce transport est dû à la circulation méridienne de retournement (MOC). Cette MOC est responsable de la douceur du climat d'hiver en Europe de l'Ouest, en comparaison à celui de la côte Est du Canada.

Ce rôle important de l'océan, et plus particulièrement de l'océan Atlantique, justifie les nombreuses études qui lui ont été dédiées. Dans un effort de prédiction de son état futur, il est en effet nécessaire de comprendre son évolution passée. Cette évolution, nommée variabilité, mélange des échelles de temps et des mécanismes très différents. Par exemple, la variabilité de l'insolation solaire va moduler la variabilité de l'océan, et plus particulièrement de la température de surface, à l'échelle du jour (cycle journalier), de l'année (cycle saisonnier) et peut-être même à l'échelle de la dizaine de milliers d'années (cycles de Milankovitch). Une autre source de variabilité océanique provient des changements de conditions atmosphériques (vent, température de l'air, humidité spécifique), qui vont influencer à la fois la circulation océanique via les effets mécaniques du vent sur l'océan mais aussi les flux de flottabilité via les flux turbulents (flux sensible et latent, évaporation). Le but de la thèse est d'analyser la variabilité océanique en Atlantique-Nord forcée par l'atmosphère et d'appréhender les mécanismes associés.

Cette question a déjà été abordée dans un nombre important d'études. Cependant, de nombreuses questions nécessitent encore de l'attention. Premièrement, la plupart de ces études font appel au concept de modes de variabilité qui permet de réduire significativement le nombre de degrés de liberté de la variabilité atmosphérique. Ces modes de variabilité sont généralement déterminés par analyse en composante principale (EOF en anglais), qui permet de décomposer un champ 3d (de dimensions temps, longitude et latitude) en une série de patterns spatiaux fixes dans le temps (EOFs ou vecteurs propres), chacun associé à un indice variable dans le temps (composante principale, PC en anglais). Par construction, ces modes sont orthogonaux et symétriques. Dans un premier temps, nous allons passer en revue la littérature concernant l'impact des modes de variabilité particuliers à l'Atlantique-Nord sur la circulation océanique. Les limitations de cette décomposition vont être abordées et un concept alternatif va être proposé, celui des régimes de temps. Leur potentiel dans l'analyse de la

variabilité océanique forcée par l’atmosphère va être estimé.

Le mode de variabilité dominant en Atlantique Nord est l’Oscillation Nord-Atlantique (NAO en anglais), qui peut, au premier ordre, être interprétée comme une mesure de l’intensité des vents moyens en Atlantique Nord: une NAO positive implique un renforcement des vents et inversement pour une NAO négative. Par conséquent, le gyre subtropical en Atlantique Nord étant principalement forcée par le vent (Cabanes et al. 2006; Hong et al. 2000), les changements de NAO devraient s’accompagner de changements d’intensité du gyre subtropical, comme suggéré par Ezer (1999). Cependant, Hakkinen et al. (2011a) ont montré que les anomalies de vent associées à la NAO ne sont pas efficaces pour influencer l’intensité de la circulation horizontale. Cette dernière serait plutôt forcée par les anomalies de vent associées au deuxième mode de variabilité, l’East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP en anglais). En utilisant des observations de hauteur de mer dans le gyre subtropicale (altimétrie et données marégraphiques) ainsi que le concept des régimes de temps, nous avons déterminé quel pattern atmosphérique est effectivement dominant pour la variabilité du gyre subtropical. De plus, un modèle planétaire géostrophique nous permettra d’analyser les mécanismes en jeu. Ces résultats ont fait l’objet d’une publication dans *Climate Dynamics*.

Des analyses similaires ne peuvent pas être effectuées de manière satisfaisante sur le gyre subpolaire et la MOC à cause du manque d’observations. Une alternative aux observations est fournie par les modèles numériques de circulation générale. De nombreuses études ont fait appel à des modèles océaniques forcés par des champs atmosphériques idéalisés afin d’analyser la réponse océanique à une NAO positive persistante (Eden and Willebrand 2001, Herbaut and Houssais 2009, Lohmann et al. 2009). Ces forçages idéalisés sont généralement construits à l’aide de l’indice NAO traditionnel, soit en régressant cet indice sur les anomalies de forçages, soit en moyennant les forçages sur des années caractérisées par de fortes NAO. Nous allons dans un premier temps évaluer la robustesse de ces méthodes. Puis une méthode alternative de génération de forçages idéalisés, basée sur les régimes de temps, sera proposée et évaluée à son tour. Ensuite, ces forçages seront utilisés pour déterminer l’impact de chacun des régimes sur la circulation océanique en Atlantique Nord (gyres subtropical et subpolaire, MOC) à des échelles de temps mensuelles à décennales. Ces résultats ont fait l’objet d’une publication dans le *Journal of Physical Oceanography*.

Finalement, nous allons nous intéresser à la variabilité passée du contenu de chaleur dans le gyre

subpolaire et les Mers Nordiques. Nous allons déterminer dans quelle mesure cette variabilité peut être liée aux régimes de temps et à travers quel mécanisme (flux de chaleur à la surface, convergence de chaleur océanique). Puisque les observations profondes sont rares, elles sont insuffisantes pour reconstruire la variabilité des contenus de chaleur intégrés depuis la surface jusqu'au fond. Cependant, ces observations seront utilisées pour valider les contenus de chaleur obtenus à l'aide de quatre modèles océaniques globaux. La considération de plusieurs modèles permet d'estimer la robustesse des résultats vis-à-vis des incertitudes des modèles, qui peuvent être liées au choix des forçages atmosphériques, de la résolution (horizontale ou verticale) et des paramétrisations.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Contents

1.1	The role of the ocean in the climate system	17
1.2	Atmospheric forcing of the North-Atlantic Ocean	22
1.3	Aims of the PhD	23

Climate change studies have increasingly become one of the major scientific challenges because of its possible important societal and environmental impacts: floods, sea-level rise or temperature extremes that are likely to favour diseases and to reduce crop yields (McMichael et al. 2006). As a consequence, climatology, meteorology, biogeochemistry and oceanography have considerably developed in the recent decades.

Understanding the response of the North Atlantic Ocean to atmospheric variability, as observed during the last 50 years, is the overall objective of this PhD. This understanding is important to improve our understanding and hence forecasting of climate change.

1.1 The role of the ocean in the climate system

The major source of energy for the Earth is the solar energy. This energy, however, is not equally distributed because of the sphericity of the Earth. The global horizontal radiation with two incoming solar beams that carry the same amount of energy are illustrated in figure 1.1a. The beam that catches the Tropics is nearly orthogonal to the Earth: the illuminated surface is small hence the insolation intense. The beam that reaches the Pole catches a greater surface, inducing a weaker insolation. As the outgoing terrestrial radiation is almost the same at every latitude, there is a net surplus of heat in the tropics and a deficit of heat in the poles. To reach a stationary climate, this discrepancy




Figure 1.1: a) Color shading: global horizontal radiation in $\text{kWh m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ (data from <http://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov/>). The yellow lines show two sun beams that carry the same amount of energy but at different latitudes. b) Meridional heat transport partition as a function of latitude (source: Fasullo and Trenberth, 2008)

needs to be balanced. This is achieved by the oceanic and atmospheric circulations. The partition of meridional heat transport into its atmospheric and oceanic components is shown in figure 1.1b as a function of latitude. In the tropics (10°S - 10°N), meridional heat transport is dominated by the ocean while at midlatitudes, it is dominated by the atmosphere, although the oceanic contribution remains significant.

Furthermore, the ocean is essential to the climate system as it is the principal heat reservoir. In the context of climate change, the global surface temperature is expected to rise significantly because of anthropogenic greenhouse gas concentrations (Meehl et al. 2007). As the ocean is much more effective than the atmosphere (approximately 1000 times) or than land (approximately 100^1 times) to store heat, this excess of heat will mostly be stored by the ocean. This increase in global ocean heat content (figure 1.2) has been shown to be responsible for some 30% of the global upward sea-level trend between 1993 and 2007 (Cazenave and Llovel 2010) via thermosteric effect (Cabanes et al. 2001; Willis et al. 2004; Antonov et al. 2005), while 55% of this trend result from the melting of mountain glaciers and ice sheets (Cazenave and Llovel 2010).

The partition of oceanic meridional heat transport (blue curve in figure 1.1b) into the contributions of the Pacific Ocean, of the Indian Ocean and of the Atlantic Ocean (figure 1.3) reveals strong differences. In the Pacific Ocean, the heat transport is northward in the northern hemisphere and southward in the southern hemisphere. This transport is mainly due to the horizontal circulation

¹source: http://oceanworld.tamu.edu/resources/ocng_textbook/chapter05/chapter05_01.htm




Figure 1.2: Global average of heat content over 0 – 700 m. Source: http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/3M_HEAT_CONTENT/

(gyre), which is approximated by the barotropic streamfunction ψ_{baro}

$$\psi_{baro}(x, y) = \int_{x_E}^x \int_{-H}^0 v(x', y, z) dx' dz \quad (1.1)$$

where v is the meridional velocity, (x, y, z) are longitude, latitude and depth, H is the bottom depth and x_E the eastward limit of the domain.

On the contrary, the Atlantic Ocean shows a northward heat transport at each latitude. This particularity of the Atlantic Ocean is due to the presence of a deep-reaching overturning circulation, described as the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). The AMOC transports near-surface warm water to the North pole and deep cold water to the southern hemisphere. The strength of the AMOC is assessed by the overturning streamfunction ψ_{over} :

$$\psi_{over}(y, z) = \int_{x_E}^{x_O} \int_{-H}^z v(x, y, z') dx dz' \quad (1.2)$$

with the same notations than in equation 1.1 and x_O the western limit of the basin.

In the present-day climate, the localisation of this overturning circulation in the Atlantic is due to higher densities in the North-Atlantic than in the North-Pacific (figure 1.4). The mechanism behind the AMOC can be summarised as follows. The Gulf-Stream/North-Atlantic Current system carries warm and salty water northward. This water is cooled and salinised by contact with the atmosphere (via sensible and latent heat exchanges and evaporation), hence gets denser. When this water is




Figure 1.3: Ocean heat transport decomposed into the contributions of the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Source: Houghton et al. 1996 with data of Trenberth and Solomon, 1994

dense enough, it sinks and forms the North-Atlantic Deep Water that feeds the lower limb of the AMOC. The presence of the AMOC is of major importance for the climate in western Europe as it is responsible for the mild winters in Western Europe, in contrast to the cold winters of Canadian west coast. In the context of climate change, a stronger hydrological cycle and an increase in Greenland ice melting would lead to fresher/lighter waters in the North-Atlantic and in turn to a weaker or even a shut-down of the AMOC, although there is a strong dispersion among the climate change projections (Meehl et al. 2007).




Figure 1.4: Sea-surface density (kg m^{-3}). Deep blue characterises density greater than 1017 kg m^{-3} . Source: NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Scientific Visualisation Studio, with data from the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (Locarnini et al., 2006).

This central role of the North-Atlantic Ocean in the climate system motivates the efforts of the oceanographers to understand the past evolution of the ocean circulation, to potentially predict its

future state in the context of climate change. This past evolution, which is named variability, occurs at different timescales. If one considers a continuous time series of observed sea-surface temperature that extends as far as the ocean's origins¹. Its evolution over time would be governed by:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (T\mathbf{U}) = Q_{net} = Q_{rad} + Q_{tur} \quad (1.3)$$

where ∇ is the nabla operator, T is the temperature, \mathbf{U} is the velocity vector. Q_{net} is the net downward surface heat flux, which can be decomposed into a radiative (shortwave and longwave, Q_{rad}) and a turbulent (latent and sensible, Q_{tur}) component. A spectral analysis of the temperature time series would first probably reveal variabilities related to changes in Q_{rad} :

- A variability with a period of 1 day, which would reflect the diurnal cycle (temperatures are warmer at noon than at midnight).
- Variabilities with a period of 1 year, which would reflect the seasonal cycle (temperatures are warmer in summer than in winter).
- We also could observe variabilities with periods of approximately 21700 and 41700 years, which would reflect the modulations of solar insolation by the Earth's orbital parameters (the Milankovitch cycles, Foucault 2009).

We would also observe variabilities with periods ranging from monthly to centennial. These variabilities would be due to the turbulent surface fluxes Q_{turb} , but also to the advection term $\nabla \cdot (T\mathbf{U})$. Part of the variability of the advection term is caused by the intrinsic variability of the ocean, due to the presence of mesoscale and submesoscale structures such as eddies (Penduff et al. 2011). But the main contributor to the variability of Q_{turb} and $\nabla \cdot (T\mathbf{U})$ originates from the atmosphere because:

- i) the turbulent heat fluxes strongly depend on the atmospheric state (especially the wind speed, the temperature contrast between the atmosphere and the ocean and the specific humidity)
- ii) the wind-stress, through frictional effects at the sea surface, drives the ocean circulation.

¹Such a time series is unfortunately imaginary.

Hence, at interannual and centennial timescales, the variability of atmospheric forcings is a major driver of oceanic variability.

1.2 Atmospheric forcing of the North-Atlantic Ocean




Figure 1.5: Atmospheric forcing fields averaged from 1948 to 2007. a) Wind (black arrows) and wind-stress curl (color shading). b) Downward heat fluxes (sum of latent, sensible, shortwave and longwave). Negative values indicate a heat loss of the ocean. Data source: NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996)

From the equator to 30°N , trade winds blow south-westward, while north of 30°N the midlatitude westerlies blow north-eastward (figure 1.5a). In the subtropics, the wind-stress curl is negative and is associated with Ekman pumping and anticyclonic ocean circulation (the subtropical gyre). At midlatitudes, the wind-stress curl is positive and is associated with Ekman suction and cyclonic ocean circulation (the subpolar gyre).

In the North-Atlantic, heat fluxes are primarily directed from the ocean to the atmosphere. Hence, on average, the atmosphere is warmed by the ocean (figure 1.5b). The strongest anomalies are found in the Gulf Stream region, which is a key region for the storm cyclogenesis in winter (Brayshaw et al. 2011). Strong anomalies are also observed in the Western Labrador Sea and in the Nordic Seas, where deep water is formed by deep convection and feeds the lower limb of the AMOC.

1.3 Aims of the PhD

Changes in atmospheric forcings (meridional shifts of the winds, changes in the intensity of surface forcings, etc) strongly impact the variability of the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic, as already addressed in a large number of studies. However, many questions still deserve attention. The aim of the PhD is to address some of these questions.

The first one is related to the concept of modes of variability, often used to analyse the changes in ocean circulation induced by the changes in atmospheric forcings. What are their limitations? Are the so-called weather regimes an interesting alternative? What are their added values compared to the modes of variability? These questions are addressed in chapter 2.

The dominant mode of variability in the North-Atlantic/Europe domain is the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), which, to first order, measures the intensity of the mean winds in the North-Atlantic (figure 1.5a). Accordingly, the NAO is expected to influence the strength of the subtropical gyre, as suggested by Ezer (1999). However, Hakkinen et al. (2011a) suggest that the second mode of variability, the East-Atlantic Pattern, is more effective to imprint changes in the strength of the gyres. Which mode of variability is dominant for the variability of the subtropical gyre in the 1949-1998 period? Through which mechanism? These questions are addressed in chapter 3.

The atmosphere is also expected to impact the circulations of the subpolar gyre and of the meridional overturning, observations of which are sparse and do not cover a long time-period. An alternative to observations is provided by the ocean general circulation models. In the literature, the response of the ocean circulation to persistent positive NAO has been thoroughly investigated using ocean general circulation models. Using the weather regime framework, these studies are revisited. What are the impacts, at monthly to decadal timescales, of the weather regimes on the modelled ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic? Does the NAO asymmetry matter when investigating the ocean response to NAO^- and NAO^+ ? These questions are addressed in chapter 4.

Finally, a major clue for climate change is to investigate heat content changes over the last decades in the North Atlantic Ocean. To what extent are fluctuations in the atmospheric weather regimes responsible for changes in heat content within the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas? Through which mechanism: changes in surface heat fluxes and/or changes in ocean heat convergence? Observations of the ocean circulation are insufficient to reconstruct changes in heat advection hence storage, but

allow to validate model results. Because of the uncertainty inherent to each ocean simulation, it is important to estimate how much these results depend on the model setting (atmospheric forcing fields, ocean resolution, model parameters). Hence these questions are addressed by inter-comparing four different ocean hindcasts.

To summarise, the guideline of the PhD is to analyse the impacts of the atmosphere on the North-Atlantic Ocean (horizontal and overturning circulations, heat content) by using the weather regime framework, which allows to circumvent the limitations of the traditionally used modes of variability, as discussed in the following section.

Chapter 2

Atmospheric modes of variability and weather regimes

Contents

2.1	Introduction	25
2.2	Winter modes of variability	27
2.2.1	North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)	27
2.2.2	East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP)	29
2.2.3	Scandinavian Pattern (SCAN)	30
2.2.4	Limitations of the modes of variability	30
2.3	Winter weather regimes	32
2.3.1	Decomposition	32
2.3.2	Regime statistics	33
2.3.3	Regime description	34
2.4	Linkages between weather regimes and surface forcings	39
2.5	Conclusion	40

2.1 Introduction

To investigate how the atmospheric variability influences the ocean, one must take into account the 3D nature (time, longitude, latitude) of each atmospheric variable that may impact the ocean circulation, e.g. winds, air-temperature, specific humidity and precipitation. A way to overcome such a difficult task is generally achieved by reducing the spatio-temporal variability of the atmosphere into a finite number of spatial patterns, and to determine the time-varying indices that are associated with these patterns. In this section, we review two main concepts: the “modes of variability” and the weather regimes.

As a preliminary step, the importance of the winter season for atmospheric variability is discussed. The standard deviations of daily zonal and meridional wind anomalies¹ for the whole year, for winter (December to March, hereafter DJFM) and for summer (June to September, hereafter JJAS) are compared in figure 2.1. Although the patterns are very similar among the seasons, the standard deviations are much larger in winter than in summer. Hence, it is in winter that the atmosphere is the most likely to imprint its signature on the oceanic variability. This will be shown when investigating the impact of atmospheric variability on subtropical sea-surface height anomalies (chapter 3). As a consequence, only the winter season is considered hereafter when discussing atmospheric variability.




Figure 2.1: Daily variance of zonal and meridional components. a) and b): for the whole year. b) and c) for DJFM days d) and e) for JJAS days

¹Computed by removing a smoothed seasonal cycle with two harmonics retained

2.2 Winter modes of variability


The simple idea behind the concept of modes of variability is to reduce the 3D nature of the atmosphere into a fixed number of spatial patterns, to which are associated a time series (Barnston and Livezey 1987; Grossmann and Klotzbach 2009). In this section, the three main modes of variability in the North-Atlantic/Europe domain, the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP) and the Scandinavian Pattern (SCAN), are introduced.

2.2.1 North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)

The NAO, described by Hurrell (1995), is the dominant mode of variability in the North-Atlantic/Europe domain, since it explains 50% of the winter averaged sea-level pressure anomalies variance. It characterises the sea-level pressure fluctuations between the two main atmospheric systems in the North-Atlantic, the Icelandic Low and the Azores High, which are reinforced during positive NAO conditions. Figure 2.2 illustrates the mean atmospheric conditions during the positive (figure 2.2a) and the negative (figure 2.2b) NAO phases. During positive NAO phase, westerlies are intensified in a northeastward trajectory, inducing warm/wet winters in northern Europe/eastern USA and cold/dry winters in Labrador, eastern Greenland and southern Europe. Positive NAO conditions are also associated with increase heat loss to the atmosphere in the subpolar gyre region. Negative NAO conditions are characterised by weakened westerlies with more zonal trajectories, inducing climate conditions opposite to those associated with the NAO⁺ (figure 2.2b)

The temporal variability of the NAO is given by the NAO index, which can be computed different ways. Historically, the NAO index has been computed as the normalised sea level pressure difference between Lisbon (Portugal) and Stykkisholmur/Reykjavik (Iceland). This station-based NAO index extends as far back as 1864. Another way to compute the NAO index is to decompose the 3D sea-level pressure anomalies over the North-Atlantic domain into Empirical Orthogonal Function (hereafter, EOF). The NAO sea-level pressure anomaly pattern is given by the first EOF and the NAO index is defined as the associated normalised principal component (PC). This method has been increasingly used thanks to the development of global atmospheric reanalyses.

Approximately 4,800 articles are returned by a search of the topic “North-Atlantic Oscillation” (source: Web of Knowledge). Among them, there are a large number of studies concerning the



(a) Schematic for NAO+ phase

(b) Schematic for NAO- phase

Figure 2.2: Schematic for positive and negative NAO phases. Source: <http://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/res/pi/NAO/>

impacts of the NAO on the ocean circulation. For example Curry and McCartney (2001), Marshall et al. (2001), Eden and Willebrand (2001), Bellucci and Richards (2006), Deshayes and Frankignoul (2008), Bellucci et al. (2008), Herbaut and Houssais (2009), or Lohmann et al. (2009) all investigate the impacts of the NAO on the gyre and overturning circulations in the North-Atlantic on interannual to decadal time scales.

The general agreement among these studies is that the response of the ocean to the NAO depends on the timescales of interest. At monthly to interannual timescales, the NAO impacts the ocean circulation via wind-driven mechanisms. Positive NAO conditions (i.e. enhanced and northward shifted westerlies) give rise to an anticyclonic gyre circulation anomaly located at the boundary between the subtropical and subpolar gyres (the intergyre-gyre, Marshall et al. 2001). This pattern is the signature of the linear (Sverdrup-like) response of the gyres to the NAO-driven meridional shifts of the wind-stress curl (Eden and Willebrand 2001). At these timescales, the AMOC shows top-to-bottom negative anomalies north of 45°N , and positive anomalies to the south, which are the signature of Ekman induced surface flow compensated at depth by a return flow.

On longer (decadal) timescales, the subtropical and subpolar gyres intensify in response to positive NAO conditions. The adjustment of the subtropical gyre is driven by the baroclinic adjustment to anomalous wind-stress curl, while the subpolar gyre adjusts via baroclinic adjustment to changes in

heat fluxes (Eden and Willebrand, 2001). At these timescales, the AMOC response to positive NAO is a large scale strengthening of the circulation, which mostly occurs through enhanced convection and deep water formation, in the Labrador Sea especially.

2.2.2 East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP)

The EAP, introduced by Barnston and Livezey (1987), is the second mode of variability in the North-Atlantic Ocean (15% of winter averaged sea-level pressure anomalies variance explained). It is characterised by anticyclonic sea-level pressure anomalies centered at near 55°N, 25°W. Positive EAP conditions are associated with warm air-temperature anomalies in the subpolar gyre, cold anomalies and reduced precipitations in western Europe.

The literature concerning the EAP is far from being as abundant as for the NAO: only 44 articles are returned by a search of the topic “East-Atlantic Pattern” (source: Web of Knowledge). However, recent studies suggest that despite the small fraction of the atmospheric variability that is explained by the EAP, the latter is rather effective in forcing the gyre circulation. Hakkinen et al. (2011a) suggest, using observations, that to understand the variability of the gyres, one must consider the second EOF of wind-stress curl anomalies (related to the EAP) rather than the first one (related to the NAO). Indeed, this second EOF projects fairly well onto the mean position of the gyres and is accordingly rather effective in impacting their variability (hence the name of this EOF, “gyre-mode”). Positive EAP conditions are associated with a reduced gyre-mode and a reduced gyre circulation. Langehaug et al. (2012), using the control¹ experiment of the Bergen Climate Model, came to a similar conclusion.

Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) and Ruprich-Robert and Cassou (2013), using a control¹ simulation of the IPSL-CM4 and CNRM-CM5 climate models respectively, suggest that the AMOC multidecadal variability is closely related to the EAP. In their model, positive EAP induces a reduction in the salt advection by the North-Atlantic Current that reduces deep convection in the Nordic Seas and in turn the strength of the AMOC.

¹No external forcing (i.e. greenhouse gaz emission or solar forcing)

2.2.3 Scandinavian Pattern (SCAN)

SCAN is the third mode of atmospheric variability in the North-Atlantic domain (approximately 12% of winter averaged sea-level pressure anomalies variance explained). Its positive phase is characterised by anticyclonic conditions over Europe that prevent the westerlies to penetrate inland, hence leading to warm air-temperature anomalies in Europe. The number of articles in which the topic contains the words “Scandinavian Pattern” is comparable with that of the EAP (37, source: Web of Knowledge).

The impacts of the SCAN on the ocean circulation have mainly been assessed using coupled climate models. Medhaug et al. (2011) suggest that it impacts the AMOC through meridional heat transport: positive SCAN conditions induce a weaker gradient of sea-surface height across the Greenland-Scotland-Ridge, which leads to a barotropic adjustment of the circulation and to a decrease in poleward heat transport across the ridge. This decrease in poleward heat transport influences the AMOC directly, through water mass transformation in the Nordic Seas (4 years after a change in the SCAN index) and remotely, through reduced freshwater discharge by the sea-ice melting. The resulting salinisation will favour deep convection in the Nordic Seas and the Labrador Sea, which both will lead to an increase in the strength of the AMOC (approximately 7 years after a change in the SCAN index, see figure 14 of Medhaug et al. 2011).

2.2.4 Limitations of the modes of variability

The decomposition of sea-level pressure anomalies into EOFs, which allow to determine the spatial patterns and time indices of the NAO (first EOF), the EAP (second EOF) and of the SCAN (third EOF), relies on strong assumptions. First, by construction, EOF analysis assumes that the modes are orthogonal. The implications of such an assumption are illustrated by considering the following 3D vector:

$$F(x, y, t) = V_1(t) \times F_1(x, y) + V_2(t) \times F_2(x, y)$$

where F_1/F_2 are spatial patterns that represent 2D Gaussian distributions (figures 2.3a and b) and V_1/V_2 are their standardised time variations (figure 2.3c), obtained via random drafts following a Gaussian distribution (mean=0, standard deviation=1). By construction, the spatial patterns are monopolar and, at each grid point, F has a 0-mean.

The first EOF of F shows a monopolar pattern that resembles to F_1 (figure 2.3d). The second EOF, on the other hand, shows a dipolar pattern, with positive anomalies near the center that are reminiscent of F_2 , and negative anomalies elsewhere. Hence, it fails to fully capture the monopolar spatial pattern of F_2 . This peculiar behaviour of EOF decomposition, due to the orthogonality constraint, has been discussed in Richman (1986). One way to overcome this constraint is to rotate the EOFs, as initially introduced by Richman (1986).




Figure 2.3: Top panels: the two spatial patterns (a and b) and their associated time-series (c) used to construct the idealised 3D vector F . Bottom panels: the spatial patterns of the first two EOFs of F (d and e) and their associated principal components (f).

A greater limitation is that EOF decomposition assumes that the two phases of the modes are symmetric. Indeed, the spatio-temporal variability of the mode is obtained by multiplying the time-varying index, with negative and positive values since time indices are most often centered on a 0-mean, by a fixed spatial pattern. The latter assumption has been shown to be partially inadequate for the NAO (Cassou et al. 2004), where the deeper Icelandic Low/stronger Azores High are northeastward shifted in NAO^+ compared to NAO^- . To overcome this issue, an “augmented” NAO index has been proposed by Wang et al. (2012), in which the angle between the two centers of actions is used in addition to the classical NAO index, indicative of the strength of the anomalies.

However, such an index is hard to use in order to analyse the impacts of the NAO on the ocean circulation.

2.3 Winter weather regimes

Weather regimes are known since 1950 (Rex, 1950), when empirical atmospheric observations revealed that large-scale anomaly patterns recurrently occur in the North-Atlantic domain. The weather regimes can be simply described as recurrent (i.e. that occur repeatedly), quasi-stationary (i.e. with a life-span of a few days) and large-scale atmospheric patterns (Vautard and Legras 1988; Vautard 1990). They have often been used in atmospheric studies: some 450 articles contain the words “weather regime(s)” in the topic (source: Web of Knowledge). Among them, one can cite Mallet et al. (2013), Robertson and Ghil (1999), Yiou and Nogaj (2004), Plaut and Simonnet (2001).

The weather regimes have, to our knowledge, not yet been used to assess the influence of large-scale atmospheric variability on the ocean circulation. However, Cassou et al. (2011); Minvielle et al. (2011) have shown that they are effective in capturing the interannual to decadal variability of the surface forcings to the ocean, hence are a promising tool to address this question.

2.3.1 Decomposition

In this study, sea-level pressure anomalies have been decomposed into weather regimes using the k -mean algorithm of Michelangi et al. (1995), which agglomerates days that share some resemblance. This method assumes that the number of regimes, k , is a priori known, which is a rather strong assumption. The common value in winter is 4, which is consistent with other methods of decomposition (Vautard and Legras 1988; Vautard 1990) and which has been validated by significance tests (Michelangi et al. 1995). Hence, four winter weather regimes are considered in the following.

The classification algorithm has been applied on winter daily sea-level pressure anomalies, extracted from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al. 1996). Anomalies are computed by removing a smoothed seasonal cycle (two harmonics retained). Contrary to Cassou et al. (2011), who used geopotential height at 500 *mb*, sea-level pressure anomalies are used in this study because, at large-scale, the wind is in geostrophic balance and follows the contours of sea-level pressure. However,

we have verified that using geopotential height instead of sea-level pressure leads to similar weather regimes.

2.3.2 Regime statistics

The output of the classification algorithm is schematised in figure 2.4 for one regime: a value of 1 means that the regime is excited and that the other regimes are not (value of 0). From this array of boolean, one can determine the regime statistics that will be used in the next chapters.

	December	January	February	March
Year 1	00111000000011100	00001110000000000	11111111001110000	11111000011111000
Year 2	11110000001111000	00000000111000000	00000011110000000	01111100000000000

Figure 2.4: Outputs of the classification algorithm schematized for one regime. Values of 1 indicate that the regime is excited and that the other regimes are not (values of 0). Colors represents months.

Centroids and composites

Centroids refer to the spatial patterns of the weather regimes. They are computed by averaging the field used in the classification (in this study, winter daily sea-level pressure anomalies) over all the days in which the regime is excited (values of 1 in figure 2.4). Surface forcing composites are determined in the same way.

Occurrences

Monthly occurrences are defined as the number of days within a month during which the regime is excited. They are computed by summing the values within each frame of figure 2.4. In this example, the monthly occurrences for the first year are 6, 3, 11 and 10 in December, January, February and March, respectively.

Winter occurrences refer to the number of winter (DJFM) days during which the regime is excited. They are computed by summing the values of table 2.4 over each line. In our example, the winter occurrence during the first year is 30.

Intra- and inter-regime distance

Let D be a day belonging to regime R1. The *intra-regime* distance d_{intra} is defined as the euclidian distance between the daily map of sea-level pressure anomalies of D , which we call $M_D(x, y)$, and the centroid of R1, which we call $C_{R1}(x, y)$:

$$d_{intra} = \sum_x \sum_y [M_D - C_{R1}]^2$$

The *inter-regime* distances are the euclidian distances between $M_D(x, y)$ and the centroids of the other three regimes. Another similarity criterion is given by the spatial correlation between M_d and C_{R1} . However, the euclidian criteria is used here since it better captures the variability of surface forcing (Marie Minvielle, personal communication).

2.3.3 Regime description

In this section, the winter weather regimes used in this study and their associated forcing anomalies are described. The dataset used in this section is the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al. 1996) in the period starting in 1957 – 12 – 01 and ending in 2010 – 03 – 31. Figure 2.5 shows the centroids of the 4 winter weather regimes as well as the corresponding winter occurrences

The first regime is known as the Atlantic-Ridge (AR). It is characterised by anticyclonic anomalies off Europe. The second regime is known as the Blocking regime (BLK) and is characterised by anticyclonic anomalies in Northern Europe and cyclonic anomalies centered in Southern Greenland. The NAO^- regime is characterised by positive sea-level pressure anomalies centered in Greenland and negative anomalies in the south, while the NAO^+ regime is characterised by negative anomalies eastward of Iceland and positive anomalies in the south. In the literature, NAO^+ and NAO^- are often described as the *Zonal regime* and the *Greenland Anticyclone* regime, respectively (Vautard, 1990).

The winter occurrences (right column in figure 2.5) show a mixture of interannual and decadal variability. The decadal variability is however more important for the two NAO regimes, with greater NAO^- winter occurrences in the first half and weaker ones in the second half, and conversely for the NAO^+ . In the 1958-2010 period, the regime that occurs the most is the NAO^+ (27.75%), followed




Figure 2.5: Centroids (left panel) and winter occurrences (right panel) obtained by the classification into four regimes. The total frequency of occurrence is indicated at the top of the time series.

by the BLK (25.97%), the NAO⁻ (23.34%) and finally the AR (22.94%).

The asymmetry of the NAO is clear in figure 2.5 and is further confirmed by the comparison between Hurrell's NAO index¹ and the winter occurrences of the NAO⁺ and NAO⁻ regimes (figure

¹http://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/sites/default/files/cas_data_files/asphilli/nao_pc_djfm_1.txt

2.6). A stronger correlation is obtained between the NAO index and the NAO⁻ occurrences (-0.92), while the correlation with the NAO⁺ occurrences is slightly weaker (0.78), hence suggesting that the spatial pattern of the NAO mode projects fairly well onto the spatial pattern of the NAO⁻ regime.




