

Recherche de nouvelles stratégies thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie : résistances bactériennes chez Francisella tularensis et développement de nouveaux antibiotiques bis-indoliques de synthèse

Yvan Caspar

▶ To cite this version:

Yvan Caspar. Recherche de nouvelles stratégies thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie : résistances bactériennes chez Francisella tularensis et développement de nouveaux antibiotiques bis-indoliques de synthèse. Virologie. Université Grenoble Alpes, 2017. Français. NNT : 2017GREAV028 . tel-02137367

HAL Id: tel-02137367 https://theses.hal.science/tel-02137367

Submitted on 23 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Communauté UNIVERSITÉ Grenoble Alpes

THÈSE

Pour obtenir le grade de

DOCTEUR DE LA COMMUNAUTE UNIVERSITE GRENOBLE ALPES

Spécialité : Virologie – Microbiologie - Immunologie

Arrêté ministériel : 25 mai 2016

Présentée par **Yvan CASPAR**

Thèse dirigée par **Max MAURIN**, Professeur des Universités-Praticien Hospitalier, Université Grenoble Alpes

préparée au sein du Laboratoire TIMC-IMAG dans l'École Doctorale Chimie et Sciences du Vivant

Recherche de nouvelles stratégies thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie : résistances bactériennes chez *Francisella tularensis* et développement de nouveaux antibiotiques bis-indoliques de synthèse

Thèse soutenue publiquement le **22 Mai 2017**, devant le jury composé de :

Monsieur Olivier EPAULARD Professeur des Universités-Praticien Hospitalier, Université Grenoble Alpes, Président Madame Florence FENOLLAR Professeur des Universités-Praticien Hospitalier, Université Aix-Marseille II, Rapporteur Monsieur Thomas HENRY Directeur de Recherche, INSERM Lyon, Rapporteur Monsieur Frédéric MINASSIAN Maître de Conférences, Université Grenoble Alpes, Examinateur

Membre Invité : Madame Patricia RENESTO Directeur de Recherche, CNRS Grenoble



REMERCIEMENTS

Si on me demandait ce qui était le plus facile entre atteindre le sommet du Mont-Blanc et rédiger une thèse, mon choix serait vite fait. Il m'aura fallu presque 5 ans pour finaliser cette thèse, seulement trois jours pour atteindre le sommet du toit de l'Europe Occidentale. Telle une longue ascension, il faut s'avoir s'armer de patience et de persévérance, mais la récompense n'en est que plus belle au bout du chemin. Ici, les crampons ont été remplacés par des sur-chausses, les gants imperméables par des doubles paires de gants en latex, les vêtements chauds par une charmante combinaison blanche (bien chaude elle aussi lors des mois d'été), la cagoule et le cache nez par une capuche et un masque de protection, qui feraient néanmoins très bien l'affaire comme tenue de camouflage pour un chasseur alpin en hiver. Bienvenue dans le monde charmant de *Francisella tularensis*, au sein d'un laboratoire de niveau 3 de sécurité biologique, ce qui ne facilite l'étude de cette bactérie. Le chemin a été long et s'ouvre sur plein de pistes. Heureusement comme pour une ascension, je n'ai jamais été seul. J'ai donc énormément de personnes à remercier pour tout le parcours effectué pour en arriver jusqu'ici :

Je souhaiterais tout d'abord remercier mon directeur de thèse, le Pr Maurin. Je vous suis très reconnaissant pour la confiance que vous me portez au quotidien dans le cadre de cette thèse mais également pour tous les autres projets en cours au laboratoire sur lesquels vous m'avez associé. Je vous remercie également pour les multiples relectures de tous les articles qui figurent dans cette thèse et de vos conseils avisés pour la rédaction du manuscrit. Votre porte est toujours ouverte et cela est très appréciable. Vous m'avez proposé sur un sujet d'étude qui allie parfaitement mes études de Pharmacie puis ma spécialisation en Biologie Médicale et en Bactériologie, ainsi que mes « origines forestières » dirons-nous.

Je souhaiterais ensuite remercier les membres de mon jury. Merci au Pr Florence Fenollar et Dr Thomas Henry d'avoir accepté d'être les rapporteurs de ma thèse et de l'intérêt que vous portez à mon travail. Je ne doute pas que vos avis experts sauront enrichir les discussions autour de cette thèse et mettre en lumière de nouvelles perspectives à ce travail. Merci au Pr Olivier Epaulard de présider mon jury de thèse. Je suis très heureux de pouvoir profiter de ton oeil avisé de clinicien pour discuter certaines des propositions qui sont faites dans cette thèse pour améliorer la prise en charge des patients atteints de tularémie. Les liens existants entre le servicedes maladies infectieuse et le laboratoire de bactériologie sont un véritable atout au quotidien. Merci au Dr Frédéric Minassian d'avoir également accepté de faire partie de mon jury de thèse. Cela fait maintenant plusieurs années que nous avons établi une collaboration fructueuse sur ce projet de développement de nouveaux antibiotiques entre l'équipe SeRCO du Département de Chimie Moléculaire et le laboratoire de bactériologie, sous l'impulsion de Jean-Noël Denis que je remercie par la même occasion. Un peu de chimie au milieu de toute cette biologie ça ne fait pas de mal pour ne pas totalement oublier les cours de chimie organique que j'ai eu durant mes études à la faculté de pharmacie de Strasbourg. Mais nous n'en sommes qu'au début. J'espère que ces relations amicales se poursuivront encore longtemps et permettront d'aboutir à une nouvelle famille d'antibiotiques. Je voudrais ensuite remercier le Dr Patricia Renesto. Merci à toi Patricia de nous avoir rejoints et d'avoir apporté ton expertise très appréciable au sein de l'équipe *Francisella* du laboratoire TIMC-IMAG. Tu nous as permis d'explorer des aspects structuraux et fonctionnels qui n'auraient pu l'être sans ton arrivée et ton efficacité. Ton expérience enrichit toutes nos discussions au sein de l'équipe.

Je voudrais ensuite remercier toutes les personnes qui font que je me lève chaque matin avec plaisir pour aller au laboratoire. Il s'agit de toute l'équipe des biologistes, cadre, ingénieurs, techniciens, secrétaires et aides de laboratoire du laboratoire de bactériologie. Nous sommes comme une grande cordée. Tous les maillons de la chaine sont indispensables et quand tout le monde tire dans le même sens, on peut déplacer des montagnes. Merci à toi Christine de m'avoir formé à l'évaluation de la sensibilité aux antibiotiques des bactéries et à l'interprétation des antibiogrammes, qui m'ont été bien utiles. Nous partageons le goût des voyages aux quatres coins du monde ; tu me donnes toujours plein d'idées pour de prochaines destinations. Merci Isabelle de m'avoir transmis les fondamentaux de la sérologie, notamment pour le diagnostic de la tularémie, et de m'informer de la qualité de la neige sur les pistes lorsqu'on va au café le matin. Merci Sandrine pour ton dynamisme sans faille faille et toute l'énergie déployée sur le projet d'automatisation de laboratoire et pour ton aide pour les questions de biologie moléculaire que je peux me poser. Merci Aurélie de nous avoir rejoints et d'avoir renforcé notre équipe par tes compétences et ton efficacité. Merci à Jacques Croize de m'avoir transmis le virus de la bactériologie (c'est un comble !). Merci Sophie de t'investir à 300% dans la vie du laboratoire pour que tout se passe tous les jours au mieux pour nous tous. Je ne sais pas ce qu'on ferait sans toi. Merci Thomas de ton investissement au quotidien. C'est un plaisir de partager mon bureau avec toi. Tu nous permets de nous concentrer sur notre coeur de métier en prenant à ta charge le bon fonctionnement des automates du laboratoire. Merci à tous les techniciennes et techniciens pour les moments partagés au sein du laboratoire et en dehors. Merci à ceux qui m'ont formé alors que je n'était encore qu'un petit interne : Greg, Isabelle L, Aurélie, Virginie, Jérome, Justine, Annie, Mathilde, les Catherines, Amandine B, Brigitte, Isabelle D sans oublier Jeanny, Dominique, Christiane, Annick et Elisabeth qui ont pris leur retraite bien méritée. Merci à tous ceux qui sont arrivés après moi au laboratoire et qui apportent leur bonne humeur : Thomas, Stephen, Amandine G, Laura, Kevin, Mailys, Laure, Tatiana, Maeva, Jules, Clara, Margaux (mon dieu que vous êtes nombreux, pourtant ça fait pas si longtemps que je suis arrivé...). Je prends plaisir à travailler avec vous tous les jours.

Je souhaite ensuite remercier les autres membres de notre équipe *Francisella* au sein du laboratoire TIMC-IMAG, Claire et Corinne, qui nous permettent de diversifier nos travaux de recherche sur cette thématique et d'apprendre de nouvelles techniques.

J'ai également une pensée pour Vivien qui a terminé sa thèse l'année dernière et qui m'a été d'une grande aide pour la mise au point de certains protocoles ainsi pour le démarrage de ma thèse sur *F. tularensis*. C'est un plaisir de te revoir à chaque fois que tes nouvelles fonctions te ramènent au laboratoire.

Je remonte ensuite un peu plus loin dans mon parcours, à la faculté de Pharmacie de Strasbourg. J'y ai lié des amitiés durables qu'il m'est impossible de ne pas mentionner. J'ai donc une pensée pour tout ceux qui ont la tête en bas (Bérangère et Tim), pour les parisiens (Garance et Jacques), pour les lorrains (Stéphanie et Boris), pour le franco-sino-helveticofinlandais (Francis) et pour Caro.

Ensuite je veux remercier tous ceux qui ont partagés, égayés ou enflammés mes années d'internat: Anne-so, Delphine, Carine, Guillaume R et T, Gautier mon partenaire d'escalade toujours ultra motivé, Adrien, Anne-Laure, Jordan, Pierre-Alex, Prudence, Sylvain et Mélanie et tous les autres. Même si tous ne sont plus à Grenoble, je ne vous oublie pas et j'espère vous revoir bientôt.

Je veux faire une mention particulière à mes compagnons de cordée: Jean-Luc mon oncle, qui m'a initié aux joies de l'alpinisme et Sylvain avec qui j'ai gravi certains de mes plus beaux sommets. A André et Pascal aussi, qui m'ont emmené vers des sommets péruviens, boliviens et himalayens toujours plus hauts et vers des paysages plus grandioses les uns que les autres. A vos côtés j'ai fait mes plus beaux voyages. Dans une de mes dernières lectures j'ai trouvé une phrase qui vous va si bien: "Certains hommes cherchent à entrer dans l'Histoire, d'autres préfèrent se perdre dans la Géographie". J'espère qu'on ira se perdre aux quatre coins du monde encore souvent.

Je veux remercier mes co-équipiers du club de basket du LTMB et mes partenaires de danse de Mambo-Rock qui me permettent de bien me vider la tête après une bonne journée passée sur mes manips de thèse.

Enfin je ne serais pas arrivé là où j'en suis sans ma famille. Je leur dédicace cette thèse. A mes soeurs Marie et Emmanuelle et mon frère Joseph. Ce n'est pas parce que j'ai quitté mon Alsace natale que je ne pense pas souvent à vous. C'est vrai que c'est toujours un petit pincement au coeur que d'être séparés par tous ces kilomètres, même si certains d'entre vous se sont un peu rapprochés dernièrement. A mes parents surtout. Vous pouvez être fiers de ce que vous nous avez transmis. Finalement, si on y réfléchit bien, ce sujet de thèse semblait un peu prédestiné. Entre une maman pharmacienne et un papa garde forestier, une thèse sur le traitement de la tularémie ça parle à tout les deux. Donc papa, fait attention en forêt, surtout aux lièvres et aux tiques, sinon maman devra te ramener une boite de CIFLOX !

TABLE DES MATIERES

TABLE DES MATIERES	5
1. INTRODUCTION GENERALE : la tularémie	12
1.1. Historique de la maladie	14
1.2. Taxonomie	19
1.2.1. F. tularensis	19
1.2.2. Autres espèces de <i>Francisella</i> exceptionnellement pathogène pour l'homme	20
1.2.2.1. F. novicida	21
1.2.2.2. F. philomiragia	21
1.2.2.3. Endosymbiontes <i>Francisella</i> -like (EFL)	21
1.2.2.4. F. hispaniensis	22
1.2.2.5. F. noatunensis	22
1.2.2.6. Autres espèces	22
1.3. Génotypage moderne des souches de <i>F. tularensis</i> , phylogénie et phylogéographie	23
1.4. Morphologie et caractéristiques biochimiques de Francisella tularensis	28
1.5. Virulence des souches de F. tularensis	29
1.6. Cycle de vie intracellulaire chez l'homme	32
1.6.1. Adhésion et Phagocytose	35
1.6.2. Echappement hors du phagosome et survie intracellulaire	35
1.6.3. Diffusion de l'infection	37
1.7. Epidémiologie	38
1.8. Réservoir/Hôtes/Vecteurs /Modes de transmission	43
1.8.1. Réservoirs potentiels et vecteurs : mammifères, autres vertébrés, arthropodes, environnement	43
1.8.1.1. Espèces animales	43
1.8.1.2. Arthropodes hématophages	44
1.8.1.3. Environnement aquatique et amibes	45
1.8.2. Modes de transmission à l'homme	46
1.8.2.1. Transmission par contact ou morsure d'animaux infectés	46
1.8.2.2. Transmission par ingestion d'aliments contaminés	47
1.8.2.3. Transmission par ingestion d'eau contaminée	47 5

1.8.2.4.	Transmission par morsure d'arthropodes hématophages47
1.8.2.5.	Transmission par voie aérienne48
1.8.2.6.	Transmission par l'environnement (aquatique et tellurique)
1.8.2.7.	Contamination par des souches de laboratoire49
1.8.3.	Le ou les cycles de vie de F. tularensis: entre animaux et environnement50
1.9. F	ormes cliniques51
1.9.1.	Formes ulcéroganglionnaire et ganglionnaire52
1.9.2.	Forme oculo-ganglionnaire
1.9.3.	Forme oropharyngée53
1.9.4.	Forme pulmonaire54
1.9.5.	Forme thyphoïdique55
1.9.6.	Complications
1.10.	Diagnostic biologique
1.10.1.	Sérologie
1.10.2.	Culture
1.10.3.	PCR
1.11.	Traitement62
1.12.	Sensibilité de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques
1.13.	Echec thérapeutique sous aminoside, fluoroquinolone ou doxycycline65
2. SEN	SIBILITE AUX ANTIBIOTIQUES DE F. tularensis72
2.1. P the data	ublication 1 : <i>Francisella tularensis</i> susceptibility to antibiotics: a comprehensive review of obtained <i>in vitro</i> and in animal models73
2.2. P isolated	ublication 2 : Antibiotic susceptibilities of <i>Francisella tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> strains from tularaemia patients in France between 2006 and 2016
3. RES	ISTANCE AUX FLUOROQUINOLONES
3.1. P Mutants	ublication 3 : Functional Characterization of the DNA gyrases in Fluoroquinolone-resistant of <i>Francisella novicida</i> 149
3.2. P DNA gyra	ublication 4 : <i>In vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> evaluation of fluoroquinolone resistance associated with ase mutations in <i>Francisella tularensis</i> , including in tularaemia patients with treatment
4.1 D	ublication 5 · Bis-indolic compounds as notential new therapeutic alternatives for
tularaem	ia
4.2. P	ublication 6 : Novel synthetic bis-indolic derivatives with antistaphylococcal activity,
including	against MRSA and VISA strains226

5.	CONCLUSION ET PERSPECTIVES	254
BIBL	IOGRAPHIE	263
ANN	IEXES	281

LISTE DES TABLEAUX ET DES FIGURES

Liste des tableaux

Tableau 1 : Nombre de cas de tularémie chez l'homme et taux d'incidence en Europe entre
1997 et 2013
Tableau 2 : nombre de cas de tularémie et taux d'incidence pour 100000 habitants dans
l'Union Européenne et l'Espace Economique Européen entre 2010 et 201440
Tableau 3 : Caractères biochimiques permettant la distinction entre les espèces et sous-
espèces de Francisella
Tableau 4 : Traitement de la tularémie chez l'adulte et l'enfant en fonction de la sévérité de
la maladie62
Tableau 5: Analyse des échecs thérapeutiques du traitement de la tularémie par classe
d'antibiotique entre 1989 et 201666-67
Tableau 6: CMI à la ciprofloxacine et mutations de résistances aux fluoroquinolones
observées chez 11 lignées de Francisella résistantes aux fluoroquinolones151

Liste des figures

Figure 1 : Sir Edward Francis16
Figure 2 : Phylogénie du genre Francisella avec représentation de la proportion de gènes de
chaque espèce présentant des évènements de recombinaison20
Figure 3 : Phylogénie de F. tularensis, divisant les souches de type A et B en plusieurs clades
et sous-clades à partir de SNP canoniques24

Figure 4 : Distribution géographique et analyse de la phylogénie des souches de type A1 par
séquençage de génomes complets et recherche de SNPs canoniques25
Figure 5 : Phylogéographie des souches de type B par analyse des SNP canoniques26
Figure 6: Phylogénie des souches de type B en Europe par analyse des SNP canoniques27
Figure 7: Colonies de F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS sur gélose chocolat +
Polyvitex [™] 28
Figure 8 : Cycle intracellulaire de <i>Francisella</i> 34
Figure 9 : Structure tridimensionnelle par cryo-électron-microscopie du système de sécrétion
de type VI de Francisella, formé par assemblage des protéines IgIA et IgIB37
Figure 10 : Cycles terrestres et aquatiques de <i>F. tularensis</i> en Europe50
Figure 11 : Forme oculoganglionnaire de tularémie53
Figure 12 : Adénopathie cervicale observée lors d'un cas de tularémie oropharyngée54
Figure 13 : Structures des Hamacanthines, Topsentines et Spongotines217

LISTE DES ABREVIATIONS

ADN : acide désoxyribonucléique ARN : acide ribonucléique BCYE : buffered charcoal yeast extract canINDELs : insertions/délétions canoniques CDC : Centers for Disease Control CLSI : Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute CMI : concentration minimale inhibitrice **CNR : Centre National de Référence** DCM : Département de Chimie Moléculaire DI50 : dose infectieuse 50 DL50 : dose létale 50 ECDC : European Center for Disease Control and Prevention EFL : endosymbiontes Francisella-like ELISA : enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay INDELs : insertions/délétions InVS : Institut de Veille Sanitaire LNSB3 : laboratoire de niveau 3 de sécurité biologique LPS : lipopolysaccharide LVS : live vaccine strain MALDI-TOF : matrix assisted laser desorption ionisation- time of flight MLVA : multi-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis MRSA : methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus OMS : Organisation Mondiale de la Santé

PCR : polymerase chain reaction

- PSM : poste de sécurité microbiologique
- QRDR : quinolone resistance determining region

RD : région de différence

- SELDI-TOF : surface enhanced laser desorption ionisation- time of flight
- SNP : *single nucleotide polymorphism*
- TLR : Toll-like receptor
- UE : Union Européenne
- UFC : unité formant colonie
- VISA : vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus
- WHO : World Health Organization

1. INTRODUCTION GENERALE : la tularémie

La tularémie est une zoonose dont l'agent responsable est Francisella tularensis. Il s'agit d'une bactérie hautement infectieuse pour l'homme, notamment en cas de contamination par voie respiratoire, ce qui a conduit à sa classification dans la catégorie A des agents potentiels de bioterrorisme par le CDC aux Etats-Unis (Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, USA). Avant l'apparition des traitements antibiotiques, la tularémie était fatale dans la moitié des formes septicémiques ainsi que dans 5% des formes ulcéroganglionnaires (1). Ce n'est qu'à partir de 1947 que le pronostic de la maladie s'est fortement amélioré grâce à un aminoside, la streptomycine. Puis la tétracycline a permis un traitement par voie orale des formes peu sévères de la maladie. Les modifications structurales de ces deux familles d'antibiotiques, permettant d'améliorer leurs propriétés pharmacodynamiques et de réduire leurs effets indésirables, ont depuis conduit à la commercialisation et à l'utilisation préférentielle de la gentamicine et de la doxycycline pour le traitement de la tularémie. La première utilisation des fluoroquinolones dans le traitement de la tularémie est bien plus tardive car elle ne date que de 1989, lors de la description du succès obtenu chez 5 patients finlandais avec la ciprofloxacine ou la norfloxacine. (2) La faible prévalence de la maladie ne permet pas de conduire des études cliniques randomisées, en double aveugle afin de comparer l'efficacité de ces différents antibiotiques. En France, les formes cliniques majoritaires déclarées à Santé Publique France (ex. InVS) sont les formes ganglionnaires et ulcéro-ganglionnaires (> 50%). On observe environ 20% de formes systémiques (pulmonaires et typhoïdiques principalement) et 30% de cas nécessitant une hospitalisation. (3) Actuellement, bien que très rarement fatale, la tularémie conduit à des situations d'échecs thérapeutiques et de récidives dans 5 à 40% des cas malgré un traitement antibiotique adapté. La recherche de nouvelles stratégies thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie demeure donc indispensable.

1.1. Historique de la maladie

La tularémie est décrite depuis plus d'un siècle, à la fois comme la « maladie des chasseurs » ainsi que comme une fièvre associée aux lapins, aux lièvres, aux taons ou encore aux lemmings, autant de reflets des principaux vecteurs classiquement associés à cette pathologie. Elle est également connue sous le nom de maladie d'Ohara ou Yato-byo au Japon. La maladie a été vraisemblablement décrite il y a plusieurs siècles. Des symptômes ressemblant à la tularémie ont été décrits en 1653 chez des lemmings en Norvège et dans des rapports médicaux européens anciens, puis au Japon dans la première moitié du 19^{ème} siècle par Homma Soken. (1,4-6)) Néanmoins la première description détaillée de la pathologie est le fait de Mc Coy. Alors Directeur du laboratoire de recherche sur la peste du service public de santé américain, il fut envoyé en Californie en 1909. Des cas suspects de peste étaient observés chez des rongeurs suite à l'important tremblement de terre de San Francisco de 1906. Il décrivit ainsi en 1911 une épidémie affectant les écureuils terrestres (Citellus beecheyi) du comté de Tulare en Californie mais dont les caractéristiques ne ressemblaient pas au bacille de la peste lors de l'observation microscopique de tissus animaux infectés. (7-9) La bactérie ne put être isolée par Mc Coy et Chapin qu'à partir de 1912 grâce à l'utilisation d'un milieu de culture supplémenté avec du jaune d'œuf coagulé. Après 3 à 5 jours d'incubation, des colonies de 0,3 à 0,7 μ M apparaissaient transparentes et coalescentes entourées d'un halo capsulaire clair. Mc Coy et Chapin nommèrent la bactérie Bacterium tularense en référence au site de découverte de ce pathogène. (10) Ils prouvèrent que cette bactérie était responsable de la pathologie observée et étudièrent les lésions engendrées chez les écureuils terrestres. Elles se caractérisaient le plus fréquemment par la présence de bubons. Ils mirent également en évidence la transmission de cette bactérie à plusieurs autres espèces de mammifères parmi lesquels les cochons d'Inde, les souris, les lapins, les singes et les marmottes, alors que les rats (Mus norvegicus) n'étaient que peu sensibles à l'infection. Les morsures de puce furent identifiées comme possible vecteur de la maladie. (10)

Le premier cas humain authentifié ne fut publié que 2 ans plus tard (en 1914) par Wherry et Lamb, qui isolèrent la bactérie chez un commis de cuisine dans un restaurant de l'Ohio (USA). (11) Ce dernier souffrait d'une conjonctivite de l'œil gauche avec des adénopathies pré-auriculaires et cervicales, associées à de la fièvre et à une prostration. Wherry et Lamb reproduisirent les symptômes chez le lapin et le cochon d'Inde. (11) Le second cas identifié fut décrit chez une fermière qui présentait des symptômes analogues. (12) Les lapins furent identifiés comme potentielle source de la contamination. Wherry observa que cette bactérie apparaissait sous la forme de bacilles au sein des tissus frais de lapins infectés après coloration par l'aniline et le violet de gentiane, mais que la bactérie prenait un aspect coccoïde quelques heures après la mort de l'animal. (12)

En 1919, l'officier de santé publique Edward Francis fut envoyé en Utah pour investiguer des cas de fièvre au long cours consécutifs à des piqûres de taons, associés à des adénopathies régionales satellites de la zone cutanée de la piqûre, certains patients présentant également une prostration. Plus de vingt cas, dont un fatal, avaient été rapportés entre 1917 et 1919. (13) Francis réussit à reproduire la maladie en inoculant des échantillons humains chez des lapins et des cochons d'Inde. Il réussit à cultiver la bactérie et la décrivit comme similaire à celle identifiée par Mc Coy. (13) L'isolement de la bactérie à partir de sang humain, ainsi que la transmission de cette bactérie via des arthropodes hématophages se nourrissant chez un patient aux décours d'une bactériémie conduisit au nom de tularémie. (1,6)

Après plusieurs années de recherche, E. Francis publia un important manuscrit qui apporta les preuves expérimentales de la transmission de la tularémie via les morsures de taons, les puces portées par les lapins et les souris, ainsi que par les punaises de lit. (Figure 1) Il proposa dans cet ouvrage un nouveau milieu de culture glucosé supplémenté avec du sang ou du sérum, et de la cystéine, permettant l'isolement de cette bactérie. Il décrivit un test d'agglutination pour le diagnostic sérologique rétrospectif de la tularémie. Ce manuscrit contenait également les premiers avertissements de sécurité concernant la virulence de cette bactérie pour l'homme car E. Francis y rapportait six cas de tularémie acquis par du personnel de laboratoire. (8,9,14) E. Francis résuma ses connaissances sur la tularémie dans une deuxième publication en 1928, fort de son expérience de plus de 800 cas humains. (15) La transmission de la maladie par morsure de tique fut documentée entre 1924 et 1934 pour les espèces de tiques *Dermacentor andersoni*, *D. variabilis* et *Amblyomma americanum*. (6,9,16–18)



Figure 1: Sir Edward Francis (d'après les archives de l'Institut Smithsonian disponibles sur www.siarchives.si.edu)

Au Japon, une pathologie humaine appelée Yato-byo (maladie du lièvre) transmise lors d'un contact cutané avec un lièvre contaminé et comparable à la tularémie fut mise en évidence par Hachiro Ohara qui collabora avec E. Francis pour prouver que les deux affections étaient causées par le même agent pathogène. (5,8,19) Ohara mit au point un test d'intradermoréaction utile pour le diagnostic précoce de la maladie, ce qui n'était pas possible avec le test d'agglutination sérologique de Francis, et utilisable pour le diagnostic à grande échelle en cas d'exposition de masse à cette bactérie. (20)

Après sa dénomination initiale de *Bacterium tularense*, puis sa classification transitoire *en Pasteurella tularensis* dans les années 1920, l'agent de la tularémie fut dénommé *Francisella tularensis* en 1959, en reconnaissance de la contribution de Francis à la compréhension de la tularémie. (21,22) Les analyses plus récentes basées sur l'hybridation ADN/ADN et le séquençage de l'ADNr16S confirmèrent la validité du genre *Francisella*, au sein de la classe gamma des protéobacteries. (4,8,23) La première séparation au sein de l'espèce *F. tularensis* fut le fait de N. Olsufiev qui distingua en 1959 deux biovars : *F. tularensis* biovar *tularensis* responsable d'une forme sévère de la tularémie chez l'homme et identifié uniquement dans le « Nouveau Monde », c'est à dire en Amérique ; et *F. tularensis* biovar *palearctica* qui ne donnait lieu qu'à une forme clinique modérée de la tularémie dans « l'Ancien Monde », c'est à dire en Europe. (24) Cette taxonomie fut modifiée deux ans plus tard lorsque Jellison démontra que deux variants étaient en réalité présents en Amérique du Nord. Ce dernier distingua les souches de « type A » présentes uniquement en Amérique du Nord, des souches de « type B » présentes dans tout l'hémisphère Nord. (25,26) Cette classification est toujours utilisée actuellement. En 1970, Olsufiev proposa la dénomination des deux types de *F. tularensis* sous la forme de deux sous-espèces, choisissant les dénominations de *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* pour les souches de type A et de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* pour les souches de type B correspondant au précédant biovar *palearctica* .(27) La troisième sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica* fut ajoutée par la suite par Aikimbaev pour désigner des souches présentes dans la zone d'Asie Centrale de l'URSS. (28)

L'analyse de la résistance aux antibiotiques des souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* permit à Kudelina et Olsufiev à la fin de années 1970 de définir deux biovars au sein de cette sous-espèce. Lors de l'analyse de la sensibilité de 330 souches à l'érythromycine, ils remarquèrent qu'un tiers des souches étaient sensibles à 25 mg/L d'érythromycine alors que les deux-tiers restant étaient capables de pousser sur des milieux contenant 6400 mg/L d'érythromycine, sans qu'aucune souche ne soit dans la zone intermédiaire. Aucune différence de virulence, de propriétés biochimiques ou de résistance à d'autres antibiotiques n'étaient corrélées à cette dichotomie des souches au sein de la sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*. Cependant ils observèrent que la répartition des souches était singulière, avec une prédominance des souches sensibles à l'érythromycine en Europe de l'Ouest, dans l'Est de la Sibérie et dans l'Est de l'Asie, alors que les souches résistantes à l'érythromycine étaient regroupées en Europe Centrale, dans la partie européenne de l'URSS et la partie Ouest de la Sibérie. Ils proposèrent donc en 1980 de distinguer les souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* sensibles à l'érythromycine en tant que *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* biovar I et les souches résistantes en tant que biovar II. (29,30) Toutes les souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* présentes en Amérique du Nord appartenaient en revanche au biovar I. (29,30) Ces deux biovars furent complétés deux ans plus tard par un troisième biovar appelé *japonica* pour désigner les souches japonaises qui sont biochimiquement et phylogénétiquement différentiables des deux autres biovars (28,30,31) Des souches de biovar *japonica* ont également été détectées plus récemment en Chine et en Turquie. (32,33)

La recherche d'un vaccin efficace contre la tularémie débuta dès les années 1930 mais les premiers vaccins préparés à partir de bactéries inactivées ne permirent pas d'induire une protection suffisante contre les souches les plus virulentes de *F. tularensis*. La production d'une souche vivante mais de virulence atténuée fut alors entreprise afin d'obtenir un vaccin efficace. Une telle souche fut obtenue par l'URSS qui procéda à des campagnes de vaccination de masse dès 1946. Une ampoule de cette bactérie atténuée fut transférée en 1956 de l'Institut Gamaleia en URSS à l'Institut de recherche médicale de l'armée américaine (Fort Detrick, Maryland) ou fut sélectionnée la souche atténuée LVS (Live Vaccine Strain) couramment utilisée aujourd'hui comme modèle pour l'étude de *F. tularensis*. Cette souche atténuée permit de réduire drastiquement l'incidence des formes pulmonaires graves de la tularémie au sein du personnel travaillant sur cette bactérie à Fort Detrick (de 5,7 à 0,27 cas/1000 employés à risque). (8) Ce vaccin n'est aujourd'hui plus utilisé en vaccination humaine (bien qu'il n'ait pas été remplacé par un vaccin plus efficace) car son efficacité est moindre sur les autres formes de tularémie et car il existe un risque théorique de réversion de cette souche vaccinale vers la virulence.

F. tularensis a également été inclus dans des programmes de création d'armes biologiques dès la Deuxième Guerre Mondiale, de par sa contagiosité et son fort pouvoir infectieux. De larges quantités d'armes biologiques contenant *F. tularensis* sous forme lyophilisée ont été stockées jusqu'à la fin de ces programmes dans les années 1960 par l'armée américaine et jusque dans les années 1990 par l'URSS. (4,34,35) En 1970, l'OMS estimait que la dispersion sous forme d'aérosol d'une arme biologique contenant 50 Kg de *F. tularensis* sur une zone urbaine de 5 millions d'habitants d'un pays développé conduirait au décès de 19000 personnes et à plus de 250000 cas sévères. De plus, sur les deux-tiers de la population qui n'auraient pas fui la zone en 48h, le traitement d'un million de personnes par 10 jours

d'antibiothérapie serait nécessaire. (36) Ces chiffres expliquent la classification de cette bactérie dans la classe A des agents potentiels de bioterrorisme par le CDC.

1.2. Taxonomie

Le genre *Francisella* appartient à la sous-classe gamma des protéobactéries. Il s'agit du seul genre présent dans la famille des *Francisellaceae*.

1.2.1. F. tularensis

L'espèce *F. tularensis* est actuellement scindée en trois sous-espèces, différant par leurs propriétés biochimiques et leur virulence :

- la sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* (souche de type A) qui est la sous-espèce la plus virulente pour l'homme, localisée en Amérique du Nord. Quelques souches environnementales ou isolées de tiques ou d'acariens en Slovaquie et en Autriche ont été identifiées, mais l'analyse de leur génome complet a démontré qu'elles étaient très proches de la souche de laboratoire Schu S4 et donc probablement liées à la dispersion de cette souche de type A d'un laboratoire vers l'environnement. (37)
- la sous-espèce F. tularensis subsp. holarctica (souche de type B) qui est responsable d'une forme plus modérée de la tularémie et présente dans tout l'hémisphère Nord, avec de très rares cas décrits dans l'hémisphère Sud, en Australie et au Soudan. (38,39)
- la sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica* qui regroupe quelques souches isolées en Asie Centrale ; cette souche n'a jamais été isolée chez l'homme.

La sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* est elle-même divisée en trois biovars : le biovar I correspondant aux souches sensibles à l'erythromycine, le biovar II correspondant aux souches résistantes à l'érythromycine, et le biovar *japonica* désignant les souches japonaises capables de fermenter le glycérol et dont la séparation phylogénétique a depuis été confirmé par séquençage de génomes complets. (31,33,40) Il a été démontré très récemment que la résistance aux macrolides des souches de biovar II est liée à la présence d'une mutation ponctuelle A2059C dans les trois copies du domaine V du gène *rrl,* codant pour l'ARNr 23S de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* (41). Cette mutation strictement clonale et acquise par transmission verticale est retrouvée uniquement dans le groupe phylogénétique B.12 présent dont nous reparleront dans un prochain paragraphe et qui se superpose exactement aux souches de biovar II. (41)

1.2.2. Autres espèces de Francisella exceptionnellement pathogène pour l'homme

Deux clades génétiques distincts existent au sein du genre *Francisella*, différant notamment par le contenu en séquences d'insertions de leur génome et leur taux de recombinaison. (Sjödin 2012) Le premier clade est constitué des espèces *F. tularensis*, *F. novicida*, *F. hispaniensis* et d'endosymbiontes d'arthropodes nommés *Francisella*like (EFL). Le deuxième clade contient les espèces *F. philomiragia* et *F. noatunensis*. (Sjödin 2012) L'adaptation progressive des espèces pathogènes à leurs hôtes semble associée à une perte de gènes plutôt qu'à l'acquisition de gènes de virulence. (42) Cette adaptation a conduit de façon indépendante à l'évolution des souches environnementales de *Francisella* vers des espèces pathogènes pour les mammifères (*F. tularensis*) dans le clade 1 ou pathogène pour les poissons (*F. noatunensis*) dans le clade 2. (Figure 2)



Figure 2 : Phylogénie du genre *Francisella* avec représentation de la proportion de gènes de chaque espèce présentant des évènements de recombinaison. (D'après Sjödin et al., 2012 (42))

1.2.2.1. *F. novicida*

F. novicida est la bactérie environnementale la plus proche de *F. tularensis*. Il s'agit d'une bactérie généralement avirulente pour l'homme. Seuls 12 cas humains ont été décrits avec un tableau clinique proche de celui de la tularémie. La plupart de ces cas d'infection ont été observés chez des patients immunodéprimés ou présentant d'autres pathologies sousjacentes, après une contamination par voie hydrique. (43–45). La place de *F. novicida* au sein de la taxonomie du genre *Francisella* fait encore l'objet de débats controversés quant à son positionnement, soit en tant que quatrième sous-espèce au sein de l'espèce *F. tularensis*, soit en tant qu'espèce distincte. (46,46–48) Les deux dénominations de *F. novicida* et *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida* coexistent actuellement dans la littérature, ce qui peut prêter à confusion. Au vu notamment de la forte différence de pathogénicité pour l'homme, il semble préférable de séparer *F. novicida* et *F. tularensis* en deux espèces distinctes. Les aspects règlementaires liées à l'isolement, la possession et l'utilisation de souches de *F. tularensis* sont très contraignants du fait de la virulence de cette espèce pour l'homme et ne doivent pas s'appliquer à *F. novicida*, qui est utilisé comme modèle avirulent pour étudier la physiopathologie des infections à *Francisella*.

1.2.2.2. F. philomiragia

A l'instar de *F. novicida*, il s'agit d'une bactérie pathogène opportuniste pour l'homme en cas d'immunodépression. Des cas d'infections par transmission hydrique, notamment après noyade ont été décrits, en adéquation avec le réservoir aquatique de cette bactérie. (49–52)

1.2.2.3. Endosymbiontes *Francisella*-like (EFL)

De découverte récente, les EFL sont des bactéries endosymbiontes d'arthropodes (notamment de tiques), cultivables uniquement sur milieux cellulaires et non pathogènes pour l'homme. (53) Ces EFL présentent de fortes similarités de séquence d'ADNr 16S avec le genre *Francisella,* tout en étant plus proches de *F. tularensis* et *F. novicida* que de *F. philomiragia.*(42) En cas de recherche d'ADN de *F. tularensis* au sein de tiques, il est important d'utiliser des techniques moléculaires capables de différencier les EFL de *F. tularensis*. (212)

1.2.2.4. *F. hispaniensis*

Une seule souche de *Francisella hispaniensis* a été isolée chez un patient septicémique en Espagne. (47)

1.2.2.5. F. noatunensis

F. noatunensis est un pathogène de poisson, adapté à son hôte par rapport à son équivalent environnemental non pathogène *F. philomiragia*. Décrit pour la première fois chez la morue *F. noatunensis* est divisé en deux sous-espèces : *noatunensis* et *orientalis* qui sont en réalité des groupes paraphylétiques. (42,54,55)

1.2.2.6. Autres espèces

F. cantonensis et *F. gangzhouensis* ont été retrouvées dans des systèmes d'air conditionnés. Les autres espèces décrites au sein du genre *Francisella* sont *F. halioticida*, *F. piscida* et *F. asiatica*. (56)

1.3. <u>Génotypage moderne des souches de *F. tularensis*, phylogénie et phylogéographie</u>

Les avancées technologiques en biologie moléculaire au cours des deux dernières décennies ont apporté de nouveaux outils permettant le typage et l'analyse de la phylogénie et de la phylogéographie des souches de *F. tularensis*, bien que l'évolution constante de ces techniques et la multiplicité des types de classification aient pu apporter de la confusion. Les techniques de typage classiques basées sur le séquençage de l'ADNr 16S ne permettent que la différenciation des espèces *F. tularensis*, *F. philomiragia* et *F. novicida*. La distinction des sous-espèces de *F. tularensis* n'est pas possible par cette technique. (57) Les techniques d'électrophorèse en champ pulsé (58,59), d'analyse multi-locus de séquences répétées en tandem en nombre variable (MLVA : multi-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis) (60–62,62,63), d'analyse de régions de différence (RD) (64,65), et de séquençage des génomes complets avec recherche de Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs) ou d'insertions/délétions (INDELs) canoniques (31,66–68) ont permis successivement de distinguer de nombreux clades et sous-clades au sein des sous-espèces *tularensis* et *holarctica*. (69)

Actuellement le génotypage des souches de *F. tularensis* repose sur le séquençage de génomes complets avec la recherche de SNP canoniques (canSNPs) et de mutations (insertions ou délétions) canoniques (canINDELs) (66). Avec cette technique de génotypage, de nouvelles souches peuvent être facilement implémentées dans l'arbre phylogénétique construit *in silico* à partir des données disponibles des séquences des génomes complets. Il est possible d'individualiser l'ensemble des souches typées tout en détaillant précisément les relations phylogénétiques entre les souches.

En résumé, la phylogénie de *F. tularensis* divise actuellement les souches de type A en deux clades A.1 et A.2 (Figure 3), elles-mêmes scindées respectivement en trois (Figure 4) et quatre sous clades. (31,70)



Figure 3 : Phylogénie de *F. tularensis,* divisant les souches de type A et B en plusieurs clades et sous-clades à partir de SNP canoniques. Les sous clades sont nommés à partir des 2 SNP canoniques permettant leur individualisation. Les étoiles indiquent les sous-clades terminaux définis par un des 8 génomes de *F. tularensis* qui ont permis la construction de cet arbre phylogénétique. Les cercles regroupent toutes les souches du sous-clade concerné. (D'après Vogler et al., 2009 (31)).



Figure 4 : Distribution géographique et analyse de la phylogénie des souches de type A1 par séquençage de génomes complets et recherche de SNPs canoniques. Le gradient d'opacité des symboles sur les cartes est fonction du nombre de souches (foncé = nombre de souches élevé). (D'après Birdsell et al., 2014 (70))

Les souches de type B sont également divisées en de nombreuses branches phylogénétiques (Figure 3, 5, 6). Les principales sont :

- le clade B.4 correspondant à la majorité des souches Nord-américaines

- le clade B.6 regroupant principalement les souches de biovar I d'Europe de l'Ouest (France, Espagne, Pays-Bas, Italie, Suède, Norvège, Finlande) sensibles à l'érythromycine
- le clade B.12 contenant les souches de biovar II d'Europe de l'Est et Centrale (Autriche, République Tchèque, Hongrie, Ukraine, Roumanie, Russie, Géorgie, et Slovaquie)
 résistantes à l'érythromycine
- le clade B.16 englobant les souches japonaises (biovar *japonica*) mais également des souches retrouvées plus récemment en Turquie, en Chine et au Tibet (Figure 5). (31,33,40,67,71–73)



Figure 5 : Phylogéographie des souches de type B par analyse des SNP canoniques. Les numéros d'isolats encadrés indiquent la position des souches chinoises dans cet arbre phylogénétique. (D'après Wang et al., 2014 (33))

En Europe la plus grande diversité génétique des souches de type B est observée dans les pays scandinaves où l'on trouve des souches appartenant à la fois aux clades B.4, B.6 et B.12. (74) L'Allemagne et la Suisse forment l'interface géographique entre les souches appartenant aux clades B.6 et B.12. (75,76) Des souches de biovar I et II sont donc retrouvées dans ces deux pays. Enfin, toutes les souches françaises, espagnoles, italiennes et néerlandaises responsables de cas humains font parties du clade B.6 et sont regroupées dans le sous-clade particulier B.FNTF002-00. Ce dernier est issu de l'expansion clonale d'une souche caractérisée par une délétion caractéristique de 1,59 kb dans la région de différence RD23 qui permet de confirmer rapidement l'appartenance d'une souche à cette sous-clade (à l'exception d'une souche identifiée en Suède possédant également cette délétion) (31,77,78).



Figure 6: Phylogénie des souches de type B en Europe par analyse des SNP canoniques. (D'après Gyuranecz et al., 2012 (67))

1.4. Morphologie et caractéristiques biochimiques de Francisella tularensis

F. tularensis est un coccobacille à Gram négatif, intracellulaire facultatif, de 0,2-0,7 µm de longueur sur 0,2 µm de largeur, dont la coloration de Gram peut être variable. Son aspect peut se révéler pléiomorphe lors de l'observation de tissus infectés ou lorsque la croissance de la bactérie a atteint la phase stationnaire. *F. tularensis* est une bactérie immobile, aérobie stricte qui ne produit ni toxines, ni spore, ni gaz. (79) Du point de vue des tests biochimiques rapides d'orientation, la catalase est faiblement positive alors que l'oxydase et l'uréase sont négatives.



Figure 7 : Colonies de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* LVS sur gélose chocolat + Polyvitex[™]. (CNR des *Francisella*, CHU de Grenoble)

F. tularensis est auxotrophe pour la cystéine ce qui rend nécessaire l'utilisation de milieux enrichis (ex : gélose chocolat + PolyViteX[™]) pour cultiver cette bactérie (Annexe 1). Sa croissance est accrue en atmosphère enrichie avec 5% de CO₂. Après 2 à 4 jours d'incubation, les colonies apparaissent de forme convexe, d'aspect opalescent blanchâtre ou grisâtre pâle, sans élasticité ni résistance lorsqu'on les prélève. Un fin halo clair entoure la colonie correspondant à la présence d'une capsule. (Figure 7) Cette capsule de 100 à 250 kDa, ainsi que la présence d'une activité citrulline uréidase ou citrullinase sont corrélés à la virulence des souches et peuvent être présentes chez les sous-espèces tularensis, holarctica et mediasiatica. (79–82) La richesse en acides gras saturés (acide caprique : $C_{10:0}$, acide laurique : $C_{12:0}$, acide myristique : C_{14:0}) et en acides gras saturés hydroxylés (3-OH C_{16:0}, 3-OH C_{18:0}) de la capsule et de la paroi bactérienne de F. tularensis est également singulière et peut être identifiée par chromatographie en phase gazeuse. (83) La structure particulière du lipide A du LPS de F. tularensis est à l'origine d'un faible effet endotoxinique du fait de l'absence d'activation de la voie des récepteurs TLR4 (Toll-Like Receptors) (84–86) F. tularensis est capable d'assimiler un nombre limité de glucides. (8) Les sous espèces tularensis et holarctica sont capables de fermenter le D-glucose alors que les sous espèces tularensis, mediasiatica et holarctica biovar japonica sont capables d'utiliser le glycérol comme source carbonée, ce qui permettait de différencier les sous-espèces avant l'avènement de la biologie moléculaire. (79,80)

1.5. Virulence des souches de F. tularensis

La virulence des souches de *F. tularensis* diffère entre les sous espèces *tularensis* et *holarctica*. Des différences de virulence ont également été observées au sein de la sousespèce *tularensis*. La virulence des souches a été principalement étudiée chez le lapin, la souris et le cochon d'Inde. La différence de virulence des souches de type A et B peut être observée avec ces trois modèles si elle est exprimée en médiane du temps de survie mais elle ne peut être objectivée sous forme de dose létale 50 (DL50) que chez le lapin. (87–89) Les résultats obtenus chez le lapin montrent ainsi que la DL50 par voie sous-cutanée est inférieure à 10 UFC (Unité formant colonie) chez cet animal. L'injection sous-cutanée de 10 bactéries de type A conduisent à une mortalité proche de 100%, alors que l'infection sous-cutanée ou par voie respiratoire par 1 million d'UFC d'une souche de type B ne parvient pas à tuer les lapins (DL100 estimée à 10⁹ UFC).(24) De même *F. tularensis* subsp. *mediasiatica* et *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* biovar *japonica* ont des DL50 supérieures à 10⁶ UFC. (80) La virulence des souches de type B semble comparable chez le lapin quel que soit le biovar concerné.

Chez l'homme, la dose infectieuse 50 (DI50) est proche d'une bactérie par voie aérienne. La contamination par 50 UFC d'une souche de type A peut engendrer une infection très sévère, surtout en cas de transmission aérienne, alors que seules des infections modérées surviennent avec 12000 UFC d'une souche de type B. (Hornick, 2001; Jones et al.,)

Il existe également des différences de virulence au sein des souches de type A. L'analyse des souches par électrophorèse en champ pulsé (typage exprimé en chiffres romains) a dans un premier temps identifié des souches de clade A.I entrainant une mortalité humaine de 14%, bien supérieure à la mortalité des souches de type B (7%). Par contre, les souches de type A.II ne semblaient pas mortelles (0%) et donc de virulence inférieure aux souches de type B. (58) Cette différence de virulence a été confirmée par la suite chez la souris. (89) La comparaison des génomes des souches de type A.I et A.II dans le but d'expliquer leur différence de virulence n'a identifié des différences génétiques que dans un seul gène complet codant pour une protéine de fonction inconnue, ainsi que de multiples SNPs, petites insertions/délétions, variations de séquences d'insertion et grands réarrangements génomiques. (92) Des analyses plus précises ont permis d'affiner les distinctions au sein des souches de type A.I en terme de virulence. Les souches catégorisées en A.Ib ont été associées à une mortalité de 24% chez l'homme, alors que les souches A.Ia n'étaient fatales que dans 4% des cas. Ces différences ont également été confirmées en modèle murin. (59,89) Seules ces différences de génotypes permettaient d'expliquer cette variation du taux de mortalité chez l'homme. Aucun facteur lié à l'hôte tel que l'âge ou la pathologie sous-jacente n'ont pu être relié à la différence de mortalité observée. (59)

L'avènement du séquençage complet de génome (typage exprimé en chiffre arabes) a depuis divisé les souches de type A.1 en A.1.3, A.1.8 et A.1.12. Toutes les souches préalablement identifiés dans la classe A.1a sont regroupées dans la classe A.1.12 alors que les souches hypervirulentes A.1b sont réparties dans les trois classes. Des tests de confirmation 30

chez la souris ont révélés que les souches A.1.12 sont moins virulentes que les souches A.1.3 mais aucune étude n'a étudié ou réévalué la mortalité chez l'homme au sein de chacune de ces sous-clades. (70,89) On peut supposer que seule une des deux sous clades A.1.3 ou A.1.8 est responsable d'une très forte mortalité pour l'homme mais ceci doit être confirmé. A l'opposé, aucune différence de virulence n'a été démontrée au sein des souches de type A.2 ou de type B. (59,93)

Au vu de ces données, il est donc important de porter une attention particulière au modèle animal et à la souche utilisée lors de l'analyse de la virulence de F. tularensis. En effet, la plupart des études sont conduites avec la souche F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SchuS4. Cette souche a été isolée d'un ulcère du doigt chez un patient originaire d'Ohio (USA) à la fin des années 1940. (94) Très virulente chez le lapin, cette souche originelle a été caractérisée par une DL100 de 1 à 10 UFC chez le lapin et avait démontré une virulence plus grande que les souches de type B sur des modèles de souris, lapins et cochons d'Inde. (24,95) Une hétérogénéité des colonies a permis d'identifier des colonies très virulentes présentant un aspect « lisse » (smooth). Un clone virulent de phénotype smooth n°4 a été sélectionné et renommé SchuS4. (95) Depuis ce jour, la souche SchuS4 est considérée comme la souche modèle pour l'étude de la pathogénicité des souches de type A. Or, premièrement cette souche a été manipulée et sub-cultivée dans de nombreux laboratoires, ce qui a pu conduire à des souches ayant évolué de façon indépendante les unes des autres, bien que toujours appelée SchuS4 et qui peuvent présenter des différences de pathogénicité entre les études. Deuxièmement, en 2006, Twine et al ont comparé leur clone de SchuS4 à une autre souche de type A (FSC033) et à d'autres clones de SchuS4 (FSC043 et FSC237). Leur étude a révélé que la souche la plus virulent était la souche FSC033 avec une DL inférieure à 10 UFC lors d'une administration intraveineuse de la bactérie chez la souris. (88) En revanche, ils ont observé que le clone de SchuS4 FSC043 était moins virulent que la souche de type B de virulence atténuée LVS, avec une DL50 supérieure à 10⁸ CFU chez la souris. A l'opposé la souche FSC237 présentait la même DL100 que FSC033 mais une médiane de survie supérieure à FSC033. (88) La virulence des souches de type A utilisée lors d'expériences de pathogénicité doit donc être évaluée avec précaution avant de débuter des expérimentations animales. Cette différence de virulence entre les souches SchuS4 et FSC033 peuvent être expliquée par le fait que SchuS4 appartient aux souches de type A.Ia alors que la souche FSC033 est décrite comme appartenant au type A.I sans plus de précision. La pathogénicité accrue de FSC033 pourrait s'expliquer s'il s'agit d'une souche appartenant au clade hypervirulent A.Ib. Une deuxième étude plus récente de Molins et al., comparant la virulence de SchuS4 à des souches de type A.Ia, A.Ib, A.II et B a montré que SchuS4 présentait une virulence comparable à la souche de type B évaluée dans l'étude, et une virulence atténuée par rapport à tous les autres souches de type A testées. (96) Par conséquent, les résultats de pathogénicité obtenus avec la souche SchuS4 doivent être interprétés avec précaution. De plus il semble judicieux d'étudier plus particulièrement la pathogénicité des souches de type A.Ib, hypervirulentes pour l'homme, et de les inclure dans les études futures évaluant l'efficacité thérapeutique des antibiotiques actuels ou en développement pour le traitement de la tularémie.

