



**HAL**  
open science

# Study of a coupled Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system in phase separation

Wafa Saoud

► **To cite this version:**

Wafa Saoud. Study of a coupled Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system in phase separation. Analysis of PDEs [math.AP]. Université de Poitiers, 2018. English. NNT : 2018POIT2285 . tel-02087788

**HAL Id: tel-02087788**

**<https://theses.hal.science/tel-02087788>**

Submitted on 2 Apr 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# THESE

Pour l'obtention du grade de

DOCTEUR DE L'UNIVERSITE DE POITIERS

École Doctorale Sciences et Ingénierie des Systèmes, Mathématiques, Informatique

Diplôme National - Arrêté du 25 Mai 2016

SPECIALITE : Mathématique

Présentée par

**Wafa SAOUD**

## Étude d'un Modèle d'Équations Couplées de Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn en Séparation de Phase.

Directeur de Thèse : **Alain MIRANVILLE**

Codirecteur de Thèse : **Raafat TALHOUK**

Présentée et soutenue publiquement le

4 Octobre 2018

### COMPOSITION DU JURY

<i>Rapporteurs :</i>	<b>Olivier Goubet</b>	Professeur, Université de Picardie Jules Verne
	<b>Mazen Saad</b>	Professeur, Ecole Centrale de Nantes
<i>Examineurs :</i>	<b>Laurence Cherfils</b>	Maître de conférence (HDR), Université de La Rochelle
	<b>Madalina Petcu</b>	Maître de conférence (HDR), Université de Poitiers
	<b>Alain Miranville</b>	Professeur, Université de Poitiers
	<b>Raafat Talhouk</b>	Professeur, Université Libanaise



إلى الغاليين على قلبي: أمي وأبي، أعجز  
عن شكري لمحببتكما الغير مشروطة..  
أهديكما هذا العمل وأتمنى أن أكون  
دائماً عند حسن ظنكما.





## Remerciements

En tout premier lieu, permettez-moi de remercier Dieu de m'avoir offert la chance de faire une thèse doctorale, et de m'avoir donné la force ainsi que la patience pour dépasser les difficultés et les obstacles. J'étais sous son regard durant ces trois années comme durant toute ma vie. Un grand merci !

J'adresse maintenant tous mes remerciements à mes remarquables directeurs, les professeurs Alain Miranville et Raafat Talhouk. Je suis bénie d'avoir travaillé avec vous deux.

Alain, merci de m'avoir acceptée en thèse sous votre direction. Un grand merci pour votre patience, pour votre flexibilité et votre humanité, pour la liberté que les élèves ressentent en travaillant avec vous, pour votre modestie, pour votre professionnalisme et vos compétences, pour votre soutien ; vous n'avez jamais hésité à répondre à mes nombreux courriels, merci...

Raafat, le travail avec vous va me manquer ! Vous êtes gentil, intelligent et compétent ! Vous êtes doué pour l'enseignement. Je vous remercie pour votre patience, pour votre temps et votre bureau qui est toujours ouvert pour vos étudiants. Sans vous, il aurait été très dur de faire ce travail. Merci pour tout ce que vous êtes !

Monsieur Tony Chidiac : Vous avez des qualités humaines exceptionnelles. C'est grâce à des gens comme vous que des jeunes Libanais ont la chance de poursuivre leurs études. Sans votre aide, la réalisation de ce travail aurait été vraiment difficile. Merci pour votre support continu tout au long de ce trajet. Merci pour l'organisation AURORA qui m'a donné un financement grâce à vous.

Je voudrais aussi remercier les Professeurs Olivier Goubet et Mazen Saad d'avoir accepté gentiment d'être les rapporteurs de ma thèse. Votre avis m'est très important.

Je remercie également les docteurs aimables Laurence Cherfils et Madalina Petcu pour avoir accepté de faire partie de mon jury.

A tous les membres du Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications : Merci ! La directrice du laboratoire : Alissandra Sarti, et le directeur de l'école doctorale : Samuel Boissière, merci pour m'avoir accueillie dans ce laboratoire.

A Mme Jocelyne Attab : Vous êtes très aimable. Merci pour votre doux sourire.

A Mme Nathalie Mongin : Merci pour votre soutien.

A Mme Birgitte Brault : Merci pour votre gentillesse.

A Mme Alicia Alsceve : Merci pour les services rendus.

A M. Benoît Métrot : Merci pour votre disponibilité.

A Mme Sylvie Perez : Merci pour votre flexibilité et vos soins aux doctorants.

A mes amis docteurs : Charbel, c'est grâce à toi que j'ai reçu l'opportunité d'une thèse doctorale ! J'ai maintenant une riche expérience. Merci !

Ahmad, tu m'as accueillie comme une soeur en France avant même de me connaître et tu m'as aidée à tous les niveaux, tu m'as facilité beaucoup de choses. Un grand merci !

Hussein : Merci d'avoir été à mes côtés au début du chemin qui était dur pendant les premiers instants.

Ihab : Tu n'as jamais tardé à me donner tout ce que je t'ai demandé. Merci !

A mes amis futurs docteurs : Camille et Paolo, c'était sympa d'avoir des amis comme vous ! Elissa et Ahmad ! Les amis libanais que j'ai trouvés en France : Merci pour votre accueil, le tourisme et les beaux souvenirs.

Shuiran, j'aime bien ton calme et ton sourire.

Carlos, tu es un "gentilhomme" au coeur d'or. Désolée pour les nombreuses interruptions !

Evii, tu es très amusante ! Merci d'avoir partagé avec moi des petits moments de dépression.

Sabine, la copine devenue une amie pour la vie ! Merci.

Pietro, tu es d'une humeur vraiment plaisante. J'espère que tu trouveras des "fat" résultats !

Meghdad : Ton français est beaucoup mieux maintenant !

Angélique : Merci d'avoir amélioré l'introduction !

Merci mes chers amis. Merci pour les beaux moments partagés chez vous et à l'Esen :)

Bonne chance à vous tous !

A Madame et Monsieur Buthaud, le Pasteur Gérald et sa femme Hélène : Un grand merci d'avoir été pour moi deux familles aimantes à Poitiers.

A l'Eglise Evangélique de Poitiers et mes amis là-bas, à Venus, son garçon incroyable Mattéo, et à Naomi : Merci !

A Poitiers ! Un grand merci.. Pour les beaux souvenirs.. Pour les moments exceptionnels que j'ai vécus dans tes endroits divers.. pour ta beauté, pour le sentiment familial que tu as créé dans mon coeur, Merci ! A la France : MERCI !

Avant de finir, je n'oublie pas de m'adresser à ma famille :

A mes chères soeurs Rouba et Mona, et mon frère Farouk : Merci pour votre amour, votre soutien et vos encouragements.

A mes très chers parents : Merci beaucoup. Roro, c'est ton rêve qui se réalise par l'accomplissement de ce travail. Merci d'avoir toujours cru en moi. Pour tous tes sacrifices pour éduquer tes enfants et pour tes prières, merci.

A mon papa : C'est toi que le proverbe arabe décrit en disant : "Mon père, c'est l'homme qui, si je lui demande une étoile, reviendra en m'apportant le ciel sur le dos". Merci ! Pour les années de ta vie que tu as passées en travaillant pour nous offrir tout ce que nous souhaitons, merci !

# Table des matières

<b>Table des matières</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Introduction Générale</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Sur l'Equation de Cahn-Hilliard . . . . .	2
1.2 Sur l'Equation d'Allen-Cahn . . . . .	5
1.3 Sur le Couplage des Equations Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard . . . . .	7
1.4 Plan de la thèse . . . . .	10
<b>2 Asymptotic Behavior of a Model for Order-Disorder and Phase Separation</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	19
2.2 A Priori Estimates . . . . .	21
2.3 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions . . . . .	27
2.4 Existence of Finite-Dimensional Attractors . . . . .	30
<b>3 On the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn Equations with Singular Potentials</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2 Setting of the Problem . . . . .	43
3.3 A Priori Estimates . . . . .	44
3.4 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions . . . . .	48
3.5 Existence of the Global Attractor . . . . .	51
3.6 Further Regularity Results . . . . .	52
<b>4 Asymptotic Behavior of a Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn System with Temperature</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	65
4.2 Part I : The Usual Fourier Law . . . . .	67
4.2.1 Setting of the Problem . . . . .	67
4.2.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions . . . . .	68
4.2.3 Global and Exponential attractors . . . . .	78
4.3 Part II : The Type III Law . . . . .	83
4.3.1 Setting of the New Problem . . . . .	84
4.3.2 A Priori Estimates . . . . .	85
4.3.3 Dissipative Estimate . . . . .	89
4.3.4 Global and Exponential Attractors . . . . .	91



*Table des matières*

<b>5 Conclusion et Perspectives</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Bibliographie</b>	<b>101</b>

# Chapitre 1

## Introduction Générale

Cette thèse présente un ensemble de résultats théoriques relatifs à un problème couplé de deux équations de types Cahn-Hilliard et Allen-Cahn. Avant de parler de ces deux types d'équations et de leur couplage, on décrit brièvement deux méthodes de modélisation pour l'évolution des microstructures : la méthode des champs de phase et, en opposition, la méthode classique aussi connue sous le nom de la méthode d'interface raide "sharp interface". Ces méthodes sont à l'origine des équations de type Cahn-Hilliard et Allen-Cahn.

Dans la méthode classique, les régions séparant les domaines structuraux sont traitées comme des interfaces raides, pour lesquelles une certaine régularité est habituellement exigée. Il est alors nécessaire d'avoir des équations d'évolution associées aux variables physiques convenables dans chaque région mais aussi des équations d'évolution aux interfaces. Bien que cette méthodologie soit efficace en dimension 1, il devient difficile de l'appliquer en dimension 2 ou 3. En effet, ces interfaces ont des géométries compliquées séparant les différentes microstructures qui apparaissent souvent durant des processus de mûrissement appelés mûrissement d'Ostwald.

Par contre, ces dernières années, la méthode des champs de phase est devenue assez importante dans la modélisation de différents types d'évolution des microstructures. Elle se base sur une description d'interfaces raides développée par van der Waals il y a plus d'un siècle [185] et par Cahn et Hilliard d'une manière indépendante il y a presque cinquante ans [33].

L'idée principale de cette méthode est de remplacer le traitement macroscopique singulier de l'interface raide par une description régularisée. La singularité vient souvent d'une discontinuité de surface pour quelques variables. Dans ce but, on introduit un ou plusieurs champs auxiliaires nommés champs de phase, variant régulièrement à travers les interfaces.

La méthode des champs de phase permet de prévoir l'évolution des morphologies arbitraires et de microstructures compliquées sans suivre explicitement la position des interfaces. En plus, les modèles des champs de phase décrivent les phénomènes microstructuraux à l'échelle mésoscopique et contiennent les descriptions convenables des interfaces raides, comme par exemple une limite particulière. Les modèles des champs de phase ont été utilisés dans une grande variété de problèmes ; quelques applications notables de cette méthodologie sont : simulation de

la diffusion limitée de la croissance cristalline [49, 115, 109], les instabilités induites par des contraintes dans les solides [111], la convection de Maragoni [26], les facteurs d'évolution (dynamics) de gouttelettes et des vésicules [14], les mélanges polymériques [178] et le phénomène de mûrissement d'Ostwald [74].

Les modèles des champs de phase peuvent être associés à des variables conservées ou non conservées ; le premier cas entraîne des équations de type Cahn-Hilliard non linéaires, tandis que le deuxième cas engendre en général des équations de type Allen-Cahn non linéaires.

## 1.1 Sur l'Equation de Cahn-Hilliard

L'équation de Cahn-Hilliard est une équation aux dérivées partielles parabolique du quatrième ordre, introduite en 1958 par Cahn et Hilliard, [33]. Elle représente un paramètre d'ordre conservé et joue un important rôle dans la science des matériaux. Elle décrit des caractéristiques qualitatives des systèmes de deux phases en séparation, en ayant des hypothèses de l'isotropie et de température constante. C'est une équation d'évolution en temps de la concentration d'un matériau. Elle apparaît principalement quand un alliage binaire est refroidi convenablement. Le matériau homogène devient hétérogène et les nucléiques apparaissent : C'est ce qu'on appelle décomposition spinodale qui se déroule en première étape. Dans la deuxième étape, les microstructures grossissent mais plus lentement que dans le processus de la décomposition spinodale. Ce phénomène est essentiel pour étudier la force et la résistance du matériau. Pour plus de détails, le lecteur est invité à consulter les références [32, 33, 116, 124, 133, 134, 156, 157].

L'équation de Cahn-Hilliard a été mise en place pour décrire la séparation de phase avec conservation de masse. Dans le cas d'une mobilité constante avec énergie libre non singulière, le comportement asymptotique est donné par un système avec des conditions aux bords libres connu sous le nom de problème de Mullins-Sekerka [39, 165].

Cependant, l'utilisation de l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard a été élargie à divers domaines de la chimie, de la physique, de la biologie et au secteur de l'ingénierie comme les copolymères diblocs, la retouche d'image, les flux des fluides multiphasés, les microstructures avec des inhomogénéités élastiques, la simulation de la croissance tumorale, l'optimisation de topologie, la dynamique des populations, la théorie de la formation de galaxies, et même les caractéristiques des anneaux de Saturne ! C'est pourquoi, il est important de comprendre le rôle fondamental de cette équation dans chaque type de modélisation, à ce propos le lecteur pourra consulter [114]. Pour voir les applications, le lecteur peut regarder [6, 8, 17, 22, 45, 48, 82, 100, 105, 106, 118, 190, 196].

L'équation de base de la modélisation mathématique et des modèles dynamiques est l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard suivante :

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(x, t) = \nabla \cdot [m(\alpha(x, t)) \nabla \mu(x, t)], \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mu(x, t) = F'(\alpha(x, t)) - \epsilon^2 \Delta \alpha(x, t), \quad (1.2)$$

### 1.1. Sur l'Equation de Cahn-Hilliard

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (1.3)$$

où  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , ( $N = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ ) est un domaine borné de frontière  $\Gamma$  et  $\alpha(x, t) = \alpha_r(x, t) - \alpha_s(x, t)$  est un champ de phase représentant la différence entre les fractions de mole des champs  $r$  et  $s$ .

La fonction  $F(\alpha)$  est un potentiel à double puits d'un système homogène de composition  $\alpha(x, t)$ , la fonction  $\mu(x, t)$  est un potentiel chimique, la fonction  $m(\alpha)$  est une mobilité positive, et le paramètre  $\epsilon$  est une constante positive reliée à l'épaisseur de l'interface.

A l'origine, la mobilité était de la forme  $m(\alpha) = m_0(1 - \alpha)(1 + \alpha)$ , elle dépendait de la concentration et  $m_0$  est une constante.

Il existe plusieurs choix de  $F(\alpha)$  qui peuvent être considérés.

Les formes les plus utilisées sont

$$F(\alpha) = 0.25(\alpha^2 - 1)^2 \quad (1.4)$$

et

$$F_{\log}(\alpha) = \frac{\theta}{2}[(1 + \alpha) \log(1 + \alpha) + (1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha)] + \frac{\theta_c}{2}(1 + \alpha)(1 - \alpha), \quad (1.5)$$

où  $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $\theta$  est la température et  $\theta_c$  est une température critique.

On remarque qu'au voisinage de  $\alpha = 0$ , le potentiel  $F_{\log}(\alpha)$  se réduit à l'approximation classique de  $F(\alpha)$  qui est un polynôme du degré 4 (1.4).

La fonction  $F$  qu'on appelle aussi l'énergie libre homogène est souvent régulière pour simplifier l'analyse mathématique. La forme (1.4) décrit deux phases stables ( $s = \pm 1$ ). Il est important de trouver des solutions qui prennent leurs valeurs dans l'ensemble  $[-1, 1]$  qui est physiquement significatif. Malheureusement, le principe du maximum ne s'applique pas dans notre cas puisque l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard est du quatrième ordre. Une solution à ce problème est de considérer des potentiels qui vérifient les conditions suivantes :

$$F : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$F \in C^2, \quad (1.7)$$

$$F'(s) \geq -c_0, \quad c_0 > 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f(s) = \pm\infty, \quad \text{et} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f'(s) = +\infty. \quad (1.9)$$

On peut retrouver l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard à partir de l'énergie libre de Ginzburg-Landau

$$E(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} (F(\alpha) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla \alpha|^2) dx. \quad (1.10)$$

Le potentiel chimique  $\mu$  est alors déduit de (1.10) par :

$$\mu = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha} = F'(\alpha) - \epsilon^2 \Delta \alpha.$$

Alors, par la loi de conservation de masse, on obtient l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \Phi,$$

où  $\Phi = -m\nabla\mu$  est le flux correspondant.

On pourra consulter [126] pour plus de détails sur l'origine physique, mathématique et numérique de l'équation Cahn-Hilliard binaire.

Pour une fonction  $F$  régulière sur  $\mathbb{R}$ , Elliott et Zheng ont démontré l'existence de solutions globales dans  $L^2$  [69]. Prüs et al. ont démontré que le problème était bien-posé globalement avec des solutions fortes sous des conditions aux bords dynamiques [166]. Par la suite, Prüs et Wilke ont démontré les mêmes résultats mais dans un cas plus général : l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard non-isothermes avec des potentiels de croissance polynomiale [167].

Un nombre important d'auteurs comme Chill, Hoffman, Rybka, Prüs, Wilke, Wu et Zheng ont démontré des résultats de convergence de solution à des états stables dans le cas des potentiels réguliers [43, 103, 167, 192].

Elliott et Luckhaus ont démontré des résultats d'existence et d'unicité de la solution dans le cas d'un mélange de plusieurs composants [64]. Debussche et Dettori ont donné une autre démonstration dans le cas d'un mélange de deux composants, ils ont trouvé un attracteur global et ils ont estimé sa dimension [53]. Des propriétés supplémentaires des attracteurs ont été étudiées par Dupaix [58], mais aussi par Miranville et Zelik [150].

Comme nous l'avons vu, il existe une large littérature étudiant l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard. Mais la plupart des références disponibles traitent de cas où le domaine est borné et le modèle est sujet à des conditions de bords convenables [46, 71, 130, 182].

Cependant, il y a quelques auteurs qui ont traité le problème dans  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ou dans des domaines non bornés. Par exemple, Bricmont et al. ont étudié en dimension une, la stabilité d'une solution particulière croissante  $\tanh(\frac{x}{2})$ , où des estimations de stabilité et de décroissance en temps ont été établies pour des perturbations des données initiales [29]. Liu et al. ont étudié la stabilité dans  $\mathbb{R}^N$  des solutions constantes en supposant des conditions de croissance locales sur le terme non linéaire et certaine régularité sur la donnée initiale [131]. La stabilité est obtenue dans  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  et la décroissance en temps est obtenue dans des  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Un résultat de décroissance plus fort est trouvé plus tard pour  $N \geq 3$  [57].

L'équation de Cahn-Hilliard est naturellement dissipative dans  $H^{-1}$  dans le sens où la solution semi-groupe est bien définie dans  $H^{-1}$  et possède une boule absorbante dans cet espace.

En outre, beaucoup de modifications sur l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard initiale ont été proposées et étudiées pour donner des modèles complets du point de vue physique. Par exemple : le modèle visqueux de Cahn-Hilliard [25, 37, 55, 68, 144, 159], un modèle de Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes-Fourier [101], un modèle avec des termes d'inertie [94] et un modèle avec l'effet d'anisotropie [135]. De plus, différentes perturbations de cette équation ont été analysées en dimension 1 [197, 198] et des perturbations stochastiques comme les équations de Cahn-Hilliard-

Cook peuvent être également trouvées [23, 24]. Le système de Cahn-Hilliard avec élasticité linéaire a été aussi étudié [19, 20, 34, 81, 164].

D'autre part, Heida et al. ont fourni une base thermodynamique à la modélisation mathématique pour le développement des modèles de champs de phase et des généralisations des équations de Cahn-Hilliard [101].

Chercher des solutions numériques de l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard n'était pas souvent facile à cause de trois difficultés principales : la présence de  $\epsilon$  dans l'équation, la non linéarité du système et la différence des échelles de temps à chaque étape de l'évolution de la concentration. Alors, une résolution numérique du problème demande une relation entre les échelles numériques, particulièrement, entre la taille du maillage, la taille du pas et la longueur de l'interaction. Il existe maintenant une littérature suffisante qui donne des approximations numériques précises, voir [28, 50, 73, 92, 93, 113, 139, 179, 191, 183].

Finalement, des généralisations des modèles de Cahn-Hilliard ont été étudiées, où un nombre arbitraire de phases peut être considéré, consulter [9, 10, 128, 125, 186, 191].

## 1.2 Sur l'Equation d'Allen-Cahn

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn est une équation aux dérivées partielles parabolique du deuxième ordre, introduite en 1979 dans [5] par Allen et Cahn où ils ont traité le mouvement des grains sur la frontière dans des solides cristallins. Dans ce travail, les auteurs parlent des frontières d'antiphase courbée "APB : curved antiphase boundaries" en les traitant comme des surfaces avec des propriétés géométriques comme l'aire et la courbure, des propriétés thermodynamiques et chimiques comme l'excès de l'énergie libre par unité d'aire et d'adsorption et des propriétés cinétiques comme leur vitesse sous l'effet d'une force agissante. Depuis cet article, les équations d'Allen-Cahn sont devenues un modèle prototype pour les phases de transition isothermes.

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn représente une variable non conservée. C'est pourquoi elle est utilisée pour la modélisation de la ségrégation et de la précipitation dans les solides ou dans des situations plus générales où il existe une réorganisation des maillages de cristaux.

Elle décrit le mouvement macroscopique des frontières de phase entraîné par la tension de surface. Elle sert encore comme un modèle de transition de phase dans des alliages métalliques binaires. De plus, elle apparaît comme une équation de diffusion-convection dans la dynamique des fluides numériques ou comme un problème de réaction-diffusion dans la science des matériaux. Elle a été aussi utilisée pour modéliser le débit moyen de courbure et la segmentation d'images [15, 77, 104].

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn joue encore un rôle dans l'étude des ondes stationnaires pour l'équation de Schrödinger non-linéaire et a été beaucoup étudiée. Elle donne un cadre solide pour la description mathématique des problèmes de frontière libre en ce qui concerne les transitions de phase.

La solution d'équation d'Allen-Cahn subit un phénomène qu'on appelle métastabilité [132] : elle présente des zones plates proches des valeurs séparées par les interfaces qui peuvent disparaître à long terme. Une liste de références concernant les applications de cette équation dans le domaine d'ingénierie se trouve dans [176].

En 1993, des travaux ont été effectués sur les équations d'Allen-Cahn anisotropiques qui ont été initialement proposées par Mcfadden et al. [137], puis développées par Elliott et al. en 1996, où l'existence de la solution globale a été établie [65]. En 1996 et 1997, Elliott et Schätzle ont démontré la convergence de la solution vers un flux anisotropique de courbure moyenne en se basant sur des estimations qui dépendent de la dérivée de la densité de la tension superficielle [66, 67].

En 2007, Ninomiya a examiné des solutions d'ondes mobiles cylindriquement symétriques [155]. Un an plus tard, Alfaro et al. ont trouvé une approche analytique qui étudie le mouvement de l'interface et de la génération des phases [3].

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn peut être considérée comme le flot du gradient de la même énergie libre utilisée pour la dérivation de l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard dans  $L^2$ .

$$E(\alpha) = \int_{\Omega} (F(\alpha) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} |\nabla \alpha|^2) dx,$$

où  $F(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (\alpha^2 - 1)^2$ .

Cette dérivation de l'équation d'Allen-Cahn est la méthode classique. Elle consiste à définir la dérivée par rapport au temps du paramètre d'ordre considéré égale à une fonction convenable du gradient de la dérivée variationnelle de l'énergie libre de Ginzburg-Landau par rapport au paramètre d'ordre considéré, ce qui donne l'équation Allen-Cahn. La plupart du temps, cette fonction est prise linéaire. Une récente étude est faite pour voir si les autres possibilités sont meilleures, en se basant sur un exemple concret étudié dans [2]. Dans une étude faite en 2017, on trouve une nouvelle dérivation des équations d'Allen-Cahn et Cahn-Hilliard basée sur l'énergie libre fonctionnelle généralisée [191].