Figure 2.6: Comparison between Hurrell's NAO index (black lines) and the anomalies of winter occurrences of the NAO⁺ and NAO⁻ regimes (vertical blue bars). Correlations between the time series are indicated.

The weather regimes are associated with wind and air-temperature anomalies (figure 2.7). Wind-anomalies usually follow the contours of MSLP anomalies described in figure 2.5, since at large-scale the wind is in geostrophic balance. Hence, AR is characterised by anticyclonic wind anomalies off Europe, while BLK is characterised by a northward shift of the winds near 20°W, caused by the anticyclone centered in Europe that prevents the westerlies from penetrating inland. To first order, the NAO⁺ pattern shows a strengthening of the mean winds (figure 1.5a), while the NAO⁻ pattern shows a weakening of those winds. Regarding air-temperature, AR is characterised by warm anomalies in the subpolar gyre and negative anomalies in the Nordic Seas. BLK is characterised by cold anomalies in the Labrador Sea region, probably caused by the advection of cold air originating from northern Canada, and by warm anomalies in the Nordic Seas. For the two NAO related regimes, air-temperature anomalies show the well known tripole pattern (Cayan 1992b). NAO⁺ pattern shows a warming in the Labrador Sea and a cooling at midlatitudes and in the Nordic Seas. To first order, the opposite anomalies are obtained for the NAO⁻. However, as will be shown in 4, the NAO asymmetry is essential to fully understand the ocean response to changes in the NAO.

Delecluse (2011) compares the centroids and the winter occurrences of the four regimes using different atmospheric reanalysis (figure 2.8). The differences are very small and fall within the range




Figure 2.7: Daily composites of surface wind (black arrows) and air-temperature (color shading) anomalies for the four weather regimes. Non-significant data are omitted. Significativity was computed from a Student test with a 95% confidence interval.

of uncertainty of the method. Furthermore, figure 2.8 highlights the robustness against time of the weather regime decomposition and also the interannual and decadal variability of the winter occurrences. As already discussed, the decadal variability is more important for the NAO⁻ and the NAO⁺ regimes than for the other two regimes.




Figure 2.8: Centroids and winter occurrences for winter (DJF) weather regimes computed from geopotential height at 500 m. The occurrences are shown for the different reanalysis used in the calculation. Source: Delecluse (2011).

2.4 Linkages between weather regimes and surface forcings

The skill of the weather regimes to capture the variability of surface forcings has been investigated in Minvielle (2009); Cassou et al. (2011); Minvielle et al. (2011). Their main results are summarised in this section.

They have used multiple linear regression analysis between winter averaged forcings (zonal and meridional winds, air-temperature anomalies) and the winter occurrences of weather regimes to assess the latter's skills in capturing the interannual variability of surface forcings (figure 2.9). The reconstructed zonal wind (figure 2.9a) shows maximum correlations at the latitudes of the NAO wind composites (figure 2.7), while the meridional wind (figure 2.9b) shows maximum correlation in the northeastern Atlantic, which can be attributed to BLK and AR. Maximum correlations between observed and reconstructed air-temperature (figure 2.9c) are obtained in the Labrador Sea and the Irminger Sea.




Figure 2.9: Interannual linear correlations between winter averaged observed forcings and reconstructed forcings via multiple linear regression with the weather regimes winter occurrences.

Contour interval: 0.1 (red indicates correlations of 0.7). Source: Cassou et al. (2011)

Cassou et al. (2011) also suggest that the intra-regime distance defines the signs of the anomalies (for instance strengthened westerlies and air-temperature tripole for NAO^+), while the three inter-regime distances control their intensities, as schematised in figure 2.10. For the two days (yellow and red crosses), the sign of the anomalies and the intra-regime distances (pink arrows) are the same. However, the intensity of the anomalies and the inter-regime distances are different. The day represented by the red cross has the strongest anomalies and has the greatest inter-regime distance. This property will be used in chapter 4.




Figure 2.10: Schematic diagram of inter and intra regime distance influence on surface variable anomalies. Crosses represent two different days. Black crosses represent two regime centroids. Pink arrows represent intra regime distances and blue arrows inter regime distances. Coloured points represent anomalies (red=warm, blue=cold for instance). Source: Cassou et al. (2011).

2.5 Conclusion

In this chapter, we have introduced the concept of modes of variability, which has often been used by the oceanographers as a proxy of atmospheric variability. The three main modes of variability in the North-Atlantic/Europe domain are the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP) and the Scandinavian Blocking (SCAN). Historically, the oceanic variability has been mainly linked to the NAO variations. However, recent studies have emphasised the importance of the two other modes.

The decomposition into modes of variability assume that these modes are orthogonal and symmetric. However, the latter assumption has been shown to be inappropriate for the NAO. As an alternative, the use of the weather regime framework to assess the atmospheric variability has been proposed. These regimes fairly well capture the variability of surface forcings, which make of them interesting candidates to assess the impacts of atmospheric variability on the ocean circulations.

The philosophy behind the modes of variability is different from the philosophy behind the weather regimes, as schematised in figure 2.11 for the NAO. The variability of the NAO mode can be viewed as the oscillation¹, over time, between two states (figure 2.11a), while the two NAO regimes can be

¹This term is however misleading since one would expect oscillatory temporal variations, which are far from obvious




Figure 2.11: Schematic of the two visions of the NAO described in this chapter. Positive NAO is represented by a red marble, negative NAO is represented by a blue marble. Orange arrows represent changes from one phase to another.

viewed as two distinct steady states 2.11b).

The potential in using the weather regimes to analyse and understand the ocean response to atmospheric forcing is promoted in the next chapters.

Chapter 3

Impact of North-Atlantic Weather Regimes on subtropical sea-surface height

Contents

3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Bermuda tide-gauge data	44
3.2.1	Inverse barometer effect	45
3.2.2	Removing of a long-term trend	45
3.2.3	Computation of yearly time-series	45
3.3	Article	47
3.4	Conclusion and discussions	61

3.1 Introduction

The variations in the strength of the subtropical gyre have often been analysed using sea-level anomalies. Among the numerous studies in that regard, one can cite Sturges and Hong (1995), Sturges et al. (1998), Ezer (1999), Hong et al. (2000) or Cabanes et al. (2006). All these studies agree to say that the major forcing component of subtropical sea-level anomalies is open ocean wind-stress curl, via Sverdrup transport and westward propagation of planetary waves.

The NAO (section 2.2.1) can be viewed, to first order, as a measure of the strength of the mean winds in the North-Atlantic. Hence, negative NAO conditions (i.e. reduced westerlies and Trade winds) could be expected to slacken the subtropical gyre, as suggested by Ezer (1999) to explain the weakening that occurred in the 70s. However, Hakkinen et al. (2011a,b) suggest that the wind-stress curl anomalies associated with the NAO are not effective in modulating the strength of the horizontal circulation, contrary to the wind-stress curl anomalies associated with the EAP (section 2.2.2).

The aim of the present chapter is to determine which large-scale atmospheric pattern effectively impacts the interannual variability of the subtropical gyre by using sea-surface height observations and a theoretical model.

3.2 Bermuda tide-gauge data

Monthly and yearly tide gauge data at Bermuda are provided by the “Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level” (PSMSL¹). There are, however, two main limitations in using the yearly PSMSL time-series in our study:

- Weather regimes are computed from DJFM sea-level pressure anomalies, while PSMSL yearly averages are computed between January and December. To be consistent with weather regime calculations, the continuity of winter months must be preserved.
- In PSMSL, yearly data are computed as the average of monthly data. Hence, when monthly data are missing, the yearly data are also missing, giving a discontinuous yearly time-series between 1949 to 1998.

Hence, a yearly time-series that overcome these issues has been constructed using the raw monthly PSMSL data (figure 3.1).




Figure 3.1: Raw PSMSL time series, station St Georges/Esso Pier.

¹<http://www.psmsl.org/>

3.2.1 Inverse barometer effect

As the scope of this study is to investigate the impacts of WRs on the strength of the subtropical gyre (assessed through Bermuda sea-level anomalies), the direct effect of sea-level pressure anomalies on sea-surface height, the so-called inverse barometer effect, must be corrected. This is achieved by removing the “inverse barometer correction” IBC, defined as:

$$IBC = -\frac{-P'}{\rho_0 g}, \quad P' = P - P_{ref} \quad (3.1)$$

with P the sea-level pressure at Bermuda and P_{ref} a reference pressure. In this study, P_{ref} is the sea-level pressure at Bermuda averaged over 1949-1998. As Bermuda Island is far from the main atmospheric systems, the impacts of the inverse barometer effect on the sea-level are weak (cf. table 3.1)

	Min	Max	Stddev
SSH	-373.1	342.9	100.5
IBC	-91.2	93.2	23.9

Table 3.1: Minimum, maximum and standard deviations of the raw monthly bermuda time-series and of the IBC. Units=mm

3.2.2 Removing of a long-term trend

Figure 3.2 shows the *IBC*-corrected time-series and the long-term trend ($1.2 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$) estimated from non-missing monthly data. The trend compares well with the estimates of Bindoff et al. (2007) and is likely the signature of thermosteric sea-level rise (Antonov et al. 2005). This trend has been removed.

3.2.3 Computation of yearly time-series

The next step is to determine a yearly time-series from these pre-processed monthly data. To do so, a mean seasonal cycle is first computed using the non-missing monthly data and is removed from the records.

These monthly sea-surface height anomalies are then averaged from December to November to keep the continuity of winter months. This reconstructed time-series is correlated at 0.96 with the




Figure 3.2: IBC-corrected time-series (black lines) and long-term trend (dashed red line), the coefficient of which is indicated.

yearly time-series of PSMSL, validating the reconstruction method (figure 3.3).




Figure 3.3: PSMSL (red) and reconstructed (black) yearly time-series. The correlation coefficient between the two time-series is indicated.

3.3 Article

In Barrier et al. (2012), published in *Climate Dynamics*, the linkages between the strength of the subtropical gyre and the WRs are analysed. This is achieved by using correlation analysis between the December-to-November averages of sea-surface height observations in the subtropical gyre and the winter regime occurrences. The observation datasets are the Bermuda yearly time series described in the above and the “Maps of Absolute Dynamic Topography” (MADT) issued from the AVISO¹ dataset. The analysis is completed by using the planetary geostrophic model of Cabanes et al. (2006), which allows the understanding of the mechanisms involved in the variations of subtropical sea-surface height anomalies.

¹<http://www.avisooceanobs.com/en/>

Impact of the winter North-Atlantic weather regimes on subtropical sea-surface height variability

Nicolas Barrier · Anne-Marie Treguier ·
Christophe Cassou · Julie Deshayes

Received: 15 May 2012 / Accepted: 25 October 2012
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

Abstract Interannual variability of subtropical sea-surface-height (SSH) anomalies, estimated by satellite and tide-gauge data, is investigated in relation to wintertime daily North-Atlantic weather regimes. Sea-level anomalies can be viewed as proxies for the subtropical gyre intensity because of the intrinsic baroclinic structure of the circulation. Our results show that the strongest correlation between SSH and weather regimes is found with the so-called Atlantic-Ridge (AR) while no significant values are obtained for the other regimes, including those related to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), known as the primary actor of the Atlantic dynamics. Wintertime AR events are characterized by anticyclonic wind anomalies off Europe leading to a northward shift of the climatological wind-stress curl. The latter affects subtropical SSH annual variability by altered Sverdrup balance and ocean Rossby wave dynamics propagating westward from the African coast towards the Caribbean. The use of a simple linear planetary geostrophic model allows to quantify those effects and confirms the primary importance of the winter season to explain the largest part of SSH interannual variability in the Atlantic subtropical gyre. Our results open new perspectives in the comprehension of North-Atlantic Ocean variability emphasizing the role of AR as a driver of interannual variability at least of comparable importance to NAO.

1 Introduction

In the context of climate change, the detection of multi-decadal trends and their potential attribution to human influence is a major challenge. A special attention is devoted to sea-surface height (SSH) that integrates the forcings, whatever their origins (natural such as volcanic/tropospheric aerosols and solar fluctuations, or anthropogenic such as sulfates and greenhouse gases emission, etc.), over long periods of time. Its recent accelerating rise is expected to have regionally potential disastrous impacts. Observed SSH variability from seasonal to decadal time-scales can be considered as a superimposition of a global upward trend in response to external forcings and a signal associated with intrinsic or natural variability of the climate system. The latter is due to the coupling between components of very different time-scale and spatial-scale characteristics of variability and to the presence of nonlinear processes. Its variance is presently one order of magnitude larger than the externally-forced component and it is thus necessary to quantify and understand the mechanisms of that natural variability to be able to remove it from the observed records and assess long-term trends. In the North-Atlantic, ocean variability mostly comes from changes in wind and buoyancy forcings related to large-scale modes of atmospheric variability. From daily to decadal timescales, the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) is the dominant pattern in the Northern Atlantic/Europe domain. As a matter of fact, many studies were devoted to investigate the impacts of NAO on ocean circulation, especially on SSH and meridional heat transport (MHT) anomalies. MHT anomalies influence basin-scale SSH in turn, via heat content changes (Hakkinen 1999; Esselborn and Eden 2001). We briefly review, below, a selection that is relevant for our study.

N. Barrier (✉) · A.-M. Treguier · J. Deshayes
Laboratoire de Physique des océans, CNRS-Ifremer-UBO-IRD,
UMR 6523, Brest, France
e-mail: Nicolas.Barrier@ifremer.fr

C. Cassou
CNRS Cerfacs, Toulouse, France

Ezer (1999) uses sensitivity experiments of an ocean model to surface forcings to investigate the variability of the subtropical gyre. His results suggest that changes in wind-patterns in the northeastern Atlantic, that he attributes to NAO, cause negative surface elevation. The proposed mechanism is the westward propagation of long Rossby waves, consistently with Cabanes et al. (2006) and references therein. Hakkinen (1999) argues from a forced ocean model that the NAO is the dominant forcing of MHT via altered surface forcings (wind-stress and heat fluxes). The author states that MHT immediate response to changes in NAO occurs via anomalous Ekman transport, while the low-frequency response mainly occurs via the integration of NAO-induced heat fluxes in the subpolar gyre and the southward export of Labrador Sea Water, that causes MHT anomalies to propagate from 45°N to 25°N within one year. Both Eden and Willebrand (2001) and Gulev et al. (2003) show an immediate MHT response likely driven by NAO-related wind anomalies. However, their numerical experiments show a delayed ocean response of different nature. While in Eden and Willebrand (2001) the lagged baroclinic response is mainly wind-driven, Gulev et al. (2003) argue that it is due to buoyancy forcings and Labrador Sea Water formation in the subpolar gyre. Esselborn and Eden (2001) investigate, from satellite data and forced ocean model, basin-scale SSH interannual variability in relation with the NAO. They argue that the immediate response to a switch from a positive to a negative NAO phase induces a dipole pattern, with negative anomalies in the subpolar gyre and positive anomalies in the subtropical gyre. They propose that NAO-related changes in wind-stress curl leads to ocean circulation anomalies that induce anomalous advection of temperature (term $\overline{u'T}$). This leads to anomalous heat convergence/divergence that in turn induces this SSH dipole pattern. The impacts of NAO on subpolar and subtropical gyres have also been investigated from observations. Curry and McCartney (2001) use observed potential energy anomalies (PEA), that can be reflected in SSH anomalies, to estimate the impact of NAO on both gyres. They argue that NAO-induced PEA in the subtropical gyre are dominated by vertical displacements of the pycnocline, driven by open-ocean wind-stress forcings integrated westward (see also Sturges and Hong 1995; Sturges et al. 1998; Hong et al. 2000). But changes in the Eighteen Degree Water property (Joyce et al. 2000) and changes in the deep ocean (due to altered import of Labrador Sea Water, Curry et al. 1998) can also impact this NAO-induced PEA in the subtropical gyre. In the subpolar region, PEA come primarily from changes in local heat fluxes but also from changes in the rates at which the water is imported and exported from the interior basin.

As described above, the NAO is an essential driver of both immediate and delayed oceanic variability (see also

Lohmann et al. 2009). However, recent work of Hakkinen et al. (2011a, b) suggests that one has to go beyond the sole NAO contribution to understand the observed changes. In particular, the NAO fails to explain the warming and salinization of the early 2000s in the North-Eastern Atlantic. They argue that the latter could be due to the decadal fluctuations of winter blocking conditions, assessed in their study from the NOAA-20CR reanalysis (Compo et al. 2011) through traditional atmospheric metrics based on daily variance of mean sea-level pressure (MSLP) anomalies. The blocking associated space-time structure of wind-anomalies, the so-called “gyre-mode” (Hakkinen et al. 2011a), is related to the second mode of variability in the North-Atlantic atmospheric circulation, the so-called East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP, Barnston and Livezey 1987). When EAP dominates atmospheric variability, the subtropical gyre expands northward and the subpolar gyre shrinks; this facilitates the invasion of warm, salty subtropical water into the eastern subpolar gyre.

The relative importance of NAO versus EAP atmospheric patterns in forcing ocean circulation thus still appears to be an open question according to the literature. The major goal of this study is to determine which large-scale atmospheric circulation is responsible for the interannual SSH variability in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre estimated from satellite and tide-gauge data. The atmospheric anomalous circulation is assessed here through the weather regime (WR) circulation paradigm, preferred to classical modes of variability. WRs have been thoroughly studied in the literature (Vautard 1990; Michelangi et al. 1995, among others) and shown to be very efficient at capturing the interannual variability of the surface ocean forcings in the North Atlantic (Cassou et al. 2011). A second objective is to clarify which mechanisms drive the ocean response to changes in atmospheric conditions described by the WRs. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data and the methodology used in this study. Section 3 describes the observed winter North Atlantic WR; a comparison between EOF and WR circulation patterns is provided. Section 4 depicts the relationship between WR occurrences and observed subtropical SSH anomalies. Section 5, based on a simple linear planetary geostrophic model, investigates the physical mechanism at work. Conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

2 Data and methodology

2.1 Subtropical sea-level

Subtropical sea-level anomalies are extracted from two different datasets. We first use AVISO Maps of Absolute

Dynamic Topography (MADT, Ducet et al. 2000), available from October, 1992 to March, 2010. MADT maps are first regridded at a coarser resolution (1° , similarly to Cabanes et al. 2006). As winter weather regimes occurrences are determined over winter, yearly averaged MADT anomalies are computed from December to November in order to keep the continuity of winter months. As the dataset is not complete in 1992 and 2010, those years are discarded. Additionally, a subtropical MADT time-series is computed by the averaging of MADT anomalies over the box (64°W – 73°W , 24°N – 30°N), which encompasses the subtropical gyre core without being influenced by the Gulf-Stream.

As the AVISO yearly time series spans a very short time-period (17 years), it is completed by a longer record. We use tide-gauge data at Bermuda, located in the subtropical gyre. Data were obtained from the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL, <http://www.psmsl.org/>), station Esso Pier/St Georges (32.367°N , 64.700°W), between the period 1949–1998. To recover the missing values in the PSMSL annual time series, due to some missing data in the monthly records, we proceed as follows. The inverted barometer correction, following Ponte (2006), is first applied to non-missing data of the raw PSMSL monthly time-series. A linear trend of 1.2 mm/year, which is comparable with the existing literature (e.g. Bindoff et al. 2007), is removed from this monthly time series and anomalies are calculated by subtracting the mean seasonal cycle. Values are finally yearly averaged according to the same December–November average convention. We verify that our yearly index, which spans 50 years, is correlated at 0.98 to the one given by PSMSL (not shown).

2.2 Classification into weather regimes

The WR framework, based on daily circulation changes, accounts for the existence of preferred large-scale spatial states of the extratropical atmosphere set by the stationary waves (Molteni et al. 1990). The WR framework differs from fixed station indices such as the traditional NAO index (Hurrell 1995), polluted by circulations that are unrelated to the latitudinal alternation of the mean westerly flow that defines the NAO itself (e.g. Hurrell and VanLoon 1997). It also differs from the traditional decomposition in modes of variability based for instance on EOF or Singular Value Decomposition that make symmetry assumptions for spatial fluctuations. Additionally, the WR paradigm also accounts for time-scale interaction: weather changes are interpreted as transitions between WR while climate variability is understood in terms of time-integration of daily WR occurrences and internal characteristics (strength for instance) over the time-scale of interest. This temporal integration property is promising for ocean variability

studies (Minvielle et al. 2011), the ocean being often viewed as the integrator of atmospheric noise (Frankignoul et al. 1997).

To decompose atmospheric variability into WRs, we proceed as follows. NCEP-NCAR (Kalnay et al. 1996) daily maps of mean sea-level pressure (MSLP) anomalies are computed inside the North-Atlantic domain (20°N – 80°N , 80°W – 30°E) by removing a smoothed seasonal cycle (two harmonics retained). Winter (December–January–February–March, hereafter DJFM) days are selected and MSLP anomalies are normalized by the cosine of the latitude. The classification is done in EOF space in order to reduce the degrees of freedom and thus facilitate the calculation. 20 EOFs, which explain 98.9 % of the total variance, are retained. It should be noted that contrary to Ayrault et al. (1995) or Smyth et al. (1999), no filtering is applied to our data. This allows us to keep the synoptic-scale variability (2–6 days), the slow-synoptic processes (6–11 days) and the low frequency variability (11–30 days) described in Gulev et al. (2002). Part of the ultra-high frequency variability (UHFV, 6 h to 2 days) is lost by the daily averaging. Even if the variance associated with UHFV is small, associated small scale events can lead to significant winds, which will thus not be considered here.

While there are many classification techniques (mixture model clustering: Smyth et al. 1999; non-linear equilibration: Vautard and Legras 1988; Vautard 1990), we use the k -mean algorithm described in Michelangi et al. (1995) and Cassou (2008), which relies on the recurrence property of the weather regimes. The aim of the method is to agglomerate days that share some resemblance (Euclidian criteria). It assumes that the number of clusters, k , is known. The algorithm, described in Michelangi et al. (1995), is as follows. k days are randomly chosen among all the dataset and their anomalous circulations define the k centroids C_k (initial seeds). Then, the method attributes to each day x the cluster that minimizes the Euclidian distance between x and C_k , that we call $d(x, C_k)$. This initial partition, that we call P_k^0 , is used to re-compute the centroids by averaging all the days that belong to the same regime. We call these new centroids C_k^0 . The aggregation is iteratively repeated until the sum of variances within clusters of the n th iteration, defined as:

$$W(P^n) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{x \in C_k^n} d^2(x, C_k^n) \quad (1)$$

reaches a local minimum. As this method strongly depends on the initialization of the algorithm, the entire process is repeated 50 times in order to get as many partitions. The one that minimizes the ratio of the sum of variances within clusters, $W(P)$, on the sum of variances outside clusters, $J(P)$, defined as:

$$J(P) = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{x \notin C_k} d^2(x, C_k) \quad (2)$$

is selected. Finally, daily occurrences are summed over DJFM days from 1949 to 2010 to obtain a time-series of yearly occurrences for each regime.

One limitation of the k -mean algorithm is the assumption that the number of regimes is a priori known. However, Michelangi et al. (1995) determined that the number of clusters that allows classificability and reproducibility is 4, which is the value determined from other methods (Vautard 1990). In the following, we thus retain 4 winter weather regimes. A second limit, as mentioned in Smyth et al. (1999), is that this algorithm is inadequate if there is strong overlapping in the spatial patterns of the regimes. Finally, most studies that deal with weather regimes use geopotential height to compute weather regimes (Vautard 1990; Michelangi et al. 1995; Smyth et al. 1999; Cassou et al. 2011). We preferred to use MSLP, similarly with Santos et al. (2005), as MSLP can easily be related to surface winds. We checked that comparable centroids and yearly occurrences are obtained using anomalies of geopotential height at 500 hPa (not shown).

3 North-Atlantic Weather regimes










The four winter weather regimes that we obtain are depicted in Fig. 1 (left panels): the Atlantic Ridge (AR) characterized by an anticyclonic anomaly off Europe, the Scandinavian-Blocking (BLK) dominated by a meridional pressure dipole, north of 40°N, with an anticyclonic anomaly over northern Europe and a cyclonic circulation between Greenland and Iceland, and the Greenland Anticyclone and Zonal regimes linked to the negative and positive phases of the NAO, respectively. NAO− is characterized by a positive anomaly north of 50°N centered around Greenland and aligned at 30°W with a negative pressure anomaly south of 50°N. NAO+ is dominated by negative anomalies between Iceland and the North Sea while positive anomalies prevail south of 50°N. As shown in Fig. 1 (right panels, blue bars), winter occurrences time series highlight a strong interannual to decadal variability with neither pronounced nor significant trends.

Weather regimes have been shown to impact the “storm track” position. Ayrault et al. (1995) used the 2–6 days variance of geopotential height at 500 hPa (Z_{500}) as a proxy for the eastern position of the jet. The author states that NAO+ and NAO− are more likely to affect northern and southern Europe, respectively, while blocking regimes are likely to impact North-Eastern America. Rudeva and Gulev (2011), using clustering techniques on cyclone

Fig. 1 (Left) Centroids of daily sea-level pressure anomalies for the four weather regimes (colors, contour interval: 200 Pa) and EOF-derived modes of variability computed from DJFM averaged sea-level pressure anomalies (black contours, contour interval: 50 Pa). The variance explained by each EOF is indicated between parenthesis. (Right) Number of days per winter of WR winter occurrences (bars) and corresponding principal components (PC) from EOF (see text for details). The correlations between the occurrences and the PCs are indicated. For panel c, the NAO_{EOF} pattern and associated PC are multiplied by -1 so that they share the same sign as the NAO− regime

observations, suggest that cyclones formed in the Gulf-Stream region under NAO+/NAO− conditions are likely to end-up in the northeastern/eastern Central Atlantic, respectively. On the other hand, cyclones generated under AR conditions will decay in the Labrador-Sea while cyclones formed under blocking regimes will decay in the southeastern Atlantic. We performed a similar diagnosis as Ayrault et al. (1995) to determine the position of the eastern part of the jet within our four regimes. We use NCEP/NCAR (Kalnay et al. 1996) 2–6 days Z_{500} anomalies and computed the standard deviation within each cluster (Fig. 2, color shading) and compare it with the climatological one (Fig. 2, black contours). For AR, one can see that the climatological core of variability, localized off northeastern America, is tilted toward the Labrador-Sea, consistently with Gulev et al. (2002). There is also a core of high standard deviation located in the Irminger Sea. In BLK, we notice that the variability is higher in the northeastern America, while there seems to be a northeastern tilt of the eastern part of the jet, probably due to the long-lasting anticyclone off Europe (Fig. 1). The standard deviation in NAO− seems weaker and more zonal, while in NAO+ it has a greater zonal extension. This seemingly implies more cyclones in northeastern Atlantic, consistent with Rudeva and Gulev (2011).

As described above, the WR paradigm differs from the traditional decomposition in modes of variability, which, by construction, makes symmetry assumptions. Figure 1 (left panels) contrasts the MSLP patterns obtained from winter WR decomposition (color shading) to those of the corresponding modes of variability (black contours). The latter are obtained from EOF decomposition performed on DJFM averages of MSLP anomalies over the same North-Atlantic domain than the WR. From Fig. 1, we can infer that AR is the positive phase of the EAP (Barnston and Livezey 1987, 2nd EOF of MSLP) and BLK is the positive phase of the so-called Scandinavian (SCAN) pattern (3rd EOF of MSLP). Finally, NAO− and NAO+ project respectively on the positive and negative phases of the NAO (1st EOF of MSLP). From Fig. 1, it is worth noticing that the spatial symmetry assumption is not valid for the NAO. Indeed, the spatial pattern of NAO_{EOF} is closer to NAO−_{WR} than to






Fig. 2 Daily DJFM standard deviation of filtered (2–6 days) Z₅₀₀ anomalies (black contours climatological, color shading within each weather regime)

NAO+_{WR} obtained from classification: the NAO+_{WR} northernmost negative anomaly is shifted eastward and the southernmost positive anomaly is tilted southeastward. Such a difference is associated with the intrinsic dynamics of the upper-level tropospheric jet and is inherent to the two states of its latitudinal position (Cassou et al. 2004).

Consistently, the correlation between NAO_{EOF} index (Fig. 1, red line, defined as the 1st normalized principal component of MSLP anomalies) and NAO+_{WR} occurrences is 0.67 while the correlation between NAO_{EOF} index and NAO-_{WR} occurrences is higher and reach -0.89 . Regarding AR_{WR} regime, there is a good correspondance with the EAP_{EOF} pattern, although the maximum positive anomaly is shifted northeastward in AR_{WR} compared to EAP_{EOF}; as expected, the two time-series are well correlated ($R = 0.75$). The SCAN pattern is somehow different from BLK and the two time series are less correlated. A possible cause for this discrepancy is the orthogonality constraint of the EOF decomposition and also the fact that “inverse blocking” events do not exist in nature as opposed to SCAN-_{EOF} (by construction).

To summarize, the consideration of winter WR rather than the consideration of classical EOF modes of

variability allows to take into account the NAO spatial asymmetry and the sole existence of blocking states without any constraint of orthogonality, which could lead to unrealistic spatial patterns. As a consequence the atmospheric variability in this study is only assessed through the WR paradigm.

4 Subtropical sea-level response to weather regimes

The impact of wintertime WRs on sea-level anomalies can occur via mechanisms of different nature. At seasonal and interannual timescales, the so-called inverted barometer effect links SSH variations in ocean basins to the variation of surface atmospheric pressure (Ponte 2006; Tsimplis and Shaw 2008; Woodworth et al. 2010, and references therein). This effect is not considered here as inverted barometer corrections have been applied to the sea-level observations.

Weather regimes are associated with wind-anomalies (consistent with MSLP patterns, Fig. 3, black arrows) and air-temperature anomalies (not shown), that are traditionally assessed by daily composites. AR is dominated by a




Fig. 3 Daily composites of winter wind-field anomalies (arrows, reference = $3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) and corresponding anomalous Sverdrup transport (colors, contour interval = 1 Sv). The black point shows the

location of the Esso-Pier (Bermuda) station and the black rectangle our subtropical box used in the correlation (see text and Table 1)

strong anticyclonic anomaly off Europe and by a surface warming centered at the intergyre region and extending in the northwestern subpolar gyre. BLK depicts anticyclonic anomalous circulation centered on Europe that prevents the mean midlatitude Westerlies to penetrate inland. Temperatures are colder off Newfoundland while warmer conditions occur in the GIN seas due to the low-level advection of warm air from the South. NAO– (resp. NAO+) is characterized by a reduction (resp. strengthening) and southward (northward) shift of the mean midlatitude Westerlies and the subtropical Trade winds that imprint a tripolar temperature pattern in latitude (Cayan 1992), with a warming (resp. cooling) in the Labrador Sea/subtropics and a cooling (resp. warming) in the GIN seas and the midlatitudes.

Those wind and air-temperature anomalies can lead to subtropical SSH response via either halosteric/thermosteric effects or the dynamical adjustment to the wind-stress forcing. The first mechanism corresponds to the thermal/haline dilatation of the ocean water column induced by temperature changes (Tsimplis et al. 2006) or changes in the global freshwater budget (e.g. icecaps melting, Stammer et al. 2011). Those changes can be induced either by changes in heat/freshwater fluxes from the atmosphere to the ocean or by anomalous tracer advection. The second

mechanism, associated with the long-term changes of open ocean wind-stress curl, induces both a barotropic (Sverdrup-like dynamics) and a baroclinic (westward propagation of Rossby waves) ocean response (Sturges and Hong 1995; Sturges et al. 1998; Ezer 1999; Hong et al. 2000; Cabanes et al. 2006). It is shown that such a mechanism can explain locally as much as 40% of the decadal variance in the Atlantic subtropical gyre (Cabanes et al. 2006) and is likely to be the dominant one. Our working hypothesis will thus be that subtropical SSH response to WRs is driven by open ocean wind-stress curl.

As a first step to characterize the ocean response to winter WR wind circulations, we compute the corresponding Sverdrup transport anomalies composites $\widetilde{\psi}_{Sv}$ (Fig. 3, color shading) as:

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{Sv}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\rho_0 \beta} \int_{x_E}^x \text{curl}_z(\widetilde{\tau}(x', y)) dx' \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_0 = 1,030 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is the reference density of seawater, β the meridional gradient of the Coriolis parameter, $\widetilde{\tau}$ the wind stress anomalies associated with WRs (Fig. 3, black arrows) and x_E the position of the Eastern boundary. These anomalies can be related to the mean pattern of the subpolar and subtropical gyres shown in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4 (Left) Mean map of absolute dynamic topography (colors). Climatological (black contours) and AR composite (red contours) zero wind-stress curl. (Right) Map of absolute dynamic topography

AR is characterized by strong positive anomalies in the Labrador Sea associated with anomalous southerly winds that contrast with slackened westerlies at midlatitudes (between 20°N and 40°N). BLK anomalies are very weak, except for positive anomalies south of Iceland. NAO⁻ is characterized by positive anomalies in the northern boundary of the Labrador Sea and Irminger Sea and at 30°N, and negative anomalies between 40°N and 50°N in the intergyre region. NAO⁺ is characterized by opposite anomalies although shifted southward compared to NAO⁻ in agreement with the spatial asymmetry of the two NAO phases captured through WR. For AR, note that the Sverdrup transport anomalies project very well onto the mean position of the gyres (Fig. 4a); hence AR is expected to play a central role in the subtropical gyre variability consistently with Hakkinen et al. (2011a) “gyre-mode” (their Fig. 3a).

