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## General Introduction

In our laboratory, we are interested in novel transition metal catalyzed reactions based on the atom economy principle, ${ }^{1}$ such as addition, cycloaddition and cycloisomerization reactions. In this manuscript we present a two-steps atom-economic synthesis of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes through the sequential Pt-catalyzed cycloisomerization of heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes and Ru-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes with tertiary propargyl acetates. The manuscript contains three chapters and an experimental part.

Chapter I. This chapter is a bibliographical survey summarizing the main results of metal catalyzed $[2+2]$ cycloaddtions for the synthesis of cyclobutenes. The reactions are presented according to the metal catalyst.

Chapter II. This chapter provides the deep and thorough study of cyclobutene formation in Pt-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes. It is shown that the presence of alkyl substituent(s) at propargyl position and the use of weakly coordinating solvents such as DMA are the key elements favoring the formation of cyclobutenes.

Chapter III. Presented herein is the development of a new approach to bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes through the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes with tertiary propargylic carboxylates. It provides a safe method which avoid the use of hazardous carbene precursors to generate functionalized bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane frameworks in high yields under mild conditions.

[^0]
## Chapter I

## Bibliographic Overview of Transition-Metal

## Catalyzed Synthesis of Cyclobutenes

## Introduction

The [2+2] cycloaddition of an alkene and/or alkyne represents the synthetic method of choice for the direct access to cyclobutane derivatives. This process is thermally forbidden according to the Woodward-Hoffmann rules. ${ }^{1}$ However, it can be achieved through thermal reactions via biradical intermediates, ${ }^{2}$ by photoreactions, ${ }^{3}$ and by the use of Lewis acid-catalyzed reactions. ${ }^{4}$ While the first two pathways of $[2+2]$ cycloadditions can be tedious procedures and often narrow in scope, the development of efficient, alternative metal-catalyzed procedures would present an advantageous solution.
On the basis of the electronic demand of reactants of the well-established Diels-Alder reaction, earlier reports dealing with transition-metal catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of alkenes and alkynes focused on intermolecular reactions with compounds containing highly strained carbon-carbon double bonds such as norbornadiene (NBD) or related congeners and electron-deficient alkynes. These reactions were first described in the early sixties to afford bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-fused cyclobutenes featuring exo stereochemistry and then thoroughly investigated in the nineties by the group of Mitsudo which expanded the scope of these reactions to nonactivated or neutral alkynes using well-defined ruthenium catalysts.

In recent years, efforts were devoted to expand the scope to cyclic or acyclic nonactivated alkenes and in the development of new catalysts. In this context, cycloisomerization reactions of $1, \mathrm{n}$-enynes, enallenes, yne-allenes allow for the more facile intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition and provide ring-fused cyclobutenes or cyclobutanes. To date, enantioselective versions of these reactions using chiral catalysts have attracted very little interest and future work should focus on the design of catalysts to achieve new syntheses of chiral cyclobutanes or cyclobutenes. This chapter focused mainly on transition-metal catalyzed inter- and intramolecular [2+2] cycloadditions of alkenes and alkynes with emphasis on the metal catalyst and mechanistic principles. Recent methodologies involving ring expansion reactions of methylenecyclopropanes to cyclobutenes, although out of the frame of this

[^1]chapter, will be considered.

### 1.1 Transition-metal Catalyzed Intermolecular [2+2] Cycloadditions of Alkenes and Alknynes

### 1.1.1 Nickel

The coupling of 2,5-norbornadiene (NBD) and 1,2-diphenylethyne in the presence of nickel catalyst reported by Schrauzer in 1964 represents the first transition-metal catalyzed alkene-alkyne $[2+2]$ cycloaddition to form a cyclobutene (Scheme 1). ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 1. Nickel-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornadiene and alkyne

Since then, the metal-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of norbornene and norbornadiene has been thoroughly studied. A variety of transition-metal catalysts have been developed for the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of alkynes with alkenes to date and the topic was recently reviewed. ${ }^{6}$ Cheng and co-workers reported that reduction of nickel phosphine complexes $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ with zinc catalyzed stereoselectively the $[2+2]$ cycloaddtion of oxa- or azabenzonorbornadienes with various alkynes to give exo-cyclobutene derivatives (Scheme 2). ${ }^{7}$

alkene/alkyne $/ \mathrm{Col}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{Zn}=1 / 1.2-3 / 0.05 / 0.8 / 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}$

[^2]| X | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | H | Ph | Ph | 85 |
| O | H | Ph | Me | 82 |
| O | H | H | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 55 |
| O | H | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 64 |
| O | H | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ | $90^{*}$ |
| O | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $83^{* *}$ |
| O | OMe | H | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 42 |
| O | OMe | Ph | Me | 86 |
| O | OMe | Ph | Ph | 76 |
| O | OMe | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 95 |
| O | H | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ | $96^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{NCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | Ph | Ph | 98 |

* isolated as the aldehyde, ** MeCN as the solvent

Scheme 2. Nickel-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of oxa(aza)benzonorbornenes and alkynes

An in situ generated NHC-nickel(0) complex that catalyzes the intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of conjugated enynes with electron-deficient alkenes as well as electronically neutral norbornene or 1-decene to form cyclobutenes was reported by Ogoshi and co-workers (Scheme 3). ${ }^{8}$ The use of conjugated enynes instead of alkynes circumvented side rections such as oligomerizations and cyclotrimerizations. The isolation and characterization of stable $\eta^{3}$-butadienyl nickelacycle as a reaction intermediate was further demonstrated through its conversion to the vinylcyclobutene in the presence of an excess of electron-poor alkene.


[^3]

Scheme 3. Nickel-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of enynes with electron-deficient alkenes

### 1.1.2 Palladium

In the early 70 's, Coulson described a single and presumably the first example of palladium catalyzed $[2+2]$ cycloaddition between norbornadiene and propa-1,2-diene giving rise to exo-3-methyltricyclo[4.2.1.0 ${ }^{2,5}$ ]nona-3,7-diene (Scheme 4). ${ }^{9}$ Although the catalyst charge is rather low ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), the adduct was isolated from distillation of the crude reaction mixture in $25 \%$ yield. It was shown that upon substitution of propyne for propa-1,2-diene in the reaction, no cycloadduct was formed. Thus, in situ isomerization of propyne to propa-1,2-diene was ruled out, and that raises the issue of the intracyclic location of the double bond.


Scheme 4. Palladium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of propadiene with norbornadiene

During studies on palladium-catalyzed addition of bromo-1-alkynes to norbornene derivatives to form 2-bromo-7-alkynylnorbornanes, Jiang and co-workers found that reactions carried out with cyclooctene in place of norbornene resulted selectively with the formation of cyclobutenes in moderate to good yields (Scheme 5). ${ }^{10}$ The behavior of cyclooctene to undergo these cycloadditions is unique. Open-chain alkenes, such as 4 -octene afforded only mixture of products while reactions with terminal alkenes were unsuccessful. Cycloalkenes, such as cyclopentene gave Heck-type compounds, although reaction conditions were quite different $\left(\mathrm{PdBr}_{2} / \mathrm{dppp}, \mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{ZnI}_{2}\right.$ in MeCN at rt ).

[^4]
$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}
$$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 86$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 89$
$\mathrm{R}=m-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 81$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 85$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 82$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{EtO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 80$
$\mathrm{R}=p-t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 77$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Am}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 65$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 78$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 75$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 75$
$\mathrm{R}=m-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 63$
$\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} \quad 65$
$R=P h, X=1$
73
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \quad 15$

Scheme 5. Palladium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of haloalkynes and cyclooctene

### 1.1.3 Ruthenium

In early studies on ruthenium catalyzed [2+2] intermolecular cycloadditions, Mitsudo and co-workers reported that treatment of norbornene and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) in benzene with a dihydride ruthenium complex such as $\mathrm{RuH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ afforded the exo-tricyclo[4.2.1.0 ${ }^{2,5}$ ]non-3-ene system in high yields (Scheme 6). ${ }^{11}$ Further studies from the same group revealed that $\mathrm{RuH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left[\mathrm{P}\left(p-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]_{3}, \mathrm{RuH}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod})(\cot )$ were effective catalysts, and optimum reaction temperature was $80-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although the [2+2] cycloaddition was applied to various bicyclic[2.2.1] alkenes including 7-oxa norbornadienes only reactions with DMAD as the alkyne were successful. ${ }^{12}$


Scheme 6. Ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes and DMAD

This method was applied to the synthesis of a new range of rigid linear rods based on the $n$

[^5]adderanes (Scheme 7). ${ }^{13}$


Scheme 7. Ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of cyclobutenes and DMAD

Later, Mitsudo's group reported that $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}$ greatly improved the efficiency of the [2+2] cycloaddition of various norbornenes and expanded the scope to non-activated alkynes (Scheme 8). ${ }^{14}$ The labile cod ligand to generate reactive unsaturated ruthenium species is essential to achieve the cycloaddition, since $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ exhibits no catalytic activity. Quite curiously the reactions worked better when carried out in triethylamine as the solvent. Thus, ruthenacyclopentene intermediate formed by oxidative coupling cannot undergo $\beta$-hydride elimination and leads to cyclobutene via reductive elimination.


Scheme 8. Ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of bicyclic alkenes and alkynes

In contrast with the results of Mitsudo, Tenaglia and Giordano reported that $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ activated with catalytic amount of methyl iodide was also able to effect cycloadditions. ${ }^{15}$ The real precatalyst was shown to be $\mathrm{CpRuI}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and although $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ is less prone to dissociate from the metal with respect to cod, the reaction was achieved by increasing the temperature to $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The additive effect of methyl iodide was also demonstrated for the chemoselectivity of the reaction, i.e. $[2+2]$ versus $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition.

[^6]Tam's group reinvestigated thoroughly the [2+2] cycloaddition with substituted norbornenes or norbornadienes with electron-deficient alkynes using the Mitsudo protocol (Scheme 9). These studies aimed to the control of regio- and stereoselectivities of cycloadditions using remote substituent effects on $\mathrm{C}-5,{ }^{16} \mathrm{C}-7,{ }^{17}$ positions in norbornene as well as $\mathrm{C}-2$ and/or C-3 of norbornadienes. ${ }^{18}$ Thus, the major regioisomer adduct (7.5/1) observed in the Ru-catalyzed cycloaddition between bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-en-2-one and ethyl 3-phenylprop-2-ynoate exhibits the electron-poor substituent of cyclobutene close to the substituent of norbornene.


Scheme 9. Remote substitutent effect on ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition

A cationic ruthenacarbene showed high catalytic activity in [2+2] cycloaddtion of electron-poor alkynes such as dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate and norbornene derivatives or ethylene (Scheme 10). ${ }^{19}$ Interestingly no ring-opening metathesis products were observed with the cationic ruthenacarbene.


Scheme 10. A ruthenacarbene catalyst for [2+2] cycloaddition

Mezzetti and co-workers recently described the first enantioselective ruthenium-catalyzed Ficini cycloaddition of cyclic alkylidene $\beta$-ketoesters and ynamides to produce

[^7]bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane-based enamides (Scheme 11). ${ }^{20}$ The active catalytic species is generated in situ by treatment of Noyori's complex A with triethyloxonium hexafluorophosphate to form the elusive dicationic complex $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}(\mathrm{PNNP})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$.


Scheme 11. Ruthenium-catalyzed enantioselective Ficini cycloaddition

### 1.1.4 Cobalt

Cheng and co-workers reported that cobalt(II) complexes were effective catalysts for the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition. Bicyclic alkenes and alkynes in toluene reacted smoothly in the presence of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, and Zn as reducing agent to afford the corresponding exo-cyclobutene derivatives in fair to excellent yields (Scheme 12). ${ }^{21}$

alkene/alkyne/ $\mathrm{Col}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{Zn}=1 / 10 / 0.1 / 0.8 / 10 \mathrm{mmol}$

[^8]| X | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | H | H | H | Ph | Ph | 88 |
| O | H | H | H | TMS | H | 85 |
| O | H | H | H | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | 83 |
| O | H | H | H | TMS | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 90 |
| O | H | H | H | Ph | Me | 60 |
| O | OMe | H | H | Ph | Ph | 71 |
| O | OMe | H | H | TMS | H | $38 / 46^{*}$ |
| O | OMe | H | H | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | $43 / 33^{*}$ |
| O | OMe | OMe | H | TMS | H | 46 |
| O | OMe | OMe | Me | Ph | Ph | 60 |
| $\mathrm{NCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | N | H | Ph | Ph | 86 |
| $\mathrm{NCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | N | H | TMS | H | $42 / 29^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{NCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | N | H | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | 95 |

* 2 regioisomers

Scheme 12. Cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of oxa(aza)benzonorbornenes and alkynes

The alkynes that are active in this cobalt-catalyzed reaction are different from those of the previous nickel-promoted reaction reported by the same group. ${ }^{8}$ Both monosubstituted and dialkyl acetylenes undergo the cycloaddition. In addition, highly substituted oxabenzonorbornadienes are also effective for the reaction.

Under modified catalytic conditions, namely replacing the triphenylphosphine ligand with the bidentate 1,2-diphenylphosphinoethane (dppe) and zinc iodide ${ }^{22}$ as additive, Treutwein and Hilt reported cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions giving better yields of adducts using an equimolar alkene/alkyne ratio (Scheme 13). ${ }^{23}$ Alongside diverse norbornenes, cyclopentene and acenaphtylene were competent alkenes using a twofold catalyst loading.


Scheme 13. Cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of cyclopentenes and alkynes

In 2010, Hilt reported the cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition vs Alder-ene reaction of cyclic alkenes with internal alkynes, which depends on the electronic nature of the alkyne as

[^9]well as the bite angle of the bidentate phosphine ligand used (Scheme 14). ${ }^{24}$ For instance, cyclopentene and but-1-ynylbenzene afforded the [2+2] adduct as the major compound in the presence of $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}(\mathrm{dppp})$ whereas the Alder-ene adduct was the only product formed in the presence of $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ (dppe). Curiously, cyclohexene was found unaffected in these reactions. On the contrary, cycloheptene gave rise to the $[2+2]$ adducts in low yields.


Scheme 14. Ligand dependence of cobalt-catalyzed cycloaddition versus Alder-ene reaction

Cobalt Ziegler-type catalysts were investigated by Lautens and Tam to promote [2+2] cycloadditions involving terminal alkynes and electron-rich ynophiles (Scheme 15). ${ }^{25}$


Scheme 15. Cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes and terminal alkenes.

Very recently, based on their previous work with nickel catalysts, Ogoshi and co-workers disclosed the cross-dimerization of simple alkenes with 1,3-enynes leading to conjugated vinylcyclobutenes (Scheme 16). ${ }^{26}$ The mechanism proposed based on a $\eta^{3}$-butadienyl cobaltacycle is similar to the one reported with the nickel catalyst (§ 1.1.1).

[^10]

Scheme 16. Cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of 1,3-enynes and terminal alkenes.

### 1.1.5 Rhodium

The enantioselective $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of norbornene derivatives and electron-deficient alkynes using a chiral rhodium catalyst proceeded efficiently to give chiral tri- and tetracyclic cyclobutenes in moderate to high ee (up to $99 \%$ ee) (Scheme 17). ${ }^{27}$


Scheme 17. Rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes and alkynes

Kakiuchi reported the first catalytic intermolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of terminal alkynes with electron-deficient alkenes in the presence of an 8-quinolinolato rhodium/phosphine complex (Scheme 18). ${ }^{28}$ The reaction proceeds with high yields and complete regioselectivity to give cyclobutenes having polar functional groups.


[^11]Scheme 18. Rhodium-catalyzed $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of electron-deficient alkenes and terminal alkynes

Taking advantage of the "ligand-consuming" methodology to generate metal-hydride species, Baba and co-workers reported new applications to the [2+2] cycloaddition of diphenylethyne and electron-poor alkenes (Scheme 19). ${ }^{29}$


Scheme 19. Rhodium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of electron-deficient alkenes and internal alkynes

Thus, oxidation of the cyclooctadiene (cod) ligand of complex $[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OH})(\operatorname{cod})]_{2}$ released in situ the coordinatively unsaturad $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{H}$ species and "oxidized" cod(s) (Scheme 20). The vinylrhodium species, formed through syn-hydrometalation of the alkyne, can add across the electron-deficient alkene to give a rhodium-enolate species which upon intramolecular $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond insertion led to a cyclobutylrhodium species. The $\beta$-H elimination restores the catalytic $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{H}$ and releases the cyclobutene adduct.


Scheme 20. Proposed mechanism of the rhodium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition

### 1.1.6 Gold

Echavarren has recently showed that sterically hindered cationic $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes are able to catalyze a regioselective, intermolecular coupling of terminal alkynes with alkenes to give

[^12]cyclobutenes in moderate to good yields (Scheme 21). ${ }^{30}$ The reaction proceeds satisfactorily with alkynes substituted with both electron-rich and electron-poor groups.


Scheme 21. Cationic gold(I)-catalyzed $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of alkynes with alkenes

### 1.1.7 Silver

In 2004, Kozmin group disclosed the first silver-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of siloxyalkynes with electron-deficient alkenes as an efficient method to access highly functionalized siloxycyclobutenes (Scheme 22). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{AgNTf}_{2}$ was found the best to effect the cycloaddition of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones, nitriles, and esters with siloxyalkynes. Aryl-substituted siloxyalkynes were also well tolerated. ( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )-crotonates gave the same trans-substituted siloxycyclobutene, suggesting that the reaction proceeds via a stepwise mechanism.


Scheme 22. Silver-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of siloxyalkynes with electron-deficient alkenes

The presumed mechanism proceeds through nucleophile (siloxyalkyne) based activation with silver, followed by 1,4 -addition and trapping of the ketenium ion. Interestingly, these siloxycyclobutenes were further functionalized through their ester, nitrile, and ketone functionalities, allowing the synthesis of small libraries.

[^13]
### 1.1.8 Rhenium

In 2007, Kuninobu and Takai reported the [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes with internal and terminal acetylenes using a rhenium complex $\left[\operatorname{ReBr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{thf})\right]_{2}$, as a catalyst and 2,6-diisopropylphenyl isocyanide as additive (Scheme 23). ${ }^{32}$ Although the exact role of the isocyanide was not clearly established, its presence inhibited the polymerization of alkynes. In these reactions, electron-poor alkynes gave the best yields.



79\%


37\%


$68 \%\left(92 \%\right.$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

Scheme 23. Rhenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes and alkynes

### 1.1.9 Iridium

The first catalytic asymmetric [2+2] cycloaddition of oxabicyclic alkenes and terminal alkynes was developed by Shao and co-workers (Scheme 24). ${ }^{33}$ Thus, the iridium-catalyzed enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition allows the formation of chiral cyclobutenes with excellent enantioselectivity up to $99 \%$ ee.


Scheme 24. Iridium-catalyzed enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition of norbornenes and alkynes

### 1.1.10 Iron

In 1982, Rosenblum and Scheck reported the first examples of iron-catalyzed intermolecular $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of propiolic esters with alkenes to form cyclobutenyl esters (Scheme

[^14]25). ${ }^{34}$ The reaction was best carried out using a cationic cyclopentadienyliron complex as the catalyst.


Scheme 25. Iron-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of alkenes with electron-poor alkynes

The cycloaddition with 1,2-disubstituted acyclic alkenes required $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst. Thus, reactions with $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )- but-2-enes demonstrated the stereospecificity of the cycloaddition consistent with, but not requiring, a concerted mechanism for the cyclobutene formation. On the other hand, the reactions with 1,1-disubstituted or trisubstituted alkenes required stoichiometric amounts of iron complexes to form iron-coordinated lactones (33-53\%).

### 1.1.11 Copper

The utility of cationic $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ species to catalyze the Ficini [2+2] cycloaddition of $N$-sulfonyl-substituted ynamides and cyclic enones was reported by Hsung group (Scheme 26). ${ }^{35}$


Scheme 26. Copper-catalyzed Ficini [2+2] cycloaddition of ynamides and cyclic enones

[^15]The reaction is believed to proceed through a nucleophilic 1,4 -addition of the ynamide onto the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-activated enone. On the other hand, a Cu (II)-activation of ynamide generating a cationic keteniminium-copper species followed by a conjugate addition to the enone (similarly as a cuprate) can not be ruled out.

Using a substoichiometric amount ( $20-30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) of copper(II) salt with chiral binol-derived bis-pyridine ligand, Iguchi and Ito have achieved the enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition reaction of 2-methoxycarbonyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one and thioalkynes with enantiomeric excesses up to $73 \%$ (Scheme 27). ${ }^{36}$


Scheme 27. Copper-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of thioalkyne with electron-poor alkene

The authors demonstrated the synthetic utility of the enantioenriched bicyclic ketones in total synthesis of the marine prostanoid (+)-tricycloclavulone having a unique tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{1,4}$ ]decane skeleton and six chiral centers (Scheme 28). ${ }^{37}$


Scheme 28. Copper-catalyzed enantioselective [2+2] cycloaddition in Tricycloclavulone synthesis

[^16]
### 1.2 Transition-metal Catalyzed Intramolecular [2+2] Cycloadditions of Enynes

### 1.2.1 Palladium

During studies related to cycloisomerizaton of 1,6-enynes, Trost reported that electron-deficient tetracarbomethoxypalladacyclopentadiene (TCPC) in the presence of tri-o-tolylphosphite and 1.lequiv of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate leads to a 1:1.4 mixture of 1,3-diene and cyclobutene adducts respectively (Scheme 29). ${ }^{38}$


Scheme 29. Palladacycle-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes

Initially, it was proposed the intermediacy of a cyclobutene, which undergo conrotatory ring opening to produce the rearranged diene, and a $1,3-\mathrm{H}$ shift, presumably metal-catalyzed, to form the more stable bicyclic cyclobutene. These studies provided the first examples of of transition-metal catalyzed skeletal reorganization (aka enyne methathesis) of 1,6-enynes during cycloisomerization.


Scheme 30. A stable cyclobutene through palladacycle-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,7-enynes

[^17]



* using TCPC ${ }^{\text {HFB }}$ as the catalyst

66\% (74\%)* (1:2.7)
Scheme 31. Palladacycle-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes

Support for this mechanism proposal came from isolation of less strained cyclobutenes from 1,7 -enynes ${ }^{39}$ (Scheme 30) and products arising from cycloreversion of isomeric cyclobutenes from 1,6-enynes ${ }^{40}$ (Scheme 31) within cycloisomerizations carried out with modified palladacycles TCPC ${ }^{\mathrm{HFB}}$ and $\mathrm{TCPC}^{\mathrm{TFE}}$ respectively.