Quand le paramètre  $\epsilon$  tend vers zéro, la solution de l'équation d'Allen-Cahn doit se comporter comme une fonction définie par morceaux avec les valeurs  $\pm 1$  dans une des deux régions massives qui sont séparées par une couche d'épaisseur interfaciale diffuse. Ce comportement limite est connu sous l'appellation "the sharp interface limit". Le lecteur peut trouver des analyses formelles et plus rigoureuses dans la littérature [70, 104, 153]. Pour des petites valeurs de  $\epsilon$ , dans la couche interfaciale, la solution reste régulière mais elle développe un gradient spatial important. De telles couches bougent avec le temps. Un portrait de  $\alpha$  à travers les couches interfaciales se trouve dans [162].

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn, comme l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard est naturellement dissipative, mais dans l'espace  $L^2$ .

### 1.3. Sur le Couplage des Equations Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard

En outre, un nombre important d'études a porté sur les solutions numériques et analytiques de l'équation d'Allen-Cahn car elles ont de nombreuses applications (voir [38]).

Ce calcul numérique est souvent basé sur des schémas explicites conduisant à des problèmes importants de stabilité qui limitent les intervalles de temps en fonction de la taille du pas de maillage. Une des difficultés principales dans les études numériques est de surmonter la couche fine. Pour plus de discussions sur ce sujet, on pourra consulter [12], [77]-[79]. En plus, des solutions numériques efficaces ont été établies pour une meilleure compréhension des facteurs de l'équation d'Allen-Cahn. Le lecteur peut se rapporter aux articles : [15, 44, 51, 40, 79, 80, 129, 136, 187].

On note aussi que la solution analytique de l'équation d'Allen-Cahn avec des conditions de bord non-périodiques est difficile à obtenir ce qui a entraîné des recherches additionnelles des solutions numériques (voir par exemple [4, 13, 76, 110, 132]).

L'équation d'Allen-Cahn et ses formes modifiées ont été appliquées dans plusieurs problèmes : le mouvement cristallin des interfaces en premier lieu, analyse d'images, mouvement de la courbure moyenne, flux de fluides à deux phases, la croissance de cristaux, la segmentation d'images et autres [5, 15, 16, 41, 56, 70, 77, 86, 104, 112, 163, 189, 195]. On note aussi que le système d'Allen-Cahn avec élasticité linéaire est étudiée dans [21].

Enfin, des études sur les modèles d'Allen-Cahn à phases multiples ont été développées [117, 127, 191]. Leur cohérence avec les modèles à phase binaire peut facilement être démontrée. Par ailleurs, des équations d'Allen-Cahn à valeurs vectorielles ont été examinées, voir par exemple [31], [83]-[85] et [117].

## 1.3 Sur le Couplage des Equations Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard

Il existe différentes façons de coupler les équations d'Allen-Cahn et de Cahn-Hilliard. Cependant, on s'intéresse dans ce travail au modèle introduit dans [35].

Ce système d'Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard (AC/CH) a été proposé par Cahn et Novick-Cohen en 1994 comme une extension du modèle classique de Cahn-Hilliard en séparation de phase d'un mélange binaire sous refroidissement. Le mélange peut représenter par exemple des alliages binaires, des populations biologiques, des liquides à deux composants et autres. Ses propriétés mathématiques ont été étudiées dans [11, 158] et les références associées.

Une des plus importantes applications de ce couplage est la cristallographie. En séparation de phase, quand on travaille avec des alliages, la cristallographie distingue plusieurs structures bien ordonnées. Ces structures consistent non pas en des domaines séparés occupés par les deux composants exclusivement (ce qui consiste la première approche de comprendre la séparation de phase) mais aussi par quelques distributions régulières des atomes de deux composants sur des réseaux cristallisés. Le processus est décrit par l'évolution des deux variables  $u$  et  $v$  comme suit :



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= h^2 \Delta (f(u+v) + f(u-v) - h^2 \Delta u), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -f(u+v) + f(u-v) - \alpha v + h^2 \Delta v.\end{aligned}$$

La fonction  $u$  est la concentration de l'un des composants, la fonction  $v$  exprime une certaine mesure d'ordre entre les atomes des composants et  $h$  est le paramètre de la tension de surface. Le paramètre  $\alpha$  représente la localisation du système dans le maillage de la phase et la fonction  $f$  représente l'énergie d'interaction entre les deux composants. La fonction  $f$  est la non-linéarité du système.

Ce système d'équations décrit un flot de gradients dans  $H^{-1} \times L^2$  de l'énergie libre

$$J(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left( F(u+v) + F(u-v) + \frac{\alpha}{2} v^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \right) dx,$$

où  $f = F'$ .

En particulier, ce système se réduit à l'équation d'Allen-Cahn quand  $u = \frac{1}{2}$  et se réduit à l'équation de Cahn-Hilliard quand  $v = 0$ .

Deux méthodes phénoménologiques entraînant des équations couplées de Cahn-Hilliard et Allen-Cahn ont été explorées dans [35]. Ces approches décrivent la dynamique des systèmes qui subissent une séparation de phase du premier ordre puis des transitions d'ordre-désordre.

Dans la première méthode, une limite quasicontinuum de l'énergie libre est prise en compte et l'évolution du système est ensuite supposée donnée par un flot de gradients. Tandis que, dans la deuxième, un ensemble discret de flot de gradients (d'équations d'évolution) est trouvé en premier et ensuite une limite quasicontinuum est prise en compte. Les méthodes du continuum ont été employées en 1983 et 1986 par Krzanowski et Allen [120]-[123] et en 1990 et 1998 par Eguchi et al. [63, 180].

Dans le travail décrit dans [35], le contexte d'un alliage binaire Fe-Al sur un maillage ayant une forme cubique (BCC : body cubic center) est bien détaillé où l'importance du choix des variables est démontrée. Il faut que ces variables s'adaptent avec les variations de la concentration moyenne ainsi que la structure sous-jacente ordonnée des phases possibles coexistantes. C'est le seul cas où les deux approches conduisent approximativement à une même description du continuum. Le résultat est décrit par une équation de type Cahn-Hilliard couplée avec une équation de type Allen-Cahn.

Le système généralisé est défini avec une mobilité  $Q(u, v)$  qui n'est pas constante. Il a été traité dans [52] par exemple où la forme de  $Q(u, v)$  permet de faire disparaître cette mobilité aux phases pures. On note que la dérivation du système dans [35] peut être étendue à une mobilité non-constante.

### 1.3. Sur le Couplage des Equations Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard

Le système AC/CH, par construction, est désigné pour décrire des processus d'ordre-désordre et de séparation de phase simultanés. Il peut être considéré comme un modèle d'interface diffus pour le frittage des petits grains et le rainurage des frontières de grains des films polycristalline [158].

Brochet et al. ont commencé l'étude mathématique de notre système en 1994 : elles ont démontré que le problème avec des conditions au bord de type Neumann homogène est bien posé. Elles ont aussi démontré l'existence d'un attracteur global et d'un attracteur exponentiel [30]. En 1999, Passo et al. ont analysé le même modèle couplé AC/CH avec une mobilité dégénérée et une énergie libre qui contient des termes logarithmiques [52], ils ont démontré l'existence des solutions faibles avec des conditions au bord de type Neuman. Entre 1996 et 2005, Cahn, Novick-Cohen et Peres Hari ont développé des résultats asymptotiques géométriques de notre modèle. Ils ont démontré que le comportement asymptotique fait intervenir le mouvement par courbure moyenne en dimension 2 et 3 [36, 158, 160, 161].

En 2002, Gokiel a considéré le modèle avec des contraintes sur les valeurs des inconnus. Elle a démontré l'existence et l'unicité de la solution et elle a étudié le comportement asymptotique pour une forme donnée de l'entropie [88].

Après, Gokiel et Ito ont démontré des résultats d'existence, d'unicité et l'existence d'un attracteur global compact dans  $L^2(\Omega)^2$  pour le système dynamique associé, pour deux exemples différents de l'entropie [89].

Gokiel et Marcinkowski ont aussi présenté un schéma numérique implicite basé sur l'élément fini pour résoudre le système AC/CH et elles ont prouvé la convergence des solutions numériques vers la solution exacte [90]. Ce travail a enfin été amélioré en 2010, par l'analyse d'un solveur - une méthode itérative effective pour chaque étape du schéma numérique implicite et les auteurs ont aussi démontré la convergence de cette méthode [91]. De plus, en 2012, une méthode NKS (Newton-Krylov-Schwarz) pour la solution implicite de notre système AC/CH a été proposée [194].

Boussinot et al. ont par ailleurs présenté un système couplé d'Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard en 2010. Ce système indique des modèles de champ de phase 2D et 3D pour l'évolution des microstructures sous la présence d'un réseau inadapté et avec des constantes élastiques non homogènes [27].

Plus tard, en 2014, un système couplé de type AC/CH avec élasticité linéaire dans des dimensions  $D \leq 3$  a été étudié. Ce modèle donne une base pour la généralisation des modèles d'interface diffus isothermiques déjà existants [20].

Enfin, plusieurs méthodes numériques ont été utilisées pour résoudre des systèmes couplés de type AC/CH [91, 138, 177, 184], [186]-[191] et [193].

## 1.4 Plan de la thèse

On présente maintenant la structure de ce manuscrit qui regroupe les résultats des articles [147]-[149] :

1. Dans le premier article, [148], on considère le modèle suivant des équations couplées de Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn :

$$(P) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = h^2 \Delta(f(u+v) + f(u-v) - h^2 \Delta u) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -f(u+v) + f(u-v) - \alpha v + h^2 \Delta v \end{cases}$$

Le problème est posé dans un domaine  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ ) ayant une frontière régulière  $\Gamma$ . La fonction  $u$  est la concentration d'un des deux composants du mélange, qui est une quantité conservée, la fonction  $v$  est un paramètre d'ordre, le paramètre (positif)  $h$  représente l'espaceur du maillage, le paramètre  $\alpha$  correspond à la localisation du système dans le diagramme de phase (il peut-être positif ou négatif) et le terme non linéaire  $f$  est la dérivée d'un potentiel à double puits. C'est une fonction polynomiale de degré impair quelconque. Le modèle a été proposé par J. W. Cahn et A. Novick-Cohen en 1994 dans [35].

On associe ce problème à des conditions du bord de type Dirichlet :

$$u = v = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma,$$

et aux conditions initiales suivantes

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0.$$

La fonction  $f$  satisfait

$$f \in C^2, f(0) = 0,$$

$$f'(s) \geq -c_0, c_0 > 0, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f(s)s \geq c_1 F(s) - c_2, F(s) \geq -c_3, c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3 \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

où  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\xi)d\xi$ .

Dans ce travail, on améliore les résultats de [30]. On les démontre pour un polynôme de degré trois quelconque. On prouve l'existence et l'unicité d'une solution faible globale dans  $L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ , le résultat est illustré par :

**Théorème 1.4.1.** *On prend  $T > 0$  et on suppose que  $(u_0, v_0) \in H^2(\Omega)^2$ . Alors, (P) admet une solution unique  $(u, v)$  sachant que  $(u, v) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)$  et  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ .*

Ce théorème nous permet de définir un semi-groupe continu. On démontre alors que ce semi-groupe est dissipatif.

**Théorème 1.4.2.** *Le semigroupe associé à (P) est dissipatif dans  $H^2(\Omega)^2$ , i.e., il possède un ensemble borné absorbant  $\mathcal{B}_0$  dans  $H^2(\Omega)^2$ .*

Le résultat le plus important du papier est l'existence d'un attracteur exponentiel. C'est un ensemble compact, robuste aux perturbations en temps, et il attire la solution du problème à une grande vitesse (exponentielle). Par conséquent, on aura l'existence d'un attracteur global de dimension fractale finie.

**Théorème 1.4.3.** *Le semigroupe  $S(t)$  possède un attracteur exponentiel  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  est compact dans  $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  est invariant positivement,  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$  ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  a une dimension fractale finie dans  $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  attire exponentiellement les ensembles bornés de  $H^2(\Omega)^2$  :  $\forall B \subset H^2(\Omega)^2$  borné,  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2})e^{-ct}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , où la constante  $c$  est indépendante de  $B$  et  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}$  indique la semidistance Hausdroff entre les ensembles définis par

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}.$$

La dimension finie est assez importante, elle nous permet de dire que malgré la dimension infinie de l'espace de phase, on peut situer la solution dans un espace de dimension finie.

2. Dans le deuxième article, [149], avec le même système (P), on considère au lieu du potentiel polynomial un qui est logarithmique :

$$f(s) = (F'(s)) = -\theta_c s + \frac{\theta}{2} \ln\left(\frac{1+s}{1-s}\right),$$

où

$$F(s) = \frac{\theta_c}{2} (1 - s^2) + \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ (1-s) \ln\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) + (1+s) \ln\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right) \right],$$

$\theta$  = température,  $\theta_c$  = la température critique, et  $s \in (-1, 1)$ .

En utilisant le développement limité, on approche notre  $f$  qui est  $C^1(-1, 1)$  et singulière par une suite de fonctions  $(f_N)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  qui est  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  :

$$f_N(s) = \begin{cases} f(-1 + \frac{1}{N}) + f'(-1 + \frac{1}{N})(s + 1 - \frac{1}{N}), & s < -1 + \frac{1}{N}, \\ f(s), & |s| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \\ f(1 - \frac{1}{N}) + f'(1 - \frac{1}{N})(s - 1 + \frac{1}{N}), & s > 1 - \frac{1}{N}. \end{cases}$$

Chaque fonction  $f_N$  satisfait

$$f'_N \geq -c_0$$

et, en prenant  $F_N(s) = \int_0^s f_N(r)dr$ , on a :

$$-c_3 \leq F_N(s) \leq c_4 f_N(s)s + c_5, \quad c_4 > 0, \quad c_3, c_5 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f_N(s)s \geq c_6 |f_N(s)| - c_7, \quad c_6 > 0, \quad c_7 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

où les constantes  $c_i$ ,  $i = 3, \dots, 7$ , sont indépendantes de  $N$ .

On associe le problème aux conditions initiales et aux conditions du bord suivantes :

$$u_N = \Delta u_N = v_N = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma,$$

$$u_{N|t=0} = u_0, \quad v_{N|t=0} = v_0.$$

On démontre tout d'abord l'existence et l'unicité de la solution faible dans  $C_w([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ .

**Théorème 1.4.4.** *On suppose que  $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\|u_0 + v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1$ , et  $\|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1$ . Alors, le système (P) possède une solution unique (faible) sachant que,  $\forall T > 0$ ,*

$$(u, v) \in C_w([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$$

et

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right) \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)),$$

où  $w$  est utilisé pour la topologie faible, et

$$\frac{d}{dt}((u, q))_{-1} + ((\nabla u, \nabla q)) + ((f(u + v) + f(u - v), q)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}((v, q)) + ((\nabla v, \nabla q)) + ((f(u + v) - f(u - v), q)) = 0,$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

p.p.  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\forall q \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ .

La difficulté étant dans le passage à la limite du problème approché surtout sur le terme non linéaire  $f$ . Cette difficulté est surmontée par la possibilité de borner  $f_N$  dans  $L^1(0, T; \Omega)$  et  $L^2(0, T; \Omega)$ .

Ensuite, on définit le semi-groupe  $S(t)$  associé à l'opérateur différentiel et on démontre sa dissipativité. Puis, on démontre l'existence d'un attracteur global. Ces résultats sont annoncés comme suit :

**Théorème 1.4.5.** *La famille d'opérateurs associée au système (P) est dissipative dans  $H^1(\Omega)^2$  alors elle possède un ensemble borné absorbant  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset H^1(\Omega)^2$ , i.e.  $\forall B \subset \Phi_1$ ,  $\exists t_0 = t_0(B)$  tel que  $t \geq t_0$  ce qui implique  $S(t)B \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ ,*

$\Phi_1$  étant l'espace suivant :

$$\Phi_1 = \Phi \cap \{(u, v) \in L^\infty(\Omega)^2; \|u + v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1 \text{ et } \|u - v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1\},$$

où

$$\Phi = \{(u, v) \in H^1(\Omega)^2; |u + v| < 1 \text{ et } |u - v| < 1 \text{ p.p.}\},$$

**Théorème 1.4.6.** *Le semigroupe  $S(t)$  possède l'attracteur global  $\mathcal{A}$  sur  $\Phi$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  est compact dans  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , borné dans  $\Phi$ , invariant et attire les images de tous les ensembles bornés de  $\Phi$  par rapport à la topologie de  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ ).*

A la fin, on démontre la propriété stricte de la séparation stricte en dimension une qui a une importance physique remarquable.

**Théorème 1.4.7.** *Supposons que  $N = 1$  et que  $f$  satisfait la condition*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f(s) = \pm\infty, \text{ et } \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f'(s) = +\infty.$$

*Alors, il existe deux constantes positives  $\delta, \delta'$  et  $T > 0$  sachant que*

$$\|(u + v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T,$$

*et*

$$\|(u - v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta', \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T.$$

3. Dans le troisième article, [147], on couple le système (P) avec la température et on divise le travail en deux parties :

Dans la première partie, la température suit la loi classique de Fourier présentée par l'équation

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}.$$

La fonction  $f$  satisfait

$$f \in C^2, \quad f(0) = 0,$$

$$f'(s) \geq -c_0, \quad c_0 > 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$f(s)s \geq cF(s) - c', \quad F(s) \geq -c'', \quad c > 0, \quad c', \quad c'' \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

où  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\xi)d\xi$ .

Les conditions aux bords sont les conditions de type Dirichlet. Le système est alors :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u+v) + f(u-v)) = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u+v) - f(u-v) = \theta, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$u = v = \theta = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma, \quad (1.14)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0, \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0, \quad (1.15)$$

où  $\Omega$  est un domaine borné de  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2$ , ou  $3$ ) avec une frontière régulière  $\Gamma$ .

On commence par démontrer l'existence et l'unicité de la solution dans  $L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; (H^2(\Omega))^3)$  cette fois sur deux théorèmes.

**Théorème 1.4.8.** *Supposons que  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ . Alors, (1.11)-(1.15) possède au moins une solution  $(u, v, \theta)$  tel que*

*$(u, v, \theta) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ ,  $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega))$  et  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1} \times L^2(\Omega)^2)$ .*

**Théorème 1.4.9.** *Prenons  $(u, v, \theta)$  une solution de (1.11)-(1.15) avec données initiales  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)$  obtenus dans Théorème 1.4.8. Si  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^3$ , alors il existe une solution unique  $(u, v, \theta) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; (H^2(\Omega))^3)$  et*

*$(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2) \times L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega))$ .*

Ensuite, on définit un semi-groupe qui est continu et dissipatif et on construit une famille d'attracteurs exponentiels.

On obtient alors un attracteur global de dimension fractale finie.

Ces résultats sont donnés par les théorèmes suivants :

**Théorème 1.4.10.** *Le semigroupe  $S(t)$  associé au (1.11)-(1.15) possède un ensemble borné absorbant  $\mathcal{B}_0$  dans  $\Phi = (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^3$  tel que, pour tout ensemble borné  $B \subset \Phi$ , il existe  $t_0 = t_0(B) \geq 0$  sachant que  $t \geq t_0$  implique  $S(t)B \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ . C'est pourquoi  $S(t)$  est un semigroupe.*

**Théorème 1.4.11.** *Le semigroupe  $S(t)$  possède un attracteur exponentiel  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  est compact dans  $H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)$  ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  est invariant positivement :  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$  ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  a une dimension fractale finie dans  $H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)$  ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  attire exponentiellement les ensembles bornés de  $\Phi$  :  $\forall B \subset \Phi$  borné,  
 $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_\Phi)e^{-ct}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , où la constante  $c$  est indépendante de  $B$  et  $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}$  indique la semidistance Hausdroff entre les ensembles définis par

$$dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}.$$

La loi de Fourier n'est pas vraiment réaliste puisqu'elle suppose que les signaux thermiques se propagent avec une vitesse infinie, ce qui contredit le paradoxe de la conduction thermique [47]. C'est pourquoi, on refait la même étude avec une loi plus concrète.

Dans la deuxième partie, la température suit une loi thermodynamique de type III, donnée par l'équation :

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - k^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \alpha - k \Delta \alpha = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t},$$

où  $\alpha$  est la température et  $k$  une constante positive.

$f$  est  $C^2$  et satisfait

$$-c_0 \leq F(s) \leq f(s)s, \quad c_0 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$$

où

$$F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau.$$

On suppose aussi que

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(s) \geq c_6, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Les conditions initiales et les conditions aux bords sont les mêmes que dans la première partie et le système est alors :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u+v) + f(u-v)) = 0, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u+v) - f(u-v) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \quad (1.17)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \Delta \alpha = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (1.18)$$

$$u = v = \alpha = 0 \text{ sur } \Gamma, \quad (1.19)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \alpha|_{t=0} = \alpha_0, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \alpha_1, \quad (1.20)$$



où  $\Omega$  est un domaine borné de  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2$ , ou  $3$ ) avec une frontière régulière  $\Gamma$ .

Pareillement, on démontre l'existence et l'unicité de la solution dans  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^3) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ , la dissipativité du semi-groupe. Enfin pour démontrer l'existence d'un attracteur exponentiel, le système est divisé en somme de deux systèmes due à la difficulté engendrée par la troisième équation.

D'une manière plus explicite, les résultats sont :

**Théorème 1.4.12.** *Pour tout  $(u_0, v_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in (H^2(\Omega)^3 \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , (1.16)-(1.20) possède une solution unique  $(u, v, \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t})$  tel que  $(u, v, \alpha) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^3) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ ,  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$  et  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega))$ .*

**Théorème 1.4.13.** *Le semigroupe associé au (1.16)-(1.20) possède un ensemble borné absorbant  $\mathcal{B}_1$  dans  $\Phi' = (H^2(\Omega)^3 \times H_0^1(\Omega))$ .*

**Théorème 1.4.14.** *Le semigroupe  $S(t)$  possède un attracteur exponentiel  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ , i.e.,*

(i)  $\mathcal{M}$  est compact dans  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$  ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  est invariant positivement,  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$  ;

(iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  a une dimension fractale finie dans  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$  ;

(iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  attire exponentiellement les ensembles bornés de  $\Phi'$  :  $\forall B \subset H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  borné,  $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)})e^{-ct}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , où la constante  $c$  est indépendante de  $B$  et  $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}$  indique la semidistance Hausdroff entre les ensembles définis par

$$dist_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}.$$

## **Chapitre 2**

# **Asymptotic Behavior of a Model for Order-Disorder and Phase Separation**

## **Comportement Asymptotique d'un Modèle d'Ordre-Désordre et Séparation de Phase**

Ce chapitre est constitué de l'article **Asymptotic Behavior of a Model for Order-Disorder and Phase Separation**, paru en 2017 dans *Asymptotic Analysis*, No. 103, pages 57-76.



*Asymptotic Analysis 103 (2017) 57-76*  
 DOI 10.3233/ASY-171419  
 IOS Press

## Asymptotic Behavior of a Model for Order-Disorder and Phase Separation

Alain MIRANVILLE\*, Wafa SAOUD\*, and Raafat TALHOUK\*\*

\*Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications  
 UMR CNRS 7348 - SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie - Téléport 2  
 F-86962 Chasseneuil Futuroscope Cedex, France

\*\*Université Libanaise, Laboratoire de Mathématiques - EDST  
 et Faculté des Sciences  
 Hadath, Liban  
 alain.miranville@math.univ-poitiers.fr  
 wafa.saoud@math.univ-poitiers.fr  
 rtalhok@ul.edu.lb

**Abstract :** Our aim in this article is to study the long time behavior, in terms of finite-dimensional attractors, of a coupled Allen–Cahn/Cahn–Hilliard system. In particular, we prove the existence of an exponential attractor and, as a consequence, the existence of the global attractor with finite fractal dimension.

**Keywords :** Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system, global attractor, exponential attractor, fractal dimension.

### 2.1 Introduction

J. Cahn and A. Novick-Cohen introduced in [35] the following phase-field system :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= h^2 \Delta (f(u+v) + f(u-v) - h^2 \Delta u), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -f(u+v) + f(u-v) - \alpha v + h^2 \Delta v,\end{aligned}$$

to model simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation in binary alloys on a BCC lattice in the neighborhood of the triple point. These authors explored two phenomenological approaches leading to systems of coupled Allen–Cahn/Cahn–Hilliard (AC/CH) equations (see [35] for more details).

Another important application of coupled (AC/CH) equations is the following. Under appropriate compositional conditions, ordering can be induced in a previously homogeneous material.