To further estimate the possible roles of WR in forcing the North Atlantic subtropical SSH variability, we calculate the correlations between WR winter occurrences and the annual subtropical SSH anomaly index (after removing a linear trend). A correlation of -0.34 is obtained with AR yearly occurrences over 1993–2009, significant at the 80% level (Table 1). The confidence interval is computed by a Student test, in which the degree of freedom is multiplied by a correction factor depending on the 1 year-lag auto-correlation of each time series (Bretherton et al. 1999, their equation 31). No correlations are found for neither NAO⁺ or NAO⁻ while a positive correlation of 0.36 is found with BLK.

As documented earlier, AR regime is characterized by a persistent anticyclonic anomaly off Europe (Fig. 3) that displaces the climatological zero-wind-stress curl northward (Fig. 4, left panel, red contours). Considering simple

(MADT) anomalies composite for extreme Atlantic Ridge events (zero contours are depicted in black). Significant values, based on *t* statistics at the 80% level of confidence, are white dotted

Sverdrup balance, this causes a decrease of subtropical SSH as confirmed in Fig. 4 (right panel) from MADT composites. The latter are computed for extreme AR years, defined as winters for which the seasonal occurrences are greater than one standard deviation. When AR winter events are frequent, a large scale negative anomaly encompasses the subtropical gyre, consistently with the correlation previously discussed. The subpolar gyre is also characterized by positive anomalies that are stronger in its eastern part and indicate a weakening of circulation there. All together, this is consistent with the anomalous Sverdrup contribution from WR wind characteristics as discussed above.

The results presented so far suggest the importance of AR for subtropical SSH interannual variability. However, those could be criticized because of the shortness of the AVISO time series. In order to corroborate our findings, we have used observations from tide-gauge at Bermuda (located in the subtropical gyre, Fig. 3, black point) to compute similar correlations, but over a much longer period of time (50 vs. 17 years for AVISO). Maximum correlation at -0.39 , significant at the 95% level, is found again for AR (Table 1) and consistently with AVISO, no significant correlations are found for NAO⁺ and NAO⁻. The correlation for BLK observed in the AVISO dataset no longer stands (0.17, not significant at the 95% level) and could therefore be attributed to the shortness of the data or to some non stationarity of the ocean-atmosphere relationship yet to be investigated.

The correlations previously described simply give the information that subtropical SSH and AR occurrences significantly covary in time over 1948–1998. No information on the amplitude of the AR-induced SSH anomalies nor on the stationarity of the relationship has been provided

Table 1 List of correlations cited in the text

Time series	Period	Confidence interval (%)	Correlation
AR winter occurrences versus subtropical AVISO MADT	1993–2009	80	−0.34
AR winter occurrences versus observed Bermuda SSH	1949–1998	95	−0.39
AR-induced SSH versus observed Bermuda SSH	1949–1998	95	0.39
PG model versus observed Bermuda SSH	1954–1998	95	0.53
AR winter occurrences versus PG model	1954–2006	95	−0.53

“AR-induced SSH” refers to the regressed reconstructed series (solid line in Fig. 5) and “PG model” refers to the linear solution calculated from daily wind fields (dashed line in Fig. 5 and black line in Fig. 6)

so far. The temporal evolution of the AR-induced signal of Bermuda SSH is now reconstructed (Fig. 5, solid line) by linearly regressing the normalized AR occurrences onto the Bermuda SSH index (light grey bars). The regression coefficient estimated over 1948–1998 equals -17.5 mm per standard deviation of winter AR occurrences. Dark grey bars from 2003 onwards are independent data taken from the tide-gauge data but past the missing gap (see PSMSL website). The latter can be used as cross-validation to assess the skill of the method. The reconstructed signal does capture a large part of the decadal variability up to the mid-70s in agreement with the strong dominance of AR over those decades. While interannual fluctuations in the 80s and early 90s are reproduced to some extent, the late 70s SSH significant rise is completely missed. A possible cause is that the late 70s decade is characterized by strong NAO– events between 1977 and 1980 (Fig. 1) preceded by years of strong BLK. Both have a local imprint around Bermuda (not shown) and may be lowering the impact of AR, that is less frequent in that period. Note that the variance of the reconstructed time series is weaker than the observed one as expected by construction using regression models (von Storch 1999).

5 Mechanisms of interannual subtropical SSH variability in response to WR

As stated earlier, we propose that subtropical SSH response to WRs is driven by open ocean wind-stress curl. This working hypothesis discards the thermosteric/halosteric effects caused by atmospheric heat/freshwater fluxes and by tracer advection. The correlations discussed in the above seem to indicate that the AR anticyclonic circulation, which tilts the wind-stress curl northward, causes a decrease in SSH yearly anomalies.

In order to test our hypothesis, we have computed the wind-driven barotropic η_p and baroclinic η_c components of sea-level from observed daily wind stress curl following Cabanes et al. (2006) linear planetary geostrophic model (their equations 11 and 20):




Fig. 5 Observed time-series of Bermuda Sea-Surface Height anomalies (in mm, light grey bars are the tide-gauge observations used in the regression while dark grey bars are independent tide-gauge observations), regressed SSH onto AR occurrences (solid line) and the planetary geostrophic model of sea-level (dashed line)

$$\eta_p(x, y, t) = \int_{xe}^x \frac{f^2}{H\beta g} \text{curl} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho_0 f} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\eta_c(x, y, t) = -C_m^{-1} \int_x^{x_e} \left[A_n \text{curl} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho_0 f} \right) \right] (x', y, t - t_{x'}) dx' \quad (5)$$

where $t_{x'} = (x - x_{x'})/C_{rn}$ is the propagation time of the wave generated by local atmospheric forcing east of longitude x , $C_{rn} = -\beta/\lambda_n^2$ is the wave propagation speed of the n th baroclinic mode (which eigenvalue is λ_n) and A_n the wind-stress curl projection on this mode. The derivation of those two equations, described in Cabanes et al. (2006), relies on planetary geostrophic (PG) dynamics, neglects bottom topography, advection by the mean currents and assumes a rigid lid. The wind-stress forcing is implemented as a body force in the mixed layer of constant depth (100 m). The values of C are computed by inversion of the eigenvalue problem:

$$\partial_z \left(\frac{f^2}{N^2} \partial_z F \right) + \lambda^2 F = 0 \quad (6)$$

where $F(z)$ is the vertical baroclinic structure, λ the corresponding eigenvalue and N^2 the Brunt-Vaisala frequency (computed from *World Ocean Atlas 2005* climatology of Locarnini et al. 2006; Antonov et al. 2006, following the procedure of Chelton et al. 1998). Equation 6 is verified by an infinity of orthogonal vertical modes but, consistently with Cabanes et al. (2006), the first baroclinic mode is found to be dominant in the subtropical area. We consistently discard the higher-ranked modes in our study. The values of C and A are longitude dependent but, similarly to Cabanes et al. (2006), we have used their zonal means. The computation has been performed at (33.3°N–78.8°W) with C and A are equal to -0.025 m.s^{-1} and 11.10^{-4} respectively. We have chosen daily wind fields instead of monthly fields because the latter give too weak an amplitude of SSH (Cécile Cabanes, personal communication) and also to better account for the intrinsic properties and advantages of the WR as above-described. NCEP/NCAR daily wind fields are available from 1948 to 2006. The first five years of the PG sea-level anomalies are discarded as part of the spin-up.

Total (ie. barotropic+baroclinic) contribution of wind-stress curl to sea-level anomalies is depicted in Fig. 5 (dashed line) and a significant correlation of 0.53 is found with observations (Table 1). Note that the amplitude of the barotropic component is approximately 3 times smaller than the baroclinic one (not shown). This is consistent with the results of Hong et al. (2000) who argue that, to first order, Bermuda sea-level can be approximated by the first baroclinic mode. Interestingly, when the regression (solid black line) has some skill to reproduce observed SSH variability, so does the PG SSH anomalies. This tends to confirm that AR impact on Bermuda SSH is very likely due to the strong anticyclone off Europe, which tilts the wind-stress curl northward, and brings some confidence in our physical interpretation of the observations. Especially, the dramatic drop of 1970 is well captured by the regression and the PG model. This is consistent with Ezer (1999) who argues that the 1970 drop is due to changes in open-ocean wind-stress curl. However, Ezer (1999) attributes it to changes in NAO while we attribute it to changes in AR regime.

So far, correlations have been computed using the occurrences of wintertime WRs, considering the higher variance and mean values of the atmospheric forcing with respect to the other seasons (Minvielle et al. 2011). Using the planetary geostrophic model, we will verify that summer atmospheric dynamics play indeed a lesser role in the total interannual changes. We run two additional sensitivity experiments in which the PG model is either forced with

variable DJFM winds or forced with variable JJAS winds. Results shown in Fig. 6 confirm that the variance of the interannual time-series is indeed mostly due to DJFM winds. The sole exception where the two seasons contributions are comparable is in the 1960s and the mid-2000s. Spring and Fall months (ie October–November and April–May) are treated as intermediate months dominated by either summer and winter dynamics (Minvielle et al. 2011); they are therefore not considered here.

In the results above-described, we have used spatial uniform wave propagation speed and wind-stress curl projection on the first baroclinic mode. We have run additional sensitivity experiments in which C is set at the minimum or at the maximum value at the Bermuda latitude. We found that changes in C have a non-negligible impact on interannual variability given by the PG model. The time-series are indeed time shifted by one year compared to the original one. Note that the choice of the mean value (-0.025 m.s^{-1}) appears to be the best as it maximizes the correlation between the reconstructed series and the observations (not shown). Changes in A have no effect, as A appears in Eq. 5 as a multiplying factor.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Since atmospheric modes of variability have been described in the literature (e.g. Barnston and Livezey 1987), many studies investigated the ocean response to those modes of variability, focussing essentially on the impact of the NAO on the circulation and hydrography in the North Atlantic. However, recent studies highlighted the role of the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP). Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) suggest that multidecadal variability of Atlantic




Fig. 6 Planetary geostrophic model forced by observed wind (black) and sensitivity experiments with variable DJFM wind only (blue) and variable JJAS wind only (red)

Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) is closely related to EAP, while Langehaug et al. (2012) suggest that subpolar gyre strength is significantly correlated with the EAP. Hence the EAP is at least as important as the NAO in driving variability in the North Atlantic. Nevertheless, these two studies rely on the analysis of coupled climate models that have important biases in the convection sites.

The present study is a step toward the investigation of such a relationship in observations, with a special focus laid on subtropical gyre variability. The question we address is which large-scale atmospheric pattern influences subtropical gyre variability, and through which mechanism. We use the weather regime (WR) paradigm to describe the wintertime North-Atlantic atmospheric variability and investigate its impact on subtropical SSH interannual-to-decadal variability. WR, treated as populations of days sharing common large-scale atmospheric circulation anomalies, are different from classical modes of variability (estimated for instance through EOF), because they have no orthogonality constraint and account for potential spatial asymmetries of the patterns. This is especially true for NAO+ and NAO− events and may be of central importance, as processes driving the variability of the mixed layer (turbulent and latent heat fluxes for example) are nonlinear (Cassou et al. 2011). WRs have been shown to be efficient in capturing surface forcing variability from daily-to-interannual timescales (Cassou et al. 2011).

Over the longest time period of available record over Bermuda (tide-gauge data in the Bermuda available from 1948 to 1998), we find that AR is the dominant atmospheric weather regime driving SSH variability in the subtropical gyre. Sverdrup transport anomalies related to AR conditions (wind-stress curl changes off Europe) show positive anomalies north of 50°N and negative anomalies south of it. The dipole projects very well on the mean position of the gyres and is thus very efficient in forcing the large-scale mean circulation. The sole barely significant relationship between SSH in Bermuda and the NAO could be obtained in the framework of our study when the period is restricted to 1958–1998. This suggests that the connection between the two, if any, is not stationary, or at least not overly dominant contrary to what has been suggested in previous studies. Over a limited period of time, independent satellite observations from 1993 onwards confirm that years with frequent AR conditions in winter lead to negative SSH anomalies that encompass the full subtropical gyre, Bermuda included, suggesting a weakening of subtropical gyre strength.

We used a simple planetary geostrophic model (PG) to explore the physical mechanisms linking wind-stress curl associated with daily wintertime WR and SSH interannual variability in Bermuda. The reconstructed signal using both

the barotropic (Sverdrup like) and baroclinic (westward propagation of planetary waves) model components, which covary in phase, is highly correlated to observations suggesting that the largest part of the variability in Bermuda SSH is wind-driven. Sensitivity experiments confirm that most of the interannual signal is due to winter wind conditions integrated over time while summer wind anomalies have a second-order contribution to the yearly signal.

AR is closely related to the “gyre mode” defined in Hakkinen et al. (2011a, b) that is linked to the second EOF mode of wind-stress curl explaining part of warm and saline intrusion from the subtropical gyre into the subpolar ocean. AR also corresponds to the positive phase of the EAP and we verify that our results are robust when using EAP time series instead of AR occurrences (correlation of -0.34 between the EAP index and Bermuda SSH anomalies instead of -0.39 for AR). Consistently with Hakkinen et al. (2011a, b) our finding highlights the primarily importance of the atmospheric patterns of variability other than the NAO to understand the North Atlantic ocean dynamics.

Our study mainly focused on the immediate (0-year lag) response of subtropical SSH to changes in winter-weather regime occurrences. However, Curry and McCartney (2001) suggest that remote mechanisms, such as Eighteen Degree Water formation, Gulf-Stream intertidal recirculation and deep-density structure, influence subtropical gyre variability. While remote (both in time and space) influence of NAO on ocean circulation has been thoroughly studied (Eden and Willebrand 2001; Deshayes and Frankignoul 2008), our results demonstrate that the possible influence of other modes of variability needs to be considered.

Acknowledgments NCEP Reanalysis data were provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web site (<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/>). The altimeter products were produced by Ssalto/Duacs and distributed by Aviso, with support from Cnes (<http://www.aviso.oceanobs.com/duacs/>). Tide gauge data were obtained from the Permanent Service For Mean Sea Level website (<http://www.psmsl.org/>). The authors acknowledge Cécile Cabanes for having provided us the matlab routines of the baroclinic component of her model and for fruitful discussions. Nicolas Barrier is supported by a PhD grant from Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Ifremer and Europôle Mer. Anne-Marie Treguier, Christophe Cassou and Julie Deshayes acknowledge the CNRS.

References

- Antonov J, Locarnini R, Boyer T, Mishonov A, Garcia H (2006) World Ocean Atlas 2005, vol 2: salinity. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 62
- Ayrault F, Lalaurette F, Joly A, Loo C (1995) North-Atlantic ultra-high frequency variability: an introductory survey. *Tellus Ser A Dyn Meteorol Oceanogr* 47(5, Part1):671–696

- Barnston A, Livezey R (1987) Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency atmospheric circulation patterns. *Mon Weather Rev* 115(6):1083–1126
- Bindoff N, Willebrand J, Artale V, Cazenave A, Gregory J, Gulev S, Hanawa K, Qur CL, Levitus S, Nojiri Y, Shum C, Talley L, Unnikrishnan A (2007) Observations: oceanic climate change and sea level. *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis contribution of working Group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*
- Bretherton C, Widmann M, Dymnikov V, Wallace J, Blade I (1999) The effective number of spatial degrees of freedom of a time-varying field. *J Clim* 12(7):1990–2009
- Cabanes C, Huck T, De Verdiere AC (2006) Contributions of wind forcing and surface heating to interannual sea level variations in the Atlantic Ocean. *J Phys Oceanogr* 36(9):1739–1750
- Cassou C (2008) Intraseasonal interaction between the Madden-Julian Oscillation and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Nature* 455(7212):523–527
- Cassou C, Terray L, Hurrell J, Deser C (2004) North Atlantic winter climate regimes: spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. *J Clim* 17(5):1055–1068
- Cassou C, Minvielle M, Terray L, Perigaud C (2011) A statistical-dynamical scheme for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part I: weather regimes as predictors for ocean surface variables. *Clim Dyn* 36(1–2):19–39
- Cayan D (1992) Latent and sensible heat-fluxes anomalies over the Northern Oceans—driving the sea-surface temperature. *J Clim* 5(4):354–369
- Chelton D, DeSzoek R, Schlax M, El Naggar K, Siwertz N (1998) Geographical variability of the first baroclinic Rossby radius of deformation. *J Phys Oceanogr* 28(3):433–460
- Compo GP, Whitaker JS, Sardeshmukh PD, Matsui N, Allan RJ, Yin X, Gleason BE Jr, Vose RS, Rutledge G, Bessemoulin P, Broennimann S, Brunet M, Crouthamel RI, Grant AN, Groisman PY, Jones PD, Kruk MC, Kruger AC, Marshall GJ, Maugeri M, Mok HY, Nordli O, Ross TF, Trigo RM, Wang XL, Woodruff SD, Worley SJ (2011) The twentieth century reanalysis project. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 137(654, Part a):1–28
- Curry R, McCartney M (2001) Ocean gyre circulation changes associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. *J Phys Oceanogr* 31(12):3374–3400
- Curry R, McCartney M, Joyce T (1998) Oceanic transport of subpolar climate signals to mid-depth subtropical waters. *Nature* 391(6667):575–577
- Deshayes J, Frankignoul C (2008) Simulated variability of the circulation in the North Atlantic from 1953 to 2003. *J Clim* 21(19):4919–4933
- Ducet N, Le Traon P, Reverdin G (2000) Global high-resolution mapping of ocean circulation from TOPEX/Poseidon and ERS-1 and -2. *J Geophys Res Oceans* 105(C8):19,477–19,498
- Eden C, Willebrand J (2001) Mechanism of interannual to decadal variability of the North Atlantic circulation. *J Clim* 14(10):2266–2280
- Esselborn S, Eden C (2001) Sea surface height changes in the North Atlantic Ocean related to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophys Res Lett* 28(18):3473–3476
- Ezer T (1999) Decadal variabilities of the upper layers of the subtropical North Atlantic: an ocean model study. *J Phys Oceanogr* 29(12):3111–3124
- Frankignoul C, Muller P, Zorita E (1997) A simple model of the decadal response of the ocean to stochastic wind forcing. *J Phys Oceanogr* 27(8):1533–1546
- Gulev S, Jung T, Ruprecht E (2002) Climatology and interannual variability in the intensity of synoptic-scale processes in the North Atlantic from the NCEP-NCAR reanalysis data. *J Clim* 15(8):809–828
- Gulev S, Barnier B, Knochel H, Molines J, Cottet M (2003) Water mass transformation in the North Atlantic and its impact on the meridional circulation: Insights from an ocean model forced by NCEP-NCAR reanalysis surface fluxes. *J Clim* 16(19):3085–3110
- Hakkinen S (1999) Variability of the simulated meridional heat transport in the North Atlantic for the period 1951–1993. *J Geophys Res Oceans* 104(C5):10,991–11,007
- Hakkinen S, Rhines PB, Worthen DL (2011a) Atmospheric blocking and Atlantic multidecadal ocean variability. *Science* 334(6056):655–659
- Hakkinen S, Rhines PB, Worthen DL (2011b) Warm and saline events embedded in the meridional circulation of the northern North Atlantic. *J Geophys Res* 116:1–13
- Hong B, Sturges W, Clarke A (2000) Sea level on the US East Coast: decadal variability caused by open ocean wind-curl forcing. *J Phys Oceanogr* 30(8):2088–2098
- Hurrell J (1995) Decadal trends in the oscillation—regional temperatures and precipitations. *Science* 269(5224):676–679
- Hurrell J, VanLoon H (1997) Decadal variations in climate associated with the north Atlantic oscillation. *Clim Change* 36(3–4):301–326
- Joyce T, Deser C, Spall M (2000) The relation between decadal variability of subtropical mode water and the North Atlantic Oscillation. *J Clim* 13(14):2550–2569
- Kalnay E, Kanamitsu M, Kistler R, Collins W, Deaven D, Gandin L, Iredell M, Saha S, White G, Woollen J, Zhu Y, Chelliah M, Ebisuzaki W, Higgins W, Janowiak J, Mo K, Ropelewski C, Wang J, Leetmaa A, Reynolds R, Jenne R, Joseph D (1996) The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 77(3):437–471
- Langehaug HR, Medhaug I, Eldevik T, Ottera OH (2012) Arctic/Atlantic exchanges via the subpolar gyre. *J Clim* 25(7):2421–2439
- Locarnini R, Mishonov AV, Antonov J, Boyer T, Garcia H (2006) *World Ocean Atlas 2005, vol 1: temperature*. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 61
- Lohmann K, Drange H, Bentsen M (2009) Response of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre to persistent North Atlantic oscillation like forcing. *Clim Dyn* 32(2–3):273–285
- Michelangi P, Vautard R, Legras B (1995) Weather regimes—recurrence and quasi-stationarity. *J Atmos Sci* 52(8):1237–1256
- Minvielle M, Cassou C, Bourdalle-Badie R, Terray L, Najac J (2011) A statistical-dynamical scheme for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part II: methodology, validation and application to high-resolution ocean models. *Clim Dyn* 36(3–4):401–417
- Molteni F, Tibaldi S, Palmer T (1990) Regimes in the wintertime circulation over northern extratropics. I. Observational evidence. *Q J R Meteorol Soc* 116(491, Part a):31–67
- Msadek R, Frankignoul C (2009) Atlantic multidecadal oceanic variability and its influence on the atmosphere in a climate model. *Clim Dyn* 33(1):45–62
- Ponte R (2006) Low-frequency sea level variability and the inverted barometer effect. *J Atmos Ocean Technol* 23(4):619–629
- Rudeva I, Gulev SK (2011) Composite analysis of North Atlantic extratropical cyclones in NCEP-NCAR reanalysis data. *Mon Weather Rev* 139(5):1419–1446
- Santos J, Corte-Real J, Leite S (2005) Weather regimes and their connection to the winter rainfall in Portugal. *Int J Climatol* 25(1):33–50
- Smyth P, Ide K, Ghil M (1999) Multiple regimes in Northern Hemisphere height fields via mixture model clustering. *J Atmos Sci* 56(21):3704–3723
- Stammer D, Agarwal N, Herrmann P, Koehl A, Mechoso CR (2011) Response of a coupled ocean-atmosphere model to Greenland ice melting. *Surv Geophys* 32(4–5, SI):621–642

- Sturges W, Hong B (1995) Wind forcing of the Atlantic Thermocline along 32 degrees N at low frequencies. *J Phys Oceanogr* 25(7):1706–1715
- Sturges W, Hong B, Clarke A (1998) Decadal wind forcing of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. *J Phys Oceanogr* 28(4):659–668
- Tsimplis M, Shaw A, Flather R, Woolf D (2006) The influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on the sea-level around the northern European coasts reconsidered: the thermosteric effects. *Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci* 364(1841):845–856
- Tsimplis MN, Shaw AGP (2008) The forcing of mean sea level variability around Europe. *Global Planet Change* 63(2–3, SI):196–202
- Vautard R (1990) Multiple weather regimes over the North-Atlantic. Analysis of precursors and successors. *Mon Weather Rev* 118(10):2056–2081
- Vautard R, Legras B (1988) On the source of midlatitude low-frequency variability. Part 2: non-linear equilibration of Weather Regimes. *J Atmos Sci* 45(20):2845–2867
- von Storch H (1999) On the use of “inflation” in statistical downscaling. *J Clim* 12(12):3505–3506
- Woodworth P, Pouvreau N, Woepplmann G (2010) The gyre-scale circulation of the North Atlantic and sea level at Brest. *Ocean Sci* 6(1):185–190

3.4 Conclusion and discussions

The impacts of the weather regimes on the interannual variability of the subtropical gyre have been analysed in this chapter. This has been done using observations of sea-surface height anomalies in the subtropical gyre and a planetary geostrophic model.

The major findings of this chapter are:

- The major driver of sea-surface height anomalies in the subtropical gyre is open ocean wind-stress curl through Sverdrup dynamics and westward propagation of planetary waves. These results are consistent with Sturges and Hong (1995); Sturges et al. (1998); Ezer (1999); Hong et al. (2000); Cabanes et al. (2006) among others.
- The large scale atmospheric pattern that is the most effective to influence the variability of the subtropical gyre is AR, consistently with the gyre-mode of Hakkinen et al. (2011a). Although the NAO can be viewed as a proxy of wind intensity, the associated wind-stress curl anomalies are not effective in modulating the strength of the subtropical gyre.
- Sensitivity experiments suggest that most of the wind-driven interannual variability of the subtropical gyre originates from the winter season. This validates the sole consideration of the winter regimes, as discussed in section 2.1.

There are, however, some limitations in our study. Our results are chiefly grounded on correlations. The correlation of 0.39, obtained with AR, is significant but remains weak: the associated explained variance is $0.39^2 \approx 15\%$, which can be considered as negligible. Furthermore, significant correlations do not necessarily imply causal relationship, since the variations of two correlated time-series might just be driven by a common cause¹.

Second, the emphasis was on the 0-lag response of the subtropical gyre, since correlations at higher lags were not significant. Accordingly, the correlation between the AR winter occurrences and the strength of the subtropical gyre can be interpreted as the fast (within a year) response of the gyre to changes in AR conditions. It does not bring any insights into the slow (within decades) adjustment of the subtropical gyre to changes in weather regime conditions.

¹A good example is provided by Messerli (2012), whose results have been thoroughly criticised by the scientific community, who suggest a misinterpretation of the correlations (e.g. Maurage et al. 2013; Winters and Roberts 2012)

Third, the variability of the sole subtropical gyre has been analysed since tide-gauge data at Bermuda spans a sufficiently long time-period to make robust enough statistical analysis. Observations of the subpolar gyre are too sparse to make a similar analysis. To overcome these issues, a full scale laboratory, provided by a realistic general circulation model, is used in the next chapter to:

- Analyse the impacts of the weather regimes on the subpolar gyre and on the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation.
- Differentiate the fast (monthly to interannual time scales) and the slow (decadal timescales) changes in the ocean circulation that are induced by changes in weather regime frequency of occurrences.
- Understand the mechanisms involved by performing sensitivity experiments.

Chapter 4

Impact of North-Atlantic Weather Regimes on the ocean circulation

Contents

4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Idealized forcing construction	65
4.2.1	Statistics of the intra and inter regime distances	65
4.2.2	Random draft of regime events	66
4.3	Article	68
4.4	Conclusion and discussions	121

4.1 Introduction

Many authors used ocean general circulation models to investigate the impacts of persistent positive NAO (the mode of variability, NAO_M hereafter) on the ocean circulation (e.g. Eden and Willebrand 2001, Herbaut and Houssais 2009, Lohmann et al. 2009 among others). This is achieved by forcing the model with idealised NAO_M -like forcings. However, as discussed in chapters 2.1 and 3, the other modes of variability, such as the East-Atlantic Pattern or the Scandinavian Pattern (EAP and SCAN, respectively), might significantly contribute to the variability of the ocean circulation. To our knowledge, no studies aimed at investigating the ocean response to persistent EAP or SCAN conditions. Using the weather regime framework, this is the aim of the present chapter.

In the aforementioned studies, two methods are generally used to construct NAO_M -like forcings:

- The first one consists in linearly regressing the monthly NAO_M index onto monthly forcing fields (wind-stress and heat fluxes), as done in Visbeck et al. (1998) and Herbaut and Houssais

(2009).

- The second method consists in averaging the monthly forcing fields over “strongly” positive NAO_M years, as done in Lohmann et al. (2009) or Zhu and Demirov (2011).

These methods, however, might not be appropriate as a year of strongly positive NAO_M index does not necessarily imply a year with numerous NAO^+ days (the weather regime, NAO_R^+ hereafter), as illustrated in figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1: Hurrell’s winter NAO_M index (black line) and percentage of winter days belonging to NAO_R^+ (blue histograms). Yellow stars indicate years with a NAO_M index greater than unity.

Among the winters with a NAO_M index stronger than unity, only four winters are actually dominated by NAO_R^+ (occurrences greater than 50%): 1989, 1990, 1995 and 2008. Years 1992 and 1993, on the other hand, are dominated by the BLK regime. Hence, the strongly positive NAO_M index is mainly due to the weak number of NAO^-_R days during these years. As a consequence, the use of the NAO_M index may not be the best choice for estimating the sensitivity of the ocean circulation to specific atmospheric conditions.

The aim of the present chapter is to propose an alternative method of generation of idealised forcings in order to analyse the response of the ocean circulation at monthly to decadal timescales.

4.2 Idealized forcing construction

The method used in the generation of the idealised forcings consists in making random drafts of days that belong to one particular regime. The way these random drafts are made is addressed in this section.

4.2.1 Statistics of the intra and inter regime distances

As discussed in section 2.4, the weather regimes are very effective in capturing the variability of surface forcings. Especially, the intra and inter-regime distances (defined in section 2.3.2) have been shown to respectively define the sign and the strength of the anomalies (Cassou et al. 2011, see also section 2.4).

Hence, to capture the statistics of the forcings associated with the weather regimes, one has to capture the statistics of intra and inter regime distances. The intra-regime distances are characterised by lower means (expected by the definition of inter regime distances) and lower standard deviations (figure 4.2), and conversely for inter-regime distances.




Figure 4.2: Standard deviations as a function of the means for the 16 intra and inter-regime distances. Black points represent the averages over the 4 intra-regime distances (lower left) and over the 12 inter-regime distances (upper right).

The Probability Density Functions (PDFs) of intra and inter-regime distances apparently follow Gaussian distributions (figure 4.3), given by:

$$f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

with $\mu = 310 \text{ hPa}$ ¹, $\sigma_D = 60 \text{ hPa}$ for the intra-regime distances and $\mu = 442 \text{ hPa}$, $\sigma_D = 89 \text{ hPa}$ for the inter-regime distances (these parameters correspond to the black points in figure 4.2). These properties are used to make the random drafts of weather regime events.




Figure 4.3: Probability density function of intra-regime (a) and inter-regime (b) distances. Black solid lines: Gaussian distributions (see text for details).

4.2.2 Random draft of regime events

In this subsection, the algorithm used to make the random drafts is described, by taking as an example the construction of NAO^+_R like forcings. As a first step, four random drafts are made:

- One random draft of intra-regime distance (i.e. distance to NAO^+_R), following the Gaussian distribution of figure 4.3a.
- Three random drafts of inter-regime distances (i.e. distances to NAO^-_R , AR and BLK), following the Gaussian distribution of figure 4.3b.

We call this random distance vector R , which has four dimensions. R is then compared to the *observed* distance vector, O , which has $nt \times 4$ dimensions (with nt the number of winter days in the study period). The day for which O is the closest to R (Euclidian criteria) is selected. If this selected day does not belong to the right regime, or if the regime event to which this day belongs is

¹1 hPa = 10² Pa

shorter than 3 days, another random draft is performed. If these two conditions are respected, then the entire event is selected and the time counter is incremented, as summarised in figure 4.4.




Figure 4.4: Summary of the construction algorithm.

4.3 Article

In Barrier et al. (2013), in press in the *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, the impacts of the weather regimes on the horizontal gyres (subtropical and subpolar) and on the overturning circulation are analysed using an ocean only model. A realistic historical simulation is used to analyse the fast (monthly to interannual timescales) adjustment of the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic to changes in weather regime conditions.

The new method of generation of idealised forcings, which is described in section 4.2, is then used to force the model in order to assess the transient response (within decades) of the ocean circulation to persistent regime conditions and to understand the associated mechanisms.

1 **Response of North-Atlantic Ocean circulation to atmospheric**
2 **weather regimes**

3 NICOLAS BARRIER *

Laboratoire de Physique des oceans, CNRS-Ifremer-UBO-IRD, Brest, France

4 CHRISTOPHE CASSOU

CNRS Cerfacs, Toulouse, France

5 JULIE DESHAYES AND ANNE-MARIE TREGUIER

Laboratoire de Physique des oceans, CNRS-Ifremer-UBO-IRD, Brest, France

* *Corresponding author address:* Nicolas Barrier, LPO Ifremer, Pointe du Diable, 29280 Plouzane, France.

E-mail: Nicolas.Barrier@ifremer.fr

6 ABSTRACT

7 A new framework is proposed for investigating the atmospheric forcing of North-Atlantic
8 ocean circulation. Instead of using classical modes of variability, such as the North-Atlantic
9 Oscillation (NAO) or the East-Atlantic Pattern, we here use the weather regimes paradigm.
10 Using this framework we avoid problems associated with the assumptions of orthogonality
11 and symmetry that are particular to modal analysis and known to be unsuitable for the
12 NAO.

13 Using ocean-only historical and sensitivity experiments, we investigate the impacts of
14 the four winter weather regimes on horizontal and overturning circulations. Our results
15 suggest that the Atlantic Ridge (AR), NAO^- and NAO^+ regimes induce a fast (monthly to
16 interannual timescales) adjustment of the gyres via topographic Sverdrup dynamics and of
17 the meridional overturning circulation via anomalous Ekman transport. The wind anomalies
18 associated with the Scandinavian Blocking regime (SBL) are ineffective in driving a fast
19 wind-driven oceanic adjustment.