### 1.2.2 Platinum

Blum and co-workers reported the first indirect observation of 1,6-enyne conversion to cyclobutene using a platinum catalyst. Treatment of 1-phenyl-hept-6-en-1-yne with catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}$ in benzene at room temperature under exclusion of air afforded "a labile hydrocarbon that readily polymerizes during the work-up". The authors hypothesized the formation of the highly sensitive anti-Bredt cyclobutene adduct. Thus, the reaction was performed under air to give a 1,4-dione whose formation was tentatively explained through the oxidative cleavage of a cyclobutene intermediate (Scheme 32). ${ }^{41}$

[^18]

Scheme 32. Indirect observation of cyclobutene in cycloisomerization of 1,6-enyne

Fürstner and co-workers described the cycloisomerizations of 1,6-enynes in the presence of catalytic amounts of platinum(II) chloride in toluene to produce cyclobutenes derivatives. ${ }^{42}$ The bicyclic adducts were obtained with substrates containing internal alkynes bearing electron-rich aryl substituents (Scheme 33). The reactions are better carried out under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide, which had a significant effect on increasing the rates of production of cyclobutenes while decreasing the rate of competing formation of rearranged alkenylcyclopentenes. The carbon monoxide is believed to act as a temporary $\pi$-acidic ligand that increases the electrophilicity of the metal template intermediates. Gimbert proposed an alternative explanation based on DFT calculations. ${ }^{43}$ In the presence of CO, enyne coordination to platinum as a $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{4}-(1,6-\right.\right.$ enyne $\left.\left.)\right)\right]$ complex is disfavored to the detriment of $\left[(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-yne $\left.)\right]$ complex thus triggering the cycloisomerization.


Scheme 33. Platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes to form cyclobutenes

The behavior of substrates bearing an heteroatom in the tether was strikingly different; these enynes failed to give cyclobutenes and were only converted to the known azabicyclic

[^19]

Scheme 34. Platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerization of heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes

However, a single example of cyclobutene adduct was reported using 1,7-enyne with an oxygen atom in the tether and electron-withdrawing substituent at the alkyne. Alongside a bridged bicyclic diene was also formed (7\%). Owing to the "poor stability" of the adduct, the product was isolated low yield (Scheme 35).


Scheme 35. Platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerization of oxygen-tethered 1,7-enynes

In 2005, Yamamoto group reported a single example of [2+2] cycloaddition of 1,7-enyne connected through an aromatic ring catalyzed by $\mathrm{PtBr}_{2}$ in acetonitrile at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 36). ${ }^{45}$ At higher temperature $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the cyclobutene cycloreversion to 1,3 -diene takes place and further elimination of methanol led to 1-vinylnaphtalene compounds. It was shown that the platinum intervenes as Lewis acid to promote the methanol elimination while the cycloreversion is an uncatalyzed thermal process. Surprisingly, the scope of this [2+2] cycloaddition was not investigated.

[^20]

Scheme 36. Platinum-catalyzed cyclobutene formation through cycloisomerization of 1,7-enynes

You and co-workers found that chiral N -allyl, N -propargylamines with a TMS group at the terminal sp carbon undergo [2+2] cycloaddition in the presence of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ to afford the desilylated cyclobutene alongside TMS-substituted and over-reduced compounds as minor products (Scheme 37). ${ }^{46}$


Scheme 37. Platinum-catalyzed cyclobutene formation from silylated 1,7-enynes

Cycloisomerization studies by Malacria group involving 1,7-ene-ynamides in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ platinum(II) chloride resulted in the formation of piperidine-fused cyclobutenes (Scheme 38). ${ }^{47}$ Interestingly, the location of the double bond at rings junction allowed the synthesis of eight-membered lactams through its oxidative cleavage. Because of the sensitivity of the compounds to moisture and partial degradation on purification process, the crude reaction mixtures treated with aqueous HCl gave 1-(3-aminopropyl)cyclobutanones.

[^21]

Scheme 38. Platinum-catalyzed cyclobutene formation through cycloisomerization of 1,7-ene-ynamides

### 1.2.3 Gold

Cyclobutenes have been invoked as putative intermediates in certain transition-metal catalyzed skeletal rearrangements of 1,6-enynes which occurred through a single C-C bond cleavage of the alkene to give diene $\mathbf{A}$. The conrotatory ring-opening (cycloreversion) of cyclobutenes leading to diene $\mathbf{A}$ may account for its formation. However metathesis-like products $\mathbf{B}$ resulting from a double C-C bond cleavage (alkene and alkyne) require a different mechanism rationalization (Scheme 39).


Scheme 39. Two general pathways for transition-metal catalyzed reactions of 1,6-enynes.

Echavarren and co-workers reported experimental and theoretical studies aimed to shed light into this complex mechanism issue. Cyclobutenes were effectively formed through cycloisomerization of 1,7-enynes using bulky and electron-rich phosphine-coordinated cationic gold catalysts (Scheme 40). ${ }^{48}$ It was shown that isolable cyclobutenes were

[^22]reluctant to undergo the ring-opening under thermal conditions $\left(120-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. When the cycloreversion was attempted using a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described by Y . Yamamoto, ${ }^{45}$ only the double bond isomerization to the less constrained compound was observed.


Scheme 40. Stable cyclobutenes in Au-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,7-enynes

Very recently, Widenhoefer and his group reported a direct observation of a cationic gold(I)-bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-1(7)-ene complex generated in the cycloisomerization of a 1,6-enyne (Scheme 41). ${ }^{49}$


Scheme 41. Cyclobutene-gold intermediates observed through NMR spectroscopy

The stoichiometric reaction of 7-phenyl-1,6-enyne with $[\mathrm{LAuCl}] / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6} \quad(\mathrm{~L}=$

[^23]2-(di-t-butylphosphino)biphenyl) at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to a selective ( $97 \%$ ) formation of the gold-bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-1(7)-ene complex $\mathbf{A}$. At room temperature, this complex undergoes a rapid 1,3-[H] shift ( $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2} \approx 16 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to form the gold-bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-1(7)-ene complex $\mathbf{B}$ with more than $90 \%$ selectivity. The metallacyclopropane character of these complexes was established by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR studies.

In the course of studies on Au-catalyzed [4+2] cycloadditions, Echavarren and co-workers found that treatment of of 1,6-enynes with cationic gold complexes afforded cyclobutenes (Scheme 42). ${ }^{50}$ The authors assumed the change of selectivity to the lack of stabilization of the developing positive charge by the alkene substituent. Indeed, enynes with gem-substitution at terminal carbon atom of alkene produced only [4+2] cycloadducts involving participation of the aryl group.


Scheme 42. Cationic gold complexes for [2+2] cycloaddition of 1,6-enynes

Cossy and co-workers reported examples of gold-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of 1,6-ene-ynamides that lead to substituted cyclobutanones (Scheme 43). ${ }^{51}$ Non-isolable pyrrolidine-fused cyclobutenes are postulated as intermediates, and upon exposure to ambient moisture on work-up process generate the cyclobutanones. Even trimethylsilyl-substituted ynamides underwent protodesilylation to afford non-silylated products. By contrast, the platinum(II)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of the homologous 1,7-ene-ynamides allowed isolation of cyclobutene adducts, although in rather low yields. ${ }^{47}$ The reaction proceeds with high levels of diastereoselectivity when substituents are present on the butenyl chain.

[^24]

Scheme 43. Non-isolable cyclobutenes through Gold-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-ene-ynamides

Investigations by Kang and Chung on $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of amide- or ester-tethered 1,6-enynes showed that cyclobutene-fused $\gamma$-lactams or $\gamma$-esters were formed in fair to excellent yields (Scheme 44). ${ }^{52}$ The observed selectivity ([2+2] cycloadditions versus other paths) was supported by DFT calculations.


Scheme 44. Gold-catalyzed synthesis of yclobutene-fused $\gamma$-lactams (or lactones)

During studies on cycloisomerization of 1,8 -enynes with gold catalysts directed towards the synthesis of seven-membered carbocyclic rings, Gagosz and Odabachian found that stable ring-fused cyclobutenes were formed (Scheme 45). ${ }^{53}$ In addition, these cyclobutenes further reacted with cationic gold(I) to release cyclohepta-1,3-dienes. The Z configuration of the internal double bond within the substrates is not mandatory. Single examples of enynes with a saturated tether are described to give the bicyclic cyclobutenes with significant decreased yields (41-49\%).

[^25]

Scheme 45. Gold(I)-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of enyne-enes

Recently, Echavarren group have transposed their gold-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of enynes ${ }^{30}$ to an intramolecular version providing an interesting route to macrocyclization for access to 9 - to 15 -membered macrocycles incorporating a cyclobutene ring. A representative example leading to 15 -membered oxacycle is given below (Scheme 46). ${ }^{54}$


Scheme 46. Gold(I)-catalyzed macrocyclization of 1,n-enynes through [2+2] cycloaddition

### 1.2.4 Ruthenium

In 2007 Furstner group observed that 1,7 -enyne bearing a bromine atom at alkyne terminus, undergo a $[2+2]$ cycloaddition to form a bromocyclobutene derivative on treatment with catalytic amounts of AuCl in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, cyclizations of related substrates could not be achieved under the same conditions. Thus, it turns out that reactions utilizing a catalytic amount of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{3}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in DMF were more reliable to give

[^26]iodo(bromo)cyclobutene derivatives with good yields under mild conditions (Scheme 47). ${ }^{55}$



| $\Sigma$ | Y | X | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | I | 81 |
| $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | Br | $78^{*}$ |
| $5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | Cl | 0 |
| $4-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | I | 45 |
| $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | I | 94 |
| $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | O | Br | 43 |
| $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | O | I | 90 |
| $5-\mathrm{Br}$ | O | Br | $89^{*}$ |
| $5-\mathrm{Ph}$ | O | I | 86 |
| H | CH | I | $82^{*, * *}$ |
| H | NTs | I | 88 |
| H | NAc | I | 74 |

* $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, ** In THF

Scheme 47. Ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of 1,7-enynes featuring haloalkynes

The second generation Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst (GH II) was utilized by Debleds and Campagne for the microwave-assisted 1,5-enyne metathesis. This reaction is of particular interest to elaborate cyclobutenes as part of synthetically useful 1,3-diene units (Scheme 48). ${ }^{56 \mathrm{a}}$

[^27]


Scheme 48. Ruthenium-mediated metathesis of 1,5-enynes to generate vinylcyclobutenes

Goess and co-workers utilized this microwave-assisted 1,5-enyne metathesis reaction as a key step in the synthesis of racemic Grandisol (Scheme 49), the main component of the sexually attracting pheromone of the cotton boll weevil (Anthonomous grandis Boheman). ${ }^{56 \mathrm{~b}}$

( $\pm$ )-Grandisol
Scheme 49. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Grandisol involving the 1,5 -enyne metathesis as key step

On studying parallel reactivities in ruthenium- and palladium-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of 1,7 -enynes, Trost group showed that formal $[2+2]$ cycloadditions were observed with cationic $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst, along with bicyclic cycloisomers in nearly $1: 1$ ratio (Scheme 50 ). ${ }^{57}$ In contrast, the bicyclic isomers were exclusively formed in reactions conducted with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$

[^28]catalyst combined with formic acid.


* Unseparable 1:1 mixture of bi- and tricyclic adducts

Scheme 50. Ruthenium-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,7-enynes

### 1.2.5 Nickel

In 2010, Chatani group reported a nickel-catalyzed alkylative cyclization of 1,6-enynes bearing a geminal difluoro group at the alkene terminus with organozinc reagents that leads to bicyclo[3.2.0]heptene derivatives (Scheme 51). In this process, it was shown that the trans-fluorine atom is stereoselectively replaced with the alkyl group $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ of the zinc reagent. When diethylzinc $\left(R^{\prime}=E t\right)$ was used, a reductive bicycloannulation takes place. This resulted presumably after transmetalation of ethyl group from zinc to nickel and subsequent $\beta$-H-elimination thus generating $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{H}$ species.


Scheme 51. Nickel-catalyzed bicycloannulation of difluoro-1,6-enynes with organozinc reagents

It is assumed that organozinc functions as a Lewis base with respect to $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ and a Lewis acid with respect to the vinylic C-F bond. Although narrow in scope (only gem-difluoro or trans-monofluoroalkenes can be employed), this alkylative annulation represents a new type
of coupling reaction with cyclization. ${ }^{58}$

### 1.2.6 Gallium

During studies on gallium(III)-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of enynes, Chatani and Murai described a single example of cyclobutene formation from a 1,7-enyne (Scheme 52). ${ }^{59}$


Scheme 52. Gallium-catalyzed 1,7-enyne cycloisomerization

### 1.2.7 Cobalt

Malacria group reported a single example of a cobalt-mediated formation of a stable [2+2] cycloadduct from a 1,6-enyne under stoichiometric and harsh conditions (boiling xylenes). ${ }^{60}$ The cyclobutene is formed through the reductive elimination of a cobaltacyclopentene intermediate having an angular methyl group which precludes the $\beta$ - H elimination path. The stability of the anti-Bredt olefin is ascribed to the presence of a phenyl substituent (Scheme 53).


Scheme 53. Cobalt-mediated cyclobutene formation from 1,6-enyne
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# 1.3 Transition-metal Catalyzed Intramolecular [2+2] Cycloadditions of Allenynes 

### 1.3.1 Platinum

Murakami and co-workers reported that treatment of allenynes with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in formation of 2-vinylcyclobutenes (Scheme 54). ${ }^{61}$ This result is quite unusual in light of Malacria's earlier report on the formation of bicyclo[4.3.0]nonadienes from structurally similar enynes. Substrates scope studies revealed that reactions were carried out efficiently with allenynes featuring gem-dialkyl substitution at terminal carbon atom of the allene, internal alkynes and heteroatom (NTs, O ) in the tether. In addition to the use of a sulfonamide tether, the allenynes utilized in Murakami's study contained exclusively bis-substituted alkynes while those employed by Malacria and co-workers were terminal alkynes. Indeed, the Murakami group noted that the use of an allenyne containing a terminal alkyne or an all-carbon tether produced complex mixtures of products. The proposed mechanism starts, as in many other related reactions, with intramolecular cyclopropanation to give a platinacarbene. Subsequent [1,2]-carbon shift generates a zwitterion intermediate depicted in two resonance forms. Elimination of a proton, followed by protodemetallation of the alkylplatinum complex releases the vinylcyclobutene adduct.


Scheme 54. Platinum(II)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,6-allenynes

[^30]
### 1.3.2 Rhodium

During studies on the scope and limitations of the PKR, Mukai reported that $O$-tethered 1,8 -allenynes, bearing a phenylsulfonyl group at $\mathrm{C}-3$, exposed to a catalytic amount of dicarbonylrhodium(I) chloride dimer underwent [2+2] cycloaddition to form bicyclic cyclobutenes in moderate yields (Scheme 55). ${ }^{62}$ Surprisingly, the thermal non-catalyzed reactions of these enynes afforded the same products in significantly improved yields (twofold yield). These results raised the question of catalytic role of rhodium complex in such reactions.


Scheme 55. Rhodium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of $\beta$-allenyl-propargylethers

More recently, Shi and Lu reported the rhodium(I)-catalyzed intramolecular cycloadditions of alkynes and vinylidenecyclopropanes to provide functionalized polycyclic compounds containing cyclobutene moiety in a highly regioselective manner (Scheme 56). ${ }^{63}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{NBs}, \mathrm{NNs} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, n-\mathrm{Bu} ; \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=4,5,6 \\
& \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}, p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 56. Rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of alkynes and vinylidenecyclopropanes

[^31]
### 1.3.3 Molybdenum

Similar observations were reported by Cook and co-workers during studies on molybdenum hexacarbonyl mediated Pauson-Khand reactions (PKR) of bis-1,6-allenynes. ${ }^{64}$ Under stoichiometrical conditions, the expected products from a double PKR were not observed. Instead, the isolated pentacyclic products resulted from two distincts reactions: a PKR and a [2+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 57). The authors showed that the cycloaddition resulted under thermal, non-catalyzed conditions.


Scheme 57. Molybdenum-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition of 1,5-ynallenes

Hammond's group reported the synthesis of fused gem-difluorocyclobutenes through the regioselective molybdenum(0)-catalyzed intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of allene-ynes (Scheme 58). ${ }^{65}$


Scheme 58. Molybdenum-catalyzed intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition of alkynes and difluoroallenes

[^32]
# 1.4 Cyclobutenes Formation Through Metal-Catalyzed Ring Expansion Reactions 

### 1.4.1 Platinum

Aryl- and alkyl-substituted methylenecyclopropanes (MCPs) were converted to cyclobutenes using catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene under mild conditions. ${ }^{66}$ The reaction was significantly accelerated when performed under an atmosphere of CO. Under these conditions, the catalyst loading can be reduced to $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (Scheme 59).


Scheme 59. Pt-Catalyzed isomerization of MCPs to cyclobutenes

The mechanism proposed involves coordination of $\mathrm{Pt}(2+)$ to the double bond of MCP to generate a stabilized cyclopropylmethyl cation prone to rearrange to the cyclobutenyl cation complex which likely has carbene character. [1,2]-H shift followed by elimination of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ releases the cyclobutene product (Scheme 60). This mechanism is consistent with the deuterium-labeling experiment.


Scheme 60. Mechanism of the Pt-Catalyzed isomerization of MCPs to cyclobutenes

Enantiomerically pure alkylidenecyclopropanes undergo this rearrangement to produce

[^33]cyclobutene with a complete preservation of the stereogenic center. ${ }^{67}$

### 1.4.2 Palladium

Shi's group reported a similar ring enlargement reactions using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ in 1,2-dichloroethane under mild conditions (Scheme 61). The reaction was only effective for aryl-substituted MCPs, no reaction occurred with the alkyl-substituted ones. Electron-donating groups on the aryl ring promoted significantly the reaction in few hours at room temperature. Either an electron-withdrawing group or no substituent on the aromatic ring retarted the reactions, which required higher temperature $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and longer reaction time to achieve good conversions. Among various bromide salts to promote the generation in situ of $\mathrm{PdBr}_{2}$, cupric(II) bromide was found the more efficient.


Scheme 61. Pd-catalyzed Isomerization of MCPs to cyclobutenes.

In 2012, Wu's group reported the palladium(II)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 1,2-allenylketones leading to furan-fused cyclobutenes, which are versatile intermediates for further elaboration (Scheme 62). ${ }^{68}$


Scheme 62. Convertion of 3-cyclopropylideneprop-2-en-1-ones to furan-fused cyclobutenes

[^34]For instance, a highly selective formation of functionalized 2-alkylidenecyclobutanones can be achieved in the presence of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP).

### 1.4.3 Silver

In 2008, Tang and co-workers reported an highly selective, silver(I) triflate-catalyzed ring expansion of cyclopropyl-substituted $\alpha$-diazoesters to produce polysubstituted cyclobutenoates (Scheme 63) ${ }^{69}$ with excellent chemoselectivity and regioselectivity. In addition, it was shown that the ring expansion took place stereospecifically with the migrating carbon atom retaining its configuration during the silver-catalyzed process.


Scheme 63. Convertion of cyclopropyl-substituted $\alpha$-diazoesters to cyclobutenoates

The same group developed the regioselective ring expansion of alkynylcyclopropanes to highly substituted cyclobutenes in a one-pot two-steps sequence combining Cu and Ag catalysis (Scheme 64). ${ }^{70}$


Scheme 64. Dual catalysis for the conversion of alkynylcyclopropanes to cyclobutene derivatives

[^35]Thus, alkynylcyclopropanes underwent a "click" [3+2] cycloaddition with arylsulfonyl azides in the presence of copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) catalyst giving rise to $N$-sulfonyl 1,2,3-triazoles, which are safe diazo compounds equivalents. ${ }^{71}$ Upon treatment of triazoles with catalytic amounts of silver triflate, the $\alpha$-cyclopropyl silver carbine, thus generated, undergo a ring expansion to deliver conjugated cyclobutenyl arylsulfonimines. These can be hydrolyzed $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ or reduced $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\right)$ to give cyclobutene carboxaldehydes or arylsulfonylaminomethyl-substituted cyclobutenes respectively.

### 1.4.4 Rhodium

In their studies directed towards the selectivity issues of transitition-metal catalyzed ring expansions of MCPs, the group of Tang reported several examples using rhodium catalysts (Scheme 65). ${ }^{70}$ Although dirhodium tetraacetate exhibited similar efficiency compared to copper and silver salts, the reaction chemoselectivity, namely ring expansion vs intramolecular cyclopropanation, was found less satisfactory with the rhodium catalyst.


Scheme 65. Catalyst-dependence on the chemoselectivity of the ring expansion reaction

In ring expansion reactions of stereodefined aryl-substituted cyclopropanes, the rhodium catalyst displayed usually different regioselectivity compared to copper and silver catalysts (Scheme 66). The formation of the less-congested 1,3-disubstituted cyclobutene is favored sterically, while the 1,2-disubstituted cyclobutene is favored electronically, as the aryl group can stabilize the partial positive charge on the migrating benzylic carbon atom. The results observed may reflect the delicate balance between the electronic and steric effects in cyclopropyl metalacarbenes intermediates. For example, electronic effects dominate in the case of copper(I) carbene and steric effects dominate in the case of dirhodium(II) carbene.

[^36]
$a: 93 \%$ yield; $b: 90 \%$ yield
Scheme 66. Catalyst-dependence on the regioselectivity of the migrating C-C bond

### 1.4.5 Gold

The access to 1-acylcyclobutenes through gold-catalyzed oxidative ring expansion reactions of alkynylcyclopropanes was developed by the Liu's group (Scheme 67). ${ }^{72}$



Scheme 67. Gold-catalyzed oxidative convertion of alkynylcyclopropanes to cyclobutenyl ketones

The main advantage of this approach lies on the more easier access to alkynylcyclopropanes compared to the cyclopropyl diazocarbonyl species used by Tang (§ 1.4.3). Thus, treatment of alkynylcyclopropanes with diphenylsulfoxide as the oxygen donor in the presence of in situ generated cationic 2-(di-t-butylphosphino)biphenylgold(I) triflimide catalyst occurred

[^37]smoothly, without formation of a-diketones, to give cyclobutenyl ketones (or amides) in fair to excellent yields. Satisfactory results are observed with both electron-rich and electron-withdrawing groups on the aryl substituent of alkynes. Substitution at the cyclopropane ring is tolerated and the cyclobutene formation resulted from a selective migration of the more substituted C-C cyclopropyl bond. The key gold $\alpha$-carbonylcarbenoid species is formed through the regioselective nucleophilic attack of oxygen donor on activated $\pi$-alkyne complex.

### 1.4.6 Copper

Barluenga and co-workers reported the synthesis of functionalized cyclobutenes based on cyclization of vinyldiazoacetates with stabilized diazo compounds catalyzed with a cationic copper(I) complexes (Scheme 68). ${ }^{73}$ The reaction exhibits high regioselectivity affording the cyclobutenes in moderate to acceptable yields and the 1,3-dienes usually expected through the homo- and cross-coupling reactions were also observed as by-products. The reaction proceeds through the ring expansion of $\alpha$-cyclopropyl cupra(I)carbene intermediates (vide supra).