1.6. Cycle de vie intracellulaire chez l'homme

F. tularensis est une bactérie intracellulaire facultative qui peut infecter et se multiplier dans une grande variété de tissus, mais qui peut également exister sous forme extracellulaire dans le sang des mammifères infectés. (97,98) Les principales cellules infectées par la bactérie sont les cellules phagocytaires (macrophages, cellules dendritiques, monocytes, polynucléaires neutrophiles). Les hépatocytes, les cellules épithéliales rénales ou alvéolaires, les cellules endothéliales et les fibroblastes sont également des cellules cibles. (99–102) L'invasion des hématies peut avoir lieu, sans réplication intracellulaire de la bactérie. A ce titre, les hématies représentent peut-être une niche permettant la persistance prolongée de la bactérie dans le sang et sa transmission par des arthropodes hématophages. (34,101) Les macrophages et les cellules dendritiques sont considérés comme étant le principal compartiment cellulaire de multiplication de *F. tularensis*. Ces cellules participent à la dissémination de la bactérie dans l'organisme. (98,100) La plupart des modèles intracellulaires sont de ce fait réalisés à l'aide de cellules phagocytaires mononuclées. L'interprétation des résultats d'infection cellulaire doit se faire avec précaution et tenir compte du type de cellule utilisé (macrophages humains, monocytes humain THP-1, macrophages murins J774) et du type de souche bactérienne utilisée (*F. tularensis* LVS, *F. tularensis* Schu S4, *F. novicida,* souches cliniques...).

Le cycle intracellulaire de *F. tularensis* est bien caractérisé, même si tous les mécanismes moléculaires sous-jacents ne sont pas encore élucidés. Les principales étapes du cycle sont l'endocytose de la bactérie par la cellule, l'échappement de la bactérie hors du phagosome, la multiplication et la survie de la bactérie à l'intérieur du cytosol de la cellule infectée puis enfin, la libération de la bactérie suite à lyse de la cellule infectée ou la transmission de la bactérie de cellule à cellule par le mécanisme récemment découvert de trogocytose (Figure 8).



Figure 8 : Cycle intracellulaire de *Francisella*. L'entrée de la bactérie à l'intérieur des macrophages se fait par l'interaction avec des récepteurs à la surface des macrophages et la formation de pseudopodes (A). La bactérie est capable de survivre à l'intérieur de la cellule dans le phagosome (B), puis d'échapper à ce phagosome (C) pour atteindre le cytoplasme de la cellule et s'y multiplier (D). Le transfert de la bactérie d'une cellule à une autre se fait par lyse de la cellule infectée (F) ou directement de cellule à cellule par trogocytose (G). (D'après Pizarro-Cerda et al., 2016 (103))
1.6.1. Adhésion et Phagocytose

Les mécanismes de phagocytose et d'échappement hors de la vacuole d'endocytose de *F. tularensis* sont différents de ceux d'autres pathogènes bactériens intracellulaires tels que *Legionella*, *Listeria* ou *Salmonella*. La phagocytose de la bactérie se fait grâce à :

- l'adhésion de la bactérie à divers récepteurs présents sur la membrane des macrophages (ex : le récepteur du complément CR3 reconnaissant le facteur du complément C3 qui se fixe le lipopolysaccharide et la capsule de la bactérie, le récepteur du mannose (MR), le scavenger receptor A (SRA), les récepteurs du fragment Fc des IgG (FcyRs) et la nucleolin)(86,102,104)
- la formation d'un pseudopode asymétrique sous l'action de la polymérisation de l'actine, permettant l'internalisation de la bactérie à l'intérieur d'une vacuole d'endocytose qui rétrécit progressivement pour devenir un phagosome. (104,105)
- des mécanismes d'internalisation de la bactérie par macropinocytose qui ont été observés dans les cellules épithéliales. (106)

Grâce à la structure particulière du LPS de *F. tularensis*, cette bactérie n'active pas la voie de défense cellulaire via les TLR-4, mais les TLR-2 reconnaissent néanmoins les lipoprotéines à la surface de la bactérie et permettent d'initier la réponse inflammatoire. (103)

1.6.2. Echappement hors du phagosome et survie intracellulaire

Le phagosome contenant la bactérie interagit rapidement avec les endosomes précoces (acquisition de l'antigène endosomal précoce 1 (EEA-1) à la surface du phagosome) puis avec les endosomes tardifs (acquisition des marqueurs protéiques membranaire associés aux lysosomes LAMP-1 et 2), (86,99,104) mais la fusion avec les lysosomes n'a pas lieu (absence de cathepsine D à la surface du phagosome). (103) *F. tularensis* est capable de survivre à l'intérieur du phagosome en bloquant la formation de dérivés réactifs de l'oxygène via l'inhibition de la formation de la NADPH oxydase et via la réduction de l'acidification du phagosome. (98) La sortie de la bactérie hors du phagosome a lieu dans les premières heures après internalisation de la bactérie (50% des bactéries dans le cytosol 6h après l'infection).

(104). Certains des gènes impliqués dans cet échappement du phagosome sont regroupés au sein d'un ilot de pathogénicité des *Francisella* (FPI). Deux copies de cet ilot de pathogénicité sont présentes chez *F. tularensis* alors qu'une seule copie a été identifiée chez *F. novicida* et *F. philomiragia*. Cet ilot génomique regroupe entre 16 et 19 gènes participant pour certains à la formation d'un système de sécrétion de type VI (Figure 9), alors que d'autres sont toujours de fonction inconnue. (99,107,108)

A l'intérieur du cytosol, la bactérie doit proliférer et survivre aux mécanismes de défenses intracellulaires. En plus des gènes présents dans l'ilot de pathogénicité, des gènes (*mglA, fevR* et *migR*) codant des régulateurs transcriptionnels semblent impliqués dans ces mécanismes et être sous la dépendance de la concentration en ppGpp (alarmone inhibant la synthèse des ARN) dans la bactérie. (86,99). D'autres gènes essentiels pour le métabolisme ou le catabolisme sont essentiels pour la croissance de *F. tularensis*. On peut citer la γ-glutamyl transferase qui permet à la bactérie de subvenir à ces besoins en cystéine à partir du glutathion intracellulaire, certains transporteurs d'acides aminés ou certaines protéines impliquées dans la biosynthèse des purines ou de l'uracile. (86,98,109)



Figure 9 : Structure tridimensionnelle par cryo-électron-microscopie du système de sécrétion de type VI de *Francisella,* formé par assemblage des protéines IgIA et IgIB. Ce système de sécrétion de type VI est essentiel pour les fonctions de sécrétions, d'échappement au phagosome et de réplication intracellulaire de *Francisella.* (D'après Clemens et al., (107))

1.6.3. Diffusion de l'infection

La diffusion de cellule à cellule de l'infection par *F. tularensis* a lieu via deux mécanismes distincts. Le premier mécanisme identifié correspond à la dissémination de la bactérie d'une cellule à une autre lors de la lyse de la cellule infectée, suite à des mécanismes d'apoptose ou de pyroptose. (103) En 2016, un nouveau mécanisme de diffusion appelé trogocytose, n'impliquant pas la destruction de la cellule hôte, a été mis en évidence. Ce mécanisme permet l'échange de contenu intracellulaire entre deux cellules par contact et

bourgeonnement d'une partie de la membrane de la cellule « donneuse » piégeant une fraction de cytoplasme et potentiellement des bactéries au travers de la membrane de la cellule « receveuse » pour former une vacuole secondaire dans la cellule « receveuse ». Ce mécanisme permet ainsi le passage de bactéries d'une cellule infectée directement vers une cellule naïve sans lyse de la cellule infectée. (110)

1.7. Epidémiologie

Les cas de tularémie causés par la sous-espèce *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* sont observés uniquement en Amérique du Nord, à l'exception de souches isolées d'arthropodes en Slovaquie et en Autriche et liées à la dispersion dans l'environnement de la souche de laboratoire SchuS4, comme mentionné plus haut. (37) A l'opposé, la sous-espèce *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* est à l'origine de cas de tularémie dans tout l'hémisphère Nord. De rares cas liés à des souches de type B ont néanmoins été décrit dans l'hémisphère Sud :

- en Tasmanie en 2011, suite à la morsure d'une femme australienne par un possum à queue en anneau (*Pseudocheirus peregrinus*). (38) La présence de cette bactérie chez les possums à queue en anneau a depuis été confirmée en Australie. (111)
- au Soudan en 2012 au décours d'une bactériémie chez un patient présentant des adénopathies sous-mandibulaires. Mais l'identification bactérienne de la souche n'est pas formelle en ce qui concerne ce cas, car elle repose uniquement sur un diagnostic d'exclusion après isolement d'un coccobacille à Gram négatif n'appartenant pas au groupe des HACEK. (39)

L'épidémiologie de la tularémie est caractérisée par un niveau basal de cas sporadiques plus ou moins nombreux suivants le niveau d'endémie du pays concerné, avec la possibilité d'observer des épidémies (Tableau 1 et 2). Une surveillance nationale avec la notification obligatoire des cas confirmés de tularémie existe dans la plupart des pays de l'Union Européenne (UE). Le Centre Européen de Contrôle et Prévention des Maladies (European Center for Disease Control and Prevention : ECDC) rapporte annuellement les cas de tularémie au sein de l'UE depuis 2005. D'après ces rapports, le nombre de cas de tularémie semble en diminution sur la période 2006-2014. Le nombre de cas confirmés par an passe de 1034 en 2006 à 526 en 2014 (range : 309 en 2013 – 1281 en 2007). En 2014 l'incidence des cas confirmés est donc de 0,11 cas/100 000 habitants (Tableau 2). Le pays ayant le plus fort taux de notification en 2014 est la Suède avec 1,56 cas/100 000 habitants.

	Total number of cases	Median per year (range)	Incidence per 100 000 inhabitants	Years of peak incidences
Austria	86	3 (0–19)	0.00-0.20	1998
Belgium	1	0 (0-1)	0.00-0.01	
Bulgaria	308	7 (0-96)	0.00-1.20	1998, 2003
Croatia	97	4 (0-29)	0.00-0.60	1999
Czech Republic	1490	64 (36-255)	0.34-2.20	1999, 2008
Denmark	6	0 (0-4)	0.00-0.10	
Estonia	9	0 (0–2)	0.00-0.15	
Finland	4223	116 (15–926)	0.28-17.90	2000, 2003
France	325	16 (0-104)	0.00-0.16	2008
Germany	172	5 (0–31)	0.00-0.04	
Hungary	1173	69 (15-148)	0.15-1.40	1997, 2006, 2010
Italy	125	4 (0-43)	0.00-0.07	2008
Latvia	6	0 (0–6)	0.00-0.29	
Lithuania	15	0 (0-4)	0.00-0.14	
Netherlands	1	0 (0-1)	0.00-0.00	
Norway	529	18 (0–180)	0.00-3.66	2011
Poland	46	2 (0-8)	0.00-0.02	
Romania	5	0 (0-4)	0.00-0.02	
Slovakia	520	23 (5–133)	0.09-2.50	2002
Slovenia	21	1(0-4)	0.00-0.20	
Spain	1181	1 (0-585)	0.00-1.50	1997, 2007
Sweden	4622	241 (14–698)	0.20-7.80	2000, 2003, 2010
Switzerland	105	0 (0-40)	0.00-0.50	
UK	2	0 (0-1)	0.00-0.00	

Tableau 1 : Nombre de cas de tularémie chez l'homme et taux d'incidence en Europe entre1997 et 2013. (D'après Maurin et Gyuranecz, 2016 (74))

	2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		
Pays	Cas	Taux	Cas	Taux	Cas	Taux	Cas	Taux	Cas rapportés	Cas confirmés	Taux
Autriche	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Belgique	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bulgarie	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
Croatie					1	0	2	0	2	2	0,1
Chypre	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
République	50	0 5	F7	0 5	40	0.4	26	0.2	40	40	0 5
Tchèque	50	0,5	57	0,5	42	0,4	30	0,3	48	48	0,5
Danemark											
Estonie	0	0	2	0,2	0	0	1	0,1	1	1	0,1
Finlande	91	1,7	75	1,4	233	4,3	15	0,3	9	9	0,2
France	22	0	16	0	5	0	21	0	57	19	0
Allemagne	31	0	17	0	21	0	20	0	21	21	0
Grèce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hongrie	126	1,3	15	0,2	18	0,2	48	0,5	140	140	1,4
Islande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Irlande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Italie	1	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lettonie	0	0	0	0	6	0,3	0	0	0	0	0
Liechtenstein				•							
Lituanie	1	0	0	0	3	0,1	4	0,1	4	4	0,1
Luxembourg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pays Bas				•			1	0	5	5	0
Norvège	33	0,7	180	3,7	50	1	28	0,6	46	46	0,9
Pologne	4	0	6	0	6	0	8	0	11	11	0
Portugal				•							
Roumanie	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Slovaquie	17	0,3	5	0,1	8	0,1	9	0,2	6	6	0,1
Slovénie	0	0	0	0	4	0,2	2	0,1	1	1	0
Espagne	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	90	62	0,1
Suède	484	5,2	350	3,7	590	6,2	108	1,1	150	150	1,5
Royaume-Uni	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UE/EEE	872	0,2	725	0,2	994	0,2	309	0,1	592	526	0,1

Tableau 2 : nombre de cas de tularémie et taux d'incidence pour 100000 habitants dansl'Union Européenne (UE) et l'Espace Economique Européen (EEE) entre 2010 et 2014.(Données de l'ECDC, 2014 (112))

La répartition des cas en Europe fait donc apparaitre des pays de forte endémie comme la Suède, la Norvège et la Finlande avec un taux moyen de cas > 1.0/100000 habitants sur la période 2006-2014 mais en forte diminution depuis 2013. A l'opposé, la Grèce, l'Irlande, Chypre, Le Luxembourg, Malte, la Macédoine, la Lettonie, l'Islande et la grande Bretagne semblent exempt de cas de tularémie mais il se peut qu'il y ait eu des biais de notification, de surveillance ou de transmission des données à l'ECDC. Entre ces deux extrêmes on retrouve des pays qui ont un taux faible ou intermédiaire de cas de tularémie :

- entre 0 et 0,1 cas/100000 habitants en moyenne par an en Albanie, Belgique, Bosnie
 Herzégovine, Danemark, Allemagne, Italie, Suisse, Pays-Bas, Pologne, Roumanie et
 Slovénie
- entre 0,1 et 1 cas/100000 habitants en moyenne par an en Autriche, Croatie, Estonie,
 France, Espagne, Hongrie, Lituanie, Ukraine, Slovaquie, République Tchèque et Serbie

(112 - 114)

Aux USA, la tularémie était plus commune dans les années 1950 avec un nombre moyen de cas de 500 à 700 par an, réduit à 100-200 cas par an depuis 1990. Le taux d'incidence de la tularémie était de 0,06/100000 habitants 2014. en (https://www.cdc.gov/tularemia/statistics/index.html) Au Japon, 1300 cas ont été diagnostiqués entre 1924 et 1985 alors que sur les dernières années, moins de 10 cas par an seulement ont été notifiés. (4,115,116) La tularémie est par contre devenue un réel enjeu de santé publique en Turquie où la maladie est à déclaration obligatoire depuis 2005. Le nombre moyen de cas notifiés par an est de 679 sur la période 2005-2012, avec des pics en 2010 et 2011 (respectivement 1531 et 2151 cas) supérieurs au nombre de cas observés dans toute l'Europe à cette période. (117)

Des taux d'infection plus élevés sont observés chez les hommes après 45 ans, probablement lié à la chasse et aux activités en extérieur, à l'exception de la Turquie où les femmes développent plus fréquemment la maladie. (112,117) Les cas sporadiques liés à la chasse sont principalement observés en automne et en hiver. La majorité des cas en relation avec les piqûres de moustiques, de taons ou les morsures de tiques sont en revanche observés entre mai et septembre. (58,112) En Turquie et en Norvège, la plupart des cas surviennent en hiver, via l'ingestion d'eau contaminée. (117,118) Le nombre de cas fluctue également selon les réservoirs et les modes de transmission présents dans chaque pays.

En dehors des cas sporadiques, on peut observer des épidémies de faible ou grande ampleur, principalement dans les pays de forte endémie. Des épidémies ont notamment été décrites en République Tchèque (119), en Suède (120–122), en Norvège (123), en Bulgarie (124,125), au Kosovo (126), en Allemagne (127), en Espagne (128), en Turquie (129–133), en France (134,135), aux USA (136,137) ou en Chine (138). Ces épidémies peuvent être très importantes comme le prouve l'épidémie Suédoise de 2003 impliquant 698 patients ou l'épidémie Norvégienne de 2011 avec 180 cas identifiés, incluant toutes les formes cliniques de tularémie. (120,123) Dans cette dernière épidémie, les sources de contaminations furent multiples. Les formes oro-pharyngées étaient principalement liées à la consommation d'eau contaminée à partir de puits privés, les formes ulcéro-ganglionnaires provoquées par des piqures d'insectes ou la manipulation d'animaux contaminés et les autres formes cliniques probablement la conséquence d'activités en extérieurs telles que la chasse, le trekking ou le travail en forêt et à la ferme. (118) Ainsi certaines épidémies, principalement celles observées sur toute une année, semblent davantage correspondre à une augmentation globale de la transmission de la tularémie correspondant à une situation hyperendémique, c'est à dire une forte augmentation du nombre de cas pendant une période donnée dans une zone d'endémie. Ces situations hyperendémiques semblent favorisées par des conditions environnementales propices à la transmission de la bactérie durant une période plutôt qu'à l'émergence et à l'expansion géographiquement limitée d'une souche virulente. En effet il a été démontré que certaines épidémies même de faible ampleur, peuvent ne pas être liées à des infections par un clone bactérien particulièrement virulent, mais plutôt correspondre à de multiples clones co-existants dans des zones géographiques proches. (93,122) Cela a notamment été observé dans une petite épidémie en Utah (USA) qui a concerné 5 patients contaminés par piqûre de taons en 2007, chez lesquels ont été retrouvées à la fois des souches de type A des clades A.1 et A.2. (137) De même deux épidémies dues à des souches de type B en Suède, ont impliquées différentes souches appartenant aux clades B.13/14, B.7/8 et B.OSU18 dans la première épidémie et aux clades B7., B.10 et B.12 dans la seconde épidémie. (121,122)

Des épidémies clonales sont malgré tout possibles, correspondant à l'introduction de la maladie (parfois d'une souche hypervirulente) dans une population non immune. L'épidémie espagnole observée entre juin 1997 et avril 1998 fait état de 529 cas de tularémie dont tous étaient liés à la souche clonale FTNF002-00 portant la délétion RD23 typique des souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains retrouvées en Europe de l'Ouest.(139) Les périodes de guerre semblent également favoriser les épidémies de tularémie du fait des conditions sanitaires précaires. Des épidémies engendrant des dizaines voire des centaines de milliers de cas par an furent observées pendant la seconde guerre mondiale dans les pays d'Europe de l'Est. Le conflit au Kosovo a provoqué deux épidémies en 1999-2000 et 2001-2002, avec respectivement 327 et 247 cas confirmés par sérologie, probablement liées à l'augmentation de la présence de rongeurs contaminés, ainsi qu'à la consommation d'aliments et d'eau souillés. Depuis cette période le Kosovo est considéré comme une région endémique pour la tularémie avec environ 4 cas pour 100000 habitants entre 2003 et 2010. (Grunow et al., 2012; Reintjes et al., 2002)

1.8. Réservoir/Hôtes/Vecteurs /Modes de transmission

1.8.1. <u>Réservoirs potentiels et vecteurs : mammifères, autres vertébrés, arthropodes,</u> <u>environnement</u>

1.8.1.1. Espèces animales

Plus de 250 espèces animales dont au minimum 190 mammifères (lagomorphes, rongeurs, ours, primates, opposums, félins, ...) (141–143), 88 espèces d'invertébrés, 23 espèces d'oiseaux, (144), 3 amphibiens et quelques reptiles ont été identifiés comme hôtes potentiels de *F. tularensis*. (57) La plupart d'entre eux sont probablement des hôtes accidentels et ne représentent pas un vrai réservoir bien qu'ils participent au cycle de vie de ce pathogène. (9) Les lièvres sont un réservoir potentiel de *F. tularensis* ou tout au moins une source importante de contaminations humaines. Leur sensibilité à l'infection par *F. tularensis*

est variable selon les espèces de lièvre, ce qui permet la persistance de cette bactérie chez les lièvres en dehors des périodes d'épizootie. (67,142). A l'opposé, les campagnols sont des hôtes très sensibles. La contamination des mammifères peut avoir lieu de 3 façons différentes : 1/ d'animal à animal en cas d'épizootie ou d'ingestion d'un animal contaminé par les espèces carnivores ; 2/ par ingestion ou contact avec des eaux contaminées ; ou 3/ via la transmission par un arthropode hématophage.

1.8.1.2. Arthropodes hématophages

Les arthropodes hématophages (tiques, moustiques, taons, puces, punaises, acariens, ...) sont un réservoir potentiel de la maladie. Les espèces de tiques hébergeant la bactérie appartiennent aux genres *Dermacentor, Amblyomma, Haemaphysalis, Hyalomma, Rhipicephalicus* et *Ixodes* avec une prévalence allant jusqu'à 3% dans certaines régions. (57,145–148) La transmission entre les différents stades de vie de la tique a été démontré pour le genre *Ixodidae* et les souches de type A1, A2 et B. (149,150) Mais la transmission transovarienne demeure contestée, ce qui laisse planer un doute sur le rôle des tiques ou des moustiques en tant que réservoir de la maladie. La survie des tiques pendant plusieurs décennies pourrait cependant jouer un rôle dans la persistance à long terme de la bactérie dans la nature. (145,151)

Les taons ne sont quant à eux que des vecteurs mécaniques car la bactérie ne survit pas dans ces arthropodes. Ils sont cependant capables de se nourrir rapidement sur plusieurs hôtes consécutifs. (146)

Les moustiques des genres *Aedes, Culex, Anopheles* ou *Ochlerotatus* ont été identifiés comme vecteurs et réservoirs potentiels. (57,146,152,153) Les moustiques ont été longtemps considérés uniquement comme des vecteurs mécaniques mais il a été démontré que la transmission de *F. tularensis* entre les différents stades de développement du moustique était possible. (152) La persistance de *F. tularensis* dans les formes larvaires jusqu'aux formes adultes de moustiques a lieu dans un quart des larves infectées. (153) Il est possible que les moustiques soient contaminés dans leur environnement aquatique initial, soit directement à partir de l'eau contaminée, soit par prédation de protozoaires infectés comme les amibes.

(9,146,152,154) Néanmoins, la transmission transovarienne de la bactérie n'a pas été démontrée à l'heure actuelle. Plus qu'un réservoir, les moustiques sont donc considérés principalement comme des vecteurs permettant la transmission de la bactérie à partir de son réservoir animal ou aquatique vers l'homme ou d'autres animaux par piqure hématophage.(153)

1.8.1.3. Environnement aquatique et amibes

La présence dans l'eau de formes viables et infectieuses de F. tularensis est connue depuis le début des années 1950. (155) Plus récemment, de l'eau renfermant ce pathogène et conservée à 8°C a permis de maintenir la viabilité de la bactérie et de la ré-isoler en culture pendant au moins 21 jours. (156) En revanche, la persistance dans l'eau pendant des périodes plus longues (140 jours) semble se faire sous un état viable mais non cultivable, avirulent pour la souris. (157) L'amélioration de la survie de la bactérie a été observée dans des eaux riches en soufre, possiblement du fait de l'auxotrophie pour la cystéine de cette bactérie. (158) Il est donc supposé que F. tularensis persiste dans les environnements aqueux sous forme nonréplicative, ce qui rend très difficile la détection de cette bactérie par culture à partir dans d'échantillons hydriques. (153) La biologie moléculaire peut alors s'avérer utile pour détecter la présence de l'ADN du pathogène. Lors d'une étude menée en Turquie analysant 154 échantillons d'eaux prélevés dans des rivières, des sources et des fontaines localisées dans des régions dans lesquelles des épidémies de tularémie avaient eu lieu, seuls 4 prélèvements permirent d'isoler la bactérie en culture alors que 17 prélèvements étaient positifs en PCR en temps réel. (159) Des analyses moléculaires en Suède ont également permis de confirmer la persistance de souches de type B dans des échantillons d'eau récoltés au cours de trois années consécutives au niveau d'un même site géographique. (154) Il est possible que la survie de F. tularensis dans l'eau soit médiée par des protozoaires. En effet, il a été démontré que cette bactérie est capable d'infecter, de survivre et de se multiplier dans des amibes telles qu'Acanthamoeba castellanii. (160) La bactérie a été observée non seulement dans les trophozoïtes mais également dans les formes kystiques. A l'intérieur de ces kystes la bactérie est capable de survivre 3 semaines après l'infection des amibes, protégée de la dessiccation. (161) La co-culture de F. tularensis avec A. castellanii stimule la multiplication de F. tularensis dans les formes trophozoïtes alors que la réplication de la bactérie dans les kystes est faible. (160,161) Les amibes représentent donc peut-être un réservoir important mais méconnu à ce jour dans le cycle aquatique de la tularémie. L'ingestion d'amibes contaminées par les moustiques permettant alors de boucler le cycle aquatique de la tularémie (cf chapitre : cycle de vie de *Francisella*).

1.8.2. Modes de transmission à l'homme

F. tularensis est capable d'infecter l'homme à partir d'une très large variété d'hôtes, de vecteurs et d'environnements allant des mammifères aux taons, des oiseaux aux tiques, des moustiques aux eaux et sols contaminés. (57)

1.8.2.1. Transmission par contact ou morsure d'animaux infectés

Les lagomorphes tels que les lièvres, les rongeurs tels que les campagnols, les souris, les ragondins, les ratons laveurs, les rats musqués, les castors, les écureuils et les rats jouent un rôle important dans la transmission de la tularémie notamment :

- envers les chasseurs, lors de la manipulation ou du dépeçage du gibier
- en cas de morsure de personnes lors d'activités en extérieur (74,162–165)

En Europe, la tularémie est principalement transmise par le lièvre d'Europe (*Lepus europaeus*), très sensible à l'infection par cette bactérie. La proportion de cette voie de transmission semble néanmoins en diminution, inférieure à 50%, alors qu'elle représentait 90% des cas de transmission depuis des décennies. (3,142,145) La chasse du lièvre japonais (*Lepus brachyurus*) est responsable de plus de 90% des cas de tularémie au Japon. (116) Les épidémies animales sont souvent à l'origine d'épidémies humaines comme cela a été observé après une enzootie chez les lièvres en France, après la prolifération de lemmings en Norvège ou de campagnols en Hongrie. (67,118,166) Par conséquent, ces animaux infectés pourraient être utilisés comme sentinelles pour la surveillance de la tularémie. Enfin, des cas de tularémie ont été observés par contact ou morsure d'animaux de compagnie tels que des chats ou des rongeurs. (141,167–169)

1.8.2.2. Transmission par ingestion d'aliments contaminés

Des cas de tularémie oropharyngés, parfois groupés ou familiaux, ont lieu lors de la consommation de gibier contaminé sous la forme de viande insuffisamment cuite ou de terrines. Des cas indirects peuvent être consécutifs à la contamination d'autres aliments par des excréments (selles ou urine) d'animaux infectés ou par leurs cadavres. Cela a notamment conduit à une épidémie Tchèque en 1978 suite à la consommation de jus de pomme contaminé dans une maison de retraite. (118,119,131)

1.8.2.3. Transmission par ingestion d'eau contaminée

La contamination de l'eau, notamment de certains puits, est à l'origine de nombreux cas de tularémie, principalement en Turquie. (126,130,131,170) La contamination de l'eau semble dans ces cas, avoir lieu principalement par le biais de déjections animales ou de carcasses d'animaux infectés plutôt que par la persistance de la bactérie sur de longues périodes dans des points d'eau. L'insuffisance de protection de ces points d'eau vis-à-vis des rongeurs ainsi que l'insuffisance de traitement de ces eaux par chloration conduisent à de nombreux cas de forme oropharyngée de tularémie. (154,159) Les épidémies liées à des contaminations de sources hydriques sont préoccupantes en Turquie où la consommation d'eau non traitée est encore fréquente.(130,132,171).

1.8.2.4. Transmission par morsure d'arthropodes hématophages

Les arthropodes hématophages ont été identifiés comme vecteurs de la maladie très précocement. Francis en 1922 avait déjà démontré la transmission de *F. tularensis* à des animaux sains via des mouches (*Chrysops discalis*), des poux du lapin (*Haemodipsus ventricosus*), des punaises de lit (*Cimex lectularius*), des mites (*Liponyssus isabellinus*) et des puces de souris (*Polyplex serratus*). (14) Depuis, de nombreuses variétés d'arthropodes hématophages dont plusieurs espèces de tiques, de taons, de moucherons, de puces, d'acariens et de moustiques sont reconnus comme vecteurs de la maladie pour l'homme,

principalement pendant les mois d'été lorsque les activités en extérieur augmentent. (146) Les morsures de tiques représentent la première source de transmission aux Etats-Unis et une part importante en Europe (17-26% des cas en France entre 2007 et 2013) (145,172) Dans les forêts de Scandinavie, Russie et Alaska, les moustiques sont le vecteur principal de *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* à l'homme, pouvant être à l'origine d'épidémies. Cette transmission par piqure de moustique a également été rapportée sporadiquement dans d'autres pays d'Europe. (74,173) L'abondance des moustiques selon les années ou les saisons influence directement la variabilité du nombre de cas dans les pays concernés. (174) La distribution des espèces de moustiques capable de transmettre la tularémie pourrait expliquer le regroupement géographique des cas liés aux moustiques dans les régions proches du cercle polaire.

1.8.2.5. Transmission par voie aérienne

Les cas d'infection par *F. tularensis* par voie pulmonaire sont dus à l'inhalation de gouttelettes ou de poussières porteuses de la bactérie (manipulation de foin contaminé, tonte de pelouse, suspension liquide en laboratoire aérosolisée, ...). En théorie, l'introduction d'une bactérie dans les voies respiratoires humaines peut être à l'origine de formes pulmonaires de tularémie dans 50% des cas. (91). Les cas d'infection pulmonaire sont en général sporadiques. Les épidémies de tularémie transmises par voie aérienne sont heureusement rares mais ont été rapportées notamment en Suède (600 cas en 1966-1967) (175) en Finlande en 1982 avec l'infection de 52 fermiers (176) et plus récemment, en Allemagne en 2005 (127) et en Suède en 2010. (122) Des formes pulmonaires de tularémie ont également été notifiées sur l'ile de Martha's Vineyard pendant deux décennies (Massachussetts, USA ; résidence d'été des présidents américains) (136,177).

Ces cas d'infection respiratoire représentent environ 5 à 15% des cas de tularémie sporadiques. A titre d'exemple 12% de formes pulmonaires ont été observés dans le Missouri (USA) entre 2000 et 2007, (178), 10% en France entre 2006 et 2010 (3), 7.7% dans le comté d'Örebro en Suède entre 2000 et 2004 (179) et 13.3% en Norvège en 2011. (118) La sévérité des infections respiratoires par des souches de type A est corrélé à la charge bactérienne inhalée. Ces formes présentent une mortalité de 40 à 60% en l'absence de traitement. (91) L'aérosolisation de gouttelettes ou poussières contenant la bactérie peut avoir lieu lors de la tonte de pelouse ou de la manipulation de foin contenant des carcasses ou des excréments d'animaux contaminés, (136,180), lorsqu'un animal secoue sa fourrure pour la sécher alors qu'elle est contaminée par de l'eau ou des poussières contenant la bactérie, (134,177,181), lors du dépeçage d'animaux contaminés, (127) ainsi que lors de manipulation de cultures liquides de *F. tularensis* en laboratoire.

La transmission interhumaine par voie aérienne reste très exceptionnelle, mais est théoriquement possible. (35) La notification d'un cas de contamination secondaire, d'un patient souffrant de pleuropneumopathie vers une infirmière, a été rapportée en 1951. (91) Des précautions doivent donc être mises en place pour éviter la transmission via des aérosols de fluides corporels notamment en cas de chirurgie de résection d'adénopathies chez un patient atteint de tularémie.

1.8.2.6. Transmission par l'environnement (aquatique et tellurique)

Des cas de tularémie ont été observés suite à des activités de jardinage, de sport en extérieur (canyoning), de blessures pas des végétaux (ronces, ...), de ramassage de champignons ou de manipulation de bois, ce qui témoigne d'une transmission environnementale possible de la bactérie. (3,182)

1.8.2.7. Contamination par des souches de laboratoire

Des cas secondaires de tularémie ont eu lieu suite à l'isolement de souches de *F. tularensis* en laboratoire (laboratoire d'analyses médicales, laboratoire vétérinaire, laboratoire de recherche) ce qui justifie la manipulation des souches de *F. tularensis* sous un poste de sécurité microbiologique (PSM), en laboratoire de niveau 3 de sécurité biologique (LNSB3).

49

1.8.3. Le ou les cycles de vie de F. tularensis: entre animaux et environnement

Malgré quelques avancées, l'écologie et le cycle complet de *F. tularensis* n'est toujours pas entièrement compris et sa caractérisation complète reste très difficile. La très large diversité d'hôtes et de vecteurs potentiels de ce pathogène, la variabilité des réservoirs entre les pays ainsi que les larges différences climatiques et environnementales entre les pays endémiques fait qu'il est impossible de définir précisément un schéma général de la transmission de ce pathogène. Actuellement deux cycles de vie et de transmission de cette bactérie sont décrits, l'un terrestre, l'autre aquatique (Figure 10). (9,57,74)



Figure 10 : Cycles terrestres et aquatiques de *F. tularensis* en Europe. (D'après Maurin et Gyuranecz, 2016 (74))

La sous-espèce *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* semble plus associée au cycle terrestre, impliquant à la fois les petits mammifères comme les lièvres ou les rongeurs et les arthropodes hématophages parmi lesquels on retrouve en premier lieu les tiques et les taons.

La transmission de ces souches de type A à l'homme semble plus associée aux taons et aux tiques dans l'Ouest des Etats-Unis mais uniquement aux tiques à l'Est. (146)

Concernant la sous-espèce *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, un cycle aquatique est rapporté en sus du cycle terrestre, notamment dans certains pays d'Europe comme la Bulgarie, le Kosovo, la Turquie et la Scandinavie. (74,146) Ce cycle aquatique décrit comme possible réservoir des sources aquatiques comme les lacs, les rivières, les étangs ou les puits et impliquerait également les moustiques. (74,183,184) Les eaux seraient contaminées via la décomposition de carcasses de mammifères infectés, notamment de rongeurs semi-aquatiques. (74) Mais on ne sait toujours pas formellement si ce sont les animaux ou les eaux contaminés qui sont le principal réservoir et engendrent l'écologie observée dans ce cycle aquatique. (9) D'autant plus que le réservoir de certaines espèces proches comme *F. philomiragia* ou *F. noatunensis* est aquatique et qu'il a été démontré expérimentalement que cette bactérie est capable de survivre à l'intérieur d'amibe dont le rôle dans le cycle de vie de *Francisella* reste inconnu. (160,161)

1.9. Formes cliniques

La tularémie se caractérise par six formes cliniques principales, dépendant du mode de transmission et du site primaire d'inoculation de la bactérie : les formes ulcéroganglionnaire, ganglionnaire, oculoganglionnaire, oropharyngée, pulmonaire et typhoïdique. La période d'incubation de la maladie est habituellement de 3 à 5 jours mais peut aller jusqu'à deux semaines. La phase initiale est aiguë et caractérisée par la présence d'un syndrome pseudo-grippal, pouvant associer de la fièvre, des frissons, des céphalées, des myalgies et des arthralgies. Des adénopathies satellites élargies et souples doivent être recherchées en regard du point d'inoculation suspecté, dans la zone de drainage lymphatique du site d'inoculation. Parmi les populations les plus exposées on retrouve notamment les chasseurs, les gardes forestiers, les vétérinaires, le personnel des abattoirs et le personnel des laboratoires d'analyses biomédicales, vétérinaire ou de recherche travaillant sur *F. tularensis*.

1.9.1. Formes ulcéroganglionnaire et ganglionnaire

Ce sont les deux formes cliniques les plus fréquentes. Elles sont liées à l'inoculation de la bactérie via contact cutané avec des animaux infectés (manipulation, dépeçage,...), par morsure d'arthropodes hématophages, par morsure d'animaux infectés ou en cas de blessures avec de la terre, des végétaux ou des eaux contaminées. L'infection d'une peau saine n'est pas formellement démontrée à ce jour mais reste possible. En revanche, la présence de lésions cutanées augmente la sensibilité à l'infection. (83) La forme ulcéroganglionnaire associe un ulcère cutané bénin au site d'inoculation de la bactérie (pouvant persister plusieurs semaines) ainsi qu'une adénopathie satellite. La lésion primaire débute sous la forme d'une petite papule devenant une pustule inflammatoire et peut persister sous la forme d'une petite cicatrice. L'ulcère d'inoculation est absent de la forme ganglionnaire. L'adénopathie devient palpable, puis de plus en plus volumineuse et représente le principal motif de consultation des patients atteints de tularémie. Si le traitement antibiotique n'est pas débuté de façon précoce (dans les deux semaines après inoculation), l'adénopathie peut suppurer dans 30 à 40% des cas, voire nécessiter une résection chirurgicale. (83)

1.9.2. Forme oculo-ganglionnaire

Les formes oculoganglionnaires restent rares (environ 3-4% des cas en France, 1% au niveau mondial) et sont liées à des cas de contamination manu-portée de la bactérie vers la conjonctive oculaire suite à la manipulation d'animaux ou d'environnements contaminés ou suite à des projections oculaires de gouttelettes contaminées. (3,83,172) On observe des signes locaux : une conjonctivite unilatérale douloureuse, associée à des larmoiements intenses, un gonflement des paupières, un œdème périorbitaire et parfois une photophobie (Figure 11). (185) Une adénopathie satellite pré-auriculaire ou cervicale se développe dans les jours qui suivent l'atteinte conjonctivale. (83) Des complications sont possibles mais restent exceptionnelles : syndrome de Parinaud (atteinte neurologique avec paralysie oculaire verticale), uvéite. (186,187)



Figure 11 : Forme oculoganglionnaire de tularémie. (D'après Eren Gok et al., 2014 (185))

1.9.3. Forme oropharyngée

Le nombre de cas de tularémie oropharyngée varie selon les pays et est liée à l'ingestion d'aliments ou d'eaux contaminés. Des cas groupés, notamment intrafamiliaux sont possible lors de la consommation de viande contaminée insuffisamment cuite au cours d'un même repas. Les formes oropharyngées sont majoritaires dans certaines parties de la Turquie car la source principale de contamination dans ces régions se fait par l'ingestion d'eaux souillées par des déjections ou des carcasses d'animaux infectés. (188,189) En France cette forme clinique ne représente que 5 à 18% des cas observés. (3,172) L'examen clinique retrouve une stomatite ulcéreuse, une inflammation des muqueuses buccales ainsi qu'une pharyngite, avec ou sans inflammation des amygdales. Les adénopathies cervicales associées sont le plus souvent unilatérales et peuvent suppurer, notamment lorsque la tularémie est évoquée et traitée tardivement (Figure 12). Cette étiologie peut être évoquée en cas d'angine avec inflammation des amygdales, réfractaire au traitement par β -lactamine. (190,191)



Figure 12 : Adénopathie cervicale observée lors d'un cas de tularémie oropharyngée. (D'après Kaya et al., 2011 (192))

1.9.4. Forme pulmonaire

L'inhalation de la bactérie sous forme d'aérosols est à l'origine de la majorité cas de tularémie pulmonaires ou pleuraux-pulmonaires. Certains cas peuvent néanmoins être secondaires à d'autres formes cliniques de tularémie en cas de bactériémie. Les symptômes observés lors des formes pulmonaires sont caractéristiques d'une pneumonie : toux, douleur thoracique, fièvre, polypnée pouvant être accompagnés de vomissement et de nausées. Les formes pulmonaires liées aux souches de type A peuvent être fulminantes en l'absence de traitement antibiotique et simuler une fièvre typhoïde notamment en cas de bactériémie concomitante. Les atteintes respiratoires liées aux souches de type B ne sont pas aussi sévères. Les radiographies pulmonaires peuvent objectiver des adénopathies hilaires et/ou médiastinales ainsi que des infiltrats dans un ou plusieurs lobes pulmonaires.

1.9.5. Forme thyphoïdique

Les formes aiguës de tularémie associant une fièvre intense, des céphalées, une asthénie, des arthralgies et myalgies ainsi qu'une atteinte neurologique (confusion, stupeur, troubles du comportement), ressemblant à la fièvre typhoïde, et dans lesquelles on n'observe ni ulcération, ni adénopathie, sont regroupées sous le terme de forme « typhoïdique ». Ces formes sont associées à la présence d'une bactériémie et diagnostiquées généralement par l'isolement de la bactérie lors de la réalisation d'hémocultures.

1.9.6. Complications

Les complications potentielles de la tularémie sont nombreuses. (74,83) Elles peuvent être :

- Cutanées et se manifester sous la forme d'éruptions papulaires ou papulovésiculaires,
 d'érythème noueux, d'érythème polymorphe, d'urticaire ou de cellulite (83,193–195)
- Ganglionnaires avec suppuration et possible fistulisation à la peau jusque dans 30% des cas d'adénopathies chroniques, pouvant alors nécessiter la résection chirurgicale de l'adénopathie (3)
- ORL avec des abcès péri-amygdaliens, parotidiens et des otites
- Neurologiques : méningites avec hypoglycorachie mais prédominance de lymphocytes, encéphalites, abcès cérébraux (196–200)
- Plus rarement vasculaires : anévrysme aortique (201)

1.10. <u>Diagnostic biologique</u>

En France, les cas confirmés de tularémie associent la présence de signes cliniques compatibles avec la tularémie, avec l'un des critères suivants :

- un isolement de la bactérie à partir de prélèvement cliniques
- la séroconversion ou la multiplication par 4 du titre d'anticorps entre deux sérums prélevés à 15 jours d'intervalle
- une PCR positive sur un prélèvement clinique

Un cas probable de tularémie associe un tableau clinique évocateur à un seul sérum positif. (Fiche de déclaration obligatoire de la tularémie, Santé Publique France, Annexe 2).

Le diagnostic de la tularémie est en général tardif (> 3 semaines par rapport à l'apparition des signes cliniques) hormis dans les pays de forte endémie tels que les pays Scandinaves, dans lesquels les médecins généralistes sont plus au fait de la maladie.

1.10.1. Sérologie

Le diagnostic biologique de la tularémie repose principalement sur la sérologie. La sérologie ne se positive qu'au minimum deux semaines après le contage, c'est à dire 10 jours en moyenne après l'apparition des symptômes, et atteint son pic après environ six semaines. (202) Puis les titres en anticorps décroissent, tout en pouvant persister à des taux résiduels pendant plusieurs mois. En cas d'adénopathie chronique des taux significatifs d'IgG persistent néanmoins en général plusieurs mois. (3) Des taux significatifs d'IgG peuvent être détectés plusieurs années après la séroconversion, y compris en cas de traitement. (203). L'utilisation de méthodes permettant la distinction des IgM et des IgG est importante pour le diagnostic de primo-infection récente. (203)

Des tests sérologiques de type Micro-agglutination, Immunofluorescence, ELISA et Western Blot sont disponibles pour le diagnostic sérologique de la tularémie. (184) La sensibilité des kits commerciaux de type ELISA est en général supérieure à 95% mais leur spécificité inférieure aux techniques d'immunofluorescence. (3,204) Malheureusement l'absence de standardisation entre les techniques et entre les laboratoires fait que des seuils différents sont appliqués selon la technique de sérologie utilisée, ce qui justifie la réalisation de sérologies successives pour un même patient dans un même laboratoire. La confirmation d'une sérologie positive en ELISA par une technique plus spécifique (Immunoblot ou Immunofluorescence) est recommandée. Une sérologie positive peut également être confirmée par l'envoi du sérum à un Centre National de Référence. Dans de rares cas confirmés de tularémie, les sérologies réalisées peuvent néanmoins rester négative. Enfin, des cas de faux positifs liés à des réactions croisées peuvent être observés notamment avec *Brucella* ou *Yersinia* et sont plus fréquents en cas d'utilisation d'une technique de type ELISA. (204)

1.10.2. <u>Culture</u>

F. tularensis est une bactérie fastidieuse de culture très difficile, qui doit être manipulée en LNSB3. Les souches sont cultivables à partir de divers prélèvements telles que des lésions cutanées, muqueuses ou conjonctivales, des biopsies ganglionnaires ou des prélèvements sanguins. La culture doit se faire sur milieu riche, enrichi en cystéine du fait de l'auxotrophie de la bactérie pour ce composé. Les milieux classiquement employés sont :

- les géloses au sang cuit enrichi en vitamines de type Polyvitex[®], supplémentées ou non en antibiotiques

- les géloses glucosées au sang enrichies en cystéine et en Polyvitex®

- les géloses coeur cystéine au sang cuit, additionnées ou non d'hémoglobine

- les géloses de type BCYE (buffered charcoal yeast extract) avec cystéine

La bactérie est également capable de pousser dans les flacons d'hémocultures aérobies. Malheureusement ces cultures ne sont positives que dans environ 10% des cas, principalement au décours de bactériémies, grâce à l'utilisation de flacons d'hémoculture. (74)

La conservation du prélèvement ainsi que le délai avant mise en culture influencent fortement l'efficacité de la culture. En cas de délai avant mise en culture du prélèvement, la congélation du prélèvement semble recommandée pour permettre sa conservation optimale. L'utilisation de milieu de culture additionné d'antibiotiques semble également améliorer la culture de la bactérie en cas de prélèvement polymicrobien. (205) Les géloses doivent être incubées à 37°C. Une atmosphère enrichie en 5% de CO₂ semble requise pour la croissance de certaines souches. La croissance de la bactérie est suffisante en 48h en général mais peut prendre plusieurs jours à partir d'un prélèvement clinique. Les colonies font 1 à 2 mm de diamètre et sont de couleur blanches à légèrement grisâtres, brillantes et opalescentes, avec un halo transparent sur le pourtour de la colonie, correspondant à la capsule. Des tests biochimiques simples comme la fermentation du glucose ou du glycérol peuvent être utiles pour la distinction des différentes espèces ou sous-espèce des *Francisella*, bien que ces tests soient actuellement remplacés par le typage des souches grâce à des techniques de biologie moléculaire ou de spectrométrie de masse MALDI-TOF.

Caractàra		F. tularensis	E novicida	C philomiragia	
Calactere	ssp. tularensis ssp. holarctica ssp. mediasiatica		r. noviciuu	r . prinornirugiu	
Besoin en cystéine/cystine	+	+	+	-	-
Fermentation du maltose	+	+	-	faible	+
Fermentation du sucrose	-	-	-	+	+
Fermentation du D-glucose	+	+	-	+	faible
Fermentation du glycérol	+	-	+	faible	-
Production de citrulline uréidase	+	+/-	+	+	NT
Oxydase	-	-	-	-	+

Tableau 3 : Caractères biochimiques permettant la distinction entre les espèces et sous-espèces de Francisella. (Adapté de Tarnvik, 2007 (83))

Actuellement, l'identification des souches à l'espèce est possible en routine par spectrométrie de masse MALDI-TOF mais peut nécessiter l'utilisation d'une base de donnée additionnelle. L'identification à la sous-espèce semble également possible après construction d'une base de données intégrant des spectres de plusieurs souches appartenant aux différentes espèces et sous-espèces de *Francisella*. La construction d'une grande base de données de spectre de *Francisella* reste encore nécessaire pour obtenir des scores d'identification suffisants. (206,207) Par ailleurs l'analyse combinée de 3 pics spécifiques au

sein des spectres du genre *Francisella* obtenus par MALDI-TOF, correspondant à trois protéines (la forme B de la protéine histone-like HU, la chaperonine de 10 kDa Cpn10, et la protéine ribosomale L24 de la sous-unité 50S), semble en mesure de permettre la distinction des espèces et sous-espèces au sein du genre *Francisella*. (208) L'identification des souches par spectrométrie de masse MALDI-TOF constitue donc une nouvelle méthode prometteuse d'identification très rapide, peu couteuse et efficace des bactéries au sein du genre *Francisella*. De même, la distinction des sous-espèces semble possible avec la spectrométrie de masse SELDI-TOF. (209,210)

1.10.3. PCR

De nombreux tests de biologie moléculaire (PCR, PCR en temps réel, PCR multiplexe) pour le diagnostic de la tularémie sur des prélèvements humains, animaux ou environnementaux ont été développés depuis l'apparition de la PCR. La PCR 16S pan bactérienne ne permet pas de faire la distinction entre les sous-espèces au sein du genre *Francisella* car les séquences sont trop homologues. (211,212) Pour la pratique clinique, il est important de pouvoir distinguer rapidement et efficacement *F. tularensis* des autres espèces du genre *Francisella*, de même qu'il est important de distinguer les endosymbiontes *Francisella*-like (EFL) lors de l'analyse de la prévalence de *F. tularensis* au sein des arthropodes hématophages vecteurs de la tularémie. (212) Actuellement les principaux gènes cibles pour le diagnostic de la tularémie sont les gènes :

ISFtu2 codant une séquence d'insertion spécifique du genre *Francisella*, présent en multi-copies dans le génome (12 à 17 copies chez *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*, 26 à 30 copies chez *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, 6 à 18 copies chez *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*, et 1 à 2 copies chez *F. philomiragia*), ce qui en fait actuellement la PCR la plus sensible pour la détection des *Francisella* (< 20 CFU/mL). (213) Cependant ce gène n'est pas spécifique de l'espèce *F. tularensis*. Des réactions positives sont possibles avec *F. novicida*, *F. philomiragia* et les endosymbiontes *Francisella*-like. (213,214) Cette PCR est donc une PCR de screening très sensible mais qui doit être confirmée par une technique plus spécifique pour *F. tularensis*.

- *tul4* (aussi appelé *LpnA*) codant pour une lipoprotéine de 17 KDa associée à la membrane externe de la bactérie. (97) La sensibilité de la PCR ciblant ce gène est proche de 20 CFU/mL mais sa spécificité est accrue par rapport à *ISFTu2* car cette PCR ne se positive pas en présence de *F. philomiragia* ou d'endosymbiontes *Francisella*-like. Elle détecte néanmoins *F. novicida*. (213)
- 23 kDA codant une protéine exprimée par la bactérie importante pour l'infection des macrophages. Sa sensibilité est proche de celle de *ISFtu2* mais cette PCR amplifie également *F. novicida* et certaines souches de *F. philomiragia*. (213)
- fopA codant une protéine de la membrane externe de la bactérie. Plusieurs amorces différentes sont décrites. Les PCR ciblant ce gène sont généralement de sensibilité moindre que celles ciblant les 3 précédents gènes et leur spécificité est variable vis-àvis du genre *Francisella*. (213–215)

La détection de *ISFtu2, 23 kDa* et *tul4* a pu être combinées dans un outil de PCR multi-cibles afin d'améliorer certains défauts de sensibilité ou spécificité de ces PCR individuelles. (213).