20 We also estimate the response of both gyre and overturning circulations to persistent
21 regime conditions. AR causes a strong, wind-driven reduction in the strengths of the sub-
22 tropical and subpolar gyres, while NAO^+ causes a strengthening of the subtropical gyre via
23 wind-stress curl anomalies and of the subpolar gyre via heat flux anomalies. NAO^- induces
24 a southward shift of the gyres due to the southward displacement of the wind-stress curl.
25 The SBL regime is found to impact the subpolar gyre only via anomalous heat fluxes. The
26 overturning circulation is shown to spin-up following persistent SBL and NAO^+ and to spin-
27 down following persistent AR and NAO^- conditions. These responses are driven by changes
28 in deep water formation in the Labrador Sea.

1. Introduction

A large part of the atmospheric variability in the North-Atlantic/Europe (NAE) domain is controlled by the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO, Hurrell 1995). The NAO is traditionally defined either as an index (normalized pressure difference between the Azores High and the Icelandic Low atmospheric pressure centers) or by the first Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) of the mean sea-level pressure (MSLP) or geopotential height anomalies over the North-Atlantic domain. MSLP fluctuations between the Azores High and the Icelandic Low are accompanied by changes in midlatitude westerlies and trade winds that are strengthened during positive NAO conditions, and conversely during negative NAO. These changes have been shown to strongly impact the ocean circulation in the North Atlantic.

Several modeling and observational studies suggest that the oceanic response to NAO fluctuations depends on the timescales. At monthly to interannual timescales, the ocean primarily responds to related changes in wind intensity and position. Positive NAO phases generate anticyclonic gyre circulation anomalies situated at the boundary between the subtropical and subpolar gyres (hereafter the “intergyre gyre” following Marshall et al. 2001). Concurrently, the NAO alters the Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) creating a dipolar anomaly pattern with a weakening north of 40°N and a strengthening to the south. This dipole is generated by anomalous Ekman transport (Eden and Willebrand 2001; Bellucci et al. 2008): strengthened westerlies generate southward Ekman transport anomalies along the $40\text{-}60^{\circ}\text{N}$ latitudinal band while strengthened trade winds generate northward Ekman transport anomalies, causing convergence at 40°N and a subsequent dipole.

At decadal timescales, positive NAO conditions leads to an intensification of both subtropical and subpolar gyres via baroclinic adjustment (Eden and Willebrand 2001; Lohmann et al. 2009; Zhu and Demirov 2011), while the MOC undergoes basin-wide strengthening driven by increased heat loss in the Labrador Sea and subsequent changes in deep convection (Eden and Willebrand 2001; Curry and McCartney 2001; Lohmann et al. 2009).

While the impact of the NAO on ocean circulation has been widely studied, only a few

56 studies have investigated the impacts of the other modes of atmospheric variability, such as
57 the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP) or the Scandinavian pattern (SCAN), descriptions of which
58 can be found in Barnston and Livezey (1987). Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) and Ruprich-
59 Robert and Cassou (2013), using a control simulation of the IPSL-CM4 and CNRM-CM5
60 climate models respectively, suggest that the MOC multidecadal variability could be closely
61 related to the EAP. In their models, the EAP induces anomalous advection of salinity that
62 impacts deep convection in the Nordic Seas, driving MOC changes in turn. Medhaug et al.
63 (2011) found that in the Bergen climate model control simulation, convection in the Labrador
64 Sea accounts for one-third of North-Atlantic Deep Water transport while the remaining two-
65 thirds originate from the Greenland-Scotland-Ridge overflows. They argue that convection
66 in the Labrador Sea is correlated with the NAO, while water mass exchange across the
67 Greenland-Scotland-Ridge is correlated with the SCAN index. Using the same experiment,
68 Langehaug et al. (2012) suggest that the strength of the subpolar gyre is significantly corre-
69 lated with the EAP index. Altogether, these findings suggest that the EAP and the SCAN
70 might be as important as the NAO in forcing the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic
71 from seasonal to decadal timescales. However, these studies rely on coupled climate models
72 that undergo many biases (mean position of the NAC, unrealistic deep convection). It is
73 therefore of interest to perform sensitivity experiments using forced ocean models, since they
74 better reproduce the ocean variability as compared to coupled climate models, although they
75 are limited by the absence of coupling at the air-sea interface (Griffies et al. 2009).

76 The important role of the EAP on the horizontal circulation has been confirmed in recent
77 observational studies. Hakkinen et al. (2011a,b) suggest that the NAO alone is not enough
78 to gain an understanding of the observed warming and salinization in the Eastern subpolar
79 gyre in the mid 1990s. They attribute the latter to decadal fluctuations in the occurrence
80 of winter blocking conditions, assessed through traditional atmospheric metrics based on
81 daily variance of MSLP anomalies (Scherrer et al. 2006). The space-time structure of wind-
82 anomalies associated with blocking, which Hakkinen et al. (2011a) introduce as the gyre-

83 mode, in fact projects very well onto the EAP: when the EAP is positive, the subpolar gyre
84 weakens and shrinks; despite slackened circulation, this facilitates the northward penetration
85 of warm, salty subtropical water into the eastern subpolar gyre (Hátún et al. 2005).

86 The aforementioned studies typically diagnosed the atmospheric variability by decom-
87 posing it into modes of variability, using methods such as EOF. These methods have some
88 limitations, insofar as they assume orthogonality and spatial symmetry of the modes. The
89 latter assumption has been shown to be partially inadequate for the NAO (Cassou et al.
90 2004), where the deeper Icelandic Low/stronger Azores High are northeastward shifted in
91 NAO⁺ compared to NAO⁻. Additionally, EOF decomposition assumes that both phases
92 of the modes exist in nature, which may not be the case for the SCAN pattern that is
93 linked to blocking conditions controlled by nonlinear eddy-mean flow interactions. These
94 limitations of EOF-derived modes of variability can potentially lead to misinterpretation of
95 atmospheric variability and, as a consequence, of the associated ocean response. To avoid
96 those constraints, the so-called Weather Regimes (WR) paradigm is an alternative method.
97 The regimes are large-scale, recurrent and quasi-stationary atmospheric patterns computed
98 from daily atmospheric circulation anomalies (e.g. Vautard 1990). Within this framework,
99 Cassou et al. (2004) document the spatial asymmetry of the NAO dynamics and better iso-
100 late the blocking conditions characterized by high pressure anomalies over Scandinavia and
101 low pressure anomalies over the Labrador Sea. They found four typical regimes in winter
102 named NAO⁺, NAO⁻, SBL for Scandinavian Blocking (as used in Vautard 1990) and AR
103 for Atlantic Ridge. AR is characterized by anticyclonic sea-level pressure anomalies in the
104 North-Atlantic, while SBL is characterized by anticyclonic conditions over Europe and cy-
105 clonic conditions over Greenland. In a previous study, we have used the WR decomposition
106 to investigate the impacts of the related atmospheric forcing on the variability of the sub-
107 tropical gyre intensity based on observed sea-surface height anomalies (Barrier et al. 2012).
108 There we suggested that the two NAO related regimes have very little impact on the sub-
109 tropical gyre strength as compared to AR (which can be viewed as a positive EAP phase),

110 whose associated wind-stress curl anomalies induce barotropic (Sverdrup like) and baroclinic
111 (westward propagation of planetary waves) sea-level anomalies.

112 The findings of Barrier et al. (2012) are consistent with the results of Hakkinen et al.
113 (2011a), though it should be noted that the methods of Barrier et al. (2012) were restricted to
114 an analysis of the subtropical gyre response to atmospheric variability at 0 year lag only. The
115 aim of the present study is to extend the analysis of Barrier et al. (2012) by investigating
116 the response of the horizontal and overturning components of the circulation to the four
117 NAE WR using a forced realistic ocean model. The questions we address are i) what are
118 the impacts of each WR on both the horizontal and overturning circulations as a function
119 of timescale? ii) what are the physical mechanisms involved? To address these questions,
120 North-Atlantic Ocean horizontal and meridional circulation anomalies in a historical ocean
121 simulation (forced with interannually varying atmosphere) are investigated in response to
122 variability of the WR regimes. Sensitivity experiments, in which the model is forced with
123 heat and momentum fluxes that correspond to a given WR, are then performed to isolate
124 the role of the WR regimes on ocean circulation.

125 The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the North-Atlantic WR paradigm.
126 Section 3 describes the numerical model and the atmospheric forcings used in this study.
127 Section 4 investigates the variability of the historical experiment in relation to observed WR
128 changes. Section 5 describes the sensitivity experiment and addresses the impact of each
129 WR taken separately on ocean circulation. The discussion and conclusions are provided in
130 sections 6 and 7, respectively.

131 **2. North-Atlantic weather regimes**

132 Spatial and temporal characteristics of the NAE WRs as well as their statistical and
133 physical properties have been described in detail in Cassou et al. (2011) and Barrier et al.
134 (2012). In this study we use the same approach as in Barrier et al. (2012), limiting our

135 analyses to the winter season (ie. December-January-February-March, hereafter DJFM).
136 However, the time period over which WRs are determined from NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay
137 et al. 1996) starts in 1957-12-01 here instead of 1948-12-01 as in Barrier et al. (2012). This
138 choice has been made because we here also use forcing datasets spanning the ERA40 period
139 (1958-2002). The four regimes considered in this study are the Atlantic Ridge (AR), which is
140 characterized by anticyclonic anomalies in the center of the subpolar gyre, the Scandinavian
141 Blocking regime (SBL), characterized by a anticyclonic anomalies over Europe and cyclonic
142 anomalies over Greenland and the two NAO phases (NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ for the negative and
143 positive phases, respectively). In this paper, we only discuss the wind-stress curl, Ekman
144 transport and air-temperature anomalies associated with the weather regimes, since we ex-
145 pect them to play the major roles in driving ocean circulation. The reader is referred to
146 Cassou et al. (2011) for a complete description of the WR related surface ocean variables.

147 Anomalous daily maps of meridional wind, zonal wind and air temperature anomalies
148 from NCEP are computed by removing a smoothed seasonal cycle (two harmonics retained).
149 Anomalous Ekman transport and wind-stress curl anomalies, averaged over the days at-
150 tributed to each WR, are shown in Fig. 1. AR is characterized by negative (anticyclonic)
151 wind-stress curl anomalies north of 40°N and positive (cyclonic) anomalies to the south. Ek-
152 man transport anomalies are northward from 30°N to 50°N and southward in the Irminger
153 and Norwegian seas, leading to transport convergence at the center of the AR anticyclone.
154 SBL is characterized by weaker anomalies, except along the East-Greenland Current loca-
155 tion where anomalies are positive. Regarding NAO⁻ curl anomalies, strong zonal positive
156 anomalies between 30°N and 55°N dominate, while strong negative anomalies prevail to the
157 north of 60°N and expand from the Eastern side of the Labrador Sea to the Norwegian
158 sea, encompassing the Irminger basin. The NAO⁻ Ekman transport anomalies diverge near
159 45°N. Curl anomalies for NAO⁺ are very different from those of NAO⁻. With NAO⁺, the
160 positive anomalies in the North-Eastern subpolar gyre are tilted south-eastward and almost
161 vanish in the Labrador Sea. The Ekman transport anomalies for NAO⁺ converge around

162 40°N. AR, NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ are marked with strong and zonally extended anomalies and
163 are thus expected to have a significant wind-driven impact on both horizontal and meridional
164 ocean circulations.

165 Fig. 2 shows the daily air-temperature anomaly composites associated with the weather
166 regimes. In the Labrador Sea, colder than average temperatures occur for SBL and NAO⁺,
167 while the anomalies are positive for NAO⁻. For AR, positive anomalies are located in
168 the center of the subpolar gyre. These temperature anomalies can be viewed as a proxy
169 for anomalous downward (i.e. into the ocean) heat fluxes. As convection and deep water
170 formation in the Labrador Sea are primarily driven by anomalous heat fluxes (Straneo 2006),
171 buoyancy driven variability of ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic is likely to be impacted
172 by the WRs.

173 **3. Experimental set-up and mean state**

174 In this study, we use the regional North-Atlantic configuration of the NEMO model to
175 assess the variability of the ocean circulation driven by the NAE WRs. In this section
176 we describe the different model experiments, which are summarized in Table 1. A complete
177 description of the model can be found in Appendix A. The model is initialized from Levitus et
178 al. (1998) climatology and spun-up from rest using DFS4.3 (Brodeau et al. 2010) interannual
179 forcing over the period from 1958 to 2002. The reference experiment (hereafter REF) is run
180 with identical forcing, starting from the end of the 45 year spin-up. Fig. 3a shows the mean
181 barotropic streamfunction of REF. Subtropical and subpolar gyre intensities each reach
182 about 35 Sv, which compares well with other z-level OGCM of similar resolution (Eden and
183 Willebrand 2001). The Labrador Current transport is 32 Sv, which is within the range of
184 observations (Pickart and Spall 2007). However, the Deep Western Boundary Current is
185 only 7 Sv, slightly weak compared to the observational estimate of 12.4 Sv (Pickart and
186 Spall, 2007); a possible cause is the under-representation of the Greenland-Scotland-Ridge

187 Overflows, which contribute to its intensity (Dickson and Brown 1994). The Gulf-Stream
188 separates too far south and the North-Atlantic Current (NAC) is too zonal, which are well
189 known biases of coarse resolution models (e.g. Smith et al. 2000; Treguier et al. 2005). The
190 variability of the gyre transport compares well with other studies. The upward trend in
191 subpolar gyre intensity from 1980 to 1995 (Treguier et al. 2005) and its decline from 1995 to
192 2000 (Hakkinen and Rhines 2004) are accurately reproduced. Variability in the subtropical
193 gyre is additionally found to compare well with observations. For example, model sea-level
194 anomalies at Bermuda correlate with the tide-gauge data of the Permanent Service for Mean
195 Sea Level (used in Barrier et al. 2012) at 0.63. The mean MOC for REF is shown in Fig.
196 3b. Its maximum, located at near 26°N and at a depth of 1000 m, is approximately 17
197 Sv. The North-Atlantic Deep Water cell is slightly deeper and stronger than that reported
198 by Biastoch et al. (2008). This is due to our different choice of forcing dataset, as shown
199 by an unpublished comparison of two global ORCA05 simulations run with these different
200 atmospheric forcings (J.M. Molines, personal communication). The time series of maximum
201 overturning at 46°N compares well with the results of Biastoch et al. (2008) and Boening
202 et al. (2006), obtained from a higher resolution model.

203 Wind and buoyancy forcing are connected through the turbulent flux of heat and evap-
204 oration, which depend on the surface wind-speed (Large and Yeager 2004). To isolate the
205 mechanical influence of interannually varying wind-stress (i.e. via the momentum equation)
206 from their influence on turbulent fluxes, a “wind-only” model configuration is constructed
207 as follows. Smoothed daily climatologies (two harmonics retained) of air-temperature, spe-
208 cific humidity and wind speed are computed using the 6-hourly forcing fields issued from
209 DFS4.3. These climatologies are read and used by the model to compute the turbulent fluxes
210 of evaporation and heat, while the wind-stress is computed in the same way as in REF (i.e.
211 using the 6 hourly wind fields issued from DFS4.3). The wind-only reference experiment
212 (hereafter, WREF) is integrated from the end of the respective wind-only spin-up run. The
213 mean state in WREF compares well with REF, although the MOC and subpolar gyre are

214 weaker in WREF, the latter particularly so in the Labrador Sea. Our methodology matches
215 that of Biastoch et al. (2008), who use a global configuration of NEMO run at the same
216 resolution. Their results suggest that the variability of the MOC can be interpreted as the
217 linear sum of wind-driven interannual variability and buoyancy driven decadal variability.

218 We have also built a barotropic configuration of the regional model that uses a single
219 vertical level and that is only forced by the winds. In this configuration, salinity and tem-
220 perature are constant in time and uniform in space (horizontally and vertically). Hence, the
221 “Joint Effect of Baroclinicity and Relief” (JEBAR) term is neglected. The wind-stress has
222 been computed assuming a constant drag coefficient of $1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$. This simple configuration
223 permits to reproduce the linear dynamics of ocean circulation, namely the Sverdrup balance
224 as will be shown shortly.

225 **4. Oceanic fast response to recurrent winter weather** 226 **regime conditions**

227 In this section, the immediate response of the ocean to recurrent weather regime condi-
228 tions throughout a monthly timescales is analyzed as follows. AR monthly occurrences are
229 computed as the number of days, in each DJFM months over the period 1958-2002, that
230 belong to AR. The months that are characterized by extreme AR conditions (in a tempo-
231 ral sense), defined as the months for which the monthly occurrences exceed the mean by
232 1.5 standard deviations, are sought for. Monthly anomalies of barotropic and overturning
233 streamfunctions issued from REF (computed by removing the seasonal cycle) are then aver-
234 aged over these extreme AR months, hence giving a picture of monthly circulation anomalies
235 associated with AR (Fig. 4a and 4b). This methodology is then repeated for the other three
236 regimes. The monthly composites of modeled wind-stress curl anomalies, computed using
237 this method, compare well with the daily composites of Fig. 1 (not shown), hence validating
238 this methodology.

239 The extreme AR events are characterized by anomalies that project well on the mean
240 position of the gyres with a polarity that implies a weakening of the horizontal circulation
241 (Fig. 4a). For extreme NAO^- conditions (Fig. 4e), negative anomalies are centered at near
242 45°N , while south of 30°N anomalies are positive. Extreme NAO^+ conditions show slightly
243 weaker anomalies of opposite polarity with respect to NAO^- events and centered at near
244 40°N (Fig. 4g). This is 5° further south of the NAO^- anomalies due to a southward shift of
245 the wind-stress curl anomalies (figure 1). Both NAO composites share the same splitting of
246 the altered circulation into two branches, one recirculating southeastward and the other one
247 shifting northward towards the center of the subpolar gyre, even though these anomalies are
248 reduced in NAO^+ . The two NAO patterns are consistent with the intergyre-gyre pattern
249 (Marshall et al. 2001) and can be interpreted as the signature of meridional shifts of the gyres
250 due to NAO-induced north-south shifts of the position of wind-stress curl. One can notice
251 in Fig. 4 the strong control of topography on the shape of the barotropic streamfunction
252 anomalies, especially in the vicinity of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge.

253 These results are likely due to topographic Sverdrup balance (Koblinsky 1990; Vivier
254 et al. 1999), consistent with Eden and Willebrand (2001). To investigate this hypothesis
255 additional numerical experiments have been performed using the barotropic configuration
256 of the model. The model is separately forced with constant wind anomaly composites that
257 correspond to each WR (excluding SBL which induces no significant ocean response, Fig.
258 4b). Two simulations have been run for 4 years, when the equilibrium is reached: one in which
259 the model bathymetry is the same as in REF, and a second one in which the bathymetry is
260 flat (3000 m everywhere except on land). The results are shown in Fig. 5 averaged over the
261 last year of integration. With the REF bathymetry, the barotropic configuration reproduces
262 very well the patterns of Fig. 4. With the idealized bathymetry, stronger and more zonally-
263 elongated circulations are obtained (Fig. 5b, 5e, 5h), which are consistent with classical
264 Sverdrup theory (Fig 5c, 5f, 5i) and thereby confirm that the gyre anomalies of Fig. 4 are
265 due to topographic Sverdrup balance, in agreement with Eden and Willebrand (2001).

266 However, the “instantaneous oceanic barotropic response” of Eden and Willebrand (2001)
267 (their figure 8a) does not seem to be as constrained by the topography as indicated by the
268 patterns in Fig. 4. This difference arises from the different timescales of interest. While
269 we discuss monthly anomalies, Eden and Willebrand (2001) discuss yearly anomalies. We
270 have thus computed the correlations at 0 lag between the yearly gyre anomalies (computed
271 as the average from December to November to keep the continuity of winter months) and
272 the winter sum of daily WR occurrences (hereafter, winter occurrences). Each time-series
273 has been detrended prior to calculating the correlations. We notice a clear correspondence
274 between the monthly gyre composites and the correlation patterns (Fig. 6), confirming that
275 the signature of the barotropic, wind-driven response of ocean circulation to WRs occurs
276 within a year. However, the influence of topography is no longer obvious in the yearly
277 correlations, indicating that the barotropic mode has been modified by the baroclinic ones
278 (Anderson and Killworth 1977).

279 We now analyze the overturning streamfunction anomaly composites for extreme WR
280 occurrences (Fig. 4b, 4d, 4f and 4h). While no significant responses are again found for
281 SBL, significant anomalies extend from the surface to the bottom for the remaining three
282 WRs. The AR composite shows a tripolar pattern, with positive anomalies between 30°N
283 and 55°N and negative anomalies elsewhere. The NAO^- composite shows a dipolar pattern
284 with positive anomalies north of 45°N and negative anomalies in the south. The NAO^+
285 composite is comparable to the NAO^- pattern, but opposite in sign and southward shifted.
286 The anomalies are, in each case, located between the latitudes of convergence/divergence of
287 Ekman transport anomalies (dashed lines in Fig. 4) and are thus the signature of a near-
288 surface flow driven by Ekman transport anomalies, compensated by a depth-independent flow
289 (Jayne and Marotzke 2001; Köhl and Stammer 2008). Hence, these patterns reflect changes
290 in volume transport rather than changes in water mass transformation. Comparable patterns
291 are obtained from correlations between yearly MOC anomalies and the winter occurrences,
292 except north of 45°N where the significant correlations are restricted to the surface and at

293 depth, which we fail to explain.

294 **5. Transient ocean response to winter regime condi-** 295 **tions**

296 In the previous section we have shown that the fast response of ocean horizontal/meridional
297 circulation to WRs is mostly driven by linear dynamics (Sverdrup and Ekman). How does the
298 ocean adjust to persistent weather regime conditions on decadal timescales? This question
299 has been addressed many times for the NAO using numerical experiments with idealized forc-
300 ings that represent either strongly positive or strongly negative NAO conditions (e.g. Eden
301 and Willebrand 2001; Lohmann et al. 2009; Zhu and Demirov 2011). To reconstruct such
302 forcing conditions, the usual method is to add the observed daily variability of the forcing to
303 idealized (NAO-like) monthly forcing, which can either be the composite monthly anomalies
304 computed over years of strong NAO conditions (Lohmann et al. 2009; Zhu and Demirov 2011)
305 or the regression of monthly anomalies onto the monthly NAO index (Visbeck et al. 1998;
306 Eden and Willebrand 2001). A major drawback of these methods is that the NAO index is
307 polluted by i) large-scale anomalous circulations that may not be representative of the NAO
308 meridional see-saw pressure pattern, and ii) synoptic storms that pass either over Iceland
309 or the Azores. This thereby gives artificial weight to one of the NAO fixed points. Put
310 differently, in the context of the WR paradigm, a year of positive NAO index may include a
311 significant number of days that belong to the three other weather regimes. To illustrate this,
312 table 2 provides the winter occurrences of each regime during the years usually employed
313 in the NAO⁺ composite calculation (Lohmann et al. 2009; Zhu and Demirov 2011). During
314 these 7 years, only three of them (1989, 1990 and 1995) are dominated by the NAO⁺. For
315 example, year 1992 is dominated by SBL and has only 31% of NAO⁺ days. The traditional
316 NAO index is thus strongly positive in 1992 because NAO⁻ episodes almost never occurred
317 during that winter. Hence, we suggest that the use of monthly indexes may not be the best

318 choice for estimating the sensitivity of ocean circulation to specific atmospheric conditions.

319 As an alternative, we propose a new method based on WRs that constructs idealized
320 surface forcings that we believe better capture the true nature of the NAE atmospheric cir-
321 culation and their impacts upon the ocean. This method is significantly different from those
322 described above as it is done on daily criteria instead of monthly means, as detailed below
323 for the NAO⁺. Idealized forcings are generated using only the winter months (December
324 to March). As an example we here describe the construction of the December 1st forcings.
325 One NAO⁺ event is randomly selected from the 1958-2002 pool of WR NAO⁺ days. This
326 selected NAO⁺ event may correspond, for instance, to the one that occurred on Jan. 24th
327 1989 that lasted 4 days. The anomalous surface forcing fields (computed as done in section
328 2) of this 4 day period are then added to the Dec.1st-Dec.4th daily climatology. The same
329 methodology is repeated for Dec. 5th. Let us say that a strong NAO⁺ event lasting 13
330 days is now randomly selected. The 13-day sequence is used to construct forcings from Dec.
331 5th to Dec. 18th by adding the anomalous NAO⁺ conditions to the daily climatology. This
332 process is continued up until March 31st. The same procedure is then repeated to construct
333 45 NAO⁺ winters that are then used to force the model.

334 This technique allows us to better isolate the atmospheric circulation of interest and
335 enables us to better retain the statistical characteristics of the circulation. It is important to
336 note that NAO⁺ conditions refer to a range of NAO⁺ events of different strength, duration
337 and spatial characteristics. These statistics can be assessed by the so-called distance to the
338 WRs centroids, which we use to verify that our method allows us to accurately sample both
339 the distribution of distances that correspond to NAO⁺ conditions and also the variety of
340 duration of the NAO⁺ events. Accordingly, we reproduce fairly well the forcing statistics
341 of the NAO⁺ events, as shown in Appendix B. The same technique is applied to construct
342 forcing fields for all four regimes. Note that only winter days are rebuilt while DFS4.3 is
343 still used for the other seasons. Moreover, we have chosen to use climatologies for radiative
344 fluxes, snow and precipitation in the idealized forcing datasets that have been applied in the

345 sensitivity experiments. We have verified that this choice has no effect on gyre or overturning
346 circulation variability by running an additional experiment that is identical to REF except
347 that it uses climatological snow, precipitation and radiative fluxes (not shown).

348 A set of 4 experiments has been performed (one for each regime) in which the model was
349 integrated with the idealized forcings for 45 years, initiated following the same spin-up as
350 for REF. These experiments will henceforth be referred to as AR, SBL, NAO^- and NAO^+ .
351 Another set of 4 idealized experiments have also been performed to isolate the influence of the
352 wind-forcing. These experiments, referred to as WAR, WSBL, WNAO^- and WNAO^+ , have
353 been integrated starting from the WREF spin-up and forced using only the wind component
354 of each WR. The numerical experiments are summarized in table 1.

355 *a. Gyre circulation*

356 The difference between the barotropic streamfunction averaged over the last ten years
357 of the WR sensitivity experiments and the reference barotropic streamfunction (averaged
358 over the full 45 years of the REF experiment, see Fig. 3a) is displayed in Fig. 7. AR and
359 NAO^+ (Fig. 7a and 7d) exhibit anomalies that project well onto the mean circulation and
360 thus depict a change in the intensity of the circulation (about 15 Sv). The circulation is
361 weaker for AR, especially on the western side of the basin, and strengthened for NAO^+ ,
362 especially in the central part of the subtropical gyre and eastern part of the subpolar gyre.
363 SBL anomalies (Fig. 7b) are similarly strengthened in the western part of the subpolar
364 gyre while the subtropical gyre is not altered. NAO^- anomalies (Fig. 7c) displays a tripolar
365 anomaly pattern, consistent with a southward shift of the gyres (the intergyre-gyre, Marshall
366 et al. 2001), and a strengthening of the circulation in the northeastern limb of the subpolar
367 gyre, with maximum anomalies in the Labrador Sea.

368 Similar comparisons are performed for the wind-only experiments (Fig. 7, e to h). WR
369 and WWR anomalies show very comparable patterns in the subtropics (south of 45°N).
370 Accordingly, the response of the subtropical gyre to persistent WRs is interpreted to be

371 mostly driven by the baroclinic adjustment of the gyre to anomalous wind-stress curl, via
372 the westward propagation of planetary waves (Cabanes et al. 2006; Hong et al. 2000; Barrier
373 et al. 2012). In the subpolar gyre, the ocean response is regime-dependent. AR and WAR
374 show very comparable anomalies, indicating that the adjustment of the subpolar gyre to
375 persistent AR is also mostly wind-driven, although the contribution of buoyancy forcing
376 cannot be neglected. This extends to the subpolar gyre the conclusions found in Barrier
377 et al. (2012) for the subtropical gyre, and is consistent with the gyre-mode of Hakkinen et al.
378 (2011a). Similar conclusions cannot be drawn for the other 3 regimes. Indeed, the strength
379 of the subpolar gyre is barely affected in the WSBL, WNAO⁻ and WNAO⁺ experiments.
380 Following Biastoch et al. (2008), who linearly decompose the circulation anomalies into
381 wind-driven and buoyancy driven components, the difference between the WR and WWR
382 experiments would correspond to the signal being driven by buoyancy fluxes. Accordingly,
383 the strengthening of the subpolar gyre in SBL and NAO⁺ and its slackening in NAO⁻ are
384 interpreted as being mostly driven by baroclinic adjustment to persistent heat flux anomalies.
385 Interestingly, the WWR spatial anomalies are very similar to the correlation patterns of Fig.
386 6. This further confirms that these correlation patterns are a signature of the baroclinic
387 adjustment following the perturbation by the wind-forcing.

388 Fig. 8 shows the time evolution of the maximum gyre transport in the four WR and WWR
389 experiments. The subtropical gyre adjustment is achieved in 6-8 years, consistent with the
390 timescales of baroclinic adjustment to wind-stress curl. It is worth noting that only the AR
391 regime leads to a slackened subtropical gyre, consistent with Hakkinen et al. (2011b), while
392 the three others tend to intensify it. Despite differences in the mean states that are controlled
393 by the winter forcing, REF, SBL and NAO⁺ share very similar interannual variability. This
394 is presumably due to the fact that during SBL and NAO⁺ days, the variance of winter wind-
395 stress curl is weaker (not shown), hence less likely to influence the interannual variability of
396 the subtropical gyre. As a consequence, the interannual variability of the subtropical gyre
397 in SBL and NAO⁺ is dominated by the atmospheric forcing of the other seasons, especially

398 spring and fall (April, May, October and November), during which both summertime and
399 wintertime dynamics statistically occur (Cassou et al. 2011, figure 12).

400 The subpolar gyre adjustment is achieved in approximately 10-12 years. The longer
401 adjustment timescale in the subpolar gyre compared to the subtropical gyre presumably
402 reflects both (i) that the subpolar gyre is primarily driven by heat flux rather than wind
403 stress curl anomalies (cf. Eden and Willebrand 2001; Eden and Jung 2001), and (ii) that
404 Rossby waves speeds decrease with increasing latitude. In the NAO^- and WNAO^- idealized
405 experiments the subpolar gyre has not stabilized after 45 years, presumably reflecting a
406 positive feedback via anomalous advection of warm subtropical water in the northeastern
407 North-Atlantic that spreads throughout the subpolar gyre and further decreases its strength
408 (Sarafanov et al. 2008; Herbaut and Houssais 2009)

409 *b. Overturning circulation*

410 We now consider the difference between the overturning streamfunction averaged over
411 the last ten years of the WR experiments and the reference overturning streamfunction (the
412 average over the 45 years of the REF experiment, see Fig. 3b). For persistent AR and NAO^-
413 conditions (Fig. 9a and 9c) the MOC experiences a large-scale weakening, while persistent
414 SBL and NAO^+ conditions induce a large-scale strengthening of the MOC (Fig. 9b and 9d).
415 The anomalies are stronger for NAO^- and NAO^+ (4 Sv); SBL anomalies reach 3 Sv while AR
416 ones are weaker still at 2 Sv. On top of the large-scale changes, small overturning circulation
417 changes induced by Ekman transport anomalies are visible from 0 to approximately 500 m.

418 In WAR, WNAO^- and WNAO^+ (Fig. 9e, 9g, 9h), the anomalies are of the same sign
419 but with much smaller amplitude. In WSBL, however, the anomalies have the opposite
420 sign and are almost zero (Fig. 9f). Since these differ from the immediate MOC response
421 to extreme WR conditions (both on monthly and yearly timescales, Figs. 4 and 6), the
422 MOC patterns in WAR, WNAO^- and WNAO^+ likely reflect the impact of the adiabatic
423 (wind-driven) changes in gyre circulation. Because the MOC anomalies are much smaller in

424 the wind-only experiments (i.e. WAR vs AR, WNAO⁻ vs NAO⁻ and WNAO⁺ vs NAO⁺),
425 the MOC adjustment to persistent WRs is clearly demonstrated to be mostly due to heat
426 flux anomalies. Nevertheless, the fact that the structure and sign of the anomalies is similar
427 within each pair of sensitivity experiments suggests that the adiabatic gyre adjustment
428 contributes to the MOC adjustment. We speculate that this effect is actually dampened
429 by the absence of interannual heat flux anomalies in the wind-only experiments since the
430 stronger stratification of the subpolar gyre in WREF compared to REF may limit the gyres
431 influence on MOC.

432 We find that the MOC anomalies for persistent regime conditions are mostly driven
433 by changes in Labrador Sea deep convection associated with heat flux anomalies: AR and
434 NAO⁻ show an anomalous heat gain that reduces convection while SBL and NAO⁺ are
435 characterized by a strong heat loss that enhances deep convection. This is further confirmed
436 by the mean late winter (January to March) mixed-layer depth maximum in the Labrador
437 Sea, which is 1000 m shallower in AR and NAO⁻ than in REF, and 1000 m deeper in NAO⁺
438 and SBL than in REF (not shown). Consistent with the studies of Eden and Greatbatch
439 (2003), Boening et al. (2006) or Biastoch et al. (2008), enhanced deep convection precedes
440 positive MOC anomalies at latitudes north of 45°N by 0-2 years. These anomalies are then
441 rapidly (within a year) propagated southward, presumably by fast boundary Kelvin waves,
442 as discussed by Getzlaff et al. (2005) (and references therein).