Scheme 68. Copper(I)-catalyzed cross-coupling of diazo compounds and vinyldiazoesters

More interestingly, these authors exploited the cross-coupling reaction for application to bis(propargylic) esters, which were assumed to generate in situ a copper(I) furylcarbene, and

[^38]vinyldiazoacetate esters (Scheme 69). The reaction worked satisfactorily to produce furyl-substituted cyclobutenes as single regioisomers.


Scheme 69. Copper(I)-catalyzed cross-coupling of bis(propargyl) esters and vinyldiazoesters to form furyl-substituted cyclobutenes

The mechanism proposed involved first a copper-mediated isomerization of the propargylic substrate to the ( $E$ )-Knovenagel intermediate which upon a 5 -exo-dig cyclization form the putative 2-furyl copper(I) carbene species. Second, this species cyclopropanates the double bond of the diazo compound to provide a cyclopropyldiazo intermediate, which in turns undergoes copper-catalyzed ring expansion to deliver the furyl-substituted cyclobutene product.

## Conclusion

The number of metal-catalyzed methodologies to synthesize cyclobutenes has considerably increased over the past decade. Those combining alkynes and alkenes are particularly well-documented and synthetically useful, reliable methods of preparation of cyclobutenes synthons are now available. The catalytic methods present notably advantages over the thermal or photochemical conditions such as wide tolerance to functional groups, mild reaction conditions, and in a not insignificant way to increase molecular complexity of products. The potential of cyclobutenes in target-oriented synthesis is far from being achieved and future prospects will focused in the development of enantioselective versions and applications in asymmetric synthesis.

## Chapter II

# Cyclobutene Formation in $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$-Catalyzed 

## Cycloisomerizations of Heteroatom-Tethered

## 1,6-Enynes

## Introduction

Transition-metal catalyzed enyne cycloisomerizations have become useful synthetic strategies for the construction of cyclic or bicyclic structures with high molecular complexity. In general, these reactions are easy to implement and require no rigorous controlled experimental conditions. In theses processes, the amount of enynes equal the amount of cyclic or bicyclic products generated and no atom is wasted. These reactions fulfill the concept of atoms economy. ${ }^{1}$ Among the diversity of transition metal complexes catalyzing enynes cycloisomerizations, platinum and gold complexes, which have been defined as " $\pi$-acidic" metals, are the most utilized because of their catalytic efficiency. ${ }^{2}$ An overview of the main products of platinum/gold catalyzed 1,6-enynes cycloisomerizations is now introduced.


Scheme 1. Products of platinum/gold catalyzed 1,6-enynes cycloisomerizations.

Scheme 1 summarizes the diverse arrays of products obtained in platinum/gold-catalyzed 1,6-enynes cycloisomerizations and highlights the connectivities between carbon atoms of

[^39]the newly created carbon-carbon bonds. ${ }^{3}$ It can be seen that cyclized products are formed with or without carbon-carbon bond(s) cleavage. According to the structural patterns of enynes, and in a less extent the reaction conditions, five-membered carbocycles I, II and VI that feature a 1,3- or 1,4-diene unit can be formed. Alternatively, cycloisomerizations also provide an access to six-membered dienes compounds $\mathbf{V}$ or bicyclic products III. The formation of cyclobutenes IV is quite unusual and only observed in some instances. The compounds I-VI are observed in various transition-metal catalyzed enynes cycloisomerizations and no metal catalyst is specific for a given type of products. In the following lines, we will mainly focus in platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerizations in continuation with studies undertaken in our laboratories. A brief discussion on the formation of each type compounds I-VI based on the mechanisms proposed is presented below.

## * 1,3-Dienes I and II

Murai reported that treatment of enyne A with a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the formation of dienes $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C} .^{4}$ The reaction proceeds by activation of the alkyne with platinum thus forming a highly electophilic ( $\eta^{2}$-alkyne)platinum complex. Nucleophilic attack of the alkene through 5-exo-dig cyclization led to the $\alpha$-cyclopropyl platinacarbene. Type I diene $\mathbf{B}$ is obtained through a single [1,2]-C migration, rearrangement and metal elimination, while type II diene $\mathbf{C}$ is formed as a minor product via a double [1,2]-C migration.


## * Vinylcyclopropanes III

[^40]In 2001, Fürstner's group described the cycloisomerization of simple nitrogen-tethered 1,6 -enyne $\mathbf{D}$ in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene to produce the cyclopropane derivative $\mathbf{E}$ (type III) alongside $2 \%$ of metathesis-like adduct (type $\mathbf{I}$ ). ${ }^{5}$ It is believed that the a 6 -endo-dig cyclization generates a metalated bicyclic piperidine featuring a platinacarbene character. This species undergo a rapid 1,2-hydrogen shift and demetalation to give $\mathbf{E}$.


## * 1,4-Dienes VI

Cycloisomerization of 1,6-enyne $\mathbf{F}$ bearing gem disubstitution at terminus carbon of alkenes in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in acetone resulted in the formation of 1,4-diene G (type VI) alongside the cyclopropane derivative (type III). ${ }^{6}$ The 5-exo-dig attack of the alkene onto electrophilic activated alkyne would give the stabilized homoallylic cationic intermediate, which would led to 1,4-diene after protodemetalation.


## * 1,3-Dienes V

[^41]In 2004, Echavarren's group observed that treatment of 1,6 -enyne $\mathbf{F}$ with an in situ generated cationic gold catalyst led to the formation of six-membered heterocyclic diene $\mathbf{H}$ (type $\mathbf{V}$ ). ${ }^{7}$ In this process, a 6 -endo-dig cyclization led to an $\alpha$-cyclopropyl auracarbene which undergo a [1,3]-C shift to form a metalated cyclopropylmethyl cation intermediate. Upon fragmentation of this species and metal elimination, the diene adduct $\mathbf{H}$ was obtained.


## * Bicyclic Cyclobutenes IV

We have already discussed in details the formation of cyclobutenes with platinum catalysts in the precedent chapter (§ 1.2.2). Fürstner and co-workers developed these reactions under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide, which is believed to act as $\pi$-acidic ligand and thus could increases the electrophilicity of the metal center. ${ }^{8}$


[^42]

The cyclobutene adducts (type IV) were obtained via the cationic cyclobutyl intermediate. According to the proposed mechanism, demetalation of the cyclobutyl cation could form the cycloisomer with an unfavorable "Bredt" double bond. Instead, a proton shift to the metal followed by protodemetalation produced the cyclobutene derivatives.

### 2.1 Purpose of the initial project

In previous studies of our group devoted to $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of enynes derived from cycloheptatriene, it has been showed that certain cyclizations occurred with a [1,2]-carbon or hydrogen shift. In cases of substrates bearing a carbocycle at the propargylic carbon, the cycloisomerization took place with concomitant ring expansion to form stereodefined tricyclic compounds. ${ }^{9}$


Alongside the work in our group, reports dealing with transition-metal catalyzed ring

[^43]enlargement cycloisomerizations appeared in recent years. ${ }^{10}$ However, the scope of these reactions is rather narrow, only enynes with carbon or oxygen tethered are tolerated. Our initial studies focused on C-C bond migration in cycloisomerization of NTs-tethered 1,6 -enynes in order to extend the scope of this interesting reaction.


### 2.2 Preliminary investigations

Various metal chlorides (Pt, Ir, Au, etc.) capable to exhibit satisfactory catalytic activity in ring expansion reactions ${ }^{9,10}$ were examined for the reactions of enyne $\mathbf{1}$ in toluene. The results are summarized in Table 1.


Table 1. Catalyst screening for cycloisomerization of enyne 1 in toluene ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Catalyst | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Product(s) $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Complex mixture $+\mathbf{3}$ (trace) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | rt | $\mathbf{1}(63 \%)+\mathbf{4}$ (trace) + Complex mixture |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(5 / 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | rt | $\mathbf{1}(86 \%)+\mathbf{4}$ (trace) |
| 4 | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{(1atm)}$ | $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{4}(65 \%)$ |

[^44]$6^{c} \quad \mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $5 / 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ )
$7 \quad[\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$
$8^{d} \quad \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$
$105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
rt $1(68 \%)+4(15 \%)$ 1 (83 \%) $1(87 \%)+5$ (trace)
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $\mathbf{1}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst ( $2.5-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), toluene ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction carried out in DCE. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction carried out in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$.

Under typical reaction conditions, treatment of enyne 1 with a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 1) led to a complex mixture and no desired ring enlargement cycloisomer 2 was observed. Careful examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR revealed the presence of trace amounts of cyclobutene compound $\mathbf{3}$ with characteristic signals of ethylenic protons around $\delta 6.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. No significant improvement was observed using $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}$ in toluene at room temperature; a complex mixture of products containing traces of metathesis-like compound 4 along with recovered enyne 1 ( $63 \%$ ) was observed. A similar observation was made with cationic platinum species (Table 1, entry 3). Considering that CO has a beneficial effect in metal-catalyzed isomerization reactions, ${ }^{11}$ the reaction with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ was carried out under CO atmosphere (Table 1, entry 4). Under these conditions only diene 4 $(65 \%)$ was observed. $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ and $[\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ exhibited low conversion of $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 1, entries 5 and 7). A cationic gold complex generated in situ with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ in DCE led to metathesis-like compound 4 (15 \%) with low conversion (Table 1, entry 6). $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ in toluene behaves similarly (Table 1, entry 3). Even $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ proved to be inefficient, only trace amounts of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond cleavage product 5 were observed (Table 1, entry 8 ).

During the period of these studies, the desired ring enlargement isomer 2 have never been observed and a report dealing with the ring expansion cycloisomerization of enynes with cationic gold catalysts appeared in mid-2013. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{c}}$ Meanwhile, the formation of cyclobutene 3 was unusual and rare compared to those producing metathesis-like compounds and other isomers. We thus shifted interest from ring enlargement reactions to cyclobutene formation.

[^45]
### 2.3 Cyclobutene formation in $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$-catalyzed cycloisomerization of heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes

The observation of cyclobutenes in cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ was already reported by Fürstner's group. ${ }^{8}$ However, these reactions suffer from two drawbacks. First, an aryl substituent, preferably electron-rich, at the terminus alkyne carbon is mandatory to stabilize a putative benzylic cation, and second the reactions fail when a heteroatom is present in the tether.

Keeping in mind these drawbacks, our next challenges were defined as follows: (a) to develop the $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$-catalyzed cyclization reactions of heteroatom( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ )-tethered 1,6-enynes giving rise to heterobicyclic derivatives featuring cyclobutenes; (b) to achieve these reactions with enynes unsubstituted at alkyne terminus carbon, and (c) to investigate the reaction mechanism. Cycloisomerizations of such enynes, featuring terminal alkynes, has never been described so far.

### 2.3.1 The solvent screening

Considering that the solvent can play an important role in the selectivity of enynes cycloisomerizations, ${ }^{6}$ a series of solvents of diverse polaritity were examined for the reaction of enyne $1 . \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, which had the potential of active catalyst for the expected reaction, was retained. The results are reported in Table 2.


Table 2. Solvent screening in reaction of enyne 1 with $\mathbf{P t C l}_{2}{ }^{a}$

| Entry | Solvent | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Product(s) $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Toluene | 105 | Complex mixture $+\mathbf{3}$ (trace) |
| $2^{c}$ | DCE | 85 | $\mathbf{1}(40 \%)+\mathbf{3}(13 \%)+\mathbf{4}(21 \%)+\mathbf{6}(16 \%)$ |
| 3 | Chloroform | 60 | $\mathbf{1}(69 \%)+\mathbf{3}(8 \%)$ |


| 4 | Acetone | 60 | $\mathbf{1}(91 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 85 | $\mathbf{1}(84 \%)+$ complex mixture |
| 6 | DMSO | 105 | $\mathbf{1}(33 \%)+$ complex mixture |
| 7 | THF | 60 | $\mathbf{1}(30 \%)+\mathbf{4}(37 \%)+\mathbf{3}$ (trace) |
| 8 | MeOH | 70 | $\mathbf{5}(74 \%)$ |
| 9 | DMF | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(63 \%)$ |
| 10 | DMPU | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(88 \%)$ |
| 11 | NMP | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(87 \%)$ |
| 12 | DMA | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(98 \%)$ |
| 13 | DMA | 85 | $\mathbf{3}(95 \%)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: $1(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{DMF}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon.
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction.

Toluene, as the typical solvent in enynes cycloisomerizations, produced a complex mixture containing trace amounts of cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$ (Table 2, entry 1). Carrying out the reaction in DCE enabled formation of dienes $4(21 \%)$ and $6(16 \%)$, cyclobutene 3 (13 \%) alongside unreacted enyne 1 ( $40 \%$ ) (Table 2, entry 2). Chloroform, acetone or propyl acetate are unsuited and allow to recover enyne 1 in $69 \%, 91 \%$ and $84 \%$ yield respectively (Table 2 , entries 3-5). Reaction in DMSO led to a complex mixture along with enyne 1, while THF as the solvent produced trace amount of cyclobutene 3, diene $4(37 \%)$ and recovered enyne $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 2, entries 6-7). Surprisingly, a protic and nucleophilic solvent such as methanol which usually participated in enyne cyclizations, ${ }^{6}$ led only to the C-N bond cleavage product 5 (Table 2, entry 8). A remarkable breakthrough was achieved when the reaction was carried out in DMF. We were pleased to find that cyclobutene 3 was formed in a satisfactory $63 \%$ yield (Table 2, entry 9). Other amide and even imide solvents such as NMP, DMA and DMPU exhibited excellent selectivity allowing formation of $\mathbf{3}$ with significant increased yields (Table 2, entries10-12). DMA proved to be the best one. ${ }^{12}$ Furthermore, the reaction in DMA could be conducted at a lower temperature $\left(85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ without deleterious effect on the yield (95 \%) (Table 2, entry 13).

[^46]
### 2.3.2 The role of amide solvent

In order to shed light on the role of the amide in the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, a series of experiments under various conditions were conducted and summarized in Table 3.


Table 3. Reaction of enyne 1 with $\mathbf{P t C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ under various condition ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Reaction conditions | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Product(s) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | DMF | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(63 \%)$ |
| 2 | DCE, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{NBH}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | 85 | Complex mixture $+\mathbf{3}$ (trace) + 4 (trace) |
|  | $(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ |  | $\mathbf{3}(95 \%)$ |
| 3 | DMA | 85 | $\mathbf{3}(78 \%)$ |
| 4 | DMA/toluene (1/1) | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(75 \%)+\mathbf{4}$ (trace) |
| 5 | DMA/toluene (1/9) | 105 | $\mathbf{3}(63 \%)+\mathbf{4}$ (trace) |

${ }^{a}$ General: $1(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, solvent $(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.

Several reports showed that DMF is able to reduce some noble metal salts $(\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au})$ to their zerovalent species. ${ }^{13}$ We hypothesized that this event could occur with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$. To this end, the reaction of 1 was carried out in DCE with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ and an organo-soluble reducing agent, namely tetrabutylammonium borohydride, ${ }^{14}$ in order to generate $\operatorname{Pt}(0)$ species in situ. Under

[^47]these conditions, only a complex mixture of products was observed revealing trace amounts of cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$ and diene $\mathbf{4}$ by proton NMR of the crude (Table 3, entry 2). So, this hypothesis was definitively pushed aside.

Our next hypothesis was that amide behaves as a weakly coordinating ligand. To test this assumption, the reactions were conducted in toluene with a decrease of the concentration of DMA. The reactions conducted in mixtures DMA/toluene $1 / 1$ or $1 / 9 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ gave cyclobutene 3 with significant decreased yields (78 and $75 \%$ respectively) (Table 3, compare entry 3 and entries 4-5). Interestingly the yield of $\mathbf{3}$ remained similar with these DMA concentrations. Finally, when using DMA in catalytic amounts ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ with respect to enyne 1) in toluene, the cyclobutene 3 was still observed in an acceptable $63 \%$ yield (Table 3, entry 6). These results supported our second hypothesis and highlighted the role of the DMA as a weakly coordinating ligand in cycloisomerization reactions.

### 2.3.3 Determination the structure of cyclobutene 3

### 2.3.3.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of cyclobutene 3

The assignments of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals of cyclobutane $\mathbf{3}$ have been established through the DEPT, COSY, NOESY, HMQC and HMBC experiments. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{3}$ revealed distinct signals for all the protons of the bicycle. The ethylenic protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ were observed as doublets ( $J_{H a-H b}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at $\delta 6.00$ and 6.04 respectively; $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and the angular methyl group were observed as singlets at $\delta 2.99$ and 1.30 respectively. The carbon signals of the cyclobutene were observed at $\delta 142.3(\mathrm{CH}), 134.4(\mathrm{CH}), 58.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.8(\mathrm{C})$.
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### 2.3.3.2 NOESY of cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$

The NOESY experiments revealed correlations between the cyclobutenic protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, the methyl protons and the olefinic proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, the olefinic proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ that allowed the assignments of protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$. The correlations between the methyl group at

C-4 and only one protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ of the methylene $\alpha$ to NTs as well as between the same methyl protons and proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ established the assignment of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and confirmed the syn relationship between the methyl group and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ respectively. Therefore, the relative configuration of C-3 and for the quaternary carbon C-4 are $\left(S^{*}\right)$ and $\left(R^{*}\right)$ respectively.
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Observed NOESY correlations of $\mathbf{3}$
2.3.3.3 X-ray diffraction of the cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$

The analysis by X-ray diffraction of cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$ confirmed the structure of the compound and established the relative configuration $R^{*}(\mathrm{C}-4)$ and $S^{*}(\mathrm{C}-3)$ of the carbon atoms of the rings junction (Figure 1).


Figure 1. ORTEP of bicycle 3 (H atoms are omitted for clarity)

Selected data: a) Bond length ( $\AA$ ): $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.511 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4=1.302 ; \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5=1.507 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 5=$ 1.571. b) Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6=114.7 ; \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=117.8 ; \mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=114.2 ; \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 12$ $=116.8 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4=95.2 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5=95.1 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4=85.1 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 5=84.7$.

### 2.4 Scope of the Pt-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of Hetero-atom-Tethered 1,6-Enynes

### 2.4.1 Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enynes with disubstitution $\alpha$ to nitrogen atom

Our initial study on the model enyne $\mathbf{1}$ has showed that the platinum-catalyzed reaction can afford cyclobutene $\mathbf{2}$ in $95 \%$ yield (Table 4, entry 1) as a single diastereomer owing to the convex shaped bicyclo[3.2.0]heptane structure. With this promising result in hand, various enynes bearing the spirocyclohexyl group at the propargylic carbon atom were first examined. Enynes 7, 9 and $\mathbf{1 1}$ with a nucleophilic or electrophilic function at the C-6 position, including phenyl, chloromethyl, (trimethylsilyl)methyl ${ }^{15}$ gave the corresponding cyclobutenes $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in good to excellent yields (80-99 \%) (Table 4, entries 2-4). Even enyne $\mathbf{1 3}$ with an unsubstituted allyl motif was converted to cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $80 \%$ yield (Table 4, entry 5). Unexpectedly, enyne $\mathbf{1 5}$ with a bromine atom at the C-6 position was found unreactive to give the expected cyclobutene 16 (Table 4, entry 6). Enyne 17 substituted with a phenyl group at terminal carbon of alkene did not provide the corresponding cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 8}$ (Table 4, entry 7).

The variation of substituent at the nitrogen atom of several enynes bearing cyclohexyl group at propargylic postition was briefly examined. The reactivity of methanesulfonamide 19 was similar to the corresponding p-tolylsulfonamide $\mathbf{1}$ to give adduct $\mathbf{2 0}$ in $96 \%$ yield (Table 4, entries 8 and 1), while amide 21 reacted less satisfactory to afford cyclobutene 22 in only $32 \%$ yield (Table 4, entry 9). Interestingly, carbamate 23 reacted differently to give

[^48]oxazolidinone 24 in $58 \%$ yield through oxygen nucleophilic attack on metal-coordinated alkyne. ${ }^{16}$


Table 4. Cycloisomerization of nitrogen-tethered enynes with disubstitution $\alpha$ to nitrogen atom ${ }^{a}$
Entry Substrate

[^49]6


15


17


19


21


23


25


26


28


16



20


22


24



30

15


32



31


33

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Enyne ( 0.2 mmol ), $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{DMA}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction carried out with additional allyltrimethylsilane (3 equiv).

According to the work of You's group, ${ }^{17}$ the nitrogen-tethered enynes with a TMS group at the terminus alkyne carbon could produced cyclobutene adducts. In these cases, the reactions suffer from a lack of selectivity giving TMS-containing adducts along with protodesilylated and over-reduced adducts (see § 1.2.2). In order to compare our conditions with those described by You, enyne 25 was prepared and subjected to our conditions to give a clean conversion to cyclobutene 3 ( $51 \%$ ) alongside unreacted enyne 25 (Table 4, entry 11). Interestingly, silylated or over-reduced adducts were not observed. This result suggested that protodesilylation of the alkyne containing TMS group with residual protic sources (traces of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ?) underwent under our conditions prior to the reaction forming cyclobutene 3 . The presence of unreacted silylated enyne $\mathbf{2 5}$ may support this assumption.

A series of enynes 26, 28, and 30, featuring a gem dimethyl substitution at propargylic position were also examined (Table 4, entries 12-14). These enynes were equally suited to undergo cycloisomerization to give the corresponding cyclobutenes 27, 29, and $\mathbf{3 1}$ in moderate yield (64-66 \%). Enynes featuring the spirocyclohexane ring (Table 4, entries 1-3) gave the corresponding azabicycloheptenes in higher yields compared to those exhibiting a gem dimethyl substitution (Table 4, entries 12-14). Interestingly, enyne 32 with the

[^50]unsubstituted allyl group reacted similarly as enyne $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Table 4, entries 5 and 15). The nitrogen-tethered enyne 34 with a phenyl group on the alkyne unit was found reluctant to undergo cycloisomerization and was recovered unchanged ${ }^{18}$ (Table 4, entry 16). The same trend was observed with enyne 17 (Table 4, entry 7).

### 2.4.2 Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enynes with monosubstitution at the propargylic or allylic position

First, enynes monosubstituted at the propargylic position prone to undergo a [1,2]-H shift to give cyclopropane derivatives were examined in order to evaluate the feasibility of [2+2] cycloaddition pathway. Enyne 36 featuring a phenyl group at the propargylic position proved to be an excellent substrate to form cyclobutene 37 in a very good yield ( $81 \%$ ) (Table 5, entry 1), while similar enyne $\mathbf{3 8}$ having an extra methyl group at the C-6 position yielded the cyclobutene 39 in a lower yield (57\%) (Table 5, entry 2).


Table 5. Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enynes with monosubstitution at the propargylic carbon atom ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Pubstrate | Yield(s) (\%) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 |

[^51]3


40


41
$4^{c}$


42



43

45




59 (47)/31(48)


46


78 (45)/ 16 (41)
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Enyne ( 0.2 mmol ), $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{DMA}(1 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ The remaining mass balance ( $65 \%$ yield) accounted for an inseparable mixture of products.