Plusieurs techniques permettant la distinction entre les souches de type A et de type B ou entre les espèces et sous-espèces au sein du genre *Francisella* ont été développées au cours du temps, parmi lesquelles :

- l'amplification par PCR couplé au séquençage de la séquence intergénique 16S-23S (3)
- l'amplification et l'analyse génomique au hasard utilisant de longues amorces arbitraires (216)
- l'amplification et l'analyse de séquences répétées palindromiques extragéniques à l'aide d'amorces spécifiques (REP PCR) (216)
- l'amplification et l'analyse de séquences répétées consensus intragéniques (ERIC PCR)
 (216)
- l'analyse multi-locus de séquences répétées en tandem de nombre variable (MLVA) (60–62)
- la recherche de SNP et de délétions/insertions canoniques (66,78,217)

Néanmoins ces techniques de typage sont longues et ne permettent pas un diagnostic de routine rapide. Ainsi d'autres techniques ont été mises au point afin de différencier simplement et rapidement les souches de type A et B :

- PCR en temps réel ciblant le gène *pdpD* pour l'identification des souches de type A et une séquence spécifique chevauchant la jonction 3' du gène *ISFtu2* pour l'identification des souches de type B (83,218), à réaliser en cas de première PCR de screening positive.
- PCR classique amplifiant un marqueur appelé FtM19 couplée au séquençage de l'amplicon obtenu, permettant d'identifier les souches de type B par la présence d'une délétion caractéristique de 30 nucléotides par rapport aux autres souches (souches de type A, souches de *F. tularensis* subspecies *mediasiatica* et souches de *F. novicida*). (216)
- PCR classique amplifiant la région de différence RD1 couplé à l'analyse de la taille des fragments en gel d'agarose, permettant de différencier les sous espèce de *F. tularensis* ainsi que *F. novicida* et de distinguer les souches japonaises au sein de la sous espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holartica.* (65)
- PCR en temps réel TaqMan recherchant 11 SNP capables d'identifier Francisella tularensis et de différencier les sous espèces et les sous populations. Ce test identifie en 3 heures les espèces *F. tularensis*, *F. novicida* et *F. hispaniensis* (*F.* TNH SNP) puis distingue spécifiquement *F. tularensis* (*F.t.*-specific SNP) et les sous espèces *F. tularensis* subsp. tularensis et mediasiatica (*F.t.* A et M SNP), *F. tularensis* subsp. mediasiatica (*F.t.* M SNP), *F. tularensis* subsp. tularensis clade A.I (*F.t.* A SNP) et *F. tularensis* subsp. tularensis clade A.II (*F.t.* A.II SNP), et les souches de type B en *F. tularensis* subsp. holarctica souches japonaises (*F.t.* JB SNP) ou souches non-japonaise (*F.t.* nonJB SNP). Mais cette technique nécessite de réaliser de multiples PCR en temps réel en parallèle. (219)

Enfin pour les souches françaises, la recherche de la région de différence RD23 permet de confirmer l'appartenance de ces souches au clone d'Europe de l'Ouest *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* B.FTNF-002. (77)

1.11. <u>Traitement</u>

Le dernier consensus pour le traitement de la tularémie a été publiée en 2001 (35) Les recommandations de l'OMS qui datent de 2007 sont basées sur ce consensus. (83) Des recommandations européennes ont également été publiées en 2004. (220) Les choix thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie reposent principalement sur trois classes d'antibiotiques : les aminosides (gentamicine et streptomycine), les fluoroquinolones et les tétracyclines (Tableau 3). Le choix de l'antibiothérapie dépend principalement de la sévérité de la maladie, de l'âge du patient et de la présence d'une immunodépression.

	Sévérité c	le la maladie
	Sévère (hospitalisation)	Modérée
Adultes	Gentamicine IV: 5 mg/kg/j en 2 doses (≥10 jours selon la réponse clinique) + monitoring des concentrations sériques	Ciprofloxacine IV ou per os: 800-1000mg/j en 2 doses (10-14 jours).
	Alternative : Streptomycine IM: 2g/j en 2 doses (10 jours)	<u>Alternative</u> : Doxycycline per os: 200mg/j en 2 doses (≥ 15 jours)
Enfants	Gentamicine IV: 5 mg/kg/j en 2 doses (≥10 jours selon la réponse clinique) + monitoring des concentrations sériques	Ciprofloxacine IV ou per os: 15mg/Kg/j en doses (≥10 jours).
	Alternative:StreptomycineIM:15mg/Kg/j en 2 doses (≥10 jours)	

Tableau 4 : Traitement de la tularémie chez l'adulte et l'enfant en fonction de la sévérité dela maladie. (Adapté des recommandations 2007 de l'OMS ; Tarnvik, 2007 (83))

Dans les formes sévères de l'adulte et de l'enfant (forme pulmonaire, bactériémie, cas nécessitant une hospitalisation), une antibiothérapie par voie parentérale par de la gentamicine (5 mg/Kg/jour) pendant 10 jours est recommandée. Un traitement plus long peut s'avérer nécessaire selon la sévérité du cas ou le délai avant instauration du traitement. Cependant les recommandations de l'OMS font encore état d'une administration en deux fois par jour alors que les aminosides sont actuellement administrés en monodose journalière afin de limiter leur toxicité. Un monitoring des concentrations plasmatiques résiduelles de gentamicine est recommandé afin de limiter ses effets toxiques. La streptomycine, administrable par voie intramusculaire, est une alternative dans les pays dans lesquels cette molécule est encore disponible. La streptomycine a notamment permis de traiter avec succès 11 cas d'enfants atteints de tularémie oropharyngée en échec thérapeutique sous gentamicine. (192)

La ciprofloxacine en monothérapie est le traitement recommandé pour les formes modérées de la maladie avec une période de traitement de 10 à 14 jours. La doxycycline est une alternative pour le traitement des formes modérées mais la durée de traitement doit dans ce cas être au minimum de 15 jours car il s'agit d'un antibiotique uniquement bactériostatique. Il semblerait néanmoins que la doxycycline soit associée à davantage d'échecs thérapeutiques. (74) En ce qui concerne le traitement des formes modérées chez l'enfant, la doxycycline est contre-indiqué avant l'âge de 8 ans car elle entraine une coloration irréversible de la dentine.

Le traitement des patients immunodéprimés nécessite une antibiothérapie bactéricide de 14 jours minimum. Les molécules de choix dans ce cas sont à nouveau la gentamicine et la ciprofloxacine.

Les options thérapeutiques pour le traitement des femmes enceintes sont limitées. La doxycycline est contre-indiqué pendant les deux derniers trimestres de la grossesse ainsi que pendant l'allaitement. Les aminosides et les fluoroquinolones ne sont en principe pas non plus recommandés en cas de grossesse mais le consensus de 2001 recommande malgré tout ces molécules devant l'absence d'alternatives thérapeutiques. Certains macrolides comme l'azithromycine pourraient être une option envisageable pour le traitement des souches de

biovar I de la sous-espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, qui sont sensibles aux macrolides *in vitro* mais ces données demandent à être confirmées. (221)

Enfin, les mesures prophylactiques en cas d'exposition à cette bactérie sont variables selon la situation :

- L'exposition avérée et directe à la bactérie par le personnel de laboratoire par contact cutané doit conduire à l'instauration d'un traitement sans délai pendant une période de 14 jours. La ciprofloxacine (2 x 500 mg/j) ou la doxycycline (2 x 100 mg/j) sont les molécules recommandées. La réalisation immédiate d'une sérologie tularémie (pour déterminer le statut sérologique initial) ainsi que 14 jours plus tard est préconisée.
- Dans le cas d'une exposition peu probable ou sans contact rapproché avec la bactérie, une surveillance clinique semble suffisante avec réalisation d''une sérologie immédiate et à 14 jours post-exposition.
- L'aérosolisation accidentelle de la bactérie doit également conduire à une surveillance clinique forte et à l'instauration d'un traitement de 14 jours en cas de fièvre. (83)

1.12. <u>Sensibilité de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques</u>

Actuellement, aucune souche de *F. tularensis* résistante à l'un des antibiotiques indiqués pour le traitement de la tularémie (gentamicine, streptomycine, doxycycline et ciprofloxacine) n'a été isolée chez l'homme. De ce fait les antibiogrammes ne sont pas réalisés en routine sur les souches humaines dans le cadre du diagnostic de la tularémie. Cependant, des souches résistantes soit aux tétracyclines, soit aux fluoroquinolones, soit aux macrolides, soit à la streptomycine, ont été facilement obtenues *in vitro*. (83,222–224) L'apparition de mutation de résistance *in vivo* semble donc possible, notamment en cas de mauvaise diffusion d'un antibiotique au site de l'infection ou en cas de mauvaise observance thérapeutique conduisant à des concentrations plasmatiques sub-inhibitrices au site de l'infection, favorable au développement de résistances. D'autres antibiotiques ont été évalués vis-à-vis de cette bactérie mais la standardisation des conditions de réalisation des antibiogrammes reste faible

malgré la publication de recommandations par le Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) en 2005. Selon ce référentiel, les antibiogrammes de *F. tularensis* doivent être réalisée en microdilution en milieu liquide en utilisant un bouillon de Mueller-Hinton ajusté en cation et supplémenté avec 2% de facteurs de croissance type Polyvitex[®] (Annexe 1). Tous ces aspects seront développés ci-dessous dans une revue de la littérature qui fait l'objet du premier article de cette thèse, intitulé « *Francisella tularensis* susceptibility to antibiotics: a comprehensive review of the data obtained *in vitro* and in animal models ».

1.13. Echec thérapeutique sous aminoside, fluoroquinolone ou doxycycline

Très peu de données ont été regroupées concernant les échecs thérapeutiques observés lors du traitement de la tularémie. La rareté de la maladie fait qu'aucune étude randomisée de grande échelle comparant l'efficacité d'un traitement par aminoside, fluoroquinolone ou doxycycline n'a pu être conduite à ce jour. La dernière revue colligeant des données d'efficacité thérapeutique par molécules ou par classe d'antibiotique date de 1994. (225) Selon cette revue, le taux d'efficacité de la gentamicine est de 86% (6% de rechutes et 8% d'échec thérapeutiques), alors que celui de la streptomycine est de 97%. Cette revue n'intègre que 6 cas traités avec succès par de la ciprofloxacine ou de la norfloxacine car le traitement de la tularémie par cette classe d'antibiotique n'est rapporté que depuis 1989. (2) D'après cette même revue l'efficacité de la tétracycline semble être de 88% avec 12% de rechutes sans qu'il n'y ait d'échec thérapeutique.

Une nouvelle analyse des données cliniques d'efficacité du traitement de la tularémie a été réalisée en se basant sur les données publiées en langue anglaise et française à l'aide

des mots clés « Francisella » et/ou « tularaemia » dans la base de données Pubmed, depuis l'utilisation des fluoroquinolones pour le traitement de la tularémie (1989 à 2016). Seuls les articles traitant de plus de 5 cas de tularémie ont été inclus dans l'analyse. Seuls les articles offrant suffisamment d'informations sur l'évolution des patients (succès, rechute, échec thérapeutique) détaillée au minimum par classes d'antibiotiques ont été sélectionnés pour être analysés.

Au total, vingt-huit études traitant plus de 5 cas de tularémie ont été identifiées depuis 1989, regroupant 2740 cas de tularémie issus des Etats-Unis, d'Espagne, de Turquie, de France, de Finlande, de Suède, de Bulgarie et de Georgie. (3,128–130,133,170,171,179,226– 245) La majorité des cas provenait néanmoins de Turquie (1977/2740 soit 72%) démontrant qu'il s'agit d'un pays de forte endémie pour cette pathologie. Huit études furent exclues du fait de l'absence de détail des succès et échecs thérapeutiques selon la classe d'antibiotique utilisée ou à cause de définition des échecs thérapeutiques non conforme aux autres études. (133,170,171,179,231,235,237,239,243,244) Enfin, une étude a été éliminée car elle ne traitait que de cas d'échecs après traitement par gentamicine, introduisant ainsi un biais dans l'analyse. (232) Sur les dix-sept études restantes, seules deux sont multicentriques et seules trois prospectives, ce qui témoigne de la difficulté à réaliser des études à haut niveau de preuve en ce qui concerne le traitement de la tularémie. Toutes les formes cliniques sont représentées : 559 formes oro-pharyngées, 206 ulcéro-ganglionnaires, 116 ganglionnaires, 50 typhoïdiques, 43 oculo-ganglionnaires et 31 formes pulmonaires. Néanmoins, seuls 785 patients présentaient des données suffisantes pour évaluer l'efficacité des traitements par classe d'antibiotique : 483 patients en Turquie, 130 patients en Espagne, 85 patients aux USA, 51 patients en France, 24 patients en Bulgarie et 12 patients en Suède (Tableau 5).

	Délai avant mise en	Traitement			Echec thérapeutique				
Référence	place d'une antibiothérapie efficace (jours)	Aminoside	DOXY	FQ	Aminoside + DOXY	Aminoside s	DOXY	FQ	Aminoside +DOXY
(226)	< 21 jours : 50 /138 patients	48		82	6	18		24	
(227)	13 jours (dispersion: 0–82 jours)	17	18	13		1	2	3	
(228)	19,1 +/- 7,3 jours	20		7		5		1	
(128)	47,5 +/- 31 jours	94	14	22		22	6	1	

(229)	21 +/- 13,7 jours	44	53	48		14	27	14	
(230)	21,6 +/- 6,9 jours		2	2	11		0	0	5
(3)	ND	1	25	25		0	8	12	
(245)	> 5 jours : 2/12 patients			12				2	
(233)	17.7 jours (dispersion : 8 - 40 jours) en 2003 et 8 jours (dispersion : 3 - 12 jours) en 2004.			8	8			0	7
(234)	40,7 +/- 22,8 jours	1		1	15	1		0	7
(130)	ND		1	5	12		0	2	8
(236)	 ≤ 3 semaines : 9 patients ; >3 semaines : 7 patients 				16				 ≤ 3 semaines : 0/9; >3 semaines : 7/7
(238)	ND	2	8			0	0		
(129)	ND	4	2		1	0	1		0
(240)	10-14jours(dispersion 3-30 jours)	28	1	1		3	5	1	
(241)	30 jours				12				3
(242)	12 jours	8				3			
Total		267	124	226	81	66	45	59	37

ND : non déterminé ; FQ : fluoroquinolone ; DOXY : Doxycycline

Tableau 5 : Analyse des échecs thérapeutiques du traitement de la tularémie par classed'antibiotique entre 1989 et 2016

Les traitements identifiés étaient très variables selon les cas. Les traitements de première intention par des aminosides en monothérapie représentent 34% des cas avec 172 patients traités avec de la streptomycine, 93 avec de la gentamicine et 2 avec de l'amikacine. Les fluoroquinolones comptent pour 29% des traitements de première ligne avec 199 patients traités par de la ciprofloxacine, 12 par de la moxifloxacine, 9 par de l'ofloxacine et 5 par de la lévofloxacine. Les tétracyclines n'arrivent qu'en troisième position (18%) avec le traitement de 124 patients par de la doxycycline et 17 par de la tétracycline. Plusieurs combinaisons d'antibiotiques sont également utilisées en première intention. Les bithérapies associent principalement un aminoside et une tétracycline (17% des traitements initiaux : streptomycine + doxycycline : 78 patients ; streptomycine + tétracycline : 51 patients ; gentamicine + tétracycline : 1 patient ; gentamicine et ciprofloxacine n'est retrouvé que dans deux cas et la combinaison ciprofloxacine et doxycycline que dans un cas.

Au total, des échecs thérapeutiques sont rapportés dans 25% (66/267) des cas de traitement en monothérapie par un aminoside, 26% (59/225) des cas de traitement en monothérapie par une fluoroquinolone et 36% (45/124) des cas de traitement en monothérapie par de la doxycycline. Il faut néanmoins garder à l'esprit que les aminosides sont utilisés pour traiter des formes plus sévères que celles traitées classiquement par de la ciprofloxacine ou de la doxycycline. En revanche, l'absence de définition consensuelle d'un échec thérapeutique entre les études rend l'analyse statistique très difficile et l'interprétation de ces chiffres doit être faite avec précaution. Au vu des définitions proposées dans les différentes études, il semble opportun de définir :

- l'échec thérapeutique par : l'incapacité d'un traitement oral ou parentéral, avec un antibiotique actif sur *F. tularensis*, à guérir la maladie. L'absence de guérison pouvant se traduire par un des signes suivants : fièvre persistante (> à 7 jours) ou récurrente, persistance d'un syndrome inflammatoire, élargissement des adénopathies présentes ou apparitions de nouvelles adénopathies, persistance d'une hyperleucocytose ou d'une CRP élevée à la fin du traitement, apparitions de complications telles qu'une suppuration ganglionnaire avec drainage spontané ou nécessitant un drainage chirurgical ou une résection de l'adénopathie. - la rechute qui se manifeste par la réapparition des signes cliniques alors que les symptômes avaient disparus suite à un traitement efficace bien conduit.

Ces estimations de l'efficacité des différentes classes d'antibiotiques actives sur la tularémie semblent toutefois indiquer la supériorité d'un traitement par aminoside ou par fluoroquinolone par rapport à l'utilisation de la doxycycline en première intention. Malheureusement les taux de succès thérapeutiques n'étaient pas détaillés en fonction du délai d'instauration de l'antibiothérapie efficace pour le traitement de la tularémie. Plusieurs études font part d'un taux de succès thérapeutique supérieur en cas d'instauration du traitement dans les trois semaines suivant les premiers signes cliniques.(130,133,226,233,235,236,243)

Au vu de ces taux d'échec thérapeutique importants, y compris avec des antibiotiques bactéricides comme les fluoroquinolones ou la gentamicine, il semble nécessaire d'investiguer les causes potentielles de ces échecs (notamment la présence de résistance bactérienne) et de rechercher des stratégies thérapeutiques alternatives. L'objet de ce travail de thèse a été de répondre à une partie de ces interrogations.

La première partie de cette thèse a consisté à faire le point sur la sensibilité de *F. tularensis* aux antibiotiques et à évaluer la sensibilité des souches françaises isolées au Centre National de Référence des *Francisella* (CNR des *Francisella*, Laboratoire de bactériologie, CHU de Grenoble Alpes). Pour cela, une revue de la littérature a été réalisée en complément de cette introduction pour colliger les données de sensibilité *in vitro* et *in vivo* de *Francisella tularensis* aux différents antibiotiques actuellement évalués sur cette bactérie. L'objectif était de déterminer s'il existe d'autres possibilités thérapeutiques que celles utilisées aujourd'hui, ou s'il existe des données utilisées actuellement pour le traitement de la tularémie. Puis la sensibilité à 18 antibiotiques actuellement sur le marché, dont certains encore peu évalués sur *F. tularensis*, a été déterminée sur des souches françaises de *F. tularensis* dans le but d'identifier de potentielles nouvelles pistes thérapeutiques pour le traitement de ces souches ou/et d'identifier des souches résistantes aux traitements recommandés, pouvant expliquer certaines situations d'échec thérapeutique en France.

La deuxième partie de cette thèse s'est attelé à caractériser et rechercher des mutations de résistance de *F. tularensis* aux fluoroquinolones à partir de souches résistantes obtenues lors d'expérimentations *in vitro* avec des espèces de *Francisella* de virulence atténuée pour l'homme. Tout d'abord, la caractérisation fonctionnelle de l'impact de mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones générées *in vitro* sur une souche de *F. novicida* a été réalisée. Puis la présence des principales mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones retrouvées *in vitro* a été recherchée sur des prélèvements cliniques de patients atteints de tularémie, en situation d'échec thérapeutique sous fluoroquinolone.

Enfin, la troisième partie de cette thèse a consisté à évaluer de nouveaux agents antibactériens de structure bis-indolique, actifs vis-à-vis de *F. tularensis* et ayant un mécanisme d'action différent des antibiotiques actuellement disponibles sur le marché. Le
spectre d'activité de ces composés de structure originale a été défini. La détermination des motifs chimiques structuraux importants pour l'activité antibactérienne de ces composés originaux a été réalisée sur un modèle de *Sthaphylococcus aureus*, bactérie également sensible à l'activité antibactérienne de ces composés bis-indoliques, mais de manipulation plus aisée que les bactéries du genre *Francisella*.

2. SENSIBILITE AUX ANTIBIOTIQUES DE *F. tularensis*

2.1. Publication 1 : *Francisella tularensis* susceptibility to antibiotics: a comprehensive review of the data obtained *in vitro* and in animal models

(Soumis à Frontiers in Cellular Infection and Microbiology)

Dans ce premier article nous avons cherché à faire un état des lieux des données de sensibilité de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques, obtenus in vitro et en modèle animal, car peu de données ont été colligées aux cours des deux dernières décennies, notamment en ce qui concerne la sensibilité des souches aux fluoroquinolones. Le but de ce travail a également été d'identifier les antibiotiques encore peu évalués vis-à-vis de F. tularensis afin de pouvoir les inclure dans l'étude de la sensibilité des souches françaises aux antibiotiques que nous souhaitions réaliser (Article 2). Nous avons ainsi pu retrouver des données de sensibilité aux antibiotiques pour 900 souches de F. tularensis (107 souches de type A, 789 souches de type B et 4 souches appartenant à la sous-espèce mediasiatica). Des données de sensibilité concernant 33 antibiotiques ont été colligées, incluant quatre aminosides (gentamicine, streptomycine, amikacine, et tobramycine), neuf fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacine, lévofloxacine, moxifloxacine, ofloxacine, norfloxacine, grépafloxacine, sparfloxacine, trovafloxacine, et gatifloxacine), trois tétracyclines (tétracycline, doxycycline et tigécycline), quatre macrolides (érythromycine, azithromycine, roxithromycine et clarithromycine), un kétolide (télithromycine), neuf β -lactamines (ampicilline, amoxicilline/acide clavulanique, ceftazidime, pipéracilline/tazobactam, ceftriaxone, céfépime, imipénème, méropénème et aztréonam) ainsi que le chloramphénicol, la rifampicine et le linézolide. Nous avons également cherché à analyser les diverses techniques utilisées pour déterminer la sensibilité de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques afin de faire un état des lieux des pratiques et d'identifier des techniques potentiellement discordantes par rapport aux recommandations et aux concentrations critiques du Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute, utilisées pour la classification des souches en sensible/intermédiaire/résistante aux antibiotiques. Enfin nous avons voulu rassembler les données disponibles en modèle animal concernant l'efficacité des différents antibiotiques utilisés dans le traitement de la tularémie, afin de voir si les mêmes conclusions que celles observées lors de l'analyse des cas humains dans l'introduction de cette thèse (Tableau 4) étaient obtenues en modèle animal, notamment en ce qui concerne la comparaison de l'efficacité des aminosides, des fluoroquinolones et de la doxycycline.

1	Francisella tularensis susceptibility to antibiotics: a comprehensive
2	review of the data obtained <i>in vitro</i> and in animal models.
3	
4	Yvan Caspar, ^{1,2*} Max Maurin ^{1,2}
5	
6	¹ Centre National de Référence des Francisella, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène Hospitalière,
7	Département des agents infectieux, Institut de Biologie et de Pathologie, Centre Hospitalier
8	Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, F-38043, Grenoble, France.
9	² Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, TIMC-IMAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France
10	
11	* Corresponding author:
12	Dr. Yvan Caspar
13	<u>YCaspar@chu-grenoble.fr</u>
14	
15	Running Title: Francisella tularensis susceptibility to antibiotics
16	
17	Keywords: Francisella tularensis, antimicrobial susceptibility, MIC, MBC, intracellular, animal
18	model, tularaemia, antibiotic therapy
19	

20 Abstract (311/350 words)

21 The antibiotic classes that are recommended for tularaemia treatment are the aminoglycosides, the fluoroquinolones and the tetracyclines. However, cure rates vary between 60 and 100% depending 22 on the antibiotic used, the time to appropriate antibiotic therapy setup and its duration, and the 23 presence of complications such as lymph node suppuration. Thus, antibiotic susceptibility testing 24 (AST) of F. tularensis strains remains of primary importance for detection of the emergence of 25 26 antibiotic resistances to first-line drugs, and to test new therapeutic alternatives. However, the AST methods reported in the literature were poorly standardized between studies and AST data have not 27 been previously evaluated in a comprehensive and comparative way. The aim of the present review 28 29 was to summarise experimental data on antibiotic susceptibilities of Francisella tularensis obtained 30 in acellular media, cell models and animal models since the introduction of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of tularaemia in 1989. We compiled MIC data of 33 antibiotics (including 31 32 aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, macrolides, β -lactams, chloramphenicol, rifampicin and linezolid) against 900 F. tularensis strains (504 human strains), including 107 subsp. 33 tularensis (type A), 789 subsp. holarctica (type B) and four subsp. mediasiatica strains, using 34 various AST methods. Specific culture media were identified or confirmed as unsuitable for 35 36 antibiotic susceptibility testing of F. tularensis. Overall, MICs were the lowest for ciprofloxacin (\leq 37 0.002–0.125 mg/L) and levofloxacin, and ranged from \leq 0.016 to 2 mg/L for gentamicin, and 0.064 to 4 mg/L for doxycycline. No resistant strain to any of these antibiotics was reported. 38 Fluoroquinolones also exhibited a bactericidal activity against intracellular F. tularensis and lower 39 relapse rates in animal models when compared with the bacteriostatic compound doxycycline. As 40 expected, lower MIC values were found for macrolides against type A and biovar I type B strains, 41 compared to biovar II type B strains. The macrolides were more effective against F. tularensis grown 42 in phagocytic cells than in acellular media. 43

44 Search strategy and selection criteria

Data on antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) of *Francisella tularensis*, both *in vitro* and in animal models, were collected from the English and French literature in the PubMed database, since the introduction of fluoroquinolones in the treatment of tularaemia in 1989 until December 2016. They were extracted using the key words "tularemia" and "*Francisella*" in order to achieve a broad screening of the entire body of literature on the subject since 1989. Only studies evaluating more than five *F. tularensis* strains were selected for analysis.

51 **1.** Francisella tularensis and tularemia

F. tularensis, the etiological agent of tularaemia, is a Tier 1 biological threat agent according 52 to the classification of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Dennis DT et al., 2001). It 53 was first isolated from ground squirrels in 1911 in Tulare County, California (USA), and from a 54 55 human tularaemia case in 1914 in Ohio (USA) (Mccoy and Chapin, 1912; Wherry and Lamb, 1914). The name "Francisella tularensis" was coined in 1959 to honor Dr. Edward Francis, who greatly 56 contributed to improve the knowledge on human tularaemia (Francis et al., 1922; Francis, 1928; 57 58 Rockwood, 1983). F. tularensis is currently divided into three subspecies: subsp. tularensis (type A 59 strains), mainly found in North America; subsp. *holarctica* (type B strains) found throughout the northern hemisphere; and subsp. mediasiatica found in Central Asia (Jellison, 1974; Jellison and 60 Owen, 1961; Olsufiev et al., 1959; Olsufjev, 1970; Olsufjev and Meshcheryakova, 1983). Debate 61 continues on whether Francisella novicida is a fourth subspecies of F. tularensis or a separate 62 species, but we agree with Johansson et al. in keeping F. novicida in a separate species because of its 63 aquatic reservoir and very low virulence in humans (Busse et al., 2010; Huber et al., 2010; Johansson 64 et al., 2010). Type B strains are also classically differentiated into three biovars (Kudelina and 65 Olsufiev, 1980; Olsufjev and Meshcheryakova, 1982, 1983): biovar I (naturally susceptible to 66 erythromycin) is found in Western Europe and North America; biovar II (naturally resistant to 67 erythromycin) is found in Eastern Europe and Asia; and biovar japonica (susceptible to erythromycin 68 69 but fermenting glycerol) is mainly found in Japan, although it has recently been described in China

and Turkey (Kiliç et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Biovar II strains are found in the Eastern part of
Europe (Czech Republic, Finland, Georgia, Russia, Slovakia, Ukraine, Austria, Hungary and
Romania) (Chanturia et al., 2011; Gyuranecz et al., 2012; Svensson et al., 2009b; Vogler et al., 2009)
and in Asia and both biovar I and II strains coexist in Germany, Switzerland and Scandinavia
(Maurin and Gyuranecz, 2016; Muller et al., 2013; Origgi et al., 2014)

Although the F. tularensis genome displays very low variability, four distinct clades have 75 76 been identified by pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) within type A strains in the United States 77 (A.Ia, A.Ib, A.IIa and A.IIb) with the A.Ib clade being associated with a 24% mortality rate in humans (Kugeler et al., 2009). Type B strains have also been divided into several clades by whole 78 79 genome sequencing. The four main clades include clade B.4 corresponding to North American strains, clade B.6 to biovar I Western European strains, clade B.12 to biovar II Eastern European 80 strains, and clade B16 to strains belonging to biovar japonica (Fujita et al., 2008; Karlsson et al., 81 82 2016; Kilic et al., 2015; Vogler et al., 2009).

83 F. tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular coccobacillus (Broman et al., 2011). 84 It is strictly aerobic, nonmotile, nontoxigenic and non-spore-forming. It is a fastidious bacterium that may be grown in cysteine-enriched media, under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The main virulence factor of 85 86 F. tularensis corresponds to its ability to multiply within eukaryotic cells, especially in the cytosol of macrophages (Clemens and Horwitz, 2007). Virulence is associated with the presence of a duplicated 87 pathogenicity island in the bacterial genome, encoding a type VI-like secretion system (Nano and 88 89 Schmerk, 2007). However, the high variations in virulence observed among F. tularensis genotypes remain currently unexplained. 90

Human tularaemia is a zoonotic disease usually occurring as sporadic cases or small familial
outbreaks (Bicakci and Parlak, 2008; Tärnvik and Berglund, 2003). However, a number of
tularaemia outbreaks have been reported, including in the last two decades (Akalın et al., 2009; Barut

94 and Cetin, 2009; Celebi et al., 2006; Cerný, 2001; Christova et al., 2004; Feldman et al., 2001; Hauri et al., 2010; Helvaci et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2014; Kantardjiev et al., 2006; Karlsson et al., 95 2013; Larssen et al., 2011, 2014; Mailles et al., 2010; Mengeloglu et al., 2014; Payne et al., 2005; 96 97 Pérez-Castrillón et al., 2001; Petersen et al., 2008; Reintjes et al., 2002; Siret et al., 2006; Svensson et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011). Humans may be infected with F. tularensis through direct contact 98 with infected animals (manipulation of live or dead infected animals, animal bites or scratches), 99 100 consumption of contaminated food or water, exposure to contaminated environments or through arthropod bites (Keim et al., 2007). F. tularensis can infect a large number of animal species, but 101 102 lagomorphs and small rodents are considered key hosts for this pathogen (Gyuranecz et al., 2011; Maurin and Gyuranecz, 2016). This bacterium may also persist in water and soil environments for 103 104 several months, which might be related to an ability to multiply in protozoa such as amoebae (Abd et 105 al., 2003; Broman et al., 2011; El-Etr et al., 2009; Keim et al., 2007). A terrestrial and an aquatic 106 lifecycle have been described for this bacterium (Maurin and Gyuranecz, 2016). Arthropods such as ticks and mosquitoes may be contaminated by F. tularensis from the animal or environmental 107 108 reservoirs (Maurin and Gyuranecz, 2016; Sjostedt, 2007). Large tularaemia outbreaks have occurred, for which multiple sources of contamination and several F. tularensis clones were identified (Akalın 109 et al., 2009; Barut and Cetin, 2009; Celebi et al., 2006; Cerný, 2001; Christova et al., 2004; Feldman 110 et al., 2001; Hauri et al., 2010; Helvaci et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2014; Kantardjiev et al., 2006; 111 Karlsson et al., 2013; Larssen et al., 2011, 2014; Mailles et al., 2010; Mengeloglu et al., 2014; Payne 112 113 et al., 2005; Pérez-Castrillón et al., 2001; Petersen et al., 2008; Reintjes et al., 2002; Siret et al., 2006; Svensson et al., 2009a; Wang et al., 2011). Symptoms vary according to the infection route 114 and classically correspond to six different clinical forms: ulceroglandular, glandular, oropharyngeal, 115 116 oculoglandular, pneumonic and typhoidal (Tarnvik A., 2007). Ulceroglandular and glandular forms are consecutive to skin inoculation of bacteria (e.g. through an arthropod bite or contact with infected 117 118 animals). A regional lymphadenopathy develops with (ulceroglandular form) or without (glandular

119 form) a skin ulcer at the inoculation site. When contamination occurs through the ocular conjunctiva, painful conjunctivitis with regional lymphadenopathy develops, which corresponds to oculoglandular 120 tularaemia. The oropharyngeal form is characterised by pharyngitis with regional lymphadenopathy, 121 122 usually occurring after consumption of contaminated meat or water. Pneumonic tularaemia results from airborne contamination or hematogenous spread of bacteria to the lungs and is characterised by 123 unspecific symptoms such as cough, fever, dyspnea and occasionally a mediastinal or hilar 124 125 lymphadenopathy. The typhoidal form corresponds to systemic disease with neurological symptoms mimicking typhoid, often with F. tularensis bacteraemia, but without detection of any portal of entry 126 127 of bacteria (especially no skin ulcer) and without symptoms of localised infection (especially no regional lymphadenopathy) (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). Complications may occur such as skin 128 eruptions, abscess formation, lymph node suppuration with occasionally skin fistulisation, and 129 130 secondary infectious locations due to hematogenous spread of bacteria (Erdem et al., 2014; Maurin et al., 2011; Meric et al., 2008; Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). Diagnosis is usually based on compatible 131 clinical and epidemiological features, and positive serological tests. PCR is useful to detect F. 132 *tularensis* DNA in various clinical samples, especially before specific antibodies can be detected 133 (Tarnvik A., 2007). Isolation of a F. tularensis strain from blood or tissue samples is obtained in less 134 than 20% of patients, which makes antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) of F. tularensis strains rare 135 (Maurin et al., 2011). 136

The 2007 WHO guidelines for treatment of tularaemia are based on the 2001 consensus recommendations from Dennis et al (Dennis DT et al., 2001). According to these publications, tularaemia treatment is mainly based on three antibiotic classes: the aminoglycosides, the fluoroquinolones and the tetracyclines, although many other antibiotic classes have been tested against *F. tularensis in vitro*. Here we have compiled all available experimental data on the evaluation of the activity of antibiotics against *F. tularensis in vitro*, in acellular and cell models, and *in vivo* in animal models to provide useful information for clinical microbiologists and physicians.

2. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of *F. tularensis* 144

145 AST of F. tularensis strains is usually not performed on a routine basis because: 1) isolation of the strain involved is only obtained in a few tularaemic patients (Maurin et al., 2011); 2) this 146 procedure is at risk for the laboratory personnel handling cultures of this highly infectious pathogen 147 and requires biosafety level 3 (BSL3) facilities (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007); 3) acquired resistance to 148 antibiotics has never been reported so far in clinical strains of F. tularensis (Tarnvik A., 2007) and 4) 149 150 *in vitro* data may not be predictive of treatment failure in tularaemia patients. However, reference laboratories have reported AST surveys for collections of human and animal strains of F. tularensis 151 (García del Blanco et al., 2004; Georgi et al., 2012; Hotta et al., 2013; Ikäheimo et al., 2000; 152 153 Johansson et al., 2000, 2002a; Kiliç et al., 2013; Kreizinger et al., 2013; Origgi et al., 2014; Scheel et al., 1993; Tomaso et al., 2005; Urich and Petersen, 2008; Valade et al., 2008a; Velinov, 2011; 154 Yesilyurt et al., 2011). 155

For this review, we collected F. tularensis AST results from all studies of more than five 156 tularaemia cases, published in the literature since 1989. We obtained data from 898 F. tularensis 157 158 strains isolated from humans (510 strains), animals (200 strains), arthropods (four strains), natural water samples (39 strains) and unknown sources (147). They included 107 type A, 789 type B and 159 160 four F. tularensis subsp. mediasiatica strains (Tables 1 and S1) (García del Blanco et al., 2004; Georgi et al., 2012; Hotta et al., 2013; Ikäheimo et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2000, 2002a; Kiliç et 161 al., 2013; Kreizinger et al., 2013; Origgi et al., 2014; Scheel et al., 1993; Tomaso et al., 2005; Urich 162 and Petersen, 2008; Valade et al., 2008a; Velinov, 2011; Yeşilyurt et al., 2011). AST results were 163 available for 33 antibiotics, although only some of them were tested in all studies (Tables 1-5 and 164 165 S1). These antibiotics included four aminoglycoside compounds (gentamicin, streptomycin, amikacin and tobramycin), nine fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, 166 ofloxacin, norfloxacin, grepafloxacin, sparfloxacin, trovafloxacin and gatifloxacin), three 167 168 tetracyclines (tetracycline, doxycycline and tigecycline), four macrolides (erythromycin,

169	azithromycin, roxithromycin and clarithromycin), one ketolide (telithromycin), nine β -lactams
170	(ampicillin, amoxicillin + clavulanic acid, ceftazidime, piperacillin + tazobactam, ceftriaxone,
171	cefepime, imipenem, meropenem and aztreonam), chloramphenicol, rifampicin and linezolid.

172

2.1. MICs: Methods and results

According to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) 2005 and WHO 173 174 guidelines, AST of F. tularensis strains should be performed using cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth enriched with 2% defined growth supplement such as Polyvitex® (referred to here as enriched 175 caMHB), in order to fulfill the cystein growth requirement of this bacterium (CLSI 2005; Tarnvik A., 176 2007). Adjustment of pH to 7.1 \pm 0.1 is mandatory after addition of 2% growth supplement because 177 it significantly reduces the pH of caMHB medium. The bacterial inoculum must be calibrated at 5 178 10⁵ CFU/mL of final concentration. Culture media should be incubated for 48 h in ambient air, but 179 incubation in 5% CO₂-enriched atmosphere may be needed for some strains, although it can lead to 180 181 acidification of the culture medium and therefore overestimation of aminoglycoside and macrolide MICs, or underestimation of tetracyclines MICs (CLSI. M45-A2. 2010). 182

In the past three decades, three different methods for *F. tularensis* AST were most frequently reported in the literature: antibiotic agar dilution, broth microdilution and E-test strips. These techniques were poorly standardised between studies, including after CLSI guidelines were available (CLSI. M45-A2. 2010). Most particularly, growth media, incubation conditions (atmosphere and duration) and bacterial inocula used for *F. tularensis* AST greatly varied between studies. Therefore, the results obtained in these different studies should be compared with caution.

As for the broth microdilution method, a number of authors used Mueller-Hinton II broth supplemented with glucose, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ ions, and ferric pyrophosphate (a medium referred to as modified MHII) in spite of the recommended enriched caMHB medium (Baker et al., 1985; García del Blanco et al., 2004; Origgi et al., 2014). The use of MHII resulted in overestimation of the MICs 193 of aminoglycosides and tetracyclines compared to caMHB (Table S1). The use of MHII for F. tularensis AST should be discouraged to avoid erroneous classification of some strains as resistant to 194 aminoglycosides and/or tetracyclines using the CLSI breakpoints for susceptibility (concentration 195 196 value threshold used for the categorization of a bacterial strain as susceptible, intermediately susceptible or resistant to an antibiotic). To date, antibiotic resistance reported in the literature for 197 natural strains of F. tularensis have never been formally characterized by a reference laboratory. In 198 199 the following paragraphs, the term resistant is applied to strain whose MICs are not classified as susceptible according to CLSI breakpoints for susceptibility, even though the mechanism of 200 201 resistance has not been characterized. The MHII medium may also affect the activity of fluoroquinolones since ciprofloxacin MICs up to 0.25mg/L were reported with this medium (García 202 203 del Blanco et al., 2004; Maurin et al., 2000), while MICs for this antibiotic range from ≤ 0.002 to 204 0.125 mg/L (MIC₉₀ ≤ 0.016 to 0.047 mg/L) for all other studies reported (Tables 1 and S1).

205 Several agar media were used for MIC determination using the E-test strip technique (Tables 1 and S1). MIC ranges observed with these different solid media were similar to each other and to 206 207 those obtained with the broth microdilution method using enriched caMHB. No strain was classified as resistant to any of the drugs used for tularaemia treatment and for which CLSI breakpoints have 208 been defined (i.e. gentamicin, streptomycin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, tetracycline, doxycycline 209 210 and chloramphenicol). Thus, the E-test strip methodology appears to be a convenient alternative for antibiotic susceptibility categorisation of F. tularensis strains compared to the more time-consuming 211 and fastidious broth microdilution method. Moreover, this method is less risky for laboratory 212 personnel because it does not require handling large volumes of liquid suspensions of F. tularensis. 213 However, no study has compared AST results using MIC strips to those of the microdilution 214 reference method using enriched caMHB. Standardisation of agar media for F. tularensis AST would 215 be beneficial for comparison of studies conducted in different laboratories. 216

217 A few studies have compared F. tularensis antibiotic susceptibilities when using the agar dilution technique with different solid media (Table 1 and S1). Blood cysteine agar should be 218 avoided since it identified resistant strains of F. tularensis for gentamicin or streptomycin, which was 219 220 never confirmed by characterising the resistance mechanisms involved. For the Thayer Martin agar 221 and enriched Mueller-Hinton agar, results were concordant with those of the broth microdilution and MIC strip assays. One study compared MIC results using the agar dilution method, the MIC strip 222 223 method or the broth microdilution reference method. Unfortunately, results obtained with the reference method were not reproducible. The correlation of results obtained with either the agar 224 225 dilution or MIC strip tests, using enriched Mueller Hinton agar, were 87% for doxycycline, 94% for ciprofloxacin, but only 42% for gentamicin. The agar dilution method poorly correlated with the 226 broth microdilution method, with only 72% correlation for doxycycline, 68% for ciprofloxacin and 227 228 51% for gentamicin.

229

According to our previous comments on MIC methods, we excluded MIC values obtained with the broth microdilution method using modified MHII broth and those determined by the agar dilution method using blood cystein agar plates. (Table S1) MICs obtained with all other methods were recorded, although analysed cautiously because of poor methodological standardisation, as previously mentioned. The remaining 812 *F. tularensis* strains were categorised as susceptible to first-line antibiotics for tularaemia, including the aminoglycosides, the fluoroquinolones and the tetracyclines. MIC ranges and CLSI breakpoints for these antibiotics are shown in Table 2.

237

2.1.1. Aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and doxycycline

Altogether, among antibiotic classes recommended for first-line treatment of tularaemia, ciprofloxacin displayed the lowest ranges for MICs ($\leq 0.002-0.125$ mg/L) and MICs₉₀ ($\leq 0.016-0.002$) between studies. Gentamicin MICs ranged from ≤ 0.016 to 2 mg/L, and MICs₉₀ from 0.064 to 1 mg/L. The MIC and MIC₉₀ ranges for doxycycline were 0.064–4 mg/L and 0.25–2 mg/L,
respectively (Table 1). Only slight differences in susceptibility to these antibiotics were observed
between type A and type B strains of *F. tularensis*, or between various biovars or clades within type
A and type B strains.

Among fluoroquinolones, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were the most active compounds *in vitro* (Table 1). All strains tested displayed MIC levels to these antibiotics at least fourfold less than the CLSI breakpoint for susceptibility (Table 2). Moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, gatifloxacin, grepafloxacin, trovafloxacin and sparfloxacin were also effective against *F. tularensis in vitro* (Table 3), but the three latter antibiotics have been withdrawn from the market because of potential severe side effects.

As for the aminoglycosides, tobramycin and amikacin were evaluated in three studies, only against type B strains.(Ikäheimo et al., 2000; Tomaso et al., 2005; Yeşilyurt et al., 2011) Tobramycin displayed MIC values (0.125–3 mg/L) close to those of gentamicin and streptomycin. Interestingly, Enderlin et al., reported in 1994 a cure rate with this antibiotic of only 50% but for a limited number of patients (3/6 patients) (Enderlin et al., 1994). Conversely, amikacin was less active *in vitro*, with MICs up to 16 mg/L (Table 3) (Tomaso et al., 2005).

Thus all strains were confirmed as susceptible to the first-line antibiotics recommended for tularemia treatment, with ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin showing the lowest MICs *in vitro*.

259

260 **2.1.2. Macrolides**

Erythromycin MICs are much higher for biovar II *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains, than for biovar I strains of the same subspecies and for *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* strains. Kudelina et al first described erythromycin-resistant strains of *F. tularensis* as those able to grow on media

264 containing up to 6400 mg/L of this antibiotic, while susceptible strains could be killed by 25 mg/L of this antibiotic (Kudelina and Olsufiev, 1980). In the literature, type B biovar II strains, which 265 currently correspond to East European strains belonging to the B12 subclade, were all resistant to 266 267 erythromycin with MIC > 256 mg/L using the E-test strip method (Table 4) (Karlsson et al., 2016). Only Tomaso et al. reported one biovar II strain with an erythromycin MIC of only 4 mg/L, but this 268 result can be questioned according to current literature (Tomaso et al., 2005). Using the broth 269 270 microdilution method, all type B biovar II strains had erythromycin MICs of \geq 32 mg/L (Table 1). In contrast, all type B biovar I strains displayed erythromycin MICs ≤ 8 mg/L using the broth 271 272 microdilution method and ≤ 1 mg/L using MIC strips. Among erythromycin-susceptible F. tularensis strains, subtle differences in erythromycin MICs could be found in the literature between the US 273 274 strains belonging either to type B biovar I (MIC range, 0.125–2 mg/L; MIC₉₀ range, 0.5–1 mg/L) or 275 to type A (MIC range, 0.125–1 mg/L), and the West European type B biovar I strains (MIC range, 1– 276 8 mg/L; MIC₉₀, 4 mg/L). This difference might be correlated with the B6 clade displaying higher MIC levels, or to methodological differences such as incubation of media in ambient air versus under 277 278 5% CO_2 atmosphere, the latter reducing the activity of the macrolides. The Japanese type B strains, including those formally identified as belonging to biovar japonica, displayed erythromycin MICs 279 280 ranging from 0.094 to 1.5 mg/L.

According to the above data, a breakpoint for the biovar II definition might be set at erythromycin MIC \geq 32 mg/L, in agreement with Kudelina's above-mentioned study (Kudelina and Olsufiev, 1980). Moreover, erythromycin resistance in type B biovar II strains has recently been correlated with the presence of a single mutation A2059C in the three copies of the *rrl* gene, encoding the 23S rRNA (Karlsson et al., 2016). Thus, determination of the *rrl* gene sequence provides a confirmatory identification of biovar II subtype.

Azithromycin MICs against *F. tularensis* were also determined in three studies, with the same
dichotomy between biovar I and biovar II of type B strains. MIC ranges were similar to those

observed for erythromycin (Table 4). Biovar II strains displayed azithromycin MICs > 256 mg/L,
whereas MIC ranges were 0.064–2 mg/L for 16 type B and 0.125–2 mg/L for eight type A strains
from the USA (Ikäheimo et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2002b; Yeşilyurt et al., 2011). Telithromycin,
a ketolide compound, displayed MICs ranging from 0.125 to 0.25 mg/L with the agar dilution
method against type B biovar I strains (Valade et al., 2008a).

Thus, low MIC values are observed for macrolides and one ketolide against biovar I strains although. No susceptibility breakpoints are currently defined by the CLSI for these antibiotics against *F. tularensis*, although they may represent useful therapeutic alternatives for infection related to biovar I strains, especially if first-line antibiotics are contraindicated. Further experimental data in animal models are needed for the *in vivo* evaluation of the activity of these compounds against *F. tularensis*. In contrast, the macrolides and ketolides are ineffective against biovar II strains.

300

2.1.3. Beta-lactams

Many β -lactams have been tested *in vitro* against *F. tularensis*, although they are considered 301 unreliable for treatment of tularaemia (Cross et al., 1995; Cross and Jacobs, 1993; Maurin et al., 302 303 2011; Tarnvik A., 2007). In the literature, all the F. tularensis strains tested were resistant to penicillin A, ticarcillin and piperacillin, whether or not associated with a β-lactamase inhibitor, with 304 MICs \geq 64 mg/L (Table 5). In contrast, the cephalosporins, monobactams and carbapenems 305 displayed larger MIC ranges. Although most F. tularensis strains displayed MICs higher than 32 306 307 mg/L to these β -lactams, a few strains displayed MICs lower than 1 mg/L. (Table 5). In a study from 308 Hotta et al., 30–60% of 36 Japanese strains displayed MICs lower than 1 mg/L for cefotaxime, 309 ceftriaxone, cefoxitin, aztreonam, imipenem and meropenem (Hotta et al., 2013). Velinov et al. described 21 Bulgarian strains with a MIC range for ceftriaxone between 2 and 4 mg/L (Velinov, 310 311 2011). To date, two β-lactamase genes (*bla1* and *bla2*) have been identified in the LVS strain (with corresponding homologues in the Schu S4 strain), but the expression of recombinant LVS proteins in 312

313 *Escherichia coli* only revealed the *bla2*_{LVS} gene to encode a functional β -lactamase.(Bina et al., 2006) In 2012, Antunes et al. reported a class A β -lactamase (FTU-1) present in 14 strains belonging to all 314 F. tularensis subspecies, including various type B strains from the USA, France, Japan, Russia and 315 316 Sweden (Antunes et al., 2012). Actually, the FTU-1 corresponds to the $bla2_{LVS}$ gene, as evidenced by comparison of gene sequences (YP_513599.1 and FTT_0611c, respectively). This class A β-317 lactamase, which was partially inactivated by β -lactamase inhibitors, induced resistance to penicillin 318 319 and a fourfold increase in ceftazidime MIC when cloned and expressed in E. coli. This β -lactamase had no effect on other cephalosporins, monobactams and carbapenems (Antunes et al., 2012; Bina et 320 321 al., 2006). The presence of the FTU-1/bla2_{LVS} gene is thus compatible with the cephalosporinsusceptibility phenotype observed in Japanese strains, whereas additional resistance mechanisms are 322 probably involved in strains displaying resistance to cephalosporins, monobactams and carbapenems 323 324 (Table 5). In 2008, Bina et al. characterised an AcrAB RND efflux system in the LVS strain, which 325 conferred increased resistance to all beta-lactams tested in the study (i.e. ampicillin, carbenicillin and cefoperazone) but not to carbapenems (Bina et al., 2008). Thus, β -lactams are not recommended for 326 the treatment of tularemia. 327

328

2.1.4. Chloramphenicol

The reported chloramphenicol MICs range from ≤ 0.023 mg/L to 4 mg/L, with an MIC₉₀ range of 0.25–2 mg/L (Table 4). Chloramphenicol has been used occasionally (alone or in combination with other antibiotics) to treat patients with tularaemia meningitis, owing to its high distribution in brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid (Hofinger DM et al., 2009; Tarnvik A., 2007). Considering the MIC breakpoint of 8 mg/L, *F. tularensis* can be considered susceptible to chloramphenicol, but the clinical use of this antibiotic is currently restricted to meningitis because of potential severe bone marrow toxicity.

336

2.1.5. Rifampicin

Rifampicin is active *in vitro* against *F. tularensis* but with MICs ranging from ≤ 0.094 to 3 mg/L, and a MIC₉₀ range of 0.25–1.5 mg/L (Table 4). However, this antibiotic is not recommended for tularaemia treatment because of potential rapid selection of resistant mutants, as described by Johansson et al (Johansson et al., 2000; Tarnvik A., 2007). It has been used in combination with ciprofloxacin for treatment of an infected total knee arthroplasty, with resolution of symptoms only after addition of rifampicin and a successful outcome with this antibiotic combination (Cooper et al., 1999).