443 Fig. 10 shows the temporal adjustment of the MOC diagnosed from the maximum
444 overturning at 46°N in the WR and WWR experiments. The strengthening of the MOC
445 during persistent SBL and NAO⁺ is achieved within 12-15 years. In contrast to the horizontal
446 circulation, it is worth emphasizing that MOC indices in our sensitivity experiments are
447 much less correlated at interannual timescales. It can therefore be suggested that most of
448 the changes in the MOC are controlled by winter conditions.

449 6. Discussion

450 In this study, we have assumed that NAE atmospheric winter dynamics can be partitioned
451 into four WRs, a commonly accepted number based on simple statistical significance tests
452 (Michelangi et al. 1995). The number of regimes remains subjective, however, because of
453 the shortness of the observational datasets, the types of algorithm used for clustering, the
454 choice of the null hypothesis used for assessing statistical robustness, etc. (Rust et al.
455 2010). As such, we have therefore repeated the present analyses when 5 regimes are retained
456 instead of 4. The fifth one resembles the opposite of AR and is characterized by a cyclonic
457 anomaly located at the same latitude as the AR anticyclone but shifted eastward; we name
458 this regime Atlantic Low (hereafter, AL). The gyre response to persistent AL mirrors the
459 response to persistent AR as the wind-stress curl anomalies are at the same latitude. MOC
460 anomalies for persistent AL conditions show a pattern that resembles the AR one, but with
461 smaller amplitudes. This is presumably because the AL eastward shifted pattern displaces
462 the wind and air-temperature anomalies out of the Labrador Sea, hence preventing deep
463 water formation. As a consequence, MOC anomalies only reflect the contributions of Ekman
464 transport anomalies and of the adiabatic spin-up of the gyres. Both AL and AR project very
465 well onto the EAP but our results highlight that in order to understand the ocean response
466 to atmospheric changes it is of primary importance to account for the spatial asymmetry
467 associated with the phases of the mode.

468 The analyses presented here have been carried out using a coarse resolution regional
469 model whose low computational demand allows us to perform several targeted integrations
470 following a mechanistic approach. However, such a configuration has non-negligible draw-
471 backs. First, the choice of closed boundaries can lead to a misrepresentation of the mean
472 state and variability of the MOC. Its interannual variability due to exchanges with the Arctic
473 (Jungclaus et al. 2005) and changes in the overflows from the Nordic Sea (Schweckendiek
474 and Willebrand 2005; Danabasoglu et al. 2010) is indeed missing. To verify, however, that
475 our regional model has some skill in reproducing the variability of the subpolar gyre and

476 overturning circulation, we have compared our results with the global, ocean only simulation
477 of NEMO described in Treguier et al. (2007). As shown in Fig. 11, although the two model
478 configuration have different means, the interannual variability is very similar (correlations
479 greater than 0.9). This gives us confidence that our results are robust despite the use of
480 a regional model. Second, our coarse resolution model does not resolve mesoscale eddies,
481 which are parameterized following Gent and McWilliams (1990). As described in Deshayes
482 et al. (2009), eddies play a major role for North-Atlantic Deep Water formation and so our
483 findings might be subjected to the misrepresentation of key associated physics. Eddies es-
484 tablish the time-scales of integration of surface buoyancy forcing in the Labrador Sea and
485 their inclusion in ocean models significantly improve the representation of the ocean mean
486 state, especially the Gulf-Stream separation location and the NAC pathway (Smith et al.
487 2000; Treguier et al. 2005).

488 7. Conclusions

489 The North-Atlantic/Europe atmospheric variability is usually partitioned into modes of
490 variability, such as the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO, Hurrell 1995) or the East-Atlantic
491 Pattern (EAP, Barnston and Livezey 1987). This partition assumes that the modes are
492 orthogonal and their phases spatially symmetric. In this study, we revisit the impact of
493 atmospheric forcings upon the circulation of the North-Atlantic Ocean by using Weather
494 Regimes (WR) instead of the more traditional approaches of isolating modes commonly found
495 in the literature. WRs are defined as large-scale, recurrent and quasi-stationary atmospheric
496 patterns. Their use enables the spatial differences between the two NAO phases to be
497 distinguished and Scandinavian Blocking events to be isolated. Cassou et al. (2011) and
498 Minvielle et al. (2011) have shown that WRs capture the interannual variability of the surface
499 ocean forcing and are very useful for assessing the ocean response to atmospheric changes. As
500 the variance of atmospheric forcings is greater in winter months (from December to March),

501 with an accordingly larger impact on ocean circulation, only winter WRs are considered in
502 this study. The four weather regimes are the so-called Atlantic Ridge (AR), the Blocking
503 regime (SBL) and the two NAO phases (NAO⁻ and NAO⁺).

504 We have here investigated the imprints of the WRs on the horizontal and vertical North
505 Atlantic Ocean dynamics using a series of numerical experiments performed with a regional,
506 coarse resolution ocean model. We have separated the fast oceanic response (with timescales
507 of a month to a year) from the transient response (within decades) in our analysis. The
508 former has been analyzed using statistical analyses (composites and correlations) of an his-
509 torical experiment, while the latter has been analyzed using sensitivity experiments forced
510 with idealized representations of each WR. The forcing datasets are constructed from the
511 full distribution of observed WR events. In contrast to more traditional methods, we can
512 verify that this novel approach captures the entire statistical distribution of the atmospheric
513 circulation.

514 The fast response of the gyre circulation is found to be mostly wind-driven and to be
515 significant for AR, NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ but negligible for SBL. On a monthly basis, gyre
516 anomalies are shown to be clearly constrained by the topography and are thus likely driven
517 by topographic Sverdrup balance, which we confirm using a barotropic configuration of
518 the model. As time goes on, the initial barotropic mode is modified by the baroclinic
519 modes that eventually remove the influence of the topography (Anderson and Killworth
520 1977). The transient response of the subtropical gyre to WRs is an intensification for NAO⁺
521 but a weakening for AR, in each case adjusting over a timescale of about 6-8 years. The
522 gyre response for persistent NAO⁻ consists in a southward shift of the subpolar front (the
523 intergyre-gyre, Marshall et al. 2001) due to the southward shift of wind-stress curl in NAO⁻.
524 No change occurs for SBL. Additional sensitivity experiments in which forcings were limited
525 to the wind components were performed, which confirm that the changes in the subtropical
526 gyre are primarily a response to wind forcing. At higher latitudes, weakening of the subpolar
527 gyre is found during persistent AR conditions that is also mainly attributed to wind forcings

528 though also in part to anomalous heat fluxes. In the case of persistent SBL and NAO⁺
529 conditions, the anomalous heat fluxes play a dominant role in driving changes in the subpolar
530 gyre. Buoyancy fluxes also play a crucial role in the reduction of the circulation in the
531 northern limb of the subpolar gyre during NAO⁻ conditions.

532 The fast response of the MOC is also found to be wind-driven, simply reflecting an
533 Ekman-induced surface flow that is compensated at depth (Jayne and Marotzke 2001). The
534 transient response of the MOC to persistent WRs is characterized by a large-scale weakening
535 during persistent AR and NAO⁻ and a large-scale strengthening during persistent SBL and
536 NAO⁺. These signals are driven by changes in Labrador Sea Water production that are
537 driven by heat flux anomalies associated with the WRs. When only the influence of wind-
538 stress is considered, we obtain weak anomalies that are likely driven by the adiabatic spin-up
539 of the gyres. However, under such conditions, greater stratification in the subpolar gyre likely
540 reduces the gyres influence on the MOC. It then presumably leads to an underestimation of
541 the influence of the adiabatic spin-up of the gyres on the MOC transient response.

542 The strong contrast between the gyre responses to persistent NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ condi-
543 tions illustrates the usefulness of WR paradigm. Our study also highlights that atmospheric
544 variability cannot be described solely by a single NAO index. By assuming so, one misses
545 the important wind-driven contribution associated with AR and the buoyancy-driven con-
546 tribution of SBL. Our study raises the question of whether the oceanic response to WRs
547 is dependent on the oceanic mean state. Accordingly, sensitivity experiments are currently
548 being carried out that use ocean states representative of the end of the 21st century when
549 anthropogenic forcing is predicted to have substantially modified the 3-dimensional North
550 Atlantic ocean states and surface fluxes.

551 *Acknowledgments.*

552 DFS4.3 forcings have been provided by the DRAKKAR group. NCEP Reanalysis data
553 were provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web

554 site (<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/>). Nicolas Barrier is supported by a PhD grant from
555 Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Ifremer and Europôle Mer. Anne-Marie Treguier,
556 Christophe Cassou and Julie Deshayes acknowledge the support of CNRS. The numeri-
557 cal simulations have been made using the CAPARMOR computing center at Ifremer (Brest)
558 and the GENCI-IDRIS center (Orsay). The analysis and plots of this paper have been
559 performed with the NCAR Command Language (Version 6.0.0, 2011), Boulder, Colorado,
560 UCAR/NCAR/CISL/VETS: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/D6WD3XH5>. The authors acknowl-
561 edge the anonymous reviewers and the editor for their detailed and helpful comments. The
562 authors also acknowledge Matthew Thomas for his comments and corrections and Alain
563 Colin de Verdiere for interesting discussions.

APPENDIX A

Detailed model description

The ocean model used in this study is the Nucleus for European Modeling of the Ocean (NEMO, Madec 2008) that is coupled with the Louvain-la-Neuve Ice Model version 2 (LIM2, Fichefet and Maqueda 1997). We use a regional North-Atlantic configuration generated from the global ORCA05 version described by Biastoch et al. (2008) that is part of the model hierarchy of the Drakkar Group (<http://www.drakkar-ocean.eu>). The regional domain covers the North-Atlantic from 20°S to 80°N and includes the Nordic Seas and the western Mediterranean sea. This configuration has a resolution of 0.5° at the equator and is implemented on a quasi-isotropic tripolar grid that avoids a North-Pole singularity. At this resolution, mesoscale eddies are represented by an isopycnal mixing/advection parameterization following Gent and McWilliams (1990). In the vertical, 46 levels are used that decrease in resolution with depth (6 m at the surface, 250 m at depth). Vertical eddy viscosity and diffusivity coefficients are computed from a Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE) scheme as described in Blanke and Delecluse (1993). We use a filtered free-surface (Roullet and Madec 2000), a Total Variance Diminishing tracer advection scheme (Levitus et al. 2001) and an energy-entropy conservation scheme (Arakawa and Lamb 1981) for the momentum equation. A bilaplacian diffusion of momentum ($-7.8e^{11} m^4s^{-1}$ at the equator, decreasing with latitude proportionally to ΔX^3 where ΔX is the grid cell width) is applied on geopotential levels, while laplacian lateral mixing of tracers ($1000 m^2s^{-1}$ at the equator, decreasing with latitude proportionally to ΔX) is applied along iso-neutral surfaces. The northern and southern boundaries of the North-Atlantic domain are closed, and salinity and temperature at these boundaries are restored to the vertically structured Levitus et al. (1998) climatology. A buffer zone of 14 grid points is defined at each boundary, with a linear damping time of 3

589 days at the boundary limit and of 100 days at the ocean limit.

590 The model is forced with the DFS4.3 atmospheric forcing of Brodeau et al. (2010), which
591 uses 6-hourly air-temperature (t_2), specific humidity (q_2) and wind fields (u_{10} , v_{10}) corrected
592 from ECMWF ERA40 reanalysis (1958-2002, Uppala et al. 2005). Satellite products of long-
593 wave/shortwave radiation (1984–2002) and of monthly snow and precipitation (1979–2002)
594 are preferentially used because of their improved quality over their equivalent in reanalysis
595 products (Large and Yeager 2009). Prior to 1984 climatological radiative fluxes are applied
596 and prior to 1979, climatological snow and precipitation are used.

597 Turbulent fluxes are estimated every 6-hours from surface atmospheric state variables and
598 modeled sea-surface temperature (SST) using the bulk formulae described in Large et al.
599 (1997) and Large and Yeager (2004). Modeled sea-surface salinity is restored to Levitus et al.
600 (1998) climatology with a restoring coefficient of 166.6mm.day^{-1} . As DFS4.3 is based on
601 ERA40 while we computed WRs from NCEP-NCAR reanalysis, we have checked that there
602 are no discrepancies between the two datasets by comparing the wind-anomalies composites
603 of both datasets, which are very similar (not shown).

604 APPENDIX B

605 606 **Validation of the forcing construction**

607 Here we describe how we verified that the idealized forcing statistics corresponding to each
608 regime are well captured by our construction method. We have first averaged daily DJFM
609 zonal (u_{10}) and meridional (v_{10}) wind components and air-temperature (t_2) anomalies over
610 four different regions depicted in Fig. 12: midlatitude western Atlantic (MLW), midlatitude
611 eastern Atlantic (MLE), northwestern Atlantic (NW) and northeastern Atlantic (NE). For
612 each box we have computed the Probability Density Functions (PDF) within each regime for

613 the DFS4.3 forcing used in REF, which we compared with the PDFs of the idealized forcings
614 computed in the same domain boxes (Fig. 12). The PDFs obtained using the DFS4.3 forcings
615 are consistent with the wind anomalies that characterize winter WRs (Cassou et al. 2011).
616 For instance, the reinforcement of westerlies in the NW and NE boxes associated with the
617 NAO⁺ regime is well captured, and conversely for NAO⁻.

618 The PDFs of the idealized forcing generally compare well with the PDFs of the original
619 forcing. This is especially true in the NE and NW boxes where the differences between the
620 statistics of the reconstructed and original forcing are marginal. In line with the introduction,
621 the NAO asymmetry in u_{10} is striking here. Midlatitude strengthening of the westerlies
622 seems slightly underestimated in the MLE box. For v_{10} , significant differences between the
623 regimes are only found in the NW and NE boxes. Notably the northward shift of midlatitude
624 westerlies particular to SBL conditions is well captured in the NE box. Regarding t_2 , notable
625 differences between the regimes are only found in the NW box, with the negative anomalies
626 during SBL/NAO⁻ and the positive anomalies during AR/NAO⁺ (figure 2) that are well
627 captured.

628 Fig. 13 shows the observed winter daily variance of both wind components of each regime,
629 and the variance of the idealized forcings. The major features of daily variance can be seen
630 to be well captured by the construction scheme. The regions of high u_{10} variance in the
631 midlatitude westerlies that are particular to AR, SBL and NAO⁺ regimes have the correct
632 magnitude, and the southward shifted pattern of high NAO⁻ variability is well reproduced.
633 In the case of v_{10} , which generally shows weaker variance than u_{10} , the patterns are also
634 fairly well reproduced both in terms of spatial scales and amplitudes. The AR pattern of
635 high variability at 40°N in the western part of the basin is well captured.

636 It should be noted that only winter forcings are constructed. The influence of summer
637 forcing is not considered here because the variance of atmospheric forcing is strongest in
638 winter, thereby allowing us to make a more effective and persistent imprint on large scale
639 ocean changes. Furthermore, radiative fluxes (shortwave and longwave), precipitation and

640 snow are not reconstructed.

REFERENCES

- 643 Anderson, D. L. and P. D. Killworth, 1977: Spin-up of a stratified ocean, with topography.
644 *Deep Sea Research*, **24** (8), 709–732.
- 645 Arakawa, A. and V. Lamb, 1981: A potential enstrophy and energy conserving scheme for
646 the shallow-water equations. *Monthly Weather Review*, **109** (1), 18–36.
- 647 Barnier, B., et al., 2006: Impact of partial steps and momentum advection schemes in a
648 global ocean circulation model at eddy-permitting resolution. *Ocean Dynamics*, **56** (5-6),
649 543–567.
- 650 Barnston, A. and R. Livezey, 1987: Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-
651 frequency atmospheric circulation patterns. *Monthly Weather Review*, **115** (6), 1083–1126.
- 652 Barrier, N., A.-M. Treguier, C. Cassou, and J. Deshayes, 2012: Impact of the winter north-
653 atlantic weather regimes on subtropical sea-surface height variability. *Climate Dynamics*,
654 1–13.
- 655 Bellucci, A., S. Gualdi, E. Scoccimarro, and A. Navarra, 2008: NAO-ocean circulation in-
656 teractions in a coupled general circulation model. *Climate Dynamics*, **31** (7-8), 759–777.
- 657 Biastoch, A., C. W. Boening, J. Getzlaff, J.-M. Molines, and G. Madec, 2008: Causes of
658 Interannual-Decadal Variability in the Meridional Overturning Circulation of the Midlat-
659 itude North Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Climate*, **21** (24), 6599–6615.
- 660 Blanke, B. and P. Delecluse, 1993: Variability of the Tropical Atlantic-Ocean simulated
661 by a general-circulation model with 2 different mixed-layer physics. *Journal of Physical*
662 *Oceanography*, **23** (7), 1363–1388.

- 663 Boening, C. W., M. Scheinert, J. Dengg, A. Biastoch, and A. Funk, 2006: Decadal vari-
664 ability of subpolar gyre transport and its reverberation in the North Atlantic overturning.
665 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **33** (21).
- 666 Brodeau, L., B. Barnier, A.-M. Treguier, T. Penduff, and S. Gulev, 2010: An ERA40-based
667 atmospheric forcing for global ocean circulation models. *Ocean Modelling*, **31** (3-4), 88–
668 104, doi:{10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.10.005}.
- 669 Cabanes, C., T. Huck, and A. C. De Verdiere, 2006: Contributions of wind forcing and surface
670 heating to interannual sea level variations in the Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Physical*
671 *Oceanography*, **36** (9), 1739–1750.
- 672 Cassou, C., M. Minvielle, L. Terray, and C. Perigaud, 2011: A statistical-dynamical scheme
673 for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part I: weather regimes as predictors for
674 ocean surface variables. *Climate Dynamics*, **36** (1-2), 19–39.
- 675 Cassou, C., L. Terray, J. Hurrell, and C. Deser, 2004: North Atlantic winter climate regimes:
676 Spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. *Journal of Climate*, **17** (5),
677 1055–1068.
- 678 Curry, R. and M. McCartney, 2001: Ocean gyre circulation changes associated with the
679 North Atlantic Oscillation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **31** (12), 3374–3400.
- 680 Danabasoglu, G., W. G. Large, and B. P. Briegleb, 2010: Climate impacts of parameterized
681 Nordic Sea overflows. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Ocean*, **115**.
- 682 Deshayes, J., F. Straneo, and M. A. Spall, 2009: Mechanisms of variability in a convective
683 basin. *Journal of Marine Research*, **67** (3), 273–303.
- 684 Dickson, R. R. and J. Brown, 1994: The production of north atlantic deep water: sources,
685 rates, and pathways. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (1978–2012)*, **99** (C6),
686 12 319–12 341.

687 Eden, C. and R. J. Greatbatch, 2003: A damped decadal oscillation in the north atlantic
688 climate system. *Journal of climate*, **16 (24)**, 4043–4060.

689 Eden, C. and T. Jung, 2001: North Atlantic interdecadal variability: Oceanic response to
690 the North Atlantic oscillation (1865-1997). *Journal of Climate*, **14 (5)**, 676–691.

691 Eden, C. and J. Willebrand, 2001: Mechanism of interannual to decadal variability of the
692 North Atlantic circulation. *Journal of Climate*, **14 (10)**, 2266–2280.

693 Fichet, T. and M. Maqueda, 1997: Sensitivity of a global sea ice model to the treatment of
694 ice thermodynamics and dynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, **102 (C6)**,
695 12 609–12 646.

696 Gent, P. and J. McWilliams, 1990: Isopycnal mixing in ocean circulation models. *Journal of*
697 *Physical Oceanography*, **20 (1)**, 150–155.

698 Getzlaff, J., C. W. Böning, C. Eden, and A. Biastoch, 2005: Signal propagation related to
699 the north atlantic overturning. *Geophysical research letters*, **32 (9)**.

700 Griffies, S. M., et al., 2009: Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments (COREs). *Ocean*
701 *Modelling*, **26 (1-2)**, 1–46.

702 Hakkinen, S. and P. Rhines, 2004: Decline of subpolar North Atlantic circulation during the
703 1990s. *Science*, **304 (5670)**, 555–559.

704 Hakkinen, S., P. B. Rhines, and D. L. Worthen, 2011a: Atmospheric Blocking and Atlantic
705 Multidecadal Ocean Variability. *Science*, **334 (6056)**, 655–659.

706 Hakkinen, S., P. B. Rhines, and D. L. Worthen, 2011b: Warm and saline events embedded in
707 the meridional circulation of the northern North Atlantic. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
708 **116**.

709 Hátún, H., A. B. Sandø, H. Drange, B. Hansen, and H. Valdimarsson, 2005: Influence of the
710 atlantic subpolar gyre on the thermohaline circulation. *Science*, **309 (5742)**, 1841–1844.

- 711 Herbaut, C. and M. Houssais, 2009: Response of the eastern North Atlantic subpolar gyre
712 to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **36**.
- 713 Hong, B., W. Sturges, and A. Clarke, 2000: Sea level on the US East Coast: Decadal vari-
714 ability caused by open ocean wind-curl forcing. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **30 (8)**,
715 2088–2098.
- 716 Hurrell, J., 1995: Decadal Trends in the North-Atlantic Oscillation - Regional temperatures
717 and precipitations. *Science*, **269 (5224)**, 676–679.
- 718 Jayne, S. R. and J. Marotzke, 2001: The dynamics of ocean heat transport variability.
719 *Reviews of Geophysics*, **39 (3)**, 385–411.
- 720 Jungclauss, J., H. Haak, M. Latif, and U. Mikolajewicz, 2005: Arctic-North Atlantic inter-
721 actions and multidecadal variability of the meridional overturning circulation. *Journal of*
722 *Climate*, **18 (19)**, 4013–4031.
- 723 Kalnay, E., et al., 1996: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the Amer-*
724 *ican Meteorological Society*, **77 (3)**, 437–471.
- 725 Koblinsky, C., 1990: THE GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF F/H AND THE BAROTROPIC
726 RESPONSE OF THE OCEAN. *JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-OCEANS*,
727 **95 (C3)**, 3213–3218.
- 728 Köhl, A. and D. Stammer, 2008: Variability of the meridional overturning in the north
729 atlantic from the 50-year gecco state estimation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **38 (9)**,
730 1913–1930.
- 731 Langehaug, H. R., I. Medhaug, T. Eldevik, and O. H. Ottera, 2012: Arctic/Atlantic Ex-
732 changes via the Subpolar Gyre. *Journal of Climate*, **25 (7)**, 2421–2439.
- 733 Large, W., G. Danabasoglu, S. Doney, and J. McWilliams, 1997: Sensitivity to surface

734 forcing and boundary layer mixing in a global ocean model: Annual-mean climatology.
735 *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **27 (11)**, 2418–2447.

736 Large, W. G. and S. G. Yeager, 2004: Diurnal to Decadal Global Forcing for Ocean and Sea-
737 ice Models : The Data Sets and Flux Climatologies. Tech. rep., NCAR Technical Note:
738 NCAR/TN-460+STR.

739 Large, W. G. and S. G. Yeager, 2009: The global climatology of an interannually varying
740 air-sea flux data set. *Climate Dynamics*, **33 (2-3)**, 341–364.

741 Levitus, S., J. Antonov, J. Wang, T. Delworth, K. Dixon, and A. Broccoli, 2001: Anthro-
742 pogenic warming of Earth’s climate system. *SCIENCE*, **292 (5515)**, 267–270.

743 Levitus, S., T. Boyer, M. Conkright, J. O’Brien, T. Antonov, C. Stephens, S. L., J. D., and
744 R. Gelfeld, 1998: NOAA Atlas NESDIS 18 and World Ocean Database 1998. *NOAA Atlas*
745 *NESDIS 18 and World Ocean Database 1998*.

746 Lohmann, K., H. Drange, and M. Bentsen, 2009: Response of the North Atlantic subpolar
747 gyre to persistent North Atlantic oscillation like forcing. *Climate Dynamics*, **32 (2-3)**,
748 273–285.

749 Madec, G., 2008: *NEMO ocean engine*.

750 Marshall, J., H. Johnson, and J. Goodman, 2001: A study of the interaction of the North
751 Atlantic oscillation with ocean circulation. *Journal of Climate*, **14 (7)**, 1399–1421.

752 Medhaug, I., H. Langehaug, T. Eldevik, and T. Furevik, 2011: Mechanisms for multidecadal
753 variability in a simulated Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Climate Dynamics*.

754 Michelangi, P., R. Vautard, and B. Legras, 1995: Weather Regimes - Recurrence and quasi-
755 stationarity. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, **52 (8)**, 1237–1256.

756 Minvielle, M., C. Cassou, R. Bourdalle-Badie, L. Terray, and J. Najac, 2011: A statistical-
757 dynamical scheme for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part II: methodology,

758 validation and application to high-resolution ocean models. *Climate Dynamics*, **36** (3-4),
759 401–417.

760 Msadek, R. and C. Frankignoul, 2009: Atlantic multidecadal oceanic variability and its
761 influence on the atmosphere in a climate model. *Climate Dynamics*, **33** (1), 45–62.

762 Pickart, R. S. and M. A. Spall, 2007: Impact of Labrador Sea convection on the north
763 Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **37** (9),
764 2207–2227.

765 Roulet, G. and G. Madec, 2000: Salt conservation, free surface, and varying levels: a new
766 formulation for ocean general circulation models. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*,
767 **105** (C10), 23 927–23 942.

768 Ruprich-Robert, Y. and C. Cassou, 2013: Combined influences of seasonal east atlantic
769 pattern and north atlantic oscillation to excite atlantic multidecadal variability in a climate
770 model. *Climate Dynamics*, **submitted**, submitted.

771 Rust, H. W., M. Vrac, M. Lengaigne, and B. Sultan, 2010: Quantifying Differences in
772 Circulation Patterns Based on Probabilistic Models: IPCC AR4 Multimodel Comparison
773 for the North Atlantic. *JOURNAL OF CLIMATE*, **23** (24), 6573–6589.

774 Sarafanov, A., A. Falina, A. Sokov, and A. Demidov, 2008: Intense warming and salinification
775 of intermediate waters of southern origin in the eastern subpolar North Atlantic in the
776 1990s to mid-2000s. *JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-OCEANS*, **113** (C12).

777 Scherrer, S., M. Croci-Maspoli, C. Schwierz, and C. Appenzeller, 2006: Two-dimensional
778 indices of atmospheric blocking and their statistical relationship with winter climate pat-
779 terns in the Euro-Atlantic region. *International Journal of Climatology*, **26** (2), 233–249,
780 doi:{10.1002/joc.1250}.

781 Schweckendiek, U. and J. Willebrand, 2005: Mechanisms affecting the overturning response
782 in global warming simulations. *Journal of Climate*, **18 (23)**, 4925–4936.

783 Smith, R., M. Maltrud, F. Bryan, and M. Hecht, 2000: Numerical simulation of the North
784 Atlantic Ocean at 1/10 degrees. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **30 (7)**, 1532–1561.

785 Straneo, F., 2006: Heat and freshwater transport through the central Labrador Sea. *JOUR-*
786 *NAL OF PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHY*, **36 (4)**, 606–628.

787 Treguier, A., S. Theetten, E. Chassignet, T. Penduff, R. Smith, L. Talley, J. Beismann,
788 and C. Boning, 2005: The North Atlantic subpolar gyre in four high-resolution models.
789 *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **35 (5)**, 757–774.

790 Treguier, A. M., M. H. England, S. R. Rintoul, G. Madec, J. Le Sommer, and J. M. Molines,
791 2007: Southern Ocean overturning across streamlines in an eddying simulation of the
792 Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *OCEAN SCIENCE*, **3 (4)**, 491–507.

793 Uppala, S., et al., 2005: The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorolog-*
794 *ical Society*, **131 (612, Part b)**, 2961–3012.

795 Vautard, R., 1990: Multiple weather regimes over the North-Atlantic. Analysis of precursors
796 and successors. *Monthly Weather Review*, **118 (10)**, 2056–2081.

797 Visbeck, M., H. Cullen, G. Krahnmann, and N. Naik, 1998: An ocean model’s response
798 to North Atlantic Oscillation-like wind forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **25 (24)**,
799 4521–4524.

800 Vivier, F., K. Kelly, and L. Thompson, 1999: Contributions of wind forcing, waves, and
801 surface heating to sea surface height observations in the Pacific Ocean. *JOURNAL OF*
802 *GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-OCEANS*, **104 (C9)**, 20 767–20 788.

803 Zhu, J. and E. Demirov, 2011: On the mechanism of interannual variability of the Irminger
804 Water in the Labrador Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, **116**.

805 **List of Tables**

806	1	List of the numerical experiments discussed in the text. All the experiments	
807		are run for 45 years (1958-2002).	35
808	2	Number of regime occurrences during the winters characterized by strongly	
809		positive EOF-derived NAO index.	36

TABLE 1. List of the numerical experiments discussed in the text. All the experiments are run for 45 years (1958-2002).

Configuration	Forcings	Description
<i>Spinup REF</i>	DFS4.3	Reference spin-up. Initialization from ocean at rest. Tracer initialization from Levitus et al. (1998) climatology
<i>REF</i>	Same as <i>Spinup REF</i>	Started from <i>Spinup REF</i> .
<i>WR</i>	Idealized winter wind, temperature and humidity. DFS4.3 in summer. Climatological radiative fluxes, precipitation and snow.	Started from <i>Spinup REF</i> .
<i>Spinup WREF</i>	DFS4.3 winds and climatological temperature, humidity, radiative fluxes, precipitations and snow.	Wind-only spinup. Initialization from ocean at rest. Tracer initialization from Levitus et al. (1998) climatology.
<i>WREF</i>	Same as <i>Spinup WREF</i>	Started from <i>Spinup WREF</i> .
<i>WWR</i>	Identical to <i>Spinup WREF</i> , except for the winds that are identical to <i>WR</i>)	Started from <i>Spinup WREF</i> .

TABLE 2. Number of regime occurrences during the winters characterized by strongly positive EOF-derived NAO index.

Year	AR	SBL	NAO ⁻	NAO ⁺	% NAO ⁺
1983	45	24	4	48	39.7%
1989	21	33	1	66	54.5%
1990	9	27	15	70	57.9%
1992	28	51	5	38	31.1%
1994	19	21	21	60	49.6%
1995	23	21	8	69	57.0%
2000	54	14	5	49	40.2%

810 List of Figures

- 811 1 NCEP-NCAR composites of winter wind-stress curl (color shading) and Ek-
812 man transport (black arrows) anomalies computed from daily anomalies oc-
813 ccurring in each WR. Significativity is assessed based on t -statistics at the 95%
814 level of confidence. Non-significant Ekman transport anomalies are omitted,
815 non-significant wind-stress curl anomalies are stippled. Dashed gray lines
816 represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, de-
817 termined from zonally averaged zonal winds. 39
- 818 2 Similar to figure 1 but for air-temperature anomalies. 40
- 819 3 Mean circulation in the REF experiment averaged over 45 years. a) Barotropic
820 streamfunction (contour interval: $5 Sv$). b) Meridional Overturning Stream-
821 function (contour interval: $2 Sv$). 41
- 822 4 Monthly composites of barotropic (a, c, e, g) and overturning (b, d, f, h)
823 streamfunction anomalies issued from REF (see text for details). Non-significant
824 values (t -test at the 95% level of confidence) are omitted in the left panels and
825 stippled in the right panels. Dashed black lines represent meridional Ekman
826 transport anomalies convergence/divergence, determined from zonally aver-
827 aged zonal winds. 42
- 828 5 Barotropic streamfunction averaged over the 4th year of the idealized barotropic
829 experiments (a, b, d, e, g, h). Left panel: reference bathymetry. Middle panel:
830 idealized bathymetry (3000 m everywhere). Right panel: classical Sverdrup
831 theory. 43
- 832 6 0-lag correlations between yearly averaged barotropic (a, c, e, g) and over-
833 turning (b, d, f, h) streamfunction anomalies issued from REF and the win-
834 ter WRs occurrences. Non significant values (t -test at 95%) are stippled.
835 Dashed black lines represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies conver-
836 gence/divergence, determined from zonally averaged zonal winds. 44

837	7	Differences between the barotropic streamfunction of the idealized WR or	
838		WWR experiments averaged over the last 10 years and the barotropic stream-	
839		function of their respective reference experiments (REF or WREF) averaged	
840		over 45 years. Thick black lines represent the 0-contour. Stippled contours	
841		are non-significant values based on t - statistics at the 95% level.	45
842	8	Maximum strength of the subtropical (a, c) and subpolar (b, d) gyres in	
843		the sensitivity experiments (colored lines). In each panel, the means of the	
844		reference experiments (REF in the left columns, WREF in the right columns,	
845		black lines) have been removed.	46
846	9	Same as figure 7 but for the overturning streamfunction. Dashed gray lines	
847		represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, de-	
848		termined from zonally averaged zonal winds.	47
849	10	Same as figure 8 but for the maximum overturning streamfunction at 46°N.	48
850	11	Yearly averaged anomalies of subpolar gyre and overturning streamfunction	
851		strength for the regional model configuration used in this study (black lines)	
852		and the global NEMO simulation of Barnier et al. (2006). Correlations be-	
853		tween the two models are indicated on top of the panels.	49
854	12	Probability density function (in %) for u_{10} (left column), v_{10} (middle column)	
855		and t_2 (right column) in the midlatitude western (MLW), midlatitude eastern	
856		(MLE), northwestern (NW) and northeastern (NE) Atlantic boxes. Dark	
857		colors are the PDFs from the reference forcings while light colors are used for	
858		the idealized ones.	50
859	13	Winter daily variance of observed (color shading) and reconstructed (black	
860		contours) wind components u_{10} (left) and v_{10} (right) within each regime.	51




FIG. 1. NCEP-NCAR composites of winter wind-stress curl (color shading) and Ekman transport (black arrows) anomalies computed from daily anomalies occurring in each WR. Significance is assessed based on t -statistics at the 95% level of confidence. Non-significant Ekman transport anomalies are omitted, non-significant wind-stress curl anomalies are stippled. Dashed gray lines represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, determined from zonally averaged zonal winds.