The same trends were observed for enynes 40 and 42 (Table 5, entries 3-4). Enyne 40 bearing a methyl group at the propargylic position afforded cyclobutene 41 in a fair yield ( $68 \%$ ) (Table 5, entry 3), whereas enyne 42 was poorly converted to cyclobutene 43 (Table 5, entry 4). A possible 1,3 repulsive non-bonded interaction between the substituents at C-3 and C-6 when forming the 5-membered azacycle may explain the decreased yields observed in these cases. As expected the bicyclic adducts are obtained as single diastereomers due to the geometric constraints inherent in the [3.2.0] bicyclic structure.
The effect of the substitution at the allylic position was briefly examined with enynes 44 and 46 (Table 5, entries 5-6). The formation of cyclobutenes 41 ( $16 \%$ ) and 48 (31\%) as minor compounds is still observed along with the cyclopropanated compounds $\mathbf{4 5}$ (78\%) and 47 (59\%) respectively. Notably, a competitive [1,2]-H shift event favored the cyclopropane derivatives formation. ${ }^{5}$ These results showed that the substitution patterns of the enynes plays a role in the cycloisomerization selectivity and that substitution at the allylic position,
compared to the propargylic position, remains less influential for the formation of the cyclobutenes.
Owing to the remarkable diastereoselectivity of these reactions, subjection of the chiral enyne ( $R$ )-40 (96 \% ee) to the cyclosiomerization conditions ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, DMA) afforded ( $1 S, 2 R, 5 R$ )-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene 41 in $62 \%$ yield and $98 \%$ ee after purification by chromatography.


The structure of bicycle $\mathbf{4 3}$ and its relative stereochemistry was secured by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2).


Figure 2. ORTEP of bicycle 43 (H atoms are omitted for clarity)

Selection of structural data: a) Bond length ( $\AA$ ): C2-C3 $=1.521 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4=1.313 ; \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5=$ 1.508; $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 5=1.575$. b) Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=114.7$; $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6=115.6 ; \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5=$ 114.9; C3-C2-C7 = 117.8; C2-C3-C4 = 95.0; C3-C4-C5 = 94.9; C2-C5-C4 = 85.6; C3-C2-C5 $=84.5$.

### 2.4.3 Cycloisomerization of N -tethered enynes without substitution at the propargylic carbon atom

Since the substitution patterns of the enyne play an important role on the outcome of the cycloisomerization, the reactivity of enyne 49 with a methallyl substituent was evaluated. Under the usual conditions, cyclobutene $\mathbf{5 0}$ was still formed in $17 \%$ yield alongside the known cyclopropane $\mathbf{5 1}$ as the major cycloisomer ( $76 \%$ ). It is noteworthy that cyclobutene 50 was not observed for the reaction performed in toluene. ${ }^{5}$ Thus, the [1,2]-H migration remains the preponderant path when no substituent is present at the propargylic carbon atom. These results suggested that upon coordination of the alkyne to platinum, the propargylic substituent(s) induce a significant relative stabilization of the developing positive charge at internal sp carbon through hyperconjugation. This condition is necessary but not sufficient. The DMA as the coordinating solvent play a decisive role in the evolvement of ionic species intermediates to the cyclobutene formation.


In order to get an insight into the progress of the reaction, it was decided to stop the reactions after a short period of time and to determine the ratio of the products formed. Table 6 summarizes the conversion of enyne $\mathbf{4 9}$ and the ratio of cyclobutene $\mathbf{5 0}$ to cyclopropane $\mathbf{5 1}$ over time. As expected, the convertion of 49 increased with the reaction time and, interestingly, the ratio $\mathbf{5 0 / 5 1}$ increased with the conversion of enyne 49. These variations appear to be linear (Figures 3 and 4). We have shown that interconversion of $\mathbf{5 1}$ to $\mathbf{5 0}$ can not be achieved under the reaction conditions. Consequently, the observed ratio 50/51 have to depend most probably on the kinetics of formation of $\mathbf{5 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 1}$.

Table 6. Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enyne $49{ }^{a}$

| Time | Conversion | Ratio of 50/51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 min | $47 \%$ | $1 / 7.2$ |


| $30 \min$ | $65 \%$ | $1 / 6.1$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $45 \min$ | $79 \%$ | $1 / 5.2$ |
| 1 h | $93 \%$ | $1 / 4.7$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Enyne $49(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DMA ( 1 mL ), $c 0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure 3. Progress of enyne cycloisomerization
Convertion of 49 in a function of time


Figure 4. Progress of enyne cycloisomerization Ratio of $50 / 51$ in a function of time


The total conversion of enyne 49 has been observed carrying out the reaction for 6 hours. As
far as the kinetics of reaction are often dependent on the concentration of reactants, we investigated the influence of concentration of the $\mathbf{4 9}$ on the progress of the reaction (Table 7). Although the formation of $\mathbf{5 1}$ as the major product is observed whatever is the concentration, the best $\mathbf{5 0} / \mathbf{5 1}$ ratio in favor of the cyclobutene is observed at 0.2 M concentration of enyne 49 and do not change significantly at higher concentration (Figure 5).

Table 7. Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enyne 49:
Influence of the concentration ${ }^{a}$

| Concentration (M) | Ratio of $\mathbf{5 0 / 5 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | $1 / 10.1$ |
| 0.1 | $1 / 8.0$ |
| 0.2 | $1 / 4.7$ |
| 0.4 | $1 / 4.5$ |

[^52]Figure 5. Cycloisomerization of $N$-tethered enyne 49: Ratio of products $\mathbf{5 0 / 5 1}$ according to the concentration


We have previously shown that cycloisomerization of enynes with an unsubstituted allyl motif proceeded smoothly to the corresponding cyclobutenes (see Table 4, entry 5 and Table 5, entries 1,3 and 5). Thus, the unsubstituted enyne $\mathbf{5 2}$ subjected to the usual conditions afforded a complex mixture of products containing a trace amount of the desired cyclobutene

53 detected in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction.


This result strengthens the idea that propargylic substituents would play an important role on the cyclobutene formation.

### 2.4.4 Cycloisomerization of $O$-tethered enynes with disubstitution $\alpha$ to nitrogen atom

Oxygen-tethered enynes participate equally to the cycloisomerization to give the expected bicyclic ethers although these products were more sensitive compared to the parent nitrogen compounds, and prone to undergo decomposition. ${ }^{4}$ To this end, the reactions of allyl-propargyl ethers were carried out with trimethylallylsilane as additive to trap the adventitious acidic species responsible for the decomposition of products.

For our initial investigation on oxygen-tethered enynes cycloisomerizations, the readily available enyne $\mathbf{5 4}$ having the same substitution patterns as enyne $\mathbf{1}$ was selected. Unfortunately, due to its lability, the desired cyclobutene $\mathbf{5 5}$ was observed in tiny amount (Table 6 , entry 1). We anticipated that enyne with a phenyl group at C-6 would be a better substrate to undergo the cycloisomerization and hopefully to give the non-labile cyclobutene adduct. To our delight, this assumption was correct and the well-designed enyne 56 led to corresponding cyclobutene 57 in good yield ( $75 \%$ ) (Table 6, entry 2). To our knowledge, these 3-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene structures formed through enyne cycloisomerization have never been reported so far. Enynes $\mathbf{5 8}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ containing an acid sensitive protecting group gave the corresponding cyclobutenes 59 and 61 respectively in fair yield compared to 57 (Table 6, entries 2-4). In these cases, the presence of allyltrimethylsilane as an acid scavenger is crucial for the survival of the dioxolane. For instance, reaction of enyne $\mathbf{5 8}$ carried out without trimethylallylsilane for 24 h afforded a mixture of cyclobutene $\mathbf{5 9}$ and deprotected cyclobutene 63. Enyne 62, prepared from the ketone deprotection of enyne 58, gave cyclobutene $\mathbf{6 3}$ in $73 \%$ yield even without additional trimethylallylsilane (Table 6,
entry 5). Enyne $\mathbf{6 4}$ bearing a gem diphenyl group at the proparglic carbon atom was found unsuited to undergo cycloisomerization. No cyclobutene was detected in the crude reaction mixture, instead only C-O bond cleavage compound 2-methylprop-2-en-1-ol 65 was formed in $74 \%$ yield (Table 6, entry 6). We believed that the bisbenzylic C-O bond is weakened under the reaction conditions and easily undergoes cleavage to alleviate steric strain. The same trend was observed with enyne 66 (Table 6, entry 7).


Table 6. Cycloisomerization of Oxygen-Tethered Enynes ${ }^{a}$
Entry Substrate

5


62


67
66
6


64


63


65



74


7




68


69


70 Me
21 (69)/ 63 (70)

Complex mixture


73
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Enyne ( 0.2 mmol ), $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, allyltrimethylsilane ( $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv), DMA ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $8 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction.

In contrast with similar enynes 64 and 66, enyne 68 featuring a spirofluorene structure on propargylic position led to an unseparable mixture of the desired cyclobutene $69(21 \%)$ and the ring-expanded cycloisomer ${ }^{19}$ compound 70 ( $63 \%$ ), which was targeted in our initial project. (Table 6 , entry 8 ).

[^53]Enynes 71 and 72 with monosubstitution at propargylic carbon atom have been examined (Table 6, entries 9-10). Enyne $\mathbf{7 1}$ with an unsubstituted allyl motif gave a complex mixture without formation of the expected cyclobutene as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Table 6, entry 9), while enyne $\mathbf{7 2}$ bearing a phenyl group at C-6 was converted to cyclobutene $\mathbf{7 3}$ in only $32 \%$ yield (Table 6, entry 10 ).

### 2.4.5 Attempted Cycloisomerization of carbon-tethered enynes

Cycloisomerization of all-carbon 1,6-enynes leading to cyclobutene formation is well documented (§ 1.2). We wished to examine the behavior of such enynes under our conditions (Table 7). It was reported that enyne $\mathbf{7 4}$ containing a malonate carbon in the tether and aryl group at terminus alkyne carbon could produce cyclobutene $\mathbf{7 5}$ using platinum chloride (10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) in toluene under CO atmosphere. ${ }^{8}$ When the reaction was performed in our conditions, enyne $\mathbf{7 4}$ was recovered unchanged (Table 7, entry 1). ${ }^{20}$ This result showed a sharp contrast in the outcome of the reaction between reactions conducted under CO and ours performed in DMA.


Table 7. Cycloisomerization of Carbon-Tethered Enynes ${ }^{a}$
Entry Substrate

[^54]

78


79
79

Complex mixture

Complex mixture
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Enyne ( 0.2 mmol ), $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, allyltrimethylsilane ( 3 equiv), DMA ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{C}=0.2 \mathrm{M}$, under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

We were disappointed that enynes 76, 78 and 79, containing the structural patterns characteristic for the reaction such as the terminal alkyne and bisubstitution at the propargyl position did not form the expected cyclobutenes. Enyne 76 was recovered unchanged (Table 7 , entry 2 ) while enynes $\mathbf{7 8}$ and $\mathbf{7 9}$ containing a tertiary alcohol and ether group respectively led to complex mixtures of products (Table 7, entries 3-4).

### 2.5 Studies on the reaction mechanism

### 2.5.1 Insights on the role of DMA as a ligand

Besides the coordination site of amide (oxygen coordination) compared to CO (carbon coordination) towards platinum, the distinct role of amide was established with reactions of enynes 1 and 74. The reaction of 1 carried out with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ under a carbon monoxide atmosphere only led to diene 4 ( $65 \%$ ) (Table 1, entry 4), while cyclobutene 3 was obtained in 98 \% yield in DMA as the solvent. These divergent behaviors were also observed with reactions of all-carbon enyne 74. Treatment of $\mathbf{7 4}$ with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in toluene under CO atmosphere gave cyclobutene $\mathbf{7 5}$ in $84 \%$ yield. ${ }^{8}$ When the reaction was performed in DMA, the enyne $\mathbf{7 4}$ was recovered unchanged. To date, the exact role of amide ligands favoring the formation of cyclobutenes remains to be established.


Our conditions :
Fürstner's conditions : $\quad \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CO}$, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


75

Our conditions :
Fürstner's conditions :
$\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DMA, $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CO, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

As DMA is regarded as ligand (Table 3, entry 6), the well defined complex $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}{ }^{21}$ 80 was prepared and used as catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in toluene at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under these conditions, enyne 1 was converted to cyclobutene 3 in $56 \%$ yield along with diene 4 (11\%) and $N$-prenyl-p-tolylsulfonamide $\mathbf{6}(9 \%)$. This result confirmed the role of DMA as a weakly coordinating solvent.


It had been shown that $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}$ is soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature and readily releases DMA. ${ }^{14}$ Considering the weak coordinating properties of DMA, we assumed a similar behavior of this complex in toluene. Thus, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (DMA) 81, in equilibrium with $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}$, has a free coordination site, which renders it able to coordinate the alkyne and

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2} \\
\mathbf{8 0} & \stackrel{-\mathrm{DMA}}{+\mathrm{DMA}} & \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA}) \\
+\mathbf{8 1} & \stackrel{\text { DMA }}{+\mathrm{DMA}} & \mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \\
& &
\end{array}
$$

[^55]to trigger the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition. Furthermore, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA}) \mathbf{8 1}$ dissociates from DMA to form $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ which is believed responsible for the formation of side products 4 and 6 . To maintain a "useful" concentration of the putative $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA}) \mathbf{8 1}$, the reaction was carried out with both $\mathbf{8 0}$ and additional DMA (107 equiv with respect to Pt). These conditions suppressed the C-N bond cleavage path and afforded cyclobutene $\mathbf{3}$ in increased yield (76 \%) along with diene 4 in only $5 \%$ yield.


In order to improve the selectivity, we looked briefly for other amide ligands. On the basis of the weak coordination properties of the amides, we selected the symmetrical bisamide $\mathbf{8 2}$ as a potential bidentate ligand.


This amide was readily prepared in two steps from 2,2-dimethylmalonic acid. We reasoned that the bidendate $\mathbf{8 2}$ could be relatively more bounded to Pt compared to DMA and might undergo partial dissociation from the platinum and so release one coordination site.


To our disappointment, subjection of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} / \mathbf{8 2}$ as a catalyst system in toluene at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for 24 h afforded the cyclobutene 3 in only $18 \%$ yield along with diene $\mathbf{4}(45 \%)$.
We believe that a more deep thorough research is necessary for the design of new amide ligands (monodentate or hybride) useful in platinum chemistry with future prospects in enantioselective catalysis.

### 2.5.2 Proposal of mechanism for the formation of cyclobutene

Considering the peculiar structural patterns that favor the formal [2+2] cycloaddition, a mechanism based on cationic intermediates can be proposed (Scheme 2). Activation of the alkyne by platinum(II) initiates cyclization of the enyne through $\mathbf{K}$ to generate a cyclobutyl cation $\mathbf{L}$. Because of non-bonded interactions with substituent(s) at propargylic carbon atom, the platinum cannot be located at the rings junction. ${ }^{8,22}$ Moreover, the resulting neopentyl-like cation is highly stabilized. Two conconsecutive [1,2]-H shifts through intermediates $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ followed by protodemetalation complete the formation of cyclobutene. This mechanism could explain the inertness of aryl-substituted enynes $\mathbf{3 4}$ and 74 and is reminiscent of the one proposed for the isomerization of methylenecyclopropanes to cyclobutenes. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{c}}$


Scheme 2. Proposed reaction mechanism.

### 2.5.3 Deuterium labeling experiments

This mechanism proposal was probed with deuterium labeling experiments. Cyclization of [D]-1 (> $99 \%$ D) gave cyclobutene [D]-3 with deuterium incorporation at C-1, C-6 and C-7 (Scheme 3, eq. a).

[^56]
[D]-1 (> 99\% D)
[D]-3


3
[D]-3


1


71\%

[D]-3

Scheme 3. Deuterium labeling experiments

The depletion of deuterium in a significant extent was attributed to the presence of residual water. To this end, deuterium to proton exchange on terminal alkyne [D]-84 (98 \% D) in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was established (Scheme 3, eq. b). This should occur presumably prior to the cyclization. The incorporation of deuterium at $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms of [D]-3 is not so obvious. ${ }^{23}$ Heating cyclobutene 3 with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ resulted in proton to deuterium exchange only at C-6 and C-7 atoms (Scheme 3, eq. c). Finally, consistent with the above experiments, cyclization of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ formed [D]-3 that exhibits highest level of deuterium ( $70 \%$ ) at $\mathrm{C}-1$ (Scheme 3, eq. d). These experiments are in agreement with the occurrence of the "nonclassical" cation intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ and showed that

[^57]deuterium incorporation at $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms is in some extent independent of the cyclization reaction. A rationale for hydrogen to deuterium exchange on $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms based on intermediate $\mathbf{N}$ (Scheme 2) is depicted in Scheme 4.


Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism for H to D exchange at $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms.

Addition of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ across the cyclobutene double bond generates a cyclobutyl cation similar to $\mathbf{N}$ (Scheme 2), which eliminates a proton to form a cyclobutenylplatinate species. Protodemetalation releases the cyclobutene incorporating the deuterium atom at the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atom.

We found that deuterium incorporation at each $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms is equally distributed in reactions of equations (a) and (c) within Scheme 3, this is not the case of reaction depicted in equation (d). A reasonable explanation, consistent with the proposed mechanisms, is as follows (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5. Deuterium distribution on the cyclobutene.

Considering the H to D exchange at the alkyne and according to the mechanisms depicted in Schemes 2 and 3, intermediate $\mathbf{O}$ would be formed to give the bicyclic cyclobutene $\mathbf{P}$ with

D-incorporation at the ring junction. Intermediate $\mathbf{O}$ can also lead to the final product $\mathbf{Q}$ incorporating deuterium both at the ring junction and adjacent $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atom (see Scheme 3). In the presence of excess $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the primary labeled compounds $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ can further evolve into the trideuderated compound $\mathbf{R}$. It was shown that H to deuterium exchange on $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms is observed nearly with the same extent. Since $\mathbf{Q}$ is formed before $\mathbf{R}$, maximal deuterium concentration in $\mathbf{R}$ is observed at rings junction and $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon adjacent to the tertiary carbon of the ring junction.

## Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a ready access to 3 -azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-enes and their oxygen counterparts through the cycloisomerization of heteroatom-tethered 1,6 -enynes catalyzed with platinum(II) dichloride. This study show that hyperconjugation through alkyl substituent(s) at the propargyl position in conjunction with the use of DMA as a weakly coordinating solvent are the key elements favoring the formation of cyclobutenes. Importantly, terminal alkynes, usually unfavorable structural features to cyclobutene formation, are well tolerated. The beneficial effect of amide solvent through its coordination properties was still observed when using it in catalytic amounts and the well-defined $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DMA})_{2}$ was identified as a precatalyst in these cycloisomerizations. In view of the limited number of hemilabile ligands employed to activate alkynes with platinum catalysts, ${ }^{11,24}$ amides are added to the list to improve and/or change selectivity of reactions.

[^58]
## Chapter III

A New Approach to the Bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane
Framework through the Ruthenium-Catalyzed
Cyclopropanation of Cyclobutenes with
Tertiary Propargylic Carboxylates

### 3.1 The bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane framework: synthetic methods and synthetic utility

The bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane, as its homologue bicyclo[1.1.0]butane, is among the most strained bicyclic alkanes. Due to their stressed structure, the sigma C-C bond shared by the fused cyclobutane and cyclopropane has a relatively weak bond energy and is prone to undergo cleavage easily to alleviate the ring strain. The calculated strain enthalpy of 56.3 $\mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ arises from the sum of heat of formation (experimentally found) of $37.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and the strain contribution (estimated with group additivity) of $18.6 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{k}} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The $\pi$-like character of the shared C-C bond confers typical reactivity such as electrophilic activation, cycloaddition, oxidation and even transition-metal transformations. ${ }^{1}$ The term "housane" was coined by Paul Schleyer for the bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane framework. ${ }^{2}$ There are two main basic strategies toward the synthesis of housanes (Scheme 1). The first one concerns (a) the photochemical or thermal extrusion of dinitrogen from 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene and the second one (b) refers to carbene addition to cyclobutene.


Scheme 1. Main retrosynthetic strategies to the "housane" framework

### 3.1.1. Syntheses from 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-enes

The synthesis of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane was first reported by Criegge and Rimmelin ${ }^{3}$ and a detailed reliable procedure to this framework and its alkyl derivatives was described by Gassman and Mansfield in Organic Syntheses (Scheme 2). ${ }^{4}$ It started with the Diels-Alder adduct between cyclopentadiene and diethyl azodicarboxylate which upon reduction of double bond and saponification afforded 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (non isolated). On treatment of the dialkylhydrazine with copper(II) chloride, a redox reaction takes place to give the azobicyclic compound complexed with copper(I) chloride. Subsequent treatment with sodium hydroxide released 2,3-diazabicyclo [2.2.1]hept-2-ene which decomposed

[^59]thermally with extrusion of dinitrogen to form bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane by Gassman and Mansfield

### 3.1.2. Syntheses from cyclobutenes and carbene precursors

The cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes through the Simmons-Smith reaction or using diazoalkanes precursors have also been proposed as alternative routes to bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes. These methods are however less common since cyclobutenes are not easily prepared. The first examples reported for the Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes were achieved in yields not exceeding $17 \%$ (Scheme 3). ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 3. Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation of cyclobutene

Due to the low yield observed, an alternate approach involving a tedious, multistep sequence and an intramolecular alkylation for the construction of the cyclopropane ring was explored with also low success (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Intramolecular alkylation route to bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes

[^60]Noyori and co-workers ${ }^{6}$ prepared 5,5-dideuteriobicyclo[2.1.0]pentane for mechanism studies from the Diels-Alder adduct of cyclooctatetraene and dimethyl maleate through the Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation of cyclobutene generated through a retro Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5. Simmons-Smith reaction of cyclobutene

### 3.1.3. Synthetic utility of bicyclic [2.1.0] structures

Based on the method reported by Gassman and Mansfield, Little's group prepared a number of diversely functionalized housanes as synthetically useful intermediates in synthesis of natural products featuring the bicyclic [5.3.0] structure such as Daucene (Scheme 6). ${ }^{7}$ The key step is based on the rearrangement of housane cation radical generated with tris(4-bromophenyl)aminium hexachloroantimonate to cyclopentene and concomitant one carbon atom ring expansion of the spirocycle.


Scheme 6. Housane precursor in synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Daucene

In the early nineties, the Franck-Neumann's group reported the [3+2] cycloaddition of diazoalkanes to functionalized cyclobutenes to form bicyclic $\Delta^{1}$-pyrazolines which upon photolysis released dinitrogen to give the bicyclic [2.1.0] structure which was used for the synthesis of triquinanes such as Silphinene ${ }^{8}$ and Pentalenene ${ }^{9}$ (Scheme 7).