344

2.1.6. New antibiotics

Among more recently developed antibiotics, tigecycline, a new glycylcycline, has been 345 evaluated in two studies using the E-test method, with reported MICs (0.094-0.38 mg/L) and MIC₉₀ 346 347 (0.19–0.25 mg/L) ranges lower than those determined in the same studies for tetracycline and doxycycline (Tables 1 and 4) (Kreizinger et al., 2013; Yeşilyurt et al., 2011). No breakpoints are 348 currently defined for F. tularensis susceptibility to tigecycline, but all tested strains displayed MICs 349 350 ≤ 0.5 mg/L. Further studies are needed to evaluate tigecycline MICs using the microdilution 351 reference method. Importantly, fresh medium (< 24 h) must be used to prevent overestimation of tigecycline MICs (Bradford et al., 2005). Tigecycline might be a suitable alternative to doxycycline 352 353 for treatment of tularaemia, but its much broader antibacterial spectrum is a disadvantage due to a greater deleterious effect on the gut commensal flora. 354

Conflicting results were observed for linezolid, an oxazolidinone compound. The activity of this antibiotic is considered mainly restricted to Gram-positive bacteria, with a CLSI susceptibility breakpoint for the corresponding species set at $\leq 2 \text{ mg/L}$. However, MICs of 4–8 mg/L have been found for Gram-negative bacteria of the genera *Bacteroides*, *Moraxella* and *Pasteurella*, owing to the absence or low efficacy of efflux systems, or a high affinity of their ribosomes for linezolid (Livermore, 2003). As for *F. tularensis*, linezolid displayed MICs of 0.5–2 mg/L for 39 biovar II type B strains from Turkey, 2–4 mg/L for eight type A strains from the USA, and 4–16 mg/L for 16 type B strains from the USA, when using the E-test strip procedure. In contrast, MICs ranged from 12 to 48 mg/L for 29 Hungarian biovar II type B strains using the same technique, although such differences could be related to the use of different solid media for AST (Tables 1 and 4). The relative susceptibility of *F. tularensis* to linezolid may be related to its small genome with a limited number of efflux systems, while MIC variations between strains may reflect variable expression of such efflux systems. This hypothesis needs to be further assessed on a larger panel of *F. tularensis* strains.

368

2.1.7. Conclusion

In conclusion, MIC data confirm that among the antibiotics recommended as first-line 369 treatment of tularaemia, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin display the lowest MIC ranges against F. 370 371 tularensis. Gentamicin ranks second, while doxycycline has the highest MIC range with some strains displaying an MIC at 4 mg/L, which is the breakpoint for susceptibility (4 mg/L). However, no 372 resistant strains to any of these antibiotics have been characterised so far. Moreover, 373 374 chloramphenicol is active and may be used in combination for rare meningitis due to F. tularensis. 375 Azithromycin and telithromycin may be useful alternatives for infection related to biovar I strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica, when all first line antibiotics are contraindicated, but still require 376 377 confirmation of their efficacy in animal models. Tigecycline and rifampicin are active in vitro and should be also further evaluated in animal models. Rifampicin may be an interesting antibiotic in 378 association to fluoroquinolones for rare bone and joint infections. 379

- 380
- 381

2. 2. MBCs and other bactericidal assays

A few *in vitro* studies have reported either MBC or time-kill kinetic experiments for *F*. *tularensis*. MBC testing is performed similarly to MIC testing using a broth microdilution method,

but an aliquot of each well with no visible growth after 48 h incubation is plated on enriched
chocolate agar media for CFU count determination. After 48 h incubation of the chocolate agar
plates at 37°C, under 5% CO₂ atmosphere, CFU counting can determine the MBC, which is the
lowest antibiotic concentration allowing 3-log or greater reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum.

Time-kill kinetic experiments determine CFU counts over time in antibiotics containing cultures, incubated for 48 h at 37°C, under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Experiments are conducted using enriched caMHB medium, containing two, four or eight times the MIC of the tested antibiotic compound (Caspar et al., 2014; Maurin et al., 2000).

The MBCs of several antibiotics (ceftriaxone, streptomycin, amikacin, gentamicin, 392 thiamphenicol, telithromycin, erythromycin, clarithromycin, rifampicin, ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, 393 394 pefloxacin, doxycycline and cotrimoxazole) were determined against a single human strain of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar I, using the modified MHII as the experimental medium (Maurin 395 et al., 2000). MBCs were equal to MICs for ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin; twofold higher for the 396 397 aminoglycosides (streptomycin, amikacin, and gentamicin), thiamphenicol, telithromycin, 398 rifampicin, pefloxacin and doxycycline; fourfold higher for erythromycin; 16 times higher for cotrimoxazole; and 32 times higher for clarithromycin. Despite a MIC of 2 mg/L, a bactericidal 399 400 effect was never observed with ceftriaxone against F. tularensis, although β -lactams are classically 401 considered bactericidal drugs against most other bacteria susceptible to these compounds. The latter results reinforce prior statements that β -lactams and cotrimoxazole are not reliable for tularaemia 402 treatment (Tarnvik A., 2007). According to this work, most antibiotics tested could be considered as 403 bactericidal against F. tularensis. However, it should be mentioned that the use of modified MH2 in 404 405 these experiments may have influenced both the bacteriostatic and the bactericidal activity of antibiotics compared to caMHB, as previously discussed. Indeed, in that study, the MIC value for 406 407 doxycycline was 8 mg/L, which is higher than usually found in other studies.

We recently evaluated MBCs for gentamicin and doxycycline against the LVS strain and two human strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* biovar I from France, using caMHB medium (Caspar et al., 2014). The MBC was eightfold higher than the MIC for gentamicin (respectively, 2 and 0.25 mg/L) and could not be determined for doxycycline, which was mainly bacteriostatic (MIC = 0.25 mg/L) (Caspar et al., 2014). These data are more in agreement with the known bactericidal and bacteriostatic nature of these two antibiotics, respectively. Such a difference may participate to the lower relapse rates reported with gentamicin (Enderlin et al., 1994).

Finally, a third study measured the MBCs of ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and doxycycline against the LVS strain, using enriched caMHB medium (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015). The MBC/MIC ratios were measured at 1–2 for gentamicin and \leq 4 for ciprofloxacin, confirming the bactericidal nature of these antibiotics against *F. tularensis*. The MBC/MIC ratio was equal to 4 for chloramphenicol. In contrast, the MBC/MIC ratio was \geq 64 for doxycycline, demonstrating a bacteriostatic activity (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015).

In conclusion, MBC data investigated with suitable media for *F. tularensis* AST revealed a bactericidal activity for the aminoglycosides and the fluoroquinolones, but a bacteriostatic activity for doxycycline. These data should be further confirmed using a larger sample of *F. tularensis* strains.

425

426 **3. Cell systems**

The activity of antibiotics against *F. tularensis* has rarely been evaluated in cell models.
These models used either of the following cell systems: murine macrophage-like cell lines J774.1 or
P388D1; kidney epithelial Vero cells from African green monkey; human cell lines, including lung
epithelial A549 cells, pulmonary fibroblastic MRC5 cells, non-phagocytic kidney epithelial HEK

431 293 and THP-1 human monocytes; or human monocytes purified from buffy coat (Golovliov et al., 2003; Madrid et al., 2013; Maurin et al., 2000; Schmitt et al., 2013; Sutera et al., 2014). In these 432 433 models, the multiplicity of infection (MOI, bacteria/eukaryotic cell ratios used for cell infection) 434 varied between 10 and 3000 according to the F. tularensis strain used (i.e. F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SchuS4 strain, F. tularensis subsp. holarctica FSC200 strain, or LVS) and the nature of 435 the eukaryotic cells. Cell infection usually occurs after 2-3 h of bacteria-cell contact. The 436 437 penetration of bacteria within eukaryotic cells may be enhanced by centrifugation of infected cell monolayers (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015; Madrid et al., 2013; Maurin et al., 2000; Schmitt et al., 438 439 2013; Sutera et al., 2014). Then non-phagocytised bacteria are removed by adding gentamicin to the extracellular medium for 1–4 h, which is referred to as the gentamicin protection assay. The cell 440 441 monolayers are then washed and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere, either in drug-free 442 medium (growth control) or in the presence of the tested antibiotics. At various incubation times, cell monolayers are washed and lysed with detergents, and CFU counts in cell lysates are determined. 443 Antibiotic activity is deduced from the ratio of CFU counts in antibiotic-containing cultures 444 compared to the drug-free growth control. 445

Alternative methods for the evaluation of antibiotic activity against intracellular F. tularensis 446 447 have been proposed. A dye-uptake assay based on the capacity of eukaryotic cells to internalise a 448 vital dye has recently been reported. In this model, activity of antibiotics is deduced from their ability to inhibit bacterial growth within eukaryotic cells, preventing lysis of the cell monolayers. The term 449 "minimal inhibitory extracellular concentration" (MIEC) was coined to define the minimal 450 extracellular antibiotic concentration capable of preventing cytotoxic effects of F. tularensis 451 multiplication (Sutera et al., 2014). The turnaround time of the dye uptake assay was 2 days 452 compared to 4-5 days for the CFU count-based model. Cell mortality could also be evaluated by 453 measuring lactate dehydrogenase activity in cell supernatants (Madrid et al., 2013). More recently, a 454 qPCR assay was used to determine F. tularensis growth in Vero cells (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015). 455

MIECs were determined after 24 h infection, with results comparable to those obtained with the CFU
method (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015). However, qPCR may overestimate viable bacterial loads since
it quantifies DNA from both viable and non-viable bacteria.

459

3.1. Fluoroquinolones

The intracellular activity of antibiotics against a French type B biovar I strain of F. tularensis 460 461 (erythromycin MIC of 4 mg/L) was evaluated in a P388D1 murine macrophage-like cell model, using the CFU count methodology (Maurin et al., 2000). The results showed that ciprofloxacin and 462 ofloxacin at 1 mg/L were the most potent compounds, with more than a 4-log and 3-log reduction of 463 bacterial inocula after only 48 h incubation of cultures, respectively (Maurin et al., 2000). In a 464 systematic screening of FDA-approved drugs to identify compounds that may inhibit multiplication 465 of biological threat agents, including F. tularensis, norfloxacin (50 µM, i.e. 16 mg/L) exhibited 83% 466 protection of cells against cytotoxicity of the SchuS4 strain in J774.1 murine macrophages, as 467 determined by the supernatant's lactate dehydrogenase activity (Madrid et al., 2013). In a dye-uptake 468 469 assay using MRC5 cells, MIECs and MICs were equal for ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and 470 moxifloxacin, suggesting good penetration of these antibiotics within F. tularensis-infected cells 471 (Sutera et al., 2014).

472 **3.2. Tetracyclines**

In the P388D1 murine macrophage-like model, doxycycline at 4 mg/L only induced a 2-log reduction of bacterial inocula after 72 h incubation (Maurin et al., 2000). In the systematic screening assay of FDA-approved drugs, tetracycline completely protected infected cells from cytotoxicity of the SchuS4 strain at 50 μ M (22 mg/L), and minocycline induced 92% survival of infected cells at 23 mg/L, (Madrid et al., 2013). In the dye uptake assay, MIECs were also equal to MIC for doxycycline, also demonstrating good penetration within infected cells. However, MIEC values were eight times higher for doxycycline (0.5 mg/L) compared to ciprofloxacin (0.064 mg/L) against the

480	two biovar I type B strains evaluated in the study. In another study, the same ciprofloxacin MIEC
481	was found for the LVS strain in Vero cells, as determined by both qPCR and CFU count methods,
482	but a lower MIEC (0.125–0.5 mg/L) was found for doxcycline (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015).

483

3.3. Aminoglycosides

In the P388D1 murine macrophage-like cell model, gentamicin at 3 mg/L was not bactericidal 484 485 after 48 h incubation of cultures and only induced a 1-log reduction of the bacterial inoculum after 72 h incubation. When tested at 10 mg/L, a 2-log reduction of bacterial counts was observed after 48 486 h incubation and more than 3-log reduction after 72 h (Maurin et al., 2000). In another study using 487 the attenuated LVS strain and the Vero cell line, gentamicin at 20 mg/L did not show any 488 intracellular activity after 32 h incubation (Aloni-Grinstein et al., 2015). In the dye-uptake assay 489 490 using MRC5 cells, the gentamicin MIEC after 48 h incubation of cultures was 2 mg/L against two French type B biovar I strains of F. tularensis, while the MICs of this antibiotic were, respectively, 491 0.25 and 0.5 mg/L for the same strains (Sutera et al., 2014). Delayed activity of gentamicin 492 493 correlated with the slow penetration of this antibiotic within eukaryotic cells, usually detectable only 494 after 48–72 h of antibiotic-cell contact.

The other aminoglycosides also penetrate slowly within eukaryotic cells. Amikacin and streptomycin, at 10 mg/L, only decreased *F. tularensis* inoculum by 1 log after 48 h, and 2 logs after 72 h (Maurin et al., 2000). In contrast, netilmicin did not prevent cell lysis in J774.1 cells infected with the SchuS4 strain (Madrid et al., 2013).

499

3.4. Macrolides/lincosamides/ketolides

500 Conflicting results have been reported between studies testing the intracellular form of *F*.
501 *tularensis*, which may be due to the use of different cell types and bacterial strains. In P388D1
502 murine macrophage-like cells, erythromycin and clarithromycin at 4 mg/L exhibited no intracellular

503 activity against a type B biovar I strain of F. tularensis displaying MICs of 4 mg/L and 8 mg/L in acellular media for these antibiotics, respectively. In contrast, telithromycin at 4 mg/L (MIC at 0.5 504 mg/L in acellular medium) was able to reduce the bacterial inoculum between two to three log₁₀ after 505 506 72 h (Maurin et al., 2000). Unlike telithromycin, the intracellular concentration of erythromycin and 507 clarithromycin was probably not sufficient in this experiment to kill bacteria, as the extracellular concentration used was equal or superior to their MIC. In another study, a high concentration of 508 erythromycin (50 µM, 37 mg/L) conferred full protection of J774.1 cell viability after infection with 509 510 the SchuS4 type A strain (Madrid et al., 2013). In the same assay, clindamycin (50 µM, 21 mg/L) 511 exhibited 83% protection of cells against the cytotoxic effect of F. tularensis proliferation (Madrid et al., 2013). In the dye uptake assay reported by Sutera et al., erythromycin MIECs were four times 512 513 lower than MICs (respectively, 1-2 mg/L and 4-16 mg/L) for two French biovar I type B strains in 514 MRC5 cells (Sutera et al., 2014). Altogether, these experiments show that erythromycin may be 515 effective in preventing intracellular replication of type A and biovar I type B strains.

In another experiment reported by Ahmad et al., azithromycin (a C15 macrolide) showed complete killing of the LVS strain at 5 mg/L in murine macrophage J774.1 cells, although this antibiotic displays an MIC of 25 mg/L against this biovar II type B strain (Ahmad et al., 2010). This result suggests a high bactericidal concentration of azithromycin within *F. tularensis*-infected J774.1 macrophages. This may not be true for all cell types since a previous experiment from Ahmad et al., demonstrated that azithromycin was fully bactericidal at only 25 mg/L against the LVS strain grown in human lung epithelial A549 cells (Ahmad et al., 2010).

3.5. Beta-lactams

In the P388D1 murine macrophage-like model, β-lactams at 10 mg/L (penicillin G,
amoxicillin, ceftriaxone) displayed no activity against intracellular *F. tularensis*.(Maurin et al., 2000)

Meropenem was ineffective against both intracellular and extracellular *F. tularensis* in the dye
uptake assay (Sutera et al., 2014).

528 **3.6. Other antibiotics**

In the P388D1 murine macrophage-like model, thiamphenicol at 4 mg/L displayed no 529 intracellular activity. In contrast, rifampicin at 4 mg/L induced a 2-log reduction of bacterial inocula 530 531 after 72 h incubation (Maurin et al., 2000). In the dye uptake assay using MRC5 cells, MIECs were close to MICs for rifampicin, also suggesting good penetration of this compound within F. 532 tularensis-infected cells. However, MIEC values were 16 times higher than those of ciprofloxacin (1 533 mg/L versus 0.064 mg/L, respectively) against the two biovar I type B strains tested for F. tularensis 534 (Sutera et al., 2014). Interestingly, linezolid exhibited higher activity against intracellular than 535 extracellular F. tularensis, suggesting its accumulation within MRC5 cells, with a MIEC of 1 mg/L 536 and an MIC of 8 mg/L (Sutera et al., 2014). Finally, daptomycin was ineffective both intracellularly 537 and extracellularly (Sutera et al., 2014). 538

539

3.7. Conclusion of intracellular activity of antibiotics against tularaemia

To summarise the data from the intracellular models, the fluoroquinolones ciprofloxacin, 540 levofloxacin, moxifloxacin and ofloxacin displayed the lowest MIECs and the fastest bactericidal 541 activity against intracellular F. tularensis, suggesting rapid and efficient concentration of these 542 compounds within infected eukaryotic cells. The tetracyclines were less effective and mainly 543 bacteriostatic against intracellular F. tularensis. The aminoglycosides displayed a bactericidal 544 activity, but only after 48-72 h of antibiotic exposure of infected cells, which correlated with the 545 546 slow intracellular accumulation of these basic compounds (Maurin and Raoult, 2001). Thus, the aminoglycosides are probably much more effective against the extracellular form of F. tularensis at 547 the early stage of antibiotic treatment, while these antibiotics may also be active against intracellular 548 549 F. tularensis after several days of treatment. Streptomycin and amikacin were slightly less effective

than gentamicin at the same concentration, and netilmicin was ineffective. The beta-lactams and
daptomycin were not effective in cell systems. In contrast, the activity of linezolid against
intracellular *F. tularensis* should be further investigated both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Interestingly, in two studies, the macrolides erythromycin and azithromycin were found to be 553 active against the intracellular form of type A and biovar I type B F. tularensis strains, which are 554 naturally susceptible to these antibiotics. Azithromycin was also effective against the intracellular 555 556 form of biovar II type B LVS strain, although naturally more resistant to macrolides, but only in phagocytic and fibroblastic cells. Indeed, the intracellular accumulation of the macrolides varies 557 according to the eukaryotic cell type considered, with a lower accumulation in epithelial cells 558 559 compared to phagocytic and fibroblast cells (Ahmad et al., 2010; Sutera et al., 2014). PK/PD studies have demonstrated the accumulation of azithromycin within human phagocytic and fibroblast cells, 560 and in many human tissues such as lungs, soft tissues, prostate and tonsils (Matzneller et al., 2013; 561 562 McDonald and Pruul, 1991). The intracellular/extracellular azithromycin ratio in tissues is generally between 10 and 100, and can be greater than 200 in polymorphonuclear (PMN) leukocytes, with 563 probable large amounts of this antibiotic at the site of infection (Hall et al., 2002; Hand and Hand, 564 2001; Matzneller et al., 2013). The intracellular accumulation of erythromycin is lower, with 565 intracellular/extracellular ratios generally between 1 and 10 in tissues and PMNs.(Hand and Hand, 566 567 2001; McDonald and Pruul, 1991) The activity of azithromycin against intracellular F. tularensis warrants further evaluation of its activity in animal models. These experiments should be conducted 568 using type A and type B strains, especially the type B biovar II strains. 569

570

571 **4. Animal models**

Although several animal models have been developed to study the pathogenesis of *F*. *tularensis* infection and vaccine efficacy, few of these models have evaluated *in vivo* antibiotic

574 efficacy (Rick Lyons and Wu, 2007). This may be related to the absence of an optimal animal model 575 mimicking human infection. In these models, antibiotic activity is usually deduced from the death rate and survival time of infected animals and the bacterial load in their organs (especially in the 576 spleen) at the time of death or sacrifice, when treated with various antibiotic regimens compared to 577 infected and untreated controls. BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice and guinea pigs are highly susceptible 578 hosts to F. tularensis infection, but also to the LVS strain of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica and F. 579 580 novicida strains, which have often been used as surrogates of F. tularensis, although they are much less virulent in humans (Kingry and Petersen, 2014; Stundick et al., 2013). Rabbits and Fisher 344 581 582 rats are less susceptible to F. tularensis infection and may better mimic human infection. However, 583 variable immune responses to F. tularensis infection also exist between rat strains, with Sprague-Dawley being much more resistant than Fisher 344 rats (Raymond and Conlan, 2009). Results may 584 585 also vary according to the route of infection, i.e. the intraperitoneal, intradermal, subcutaneous or 586 intranasal routes for Fischer 344 rats (Stundick et al., 2013). Moreover, the "Animal Rule" states that experimental animal models should be developed using the true etiologic agent causing human 587 disease. Thus, evaluation of antibiotic activity in Fisher 344 rats infected with virulent type A or type 588 B strains would be more predictive of the clinical situation. 589

Few experiments have evaluated the activity of antibiotics in *F. tularensis*-infected animal models. However, interesting data have been obtained regarding the *in vivo* efficacy of antibiotics, and relapse rates according to delay in antibiotic therapy and treatment duration. Unfortunately, these models are highly heterogeneous with respect to the route of infection, the route of antibiotic administration, the antibiotic dose administrated and the *F. tularensis* strain tested.

595

4.1. Fluoroquinolones and doxycycline

In 1998, Russel et al evaluated the effect of subcutaneous injection of doxycycline or
ciprofloxacin on the median lethal dose (MLD) of the Schu S4 strain injected intraperitoneally to

598 Porton outbred mice (Russell et al., 1998). The animals either received a 2-day antibiotic prophylaxis before the bacterial challenge, or were treated 48 h post-infection with 20 or 40 mg/kg twice a day, 599 of doxycycline or ciprofloxacin for 10 days, and then were monitored for 24 days. Although the 600 601 MLD was close to 1 CFU of the Schu S4 strain in untreated mice, full protection at the highest bacterial inoculum tested (8.8×10^6 CFU) was achieved by a 2-day prophylaxis with ciprofloxacin or 602 603 doxycycline, and by 10 days of post-infection treatment with either of these antibiotics at 40 mg/kg 604 twice a day. At this dosage, the serum concentrations were higher than $4 \times MIC$ for at least 12 h for ciprofloxacin, and $3 \times MIC$ for 9 h for doxycycline (Russell et al., 1998). 605

The *in vivo* efficacy of fluoroquinolones was confirmed in 2005, by Piercy et al.(Piercy et al., 2005). BALB/c mice were infected subcutaneously with 10⁶ CFU of the Schu S4 strain and treated orally with 100 mg/kg of ciprofloxacin, gatifloxacin or moxifloxacin, from 6 h to 14 days postinfection. Survival rates at day 42 post-infection were 94%, 100% and 100%, respectively, for these three antibiotics (Piercy et al., 2005).

In 2008, Klimpel et al. showed that a 13-day course of levofloxacin (5 mg/kg/day, intraperitoneally), starting 24 h following an intranasal challenge with 3 LD₅₀ of *F. tularensis* Schu S4 strain, prevented death of all Balb/c mice (Klimpel et al., 2008). No bacteria were detectable in the spleen of the animals after 10 days of treatment, while very few were detected in the lungs at this time (Klimpel et al., 2008).

616 In 2012, Rotem et al. compared the efficacy of ciprofloxacin and doxycycline in Balb/c mice

617 infected by inhalation of a 100-LD₅₀ dose of *F. tularensis* LVS (10^5 CFU) or Schu S4 (10^2 CFU)

strains.(Rotem et al., 2012) Untreated controls died 5–7 days post-infection, whereas all LVS-

619 infected mice were cured by intraperitoneal injection of ciprofloxacin (50 mg/kg bid) for 7 days or

620 doxycycline (40 mg/kg bid) for 14 days, whether the antibiotic treatment was started at 24 h, 48 h or

621 72 h post-infection (Rotem et al., 2012). F. tularensis LVS strain was undetectable by culture in the

lungs, liver and spleen after completion of any of these treatments. In contrast, for animals infected
with the Schu S4 strain, no death occurred when ciprofloxacin was administered 24 h or 48 h post
infection, while doxycycline only cured 90% of the animals even when administrated 24 h postinfection (Rotem et al., 2012).

626 **4.2. Treatment delay**

627 In the study from Russel et al., a 48-h prophylaxis with ciprofloxacin or doxycycline protected mice from a 3.7×10^6 CFU and 6.0×10^6 CFU challenge with the Schu S4 strain, 628 respectively (Russell et al., 1998). In contrast, when antibiotic treatment was started 24 h post-629 infection, complete protection was only obtained against an intraperitoneal challenge with 880 CFU 630 for ciprofloxacin and 100 CFU for doxycycline. Thus, antibiotic efficacy was dramatically reduced 631 632 after only 24 h infection (Russell et al., 1998). In the study by Rotem et al., in which mice were infected via Schu S4 strain aerosols, no death occurred when ciprofloxacin was administered 24-48 633 h post infection, while a 30% mortality rate was observed when this antibiotic was administered 3 634 635 days post-infection (Rotem et al., 2012). Doxycycline only cured 90%, 30% and 0% of the mice 636 when started at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-infection, respectively (Rotem et al., 2012). In the study reported by Piercy et al., the survival rates decreased dramatically (94%, 67% and 0%) when 637 638 ciprofloxacin was administrated 6 h, 24 h or 48 h post-infection, respectively (Piercy et al., 2005). In contrast, the survival rates were 100%, 96% and 84% for gatifloxacin, and 100%, 100% and 62% for 639 moxifloxacin, respectively, showing the better therapeutic efficacy of these drugs compared to 640 ciprofloxacin. In this study, the infectious dose was much higher than in that from Rotem et al (10^6) 641 CFU intraperitoneally versus 10² CFU intranasally). Finally, in the Klimpel et al. study, full 642 643 protection against the SchuS4 strain (99 CFU intraperitoneally) was observed for levofloxacin at 40 mg/kg/day, as long as the treatment delay did not exceed 72 h (Klimpel et al., 2008). If started at 72 644 h, bacterial load decreased approximately from 10⁶ to 10 CFU per organ after 1 week of treatment. 645

Delaying the treatment of more than 120 h resulted in the death of all infected mice (Klimpel et al.,2008).

648

4.3. Bactericidal activity and treatment duration

In the Russel et al. study, when treatment was administrated for 5 days rather than 10 days 649 post-infection, the MLD was reduced from $> 8.8 \times 10^6$ CFU to 3.7×10^6 CFU for ciprofloxacin and 650 651 6.0×10^6 CFU for doxycycline (Russell et al., 1998). The protective effect of the antibiotic therapy also decreased when animals were treated with a lower dose (20 mg/kg bd rather than 40 mg/kg bd) 652 of ciprofloxacin or doxycycline. Moreover, the authors reported that death occurred in 90% of 653 654 animals after antibiotic treatment withdrawal, demonstrating that a 5-day course of antibiotic treatment was not sufficient to eradicate F. tularensis (Russell et al., 1998). Thus, in this study, the 655 dose and length of the antibiotic therapy administered highly influenced the outcome of F. tularensis 656 infection in animals (Russell et al., 1998). 657

Significant insight into relapses came from the study reported by Piercy et al., Piercy et al., 658 2005). Among the nine groups tested (ciprofloxacin, moxifloxacin or gatifloxacin, initiated 6 h, 24 h 659 or 48 h post-infection), these authors showed that the administration of 7 days of dexamethasone to 660 surviving mice in order to abolish their immune system resulted in 17–73% relapse rates depending 661 662 on the treatment group. These findings suggested that quiescent bacteria controlled by the immune system persisted in mice despite 14 days of fluoroquinolone therapy. Fluoroquinolones were thus not 663 fully bactericidal when a high inoculum of F. tularensis was injected to the mice (e.g. subcutaneous 664 injection of 10⁶ CFU of the Schu S4 strain). Suppression of the immune system enabled latent 665 666 bacteria to multiply and kill mice, even in the 6-h post-exposure treatment group (respectively, 36% and 42% mortality rates in the ciprofloxacin- and moxifloxacin-treated groups) (Piercy et al., 2005). 667

Data from the study by Rotem et al. corroborated this hypothesis (Rotem et al., 2012). In their experiments with the virulent Schu S4 strain, no death occurred when ciprofloxacin was

670 administered 24 h or 48 h post-infection, while a 30% mortality rate was observed when this antibiotic was administered 3 days post-infection. In the latter case, bacteria were undetectable in 671 organs at the time of antibiotic treatment withdrawal, while cultures were positive 3 days later for 672 673 three out of ten mice that died after antibiotic withdrawal. The extension of ciprofloxacin treatment duration from 7 to 10 days cured all animals, even when the antibiotic was administrated 72 h post-674 infection. In contrast, when doxycycline was started 72 h post-infection, all animals had 675 676 approximately 100 CFU/g of tissue (lungs, liver or spleen) at the end of treatment, after which the bacterial loads in organs increased in all mice until death. Extension of treatment from 14 to 21 days 677 678 resulted in no cultivable bacteria in lungs, liver or spleen at the end of treatment, but all mice 679 relapsed after 2 days of doxycycline withdrawal (Rotem et al., 2012). A different picture was observed with the LVS strain, which was below detectable level by culture in the lungs, liver and 680 681 spleen after completion of any of the treatment regimens (ciprofloxacin for 7 days or doxycycline for 682 14 days, started 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h post-infection) in the mice sacrificed at the end of the treatment. However, 2 days after doxycycline treatment withdrawal, the LVS strain was detected in all organs, 683 684 and bacterial loads gradually decreased to an undetectable level in the following 7 days and resulted in no death. Thus, although undetectable after doxycycline treatment, the attenuated LVS strain was 685 not fully eradicated and regrowth of the bacteria was observed as soon as doxycycline was stopped, 686 although it did not kill the mice. In contrast, re-emergence of bacteria did not occur for the 687 ciprofloxacin group of LVS-infected mice after ciprofloxacin withdrawal. 688

689

4.4. Conclusions drawn from animal model data

Altogether, these data indicate that both ciprofloxacin and doxycycline are able to prevent tularaemia in mice when treatment is started 48 h before a challenge with *F. tularensis* SchuS4 strain. These antibiotics are also able to cure the disease and eradicate *F. tularensis* when administrated post-infection at a concentration of 40 mg/kg or higher, for 5–10 days. Efficacy of the antibiotic treatment is highly correlated with the antibiotic dose administered, which is probably related to the duration over which serum concentrations of antibiotics are above the MIC values ofthe infecting strain.

However, when treatment was started 24-72 h post-infection, ciprofloxacin was superior to 697 doxycycline: 1) in the study conducted by Rotem et al., doxycycline was not able to cure all mice 698 when infected with 10^2 CFU of the SchuS4 strain via the aerosol route, even when started at 24 h 699 post-infection, while ciprofloxacin cured all mice when started either 24 h or 48 h post-infection 700 701 (Rotem et al., 2012); 2) relapse rates were higher for doxycycline as it was unable to fully eradicate 702 the Schu S4 or LVS strains from the lungs, liver and spleen, even when administrated for 14-21 days; 3) the time until antibiotic treatment initiation reduced doxycycline efficacy much more than 703 704 for ciprofloxacin.

705 F. tularensis multiplies within the slightly acidic cytosol of eukaryotic cells, and a low pH may alter the activity of the basophilic antibiotics ciprofloxacin and doxycycline (Russell et al., 706 707 1998). Data obtained in animal models indicate that persistent bacteria may develop in hosts infected 708 with F. tularensis when the antibiotic therapy administered has poor bactericidal activity or is too 709 short in duration. These data suggest that long antibiotic treatment duration should be used in immunocompromised patients infected with F. tularensis. This could also be true for patients 710 711 suffering from suppurated lymphadenopathy, who often experience relapses despite administration of ciprofloxacin for 14 days or doxycycline for 21 days. 712

Treatment must be initiated as soon as possible since antibiotic efficacy decreased significantly when treatment was delayed by 24–48 h post-infection. It should be noted that, because of diagnosis delays, antibiotics are often given several days to weeks after infection in patients suffering from tularaemia. Importantly, moxifloxacin efficacy was less impacted by treatment delay than ciprofloxacin and thus may represent an advantageous therapeutic option in case of late diagnosis. MIC values are close for moxifloxacin and ciprofloxacin.

5. General conclusion and perspectives

720 Since the introduction of streptomycin in the mid-1950s, antibiotic treatment of human tularaemia has remained challenging. In most tularaemia endemic countries, human infections are 721 now rare, and F. tularensis isolation is even rarer. Thus, AST data for isolated strains of F. tularensis 722 may not reflect the true situation of current antibiotic resistance in this pathogen. Importantly, the 723 724 analysis of AST methodological variations between studies shows an urgent need for international 725 standardisation. Following the CLSI guidelines, including using the appropriate experimental 726 medium, bacterial inoculum and incubation time are mandatory, although some F. tularensis strains grow better or exclusively under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The broth microdilution technique using 727 728 enriched caMHB medium should be considered the reference method. As recommended by the CLSI, a final inoculum calibrated at 5 10⁵ CFU/mL should be used, as a tenfold higher or lower 729 inoculum is associated to interpretation errors (Georgi et al., 2012). However, the modified Mueller-730 731 Hinton II liquid medium should not be used for F. tularensis AST to avoid reporting MICs that could categorize F. tularensis strains as resistant to aminoglycosides or doxycycline whereas such 732 733 resistances have never been characterized so far in this pathogen. From the data currently available, use of the CLSI broth microdilution method can be recommended to test large collections of F. 734 735 tularensis strains in reference laboratories, while the E-test method would be more convenient for 736 testing one or a few strains. However, the E-test MIC strip method, although more convenient to perform, still requires comparative studies with the reference method. 737

Altogether, available AST data indicate that the fluoroquinolones display the lowest MICs, are strongly and rapidly bactericidal in cell models and are highly effective in curing *F. tularensis* infection in infected mice when administered for at least 10 days. Among the fluoroquinolones, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin have the lowest MICs. In contrast, doxycycline MICs are closer to the CLSI susceptibility breakpoint and this antibiotic is only bacteriostatic in cell models. In the mouse model, a 14-day course of doxycycline did not eradicate *F. tularensis* when treatment was started 72

744 h post-infection (Rotem et al., 2012). A 21-day course of treatment was still not fully effective to eradicate bacteria, whereas 10 days of ciprofloxacin treatment was effective. Therefore, doxycycline 745 should be considered less effective than fluoroquinolones for treatment of tularaemia, which is 746 747 consistent with higher therapeutic failure rates in humans observed with this antibiotic. Because 748 antibiotic treatment is often administrated several days after symptom onset in tularaemia patients, ciprofloxacin may be a better choice than doxycycline. Moreover, treatment duration with 749 750 ciprofloxacin of at least 14 days should be recommended, while 3 weeks would be a minimum for doxycycline (Rotem et al., 2012). A longer duration of antibiotic treatment should probably be 751 752 considered in case treatment is delayed longer than 2 weeks after symptom onset, especially if lymph node suppuration or other local or systemic complications have occurred. The aminoglycosides 753 754 (especially gentamicin) also have low MICs. They are rapidly bactericidal in acellular media, but 755 their intracellular bactericidal activity only takes place after 72 h of cell exposure owing to their slow 756 penetration through the eukaryotic cell membrane. In the 1994 review by Enderlin et al., streptomycin was considered the most reliable treatment for human tularaemia, with almost no 757 758 relapse after treatment removal (Enderlin et al., 1994). The aminoglycosides are still considered the most reliable treatment of severe tularaemia cases (e.g. the pneumonic and typhoidal forms of 759 760 tularaemia, and all other systemic infections). Experimental data suggest that the combination of an aminoglycoside with a fluoroquinolone may currently be the most effective alternative in patients 761 762 with severe tularaemia, especially to obtain a rapid bactericidal activity against extracellular and 763 intracellular F. tularensis. However, one has always to remember that antimicrobial potency deduced from MIC values, MBC values, in vitro and in vivo bactericidal activity in animal models is only one 764 of many factors (including pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters, tolerability, plasma 765 766 protein binding, tissue penetration, bactericidal activity and contraindication) that may influence the decision of what drug to use in a clinical setting. 767
768 The macrolides (especially azithromycin) could be an alternative in patients infected with erythromycin-susceptible strains of F. tularensis (type A and type B biovar I) and in those for whom 769 the above first-line treatments are contraindicated, including young children and pregnant women. 770 771 However, the macrolide-resistant type B biovar II strains are currently found in Eastern Europe and in Asia, and co-exist with biovar I strains in Germany, Switzerland and Scandinavia. The empirical 772 use of a macrolide in tularaemia patients cannot be considered reliable in these areas. The use of a 773 774 macrolide in patients with mild to moderate severity tularaemia is safer in Western Europe, where only type B biovar I strains cause human infections. In this context, quick discrimination between 775 776 type B biovar I and II strains either by determination of erythromycin susceptibility (using MIC strips or sequencing of *rrl* gene) or by detection of particular genetic markers (detection of RD23) 777 778 deletion only found in West European strains) would be of particular interest (Dempsey et al., 2007; 779 Karlsson et al., 2016; Pilo et al., 2009). Since these antibiotics mainly display a bacteriostatic activity 780 against F. tularensis, they cannot be considered reliable to treat severe forms of tularaemia. Azithromycin combined with lymph node resection proved to be effective to cure a pregnant women 781 782 suffering from oropharyngeal tularaemia with no complications for the fetus (Dentan et al., 2013). Further *in vivo* data in animal models and humans are needed before azithromycin can be 783 recommended as a reliable alternative for treatment of tularaemia 784 785 Finally, the causes of the high relapse rates observed in tularaemia patients after administration of a fluoroquinolone or a tetracycline remain undetermined. Several hypotheses can be proposed, 786 including low penetration of antibiotics in tissues and eukaryotic cells in vivo; low susceptibility of 787 bacteria *in vivo* especially because of low replication and persistence; inactivation of antibiotic 788 activity in vivo owing to local conditions (e.g. acidic pH, enzymatic inactivation, etc.) and acquired 789 resistance to antibiotics in vivo in patients under fluoroquinolone or tetracycline treatment. Further 790 791 evaluation of such hypotheses in *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental models is warranted.

792

- 793 Transparency declarations
- The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 795
- 796 **Bibliography**
- 797
- Abd, H., Johansson, T., Golovliov, I., Sandström, G., and Forsman, M. (2003). Survival and growth of *Francisella tularensis* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 600–606.
- Ahmad, S., Hunter, L., Qin, A., Mann, B. J., and van Hoek, M. L. (2010). Azithromycin effectiveness against
 intracellular infections of *Francisella*. *BMC Microbiol*. 10, 123. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-10-123.
- Akalın, H., Helvacı, S., and Gedikoğlu, S. (2009). Re-emergence of tularemia in Turkey. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 13, 547–551. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2008.09.020.
- Aloni-Grinstein, R., Shifman, O., Lazar, S., Steinberger-Levy, I., Maoz, S., and Ber, R. (2015). A rapid real-time
 quantitative PCR assay to determine the minimal inhibitory extracellular concentration of antibiotics
 against an intracellular *Francisella tularensis* Live Vaccine Strain. *Front. Microbiol.* 6.
 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01213.
- Antunes, N. T., Frase, H., Toth, M., and Vakulenko, S. B. (2012). The Class A β-Lactamase FTU-1 Is Native to
 Francisella tularensis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56, 666–671. doi:10.1128/AAC.05305-11.
- Baker, C. N., Hollis, D. G., and Thornsberry, C. (1985). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Francisella tularensis* with a modified Mueller-Hinton broth. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 22, 212–215.
- Barut, S., and Cetin, I. (2009). A tularemia outbreak in an extended family in Tokat Province, Turkey:
 observing the attack rate of tularemia. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 13, 745–748. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2008.12.002.
- Bicakci, Z., and Parlak, M. (2008). A neglected cause of cervical lymphadenitis. Oropharyngeal tularemia.
 Saudi Med. J. 29, 1059–1061.
- Bina, X. R., Lavine, C. L., Miller, M. A., and Bina, J. E. (2008). The AcrAB RND efflux system from the live
 vaccine strain of *Francisella tularensis* is a multiple drug efflux system that is required for virulence in
 mice. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 279, 226–233. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.01033.x.
- Bina, X. R., Wang, C., Miller, M. A., and Bina, J. E. (2006). The Bla2 beta-lactamase from the live-vaccine strain
 of *Francisella tularensis* encodes a functional protein that is only active against penicillin-class beta lactam antibiotics. *Arch. Microbiol.* 186, 219–228. doi:10.1007/s00203-006-0140-6.
- Bradford, P. A., Petersen, P. J., Young, M., Jones, C. H., Tischler, M., and O'Connell, J. (2005). Tigecycline MIC
 Testing by Broth Dilution Requires Use of Fresh Medium or Addition of the Biocatalytic OxygenReducing Reagent Oxyrase To Standardize the Test Method. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49,
 3903–3909. doi:10.1128/AAC.49.9.3903-3909.2005.
- Broman, T., Thelaus, J., Andersson, A.-C., Backman, S., Wikstrom, P., Larsson, E., et al. (2011). Molecular
 Detection of Persistent *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *holarctica* in Natural Waters. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2011. doi:10.1155/2011/851946.

- Busse, H.-J., Huber, B., Anda, P., Escudero, R., Scholz, H. C., Seibold, E., et al. (2010). Objections to the
 transfer of *Francisella novicida* to the subspecies rank of *Francisella tularensis* response to
 Johansson et al. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 1718–1720.
- Caspar, Y., Sutera, V., Boisset, S., Denis, J.-N., and Maurin, M. (2014). Bis-indolic compounds as potential new
 therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 4, 24.
 doi:10.3389/fcimb.2014.00024.
- Celebi, G., Baruönü, F., Ayoğlu, F., Cinar, F., Karadenizli, A., Uğur, M. B., et al. (2006). Tularemia, a
 reemerging disease in northwest Turkey: epidemiological investigation and evaluation of treatment
 responses. Jpn. J. Infect. Dis. 59, 229–234.
- Cerný, Z. (2001). Changes of the epidemiology and the clinical picture of tularemia in Southern Moravia (the
 Czech Republic) during the period 1936-1999. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 17, 637–642.
- Chanturia, G., Birdsell, D. N., Kekelidze, M., Zhgenti, E., Babuadze, G., Tsertsvadze, N., et al. (2011).
 Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* from the country of Georgia. *BMC Microbiol.* 11, 139. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-11-139.
- Christova, I., Velinov, T., Kantardjiev, T., and Galev, A. (2004). Tularaemia outbreak in Bulgaria. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 36, 785–789. doi:10.1080/00365540410021199.
- Clemens, D. L., and Horwitz, M. A. (2007). Uptake and intracellular fate of *Francisella tularensis* in human
 macrophages. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1105, 160–186. doi:10.1196/annals.1409.001.
- CLSI. M45-A2. 2010 Methods for Antimicrobial Dilution and Disk Susceptibility Testing of Infrequently
 Isolated or Fastidious Bacteria; Approved Guideline-Second Edition M45A2
- Cooper, C. L., Caeseele, P. V., Canvin, J., and Nicolle, L. E. (1999). Chronic Prosthetic Device Infection with
 Francisella tularensis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 29, 1589–1591. doi:10.1086/313550.
- Cross, J. T., and Jacobs, R. F. (1993). Tularemia: treatment failures with outpatient use of ceftriaxone. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* 17, 976–980.
- Cross, J. T., Schutze, G. E., and Jacobs, R. F. (1995). Treatment of tularemia with gentamicin in pediatric
 patients. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 14, 151–152.
- Dempsey, M. P., Dobson, M., Zhang, C., Zhang, M., Lion, C., Gutiérrez-Martín, C. B., et al. (2007). Genomic
 Deletion Marking an Emerging Subclone of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* in France and the
 Iberian Peninsula. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 7465–7470. doi:10.1128/AEM.00646-07.
- Dennis DT, Inglesby TV, Henderson DA, and et al (2001). Tularemia as a biological weapon: Medical and
 public health management. *JAMA* 285, 2763–2773. doi:10.1001/jama.285.21.2763.
- Dentan, C., Pavese, P., Pelloux, I., Boisset, S., Brion, J.-P., Stahl, J.-P., et al. (2013). Treatment of tularemia in pregnant woman, France. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19, 996–998. doi:10.3201/eid1906.130138.
- 862 El-Etr, S. H., Margolis, J. J., Monack, D., Robison, R. A., Cohen, M., Moore, E., et al. (2009). *Francisella*863 *tularensis* type A strains cause the rapid encystment of *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and survive in
 864 amoebal cysts for three weeks postinfection. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7488–7500.
 865 doi:10.1128/AEM.01829-09.

- Enderlin, G., Morales, L., Jacobs, R. F., and Cross, J. T. (1994). Streptomycin and alternative agents for the
 treatment of tularemia: review of the literature. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* 19,
 42–47.
- Erdem, H., Ozturk-Engin, D., Yesilyurt, M., Karabay, O., Elaldi, N., Celebi, G., et al. (2014). Evaluation of
 tularaemia courses: a multicentre study from Turkey. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Off. Publ. Eur. Soc. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* doi:10.1111/1469-0691.12741.
- Feldman, K. A., Enscore, R. E., Lathrop, S. L., Matyas, B. T., McGuill, M., Schriefer, M. E., et al. (2001). An
 outbreak of primary pneumonic tularemia on Martha's Vineyard. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 345, 1601–1606.
 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa011374.
- 875 Francis, E. (1928). A SUMMARY OF PRESENT KNOWLEDGE OF TULARAEMIA1. : Medicine.
- Francis, E., Mayne, B., and Lake, G. C. (1922). *Tularæmia Francis 1921 a new disease of man ...* Washington:
 Govt. Print. Off.
- Fujita, O., Uda, A., Hotta, A., Okutani, A., Inoue, S., Tanabayashi, K., et al. (2008). Genetic diversity of
 Francisella tularensis subspecies *holarctica* strains isolated in Japan. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 52, 270–
 276. doi:10.1111/j.1348-0421.2008.00036.x.
- García del Blanco, N., Gutiérrez Martín, C. B., de la Puente Redondo, V. A., and Rodríguez Ferri, E. F. (2004).
 In vitro susceptibility of field isolates of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* recovered in Spain to
 several antimicrobial agents. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 76, 195–198. doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2003.12.002.
- Georgi, E., Schacht, E., Scholz, H. C., and Splettstoesser, W. D. (2012). Standardized broth microdilution
 antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains from Europe and
 rare Francisella species. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67, 2429–2433. doi:10.1093/jac/dks238.
- Golovliov, I., Baranov, V., Krocova, Z., Kovarova, H., and Sjöstedt, A. (2003). An attenuated strain of the
 facultative intracellular bacterium *Francisella tularensis* can escape the phagosome of monocytic
 cells. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 5940–5950.
- Gyuranecz, M., Birdsell, D. N., Splettstoesser, W., Seibold, E., Beckstrom-Sternberg, S. M., Makrai, L., et al.
 (2012). Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 18,
 290–293. doi:10.3201/eid1802.111305.
- Gyuranecz, M., Rigó, K., Dán, A., Földvári, G., Makrai, L., Dénes, B., et al. (2011). Investigation of the ecology
 of *Francisella tularensis* during an inter-epizootic period. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. Larchmt. N* 11,
 1031–1035. doi:10.1089/vbz.2010.0091.
- Hall, I. H., Schwab, U. E., Stacy Ward, E., Butts, J. D., Wolford, E. T., and Ives, T. J. (2002). Disposition and
 intracellular activity of azithromycin in human THP-1 acute monocytes. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 20,
 348–360. doi:10.1016/S0924-8579(02)00187-5.
- Hand, W. L., and Hand, D. L. (2001). Characteristics and mechanisms of azithromycin accumulation and efflux
 in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 18, 419–425.
- Hauri, A. M., Hofstetter, I., Seibold, E., Kaysser, P., Eckert, J., Neubauer, H., et al. (2010). Investigating an
 airborne tularemia outbreak, Germany. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 16, 238–243.
 doi:10.3201/eid1602.081727.

- Helvaci, S., Gedikoğlu, S., Akalin, H., and Oral, H. B. (2000). Tularemia in Bursa, Turkey: 205 cases in ten
 years. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 16, 271–276.
- Hofinger DM, Cardona L, Mertz GJ, and Davis LE (2009). TUlaremic meningitis in the united states. *Arch. Neurol.* 66, 523–527. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2009.14.
- Hotta, A., Fujita, O., Uda, A., Sharma, N., Tanabayashi, K., Yamamoto, Y., et al. (2013). In Vitro Antibiotic
 Susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* Isolates from Japan. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* 66, 534–536.
 doi:10.7883/yoken.66.534.
- Huber, B., Escudero, R., Busse, H.-J., Seibold, E., Scholz, H. C., Anda, P., et al. (2010). Description of *Francisella hispaniensis* sp. nov., isolated from human blood, reclassification of *Francisella novicida*(Larson et al. 1955) Olsufiev et al. 1959 as *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *novicida* comb. nov. and
 emended description of the genus *Francisella*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 1887–1896.
 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.015941-0.
- 916 Ikäheimo, I., Syrjälä, H., Karhukorpi, J., Schildt, R., and Koskela, M. (2000). In vitro antibiotic susceptibility of
 917 *Francisella tularensis* isolated from humans and animals. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 46, 287–290.
 918 doi:10.1093/jac/46.2.287.
- Jellison, W. L. (1974). Tularemia in North America, 1930-1974. University of Montana, University of Montana
 Foundation.
- Jellison, W. L., and Owen, C. R. (1961). Tularemia and animal populations ecology and epizootiology. West
 Salem, Wis.
- Johansson, A., Berglund, L., Gothefors, L., Sjöstedt, A., and Tärnvik, A. (2000). Ciprofloxacin for treatment of
 tularemia in children. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 19, 449–453.
- Johansson, A., Celli, J., Conlan, W., Elkins, K. L., Forsman, M., Keim, P. S., et al. (2010). Objections to the
 transfer of *Francisella novicida* to the subspecies rank of *Francisella tularensis*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 1717-1718-1720. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.022830-0.
- Johansson, A., Lärkeryd, A., Widerström, M., Mörtberg, S., Myrtännäs, K., Ohrman, C., et al. (2014). A
 respiratory tularemia outbreak caused by diverse clones of *Francisella tularensis*. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* doi:10.1093/cid/ciu621.
- Johansson, A., Urich, S. K., Chu, M. C., Sjöstedt, A., and Tärnvik, A. (2002a). In Vitro Susceptibility to
 Quinolones of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis*. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 34, 327–330.
 doi:10.1080/00365540110080773.
- Johansson, A., Urich, S. K., Chu, M. C., Sjöstedt, A., and Tärnvik, A. (2002b). In vitro susceptibility to
 quinolones of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis*. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 34, 327–330.
- Kantardjiev, T., Ivanov, I., Velinov, T., Padeshki, P., Popov, B., Nenova, R., et al. (2006). Tularemia outbreak,
 Bulgaria, 1997-2005. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 678–680. doi:10.3201/eid1204.050709.
- Karlsson, E., Golovliov, I., Lärkeryd, A., Granberg, M., Larsson, E., Öhrman, C., et al. (2016). Clonality of
 erythromycin resistance in *Francisella tularensis*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, dkw235.
 doi:10.1093/jac/dkw235.