If the composition differs slightly from these conditions, the excess composition can emerge as droplets along the boundaries between the ordered regions. This phenomena can be modeled by a coupled (AC/CH) system with degenerate mobilities. In similar applications, surface diffusion coupled with motion by mean curvature appears quite naturally. There are additional effects which are often neglected and which arguably should be included. However, the coupled motion, by itself, is not overly well understood and it was thus reasonable to isolate it and study it, even given its limitations (see [54]).

Here,  $u$  denotes the concentration of one of the components and is a conserved quantity, while  $v$  is an order parameter. Furthermore,  $h$  is a (positive) parameter which represents the lattice spacing and the parameter  $\alpha$  reflects the location of the system within the phase diagram and may be either positive or negative. We further note that the system is a gradient flow in  $(H^1)' \times L^2$  for the free energy

$$J(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ G(u + v) + G(u - v) + \frac{\alpha}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \right\} dx,$$

where  $f = G'$ .

These equations, endowed with Neumann boundary conditions, have been studied in [30] by A. Novick-Cohen, D. Brochet, and D. Hilhorst who proved the well-posedness and the existence of maximal attractors and inertial sets (i.e., exponential attractors) for the usual cubic nonlinear term  $f(s) = s^3 - \beta s$  in three space dimensions.

Our main aim in this paper is to improve these results. In particular, taking initial conditions in  $H^2$  allows us to prove the existence of exponential attractors (and, thus, of the finite-dimensional global attractor) for a large class of nonlinear terms containing polynomials of arbitrary odd degree with a strictly positive leading coefficient in three space dimensions.

A similar system, with a non-constant mobility, was treated by R. Dal Passo, L. Giacomelli, and A. Novick-Cohen in [52] who proved the existence of weak solutions for the Neumann problem for a degenerate parabolic system consisting of a fourth-order and a second-order equations with singular lower-order terms in one space dimension. In addition, asymptotics for a similar system with a non-constant mobility were developed as a diffuse interface model for simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation in [158]. There, A. Novick-Cohen focused on motion in the plane. This framework yields both sharp interface and diffuse interface models of sintering of small grains and thermal grains boundary grooving in polycrystalline films. This work was extended in [160], where the authors studied the partial wetting case, and their analysis accounts for motion in three dimensions.

We also mention that numerical methods to solve coupled (AC/CH) systems were studied in, e.g., [138, 177, 184, 188, 193]. Furthermore, a NKS method for the implicit solution of a coupled (AC/CH) system was proposed in [194].

In this work, we take  $h = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  and obtain the following system :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u + v) + f(u - v)) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u + v) - f(u - v) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

As far as the nonlinear term is concerned, we make the following assumptions :

$$f \text{ is of class } C^2, f(0) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$f'(s) \geq -c_0, c_0 > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$f(s)s \geq c_1 F(s) - c_2, F(s) \geq -c_3, c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3 \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\xi)d\xi$ .

**Remark 2.1.1.** *In particular, these assumptions are satisfied by polynomials of degree  $2p + 1$  with a strictly positive leading coefficient,  $p \geq 1$ .*

Throughout this work, the same letter  $c$  (and, sometimes,  $c'$  and  $c''$ ) denotes constants which may change from line to line, or even in a same line. Similarly, the same letter  $Q$  denotes monotone increasing (with respect to each argument) functions which may change from line to line, or even in a same line.

## 2.2 A Priori Estimates

In this section, we will establish a number of important inequalities that will be used later in the proof of the existence of the solution and the existence of finite-dimensional attractors.

In what follows, the Poincaré, Hölder and Young inequalities are extensively used, without further referring to them. We rewrite (2.1) in the equivalent form

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u + v) + f(u - v) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

We multiply (2.8) by  $u$ , integrate over  $\Omega$  and have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + ((f(u+v) + f(u-v), u)) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{-1}^2 = \|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\|$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  being the usual  $L^2$ -norm, with associated scalar product  $((\cdot, \cdot))$ .

We then multiply (2.2) by  $v$  and have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + ((f(u+v) - f(u-v), v)) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The sum of (2.9) and (2.10) gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + ((f(u+v), u+v)) + ((f(u-v), u-v)) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

which yields, owing to (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} (F(u+v) + F(u-v)) dx \leq c', \\ c, c' \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We multiply (2.8) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  to obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + ((f(u+v) + f(u-v), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t})) = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

We now multiply (2.2) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and find

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|^2 + ((f(u+v) - f(u-v), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t})) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Then, we sum (2.13) and (2.14) and have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + ((f(u+v), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u+v))) + ((f(u-v), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u-v))) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u+v) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u-v) dx) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Summing (2.12) and (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} (F(u+v) + F(u-v)) dx) + \|\nabla u\|^2 \\ + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (F(u+v) + F(u-v)) dx + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c', c' \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $E_1 = \|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} (F(u+v) + F(u-v)) dx$ .

Then the previous inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E_1 + c E_1 + c' \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq c'', \quad (2.17)$$

whence  $\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \in L^1(0, T)$ .

In particular, we deduce from (2.17) the dissipative estimate

$$E_1(t) \leq c e^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)) dx \right) + c'', c' > 0, t \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Furthermore, for every  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_t^{t+r} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} \right\|^2 \right) d\tau \leq c e^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} (F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)) dx \right) + c''(r), c' > 0, t \geq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

We multiply (2.1) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ . It follows from the continuity of  $f$  and the continuous embedding  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$  that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}). \quad (2.20)$$

We can obtain a similar inequality for  $v$  by multiplying (2.2) by  $(-\Delta) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , yielding

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \nabla v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}). \quad (2.21)$$

Summing then (2.20) and (2.21), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \nabla v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2} + \|v\|_{H^2}). \quad (2.22)$$

In particular, setting

$$y = \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2,$$

we deduce from (2.22) a differential inequality of the form

$$y' \leq Q(y). \quad (2.23)$$

Let  $z$  be the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$z' = Q(z), \text{ with } z(0) = y(0). \quad (2.24)$$



It follows from the comparison principle that there exists  $T_0 = T_0(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)})$  belonging to, say,  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$y(t) \leq z(t), \quad t \in [0, T_0], \quad (2.25)$$

whence

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2}^2 \leq Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2}, \|v_0\|_{H^2}), \quad t \leq T_0. \quad (2.26)$$

Therefore,  $u$  and  $v$  a priori belong to  $L^\infty(0, T_0; H^2(\Omega))$ .

We now need to prove that  $u$  and  $v$  are in  $L^\infty(T_0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ , so that we can obtain the regularity  $u, v \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ . To do so, we differentiate equations (2.2) and (2.8) with respect to time,

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \quad (2.29)$$

We start by multiplying (2.27) by  $t \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (2.28) by  $t \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  to obtain, summing the two resulting equalities, then using (2.6) and an interpolation inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + ct \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + t \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c' t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

We deduce from (2.19), (2.30), and Gronwall's lemma that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{t} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \leq T_0. \quad (2.31)$$

Summing (2.27) times  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (2.28) times  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \\ & \left( (f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) + (f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

which yields, owing to (2.6),

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right). \quad (2.33)$$

Employing the interpolation inequality

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|, \quad c' > 0,$$

and then Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right). \quad (2.34)$$

Using now (2.19) and Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 &\leq e^{ct} Q \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(T_0) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(T_0) \right\|^2 \right), \\ t &\geq T_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$Q = Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}).$$

Hence, owing to (2.31),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 &\leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \\ t &\geq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

We now rewrite (2.8) in the elliptic form

$$-\Delta u + f(u+v) + f(u-v) = h_u(t), \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (2.37)$$

for  $t \geq T_0$  fixed, where

$$h_u(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \quad (2.38)$$

satisfies, owing to (2.35),

$$\|h_u(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (2.39)$$

Furthermore, we rewrite (2.2) in the elliptic form

$$-\Delta v + f(u+v) - f(u-v) = h_v(t), \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (2.40)$$

for  $t \geq T_0$  fixed, where

$$h_v(t) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \quad (2.41)$$

satisfies, owing to (2.36),

$$\|h_v(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (2.42)$$

We multiply (2.37) by  $u$  and (2.40) by  $v$  and we sum the result.

Then, noting that  $f(s)s \geq -c, c \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2) + c'. \quad (2.43)$$

Multiplying now (2.37) by  $-\Delta u$  and (2.40) by  $-\Delta v$ , summing the two resulting equations, and since  $f'(s) \geq -c_0$ , we have

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (2.44)$$

We thus deduce from (2.39) and (2.42)-(2.44) that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (2.45)$$

Combining (2.26) and (2.45), we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.46)$$

We deduce that  $u$  and  $v$  are now in  $L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ ,  $T > 0$ .

We now wish to find a dissipative estimate that is stronger than (2.18) :

We multiply (2.8) by  $-\Delta u$  and (2.2) by  $-\Delta v$  and sum the two resulting equations to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \\ & ((f'(u+v)\nabla(u+v), \nabla(u+v))) + ((f'(u-v)\nabla(u-v), \nabla(u-v))) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

which gives, owing to (2.6),

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 \leq c(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \quad (2.48)$$

Furthermore,

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c\|u\| \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u\|^2 + c\|u\|^2, \quad c > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c(\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2) \leq c'(\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \quad (2.49)$$

Using now Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 \leq e^{c't} (\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2). \quad (2.50)$$

It follows from (2.18) and (2.49) that

$$\int_0^1 (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) dt \leq c(\|u_0\|^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_\Omega [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx) + c'. \quad (2.51)$$

### 2.3. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

Hence, there exists  $T \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\|u(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \left( \|u_0\|^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c'. \quad (2.52)$$

Repeating the estimates leading to (2.46), but starting from  $t = T$  instead of  $t = 0$ , we have the smoothing property

$$\|u(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq Q \left( \|u_0\|^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c'. \quad (2.53)$$

Since our equations are autonomous, we can make a translation in time and, repeating the estimates leading to (2.53), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq Q \left( \|u(t-1)\|^2 + \|v(t-1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u(t-1) + v(t-1)) + F(u(t-1) - v(t-1))] dx \right) + c', \quad t \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

which yields, owing to (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq e^{-ct} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} (F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)) dx \right) + c', \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

Combining the above estimate with (2.46) from 0 to 1, we obtain the dissipative estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} Q (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) + c', \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.56)$$

## 2.3 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

**Theorem 2.3.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  be given and assume that  $(u_0, v_0) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ . Then, (2.1)-(2.2) possesses a unique solution  $(u, v)$  such that  $(u, v) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)$  and  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ .*

*Proof.*

a) Existence

The proof of existence (as well as the above (and the subsequent) a priori estimates) are based, e.g., on a classical Galerkin scheme.

Let  $A$  denote the minus Laplace operator associated with Dirichlet boundary conditions. This operator is a bounded, selfadjoint and strictly positive operator with compact inverse from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  onto  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . There is a set of eigenvectors  $\{\varphi_i, i \geq 1\}$  for this operator that is regular

as much as needed, associated with the eigenfunctions  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  such that it is orthonormal relative to the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and orthogonal relative to the one in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Setting  $V_m = \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ , we consider the following approximating problem, written in functional form :

$$\frac{du_m}{dt} + A^2 u_m + A(f(u_m + v_m) + f(u_m - v_m)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{dv_m}{dt} + A v_m + f(u_m + v_m) - f(u_m - v_m) = 0,$$

together with suitable initial conditions, namely,

$$u_m(0) = P_m u_0, v_m(0) = P_m v_0,$$

where  $P_m$  is the orthogonal projector from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto  $V_m$  (for the  $L^2$ -metric).

This is equivalent to the following :

$$\frac{d}{dt}((u_m, \phi)) - ((\Delta u_m, \Delta \phi)) + ((\nabla f(u_m + v_m), \nabla \phi)) - ((\nabla f(u_m - v_m), \nabla \phi)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}((v_m, \omega)) + ((\nabla v_m, \nabla \omega)) + ((f(u_m + v_m), \omega)) - ((f(u_m - v_m), \omega)) = 0,$$

$\forall \phi, \omega \in V_m$ , together with the above initial conditions. The proof of existence of a local (in time) solution to the approximating problem is standard (indeed, one has to solve a continuous system of ODEs).

Furthermore, we can write the equivalent of the previous estimates (with  $u$  and  $v$  replaced by  $u_m$  and  $v_m$ , respectively ; this is now fully justified and no longer formal). Then (2.16) yields that this solution is actually global. Finally, the passage to the limit is based on classical (Aubin-Lions type) compactness results. Indeed, we have, in particular,  $u_m$  bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{du_m}{dt}$  bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ , independently of  $m$ , which yields that (at least for a subsequence which we do not relabel)  $u_m$  converges strongly to, say,  $u$  in  $C([0, T]; H^{2-\delta}(\Omega))$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ .

In addition,  $v_m$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{dv_m}{dt}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ , independently of  $m$ , which also yields the strong convergence of  $v_m$  to, say,  $v$  in  $C([0, T]; H^{1-\delta}(\Omega))$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ . We also note that it follows from (2.45) that  $(u, v) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)$ , from (2.31) and (2.36) that  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega))$  and from (2.34) that  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ , whence  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ . Besides, our solution is weakly continuous with respect to time, i.e., it belongs to  $C_w([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)^2)$ .

#### b) Uniqueness

Let  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  be two solutions with initial data  $(u_{0,1}, v_{0,1})$  and  $(u_{0,2}, v_{0,2})$ , respectively.

### 2.3. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

We set  $(u, v) = (u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2)$  and  $(u_0, v_0) = (u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}, v_{0,1} - v_{0,2})$  and have

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) + f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (2.57)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1) + f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (2.58)$$

$$u = v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (2.59)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0. \quad (2.60)$$

We multiply (2.57) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (2.58) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and obtain, summing the two resulting equalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + ((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v)) \\ + ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right) \\ &= \left| \left( (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right) \right| \\ &\leq c \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\|_{-1} \|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\|, \quad c > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v) \right) \\ &\leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v) \right\|_{-1} \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\|, \quad c' > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \\ \leq c \|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\|^2 + c \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

We can see that, owing to (2.56),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\| \\
 &= \|\nabla\left(\int_0^1 f'(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1))ds(u - v)\right)\| \\
 &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 f'(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1))ds \nabla(u - v) \right\| \\
 &\quad + \|(u - v) \int_0^1 f''(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1))(\nabla(u_1 + v_1) \\
 &\quad + s\nabla(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1))ds\| \\
 &\leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) (\|\nabla(u - v)\| \\
 &\quad + \| |u - v| |\nabla(u_1 + v_1)| \| + \| |u - v| |\nabla(u_2 + v_2)| \|) \\
 &\leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) (\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\| \\
 &\leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) (\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

We deduce from (2.61)-(2.66) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\
 &\leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 \leq e^{Qt} (\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2), \tag{2.67}$$

whence the uniqueness (taking  $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ ), as well as the continuous dependence with respect to the initial data.  $\square$

## 2.4 Existence of Finite-Dimensional Attractors

**Theorem 2.4.1.** *The semigroup associated with (2.1)-(2.2) is dissipative in  $H^2(\Omega)^2$ , i.e., it possesses a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_0$  in  $H^2(\Omega)^2$ .*

*Proof.*

We can define the semigroup

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(t) : H^2(\Omega)^2 &\longrightarrow H^2(\Omega)^2 \\
 (u_0, v_0) &\longrightarrow (u(t), v(t)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(u, v)$  is the unique solution to our system. The result then follows from (2.56).  $\square$

## 2.4. Existence of Finite-Dimensional Attractors

**Remark 2.4.1.** *It is easy to see that we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is positively invariant by  $S(t)$ , i.e.,  $S(t)\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.2.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses an exponential attractor  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact in  $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  is positively invariant,  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  has a finite fractal dimension in  $H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  attracts exponentially fast the bounded subsets of  $H^2(\Omega)^2$  :  
 $\forall B \subset H^2(\Omega)^2$  bounded,  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_{H^2(\Omega)^2})e^{-ct}$ ,  
 $c > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $B$  and  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}$  denotes the Hausdorff semidistance between sets defined by

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)}.$$

*Proof.*

Let  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  be two solutions to our system with initial data  $(u_{0,1}, v_{0,1})$  and  $(u_{0,2}, v_{0,2})$ , respectively. We note that it is sufficient here to take the initial data in the bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_0$  constructed in the previous section.

We set  $(u, v) = (u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)$  and  $(u_0, v_0) = (u_{0,1}, v_{0,1}) - (u_{0,2}, v_{0,2})$  and have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) + f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2)) = 0, \quad (2.68)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1) + f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (2.69)$$

$$u = v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (2.70)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0. \quad (2.71)$$

We recall the inequality (2.67),

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 \leq e^{Qt}(\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2). \quad (2.72)$$

We then multiply (2.68) by  $(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (2.69) by  $v$  and have, summing the two resulting equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c\|\nabla v\|^2 &\leq c\|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\|^2 \\ &+ c\|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.73)$$

We recall inequality (2.65),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\| \\ &\leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)})(\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$



The same can be done to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\| \\ & \leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)})(\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.75)$$

We deduce from (2.73)-(2.75) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c \|\nabla v\|^2 \\ & \leq Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)})(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.76)$$

It follows from (2.76) that

$$\int_0^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \right) dx \leq ce^{c't}(\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.77)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

We then differentiate (2.68) with respect to time and have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + f'(u_1 + v_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \\ & + (f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) + f'(u_1 - v_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v) \\ & + (f'(u_1 - v_1) - f'(u_2 - v_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.78)$$

We multiply (2.78) by  $(t - T_0) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , where  $T_0$  is the same as mentioned before, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} (|f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right|) dx \\ & + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} (|f'(u_1 - v_1) - f'(u_2 - v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right|) dx + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right| dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} |u + v| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right| dx \\ & \leq c \|\nabla(u + v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_1 - v_1) - f'(u_2 - v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right| dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} |u - v| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right| dx \\ & \leq c \|\nabla(u - v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

## 2.4. Existence of Finite-Dimensional Attractors

we obtain, owing to a proper interpolation inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 &\leq c(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \\
+ c'(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 + v_2) \right\|^2 &\|\nabla(u + v)\|^2 \\
+ c'(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 - v_2) \right\|^2 &\|\nabla(u - v)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.79}$$

It follows from (2.19), (2.34), and (2.36) (for  $(u, v) = (u_1, v_1)$  and then  $(u, v) = (u_2, v_2)$ ) that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) d\tau \leq ce^{c't}, \quad t \geq T_0, \tag{2.80}$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Applying Gronwall's lemma on (2.79) over  $(T_0, t)$  (note that  $T_0 < 1$ ) and owing to (2.72), (2.77), and (2.80) we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 \leq ce^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \tag{2.81}$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

We now rewrite (2.68) in the form

$$-\Delta u = \tilde{h}_u(t), \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \tag{2.82}$$

for  $t \geq 1$  fixed, where

$$\tilde{h}_u(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \left( f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) \right) - \left( f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2) \right), \tag{2.83}$$

satisfies, owing to (2.72) and (2.81)

$$\|\tilde{h}_u(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \tag{2.84}$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Multiplying then (2.82) by  $-\Delta u$ , we have

$$\|\Delta u(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_u(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1,$$

whence

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.85)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Next, we differentiate (2.69) with respect to time and have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + f'(u_1 + v_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u + v) + (f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 + v_2) \\ + f'(u_1 - v_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v - u) + (f'(u_2 - v_2) - f'(u_1 - v_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 - v_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.86)$$

We multiply (2.86) by  $(t - T_0) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , where  $T_0$  is the same as above, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \\ + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 + v_1)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u + v) \right| \right) dx \\ + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 + v_2) \right| \right) dx \\ + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_2 - v_2) - f'(u_1 + v_1)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 - v_2) \right| \right) dx \\ + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 - v_1)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - v) \right| \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The terms

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 + v_2) \right| \right) dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_2 - v_2) - f'(u_1 + v_1)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_2 - v_2) \right| \right) dx$$

can be estimated as above.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} (|f'(u_1 + v_1)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right|) dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (|f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(0) + f'(0)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right|) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (|f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(0)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right|) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|f'(0)| \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right|) dx \\
 &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|v_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \right) dx + c \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \right) dx \\
 &\leq c(\|u_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|v_1\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\| \right) + c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\| \right) \\
 &\leq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 &\leq c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\
 &+ c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\|^2 \|\nabla(u + v)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\
 &+ c(t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\|^2 \|\nabla(u - v)\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.87}$$

We now apply Gronwall's lemma to (2.87) and find, owing to (2.72), (2.74), and (2.80),

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 \leq c e^{c't} (\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2), \quad t \geq T_0, \tag{2.88}$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

In the same way, we rewrite (2.69) in the form

$$-\Delta v = \tilde{h}_v(t), \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \tag{2.89}$$

for  $t \geq 1$  fixed, where

$$\tilde{h}_v(t) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - (f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)) - (f(u_2 - v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1)), \tag{2.90}$$

satisfies, owing to (2.72) and (2.88),

$$\|\tilde{h}_v(t)\|^2 \leq c e^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \tag{2.91}$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Multiplying (2.89) by  $-\Delta v$ , we have

$$\|\Delta v(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_v(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1,$$

whence

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.92)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

We deduce from (2.85) and (2.92) that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (2.93)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Finally, we derive a Hölder (both with respect to space and time) estimate. Actually, owing to (2.72), it suffices to prove the Hölder continuity with respect to time.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c\|\nabla(u(t_1) - u(t_2))\| + c\|\nabla(v(t_1) - v(t_2))\| \\ & \leq c\left(\left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d\tau\right\| + \left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} d\tau\right\|\right) \\ & \leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2\right) d\tau \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.94)$$

We note that it follows from (2.19), (2.34), and (2.36) that

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2\right) d\tau \right| \leq c, \quad (2.95)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $T \geq T_0$  such that  $t_1, t_2 \in [T_0, T]$ . Actually, replacing  $\mathcal{B}_0$  by  $S(1)\mathcal{B}_0$ , we can assume that  $T_0 = 0$ .

Finally, we have

$$\|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.96)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $T$  is a positive number such that  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$  and the result follows from (2.72), (2.93), and (2.96) (see [59, 60]).  $\square$

Moreover, we can deduce from Theorem 2.4.2 and standard results the

**Corollary 1.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses the finite-dimensional global attractor  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ .*

**Remark 2.4.2.** *We recall that the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  is the smallest (for the inclusion) compact set of the phase space which is invariant by the flow (i.e.,  $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ) and attracts all bounded sets of initial data as time goes to infinity; it thus appears as a suitable object in view of the study of the asymptotic behavior of the system. Furthermore, the finite dimensionality means, roughly speaking, that, even though the initial phase space is infinite dimensional, the reduced dynamics is, in some proper sense, finite dimensional and can be described by a finite number of parameters. We refer the reader to [7, 151, 182] for more details and discussions on this.*

## 2.4. Existence of Finite-Dimensional Attractors

**Remark 2.4.3.** *Compared to the global attractor, an exponential attractor is expected to be more robust under perturbations. Indeed, the rate of attraction of trajectories to the global attractor may be slow and it is very difficult, if not impossible, to estimate this rate of attraction with respect to the physical parameters of the problem in general. As a consequence, global attractors may change drastically under small perturbations. We refer the reader to [59, 151] for discussions on this subject.*



## Chapitre 3

# On the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn Equations with Singular Potentials

## Sur les Équations Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn avec des Potentiels Singuliers

Ce chapitre est constitué de l'article **On the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn Equations with Singular Potentials**, paru en 2018 dans *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B*, doi : 10.3934/dcdsb.2018308.





# On the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn Equations with Singular Potentials

Alain MIRANVILLE<sup>1,2</sup>, Wafa SAOUD<sup>1</sup>, and Raafat TALHOUK<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications  
UMR CNRS 7348, SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie - Téléport 2  
F-86962 Chasseneuil Futuroscope Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup>Xiamen University, School of Mathematical Sciences  
Xiamen, Fujian, China

<sup>3</sup>Université Libanaise, Laboratoire de Mathématiques - EDST  
Faculté des Sciences

Hadath, Liban

alain.miranville@math.univ-poitiers.fr

wafa-saoud@hotmail.com

rtalhouk@ul.edu.lb

**Abstract :** The purpose of this work is to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution for a Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system with singular potentials (and, in particular, the thermodynamically relevant logarithmic potentials). We also prove the existence of the global attractor. Finally, we show further regularity results and we prove a strict separation property (from the pure states) in one space dimension.

**Keywords :** Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard equations, logarithmic potential, global attractor, strict separation property.