FIG. 2. Similar to figure 1 but for air-temperature anomalies.




FIG. 3. Mean circulation in the REF experiment averaged over 45 years. a) Barotropic streamfunction (contour interval: 5 Sv). b) Meridional Overturning Streamfunction (contour interval: 2 Sv).




FIG. 4. Monthly composites of barotropic (a, c, e, g) and overturning (b, d, f, h) streamfunction anomalies issued from REF (see text for details). Non-significant values (t -test at the 95% level of confidence) are omitted in the left panels and stippled in the right panels. Dashed black lines represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, determined from zonally averaged zonal winds.




FIG. 5. Barotropic streamfunction averaged over the 4th year of the idealized barotropic experiments (a, b, d, e, g, h). Left panel: reference bathymetry. Middle panel: idealized bathymetry (3000 m everywhere). Right panel: classical Sverdrup theory.




FIG. 6. 0-lag correlations between yearly averaged barotropic (a, c, e, g) and overturning (b, d, f, h) streamfunction anomalies issued from REF and the winter WRs occurrences. Non significant values (t -test at 95%) are stippled. Dashed black lines represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, determined from zonally averaged zonal winds.




FIG. 7. Differences between the barotropic streamfunction of the idealized WR or WWR experiments averaged over the last 10 years and the barotropic streamfunction of their respective reference experiments (REF or WREF) averaged over 45 years. Thick black lines represent the 0-contour. Stippled contours are non-significant values based on t -statistics at the 95% level.




FIG. 8. Maximum strength of the subtropical (a, c) and subpolar (b, d) gyres in the sensitivity experiments (colored lines). In each panel, the means of the reference experiments (REF in the left columns, WREF in the right columns, black lines) have been removed.




FIG. 9. Same as figure 7 but for the overturning streamfunction. Dashed gray lines represent meridional Ekman transport anomalies convergence/divergence, determined from zonally averaged zonal winds.




FIG. 10. Same as figure 8 but for the maximum overturning streamfunction at 46°N .




FIG. 11. Yearly averaged anomalies of subpolar gyre and overturning streamfunction strength for the regional model configuration used in this study (black lines) and the global NEMO simulation of Barnier et al. (2006). Correlations between the two models are indicated on top of the panels.




FIG. 12. Probability density function (in %) for u_{10} (left column), v_{10} (middle column) and t_2 (right column) in the midlatitude western (MLW), midlatitude eastern (MLE), northwestern (NW) and northeastern (NE) Atlantic boxes. Dark colors are the PDFs from the reference forcings while light colors are used for the idealized ones.




FIG. 13. Winter daily variance of observed (color shading) and reconstructed (black contours) wind components u_{10} (left) and v_{10} (right) within each regime.

4.4 Conclusion and discussions

In this chapter, a forced ocean only model of coarse resolution is used to analyse the response of North-Atlantic ocean circulation to weather regimes. Using statistical analysis on an historical simulation, the fast (monthly to interannual timescales) response of the ocean circulation to changes in the weather regimes has been addressed. Among the four regimes, only three (AR, NAO⁻ and NAO⁺) drive a fast wind-driven adjustment of the circulation. AR induces a reduction of the horizontal circulation, while NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ both drive an intergyre-gyre, cyclonic for NAO⁻ and anticyclonic for NAO⁺. Because of NAO asymmetry, this intergyre gyre is southward shifted for NAO⁺. This fast response of the gyre circulation involves topographic Sverdrup balance, as confirmed by a barotropic configuration of the model. The influence of topography on the gyres is striking at monthly timescales but is less obvious at yearly timescales, when the barotropic mode has already been modified by the baroclinic modes. The meridional overturning circulation shows top-to-bottom anomalies that are the signature of a surface Ekman surface flow compensated at depth by a returned flow.

The slow adjustment (decadal timescales) of the ocean circulation to persistent regime conditions has also been investigated using sensitivity model experiments, in which the winter forcings of the historical simulation have been replaced by idealised forcings. These forcings have been constructed through an original method, based on the weather regimes, that successfully captures the forcings statistics. The major findings of these sensitivity experiments are summarised in table 4.1.

As emphasised in chapter 2, the use of the k -mean algorithm assumes that the number of clusters is a priori known. In this study, 4 weather regimes have been considered. When decomposing sea-level pressure anomalies into five regimes, these four regimes are returned in addition to a fifth one that resembles to AR, although with opposite signs and an eastward shifted pattern. This regime is defined as the “Atlantic Low” (AL hereafter). Wind-stress curl anomalies associated with AL are eastward shifted compared to AR ones (figure 4.5a), consistently with its eastward shifted center of action. AL is also associated with cold air-temperature anomalies at 50°N (figure 4.5b). These anomalies, however, are eastward shifted in comparison with AR and do not reach the Labrador Sea (figure 2.7).

A sensitivity experiment of the ocean circulation to AL-like buoyancy and wind forcing has been

	AR	BLK	NAO ⁻	NAO ⁺
STG	Wind-driven reduction		Wind-driven inter-gyre gyre	Wind-driven intensification
SPG	Wind-driven reduction. Contribution of reduced heat loss to the atmosphere in the LS	Strengthening driven by enhanced heat loss in the LS	Wind-driven inter-gyre gyre. Weakening of the northern subpolar gyre due to reduced heat loss in the LS	Strengthening driven by enhanced heat loss in the LS
MOC	Weakening due to reduced heat loss in the LS. Contribution of wind-driven gyre changes	Strengthening due to enhanced heat loss in the LS.	Weakening due to reduced heat loss in the LS. Contribution of wind-driven gyre changes	Strengthening due to enhanced heat loss in the LS. Contribution of wind-driven gyre changes.

Table 4.1: Summary of the major findings of the idealized sensitivity experiments. Acronyms used are: STG=subtropical gyre, SPG=subpolar gyre, MOC=meridional overturning circulation, LS=Labrador Sea.

performed in the same way as discussed in Barrier et al. (2013). Persistent AL conditions are associated with a strengthening of the gyre circulation (figure 4.5c) that is presumably wind-driven: the latitudinal position of the AL wind-stress curl anomalies is the same as for AR; hence, they also project fairly well onto the mean position of the gyres and are thus effective in impacting their strength. The MOC anomalies for persistent AL conditions mirror those for persistent AR conditions (figure 9a of Barrier et al. 2013), but with smaller amplitudes. This is presumably because eastward shifted pattern displaces the wind and air-temperature anomalies out of the Labrador Sea, hence preventing deep water formation to increase. As a consequence, MOC anomalies only reflect the contributions of Ekman transport anomalies and of the adiabatic spin-up of the gyres. Hence, in addition to the NAO⁺/NAO⁻ examples, the AL/AR comparison highlights the added value of the weather regime framework, since even small spatial asymmetries can lead to significantly different oceanic response.

The weather regimes, through their impacts on the ocean circulation and surface heat fluxes, could potentially impact ocean heat content variability. The full understanding of heat content variability is a major challenge for oceanographers, since in the context of global warming, the excess of heat will ultimately be stored by the ocean. Many studies aimed at understanding the changes in ocean heat content in response to changes in the large-scale atmospheric forcing. The warming of the subpolar gyre of 1995 has been especially scrutinised and has often been attributed to the abrupt change of the NAO in 1995 (Sarfanov et al. 2008). However, Hakkinen et al. (2011a) suggest that




Figure 4.5: (a) Wind-stress curl and Ekman transport anomalies. (b) Air-temperature anomalies (c and d) gyre and overturning anomalies averaged over the last 10 years of the AL-only experiment.

this warming has been induced by stronger than normal EAP conditions. Hence, it remains unclear which large-scale atmospheric pattern (if any) has induced this warming.

The impacts of the weather regimes on ocean heat content has not been addressed in the present chapter for two main reasons:

- The model configuration has closed boundaries, at which the tracer fields (temperature and salinity) are restored to Levitus et al. (1998) climatology. Hence, the variability of ocean heat content is, in all likelihood, impacted by this specific model configuration.
- Ocean heat content variability strongly depends on the mean and variability of the ocean circulation. Both strongly depend on the model resolution (horizontal and vertical), parameterizations (like sea-surface salinity restoring) and on the forcing dataset. Hence, multi-model analysis is necessary to assess the robustness of the results against these parameters.

Accordingly, the next chapter is devoted to the understanding of the ocean heat content variability and its possible linkages with the atmospheric weather regimes. This is addressed through heat budget calculations in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas, using a series of global hindcasts.

Chapter 5

Interannual to decadal heat budget in the subpolar North Atlantic and the Nordic Seas

Contents

5.1	Introduction	126
5.2	Methodology	129
5.2.1	Model description	129
5.2.2	Domains and sections definition	130
5.2.3	Heat budgets using PAGO	133
5.3	Comparison with observations	135
5.3.1	Heat transports	135
5.3.2	0-700 m heat content anomalies	136
5.4	Variability of winter heat transport and heat fluxes	139
5.4.1	Impacts of the AR regime	140
5.4.2	Impacts of the BLK regime	141
5.4.3	Impacts of the NAO ⁻ regime	143
5.4.4	Impacts of the NAO ⁺ regime	145
5.4.5	Summary	146
5.5	Heat content variability	147
5.5.1	Western subpolar gyre	148
5.5.2	Eastern subpolar gyre	153
5.5.3	Nordic Seas	158
5.6	Conclusion	158

5.1 Introduction

The global increase of ocean heat content, as discussed in e.g. Levitus et al. (2001), Willis et al. (2004) and Levitus et al. (2009), is presumably attributed to the increase in anthropogenic greenhouse gases emission. The accumulation of heat by the ocean induces a thermosteric sea-level rise (Cabanes et al. 2001; Willis et al. 2004; Antonov et al. 2005) that may have disastrous societal impacts (Nicholls and Tol, 2006; Dasgupta et al., 2007; Nicholls et al., 2007). However, the consideration of global heat content may hide the regional disparities (Lozier et al. 2008; Levitus et al. 2012; Zhai and Sheldon 2012). This is especially true in the North-Atlantic Ocean, which has been shown to warm in the subtropics and to cool at subpolar latitudes between the 20 year periods 1950-1970 and 1980-2000 (Lozier et al. 2008). In order to determine whether these changes are indeed caused by climate change supposes a good knowledge of the drivers of heat content variability.

Many studies have been devoted to the understanding of ocean heat content variability in the North-Atlantic and its possible linkages with large-scale atmospheric variability. The two major contributors to changes in ocean heat content are surface heat flux and ocean heat convergence anomalies. Surface heat flux variability is closely related to the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Positive NAO conditions are associated with increased latent heat loss in the Labrador Sea and with reduced sensible heat loss in the subtropics (Cayan 1992b; Visbeck et al. 1998). A first aim of the present study is to determine whether the other modes of variability of the North-Atlantic region (EAP and SCAN, section 2.2) impact the variability of surface flux, and if so in which region.

Furthermore, changes in the large-scale atmospheric circulation have also been shown to impact the ocean circulation and, in turn, horizontal heat convergence. Using model experiments, Lozier et al. (2008) suggest that the heat content difference between 1950-1970 and 1980-2000 can be explained by the strong shift in the NAO index (mostly negative in the first period, mostly positive in the latter period). They suggest that the warming of the subtropical gyre is a consequence of wind-driven circulation change, while the cooling of the subpolar gyre is a consequence of buoyancy-driven circulation change. Additionally, the dramatic switch in the NAO index from highly positive in 1995 (1.04¹) to highly negative in 1996 (-1.11), has been proposed as one of the triggers of the warming of the subpolar gyre that started in 1995. The change in the NAO index presumably induced a

¹Source: <https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-pc-based>

reduction of the subpolar gyre and a concomitant westward shift of the subpolar front (Hátún et al. 2005), which facilitated the intrusion of warm and saline subtropical water (Bersch 2002, Bersch et al. 2007, Sarafanov et al. 2008, Lozier and Stewart 2008, Chaudhuri et al. 2011 among others). However, Hakkinen et al. (2011a,b) argue that the reduction in the strength of the subpolar gyre and the associated shift of the subpolar front was not due to the change in the NAO index but to the change in the East-Atlantic Pattern (EAP), the second mode of atmospheric variability in the North-Atlantic. Herbaut and Houssais (2009) suggest that positive NAO conditions induce a wind-driven anticyclonic intergyre-gyre (Marshall et al. 2001) that advects “fresh, cold water from the western to the eastern subpolar gyre” and “warm, saline subtropical water to the south of Newfoundland”. They also suggest that the buoyancy driven spin-up of the subpolar gyre under persistent NAO⁺ conditions “does not force the changes in the eastern North Atlantic”. In other words, it does not change the proportion of subtropical water that enters into the eastern subpolar gyre, as proposed by Hátún et al. (2005).

Marsh et al. (2008), Grist et al. (2010) and Desbruyeres et al. (2013) have conducted midlatitude heat budget calculations within closed domains and concluded that the interannual to decadal variability in ocean heat content is primarily driven by changes in ocean heat convergence, while surface heat flux anomalies play only a minor damping role. However, their domains exclude the regions where the variance of surface heat fluxes is strong (figure 5.1), hence likely to impact ocean heat content variability. The domain considered by Grist et al. (2010) mixes two regions: the Labrador Sea, where the variance of surface heat fluxes is strong, and the eastern subpolar gyre, where it is negligible. As a consequence, the possible influence of surface heat fluxes might be blurred by the consideration of such a zonally extended region. A different domain decomposition might lead to different conclusions. In the western subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas, where winter surface heat fluxes vary the most, they could be expected to significantly impact ocean heat content variability.




Figure 5.1: Standard deviation of winter averaged downward heat flux anomalies (latent+sensible+longwave+shortwave) determined from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al. 1996). Brown and orange hatchings represent the domain considered in Desbruyeres et al. (2013) and Marsh et al. (2008), respectively. The domain considered by Grist et al. (2010) is confined between the two zonal sections depicted in blue.

Hence, the full understanding of heat content variability in the North-Atlantic and its linkages with large-scale atmospheric variability remains unclear and needs further investigation, which is the aim of the present chapter. Using the weather regime framework, the following questions are addressed:

- i) Are surface heat fluxes only related to $\text{NAO}^-/\text{NAO}^+$? Or are they also related to AR and/or BLK? If so, in which specific region?
- ii) How do the weather regimes modify the heat convergence and heat transport? What are the impacts of the large-scale atmospheric variability (NAO and EAP) on the heat convergence into the subpolar gyre?
- iii) Considering a different decomposition of the North-Atlantic, is the heat content variability dominated by anomalous heat convergence, as suggested by Marsh et al. (2008), Grist et al. (2010) and Desbruyeres et al. (2013)? Or do surface heat fluxes contribute significantly?

These questions are addressed using a series of global, ocean only numerical experiments sharing the same platform. Heat budget calculations are performed within closed domains in the North-Atlantic/Nordic Seas regions, using the “Physical Analysis of Gridded Ocean data” (hereafter,

PAGO) set of programs, described in Deshayes et al. (2012)¹. The consideration of several models enables to determine whether the results are robust against the resolution (vertical and horizontal), the forcing dataset or the model parameterizations (restoring of the sea-surface salinity for instance), which all impact the mean state and the variability of the ocean circulation.

The chapter is organised as follows. In section 5.2, the four models used in this study are described, and the closed domains in which the heat budget calculations are performed are introduced. A brief description of PAGO is also provided. In section 5.3, the models are compared with observations. In section 5.4, the linkages between the weather regimes and the winter averaged surface heat fluxes, heat convergence and heat transport are assessed through correlation analysis. In section 5.5, the interannual to decadal variability of ocean heat content is analysed. Conclusions are given in section 6.6.

5.2 Methodology

5.2.1 Model description

The model experiments used in the present study are listed in table 5.1. They are issued from the Drakkar Project² and share the “Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean” (NEMO) modelling framework (Madec 2008).

Name	Drakkar nomenclature	Period considered	Forcings	Horizontal resolution	Vertical levels	Salinity restoring	Reference
LR1	ORCA025.L46-G70	1964-2004	DFS3	1/4°	46	0.167	Treguier et al. (2007)
LR2	ORCA025.L75-G85	1964-2007	DFS4.3	1/4°	75	0.027	Lique and Steele (2013)
LR3	ORCA025.L75-GRD88	1964-2010	DFS4.4	1/4°	75	0.167	
HR	ORCA12.L46-MJM88	1964-2009	DFS4.4	1/12°	46	0.167	Deshayes et al. (2013)

Table 5.1: List of model experiments used in this study. The horizontal resolution is valid at the equator and decreases with increasing latitude (same is true for the model used in chapter 4).

The “Low-Resolution 1” experiment (LR1 hereafter) has for a long time been considered as Drakkar reference historical experiment. As such, it has been used in a large number of publications (Treguier et al. 2007; Lique et al. 2010; Desbruyeres et al. 2013 among others). It uses the DFS3 forcing set, introduced by Brodeau et al. (2010) and constructed from the ERA-40 reanalysis (Uppala et al. 2005).

¹see also <http://www.whoi.edu/science/PO/pago/index.html>)

²<http://www.drakkar-ocean.eu/>

The “Low-Resolution 2” (LR2) experiment has been described in Lique and Steele (2013). The major differences between LR1 and LR2 are the vertical resolution (75 levels instead of 46), the forcing dataset (DFS4.3 instead of DFS3, Brodeau et al. 2010) and the salinity restoring (six times weaker in LR2 than in LR1).

The “Low-Resolution 3” (LR3) experiment is the same as LR2 save for salinity restoring (identical to LR1) and for atmospheric forcings. LR3 uses a blend of the DFS4.3 forcings (until 1988) and of the ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) forcings after 1988.

The “High Resolution” (HR hereafter) experiment has the same vertical resolution as LR1 and uses the same forcings as LR3, but has a horizontal resolution of $1/12^\circ$. Details on the HR configuration can be found in Deshayes et al. (2013).

In the LR experiments, heat transports are computed using the monthly means of velocity and temperature. These monthly transports are then averaged over the year or over winter (December to March, hereafter DJFM). In the HR experiment, yearly and DJFM averages of heat transports are computed using the 5-day averages of heat transports, hence taking into-account more carefully the non-linearity of heat transport than in the LR runs.

5.2.2 Domains and sections definition

In this study, the North-Atlantic is divided into three closed domains (figure 5.2). The western subpolar gyre (hereafter west) is limited in the northwest by a section across the Hudson strait (HUD) and by a section across the Davis strait (BAF). Its western limit is defined by the Denmark Strait section (DSO) in the north, and by a section that goes from Iceland to 52.5°N - 35.5°W (hereafter P) following the Reykjanes/Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR). The section that links P and Newfoundland (section 42W) closes the domain in the south. This domain encompasses the Labrador Sea and the Irminger Basin, where the variance of surface forcings is sufficiently strong to impact ocean heat content (figure 5.1).

The eastern subpolar gyre has a volume approximately 1.8 times smaller than the western subpolar gyre and is limited in the northeast by the Iceland-Faroe (IFO) and Faroe-Scotland (FSO) sections, in the west by the MAR section and in the south by a zonal section that goes from P to Ireland (section 42E). The southern limits of both subpolar domains (sections 42E and 42W) are somehow

arbitrary, as they do not rely on any physical criteria. However, these sections are located sufficiently north to avoid the recirculation of the subtropical gyre.

The last domain covers the Nordic Seas and has a volume approximately 1.4 times smaller than the western subpolar gyre. It is limited in the south-west by the DSO, IFO and FSO sections, in the south-east by a section extending across the North Sea (MDN), in the north by a section across Fram Strait (NON) and a section across the Barents Sea (BAR). This domain links the Arctic Ocean to the North-Atlantic.

For each model and in each domain, the volume is conserved, ensuring that the domains have been successfully closed (there are no water leakages). The sections are positively oriented in the direction of the warm Atlantic water, except for DSO and 42W that are oriented in the direction of the East-Greenland Current and of the Labrador Current, respectively.




Figure 5.2: Domains and sections discussed in the present study. Black points indicate the orientation of the sections (transport is counted positive toward the point). Map background shows the 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 km isobaths of the GEBCO bathymetry. NS=Nordic Seas, ESPG=Eastern Subpolar Gyre, WSPG=Western Subpolar Gyre, EGC=East Greenland Current, ENAC=Eastern North-Atlantic Current, WNAC=Western North-Atlantic Current, FC=Faroe Current, SC=Shetland Current, NWAC=Norwegian Atlantic Current, NIIC=North Irminger Icelandic Current, IC=Irminger Current. Adapted from Mercier et al. 2013 and Hansen and Østerhus 2000)

5.2.3 Heat budgets using PAGO

Heat content variability in the three domains of figure 5.2 is investigated using the PAGO suite of programs of Deshayes et al. (2012). It permits inter-comparison of model outputs along predefined sections with limited interpolation. The functioning of PAGO for Arakawa C grids (i.e. tracer fields at the center of the cell, zonal speed at the left and right faces, meridional speed at the bottom and top faces) is depicted in figure 5.3. PAGO connects two section endpoints as a continuous sequence of grid faces, following a great circle pathway between the two points. This implies that speed along the section does not undergo any interpolation, contrary to tracer fields that are interpolated at the center of the grid faces using a second order centered scheme.




Figure 5.3: Schematic describing the functioning of PAGO. Each cube represents a temperature grid point. Gray points represent land cells. Red arrows represent surface heat fluxes, centered on the cells. Sections (resp. transport across the sections) are represented by blue/orange lines (resp. blue/orange arrows). A cyan blue line symbolises the ocean free surface.

Heat content (h_c) changes within the volume V are given by:

$$\frac{\partial h_c}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\iint_{S_a} Q_{net} dx dy}_{SF} + \rho_0 C_p \underbrace{\iint_{S_o} [UT_{int}] dl dz}_{OC} + \varepsilon \quad (5.1)$$

with ρ_0 and C_p the reference density and heat capacity of sea-water, respectively, S_a the surface of the ocean that is in contact with the atmosphere and S_o the surface that closes the volume V . x is the longitude, y is the latitude, z the depth and l the length coordinate along the closed contour of S_o . Q_{net} is the net (latent, sensible, shortwave and longwave) surface heat flux (at the center of the grid cells, red arrows in figure 2) and $[UT_{int}]$ represents the ocean heat transport (with U the normal velocity and T_{int} the temperature interpolated at the center of the grid face). The first term

on the right-hand side of equation 5.1 represents the contribution of surface fluxes (hereafter SF) to changes in ocean heat content, while the second term represents the contribution of ocean heat convergence (hereafter OC). The last term, ε , represents the residual.

When heat content is integrated from the surface to a fixed level (section 5.3.2), the residual includes vertical exchanges, which are especially strong in convective regions. When the heat content is integrated from the surface to the bottom (section 5.5), ε is limited to the exchanges through diffusive isopycnal mixing across the opened boundaries of the domain. In the LR experiments, where heat transport is computed from monthly means, it might also contain numerical errors, although Desbruyeres et al. (2013) suggest that they do not contribute much to the residual.

The term on the left hand side of equation 5.1 can further be decomposed into:

$$\frac{\partial h_c}{\partial t} = \rho_0 C_p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\iiint_V T \, dx \, dy \, dz + \iint_{S_a} SST \, \eta \, dx \, dy \right] \quad (5.2)$$

with T the temperature at the center of the cells (i.e. not interpolated), SST the sea-surface temperature and η the sea-surface height.

We have verified that the residual term is weak in the LR experiment by applying the same methodology as Desbruyeres et al. (2013): heat content has been averaged over the December-January pairs of each year in order to determine the heat content change between January, 1st and December, 31st of each year. The time series of ε is determined as the difference between this heat content change and the yearly averages of OC and SF.

The residual term is weak in comparison with OC and SF, and its standard deviation is much smaller (figure 5.4). Hence, this residual is not likely to dominate changes in ocean heat content. Accordingly, it will be neglected in the following and heat content changes will be assumed to be only influenced by surface heat fluxes SF and ocean convergence OC.




Figure 5.4: Variations in heat content within a year (black line) and yearly averaged OC (blue), SF (brown) and residual (orange).

5.3 Comparison with observations

In this section, modelled and observation-based estimates of heat transport and heat content are compared.

5.3.1 Heat transports

At 46°N , the LR models compares reasonably well with the observation-based (inverse calculation) estimates of Ganachaud and Wunsch 2003 (table 5.2). The HR experiment, on the other hand, has a heat transport that is more than 200 TW higher than observations. At 56°N and across the Greenland-Iceland-Scotland section, however, all the models compare well with the observed estimates of Lumpkin and Speer (2007).

Section	Year(s)	LR1	LR2	LR3	HR	Observations	Reference
Net, 46°N	1993	648	673	617	853	600	Ganachaud and Wunsch (2003)
Net, 56°N	1992	533	512	497	571	540	Lumpkin and Speer (2007)
Net, IFO+FSO+DSO	1995	256	223	233	276	290	Lumpkin and Speer (2007)
AW ($S>35$, $\theta>5^\circ\text{C}$), FSO	1999-2001	173	156	189	156	156	Østerhus et al. (2005)
AW ($S>35$, $\theta>5^\circ\text{C}$), IFO	1999-2001	61	57	61	92	134	Østerhus et al. (2005)
AW ($\theta>1^\circ\text{C}$) through NON	2001-2006	39	36	38	64	≈ 40	Schauer et al. (2008)
Net, BAR	1997-2007	83	66	82	108 (58)	(50)	Smedsrud et al. (2010)

Table 5.2: Modelled and observed heat transports (units: $TW=10^{12}W$). The years at which the transports have been averaged is indicated. In the last line, values in brackets indicate the heat transport of AW as defined by Smedsrud et al. (2010). Section names refer to figure 5.2.

The Atlantic Water (AW) inflow across IFO and FSO is defined following Aksenov et al. 2010 ($S>35$, $\theta>5^\circ\text{C}$). AW heat transport across FSO compares well with observations in LR2 and HR but is overestimated in LR1 and LR3. In the LR experiments, heat transport across IFO is underestimated by approximately 80 TW, while in the HR experiment it is underestimated by only 40 TW. This is presumably due to a too zonal North-Atlantic Current in the LR experiments.

The mean heat transport of AW to the Arctic Ocean ($\theta>1^\circ\text{C}$, Schauer et al. 2008) through Fram Strait (NON section) is close to observations in the LR runs, while it is overestimated in HR.

Smedsrud et al. (2010) define the AW that flows through BAR as water for which $S>35$ psu and $\theta>3^\circ\text{C}$. Using this criteria, the heat transport of AW through BAR in the HR run compares well with observations. However, in the LR runs, the salinity at BAR is less than 35 psu; hence this criteria cannot be used and the net heat transport is presented instead in table 5.2. The reasons for this fresh bias in the LR experiments is beyond the scope of the present study.

5.3.2 0-700 m heat content anomalies

Modelled and observed heat content in the top 700 m of the water column are compared in figure 5.5. Observation-based (objective analysis) estimates are extracted from the “World Ocean Atlas 2009” (WOA09, Locarnini et al. 2010), the EN3 dataset (Ingleby and Huddleston 2007) and from the “Analysis, Reconstruction, Indices of the Variability of the Ocean” (ARIVO, described in von Schuckmann et al. (2009) but extended to 2011).

In the western subpolar gyre, modelled heat content closely follows the WOA09 observations, except for the negative trend between 1966 to 1976 that is underestimated in HR, presumably reflecting an incomplete spin-up of the HR run. The strong warming of 1995 is especially well captured by all the simulations

In the eastern subpolar gyre, heat content variability apparently shows less low frequency variability than in the western part of the gyre. The increase of heat content in 1995, which occurs concomitantly in the western subpolar gyre, is well represented in all the models. The decrease of 2006 is stronger in the models than in the observations.

In the Nordic Seas, the agreement is not so good. Ocean heat content in the LR experiments shows a good agreement with the observations until the mid 90s, when the two time series start diverging. HR heat content variability only shows a good agreement with the observations between 1980 and 1995. Between 1997 and 2004, observed heat content shows a very strong increase which is not captured by the models. Between 2002 and 2004, heat content in WOA09 shows a strong warming ($+2 ZJ$), which is not visible in the other observation datasets. Hence, this peak is, in all likelihood, spurious.




Figure 5.5: Observed and modelled heat content anomalies over the first 0 – 700m of the water column. The gray shading represents the envelope between heat content anomalies of the EN3 and of the WOA09 datasets. The blue shading represents the envelope between the heat content anomalies of the LR experiments, while the black line represents the HR heat content anomalies. In all these time-series, the anomalies are computed by removing the 1966-2004 mean. The brown line represents the ARIVO heat content anomalies computed by removing the 2002-2009 average and by adding the 2002-2009 average of WOA09/EN3. $ZJ=10^{21} J$

5.4 Variability of winter heat transport and heat fluxes

In this section, the linkages between the weather regimes and the sources of heat into each domain are assessed through correlation analysis. The correlations are performed between winter (December through March, hereafter DJFM) regime occurrences and winter averaged SF, OC (defined in equation 5.1), net heat and mass transports across the individual sections. This methodology is retained because, as discussed in the introduction, wind-driven circulation changes have been proposed to explain changes in ocean heat content, and it is during winter that the variance of wind forcings is more likely to impact the ocean circulation. Moreover, the linkages between wind forcings and weather regimes are more robust in winter than in the other seasons (see Cassou et al. 2011; Minvielle et al. 2011)




Figure 5.6: 0-lag correlations between the winter regime occurrences and the winter averaged net volume transport across the individual sections. Non-significant correlations (t -test at 95%) are shaded.




Figure 5.7: 0-lag correlations between the winter regime occurrences and the winter averaged SF (top) or OC (bottom) in each domain. Non-significant correlations (t -test at 95%) are shadowed.




Figure 5.8: Same as figure 5.8 but for net heat transport.

5.4.1 Impacts of the AR regime

AR is characterised by warm air-temperature anomalies in the subpolar gyre and cold anomalies in the Nordic Seas (figure 2.7). AR is also associated with anticyclonic wind-anomalies off Europe, which have been shown to reduce the strength of the subtropical and subpolar gyres (Hakkinen et al., 2011a; Langehaug et al., 2012; Ruprich-Robert and Cassou, 2013; Barrier et al., 2012, 2013). According to

Hakkinen et al. (2011a), during the early 2000s, greater than average AR winter occurrences induced a reduction of the subpolar gyre, which was accompanied by a westward shift of the subpolar front (Hátún et al. 2005). As a consequence, a greater proportion of subtropical water invaded the subpolar gyre, causing a warming of the northern North-Atlantic.

Our results confirm the reduction of the subpolar gyre associated with AR (cf. the negative correlations between AR winter occurrences and the mass transport across 42E, MAR and 42W, figure 5.6). However, this reduction is not associated with an increased heat convergence in the subpolar gyre, as proposed by Hakkinen et al. (2011a), but with a decreased heat convergence in the western subpolar gyre (5.7). This contradiction likely indicates that the reduction of the subpolar gyre associated with AR does not facilitate the intrusion of subtropical water into the subpolar gyre.

The mass transport across 42W is negatively correlated with AR occurrences, but not the heat transport. This reflects the compensation between a decreased advection of temperature ($u'\bar{T}$, where primes indicate anomalies and bars indicate means) and the increased advection of temperature anomalies ($\bar{u}T'$).

AR winter occurrences are negatively correlated with SF and OC in the Nordic Seas figure 5.7). The negative correlation with OC, however, is hard to explain from the heat transports across the individual sections (IFO, FSO, DSO, NON or BAR, cf. figure 5.8). This highlights the non-linear character of correlation coefficients ($\text{Corr}[X + Y, Z] \neq \text{Corr}[X, Z] + \text{Corr}[Y, Z]$).