- Karlsson, E., Svensson, K., Lindgren, P., Byström, M., Sjödin, A., Forsman, M., et al. (2013). The
 phylogeographic pattern of *Francisella tularensis* in Sweden indicates a Scandinavian origin of
 Eurosiberian tularaemia. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 634–645. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12052.
- Keim, P., Johansson, A., and Wagner, D. M. (2007). Molecular epidemiology, evolution, and ecology of
 Francisella. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1105, 30–66. doi:10.1196/annals.1409.011.
- Kilic, S., Birdsell, D. N., Karagöz, A., Çelebi, B., Bakkaloglu, Z., Arikan, M., et al. (2015). Water as Source of
 Francisella tularensis Infection in Humans, Turkey. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 21, 2213–2216.
 doi:10.3201/eid2112.150634.
- Kiliç, S., Çelebi, B., Acar, B., and Ataş, M. (2013). In vitro susceptibility of isolates of *Francisella tularensis* from Turkey. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 45, 337–341. doi:10.3109/00365548.2012.751125.
- Kingry, L. C., and Petersen, J. M. (2014). Comparative review of *Francisella tularensis* and *Francisella novicida*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 4. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2014.00035.
- Klimpel, G. R., Eaves-Pyles, T., Moen, S. T., Taormina, J., Peterson, J. W., Chopra, A. K., et al. (2008).
 Levofloxacin rescues mice from lethal intra-nasal infections with virulent *Francisella tularensis* and induces immunity and production of protective antibody. *Vaccine* 26, 6874–6882.
 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.09.077.
- Kreizinger, Z., Makrai, L., Helyes, G., Magyar, T., Erdélyi, K., and Gyuranecz, M. (2013). Antimicrobial
 susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains from Hungary, Central Europe. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 68, 370–373. doi:10.1093/jac/dks399.
- Kudelina, R. I., and Olsufiev, N. G. (1980). Sensitivity to macrolide antibiotics and lincomycin in *Francisella tularensis holarctica*. J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol. 24, 84–91.
- Kugeler, K. J., Mead, P. S., Janusz, A. M., Staples, J. E., Kubota, K. A., Chalcraft, L. G., et al. (2009). Molecular
 Epidemiology of *Francisella tularensis* in the United States. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 48, 863–870.
 doi:10.1086/597261.
- Larssen, K. W., Afset, J. E., Heier, B. T., Krogh, T., Handeland, K., Vikøren, T., et al. (2011). Outbreak of
 tularaemia in central Norway, January to March 2011. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* 16.
- Larssen, K. W., Bergh, K., Heier, B. T., Vold, L., and Afset, J. E. (2014). All-time high tularaemia incidence in Norway in 2011: report from the national surveillance. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Eur. Soc. Clin. Microbiol.* doi:10.1007/s10096-014-2163-2.
- Livermore, D. M. (2003). Linezolid in vitro: mechanism and antibacterial spectrum. J. Antimicrob. Chemother.
 51, ii9-ii16. doi:10.1093/jac/dkg249.
- Madrid, P. B., Chopra, S., Manger, I. D., Gilfillan, L., Keepers, T. R., Shurtleff, A. C., et al. (2013). A Systematic
 Screen of FDA-Approved Drugs for Inhibitors of Biological Threat Agents. *PLoS ONE* 8.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060579.
- Mailles, A., Madani, N., Maurin, M., Garin-Bastuji, B., and Vaillant, V. (2010). Unexpected increase of human
 and animal tularemia cases during winter 2007/2008 in France: Emergence or short-lasting episode?.
 Médecine Mal. Infect. 40, 279–284. doi:10.1016/j.medmal.2009.11.006.

- Matzneller, P., Krasniqi, S., Kinzig, M., Sörgel, F., Hüttner, S., Lackner, E., et al. (2013). Blood, tissue, and
 intracellular concentrations of azithromycin during and after end of therapy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57, 1736–1742. doi:10.1128/AAC.02011-12.
- Maurin, M., and Gyuranecz, M. (2016). Tularaemia: clinical aspects in Europe. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 16, 113–
 124. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00355-2.
- Maurin, M., Mersali, N. F., and Raoult, D. (2000). Bactericidal activities of antibiotics against intracellular
 Francisella tularensis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44, 3428–3431.
- Maurin, M., Pelloux, I., Brion, J. P., Banõ, J.-N. D., and Picard, A. (2011). Human Tularemia in France, 2006–
 2010. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 53, e133–e141. doi:10.1093/cid/cir612.
- Maurin, M., and Raoult, D. (2001). Use of Aminoglycosides in Treatment of Infections Due to Intracellular
 Bacteria. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45, 2977–2986. doi:10.1128/AAC.45.11.2977-2986.2001.
- Mccoy, G. W., and Chapin, C. W. (1912). Further Observations on a Plague-Like Disease of Rodents with a
 Preliminary Note on the Causative Agent, Bacterium Tularense. J. Infect. Dis. 10, 61–72.
 doi:10.1093/infdis/10.1.61.
- McDonald, P. J., and Pruul, H. (1991). Phagocyte uptake and transport of azithromycin. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Eur. Soc. Clin. Microbiol.* 10, 828–833.
- Mengeloglu, Z., Duran, A., Hakyemez, I. N., Ocak, T., Kücükbayrak, A., Karadag, M., et al. (2014). Evaluation of
 patients with Tularemia in Bolu province in northwestern Anatolia, Turkey. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 8,
 315–319.
- Meric, M., Willke, A., Finke, E.-J., Grunow, R., Sayan, M., Erdogan, S., et al. (2008). Evaluation of clinical,
 laboratory, and therapeutic features of 145 tularemia cases: the role of quinolones in oropharyngeal
 tularemia. *APMIS* 116, 66–73. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0463.2008.00901.x.
- Muller, W., Hotzel, H., Otto, P., Karger, A., Bettin, B., Bocklisch, H., et al. (2013). German *Francisella tularensis* isolates from European brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) reveal genetic and phenotypic
 diversity. *BMC Microbiol.* 13, 61. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-61.
- 1004 Nano, F. E., and Schmerk, C. (2007). The *Francisella* Pathogenicity Island. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1105, 122–137.
 1005 doi:10.1196/annals.1409.000.
- Olsufiev, N. G., Emelyanova, O. S., and Dunayeva, T. N. (1959). Comparative study of strains of *B. tularense* in
 the old and new world and their taxonomy. *J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol.* 3, 138–149.
- Olsufjev, N. G. (1970). Taxonomy and characteristic of the genus *Francisella* Dorofeev, 1947. *J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol.* 14, 67–74.
- Olsufjev, N. G., and Meshcheryakova, I. S. (1982). Infraspecific taxonomy of tularemia agent Francisella
 tularensis McCoy et Chapin. *J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol.* 26, 291–299.
- Olsufjev, N. G., and Meshcheryakova, I. S. (1983). Subspecific Taxonomy of *Francisella tularensis* McCoy and
 Chapin 1912. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 33, 872–874. doi:10.1099/00207713-33-4-872.
- Origgi, F., Frey, J., and Pilo, P. (2014). Characterisation of a new group of *Francisella tularensis* subsp.
 holarctica in Switzerland with altered antimicrobial susceptibilities, 1996 to 2013. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* 19.

- Payne, L., Arneborn, M., Tegnell, A., and Giesecke, J. (2005). Endemic tularemia, Sweden, 2003. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11, 1440–1442. doi:10.3201/eid1109.041189.
- Pérez-Castrillón, J. L., Bachiller-Luque, P., Martín-Luquero, M., Mena-Martín, F. J., and Herreros, V. (2001).
 Tularemia Epidemic in Northwestern Spain: Clinical Description and Therapeutic Response. *Clin.* Infect. Dis. 33, 573–576. doi:10.1086/322601.
- Petersen, J. M., Carlson, J. K., Dietrich, G., Eisen, R. J., Coombs, J., Janusz, A. M., et al. (2008). Multiple
 Francisella tularensis Subspecies and Clades, Tularemia Outbreak, Utah. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 14, 1928–
 1930. doi:10.3201/eid1412.080482.
- Piercy, T., Steward, J., Lever, M. S., and Brooks, T. J. G. (2005). In vivo efficacy of fluoroquinolones against
 systemic tularaemia infection in mice. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 56, 1069–1073.
 doi:10.1093/jac/dki359.
- Pilo, P., Johansson, A., and Frey, J. (2009). Identification of *Francisella tularensis* Cluster in Central and
 Western Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 15, 2049–2051. doi:10.3201/eid1512.080805.
- Raymond, C. R., and Conlan, J. W. (2009). Differential susceptibility of Sprague-Dawley and Fischer 344 rats
 to infection by *Francisella tularensis*. *Microb. Pathog.* 46, 231–234.
 doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2009.01.002.
- 1033 Reintjes, R., Dedushaj, I., Gjini, A., Jorgensen, T. R., Cotter, B., Lieftucht, A., et al. (2002). Tularemia outbreak
 1034 investigation in Kosovo: case control and environmental studies. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 8, 69–73.
- Rick Lyons, C., and Wu, T. H. (2007). Animal models of *Francisella tularensis* infection. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1105, 238–265. doi:10.1196/annals.1409.003.
- 1037 Rockwood, S. (1983). Tularemia: What's in a name. *ASM News*, 63–65.
- Rotem, S., Bar-Haim, E., Cohen, H., Elia, U., Ber, R., Shafferman, A., et al. (2012). Consequences of Delayed
 Ciprofloxacin and Doxycycline Treatment Regimens against *Francisella tularensis* Airway Infection.
 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56, 5406–5408. doi:10.1128/AAC.01104-12.
- Russell, P., Eley, S. M., Fulop, M. J., Bell, D. L., and Titball, R. W. (1998). The efficacy of ciprofloxacin and
 doxycycline against experimental tularaemia. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 41, 461–465.
 doi:10.1093/jac/41.4.461.
- Scheel, O., Hoel, T., Sandvik, T., and Berdal, B. P. (1993). Susceptibility pattern of Scandinavian *Francisella tularensis* isolates with regard to oral and parenteral antimicrobial agents. *APMIS* 101, 33–36.
 doi:10.1111/j.1699-0463.1993.tb00077.x.
- Schmitt, D. M., O'Dee, D. M., Cowan, B. N., Birch, J. W.-M., Mazzella, L. K., Nau, G. J., et al. (2013). The use of
 resazurin as a novel antimicrobial agent against *Francisella tularensis*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3.
 doi:10.3389/fcimb.2013.00093.
- Siret, V., Barataud, D., Prat, M., Vaillant, V., Ansart, S., Le Coustumier, A., et al. (2006). An outbreak of
 airborne tularaemia in France, August 2004. *Euro Surveill. Bull. Eur. Sur Mal. Transm. Eur. Commun. Dis. Bull.* 11, 58–60.
- Sjostedt, A. (2007). Tularemia: History, Epidemiology, Pathogen Physiology, and Clinical Manifestations. Ann.
 N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1105, 1–29. doi:10.1196/annals.1409.009.

- Stundick, M. V., Albrecht, M. T., Houchens, C. R., Smith, A. P., Dreier, T. M., and Larsen, J. C. (2013). Animal
 Models for *Francisella tularensis* and *Burkholderia Species* Scientific and Regulatory Gaps Toward
 Approval of Antibiotics Under the FDA Animal Rule. *Vet. Pathol. Online* 50, 877–892.
 doi:10.1177/0300985813486812.
- Sutera, V., Caspar, Y., Boisset, S., and Maurin, M. (2014). A new dye uptake assay to test the activity of
 antibiotics against intracellular *Francisella tularensis*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 4, 36.
 doi:10.3389/fcimb.2014.00036.
- Svensson, K., Back, E., Eliasson, H., Berglund, L., Granberg, M., Karlsson, L., et al. (2009a). Landscape
 Epidemiology of Tularemia Outbreaks in Sweden. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 15, 1937–1947.
 doi:10.3201/eid1512.090487.
- Svensson, K., Granberg, M., Karlsson, L., Neubauerova, V., Forsman, M., and Johansson, A. (2009b). A Real Time PCR Array for Hierarchical Identification of *Francisella* Isolates. *PLoS ONE* 4.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008360.
- 1068 Tarnvik A. (2007). WHO Guidelines on Tularaemia.
- 1069 Tärnvik, A., and Berglund, L. (2003). Tularaemia. *Eur. Respir. J.* 21, 361–373.
 1070 doi:10.1183/09031936.03.00088903.
- 1071 Tärnvik, A., and Chu, M. C. (2007). New approaches to diagnosis and therapy of tularemia. *Ann. N. Y. Acad.* 1072 *Sci.* 1105, 378–404. doi:10.1196/annals.1409.017.
- 1073 Tomaso, H., Al Dahouk, S., Hofer, E., Splettstoesser, W. D., Treu, T. M., Dierich, M. P., et al. (2005).
 1074 Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Austrian *Francisella tularensis holarctica* biovar II strains. *Int. J.* 1075 Antimicrob. Agents 26, 279–284. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2005.07.003.
- Urich, S. K., and Petersen, J. M. (2008). *In Vitro* Susceptibility of Isolates of *Francisella tularensis Types* A and
 B from North America. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 52, 2276–2278. doi:10.1128/AAC.01584-07.
- 1078 Valade, E., Vaissaire, J., Mérens, A., Hernandez, E., Gros, C., Doujet, C. L., et al. (2008a). Susceptibility of 71
 1079 French isolates of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* to eight antibiotics and accuracy of the
 1080 Etest[®] method. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 62, 208–210. doi:10.1093/jac/dkn146.
- Velinov, K. (2011). *In vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* isolated in Bulgaria. *Probl. Infect. Parasit. Dis.* 39, 7–9.
- Vogler, A. J., Birdsell, D., Price, L. B., Bowers, J. R., Beckstrom-Sternberg, S. M., Auerbach, R. K., et al. (2009).
 Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis*: global expansion of a highly fit clone. *J. Bacteriol.* 191,
 2474–2484. doi:10.1128/JB.01786-08.
- Wang, Y., Hai, R., Zhang, Z., Xia, L., Cai, H., Liang, Y., et al. (2011). Genetic relationship between *Francisella tularensis* strains from China and from other countries. *Biomed. Environ. Sci. BES* 24, 310–314.
 doi:10.3967/0895-3988.2011.03.015.
- Wang, Y., Peng, Y., Hai, R., Xia, L., Li, H., Zhang, Z., et al. (2014). Diversity of *Francisella tularensis* Subsp.
 holarctica Lineages, China. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20. doi:10.3201/eid2007.130931.
- Wherry, W. B., and Lamb, B. H. (1914). Infection of Man with *Bacterium Tularense*. J. Infect. Dis. 15, 331–340.
 doi:10.1093/infdis/15.2.331.

1093 1094 1095	Yeşilyurt, M., Kılıç, S., Çelebi, B., Çelik, M., Gül, S., Erdoğan, F., et al. (2011). Antimicrobial susceptibilities of <i>Francisella tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> strains isolated from humans in the Central Anatolia region of Turkey. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66, 2588–2592. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr338.
1096	
1097	
1098	
1099	
1100	

-

- **TABLES**

1107 Table 1: Characteristics of *F. tularensis* strains included in this study and MICs for aminoglycosides (gentamicin and streptomycin), the tetracycline

1108 compound doxycycline and fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin) according to the culture medium used and to the AST assay used.

									MIC	(mg/L)				
Poforonco	Voar(c)	Origin	Nb of strains	Culturo modium	Gentamio	cin	Streptor	mycin	Doxycyc	line	Ciprofloxa	cin	Levofloxa	cin
Reference	real(s)	Ongin	Type/biovar		Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀
Broth micro	dilution													
Origgi et al	1996–2013	Switzerland	19 B6	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	≤0.12-0.25	0.25	4	4			≤0.06	≤0.06		
			5 B12		≤0.12–0.25		4				≤0.06			
Georgi et	UNK	Europe	69 B	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	≤0.25–0.5	0.5	≤0.5–2	2			≤0.031–0.125	0.063	≤0.031–0.125	0.063
al		North America	7 A	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	≤0.25–0.5		≤2				0.031-0.125			
		Central Asia	4 ssp. mediasiatica	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	≤0.25		≤2				≤0.031–0.063			
Urich et al	1974–2005	North America	92 A	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	0.03–0.5	0.25	0.25–4	2	0.25–4	2	0.004–0.06	0.06	0.015-0.12	0.06
			77 B	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	0.03–0.5	0.12	0.25–4	2	0.25–2	2	0.008-0.06	0.03	0.015-0.12	0.06
E-test														
Johansson	1998	Sweden	7 B	Modified Thayer-Martin	0.5–1				0.25-0.5		0.008-0.015			
et al <i>,</i> 2000														
Hotta et al	1926–1989	Japan	36 B	Chocolate II agar	0.023–0.5	0.125			0.094–1.5	1	0.003-0.023	0.016		
Kreizinger	2003–2010	Hungary	29 B12	Modified Francis Agar	0.38-1	0.75	3–8	6	0.12-1.5	1	0.012-0.047	0.047	0.004-0.023	0.023
et al														
Yeşilyurt et	2009–2010	Turkey	39 B biovar II	GBCA	0.094–0.25	0.25	0.75–1.5	1.5			0.008-0.016	0.016	0.006-0.016	0.012
al Tana at	4002 4000	A		CLIAD	0.004.2	0.75	0.75.0	2	0.00.0	2	0.004.0.425	0.022	0.000 0.047	0.022
Tomaso et	1992-1998	Austrian	50 B DIOVAL II	СНАВ	0.094–2	0.75	0.75-8	3	0.38-3	2	0.004-0.125	0.032	0.008-0.047	0.032
aı Ikäheimo	LINK	Finland	38 B	CHA + 2% Haemoglobin	0 38-1 5	1	0 25-4 0	Д			0 008-0 023	0.016	0 008-0 023	0.016
et al	ONK	1 mana	50 0	enver 270 nachogioshi	0.50 1.5	-	0.23 4.0	-			0.000 0.025	0.010	0.000 0.025	0.010
Kilic et al,	2009–2012	Turkey	249 B biovar II	СНАВ	0.094-0.38	0.25	0.5–2	1.5	0.064-0.38	0.25	0.004–0.023	0.016	0.003-0.016	0.012
2013			+ 1 biovar <i>japonica</i>											
Johansson	1996–2001	USA	8 A	MHII + 1% IsoVitaleX or CHAB	0.032-0.25		0.064–2		0.125–2		0.016-0.064		0.016-0.064	
et al, 2002			16 B		0.016-0.125	0.064	0.064–1	0.25	0.125–2	1	0.016-0.064	0.064	0.008-0.125	0.125
Velinov et	UNK	Bulgaria	21 B biovar II	caMHB + 2% IsoVitaleX	0.064-0.5	0.125	0.25–2	1	0.25–4	2	0.002-0.06	0.047	0.016-0.125	0.094
al		-												
Agar dilutio	<u>n</u>													
Valade et	1996-2005	France	71 B	MHII + 2% IsoVitaleX	0.03-0.5		< 0.5-1		0.125-1		0.015-0.03			
al														
Johansson	1998	Sweden	7B	Modified Thayer-Martin	1				0.5		0.03			
et al, 2000														

1109 caMHB: cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth; GBCA: glucose blood cystein agar; MHII: Mueller Hinton II; CHA: cystein heart agar; CHAB : cystein heart agar enriched with blood; MIC₉₀ : minimal inhibitory

1110 concentration that inhibits 90% of the strains ; MIC range : range between the lowest and the highest MIC observed

1111

- 1112
- 1113
- 1114

1115 Table 2: MIC and MIC₉₀ ranges of aminoglycosides, tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones against *F. tularensis*. Data are summarised from all studies 1116 selected for this review

1117				
1118	Antibiotics	MIC range (mg/L)	MIC ₉₀ range (mg/L)	CLSI breakpoint for susceptibility (mg/L)
1119	Gentamicin	≤ 0.016–2	0.064–1	≤ 4
1120	Streptomycin	≤ 0.064–8	0.25–6	≤ 8
1101	Tetracycline	≤ 0.094–2	≤ 0.25- 1	≤ 4
1121	Doxycycline	0.064-4	0.25–2	≤ 4
1122	Ciprofloxacin	≤ 0.002–0.125	≤ 0.016–0.064	≤ 0.5
	Levofloxacin	≤ 0.004–0.125	0.012-0.125	≤ 0.5
1123	Chloramphenicol	≤ 0.023–4	≤ 0.25–2	≤ 8
1124				
1125				
1126				
1127				
1128				
1129				
1130				
1131				
1132				

1136 Table 3: MICs for the other aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones evaluated against *F. tularensis*

			Tobramycin		Amikacin		Moxifloxacin		Norfloxa	icin	Grepafloxacin		Trovaflox	acin	Gatifloxacin		Sparfloxacin	
Reference	Origin	Nb of strains Type/biovar																
		1,900,010,000	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀
Broth micro	dilution																	
Georgi et al	Europe	69 B			≤0.5–2	2												
<u>E-test</u>																		
Yeşilyurt et al	Turkey	39 B biovar II	0.125–0.38	0.25	0.75–1	1	0.012-0.032	0.032										
Tomaso et al	Austria	50 B biovar II	0.19–3	0.75	1–16	4	0.016–0.19	0.125	0.023–0.19	0.125					0.008–0.047	0.032	0.003–0.047	0.023
Ikäheimo et al	Finland	38 B	0.5–2	1.5							0.016-0.047	0.047	0.012-0.047	0.032				
Johansson	USA	8 A					0.032-0.125				0.008-0.125				0.016-0.125		0.008-0.032	
et al, 2002		16 B					0.032-0.125	0.125			0.016-0.125	0.064			0.008-0.064	0.064	0.008-0.064	0.064
1137																		
1138																		
1139																		
1140																		
1141																		
1142																		
1143																		
1144																		

1147 Table 4: MICs of tetracycline, tigecycline, macrolides (erythromycin, azithromycin and telithromycin), chloramphenicol, rifampicin and linezolid against

F. tularensis.

Poforonco	Origin	Nb of strains	Tetracycline		Tigecyc	line	Erythroi	nycin	Azithro	mycin	Telithromycin	Chloramph	enicol	Rifampi	cin	Line	zolid
Reference	Origin	Type/biovar	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀
Broth microdi	lution																
Origgi et al	Switzerland	19 B6	≤0.25	≤0.25			2-8	4				≤2	≤2				
		5 B12	≤0.25				>32					≤2					
Georgi et al	Europe	69 B	≤0.125–2	1			1->16	>16				1–4	2	≤0.5–2			
	North America	7 A	≤0.125–0.5									≤0.5	5				
	Central Asia	4 ssp. mediasiatica	0.25-2									1–4					
Urich et al	North America	92 A	0.25-2	1			0.5–4	2				0.5-4	2				
		77 B	0.12-2	1			0.5–2	0.5				0.5-4	2				
E-test MIC str	ips																
Johansson et	Sweden	7 B					>256					0.25		0.5			
al, 2000																	
Hotta et al	Japan	36 B					0.094–1.5	1.5									
Kreizinger et al	Hungary	29 B12	0.19–0.72	0.5	0.094–0.19	0.19	>256	>256				0.5–1.5	1.5	0.5–2	1	12–48	32
Yeşilyurt et al	Turkey	39 B biovar II	0.125–0.5	0.38	0.094–0.38	0.25	>256	>256	>256	>256		0.094–0.25	0.25	0.25–1	0.75	0.5–2	1.5
Tomaso et al	Austria	50 B biovar II	0.125-0.75	0.75			4->256	>256				0.023–2	0.75	0.25-3	1.5		
lkäheimo et al	Finland	38 B	0.094–0.5	0.38					>256	>256		0.125–0.5	0.38	0.094–0.38	0.25		
Kiliç et al, 2013	Turkey	249 B biovar II + 1 biovar <i>japonica</i>	0.094–0.5	0.38			1->256	>256				0.094–0.75	0.5	0.125–1	0.75		
Johansson et	USA	8 A					0.125-1		0.125-2			0.5-1		0.25-2		2–4	
al, 2002		16 B					0.125-1	1	0.064-2	1		0.25-1	1	0.125-1	1	4–16	8
Velinov et al	Bulgaria	21 B biovar II					>256	>256				1–4	2				
Agar dilution																	
Valade et al	France	71 B									0.125-0.25	0.25-2		0.015-0.5			
Johansson et	Sweden	7B										054					
ai, 2000												0.5-1		1			

1151

1152

1153 Table 5: MICs of beta-lactams against *F. tularensis*

							MIC range	e (mg/L)		1154
Reference	Origin	Nb of strains Type/biovar	AMP	AMC	PIP/TAZ	CAZ	сох	FEP	IMI	MER	<u>1</u> 12₽5
Broth Microdil	<u>ution</u>										4450
Goergi et al	Europe	69 B		64->64		>32			16->16		1156
<u>E-test</u>											
Hotta et al.	Japan	34 B					0.047->256		0.047->32	0.094->32	0.75->256
Yeşilyurt et al	Turkey	39 B biovar II	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>256	>32		
Tomaso et al	Austria	50 B biovar II	>256		>256	>256	>32	>32	0.5->32	1.5->32	>256
Ikäheimo et	Finland	38 B			>256	>256	>32		>32	>32	
al											
Velinov et al	Bulgaria	21 B biovar II			>256		2-4				
Agar dilution											
Scheel et al	Scandinavia	20 B				>32			>32	>32	>32

*if available, MIC₉₀ value corresponded every time to the highest value of MIC range. AMP:

Ampicillin; AMC: Amoxicillin/Clavulanate; PIP/TAZ: Piperacillin/tazobactam; CAZ:

Ceftazidime; COX: Ceftriaxone; FE: Cefepime; IMI: Imipenem; MER: Meropenem; AZT: Aztreonam

Supplementary Material

Francisella tularensis susceptibility to antibiotics: a comprehensive review of data obtained *in vitro* and in animal models.

Yvan Caspar,^{1,2,3*} Max Maurin^{1,2,3}

* Corresponding author: Dr. Yvan Caspar : YCaspar@chu-grenoble.fr

1 Supplementary Figures and Tables

												MIC (m	, /I)							
Poforonco	Voor(s)	Origin	Nb of strains	Culture	Gentamicin		Strept	omycin	Doxycycline		Ciprofloxacin		Levofloxacin		Tetracycline		Erythromycin		Chloramphenicol	
Reference	1001(0)	Ongin	Type/biovar	medium	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀	Range	MIC ₉₀
Broth micro	<u>dilution</u>																			
Origgi et al	1996–2013	Switzerland	19 B FTNF002	Modified MHII	1–4	4	2–4	4			≤0.06	≤0.06			2–8	8	1–8	4	≤2	≤2
			5 B13		2		2–4				≤0.06				4		>32		≤2	
García del Blanco et al	1997–1999	Spain	42 B	Modified MHII	1–8	8	4–32	32	2->32	>32	0.06–0.25	0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25	4–64	64	2->4	>4	≤8	≤8
Agar dilutio	<u>n</u>																			
Scheel et al	UNK	Scandinavia	20 B	Blood cystein agar	2–8		2–16		0.25–2		0.031–0.125						Range (7 stra >32 stra	2: 0.5–8 ains) or 2 (13 ains)	1–8	

Table S1: Characteristics and MICs of strains from studies excluded from the analysis

2.2. Publication 2 : Antibiotic susceptibilities of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains
 isolated from tularaemia patients in France between 2006 and 2016

(A soumettre à Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy)

Suite à cette revue des données de sensibilité de *F. tularensis* aux antibiotiques, il nous a semblé opportun et nécessaire de déterminer la sensibilité des souches isolées en France et identifiées au Centre National de Référence des *Francisella*, selon la technique de référence en microdilution en milieu liquide, devant la quasi absence de telles données pour les souches françaises. (246) Une étude réalisée sur des souches française isolées entre 1996 et 2005 avait été conduite quelques années auparavant mais en utilisant les techniques de diffusion en agar ou des bandelettes à gradients de concentration, qui ne sont pas la technique de référence pour l'évaluation de la sensibilité des *Francisella*. Les souches françaises appartiennent au biovar I de la sous espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* et plus précisément à la lignée clonale B. FTNF002-00 présente en Europe de l'Ouest, (77,78,78) pour laquelle peu de données sont disponibles avec la technique de référence. (76,246) En plus des antibiotiques recommandés pour le traitement de la tularémie (gentamicine, ciprofloxacine, doxycycline), nous avons inclus dans notre analyse :

- des macrolides (érythromycine, azithromycine) pour confirmer l'appartenance des souches françaises au biovar I de la sous espèce *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* et pouvoir établir des recommandations d'utilisation ou de non utilisation thérapeutique pour ces antibiotiques vis-à-vis des souches appartenant au biovar I
- des antibiotiques rarement évalués tels que le méropénème, l'amikacine, la tobramycine, l'ofloxacine, la moxifloxacine, la tigécycline, la télithromycine, la clindamycine et la daptomycine afin de fournir des données pour ces antibiotiques
- des antibiotiques pour lesquels des résultats contradictoires ont pu être rapportés tels que le linézolide
- des antibiotiques dont l'utilisation est limitée à certains contextes particuliers : chloramphénicol pour les méningites, rifampicine pour les infections ostéo-articulaires
- la sensibilité des souches à l'éthanol pour :1/ évaluer le pouvoir antibactérien de ce composé sur *F. tularensis* et 2/ car l'éthanol est employé comme solvant pour l'évaluation de la sensibilité de certains antibiotiques, lors de la réalisation des solutions stocks d'antibiotiques à forte concentration.

Nous avons ainsi analysé la sensibilité de 59 souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* isolées entre 2006 et 2016 de cas humains de tularémie. Toutes les formes cliniques étaient représentées avec des souches isolées de formes ulcéroganglionnaires (n = 19), ganglionnaires

(n = 1), oropharyngées (n = 6), oculoganglionnaire (n = 2), pulmonaires (n = 16) ou typhoïdiques (n = 11) ainsi que de formes cliniques plus rares telles que les formes méningées (n = 1), vasculaires (n = 1) ou ostéo-articulaires (n = 1). Les objectifs secondaires de cette étude étaient également de :

- pouvoir émettre des recommandations thérapeutiques pour le traitement des cas de tularémie en France
- identifier des souches résistantes aux antibiotiques utilisés pour le traitement de la tularémie, permettant d'expliquer certaines situations d'échec thérapeutique.

1	Antibiotic susceptibilities of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica strains isolated from
2	tularaemia patients in France between 2006 and 2016.
3	Yvan Caspar, ^{a,b#} Max Maurin ^{a,b}
4	
5	^a Centre National de Référence des Francisella, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène
6	Hospitalière, Département des agents infectieux, Institut de Biologie et de Pathologie, Centre
7	Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, CS 10217, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.
8	^b Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, TIMC-IMAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France.
9	
10	# Corresponding author:
11	Dr. Yvan Caspar: YCaspar@chu-grenoble.fr
12	Tel: +33 4 76 76 63 12
13	Fax: +33 4 76 76 62 28
14	
15	Running Title: Antibiotic susceptibilities of Francisella tularensis strains isolated in France
16	Keywords: Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica, antibiotic susceptibility, MIC,
17	tularaemia, France
18	

20 SYNOPSIS (250/250)

Objectives: We determined the *in vitro* susceptibility to 18 antibiotics of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains isolated in France between 2006 and 2016.

23 Methods: MIC data were determined for fifty-nine strains belonging to the phylogenetic

subclade B.FTNF002-00, using the recommended broth microdilution method in cation-

adjusted Mueller-Hinton medium supplemented with 2% Polyvitex[®].

26 **Results:** All strains were susceptible to fluoroquinolones (ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin,

27 levofloxacin and moxifloxacin; MIC range: 0.016-0.25 mg/L), aminoglycosides (gentamicin

and tobramycin; MIC range: $\leq 0,03-0,25$ mg/L), doxycycline and chloramphenicol (MIC

range 0.5-2 mg/L). Erythromycin MIC range (0,5-2 mg/L) confirmed that all isolates

30 belonged to biovar I of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. Telithromycin displayed lower MIC

range (0.03-0.5 mg/L). Tigecycline MIC range was slightly higher than doxycycline (0,25-1

32 mg/L and 0,125-0,25 mg/L, respectively). All strains were resistant to beta-lactams,

33 daptomycin, clindamycin and linezolid.

34 **Conclusions:** F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains isolated in France are susceptible to antibiotic classes recommended for tularemia treatment. However, fluoroquinolones display 35 36 the lowest MICs compared to aminoglycosides and doxycycline. Moreover, fluoroquinolones are bactericidal against F. tularensis and associated with lower rates of therapeutic failures 37 and relapses than doxycycline which is only bacteriostatic. Thus, fluoroquinolones should 38 probably be advocated as first-line treatment of mild cases of tularemia, which are 39 predominant in Europe, whereas doxycycline should be restricted to patients with 40 41 contraindications to fluoroquinolones. In contrast, a combined therapy using a single daily dose of gentamicin with ciprofloxacin may be useful in more severe cases, both to limit the 42 nephrotoxicity and improve the intracellular bactericidal activity of the antibiotic treatment. 43

44 INTRODUCTION (3456 words)

Tularemia is a zoonotic disease caused by two subspecies of the bacterium Francisella 45 tularensis. F. tularensis subsp. tularensis (type A), the most virulent one, is only present in 46 47 North America. F. tularensis subsp. holarctica (type B) is present in the whole northern hemisphere.¹ Biovar I strains (erythromycin susceptible) are found in Western Europe 48 (France, Spain, Italy) and in North America.^{2–6} Biovar II strains (erythromycin resistant) are 49 found in the Eastern part of Europe and Asia.^{2,6–9}. Both biovars exist in Germany and 50 Switzerland.^{10,11} High-level resistance to erythromycin in biovar II strains is related to the 51 presence of an A2059C mutation in the three copies of the domain V of the 23S rRNA (rrl) 52 gene.⁸ Biovar Japonica corresponds to erythromycin-susceptible strains found in Japan, China 53 and Turkev.¹ 54

55 According to the mode of transmission of F. tularensis, six clinical forms are classically recognized in humans. The ulceroglandular form associates a skin ulcer with a 56 57 regional lymphadenopathy in the corresponding lymphatic draining area. A regional lymphadenopathy with no detectable skin ulcer corresponds to the glandular form. Pharyngitis 58 with mouth ulcerations and cervical lymph nodes is observed in the oropharyngeal form. The 59 oculoglandular form is characterized by a painful conjunctivitis and lymphadenopathy in the 60 pretragal, submandibular or cervical areas. A severe sepsis often associated with neurological 61 symptoms, but without lymphadenopathy or cutaneous ulcer is designated as typhoidal 62 tularemia.¹ Pneumonic tularemia corresponds to *F. tularensis* lung involvement with 63 unspecific symptoms such as cough, dyspnea or chest pain, often with mediastinal 64 lymphadenopathy on the chest radiograph. 65

66 Current treatment guidelines from the World Health Organization (WHO) are derived 67 from the 2001 consensus statement from Dennis et al.^{12,13} Gentamicin and streptomycin are 68 recommended in case of severe tularemia, requiring hospitalization. Ciprofloxacin and

doxycycline can be used for milder forms of the disease.¹³ For children, doxycycline is 69 contraindicated under the age of eight.¹³ In France, although endemic, tularemia is responsible 70 for less than 100 cases per year. All cases are caused by the subspecies F. tularensis subsp. 71 *holarctica*.^{14,15} Moreover, strains isolated in France are clonal and belong to specific 72 B.FTNF002-00 subclade, which is characterized by a genetic deletion in a specific region of 73 difference (RD23).²⁻⁴ Despite appropriate treatment with gentamicin, ciprofloxacin or 74 doxycycline, many therapeutic failures and relapses are still observed in tularemia patients in 75 France. Approximately 30% of patients suffering from lymphadenopathy require surgical 76 lymph node removal because of suppuration.¹⁵ The aim of this study was to evaluate the *in* 77 vitro antibiotic susceptibilities of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains isolated from French 78 tularemia patients between 2006 and 2016. 79

80

81 MATERIAL AND METHODS

82 **Bacterial strains**

All experiments were conducted in a biosafety level 3 laboratory. We evaluated the 83 antibiotic susceptibilities of 59 F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains identified at the French 84 85 National Reference Center for Francisella using PCR amplification and sequencing of the intergenic 16S-23S rRNA region, as previously described.¹⁵ We also tested reference strains 86 as controls: F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS NCTC10857, F. tularensis subsp. novicida 87 CIP56.12, F. philomiragia ATCC25015, and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC29213 (ATCC, 88 Mannasas, VA, USA). All strains were kept frozen in cryotubes (MastDiagnostic, Amiens. 89 France) at -80°C and cultured on chocolate agar medium supplemented with Polyvitex[®] 90 (CHA-PVX medium, bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). 91

92 Antibiotic compounds

Gentamicin, tobramycin, doxycycline, azithromycin, erythromycin, clindamycin, 93 ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, ampicillin, meropenem, 94 chloramphenicol, rifampicin, tigecycline, ethanol and acetic acid were purchased from Sigma-95 Aldrich (Saint-Ouentin Fallavier, France), and telithromycin from TOKU-E (Zwijnaarde, 96 Belgium). For daptomycin (Cubicin, Cubist Pharmaceuticals) and linezolide (Zyvoxid, Pfizer) 97 we used commercialized powders for intravenous infusion. Some antibiotic powders were 98 99 first dissolved in ethanol (chloramphenicol and azithromycin) or glacial acetic acid (telithromycin) as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.¹⁶ 100

101

Antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST)

MICs were determined in 96-well microplates using the broth microdilution method, 102 which is the reference method for AST of *Francisella* strains according to the CLSI. ¹⁶ The 103 104 assay medium was cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton2 (MH2) broth supplemented with 2% PolyViteX[®] (MH2-PVX, bioMérieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) and adjusted to pH 7.1 +/- 0,1 105 106 as recommended. We determined MICs for eighteen antibiotics including compounds rarely 107 evaluated against tularemia (gentamicin, tobramycin, doxycycline, ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, erythromycin, azithromycin, telithromycin, clindamycin, 108 ampicillin, meropenem, chloramphenicol, rifampicin, linezolide, tigecycline, daptomycin). 109 110 We also determined the percentage of ethanol and acetic acid able to inhibit visible bacterial growth. In brief, one row of a 96-well microplate was filled with 75 µL of twofold serial 111 dilutions of the tested antibiotic compound in the assay medium (See Table 2 for antibiotic 112 dilution range tested). For tigecycline, fresh medium was prepared the day of testing as 113 recommended.¹⁷ The final bacterial inoculum was standardized at 5×10^5 CFU/mL by adding 114 75 µL of a calibrated bacterial suspension to each well. Antibiotic-free cultures were used as 115 positive controls and bacteria-free cultures as negative controls. Microplates were incubated 116 at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The MICs were read after 48 h for *Francisella* strains. As 117

for S. aureus ATCC 29213, MICs were read in MH2-PVX medium after 48h incubation or in 118 MH2 medium after 24h, according to CLSI recommendations. MICs were determined using a 119 microplate reader (TECAN M200 Pro, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 600nm. Experiments were 120 121 performed at least in duplicate for each strain-antibiotic combination to confirm results. The MICs were interpreted using the CLSI susceptibility breakpoints, which are specific for F. 122 tularensis only for gentamicin, doxycycline, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and 123 chloramphenicol. We used the Haemophilus influenzae EUCAST breakpoints for 124 125 erythromycin, azithromycin, telithromycin, and rifampicin. Finally, we used the PK/PD breakpoints from the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing 126 127 (EUCAST), which are not species specific, for ampicillin, meropenem, ofloxacin, moxifloxacin, linezolid, and tigecycline. Bacterial growth inhibition by ethanol and acetic 128 acid (used as solvents for some antibiotic for the preparation of stock solutions), after pH 129 adjustment to 7.1, was evaluated 130

131

132 **RESULTS**

The 59 F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains evaluated in this study were isolated 133 between 2006 and 2016 from various human samples (Table 1). Most patients corresponded 134 to the classical tularaemia clinical forms: ulceroglandular (19 strains), glandular (1), 135 oropharyngeal (6), oculoglandular (2), pneumonic (16) and typhoidal (11). Three strains were 136 137 isolated from patients with unusual clinical presentations, including meningitis (1), bone and joint infection (1), and aortitis (1) (Table 1). Most strains were isolated from blood samples 138 collected from bacteremic patients, while the others were isolated from other clinical samples 139 directly inoculated to chocolate agar Polyvitex® media (Biomérieux). 140

141	The most effective antibiotics were the fluoroquinolones (Table 2), with ciprofloxacin
142	displaying the lowest MIC ₉₀ (0,03 mg/L). We observed a narrow distribution of MIC values
143	for these compounds (Table 3). The aminoglycosides were also highly active, gentamicin
144	being the most effective compound tested (MIC ₉₀ = $0,125$ mg/L). The MIC ₉₀ of doxycycline
145	was only slightly higher at 0,25 mg/L. All F. tularensis strains tested were considered
146	susceptible to these three classes of antibiotics according to CLSI susceptibility breakpoints.
147	Chloramphenicol displayed a ten times higher MIC ₉₀ (2 mg/L) compared to doxycycline, but
148	all strains were still considered susceptible to this antibiotic. In contrast, resistance was
149	observed for all strains tested, for the beta-lactams ampicillin ($MIC_{90} > 128 \text{ mg/L}$) and
150	meropenem (MIC ₉₀ > 32 mg/L), and for daptomycin (MIC ₉₀ > 8 mg/L), linezolide (MIC ₉₀ =
151	16 mg/L) and clindamycin (MIC ₉₀ = 16 mg/L). Other antibiotics displayed intermediate-level
152	MIC_{90} , including telithromycin (0,25 mg/L), erythromycin (1 mg/L), azithromycin (1 mg/L),
153	rifampicin (1 mg/L) and tigecyclin (1 mg/L). Ethanol alone was able to inhibit growth of all
154	F. tularensis strains when diluted at 2% (vol/vol), but the residual concentration of this
155	compound in working solutions of chloramphenicol and azithromycin was 0,016% or lower.
156	Acetic acid also had a bacteriostatic activity against F. tularensis strains at 0,5%, but its
157	concentration in working solutions of telithromycin was 0,004% or lower.

159 **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we tested the antibiotic susceptibilities of 59 human isolates of *F*. *tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* collected throughout France over an 11-year period. Our goal was to check for the presence of susceptibility heterogeneity among this clonal population of strains belonging to the B.FTNF002-00 subclade.^{2–4} These data are needed to detect the emergence of acquired resistances to antibiotics in *F. tularensis* and determine if antibiotic

treatments currently recommended for tularemia in Western Europe are still adequate. The 165 166 intermediate-level erythromycin MICs of the strains confirmed their affiliation to F. tularensis subsp. holarctica biovar I, while biovar II strains display MICs higher than 32 167 mg/L. (Caspar and Maurin, Frontiers in cellular infection and microbiology, submitted) 168 Importantly, the MICs distribution for all antibiotics tested were very narrow, almost all of 169 them being distributed within three consecutive two-fold dilutions. Only tigecyclin, 170 171 rifampicin and telithromycin displayed MIC ranges of 4 to 5 dilutions (Table 3). This finding is consistent with the clonality of the strains isolated in France and the absence of acquired 172 resistance mechanism. 173

174 According to the CLSI susceptibility breakpoints for F. tularensis, all the strains tested were susceptible to gentamicin, doxycycline, fluoroquinolones and chloramphenicol (Table 175 2). Thus, no resistant strains to any clinically useful antibiotics had emerged in our strains 176 collection, which is concordant with the literature data. The lowest MIC values were observed 177 for fluoroquinolones, especially ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, with MICs at least eightfold 178 179 lower than the susceptibility breakpoint. MICs were slightly higher for ofloxacin and moxifloxacin, with rare strains reaching the EUCAST PK/PD breakpoint defined for 180 susceptibility to the later drug. Thus ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin should probably be 181 182 preferred to ofloxacin and moxifloxacin for tularemia treatment. Indeed, the WHO guidelines for tularemia treatment only recommend ciprofloxacin (500 mg bid) treatment for 10 to 14 183 days, but levofloxacin could be a possible alternative in the light of its similar *in vitro* activity. 184 No clinical trial has compared the efficacy of both molecules in the context of tularemia. It is 185 to be noted that the bioavailability of levofloxacin is better than that of ciprofloxacin (99-186 100% versus 70-80%).^{18,19} Levofloxacin (500mg or 750mg) can be administrated once a day, 187 which could allow better compliance of patients compared to the twice a day administration 188 of ciprofloxacin. 189

Gentamicin is currently considered the drug of choice for treatment of severe 190 tularemia usually requiring hospitalization. The 2007 WHO guidelines recommend 10 days of 191 treatment with gentamicin at 5 mg/Kg/day in two doses, a duration that can be prolonged if 192 necessary.¹³ In contrast, the consensus statement from Dennis et al., recommend using 193 gentamicin once daily for adults.¹² The bactericidal activity of the aminoglycosides is 194 195 concentration-dependent and these antibiotics are currently most often administered once 196 daily to limit their toxicity. These two regimens have not been compared in tularaemia patients. It should be emphasized that F. tularensis is an intracellular bacterium and the 197 aminoglycosides slowly penetrate within eukaryotic cells (48 to 72 hours in vitro) (Caspar and 198 Maurin, Frontiers in cellular infection and microbiology, submitted). The combination of an 199 aminoglycoside with a fluoroquinolone, which penetrates very rapidly into the intracellular 200 compartment, may represent the current best alternative to obtain a rapid clinical 201 202 improvement in patients with severe infection. Also, such a combination may allow reducing the duration of the administration of gentamicin for 5-7 days to limit its nephrotoxicity while 203 204 obtaining a rapid bactericidal activity, with the possibility of an early switch from intravenous to oral treatment after improvement of the patient's condition. Tobramycin is not 205 recommended as first line drug for tularaemia treatment. However, in the present study, 206 tobramycin displayed similar MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ compared to gentamicin. Using the E-test 207 method, three studies evaluating AST of type B strains from Turkey, Austria and Finland 208 reported tobramycin MIC ranges of 0,125-0,38mg/L; 0,19-3mg/L and 0,5-2 mg/L, 209 respectively.^{20–22} We found an even lower MIC range for this compound using the broth 210 microdilution method. However, Enderlin et al., reported in their review from 1994, a cure 211 rate of only 50% (3/6 patients) with tobramycin.²³ Although this patients series was too small 212 to draw any conclusion, the use of tobramycin in tularaemia patients should be discouraged 213 unless more clinical data will be available.²³ 214

Doxycycline (100 mg bid) is an alternative drug for the treatment of mild cases of 215 tularemia, but this antibiotic must be administrated for a minimum of 14 or preferably 21 days 216 owing to its bacteriostatic nature.¹³ All the strains we tested were susceptible to doxycycline 217 with a very narrow MIC range (0,125-0,25 mg/L, Table 3). A larger MIC range (0,25-4 mg/L) 218 was reported for type A and type B strains from North America using the same AST 219 method.²⁴ Treatment of tularaemia patients with doxycycline is associated with a higher rate 220 of failures and relapses compared to fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides, both in humans 221 and in animal models.^{25–27} As an example, a tularaemia outbreak involving 142 patients in 222 Spain was characterized by a dramatic superiority of ciprofloxacin treatment compared to 223 doxycycline one. Treatment failures occurred in 4,5% of the 22 patients treated with 224 ciprofloxacin, but in 42,8% of the 14 patients treated with doxycycline (p < 0.018).²⁶ Because 225 the outbreak was caused by a clonal type B biovar I strain of F. tularensis (FTNT002-00 226 227 subclade), such a difference was likely related to the antibiotic administrated rather than to the involved strain. As French isolates all belong to this particular subclade, we suggest that 228 229 ciprofloxacin should probably be advocated as a first-line therapeutic option for mild cases of 230 tularemia in Western Europe. Doxycycline treatment may be considered an acceptable alternative only in patients with a contraindication to fluoroquinolone treatment, and thus 231 232 should be considered as a second-line therapeutic option. Tigecycline did not show an increased activity compared to doxycycline against type B biovar I strains of F. tularensis 233 (MIC range: 0,25-1 mg/L for tigecycline versus 0,125-0-25 mg/L for doxycycline). Previous 234 evaluation of type B biovar II strains from Hungary and Turkey, using the E-test method, 235 reported MIC₉₀ of 0,19 mg/L and 0,25 mg/L, respectively, slightly inferior to MIC₉₀ of 236 doxycycline and tetracycline evaluated in the same studies.^{22,28} Using EUCAST PK/PD (non-237 species related) breakpoints for tigecycline, 9/59 (15%) strains from our study were 238

categorized as resistant and 11/59 (20%) intermediately susceptible to tigecycline. Thus
tigecycline might be less effective than doxycycline for the treatment of tularemia in France.

Among antibiotics for which CLSI breakpoints are available for *F. tularensis*, chloramphenicol was also active against all strains tested. It may be considered in combined therapy for tularemia despite its bacteriostatic activity and important side-effects, only in case of tularaemia meningitis or meningo-encephalitis because of its high penetration into the brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid.¹³

In this study, we confirmed that the aminopenicillins and carbapenems are ineffective 246 against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica belonging to B.FTNF002-00 subclade. This was 247 previously reported by Georgi et al., using the same AST medium, and by Origgi et al., using 248 a modified Mueller Hinton 2 medium, although this later medium should be considered less 249 appropriate for AST of Francisella strains.^{11,29} (Caspar and Maurin, Frontiers in cellular 250 infection and microbiology, submitted) In addition, daptomycin and clindamycin, two 251 252 antibiotics that have been rarely evaluated against Francisella, were also ineffective. Similar results were previously obtained in a cell model of infection for daptomycin.³⁰ 253

As for the macrolides, there are currently no consensus breakpoints for susceptibility 254 or resistance of *F. tularensis* strains, or for differentiation between the biovars I and II of type 255 B strains. The introduction of a A2059C mutation in at least one of the three copies of the *rrl* 256 gene encoding the 23S rRNA, conferred erythromycin resistance to the type B biovar I strain 257 FSC274, with an MIC increase from 1-2 mg/L to \geq 256 mg/L using the E-test method.⁸ We 258 previously mentioned that for type B biovar I strains, erythromycin MICs reported in the 259 literature were $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ using the broth microdilution method, and $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$ using the E-test 260 method. (Caspar and Maurin, Frontiers in cellular infection and microbiology, submitted). 261 Thus erythromycin MIC cut-off for the distinction between the biovars I and II of F. 262 tularensis subsp. holarctica could be set at 8 mg/L using the microdilution method. Particular 263

attention should be paid to adjustment of the pH for erythromycin and azithromycin, as 264 acidification of the medium rapidly results in a significant four- to eightfold MIC 265 increase.(Caspar and Maurin, unpublished data). It is to be noted that, when using 266 267 susceptibility breakpoints available for macrolides against *Haemophilus influenzae* (Table 2), another small Gram-negative fastidious bacterium, all tested F. tularensis strains would be 268 classified as intermediately susceptible to erythromycin and azithromycin. In contrast, 33/59 269 (56 %) strains tested would be classified as susceptible to telithromycin and only 26/59 (45%) 270 271 as intermediately susceptible. Telithromycin was previously evaluated against 71 F. tularensis subsp. *holarctica* strains from France using an agar dilution method.³¹ The reported MIC 272 273 range (0,125-0,25 mg/L) would categorize all those strains as intermediately susceptible when using the *H. influenzae* breakpoints.³¹ Moreover, telithromycin at 4 mg/L was effective 274 against a type B biovar I strain in a cellular model of infection.³² Although the activity of 275 telithromycin against F. tularensis should be further evaluated in vivo, especially in animal 276 models, this drug could represent an interesting second- or third-line therapeutic option for 277 278 infections caused by type B biovar I strains, especially when first-line antibiotics are 279 contraindicated. Azithromycin (500 mg/day for 6 weeks), although categorized as intermediately susceptible, has already been used for the treatment of a pregnant women 280 suffering from oropharyngeal tularemia in France.³³ 281

Among other antibiotic tested, linezolid MIC ranged from 4 to 16 mg/L using a broth microdilution method. Variable studies using the E-test method have reported MICs ranging from 0,5 to 48 mg/L between three published studies evaluating either type A and B strains from North America or type B biovar II strains from Turkey and Hungary.^{19, 25, 32} According to our *in vitro* results, biovar I strains belonging to the B.FTNF002-00 subclade should be considered resistant to linezolide. Moreover, using *H. influenzae* breakpoints, the *F. tularensis* strains evaluated in this study would also be categorized as susceptible to rifampicin, although

the MIC range (0.125-1 mg/L) was close to the resistance cut-off (MIC \leq 1 mg/L). Higher 289 MIC values have already been described for rifampicin (up to 3 mg/L) for F. tularensis 290 strains, but only when using the E-test method. (Caspar and Maurin, Frontiers in cellular 291 292 infection and microbiology, submitted). One study of 71 French isolates reported MIC range of 0.015-0.5 mg/L when using the agar dilution method.³¹ Thus, although rifampicin cannot 293 be used alone because of rapid selection resistant mutants, it could be useful in combination 294 with a first-line drug to treat rare bone and joint infections owing to its excellent diffusion in 295 these tissues.¹³ One patient with prosthetic joint infection showed a dramatic clinical 296 improvement after the addition of rifampicin to ciprofloxacin antibiotic treatment.³⁵ Moreover 297 298 we observed that the growth of all F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains tested was inhibited by 2% ethanol and 0.5% acetic acid (after adjustment of the pH of the AST medium to 7.1). 299

Considering this and previous studies on F. tularensis MIC data obtained with the 300 standardized broth microdilution method using cation-adjusted MH2-PVX medium as 301 recommended by the CLSI, 11,24,29 we propose to establish epidemiological cut-offs (E_{coff}) 302 values for the first-line antibiotics currently used for tularemia treatment. These cut-offs 303 would help to better define wild type strains with no identified resistance mechanism and to 304 better detect the emergence of acquired resistances to these drugs. They are different from the 305 306 clinical breakpoints, which are used to predict the clinical efficacy of the corresponding antibiotics. As no significant MIC differences have been observed between type A and type B 307 strains for fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides with this technique, we proposed to set up 308 an E_{coff} at ≤ 0.125 mg/L for ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin, and ≤ 0.5 mg/L for gentamicin. 309 As a larger MIC range was observed by Urich et al. for doxycycline against F. tularensis 310 311 strains from North America, using the same experimental medium, a general E_{coff} for doxycycline may not be suitable. Thus, according to the present study, we set up an E_{coff} at 312 ≤ 0.25 mg/L for doxycycline, for strains belonging to B.FTNF002-00 subclade. 313

In conclusion, we found that F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains of the B.FTNF002-314 00 subclade isolated in France over the last decade remain fully susceptible to gentamicin, 315 ciprofloxacin and doxycycline, which are currently advocated as first-line drugs for tularemia 316 treatment.¹³ However, our results indicate that alternative therapeutic schemes should be 317 evaluated in Western Europe, where mild to moderate severity infections predominate. 318 Firstly, because of lower rates of failures and relapses, the fluoroquinolones should be 319 considered the best oral alternative, while the use of doxycycline should be restricted to 320 patients with contraindications to the former antibiotics. For rare patients suffering from 321 severe systemic diseases, the combination of gentamicin and ciprofloxacin parenterally should 322 323 be considered as a first alternative to obtain rapid clinical improvement. Finally, because tularaemia cases in Western Europe are only caused by type B biovar I strains, azythromycin 324 and telithromycin may represent useful alternatives in pregnant women and young children. 325 326 All these proposals should be validated by further clinical evaluation.