[^61]

Scheme 7. Bicyclic [2.1.0] structures for the synthesis of triquinanes

In 2001, Snapper and Deak developed a modified Simmons-Smith protocol for the cyclopropanation of functionalized cyclobutene embodied in a tricyclic structure (Scheme 8). ${ }^{10}$ The cyclopropane adduct was shown to be a synthon for 5-7 ring systems via thermolysis of the strained ring systems. This provided access to the carbon framework of the guiane natural product family in two steps. Based on this strategy, the synthesis of a number of natural products such as Pleocarpenene and Pleocarpenone ${ }^{11}$ was reported by the Snapper's group.


Pleocarpenene, $\alpha-\mathrm{H}$ at $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Pleocarpenone, $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ at $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{O}$

Scheme 8. Cyclopropanation of cyclobutene towards natural product syntheses

An intramolecular variant was also reported to access readily 5-7-5 and 5-7-6 fused tricyclic ring systems found in numerous natural products (Scheme 9). ${ }^{12}$


Scheme 9. Intramolecular cyclopropanation of cyclobutene for the synthesis of tricycles

[^62]
### 3.2 Presentation and purpose of the project

The opportunity to develop a synthetic approach to bicyclic [2.1.0] structures through the " $\mathbf{2 C + 1 C}$ " methodology was given with the availability of cyclobutenes from the cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes disclosed in § 2 . This approach usually depends on the use of carbenes or carbene precursors as the "1C" synthon. Methods that avoid the use of unsafe diazo compounds are highly desirable especially for scale-up preparations. To this end, our group has developed the $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ catalyzed cyclopropanation of bridgehead bicyclic alkenes with propargylic carboxylates affording functionalized cyclopropane-fused bicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes as single stereomers in good to excellent yields. Additionally, the reaction was broadened in scope and various functional groups such as ethers, esters, sulfones, anhydrides, nitriles, alcohols, ketones, imides and carbamates were well tolerated. ${ }^{13}$


Studies of the reaction mechanism showed that the cyclopropanation do not involve ruthenacarbene species in contrast to Uemura's ruthenium vinylcarbenoid pathway. ${ }^{14}$ The proposed mechanism involve a double carboxylate migration (Scheme 10). The coordinatively unsaturated CpRuCl species was generated in situ from $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. Upon coordination with the bicyclic alkene and propargylic carboxylate and subsequent oxidative coupling the ruthenacyclopentene $\mathbf{A}$ can be formed. A carbon to ruthenium 1,2-migration of the carboxylate group generates the $\eta^{2}$-allene-ruthenium intermediate B. An intramolecular addition of Ru-OCOR across the internal double bond give the $\alpha$-vinylruthenacyclobutane $\mathbf{C}$ which upon reductive elimination releases the bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane and regenerates the CpRuCl species. ${ }^{13}$

[^63]

Scheme 10. Mechanism of the Ru-catalyzed cyclopropanation of bicyclic alkenes

It was also briefly shown that the reaction could be applied to cyclobutenes. Thus, subjection of bicyclo[4.2.2.0 ${ }^{2,5}$ ]deca-3,7-dienes, readily available from cycloaddition of cyclooctatetraene and maleic anhydride, to the cyclopropanation conditions afforded the expected adducts as single diastereomers.


The high chemo- and stereoselectivity exhibited in these reactions arises from the rigid structure of the substrates and the bathtub-shaped conformation of the diene responsible for the stereofacial discrimination. The reaction takes place on the most strained double bond and presumably the presence of substituents on the bicyclo[2.2.2]octene substructure prevent attack on the cyclohexene double bond. ${ }^{13}$

This approach to the bicyclo[2.1.0] framework was promising but the study was not further developed due to the lack of general methods to access the cyclobutenes and of the lability of these compounds. We intended to develop this reaction and the opportunity to reach this goal was offered to us with the bicyclic cyclobutenes prepared through the cycloisomerization of
heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes catalyzed with platinum dichloride (see § 2).


We thought that the optimized standard conditions ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, dioxane, room temperature) could be suited to our present investigations. On the other hand, we wished to expand the reaction to structurally simpler alkenes and study the stereoselectivity issues with diversely substituted cyclobutenes. In this chapter, we disclose our investigations answering these issues.

### 3.2 Preliminary studies

### 3.2.1 Cyclopropanation of model substrate 32

We initiated our studies with cyclobutene 32. The reaction of an equimolar ratio of $\mathbf{3 2}$ and 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl acetate $\mathbf{8 5}$ in the presence of catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ proceeded smoothly at room temperature, and to our delight, the tricyclic compound 86, featuring the bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane framework, was obtained as a single diastereomer in $94 \%$ (isolated) yield. No other byproducts were formed as judged by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction. The purification of $\mathbf{8 6}$ consisted in evaporation of the reaction mixture and filtration over a short pad of silicagel.


### 3.2.2 Determination of the structure of tricyclic compound 86

### 3.2.2.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 86

The assignments of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes $\mathbf{8 6}$ have been
established through the DEPT, COSY, NOESY, HMQC and HMBC experiments. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 86 revealed distinct signals for all the protons of the tricycle. The cyclopropane protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ were observed as a broad singlet at $\delta 1.83$ and multiplets at $\delta 1.52$ and 1.68 respectively. The protons of ring junction $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ were observed as doublet of doublet of doublets ( $J=1.8,4.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at $\delta 2.33$ and as doublet of doublets ( $J=1.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at $\delta 2.04$ respectively. The methylene protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}$ were observed as a doublet of doublets $(J=6.3,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 3.44$ and as a doublet $(J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 3.65$ respectively. As for the proton NMR, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR exhibits 5 lines for the carbons of the bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane substructure of 86 at $\delta 26.3$ (C-1), 21.2 (C-2), 23.7 (C-3), 55.9 (C-4), 38.1 (C-5).


86


|  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 26.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 21.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 23.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 55.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 38.1 |

Scheme 11. Main assignments by NMR of $\mathbf{8 6}$

### 3.2.2.2 NOESY of $\mathbf{8 6}$

The NOESY experiments revealed correlations between the cyclopropane proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, and cyclobutane protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$. In turn, the cyclobutane proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is additionally correlated to the proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the methylene $\alpha$ to NTs.


86
Observed NOESY correlations of $\mathbf{8 6}$

Moreover, the correlations between the cyclobutane protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as well as between the same proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and one proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the methylene $\alpha$ to NTs established the assignment
of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The absence of correlations between the protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is in agreement with the trans disposition of the substituents on the cyclopropane. Similarly, the missing correlations between the protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ determined the trans disposition of the substituents on the cyclobutane.

### 3.3 Scope of the Ruthenium-Catalyzed Cyclopropanation of Cyclobutenes with Tertiary Propargylic Carboxylates

### 3.3.1 Ruthenium-Catalyzed Cyclopropanation of Cyclobutenes

After the validation of our approach to the bicyclo[2.1.0] structure, we attempted to expand the expand this $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$-catalyzed cyclopropanation reaction to other cyclobutenes, and to test new functionalities tolerance owing to the various cyclobutenes in our hands. The results are summarized in Table 1.


Table 1. $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes with propargylic acetate $\mathbf{8 5}^{a}$

Entry | Substrate | Product | Yield (\%) ${ }^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |



14


8


88


89



9


39


41


63


94


95


96
${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Cyclobutene ( 0.20 mmol$), \mathbf{8 5}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mol} \%)$, dioxane ( 2 mL ), under argon, room temperature, $36 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction carried out at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

Most of the cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes occurred smoothly at room temperature to afford the expected bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes as single stereoisomers with high yields. The only byproduct formed is the 3-methylbuta-1,2-dien-1-yl acetate resulting from the [1,3]-acetoxy migration within acetate 85. The influence of the substituent (at C-3) of the ring junctions on the cyclopropanation reaction was examined with various cyclobutenes bearing cyclohexyl group (Table 1, entries 1-4). It turns out that the cyclobutenes with a methyl group or a hydrogen atom at C-3, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ respectively, were converted to the expected cyclopropanes in higher yields (Table 1, entries 1-2) compared to those having a phenyl or chloromethyl group at C-3, namely $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$, even if the reaction was carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1 , entries 3-4). This may be due to severe non-bonded interactions between the angular substituent ( Ph , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) and the ligands of the metal $(\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Cp})$ in the putative (alkyne)(alkene) CpRuCl intermediate prior to the oxidative cyclometalation forming the ruthenacyclopentene (Scheme 12). Thus, coordination of the double bond to the metal is accommodated with the presence of methyl substituent and prevented when the substituent become bulkier.


Scheme 12. Non-bonded interactions within intermediate complex prior to cyclometalation

This trend was also observed within the reactions of cyclobutenes $\mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{3 3}$, and 31, having a gem dimethyl substitution at the propargylic position (Table 1, entries 5-7). The bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes $\mathbf{9 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ were obtained in excellent $99 \%$ and $94 \%$ yield compared to bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes $\mathbf{9 2}$ formed in only $46 \%$ yield when the reaction is performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Other cyclobutenes $\mathbf{3 7}, \mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 1}$ with phenyl or methyl substituent were also easily subjected to the catalyzed cyclopropanation conditions to give the expected bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes 93, 94 and $\mathbf{9 5}$ in $85-90 \%$ range yields (Table 1, entries 8-10). The reactivity of oxygen-containing cyclobutene $\mathbf{6 3}$ was similar to its nitrogen counterparts to give bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane 96 in $88 \%$ yield (Table 1, entry 11). What is worthy of note, however, is that electrophilic functions such as halides or ketones are well tolerated under the catalytic conditions.

### 3.3.2 Ruthenium-Catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutene 14 with various propargylic carboxylates

In order to evaluate the influence of the substituents within the propargyl carboxylates on the outcome of the reaction, the cyclopropanation of cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 4}$ with various tertiary propargylic carboxylates was studied under the usual conditions (Table 2). The cyclopropanation of cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 4}$ give the expected adducts in excellent yields (93-97\%) with the tertiary propargyl carboxylates $\mathbf{9 7}, \mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ (Table 2, entries 1-3). It should be noticed that electron-rich or electron-poor carboxylates, $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ respectively, have no significant influence on the yield.


Table 2. $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutene 14 with various propargylic carboxylates ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: Cyclobutene ( 0.20 mmol ), $\mathbf{8 5}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), dioxane ( 2 mL ), under argon, room temperature, $36 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

The reaction with the sterically congested carboxylate $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ give $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ in only $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ yield even if the reaction is performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h (Table 2, entry 4). Thus, the outcome of the reaction is more sensitive to the steric effects of propargyl substituents rather than with the electronic nature of the carboxyl residue.

### 3.3.3 Substituents effects on the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes

Since we have evaluated our cyclobutenes in the cyclopropanation reaction (Table 1), we wished to extend the reaction to other structurally different cyclobutenes, in particular to those monosubstituted at $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon atoms.

### 3.3.3.1 Cyclobutene monosubstituted at $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atom 107

The cyclobutene 107 was readily prepared through a nickel-catalyzed [ $2+2$ ] cycloaddition as described by Cheng and co-workers. ${ }^{15}$ In our hands, the thermal reaction of 1,4-dihydro-1,4-epoxynaphthalene $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ and hex-1-yne $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ (2 equiv) in the presence of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(5 / 80 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and Zn powder ( 2.75 equiv) in toluene under argon atmosphere at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h afforded the cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ in only $36 \%$ yield.


To our disappointment, subjection of cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ to the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation conditions performed at room temperature did not afford the desired adduct; even if the reaction was carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We assume that the steric and electronic factors of the trisubstituted double bond prevent coordination to the ruthenium.

3.3.3.2 Cyclobutene monosubstituted at $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon atom $\mathbf{1 1 3}$

We intended to examine the reactivity of non-fused cyclobutene having one substituent at at $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon atom and the influence of this substitutent on the diastereoselectivity of the

[^64]cyclopropanation.
The synthesis of cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ was designed keeping in mind to build a non-volatile compound. Based on procedures reported by Xu, Salaün and Bassindale, ${ }^{16}$ the cyclobutene 113 was prepared using a five-steps sequence as outlined in Scheme 13. The thermal [2+2] cycloaddition of dichloroketene, generated in situ from trichloroacetyl chloride, with the commercially available safrole $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ in the presence of freshly prepared $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}$ couple and phosphorus oxychloride give the dicholorocyclobutanone 109. Subsequent dechlorination with zinc powder in acetic acid led to cyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in $22 \%$ yield over the two steps. Reduction of cyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ with sodium borohydride ( $99 \%$ yield) followed by tosylation give tosylate $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers in $85 \%$ yield.


Scheme 13 Preparation of cyclobutene 113. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCOCl}, \mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}$ couple, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt to reflux. (b) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{HOAc}$, rt to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \%$, over the two steps. (c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $99 \%$. (d) TsCl, pyridine, rt, $85 \%$. (e) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 61 \%$.

Dimsyl potassium-induced elimination of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid led to the desired cyclobutene 113 in $11 \%$ overall yield over the five steps.

Then, we attempted the cyclopropanation reaction of monosubstituted cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ with propargyl acetate $\mathbf{8 5}$ using our standard catalytic conditions ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in dioxane at room temperature). Disappointingly and to our surprise, the reaction produced a complex mixture of products, and the desired bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane derivative was not detected in the proton NMR of the crude. We assumed a high reactivity for the monosubstituted cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ in these conditions, therefore the reaction was carried out at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $1 / 1$ mixture dioxane/THF; the co-solvent was used to solubilize $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Here again, a complex mixture was observed without the desired bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane derivative.
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3.3.3.3 Disubstituted cyclobutenes $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 7}$

As it was observed the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation of the ring-fused cyclobutenes with propargylic carboxylates is highly efficient, the simple cis-3,4-disubstituted cyclobutenes also needed to be evaluated. The reaction of commercially available cis-3,4-dichlorocyclobutene 114 with propargyl acetate $\mathbf{8 5}$ was conducted, and the desired bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ was isolated in only $23 \%$ yield. The bulk of the reaction was a complex mixture along with the stereoisomer 116 which could not be separated from the mixture.


The $1 / 1$ ratio of compounds $\mathbf{1 1 5} / \mathbf{1 1 6}$ was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture. The yield and the diastereoselectivity observed for the reaction of cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ were dramatically decreased compared with the reaction of the bicyclic cyclobutenes reported in Table 1. The lower yield may be ascribed to the peculiarity of the chlorine substituents, which are potentially good leaving groups especially in allylic location. The loss of diastereoselectivity is less obvious; only diastereomer $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ was expected a priori. The stereofacial discrimination cannot be invoked in this case and a plausible explanation consists in the coordination to the metal through the chlorine atom(s), which delivers the syn isomer 116. On the basis of previous investigations, we envisage that the double bond
coordinates to the CpRuCl species at both sides of the cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ and that the resulting complexes are in equilibrium.

Our assumption on the high reactivity of dichloride 114 was next tested with the dibenzylether $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ readily prepared by nucleophilic substitution of $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ with sodium benzylate generated in situ. The cyclopropanation reaction of $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ furnished the diastereoisomers $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ (ratio 5:1) in $89 \%$ yield with the major diastereomer in agreement with our previous observations.


Although the efficiency of the reaction was restored, the diastereoselectivity was not fully controlled. Because ethers are not good ligands compared to chlorine, a coordination assistance of the ethers to the metal can be ruled out in this case. The Curtin-Hammett principle may account for the diastereoselectivity observed. The equilibrium between intermediate complexes $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ is in favor of $\mathbf{E}$ but the following step may be kinetically favored for $\mathbf{D}$ compared to the one for $\mathbf{E}$.


### 3.3.3.4 Sterically congested cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 2}$

As cyclobutene embedded in rigid structures are better suited to control the diastereoselectivity of the cyclopropanation, it was decided to test the cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 2}$, whose structure is closely related to the bicyclic cyclobutenes examined earlier (§ 3.3.1). The cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was prepared through a cobalt-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition described by

Cheng group. ${ }^{17}$ The reaction of 1,4-dihydro-1,4-epoxynaphthalene $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ with ethynyltrimethylsilane $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ (10 equiv) in the presence of $\mathrm{CoI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(10 / 80 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and Zn powder ( 10 equiv) in toluene under argon atmosphere at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the silylcyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ in $65 \%$ yield. The desired cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was then obtained after desilylation of $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ with tetrabutylammonium fluoride. ${ }^{18}$


As expected, the treatment of ring-fused cyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ with propargyl acetate $\mathbf{8 5}$ and the ruthenium catalyst at room temperature afforded the desired bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ as a single diastereomer in $91 \%$ yield.


The structure of bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ and its relative stereochemistry was secured by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1) which confirmed the "staircase" shape of the compound.

[^66]

Figure 1 ORTEP for $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ (H are omitted for clarity)

Selection of structural data: a) Bond length ( $\AA$ ): C1-C2 $=1.511$; C1-C13 $=1.511 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 13=$ 1.513; $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=1.522 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 12=1.576 ; \mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13=1.523$. b) Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 13=60.1$; $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 13=60.0 ; \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 2=59.9 ; \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3=109.0 ; \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12=109.3$; $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 12=89.1 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13=88.5 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12=91.4 ; \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 13=90.2 ; \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ $=115.8 ; \mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13=115.7$.

## Conclusion

In this study, we have achieved an efficient protocol to access the bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane derivatives through $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes with tertiary propargylic carboxylates in high yields under mild conditions. Tertiary propargylic carboxylates activated with certain metal complexes can be considered as "carbene" equivalents and are excellent surrogates to the hazardous diazo compounds usually utilized for the cyclopropanation reactions. The reaction exhibits excellent diastereoselectivity with structurally congested cyclobutenes. The diastereosectivity can be adjusted with a proper choice of substituents on the cycobutene ring. Cyclobutenes with syn bulky substituents at C-3 and C-4 are well suitable to induce cyclopropanation by the opposite face.

## General Conclusion

In this manuscript, we have described an access to bicyclo[2.1.0]pentanes via two metal-catalyzed reactions, namely a platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerization of heteroatom-tethered 1,6-enynes and the subsequent ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes with tertiary propargyl carboxylates.


$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NTs}
$$

First, we have developed the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$-catalyzed formation of cyclobutenes from nitrogen and oxygen-tethered 1,6-enynes to form aza- and oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-enes respectively. The development of these reactions represents one missing link in the arsenal of cycloisomerization reactions of such enynes. Enynes with alkyl substituents at propargyl carbon atom were best suited to undergo the cyclobutene formation. These substituents induce a significant relative stabilization of the developing positive charge at the internal sp carbon through hyperconjugation. Although necessary, this condition was not however sufficient. The ability of dimethylacetamide (DMA) among others amide solvents to coordinate platinum is the other key of the success of the reactions. The amide solvent was unique to favor the reaction path leading to cyclobutenes and can be used in catalytic amounts, thus demonstrating its coordinating properties towards the platinum. The use of well-defined $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (DMA) $)_{2}$ as the catalyst supported our assumptions. Moreover, we have shown that enynes bearing terminal alkynes are competent to undergo the formal [2+2] cycloaddition. This structural characteristic is not so common in related cycloisomerizations. On the basis of deuterium-labeling experiments, a rationale mechanism involving ionic intermediates has been proposed.

Second, the availability of cyclobutenes from the cycloisomerization of 1,6-enynes has allowed their utilization for the construction of strained bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane structures as single diastereomers in good to excellent yield through a ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation. In contrast to usual methods, which make use of diazo compounds to generate a carbene, we have developed a safe method involving tertiary propargylic
carboxylates, which behave as "carbene" equivalents in presence of certain transition metal catalysts. Interestingly, ruthenacarbene intermediates were not involved in this reaction.


It was shown that the stereoselectivity of the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes depends on the nature and steric properties of substituents within the cyclobutene. 3-Substituted and 3,4-disubstituted cyclobutenes gave poor stereofacial selectivity, while compact and rigid ring-fused cyclobutenes afforded single diastereomers in good yields.

## Experimental Section

## General Considerations

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out in an atmosphere of dry argon using an oven-dried $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ glassware. The solvents were degassed and purified by usual methods. ${ }^{1}$ Toluene was distilled from lithium aluminum hydride. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were distilled from sodium (benzophenone ketyl). 1,2-dimethoxyethane, dichloromethane, acetonitrile and $N, N$-dimethylformamide were distilled from calcium hydride. Acetone was refluxed over potassium permanganate before being distilled. A fraction of petroleum distilled between $40-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used for column chromatography. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylacetamide ( $99.5 \%$, Extra Dry over Molecular Sieve, AcroSeal®) was used as received. $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ were purchased from Strem Chemical Co. and were used as received. Analytical TLC were performed on ready-made plates coated with silica gel on aluminum (Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ). Products were visualized by ultraviolet light and treatment either with $p$-anisaldehyde or phosphomolybdic acid stain followed by gentle heating or with iodine stain. Flash chromatography was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh) according to the protocol of Still, Khan and Mitra. ${ }^{2}$ All melting points were recorded on Büchi apparatus and are reported uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded in transmission mode either neat on sodium chloride plates or in matrix of potassium bromide ( KBr ) on Perkin-Elmer 1700X spectrophotometer. Absorption frequencies are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ at the highest intensity. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX-300 or advance DPX-400 spectrometer as solutions in deuterochloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, unless otherwise indicated. Chemicals shifts are given in parts per million ( $\delta$ units) downfield from tetramethylsilane using the residual solvent signal $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} 7.26\right.$, benzene 7.15$)$ as internal standard. Proton $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ NMR information is given in the following format: multiplicity ( s , singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; qui, quintet; sept, septet; m, multiplet), coupling constant(s) ( $J$ ) in Hertz (Hz), number of protons. The prefix app is occasionally applied when the true signal multiplicity was unresolved and br indicates the signal in question is broadened. Carbon $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ NMR spectra are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(\delta$ 77.0) unless noted otherwise. Elemental analyses and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed at the "Spectropôle" of the Aix Marseille Université.

[^67]Unless otherwise specified, the physical and spectral data of the prepared complexes are in accordance with those described in the literature.