## 3.1 Introduction

The following Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn model was introduced by J. Cahn and A. Novick-Cohen in [35] :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = h^2 \Delta (f(u+v) + f(u-v) - h^2 \Delta u),$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -f(u+v) + f(u-v) - \alpha v + h^2 \Delta v,$$

to model simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation in binary alloys on a BCC lattice in the neighborhood of the triple point. These authors explored two phenomenological approaches leading to systems of coupled Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard (AC/CH) equations (see [35] for more details).

Here,  $u$  denotes the concentration of one of the components and is a conserved quantity, while  $v$  is an order parameter. Furthermore,  $h$  is a (positive) parameter which represents the lattice spacing, the parameter  $\alpha$  reflects the location of the system within the phase diagram and may be

either positive or negative, and the nonlinear term  $f$  is the derivative of a double-well potential  $F$ . We further note that the system is a gradient flow in  $(H^1)' \times L^2$  for the free energy

$$J(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ F(u + v) + F(u - v) + \frac{\alpha}{2} v^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \right\} dx, \text{ where } f = F'.$$

These equations, endowed with Neumann boundary conditions, have been studied in [30] by A. Novick-Cohen, D. Brochet, and D. Hilhorst who proved the well-posedness and the existence of maximal attractors and inertial sets (i.e., exponential attractors) for the usual cubic nonlinear term  $f(s) = s^3 - \beta s$  in three space dimensions. These results were improved in [148], taking initial conditions in  $H^2(\Omega)$ , which allowed to prove the existence of exponential attractors (and, thus, of the finite-dimensional global attractor) for a large class of nonlinear terms containing polynomials of arbitrary odd degree with a strictly positive leading coefficient in three space dimensions.

A similar system, with a non-constant mobility, was treated in [52] where the existence of weak solutions for the Neumann problem for a degenerate parabolic system consisting of a fourth-order and a second-order equations with singular lower-order terms in one space dimension was proved. In addition, asymptotics for a similar system with a non-constant mobility, proposed as a diffuse interface model for simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation, was studied in [158]. There, A. Novick-Cohen focused on motion in the plane. This framework yields both sharp interface and diffuse interface models of sintering of small grains and thermal grains boundary grooving in polycrystalline films. This work was extended in [160], where the authors studied the partial wetting case, and their analysis accounts for motion in three space dimensions.

We also mention that numerical methods to solve coupled (AC/CH) systems were studied in, e.g. [35, 138, 177, 184, 188, 193]. Furthermore, a NKS method for the implicit solution of a coupled (AC/CH) system was proposed in [194].

In this work, we take  $h = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  and obtain the following system :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u + v) + f(u - v)) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u + v) - f(u - v) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (3.3)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2$ , or  $3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

In the Cahn-Hilliard theory, a thermodynamically relevant potential  $F$  is the following logarithmic function which follows from a mean-field model (see [150, 151]) :

$$F(s) = \frac{\theta_c}{2} (1 - s^2) + \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ (1 - s) \ln \left( \frac{1 - s}{2} \right) + (1 + s) \ln \left( \frac{1 + s}{2} \right) \right], \quad s \in (-1, 1), \quad 0 < \theta < \theta_c. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore,

$$f(s) = (F'(s)) = -\theta_c s + \frac{\theta}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 + s}{1 - s} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

The logarithmic potential  $F$  is very often approximated by regular ones, typically,

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{4} (s^2 - 1)^2, \quad (3.7)$$

leading to the following cubic nonlinear term

$$f(s) = s^3 - s. \quad (3.8)$$

Note, however, that such an approximation is reasonable when the quench is shallow, i.e. when the absolute temperature  $\theta$  is close to the critical one  $\theta_c$ . Also note that the nonlinear term  $f$  (3.6) leads to essential difficulties, due to the fact that we need to prove that the order parameter remains in the physically relevant interval  $(-1, 1)$  (see, e.g. [42, 150, 152]).

In this article, we first prove the existence of weak solutions to the (AC/CH) equations with singular nonlinear terms. To do so, we approximate the singular nonlinear terms by regular ones and prove the convergence of the solutions to the approximated problems to that to the limit singular one. Then, we prove the uniqueness of the solution, which allows us to define the corresponding semigroup and prove the existence of the global attractor. We finally prove some higher-order regularity results which lead to a strict separation property in one space dimension.

## 3.2 Setting of the Problem

As far as the nonlinear term  $f$  is concerned, we assume more generally that

$$f \in C^1(-1, 1), \quad f(0) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f(s) = \pm\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} f'(s) = +\infty. \quad (3.10)$$

In particular, it follows from these assumptions that

$$f' \geq -c_0, \quad c_0 \geq 0, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$-c_1 \leq F(s) \leq f(s)s + c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \geq 0, \quad s \in (-1, 1), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(r)dr$  (in particular, in order to obtain the right-hand side of (3.12), we can study the variations of the function  $s \mapsto f(s)s - F(s) + \frac{c_0}{2}s^2$ , whose derivative has, owing to (3.11), the sign of  $s$ ).

**Remark 3.2.1.** *In particular, the thermodynamically relevant logarithmic functions (3.6) satisfy the above assumptions.*

Next, we define, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the approximated function  $f_N \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$f_N(s) = \begin{cases} f(-1 + \frac{1}{N}) + f'(-1 + \frac{1}{N})(s + 1 - \frac{1}{N}), & s < -1 + \frac{1}{N}, \\ f(s), & |s| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \\ f(1 - \frac{1}{N}) + f'(1 - \frac{1}{N})(s - 1 + \frac{1}{N}), & s > 1 - \frac{1}{N}. \end{cases}$$

We thus have

$$f'_N \geq -c_0 \quad (3.13)$$

and, setting  $F_N(s) = \int_0^s f_N(r)dr$ ,

$$-c_3 \leq F_N(s) \leq c_4 f_N(s)s + c_5, \quad c_4 > 0, \quad c_3, c_5 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$f_N(s)s \geq c_6 |f_N(s)| - c_7, \quad c_6 > 0, \quad c_7 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.15)$$

where the constants  $c_i$ ,  $i = 3, \dots, 7$ , are independent of  $N$  (see [152]).

Then, we introduce the approximated problem :

$$\frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u_N - \Delta(f_N(u_N + v_N) + f_N(u_N - v_N)) = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} - \Delta v_N + f_N(u_N + v_N) - f_N(u_N - v_N) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$u_N = \Delta u_N = v_N = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (3.18)$$

$$u_{N|t=0} = u_0, \quad v_{N|t=0} = v_0. \quad (3.19)$$

### 3.3 A Priori Estimates

Our aim in this section is to derive a priori estimates for the solutions  $u_N$  and  $v_N$  to (3.16)-(3.19). These a priori estimates are independent of  $N$  and are formal, i.e. we assume that  $u_N$  and  $v_N$  are as smooth as needed. In particular, we will derive a priori estimates which are independent of  $N$  on  $f_N(u_N + v_N)$  and  $f_N(u_N - v_N)$  in  $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ ,  $T > 0$ . These a priori estimates allow us to obtain the existence of a solution to (3.16)-(3.19) by implementation of a Galerkin approximation. This will also allow us to pass to the limit  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  in the approximated system (3.16)-(3.19).

We start by assuming that :

$$\|u_0 + v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta', \quad \delta, \delta' \in (0, 1), \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  are fixed positive constants.

We now write equation (3.16) in the equivalent form

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} - \Delta u_N + f_N(u_N + v_N) + f_N(u_N - v_N) = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

We multiply (3.22) by  $u_N$ , (3.17) by  $v_N$  and sum the resulting equalities to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_N\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N\|^2) + \|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2 \\ + ((f_N(u_N + v_N), u_N + v_N)) + ((f_N(u_N - v_N), u_N - v_N)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where  $((\cdot, \cdot))$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  are the usual  $L^2$ -scalar product and associated norm. Furthermore,  $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$  is the  $H^{-1}$  norm. More generally,  $\|\cdot\|_X$  denotes the norm in the Banach space  $X$ .

Using (3.15), the previous equation gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_N\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N\|^2) + \|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2 + \|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq c'. \quad (3.24)$$

Then, we multiply (3.22) by  $\frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t}$  and (3.17) by  $\frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t}$ . We sum the resulting equalities and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ + ((f_N(u_N + v_N), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_N + v_N))) + ((f_N(u_N - v_N), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_N - v_N))) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F_N(u_N + v_N) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} F_N(u_N - v_N) dx \right) \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Owing to (3.14), equation (3.23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_N\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N\|^2) + \|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2 \\ + c \int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_N + v_N) + F_N(u_N - v_N) \right) dx \leq c', \quad c > 0, c' \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

The sum of (3.24), (3.25), and (3.26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_N + c \left( E_N + \|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c', \quad c > 0, c' \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $E_N = \|u_N\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N\|^2 + \|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_N + v_N) + F_N(u_N - v_N) \right) dx$ .

We note that (3.27) and Gronwall's lemma imply the dissipative estimate

$$E_N(t) \leq e^{-ct} E_N(0) + c', \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Integrating now (3.27) with respect to time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)} &\leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 \right) \\ &+ c \int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_0 + v_0) + F_N(u_0 - v_0) \right) dx + c''. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Furthermore, for every  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+r} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) d\tau &\leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 \right) \\ &+ c \int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_0 + v_0) + F_N(u_0 - v_0) \right) dx + c''(r), \quad c' > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Also note that  $\int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_0 + v_0) + F_N(u_0 - v_0) \right) dx \leq c$ , since  $\|u_0 + v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1 - \delta$  and  $\|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1 - \delta'$ .

Therefore, (3.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)} \\ \leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + c'' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

We have thus found an estimate on the  $L^1$  norm of  $f_N(u_N + v_N)$  and  $f_N(u_N - v_N)$ .

We now need to derive an estimate of its  $L^2$  norm. Intergrating (3.27) over  $(0, t)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dt \leq c' e^{-ct} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + c'' \right). \quad (3.32)$$

We next multiply (3.22) by  $-\Delta u_N$ , (3.17) by  $-\Delta v_N$ , and sum the resulting equations to find :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2) + \|\Delta u_N\|^2 + \|\Delta v_N\|^2 + ((f'(u_N + v_N) \nabla(u_N + v_N), \nabla(u_N + v_N))) \\ + ((f'(u_N - v_N) \nabla(u_N - v_N), \nabla(u_N - v_N))) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

which gives, owing to (3.13),

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2) + \|\Delta u_N\|^2 + \|\Delta v_N\|^2 \leq c (\|\nabla u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2). \quad (3.34)$$

Furthermore,

$$\|u_N\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \|u_N\| \|u_N\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_N\|^2 + c \|u_N\|^2, \quad c > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2) + c(\|\Delta u_N\|^2 + \|\Delta v_N\|^2) \leq c'(\|u_N\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N\|^2). \quad (3.35)$$

Using now Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\|u_N(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N(t)\|^2 \leq e^{c't}(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2). \quad (3.36)$$

It follows from (3.35) that

$$\int_0^t (\|\Delta u_N\|^2 + \|\Delta v_N\|^2) dt \leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2). \quad (3.37)$$

Hence,

$$\|u_N\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}^2 + \|v_N\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2). \quad (3.38)$$

We now rewrite (3.22) and (3.17) as

$$f_N(u_N + v_N) + f_N(u_N - v_N) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} + \Delta u_N, \quad (3.39)$$

$$f_N(u_N + v_N) - f_N(u_N - v_N) = -\frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} + \Delta v_N. \quad (3.40)$$

We sum (3.39) and (3.40), then subtract them, to have

$$2f_N(u_N + v_N) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} + \Delta u_N - \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} + \Delta v_N,$$

$$2f_N(u_N - v_N) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} + \Delta u_N + \frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} - \Delta v_N.$$

Therefore, using (3.30) and (3.38), we obtain

$$\|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c'e^{-ct} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + c'' \right). \quad (3.41)$$

Integrating (3.41) with respect to time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_N(u_N + v_N)\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)} + \|f_N(u_N - v_N)\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)} \\ & \leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + c'' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

We can then write (3.28) as

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_N(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_N(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N(t)\|^2 & \leq e^{-ct} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \right. \\ & \left. \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_0 + v_0) + F_N(u_0 - v_0) \right) dx \right) + c'. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $\int_{\Omega} \left( F_N(u_0 + v_0) + F_N(u_0 - v_0) \right) dx \leq c$ , because, noting that if  $N$  is large enough,  $F_N(s_0) = F(s_0)$ .

Then, owing to (3.20) and (3.21), the previous relation becomes

$$\|u_N(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_N(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_N(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v_N(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{-c't}(\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + c'') + c'''. \quad (3.43)$$



### 3.4 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

**Theorem 3.4.1.** *We assume that  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are given such that  $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\|u_0 + v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1$ , and  $\|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1$ . Then, (3.1)-(3.4) possesses a unique (weak) solution  $(u, v)$  such that,  $\forall T > 0$ ,*

$$(u, v) \in C_w([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega)^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right) \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)),$$

where the subscript  $w$  stands for the weak topology, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}((u, q))_{-1} + ((\nabla u, \nabla q)) + ((f(u + v) + f(u - v), q)) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}((v, q)) + ((\nabla v, \nabla q)) + ((f(u + v) - f(u - v), q)) = 0,$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\forall q \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.*

a) Existence

We consider the solution  $(u_N, v_N)$  to the approximated problem (3.16)-(3.19) (as already mentioned in the previous section, the proof of existence of such a solution having the above regularity can be obtained by a standard Galerkin scheme).

Furthermore, since the estimates derived in the previous section are independent of  $N$ , this solution converges, up to a subsequence which we do not relabel, to a limit function  $(u, v)$  in the following sense :

$$u_N \rightharpoonup u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \text{ weakly owing to (3.38),}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) \text{ weakly owing to (3.32),}$$

$$v_N \rightharpoonup v \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \text{ weakly owing to (3.38),}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_N}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ weakly owing to (3.32).}$$

Then we use classical Aubin-Lions compactness results for the strong convergence.

The only difficulty, when passing to the limit, is to pass to the limit in the nonlinear terms containing  $f_N$ . First, it follows from (3.31) that  $f_N(u_N + v_N)$  and  $f_N(u_N - v_N)$  are bounded, independently of  $N$ , in  $L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$ . Then, it follows from the explicit expression of  $f_N$  that

$$\text{meas}(F_{N,M}) \leq \mu\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad N \leq M,$$

### 3.4. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

where

$$F_{N,M} = \{(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega, |u_M(t, x) + v_M(t, x)| > 1 - \frac{1}{N}\}$$

and

$$\mu(s) = \frac{c}{\min(|f(1-s)|, |f(s-1)|)}.$$

Here, the constant  $c$  is independent of  $N$  and  $M$ . Note that there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |f_M(u_M + v_M)| dx dt &\geq \int_{F_{N,M}} |f_M(u_M + v_M)| dx dt \\ &\geq c' \text{meas}(F_{N,M}) \frac{1}{\mu(\frac{1}{N})}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

where the constant  $c'$  is independent of  $N$  and  $M$ .

We can pass to the limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$  (employing Fatou's lemma on (3.44)) and then  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (noting that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mu(s) = 0$ ) to find

$$\text{meas}\{(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega, |u(t, x) + v(t, x)| \geq 1\} = 0,$$

so that

$$-1 < u(t, x) + v(t, x) < 1 \text{ a.e. } (t, x). \quad (3.45)$$

In the same way, we can prove that

$$-1 < u(t, x) - v(t, x) < 1 \text{ a.e. } (t, x). \quad (3.46)$$

Next, it follows from the above almost everywhere convergence of  $u_N$  and  $v_N$ , from (3.45), (3.46), and the explicit expression of  $f_N$  that

$$f_N(u_N + v_N) \rightarrow f(u + v) \text{ a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega \quad (3.47)$$

and

$$f_N(u_N - v_N) \rightarrow f(u - v) \text{ a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega. \quad (3.48)$$

Finally, since, owing to (3.42),  $f_N(u_N + v_N)$  and  $f_N(u_N - v_N)$  are bounded, independently of  $N$ , in  $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$ , it follows from (3.47) and (3.48) that  $f_N(u_N + v_N) \rightarrow f(u + v)$  and  $f_N(u_N - v_N) \rightarrow f(u - v)$  in  $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$  weakly, which finishes the proof of the passage to the limit (the weak continuity property follows from Strauss's lemma, see, e.g. [181]).

We also note that it follows from (3.43) that  $(u, v) \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ .

b) Uniqueness

Let  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  be two solutions to (3.1)-(3.4) with initial data  $(u_{0,1}, v_{0,1})$  and  $(u_{0,2}, v_{0,2})$ , respectively. We set  $(u, v) = (u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2)$  and  $(u_0, v_0) = (u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}, v_{0,1} - v_{0,2})$  and have

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) + f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (3.49)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1) + f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (3.50)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (3.51)$$

$$u_{|t=0} = u_0, \quad v_{|t=0} = v_0. \quad (3.52)$$

We multiply (3.49) by  $u$ , (3.50) by  $v$ , and sum to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + ((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2), u + v)) \\ + ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2), u - v)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Let  $p = u_1 + v_1$ ,  $q = u_2 + v_2$ ,  $h = u_1 - v_1$ , and  $l = u_2 - v_2$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2), u + v)) &= ((f(p) - f(q), p - q)) \\ &= ((f'(\xi)(p - q), p - q)) \\ &\geq -c_0 \|p - q\|^2 \\ &\geq -c_0 \|u + v\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2), u - v)) &= ((f(h) - f(l), h - l)) \\ &\geq -c_0 \|u - v\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Hence, using the previous inequalities, equation (3.53) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \\ = -((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2), u + v)) \\ - ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2), u - v)) \\ \leq c_0 \|u + v\|^2 + c_0 \|u - v\|^2 \\ \leq c (\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

Employing the interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|^2 \leq c \|u\|_{-1} \|\nabla u\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|u\|_{-1}^2,$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq c' (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2). \quad (3.57)$$

It finally follows from Gronwall's lemma that

$$(\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) \leq e^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2), \quad (3.58)$$

hence the uniqueness (taking  $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ ), as well as the continuous dependence with respect to the initial data in  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

### 3.5 Existence of the Global Attractor

It follows from Theorem 3.4.1 that we can define the continuous family of operators

$$S(t) : \Phi_1 \longrightarrow \Phi$$

$$(u_0, v_0) \longmapsto (u(t), v(t)),$$

where

$$\Phi = \{(u, v) \in H^1(\Omega)^2; |u + v| < 1 \text{ and } |u - v| < 1 \text{ a.e.}\}$$

and

$$\Phi_1 = \Phi \cap \{(u, v) \in L^\infty(\Omega)^2; \|u + v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1 \text{ and } \|u - v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < 1\}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.1.** *The family of operators associated with (3.1)-(3.2) is dissipative in  $H^1(\Omega)^2$  in the sense that it possesses a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset H^1(\Omega)^2$ , i.e.  $\forall B \subset \Phi_1$  bounded,  $\exists t_0 = t_0(B)$  such that  $t \geq t_0$  implies  $S(t)B \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ .*

The dissipativity of  $S(t)$  and the existence of a bounded absorbing set in  $H^1(\Omega)^2$  immediately follow from (3.43).

We now assume that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} F(s) = c, \tag{3.59}$$

where  $c$  is a constant (note that this holds for the thermodynamically relevant logarithmic potentials). Then,  $S(t)$  as defined above is a semigroup now (i.e.  $S(0) = I$  (identity operator) and  $S(t + \tau) = S(t) \circ S(\tau)$ ,  $t, \tau \geq 0$ ).

As a consequence of Theorem 3.5.1 and of (3.59), it follows from standard results (see, e.g. [7, 140, 151, 182]) that we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.2.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses the global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\Phi$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  is compact in  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ , bounded in  $\Phi$ , invariant and attracts the images of all bounded subsets of  $\Phi$  with respect to the topology of  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ ).*

**Remark 3.5.1.** *In order to prove that one has the global attractor and, in particular, the attraction property in the natural topology of the phase space  $\Phi$ , one would need additional regularity on the solutions or the strict separation property from the singular values  $\pm 1$  which we are not able to prove, except in one space dimension (see Section 3.6 below). We can also note that it follows from (3.58) that we can extend, in a unique way and by continuity, the semigroup  $S(t)$  to the closure of  $\Phi$  in the  $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ -topology, namely to*

$$\overline{\Phi} = \{(u, v) \in L^\infty(\Omega)^2; \|u + v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 \text{ and } \|u - v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1\}.$$

The corresponding semigroup again possesses the global attractor which is precisely  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### 3.6 Further Regularity Results

In what follows, we set  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ . We also denote by  $V'$  its dual space and by  $\|\cdot\|_{V'}$  its norm.

We can decompose the singular potential  $F$  as

$$F(x) = S(x) + \frac{\theta_c}{2}(1 - x^2),$$

with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} S'(x) = -\infty, \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} S'(x) = +\infty, S''(x) \geq \theta > 0, \forall x \in (-1, 1), \quad (3.60)$$

and we let

$$\theta_c - \theta = \alpha > 0.$$

We also require that  $S$  satisfies

$$|S''(x)| \leq e^{c|S'(x)|+c}, \forall x \in (-1, 1), \quad (3.61)$$

for some positive constant  $c$ , and  $S$  is convex.

We mention below a Trudinger-Moser type inequality (see, e.g., [154]) which is needed later on.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{|u|} dx \leq c e^{c\|u\|_V^2}, \forall u \in V. \quad (3.62)$$

Let us now define the free energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}(u + v) = \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u + v) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u - v) dx.$$

**Theorem 3.6.1.** *Let  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  be in  $V$  such that  $F(u_0 + v_0)$  and  $F(u_0 - v_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists a unique solution  $(u, v) \in C([0, T]; H_w^{-1}(\Omega) \times L_w^2(\Omega))$  which fulfills the estimate*

$$\mathcal{E}((u + v)(t)) + \int_t^{t+1} (\|\nabla \mu(s)\|^2 + \|v_t(s)\|^2) ds \leq \mathcal{E}(u_0 + v_0), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.63)$$

*Proof.*

The existence and uniqueness of the solution can be proved in the same way as in section 3.4. Therefore, we confine ourselves only to the proof of (3.63). We rewrite equation (3.1) in the form

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u + v) + f(u - v) = 0. \quad (3.64)$$

We start by differentiating equations (3.64) and (3.2) with respect to time to find

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) - f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (3.66)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \quad (3.67)$$

Summing (3.65) times  $t \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (3.66) times  $t \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , then using (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + t \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + t \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c' t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Employing the interpolation inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 & \leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\| \\ & \leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + ct \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + t \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c' t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.68)$$

We deduce from (3.30), (3.31) (which hold when  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ), (3.68), and Gronwall's lemma that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{t} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \in (0, 1]. \quad (3.69)$$

Summing then (3.65) times  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  to (3.66) times  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and using (3.11) and an interpolation inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 \right). \quad (3.70)$$

Fianlly, we conclude from (3.69), (3.70), and Gronwall's lemma that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 1. \quad (3.71)$$

where  $Q$  denotes a monotone increasing function.

Integrating now (3.70) between  $t$  and  $t + 1$  and using (3.71), we find

$$\int_t^{t+1} \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dt \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}), \quad (3.72)$$

for  $t \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^2(t, t + 1; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ .

Then, we can rewrite equations (3.1) and (3.2) as

$$u_t = \Delta \mu, \quad (3.73)$$

where

$$\mu = -\Delta u + F'(u + v) + F'(u - v),$$

and

$$v_t + F'(u + v) - F'(u - v) = \Delta v. \quad (3.74)$$

Equations (3.73) and (3.74) are equivalent to

$$((u_t, q)) + ((\nabla \mu, \nabla q)) = 0, \quad \forall q \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (3.75)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((v_t, q')) + ((F'(u + v), q')) - ((F'(u - v), q')) \\ + ((\nabla v, \nabla q')) = 0, \quad \forall q' \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Using equations (3.75)-(3.76) and the standard chain rule in  $L^2(0, T; V) \cap H^1(0, T; V')$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((u_t, \mu)) + ((\nabla \mu, \nabla \mu)) + ((v_t, v_t)) + ((F'(u + v), v_t)) \\ - ((F'(u - v), v_t)) + ((\nabla v, \nabla v_t)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the energy equality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(u + v) + \|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2 = 0.$$

It finally follows from the Gronwall lemma that

$$\mathcal{E}((u + v)(t)) + \int_t^{t+1} (\|\nabla \mu(s)\|^2 + \|v_t(s)\|^2) ds \leq \mathcal{E}(u_0 + v_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

□

In what follows, according to (3.55), the generic positive constant  $c$  may also depend on the initial energy  $\mathcal{E}(u_0 + v_0)$ . In particular, we will use

$$\mathcal{E}((u + v)(t)) + \int_t^{t+1} (\|\nabla \mu(s)\|^2 + \|v_t(s)\|^2) ds \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.77)$$

### 3.6. Further Regularity Results

**Theorem 3.6.2.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.6.1 holds. Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\|\mu\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V)} + \|v_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1, \quad (3.78)$$

and

$$\|u_t + v_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V')} + \|u_t - v_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V')} + \|u_t\|_{L^2(t,t+1;V)} + \|v_t\|_{L^2(t,t+1;V)} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1. \quad (3.79)$$

*Proof.*

We start by differentiating equation (3.74) with respect to time, which yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [F'(u+v) - F'(u-v)] = \Delta v_t. \quad (3.80)$$

Testing (3.73) by  $\mu_t$  and (3.80) by  $v_t$ , then summing the resulting equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \mu\|^2 + \|v_t\|^2) + \|\nabla v_t\|^2 + ((u_t, -\Delta u_t)) \\ & + ((F''(u+v)(u_t + v_t), u_t + v_t)) + ((F''(u-v)(u_t - v_t), u_t - v_t)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((u_t, -\Delta u_t)) + ((F''(u_t + v_t)(u_t + v_t), u_t + v_t)) + ((F''(u_t - v_t)(u_t - v_t), u_t - v_t)) \\ & \geq \|\nabla u_t\|^2 - \alpha \|u_t + v_t\|^2 - \alpha \|u_t - v_t\|^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t\|^2 - c \|\nabla v_t\|^2 - c \|u_t + v_t\|_{V'}^2 - c \|u_t - v_t\|_{V'}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

Accordingly, setting

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mu(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t(t)\|^2,$$

we end up with the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t\|^2 + c \|\nabla v_t\|^2 \leq c \|u_t + v_t\|_{V'}^2 + c \|u_t - v_t\|_{V'}^2. \quad (3.82)$$

Using (3.77), the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and (3.12) we can deduce that

$$\int_t^{t+1} \psi(s) ds \leq c, \quad c \geq 0. \quad (3.83)$$

Furthermore, using (3.72),

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u_t + v_t\|_{V'}^2 ds \leq c \quad (3.84)$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u_t - v_t\|_{V'}^2 ds \leq c. \quad (3.85)$$



Therefore, the uniform Gronwall lemma leads to

$$\psi(t) \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

In particular, we have the bound

$$\|\mu\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V)} + \|v_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

which in turn gives

$$\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V')} + \|v_t\|_{L^\infty(1,t;V')} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

The desired conclusion (3.79) follows from an integration in time of (3.82) on  $(t, t+1)$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , combined with the previous inequality.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.1.** *The proof of Theorem 3.6.1 is formal, but it can be justified within a Galerkin scheme as in the proof of Theorem 3.4.1. More precisely, all the computations can be rigorously performed within the Galerkin scheme. Given that  $F''$  is controlled from below, the estimates turn out to be independent of the approximation parameter and a final passage to the limit gives the result.*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $N = 2$  and  $u_0$  and  $v_0 \in V$  be such that  $F(u_0 + v_0)$  and  $F(u_0 - v_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then, for any  $p \geq 1$ , there exist two positive constants  $c$  and  $c'$  depending on  $p$  such that*

$$\|S''(u + v)\|_{L^p(t,t+1;L^p(\Omega))} \leq c(p), \quad \forall t \geq 1, \quad (3.86)$$

and

$$\|S''(u - v)\|_{L^p(t,t+1;L^p(\Omega))} \leq c'(p), \quad \forall t \geq 1. \quad (3.87)$$

*Proof.*

Equations (3.1) and (3.2) can be written in the equivalent form

$$-\Delta u + S'(u + v) + S'(u - v) = \tilde{\mu}, \quad (3.88)$$

$$-\Delta v + S'(u + v) - S'(u - v) = \tilde{\mu}', \quad (3.89)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu} = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + 2\theta_c u$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}' = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + 2\theta_c v.$$

Combining (3.88) and (3.89), we obtain

$$-\Delta(u + v) + 2S'(u + v) = \tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\mu}' \quad (3.90)$$

and

$$-\Delta(u - v) + 2S'(u - v) = \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\mu}'. \quad (3.91)$$

For any  $L > 0$ , we consider

$$g_1 = S'(u + v)e^{L|S'(u+v)|}$$

and

$$g_2 = S'(u - v)e^{L|S'(u-v)|}.$$

We observe that

$$\nabla g_1 = S''(u + v)[1 + L|S'(u + v)|]e^{L|S'(u+v)|}\nabla(u + v).$$

Then we consider equation (3.90) and test it with  $g_1$ , which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla(u + v)\nabla(u + v)S''(u + v)[1 + L|S'(u + v)|]e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}g_1dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}'g_1dx. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the left-hand side is nonnegative ( $S''$  is convex). Therefore, the previous relation is equivalent to

$$2 \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}g_1dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}'g_1dx.$$

Now, the right-hand side can be controlled by means of a generalized Young's inequality (see [1], Section 8.2) as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}S'(u + v)e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{\mu}||S'(u + v)|e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx + \int_{\Omega} e^{c(L)\tilde{\mu}}dx + c. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu}'g_1dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx + \int_{\Omega} e^{c(L)\tilde{\mu}'}dx + c.$$

Using Lemma 1, we end up with

$$2 \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx \leq \int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx + 2c,$$

whence

$$\int_{\Omega} S'(u + v)^2 e^{L|S'(u+v)|}dx \leq c, \quad (3.92)$$

where  $c$  depends on  $L$ . On account of (3.61), we observe that, for any  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$|S''(x)|^p \leq e^{pc}(c + |S'(x)|^2 e^{pc|S'(x)|}), \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1). \quad (3.93)$$

Combining finally (3.61) and (3.93) and taking  $L = pc$ , we deduce that

$$\|S''(u + v)\|_{L^p(t,t+1;L^p(\Omega))} \leq c(p), \quad \forall t \geq 1,$$

In the same way, if we consider equation (3.91) and test it with  $g_2$ , we can easily obtain

$$\|S''(u - v)\|_{L^p(t,t+1;L^p(\Omega))} \leq c(p), \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

□

**Lemma 3.** *Let the assumptions of Lemma 2 hold. Then, there exists a constant  $c$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t\|_{L^\infty(2,t;L^2(\Omega))} + \|u_t\|_{L^2(t,t+1,H^2(\Omega))} + \|v_t\|_{L^\infty(2,t;H^1(\Omega))} \\ + \|v_t\|_{L^2(t,t+1;H^2(\Omega))} \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.94)$$

*Proof.*

Differentiating equations (3.73) and (3.74) with respect to time, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t = \Delta \mu_t \quad (3.95)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [S'(u + v) - S'(u - v)] - 2\theta_c v_t = \Delta v_t. \quad (3.96)$$

Multiplying (3.95) by  $u_t$  and (3.96) by  $-\Delta v_t$  and summing up, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t\|^2) + \|\Delta v_t\|^2 = 2\theta_c \|\nabla v_t\|^2 \\ + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [S'(u + v) - S'(u - v)] \Delta v_t dx + ((\Delta \mu_t, u_t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.97)$$

Note that

$$((\Delta \mu_t, u_t)) = -((\Delta u_t, \Delta u_t)) - 2((\theta_c \Delta u_t, u_t)) + ((\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [S'(u + v) + S'(u - v)], \Delta u_t)).$$

Moreover, using Hölder and Young's inequalities and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S''(u + v)(u_t + v_t) \Delta v_t dx \leq \|S''(u + v)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|u_t + v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta v_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \leq \frac{3c(p)^2}{4} \|u_t + v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta v_t\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.98)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S''(u - v)(u_t - v_t) \Delta v_t dx \leq \|S''(u - v)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|u_t - v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta v_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \leq \frac{3c(p)^2}{4} \|u_t - v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta v_t\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.99)$$

### 3.6. Further Regularity Results

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S''(u+v)(u_t+v_t)\Delta u_t dx &\leq \|S''(u+v)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|u_t+v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta v_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{3c(p)^2}{4} \|u_t+v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u_t\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S''(u-v)(u_t-v_t)\Delta u_t dx &\leq \|S''(u-v)\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|u_t-v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|\Delta v_t\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{3c(p)^2}{4} \|u_t-v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u_t\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

Therefore, equation (3.97) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t\|^2) + \|\Delta v_t\|^2 + c \|\Delta u_t\|^2 &\leq c \|u_t+v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 \\ &+ c \|u_t-v_t\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_t\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.102)$$

where  $c$  depends on  $p$ .

Then, owing to the continuous embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t\|^2) + c \|\Delta v_t\|^2 + c \|\Delta u_t\|^2 \leq c \|\nabla v_t\|^2 + c \|\nabla u_t\|^2.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq c \|u_t\| \|u_t\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c \|u_t\|^2 + c \|\Delta u_t\|^2, \quad c > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t\|^2) + c \|\Delta v_t\|^2 + c \|\Delta u_t\|^2 \leq c (\|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla v_t\|^2). \quad (3.103)$$

Using (3.78), (3.79), and the uniform Gronwall lemma to (3.103), we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.2.** *The proof of Lemma 3 is carried out with the solution itself since (3.86) and (3.87) cannot be guaranteed within a Galerkin scheme.*

**Theorem 3.6.3.** *Let  $N = 1$  and let  $f$  satisfy assumption (3.10). Then, there exist two positive constants  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  and  $T > 0$  such that*

$$\|(u+v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3.104)$$

and

$$\|(u-v)(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 1 - \delta', \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.105)$$

*Proof.*

Since we are in one space dimension, we have the Sobolev embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Therefore, it follows from (3.77) and (3.79) that

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\infty(2,t;L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq c, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T.$$

Testing (3.90) by  $|S'(u+v)|^{p-2}S'(u+v)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1) \int_{\Omega} |S'(u+v)|^{p-2} S''(u+v) |\nabla(u+v)|^2 dx + 2 \|S'(u+v)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \\ = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\mu} |S'(u+v)|^{p-2} S'(u+v) dx - \int_{\Omega} v_t |S'(u+v)|^{p-2} S'(u+v) dx \\ + 2\theta_c \int_{\Omega} v |S'(u+v)|^{p-2} S'(u+v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the left-hand side is nonnegative, so that an application of the Hölder inequality yields

$$\|S'(u+v)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq c(\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|v_t\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}).$$

Recalling equation (3.35) which holds when  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c(\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2) \leq c'(\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \quad (3.106)$$

Integrating (3.36) (which also holds when  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ ) yields

$$\int_t^{t+1} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) d\tau \leq c, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.107)$$

Then, applying the uniform Gronwall's lemma to (3.106) and using (3.107), we find

$$\|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 \leq c, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (3.108)$$

Hence, using again the continuous embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ , integrating in time from  $t$  to  $t+1$  and using (3.78) and (3.108), we have

$$\|S'(u+v)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times (t, t+1))} \leq c, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T,$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $p$  and  $t$ .

Applying Theorem 2.14 in [1], we obtain

$$\|S'(u+v)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (t, t+1))} \leq c, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T.$$

This implies that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|u+v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (t, t+1))} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T.$$

Since  $u+v \in L^\infty(0, t; L^\infty(\Omega))$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , we also infer that

$$\|u+v\|_{L^\infty(2, t; L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \forall 2 \leq t \leq T.$$

Finally, we deduce (3.104) from the continuity in time.

In the same way, we can obtain the second inequality (3.105) using equation (3.91) instead of (3.90).  $\square$

An immediate consequence is the following

### 3.6. Further Regularity Results

**Corollary 2.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.6.3 hold. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^4(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq 3.$$

**Corollary 3.** *It also follows from Theorem 3.6.3 that  $u$  and  $v$  are strictly separated from the pure states, i.e. there exist two positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  such that*

$$|u(t, x)| \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (2, T),$$

and

$$|v(t, x)| \leq 1 - \gamma', \quad \forall (x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times (2, T).$$

**Remark 3.6.3.** *We can note that the strict separation property in two space dimensions can be obtained from the estimates of section 3.6 provided that we can prove that  $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$  and  $\|v_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq c'$ , where  $c$  and  $c'$  are two constants which are independent of  $p$  (see [87]; see also [141, 150]). Such estimates seem difficult to derive, due to the coupling, and will be addressed elsewhere.*



## **Chapitre 4**

# **Asymptotic Behavior of a Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn System with Temperature**

## **Comportement Asymptotique d'un système de Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn en Tenant Compte de la Température**

Ce chapitre est constitué d'un article terminé récemment.





# Asymptotic Behavior of a Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn System with Temperature

Alain MIRANVILLE<sup>1,2</sup>, Ramon QUINTANILLA<sup>3</sup>, and Wafa SAOUD<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications  
UMR CNRS 7348, SP2MI, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie - Téléport 2  
F-86962 Chasseneuil Futuroscope Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup>Xiamen University, School of Mathematical Sciences  
Xiamen, Fujian, China

<sup>3</sup>E.S.E.I.A.A.T.-U.P.C., Departament de Matemàtiques  
Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain  
alain.miranville@math.univ-poitiers.fr  
ramon.quintanilla@upc.edu  
wafa-saoud@hotmail.com

**Abstract :** The main goal of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of a coupled Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system with temperature. The work is divided into two parts : In the first part, the heat equation is based on the usual Fourier law. In the second one, it's based on the type III heat conduction law. In both parts, we prove the existence of exponential attractors and, therefore, of finite-dimensional global attractors.

**Keywords :** Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard equations, Fourier law, type III heat conduction, asymptotic behavior of the solutions.

## 4.1 Introduction

J. Cahn and A. Novick-Cohen introduced, in [35], the following system :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = h^2 \Delta (f(u+v) + f(u-v) - h^2 \Delta u),$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -f(u+v) + f(u-v) - \alpha v + h^2 \Delta v,$$

where  $u$  is the concentration of one of the components and it is a conserved quantity,  $v$  is an order parameter,  $h$  is a (positive) parameter which represents the lattice spacing,  $\alpha$  is a parameter that reflects the location of the system within the phase diagram (it may be either positive or negative), and the nonlinear term  $f$  is the derivative of a double-well potential  $F$ .

The system models simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation in binary alloys on a BCC lattice in the neighborhood of the triple point.

We further note that it is a gradient flow in  $(H^1)' \times L^2$  for the free energy

$$J(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ F(u+v) + F(u-v) + \frac{\alpha}{2} v^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \right\} dx,$$

These equations, endowed with Neumann boundary conditions, have been studied in [30] by A. Novick-Cohen, D. Brochet, and D. Hilhorst who proved the well-posedness and the existence of maximal attractors and inertial sets (i.e., exponential attractors) for the usual cubic nonlinear term  $f(s) = s^3 - \beta s$  in three space dimensions.

These results were improved in [148]: taking initial conditions in  $H^2(\Omega)$  allowed the authors to prove the existence of exponential attractors (and, thus, of the finite-dimensional global attractor) for a large class of nonlinear terms containing polynomials of arbitrary odd degree with a strictly positive leading coefficient in three space dimensions. This model has been also studied in [149], where an exponential attractor for singular potentials was found, and by consequence a global attractor of finite dimension.

A similar system, with a non-constant mobility, was treated in [52] where the authors proved the existence of weak solutions for the Neumann problem for a degenerate parabolic system consisting of a fourth-order and a second-order equations with singular lower-order terms in one space dimension. In addition, asymptotics for a similar system with a non-constant mobility, proposed as a diffuse interface model for simultaneous order-disorder and phase separation, was studied in [158]. This work was extended in [160], where the authors studied the partial wetting case, and their analysis accounts for motion in three space dimensions.

We also mention that numerical methods to solve coupled AC/CH systems were studied in, e.g. [138, 177, 184, 188, 193]. Furthermore, a NKS method for the implicit solution of a coupled AC/CH system was proposed in [194].

In this work, we study two systems of three simultaneous equations: a Cahn-Hilliard equation, an Allen-Cahn equation and a heat equation. In the first part of the paper, the heat equation is based on the usual Fourier law. We were able to find exponential attractors then a global attractor associated to the system. In the second part, the heat equation is based on the type III law of thermoelasticity. There, to find exponential attractors, we were obliged to decompose the system into the sum of two systems to overcome the difficulties created by a second-order derivative term.

Not much work has been done in similar cases. However, in a recent paper, [119], the authors studied a coupled AC/CH system with temperature and long-time oscillating properties were found.

Throughout this paper, the same letter  $c$  (and, sometimes,  $c'$ ,  $c''$ , and  $c'''$ ) denotes constants which may change from line to line, or even in a same line. Similarly, the same letter  $Q$  denotes monotone increasing (with respect to each argument) functions which may change from line to line, or even in the same line.

## 4.2 Part I : The Usual Fourier Law

In this part, as mentioned before, the generalized heat equation is based on the classical Fourier law for heat conduction. Indeed, we can rewrite this equation as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{div} q,$$

where  $q$  is the thermal flux vector and, assuming the Fourier law

$$q = -\nabla\theta.$$

The function  $H$  is the enthalpy defined by

$$H = v + \theta,$$

and  $\theta$  is the relative temperature.

### 4.2.1 Setting of the Problem

We take  $h = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  for simplicity, and consider what follows

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u+v) + f(u-v)) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u+v) - f(u-v) = \theta, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = \theta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.4)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, v|_{t=0} = v_0, \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

As far as the nonlinear term is concerned, we make the following assumptions :

$$f \text{ is of class } C^2, f(0) = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$f'(s) \geq -c, c > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$f(s)s \geq cF(s) - c', F(s) \geq -c'', c > 0, c', c'' \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(\xi)d\xi$ .

We denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  the usual  $L^2$ -norm,  $((\cdot, \cdot))$  its associated scalar product,  $\|\cdot\|_{-1} = \|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is the norm in the Banach space  $X$ .

### 4.2.2 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

We rewrite equation (4.1) in the equivalent form

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u+v) + f(u-v) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

We multiply (4.9) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.2) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.3) by  $\theta$  and then integrate by parts over  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + ((f(u+v) + f(u-v), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t})) = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|^2 + ((f(u+v) - f(u-v), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t})) = ((\theta, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t})), \quad (4.11)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 = ((-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \theta)). \quad (4.12)$$

Summing (4.10), (4.11), and (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \right) + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Based on (4.13), we have the following result

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Assume that  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ . Then, (4.1)-(4.5) possesses at least one solution  $(u, v, \theta)$  such that*

*$(u, v, \theta) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ ,  $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1} \times L^2(\Omega)^2)$ .*

*Proof.*

The proof of existence (as well as the above and the subsequent a priori estimates) are based, e.g. on a classical Galerkin scheme. Let  $A$  denote the minus Laplace operator associated with Dirichlet boundary conditions. This operator is a bounded, selfadjoint and strictly positive operator with compact inverse from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  onto  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . There is a set of eigenvectors  $\{\phi_i, i \geq 1\}$  for this operator, associated with the eigenfunctions  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ , such that it is orthonormal relative to the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and orthogonal relative to the one in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Setting  $V_m = \text{Span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\}$ , we consider the following approximating problem, written in the functional form :

$$\frac{du_m}{dt} + A^2 u_m + A(f(u_m + v_m) + f(u_m - v_m)) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\frac{dv_m}{dt} + A v_m + f(u_m + v_m) - f(u_m - v_m) = \theta_m, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_m}{dt} + A\theta_m = -\frac{dv_m}{dt}, \quad (4.16)$$

together with suitable initial conditions, namely,

$$u_m|_{t=0} = P_m u_0, \quad v_m|_{t=0} = P_m v_0, \quad \theta_m|_{t=0} = P_m \theta_0,$$

where  $P_m$  is the orthogonal projector from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto  $V_m$  (for the  $L^2$ -metric).

This is equivalent to the following problem :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}((u_m, p)) - ((\Delta u_m, \Delta p)) + ((\nabla f(u_m + v_m), \nabla p)) + ((\nabla f(u_m - v_m), \nabla p)) &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt}((v_m, q)) + ((\nabla v_m, \nabla q)) + ((f(u_m + v_m), q)) - ((f(u_m - v_m), q)) &= ((\theta_m, q)), \\ \frac{d}{dt}((\theta_m, r)) + ((\nabla \theta_m, \nabla r)) &= -\frac{d}{dt}((v_m, r)), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall p, q, r \in V_m$ , together with the above initial conditions. The proof of existence of a local (in time) solution to the approximating problem is standard (indeed, one has to solve a continuous system of ODEs).

Furthermore, we can write the equivalent of the previous and the subsequent estimates (with  $u, v$  and  $\theta$  replaced by  $u_m, v_m$ , and  $\theta_m$  respectively); this is now fully justified and no longer formal. Then we can deduce from (4.13) that this solution is actually global. And, the passage to the limit is based on classical (Aubin-Lions type) compactness results. Indeed, we have, in particular,  $u_m$  bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{du_m}{dt}$  bounded in  $L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ , independently of  $m$ , which yields that (at least for a subsequence which we do not relabel)  $u_m$  converges strongly to, say,  $u$  in  $C([0, T]; H^{1-\delta}(\Omega))$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ . In addition,  $v_m$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{dv_m}{dt}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ , independently of  $m$ , which also yields the strong convergence of  $v_m$  to, say,  $v$  in  $C([0, T]; H^{1-\delta}(\Omega))$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ .

We also note that it follows from (4.13) that  $(u, v) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$ ,  $\theta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and that  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega))$ .

We now multiply (4.9) by  $-\Delta u$ , (4.2) by  $-\Delta v$ , (4.3) by  $-\Delta \theta$ , and integrate over  $\Omega$  to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + ((f'(u+v)\nabla(u+v), \nabla u)) + ((f'(u-v)\nabla(u-v), \nabla u)) = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + ((f'(u+v)\nabla(u+v), \nabla v)) - ((f'(u-v)\nabla(u-v), \nabla v)) \\ = ((\theta, -\Delta v)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2 = ((\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \Delta \theta)). \quad (4.19)$$

Summing (4.17), (4.18), and (4.19) and using (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2) + \|\Delta u\|^2 + c' (\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2) \\ \leq c (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Hence, we can deduce from (4.20) that  $(u, v, \theta) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ .

We finally multiply (4.3) by  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}$  and get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.21)$$

Then  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega))$  which finishes the proof of the regularity of the solution.  $\square$

We develop now some formal estimates needed for this and the following sections :

We start by multiplying (4.9) by  $u$ , (4.2) by  $v$ , sum them together and use (4.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|\nabla v\|^2 + c' \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \\ \leq c'' + c \|\theta\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Then, we sum (4.13) and  $\epsilon(4.22)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is small enough so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \epsilon \|u\|_{-1}^2 + \epsilon \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \right) \\ + c \left( \epsilon \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \right) \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c''. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

We set

$$E_1 = \epsilon \|u\|_{-1}^2 + \epsilon \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx.$$

Whence, we deduce from (4.23) the inequality

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} + c \left( E_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \right) \leq c'. \quad (4.24)$$

Next, we add  $\epsilon'$ (4.21) and (4.24), we obtain

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} + c\left(E_2 + \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^2\right) \leq c', \quad (4.25)$$

where  $E_2 = E_1 + \epsilon'\|\nabla\theta\|^2$ .

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (4.25) yields

$$E_2(t) \leq e^{-ct}E_2(0) + c', \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad c > 0. \quad (4.26)$$

We can deduce from (4.25) and (4.26) that, for every  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+r} \left( \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) d\tau \leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c''(r). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

We now differentiate equations (4.2) and (4.9) with respect to time, we obtain

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (4.28)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) - f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \quad (4.29)$$

with

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \quad (4.30)$$

We then multiply (4.28) by  $t \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.29) by  $t \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and we sum the resulting equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) + ct \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + t \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \\ \left( (f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) + (f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \right) \\ = t \left( \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields, owing to (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) + ct \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + t \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \\ \leq c't \left( \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Employing the interpolation inequality

$$\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 \leq c' \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1} \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|, \quad c' > 0,$$



and the Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + ct \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + t \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & \leq c' t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

We now apply Gronwall's lemma and use (4.27) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 & \leq \frac{1}{t} e^{c't} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \\ & t \in (0, T_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Moreover, summing (4.28) times  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (4.29) times  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , then using (4.7) and an interpolation inequality, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + c' \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.33)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma and using (4.27) and (4.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 & \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \\ & t \geq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Furthermore, we multiply (4.9) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.2) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.3) by  $-\Delta \theta$  and sum the resulting equations. Then using (4.6) and the continuous embedding  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2) + c (\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2) \\ & \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Setting  $y = \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2$ , we deduce from (4.35) a differential inequality of the form

$$y' \leq Q(y).$$

Let  $z$  be the solution of the ordinary differential equation  $z' = Q(z)$  with  $z(0) = y(0)$ .