327

328 Transparency declarations

329 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

330

331 **Bibliography**

332

1. Maurin M, Gyuranecz M. Tularaemia: clinical aspects in Europe. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016; **16**: 113–24.

334 2. Vogler AJ, Birdsell D, Price LB, et al. Phylogeography of Francisella tularensis: global expansion of a

highly fit clone. *J Bacteriol* 2009; **191**: 2474–84.

- 336 3. Vogler AJ, Birdsell DN, Lee J, et al. Phylogeography of Francisella tularensis ssp. holarctica in
- 337 France. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2011; **52**: 177–80.
- 4. Dempsey MP, Dobson M, Zhang C, et al. Genomic Deletion Marking an Emerging Subclone of
- 339 Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica in France and the Iberian Peninsula. Appl Environ Microbiol
- 340 2007; **73**: 7465–70.
- 5. Pilo P, Johansson A, Frey J. Identification of *Francisella tularensis* Cluster in Central and Western
 Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; **15**: 2049–51.
- 6. Gyuranecz M, Birdsell DN, Splettstoesser W, *et al.* Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; **18**: 290–3.
- 7. Chanturia G, Birdsell DN, Kekelidze M, *et al.* Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* from the country of Georgia. *BMC Microbiol* 2011; **11**: 139.
- 347 8. Karlsson E, Golovliov I, Lärkeryd A, et al. Clonality of erythromycin resistance in Francisella
- 348 tularensis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016: dkw235.
- 349 9. Svensson K, Granberg M, Karlsson L, *et al.* A. A Real-Time PCR Array for Hierarchical Identification
 350 of *Francisella Isolates*. *PLoS ONE* 2009; **4**.
- 10. Muller W, Hotzel H, Otto P, et al. German Francisella tularensis isolates from European brown
- hares (*Lepus europaeus*) reveal genetic and phenotypic diversity. *BMC Microbiol* 2013; **13**: 61.
- 353 11. Origgi F, Frey J, Pilo P. Characterisation of a new group of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*
- in Switzerland with altered antimicrobial susceptibilities, 1996 to 2013. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal
- 355 Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull 2014; **19**.
- 12. Dennis DT, Inglesby TV, Henderson DA, et al. Tularemia as a biological weapon: Medical and
- 357 public health management. *JAMA* 2001; **285**: 2763–73.

- 358 13. Tarnvik A. WHO Guidelines on Tularaemia. 2007.
- 14. Mailles A, Vaillant V. 10 years of surveillance of human tularaemia in France. *Euro Surveill Bull Eur*Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull 2014; **19**: 20956.
- 361 15. Maurin M, Pelloux I, Brion JP, *et al*. Human Tularemia in France, 2006–2010. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;
 362 53: e133–41.
- 363 16. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial*
- 364 Susceptibility Testing: Nineteenth Informational Supplement M100-S19 . CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA, 2009.
- 365 17. Bradford PA, Petersen PJ, Young M, et al. Tigecycline MIC Testing by Broth Dilution Requires Use
- 366 of Fresh Medium or Addition of the Biocatalytic Oxygen-Reducing Reagent Oxyrase To Standardize
- the Test Method. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; **49**: 3903–9.
- 368 18. Cipro[®] FDA. Online material.
- 369 19. Levaquin (levofloxacin) Label FDA. Online material
- 20. Ikäheimo I, Syrjälä H, Karhukorpi J, et al. In vitro antibiotic susceptibility of Francisella tularensis
- isolated from humans and animals. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000; 46: 287–90.
- 372 21. Tomaso H, Al Dahouk S, Hofer E, *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Austrian *Francisella*
- tularensis holarctica biovar II strains. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2005; **26**: 279–84.
- 22. Yeşilyurt M, Kılıç S, Çelebi B, *et al*. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Francisella tularensis* subsp.
- 375 *holarctica* strains isolated from humans in the Central Anatolia region of Turkey. J Antimicrob
- 376 *Chemother* 2011; **66**: 2588–92.
- 377 23. Enderlin G, Morales L, Jacobs RF, et al. Streptomycin and alternative agents for the treatment of
- tularemia: review of the literature. *Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am* 1994; **19**: 42–7.

24. Urich SK, Petersen JM. In Vitro Susceptibility of Isolates of *Francisella tularensis* Types A and B

380 from North America. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 2276–8.

381 25. Meric M, Willke A, Finke E-J, et al. Evaluation of clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic features of

145 tularemia cases: the role of quinolones in oropharyngeal tularemia. *APMIS* 2008; **116**: 66–73.

383 26. Pérez-Castrillón JL, Bachiller-Luque P, Martín-Luquero M, et al. Tularemia epidemic in

northwestern Spain: clinical description and therapeutic response. *Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am* 2001; **33**: 573–6.

386 27. Rotem S, Bar-Haim E, Cohen H, et al. Consequences of Delayed Ciprofloxacin and Doxycycline

387 Treatment Regimens against Francisella tularensis Airway Infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

388 2012; **56**: 5406–8.

28. Kreizinger Z, Makrai L, Helyes G, *et al*. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* subsp.
 holarctica strains from Hungary, Central Europe. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013; 68: 370–3.

391 29. Georgi E, Schacht E, Scholz HC, et al. Standardized broth microdilution antimicrobial susceptibility

392 testing of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica strains from Europe and rare Francisella species. J

393 *Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**: 2429–33.

30. Sutera V, Caspar Y, Boisset S, *et al*. A new dye uptake assay to test the activity of antibiotics
against intracellular *Francisella tularensis*. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014; **4**: 36.

31. Valade E, Vaissaire J, Mérens A, *et al.* Susceptibility of 71 French isolates of *Francisella tularensis*subsp. *holarctica* to eight antibiotics and accuracy of the Etest[®] method. *J Antimicrob Chemother*2008; **62**: 208–10.

399 32. Maurin M, Mersali NF, Raoult D. Bactericidal activities of antibiotics against intracellular

400 Francisella tularensis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44: 3428–31.
- 401 33. Dentan C, Pavese P, Pelloux I, et al. Treatment of Tularemia in Pregnant Woman, France. Emerg
- 402 Infect Dis 2013; **19**: 996–8.
- 403 34. Johansson A, Urich SK, Chu MC, et al. In vitro susceptibility to quinolones of Francisella tularensis
- 404 subspecies *tularensis*. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2002; **34**: 327–30.
- 405 35. Cooper CL, Caeseele PV, Canvin J, et al. Chronic Prosthetic Device Infection with Francisella
- *tularensis. Clin Infect Dis* 1999; **29**: 1589–91.

TABLES

- **Table 1:** Characteristics of the 59 *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains evaluated in this
- 428 study and isolated from tularaemia patients in France between 2006 and 2016

		Vear of		Clinical form of
Strain	Host	isolation	Origin of the strain	tularemia
CHUGA-Ft6	Human	2007	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft7	Human	2006	Coniunctivitis	Oculoglandular
CHUGA-Ft8	Human	2007	Skin ulcer	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft9	Human	2007	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft10	Human	2008	Lymph node	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft11	Human	2008	Pharvnx	Oropharyngeal
CHUGA-Ft12	Human	2008	Pharvnx	Oropharyngeal
CHUGA-Ft13	Human	2008	Pharvnx	Oropharyngeal
CHUGA-Ft14	Human	2008	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft15	Human	2008	Cerebrospinal Fluid	Meningitis
CHUGA-Ft16	Human	2008	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft17	Human	2008	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft18	Human	2008	Blood culture	Typhoidal
	Human	2000	Blood culture	Typhoidal
	Human	2000	Skip ulcer	lleoroglandular
	Human	2008	Conjunctivitie	Oculoglandular
	Lumon	2008	Einger ebeese	Lileoroglandular
	Human	2009		Orcelogiandular
	Human	2009	Ear suppuration	Oropharyngeai
CHUGA-Ft24	Human	2009	Lymph hode	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft25	Human	2010	Blood culture	l yphoidal
CHUGA-Ft26	Human	2010	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft27	Human	2010	Blood culture	lyphoidal
CHUGA-Ft28	Human	2010	Lymph node	Glandular
CHUGA-Ft29	Human	2010	Blood culture	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft30	Human	2011	Skin ulcer	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft31	Human	2011	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft32	Human	2012	Lymph node	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft33	Human	2011	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft34	Human	2012	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft35	Human	2012	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft36	Human	2012	Unknown	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft37	Human	2010	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft38	Human	2012	Blood culture	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft39	Human	2012	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft40	Human	2012	Pleural liquid	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft41	Human	2013	Pleural liquid	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft42	Human	2013	Skin ulcer	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft43	Human	2013	Lymph node	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft44	Human	2014	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
CHUGA-Ft45	Human	2014	Blood culture	Oropharyngeal
CHUGA-Ft46	Human	2014	Ear suppuration	Oropharyngeal
CHUGA-Ft48	Human	2014	Pleural liquid	Pneumonic
CHUGA-Ft50	Human	2014	Blood culture	Typhoidal
CHUGA-Ft51	Human	2014	Bronchoalveolar lavage	Pneumonic

	CHUGA-Ft52	Human	2014	Elbow abcess	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft53	Human	2014	Skin ulcer	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft54	Human	2015	Blood culture	Unknown
	CHUGA-Ft55	Human	2015	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft56	Human	2015	Blood culture	Pneumonic
	CHUGA-Ft57	Human	2016	Blood culture	Pneumonic
	CHUGA-Ft58	Human	2015	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft59	Human	2015	Blood culture	Pneumonic
	CHUGA-Ft60	Human	2016	Blood culture	Typhoidal
	CHUGA-Ft62	Human	2016	Lymph node	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft63	Human	2016	Finger abcess	Ulceroglandular
	CHUGA-Ft64	Human	2016	Aortic anevrysm	Aortitis
	CHUGA-Ft65	Human	2016	Articular hematoma (hip)	Bone and joint infection
	CHUGA-Ft68	Human	2016	Pleural liquid	Pneumonic
	CHUGA-Ft69	Human	2016	Pleural liquid	Pneumonic
429					
120					
450					
431					
432					
433					
434					
435					
436					
437					
438					
439					
440					
441					
442					
443					
444					
445					
446					
447					
448					
449					

Table 2: MIC results (mg/L) for 59 *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains isolated from tularaemia patients in France between 2006 and 2016.

Antibiotic	Dilution range tested (mg/L)	F. tularen	sissubsp. holarctica	a (59 strains)	F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS NCTC10857	Breakpoints (mg/L)		
		MIC50 (mg/L)	MIC90 (mg/L)	Range (mg/L)	MIC (mg/L)	S≤	R >	
Gentamicin	0,03-16	0,125	0,125	≤0,03 - 0,25	0,06	4		
Tobramycin	0,03-16	0,125	0,25	0,06 - 0,25	0,125	2	4	
Ofloxacin	0,008-4	0,06	0,125	0,06 - 0,125	0,06	0,25	0,5	
Ciprofloxacin	0,001-0,5	0,03	0,03	0,016 - 0,06	0,016	0,5		
Levofloxacin	0,001-0,5	0,03	0,06	0,016 - 0,06	0,016	0,5		
Moxifloxacin	0,002-1	0,125	0,125	0,06 - 0,25	0,03	0,25	0,25	
Doxycycline	0,03-16	0,25	0,25	0,125 - 0,25	0,125	4		
Tigécycline	0,032-16	0,25	1	0,125 - 1	0,06	0,25	0,5	
Ampicillin	0,25-128	>128	>128	64 - > 128	>128	2	8	
Meropenem	0,06-32	>32	>32	>32	>32	2	8	
Erythromycin	0,06-32	1	1	0,5 - 2	>32	0,5	16	
Azitromycin	0,06-32	0,5	1	0,25 - 1	>32	0,125	4	
Telithromycin	0,016-8	0,125	0,25	0,03 - 0,5	>8	0,125	8	
Clindamycin	0,03-16	8	16	4 - 16	>16	0,25	0,5	
Linezolide	0,125-64	8	16	4 - 16	4	2	4	
Chloramphenicol	0,06-32	1	2	0,5 - 2	1	8		
Rifampicin	0,004-2	0,5	1	0,125 - 1	0,03	1	1	
Daptomycin	0,016-8	>8	>8	>8	>8	1	1	
Ethanol (%)	0,016-8	2	2	1 - 2	2			
Acetic acid (%), pH adjusted to 7,1	0,016-8	0,125	0,25	0,125 - 0,5	0,5			

Table 3: Antibiotic MIC distribution of the 59 *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains isolated from tularaemia patients in France between 2006
and 2016

Antibiotic						MIC dis	tributio	n (mg/L)											
Antibiotic	0,001	0,002	0,004	0,008	0,016	0,03	0,06	0,125	0,25	0,5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128
Gentamicin						1	5	50	3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Tobramycin						0	1	31	27	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Ofloxacin				0	0	0	42	17	0	0	0	0	0						
Ciprofloxacin	0	0	0	0	1	55	3	0	0	0									
Levofloxacin	0	0	0	0	1	29	29	0	0	0									
Moxifloxacin		0	0	0	0	0	12	44	2	0	0								
Doxycycline						0	0	11	48	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Tigécycline						0	0	5	34	11	9	0	0	0	0				
Ampicillin									0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	58
Meropenem							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59		
Erythromycin							0	0	0	16	42	1	0	0	0	0			
Azitromycin							0	0	9	38	12	0	0	0	0	0			
Telithromycin					0	2	19	12	23	3	0	0	0	0					
Clindamycin						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	37	21				
Linezolide								0	0	0	0	0	6	45	8	0	0		
Chloramphenicol							0	0	0	2	45	12	0	0	0	0			
Rifampicin			0	0	0	0	0	1	6	39	13	0							
Daptomycin					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59				
Ethanol (%)					0	0	0	0	0	0	3	56	0	0					
Acetic acid (%), pH adjusted to 7,1					0	0	0	34	23	2	0	0	0	0					

3. RESISTANCE AUX FLUOROQUINOLONES

3.1. Publication 3 : Functional Characterization of the DNA gyrases in Fluoroquinolone-resistant Mutants of *Francisella novicida*.

La deuxième partie de cette thèse a consisté à caractériser et rechercher des mutations de résistances aux fluoroquinolones chez les patients traités par cette classe d'antibiotique mais en situation d'échec thérapeutique. Bien que non retrouvées lors de la mesure de la sensibilité des souches de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques (Article 1 et 2), des résistances bactériennes aux fluoroquinolones peuvent malgré tout être à l'origine des échecs thérapeutiques rencontrés, car elles apparaissent rapidement lors d'expérimentations conduites *in vitro* et car ces mécanismes de résistance bactériens sont observés chez la plupart des espèces bactériennes pathogènes pour l'homme. A titre de comparaison, ce n'est que très récemment que la possibilité de sélection in vivo de mutation de résistance aux fluoroquinolones suite à un traitement par cette classe d'antibiotique, a été confirmée chez Legionella pneumophila. (247) Ces mutations ont été identifiées par le biais d'analyses moléculaires conduites sur des prélèvements de patients atteints de Légionellose, alors qu'aucune souche résistante aux fluoroquinolones n'avait jamais été isolée. (247) De plus, il est important de rappeler que F. tularensis est une bactérie de croissance très difficile, qui n'est isolée que dans environ 10% des cas de tularémie, principalement en cas de bactériémie et principalement avant l'instauration d'une antibiothérapie efficace. (74) Sur les 94 cas confirmés par le Centre National de Référence des Francisella entre 2006 et 2010 en France, seules 21 souches furent isolées alors que 39 prélèvements étaient positifs en PCR. Par ailleurs, l'acquisition de mutation de résistance touchant principalement les grandes fonctions cellulaires telles que la synthèse du peptidoglycane, la réplication de l'ADN, la synthèse protéique ou la transcription de l'ARN, celle-ci s'accompagne en général d'un « coût » réplicatif pour la bactérie se traduisant par une diminution de son taux de croissance. (248) Ce phénomène laisse à penser que la culture de ces souches potentiellement résistantes à partir de prélèvements cliniques est encore plus difficile que celle des souches sensibles, ce qui peut contribuer au fait qu'aucune souche résistante n'ait été isolée à ce jour.

Une étude réalisée au sein de notre équipe a néanmoins permis de montrer que la sélection *in vitro* de souches résistantes aux fluoroquinolones en présence de concentrations sub-inhibitrices d'antibiotique était facile et rapide et pouvait conduire à des résistances de très haut niveau (jusqu'à une multiplication par 2048 de la CMI de la ciprofloxacine). (224) Dans cette étude, des lignées résistantes à la ciprofloxacine ont été obtenues à partir de souches de *F. tularensis* LVS, *F. novicida* et *F. philomiragia*, pris comme modèles avirulents ou

de virulence diminuée de *F. tularensis.* (224) Les principales mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones mises en évidence furent des mutations ponctuelles, identifiées dans les gènes *gyrA* et *gyrB* codant pour les sous unité GyrA et GyrB des topoisomérases de type II de *F. tularensis* (Tableau 5), qui sont la cible des fluoroquinolones. Ces mutations furent principalement retrouvées dans des zones appelées Quinolone-Resistance-Determining-Region ou QRDR car ces zones concentrent les principales mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones identifiées à ce jour.

Bacterial	CIP	Mutations ^a							
lineage	CMI (mg/L)	GyrA, <i>gyrA</i>	GyrB, <i>gyrB</i>	ParC, parC	ParE, <i>parE</i>				
Fth wt	0.016								
Fth1P14	32	T83I, <i>C248T</i>	E467D, G1401T		L488I, C1462A				
Fth2P14	32	T83K, C248A ; D87Y, G259T		S453X, +T1368					
Fth3P14	32	T83K, C248A	S465Y, C1394A	∆C82, ⊿GTT254-256					
Fno wt	0.064								
Fno1P14	32	D87Y, <i>G259T</i>	+P466, +CTC1397		P472S, C1414T				
Fno2P14	64	D87G, <i>A260G</i>	D487E-AK488 [,] ATAA1460-1462 ^b						
Fno3P14	32	∆E503–S504, <i>∆AGAGTC1509-1514</i> °							
Fno4P14	32	P43H, C128A							
Fph wt	0.032								
Fph1P14	32	D87G, <i>A260G</i>	E88K, G262A ; P746S, C2236T						
Fph2P14	64	T83M, <i>C248T</i>	P746S, C2236T						
Fph3P14	32	∆Т83, <i>∆АСG247-24</i> 9	P746S, C2236T						
Fph4P14	64	Т83М, С248Т ; ΔТ570 , <i>Δ</i>САА1709-1711	P746S, C2236T						

CIP: ciprofloxacin; LVX: levofloxacin; MXF: moxifloxacin; GEN: gentamicin; ERY: erythromycin; DOX: doxycycline. Fth : *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* ; Fno : *F. novicida* ; Fpo : *F. philomiragia* ; P14 : 14ème repiquage en présence de concentrations sub-inhibitrices de ciprofloxacine. Wt : wild-type

^aLes modifications en acides aminés résultants des différentes mutations génétiques (en italique) sont numérotées selon la séquence des protéines chez *Francisella*. Les sigles Δ et + indiquent respectivement la délétion ou l'insertion d'un codon. X indique un codon stop. Les modifications au niveau des nucléotides sont numérotées selon la séquence des gènes chez *Francisella sp*. Les sigles Δ et + indiquent respectivement la délétion ou l'insertion d'un codon. X indique un codon stop. Les modifications au niveau des nucléotides sont numérotées selon la séquence des gènes chez *Francisella sp*. Les sigles Δ et + indiquent respectivement la délétion ou l'insertion d'un ou plusieurs nucléotide(s).

^bCette mutation consiste en un événement unique correspondant à une délétion de 3 paires de bases

°Cette mutation consiste en un événement unique correspondant à une délétion de 6 paires de bases

Tableau 6: CMI à la ciprofloxacine et mutations de résistances aux fluoroquinolones observées chez 11 lignées de *Francisella* résistantes aux fluoroquinolones. Ces lignées ont été générées *in vitro* par culture successives (14 passages) de souches sauvages (wt) en présence de concentrations sub-inhibitrices d'antibiotiques. (Adapté de Sutera et al., 2013 (224)

Parmi les mutations observées sur les différentes lignées résistantes obtenues dans l'étude de Sutera et al, les plus fréquentes sont celles présentes sur le gène *gyrA* (100% des lignées générées présentant une mutation sur ce gène). Des sites particuliers sur la sous-unité GyrA sont considérés comme des points à fort taux de mutations. Il s'agit des positions 83 et 87. Les mutations observées en position 83 et 87 ont été retrouvées sur les différentes lignées résistantes de l'étude de Sutera et al. Ces mutations sont classiques. Elles ont déjà été observées dans d'autres études sur *Francisella* et bien caractérisées chez plusieurs autres bactéries dont *Escherichia coli*. (223,249–251) De la même façon, des mutations en position 426 et 447 du QRDR de la sous-unité GyrB ont déjà été décrites chez *E. coli* mais n'ont pas été retrouvées dans l'étude de Sutera et al. En revanche cette étude a mis en évidence de nouvelles mutations :

- P43H (C128A) en dehors du QRDR du gène gyrA chez une lignée résistante de F.
 novicida
- ΔE503-S504 (ΔAGAGTC1509-1514) en dehors du QRDR du gène gyrA chez une lignée résistante de F. novicida
- +P466 (+CTC1397) dans le QRDR du gène gyrB chez une lignée résistante de F. novicida possédant également une mutation D87Y (G259T) dans le QRDR du gène gyrA. Cette mutation est proche de deux mutations décrites sur deux lignées de F. tularensis LVS en position S465Y (C1394A) et E467D (G1401T) dans la même étude
- D487E-ΔK488 (ΔTAA1460-1462) dans le QRDR du gène gyrB chez une lignée résistante de *F. novicida* possédant également une mutation D87G (A260G) dans le QRDR du gène gyrA

La caractérisation fonctionnelle de toutes ces mutations n'a jamais été réalisée au sein du genre *Francisella*. Le but de cette troisième étude a donc été de déterminer les conséquences en terme fonctionnel des mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones identifiées sur les gènes *gyrA* et *gyrB* au sein des lignées de *F. novicida,* sur l'activité de superenroulement de l'ADN en présence de fluoroquinolones, afin de définir l'impact de chacune de ces mutations sur la résistance aux fluoroquinolones.

1	Functional Characterization of the DNA gyrases in
2	Fluoroquinolone-resistant Mutants of Francisella novicida
3	Running title: Francisella DNA gyrase and fluoroquinolone resistance
4	
5	Yvan Caspar, ^{a, b†} Claire Siebert, ^{a,b†} Vivien Sutera, ^b Corinne Villers, ^{a, c} Alexandra Aubry, ^{d, e}
6	Claudine Mayer, ^{f, g, h} Max Maurin, ^{a, b} and Patricia Renesto ^a *
7	
8	^a TIMC-IMAG UMR 5525 - UGA CNRS, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
9	^b Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble-Alpes, Centre National de Référence des Francisella,
10	CS 10217, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
11	^c Université de Caen Normandie, EA4655 U2RM (Unité de Recherche Risques Microbiens),
12	Caen, France
13	^d Sorbonne Université, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, CR7, Centre d'Immunologie et des Maladies
14	Infectieuses, Team 13, INSERM U1135, Paris, France
15	^e AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre National de Référence des Mycobactéries et de la
16	Résistance des Mycobactéries aux Antituberculeux, Bactériologie-Hygiène, Paris, France
17	^f Institut Pasteur, 25 rue Dr Roux, 75015 Paris, France
18	^g CNRS, UMR 3528, Paris cedex 15, France
19	^h Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
20	
21	(*) Corresponding author, (†) Both authors contributed equally to this work
22	Patricia Renesto, same address as above
23	tel: (+33) 4 76 63 74 84

24 Abstract

Fluoroquinolone (FQ) resistance is a major health concern in the treatment of tularemia. With 25 DNA gyrase described as the main target of these compounds, our aim was to clarify the 26 contribution of both GyrA and GyrB mutations found in Francisella novicida clones highly 27 28 resistant to FQs. Wild-type and mutated GyrA and GyrB subunits were overexpressed so that 29 the in vitro FQ sensitivity of functional reconstituted complexes could be evaluated. Data obtained were compared with the MICs of FQs against bacterial clones harboring the same 30 mutations and were further validated through complementation experiments and structural 31 32 modeling. Whole-genome sequencing of FQ-highly resistant lineages was also done. Supercoiling and DNA cleavage assays demonstrated that GyrA-D87 is a hot-spot FQ 33 resistance target in F. novicida and pointed out the role of GyrA P43H substitution in 34 resistance acquisition. An unusual feature of FQ resistance acquisition in F. novicida is that 35 the first step mutation occurs in GyrB with direct or indirect consequences for FQ sensitivity. 36 37 Insertion of P466 in GyrB leads to an IC₅₀ value comparable to that observed for mutant gyrase carrying GyrA-D87Y substitution, while the D487E-∆K488 mutation not active on its 38 39 own, contributes to the high level of resistance that occurs following acquisition of GyrA-D87G substitution in double GyrA/GyrB mutants. Involvement of other putative targets is 40 discussed, including the ParE mutation that was found to arise in the very late stage of 41 antibiotic exposure. This study provides the first characterization of the molecular 42 mechanisms responsible for FQ resistance in Francisella. 43

44 INTRODUCTION

Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular bacterium responsible 45 for the zoonosis tularemia (1). Classically, two subspecies cause most human infections: 46 subsp. tularensis (type A) in North America and subsp. holarctica (type B) throughout the 47 48 northern hemisphere. Humans are contaminated through direct contact with infected animals (especially hares), arthropod bites (mainly ticks), ingestion of contaminated food or water, 49 and contact with contaminated environments. Depending on the portal of entry of bacteria, the 50 disease may manifest in six clinical forms: the ulceroglandular and glandular forms, a 51 52 regional lymphadenopathy with or without a visible skin inoculation lesion, respectively; the oropharyngeal form; a pharyngitis with cervical lymphadenopathy; the oculoglandular form, a 53 conjunctivitis with a pretragal or cervical lymphadenopathy; the pneumonic form after 54 inhalation of a contaminated aerosol or through hematogenous spread of bacteria; and the 55 typhoidal form combining high fever and neurological symptoms that may mimic typhoid. 56 57 Among these various clinical forms, respiratory tularemia has attracted the most attention because it may cause up to 30% mortality in humans if left untreated (2, 3). This highly 58 infectious aerosolizable pathogen is considered as a class A bioterrorism agent by the Centers 59 for Disease Control and Prevention (USA). However, despite its potential use as a biological 60 61 weapon, no safe and potent vaccine is currently available (2, 3). A few antibiotic classes are 62 effective in treating tularemia including aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, and fluoroquinolones 63 (FQs) (4). Microbiological and clinical data show that ciprofloxacin, and possibly other FQs, are the best alternative for oral therapy of tularemia in patients with clinical manifestations of 64 mild to moderate severity, but failures and relapses are frequent (5, 6). 65

66 Quinolones are one of the most commonly prescribed classes of antibacterial agents in the 67 world (7). These drugs inhibit DNA replication through interaction with complexes composed 68 of DNA and either of the two target enzymes, DNA gyrase or topoisomerase IV, that belong

to type IIA topoisomerases (8). As in most Gram-negative bacteria, the primary target for FQs 69 in *Francisella* strains is thought to be the DNA gyrase (9-11), which functions as an A_2B_2 70 heterotetrameric complex able to catalyze the negative supercoiling of the bacterial circular 71 chromosome (12). Resistance to FQs can result from single point mutations in GyrA and 72 73 GyrB, leading to conformational changes of the whole complex, in turn impairing antibiotic-74 target interactions. Such mutations are described to be mainly restricted to discrete regions of the so-called quinolone-resistance-determining regions (QRDRs). Another major mechanism 75 of resistance relies on mutations affecting the bacterial entry/efflux systems resulting in a 76 reduced quinolone accumulation in bacterial cells. Most of these efflux pumps are encoded on 77 78 the chromosome, but quinolone-specific (QepA) as well as multidrug (OqxAB) efflux pumps were also found encoded by bacterial plasmids. Other plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance 79 80 include the *qnr* genes encoding pentapeptide repeat proteins that act as DNA mimics and protect the type II topoisomerases, and an allele of the aminoglycoside acetyltransferase 81 (aac)-encoding gene able to decrease drug activity through acetylation (7, 13, 14). 82

83 Because of the widespread use (and overuse) of these drugs, the increased prevalence of quinolone-resistant strains is a growing concern in several bacterial species (15). To date, no 84 resistant strain of F. tularensis has been isolated from patients (16), but successful culture of 85 this fastidious pathogen is achieved in only 10% of tularemia cases (5). In vitro, the c248t 86 87 (T83I) substitution has been found in the GyrA-QRDR of a F. tularensis subspecies holarctica quinolone-resistant strain (URFtCIPR isolate) (9). This mutation was also observed 88 in a F. tularensis SchuS4 strain isolated after in vitro exposure to increasing amounts of 89 ciprofloxacin and was accompanied by the g259t (D87Y) GyrA-QRDR substitution (10). 90

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

An evolution experiment was conducted in our lab on several *Francisella* sp. strains with attenuated virulence to humans exposed to increasing concentrations of FQs (11). This approach, considered as a powerful tool to investigate the acquisition dynamics of drug Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

resistance (17, 18), led to the emergence of high-level resistant mutants on which phenotypic 94 and genotypic analyses were carried out (11). Besides mutations in the QRDR-A and the 95 QRDR-B hotspot regions, novel substitutions or deletions were identified both in Francisella 96 GyrA and GyrB subunits. An elegant study from Jaing et al. (19) recently reported genome-97 98 wide mutations associated with ciprofloxacin-resistant F. tularensis LVS isolated in vitro and confirmed GyrA and GyrB mutations described by Sutera et al. (11). However, while a 99 structural model of DNA gyrase was built to achieve a better understanding of how mutations 100 affect FQ resistance (19), their functional role was not investigated. 101

Here our aim was to clarify the functional consequences of GyrA and GyrB mutations on FQ sensitivity observed in four independent lineages of *F. novicida* highly resistant to such antibiotics. While not pathogenic to humans, this *Francisella* species is a widely used model for studying *F. tularensis* infections (20). This is the first study describing the DNA supercoiling activity and the FQ-induced DNA cleavage by *Francisella* proteins, these functional tests being used as efficient ways to establish relationships between DNA gyrase mutations and FQ resistance (21). Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

109 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. The phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of the F. novicida lineages 110 111 selected for this study are reported Table 1. In contrast to the FQ-susceptible parental strain F. 112 novicida U112, the four lineages designated Fno1 to Fno4 and propagated for 14 passages in 113 the presence of ciprofloxacin are highly resistant to FQs (11). A common feature of these FQresistant strains is the presence of mutations in the gene encoding GyrA. Concerning Fno1 114 115 and Fno2, the GyrA substitutions were localized within the ORDR and are accompanied with additional GyrB mutations, whereas Fno3 and Fno4 were mutated in GyrA regions outside the 116 117 QRDR without an additional GyrB mutation. Bacterial cultures grown from glycerol stocks 118 stored at -80°C at each passage were used for sequencing, MIC determination and preparation of competent cells. Whole-genome sequencing was performed for each lineage (Fno1 to Fno4) on one clone at the end of the evolution experiment (passage 14) using the ABI SOLID sequencing technology (AB 5500xl Genetic Analyzer), and mutations observed were further confirmed by PCR amplification and sequencing of the corresponding DNA regions using a dye terminator sequencing approach.

Expression and purification of F. novicida GyrA and GyrB. The genes were amplified 124 from genomic DNA of the selected isolates using gene-specific primers (Table S1) and cloned 125 into the pDEST-17 vector including a 6xHis-N-terminal tag (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). 126 The integrity of all constructs was confirmed by DNA sequencing (Eurofins, Ebersberg, 127 128 Germany). Protein expression was carried out in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) or C41(DE3) grown at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 in Luria Broth (LB) supplemented with 100 µg/mL 129 130 ampicillin before addition of 0.5 mM isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Optimized conditions for each mutant are reported in Table S2 (see supplementary data). After 131 sonication the lysate was clarified by centrifugation, then passed over a Ni²⁺ affinity column 132 133 (Qiagen) extensively washed with Tris-HCl/NaCl buffer supplemented with 25-50 mM imidazole before elution of the His-tagged proteins in the presence of 300 mM imidazole. The 134 purity of recombinant proteins was analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel 135 electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie blue staining before concentration using an 136 137 Amicon[®] Ultracentrifugal device. Purified proteins (0.5–1.0 mg/mL) were dialyzed overnight at 4°C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 40% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and stored at 138 -80°C after flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. For GyrA_Fno2, 500 mM NaCl was added to 139 the dialysis buffer to avoid protein precipitation. 140

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

141 **DNA supercoiling assays**. DNA supercoiling assays were carried out with reconstituted 142 combinations of the GyrA and GyrB subunits from the wild-type and/or mutated strains. The 143 protocol was similar to that applied for the analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA

gyrase (22) with minor modifications. The experiments were conducted in a final volume of 144 10 µL containing 35 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 0.1 145 mg/ml BSA, 1.8 mM spermidine, 100 mM potassium glutamate, 1 mM ATP, 6.5% (w/v) 146 glycerol and 0.15 µg relaxed pBR322 (Inspiralis, Norwich, UK) as substrate. After 30 min 147 148 incubation at 37°C, the reaction was stopped by adding gel loading dye (New England Biolabs). Samples were analyzed by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gels run at 50 V for 6 h 149 in 1x TAE buffer, stained with GelRedTM and imaged on a UV transilluminator. For all 150 combinations of GyrA and GyrB tested, we determined the minimal amounts of wild-type 151 and/or mutated proteins required to convert 0.15 µg of relaxed pBR322 DNA to the 152 153 supercoiled form (1 U). The inhibitory effect of ciprofloxacin (Sigma-Aldrich) or moxifloxacin (Bayer) against the wild-type and mutated DNA gyrases was assessed by 154 determining the concentrations of drugs required to inhibit their supercoiling activity by 50% 155 (IC_{50}) . Each experiment was conducted at least in triplicate using two different batches of 156 proteins. Statistical analysis was done using Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Software). An unpaired 157 158 Student t-test was used to determine the difference between the means of values obtained in independent experiments with a *P*-value of <0.05 being considered significant. 159

DNA cleavage assays. DNA cleavage assays were performed in a 10 μ L reactional volume using the same buffer as supercoiling assays but without ATP, 0.2 μ g supercoiled pBR322 DNA (Inspiralis, Norwich, UK) as substrate and 125 nM of GyrA and GyrB subunits. Following 1 h incubation at 25°C, SDS and proteinase K were added and the incubation was continued for 30 min at 37°C as described (23). The extent of DNA cleavage was quantified after electrophoresis as described above and the results expressed as the FQ concentrations required to induce 25% of the maximum DNA cleavage (CC₂₅).

Molecular modeling. The breakage-reunion (GyrA N-terminal) and the Toprim (GyrB Cterminal) domains were modeled using Phyre2 (24). The catalytic core model, composed of

the breakage-reunion and Toprim domains, DNA, and FQs, was generated by superposition
onto the crystal structure of the *M. tuberculosis* DNA gyrase (25) using SSM implemented in
Coot (26).

Transformation of F. novicida with a GyrB-encoding plasmid. The genes encoding the 172 173 F. novicida wild-type or GyrB D487E-\DeltaK488 were amplified using a primer pair on which NotI and AgeI restriction sites had been engineered (Table S1) and cloned into the pFNLTP6 174 shuttle plasmid downstream of the gro promoter (27) with the T4 DNA ligase (New England 175 176 Biolabs). Following restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing, the constructs were 177 introduced by electroporation in selected F. novicida isolates as described (28). Transformed 178 colonies appeared after 2 days of incubation and the presence of the plasmid was checked by PCR using specific primers (Table S1). 179

Accession numbers. The sequences of the final evolved clones are available in the
Sequence Read Archive with the accession numbers SRX2188198, SRX2188197,
SRX2188196 and SRX2188195.

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

183 **RESULTS**

184 Expression and purification of recombinant F. novicida DNA gyrases. To assess the relationships between resistance levels and mutations in GyrA and GyrB, we cloned and 185 expressed the wild-type and mutated proteins listed in Table 1. High yields of recombinant 186 His-tagged and soluble recombinant GyrB proteins were obtained with only a few changes of 187 the protocol described by Tari et al. (29). In contrast, several attempts were required to 188 189 optimize purification of the GyrA subunits, including variations in temperature and induction times, salt concentrations and addition of detergents. As judged by SDS-PAGE analysis (Fig. 190 S1) the purity of all GyrA and GyrB constructs is greater than 90%. 191

DNA supercoiling activity of *F. novicida* DNA gyrases. The wild-type DNA gyrase of *F. novicida* was reconstituted *in vitro* using equimolar amounts of GyrA WT and GyrB WT

proteins and examined for its capacity to convert relaxed pBR322 to its supercoiled form. As shown Fig. 1, the assay carried out using 35 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 24 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1.8 mM spermidine and various concentrations of MgCl₂ and ATP demonstrates that the reconstituted complex could catalyze a magnesium-dependent supercoiling reaction, although the effect is not optimal. The catalytic activity of *F. novicida* DNA gyrase is significantly improved by the addition of 100 mM potassium glutamate (K-Glu) and requires MgCl₂ and ATP at optimum concentrations of 2 and 1 mM, respectively.

201 Respective contributions of GyrA and GyrB mutations to FQ resistance. To assess the respective roles of the GyrA and GyrB mutations in ciprofloxacin resistance, the inhibitory 202 203 effect of FQs was first tested against the supercoiling activity of DNA gyrase complexes corresponding to the wild-type sensitive strain or to complexes reconstituted using a 204 205 recombinant mutated target in combination with its complementary wild-type GyrA or GyrB subunit. Representative inhibition profiles are shown Fig. 2A and 2B. For each condition we 206 verified that neither the GyrA nor the GyrB subunit alone induces DNA supercoiling that 207 208 could result from a putative contamination of the recombinant proteins with the E. coli 209 enzymes (wells 1 and 2). Given that similar but not strictly identical patterns were obtained for experiments conducted with different batches of proteins, the data were expressed as 210 means \pm standard error (SEM) of the ciprofloxacin concentrations required to inhibit 50% of 211 212 DNA supercoiling activity (IC_{50} value) in different assays. As illustrated in Fig. 2C, the substitutions at position 87 in QRDR-A generate a high-level of FQ resistance with an IC_{50} 213 value approximately twice as high when the aspartic acid residue is exchanged for a tyrosine 214 than for a glycine (152.3 \pm 9.2 mg/L; *n*=4 for D87Y vs 83.82 \pm 15.2 mg/L; *n*=6 for D87G, *P* < 215 0.05). To a lesser extent but significantly, the P43H substitution in the GyrA N-terminus 216 217 domain exhibits a ciprofloxacin sensitivity that is four times lower (18.9 \pm 1.07 mg/L; n=6) than the wild-type complex (4.11 \pm 0.91 mg/L; n=5). The 3D structure of the F. novicida 218

219 Quinolone-Binding Pocket (QBP) together with FQs and DNA that was generated through 220 homology modeling (Fig. 3) shows that this residue is located close to the catalytic Y122 221 tyrosines where the DNA is bound and close to the D87 residues that interact with FQ. From 222 this model, it can be assumed that the P>H substitution might potentially affect the inhibitory 223 effect of the drugs. Finally, the Δ E523, Δ S524 deletion found in the C-terminus of GyrA does 224 not affect drug activity (4.98 ± 0.65 mg/L; *n*=3).

225 Supercoiling data also evidenced that the QRDR-B insertion (+P466) leads to a high resistance level (105.09 \pm 18.86; n=6), in contrast with the D487E- Δ K488 mutation found in 226 227 the C-terminal domain of the GyrB subunit, which fails to significantly affect FQ sensitivity 228 $(9.6 \pm 1.07 \text{ mg/L}; n=5)$. The capacity of ciprofloxacin to inhibit the DNA supercoiling activity was then evaluated against reconstituted DNA gyrase complexes corresponding to the high-229 230 level resistant clones isolated after the final (14th) passage in the Fno1 and Fno2 lineages sharing GyrA/GyrB double mutations (Fig. 2C, right panel). Thus, the IC₅₀ value measured 231 with the reconstituted Fno1 complex, which possesses mutations both in QRDR-A (D87Y) 232 233 and QRDR-B (+P466), was $186.9 \pm 40.69 \text{ mg/L}$ (n=6). This value was not significantly 234 different from the value obtained with the reconstituted Fno2 complex (181.1 \pm 43.09 mg/L; 235 n=9), which also has a QRDR-A substitution (D87G) but accompanied with a GyrB mutation 236 outside the QRDR (D487E- Δ K488). A strictly similar pattern was obtained using moxifloxacin (not shown). Overall these results are well correlated with data obtained from 237 238 the ciprofloxacin-mediated DNA cleavage assays (Fig. 2 D, E, F and Table S3).

Stepwise appearance of GyrA and GyrB mutations. The QRDR-A and the mutated GyrB regions were sequenced from *F. novicida* Fno1 and Fno2 initial lineages as well as from resistant isolates saved at the different passages during the course of antibiotic exposure (11). For each passage of interest, six clones were analyzed with similar results. In both cases, and in contrast to what was expected, the GyrB mutation occurred first. In Fno1 the ctc nucleotide

insertion leading to the appearance of an additional proline residue (+P466) in the GyrB 244 subunit was detected as early as the second passage. The g/t substitution responsible for the D 245 to Y change at the residue position 87 of the QRDR-A arose later (passage 4). Similarly, in 246 Fno2, the three-base deletion (taa 1460-1462), accounting for the D487E,ΔK488 mutation in 247 GyrB, was found at passage 4, while the a260g point mutation accounting for the GyrA-248 QRDR D87G substitution occurred at passage 9. To evaluate the precise impact of the 249 250 mutations detected in ciprofloxacin resistance, MICs were then measured on the 251 corresponding bacterial clones both before and after each mutation occurred. The 252 chronological appearance of GyrA and GyrB mutations and the evolution of the ciprofloxacin 253 MICs are summarized in Fig. 4. The shifts in the MIC increase observed upon the acquisition 254 of QRDR-A substitution in bacterial isolates sharing a GyrB mutation (×4 in Fno1 and ×32 in 255 Fno2) were within the same range of magnitude as the IC_{50} shifts obtained with the recombinant DNA gyrase complexes carrying either single GyrB or double GyrA/GyrB 256 mutations (see above). This result reinforces the contribution of DNA gyrase mutations to the 257 258 FQ resistance of evolved bacterial clones. Moreover, the important shift in resistance observed upon the acquisition of QRDR-A mutation in Fno2, together with the moderate 259 260 impact of FQ resistance-conferring D87G mutation, suggest that the D487E- Δ K488 mutation found in GyrB, which fails to significantly affect the resistance phenotype on its own, 261 262 indirectly contributes to an increased FQ resistance level.

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

263 Complementation study confirmed the contribution of the GyrB D487E- Δ K488 264 mutation to FQ resistance. Complementation experiments were conducted on the *F*. 265 *novicida* Fno2 single mutant (passage 4; QRDR-B D487E- Δ K488) or double mutant (passage 266 11; QRDR-B D487E- Δ K488 plus QRDR-A D87G) using the pFNLTP6 plasmid carrying 267 either the gene encoding wild-type or mutated GyrB. Whatever plasmid was used, the MIC 268 values obtained upon complementation of the *F. novicida* Fno2 clone isolated at passage 4, i.e., before acquisition of the GyrA mutation, remained unchanged. In contrast, we observed that the ciprofloxacin susceptibility of the clone isolated at passage 11 was partially restored upon complementation with the wild-type GyrB, this transformation conferring an approximately two- to threefold decrease in the MIC relative to the same mutant transformed with the mutated protein (64 mg/L).

Genome sequencing of F. novicida clones highly resistant to FQs. As shown above, the 274 GyrA deletion found in the Fno3 lineage is not associated with FQ resistance; concerning 275 Fno4, the inhibitory effect of ciprofloxacin on DNA supercoiling in vitro (Fig. 2C) did not 276 correlate with the high MIC measured on the final bacterial isolates (Table 1). In addition, 277 278 sequencing of gyrA and gyrB in Fno1 and Fno2 lineages at different passages clearly showed that MIC continued to increase after appearance of mutations in these FQ target genes. 279 280 Together, these data suggest that mutational events could occur in other genes. The genomic analysis of the four lineages highly resistant to FQs (passage 14) is summarized Table 2. An 281 interesting feature found in the Fno2 lineage is a mutation of the topoisomerase IV gene 282 283 encoding ParE. However, sequencing data revealed that this mutation occurs at the final stage of antibiotic exposure (passage 14), as previously reported for the F. tularensis lineage (11). 284 285 These data revealed that, besides changes in the DNA gyrases, another characteristic shared between all these isolates was a mutation within the promoter of the FTN 0480 gene. Other 286 287 mutations detected were specific for each clone. Their putative impact on FQ resistance is discussed below. 288

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

289 **DISCUSSION**

Here we successfully produced the recombinant GyrA and GyrB subunits found in FQresistant and -susceptible lineages of *F. novicida*. All affinity-purified proteins were enzymatically active, as shown by the capacity of reconstituted complexes to promote supercoiling of relaxed pBR322. This specific *in vitro* DNA supercoiling assay has never been reported before for *Francisella* proteins and allowed us to clarify the role of the different
mutations on the FQ susceptibility of these bacterial pathogens.

One of the main features of F. novicida lineages exposed to FQs is a substitution affecting 296 the D87 residue located in QRDR-A that has been associated with acquired quinolone 297 298 resistance in several bacterial species, including E. coli and M. tuberculosis (30) (corresponding residue D94; see supplementary file, Fig. S2). The work presented here 299 clearly demonstrates that this residue is also a hot-spot quinolone resistance target in 300 Francisella strains. Its replacement by glycine or tyrosine indeed confers a high-level 301 resistance to mutated recombinant DNA gyrases from F. novicida, a result in agreement with 302 303 structural studies showing that these substitutions adversely affect the interactions with FQs 304 by modifying the geometric properties of the QBP and by displacing the conserved water molecules involved in Mg^{2+} ion preservation, which is essential for FQ activity (13). The 305 functional analysis of other F. novicida mutated GyrA subunits revealed that the P43H 306 substitution, never reported before, also contributes to FQ resistance, although to a lesser 307 308 extent. The capacity of mutations outside QRDR-A to confer a small increase in MIC for ciprofloxacin and gatifloxacin was previously described in E. coli (A51V) (31). The 309 molecular modeling of the structure of the functional core of F. novicida DNA gyrase 310 presented here shows that the residue P43 that is conserved in several pathogens (Fig. S2) is 311 312 located in close proximity to the catalytic tyrosine residues and to DNA and could thus play a role in the interaction between FQs and their target. In contrast, the deletion within C-313 terminus of GyrA (Δ E524, Δ S525) is not associated with resistance to ciprofloxacin. DNA 314 supercoiling assays also showed that GyrB mutations are associated with a high-level FQ 315 resistance in F. novicida. Thus, insertion of the residue P466 in the QRDR-B alter the FQ 316 inhibitory effect against supercoiling as efficiently as the QRDR-A D87 substitution. While 317 inactive on its own, the D487E, Δ K488 mutation indirectly contributes to the acquisition of a 318

high level of FQ resistance in double GyrA/GyrB mutants, as confirmed by complementation
experiments carried out on mutated lineages of *F. novicida* resistant to FQs.

In Gram-negative bacteria, resistance to FQs has been predominantly attributed to GyrA 321 mutations, GyrB mutations being less commonly encountered and often found secondary to 322 323 alterations in GyrA (14). In Gram-positive bacteria, depending on the FQs used, different 324 levels of inhibitory activity against DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV were observed, but in several cases topoisomerase IV seems to be the more sensitive enzyme (32). In this case, the 325 C subunit of topoisomerase IV (ParC) is considered as the primary target of FQs, whereas 326 mutations affecting the E subunit (ParE) occur at a lower frequency and as second-step 327 328 mutations.

Here, we identified for the first time mutations in GyrB that are associated with a high 329 330 level of resistance and that represent the first step in selection for decreased susceptibility to FQs. This unusual order in which GyrB is altered before GyrA is consistent with our data on 331 topoisomerase IV. While in the F. novicida lineages examined here, topoisomerase IV 332 333 mutation is a rare event that occurs at the late stage of FQ exposure and seems to play a minor role in the development of FQ resistance, it should be noted that the ParE substitution 334 observed in the lineage Fno2 is not preceded by ParC changes. Such an unusual first-step 335 mutation in GyrB was confirmed following a single-step selection with ciprofloxacin on 336 337 plates in vitro (Table S3). Thus of the fifteen independent single-step mutants selected, five had a QRDR-A mutation and 10 shared a GyrB substitution including 1 isolate with P466 338 insertion and 7 isolate with a substitution of the S465 GyrB residue. Interestingly, a first-step 339 mutation in GyrB was also recently evidenced in a FQ-resistant Salmonella enterica isolate 340 from an infected patient (33). From this interesting, it can be hypothesized that the mutation 341 342 pattern observed in F. novicida is not based on the in vitro selection protocol but is likely to 343 be observed in vivo. The preponderant role of GyrB in FQ resistance highlighted here fits well Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy

with the recently proposed inverted binding mode of FQs to gyrase-DNA complexes (34).
Using *Mycobacterium smegmatis* as a model, Mustaev *et al.* indeed proposed a role for E466
or K447 GyrB residues as an alternative way to ensure stabilization of the drug-enzyme-DNA
complex known to be mediated through the magnesium-water bridge with GyrA residues 83
and 87.