## I. Preparation of 1,6-enynes.

## General procedure A



Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of propargylamine ( 10.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added pyridine ( $2.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, concentrated in vacuo and the residue dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

## General procedure B



Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a cooled $\left(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of NaH ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion washed with PE) ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/DMF $(1 / 2,12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $N$-propargyl-p-toluenesulfonamide ( 2.5 mmol ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then allyl halide ( 2.5 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

## General procedure C

[^68]

Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Arai. ${ }^{4}$ Propargyl-p-toluenesulfonamide ( 2.0 mmol ), allyl halide ( 2.2 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(553 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0$ mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium iodide ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were mixed in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After being stirred for 14 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

## General procedure D



Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a cooled $\left(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ oil dispersion washed with PE) ( 2.5 mmol ) in THF/DMF ( $1 / 2,12$ mL ) was added propargylic alcohol ( 2.5 mmol ). The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then allyl halide ( 2.5 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(375 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 $\times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

## General procedure $\mathbf{E}$



[^69]Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Wang. ${ }^{5}$ To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $N$-propargyl-p-toluenesulfonamide ( 2.0 mmol ), allylic alcohol $(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triphenylphosphine $(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added diisopropylazodicarboxylate $(0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature, and then stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

## General procedure $\mathbf{F}$



Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Wang. ${ }^{5}$ To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of propargylic alcohol ( 2.0 mmol ), $N$-allyl- $p$-toluenesulfonamide $(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triphenylphosphine $(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added diisopropylazodicarboxylate $(0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature, and then stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

$N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl-benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{3}$ was prepared according to procedure A. Yield: $69 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 5 / 1) 0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.48 (m, 7H), 1.24-1.12 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 143.0 (C), 139.3 (C), $129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 83.7(\mathrm{C}), 73.8(\mathrm{CH}), 54.2(\mathrm{C}), 38.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


[^70]$N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{3}$ (1) was prepared according to procedure B (yield $69 \%$ ) or C (yield $89 \%$ ). White solid; m.p. $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( ${\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1 \text { ) } 0.35 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \text { NMR ( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \text { ): } \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=}$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{td}, J=3.6,12.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.3$ (C), 142.9 (C), $139.4(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.5$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 111.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.2(\mathrm{C}), 74.9(\mathrm{CH}), 62.3(\mathrm{C}), 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

N-(1-Deutero-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ([D]-1)


Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Bew. ${ }^{6}$ A flame dried 10 mL round bottomed flask was charged with $N$-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl- $N$-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. This was allowed to stir under an atmosphere of argon for 2 h , then $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ $\mathrm{mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and left to stir for 10 h . The resulting crude reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separating funnel. The organic layer was separated and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.
Yield: $97 \%$, deuteration $\geq 99 \%$; White solid; m.p. $96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.40$; IR (neat) v 2938, 2562, 1334, 1154, 913, 701, 573, 545, $523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.78$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.18-2.15 (m, 2H), $2.04(\mathrm{td}, J=3.7,12.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 0.96-0.85 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 143.9$ (C), 142.4 (C), 140.8 (C), 129.2 $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.8(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 112.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.1-82.9(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 75.4-74.8(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $38.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.5(\mathrm{C}), 53.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{DNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 333.1742$, found 333.1742.

[^71](1-Bromomethyl-vinyl)-benzene ${ }^{7}$


A mixture of methylstyrene ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NBS ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) suspended in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 10 h and then cooled to room temperature. The precipitated succinimide was separated by filtration. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the product was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{mbar}\right)$.
Yield: $68 \%$; Yellow oil; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.52-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 144.3(\mathrm{C}), 137.6(\mathrm{C}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

$N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl-N-(2-phenylallyl)benzenesulfonamide (7) was prepared according to procedure C.Yield: $69 \%$; White solid; m.p. $120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) v 3257, 2947, 1322, 1148, 781, 689, 586, $543 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=3.5,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $145.5(\mathrm{C}), 143.1(\mathrm{C}), 139.8(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 3)$, $126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $114.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.1(\mathrm{C}), 74.8(\mathrm{CH}), 62.6(\mathrm{C}), 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 394.1835, found 394.1835.

$N$-(2-(Chloromethyl)allyl)-N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (9) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $71 \%$; White solid; m.p. 107-108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$

[^72]10/1) 0.25; IR (neat) v 3288, 2942, 1323, 1153, 927, 697, 664, 573, $541 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $3.5,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $143.2(\mathrm{C}), 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 116.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.0(\mathrm{C}), 75.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 62.7(\mathrm{C}), 50.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SCl}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 366.1289$, found 366.1286.


N-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl-N-(2-((trimethylsilyl)methyl)allyl)benzenesulfonamide (11) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $77 \%$; White solid; m.p. $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1$ ) 0.35; IR (neat) v 3292, 2941, 1313, 1150, 863, 807, 683, $541 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{td}, J=4.0,12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 145.1(\mathrm{C}), 142.5(\mathrm{C}), 140.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $109.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.8(\mathrm{C}), 75.2(\mathrm{CH}), 62.5(\mathrm{C}), 54.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-1.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 404.2074$, found 404.2071.


N-Allyl-N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ${ }^{8}$ (13) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $72 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10 / 1)} 0.35 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5$, $17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{td}, J=3.9,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 1.19-1.02 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.8(\mathrm{C}), 139.8(\mathrm{C}), 137.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 116.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.6(\mathrm{C}), 75.0(\mathrm{CH}), 62.2(\mathrm{C}), 50.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.5$