It follows from the comparison principle that there exists

$T_0 = T_0(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)})$  belonging to, say,  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$y(t) \leq z(t), t \in [0, T_0],$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 & \leq Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \\ & t \leq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

We now rewrite equations (4.2) and (4.9) in the following forms

$$-\Delta u + f(u + v) + f(u - v) = h_u(t), \quad (4.37)$$

$$-\Delta v + f(u + v) - f(u - v) = h_v(t), \quad (4.38)$$

$$\text{with } u = \Delta u = v = \theta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.39)$$

for  $t \geq T_0$  fixed, where

$$h_u(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t},$$

and

$$h_v(t) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \theta,$$

satisfy, owing to (4.26) and (4.34)

$$\|h_u(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (4.40)$$

and

$$\|h_v(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (4.41)$$

We multiply (4.37) by  $u$  and (4.38) by  $v$  and we sum the result.

Noting then that  $f(s)s \geq -c$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2) + c'. \quad (4.42)$$

Next, we multiply (4.37) by  $-\Delta u$  and (4.38) by  $-\Delta v$ , we sum the resulting equations and obtain, using (4.7),

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2). \quad (4.43)$$

We thus deduce from (4.40)-(4.43) that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (4.44)$$

And therefore,

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.45)$$

Finally, we can say that  $(u, v)$  belongs a priori to  $L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)^2)$ ,  $T > 0$ .

Plus, we note from (4.19) that we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2 \leq \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.46)$$

Integrating (4.46) between  $T_0$  and  $t$ , then using (4.27) and (4.36), we obtain

$$\|\nabla \theta(t)\|^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2}, \|v_0\|_{H^2}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1}). \quad (4.47)$$

Combining (4.36) with (4.47), we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad (4.48)$$

$$t \geq 0.$$

Multiplying then (4.3) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta \theta\|^2 + \|\nabla \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\|^2 \leq \|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\|^2. \quad (4.49)$$

Hence  $\theta \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  a priori.

Our goal now is to find a dissipative estimate :

We start by recalling the inequality (4.20)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2) + \|\Delta u\|^2 + c(\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2) \\ \leq c(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c'' \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

Using the interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c \|u\| \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad c \geq 0,$$

and then the Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2) + c(\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \theta\|^2) \\ \leq c' (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Applying now Gronwall's lemma and using (4.27), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta(t)\|^2 \leq e^{c't} Q \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

In addition, it follows from (4.51) after using (4.27) and (4.52) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) dt \leq Q \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

Hence, there exists  $T \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq Q \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

Repeating the estimates leading to (4.48), but starting from  $t = T$  instead of  $t = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq e^{ct} \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\theta(T)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then using (4.54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

We now repeat the estimates leading to (4.55), and since our equations are autonomous, we can make a translation in time. We obtain, for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|\nabla u(t-1)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t-1)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \theta(t-1)\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u(t-1) + v(t-1)) + F(u(t-1) - v(t-1))] dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

which yields, owing to (4.26),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq e^{-ct} \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c', \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

Combining the above estimate with (4.48) from 0 to 1, we obtain the dissipative estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq e^{-ct} \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) + c', \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

We then recall the equation (4.49)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta \theta\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.59)$$

Noting that it follows from (4.27), and (4.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+r} \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx &\leq e^{-ct} \mathcal{Q} \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta_0\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c'(r), \quad c > 0, \quad r > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

and from (4.46), (4.57) and (4.60) that

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\Delta\theta\|^2 dx \leq e^{-ct} Q \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla\theta_0\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c'(r), \quad c > 0, \quad r > 0. \quad (4.61)$$

We deduce from (4.59)-(4.61) and, the uniform's Gronwall lemma, (see, e.g. [182]), that

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} Q \left( \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla\theta_0\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c'(r), \quad c > 0, \quad r > 0. \quad (4.62)$$

Collecting (4.58) and (4.62), we obtain the dissipative estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} Q (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) + c'(r), \quad c > 0, \quad r > 0. \quad (4.63)$$

**Theorem 4.2.2.** *Let  $(u, v, \theta)$  be the solution to the problem with initial data  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0)$  obtained in Theorem 2.1. If  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^2 \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then there exists a unique solution  $(u, v, \theta) \in L_{loc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+; (H^2(\Omega))^3)$ , and  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}) \in L_{loc}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)^2) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^3)$ .*

*Proof.*

The proof of the existence (as well as the above a priori estimates) are based on a classical Galerkin scheme as in the previous section and mainly on the estimations (4.48) and (4.49).

We now turn our attention to prove the uniqueness :

We consider two solutions  $(u_1, v_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2, \theta_2)$  to the problem with initial data  $(u_{0,1}, v_{0,1}, \theta_{0,1})$  and  $(u_{0,2}, v_{0,2}, \theta_{0,2})$  respectively.

We set  $(u, v, \theta) = (u_1, v_1, \theta_1) - (u_2, v_2, \theta_2)$  and  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) = (u_{0,1}, v_{0,1}, \theta_{0,1}) - (u_{0,2}, v_{0,2}, \theta_{0,2})$ .

We then have the following system

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) + f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2) = 0, \quad (4.64)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1) + f(u_2 - v_2) = \theta, \quad (4.65)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (4.66)$$

$$\text{with } u = \Delta u = v = \theta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.67)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0. \quad (4.68)$$

We multiply (4.64) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.65) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.66) by  $\theta$ . We then sum the result to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \\ & + ((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v)) \\ & + ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v)) \\ & = \left| \left( ((-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2)), (-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v)) \right) \right| \\ & \leq c \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\|_{-1} \|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\|, \quad c > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v)) \\ & \leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - v) \right\|_{-1} \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\|, \quad c' > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2) + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + c' \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \\ & \leq c (\|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\|^2 + \|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.72)$$

Owing to (4.58), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla(f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2))\| \\ & = \|\nabla \left( \int_0^1 f'(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1)) ds (u - v) \right)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_0^1 f'(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1)) ds \nabla(u - v) \right\| \\ & \quad + \|(u - v) \int_0^1 f''(u_1 + v_1 + s(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1)) (\nabla(u_1 + v_1) \\ & \quad + s \nabla(u_2 + v_2 - u_1 - v_1)) ds\| \\ & \leq Q (\|\nabla(u - v)\| + \| |u - v| |\nabla(u_1 + v_1)| \| + \| |u - v| |\nabla(u_2 + v_2)| \|) \\ & \leq Q (\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|). \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

In the same way,

$$\|\nabla(f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2))\| \leq Q (\|\nabla u\| + \|\nabla v\|), \quad (4.74)$$

where

$$Q = Q(\|u_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_{0,1}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\theta_{0,2}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}).$$

We deduce from (4.69)-(4.74) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \\ & \leq Q(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

Now using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2 + \|\theta(t)\|^2 \leq e^{Qt} (\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \quad (4.76)$$

whence the uniqueness (taking  $(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) = (0, 0, 0)$ ), as well as the continuous dependence with respect to the initial data. □

### 4.2.3 Global and Exponential attractors

We set  $\Phi = (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^3$ .

Note that it follows from Theorem 2.2 that we can define the semigroup

$$S(t) : \Phi \longrightarrow \Phi$$

$$(u_0, v_0, \theta_0) \longrightarrow (u(t), v(t), \theta(t)),$$

where  $(u, v, \theta)$  is the unique solution to our system.

**Theorem 4.2.3.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  associated with (4.1)-(4.5) possesses a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_0$  in  $\Phi$  such that, for every bounded set  $B \subset \Phi$ , there exists  $t_0 = t_0(B) \geq 0$  such that  $t \geq t_0$  implies  $S(t)B \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ . Therefore,  $S(t)$  is a dissipative semigroup.*

It comes directly from (4.26) and (4.63).

**Remark 4.2.1.** *We can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is positively invariant by  $S(t)$ , i.e.,  $S(t)\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_0, \forall t \geq 0$ .*

**Theorem 4.2.4.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses an exponential attractor  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact in  $H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}$  is positively invariant, which means that  $S(t)\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}, \forall t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  has a finite fractal dimension in  $H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  attracts exponentially fast the bounded subsets of  $\Phi$  :  $\forall B \subset \Phi$  bounded,  $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}) \leq Q(\|B\|_\Phi) e^{-ct}, c > 0, t \geq 0$ , where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $B$  and  $dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}$  denotes the Hausdorff semidistance between sets defined by

$$dist_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega)^2 \times L^2(\Omega)}.$$

*Proof.*

Here, we assume that the initial conditions are in the bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . To complete the proof, we need an asymptotic smoothing property on the difference of two solutions, a Hölder estimate with respect to space and time, and a compactness estimate of the solution. These are the key tools to construct exponential attractors (see [60]-[62], [72, 150, 151]).

The Hölder estimate is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
 & \leq c(\|\nabla(u(t_1) - u(t_2))\| + \|\nabla(v(t_1) - v(t_2))\| + \|(\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2))\|) \\
 & \leq c\left(\left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d\tau\right\| + \left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} d\tau\right\| + \left\|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} d\tau\right\|\right) \\
 & \leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) d\tau \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that it follows from (4.27), (4.32), (4.33) and the fact that the initial conditions are in a bounded absorbing set that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \left\|\nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 \right) d\tau \leq c, \quad (4.77)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $T \geq T_0$  such that  $t_1, t_2 \in [T_0, T]$ .

Moreover, it follows from (4.75) and (4.76) that

$$\int_0^t \left( \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 \right) dx \leq c e^{2t} (\|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2) \leq c'', \quad (4.78)$$

where  $c''$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Plus, it follows from (4.21), (4.52), and (4.78) that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right\|^2 dx \leq c, \quad (4.79)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Therefore, we have

$$\|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta(t_1) - \theta(t_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.80)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , and  $t_1, t_2 \in [T_0, T]$ , where  $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .



We now want to find a compactness estimate :

First, we differentiate (4.64) and (4.65) with respect to time, we multiply the resulting equations by  $(t - T_0)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and  $(t - T_0)\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  respectively, where  $T_0$  is the same as before and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & + (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 - (t - T_0) \left( \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right) \\ & + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right| \right) dx \\ & + (t - T_0) \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 - v_1) - f'(u_2 - v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right| \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 + v_1) - f'(u_2 + v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right| \right) dx \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left( |u + v| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right| \right) dx \quad (4.81) \\ & \leq c \|\nabla(u + v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

using Hölder inequality and the continuous embeddings  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^3(\Omega)$  and  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$ .

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( |f'(u_1 - v_1) - f'(u_2 - v_2)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right| \right) dx \quad (4.82) \\ & \leq c \|\nabla(u - v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + v) \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Owing then to a proper interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + c(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq c(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \quad (4.83) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + c'(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u + v)\|^2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\|^2 \\ & + c'(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u - v)\|^2 \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting also that it follows from (4.27), (4.33), and (4.34) that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx \leq ce^{c't}, \quad t \geq T_0,$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Hence we deduce that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx \leq ce^{c't}, \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (4.84)$$

for  $(u, v) = (u_2, v_2)$  and the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Furthermore, applying Gronwall's lemma on (4.83) over  $(T_0, t)$  and owing to (4.76), (4.78), (4.79) and (4.84), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 &\leq ce^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \\ t &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Next, we rewrite equations (4.64) and (4.65) in the following forms

$$-\Delta u = \tilde{h}_u(t), \quad (4.86)$$

and

$$-\Delta v = \tilde{h}_v(t), \quad (4.87)$$

where  $u = \Delta u = v = \theta = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , for  $t \geq 1$  fixed,

and

$$\tilde{h}_u(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \left( f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) \right) - \left( f(u_1 - v_1) - f(u_2 - v_2) \right), \quad (4.88)$$

$$\tilde{h}_v(t) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \left( f(u_1 + v_1) - f(u_2 + v_2) \right) - \left( f(u_2 - v_2) - f(u_1 - v_1) \right) + \theta, \quad (4.89)$$

satisfy, owing to (4.76) and (4.85),

$$\|\tilde{h}_u(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (4.90)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{h}_v(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{c't} (\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (4.91)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

Multiplying now (4.86) by  $-\Delta u$  and (4.87) by  $-\Delta v$ , we obtain

$$\|\Delta u(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_u(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1,$$

and

$$\|\Delta v(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_v(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \\ t &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.92)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

We also multiply (4.66) by  $-(t - T_0)\Delta\theta$  and find

$$\frac{d}{dt}((t - T_0)\|\nabla\theta\|^2) + (t - T_0)\|\Delta\theta\|^2 \leq c(t - T_0)\left(\left\|\nabla\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right\|^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|^2\right). \quad (4.93)$$

We find combining (4.83) and (4.93) then applying Gronwall's lemma (applied over  $(T_0, t)$ ; note that  $T_0 \leq 1$ ) and using (4.76)-(4.79), and (4.84), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t)\right\|^2 + \|\nabla\theta(t)\|^2 &\leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \\ t &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.94)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

At the end, we can see from (4.92) and (4.94), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\theta_0\|^2), \\ t &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.95)$$

And the result follows from (4.76), (4.80), and (4.95).  $\square$

Moreover, we can deduce from Theorem 4.1 and standard results the

**Corollary 4.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses the finite dimensional global attractor  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ .*

**Remark 4.2.2.** *The global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  is the smallest (for the inclusion) compact set of the phase space which is invariant by the flow (i.e.,  $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ) and attracts all bounded sets of initial data as time goes to infinity; that's why, it's important in the study of the asymptotic behavior of the system. Furthermore, the finite dimensionality means, roughly speaking, that, even though the initial phase space is infinite dimensional, the reduced dynamics can be described by a finite number of parameters. We refer the reader to [7, 151, 182] for more details and discussions on this.*

**Remark 4.2.3.** *An exponential attractor is expected to be more robust than a global attractor under perturbations. And that's because the rate of attraction of the global attractor is slow and it's very hard to estimate it with respect to the physical parameters of the problem in general. Therefore, global attractors may change drastically under small perturbations. However, the rate of attraction of exponential attractors is considerably fast (an exponential rate) and that gives them some kind of resilience. We refer the reader to [59] and [151] for more details on this subject.*

### 4.3 Part II : The Type III Law

The classical Fourier law presented in the previous section has one essential drawback, that is, it predicts that thermal signals propagate with an infinite speed, which violates causality (the so-called 'paradox of heat conduction', see, e.g. [47]). That's why, several modifications of the classical Fourier law have been proposed in the literature to correct this unrealistic feature, leading to a second order in time equation for the temperature.

In particular, in [146], the authors considered

$$\left(1 + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)q = -\nabla\theta, \quad \eta > 0,$$

assuming the classical Fourier law

$$q = -\nabla\theta,$$

which leads to

$$\eta \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\eta \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t},$$

(see also [107, 108] the Maxwell-Cattaneo law).

On the other side, Green and Naghdi proposed in [96]-[99] an alternative treatment for a thermomechanical theory of deformable media which presents an entropy balance rather than the usual entropy inequality. However, if we restrict our attention to the heat conduction, we recall that three different theories, labelled as type I, type II and type III, were proposed. In particular, the Fourier law is found when type I is linearized. The linearized versions of the two other theories are described by the following constitutive equations (knowing that we are going to study only the type III in what follows)

$$q = -k\nabla\alpha, \quad k > 0, \quad (\text{Type II}) \quad (4.96)$$

and

$$q = -k\nabla\alpha - k^*\nabla\theta, \quad k, k^* > 0, \quad (\text{Type III}), \quad (4.97)$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \theta(\tau) d\tau + \alpha_0, \quad \left(\theta = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}\right)$$

is called the thermal displacement variable. These theories were well studied in the recent years and, particularly, a special interest was given to the qualitative study of the solutions (see e.g. [168]-[173] for studies concerned with linear thermoelastic theories). In addition, non-linear acceleration waves have been studied for types II and III non-linear thermoelasticity [174] and fluids without energy dissipation [175].

Adding equations (4.96) and (4.97) to the equation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \text{div } q = 0, \quad (4.98)$$

we obtain the following equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - k\Delta\alpha = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$$

for type II and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - k^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta\alpha - k\Delta\alpha = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$$

for type III.

### 4.3.1 Setting of the New Problem

We consider the following initial and boundary value problem (for simplicity, we take  $k = k^* = 1$ ):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta(f(u+v) + f(u-v)) = 0, \quad (4.99)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u+v) - f(u-v) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \quad (4.100)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \Delta \alpha = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (4.101)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = \alpha = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.102)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \alpha|_{t=0} = \alpha_0, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = \alpha_1, \quad (4.103)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

We assume that  $f$  is of class  $C^2$  and satisfies

$$-c \leq F(s) \leq f(s)s, \quad c \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.104)$$

where

$$F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau.$$

We also assume that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(s) \geq -c', \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad c' \geq 0. \quad (4.105)$$

### 4.3.2 A Priori Estimates

First, we rewrite (4.99) in the equivalent form

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u+v) + f(u-v) = 0 \quad (4.106)$$

We multiply (4.106) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.100) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$  and have, summing the results,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \right) \\ = \left( \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.107)$$

We then multiply (4.101) by  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$  to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = - \left( \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right). \quad (4.108)$$

Thus, summing (4.107) and (4.108), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right) \\ + 2 \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.109)$$

We now multiply (4.106) by  $u$ , (4.100) by  $v$  and sum the results to have, owing to (4.104),

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{-1}^2 + \|v\|^2) + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \leq c \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.110)$$

Then, we multiply (4.101) by  $\alpha$  and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \alpha \right) \right) + c \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \leq c' \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c'' \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.111)$$

We sum (4.109),  $\epsilon_1$ (4.110) and  $\epsilon_2$ (4.111), where  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2 > 0$  are chosen small enough so that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \epsilon_2 \left( \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \alpha \right) \right) \geq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right), \quad c > 0, \quad (4.112)$$

$$2 - \epsilon_1 c - \epsilon_2 c' > 0, \quad (4.113)$$

$$2 - \epsilon_2 c'' > 0, \quad (4.114)$$

and have an inequality of the form

$$\frac{dE_3}{dt} + E_3 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.115)$$

where

$$E_3 = \epsilon_1 \|u\|_{-1}^2 + \epsilon_1 \|v\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx \\ + (1 + \epsilon_2) \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + 2\epsilon_2 \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \alpha \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2,$$

satisfies

$$E_3 \geq c \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u+v) + F(u-v)] dx + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) - c', \quad c > 0. \quad (4.116)$$

In particular, we deduce from (4.115) the dissipative estimate

$$E_3(t) \leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\alpha_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha_0\|^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \quad c' > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.117)$$

Furthermore, for every  $r > 0$ ,

$$\int_t^{t+r} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right) dx \leq ce^{-c't} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\alpha_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha_0\|^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right) + c''(r), \quad c' > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.118)$$

Next, We multiply (4.99) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.100) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.101) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2), \quad (4.119)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2, \quad (4.120)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2) + c \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2, \quad (4.121)$$

Summing (4.119), (4.120), and (4.121) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ \leq Q(\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2). \quad (4.122)$$

In particular, we set

$$y = \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2.$$

Thus, we deduce from (4.122) a differential inequality of the form

$$y' \leq Q(y).$$

Let  $z$  be the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$z' = Q(z),$$

with  $z(0) = y(0)$ . It follows from the comparison principle that there exists  $T_0 = T_0(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)})$  belonging to, say,  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$y(t) \leq z(t), \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \leq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.123)$$

Therefore  $(u, v, \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(0, T_0; H^2(\Omega)^3 \times H^1(\Omega))$  a priori.

We now differentiate (4.100) and (4.106) with respect to time to find, owing to (4.101)

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = 0, \quad (4.124)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + f'(u+v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) - f'(u-v) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \Delta \alpha, \quad (4.125)$$

$$\text{with } \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \quad (4.126)$$

Multiplying then (4.124) by  $t \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.125) by  $t \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.101) by  $t \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$  to obtain, summing the three resulting inequalities and using (4.105) and an interpolation inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right) + ct \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \\ & + c' \left( t \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + t \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.127)$$

We apply Gronwall's lemma to (4.127) and we use (4.118), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \|\nabla \alpha(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{t} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \\ & t \in (0, T_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.128)$$



Summing (4.124) times  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.125) times  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , and (4.101) times  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$  and interpolating yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right) + c \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c' \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c'' \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.129)$$

Applying then Gronwall's lemma to (4.129) from  $t$  to  $T_0$  and using (4.128), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha(t)\|^2 \leq e^{ct} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.130)$$

$t \geq T_0$ .

Combining (4.128) and (4.130) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha(t)\|^2 \leq e^{ct} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.131)$$

$t > 0$ .

We now rewrite (4.100) and (4.106) in the following forms

$$-\Delta u + f(u + v) + f(u - v) = h_u(t), \quad (4.132)$$

$$-\Delta v + f(u + v) - f(u - v) = h_v(t), \quad (4.133)$$

where  $u = \Delta u = v = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ ,  $t \geq T_0$ ,

and

$$h_u(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \quad (4.134)$$

$$h_v(t) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (4.135)$$

satisfy, owing to (4.130),

$$\|h_u(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|), \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (4.136)$$

and

$$\|h_v(t)\| \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|), \quad t \geq T_0. \quad (4.137)$$

Next, we multiply (4.132) by  $u$ , (4.133) by  $v$  and sum the result. Then, noting that  $f(s)s \geq -c$ ,  $c \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2) + c'. \quad (4.138)$$

Multiplying now (4.132) by  $-\Delta u$  and (4.133) by  $-\Delta v$ , summing the result, then noting that  $f'(s) \geq -c'$ , we obtain

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta v(t)\|^2 \leq c(\|h_u(t)\|^2 + \|h_v(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|^2). \quad (4.139)$$

We finally deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq ce^{c't} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \\ &\|\alpha_1\|), \quad t \geq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.140)$$

Moreover, we multiply (4.101) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$  and find

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + c \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.141)$$

Integrating now (4.129) between  $t$  and  $T_0$  and using (4.130), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{T_0} \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx &\leq e^{ct} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\left. + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \quad t \geq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.142)$$

Applying then Gronwall's lemma to (4.141) and using (4.142), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta \alpha(t)\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 &\leq ce^{c't} Q \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ &\left. + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \quad t \geq T_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.143)$$

Thus, we deduce from (4.123), (4.140), and (4.143) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ \leq e^{ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.144)$$

### 4.3.3 Dissipative Estimate

We start by multiplying (4.106) by  $-\Delta u$ , (4.100) by  $-\Delta v$ , and (4.101) by  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$ , we obtain, after summing the result and using (4.105),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \|\Delta u\|^2 + c\|\Delta v\|^2 + c' \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ \leq c''(\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.145)$$

Using an interpolation inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c\|u\| \|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 + c\|u\|^2, \quad c \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + c \left( \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \\ \leq c' (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2) + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.146)$$

It follows from (4.146), using (4.118) and (4.131), that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2) dt \leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.147)$$

Hence, there exists  $T \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(T)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(T) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.148)$$

Repeating now the estimates leading to (4.144) from  $t = T$  instead of  $t = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(1)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(1) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.149)$$

Similarly, repeating the estimates leading to (4.149) also, we have, for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u(t-1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t-1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\alpha(t-1)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t-1) \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u(t-1) + v(t-1)) \right. \\ \left. + F(u(t-1) - v(t-1))] dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.150)$$

Owing to (4.117), the above estimate yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} \mathcal{Q} \left( \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 + \|v_0\|^2 + \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \|\nabla v_0\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\nabla \alpha_0\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} [F(u_0 + v_0) + F(u_0 - v_0)] dx \right), \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.151)$$

Combining (4.151) with (4.144) for  $t = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} \mathcal{Q} (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \\ c > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.152)$$

Moreover, we note that it follows from (4.122) and (4.152) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ \leq e^{-ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.153)$$

Multiplying then (4.101) by  $-\Delta \alpha$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + 2 \left( \Delta \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right) \right) + c \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 \leq c \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (4.154)$$

We now sum (4.115) and  $\epsilon_3(4.146)$ , where  $\epsilon_3 > 0$  is small enough so that

$$\frac{dE_4}{dt} + c(E_4 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2) + c' \|\Delta u\|^2 + \epsilon_3 \|\Delta v\|^2 + c'' \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.155)$$

where

$$E_4 = E_3 + \epsilon_3 (\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + c \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2).$$

We also sum (4.153),  $\epsilon_4(4.154)$ , and (4.155), where  $\epsilon_4 > 0$  is small enough so that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon_4 (\|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + 2 \left( \Delta \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right)) \geq c \left( \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_5}{dt} + c \left( E_5 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \leq e^{-ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \\ \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.156)$$

where

$$E_5 = E_4 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2 + (1 + \epsilon_4) \|\Delta \alpha\|^2 + \epsilon_4 \left( \Delta \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right) + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2.$$

We finally deduce from (4.156) the dissipative estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} Q(\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \\ \|v_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad c > 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.157)$$

### 4.3.4 Global and Exponential Attractors

**Theorem 4.3.1.** *For every  $(u_0, v_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))^3 \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ , (4.99)-(4.103) possesses a unique solution  $(u, v, \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t})$  such that  $(u, v, \alpha) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^3) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega)^3)$ ,  $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)^2)$  and  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^2(\Omega))$ .*

*Proof.*

The proof of existence is based on the a priori estimates derived in the previous section and on, e.g., a standard Galerkin scheme similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 based mainly on (4.109) and (4.144). Therefore, we will only be proving the uniqueness.