349 Overall, our findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms of FQ resistance 350 in F. novicida. We noted some discrepancy between the IC_{50} of reconstituted DNA gyrase and 351 the MIC of resistant bacterial lineages, most particularly concerning Fno3 and Fno4 mutants, suggesting that other mechanisms, independent of GyrA or GyrB mutations, contribute to 352 353 resistance, as is the case for several human pathogens (35, 36). Genome sequencing of the FO-resistant F. novicida isolates identified, in each of the four lineages, a mutation within the 354 promoter of the FTN 0480 gene encoding the 110-amino acid Fev protein weakly 355 homologous to the MerR family of transcription factors and characterized as an essential 356 regulator of virulence in Francisella (37). Given that reports on the incidence of promoter 357 358 mutations in Francisella are lacking, it is difficult to speculate on the consequence of this 359 mutation on resistance to FQ. With this exception, the mutations detected are specific for each 360 lineage. A set of three to five targets is mutated after antibiotic exposure, leading to several interrupted coding sequences. Concerning Fno3, for which the IC₅₀ against DNA supercoiling 361 362 is low compared to the bacterial MIC, nonsense mutations are present in *pilB* and *pilE* genes 363 required for the expression of functional type IV pili (38) as well as in FTN 1029 coding for a protein involved in the isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway. Interestingly, this metabolic 364 pathway, known to be involved in major cellular processes, including cell wall biosynthesis or 365 366 the regulation of membrane fluidity (39), has been described as a promising target for the 367 development of novel antimicrobial drugs in F. tularensis (40). Another nonsense mutation is 368 found in Fno3 wbtQ that contributes to the biosynthesis of the F. novicida O-antigen involved

AA(

in bacterial resistance to macrolides (41). The wbtH targeted in the Fno2 lineage belongs to 369 370 the same gene cluster. Overall, we noted that several mutations target genes encoding proteins located at the membrane or involved in transmembrane transport that could be impaired in 371 their functions, leading to changes in antibiotic susceptibility. The erythromycin and 372 373 doxycycline cross-resistance patterns of F. novicida lineages highly resistant to FQs reported in the Sutera et al. paper (11) reinforce the hypothesis of alterations in outer membrane 374 permeability. While the precise consequences of such mutations remain to be investigated, it 375 376 is tempting to speculate that they are somewhere relevant for the emergence of F. novicida mutants that are highly resistant to FQs. This hypothesis reinforced by the published data 377 378 from Jaing et al., who identified proteins possibly involved in F. tularensis ciprofloxacin resistance through a careful analysis of both microarrays and next-generation sequencing data 379 380 from 11 isolates that had acquired resistance in vitro upon exposure to increasing antibiotic concentrations (19). Besides the GyrA and GyrB substitutions, three identified F. tularensis 381 LVS mutated targets are also mutated in F. novicida, including fupA (FTL 0439/FTN 0444) 382 383 coding for the protein responsible for siderophore-mediated iron acquisition and thought to be involved in bacterial virulence (42, 43), wbtQ (FTL 0601/FTN 0430), as discussed above, as 384 385 well as an efflux pump-encoding gene (FTL-1547/FTN_1609). In summary, while the whole-genome analyses of resistant clones suggest that the response 386

In summary, while the whole-genome analyses of resistant clones suggest that the response to FQ exposure might be much more complex than initially thought, we have functionally characterized for the first time DNA gyrase mutations through which FQ resistance emerges in *F. novicida*.

390 REFERENCES

391	1.	Sjostedt A. 2007. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical
392		manifestations. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1105:1-29.10.1196/annals.1409.009
393	2.	Oyston PC, Sjostedt A, Titball RW. 2004. Tularaemia: bioterrorism defence renews interest in
394		Francisella tularensis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:967-978.10.1038/nrmicro1045
395	3.	Maurin M. 2015. Francisella tularensis as a potential agent of bioterrorism? Expert Rev Anti
396		Infect Ther 13:141-144.10.1586/14787210.2015.986463
397	4.	Tarnvik A, Chu MC. 2007. New approaches to diagnosis and therapy of tularemia. Ann N Y
398		Acad Sci 1105:378-404.10.1196/annals.1409.017
399	5.	Maurin M, Gyuranecz M. 2016. Tularaemia: clinical aspects in Europe. Lancet Infect Dis
400		16:113-124.10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00355-2
401	6.	Boisset S, Caspar Y, Sutera V, Maurin M. 2014. New therapeutic approaches for treatment of
402		tularaemia: a review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 4:40.10.3389/fcimb.2014.00040
403	7.	Fabrega A, Madurga S, Giralt E, Vila J. 2009. Mechanism of action of and resistance to
404		quinolones. Microb Biotechnol 2:40-61.10.1111/j.1751-7915.2008.00063.x
405	8.	Berger JM. 1998. Type II DNA topoisomerases. Curr Opin Struct Biol 8:26-32
406	9.	La Scola B, Elkarkouri K, Li W, Wahab T, Fournous G, Rolain JM, Biswas S, Drancourt M,
407		Robert C, Audic S, Lofdahl S, Raoult D. 2008. Rapid comparative genomic analysis for clinical
408		microbiology: the Francisella tularensis paradigm. Genome Res 18:742-
409		750.10.1101/gr.071266.107
410	10.	Loveless BM, Yermakova A, Christensen DR, Kondig JP, Heine HS, 3rd, Wasieloski LP, Kulesh
411		DA. 2010. Identification of ciprofloxacin resistance by SimpleProbe. High Resolution Melt and
412		Pyrosequencing nucleic acid analysis in biothreat agents: Bacillus anthracis. Yersinia pestis
413		and Erancisella tularensis. Mol Cell Probes 24:154-160.10.1016/i.mcp.2010.01.003
414	11	Sutera V. Levert M. Burmeister WP. Schneider D. Maurin M. 2014. Evolution toward high-
415		level fluoroquinolone resistance in Francisella species. L'Antimicroh Chemother 69:101-
415		110 10 1093/jac/dkt321
410	12	Gellert M. Mizuuchi K. O'Dea MH. Nash HA. 1976. DNA gyrase: an enzyme that introduces
418	12.	superhelical turns into DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci II S A 73:3872-3876
/10 /10	13	Aldred KL Kerns RL Osheroff N 2014 Mechanism of quinolone action and resistance
410	15.	Riochemistry 53:1565-1574 10 1021/bi5000564
420	1/	Hooper DC Jacoby GA 2015 Mechanisms of drug resistance: quinolone resistance. Ann N V
421	14.	Acad Sci 1354-12-21 10 1111/nvas 12830
422	15	Add 50 1554.12-51.10.1111/11yas.12650 Redgrave LS Sutton SB Webber MA Diddock LL 2014 Elyproquinolone resistance:
425	15.	mechanisms impact on bacteria, and role in evolutionary success. Trends Microbiol
424		doi:10.1016/i tim 2014.04.007.10.1016/i tim 2014.04.007
425	16	Urich SK. Deterson IM. 2008. In vitro suscentibility of isolator of Erancisella tularancis types A
420	10.	and B from North America. Antimicroh Agents Chemother 52:2276
427		and B from North America. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:2270-
420	17	22/0.10.1120/AAC.01304-0/
429	17.	TOPTAK E, Veres A, Michel JB, Chail R, Harti DL, Kishony R. 2012. Evolutionary paths to
430		antibiotic resistance under dynamically sustained drug selection. Nat Genet 44:101-
431	40	105.10.1038/fig.1034
432	18.	Lenski RE. 1998. Bacterial evolution and the cost of antibiotic resistance. Int Microbiol 1:265-
433	40	
434	19.	Jaing CJ, IVICLOUGNIIN KS, Thissen JB, Zemia A, Gardner SN, Vergez LM, Bourguet F, Mabery S,
435		Foranov VY, Kosninsky H, Jackson PJ. 2016. Identification of Genome-Wide Mutations in
436		Ciprofioxacin-Resistant F. tularensis LVS Using Whole Genome Tiling Arrays and Next
437		Generation Sequencing. PLoS One 11:e0163458.10.1371/journal.pone.0163458
438	20.	Celli J, Zahrt TC. 2013. Mechanisms of Francisella tularensis intracellular pathogenesis. Cold
439		Spring Harb Perspect Med 3:a010314.10.1101/cshperspect.a010314

440	21.	Pantel A, Petrella S, Matrat S, Brossier F, Bastian S, Reitter D, Jarlier V, Mayer C, Aubry A.
441		2011. DNA gyrase inhibition assays are necessary to demonstrate fluoroquinolone resistance
442		secondary to gyrB mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
443		55:4524-4529.10.1128/AAC.00707-11
444	22.	Aubry A, Pan XS, Fisher LM, Jarlier V, Cambau E. 2004. Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA
445		gyrase: interaction with quinolones and correlation with antimycobacterial drug activity.
446		Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:1281-1288
447	23.	Piton J, Petrella S, Delarue M, Andre-Leroux G, Jarlier V, Aubry A, Mayer C. 2010. Structural
448		insights into the quinolone resistance mechanism of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA
449		gyrase. PLoS One 5:e12245.10.1371/journal.pone.0012245
450	24.	Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for
451		protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845-858.10.1038/nprot.2015.053
452	25.	Blower TR, Williamson BH, Kerns RJ, Berger JM. 2016. Crystal structure and stability of
453		gyrase-fluoroquinolone cleaved complexes from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad
454		Sci U S A doi:10.1073/pnas.1525047113.10.1073/pnas.1525047113
455	26.	Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot. Acta
456		Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:486-501.10.1107/S0907444910007493
457	27.	Maier TM, Havig A, Casey M, Nano FE, Frank DW, Zahrt TC. 2004. Construction and
458		characterization of a highly efficient Francisella shuttle plasmid. Appl Environ Microbiol
459		70:7511-7519.10.1128/AEM.70.12.7511-7519.2004
460	28.	Gesbert G, Ramond E, Rigard M, Frapy E, Dupuis M, Dubail I, Barel M, Henry T, Meibom K,
461		Charbit A. 2014. Asparagine assimilation is critical for intracellular replication and
462		dissemination of Francisella. Cell Microbiol 16:434-449.10.1111/cmi.12227
463	29.	Tari LW, Li X, Trzoss M, Bensen DC, Chen Z, Lam T, Zhang J, Lee SJ, Hough G, Phillipson D,
464		Akers-Rodriguez S, Cunningham ML, Kwan BP, Nelson KJ, Castellano A, Locke JB, Brown-
465		Driver V, Murphy TM, Ong VS, Pillar CM, Shinabarger DL, Nix J, Lightstone FC, Wong SE,
466		Nguyen TB, Shaw KJ, Finn J. 2013. Tricyclic GyrB/ParE (TriBE) inhibitors: a new class of broad-
467		spectrum dual-targeting antibacterial agents. PLoS One
468		8:e84409.10.1371/journal.pone.0084409
469	30.	Matrat S, Aubry A, Mayer C, Jarlier V, Cambau E. 2008. Mutagenesis in the alpha3alpha4
470		GyrA helix and in the Toprim domain of GyrB refines the contribution of Mycobacterium
471		tuberculosis DNA gyrase to intrinsic resistance to quinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
472		52:2909-2914.10.1128/AAC.01380-07
473	31.	Friedman SM, Lu T, Drlica K. 2001. Mutation in the DNA gyrase A Gene of Escherichia coli that
474		expands the quinolone resistance-determining region. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
475		45:2378-2380.10.1128/AAC.45.8.2378-2380.2001
476	32.	Hooper DC. 2002. Fluoroquinolone resistance among Gram-positive cocci. Lancet Infect Dis
477		2:530-538
478	33.	Al-Emran HM, Heisig A, Dekker D, Adu-Sarkodie Y, Cruz Espinoza LM, Panzner U, von
479		Kalckreuth V, Marks F, Park SE, Sarpong N, May J, Heisig P. 2016. Detection of a Novel gyrB
480		Mutation Associated With Fluoroquinolone-Nonsusceptible Salmonella enterica serovar
481		Typhimurium Isolated From a Bloodstream Infection in Ghana. Clin Infect Dis 62 Suppl 1:S47-
482		49.10.1093/cid/civ790
483	34.	Mustaev A, Malik M, Zhao X, Kurepina N, Luan G, Oppegard LM, Hiasa H, Marks KR, Kerns RJ,
484		Berger JM, Drlica K. 2014. Fluoroquinolone-gyrase-DNA complexes: two modes of drug
485		binding. J Biol Chem 289:12300-12312.10.1074/jbc.M113.529164
486	35.	Fonseca JD, Knight GM, McHugh TD. 2015. The complex evolution of antibiotic resistance in
487		Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Int J Infect Dis 32:94-100.10.1016/j.ijid.2015.01.014
488	36.	Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. 2009. Antibacterial-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa:
489		clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded resistance mechanisms.
490		Clin Microbiol Rev 22:582-610.10.1128/CMR.00040-09

491	37.	Brotcke A, Monack DM. 2008. Identification of fevR, a novel regulator of virulence gene
492		expression in Francisella novicida. Infect Immun 76:3473-3480.10.1128/IAI.00430-08
493	38.	Salomonsson EN, Forslund AL, Forsberg A. 2011. Type IV Pili in Francisella - A Virulence Trait
494		in an Intracellular Pathogen. Front Microbiol 2:29.10.3389/fmicb.2011.00029
495	39.	Heuston S, Begley M, Gahan CG, Hill C. 2012. Isoprenoid biosynthesis in bacterial pathogens.
496		Microbiology 158:1389-1401.10.1099/mic.0.051599-0
497	40.	Tsang A, Seidle H, Jawaid S, Zhou W, Smith C, Couch RD. 2011. Francisella tularensis 2-C-
498		methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate cytidylyltransferase: kinetic characterization and
499		phosphoregulation. PLoS One 6:e20884.10.1371/journal.pone.0020884
500	41.	Ahmad S, Hunter L, Qin A, Mann BJ, van Hoek ML. 2010. Azithromycin effectiveness against
501		intracellular infections of Francisella. BMC Microbiol 10:123.10.1186/1471-2180-10-123
502	42.	Lindgren H, Honn M, Golovlev I, Kadzhaev K, Conlan W, Sjostedt A. 2009. The 58-kilodalton
503		major virulence factor of Francisella tularensis is required for efficient utilization of iron.
504		Infect Immun 77:4429-4436.10.1128/IAI.00702-09
505	43.	Sen B, Meeker A, Ramakrishnan G. 2010. The fslE homolog, FTL 0439 (fupA/B), mediates
506		siderophore-dependent iron uptake in Francisella tularensis LVS. Infect Immun 78:4276-
507		4285.10.1128/IAI.00503-10
508		

509

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy



510 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 511 The authors thank F. Lopez and N. Fernandez for high-throughput sequencing which was
- 512 performed at the TGML platform supported by grants from Inserm, GIS IBiSA, Aix-Marseille
- 513 University, and ANR-10-INBS-0009-10. We also thank Linda Northrup for English editing.

514 FUNDING

- This work was funded by the Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA grant number
 07C0301). The French National Reference Center for *Francisella tularensis* is funded by an
- 517 annual grant from the Institut National de Veille Sanitaire. C.S. received funding from an
- 518 "Année Recherche" student fellowship from the Grenoble-Alpes University Hospital (CHU),
- 519 Direction des Affaires Médicales. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection
- 520 and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

521 TRANSPARENCY DECLARATIONS

522 The authors have nothing to declare.

524 FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG. 1 Supercoiling activity of reconstituted *F. novicida* DNA gyrase complex. Recombinant GyrA and GyrB subunits from *F. novicida* (250 ng each) were assayed for DNA supercoiling activity for 30 min at 37°C using 0.15 μg relaxed pBR322 as substrate and in presence of different concentrations of MgCl₂, ATP and KGlu, as indicated. The DNA product was analyzed on a 0.8% agarose gel. OC and SC indicate Open Circular and Supercoiled forms of pBR322, respectively.

531

FIG. 2 Ciprofloxacin inhibits DNA supercoiling and promotes DNA cleavage induced by 532 533 wild-type and mutated DNA gyrases from F. novicida. The inhibitory activity of increasing concentrations of ciprofloxacin was measured for 30 min at 37°C against DNA supercoiling 534 activity induced by the DNA gyrase complexes reconstituted with recombinant (A) F. 535 novicida wild-type GyrA and GyrB or (B) mutated GyrA (D87Y) and GyrB (+466) found in 536 the resistant Fno1 lineage. Lane A, relaxed pBR322 and GyrA (2U); lane B, relaxed pBR322 537 538 and GyrB (2U); lane 3, pBR322 linearized by BamH1 digestion; lanes 4-11, supercoiling 539 activity obtained in presence of increasing concentrations of ciprofloxacin in comparison with 540 the positive control (2U each subunit) without an antibiotic. The gels are representative of at least three distinct experiments. (C) Ciprofloxacin sensitivity expressed as IC50 values was 541 542 measured on DNA gyrase complexes sharing mutations in GyrA (white columns), GyrB (hatched columns) or both (dotted columns). (D, E, F) Same legend as above using 543 supercoiled pBR322 incubated for 1 h at 25°C in presence of fixed amounts of recombinant 544 proteins (125 nM) and increasing concentrations of ciprofloxacin. Fragmented DNA was 545 detected following addition of proteinase K and SDS. Data represent the mean \pm SEM of 546 547 three to nine distinct experiments conducted with two different batches of recombinant 548 proteins. (*) indicates a *P*-value < 0.05.

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy

FIG. 3 Three-dimensional structure modeling of the *F. novicida* QRDR. Left panel: front view of the complex with the Toprim domains represented in magenta and pink, the breakagereunion domains in blue and DNA in transparent grey. The black arrow indicates the location of the QBP of the catalytic core. Right panel: top-view zoom of the QBP. The catalytic Y122 residues are colored in yellow and residues at positions critical for FQ resistance are indicated.

555

FIG. 4 Stepwise appearance of GyrA/GyrB mutations and FQ resistance. The QRDR-A and the mutated GyrB regions from initial lineages and resistant clones of *F. novicida* saved at different passages during the course of the antibiotic exposure were sequenced using specific primers (Table S1) to check the appearance of the mutations indicated by a black symbol and arrows on the graph. Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was also evaluated before and after acquisition of mutations; MICs are represented as the MIC modal value. (A) Lineage Fno1; (B) lineage Fno2. Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on March 5, 2017 by INIST-CNRS BiblioVie

563 TABLE 1 Characteristics of the F. novicida lineages used in this study

64

Lineage	MIC	Amino acid	mutations in	Reference
Lincage	(mg/L)	GyrA	GyrB	
Fno_WT	0.064	No mutation	No mutation	CIP56.12
Fno1	128	D87Y	+P466	Sutera <i>et al</i> . 2014
Fno2	128	D87G	D487E, ∆K488	Sutera <i>et al</i> . 2014
Fno3	32	∆E524,∆S525	No mutation	Sutera <i>et al</i> . 2014
Fno4	64	P43H	No mutation	Sutera <i>et al.</i> 2014

565

The bacteria used in this study include the FQ-sensitive parental strain of F. novicida U112 566 567 (Fno WT) and four lineages (Fno1 to Fno4) that acquired a high FQ resistance level 568 associated with mutations in GyrA and GyrB after 14 subcultures in presence of antibiotics (11). The ciprofloxacin MICs were measured from the bacterial clone selected for whole 569 genome sequencing at the final stage of the evolution procedure, using Mueller-Hinton 2 570 broth supplemented with 2% PolyViteX® described (11). 571 as

72 TABLE 2 SNPs and indels identified by whole genome sequencing of the highly resistant evolved lineages

	Other mutations identified in the genome of sequenced mutants					ed mutants	
Lineage	mutations	mutations	Gene number	Gene name	Description	Type of mutation	Consequences
Fno1	D87Y	+P466	FTN_0480	fevR	Francisella effector of virulence regulation	In point mutation in the promoter	Unknown
			FTN_1418	manC	Mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase	Insertion	STOP codon 38
			FTN_1510	secB2	Preprotein translocase, subunit B	Deletion	STOP codon 89
			FTN_1652	atpB	ATP synthase	Deletion	STOP codon 10
Fno2	D87G	D487E, ∆K488	FTN_0402	aroC	Chorismate synthase	Deletion	STOP codon 140
			FTN_0480	fevR	Francisella effector of virulence regulation	In point mutation in the promoter	Unknown
			FTN_1083	-	Hypothetical protein	In point mutation	D128N
			FTN_1421	wbtH	Glutamine amidotransferase/asparagine synthase	Deletion	STOP codon 313
			FTN_1550	parE	Topoisomerase IV, subunit B	In point mutation	P742S
			FTN_1610	-	RND efflux transporter, AcrB/AcrD/AcrF family	In point mutation	Y320H
Fno3	ΔE524,ΔS525	-	FTN_0444*	fupA	Fer utilization protein	Deletion	STOP codon 39
			FTN_0480	fevR	Francisella effector of virulence regulation	In point mutation in the promoter	Unknown
			FTN_0913	rpoD	RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor	Deletion	Deletion D52
			FTN_1029	-	Isoprenoid biosynthesis protein with amidotransferase-like domain	Insertion	STOP codon 43
			FTN_1115	pilB	Type IV pili ATPase	Insertion	STOP codon 180
			FTN_1430*	wbtQ	Aminotransferase	Deletion	STOP codon 145
Fno4	P43H	-	FTN_0070	pilE	Type IV pili, pilus assembly protein	Deletion	STOP codon 117
			FTN_0480	fevR	Francisella effector of virulence regulation	In point mutation in the promoter	Unknown
			FTN_0504	-	Lysine decarboxylase	Insertion	STOP codon 612
			FTN_1471	pcs	(CDP-alcohol) phosphatidyltransferase	Deletion	STOP codon 92
			FTN_1609*	-	MexH family multidrug efflux RND transporter periplasmic adaptor	Deletion	Deletion Y101

24

574

575 *indicates genes found to be containing mutations in ciprofloxacin-resistant *F. tularensis* isolates (19)

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

-		_
	2	
	5	
	-	

ts and	t
Agent erapy	L
bial , moth	
inicro Che	
Anti	

MgCl₂ (mM)	0	
ATP (mM)	1	
KGlu (100 mM)	-	

	0	0	2	2	4	4	6	6	0	0	2	2	4	4	6
	1	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1	5	1
M)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ос				1				-			-		-		-
SC													-	ij	

6

5

+

AAC



AAC




AAC



Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

AAC

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

- 1 Supplementary Files
- 2 FIG S1 SDS-PAGE analysis of purified F. novicida DNA gyrases. Recombinant proteins expressed in E. coli and purified by Ni-NTA affinity
- 3 chromatography were resolved on SDS-PAGE (10%) and stained with Coomassie blue. Values on the left indicate molecular weights of the marker
- 4 proteins.
- 5 This figure shows that the apparent molecular weights of GyrA and GyrB are of around 100 and 93 kDa that corresponds to their expected molecular
- 6 masses. For each construct, the protein was purified to >90% purity.





FIG S2 Multiple sequence alignment of DNA gyrases. The regions of DNA gyrase from F. novicida close to the QRDRs and exhibiting mutations 10 were aligned with the sequences of other bacterial strains using Clustal Omega. (a) GyrA subunits from F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SCHU S4 11

(gb|AJI68698.1), F. tularensis subsp. novicida U112 (gb|AJI61584.1|), F. philomiragia subsp. philomiragia ATCC 25015 (gb|AJI74730.1|), M. 12

tuberculosis H37Rv (gb|AFN47847.1|) and E. coli P0AES4 (gi|84028817). (b) GyrB subunits from F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SCHU S4 13

(gb|AJI69659.1|), F. tularensis subsp. novicida U112 (gb|AJI61801.1|), F. philomiragia subsp. philomiragia ATCC 25015 (|gb|AJI74249.1|), M. 14

tuberculosis H37Rv (|gb|AAA83016.1]), and E. coli P0AES4 (gi|84028820|). Mutated residues are indicated by arrows. 15

Α

A	ţ	
Mtuberculosis	MTDTTLPPDDSLDRIEPVDIEQEMQRSYIDYAMSVIVGRALPEVRDGLKPVHRRVLYAMF	60
Ecoli	MSDLAREITPVNIEEELKSSYLDYAMSVIVGRALPDVRDGLKPVHRRVLYAMN	53
Fphilomiragia	MSIPTKESSSINIEKELKQSYLDYAMSVIIGRALPDVRDGLKPVHRRVLFAMN	53
Ftularensis	MSIITKESSSINIEKELKQSYLDYAMSVIVGRALPDVRDGLKPVHRRVLFAMN	53
Fnovicida	MSIITKESSSINIEKELKQSYLDYAMSVIVGRALPDVRDGLKPVHRRVLFAMN	53
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Mtuberculosis	DSGFRPDRSHAKSARSVAETMGNYHPHGDASIYDSLVRMAQPWSLRYPLVDGQGNFGSPG	120
Ecoli	VLGNDWNKAYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDSAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRYMLVDGQGNFGSID	113
Fphilomiragia	ELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDTAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRYTLVDGQGNFGSVD	113
Ftularensis	ELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDTAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRYTLVDGQGNFGSVD	113
Fnovicida	ELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDTAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRYTLVDGQGNFGSVD	113
	**** * * ******************************	

В

Mtuberculosis	VVNKAVSSAQARIAARKARELVRRKSATDIGGLPGKLADCRSTDPRKSELYVVEGDSAGG	476
Fphilomiragia	VCEKILDSAKAREAARKARDMTRRKGALDIAGLPGKLADCQEKDPSLSEIYLVEGDSAGG	431
Ftularensis	ICEKILDSAKAREAARKARDMTRRKGALDIAGLPGKLADCQEKDPALSEIYLVEGDSAGG	431
Fnovicida	ICEKILDSAKAREAARKARDMTRRKGALDIAGLPGKLADCQEKDPALSEIYLVEGDSAGG	431
Ecoli	VVGKIIDAARAREAARRAREMTRRKGALDLAGLPGKLADCQERDPALSELYLVEGDSAGG	430
	· * ···**** **************************	
Mtuberculosis	SAKSGRDSMFQAILPLRGKIINVEKARIDRVLKNTEVQAIITALGTGIH-DEFDIGKLRY	535
Fphilomiragia	SAKQARDRKTQAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLGSQEVATLIKALGCGIGAEDYNPDKTRY	491
Ftularensis	SAKQARDRKTQAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLGSQEVATLIKALGCGIGAEDYNPDKTRY	491
Fnovicida	SAKQARDRKTQAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLGSQEVATLIKALGCGIGAEDYNPDKTRY	491
Ecoli	SAKQGRNRKNQAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLSSQEVATLITALGCGIGRDEYNPDKLRY	490
	*** ** ********************************	

17 TABLE S1 List of primers used in this study

gene	primer name	nucleotide sequence			
Cloning in pl	Cloning in pDESt-17 expression vector				
gyrA	Fno_gyrA_F	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTAGAAAACCTGTACTTCCAGGGTTCTATAATTACTAAAGAGTC			
	Fno_gyrA_Rev	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCTTATTATTCACTCTGCACAGTAACATCAG			
gyrB	Fno_gyrB_F	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTAGAAAACCTGTACTTCCAGGGTTCTGAGAATAAAGCTTATGACTC			
	Fno_gyrB_Rev	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCTTATTAAACGTCCAAGTTAACGAC			
Screening, P	CR amplification and se	quencing			
	attB1	ACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCT			
	attB2	ACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGT			
gyrA	Fno_gyrA_F	as above			
	FnoGyrA_598R	TATCAATAAGAGCTATAGTACC			
	Fno_gyrA_Rev	as above			
gyrB	Fno_gyrB_F	see above			
	FnoGyrB_839F	ATCCCACAAAGAGATGGTGG			
	Fno_gyrB_Rev	as above			
parE	Fno_ParE_1267Fw	GGAGGTTCTGCTAAACAAGCAC			
	Fno_ParE_1516Rv	ACATTGCACATAGTAGTGTTGCG			
Cloning in pl	FNLT6, screening and se	quencing			
gyrB	GyrB_FnoF_NotI	aattaaGCGGCCGCATGTCTGAGAATAAAGCTTATGACTC			
	GyrB_FnoR_Agel	aattaaACCGGTTTAAACGTCCAAGTTAACGACATTAAGAGC			
	GyrB308F	TTATTTTGACGGTGTTGCATGCAGG			
	GyrB956F	AACAGGTGAAGATACACGCGAAGG			
	GyrB1698F	TATAGGTCTAGAAGGCGCGTG			
	pFNLT6_3925F	AATATCTAGACTTGCAAGAGCTTGG			
	pFNLT6_5403R	AATGCACGCAAATACATACCTGCC			

19 TABLE S2 Purification conditions for GyrA and GyrB proteins used in this study

Proteins	Nucleotide changes	AA changes			Optimized purification conditions
			cells	induction	Lysis buffer
GyrA_Fno wild-type	-	-	C41(DE3)	ON 18°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%
GyrA_Fno1	g259t	D87Y	BL21 (DE3)	ON 16°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%
GyrA_Fno2	a260g	D87G	C41(DE3)	ON 16°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%
GyrA_Fno3	∆gagtca 1570-1575	∆E524,∆S525	C41(DE3)	ON 16°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - lyzozyme 0.25 mg/ml- DTT
GyrA_Fno4	c128a	P43H	C41(DE3)	ON 16°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - lyzozyme 0.25 mg/ml - DTT
GyrB_Fno wild-type	-	-	BL21 (DE3)	4h 37°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%
GyrB_Fno1	+ctc 1396-1398	+P466	BL21 (DE3)	ON 16°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%
GyrB_Fno2	∆taa 1460-1462	D487E,∆488K	BL21 (DE3)	4h 37°C	Tris 50mM pH 8.8 - NaCl 500mM - imidazole 10 mM - CHAPS 1%

TABLE S3 Concentrations of ciprofloxacin inhibiting supercoiling activity (IC50) and stabilizing the cleavable-complex formation (CC 25) by
 the recombinant wild-type or mutant DNA gyrases from *F. novicida*.

Lineage	GyrA mutations	GyrB mutations	IC50 (mg/L)	CC25 (mg/L)
			4.44 . 0.04	0.00.00
vviid-type	No mutation	No mutation	4.11 ± 0.91	0.9 ± 0.28
Fno1	D87Y	+P466	186.9 ± 40.69	105.9 ±26.28
-	D87Y	No mutation	152.3 <i>±</i> 9.2	44.84 ± 1.67
-	No mutation	+P466	105.09 ± 18.86	35.74 ± 14.58
Fno2	D87G	D487E, ∆K488	181.1 ± 43.09	129 ± 33.05
-	D87G	No mutation	83.82 ± 15.2	23.39 ± 2.438
-	No mutation	D487E, ∆K488	9.6 ± 1.07	1.667 ±
Fno3	∆E524,∆S52	No mutation	4.98 ± 0.65	1.673 ±
Fno4	P43H	No mutation	18.9 ± 1.07	3.293 ± 0.2945

31 TABLE S4 GyrA and GyrB mutations identified in ciprofloxacin resistant mutants obtained starting from a same single *F. novicida* colony and

through a one-round selection protocol on enriched MHB agar plates containing 0.075 μ g/mL Cipro as decribed by Jaing *et al* (1). Fifteen resistant colonies were picked from the plates and propagated in enriched MH broth with 0.05 μ g/ml of antibiotic before sequencing of the

34 QRDR-A and QRDR-B regions using primers described in Table (Fno_gyrA_F / FnoGyrA_598 and FnoGyrB_839F / Fno_gyrB_Rev).

35

N 4 to .o.t	Gyi	rA	Gyrl	GyrB		
wutant	Nucleotide change	AA change	Nucleotide change	AA change		
Fno_OS1	c248t	T83I	No mutation	No mutation		
Fno_OS2	No mutation	No mutation	+ctc 1396-1398	+P466		
Fno_OS3	No mutation	No mutation	c1394t	S465F		
Fno_OS4	No mutation	No mutation	c1394t	S465F		
Fno_OS5	No mutation	No mutation	c1394t	S465F		
Fno_OS6	c248t	T83I	No mutation	No mutation		
Fno_OS7	No mutation	No mutation	c1394a	S465Y		
Fno_OS8	g259a	D87N	No mutation	No mutation		
Fno_OS9	No mutation	No mutation	a1780t	1594F		
Fno_OS10	No mutation	No mutation	g1430a	C477Y		
Fno_OS11	g259t	D87Y	a1020g	No mutation		
Fno_OS12	No mutation	No mutation	c1394a	S465Y		
Fno_OS13	No mutation	No mutation	t1393c	S465P		
Fno_OS14	c248t	T83I	No mutation	No mutation		
Fno_OS15	No mutation	No mutation	t1393c	S465P		
Number of mutants		5		10		

36

37

Jaing CJ, McLoughlin KS, Thissen JB, Zemla A, Gardner SN, Vergez LM, Bourguet F, Mabery S, Fofanov VY, Koshinsky H, Jackson PJ. 2016.
 Identification of Genome-Wide Mutations in Ciprofloxacin-Resistant F. tularensis LVS Using Whole Genome Tiling Arrays and Next Generation
 Sequencing. PLoS One 11:e0163458.

3.2. Publication 4 : *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of fluoroquinolone resistance associated with DNA gyrase mutations in *Francisella tularensis*, including in tularaemia patients with treatment failure.

(Soumis à International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents)

Après avoir démontré que la sélection de mutants résistants de *F. tularensis* aux fluoroquinolones était possible *in vitro* nous avons identifié les principales mutations de résistances aux fluoroquinolones chez cet agent pathogène et démontré qu'elles étaient situées sur les gènes codant pour les sous-unités A et B de l'ADN gyrase de *F. tularensis*. En dehors des mutations classiques en position 83 et 87 dans le QRDR de la sous unité GyrA, nous avons validé le rôle dans la résistance aux fluoroquinolones chez *Francisella*, des mutations P43H de la sous unité GyrA et +P466 et D487E-ΔK488 de la sous unité GyrB. Nous avons voulu rechercher dans une quatrième étude, la présence des mutations identifiées *in vitro* par des techniques de biologie moléculaire, sur des souches mais surtout directement sur des prélèvements de patients atteints de tularémie, en situation d'échec thérapeutique sous fluoroquinolones. En effet, des situations cliniques propices au développement de résistance *in vivo* sont théoriquement possible. La présence d'un fort inoculum bactérien (en cas de diagnostic tardif) ou la diffusion insuffisante de l'antibiotique au site de l'infection peuvent être à l'origine de concentrations sub-inhibitrices en antibiotique au site de l'infection, favorables à la sélection de souches résistantes.

Nous avons ainsi analysé 42 souches et 82 échantillons de patients réceptionnés au CNR des *Francisella* et liés à 104 cas confirmés de tularémie. Parmi ces cas, l'analyse rétrospective des dossiers cliniques des patients et des informations transmises au CNR, nous a permis d'identifier 32 patients (30,7%) en situation d'échec thérapeutique sous fluoroquinolone. Ces situations d'échec étaient définies par :

- l'absence d'amélioration, la détérioration ou la récurrence des symptômes des patients
- une culture bactérienne positive malgré un traitement antibiotique bien conduit d'au moins 7 jours
- la suppuration d'une ou plusieurs adénopathies, nécessitant une résection chirurgicale
- la persistance d'un abcès cutané ou sous-cutané nécessitant une résection chirurgicale
- la présence de complications telles qu'une pleurésie ou une méningite

La recherche de mutations de résistance sur ces échantillons a été réalisée selon plusieurs approches. Nous avons tout d'abord mis au point une PCR en temps réel ciblant les mutations de résistance les plus fréquentes, situés en position 83 et 87 du QRDR de la sous-unité GyrA. Puis nous avons séquencé la totalité du QRDR des gènes *gyrA et gyrB* afin de rechercher les autres mutations préalablement identifiées *in vitro* ou de mettre en évidence des mutations différentes *in vivo*, au sein des QRDR de ces deux gènes.

1	In vitro and in vivo evaluation of fluoroquinolone resistance associated with DNA gyrase
2	mutations in Francisella tularensis, including in tularaemia patients
3	with treatment failure.
4	
5	V. Sutera, ^{† 1-3} G. Hoarau, ^{† 1-3} P. Renesto, ²⁻³ Y. Caspar, ¹⁻³ , M. Maurin, ^{1-3*}
6	
7	¹ Centre National de Référence des Francisella, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène
8	Hospitalière, Institut de Biologie et de Pathologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble
9	Alpes, CS 10217, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.
10	² Laboratoire Techniques de l'Ingénierie Médicale et de la Complexité - Informatique,
11	Mathématiques et Applications (TIMC-IMAG), Université Grenoble Alpes, CS 10170, 38042
12	Grenoble cedex 9, France.
13	³ Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 5525, 38042
14	Grenoble cedex 9, France
15	† These authors contributed equally to this work
16	
17	* Corresponding author: Pr. Max Maurin,
18	Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène Hospitalière, Département des agents infectieux,
19	Institut de Biologie et de Pathologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Grenoble Alpes, CS
20	10217, 38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.
21	Phone: +33 4 76 76 54 79; Fax: +33 4 76 76 52 28; E-mail: mmaurin@chu-grenoble.fr
22	
23	Abbreviations
24	FQs : fluoroquinolones ;
25	

26 ABSTRACT

27 The fluoroquinolones (FQ) are highly effective for treating tularaemia, a zoonosis caused by 28 Francisella tularensis, but failures and relapses remain frequent in patients with treatment 29 delay or immunocompromised status. FQ-resistant strains of F. tularensis harboring 30 mutations in the quinolone resistance determining region (QRDR) of gyrA and gyrB, the 31 genes encoding the subunits A and B of DNA gyrase, have been selected *in vitro*. Such 32 mutants have never been isolated from humans, which could result from the difficulty to 33 culture this microorganism. In this study, we assessed the presence of FO-resistant mutants of 34 F. tularensis in tularaemia patients using combined culture- and PCR-based approaches. 35 We analyzed 42 F. tularensis strains and 82 tissue samples collected from 104 tularaemia 36 cases, including 32 (30.7%) with FQ treatment failure or relapse. Forty F. tularensis strains 37 and 55 clinical samples were obtained before any FQ treatment, while 2 strains and 15 tissue 38 samples were collected after treatment. FQ resistance was evaluated by the minimum 39 inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the bacterial strains, and by newly developed PCR-based 40 methods targeting the gyrA and gyrB QRDRs for both the bacterial strains and the clinical 41 samples. As compared to FQ-susceptible controls, none of the F. tularensis strains displayed 42 an increased MIC. Neither gyrA nor gyrB QRDR mutation was found in bacterial strains and 43 tissue samples tested including those from patients with FQ treatment failure or relapse. 44 Further phenotypic and genetic resistance traits should be explored to explain the poor clinical 45 response to FQ treatment in such tularaemia patients.

46

47 Key words: *Francisella tularensis;* tularaemia; fluoroquinolones; antibiotic resistance; DNA
48 gyrase; treatment failure

49 Abbreviations: FQ, fluoroquinolones; QRDR, quinolone resistance determining region;
50

51 **INTRODUCTION**

52 Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative intracellular bacterium responsible for 53 the zoonosis tularaemia [1] and classified as a category A bioterrorism agent by the CDC [2]. 54 Human infections are caused by F. tularensis subsp. tularensis (type A) in North America and 55 subsp. *holarctica* (type B) throughout the northern hemisphere [3]. The clinical symptoms of 56 tularaemia are classically grouped into six clinical forms [3–5]: the ulceroglandular and 57 glandular forms, a regional lymphadenopathy with a skin inoculation lesion only detectable in 58 the former presentation; the oculoglandular and oropharyngeal forms, respectively a 59 conjunctivitis or a pharyngitis with a regional lymphadenopathy; the pneumonic form, a 60 pneumonia occurring after inhalation of contaminated aerosols or haematogenous spread of 61 bacteria from other infection sites; and the typhoidal form, a systemic disease mimicking 62 typhoid. Complications include lymph node suppuration in approximately 30% of patients 63 with lymphadenopathy [3,6,7] and F. tularensis bacteraemia with possible secondary 64 infectious sites and severe sepsis [3–5]. Mortality rates vary from less than 1% for type B 65 infections in Europe [7], to up to 30% for type A pneumonia cases reported in the USA [8]. 66 The fluoroquinolones (FQ) and the tetracyclines are considered first-line drugs for treatment 67 of mild to moderate severity cases, while the aminoglycosides (streptomycin or gentamicin) 68 are advocated for severe infections [1,4,5]. The FQ are bactericidal against F. tularensis in 69 axenic media [9–12], in infected cells [13,14], and in animal models [15–17]. They are highly 70 effective when administrated early in the course of disease [18–20]. In contrast, treatment 71 failures and relapses are still observed in 5-30% of patients with treatment delay, 72 complications (e.g., suppurated lymphadenopathy) and/or altered immune status [21,22]. We 73 hypothesized that poor clinical response to FQ treatment might be caused by FQ-resistance 74 acquisition in *F. tularensis*. Bacterial resistance to these antibiotics is mainly related to 75 mutations in genes encoding type II topoisomerases (DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV) and

76 overexpression of efflux pumps [23]. The DNA gyrase, which is described as the main FQ target in Gram-negative bacteria is encoded by gyrA and gyrB, while topoisomerase IV is 77 78 encoded by *parC* and *parE*. FQ resistance may occur through single mutations in a specific 79 region of these genes, referred as the Ouinolone-Resistance Determining Region (ORDR). In 80 vitro F. tularensis strains resistant to FQ were thus selected owing to mutations in 81 topoisomerases II encoding genes [24-26], the first mutations occurring in gyrA and gyrB 82 [24]. No FQ resistance has been evidenced so far in natural strains of F. tularensis [9– 83 12,22,27–29], but this fastidious bacterium is rarely isolated from tularaemia patients. In the 84 present study, we assessed the possibility to detect FQ-resistant F. tularensis strains in 85 humans using combined culture- and PCR-based approaches. 86

- 87 MATERIAL AND METHODS
- 88

1. Patients and clinical specimens

89 We used bacterial strains and clinical samples collected at the French National Reference 90 Center for Francisella tularensis, during our routine expertise of human tularaemia cases in 91 France. This sample collection was declared to the French Ministry of Education and 92 Research (DC-2008-677), and we obtained the authorization to use it for research purposes 93 from our ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Est V). In accordance 94 with the French law, patients' information for this type of research is done through a hospital 95 medical booklet and only a non-opposition of the patients is needed. 96 We studied 42 F. tularensis strains and 82 tissue samples collected from 104 confirmed 97 tularaemia cases, according to the WHO classification, [1] including 32 (30.7%) who 98 experienced failure or relapse after FQ treatment (Table 1). All patients were infected with a

99 *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strain, as determined by PCR amplification and sequencing of

100 the 16S-23SrRNA region from isolated strains or tissue samples. [7]

101 Group A includes 42 cases (9 females, 33 males), mainly occurring as sporadic infections 102 (39/42) between 2004 and 2014, for which a F. tularensis strain was isolated before any 103 antibiotic treatment (except 2 patients already under FQ treatment) (Table 1). The clinical 104 forms were ulceroglandular (12 cases), glandular (3), oropharyngeal (7, including 3 family 105 cases), oculoglandular (2), pneumonic (8) and typhoidal (10). 106 Group B includes 70 cases for which 82 clinical samples tested positive for F. tularensis 107 DNA using a qPCR-ISFtu2 assay targeting the insertion sequence Ftu2 of this species (Table 108 1).[7] The clinical forms were ulceroglandular (22 cases), glandular (24), oropharyngeal (16), 109 oculoglandular (4), pneumonic (3) and typhoidal (1). Group B patients were split into 110 subgroups B1 and B2, respectively for patients who received or not FQ (mostly ciprofloxacin) 111 before clinical sample collection (Table 1). One group B1 patient and seven group B2 patients 112 also belong to group A.

113

114 **2.** Bacterial strains

115 We used five Francisella sp. strains with low virulence in humans (Table 2): F. tularensis 116 subsp. holarctica Live Vaccine strain (FthLVS, NCTC 10857, provided by the Institut de 117 recherche biomédicale des armées, Grenoble, France); F. novicida strain CIP56.12 (Centre de 118 Ressources Biologiques de l'Institut Pasteur, Paris, France); and 3 FQ resistant mutants, either 119 derived from FthLVS (Fth1P14 and Fth2P14) or from F. novicida CIP56.12 (Fno1P14).[24] 120 The specificity of the qPCRFtgyrA assay was evaluated using 34 strains outside the 121 Francisella genus (Table 3). All cultures were performed in a biosafety level 3 (BSL3) 122 laboratory.

123

3. MIC determination

125	We determined the MICs of several antibiotics for clinical strains of F. tularensis using a
126	previously described procedure [24], taking into account the recommendations of the Clinical
127	and Laboratory Standards Institute [30]. We tested the FQ compounds ciprofloxacin,
128	levofloxacin (Fresenius Kabi, Sèvres, France) and moxifloxacin (Bayer, Puteaux, France). We
129	also tested erythromycin (Fluka, Lausanne, Switzerland) for biovar identification [31,32].
130	Each MIC experiment included a negative control (no bacteria), a growth control (no
131	antibiotics), and three control strains E. coli ATCC25922, S. aureus ATCC29213, and P.
132	aeruginosa ATCC 27853.
133	
134	4. Real-time PCR assay for detection of <i>F. tularensis gyrA</i> mutations (qPCRFtgyrA)
135	The qPCRFtgyrA assay was developed according to the MIQE guidelines [33].
136	DNA was extracted from heat-inactivated bacterial suspensions (0.5 McFarland turbidity,
137	95°C for 30 min), using the QIAmp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). The
138	clinical samples were first homogenized using the MagNA Lyser instrument (Roche
139	Diagnostics, Meylan, France), and DNA extracted as above.
140	Primers and dual-labelled hybridization probes were designed using the Roche LightCycler
141	Probe Design software (Roche Diagnostics), to allow amplification of a 219-bp portion of the
142	QRDR-A (nucleic acids 235–267, amino acids 79–88) in F. tularensis and F. novicida (Table
143	4). The qPCRFtgyrA assay was performed using the LightCycler 2.0 apparatus (Roche
144	Diagnostics) and the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master HybProbe reaction mix (Roche
145	Diagnostics). DNA amplification and melting curve analyses were performed using the
146	LightCycler 4.1 software (Roche Diagnostics). Each run included a non-template control
147	(NTC, PCR-grade water), and 4 positive controls (FthLVS strain, and the FQ-resistant clones
148	Fth1P14, Fth2P14 and Fno1P14).

149 The linearity, limit of detection (LOD) and efficiency of the qPCRFtgyrA assay were evaluated by testing ten-fold serial dilutions (2.5 to $2.5 \ 10^8$ genome units per test) of a 150 151 calibrated FthLVS suspension in PCR-grade water, in the presence or not of human DNA 152 (300–350 ng) extracted from sterile vascular tissue. The experiments were performed in 153 triplicate. The intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variability of the melting 154 temperatures (Tm) were determined using results obtained in the different runs for the 155 positive controls (FthLVS strain, and the FQ-resistant clones Fth1P14, Fth2P14 and 156 Fno1P14). Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the qPCRFtGyrA assay were evaluated by 157 testing strains belonging to F. tularensis or F. novicida species or to genera outside this 158 bacterial phylum. A low signal in the qPCRFtgyrA assay being obtained for some clinical 159 specimens, a nested-PCR protocol was also established: a PCR amplification run was first 160 performed using primers used for gyrA sequencing (see below); the amplified products were 161 then purified on MNSU 030 plates (Merck Millipore, Billerica, USA), concentrated 2.5 times, 162 and retested with the qPCRFtgyrA assay.

163

164 **5.** PCR amplification and sequencing of the QRDR-A and QRDR-B.

Regions encompassing the QRDR-A and the QRDR-B (QRDR of *gyrB*) of human *F*. *tularensis* isolates were amplified and sequenced as previously described [24]. The primers
were designed to amplify a 740-bp fragment of *gyrA* or a 773-bp fragment of *gyrB* (Table 4).
PCR products were sequenced using the same primers and the Dye terminator method, with
the DTCS Quick Start kit (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) and a Ceq 8000 apparatus
(Beckman Coulter).

171 For patients with FQ treatment failure or relapse, we evaluated the presence of *F. tularensis*

172 QRDR-A or QRDR-B mutations directly from the clinical samples. To improve the PCR

173 sensitivity, we performed two consecutive amplification runs. For QRDR-A, PCR

174	amplification and sequencing were performed using the same primers as for qPCRFtgyrA
175	(Table 4). For QRDR-B, PCR amplification and sequencing were performed using primers
176	designed to amplify a 435 bp fragment of gyrB (Table 4). The first PCR reaction was
177	performed using Q5®High Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Evry, France),
178	while the second PCR run used TAKARA Premix Ex Taq HS Version (TAKRR030A,
179	Ozyme, St Quentin-en-Yvelines, France). PCR sequencing was performed by Eurofins
180	(Ebersberg, Germany).
181	
182	6. Correlation between treatment failures and relapses, and QRDR-A or QRDR-B
183	mutations.
184	For each group of patients, we evaluated the number of treatment failures and relapses as well
185	as their occurrence with respect to any FQ treatment. Treatment failures and relapses
186	(recurrence of symptoms four weeks or more after apparent clinical cure) were recorded in
187	patients who received an appropriate treatment according to the WHO recommendations,[1]
188	with the occurrence of any of the following conditions: 1/ no improvement, worsening or
189	recurrence of clinical symptoms, 2/ a positive culture after completion of at least seven days
190	of antibiotic treatment; 3/ lymph node suppuration needing surgical resection; 4/ a cutaneous
191	or subcutaneous abscess needing surgical resection; and 5/ other complications (including
192	pleurisy and meningitis). A FQ treatment failure or relapse was recorded when the above
193	conditions occurred while the patient had already received a FQ treatment (ofloxacin,
194	levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin or moxifloxacin).
195	
196	RESULTS

1. Evaluation of the performance of the qPCRFtgyrA assay

198	We first evaluated the ability of the qPCRFtgyrA assay to amplify the QRDR-A. Such
199	amplification was obtained from all F. tularensis subsp. holarctica and F. novicida strains
200	tested, but not from the 34 strains not belonging to Francisella spp. (data not shown)
201	indicating that this assay is 100% sensitive and specific. The LOD of the qPCRFtgyrA assay
202	for both the FthLVS and F. novicida strains was 250 genome units (GU) per reaction. The
203	quantification cycles (Cq) and bacterial loads (\log_{10} GU per test) showed a linear relationship
204	over 5 log ₁₀ units, between 250 GU/test and 2.5×10^7 GU/test. The efficiency of the
205	qPCRFtgyrA was 95.7% ($y = -3.43 x + 41.1$, r2=0.99). The LOD and efficiency of the
206	qPCRFtgyrA assay were not altered in the presence of human DNA.
207	We then evaluated the ability of the qPCRFtgyrA assay to detect and distinguish the gyrA
208	mutations using the control strains FthLVS, Fth1P14, Fth2P14 and Fno1P14. The intra- and
209	inter-assay variabilities of the Tm determined for these strains were 0.25% and 0.44% ,
210	respectively. For each QRDR-A genotype, we calculated the mean \pm 3 SD of the Tm obtained
211	for 12 replicates (Table 5). No overlap was observed between the Tm intervals of the different
212	wild-type and mutated QRDR-A. The target Tm and their confidence intervals were then used
213	as interpretative criteria of qPCRFtgyrA tests (Table 5). We also determined that the FthLVS
214	and Fth1P14 strains can be differentiated by their Tm in a mixed population at 1:10 ratio or
215	higher. At a ratio lower than 1:10, the minority population can no longer be detected.
216	Surprisingly, concerning the FQ-susceptible strains of F. tularensis, a low DNA load
217	(<26,000 GU/test for FthLVS) was associated with a bimodal melting curve with a second
218	melting peak between 61.2 and 63.1 °C. To overcome this problem, we established a more
219	accurate nested PCR protocol allowing reversion of the bimodal melting curves to a single
220	peak with the expected Tm value.