[^73]```
\(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\).
```


$N$-(2-bromoallyl)-N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-Methylbenzenesulfonamide (15) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $69 \%$; Yellow solid; m.p. $120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right)$ 0.35; IR (neat) v 3257, 2931, 1331, 1161, 1090, 1040, 704, 658, 545, $531 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.73$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.28 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.16 (dd, $J=1.9,4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{td}, J=3.4,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5$ (C), $138.5(\mathrm{C}), 130.4(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 82.5(\mathrm{C}), 75.2(\mathrm{CH}), 62.7(\mathrm{C}), 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{BrS}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 398.0608$, found 398.0607.

$N$-cinnamyl-N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (17) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $83 \%$; White solid; m.p. $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{O}} 10 / 1\right) 0.30$; IR (neat) $v$ 3257, 2927, 1327, 1157, 920, 726, 658, 566, $538 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 3 0 0 ~ M H z , ~}$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.2,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{td}, J=4.1,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 1.20-1.05 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.9$ (C), 140.0 (C), 136.9 (C), 131.9 (CH), $129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 3), 127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 83.8(\mathrm{C})$, $75.3(\mathrm{CH}), 62.3(\mathrm{C}), 49.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{x} 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 394.1835$, found 394.1839.

## $N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)methanesulfonamide ${ }^{9}$

[^74]

Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a solution of propargylamine ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added pyridine $(2.43 \mathrm{~g}, 30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and methanesulfonyl chloride $(0.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then concentrated under vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude solid was purified by recrystallization from AcOEt and petroleum ether to give desired compound.

Yield: 76\%; White solid; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.92$ (br, 1H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 84.5(\mathrm{C}), 74.3(\mathrm{CH}), 54.2(\mathrm{C}), 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$.

$N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-N-(2-methylallyl)methanesulfonamide (19) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $92 \%$; White solid; m.p. $47-49{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.30$; IR (neat) $v$ 3292, 2934, 1330, 1153, 909, 800, 662, $530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.08(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{td}$, $J=3.8,12.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 143.0(\mathrm{C}), 111.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.9(\mathrm{C}), 75.3(\mathrm{CH}), 61.7(\mathrm{C}), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $37.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SK}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}\right): 294.0925$, found 294.0926.

N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)benzamide ${ }^{10}$


[^75]To a cooled $\left(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of propargylamine ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added triethylamine ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzoyl chloride ( $1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred overnight at room temperature, concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

Yield: $71 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.20 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76$ (d, $J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.50-7.35 (m, 3H), 6.15 (br, 1H), $2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.90(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.77-1.57 (m, 5H), 1.39-1.25 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 166.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $135.0(\mathrm{C}), 131.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 85.5(\mathrm{C}), 71.5(\mathrm{CH}), 52.1(\mathrm{C}), 36.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$.

$N$-(1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)-N-(2-methylallyl)benzamide (21) was prepared according to procedure B. Yield: $59 \%$; White solid; m.p. $88-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{5} / 1\right) 0.50$; IR (neat) v 3200, 2936, 1631, 1407, 739, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.43-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{td}, J=4.4,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 172.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 143.5(\mathrm{C}), 138.8(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $112.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 84.7(\mathrm{C}), 74.7(\mathrm{CH}), 60.3(\mathrm{C}), 53.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 282.1852$, found 282.1850 .
tert-Butyl (1-ethynylcyclohexyl)carbamate ${ }^{11}$


To a solution of 1-ethynylcyclohexanamine ( $0.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( 0.84 mL ,

[^76]6.0 mmol ), and DMAP ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) was added a solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate $(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature overnight, concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( 20 mL ) and brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by recrystallization from AcOEt and petroleum ether to give desired compound.

Yield: $72 \%$; White solid; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.60$ (br, 1H), 2.36 (s, 1H), 2.09-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.55 (m, 7H), $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 154.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 86.0(\mathrm{C}), 79.6(\mathrm{C}), 70.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.2(\mathrm{C}), 37.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times\right.$ 3), $25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$.

tert-Butyl (1-ethynylcyclohexyl)(2-methylallyl)carbamate (23) was prepared according to procedure B. Yield: $85 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{20 / 1)} 0.45\right.$; IR (neat) v 2931, 1692, 1366, 1235, 1157, 902, $647 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{td}, J=4.4,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.78-1.57 (m, 8H), $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.9$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 143.5(\mathrm{C}), 109.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.4(\mathrm{C}), 79.9(\mathrm{C}), 74.0(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8(\mathrm{C}), 50.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$, $25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;

HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 278.2115$, found 278.2114.

4-methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)-N-(1-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)cyclohexyl)benzenesulfonamide (25)


Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a solution of $N$-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methyl- $N$-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added $1.6 \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.88 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, and then $\mathrm{TMSCl}(0.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The
solution was warmed to room temperature within 4 h . The organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: 94\%; White solid; m.p. $71-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 30 / 1\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) v 2930, 1330, $1153,867,842,665,576,548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.04-1.96 (m, 2H), $1.88(\mathrm{td}, J=3.5,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.04$ (m, 1H), 0.05 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5$ (C), 142.9 (C), 139.6 (C), 129.5 $(\mathrm{CH} x 2), 127.7(\mathrm{CH} x 2), 112.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 105.0(\mathrm{C}), 91.9(\mathrm{C}), 63.4(\mathrm{C}), 54.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ x 2), $25.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{x} 3\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$: 421.2340 , found 421.2339.

$N$-(1,1-Dimethyl-prop-2-ynyl)-4-methyl-benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{12}$ was prepared according to procedure A. Yield: $64 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 5 / 1) 0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.2(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 85.4(\mathrm{C}), 71.2(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9(\mathrm{C}), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


4-Methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (26) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $87 \%$; White solid; m.p. $60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.45$; IR (neat) v 3250, 1331, 1150, 899, 857, 765, 673, 576, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.9(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 139.2(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 112.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.9(\mathrm{C})$, $71.6(\mathrm{CH}), 56.3(\mathrm{C}), 53.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right):$292.1366, found 292.1366.

[^77]

4-Methyl-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)-N-(2-phenylallyl)benzenesulfonamide (28) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $77 \%$; White solid; m.p. $71-73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.40$; IR (neat) v 3264, 1327, 1150, 909, 701, 661, 577, $523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 145.3(\mathrm{C}), 143.1(\mathrm{C}), 139.7(\mathrm{C}), 138.6(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.7$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 3), 126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 113.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.7(\mathrm{C}), 71.5(\mathrm{CH}), 56.5(\mathrm{C}), 51.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ $\times 2)$, $21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 354.1522$, found 354.1522.

$N$-(2-(Chloromethyl)allyl)-4-methyl-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (30) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $66 \%$; White solid; m.p. $102-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 4/1) 0.35; IR (neat) v 3260, 1334, 1154, 1083, 927, 700, 642, 576, $538 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.73$ (d, $\left.J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38$ (d, $J=$ $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.3(\mathrm{C}), 142.3(\mathrm{C}), 138.6(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.6(\mathrm{C}), 71.8(\mathrm{CH}), 56.7(\mathrm{C}), 50.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SCl}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 326.0976$, found 326.0976.


N-Allyl-4-methyl-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{13}$ (32) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $86 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{7 / 1)} 0.30 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=1.5,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.9$ (C), 139.7 (C), 136.7 $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 86.3(\mathrm{C}), 71.8(\mathrm{CH}), 56.4(\mathrm{C}), 50.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

[^78] procedure A.



## $N$-(1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)acetamide ${ }^{15}$

A solution of $95 \%$ sulfuric acid $(4.91 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred mixture of 1-phenyl-2-propynyl-1-ol ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous sodium sulfate $(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, left stirred for 48 h and then concentrated. The residue was poured on ice, and extracted with ether ( 80 mL ) and then dichloromethane ( 80 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel to give $N$-(1-phenyl-propynyl)acetamide sufficiently pure for the next step.
Yield: $97 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1 / 1\right) 0.25 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.52-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.21-6.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.3(\mathrm{C}), 128.8$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 81.7(\mathrm{C}), 73.0(\mathrm{CH}), 44.5(\mathrm{CH}), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-Phenylprop-2-ynyl-1-amine

A suspension of N -(1-phenyl-2-propynyl) acetamide ( 9.0 mmol ) in 3.0 N aqueous HCl ( 50 mL ) was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The resulting solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was alkalinized to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 8.5$ by addition of solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The primary amine without further purification was converted to the corresponding tosylamide.

[^79]
## 4-Methyl-N-(1-phenyl-prop-2-ynyl)-benzenesulfonamide

Overall yield (2 steps): $63 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2 / 1\right) 0.30 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3$, $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 143.6(\mathrm{C}), 137.3(\mathrm{C}), 137.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 80.4(\mathrm{C}), 74.8(\mathrm{CH}), 48.9(\mathrm{CH}), 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


N-Allyl-4-methyl-N-(1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{4} \quad$ (36) was prepared according to procedure E. Yield: $46 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{6 / 1)}\right) 0.30 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.3,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.79-3.62 (m, 2H), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 143.5 (C), 136.4 (C), 135.9 (C), $134.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 3), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times$ 2), $127.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 78.3(\mathrm{C}), 76.6(\mathrm{CH}), 53.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


4-Methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)-N-(1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (38) was prepared according to procedure E. Yield: $47 \%$; Yellow solid; m.p. $61-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{O}}\right.$ 5/1) 0.50; IR (neat) v 3277, 1354, 1162, 1094, 906, 664, 571, $547 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.53 (br s, 1H), 4.43 (br s, 1H), 3.63 (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5$ (C), 140.9 (C), 135.9 (C), $135.8(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}$ $\times 2), 127.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 114.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 77.6(\mathrm{C}), 76.9(\mathrm{CH}), 53.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 340.1366$, found 340.1365 .

N-Allyl-4-methyl-benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{16}$

[^80]

Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Kitamura. ${ }^{3}$ To a solution of allylamine ( $3.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5$ mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $81 \%$; Yellow solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 5 / 1) 0.30{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5(\mathrm{C}), 136.9$ (C), $133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


N-Allyl-N-(but-3-yn-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ${ }^{17}$ (40) was prepared according to procedure F. Yield: 39\%; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{15} / 1\right)$ 0.25; Enantiomeric excess: $0.2 \%$, determined by HPLC [Chiralpak AD-H, Heptane/ethanol $=95 / 5$, flow rate $=1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=$ $254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.33 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.47 \mathrm{~min}$;

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91$ (dddd,

[^81]$J=4.8,6.9,10.2,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.3,2.9,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.3,2.6$, $10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.3,7.1,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.4(\mathrm{C}), 136.4(\mathrm{C}), 135.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 81.3(\mathrm{C})$, $73.3(\mathrm{CH}), 47.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.1(\mathrm{CH}), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

(R)-N-Allyl-N-(but-3-yn-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ( $R$ )-40 was prepared according to procedure F.Yield : 38\%; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 15 / 1\right) 0.25$; Enantiomeric excess: $96 \%$, determined by HPLC [Chiralpak AD-H, Heptane/ethanol $=95 / 5$, flow rate $=1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=$ $254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.32 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.42 \mathrm{~min}($ major $\left.)\right] ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+135.1^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, chloroform);



| Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32463338 | 100.00 |

4-Methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{18}$


A mixture of 3-chloro-2-methyl-1-propene $(0.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(3.77 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaI}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetone $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 16 h , the solution was cooled to room temperature and

[^82]concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ). Water ( 20 mL ) was added to the solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $62 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 5 / 1) 0.30 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.75$ (d, $J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.4(\mathrm{C}), 140.5(\mathrm{C})$, $137.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 112.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

$N$-(But-3-yn-2-yl)-4-methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{3} \quad$ (42) was prepared according to procedure F. Yield: $16 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10 / 1)} 0.35 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\right.$ (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.72$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.04 (br s, 1H), 4.92 ( br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 143.4(\mathrm{C}), 142.4(\mathrm{C}), 136.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 113.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 80.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $73.5(\mathrm{C}), 50.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4-Methyl-N-(2-methyl-4-phenylbut-3-yn-2-yl)-N-(2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide (34)

$\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuI}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to a solution of 28 ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodobenzene ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in triethylamine ( 8 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

Yield: 98\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 10/1) 0.45; IR (neat) $v 2991,2927,1338,1150,1093$, $765,580,552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.13(\mathrm{~m}$,

7H), $5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.1$ (C), $142.9(\mathrm{C}), 139.0(\mathrm{C}), 131.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}$ $\times 2), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 122.5(\mathrm{C}), 112.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 91.2(\mathrm{C}), 83.3(\mathrm{C})$, $57.1(\mathrm{C}), 54.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$, $21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 368.1679$, found 368.1676.
$N$-(but-3-en-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ${ }^{5}$

tert-Butyl tosylcarbamate ${ }^{19}$
To a cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of $p$-toluenesulfonamide $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( 1.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( 50 mL ) and brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by recrystallization from AcOEt and petroleum ether to give the desired tosylcarbamate.
Yield: $77 \%$; White solid; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 (br, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $149.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 144.7(\mathrm{C}), 135.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 84.1(\mathrm{C}), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$, $21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
tert-butyl but-3-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate ${ }^{20}$
To a cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of tert-butyl tosylcarbamate ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), but-3-en-2-ol $(0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triphenylphosphine ( $2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 24 mL ) was added diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $1.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and then the reaction mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature, and stirred overnight. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

[^83]Yield: 88\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.45 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-6.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 150.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 143.9$ (C), $138.5(\mathrm{CH}), 137.8(\mathrm{C}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 116.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 84.1(\mathrm{C}), 56.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$, $21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
To a cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of tert-butyl but-3-en-2-yl(tosyl)carbamate ( $1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ added trifluoroacetic acid $(4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 3 h , the mixture was concentrated in vacuum and purified by flash column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: 76\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\right.$ PE/AcOEt 5/1) $0.30 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.7,10.4,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.3(\mathrm{C}), 139.0(\mathrm{CH}), 138.0$ (C), $129.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 115.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

$N$-(but-3-en-2-yl)-4-methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{21}$ (44) was prepared according to procedure F. Yield: $39 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10 / 1)} 0.45 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right.$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76$ (ddd, $J=4.7,10.8$, $17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.5,18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.5(\mathrm{C}), 137.8(\mathrm{C}), 137.3(\mathrm{CH}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} x 2), 127.5(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{x}$ 2), $117.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 80.2(\mathrm{C}), 72.3(\mathrm{CH}), 54.8(\mathrm{CH}), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4-Methyl-N-prop-2-ynyl-benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{17}$


To a solution of propargylamine ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added p-toluenesulfonyl chloride $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solution was stirred at room

[^84]temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $72 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{30} / 10\right) 0.20 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77$ (d, $J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.9$ (C), 136.5 (C), 129.7 ( $\mathrm{CH} \times 2$ ), $127.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 78.0(\mathrm{C}), 73.0(\mathrm{CH}), 32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


N-(hept-1-en-3-yl)-4-methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (46) was prepared according to procedure E. Yield: 45\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.45$; IR (neat) v 2955, 1334, 1154, 1093, 1051, 669, 576, $548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.78$ (d, $J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.69 (ddd, J = 5.9, 10.6, $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.12-5.06 (m, 2H), 4.33 (dd, $J=7.3,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (dd, $J=2.5,18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ (dd, $J=2.5,18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.2(\mathrm{C}), 137.8(\mathrm{C}), 136.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} x$ 2), $127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 79.9(\mathrm{C}), 72.1(\mathrm{CH}), 60.0(\mathrm{CH}), 32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 13.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 306.1522$, found 306.1523 .


4-Methyl-N-(2-methylallyl)-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{9}$ (49) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $82 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE/AcOEt 10/1) $0.30 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 143.5(\mathrm{C}), 139.1(\mathrm{C}), 136.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.8(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 115.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.3(\mathrm{C}), 73.7(\mathrm{CH}), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

$N$-allyl-4-methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide ${ }^{22}$ (52) was was prepared according to procedure E. Yield : 63\%; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE/AcOEt 10/1) 0.30; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=143.6(\mathrm{C}), 136.0(\mathrm{C}), 131.9(\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH} x$ 2), $127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.5(\mathrm{C}), 73.8(\mathrm{CH}), 49.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

(3-((1-Ethynylcyclohexyl)oxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)benzene (56) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield : 71\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{20 / 1)}\right.$ 0.30; IR (neat) v 2934, 2853, 1447, 1073, 764, 697, $658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.53-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.51 (m, 7H), 1.38-1.29 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $145.0(\mathrm{C}), 139.3(\mathrm{C}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 113.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.2(\mathrm{C})$, $74.0(\mathrm{CH}), 73.8(\mathrm{C}), 65.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right):$263.1406, found 263.1411 .

8-Ethynyl-1,4-dioxa-spiro[4.5]decan-8-ol ${ }^{23}$


A flame-dried round-bottom flask was charged with $2.5 \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous THF ( 25 mL ). The resulting solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ethynyltrimethylsilane ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After being stirred for 30

[^85]minutes at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was cooled again to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the cyclic ketone $(1.25 \mathrm{~g}$, 8.0 mmol ) dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. After completion, saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic phases were combined and washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to yield the crude propagylic alcohol which was used in the subsequent step without further purification.

To the above alcohol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( 1 M in THF) ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) with stirring. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h . After completion of the reaction, THF was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography to afford pure propargyl alcohol.

Overall yield: $84 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{1 / 1)} 0.20 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta\right.$ $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $107.9(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 87.0(\mathrm{C}), 72.0(\mathrm{CH}), 67.1(\mathrm{C}), 64.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$.


8-Ethynyl-8-((2-methylallyl)oxy)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (58) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: 84\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2} / 1\right)$ 0.40; IR (neat) v 3292, 2957, 2879, 1372, 1251, 1162, 1102, 1038, 952, 931, 899, $667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.85-1.67 (m, 4H), $1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 111.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $108.0(\mathrm{C}), 84.6(\mathrm{C}), 73.5(\mathrm{CH}), 71.9(\mathrm{C}), 67.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 237.1485$, found 237.1485 .


8-Ethynyl-8-((2-phenylallyl)oxy)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (60) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: 75\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)}\right.$ 0.40; IR (neat) v 3291, 2995, 2881, 1370, 1257, 1161, 1104, 1073, 1037, 960, 927, $779,708 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ):反 7.49-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.27 (m, 3H), $5.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ (br d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (s, 2H), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 144.7(\mathrm{C}), 139.2(\mathrm{C}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 113.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 108.0(\mathrm{C}), 84.4(\mathrm{C}), 73.9(\mathrm{CH}), 72.3(\mathrm{C}), 65.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 299.1642$, found 299.1642.

## 4-Ethynyl-4-((2-methylallyl)oxy)cyclohexanone (62)



To a stirred solution of 8-ethynyl-8-((2-methylallyl)oxy)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane 58 (0.95 $\mathrm{g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was heated under reflux for 5 h , cooled to room temperature, neutralized with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with ether $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a yellow oil which was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

Yield: 52\%; Waxy oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}} 10 / 1\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) v 3246, 1713, 1112, 1047, 895, 725, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $209.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.2(\mathrm{C}), 111.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.3(\mathrm{C}), 74.6(\mathrm{CH}), 71.1(\mathrm{C}), 68.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times\right.$ 2), $36.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$: 210.1489 , found 210.1488 .

(1-((2-methylallyl)oxy)Prop-2-yne-1,1-diyl)dibenzene ${ }^{24}$ (64) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: $39 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 50 / 1\right)}^{0} 0.40 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 143.3(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 142.3(\mathrm{C}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times$ 4), $127.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 4), 111.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.3(\mathrm{C}), 80.0(\mathrm{C}), 77.6(\mathrm{CH}), 68.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

(2-((2-phenylallyl)oxy)but-3-yn-2-yl)Benzene (66) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: 58\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{20 / 1)} 0.35\right.$; IR (neat) v 3271, 2981, 1140, 1221, 1097, $1076,906,761,708,680,609 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.65-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.43-7.27 (m, 8H), $5.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 144.6(\mathrm{C})$, $142.4(\mathrm{C}), 139.1(\mathrm{C}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH} \times$ 2), $126.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 113.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.9(\mathrm{C}), 76.1(\mathrm{C}), 75.8(\mathrm{CH}), 66.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right):$285.1250, found 285.1250.


9-Ethynyl-9-((2-methylallyl)oxy)-9H-fluorene (66) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: 56\%; Yellow solid; m.p. $86-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.40$; IR (neat) v 3278, 1447, 1207, 1044, 1027, 909, 736, 673, $645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{td}, J=1.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{td}, J=1.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 144.0(\mathrm{C}), 141.9(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 140.1(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 129.8(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 125.0$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 112.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.2(\mathrm{C}), 79.5(\mathrm{C}), 71.6(\mathrm{CH}), 68.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.7$

[^86]$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 261.1274, found 261.1277.
(1-(allyloxy)prop-2-yn-1-yl)Benzene ${ }^{25}$ (71)


1-Phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), allyl alcohol ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and TsOH ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were mixed in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(50 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ). After being stirred for 12 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $89 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE}) 0.60 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.53$ (d, $J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,3.2,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.25-5.22 (m, 2H), 4.23-4.09 (m, 2H), $2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 138.1(\mathrm{C}), 134.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 117.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $81.6(\mathrm{C}), 75.6(\mathrm{CH}), 70.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

(3-(But-3-yn-2-yloxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)benzene (72) was prepared according to procedure D. Yield: 78\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 50 / 1\right)$ 0.40; IR (neat) v 3288, 1440, 1104, 1072, $761,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$ (br s, 1H), 5.39 (br d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.8,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.6,12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{dq}, J=2.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.7(\mathrm{C}), 138.7(\mathrm{C}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 114.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 83.6(\mathrm{C}), 73.1(\mathrm{CH}), 70.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.1(\mathrm{CH}), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 187.1117$, found 187.1119.

Diethyl 2-allyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)malonate ${ }^{26}$

[^87]

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ oil dispersion washed with PE) $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8$ mmol ) in THF/DMF ( $1 / 2,60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added diethyl allylmalonate ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then propargyl bromide ( 3.20 mL of $80 \mathrm{wt} \%$ solution in toluene, 18.6 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $77 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left((\mathrm{PE} / E t O A c 20 / 1) 0.30 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta\right.$, 5.67-5.56 (m, 1H), $5.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \times 2), 131.7(\mathrm{CH}), 119.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 78.9(\mathrm{C}), 71.4(\mathrm{CH}), 61.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ $\times 2), 56.6(\mathrm{C}), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$.

Diethyl 2-allyl-2-(3-(2-isopropoxyphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)malonate ${ }^{27}$

$\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuI}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to a solution of diethyl 2-allyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)malonate $\quad(238 \quad \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-iodo-2-isopropoxybenzene ( $288 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in triethylamine ( 8 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel.

Yield : 98\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{10 / 1)} 0.45 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.32\right.$ (dd, $J=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}$,

[^88]$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \times 2$ ), $158.8(\mathrm{C}), 133.7(\mathrm{CH}), 132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $120.3(\mathrm{CH}), 119.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 114.3(\mathrm{C}), 114.2(\mathrm{CH}), 88.0(\mathrm{C}), 80.2(\mathrm{C}), 71.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times\right.$ 2), $57.1(\mathrm{C}), 36.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$.

3-Methylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol ${ }^{28}$ (78)


To a solution of ethynyltrimethylsilane ( $2.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ) was added 1.6 $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(6.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes, and then hex-5-en-2-one ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was warmed to room temperature within 2 h . The organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The crude alcohol was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution was added potassium carbonate ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred for 6 hours at room temperature and then quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The resulting mixture was extracted twice with diethyl ether and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $86 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{5 / 1)}\right) 0.30 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.94-5.81$ (m, 1H), 5.09 (ddd, $J=1.7,3.4,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.3,3.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 138.2(\mathrm{C}), 115.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 87.4(\mathrm{C}), 71.6(\mathrm{CH}), 68.0(\mathrm{C}), 42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
(((3-methylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-yl)oxy)Methyl)benzene (79)


[^89]To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of NaH ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion washed with PE) ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/DMF ( $1 / 2,12 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added 3-methylhept-6-en-1-yn-3-ol ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then benzyl bromide ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaI}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel. Yield : 55\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 50/1) 0.60; IR (neat) v 3296, 2984, 2927, 1455, 1062, 913, 736, 694, $637 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.39-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 5.95-5.79 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.7,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.3,3.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.0(\mathrm{C}), 138.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH}$ $\times 2)$, $127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 114.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.0(\mathrm{C}), 73.6(\mathrm{CH}), 73.3(\mathrm{C}), 66.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 215.1430$, found 215.1432.

## 2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-di(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propane-1,3-dione (82)



Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Valerio. ${ }^{29}$ To a solution of 2,2-dimethylmalonic acid ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 50 mL ) were added consecutively pyrrolidine ( $1.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$,), triethylamine ( $2.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate ( $2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride ( $3.07 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 0.5 M HCl . The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was washed consecutively with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by recrystallization from AcOEt to give the desired compound.

Yield: 75\%; Pale yellow crystal; m.p. $170-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (neat) v 2969, 2870, 1607, 1423, 1395;

[^90]${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=171.8(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 49.5(\mathrm{C}), 47.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 46.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$; HRMS $($ ESI-MS $)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 239.1754$, found 239.1754 .


N-Benzyl-N-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (84) was prepared according to procedure C. Yield: $91 \%$; White solid; m.p. $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) $v$ 3274, 2934, 1455, 1331, 1153, 1094, 701, $542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{td}, J=4.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 1.16-1.03 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.0(\mathrm{C}), 140.0(\mathrm{C}), 139.3(\mathrm{C}), 129.3$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 83.4(\mathrm{C}), 75.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $62.8(\mathrm{C}), 51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 368.1679$, found 368.1677.

N-Benzyl-N-(1-Deutero-ethynylcyclohexyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide ([D]-84)


Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Bew. ${ }^{6}$ A flame dried 10 mL round bottomed flask was charged with $13(37 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. This was allowed to stir under an atmosphere of argon for 2 h , then $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and left to stir for 10 h . The resulting crude reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separating funnel. The organic layer was separated and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was used immediately in the subsequent step without further purification. Yield: $98 \%$, deuteration: $98 \%$; White solid; m.p. $71-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10} / 1\right) 0.35 ;$ IR (neat) $v$ 3030, 2938, 1451, 1331, 1154, 1095, 700, $548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{td}, J=4.0,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.0(\mathrm{C}), 140.0(\mathrm{C}), 139.3(\mathrm{C})$, $129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 83.1-82.8(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 75.7-74.5(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{t}, J=38.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 62.8(\mathrm{C}), 51.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{ESI}-\mathrm{MS})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25 \mathrm{D}} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 369.1742 , found 369.1741 .

## II. PtCl $_{2}$-catalyzed enyne cycloisomerizations

## General procedure G



Under a argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the enyne $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $80-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the mixture was poured into water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether / diethyl ether).

## General procedure H



Under a argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of allyltrimethylsilane ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the enyne ( 0.2 mmol ) in DMA ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $80-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the mixture was poured into water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether / diethyl ether).

(1S*,5R*)-5-Methyl-3-tosyl-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexane] (3) was
synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 95\%; White solid; m.p. $113-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1$ ) 0.45; IR (neat) v 2950, 2862, 1321, 1140, 1093, 1056, 960, 815, 736, 657, 581, $543 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{td}, J=3.6,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1,84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.71-1.61 (m, 5H), 1.48-1.09 (m, 3H), $1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.34$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 142.31(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{C}), 134.4(\mathrm{CH}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 68.7(\mathrm{C}), 58.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.8(\mathrm{C}), 32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 332.1679$, found 332.1680 .

3-Methyl-1-tosyl-4-vinyl-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene ${ }^{3}$ (4)

$\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the $N$-(1-ethynylcyclohexyl) -4-methyl- $N$ - (2-methylallyl)benzenesulfonamide ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 1 mL ), CO was bubbled through the solution for 1 minute and the resulting mixture was stirred at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under CO atmosphere for 5 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by flash chromatography.
Yield : 65\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10 / 1)} 0.50 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}\right.$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.2,11.1,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.3,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{td}, J=5.9,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, J=6 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.7$ (C), $141.2(\mathrm{C}), 138.7(\mathrm{C}), 132.5(\mathrm{CH}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{C}), 119.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.7(\mathrm{C}), 57.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 12.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

$\left(1 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)$-5-Phenyl-3-tosyl-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexane] (8) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield : $80 \%$; White solid; m.p. $122-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$
 ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.5(\mathrm{C}), 141.4(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{CH}), 140.0(\mathrm{C}), 136.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 68.4(\mathrm{C}), 59.4(\mathrm{CH}), 56.8(\mathrm{C})$, $53.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 394.1835$, found 394.1833.

(1S*,5S*)-5-(Chloromethyl)-3-tosyl-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexane]
(10) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $96 \%$; White solid; m.p. $98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R} f\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) v 2936, 2861, 1328, 1157, 1100, 984, 731, 662, 583, 547 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71$ (d, $J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{td}$, $J=4.3,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 142.2(\mathrm{C}), 141.4(\mathrm{C}), 139.2(\mathrm{CH}), 136.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $127.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 68.3(\mathrm{C}), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 54.5(\mathrm{C}), 50.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SCl}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 366.1289$, found 366.1290.

(1S*,5S*)-3-Tosyl-5-((trimethylsilyl)methyl)-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cycloh exane] (12) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 99\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 5/1) 0.30; IR (neat) v 2928, 2861, 1326, 1247, 1154, 1093, 975, 836, 813, 669, 579, $546 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.27-1.17 (m, 2H), $0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 142.5(\mathrm{C}), 142.1(\mathrm{CH}), 142.0(\mathrm{C}), 134.5(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $127.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 68.3(\mathrm{C}), 61.2(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.7(\mathrm{C}), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 404.2074$, found 404.2070.

(1S*,5R*)-3-Tosyl-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2, 1'-cyclohexane]
(14) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $80 \%$; White solid; m.p. $118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( ${\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1 \text { ) 0.30; IR (neat) } v 2939,2857,1319,1149,1102,983,814,668,604,581,543}^{2}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.13$ (m, 10H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.3(\mathrm{C}), 140.4(\mathrm{C}), 138.5(\mathrm{CH}), 137.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 67.8(\mathrm{C}), 52.8(\mathrm{CH}), 48.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.6(\mathrm{CH}), 32.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 318.1522$, found 318.1521.

(1S*,5R*)-5-Methyl-3-(methylsulfonyl)-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexan e] (20) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $96 \%$; Yellow solid; m.p. $80-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)} 0.25\right.$; IR (neat) $v 2931,1316,1139,869,753,548,520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(300$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.13-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{td}, J=3.7,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1,83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, 5 H ), 1.46-1.35 (m, 1H), $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $142.6(\mathrm{CH}), 134.5(\mathrm{CH}), 68.3(\mathrm{C}), 58.4(\mathrm{CH}), 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.9(\mathrm{C}), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $20.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 256.1366$, found 256.1366.