5.4.2 Impacts of the BLK regime

BLK is characterised by anticyclonic anomalies over Europe, which prevent the midlatitude westerlies to penetrate inland. Instead, the winds are tilted northward toward the Nordic Seas. This specific wind-pattern has been shown to impact the exchanges between the Atlantic Ocean and the Nordic Seas. Using transport observations, Richter et al. (2012) suggest that the mass transport across IFO is driven by the meridional gradient of Sea-Surface Height (SSH) across the Greenland-Scotland-Ridge: negative SSH anomalies in the Nordic Seas induce an increased mass transport (see also Hansen et al. 2010). They also suggest that SSH anomalies in the Nordic-Seas are positively correlated with the variations of the Scandinavian Pattern (SCAN, of which BLK can be viewed as the positive phase). From their results, one would expect a negative correlation between the mass transport across IFO

and the SCAN index. They indeed find a negative correlation, but which is weak and therefore not significant (see the table 2 of Richter et al. 2012). Using a control run of the coupled Bergen Climate Model, Medhaug et al. (2011) argue that variations in the SCAN pattern (as defined in Richter et al. 2012) induce a two-time response (one fast and one slower) of water mass exchanges across the Greenland Scotland Ridge. First, across-ridge wind anomalies give rise to along ridge Ekman transport anomalies, inducing negative SSH anomalies in the western Nordic Seas and positive anomalies in the eastern Nordic Seas, causing a northward mass transport anomaly (figure 5.9). Second, consistently with Richter et al. (2012), they suggest that SCAN also induces an increased SSH in the Nordic Seas that leads, through barotropic adjustment, to a southward mass and heat transport anomaly across the Greenland-Scotland Ridge after one year (see the figure 14 of Medhaug et al. 2011). But using an ocean only model, Nilsen et al. (2003) came to an opposite conclusion. Regressing the net mass transport across IFO and the sea-level pressure anomalies, they obtain a pattern that is characterised by negative anomalies over Greenland and weakly positive anomalies over Europe (cf. their figure 4b). This pattern closely resembles the SCAN, hence associated with increased mass transport.




Figure 5.9: Ekman driven response of the water mass exchange between the Atlantic and the Nordic Seas to BLK wind anomalies. The black arrow represents the wind anomalies associated with BLK and the red arrow the corresponding Ekman transport. The blue line represents the sea-surface height and the gray line an isopycnal. The geostrophic current is directed northward (\otimes symbol).

The correlations in tables 5.8 and 5.6 suggest that BLK is associated with increased northward heat and mass transport across IFO, consistent with Nilsen et al. (2003). This is, however, inconsis-

tent with the barotropic adjustment proposed by Richter et al. (2012) and Medhaug et al. (2011). It thus corroborates the hypothesis of the Ekman-driven mechanism depicted in figure 5.9. BLK winter occurrences are also positively correlated with the net volume transport across the NON section but not with the associated heat transport, for reasons that remain unexplained. From figures 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8, one can notice that BLK has rather a local impact, limited to the Nordic Seas.

Moreover, BLK is associated with positive SF in the Nordic Seas, and to a lesser extent, the eastern subpolar gyre (figure 5.7). No significant correlation is obtained between SF in the western subpolar gyre and BLK occurrences. This suggests that in the western subpolar gyre, SF variations are dominated by the NAO regimes, as already proposed by Cayan (1992b).

5.4.3 Impacts of the NAO^- regime

The NAO^- regime is characterised by a reduction of the prevailing midlatitude westerlies and Trade winds in the subtropics. The associated cyclonic wind-stress curl anomalies are located at the boundary between the subpolar and the subtropical gyres, which induce cyclonic horizontal circulation anomalies (the intergyre-gyre, Marshall et al. 2001; Barrier et al. 2013). According to Herbaut and Houssais (2009), this intergyre-gyre will advect warm/salty water northwestward in the east and cold/fresh water southeastward in the west (cf. figure 5.10).




Figure 5.10: Schematic of a cyclonic intergyre gyre (IGG) associated driven by NAO^- (black circle). The gray line represents the subpolar front (SPF). The thick red line represents the overall heat transport (HT).

In all the model experiments, significant correlations between NAO^- occurrences and OC in the western subpolar gyre are obtained (figure 5.7). These correlations are mostly due to increased westward heat transport across MAR (figure 5.8), consistently with Herbaut and Houssais (2009). Note, however, that this correlation is not significant in the HR experiment. In 1996, HR heat

transport through MAR shows a strong negative anomaly, which is absent in the LR runs (figure 5.11). If year 1996 is removed prior to computing the correlations, the latter increase from 0.17 to 0.36 and become significant. This strong negative anomaly is compensated by a concomitant heat transport anomaly across 42W, hence having barely no effect on HC.

The increased heat transport across MAR associated with NAO^- is accompanied by an increased northward heat transport across DSO by the North-Icelandic Irminger Current (NIIC, figure 5.2). Indeed, the southward component of heat transport across DSO shows no correlations with NAO^- winter occurrences, contrary to its northward component which shows positive ones. On the contrary, the northward heat transport into the Nordic Seas (through IFO by the Faroe Current and FSO by the Shetland Current, figure 5.2) and out of the Nordic Seas (into the Barents Sea through BAR and into the Arctic Ocean through NON) is reduced (figure 5.8).

NAO^- is also associated with a reduced heat loss in the western subpolar gyre and, to a lesser extent, the eastern subpolar gyre (figure 5.7).




Figure 5.11: DJFM averaged net heat transport across MAR. Gray shading encompasses the minimum and maximum values among the LR experiments. Blue bars show the NAO^- occurrences anomalies.

5.4.4 Impacts of the NAO⁺ regime

The atmospheric forcings associated with NAO⁺ are, to first order, opposite to those associated with NAO⁻ (figure 2.7). However, the midlatitude negative wind-stress curl anomalies are located further south than their NAO⁻ counterpart. This asymmetry of wind-anomalies between the two NAO regimes has been shown to be paramount to understand the ocean response induced by the NAO (Barrier et al., 2013). This asymmetry is also important to understand the linkages between ice export through Fram Strait and the NAO (Hilmer and Jung 2000).

NAO⁺ winter occurrences are associated with a decreased OC into the eastern subpolar gyre (figure 5.7), presumably due to increased heat export toward the Nordic Seas through FSO (figure 5.8). This is consistent with Flatau et al. (2003), who suggest that under NAO⁺ conditions, the North-Atlantic Current has an eastward trajectory. This is also consistent with Blindheim et al. (2000) and Orvik et al. (2001), who used observations to infer that a larger proportion of NAC water enters the Nordic Seas during positive NAO conditions. Heat export out of the Nordic Seas across NON and BAR are also increased under NAO⁺ conditions, presumably reflecting the close linkages between the heat transport through FSO and the heat transports through NON (correlations at 0 lag, ranging from 0.4 in HR to 0.8 in LR1) and, to a lesser extent, BAR (correlations at 0 lag, 0.4 in the LR experiments and 0.2 in HR). The volume transport across Fram Strait (section NON), however, shows no correlations: greater than normal NAO⁺ winter occurrences lead to increased northward transport of warm water and increased southward transport of cold water, resulting in a small net volume transport but a northward heat transport anomaly.

NAO⁺ is also associated with southward volume and heat transport anomalies across DSO, contrary to the NAO⁻ that is associated with northward transport anomalies. With NAO⁺, the anomalies are due to both a strengthening of the East-Greenland Current (EGC) and a reduction of the transport by the NIIC: indeed, the southward component shows positive correlation with the NAO⁺ winter occurrences, while its northward component shows negative correlations, hence giving a net southward transport anomaly. This is slightly different from the response of heat and mass transport across this same section to changes in NAO⁻ winter occurrences.

The winter occurrences of NAO⁺ are also negatively correlated with SF in the western and eastern subpolar gyres. However, the correlations show similar amplitudes between the western and eastern

subpolar gyre (more than 0.6), contrary to the correlations with the NAO^- . Hence, SF associated with the NAO^+ extend further east than for NAO^- , as could have been inferred from air-temperature anomaly composites (figure 2.7).

5.4.5 Summary

The correlations discussed in the present section are summarised in figure 5.12. The major conclusions are:

- AR drives, as suggested by Hakkinen et al. (2011a) and Barrier et al. (2012, 2013), a reduction of the gyre circulation, which is associated with a reduction ocean heat convergence (OC) into the western subpolar gyre. This reduction is inconsistent with Hakkinen et al. (2011a). Increased OC into the western subpolar gyre is associated with greater than average NAO^- winter occurrences and presumably reflects its associated cyclonic intergyre-gyre (Marshall et al. 2001; Barrier et al. 2013), consistently with Herbaut and Houssais (2009).
- Surface heat fluxes (SF) in the subpolar gyre are closely related to the $\text{NAO}^-/\text{NAO}^+$ regimes. However, in the Nordic Seas, SF variability is driven by changes in BLK winter occurrences and, to a lesser extent, AR ones.
- NAO^+ in the eastern subpolar gyre, NAO^- in the western subpolar gyre and AR in the Nordic Seas are associated with OC and SF anomalies that share the same signs, hence both contributing in the same way to changes in ocean heat content.




Figure 5.12: Summary of the 0-lag correlations between winter regime occurrences, SF (filled circles), net heat (coloured arrows) and mass (black arrows) transports. Blue=negative correlations. Red=positive correlations.

5.5 Heat content variability

This section is dedicated to the understanding of interannual to decadal variability of top-to-bottom ocean heat content in the three domains shown in figure 5.2 (contrary to section 5.3.2, in which heat content over the top 700 m has been considered). The temporal integration of equation 5.1 between time t and a reference time, t_0 , gives:

$$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial h_c}{\partial t} = h_c(t) - h_c(t_0) = \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^t SF(\lambda) d\lambda}_{TSF} + \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^t OC(\lambda) d\lambda}_{TOC} + \int_{t_0}^t \varepsilon(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (5.3)$$

As shown in section 5.2.3, the residual term has a weaker variance than the total heat input. Accordingly, it is expected to have less impact on ocean heat content variability. Hence, it is neglected

in the following and ocean heat content anomalies are approximated by:

$$h_{c_{approx}}(t) - h_{c_{approx}}(t_0) = TSF + TOC \quad (5.4)$$

where TOC stands for “Time-integrated Heat Convergence” and TSF for “Time-integrated surface flux”. To lay emphasis on the interannual to decadal variability, yearly (January to December) averages of OC and SF are considered. In the following, heat content anomalies refer to $h_{c_{approx}}(t) - h_{c_{approx}}(t_0)$, where $t_0 = 1964$, which is the first available year in each model experiment (table 5.1).

5.5.1 Western subpolar gyre

Heat content anomalies in the western subpolar gyre are consistent among the four experiments (figure 5.13), although HR shows weaker anomalies than the LR experiments. TSF and THC, on the contrary, show strong differences between the LR experiments and HR, which are due to the linear trends in SF and OC as modelled by HR (the time-integration of a linear function returns a quadratic function). The removing of these trends before the time integration returns time series that compare well with the LR experiments (thin green line, figure 5.13), suggesting that the variability in HR, despite the trends, is consistent with the variability in the LR runs.




Figure 5.13: Heat content anomalies, TSF and TOC in the western subpolar gyre. ZJ=Zetta Joule (10^{21} J).




Figure 5.14: Lead-lag correlations between TSF and TOC in the western subpolar gyre (TSF leads at positive lags).

From 1964 to 1984, the negative trend in ocean heat content is dominated by the negative trend in TOC. However, in the early 70s and 80s, when convection was strong (Yashayaev 2007), the decrease in TSF contributed to cool the western subpolar gyre. From 1988 to 1994, the decrease in ocean heat content is dominated by the strong decrease of TSF (approximately 10 ZJ), that is due to anomalously strong deep convection in this period (Straneo 2006; Lazier et al. 2002; Pickart and Spall 2007). This period is characterised by very strong NAO^+ /BLK conditions that both favor convection in the Labrador Sea (Barrier et al. 2013). As suggested by Cuny et al. (2001) and Straneo (2006), TOC shows a positive trend from 1990 to 1998 (i.e. 3 to 5 years after the decrease of TSF, figure 5.14) in order to compensate this strong heat loss to the atmosphere. This positive trend originates from the MAR section, hence suggesting an increase heat transport by the Irminger Current consistent with Cuny et al. (2001) and Straneo (2006). Warm and salty water is advected by the cyclonic circulation of the subpolar gyre and reaches the East Greenland Current (EGC, figure 5.2). Eddy-induced lateral homogenisation and strong lateral exchange transports the properties of the boundary current toward the interior basin.




Figure 5.15: (Left) Time series that would be expected according to Lazier et al. (2002). (Right) Time series of figure 5.13 vertically centered around 1994. Dashed lines show the trends used on the left panel.

From 1990 to 1994, TSF and TOC compensate each other, stabilising the ocean heat content at its lowest value. But in 1994, when the strong convection in the Labrador Sea comes to an end, TSF no longer compensates THC, leading to an increase in ocean heat content. This is consistent with the results of Lazier et al. (2002), who used CTD data to assess the variability of the Labrador-Sea between 1990 and 2000. They suggest that the simplest model to explain the variability of mixed-layer temperature in the Labrador Sea in this period is to consider a constant and positive heat convergence in the Labrador Sea (hence a linear time-integrated heat convergence, figure 5.15, blue curve on the left panel). In the presence of deep convection, the positive heat convergence is balanced by heat loss to the atmosphere (purple curve in the left panel of figure 5.15). And when the convection ends, the heat loss to the atmosphere is zero and does not compensate heat convergence anymore, leading to an increased heat content.

Many authors argue that the warming of the subpolar gyre of 1995 was due to a change in large-scale atmospheric variability, and especially to the abrupt switch in the NAO from highly positive in 1995 to highly negative in 1996. They suggest that this sudden change in the NAO induced a wind-driven reduction of the subpolar gyre that facilitated the intrusion of warm and saline subtropical water into the subpolar gyre (Hátún et al. 2005; Bersch 2002; Bersch et al. 2007; Sarafanov et al. 2008; Lozier et al. 2008; Chaudhuri et al. 2011 among others). However, between 1964 and 1980, negative NAO conditions prevail while positive NAO conditions dominate the atmospheric variability afterward. Hence, one would expect an increase in TOC and in ocean heat content in the former period, and a decrease afterward, which is contradictory with figures 5.5 and 5.13.

To understand this apparent contradiction, one must remember that, as suggested in section 5.4, it is SF and OC that are modulated by changes in large-scale atmospheric circulation. But heat content anomalies are the results of their time-integration. Accordingly, to highlight the linkages between heat content anomalies and large scale atmospheric conditions, one need to temporally integrate the atmospheric signal. Using the weather regime framework, this is achieved as follows. Let x be the winter occurrences of one weather regime, for example NAO^+ . The winter occurrence anomalies are defined as:

$$m = x - \bar{x} \quad (5.5)$$

The temporal integration is then achieved by a cumulated sum of m over the entire period:

$$wi(t) = \sum_{p=1}^t m(p) \quad (5.6)$$

where wi is the integrated NAO⁺ occurrences and t the time in years. m and wi are depicted in figure 5.16 for each regime.




Figure 5.16: Winter occurrences anomalies (m , filled plot) and time-integrated winter occurrences (wi , thick black line) for the period 1964-2010

The time-integrated BLK and AR occurrences show less amplitude than their NAO⁺/NAO⁻ counterpart. This highlights the decadal variability of the NAO during the study period, with mostly negative NAO⁻ in the first half, and mostly NAO⁺ in the second half of the period.

The integrated NAO⁺ occurrence (thick line of bottom right panel in figure 5.16) is now compared with heat content anomalies in the western subpolar gyre (figure 5.17). Heat content anomalies closely follow the integrated NAO⁺ with a 6-year lag (figure 5.17), which is presumably due to the different timescales of response of TSF and TOC to changes in the integrated NAO⁺ occurrences. TSF is negatively correlated with this index at lag 0, while TOC is positively correlated at lag 3 years (NAO⁺ dominates).




Figure 5.17: Normalised cumulated sum of NAO+ winter occurrence anomalies (black lines) and modelled heat content anomalies (coloured lines). The lag of the occurrence time series is indicated in the legend.

5.5.2 Eastern subpolar gyre

Heat content anomalies in the eastern subpolar gyre shows twice as less amplitude than TSF and THC ($-4/4$ ZJ versus $-8/8$ ZJ, figure 5.18). In all the model experiments, TSF increases from 1964 to 1980 and decreases afterward. TOC shows a similar behaviour, save for reversed signs.




Figure 5.18: Heat content anomalies, TSF and TOC in the eastern subpolar gyre. ZJ=Zetta Joule (10^{21} J).

Lead-lag correlations between TSF and TOC are significant at lags -3 to 1 (TSF dominates at positive lag, figure 5.19). Hence, consistent with Desbruyeres et al. (2013), TSF acts as a damper of the TOC contribution to heat content anomalies.

Two dramatic changes in ocean heat content are visible in figure 5.18 (left panel). Between 1994




Figure 5.19: Lead-lag correlations between TSF and TOC in the eastern subpolar gyre (TSF leads at positive lags).

and 1997, ocean heat content increases by 4 ZJ in LR2, LR3, HR and 2 ZJ in LR1. Then, ocean heat content anomalies stabilise at higher means, before suddenly decreasing in 2005. The longest experiment, LR3, suggests that this cooling ends in 2009.

To determine the causes of the 1994 increase, the variations of OC and SF (equation 5.1) are analysed. Between 1994 and 1995, OC shows a dramatic increase (50 to 65 TW approximately, figure 5.20), causing a similar increase in the net heat input. Between 1995 and 1997, heat convergence either shows a slight (in the LR runs) or a sharp (in HR) decrease, which is however compensated by an increase in SF of similar amplitude. Hence, the total heat input remains high in this period.

The decomposition of heat convergence in the eastern subpolar gyre suggests that the increase in OC is mostly due to an increase in the net heat transport across 42E (40 to 50 TW, figure 5.21). In LR2 and LR3, less heat is exported through MAR, while in the HR run, less heat is exported through FSO (20 TW). These contributions to the change in heat convergence are significant, although dominated by the heat import through 42E.

As discussed above, the strong warming of subpolar gyre of 1994 has often been linked to the change in the NAO conditions, from positive in 1995 to negative in 1996 (figure 5.22). This is consistent with the negative correlations between OC in the eastern subpolar gyre and NAO⁺ occurrences




Figure 5.20: Time series of surface heat flux, ocean heat convergence and heat input anomalies (TW) in the Eastern subpolar gyre




Figure 5.21: Yearly net heat transport difference (1995 minus 1994). Positive values contribute to warm the eastern subpolar gyre.

(figure 5.7) and with the positive correlations between heat transport across 42E and NAO^- occurrences (only for the LR experiments, however, figure 5.8). But the abrupt change in OC precedes the change of NAO by one year. This mismatch is due to the averaging of HC from January to December, while winter occurrences are summed over DJFM. The strongest increase in HC occur in November and December 1995, thus contributing to the yearly increase of 1995. But the change in monthly NAO^+ occurrences of December 1995 contributed to the change in winter NAO^+ occurrences of 1996, accounting for the apparent one year lag between the two.

As suggested in section 5.5.1, the increase in heat convergence of 1995 might also be a delayed response of the strong NAO^+ /BLK events of 1988-1995. To test this hypothesis, correlations between the heat content anomalies computed over the entire western subpolar gyre (figure 5.13, left panel),




Figure 5.22: Winter (top) and monthly (bottom) occurrences of NAO⁺ and NAO⁻.




Figure 5.23: Monthly (black, seasonal cycle removed) and yearly (brown) ocean heat convergence anomalies in the Eastern subpolar gyre. Blue points highlight DJFM months in the monthly time-series.

which has been shown to be a delayed response to the integrated NAO, and heat content at each grid point, have been computed (figure 5.24). The strong correlations (>0.8) are limited to the western subpolar gyre in all the models, although it is less clear in the HR experiment. Hence, the 1995 warming of the eastern subpolar gyre might not be due to the delayed response to the time-integration of NAO⁺ forcings but more likely is a consequence of the abrupt changes in NAO⁺ forcings.




Figure 5.24: Correlation map between heat content anomalies at each grid point and heat content anomalies in the western subpolar gyre (figure 5.13, left panel). Black contour lines represent the $-0.8/ +0.8$ contours.

5.5.3 Nordic Seas

In the Nordic Seas, heat content anomalies among the model experiments share some common features (figure 5.25). However, the time series of TSF and TOC are not the least comparable among the different experiments. The warming of 1983-1989, which is reproduced in all the experiments, is mostly due to TOC in HR, while in LR2 it is mostly due to TSF. In LR2 and LR3, both components contribute to this warming. The only conclusion that can be drawn from figure 5.25 is that the inter-annual variability of ocean heat content is dominated by TSF, while THC modulates this variability at longer time-scales. However, the strong discrepancies in THC among the different model runs prevent to draw any conclusion on the reasons for HC variability. This highlights the difficulty to correctly model the Nordic Seas (Drange et al. 2005).




Figure 5.25: Heat content anomalies and anomalous heat input, heat fluxes and heat convergence in the Nordic Seas.

5.6 Conclusion

In the present chapter, heat budget calculations in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas have been performed using four global ocean only models. The variability of winter averaged sources of heat (surface heat flux, heat convergence and heat transport) has been first linked to the variability of winter regime occurrences through correlation analysis. Consistent with Cayan (1992a), heat flux variability in the subpolar gyre is related to variations in NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ occurrences. As a signature of NAO asymmetry, the eastern subpolar is more impacted by NAO⁺ than by NAO⁻.

Heat flux variability in the Nordic Seas is dominated by changes in BLK occurrences and, to a lesser extent, AR occurrences.

Our results suggest that NAO^- is associated with increased heat convergence in the western subpolar gyre, driven due to an increased westward heat transport across the Mid-Atlantic Ridge by the intergyre-gyre (Marshall et al. 2001; Barrier et al. 2013), as suggested by Herbaut and Houssais (2009). Similarly with Hakkinen et al. (2011a), AR is associated with a weakened subpolar gyre. However, this reduction induces a reduced heat convergence into the western subpolar gyre. Hence, the reduction of the subpolar gyre associated with AR unlikely facilitates the intrusion of subtropical water into the subpolar gyre, as proposed by Hakkinen et al. (2011a).

Yearly average ocean heat convergence and surface heat flux in each domain have then been temporally integrated, in order to analyse the variability in ocean heat content. The western and eastern subpolar gyres significantly warmed in 1995. This warming has often been attributed to an abrupt change in the NAO index between 1995 and 1996. We propose that this might be true in the eastern subpolar gyre. However, using a time-series of time-integrated NAO^+ occurrences, we suggest that the concomitant warming of the western subpolar gyre might be the delayed response (by approximately 6 years) to the integrated NAO^+ forcings. In the Nordic-Seas, the strong discrepancies among the model experiments prevent to draw any affirmative conclusions. However, the interannual variability of ocean heat content seems dominated by surface heat fluxes, while ocean heat convergence seems to modulate heat content anomalies at lower frequencies.




Figure 5.26: Annual anomalies of global averaged SST (blue curve) and land-surface air-temperature (red curve). Source: Trenberth et al. (2007)

Global average sea-surface temperature and land-surface temperature observations show sharp

upward trend in the 1979-2005 period (figure 5.26). To determine this global warming trend has some impact on the heat budget calculations performed here, the trends in the surface heat fluxes have been computed in each domain and for each model experiment. In each model, the surface heat flux in the western subpolar gyre shows positive trend. However, this trend is robust only in the LR3 run. In the two other domains, the trends are never significant. Furthermore, the signs are inconsistent: in the eastern subpolar gyre, the trends are negative in LR1 and LR2 but positive in LR3 and HR. In the Nordic Seas, the trends are positive in LR1 and HR but negative in LR2 and LR3. Hence, we suggest that in the second half of the 20th century (from 1979 onward), the sharp increase in global warming (figure 5.26) is unlikely to impact much the surface heat flux in any of the regions studied here.

Model	West	East	Nordic
LR1	0.38	-0.24	0.13
LR2	0.86	-0.01	-0.21
LR3	1.72	0.35	-0.32
HR	0.02	0.43	0.57

Table 5.3: Trend in yearly surface heat flux (TW/year) computed from 1979 onward. Significant trend at the 95% level of confidence are shown in bold.

Chapter 6

Conclusion and discussions

Contents

6.1	Summary	161
6.1.1	Subtropical sea-surface height variability	162
6.1.2	Gyre circulation and overturning circulation	163
6.1.3	Heat budget in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas	164
6.2	Perspectives	166
6.2.1	Impact of the summer season	166
6.2.2	Biogeochemistry and small-scale processes	167
6.2.3	Salinity budget	168
6.2.4	Climate change studies	169

6.1 Summary

The literature concerning the impacts of the atmospheric forcings on the variability of the ocean circulation in the North-Atlantic, which is a key region for the Earth climate system, is abundant. In most of these studies, the concept of modes of variability (Barnston and Livezey 1987) is used in order to reduce the atmospheric variability to a finite number of spatial patterns (fixed over time), to which are associated a specific time series. These modes of variability are traditionally determined by decomposing sea-level pressure anomalies into “Empirical Orthogonal Functions” (EOFs), which assume the orthogonality and the symmetry of these modes. The latter assumption, however, has been shown to be partly inadequate for the first mode of variability in the North-Atlantic/European domain, the North-Atlantic Oscillation (NAO, Hurrell 1995).

The aim of the PhD was to use an alternative concept that allows to circumvent these limitations, the so-called weather regimes, in order to analyse the variability of the ocean circulation forced by

the atmosphere. The weather regimes are large-scale, recurrent and quasi-stationary atmospheric patterns, which have been shown to successfully capture the variability of surface forcing to the ocean (wind and air-temperature anomalies, Cassou et al. 2011; Minvielle et al. 2011). Since the variance of atmospheric forcing is greater in winter (December to January) than in the other seasons, hence the most likely to impact the ocean circulation, only the winter weather regimes are considered. These regimes are the Atlantic-Ridge (AR), the Scandinavian Blocking (BLK) and the two phases of the NAO (NAO⁺ and NAO⁻). AR is characterised by anticyclonic wind anomalies off Europe, warm air-temperature anomalies in the subpolar gyre and cold anomalies in the Nordic Seas. BLK is characterised by anticyclonic conditions over Europe that prevent the midlatitude westerlies from penetrating inland by tilting them northward toward the Nordic Seas. BLK is also associated with cold temperature anomalies in the Labrador Sea and warm anomalies in the Nordic Seas. NAO⁺ is characterised by reinforced midlatitude westerlies and trade winds, cold temperature anomalies in the Labrador Sea and warm anomalies in the subtropics and the Nordic Seas (Cayan 1992b). NAO⁻ surface forcing anomalies are, to first order, opposite to those associated with the NAO⁺. However, wind stress curl anomalies associated with the NAO⁻ are located 5°N further north than the anomalies associated with the NAO⁺ and the air-temperature anomalies in the Labrador Sea extend further east with the NAO⁺ than with the NAO⁻.

The weather regime framework has been used to approximate the atmospheric variability in order to analyse the changes in ocean circulation in the North Atlantic driven by the atmosphere. The major findings are summarised hereafter.

6.1.1 Subtropical sea-surface height variability

As a first step, the variability of the subtropical gyre, assessed through observations of subtropical sea-surface height (SSH) anomalies (altimetry and tide-gauge data), has been linked to changes in weather regimes conditions. SSH anomalies are negatively correlated with AR winter occurrences, hence suggesting that AR drives a reduction of the subtropical gyre. Especially, the negative SSH anomalies of 1970 are likely due to the numerous AR days during this period. This contradicts the results of Ezer (1999) who attributed these negative anomalies to changes in the NAO. In our study, neither simultaneous nor lagged significant correlation has been found with the winter occurrences

of NAO⁺ or NAO⁻.

The use of a planetary geostrophic model confirms that the variability of subtropical SSH anomalies is mostly wind-driven, as suggested by Ezer (1999); Hong et al. (2000); Cabanes et al. (2006). Hence, the negative SSH anomalies under strong AR conditions are wind-driven and consistent with the gyre-mode of Hakkinen et al. (2011a), the sea-level pressure anomaly pattern of which projects fairly well onto the AR centroid.

Furthermore, sensitivity experiments confirm that most of the wind-driven interannual variability of the subtropical gyre is due to the winter wind-forcing, while the summer wind forcing only plays a minor role. This justifies the choice to only consider the winter weather regimes. These results are summarised in Barrier et al. (2012), published in *Climate Dynamics*.

6.1.2 Gyre circulation and overturning circulation

Using an ocean general circulation model forced by atmospheric reanalysis, the response of the gyres (subtropical and subpolar) and of the meridional overturning circulation to changes in regime conditions has been assessed. The fast (monthly to interannual timescales) response has been inferred using statistical analysis on a realistic model experiment and by using a simplified barotropic configuration of the model. At these timescales, AR induces a reduction of the gyres, consistent with Hakkinen et al. (2011a). NAO⁻ drives a cyclonic gyre circulation anomaly centered at 45°N, which resembles the intergyre-gyre of Marshall et al. (2001). NAO⁺ gyre anomalies mirror those of NAO⁻ but are southward shifted (centered at 40°N). The mechanism at stake is topographic Sverdrup balance. No fast adjustment of the gyres is obtained for the BLK regime. As for the gyres, only AR, NAO⁻ and NAO⁺ impact the overturning circulation at monthly to interannual time-scales via Ekman-driven surface flow compensated at depth by a return flow.

To analyse the slow (decadal timescales) adjustment of the circulation to persistent regime conditions, the model has been forced with idealised forcing conditions that are representative of one and only one regime. In these idealised experiments, the mechanical influence of wind forcing (in the momentum equation) has been separated from their influence on turbulent fluxes. The results of these idealised experiments suggest that AR induces a slackening of the gyres through baroclinic adjustment to wind-stress curl anomalies. Persistent BLK conditions are associated with a strength-

ening of the subpolar gyre, due to baroclinic adjustment of the gyre to increased heat loss in the Labrador Sea. During persistent NAO^- conditions, gyre circulation shows cyclonic anomalies at 40°N , similar to the intergyre-gyre of Marshall et al. (2001). The northern subpolar gyre shows a weaker circulation due to reduced deep convection in the Labrador Sea. Moreover, a greater inflow of warm water of subtropical origin into the subpolar gyre provides a positive feedback for the slackening of the subpolar gyre. Under persistent NAO^+ conditions, the subtropical gyre is strengthened via baroclinic adjustment to wind-stress curl, while the subpolar gyre is strengthened via baroclinic adjustment to increased heat loss in the Labrador Sea. Persistent NAO^- and AR conditions are also associated with a reduction of the large-scale overturning circulation, due to a reduction of deep water formation in the Labrador Sea. Opposite results are obtained for persistent NAO^+ and BLK conditions. These results are detailed in the *Journal of Physical Oceanography* (Barrier et al. 2013, in press).

6.1.3 Heat budget in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas

Finally, heat budgets have been computed in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas, following the same methodology as Marsh et al. (2008), Grist et al. (2010), Desbruyeres et al. (2013) or Lique and Steele (2013). A novelty, however, is that the Mid-Atlantic Ridge has been used as a separation border between the western and eastern subpolar gyres. Moreover, four numerical models have been considered, in order to assess the uncertainty of the results.

Linkages between the winter averaged sources of heat in each domain (surface heat flux and ocean heat convergence) and the weather regimes have been sought for using correlation analysis. Surface heat flux in the western and eastern parts of the subpolar gyre are strongly correlated with the winter occurrences of NAO^+ (negative correlations) and NAO^- (positive correlations), consistent with Cayan (1992b). However, in the eastern subpolar gyre, the correlation is stronger with NAO^+ because of the eastward extension of air-temperature anomalies. Surface heat flux in the Nordic Seas shows strong positive correlation with BLK winter occurrences and significant positive correlations with the AR ones.

Contrary to the suggestion of Hakkinen et al. (2011a,b), winter averaged ocean heat convergence in the western subpolar gyre is negatively correlated with AR winter occurrences. Hence, the re-

duction of the gyre circulation associated with AR is unlikely to facilitate the intrusion of warm and salty subtropical water (as hypothesised by Hátún et al. 2005). Ocean heat convergence in the western subpolar gyre is positively correlated with NAO^- occurrences: during NAO^- conditions, the cyclonic intergyre-gyre transports warm water of subtropical origin northwestward toward the western subpolar gyre, consistently with Herbaut and Houssais (2009). Heat convergence in the eastern subpolar gyre is negatively correlated with NAO^+ occurrences, which reflects a greater heat export into the Nordic Seas through the Faroe-Shetland passage.

The variability of ocean heat content anomalies, issued from the integration over time of surface heat flux and ocean heat convergence anomalies, have been analysed for each domain. In the western subpolar gyre, ocean heat content decreases from 1964 to 1994 and increases afterward. The time-series of heat content anomalies in this domain closely resembles the time-integrated NAO^+ winter occurrences with a lag of approximately 6 years. Hence, the low frequency variability of heat content in the western subpolar gyre is likely reflecting the delayed response to the time-integrated NAO forcing. Especially, the warming of 1995 is presumably due to the strong NAO^+ /BLK conditions of 1988-1995, during which dramatic heat loss to the atmosphere occurs, hence cooling the subpolar gyre. This increased heat loss is compensated after a 3 year lag by increased heat convergence, stabilising the heat content at its lower values. In 1994, when deep convection stops and the heat loss to the atmosphere is reduced, the western subpolar gyre starts to warm, consistent with the results of Lazier et al. (2002).

Correlation maps between heat content anomalies in the western subpolar gyre and heat content anomalies at each grid point suggests that the above statement only holds for the western subpolar gyre. Hence, the concomitant warming of the eastern subpolar gyre in 1995 might only be due to the strong shift in the NAO that occurred between 1995 and 1996, as suggested by Sarafanov et al. (2008). In the eastern subpolar gyre, the contributions of time-integrated surface heat flux and ocean heat convergence compensate each other.