Let  $(u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \frac{\partial \alpha^{(1)}}{\partial t})$  and  $(u^{(2)}, v^{(2)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \frac{\partial \alpha^{(2)}}{\partial t})$  be two solutions of (4.99)-(4.103) with initial data  $(u_0^{(1)}, v_0^{(1)}, \alpha_0^{(1)}, \alpha_1^{(1)})$  and  $(u_0^{(2)}, v_0^{(2)}, \alpha_0^{(2)}, \alpha_1^{(2)})$  respectively.

We set

$$(u, v, \alpha) = (u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \alpha^{(1)}, \frac{\partial \alpha^{(1)}}{\partial t}) - (u^{(2)}, v^{(2)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \frac{\partial \alpha^{(2)}}{\partial t}),$$

and

$$(u_0, v_0, \alpha_0) = (u_0^{(1)}, v_0^{(1)}, \alpha_0^{(1)}, \alpha_1^{(1)}) - (u_0^{(2)}, v_0^{(2)}, \alpha_0^{(2)}, \alpha_1^{(2)}).$$

Hence  $(u, v, \alpha)$  satisfy

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} + v^{(2)}) + f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) = 0, \quad (4.158)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} + v^{(2)}) - f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) + f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}, \quad (4.159)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \Delta \alpha = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad (4.160)$$

$$u = \Delta u = v = \alpha = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.161)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad \alpha|_{t=0} = \alpha_0, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \alpha_1. \quad (4.162)$$

First, we multiply (4.158) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ , (4.159) by  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ , (4.160) by  $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$ , and we sum the resulting equations to obtain, using the continuous embedding  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla \alpha\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ & + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq Q \left( \|u_0^{(1)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|u_0^{(2)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0^{(1)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|v_0^{(2)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \right. \\ & \left. \|\alpha_0^{(1)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_0^{(2)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1^{(1)}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \|\alpha_1^{(2)}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.163)$$

It thus follows from (4.163) and Gronwall's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 \leq e^{Qt} \left( \|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2 \right), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.164)$$

hence the uniqueness, as well as the continuity (with respect to the  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$ -norm) with respect to the initial data.  $\square$

It follows from the previous theorem that we can define the family of solving operators

$$S(t) : \Phi' \longrightarrow \Phi'$$

$$(u_0, v_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1) \longrightarrow (u(t), v(t), \alpha(t), \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $(u, v, \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t})$  is the unique solution to our system and  $\Phi' = (H^2(\Omega)^3 \times H_0^1(\Omega))$ .

Furthermore, this family of solving operators forms a continuous semigroup (for the  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$ -topology), i.e.  $S(0) = Id$  and  $S(t + \tau) = S(t) \circ S(\tau), \forall t, \tau \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.3.2.** *The semigroup associated with (4.99)-(4.103) possesses a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}_1$  in  $\Phi'$ .*

The result follows directly from (4.157).

We will be searching now for exponential attractors :

The classical methods of constructing an exponential attractor do not seem to work here because the term  $\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2}$  creates difficulties in the calculations. Therefore, as in [143] and [144], we decompose the solution  $(u, v, \alpha, \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t})$  to our system with initial data  $(u_0, v_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  into the sums

$$u(t) = w_1(t) + w_2(t), \quad (4.165)$$

$$v(t) = q_1(t) + q_2(t), \quad (4.166)$$

and

$$\alpha(t) = r_1(t) + r_2(t), \quad (4.167)$$

where  $w_1, q_1,$  and  $r_1$  are solutions to

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial t} - \Delta w_1 = 0, \quad (4.168)$$

$$\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial t} - \Delta q_1 = \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t}, \quad (4.169)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 r_1}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t} - \Delta r_1 = -\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial t}, \quad (4.170)$$

$$w_1 = \Delta w_1 = q_1 = r_1 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.171)$$

$$w_1|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad q_1|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad r_1|_{t=0} = \alpha_0, \quad \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \alpha_1. \quad (4.172)$$

and  $w_2, q_2,$  and  $r_2$  are solutions to

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} - \Delta w_2 + f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} + v^{(2)}) + f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) = 0, \quad (4.173)$$

$$\frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} - \Delta q_2 + f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) - f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) + f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) = \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}, \quad (4.174)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 r_2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} - \Delta r_2 = -\frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t}, \quad (4.175)$$

$$w_2 = \Delta w_2 = q_2 = r_2 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (4.176)$$

$$w_{2|t=0} = 0, \quad q_{2|t=0} = 0, \quad r_{2|t=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (4.177)$$

Repeating the same calculations leading to (4.156), but considering now (4.168)-(4.172), where  $f = 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{dE_6}{dt} + cE_6 \leq 0, \quad c \geq 0, \quad (4.178)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 &= \|\Delta w_1\|^2 + \|\Delta q_1\|^2 + (1 + \epsilon_4)\|\Delta r_1\|^2 + \epsilon_1\|w_1\|_{-1}^2 + \epsilon_1\|q_1\|^2 + \|\nabla w_1\|^2 \\ &+ (1 + \epsilon_3)\|\nabla q_1\|^2 + (1 + \epsilon_2 + c\epsilon_3)\|\nabla r_1\|^2 + 2\epsilon_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t}, r_1 \right\rangle\right) + (1 + \epsilon_3)\left\| \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ &+ \left(\left\langle \Delta r_1, \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t} \right\rangle\right) + \epsilon_3\|w_1\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.179)$$

Using Gronwall's lemma on (4.178), we obtain

$$E_6(t) \leq e^{-ct} E_6(0). \quad (4.180)$$

On the other side,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &+ \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_1) - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_2) \right\| \leq c \left( \|\nabla(u(t_1) - u(t_2))\| + \|\nabla(v(t_1) - v(t_2))\| \right. \\ &+ \|\nabla(\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(t_2))\| + \left. \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_1) - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_2) \right\| \right) \\ &\leq c \left( \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d\tau \right\| + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} d\tau \right\| + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} d\tau \right\| + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} d\tau \right\| \right) \\ &\leq c|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} \right\|^2 \right) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.181)$$

And it follows from (4.118), (4.129), (4.130) and the fact that the initial data are in a bounded absorbing set, that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq c, \quad (4.182)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $T \geq T_0$  such that  $t_1, t_2 \in [T_0, T]$ .

Moreover, looking at the equation (4.160), we can see that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} \right\| \leq \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\| + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\| + \|\Delta \alpha\|. \quad (4.183)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.141), (4.144), (4.182), and (4.183) that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} \right\| \leq c, \quad (4.184)$$

where  $c$  only depends on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

Whence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\alpha(t_1) - \alpha(t_2)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ & + \left\| \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_1) - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}(t_2) \right\| \leq c' |t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.185)$$

It's important to note that  $w_2$ ,  $q_2$ , and  $r_2$  verify the same system as  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $\alpha$  so they satisfy the same estimations found in the previous sections.

Furthermore, we differentiate (4.173) and (4.174) with respect to time and we multiply the resulting equations by  $(t - T_0) \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t}$  and  $(t - T_0) \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t}$ , respectively. Then, we sum the result with  $(t - T_0) \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}$  times (4.175), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + (t - T_0) \left\| \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + (t - T_0) \|\nabla r_2\|^2 \right) \\ & + c(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq c'(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla r_2\|^2 \right) + c''(t - T_0) \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla r_2\|^2 \right) \\ & + c'''(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u + v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\| \\ & + c'''(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u + v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 + v_2) \right\| \\ & + c'''(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u - v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\| \\ & + c'''(t - T_0) \|\nabla(u - v)\| \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u_2 - v_2) \right\|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.186)$$

similarly to (4.83).

It follows from (4.129), (4.130) that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \left( \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq ce^{c't}, \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (4.187)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .



We can thereby deduce that

$$\int_{T_0}^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx \leq ce^{c't}, \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (4.188)$$

for  $(u, v) = (u_2, v_2)$  and the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

It also follows from (4.163) and (4.164) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) dx &\leq c(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2) \\ &+ \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.189)$$

Applying Gronwall's lemma to (4.186) over  $(T_0, t)$  and owing to (4.164) and (4.187)-(4.189), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{-1}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \|\nabla r_2(t)\|^2 &\leq ce^{c't}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \\ &\|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2), \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.190)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

We now rewrite equations (4.173) and (4.174) in the following forms

$$-\Delta w_2 = \tilde{h}_{w_2}(t), \quad (4.191)$$

and

$$-\Delta q_2 = \tilde{h}_{q_2}(t), \quad (4.192)$$

where  $w_2 = \Delta w_2 = q_2 = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , for  $t \geq 1$  fixed, and

$$\tilde{h}_{w_2}(t) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} - \left( f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} + v^{(2)}) \right) - \left( f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) \right), \quad (4.193)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{q_2}(t) = -\frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} - \left( f(u^{(1)} + v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} + v^{(2)}) \right) + \left( f(u^{(1)} - v^{(1)}) - f(u^{(2)} - v^{(2)}) \right), \quad (4.194)$$

satisfy, owing to (4.164) and (4.190),

$$\|\tilde{h}_{w_2}(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{Qt}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (4.195)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{h}_{q_2}(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{Qt}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2), \quad t \geq 1. \quad (4.196)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

Multiplying now (4.191) by  $-\Delta w_2$  and (4.192) by  $-\Delta q_2$ , we obtain

$$\|\Delta w_2(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_{w_2}(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1,$$

and

$$\|\Delta q_2(t)\| \leq \|\tilde{h}_{q_2}(t)\|, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_2(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|q_2(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq ce^{2t}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\alpha_1\|^2), \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.197)$$

Next, we multiply (4.175) by  $-(t - T_0)\Delta \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}$  and find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + (t - T_0) \|\Delta r_2\|^2 \right) + (t - T_0) \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ \leq c(t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c(t - T_0) \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta r_2\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.198)$$

Then applying Gronwall's lemma over  $(T_0, t)$  and using (4.143) and (4.187), we obtain

$$\left\| \nabla \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}(t) \right\|^2 + \|\Delta r_2(t)\|^2 \leq ce^{c't}. \quad (4.199)$$

Finally, summing (4.197) and (4.199), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_2(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|q_2(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|r_2(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial t}(t) \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 &\leq ce^{2t}(\|u_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|v_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\alpha_1\|^2), \quad t \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.200)$$

where the constants only depend on  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

And the existence of exponential attractors follows from ((4.164)), (4.180), (4.185), and (4.200) (see [59]- [60]). Therefore, we have

**Theorem 4.3.3.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses an exponential attractor  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M}'$  is compact in  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}'$  is positively invariant,  $S(t)\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}'$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{M}'$  has a finite fractal dimension in  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}'$  attracts exponentially fast the bounded subsets of  $\Phi'$  :

$\forall B \subset \Phi'$  bounded,  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}(S(t)B, \mathcal{M}') \leq Q(\|B\|_{\Phi'})e^{-ct}$ ,  
 $c > 0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $B$  and  $\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}$  denotes the Hausdorff semidistance between sets defined by

$$\text{dist}_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Consequently, we deduce from standard results the

**Corollary 5.** *The semigroup  $S(t)$  possesses the finite dimensional global attractor  $\mathcal{A}'' \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ .*



# Chapitre 5

## Conclusion et Perspectives

L'objectif de cette thèse a été d'étudier le comportement asymptotique d'un système couplé d'une équation de Cahn-Hilliard avec une équation d'Allen-Cahn.

On considère dans un premier temps un terme non linéaire régulier. En particulier, on a démontré l'existence et l'unicité de la solution puis l'existence d'un attracteur exponentiel et par conséquent l'existence d'un attracteur global de dimension fractale finie.

Ensuite, la deuxième étude du système était faite avec un terme singulier (logarithmique en particulier). Ici aussi, on a pu démontrer l'existence et l'unicité de la solution qui ont permis de définir un semigroupe à lequel on a trouvé un ensemble borné absorbant. Puis, un attracteur global est trouvé. Enfin, on a établi des résultats de régularité supplémentaire qui ont aidé à démontrer la séparation stricte en dimension une.

La troisième partie de la thèse était consacrée à l'étude du même système mais couplé cette fois avec une troisième équation : l'équation de la chaleur.

Cette partie est divisée elle-même en deux :

Dans la première partie, l'équation de la chaleur suit la loi classique de Fourier. À ce niveau là, on a démontré l'existence et l'unicité de la solution pour pouvoir trouver un attracteur exponentiel et par conséquent un attracteur global de dimension finie.

Dans la deuxième partie, l'équation de la chaleur suit une loi thermodynamique de type III. Dans ce cas, on a aussi réussi à trouver un attracteur global de dimension finie à l'aide d'un attracteur exponentiel construit après pouvoir démontrer en premier lieu l'existence et l'unicité de la solution du système associé.

Pour aller plus loin, notamment en vue de l'étude asymptotique, l'analyse numérique et des simulations des modèles considérés peuvent être envisagées.

Dans le cas du potentiel logarithmique, des estimations additionnelles peuvent être trouvées pour aboutir à une séparation stricte en dimension deux. En dimension trois, cette question reste ouverte.

Concernant l'équation de la chaleur, on peut étudier le cas où cette équation est gouvernée par la loi de Maxwell-Cattaneo ou d'autres lois de type thermomécaniques.

De même, il est intéressant d'étudier le système posé avec des conditions de bord de type Neuman et des conditions de bord dynamiques.



# Bibliographie

- [1] A. Adams and J. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, 2003.
- [2] H. D. Alber, *An alternative to the Allen-Cahn phase field model for interfaces in solids-numerical efficiency*, In : Albers B., Kuczma M. (eds) *Continuous Media with Microstructure 2*, Springer 2016.
- [3] M. Alfaro, D. Hilhorst, and H. Matano, *The Singular Limit of the Allen-Cahn Equation and the FitzHugh-Nagumo System*, *Journal of Differential Equations* 245 (2008), 505-565.
- [4] I. Ali, S. Islam, I. Siddique, and N. Min-Allah, *Some efficient numerical solutions of Allen-Cahn equation with non-periodic boundary conditions*, *International Journal of Nonlinear Science* 11 (2011), 380-384.
- [5] S. M. Allen and J. W. Cahn, *A microscopic theory for antiphase boundary motion and its application to antiphase domain coarsening*, *Acta. Metal.* 27 (1979), 1084-1095.
- [6] M. Asle Zaeem, H. El Kadiri, M. F. Horstemeyer, M. Khafizov, and Z. Utegulov, *Effects of internal stresses and intermediate phases on the coarsening of coherent precipitates : a phase-field study*, *Current Applied Physics* 12 (2012), 570-580.
- [7] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of evolution equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1992.
- [8] V. E. Badalassi, H. D. Ceniceros, and S. Banerjee, *Computation of multiphase systems with phase field models*, *Journal of Computational Physics* 190 (2003), 371-397.
- [9] J. W. Barrett and J. F. Blowey, *Finite element approximation of a model for phase separation of a multi-component alloy with non-smooth free energy*, *Numer. Math.* 77 (1997), 1-34.
- [10] J. W. Barrett and J. F. Blowey, *Finite element approximation of a model for phase separation of a multi-component alloy with a concentration-dependent mobility matrix*, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 18 (1998), 287-328.
- [11] J. W. Barrett, H. Garcke, R. Nürnberg, *A phase field model for the electromigration of intergranular voids*, *Interfaces and Free Boundaries* 9 (2007), 171-210.
- [12] S. Bartels, *A posteriori error analysis for time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau type equations*, *Numer. Math.* 99 (2005), 557-583.
- [13] S. Bartels, R. Müller, and C. Ortner, *Robust A priori and a posteriori error analysis for the approximation of Allen-Cahn and Ginzburg-Landau equations past topological changes*, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 49 (2011), 110-134.

## Bibliographie

- [14] J. Beaucourt, F. Rioual, T. Séon, T. Biben, and C. Misbah, *Steady to unsteady dynamics of a vesicle in a flow*, Phys. Rev. E 69 (2004), 011906-011922.
- [15] M. Benes, V. Chalupecky, and K. Mikula, *Geometrical image segmentation by the Allen-Cahn equation*, Appl. Numer. Math. 51 (2004), 187-205.
- [16] M. Benes and K. Mikula, *Simulation of anisotropic motion by mean curvature-comparison of phase field and sharp interface approaches*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. 67 (1998), 17-42.
- [17] A. L. Bertozzi, S. Esedoglu, and A. Gillette, *Inpainting of binary images using the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 16 (2007), 285-291.
- [18] K. Bhattacharya, *Schlömerkemper, A. Stress-induced phase transformations in shapememory polycrystals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 196 (2010), 715-751.
- [19] T. Blesgen and I. V. Chenchiah, *A generalized Cahn-Hilliard equation based on geometrically linear elasticity*, Interfaces and Free Boundaries 13 (2011), 1-27.
- [20] T. Blesgen and A. Schlömerkemper, *On the Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system with a geometrically linear elastic energy*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A : Mathematics 144 (2014), 241-266.
- [21] T. Blesgen and U. Weikard, *On the multicomponent Allen-Cahn equation for elastically stressed solids*, Electr. J. Diff. Equ. 89 (2005), 1-17.
- [22] J. Blowey and C. Elliott, *The Cahn-Hilliard gradient theory for phase separation with non smooth free energy part ii : numerical analysis*, Euro. J. of Appl. Math. 2 (1992), 147-179.
- [23] D. Blömker, S. Maier-Paape, and T. Wanner, *Spinoidal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 223 (2001), 553-582.
- [24] D. Blömker, S. Maier-Paape, and T. Wanner, *Second phase spinoidal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 449-489.
- [25] A. Bonfoh, *Finite-dimensional attractor for the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in an unbounded domain*, Quart. Appl. Math. 65 (2006), 93-104.
- [26] R. Borcia and M. Bestehorn, *Phase-field model for Marangoni convection in liquid-gas systems with a deformable interface*, Phys. Rev. E 67 (2003), 066307-066316.
- [27] G. Boussinot, Y. Le Bouar, and A. Finel, *Phase-field simulations with inhomogeneous elasticity : comparison with an atomic-scale method and application to superalloys*, Acta Mater. 58 (2010), 4170-4181.
- [28] F. Boyer and S. Minjeaud, *Numerical schemes for a three component Cahn-Hilliard model*, ESAIM : Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis 45 (2011), 697-738.
- [29] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, and J. Taskinen, *Stability of Cahn-Hilliard fronts*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), 839-871.
- [30] D. Brochet, D. Hilhorst and A. Novick-Cohen, *Finite-Dimensional exponential attractor for a model for order-disorder and phase separation*, Appl. Math. Lett. 7 (1994), 83-87.
- [31] L. Bronsard and F. Reitich, *On three-phase boundary motion and the singular limit of a vector-valued Ginzburg-Landau equation*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 124 (1993), 355-379.

- [32] J.W. Cahn, *On spinodal decomposition*, Acta Metall. 9 (1961), 795-801.
- [33] J.W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, *Free energy of a nonuniform system I, Interfacial free energy*, J. Chem. Phys. 28 (1958), 258-267.
- [34] J. W. Cahn, F. C. Larché, *The effect of self-stress on diffusion in solids*, Acta Metall. 30 (1982), 1835-1845.
- [35] J. W. Cahn and A. Novick-Cohen, *Evolution equations for phase separation and ordering in binary alloys*, Statistical Phys. 76 (1994), 877-909.
- [36] J.W. Cahn and A. Novick-Cohen, *Limiting motion for an Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system*, In : N. Niezcgodka & P. Strzelecki (eds), Free Boundary Problems and applications, Addison Wesley Longman, London 1996.
- [37] A. N. Carvalho and J. W. Cholewa, *Strongly damped wave equations in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. (Suppl.), (2007), 230-239.
- [38] L. Q. Chen, *Phase-field models for microstructural evolution*, Ann. Rev. Mater. Res. 32 (2002), 113-140.
- [39] X. Chen, *Global asymptotic limit of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, J. Diff. Geom. 44 (1996), 262-311.
- [40] M. Cheng and A. D. Rutenberg, *Maximally fast coarsening algorithms*, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005), 055701(R).
- [41] M. Cheng and J. A. Warren, *An efficient algorithm for solving the phase field crystal model*, J. Comput. Phys. 227 (2008), 6241-6248.
- [42] L. Cherfils, A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation with logarithmic nonlinear terms*, Milan J. Math. 79 (2011), 561-596.
- [43] R. Chill, E. Fasangovà, and J. Prüss, *Convergence to steady states of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, Math. Nachr. 279 (13-14) (2006), 1448-1462.
- [44] J.-W. Choi, H. G. Lee, D. Jeong, and J. Kim, *An unconditionally gradient stable numerical method for solving the Allen-Cahn equation*, Physica A 388 (2009), 1791-1803.
- [45] R. Choksi, M. A. Peletier, and J. F. Williams, *On the phase diagram for microphase separation of diblock copolymers : an approach via a nonlocal Cahn-Hilliard functional*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 69 (2009), 1712-1738.
- [46] J. W. Cholewa and T. Dlotko, *Global attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard system*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 49 (1994), 277-293.
- [47] C. I. Christov and P. M. Jordan, *Heat conduction paradox involving second-sound propagation in moving media*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005), 154301.
- [48] D. Cohen and J. M. Murray, *A generalized diffusion model for growth and dispersion in a population*, J. Math. Biol. 12 (1981), 237-248.
- [49] J. B. Collins and H. Levine, *Diffuse interface model of diffusion-limited crystal growth*, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985), 6119-6122.