((1S*,5R*)-5-Methyl-3-azaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexan]-3-yl)(phenyl)met hanone (22) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $32 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1$ ) 0.25 ;
IR (neat) v 2924, 2857, 1636, 1384, 1114, 753, 723, 699, 663, $611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1}$ H NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.19(\mathrm{~m}$, 9H), 1.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 172.7$ (C=O), 142.1 (CH), 139.6 (C), $135.4(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 66.4(\mathrm{C}), 58.6(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $50.2(\mathrm{C}), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): ~ 282.1852$, found 282.1851.


1-(2-methylallyl)-4-methylene-3-oxa-1-azaspiro[4.5]decan-2-one (24) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 58\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{1 / 1}\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) $v$ 2936, 1773, 1663, 1401, 1311, 1079, 967, 944, 907, 837, 759, 627, $588 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.89-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 154.7(\mathrm{C}), 141.3$ (C), $111.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 87.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.7(\mathrm{C}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{x} 2\right), 24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{x}\right.$ 2), $20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 222.1489$, found 222.1491.

$\left(1 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-1,4,4-Trimethyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (27) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $65 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{10 / 1}\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) $v$

2925, 2866, 1322, 1156, 1092, 962, 713, 682, 654, 584, $550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 142.2(\mathrm{CH}), 139.3(\mathrm{C}), 134.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 64.9(\mathrm{CH}), 64.1(\mathrm{C}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.9(\mathrm{C}), 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 292.1366, found 292.1365 .

( $1 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}$ )-4,4-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene
(29) was synthesized following the procedure H. Yield: $66 \%$; White solid; m.p. $80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 5/1) 0.30; IR (neat) v 2936, 1324, 1157, 1089, 1004, 989, 751, 699, 656, 596, $550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.8(\mathrm{C})$, $141.1(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{CH}), 139.0(\mathrm{C}), 136.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times$ 2), $126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 66.0(\mathrm{CH}), 63.8(\mathrm{C}), 56.9(\mathrm{C}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$, $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 354.1522$, found 354.1521.

( $1 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}$ )-1-(Chloromethyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (31) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $64 \%$; Yellow solid; m.p. $70-71{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$
 NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $142.4(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{C}), 139.0(\mathrm{CH}), 136.9(\mathrm{CH}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 63.6(\mathrm{C})$, $62.2(\mathrm{CH}), 54.5(\mathrm{C}), 50.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SClNa}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$: 348.0795, found 348.0797.

( $1 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ )-2,2-Dimethyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (33) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $85 \%$; White solid; m.p. $87-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.30$; IR (neat) $v 2939,2857,1319,1149,983,705,668,581,543 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7$, $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 139.3(\mathrm{C}), 138.3(\mathrm{CH}), 137.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 63.2(\mathrm{C}), 59.6(\mathrm{CH}), 48.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.6(\mathrm{CH}), 24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right):$278.1209, found 278.1209.

( $1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ )-2-Phenyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene ${ }^{30}$ (37) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $81 \%$; White solid; m.p. $105-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) v 2919, 1339, 1155, 1105, 993, 700, 661, 588, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7$, $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 140.9(\mathrm{C}), 138.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $138.1(\mathrm{CH}), 137.6(\mathrm{C}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.7$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 63.3(\mathrm{CH}), 55.1(\mathrm{CH}), 47.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.91(\mathrm{CH}) 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$: 348.1029, found 348.1030.

(1R*, $\left.4 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-1-Methyl-4-phenyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene
(39) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 57\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.35 \text {; }}_{\text {; }}\right.$; IR (neat) v 2957, 2866, 1339, 1157, 1091, 1036, 957, 815, 749, 700, 662, 583, $542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

[^91]$1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C})$, $142.2(\mathrm{CH}), 140.9(\mathrm{C}), 137.6(\mathrm{C}), 135.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.8(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 64.4(\mathrm{CH}), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 55.7(\mathrm{C}), 53.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 340.1366$, found 340.1366.

$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)$-2-Methyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene ${ }^{30}$ (41) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $68 \%$; White solid; m.p. $82-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.30$; Enantiomeric excess: $0.02 \%$, determined by HPLC [Chiralpak AD-H, Heptane/ethanol $=$ $80 / 20$, flow rate $\left.=1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.62 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.67 \mathrm{~min}\right]$;


IR (neat) v 2968, 2882, 1327, 1151, 1004, 657, 589, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.7$ (C), 138.4 (CH), 138.2 (C), $137.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 54.2(\mathrm{CH}), 46.9(\mathrm{CH}), 46.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 264.1053$, found 264.1052.

(1S, 2R, 5R)-2-Methyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene ${ }^{30} \quad(1 S, \quad 2 R, \quad 5 R)-41 \quad$ was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 62\%; White solid; m.p. $82-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 5/1) 0.30; Enantiomeric excess: 98\%, determined by HPLC [Chiralpak AD-H, Heptane/ethanol $=80 / 20$, flow rate $=1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.62 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.70$ $\min$ (minor) $] ;[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-31.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, chloroform $) ;$



$\left(1 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-1,4-Dimethyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (43) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: 23\%; White solid; m.p. $110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right)$ 0.25 ; IR (neat) v 2929, 1330, 1161, 1144, 1092, 1033, 661, 582, $548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.7(\mathrm{C}), 141.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 138.3(\mathrm{C}), 135.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 59.7(\mathrm{CH}), 56.7(\mathrm{CH}), 54.8$ (C), $51.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right):$278.1209, found 278.1209.

$\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-2-methyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene (45) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $78 \%$; White solid; m.p. $81-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right)}\right.$ 0.50; IR (neat) v 2966, 1341, 1168, 994, 707, 683, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.14-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.60(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.6,8.312 .9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.2$ (C), $136.3(\mathrm{C}), 129.6(\mathrm{CH}$ x 2), 126.7 ( CH x 2), 118.0 $(\mathrm{CH}), 114.8(\mathrm{CH}), 46.7(\mathrm{CH}), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.6(\mathrm{CH}), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.0(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right):$264.1053, found 264.1055.

$\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-2-butyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene (47) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $33 \%$; white solid; m.p. $60-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{\mathrm{Et}} \mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.45$; IR (neat) v 2930, 2850, 1345, 1161, 1097, 1034, 952, 715, 690, 661, 559, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathbf{~}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8$, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.6,8.312 .9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.2(\mathrm{C}), 136.2(\mathrm{C}), 129.6(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{2)} ,126.8(\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{2)}$, $118.5(\mathrm{CH}), 116.4(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1(\mathrm{CH}), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.5(\mathrm{CH}), 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.2(\mathrm{CH}) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 306.1522$, found 306.1523 .

$\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-2-butyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (48) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $16 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right)}\right.$ 0.30; IR (neat) v 2952, 2870, 1342, 1189, 1150, 1101, 1055, 984, 814, 682, 595, $552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$
$7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.89-0.85 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 138.5(\mathrm{CH}), 138.4(\mathrm{C}), 137.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 60.8(\mathrm{CH}), 52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 47.3(\mathrm{CH}), 47.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 306.1522$, found 306.1522.

( $1 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}$ )-1-methyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (50) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $17 \%$; White solid; m.p. $77-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{5 / 1}\right) 0.40$; IR (neat) $v$ 2852, 1341, 1160, 1027, 1005, 809, 660, 583, $547 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.68$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 143.2 (C), 141.9 (CH), 134.9 (CH), 133.4 (C), 129.5 (CH x 2), 127.8 (CH x 2), 54.1 (C), $53.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.8(\mathrm{CH}), 48.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 264.1053$, found 264.1053.

(1R*, $6 R^{*}$ )-1-methyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene ${ }^{31}$ (51) was synthesized following the procedure G. Yield: $76 \%$; White solid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 1\right) 0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40$ (dd, $J=5.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.0,4.4,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.6$ (C), 135.0 (C), 129.7 (CH x 2), $127.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 112.7(\mathrm{CH}), 46.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.7(\mathrm{C}), 21.9(\mathrm{CH}), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

[^92]
( $1 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ )-5-Phenyl-3-oxaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2, 1 '-cyclohexane] (57) was synthesized following the procedure H . Yield: $75 \%$; yellow solid; m.p. $48-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1$ ) 0.35; IR (neat) v 2929, 2854, 1497, 1448, 1037, 956, 804, 740, 701, 552, 524 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 1.78-1.65 (m, 4H), 1.49-1.21 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 141.8(\mathrm{C}), 140.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 135.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.3(\mathrm{C}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.9$ (C), $62.0(\mathrm{CH}), 34.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): ~ 263.1406$, found 263.1405 .

(11S*, $14 R^{*}$ )-11-Methyl-1,4,9-trioxadispiro[4.2.6.2.0 ${ }^{11,14}$ ]hexadec-12-ene (59) was synthesized following the procedure H . Yield: $55 \%$; yellow solid; m.p. $42-44{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE/Et $2_{2} \mathrm{O} 2 / 1$ ) 0.50; IR (neat) v 2951, 2929, 1372, 1107, 1094, 1036, 938, 809, 746, $664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.88-1.43 (m, 8H), $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 142.9(\mathrm{CH}), 134.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $108.7(\mathrm{C}), 78.5(\mathrm{C}), 69.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH}), 55.5(\mathrm{C}), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 237.1485$, found 237.1484.

(11S*, 14R*)-11-Phenyl-1,4,9-trioxadispiro[4.2.6.2.0 $\left.{ }^{11,14}\right]$ hexadec-12-ene (61) was synthesized following the procedure H . Yield: 55\%; colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2} / 1\right)$ 0.30; IR (neat) v 2940, 2876, 1376, 1167, 1101, 1036, 941, 815, 741, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.15-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.22-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.82 (m, 3H), 1.72-1.60 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta$ $141.5(\mathrm{C}), 140.8(\mathrm{CH}), 135.7(\mathrm{CH}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 108.6(\mathrm{C})$, $78.2(\mathrm{C}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.9(\mathrm{C}), 62.0(\mathrm{CH}), 32.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $29.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 299.1642$, found 299.1641.

$\left(1 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)$-5-Methyl-3-oxaspiro[bicyclo[3.2.0]hept[6]ene-2,1'-cyclohexan]-4'-one (63) was synthesized following the procedure H . Yield: 73\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right)}^{0} 0.30\right.$; IR (neat) v 2926, 2863, 1713, 1438, 1311, 1136, 1032, 912, 812, 745, $676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 208.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.7(\mathrm{CH}), 133.9(\mathrm{CH}), 77.4(\mathrm{C}), 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $55.3(\mathrm{C}), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 193.1223$, found 193.1221.

( $1 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}$ )-4-Methyl-1-phenyl-3-oxabicyclo[3.2.0]hept-6-ene (73) was synthesized following the procedure H. Yield: $32 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{20 / 1)} 0.30\right.$; IR (neat) $v$ 2972, 2846, 1493, 1446, 1381, 1104, 828, 777, 755, 700, $522 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.28-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 141.7(\mathrm{C}), 140.2(\mathrm{CH}), 134.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 73.8(\mathrm{CH}), 73.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.0(\mathrm{C}), 59.8(\mathrm{CH}), 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right):$187.1117, found 187.1119.

## III. Deuterium labeling experiments

## $\mathbf{P t C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$-catalyzed cycloisomerization of [D]-1



Under an argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of [D]-1 ( $66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Then the mixture was poured into water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 15$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel to give a white solid ( $61.1 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield).

D)


## Deuterium to hydrogen exchange of [D]-84 in the presence of $\mathbf{P t C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$



Under an argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of [D]-84 ( $73.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Then the mixture was poured into water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel to give a white solid ( $47.8 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield).




## Deuterium to hydrogen exchange of $\mathbf{3}$ in the presence of $\mathbf{P t C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$
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80\%

[D]-3

Under an argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3}(66.3$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Then the mixture was poured into water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel to give a white solid ( $52.8 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield).




## $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$-catalyzed cycloisomerization of $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$



Under an argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ ( 66.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . Then the mixture was poured into water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over triethylamine-treated silica gel to give a white solid ( $47.1 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield).




## IV. Preparation of tertiary propargyl carboxylates



General Procedure I. ${ }^{32}$ To a cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of propargyl alcohol ( 23 mmol ) was added the acid anhydride ( 23 mmol ) and magnesium perchlorate ( $513 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature (unless otherwise stated). Then, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. After extraction with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic phases were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation to give the desired product.


2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl acetate $^{33}(\mathbf{8 5})$ was prepared following the procedure I for 30 minutes and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $\mathrm{T}=35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ @ 20 mbar ) to give $\mathbf{8 5}$ as a colorless liquid. Yield: $75 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 84.5(\mathrm{CH}), 72.2(\mathrm{C}), 71.4(\mathrm{C}), 28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$, $21.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


1-Ethynylcyclohexyl acetate ${ }^{34}$ (97) was prepared following the procedure I for 1 h and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $\mathrm{T}=77^{\circ} \mathrm{C} @ 0.2 \mathrm{mbar}$ ) to give 97 as a colorless liquid. Yield: $85 \%$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.88-1.181 (m, 2H), 1.65-1.59 (m, 4H), 1.56-1.47 (m, 1H), 1.36-1.29 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 83.5(\mathrm{CH}), 74.9(\mathrm{C}), 74.1(\mathrm{C}), 36.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,

[^93]

2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl pivalate ${ }^{35}$ (99) was prepared following the procedure I at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $\mathrm{T}=75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} @ 11 \mathrm{mbar}$ ) to give 99 as a colorless liquid. Yield: $81 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 176.7$ (C=O), 84.9 (C), 71.8 (CH), 71.1 (C), 38.4 (C), 28.7 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right), 26.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$.

2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl 4-nitrobenzoate ${ }^{36}$ (101)


To a solution of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(24.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DMAP ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(19.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature and 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 47.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and then heated to reflux overnight. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and quenched with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with sat. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $43 \%$; Yellow solid; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.28$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.18 (d, $J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $150.5(\mathrm{C}), 136.2(\mathrm{C}), 130.7(\mathrm{CH}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 84.0(\mathrm{CH}), 73.6(\mathrm{C}), 73.2(\mathrm{C}), 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 2\right)$.

1,1-Diphenylprop-2-ynyl acetate ${ }^{37}$ (103)

[^94]

To a solution of of 1,1-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-ol ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloroethane ( 30 mL ) was added triethylamine ( $5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 16 h , cooled to room temperature and quenched with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography. Yield: 95\%; Yellow solid; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.54-7.51$ (m, 4H), 7.35-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.29-7.27 (m, 2H), $2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.1$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 141.9(\mathrm{C}), 128.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.9(\mathrm{CH}), 126.0(\mathrm{CH}), 82.3(\mathrm{C}), 78.9(\mathrm{C}), 78.0(\mathrm{CH}), 21.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## V. Preparation of cyclobutenes 107, 113, 117 and 122

( $2 a R^{*}, 8 a R^{*}$ )-1-butyl-2a,3,8,8a-tetrahydro-3,8-epoxycyclobuta[b]naphthalene ${ }^{38}$ (107)


To a solution of 1,4-dihydro-1,4-epoxynaphthalene $(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and hex-1-yne ( 0.23 $\mathrm{mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 2.0 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(32.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.21$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Zn powder $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h and monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was stirred under air for 15 min at room temperature, filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and silica gel, and eluted with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel. (eluent: petroleum ether / diethyl ether).

Yield: 36\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 10/1) 0.50; IR (neat) $v 2956,2927,2864,1455$, 1196, 966, 899, 842, 814, 756, 660, $547 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.17-7.11 (m, 2H), $5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.56-2.55 (m, 1H), 2.21-2.07 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.34 (m, 4H), $0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.6(\mathrm{C}), 145.3(\mathrm{C}), 144.6(\mathrm{C}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 119.5$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 76.6(\mathrm{CH}), 75.5(\mathrm{CH}), 48.7(\mathrm{CH}), 44.4(\mathrm{CH}), 29.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $13.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): ~ 227.1430$, found 227.1430.

5-(cyclobut-2-en-1-ylmethyl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (113) was prepared through the following five-step procedure.


[^95]

## 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)Cyclobutanone (110)

Based on a procedure reported by Xu. ${ }^{39}$ To a solution of safrole $\mathbf{1 0 8}(2.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous ether ( 40 mL ) was added the zinc-copper couple ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). To the stirred suspension was added a solution of trichloroacetyl chloride ( $4.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phosphorus oxychloride ( $2.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ over 1 h . The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at reflux. Upon completion (TLC monitoring), the mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered through a short pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ with ether washings. The filtrate was washed with water, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated under vacuum. Purification with column chromatography over silica gel afforded the desired cyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ for the next step.

The above 3-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2,2-dichlorocyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ and Zn powder ( $5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 79.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were mixed in acetic acid $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . Upon completion (TLC monitoring), the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were washed with water, sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel to give cyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ (eluent: petroleum ether / diethyl ether).

Yield (over the two steps): $22 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{3 / 1)} 0.35\right.$; IR (neat) v 2913, 1777, 1487, 1444, 1253, 1235, 1189, 1101, 1034, 924, 811, 775, $520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.16-3.08 (m, 2H), 2.82-2.62 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 207.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 147.8$ $(\mathrm{C}), 146.1(\mathrm{C}), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 121.4(\mathrm{CH}), 108.9(\mathrm{CH}), 108.3(\mathrm{CH}), 100.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$, $41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.2(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 205.0859$, found 205.0858.

## 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)cyclobutanol (111)

To a cooled ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) suspension of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(51.9 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)cyclobutanone $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ ( $715 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$.

[^96]The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel to give the cyclobutanol $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ ( $99 \%$ yield) which was used in the next step.

## 3-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)cyclobutyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (112)

To a cooled ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of cyclobutanol $111(720 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine ( 25 mL ) was added TsCl ( $692 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$ in crushed ice ( 80 g ). The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel to give tosylate $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ ( $85 \%$ yield) which was used in the next step.

5-(cyclobut-2-en-1-ylmethyl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole (113) was prepared according to procedure reported by Salaün and Bassindale. ${ }^{40}$

To a suspension of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}$ ( $673 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 12 mL ) heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise (over a 10 minutes period) a solution of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ ( $720 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 6 mL ). The mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to room temperature, diluted with water, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

Yield (over the five steps): 11\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{4 / 1}\right)$ 0.30; IR (neat) v 3044, 2913, 2864, 1487, 1441, 1250, 1189, 1041, 938, 811, 690, 524, $421 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65$ (dd, $J=4.1,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 147.4$ (C), 145.6 (C), 140.5 (CH), 135.5 (CH), 135.2 (C), 121.2 (CH), $109.0(\mathrm{CH}), 108.1$ (CH), $100.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.2(\mathrm{CH}), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Ag}]^{+}\right): 294.9883$, found 294.9883 .
$\left(3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}\right)$-3,4-bis(benzyloxy)cyclobut-1-ene ${ }^{41}$ (117)

[^97]

To a cooled ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ oil dispersion washed with PE) ( $53.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.24$ mmol ) in THF ( 10.0 mL ) was added benzyl alcohol ( $567.7 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then cis-3,4-dichlorocyclobutene ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
Yield: $67 \%$; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.43-7.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 142.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 138.6(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 128.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 4)$, $127.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 4), 127.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 81.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 71.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \times 2\right)$.
$\left(2 a R^{*}, 8 a S^{*}\right)$-2a,3,8,8a-tetrahydro-3,8-epoxycyclobuta[b]naphthalene ${ }^{42}$ (122) was prepared from benzoxanorbornadiene and trimethylsilylethyne.


Based on a modification of the procedure reported by Cheng. ${ }^{43}$ To a solution of the 1,4-dihydro-1,4-epoxynaphthalene ( $288.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hex-1-yne ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 20.0 mL ) were added $\mathrm{CoI}_{2}(62.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(419.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Zn powder $(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Upon completion (TLC monitoring), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and stirred under air for 15 min , filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and silica gel, and eluted with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.

[^98]Trimethyl(( $\left.2 a R^{*}, 8 a S^{*}\right)-2 a, 3,8,8 a-$ tetrahydro-3,8-epoxycyclobuta[b]naphthalen-1-yl)silane (121) Yield: $65 \% ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 20 / 1\right)}^{2} 0.45 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.32-7.25(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.6(\mathrm{C}), 147.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 144.6(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 126.44(\mathrm{CH}), 126.40(\mathrm{CH}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 76.5(\mathrm{CH}), 75.9(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}),-1.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$.
$\left(2 a R^{*}, 8 a S^{*}\right)$-2a,3,8,8a-tetrahydro-3,8-epoxycyclobuta[b]naphthalene (122) was prepared using a procedure reported by Day. ${ }^{44}$ To a solution of silylcyclobutene $\mathbf{1 2 1}(183.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1.0 M TBAF in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was heated to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion (TLC monitoring), the solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel.
( $2 a R^{*}, 8 a S^{*}$ )-2a,3,8,8a-tetrahydro-3,8-epoxycyclobuta[b]naphthalene (122). Yield: $90 \% ; \mathbf{R}_{f}$ $\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right) 0.30 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 144.5(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 137.3$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 119.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 76.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 48.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$.

[^99]
## VI. $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$-catalyzed cyclopropanation of cyclobutenes

## General Procedure J



Under a argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{CpRuCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the cyclobutene ( 0.20 mmol ) and propargyl carboxylate $(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography over silica gel (eluent: petroleum ether / diethyl ether).


1-((1R $\left.R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)-6,6-D i m e t h y l-7-t o s y l-7-a z a t r i c y c l o\left[3.3 .0 .0^{2,4}\right.$ ]octan-3-yl)-2-methy lprop-1-en-1-yl acetate (86) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 94\%; Yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right)$ 0.25; IR (neat) v 2927, 1752, 1327, 1211, 1161, 1094, 701, 658, $605,556 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.63 (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.44 (dd, $J=6.3,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.33 (ddd, $J=$ $1.8,4.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82$ ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.1$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 142.8 (C), 139.0 (C), 138.8 (C), $129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2$ ), $127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.5(\mathrm{C}), 64.5(\mathrm{C}), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 52.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.1(\mathrm{CH}), 26.3(\mathrm{CH}), 24.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.7(\mathrm{CH}), 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.2(\mathrm{CH}), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 404.1890$, found 404.1890.


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} R^{*}, 3^{\prime} R^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$-1'-methyl-7'-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1, $6^{\prime}$-tricy clo[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (87) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 98\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2 / 1}\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) $v 2924,1749,1338$, $1210,1158,1148,1087,818,722,669,591,552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 7.77$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 140.3(\mathrm{C}), 139.0(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.5(\mathrm{C}), 69.7(\mathrm{C}), 58.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.4(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8(\mathrm{C}), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $27.2(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.7(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 458.2360$, found 458.2361 .


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$-7'-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricyclo[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ Joctan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (88) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 98\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2 / 1}\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) v 2927, 1749, 1211, 1161, 1147, 1097, 719, 665, 581, $545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.6(\mathrm{C}), 140.4(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.5(\mathrm{C}), 68.9(\mathrm{C}), 52.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.2(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.6(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.91(\mathrm{CH}), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 444.4403, found 444.4402.


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} R^{*}, 3^{\prime} R^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)-1^{\prime}-p h e n y l-7^{\prime}-t o s y l-7^{\prime}-a z a s p i r o\left[c y c l o h e x a n e-1,6^{\prime}-\right.$ tricy clo[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (89) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: $12 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2 / 1\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) v 2930, 1752, 1327, 1211, 1158, 814, 719, 697, 665, 584, $549 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.01-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.84-1.67 (m, 7H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.43 (br s, 1H), 1.29-1.14 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.7(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 140.0(\mathrm{C}), 138.7(\mathrm{C})$, $129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.8(\mathrm{C})$, $69.4(\mathrm{C}), 59.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.3(\mathrm{C}), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.1(\mathrm{CH}), 25.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7$ $(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 520.2516$, found 520.2517.


1-((l'S', $\left.2^{\prime} R^{*}, 3^{\prime} R^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)-1^{\prime}-($ chloromethyl)-7'-Tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricycl o[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl acetate ( $\mathbf{( 0 0 )}$ was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 33\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 2/1) 0.30; IR (neat) v 2924, 1745, 1331, 1214, 1161, 1101, 814, 726, 662, 584, $549 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 3 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.77 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.01(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 142.8 (C), 139.9 (C), 138.2 (C), 129.4 $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 121.9(\mathrm{C}), 69.5(\mathrm{C}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.5(\mathrm{CH}), 47.4(\mathrm{C}), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $32.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.8(\mathrm{CH}), 24.3(\mathrm{CH}), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.7(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 492.1970$, found 492.1971.


2-Methyl-1-((1R*, $\left.2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)-1,6,6-$ trimethyl-7-tosyl-7-azatricyclo[3.3.0.0 $0^{2,4}$ ]octan-3
-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (91) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 99\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)}\right.$ 0.35; IR (neat) v 2920, 1752, 1320, 1214, 1161, 1152, $1098,811,715,658,602,552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0$ (C=O), $142.8(\mathrm{C}), 139.0(\mathrm{C}), 138.9(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.5(\mathrm{C}), 65.3(\mathrm{C})$, $60.0(\mathrm{CH}), 58.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.2(\mathrm{C}), 27.0(\mathrm{CH}), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.2(\mathrm{CH}), 23.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8(\mathrm{CH})$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 418.2047$, found 418.2047.


1-((1S*, $\left.2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)$-1-( chloromethyl)-6,6-Dimethyl-7-tosyl-7-azatricyclo[3.3.0.0 $\left.{ }^{2,4}\right]$ octan-3-yl)-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl acetate (92) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 46\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)}\right.$ 0.30; IR (neat) v 2924, 1749, 1327, 1214, 1154, 1101, 814, 732, 715, 661, 598, $552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.47 (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $143.1(\mathrm{C})$, $138.6(\mathrm{C}), 138.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.0(\mathrm{C}), 65.1(\mathrm{C}), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 56.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.7(\mathrm{C}), 46.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.9(\mathrm{CH}), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.0(\mathrm{CH}), 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5(\mathrm{CH}), 20.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 452.1657$, found 452.1657 .


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)$-6-phenyl-7-tosyl-7-azatricyclo[3.3.0.02,4]octan-3-y l)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (93) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 90\%; Yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)} 0.30\right.$; IR (neat) v 2938, 1752, 1345, 1211, 1165, 1094, 658, 602,
$549 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.54-2.52 (m, 1H), $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.1$ (C=O), 142.7 (C), 140.9 (C), 138.6 (C), $137.3(\mathrm{C}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.8(\mathrm{C}), 67.0(\mathrm{CH}), 51.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.9(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 27.0(\mathrm{CH}), 24.7(\mathrm{CH}), 24.1(\mathrm{CH}), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 452.1890$, found 452.1892 .


2-Methyl-1-( $\left(1 R^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)$-1-methyl-6-phenyl-7-tosyl-7-azatricyclo[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ] octan-3-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (94) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 88\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1/1) 0.30; IR (neat) v 2927, 1749, 1338, 1211, 1164, 1097, 701, 673, 662, 595, $556 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.12 (br d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $169.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.7(\mathrm{C}), 140.7(\mathrm{C}), 138.7(\mathrm{C}), 137.4(\mathrm{C}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.5(\mathrm{CH} \times 2)$, $127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.6(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.8(\mathrm{C}), 68.0(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $48.6(\mathrm{C}), 27.9(\mathrm{CH}), 24.9(\mathrm{CH}), 24.42(\mathrm{CH}), 21.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 466.2047$, found 466.2046.


2-Methyl-1-( $\left(1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-6-methyl-7-tosyl-7-azatricyclo[3.3.0.0 $0^{2,4}$ ]octan-3-yl )prop-1-en-1-yl acetate ( $\mathbf{9 5}$ ) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: $85 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / E t_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)} 0.30\right.$; IR (neat) v 2934, 1745, 1342, 1207, 1168, 1147, 1090, 1048, 1019, 662, 598, $549 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J$
$=5.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.82 (br s, 1H), $1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.9$ (C), $138.7(\mathrm{C}), 138.2(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.6(\mathrm{C}), 59.4(\mathrm{CH}), 49.9(\mathrm{CH}), 49.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.1(\mathrm{CH}), 26.7(\mathrm{CH}), 24.1(\mathrm{CH}), 24.0(\mathrm{CH}), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 390.1734$, found 390.1734.


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.l^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} R^{*}, 3^{\prime} R^{*}, 4^{\prime} S^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$-1'-methyl-4-oxo-7'-oxaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricycl o [3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl acetate (96) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: $88 \%$; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{1 / 1)} 0.25\right.$; IR (neat) v 2929, 1749, 1720, 1372, 1212, 1173, 1045, 917, $792 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 3 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06 (br s, 1H), 2.00-1.89 (m, 2H), $1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 211.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $139.0(\mathrm{C}), 120.2(\mathrm{C}), 80.7(\mathrm{C}), 75.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.1(\mathrm{CH}), 48.8(\mathrm{C}), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.4(\mathrm{CH}), 24.9(\mathrm{CH}), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.84\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 16.9 (CH); HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$: 336.2169, found 336.2170.


Cyclohexylidene ( $\left(1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$ - $7^{\prime}$-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1, $6^{\prime}$-tricyclo [3.3.0.0 $0^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)methyl acetate (98) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 97\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 1\right) 0.25$; IR (neat) v 2928, 2858, 1749, 1204, 1161, 1147, 1094, 818, 736, 658, 584, $542 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 142.6 (C), $140.3(\mathrm{C}), 136.1(\mathrm{C}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.1(\mathrm{C}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 68.9(\mathrm{C}), 52.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.2(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 32.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $26.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.3(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.1(\mathrm{CH}), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.2(\mathrm{CH}) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 484.2516, found 484.2517.


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$-7'-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricyclo[3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl pivalate (100) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 93\%; Colorless oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / E t_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2 / 1}\right) 0.35$; IR (neat) v 3051, 2930, 2863, $1742,1458,1327,1154,1122,1094,715,669,581,545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 3 0 0 ~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 1.29-1.00 (m, 4H), $1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 176.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.5$ (C), $140.2(\mathrm{C}), 138.7(\mathrm{C}), 129.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 120.3(\mathrm{C}), 69.1(\mathrm{C}), 51.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $48.2(\mathrm{CH}), 38.9(\mathrm{C}), 37.8(\mathrm{CH}), 32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \times 3\right)$, $26.8(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $24.1(\mathrm{CH}), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.8(\mathrm{CH}), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 486.2673$, found 486.2671 .


2-Methyl-1-(( $\left.l^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)$-7'-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricyclo[3.3.0.0
${ }^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl 4-nitrobenzoate (102) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 94\%; Yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{2 / 1)}\right.$ 0.25; IR (neat) v 3055, 2930, 2864, $1742,1529,1239,1165,1100,814,722,676,588,546 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 150.8 (C), 142.5 (C), 140.1 (C), 139.1 (C), $134.8(\mathrm{C}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 129.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 123.8(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 68.7(\mathrm{C}), 51.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.3(\mathrm{CH}), 37.9(\mathrm{CH}), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.2(\mathrm{CH}), 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 551.2210 , found 551.2210 .


2,2-Diphenyl-1-(( $\left.1^{\prime} R^{*}, 2^{\prime} S^{*}, 3^{\prime} S^{*}, 4^{\prime} R^{*}, 5^{\prime} S^{*}\right)-7^{\prime}$-tosyl-7'-azaspiro[cyclohexane-1,6'-tricyclo[3. 3.0. $0^{2,4}$ ]octan]-3'-yl)vinyl acetate (104) was synthesized following the general procedure J . Yield: 43\%; Yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 1/1) 0.25; IR (neat) v 3056, 2929, 2862, 1759, 1324, $1179,1154,1094,704,665,580,545 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (br d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.69-0.99 (m, 12H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.6$ (C=O), 143.1 (C), 142.6 (C), 140.3 (C), 139.6 (C), $139.4(\mathrm{C}), 130.8(\mathrm{C}), 130.3(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 129.31(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 129.27(\mathrm{CH} \times$ 2), $128.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 128.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 68.7(\mathrm{C}), 51.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(\mathrm{CH}), 37.8(\mathrm{CH}), 32.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3(\mathrm{CH}), 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.3(\mathrm{CH}), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 568.2516$, found 568.2517.


1-(( $\left.\left.1 R^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 S^{*}\right)-2,3-D i c h l o r o b i c y c l o[2.1 .0] p e n t a n-5-y l\right)-2-m e t h y l p r o p-1-e n-1-y l$
acetate (115) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: 25\%; Pale yellow oil; $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left({\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10 / 1\right)}^{0} 0.30\right.$; IR (neat) $v 2915,1749,1368,1212,1127,963,792,611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.84-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 136.3$
(C), $123.2(\mathrm{C}), 59.2(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 28.1(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 25.1(\mathrm{CH}), 20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right): 266.0709$, found 266.0707.


1-(( $\left.1 S^{*}, 1 a R^{*}, 1 b S^{*}, 7 a R^{*}, 7 b S^{*}\right)-1 a, 1 b, 2,7,7 a, 7 b-h e x a h y d r o-1 H-2,7$-epoxycyclopropa[3,4]cyc lobuta[1,2-b]naphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl acetate (123) was synthesized following the general procedure J. Yield: $91 \%$; White solid; m.p. $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 3/1) 0.35 ; IR (neat) v 2666, 2332, 1375, 1290, $973,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1 H ), $1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $144.2(\mathrm{C} \times 2), 139.1(\mathrm{C}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 119.8(\mathrm{C}), 119.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 80.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 45.5$ $(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 26.3(\mathrm{CH}), 23.4(\mathrm{CH} \times 2), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI-MS) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right)$: 314.1751 , found 314.1750.
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