While the results in the western and eastern subpolar gyres are fairly robust among the model experiments, it is not the case in the Nordic Seas. Especially, the respective contributions of surface heat flux and ocean heat convergence to heat content anomalies show clear discrepancies among the four model experiments.

6.2 Perspectives

Besides what has been done in the PhD, there are a few subjects that could be revisited using the weather regime framework in the North Atlantic, in light of their impact on the ocean circulation as highlighted here. We introduce these subjects below, briefly review the corresponding literature, and eventually describe ongoing activities to address the related questions.

6.2.1 Impact of the summer season

During the PhD, the emphasis was laid on the impacts of the winter weather regimes on the ocean circulation, since the variance of atmospheric forcing is greater in winter than in the other seasons, hence the most likely to impact the ocean circulation. However, the consideration of the summer season might be important. For instance, Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) and Ruprich-Robert and Cassou (2013) suggest that the reduction of the AMOC after a positive wintertime EAP index leads to a SST anomaly pattern that resembles the opposite of the Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV). This SST pattern favours the negative phase of the summertime EAP, hence providing a weak positive feedback. Accordingly, the ocean could potentially force the summer weather regimes.

Furthermore, the consideration of the summer season could be important when investigating heat content variations. Indeed, during summer, the variance of surface heat fluxes in the western and eastern subpolar gyre is weaker than the variance of heat convergence. Hence, the variability of summer heat content in these regions is dominated by heat convergence. It would be interesting to analyse how the heat provided or extracted by winter surface heat fluxes is transported out of the domain in summer by the ocean. This has been done by Straneo (2006), who suggests that after wintertime convection in the Labrador Sea, strong lateral exchanges take place in order to bring back the properties to the boundary current values. This restratification occurs from May to December. One could ask whether the weather regimes during this period (described in Cassou et al. 2011) could potentially impact this restratification mechanism.

6.2.2 Biogeochemistry and small-scale processes

All along the PhD, the emphasis was laid on the impacts of the large-scale atmospheric variability on the ocean circulation. Yet, large-scale atmospheric variability has also been shown to impact the marine biogeochemistry. Keller et al. (2012) used the pre-industrial control runs of six coupled climate models to analyse the linkages between the North-Atlantic Oscillation and the carbon cycle. They suggest that the changes in key carbon-related parameters (e.g. dissolved organic carbon, alkalinity) all shows an instantaneous (timescales shorter than 3 months) dipole pattern with negative anomalies in the subtropical gyre and positive anomalies in the subpolar gyre under positive NAO, which is mainly driven by vertical mixing between the surface and the thermocline. They also highlight the asymmetric nature of the NAO observed in all the models. Patara et al. (2011), using a coupled climate model, suggest that the impacts of the NAO on the chlorophyll depends on the time-scales. The direct response (0-year lag) to positive NAO reflects changes in the vertical mixing that leads to a deepening of the mixed layer in the subpolar gyre accompanied by an increase in the chlorophyll, and conversely in the subtropical gyre. The advection by the North-Atlantic Current of subsurface nutrient reservoirs lead, after a one year lag, to an increase of the chlorophyll at midlatitudes. At decadal timescales, the spin-up of the gyre circulation and the changes in local mixing and sea-ice fraction lead to a redistribution of the biogeochemical properties and in turn to changes in chlorophyll. Since these studies use the classical NAO index, it would be interesting to perform similar analysis using the weather regime framework, in order to assess the impacts of the NAO asymmetry. Furthermore, since the AR has been shown to reduce the strength of both gyres, one could anticipate significant impacts of the AR on the chlorophyll.

Large-scale atmospheric variability has also been shown to impact the small-scale processes. Chaudhuri et al. (2009) suggest that changes in the NAO index are positively correlated with the annual rates of Gulf-Stream warm core rings (WCRs). They suggest that strengthened winds under positive NAO⁺ conditions lead to increase eddy-kinetic energy (EKE) in the Gulf-Stream, hence favouring the baroclinic instability in the Gulf-Stream front and the occurrences of WCRs. They suggest that the NAO-related meridional displacements of the Gulf-Stream (after a one year lag) and the resulting interactions with the New England Seamounts are unlikely to contribute to the changes in the WCRs occurrences. Penduff et al. (2004) suggest that strong variability in the

NAO, as observed in 1993, leads to a meridional redistribution of the EKE after a 4-12 months lag, presumably due to the wind-driven input of potential vorticity anomalies that would impact the large-scale circulation. Volkov (2005) used satellite altimetry to analyse the interannual variability of EKE variability. They suggest that the meridional shifts of the Gulf-Stream are correlated with changes in the NAO after a 2 year lag, while in the Iceland Basin and the Irminger Basin, EKE anomalies reflect the NAO-related zonal displacements of the subpolar front. Again, the use of the weather regime framework might be promising to understand the impacts of the large-scale atmospheric variability on the variability of the EKE. Especially, since the gyre anomalies are five degrees further north for the NAO⁻ than for the NAO⁺ (Barrier et al. 2013), one could suspect that these two regimes would have different impacts on the EKE generation.

6.2.3 Salinity budget

The role of salinity is of considerable importance for the future state of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). In the context of climate change, a stronger hydrological cycle and an increase in Greenland ice melting would lead to a fresher/lighter water in the North-Atlantic and in turn to a weaker AMOC. If the AMOC exports freshwater southward (i.e. imports salt northward), as suggested by Deshayes et al. (2012) using high-resolution ocean models, the reduction of the AMOC will lead to a reduced transport of salinity, hence providing a positive feedback to the AMOC slackening (the so-called “salt-advection feedback”). The presence of this positive feedback is a necessary condition for a bistable AMOC, with both a strong and a weak state.

Bojariu and Reverdin (2002) used canonical correlation analysis on NCEP/NCAR reanalysis to link the variability of freshwater fluxes (evaporation minus precipitation) to the large-scale modes of variability. They suggest that the first mode of variability of precipitations and freshwater fluxes is driven by the NAO, while their second mode of variability is driven by the EAP. Treguer et al. 2013 (in preparation for the *Journal of Marine System*), using the weather regime framework and the GPCP precipitation dataset¹ between 1979-2012, obtained precipitation anomalies associated with the weather regimes that compare well with the patterns of Bojariu and Reverdin (2002). At the local scale, they suggest that the precipitations recorded at the Guipavas meteorological station (Brittany,

¹<http://www.gewex.org/gpcp.html>

France) are reduced under EAP/AR conditions. Using a climate model, Mignot and Frankignoul (2004) suggest, however, that the sea-surface salinity (SSS) variations in response to the NAO/EAP are mostly driven by anomalous Ekman advection of mean SSS, the impacts of the freshwater fluxes being significant only at decadal timescales.

Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) and Ruprich-Robert and Cassou (2013) suggest, using coupled climate models, that the decadal variability of the EAP impacts the decadal variability of the AMOC: positive EAP conditions induce a reduction of the subpolar gyre, hence a reduced northward advection of warm and salty water. The resulting negative density anomalies will reduce the deep convection in the Nordic Seas and in turn lead to a reduction in the strength of the AMOC. On the other hand, Frankignoul et al. (2009) used an ocean only model to analyse salinity variations in the eastern subpolar gyre. They suggest that these variations are dominated by the NAO through increased precipitations (consistent with Bojariu and Reverdin 2002 and Treguer et al. 2013), reduced northward salt transport due to the anticyclonic intergyre-gyre (consistent with Herbaut and Houssais 2009) and increased southward freshwater transport across the Greenland-Scotland-Ridge. They also suggest that the NAO induces a spin-up of the AMOC hence an increased northward salt transport that is counterbalanced by the eastward shift of the subpolar front induced by the strengthening of the subpolar gyre, which limit the northward transport of salt by the North-Atlantic Current. As a consequence, the impacts on the salinity in the northeastern subpolar gyre are almost zero, as opposed to Msadek and Frankignoul (2009) and Ruprich-Robert and Cassou (2013).

A better understanding of freshwater content variability in the subpolar gyre and the Nordic Seas could be gained by the computation of salt budgets similar to those described in section 5 for heat content.

6.2.4 Climate change studies

All along this study, the focus was on the past variability (1949-2010). However, global warming is expected to significantly warm the ocean at the surface, leading to a more stratified ocean. Hence, the question that arises is whether the results discussed are robust with respect to the mean state, i.e. whether similar results would be obtained in the context of climate change. To answer such a question, the following methodology, based on the coupled climate models used in the IPCC, has

been thought of and started using the CNRM-CM5 climate model. As a first step, the surface ocean forcings of the control experiment, in which the external forcings are fixed to their 1850 estimated pre-industrial values, are used to force the ocean component of the climate model, initialised by the oceanic component of the coupled climate model. The comparison between the forced and the coupled runs will allow to determine whether the variability of the ocean circulation is reproduced in the forced mode.

The daily anomalies of surface atmospheric forcing issued from this “pre-industrial control” experiment are then extracted by removing the mean seasonal cycle. These forcing anomalies are added to the mean seasonal cycle of the surface forcings issued from the climate change scenario RCP8.5. Hence, this new forcing could be considered as an artificial combination of intrinsic variability issued from the “pre-industrial control” experiment and the mean state of a climate change scenario. A forced run is then performed using these new forcings but initialised from the oceanic state of the climate change scenario. These two forced experiments, the “forced control” and the “forced climate change scenario”, share the same variability but not the mean states. As a consequence, the comparison of these two experiments might provide the answer as to the impact of the mean state on the ocean response to atmospheric variability.

However, sea-ice contributes to the variability of winter averaged air-temperature and specific humidity, especially in the Nordic Seas, where most of the winter averaged sea-ice variability occurs. As a consequence, the air-temperature and specific humidity anomalies issued from “pre-industrial control” have been corrected from these effects because the sea-ice has melted in the climate change scenario.

The runs are currently being performed and 17 years of the “forced control” experiments have been subjected to a cursory analysis. The variability of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in the forced run is consistent with the variability in the coupled run. The variability of the subtropical and subpolar gyres is also consistent among these two runs, with weaker amplitudes in the forced run however. The next step is to run the “forced climate change scenario” in order to assess whether the impacts of the large-scale atmospheric variability, assessed by using the weather regime framework, on the ocean circulation are the same as in the “forced control” run.

Bibliography

- Aksenov, Y., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., and Nurser, A. (2010). The north atlantic inflow to the arctic ocean: High-resolution model study. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 79(1):1–22.
- Antonov, J., Levitus, S., and Boyer, T. (2005). Thermosteric sea level rise, 1955-2003. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(12).
- Barnston, A. and Livezey, R. (1987). Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency atmospheric circulation patterns. *Monthly Weather Review*, 115(6):1083–1126.
- Barrier, N., Treguier, A., Cassou, C., and Deshayes, J. (2013). Response of north-atlantic ocean circulation to atmospheric weather regimes. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, in press:in press.
- Barrier, N., Treguier, A.-M., Cassou, C., and Deshayes, J. (2012). Impact of the winter north-atlantic weather regimes on subtropical sea-surface height variability. *Climate Dynamics*, pages 1–13.
- Bellucci, A., Gualdi, S., Scoccimarro, E., and Navarra, A. (2008). NAO-ocean circulation interactions in a coupled general circulation model. *Climate Dynamics*, 31(7-8):759–777.
- Bellucci, A. and Richards, K. (2006). Effects of NAO variability on the north Atlantic ocean circulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(2).
- Bersch, M. (2002). North Atlantic Oscillation-induced changes of the upper layer circulation in the northern North Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 107(C10).
- Bersch, M., Yashayaev, I., and Koltermann, K. P. (2007). Recent changes of the thermohaline circulation in the subpolar North Atlantic. *Ocean Dynamics*, 57(3):223–235.
- Bindoff, N., Willebrand, J., Artale, V., A, C., Gregory, J., Gulev, S., Hanawa, K., Quéré, C. L., Levitus, S., Nojiri, Y., Shum, C., Talley, L., and Unnikrishnan, A. (2007). Observations: Oceanic Climate Change and Sea Level. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.
- Blindheim, J., Borovkov, V., Hansen, B., Malmberg, S.-A., Turrell, W., and Østerhus, S. (2000). Upper layer cooling and freshening in the norwegian sea in relation to atmospheric forcing. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 47(4):655–680.
- Bojariu, R. and Reverdin, G. (2002). Large-scale variability modes of freshwater flux and precipitation over the atlantic. *Climate Dynamics*, 18(5):369–381.
- Brayshaw, D. J., Hoskins, B., and Blackburn, M. (2011). The basic ingredients of the north atlantic storm track. part ii: Sea surface temperatures. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 68(8):1784–1805.

- Brodeau, L., Barnier, B., Treguier, A.-M., Penduff, T., and Gulev, S. (2010). An ERA40-based atmospheric forcing for global ocean circulation models. *Ocean Modelling*, 31(3-4):88–104.
- Cabanes, C., Cazenave, A., and Le Provost, C. (2001). Sea level rise during past 40 years determined from satellite and in situ observations. *Science*, 294(5543):840–842.
- Cabanes, C., Huck, T., and De Verdiere, A. C. (2006). Contributions of wind forcing and surface heating to interannual sea level variations in the Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 36(9):1739–1750.
- Cassou, C., Minvielle, M., Terray, L., and Perigaud, C. (2011). A statistical-dynamical scheme for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part I: weather regimes as predictors for ocean surface variables. *Climate Dynamics*, 36(1-2):19–39.
- Cassou, C., Terray, L., Hurrell, J., and Deser, C. (2004). North Atlantic winter climate regimes: Spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. *Journal of Climate*, 17(5):1055–1068.
- Cayan, D. (1992a). Latent and sensible heat-fluxes anomalies over the Northern Oceans - Driving the Sea-Surface Temperature. *Journal of Climate*, 5(4):354–369.
- Cayan, D. R. (1992b). Latent and sensible heat flux anomalies over the northern oceans: The connection to monthly atmospheric circulation. *Journal of Climate*, 5(4):354–369.
- Cazenave, A. and Llovel, W. (2010). Contemporary sea level rise. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 2:145–173.
- Chaudhuri, A. H., Gangopadhyay, A., and Bisagni, J. J. (2009). Interannual variability of Gulf Stream warm-core rings in response to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Continental Shelf Research*, 29(7):856–869.
- Chaudhuri, A. H., Gangopadhyay, A., and Bisagni, J. J. (2011). Contrasting Response of the Eastern and Western North Atlantic Circulation to an Episodic Climate Event. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 41(9):1630–1638.
- Cuny, J., Rhines, P., Niiler, P., and Bacon, S. (2001). Labrador sea boundary currents and the fate of the Irminger Sea Water. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 32(2):627–647.
- Curry, R. and McCartney, M. (2001). Ocean gyre circulation changes associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 31(12):3374–3400.
- Dasgupta, S., Laplante, B., Meisner, C., Wheeler, D., and Jianping Yan, D. (2007). The impact of sea level rise on developing countries: a comparative analysis. *World Bank policy research working paper*, (4136).
- Dee, D., Uppala, S., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., et al. (2011). The era-interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(656):553–597.
- Delecluse, P. (2011). Caractéristiques de la variabilité climatique. In *Le Climat à découvert*. CNRS Éditions.

- Desbruyeres, D., Mercier, H., and Thierry, V. (2013). On the mechanisms behind decadal heat content changes in the eastern subpolar gyre. *Progress in Oceanography*, submitted:submitted.
- Deshayes, J., Curry, R., and Msadek, R. (2012). Cmp5 model inter-comparison of freshwater budget and circulation in the north atlantic. *Journal of Climate*, submitted:submitted.
- Deshayes, J. and Frankignoul, C. (2008). Simulated variability of the circulation in the North Atlantic from 1953 to 2003. *Journal of Climate*, 21(19):4919–4933.
- Deshayes, J., Tréguier, A.-M., Barnier, B., Lecomte, A., Sommer, J. L., Molines, J.-M., Penduff, T., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Drillet, Y., Garric, G., et al. (2013). Oceanic hindcast simulations at high resolution suggest that the atlantic moc is bistable. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- Drange, H., Dokken, T., Furevik, T., Gerdes, R., Berger, W., Nesje, A., Orvik, K. A., Skagseth, Ø., Skjelvan, I., and Østerhus, S. (2005). The nordic seas: An overview. In *The Nordic Seas: An Integrated Perspective*, volume 158. American Geophysical Union.
- Eden, C. and Willebrand, J. (2001). Mechanism of interannual to decadal variability of the North Atlantic circulation. *Journal of Climate*, 14(10):2266–2280.
- Ezer, T. (1999). Decadal variabilities of the upper layers of the subtropical North Atlantic: An ocean model study. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 29(12):3111–3124.
- Fasullo, J. T. and Trenberth, K. E. (2008). The annual cycle of the energy budget. Part II: Meridional structures and poleward transports. *Journal of Climate*, 21(10):2313–2325.
- Flatau, M., Talley, L., and Niiler, P. (2003). The North Atlantic Oscillation, surface current velocities, and SST changes in the subpolar North Atlantic. *Journal of Climate*, 16(14):2355–2369.
- Foucault, A. (2009). *Climatologie et paléoclimatologie*. Dunod.
- Frankignoul, C., Deshayes, J., and Curry, R. (2009). The role of salinity in the decadal variability of the north atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Climate dynamics*, 33(6):777–793.
- Ganachaud, A. and Wunsch, C. (2003). Large-scale ocean heat and freshwater transports during the world ocean circulation experiment. *Journal of Climate*, 16(4):696–705.
- Grist, J. P., Josey, S. A., Marsh, R., Good, S. A., Coward, A. C., de Cuevas, B. A., Alderson, S. G., New, A. L., and Madec, G. (2010). The roles of surface heat flux and ocean heat transport convergence in determining Atlantic Ocean temperature variability. *Ocean Dynamics*, 60(4):771–790.
- Grossmann, I. and Klotzbach, P. J. (2009). A review of North Atlantic modes of natural variability and their driving mechanisms. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 114.
- Hakkinen, S., Rhines, P. B., and Worthen, D. L. (2011a). Atmospheric Blocking and Atlantic Multidecadal Ocean Variability. *Science*, 334(6056):655–659.
- Hakkinen, S., Rhines, P. B., and Worthen, D. L. (2011b). Warm and saline events embedded in the meridional circulation of the northern North Atlantic. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 116.

- Hansen, B., Hátún, H., Kristiansen, R., Olsen, S., and Østerhus, S. (2010). Stability and forcing of the iceland-faroe inflow of water, heat, and salt to the arctic. *Ocean Science Discussions*, 7(4):1245–1287.
- Hansen, B. and Østerhus, S. (2000). North atlantic–nordic seas exchanges. *Progress in Oceanography*, 45(2):109–208.
- Hátún, H., Sandø, A. B., Drange, H., Hansen, B., and Valdimarsson, H. (2005). Influence of the atlantic subpolar gyre on the thermohaline circulation. *Science*, 309(5742):1841–1844.
- Herbaut, C. and Houssais, M. (2009). Response of the eastern North Atlantic subpolar gyre to the North Atlantic Oscillation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36.
- Hilmer, M. and Jung, T. (2000). Evidence for a recent change in the link between the north atlantic oscillation and arctic sea ice export. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(7):989–992.
- Hong, B., Sturges, W., and Clarke, A. (2000). Sea level on the US East Coast: Decadal variability caused by open ocean wind-curl forcing. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 30(8):2088–2098.
- Houghton, J., Meira Filho, L., Callander, B., Harris, N., Kattenberg, A., and Maskell, K. (1996). *Climate change 1995: The Science of Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hurrell, J. (1995). Decadal Trends in the North-Atlantic Oscillation - Regional temperatures and precipitations. *Science*, 269(5224):676–679.
- Ingleby, B. and Huddleston, M. (2007). Quality control of ocean temperature and salinity profiles—historical and real-time data. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 65(1):158–175.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D. (1996). The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 77(3):437–471.
- Keller, K. M., Joos, F., Raible, C. C., Cocco, V., Frölicher, T. L., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Bopp, L., Orr, J. C., Tjiputra, J., et al. (2012). Variability of the ocean carbon cycle in response to the north atlantic oscillation. *Tellus B*, 64.
- Langehaug, H. R., Medhaug, I., Eldevik, T., and Ottera, O. H. (2012). Arctic/Atlantic Exchanges via the Subpolar Gyre. *Journal of Climate*, 25(7):2421–2439.
- Lazier, J., Hendry, R., Clarke, A., Yashayaev, I., and Rhines, P. (2002). Convection and restratification in the Labrador Sea, 1990-2000. *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers*, 49(10):1819–1835.
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J., Boyer, T., Baranova, O., Garcia, H., Locarnini, R., Mishonov, A., Reagan, J., Seidov, D., Yarosh, E., et al. (2012). World ocean heat content and thermosteric sea level change (0–2000 m), 1955-2010. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(10).
- Levitus, S., Antonov, J., Wang, J., Delworth, T., Dixon, K., and Broccoli, A. (2001). Anthropogenic warming of Earth’s climate system. *Science*, 292(5515):267–270.

- Levitus, S., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., Garcia, H. E., and Mishonov, A. V. (2009). Global ocean heat content 1955-2008 in light of recently revealed instrumentation problems. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36.
- Levitus, S., Boyer, T., Conkright, M., O'Brien, T. Antonov, J., Stephens, C., L., S., D., J., and Gelfeld, R. (1998). NOAA Atlas NESDIS 18 and World Ocean Database 1998. *NOAA Atlas NESDIS 18 and World Ocean Database 1998*.
- Lique, C. and Steele, M. (2013). Seasonal to decadal variability of arctic ocean heat content: A model-based analysis and implications for autonomous observing systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*.
- Lique, C., Treguier, A.-M., Blanke, B., and Grima, N. (2010). On the origins of water masses exported along both sides of greenland: A lagrangian model analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 115(C5).
- Locarnini, R., Mishonov, A.V.and Antonov, J., Boyer, T., and Garcia, H. (2006). World Ocean Atlas 2005, Volume 1: Temperature. *NOAA Atlas NESDIS 61*.
- Locarnini, R., Mishonov, A.V.and Antonov, J., Boyer, T., and Garcia, H. (2010). World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 1: Temperature. *NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68*.
- Lohmann, K., Drange, H., and Bentsen, M. (2009). Response of the North Atlantic subpolar gyre to persistent North Atlantic oscillation like forcing. *Climate Dynamics*, 32(2-3):273–285.
- Lozier, M. S., Leadbetter, S., Williams, R. G., Roussenov, V., Reed, M. S., and Moore, N. J. (2008). The spatial pattern and mechanisms of heat-content change in the north atlantic. *Science*, 319(5864):800–803.
- Lozier, M. S. and Stewart, N. M. (2008). On the temporally varying northward penetration of Mediterranean Overflow Water and eastward penetration of Labrador Sea water. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 38(9):2097–2103.
- Lumpkin, R. and Speer, K. (2007). Global ocean meridional overturning. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 37(10):2550–2562.
- Madec, G. (2008). *NEMO ocean engine*.
- Mallet, P.-E., Claud, C., Cassou, C., Noer, G., and Kodera, K. (2013). Polar lows over the nordic and labrador seas: Synoptic circulation patterns and associations with north atlantic-europe wintertime weather regimes. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*.
- Marsh, R., Josey, S. A., de Cuevas, B. A., Redbourn, L. J., and Quartly, G. D. (2008). Mechanisms for recent warming of the North Atlantic: Insights gained with an eddy-permitting model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 113(C4).
- Marshall, J., Johnson, H., and Goodman, J. (2001). A study of the interaction of the North Atlantic oscillation with ocean circulation. *Journal of Climate*, 14(7):1399–1421.
- Maurage, P., Heeren, A., and Pesenti, M. (2013). Does Chocolate Consumption Really Boost Nobel Award Chances? The Peril of Over-Interpreting Correlations in Health Studies. *Journal of Nutrition*, 143(6):931–933.

- McMichael, A., Woodruff, R., and Hales, S. (2006). Climate change and human health: present and future risks. *Lancet*, 367(9513):859–869.
- Medhaug, I., Langehaug, H., Eldevik, T., and Furevik, T. (2011). Mechanisms for multidecadal variability in a simulated Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Climate Dynamics*.
- Meehl, G., Stocker, T., Collins, W., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A., Gregory, J., Kitoh, A., Knutti, R., Murphy, J., Noda, A., Raper, S., Watterson, I., Weaver, A., and Zhao, Z.-C. (2007). Global climate projections. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.
- Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Sarafanov, F., Gaillard, F., Desbruyères, D., Falina, A., Ferron, B., Huck, T., and Thierry, V. (2013). Variability of the meridional overturning circulation at the greenland-portugal ovide section from 1993 to 2010. *Progress in Oceanography*.
- Messerli, F. H. (2012). Chocolate Consumption, Cognitive Function, and Nobel Laureates. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 367(16):1562–1564.
- Michelangi, P., Vautard, R., and Legras, B. (1995). Weather Regimes - Recurrence and quasi-stationarity. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 52(8):1237–1256.
- Mignot, J. and Frankignoul, C. (2004). Interannual to interdecadal variability of sea surface salinity in the Atlantic and its link to the atmosphere in a coupled model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 109(C4).
- Minvielle, M. (2009). Méthode de désaggrégation statistico-dynamique adaptée aux forçages atmosphériques pour la modélisation de l’océan Atlantique: développement, validation et application au climat présent. PhD Thesis.
- Minvielle, M., Cassou, C., Bourdalle-Badie, R., Terray, L., and Najac, J. (2011). A statistical-dynamical scheme for reconstructing ocean forcing in the Atlantic. Part II: methodology, validation and application to high-resolution ocean models. *Climate Dynamics*, 36(3-4):401–417.
- Msadek, R. and Frankignoul, C. (2009). Atlantic multidecadal oceanic variability and its influence on the atmosphere in a climate model. *Climate Dynamics*, 33(1):45–62.
- Nicholls, R. J. and Tol, R. S. (2006). Impacts and responses to sea-level rise: a global analysis of the sres scenarios over the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1841):1073–1095.
- Nicholls, R. J., Wong, P. P., Burkett, V., Codignotto, J., Hay, J., McLean, R., Ragoonaden, S., Woodroffe, C. D., Abuodha, P., Arblaster, J., et al. (2007). Coastal systems and low-lying areas.
- Nilsen, J., Gao, Y., Drange, H., Furevik, T., and Bentsen, M. (2003). Simulated north atlantic-nordic seas water mass exchanges in an isopycnic coordinate ogcm. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(10).
- Orvik, K. A., Skagseth, Ø., and Mork, M. (2001). Atlantic inflow to the nordic seas: Current structure and volume fluxes from moored current meters, vm-adcp and seasoar-ctd observations, 1995–1999. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 48(4):937–957.
- Østerhus, S., Turrell, W. R., Jónsson, S., and Hansen, B. (2005). Measured volume, heat, and salt fluxes from the atlantic to the arctic mediterranean. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(7).

- Patara, L., Visbeck, M., Masina, S., Krahnemann, G., and Vichi, M. (2011). Marine biogeochemical responses to the north atlantic oscillation in a coupled climate model. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 116(C7):C07023.
- Penduff, T., Barnier, B., Dewar, W., and O'Brien, J. (2004). Dynamical response of the oceanic eddy field to the North Atlantic Oscillation: A model-data comparison. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 34(12):2615–2629.
- Penduff, T., Juza, M., Barnier, B., Zika, J., Dewar, W. K., Treguier, A.-M., Molines, J.-M., and Audiffren, N. (2011). Sea level expression of intrinsic and forced ocean variabilities at interannual time scales. *Journal of Climate*, 24(21):5652–5670.
- Pickart, R. S. and Spall, M. A. (2007). Impact of Labrador Sea convection on the north Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 37(9):2207–2227.
- Plaut, G. and Simonnet, E. (2001). Large-scale circulation classification, weather regimes, and local climate over France, the Alps and Western Europe. *Climate Research*, 17:303–324.
- Rex, D. (1950). Blocking action in the middle troposphere and its effect upon regional climate. part i: An aerological study of blocking action. *Tellus*, 2:196–211.
- Richman, M. B. (1986). Rotation of principal components. *Journal of Climatology*, 6(3):293–335.
- Richter, K., Segtnan, O., and Furevik, T. (2012). Variability of the atlantic inflow to the nordic seas and its causes inferred from observations of sea surface height. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 117(C4).
- Robertson, A. W. and Ghil, M. (1999). Large-scale weather regimes and local climate over the western united states. *Journal of Climate*, 12(6):1796–1813.
- Ruprich-Robert, Y. and Cassou, C. (2013). Combined influences of seasonal east atlantic pattern and north atlantic oscillation to excite atlantic multidecadal variability in a climate model. *Climate Dynamics*, submitted:submitted.
- Sarafanov, A., Falina, A., Sokov, A., and Demidov, A. (2008). Intense warming and salinification of intermediate waters of southern origin in the eastern subpolar North Atlantic in the 1990s to mid-2000s. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 113(C12).
- Schauer, U., Beszczynska-Möller, A., Walczowski, W., Fahrbach, E., Piechura, J., and Hansen, E. (2008). Variation of measured heat flow through the fram strait between 1997 and 2006. In *Arctic-Subarctic Ocean Fluxes*, pages 65–85. Springer.
- Smedsrud, L. H., Ingvaldsen, R., Nilsen, J., and Skagseth, Ø. (2010). Heat in the barents sea: Transport, storage, and surface fluxes. *Ocean Science*, 6(1):219–234.
- Straneo, F. (2006). Heat and freshwater transport through the central Labrador Sea. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 36(4):606–628.
- Sturges, W. and Hong, B. (1995). Wind forcing of the Atlantic Thermocline along 32 degrees N at low frequencies. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 25(7):1706–1715.
- Sturges, W., Hong, B., and Clarke, A. (1998). Decadal wind forcing of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 28(4):659–668.

- Treguer, P., Goberville, E., Barrier, N., L'Helguen, S., Morin, P., Rimelin-Maury, P., Czamanski, M., Grosstefan, E., and T., C. (2013). Interannual variability of physical and chemical parameters of coastal waters of western europe during winter in relation with river inputs and climate variability. *Journal of Marine Systems*, in prep:in prep.
- Treguier, A. M., England, M. H., Rintoul, S. R., Madec, G., Le Sommer, J., and Molines, J. M. (2007). Southern Ocean overturning across streamlines in an eddy simulation of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Ocean Science*, 3(4):491–507.
- Trenberth, K., Jones, P., Ambenje, P., Bojariu, R., Easterling, D., Klein, T., Renwick, J., et al. (2007). Observations: surface and atmospheric climate change. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.
- Trenberth, K. and Solomon, A. (1994). The Global Heat-Balance - Heat Transports in the Atmosphere and Ocean. *Climate Dynamics*, 10(3):107–134.
- Uppala, S., Kallberg, P., Simmons, A., Andrae, U., Bechtold, V., Fiorino, M., Gibson, J., Haseler, J., Hernandez, A., Kelly, G., Li, X., Onogi, K., Saarinen, S., Sokka, N., Allan, R., Andersson, E., Arpe, K., Balmaseda, M., Beljaars, A., Van De Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Caires, S., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Dragosavac, M., Fisher, M., Fuentes, M., Hagemann, S., Holm, E., Hoskins, B., Isaksen, L., Janssen, P., Jenne, R., McNally, A., Mahfouf, J., Morcrette, J., Rayner, N., Saunders, R., Simon, P., Sterl, A., Trenberth, K., Untch, A., Vasiljevic, D., Viterbo, P., and Woollen, J. (2005). The ERA-40 re-analysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 131(612, Part b):2961–3012.
- Vautard, R. (1990). Multiple weather regimes over the North-Atlantic. Analysis of precursors and successors. *Monthly Weather Review*, 118(10):2056–2081.
- Vautard, R. and Legras, B. (1988). On the source of midlatitude low-frequency variability. Part 2: Non-linear equilibration of Weather Regimes. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 45(20):2845–2867.
- Visbeck, M., Cullen, H., Krahnmann, G., and Naik, N. (1998). An ocean model's response to North Atlantic Oscillation-like wind forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(24):4521–4524.
- Volkov, D. (2005). Interannual variability of the altimetry-derived eddy field and surface circulation in the extratropical North Atlantic Ocean in 1993-2001. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 35(4):405–426.
- von Schuckmann, K., Gaillard, F., and Le Traon, P. Y. (2009). Global hydrographic variability patterns during 2003-2008. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 114.
- Wang, Y. H., Magnusdottir, G., Stern, H., Tian, X., and Yu, Y. (2012). Decadal variability of the NAO: Introducing an augmented NAO index. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39.
- Willis, J., Roemmich, D., and Cornuelle, B. (2004). Interannual variability in upper ocean heat content, temperature, and thermosteric expansion on global scales. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 109(C12).
- Winters, J. R. and Roberts, S. G. (2012). Chocolate Consumption, Traffic Accidents and Serial Killers.

- Yashayaev, I. (2007). Hydrographic changes in the Labrador sea, 1960–2005. *Progress in Oceanography*, 73(3):242–276.
- Yiou, P. and Nogaj, M. (2004). Extreme climatic events and weather regimes over the North Atlantic: When and where? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(7).
- Zhai, X. and Sheldon, L. (2012). On the North Atlantic Ocean Heat Content Change between 1955–70 and 1980–95. *Journal of Climate*, 25(10):3619–3628.
- Zhu, J. and Demirov, E. (2011). On the mechanism of interannual variability of the Irminger Water in the Labrador Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, 116.