## Bibliographie

- [50] M. I. M. Copetti and C. M. Elliott, *Numerical analysis of the Cahn-Hilliard equation with a logarithmic free energy*, Numer. Math. 63 (1992), 39-65.
- [51] S. M. Cox and P. C. Matthews, *Exponential time differencing for stiff systems*, J. Comput. Phys. 176 (2002), 430-455.
- [52] R. Dal Passo, L. Giacomelli and A. Novick-Cohen, *Existence for an Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system with degenerate mobility*, Interfaces free boundaries 1 (1999), 199-226.
- [53] A. Debussche and L. Dettori, *On the Cahn-Hilliard equation with a logarithmic free energy*, Nonlinear Anal. 24 (1995), 1491-1514.
- [54] V. Derkach, A. Novick-Cohen, and A. Vilenkin, *Geometric interfacial motion : Coupling surface diffusion and mean curvature motion*, Mathematics for Nonlinear Phenomena-Analysis and Computation, 2017.
- [55] T. Dlotko and C. Sun, *Dynamics of the modified viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 35 (2010), 277-294.
- [56] J. A. Dobrosotskaya and A. L. Bertozzi, *A Wavelet-Laplace variational technique for image deconvolution and inpainting*, IEEE Trans, Image Process 17 (2008) 657-663.
- [57] L. Duan, S. Liu, and H. Zhao, *A note on the optimal temporal decay estimates of solutions to the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 372 (2010), 666-678.
- [58] C. Dupaix, *A singularly perturbed phase field model with a logarithmic nonlinearity : Upper-semicontinuity of the attractor*, Nonlinear Anal. 41 (2000), 725-744.
- [59] A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko and R. Temam, *Exponential attractors for dissipative evolution equations*, In : Research in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 37, Wiley, New York, 1994.
- [60] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *Exponential attractors for a nonlinear reaction-diffusion system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris Sér.I 330 (2000), 713-718.
- [61] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville, and S. Zelik *Exponential attractors for a singularly perturbed Cahn-Hilliard system*, Math. Nachr. 272 (2004), 11-31.
- [62] M. Efendiev, A. Miranville, and S. Zelik *Exponential attractors and finite-dimensional reduction for non-autonomous dynamical systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 135 (2005), 703-730.
- [63] T. Eguchi and H. Ninomiya *PC-Visualization of Phase Transitions in Alloys...Kinetics of Ordering with Phase Separation*, In : Dynamics of Ordering Processes in Condensed Matter, S. Komura and H. Furukawa, Plenum Press, New York, 1988.
- [64] C. M. Elliott and S. Luckhaus, *A generalized equation for phase separation of a multi-component mixture with interfacial free energy*, 1991. preprint SFB 256 Bonn No. 195.
- [65] C. M. Elliott, M. Paolini, and R. Schätzle, *R. Interface estimates for the fully anisotropic Allen-Cahn equation and anisotropic mean-curvature flow*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 6 (1996), 1103-1118.
- [66] C. M. Elliott and R. Schätzle, *The limit of the anisotropic double-obstacle Allen-Cahn equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 126 (1996), 1217-1234.

- [67] C. M. Elliott and R. Schätzle, *The limit of the fully anisotropic double-obstacle Allen-Cahn equation in the nonsmooth case*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 28 (1997), 274-303.
- [68] C. M. Elliott and A. M. Stuart, *Viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation II*, Analysis, J. Differential Equations 128 (1996), 387-414.
- [69] C. M. Elliott and S. Zheng, *On the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 96 (1986), 339-357.
- [70] L. C. Evans, H. M. Soner, and P. E. Souganidis, *Phase transitions and generalized motion by mean curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992), 1097-1123.
- [71] D.J. Eyre, *Systems of Cahn-Hilliard equations*, University of Minnesota, AHPCRC preprint 92-102, 1992.
- [72] P. Fabrie, C. Galusinski, A. Miranville, and S. Zelik, *Uniform exponential attractors for a singularly perturbed damped wave equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 10 (1-2) (2004), 211-238.
- [73] H. Fakhri, Ph. D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Poitiers, France, 2015.
- [74] D. Fan, L. Q. Chen, S. Chen, and P. W. Voorhees, *Phase field formulations for modeling the Ostwald ripening in two-phase systems*, Comp. Mater. Sci., 9 (1998), 329-336.
- [75] M. H. Farshbaf-Shaker and C. Heinemann, *A phase field approach for optimal boundary control of damage processes in two-dimensional viscoelastic media*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 25 (2015), 2749-2793.
- [76] G. E. Fasshauer, *RBF collocation methods and pseudospectral methods*, Boundary Elements XXVII, WIT Press, Southampton, (2005), 47-56.
- [77] X. Feng and A. Prohl, *Numerical analysis of the Allen-Cahn equation and approximation for mean curvature flows*, Numer. Math. 94 (2003), 33-65.
- [78] X. Feng and A. Prohl, *Analysis of a fully discrete finite element method for the phase field model and approximation of its sharp interface limits*, Math. Comput. 73 (2004), 541-567.
- [79] X. Feng and H.-J. Wu, *A posteriori error estimates and an adaptive finite element method for the Allen-Cahn equation and the mean curvature flow*, J. Sci. Comput. 24 (2005), 121-146.
- [80] W. M. Feng, P. Yu, S. Y. Hu, Z. K. Liu, Q. Du, and L. Q. Chen, *Spectral implementation of an adaptive moving mesh method for phase-field equations*, J. Comput. Phys. 220 (2006), 498-510.
- [81] H. Garcke, *On Cahn-Hilliard systems with elasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sec. A 133 (2003), 307-331.
- [82] H. Garcke and A. Novick-Cohen, *A singular limit for a system of degenerate Cahn-Hilliard equations*, Adv. Diff. Eq., vol. 5, no. 4-6 (2000), 401-434.
- [83] H. Garcke and V. Styles, *Bi-directional diffusion induced grain boundary motion with triple junctions*, Interf. Free Bound. 6 (2004), 271-294.
- [84] H. Garcke, B. Nestler, and B. Stoth, *On anisotropic order parameter models for multiphase systems and their sharp interface limits*, Physica D 115 (1998), 87-108.

## Bibliographie

- [85] H. Garcke, B. Nestler, and B. Stoth, *Anisotropy in multi phase systems : A phase field approach*, *Interf. Free Bound.* 1 (1999), 175-198.
- [86] Y. Giga and T. Ohtsuka, *On a uniform approximation of motion by anisotropic curvature by the Allen-Cahn equations*, *Interfaces and Free Boundaries* 8 (2006), 317-348.
- [87] A. Giorgini, M. Grasselli and A. Miranville, *The Cahn-Hilliard-Oono equation with singular potential*, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 27 (2017), 2485-2510.
- [88] M. Gokiel, *Asymptotic behaviour for diffusive problems*, Ph.D. Thesis of Université de Franche-Comté, Besan con, 2002.
- [89] M. Gokiel and A. Ito, *Global attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 52 (2003), 1821-1841.
- [90] M. Gokiel and L. Marcinkowski, *Discrete approximation of the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system with logarithmic entropy*, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* 20 (2003), 321-351.
- [91] M. Gokiel and L. Marcinkowski, *A solver for the finite element approximation for the Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system with logarithmic entropy*, *Math. Sc. aand Appl.* 32 (2010), 289-306.
- [92] H. Gomez, V. M. Calo, Y. Bazilevs, and T. J. R. Hughes, *Isogeometric analysis of the Cahn-Hilliard phase-field model*, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 197 (2008), 4333-4352.
- [93] H. Gomez and T. J. R. Hughes, *Provably Unconditionally Stable, Second-order Time-accurate*, *Journal of Computational Physics* 230 (2011), 5310-5327.
- [94] M. Grasselli, G. Schimperna, and S. Zelik, *Trajectory and smooth attractors for Cahn-Hilliard equations with inertial term*, *Nonlinearity* 23 (2010), 707-737.
- [95] C. Gräser, R. Kornhuber and U. Sack, *Nonsmooth Schur-Newton methods for multicomponent Cahn-Hilliard systems*, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 35 (2015), 652-679.
- [96] A. E. Green and P. M. Naghdi, *A re-examination of the basic postulates of thermomechanics*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 432 (1991), 171-194.
- [97] A. E. Green and P. M. Naghdi, *On undamped heat waves in an elastic solid*, *J. Therm. Stresses* 15 (1992), 253-264.
- [98] A. E. Green and P. M. Naghdi, *Thermoelasticity without energy dissipation*, *J. Elasticity* 31 (1993), 189-208.
- [99] A. E. Green and P. M. Naghdi, *A new thermoviscous theory for fluids*, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* 56 (1995), 289-306.
- [100] M. Heida, *On the derivation of thermodynamically consistent boundary conditions for the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system*, *International Journal of Engineering Science* 62 (2013), 126-156.
- [101] M. Heida, J. Málek, and K. R. Rajagopal, *On the development and generalizations of Cahn-Hilliard equations within a thermodynamic framework*, *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik (ZAMP)*, (2011), 1-25.

- [102] D. Hilhorst, J. Kampmann, T. Nguyen, and K. G. Van Der Zee, *Formal asymptotic limit of a diffuse-interface tumor-growth model*, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* 25 (2015), 1011-1043.
- [103] K. -H. Hoffmann and P. Rybka, *Convergence of solutions to Cahn-Hilliard equation*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 24 (1999), 1055-1077.
- [104] T. Ilmanen, *Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to Brakke's motion by mean curvature*, *J. Differential Geom.* 38 (1993), 417-461.
- [105] D. Jeong, S. Lee, Y. Choi, and J. Kim, *Energy-minimizing wavelengths of equilibrium states for diblock copolymers in the hex-cylinder phase*, *Current Applied Physics* 15 (2015), 799-804.
- [106] D. Jeong, J. Shin, Y. Li, Y. Choi, JH. Jung, S. Lee, and J. Kim, *Numerical analysis of energy minimizing wavelengths of equilibrium states for diblock copolymers*, *Current Applied Physics* 14 (2014), 1263-1272.
- [107] J. Jiang, *Convergence to equilibrium for a parabolic-hyperbolic phase-field model with Cattaneo heat flux law*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), 149-169.
- [108] J. Jiang, *Convergence to equilibrium for a fully hyperbolic phase field model with Cattaneo heat flux law*, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 32 (2009), 1156-1182.
- [109] A. Karma and W. -J. Rappel, *Numerical simulation of three-dimensional dendritic growth*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996), 4050-4053.
- [110] A. K. Kassam and L. N. Trefethen, *Fourth-Order time-stepping for stiff PDEs*, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 45 (2005), 1214-1233.
- [111] K. Kassner and C. Misbah, *A phase field approach for stress-induced instabilities*, *Europhys. Lett.* 46 (1999), 217-223.
- [112] M. Katsoulakis, G. T. Kossioris, and F. Reitich, *Generalized motion by mean curvature with Neumann conditions and the Allen-Cahn model for phase transitions*, *J. Geom. Anal.* 5 (1995), 255-279.
- [113] J. Kim, K. Kang, and J. Lowengrub, *Conservative multigrid methods for ternary Cahn-Hilliard systems*, *Commun. Math. Sci.* 2 (2004), 53-77.
- [114] J. Kim, S. Lee, Y. Choi, S. M. Lee, and D. Jeong, *Basic Principles and Practical Applications of the Cahn-Hilliard Equation*, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, ID 9532608, 2016.
- [115] R. Kobayashi, *Modeling and numerical simulations of dendritic crystal growth*, *Physica D* 63 (1993), 410-423.
- [116] R. V. Kohn, F. Otto, *Upper bounds for coarsening rates*, *Commun. Math. Phys* 229 (2002), 375-395.
- [117] R. Kornhuber and R. Krause, *Robust multigrid methods for vector-valued Allen-Cahn equations with logarithmic free energy*, *Comput. Visual. Sci.* 9 (2006), 103-116.
- [118] M. Kotschote and R. Zacher, *Strong solutions in the dynamical theory of compressible fluid mixtures*, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences* 25 (2015), 1217-1256.

## Bibliographie

- [119] I. B. Krasnyuk, R. M. Taranets, and M. Chugunova, *Long-time oscillating properties of confined disordered binary alloys*, Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mathematics 7 (2015) 1-16.
- [120] J. E. Krzanowski, Ph. D. thesis, Department of Materials Science Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- [121] J. E. Krzanowski and S. M. Allen, *Solute-drag Effects at Migrating Diffuse Interfaces-I. Theoretical Analysis and Application to APBs in Fe-Al Alloys*, Acta Metall. 34 (1986), 1035-1044.
- [122] J. E. Krzanowski and S. M. Allen, *Solute-drag Effects at Migrating Diffuse Interfaces-II. Experimental Investigation of APB Migration Kinetics*, Acta Metall. 34 (1986), 1045-1050.
- [123] J. E. Krzanowski and S. M. Allen, *The Migration Kinetics of Antiphase Boundaries Wetted by a Second Phase*, Acta Metall. 31 (1983), 213-222.
- [124] J. S. Langer, *Theory of spinodal decomposition in alloys*, Ann. Phys 65 (1975), 53-86.
- [125] H. G. Lee, J. -W. Choi and J. Kim, *A practically unconditionally gradient stable scheme for the N-component Cahn-Hilliard system*, Physica A 391 (2012), 1009-1019.
- [126] D. Lee, J. -Y. Huh, D. Jeong, J. Shin, A. Yun, and J. Kim, *Physical, mathematical, and numerical derivations of the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Computational Materials Science 81 (2014), 216-225.
- [127] H. G. Lee and J. Kim, *An efficient and accurate numerical algorithm for the vector-valued Allen-Cahn equations*, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012), 2107-2115.
- [128] H. G. Lee and J. Kim, *A second-order accurate non-linear difference scheme for the N-component Cahn-Hilliard system*, Physica A 387 (2008), 4787-4799.
- [129] Y. Li, H. G. Lee, D. Jeong, and J. Kim, *An unconditionally stable hybrid numerical method for solving Allen-Cahn equation*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 60 (2010), 1591-1606.
- [130] D.-S. Li and C.-K. Zhong, *Global attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard system with fast growing nonlinearity*, J. Differential Equations 149 (1998), 191-210.
- [131] S. Liu, F. Wang, and H. Zhao, *Global existence and asymptotics of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation*, J. Differential Equations 238 (2007), 426-469.
- [132] W. Lyons, H. D. Ceniceros, S. Chandrasekaran, and M. Gu, *Fast algorithms for spectral collocation with non-periodic boundary conditions*, J. Comput. Phys. 207 (2005), 173-191.
- [133] S. Maier-Paape, T. Wanner, *Spinodal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard equation in higher dimensions. Part I : Probability and wavelength estimate*, Commun. Math. Phys 195 (1998), 435-464.
- [134] S. Maier-Paape, T. Wanner, *Spinodal decomposition for the Cahn-Hilliard equation in higher dimensions : Nonlinear dynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal 151 (2000), 187-219.

- [135] A. Makki, Ph. D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Poitiers, France, 2016.
- [136] D. F. Martin, P. Colella, M. Anghel, and F. L. Alexander, *Adaptive mesh refinement for multiscale nonequilibrium physics*, *Comput. Sci. Eng.* 7 (2005), 24-31.
- [137] G. B. Mcfadden, A. A. Wheeler, R. J. Braun, S. R. Coriell, and R. F. Sekerka, *R. F. Phase field models for anisotropic interfaces*, *Phys. Rev. E* 48 (1993), 2016-2024.
- [138] P. C. Millett, S. Rokkam, A. El-Azab, M. Tonks and D. Wolf, *Void nucleation and growth in irradiated polycrystalline metals : A phase-field model*, *Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 17 (2009), 0064003.
- [139] S. Minjeaud, *An unconditionally stable uncoupled scheme for a triphasic Cahn-Hilliard/Navier-Stokes model*, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Eq.* 29 (2013), 584-618.
- [140] A. Miranville, *Finite dimensional global attractor for a class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations*, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 4 (2006), 163-182.
- [141] A. Miranville, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation and some of its variants*, *AIMS Math.* 2 (2017), 479-544.
- [142] A. Miranville, *Some mathematical models in phase transition*, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-S* 7 (2014), 271-306.
- [143] A. Miranville, *Exponential attractors for a class of evolutionary equation by a decomposition method*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 328 (1999), 145-150.
- [144] A. Miranville, *Exponential attractors for a class of evolutionary equation by a decomposition method*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. II*, 1999.
- [145] A. Miranville, *Long time behavior of some models of Cahn-Hilliard equations in deformable continua*, *Nonlinear Anal. RWA* 2 (2001), 273-304.
- [146] A. Miranville and R. Quintanilla, *A generalization of the Caginalp phase-field system based on the Cattaneo heat flux law*, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 71 (2009), 2278-2290.
- [147] A. Miranville, R. Quintanilla, and W. Saoud, *A Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn system based on heat conduction*, submitted.
- [148] A. Miranville, W. Saoud and R. Talhouk, *Asymptotic behavior of a model for order-disorder and phase separation*, *Asympt. Anal.* 103 (2017), 57-76.
- [149] A. Miranville, W. Saoud and R. Talhouk, *On The Cahn-Hilliard/Allen-Cahn Equations With Singular Potentials*, *Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems Ser. B* (2018), doi : 10.3934/dcdsb.2018308.
- [150] A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *Robust exponential attractors for Cahn-Hilliard type equations with singular potentials*, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 27 (2004), 545-582.
- [151] A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *Attractors for dissipative partial differential equations in bounded and unbounded domains*, In : *Handbook of Differential Equations, Evolutionary Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 4, C.M. Dafermos and M. Pokorný eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam 2008, 103-200.

## Bibliographie

- [152] A. Miranville and S. Zelik, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potentials and dynamic boundary conditions*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Sys. 28 (2010), 275-310.
- [153] P. de Mottoni and M. Schatzman, *Geometrical evolution of developed interfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 1533-1589.
- [154] T. Nagai, T. Senba and K. Yoshida, *Application of the Trudinger-Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 40 (1997), 411-433.
- [155] H. Ninomiya, *Traveling Wave Solutions of the Allen-Cahn Equations*, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Course Gives Discussions Proceedings 1545, 112-121, 2007.
- [156] A. Novick-Cohen, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation : Mathematical and modeling perspectives*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl 8 (1998), 965-985.
- [157] A. Novick-Cohen, *The Cahn-Hilliard equation*, In : Handbook of Differential Equations, Evolutionary Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 4, C.M. Dafermos and M. Pokorný eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam 2008, 201-228.
- [158] A. Novick-Cohen, *Triple-junction motion for an Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system*, Phys. D 137 (2000), 1-24.
- [159] A. Novick-Cohen, *On the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation*, In : Material Instabilities in Continuum Mechanics, Edinburgh, 1985-1986, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York 1988, 329-342.
- [160] A. Novick-Cohen and L. Peres Hari, *Geometric motion for a degenerate Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system : The partial wetting case*, Phys. D 209 (2005), 205-235.
- [161] A. Novick-Cohen and L. Peres Hari, *Coupled surface diffusion and motion by mean curvature from a diffuse interface model*, Material Science and Technology 2005, CiteSeer.
- [162] R. Nürnberg, *Numerical approximation of phase-field models*, lecture 2, TUM Summer School 2017.
- [163] T. Ohtsuka, *Motion of interfaces by an Allen-Cahn type equation with multiple-well potentials*, Asymptot. Anal. 56 (2008), 87-123.
- [164] A. Onuki, *Ginzburg-Landau approach to elastic effects in the phase separation of solids*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 58 (1989), 3065-3068.
- [165] R. L. Pego, *Front migration in the nonlinear Cahn-Hilliard equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 422 (1989), 116-133.
- [166] J. Prüss, R. Racke, and S. Zheng, *Maximal regularity and asymptotic behaviour of solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 185 (2006), 627-648.
- [167] J. Prüss and M. Wilke, *Maximal  $L^p$ -regularity and long-time behaviour of the non-isothermal Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, In : Partial Differential Equations and Functional Analysis, Operator Theory : Advances and Applications, Vol. 168, Birkhäuser, Basel 2006.
- [168] R. Quintanilla, *Damping of end effects in a thermoelastic theory*, Appl. Math. Lett. 14 (2001), 137-141.

- [169] R. Quintanilla, *On existence in thermoelasticity without energy dissipation*, J. Therm. Stresses 25 (2002), 195-202.
- [170] R. Quintanilla, *Impossibility of localization in linear thermoelasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soci. Lond. A 463 (2007), 3311-3322.
- [171] R. Quintanilla and R. Racke, *Stability in thermoelasticity of type III*, Discr. Cont. Dyn. Sys. Ser. B 3 (2003), 383-400.
- [172] R. Quintanilla and B. Straughan, *Growth and uniqueness in thermoelasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soci. Lond. A 456 (2000), 1419-1429.
- [173] R. Quintanilla and B. Straughan, *Energy bounds for some non-standard problems in thermoelasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soci. Lond. A 461 (2005), 1147-1162.
- [174] R. Quintanilla and B. Straughan, *A note on discontinuity waves in type III thermoelasticity*, Proc. Roy. Soci. Lond. A 60 (2004), 1169-1175.
- [175] R. Quintanilla and B. Straughan, *Nonlinear waves in a Green-Naghdi dissipationless fluid*, J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 154 (2008), 207-210.
- [176] D. Raabe, F. Roters, F. Barlat, and L. Q. Chen, *Continuum Scale Simulation of Engineering Materials : Fundamentals-Microstructures-Process Applications*, Wiley-VCH, 2004.
- [177] S. Rokkam, A. El-Azab, P. Millett and D. Wolf, *Phase field modeling of void nucleation and growth in irradiated metals*, Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 17 (2009), 0064002.
- [178] T. Roths, C. Friedrich, M. Marth, and J. Honerkamp, *Dynamics and rheology of the morphology of immiscible polymer blends - on modeling and simulation*, Rheol. Acta 41 (2002), 211-222.
- [179] J. Shen, C. Wang, X. Wang, and S. Wise, *Second-order convex splitting schemes for gradient flows with Enrich-Schwoebel type energy : application to thin film epitaxy*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 50 (2012), 105-125.
- [180] K. Shiiyama, H. Kanemoto, H. Ninomiya, and T. Eguchi, *Computer Simulation of Dynamics of the Pattern Formulations in Antiphase Ordered Structure and Phase Separation Induced by Ordering in Binary Alloys*, Proceeding of the Workshop on Computer Aided Materials Science, Tsukuba Science City, Japan 1990, and references therein.
- [181] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations : theory and numerical analysis*, Providence (RI) : AMS Chelsea Publishing, American Mathematical Society 2001.
- [182] R. Temam, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, Second edition, In : Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York 1997.
- [183] G. Tierra and F. Guillén-González, *Numerical methods for solving the Cahn-Hilliard equation and its applicability to related energy-based models*, F. Arch. Computat. Methods Eng. 22 (2015), 269-289.
- [184] M. R. Tonks, D. Gaston, P. C. Millett, D. Andrs and P. Talbot, *An object-oriented finite element framework for multiphysics phase field simulations*, Comput. Mater. Sci. 51 (2012), 20-29.



## Bibliographie

- [185] J. D. van der Waals, *The thermodynamic theory of capillarity under the hypothesis of a continuous variation of density*, J. Stat. Phys. 20 (1979), 200-244.
- [186] L. Vanherpe, F. Wendler, B. Nestler and S. Vandewalle, *A multigrid solver for phase field simulation of microstructure evolution*, Math. Comput. Simul. 80 (2010), 1438-1448.
- [187] B. P. Vollmayr-Lee and A. D. Rutenberg, *Fast and accurate coarsening simulation with an unconditionally stable time step*, Phys. Rev. E 68 (2003), 066703.
- [188] L. Wang, J. Lee, M. Anitescu, A. E. Azab, L. C. McInnes, T. Munson and B. Smith, *A differential variational inequality approach for the simulation of heterogeneous materials*, In : Proc. SciDAC 2011.
- [189] A. A. Wheeler, W. J. Boettinger, and G. B. McFadden, *Phase-field model for isothermal phase transitions in binary alloys*, Phys. Rev. A 45 (1992), 7424-7439.
- [190] S. M. Wise, J. S. Lowengrub, H. B. Frieboes, and V. Cristini, *Three-dimensional multispecies nonlinear tumor growth I : model and numerical method*, Journal of Theoretical Biology 253 (2008), 524-543.
- [191] S. Wu and J. Xu, *Multiphase Allen-Cahn and Cahn-Hilliard Models and Their Discretizations with the Effect of Pairwise Surface Tensions*, J. of Comp. Phys. 343 (2017), 10-32.
- [192] H. Wu and S. Zheng, *Convergence to equilibrium for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, J. Differential Equations 204 (2004), 511-531.
- [193] Y. Xia, Y. Xu and C.W. Shu, *Application of the local discontinuous Galerkin method for the Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard system*, Commun. Comput. Phys. 5 (2009), 821-835.
- [194] C. Yang, X. C. Cai, D. E. Keyes and M. Pernice, *NKS Method for the Implicit Solution of a Coupled Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard System*, In : Proceedings of the 21th International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods 2012.
- [195] X. Yang, J. J. Feng, C. Liu, and J. Shen, *Numerical simulations of jet pinching-off and drop formation using an energetic variational phase-field method*, J. Comput. Phys. 218 (2006), 417-428.
- [196] J. Zhu, L. -Q. Chen, and J. Shen, *Morphological evolution during phase separation and coarsening with strong inhomogeneous elasticity*, Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering 9 (2001), 499-511.
- [197] S. Zheng and A. Milani, *Exponential attractors and inertial manifolds for singular perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 57 (2004), 843-877.
- [198] S. Zheng and A. Milani, *Global attractors for singular perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations*, J. Differential Equations 209 (2005), 101-139.
- [199] S. Zhou and M. Y. Wang, *Multimaterial structural topology optimization with a generalized Cahn-Hilliard model of multiphase transition*, Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization 33 (2007), 89-111.