223

2. Phenotypic and genetic traits of the 42 clinical *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* isolates

As illustrated Table 2, the 42 clinical isolates of *F. tularensis* were susceptible to FQs with

- 225 MIC90 values of 0.064 mg/L, 0.064 mg/L and 0.125 mg/L for ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and
- 226 moxifloxacin, respectively. MIC values were $\leq 2mg/L$ for erythromycin compatible with
- 227 biovar 1 of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. The 42 strains displayed a single qPCRFtgyrA
- 228 melting peak (66.8-67.7°C) in favor of a wild-type QRDR-A. The QRDR-A and QRDR-B of
- these strains was the same as those of the FThLVS (Figure 1).
- 230

231 **3.** qPCRFtgyrA, and QRDRs testing for clinical samples.

F. tularensis QRDR-A was detected by qPCRFtgyrA in 62 (75.6%) of the 82 samples tested,

with a single melting peak (Tm, $66.6-67.4^{\circ}$ C) for 8 samples compatible with a wild-type

234 QRDR-A nucleotidic sequence. A bimodal melting curve suggesting low F. tularensis DNA

loads was observed for the remaining 54 specimens. Hence, the mean Cp for the single and

bimodal melting curves were 25.7 and 33.2 cycles, respectively (*p*=0.001).

237 To increase the sensitivity of the QRDR-A detection in clinical samples, we retested 74

238 clinical samples using a nested-PCR protocol, including the 20 negative-qPCRFtgyrA

samples and the 54 specimens with a bimodal melting curve. Under such conditions a QRDR-

A was detected for 64 (86.5%) of these 74 specimens, and a single melting peak (66.8-

241 67.6°C) compatible with a wild-type QRDR-A was obtained for 60 (93.7%), while a bimodal

- curve was still obtained for the remaining four specimens.
- 243 Direct PCR amplification and sequencing of the QRDR-A and QRDR-B was obtained for 16
- clinical samples from 14 group B1 patients, but no mutation was identified.
- 245

247

4. Correlation between treatment failures and relapses, and QRDR-A or QRDR-B mutations.

248 Treatment failures or relapses were recorded in 11 (26.2%) of the 42 group A patients. 249 Treatment failures correspond to persistent F. tularensis bacteremia after eight days of 250 of loxacin treatment for one patient, and lymph node suppuration after 2 weeks of 251 ciprofloxacin treatment in another one. All group B1 patients were considered as FQ 252 treatment failures or relapses, since clinical samples were collected following FQ therapy 253 because of lymph node suppuration (14/15) or persistent fever (one case). Among group B2 254 patients, 40/55 (72.7%) experienced treatment failures or relapses with lymph node 255 suppuration (39/40), psoas abscess (one case) or pleurisy (one case). However, only 16 out of 256 40 patients received a FQ treatment (15 lymph node suppurations and one psoas abscess). 257 Obviously, no correlation could be established between QRDR-A or QRDR-B mutations and 258 treatment failures or relapses.

259

260 **DISCUSSION**

261 Although treatment failures and relapses are still frequently observed in tularaemia patients 262 receiving a tetracycline or a FQ [4,5], no acquired resistance to these antibiotics has been 263 characterized so far in natural strains of F. tularensis [9–12,28,29]. Only a human isolate with 264 subtle increase in levofloxacin MIC was recently reported [34]. This could be related to 265 difficulties to isolate F. tularensis from tularaemia patients, especially after antibiotics have 266 been administrated [4,5]. In France, a F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains was isolated in 267 only 42 of the 400 tularaemia cases notified to the Institut de Veille Sanitaire (InVS) during 268 the past decade, i.e. 10.5% [35].

270 Here, we assessed the presence of FQ-resistant mutants of F. tularensis in infected patients by 271 testing two hypotheses: 1/ human infection with a FQ-resistant strain of F. tularensis from 272 animal or environmental sources; and 2/ in vivo selection of FQ-resistant mutants of F. 273 *tularensis* during antibiotic therapy. Our strategy focused on the detection of DNA gyrase 274 mutants and more accurately on mutations at the "hot spot" amino acids 83 and 87 of the F. 275 tularensis QRDR-A. Previous in vitro experiments indeed revealed that such mutations that 276 occur at the early stage of FQ exposure were associated with high-level resistance in 277 Francisella [24–26, 36]. The presence of such mutants was investigated through both 278 phenotypic and genetic tests performed on our collection of F. tularensis strains and clinical 279 samples collected from tularaemia patients before or after FQ therapy. Accordingly, we 280 developed a qPCRFtgyrA assay able to detect and to distinguish the gyrA83 and gyrA87 281 mutations as well as their combination, even starting from clinical samples with low F. 282 tularensis DNA load.

283

284 To explore the hypothesis of human infection with FQ-resistant strains of F. tularensis, we 285 tested 42 clinical isolates collected in 42 tularaemia patients at the early stage of their disease, 286 and before any antibiotic treatment in 40 cases. All the 42 F. tularensis strains were 287 susceptible to FQs with MICs in the range of those previously reported [9–12]. Without 288 exception they displayed a wild-type ORDR-A melting curve profile with the qPCRFtgyrA 289 assay in agreement with wild-type QRDR-A and QRDR-B sequences. Because 11 (26.2%) out of the 42 group A patients suffered from treatment failures and relapses during the course 290 291 of their disease, our results clearly show that the clinical response to antibiotic treatment 292 could not be predicted by antibiotic resistance in the infecting F. tularensis strains. The 293 reservoir of *F. tularensis* tularaemia is mainly represented by wild fauna, including hares,

small rodents and ticks [3]. This reservoir is usually not in contact with antibiotics, especiallysynthetic ones such as the FQs.

296

297 The second hypothesis, based on the *in vivo* selection of FQ-resistant mutants of *F. tularensis*, 298 was evaluated by testing 82 clinical samples collected from 70 tularaemia cases for diagnostic 299 confirmation and/or because of the need for surgical removal of suppurated tissues. Here, to 300 check for the presence of resistant strains in patients in the absence of growing bacteria, we 301 optimized a new molecular tool allowing amplification and sequencing of the DNA gyrase 302 regions associated with FQ resistance in Francisella strains [24-26]. Using a similar 303 approach, we recently demonstrated the *in vivo* selection of FQ-resistant mutants of 304 Legionella pneumophila in legionellosis patients with poor clinical response to these 305 antibiotics [37]. Detection of F. tularensis gyrA83 or gyrA87 mutations was more likely in 306 clinical samples from group B1 compared to group B2 patients, because only the former 307 received a FQ treatment before sampling. All these samples contained F. tularensis subsp. 308 holarctica DNA, as evidenced by a positive qPCR targeting the ISFtu2 and amplification and 309 sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic region [7]. FQ treatment failures or relapses were 310 recorded in 15 group B1 patients and 16 group B2 patients. Altogether, the qPCRFtgyrA 311 melting profiles correspond to a wild-type QRDR-A for 72 (87.8%) of the 82 clinical 312 samples, including 32 samples from patients experiencing FQ treatment failure or relapse. We 313 found bimodal melting curves for samples containing small amounts of F. tularensis DNA 314 while a single-peak profiles suggestive of wild-type QRDR-A alleles was observed using a 315 nested-PCR protocol. Because the qPCRFtgyrA assay could detect fewer than 10% gyrA 316 QRDR mutants in a mixed F. tularensis wild type/mutated population, the presence of such 317 mutants in tissue samples at a clinically significant level was unlikely. We further evaluated 318 the presence of QRDR mutations in 16 clinical samples from 14 group B1 patients, but only

319 wild-type genotypes were detected. Altogether, our results show that, in contrast to bacteria 320 exposed to FQs in vitro, the F. tularensis genomic DNA from tissue samples of treated 321 patients is devoid of QRDR mutations. This strongly suggests that treatment failures and 322 relapses observed in tularaemia patients treated with these antibiotics are not resulting from 323 mutational events within the FQ hot-spot target DNA gyrase. We recently evidenced that the 324 in vitro exposure of F. tularensis to FQ induce mutations in DNA gyrase residues located 325 outside QRDR [24], but also in other genomic target (unpublished data) that merits further 326 investigations. Also, efflux pumps have been recently described in F. tularensis [38], and 327 their potential role in antibiotic resistance should be evaluated. Further experiments are thus 328 needed to explore all these possibilities before claiming that antibiotic resistance does not 329 occur in vivo in F. tularensis.

330 Interestingly, among our collection of 42 clinical strains of F. tularensis obtained during the 331 2006-2016 period, only 3 isolates (two for group A and one for group B1 patients) were 332 obtained after FQ therapy, representing 7.1% of the isolated strains but only 0.75% of the 400 333 tularaemia cases reported to the InVS during the same period. This confirms that the 334 administration of FQs in tularaemia patients is likely to compromise further cultivation of F. 335 tularensis. This may not result from the presence of FQs in the tested clinical samples since 336 many of them were collected several weeks after withdrawal of the antibiotic therapy. It could 337 also be speculated that FQ exposure induces changes in bacterial cell structure or in metabolic 338 activity leading to persistent or dormant bacteria [39]. A low metabolic activity and dormancy 339 in bacteria have been previously associated with reduced susceptibility to antibiotics. The 340 failure to cultivate resistant strains from human samples was emphasized by Sandoz et al. [40] 341 for *Chlamydia* species, and the authors suggested that it can result from a low recovery rate of 342 bacteria from various infection sites as well as from a slower growth or the potential 343 instability of resistant isolates in vitro. In accordance with these hypotheses, culture of F.

344 *tularensis* from lymph node tissue collected in patients with chronic suppurated

345 lymphadenopathy remain most often negative, although huge amount of DNA of this

346 pathogen is often detected in these samples by quantitative real-time PCR (unpublished data).

347

348 CONCLUSIONS

349 Our aim was to explore the possible detection of F. tularensis DNA gyrase mutants in 350 tularaemia patients, especially in case of treatment failures and relapses after FQ treatment. 351 Because a F. tularensis isolation is rare and usually obtained before antibiotic treatment 352 administration, our strategy was mainly based upon molecular testing of clinical samples 353 collected in tularaemia patients during the course of their disease. In contrast to what was 354 observed in vitro [24] these results show that DNA gyrase mutants could not be detected in 355 vivo, including in patients with FQ treatment failure. Our study shows that DNA gyrase 356 mutations that are major determinants in FQ resistance in most other bacterial species, are 357 unlikely to explain treatment failures in tularaemia patients. Further hypotheses should be 358 explored, including other genetic mechanisms leading to FQ resistance, but also other in vivo 359 alterations of F. tularensis phenotypes (reduced metabolism, dormancy) that may be 360 associated with antibiotic resistance.

361

362

364 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

365 The authors acknowledge the Institut National de Veille Sanitaire and the Direction Générale

de l'Armement for funding. They also thank Linda Northrup for editing the manuscript.

367

368 FUNDING

This work was supported by the Institut National de Veille Sanitaire (InVS) and the Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA, grant number 07CO301). The funding sources had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the

372 report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

373

374 TRANSPARENCY DECLARATIONS

375 None to declare.

376

377 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

378 All authors designed the experiments and participated in data acquisition, analysis and

379 interpretation; MM, VS and PR drafted the article, and all authors made an important

380 contribution in revising it and approved its final version; VS founded the bacterial lineages

381 from the LVS strain; VS and GH developed the qPCRFtgyrA assay and tested the clinical

382 strains and human samples; VS and YC performed antibiotic susceptibility testing; VS and

383 YC performed PCR amplification and DNA sequencing experiments.

385 **REFERENCES**

- World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on tularaemia. WHO Press, Geneva,
 Switzerland; 2007.
- 388 [2] CDC Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL) 5th Edition.
 389 2015.
- Sjöstedt A. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical
 manifestations. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007;1105:1–29.
- Hepburn MJ, Simpson AJH. Tularemia: current diagnosis and treatment options. Expert
 Rev Anti Infect Ther 2008;6:231–40.
- Tärnvik A, Chu MC. New approaches to diagnosis and therapy of tularemia. Ann N Y
 Acad Sci 2007;1105:378–404.
- Evans ME, Gregory DW, Schaffner W, McGee ZA. Tularemia: a 30-year experience
 with 88 cases. Medicine (Baltimore) 1985;64:251–69.
- 398 [7] Maurin M, Pelloux I, Brion JP, Del Banõ J-N, Picard A. Human tularemia in France,
 399 2006-2010. Clin Infect Dis 2011;53:e133-141.
- 400 [8] Feldman KA, Enscore RE, Lathrop SL, Matyas BT, McGuill M, Schriefer ME, et al. An
 401 outbreak of primary pneumonic tularemia on Martha's Vineyard. N Engl J Med
 402 2001;345:1601-6.
- 403 [9] Johansson A, Urich SK, Chu MC, Sjöstedt A, Tärnvik A. *In vitro* susceptibility to
 404 quinolones of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis*. Scand J Infect Dis
 405 2002;34:327–30.
- 406 [10] Urich SK, Petersen JM. *In vitro* susceptibility of isolates of *Francisella tularensis* types
 407 A and B from North America. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008;52:2276–8.
- 408 [11] Yesilyurt M, Kiliç S, Celebi B, Celik M, Gül S, Erdogan F, et al. Antimicrobial
 409 susceptibilities of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains isolated from humans
 410 in the Central Anatolia region of Turkey. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;66:2588–92.
- 411 [12] Origgi FC, Frey J, Pilo P. Characterisation of a new group of *Francisella tularensis*412 subsp. *holarctica* in Switzerland with altered antimicrobial susceptibilities, 1996 to
 413 2013. Euro Surveill 2014;19.
- 414 [13] Maurin M, Mersali NF, Raoult D. Bactericidal activities of antibiotics against
 415 intracellular *Francisella tularensis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000;44:3428–31.
- 416 [14] Sutera V, Caspar Y, Boisset S, Maurin M. A new dye uptake assay to test the activity of
 417 antibiotics against intracellular *Francisella tularensis*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol
 418 2014;4:36.
- [15] Nelson M, Lever MS, Dean RE, Pearce PC, Stevens DJ, Simpson AJH. Bioavailability
 and efficacy of levofloxacin against *Francisella tularensis* in the common marmoset
 (*Callithrix jacchus*). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010;54:3922–6.
- 422 [16] Piercy T, Steward J, Lever MS, Brooks TJG. *In vivo* efficacy of fluoroquinolones
 423 against systemic tularaemia infection in mice. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;56:1069–
 424 73.
- [17] Rotem S, Bar-Haim E, Cohen H, Elia U, Ber R, Shafferman A, et al. Consequences of
 delayed ciprofloxacin and doxycycline treatment regimens against *Francisella tularensis*airway infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;56:5406–8.
- 428 [18] Weber IB, Turabelidze G, Patrick S, Griffith KS, Kugeler KJ, Mead PS. Clinical
 429 recognition and management of tularemia in Missouri: a retrospective records review of
 430 121 cases. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55:1283–90.
- 431 [19] Johansson A, Berglund L, Sjöstedt A, Tärnvik A. Ciprofloxacin for treatment of
 432 tularemia. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:267–8.

- 433 [20] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tularemia Missouri, 2000-2007.
 434 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009;58:744–8.
- 435 [21] Pérez-Castrillón JL, Bachiller-Luque P, Martín-Luquero M, Mena-Martín FJ, Herreros
 436 V. Tularemia epidemic in northwestern Spain: clinical description and therapeutic
 437 response. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:573–6.
- 438 [22] Meric M, Willke A, Finke E-J, Grunow R, Sayan M, Erdogan S, et al. Evaluation of
 439 clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic features of 145 tularemia cases: the role of
 440 quinolones in oropharyngeal tularemia. APMIS 2008;116:66–73.
- [23] Redgrave LS, Sutton SB, Webber MA, Piddock LJV. Fluoroquinolone resistance:
 mechanisms, impact on bacteria, and role in evolutionary success. Trends Microbiol 2014;22:438–45.
- 444 [24] Sutera V, Levert M, Burmeister WP, Schneider D, Maurin M. Evolution toward high445 level fluoroquinolone resistance in *Francisella* species. J Antimicrob Chemother
 446 2013;69:101-10.
- 447 [25] Loveless BM, Yermakova A, Christensen DR, Kondig JP, Heine HS 3rd, Wasieloski LP,
 448 et al. Identification of ciprofloxacin resistance by SimpleProbe, High Resolution Melt
 449 and Pyrosequencing nucleic acid analysis in biothreat agents: *Bacillus anthracis*,
 450 *Yersinia pestis* and *Francisella tularensis*. Mol Cell Probes 2010;24:154–60.
- [26] La Scola B, Elkarkouri K, Li W, Wahab T, Fournous G, Rolain J-M, et al. Rapid
 comparative genomic analysis for clinical microbiology: the *Francisella tularensis*paradigm. Genome Res 2008;18:742–50.
- 454 [27] Hotta A, Fujita O, Uda A, Sharma N, Tanabayashi K, Yamamoto Y, et al. *In vitro*455 antibiotic susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* isolates from Japan. Jpn J Infect Dis
 456 2013;66:534–6.
- 457 [28] Georgi E, Schacht E, Scholz HC, Splettstoesser WD. Standardized broth microdilution
 458 antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains
 459 from Europe and rare Francisella species. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67:2429–33.
- 460 [29] Kreizinger Z, Makrai L, Helyes G, Magyar T, Erdélyi K, Gyuranecz M. Antimicrobial
 461 susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains from Hungary, Central
 462 Europe. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013;68:370–3.
- 463 [30] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial
 464 Susceptibility Testing. CLSI document M 100-S20. Wayne, PA, USA; 2010.
- 465 [31] Tomaso H, Al Dahouk S, Hofer E, Splettstoesser WD, Treu TM, Dierich MP, et al.
 466 Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Austrian *Francisella tularensis holarctica* biovar II
 467 strains. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2005;26:279–84.
- 468 [32] Gestin B, Valade E, Thibault F, Schneider D, Maurin M. Phenotypic and genetic
 469 characterization of macrolide resistance in *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*470 biovar I. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65:2359–67.
- 471 [33] Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, et al. The MIQE
 472 guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR
 473 experiments. Clin Chem 2009;55:611–22.
- 474 [34] Weile J, Seibold E, Knabbe C, Kaufmann M, Splettstoesser W. Treatment of tularemia
 475 in patient with chronic graft-versus-host disease. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:771–3.
- 476 [35] Mailles A, Vaillant V. 10 years of surveillance of human tularaemia in France. Euro
 477 Surveill 2014;19:20956.
- 478 [36] Ruiz J. Mechanisms of resistance to quinolones: target alterations, decreased
 479 accumulation and DNA gyrase protection. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;51:1109–17.
- 480 [37] Sandoz KM, Rockey DD. Antibiotic resistance in Chlamydiae. Future Microbiol

- 482 [38] Shadoud L, Almahmoud I, Jarraud S, Etienne J, Larrat S, Schwebel C, et al. Hidden
 483 Selection of Bacterial Resistance to Fluoroquinolones *In Vivo*: The Case of *Legionella*484 *pneumophila* and Humans. EBioMedicine 2015;2:1179–85.
- 485 [39] Kell D, Potgieter M, Pretorius E. Individuality, phenotypic differentiation, dormancy
 486 and "persistence" in culturable bacterial systems: commonalities shared by
- 487 environmental, laboratory, and clinical microbiology. F1000Research 2015;4:179.
- 488 [40] Bina XR, Lavine CL, Miller MA, Bina JE. The AcrAB RND efflux system from the live
 489 vaccine strain of Francisella tularensis is a multiple drug efflux system that is required
- 490 for virulence in mice. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2008;279:226–33.
- 491

493	Figure 1. Nucleotide (gyrA and gyrB) and amino acid (GyrA and GyrB) sequences of the
494	QRDR of sub-units A and B of DNA gyrase, respectively. F. tularensis subsp. holarctica
495	LVS (FthLVS) is taken as a FQs-susceptible reference strain. Fth1P14 and Fth2P14 are FQs-
496	resistant gyrA mutants derived from F. tularensis LVS strain. Samples correspond to the 42 F.
497	tularensis isolates and 16 clinical samples from 14 group B1 patients for which the QRDRA
498	and QRDRB were determined. Hot spots for FQs resistance are indicated for GyrA and for
499	GyrB in E. coli. FthLVS mutants were previously described by our team [14] but do not
500	correspond to known hot spot positions for FQ resistance.

	avrA	FTHLVS	GTCCATCGTCGTGTACTTTTTGCAATGAATGAACTATCAAATTATTACAATAGACCATAT
	91	Patients	GTCCATCGTCGTGTACTTTTTGCAATGAATGAACTATCAAATTATTACAATAGACCATAT
		Fth1P14	GTCCATCGTCGTGTACTTTTTGCAATGAATGAACTATCAAATTATTACAATAGACCATAT
		Fth2P14	GTCCATCGTCGTGTACTTTTTGCAATGAATGAACTATCAAATTATTACAATAGACCATAT
		FTHLVS	AAAAAGTCTGCAAGGGTTGTCGGTGATGTAATCGGTAAATATCACCCTCATGGAGATACA
		Patients	AAAAAGTCTGCAAGGGTTGTCGGTGATGTAATCGGTAAATATCACCCTCATGGAGATACA
		Fth1P14	AAAAAGTCTGCAAGGGTTGTCGGTGATGTAATCGGTAAATATCACCCTCATGGAGATATA
		Fth2P14	AAAAAGTCTGCAAGGGTTGTCGGTGATGTAATCGGTAAATATCACCCTCATGGAGATAAA
		FTHLVS	GCTGTTTACGATACTATTGTCAGAATGGCACAACCTTTTTCATTGCGCTAT
		Patients	GCTGTTTACGATACTATTGTCAGAATGGCACAACCTTTTTCATTGCGCTAT
		Fth1P14	GCTGTTTACGATACTATTGTCAGAATGGCACAACCTTTTTCATTGCGCTAT
		Fth2P14	GCTGTTTACTATACTATTGTCAGAATGGCACAACCTTTTTCATTGCGCTAT
	GyrA	FTHLVS	VHRRVLFAMNELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDTAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRY
		Patients	VHRRVLFAMNELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDTAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRY
		Fth1P14	VHRRVLFAMNELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDIAVYDTIVRMAQPFSLRY
		Fth2P14	VHRRVLFAMNELSNYYNRPYKKSARVVGDVIGKYHPHGDKAVYYTIVRMAQPFSLRY
			Gura83 Gura87
			GYIROS GYIRO/
	gyrB	FTHLVS	GAGGGAGACTCTGCAGGAGGTTCAGCAAAGCAGGCTCGTGATCGTAAAACGCAGGCGATT
		Patients	GAGGGAGACTCTGCAGGAGGTTCAGCAAAGCAGGCTCGTGATCGTAAAACGCAGGCGATT
		FTHLVS	TTGCCACTAAAAGGTAAGATTCTCAATGTTGAGAAAGCACGTTTTGATAAAATGCTTGGT
		Patients	TTGCCACTAAAAGGTAAGATTCTCAATGTTGAGAAAGCACGTTTTGATAAAATGCTTGGT
		FTHLVS	TCTCAAGAGGTAGCTACGCTCATTAAAGCTCTAGGTTGTGG
		Patients	TCTCAAGAGGTAGCTACGCTCATTAAAGCTCTAGGTTGTGG
	GyrB	FTHLVS	EGDSAGGSAKOARDRKTOAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLGSOEVATLIKALGC
		Patients	EGDSAGGSAKQARDRKTQAILPLKGKILNVEKARFDKMLGSQEVATLIKALGC
502			E. COLL NOT SPOTS FULLYS MUTANTS
503			

- **Table 1.** Distribution of tularaemia patients from groups A, B1 and B2, according to sex, age,
- 505 clinical forms and collected samples.

Patient groups		Α	B 1	B2
Number, sex ratio		42, 3.7	15, 1.1	55, 1.1
Mean age, SD (yea	ars)	60.6, 18.5	48.3, 17.8	52.7, 18.2
	Ulceroglandular	12	6	16
	Glandular	3	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{B1} \\ 15, 1.1 \\ 48.3, 17.8 \\ \hline 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	19
Clinical form	Oropharyngeal	7	1	15
Chinical Iolini	Oculoglandular	$\begin{array}{c cccc} & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline & & 2 & & 1 \\ \hline & & 8 & & 1 \\ \hline & & 10 & & 1 \\ \hline & tissue & 6 & 9 \\ \hline & suppuration & 0 & 9 \\ \hline & tissue & 4 & 0 \\ \hline & tiste & $	1	3
	Pneumonic	8	1	2
	Typhoidal	10	$ \begin{array}{r} 15, 1.1 \\ 5 48.3, 17.8 \\ \hline 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	0
	Lymph node tissue	6	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	39
	Lymph node suppuration	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	
	Skin ulcer exudate	4	0	1
	Subcutaneous abscess	A D1 $42, 3.7$ $15, 1.1$ $60.6, 18.5$ $48.3, 17.8$ 12 6 3 5 7 1 2 1 8 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 11 0 2 0 11 0 12 0 11 0 12 0 11 0 12 0 11 0 17 <	0	
Clinical sample	Psoas abscess		0	
for isolation or	Pharyngeal exudate	3	0	5
DNA detection	Ear exudate	2	0	0
of F. tularensis	Conjunctival exudate	2	0	1
	Pleural fluid	2	0	1
	Cerebrospinal fluid	1	0	0
	Blood	17	0	0
	Serum	0	1	2
Fluoroquinolone tr	reatment before sampling	2/42	15/15	0/55
Fluoroquinolone tr	reatment failure or relapse	2/42	15/15	16/55

510 Table 2: Fluoroquinolone MICs (mg/L) for *F. tularensis* strains tested in this study.

Strains	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Moxifloxacin
<i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> live vaccine strain (FthLVS)	0.016	0.016	0.032
Francisella novicida strain CIP 56.12	0.064	0.064	0.125
Fth1P14 (<i>gyrA83</i> mutation, T83I amino acid change)	32	32	32
Fth2P14 (<i>gyrA83</i> and <i>gyrA87</i> mutations, T83K and D87Y amino acid changes)	32	32	64
Fno1P14 (<i>gyrA87</i> mutation, D87Y amino acid change)	32	32	64
5 LVS-derived lineages with <i>gyrA83</i> mutation (MICp)	0.25–1		
5 LVS-derived lineages with gyrA87 mutation (MICp)	0.125–0.5		
42 clinical strains of <i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i>	0.016-0.064	0.032–0.064	0.125–0.25

513 Table 3. Strains outside the *Francisella* genus and used in this study for qPCRFtgyrA

514 specificity testing.

	Groups (n)	Species
	Staphylococcus (13)	Staphylococcus aureus, S. warneri, S. sciuri, S. epidermidis, S. caprae, S.
		lugdunensis, S. capitis, S. auricularis, S. schleiferi, S. hominis, S.
		haemolyticus, S. cohnii and S. intermedius
	Streptococcus (3)	Streptococcus pneumoniae, S. pyogenes and S. mitis
	Enterobacteriaceae (4)	Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis and Serratia
		marcescens
	Mycobacterium (6)	Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. avium, M. intracellulare, M. abscessus, M.
		gordonae and M. xenopi
	Others (8)	Enterococcus faecalis, Corynebacterium striatum, Micrococcus luteus,
		Propionibacterium acnes, Bacillus subtilis, Haemophilus influenza,
		Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Bartonella henselae
516		
517		
518		
519		
520		
520		
521		
522		

Name	DNA sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	Target gene,	Annealing
		amplicon size	temperature
Fth/Fno gyrA1-Fw*	aggaaaatctggagctggaa	- $wr4$ 740 hp	50 5 °C
Fth/Fno gyrA1-Rv*	tgaatggattgctaaagagtgc	<i>gyrA</i> , 740 op	50.5 C
Fth/Fno gyrB3-Fw	agctteteaggataegtt	aur P 773 hp	49 °C
Fth/Fno gyrB3-Rv		- <i>дугы</i> , 775 ор	
qPCRFtgyrA-Fw	ccatcgtcgtgtactttttgc		
qPCRFtgyrA-Rv	ggagaatcaccatcaacagaacc	4 210 h	
qPCRFtgyrA Anchor probe	gggttgtcggtgatgtaatcggtaaatatc-Fluorescein	- gyrA, 219 bp	60 °C
qPCRFtgyrA Sensor probe	LC640-cctcatggagatacagctgtttacgatacta-P	_	
Fth gyrB-Fw1232	gccaagaaaaagatccggca	D 425 h	63°C
Fth gyrB-Rv1596	attetgecaaageatetteatett	<i>- gyrB</i> , 435 бр	
*: Fw and Rv stand fo	r forward and reverse primers, respectively.		

528 **Table 5: Interpretative criteria used for detection of QRDR-A mutations, as determined**

	FthLVS	Fth1P14	Fth2P14	Fno1P14
Mean Tm (°C)	67.4	62.9	58.5	65.4
Standard deviation	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Lower interpretative Tm*	66.5	62	57.7	64.5
Higher interpretative Tm*	68.4	63.7	59.4	66.2

529 in 12 independent replicates.

530 * Lower and higher interpretative Tm correspond to mean Tm \pm 3 SD.
4. NOUVEAUX COMPOSES DE SYNTHESE ANTIBACTERIENS DE STRUCTURE BIS-INDOLIQUE

4.1 Publication 5 : Bis-indolic compounds as potential new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia

La dernière partie de cette thèse a pour but de rechercher de nouveaux composés antibactériens actifs vis-à-vis de F. tularensis, non encore commercialisés, afin de pouvoir identifier des molécules potentiellement plus efficaces que les traitements actuels, permettant de réduire les taux d'échecs thérapeutiques observés. La recherche de molécules possédant une structure différente des antibiotiques actuellement disponibles en thérapeutique est privilégiée afin de mettre en évidence une nouvelle classe d'antibiotiques et de ne pas être soumis aux mécanismes de résistances bactériens existants. Dans ce contexte, une collaboration fructueuse avec le Département de Chimie Moléculaire (DCM) de l'Université Grenoble Alpes, nous a permis d'identifier de nouveaux composés de synthèse de structure bis-indolique possédant une activité antibactérienne. Ces composés bromés présentent une structure originale proche de celles d'alcaloïdes isolés d'éponges marines de la classe des spongotines, des topsentines et des hamacanthines. (252) Les composés testés ont été obtenus suite au développement par le DCM de voies de rétrosynthèse des composés naturels précédemment cités, afin d'en obtenir de plus fortes quantités que celles qui sont extractibles à partir des éponges marines. (252-254) Ces développements ont fait l'objet de trois brevets d'invention. (255–257)



Figure 13 : Structures des Hamacanthines, Topsentines et Spongotines (D'après Caspar, 2013 (252))

Des modifications structurales du noyau bis-indolique de base, relié par une fonction amide ou alpha-céto-amide, a conduit aux 4 composés évalués dans cette étude. L'activité de ces composés a été évaluée par la mesure de leur CMI selon les techniques de référence en microdilution en milieu liquide dans du bouillon de Mueller Hinton ajusté en cation et supplémenté de 2% de Polyvitex [®] vis-à-vis de 41 souches de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* de la collection du CNR des *Francisella* ainsi que vis-à-vis d'une souche de référence de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* LVS, de *F. novicida* et de *F. philomiragia*. La mesure de leur concentration minimale bactéricide et la détermination de leur cinétique de bactéricide sur 48h ont également été réalisées, en comparaison avec un antibiotique bactéricide, la gentamicine, et un antibiotique bactériostatique, la doxycycline.



Bis-indolic compounds as potential new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia

Yvan Caspar^{1,2}*, Vivien Sutera^{1,2}, Sandrine Boisset^{1,2}, Jean-Noël Denis³ and Max Maurin^{1,2}

¹ Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble-1, Grenoble, France

² Laboratoire Adaptation et Pathogénie des Micro-organismes, UMR-5163 CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble-1, Grenoble, France

³ Département de Chimie Moléculaire (SeRCO), UMR-5250 CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble-1, Grenoble, France

Edited by:

Anders Sjostedt, Umeå University, Sweden

Reviewed by:

Lee-Ann H. Allen, University of Iowa, USA Joseph Horzempa, West Liberty University, USA

*Correspondence:

Yvan Caspar, Laboratoire Adaptation et Pathogénie des Microorganismes, Institut Jean Roget, UMR-5163 CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble-1, Domaine de la Merci, BP 170, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France e-mail: ycaspar@chu-grenoble.fr Francisella tularensis is the etiological agent of tularaemia and a CDC class A biological threat agent. Few antibiotic classes are currently useful in treating tularaemia, including the aminoglycosides gentamicin and streptomycin, fluoroquinolones, and tetracyclines. However, treatment failures and relapses remain frequent and F. tularensis strains resistant to antibiotics have been easily selected in vitro. In this study, we evaluated the activity of new synthetic bis-indole derivatives against this pathogen. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of four compounds (dcm01 to dcm04) were determined for the reference strains F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS NCTC10857, F. tularensis subsp. novicida CIP56.12 and F. philomiragia ATCC25015, and for 41 clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica isolated in France. Minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) were determined for the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds for the LVS and two clinical strains. Killing curves were also determined for the same three strains exposed to dcm04. All tested bis-indole compounds were bacteriostatic against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains, with a MIC₉₀ of $8 \mu g/mL$ for dcm01, dcm02, and dcm03, and $2 \mu g/mL$ for dcm04. Only one strain was resistant to both dcm01 and dcm03, with MICs $> 32 \,\mu$ g/mL. In contrast, F. tularensis subsp. novicida was resistant to all derivatives and F. philomiragia was only susceptible to dcm02 and dcm04, with MICs of 16 and 4 µg/mL, respectively. MBC and killing curve experiments revealed significant bactericidal activity (i.e., 3-log reduction of the bacterial inoculum) of the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds only for the LVS strain. In conclusion, we have identified novel synthetic bis-indole compounds that are active against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. They may be drug candidates for the development of new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia treatment. Their further characterization is needed, especially identification of their bacterial targets.

Keywords: tularaemia, Francisella tularensis, bis-indolic compounds, antibacterial activity

INTRODUCTION

Francisella tularensis, the agent of the zoonosis tularaemia, may cause severe to fatal human infections. This intracellular, Gramnegative bacterium is highly infectious for humans and many animal species. No human-to-human transmission has been described so far and human infection may occur through direct contact with infected animals, ingestion of contaminated meat or water, arthropod bites, contact with contaminated environments and laboratory exposure to F. tularensis cultures (Dennis et al., 2001; Maurin et al., 2011). F. tularensis is a class A biological threat agent according to the CDC (Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA). The highly virulent F. tularensis subsp. tularensis strains (Jellison type A) are located in North America, whereas F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains (Jellison type B) are found throughout the northern hemisphere. In Europe, tularaemia cases are often sporadic (Maurin et al., 2011), but outbreaks have recently been reported in many countries, including in Spain, Norway and Sweden (Pérez-Castrillón et al., 2001; Larssen et al., 2011; Rydén et al., 2012). The first-line therapy of tularaemia is based on a reduced number of antibiotics,

including the aminoglycosides (gentamicin and streptomycin), the tetracyclines (e.g., doxycycline), and the fluoroquinolones (e.g., ciprofloxacin) (Johansson et al., 2002). Treatment duration is usually 7–10 days for gentamicin and ciprofloxacin, and 2–3 weeks for doxycycline. However, high rates of failure and relapse are observed in tularaemia patients, especially when treatment is delayed and/or lymph node suppuration occurs (Rotem et al., 2012).

The aminoglycosides such as gentamicin and streptomycin have a bactericidal activity against *F. tularensis in vitro*, and their use in tularaemia patients is associated with almost 100% cure rates (Kaya et al., 2011; Rotem et al., 2012). However, they are nephro- and ototoxic and can only be administrated parenterally (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). Gentamicin is currently used in many countries where streptomycin is no longer available. However, treatment failures with this antibiotic have recently been reported in 11 paediatric patients with oropharyngeal tularaemia in Turkey, with successful recovery after switching to streptomycin (Kaya et al., 2011). Doxycycline can be administrated orally, with few side effects. However, the tetracyclines are contraindicated in

children under 8 years of age and in pregnant women because of the risk of permanent staining of the dental enamel and bone toxicity in the foetus (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007; Kava et al., 2011). Treatment with this bacteriostatic antibiotic is associated with higher relapse rates as compared to aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones, especially when treatment is delayed and/or of short duration (Dennis et al., 2001; Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). Doxycycline is administered for a minimum of 14 days (Dennis et al., 2001; Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). The fluoroquinolones (especially ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin) are preferred as first-line drugs for treatment of tularaemia cases of mild to moderate severity (Johansson et al., 2002). They are bactericidal against F. tularensis in vitro, orally administrable, and have few side effects. They can be administrated to young children but not to pregnant women (Johansson et al., 2000; Dennis et al., 2001; Tärnvik and Chu, 2007; Kaya et al., 2011). Ciprofloxacin is recommended as first-line drug in case F. tularensis is used as a biological weapon (Dennis et al., 2001; Rotem et al., 2012).

Other antibiotics such as the beta-lactams, the macrolides, cotrimoxazole, chloramphenicol, and rifampicin are not recommended for treatment of tularaemia. Beta-lactams are not effective both because they are inactivated by the class A betalactamase produced by F. tularensis (Antunes et al., 2012) and they are poorly effective against the intracellular form of this pathogen (Maurin et al., 2000). The macrolides are considered unreliable for treatment of tularaemia because most F. tularensis strains have natural high-level resistance to these antibiotics. Only azithromycin may be a possible alternative in pregnant women infected with type B biovar I strains (Dentan et al., 2013). Chloramphenicol and cotrimoxazole are poorly effective in vitro and potentially associated with severe side effects (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007). Rifampicin is active against F. tularensis in vitro, but its use as a monotherapy is usually associated with rapid selection of resistant mutants.

No natural strains of F. tularensis with acquired resistance to gentamicin, fluoroquinolones or doxycycline have been isolated so far. However, in vitro experiments have shown that mutants resistant to fluoroquinolones, rifampicin or macrolides can be selected easily (Tärnvik and Chu, 2007; Gestin et al., 2010; Sutera et al., 2014). At present, treatment failures and relapses are considered to be primarily related to delayed administration of appropriate antibiotic therapy rather than in vivo selection of antibiotic-resistant mutants (Dennis et al., 2001; Johansson et al., 2002; Kaya et al., 2011; Rotem et al., 2012). However, the bioengineering of genetically modified strains of F. tularensis resistant to first-line drugs for use as a biological warfare agent is a major concern. Thus, innovative antibiotics with original structures and bacterial targets, active against this highly virulent pathogen, would be beneficial not only to improve treatment efficacy in tularaemia patients, but also to reinforce our preparedness against the misuse of antibiotic-resistant F. tularensis strains.

We recently identified synthetic bis-indole derivatives as new antistaphylococcal compounds with preserved activity against multi-drug resistant strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*, including MRSA strains (Denis et al., 2013a,b). In this study, we evaluated the activity of four of the leading compounds against clinical isolates of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BIS-INDOLIC COMPOUNDS AND ANTIBIOTICS

The four bis-indolic compounds evaluated in this study (dcm01, dcm02, dcm03, and dcm04) were synthesized by the DCM (Département de Chimie Moléculaire, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble-1, Grenoble, France) according to previously published protocols (Denis et al., 2013a,b). The structures of the tested bis-indole compounds are presented on **Figure 1**. We also used gentamicin (Panpharma, Fougères, France) and doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France) as controls. Stock solutions of the bis-indolic compounds were prepared at 12.8 g/L in 100% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France) and stock solutions of gentamicin and doxycycline were prepared in sterile distilled water. All were kept frozen at -80° C until used.

BACTERIAL STRAINS

All experiments were conducted in a biosafety level 3 laboratory. The use of F. tularensis strains was carried out under the approval of ANSM (Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé). We tested 41 isolates (Ft1-Ft41) of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica identified to the subspecies level in our laboratory (French reference center for Francisella) by amplification and sequencing of the intergenic 16S-23S rRNA region (Maurin et al., 2011). Four isolates were obtained from dead hares and the 37 others from human samples (Table 1). The clinical strains corresponded to independent and sporadic tularaemia cases occurring throughout France between 2004 and 2013 (Maurin et al., 2011). We also tested reference strains including F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS NCTC10857, F. tularensis subsp. novicida CIP56.12 and F. philomiragia ATCC25015. S. aureus ATCC29213 was tested as a control strain susceptible to the tested bis-indole compounds (Denis et al., 2013a,b). The reference bacterial strains were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Mannasas, VA, USA) or the Collection of the Pasteur Institute (CIP, Centre de Ressource Biologique de l'Institut Pasteur, Paris, France). All strains are kept frozen in cryotubes (MastDiagnostic, Amiens, France) at -80°C. When needed, they are grown on chocolate agar supplemented



FIGURE 1 | Structures of the four bis-indole compounds.

Table 1 Sources of the 41 isolates of F. tularensis subsp. holarctic	ca
(Ft1 to Ft41) used in this study.	

Strain	Host	Year of isolation	Clinical sample
Ft1	Animal	UNK	Hare tissue
Ft2	Animal	UNK	Hare tissue
Ft3	Animal	UNK	Hare tissue
Ft4	Animal	UNK	Hare tissue
Ft5	Human	2004	Blood culture
Ft6	Human	2007	Blood culture
Ft7	Human	2006	Conjunctivitis
Ft8	Human	2007	Cutaneous ulcer
Ft9	Human	2007	Blood culture
Ft10	Human	2008	Mediastinal lymph node
Ft11	Human	2008	Pharynx
Ft12	Human	2008	Pharynx
Ft13	Human	2008	Pharynx
Ft14	Human	2008	Blood culture
Ft15	Human	2008	Cerebrospinal fluid
Ft16	Human	2008	UNK
Ft17	Human	2008	UNK
Ft18	Human	2008	Blood culture
Ft19	Human	2008	Blood culture
Ft20	Human	2008	Skin ulcer
Ft21	Human	2008	Conjunctivitis
Ft22	Human	2009	Whitlow
Ft23	Human	2009	Middle ear
Ft24	Human	2009	Lymph node
Ft25	Human	2010	Blood culture
Ft26	Human	2010	Blood culture
Ft27	Human	2010	Blood culture
Ft28	Human	2010	Lymph node
Ft29	Human	2010	Blood culture
Ft30	Human	2011	Lymph node
Ft31	Human	2011	UNK
Ft32	Human	2012	UNK
Ft33	Human	2011	Blood culture
Ft34	Human	2012	Blood culture
Ft35	Human	2012	Finger abscess
Ft36	Human	2012	UNK
Ft37	Human	2010	Blood culture
Ft38	Human	2012	Blood culture
Ft39	Human	2012	Whitlow
Ft40	Human	2012	Pleural fluid
Ft41	Human	2013	Pleural fluid

UNK, unknown.

with Polyvitex[®] (CHA-PVX medium, bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂-enriched atmosphere.

DETERMINATION OF THE MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATIONS

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the four bis-indolic compounds were determined against *S. aureus* ATCC29213, *F. philomiragia* ATCC25015 and all *F. tularensis* strains, using a broth microdilution method recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI;

M07-A8 Vol. 29, No. 2). Mueller-Hinton 2 broth supplemented with 2% PolyViteX® (MH2-PVX, bioMérieux, Marcy L'Etoile, France) was used as the antibiotic susceptibility testing medium for F. tularensis strains because of their fastidious nature. MH2 alone was used for other species. One row of a 96-well microtiter plate was filled with 75 µL of twofold serial dilutions of the tested bis-indolic compound in MH2-PVX medium, so as to obtain final bis-indolic concentrations ranging from 0.06 to 32 µg/mL in 0.5% DMSO. A bacterial inoculum (75 µL per well, 5×10^5 CFU/mL of final inoculum) was then added to each well. Antibiotic free cultures containing 0.5% DMSO were used as DMSO toxicity controls. MH2-PVX medium with 0.5% DMSO served as a negative control. Microplates were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The MICs were read after 18 h culture incubation for S. aureus ATCC29213 and 48 h for F. tularensis strains. MICs corresponded to the minimum bis-indolic compound concentration that allowed complete inhibition of visual growth of bacteria. Experiments were conducted at least twice to confirm results. Following the same procedure but without DMSO, the MICs of gentamicin and doxycycline were determined against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica Ft6 and Ft24 strains and the control strain S. aureus ATCC29213.

DETERMINATION OF THE MINIMUM BACTERICIDAL CONCENTRATIONS

Minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) were determined in triplicate experiments following CLSI recommendations (CLSI, M26-A, Vol. 19, No. 18), for the two most active compounds (dcm02 and dcm04) against three F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains: the LVS strain and the two clinical strains Ft6 and Ft24. We used the same microdilution broth method described for MIC determination, but the primary bacterial inoculum was 10⁶ CFU/mL. MBCs of gentamicin and doxycycline were determined in parallel as a bactericidal and a bacteriostatic control, respectively. After 48 h incubation at 37°C in a 5% CO2atmosphere, MBCs were determined by plating 50 µL of tenfold serial dilutions of the bacterial suspensions of wells with no visible growth, and of the antibiotic-free control well, onto CHA-PVX medium. CFU counts were determined after 72 h incubation of the plates at 37°C, in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The detection limit was 20 CFU/mL. The MBC corresponded to the minimal antibiotic concentration which resulted in at least 99.9% reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum (i.e., 3 log₁₀ reduction of bacterial titers).

TIME-KILL CURVES

Time-kill curves were determined for the LVS, Ft6, and Ft24 strains and the leading dcm04 compound. The primary inoculum calibrated at 10^6 CFU/mL was prepared in MH2-PVX medium and split into five 5-mL aliquots: one drug-free control; three others receiving 4, 8, and 16 times, respectively, the MIC of dcm04 for the tested strain, with 0.5% final concentration of DMSO in all three aliquots; and the last one receiving eight times the MIC of gentamicin for the tested strain, used as a positive control. Sterile MH2-PVX medium with 0.5% DMSO served as a negative control. Cultures were incubated 48 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. At 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h of incubation, a 50-µL aliquot was taken from

Bis-indole compound	F	<i>tularensis</i> subs	p. <i>holarctica</i>		subsp. <i>novicida</i>	F. philomiragia
		FT1 to Ft41		LVS	CIP 56.12	ATCC25015
	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC	MIC	MIC
dcm01	2->32	4	8	2	>32	>32
dcm02	4-8	4	8	2	32	16
dcm03	2->32	4	8	2	>32	>32
dcm04	2-4	2	2	1	>32	4

Table 2 | MICs (µg/mL) of Francisella strains for the four bis-indolic compounds: dcm01, dcm02, dcm03, and dcm04.

each culture after shaking. Then 50 μ L of ten-fold serial dilutions of each aliquot was plated on CHA-PVX medium. CFU counts were determined after 72 h incubation of the plates at 37°C in 5% CO₂. The detection limit was 20 CFU/mL. A 3-log₁₀ or more reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum at any incubation time was considered a significant bactericidal effect. Experiments were conducted at least twice to confirm the results.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A statistically significant decrease of viable bacterial counts in MBC assays was evaluated by one-tailed Student t-test using Statview[®] software. For each antibiotic concentration tested, we compared the bacterial count obtained after 48 h of incubation of cultures to the primary inoculum [i.e., log(N/N0)] and to a 3-log reduction cutoff. Significance was defined as a *p*-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

ALL TESTED SYNTHETIC BIS-INDOLIC COMPOUNDS ARE ACTIVE AGAINST F. TULARENSIS SUBSP. HOLARCTICA BUT NOT F. TULARENSIS SUBSP. NOVICIDA

MICs are represented in Tables 2, 3. Almost all F. tularensis strains tested were susceptible to the four bis-indole derivatives. In contrast, the Ft5 strain was susceptible to dcm02 and dcm04 (MIC = 8 and $2\mu g/mL$, respectively), but resistant to dcm01 and dcm03 (MICs > $32 \mu g/mL$). Dcm04 was the most active bis-indole compound with MICs ranging from 2 to 4µg/mL and a MIC90 of $2 \mu g/mL$. The MIC₉₀ of the three other compounds was 8 mg/L. It should be noted that prolonged incubation of cultures only increased MICs by one dilution for some compounds. In comparison, the MIC of gentamicin against the LVS, Ft6 and Ft24 strains was $0.25 \,\mu$ g/mL and the MIC of doxycycline was $0.125 \,\mu$ g/mL against LVS and 0.25 µg/mL against the Ft6 and Ft24 strains. As for control strains, the F. tularensis subsp. holartica LVS strain was susceptible to the four bis-indole derivatives with a MIC of 1-2 µg/mL. In contrast, the reference F. tularensis subsp. novicida strain and the reference F. philomiragia strain were more resistant to these compounds. MICs of all bis-indole derivatives were \geq 32 µg/mL for *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*, whereas dcm02 and dcm04 displayed lower MICs (16 and $4 \mu g/mL$, respectively) against F. philomiragia.

MBC DETERMINATION AND TIME-KILL STUDIES REVEALED A BACTERICIDAL ACTIVITY AGAINST THE LVS STRAIN BUT ONLY BACTERIOSTATIC ACTIVITY AGAINST THE Ft6 AND Ft24 STRAINS

The bactericidal activities of the bis-indole compounds, gentamicin and doxycycline, were determined for the LVS, Ft6, and Ft24 Table 3 | MICs (μ g/mL) and MBCs (μ g/mL) of the tree *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* strains used for time-kill studies and MBC determination.

Bacterial			MIC (MBC)	
strain	dcm02	dcm04	Gentamicin	Doxycycline
<i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> Ft6	4	2	0.25 (2)	0.25
<i>F. tularensis</i> subsp. <i>holarctica</i> Ft24	4	2	0.25 (2)	0.25
F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS	2 (4)	1 (4)	0.25 (2)	0.125

strains (**Figures 2, 3**). As expected, gentamicin displayed bactericidal activity against the three strains (> 3 log₁₀ reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum, p < 0.01), with MBCs of 1µg/mL for the LVS strain and 2µg/mL for Ft6 and Ft24 strains. As for doxycycline, a significant reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum was observed (i.e., between 1 and 2 log₁₀ at MIC × 64 for the LVS strain and MIC × 32 for the Ft6 and Ft24 strains; p < 0.01) but the 3-log reduction cutoff was not reached.

MBCs of the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds (4 µg/mL for both compounds) were only two or four times their respective MICs for the LVS strain (**Table 3**), respectively. In contrast, MBCs could not be determined for the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds against the Ft6 and Ft24 strains. Here again reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum was significant (2-log reduction at concentrations up to MIC × 8 for dcm02 and MIC × 16 for dcm04, *p* < 0.01) but did not reached the 3-log cutoff. Higher concentrations of these compounds could not be tested because of their poor solubility.

Time-kill studies revealed a 3-log₁₀ reduction of the primary inoculum of the LVS strain after 12 h incubation for gentamicin (MIC × 8) and 24 h for dcm04 (MIC × 4). As for dcm04, the same bactericidal kinetics were observed at 4, 8, and 16 times the MIC of this compound for the LVS strain. As for the Ft6 and Ft24 strains, we observed a progressive decrease of the bacterial load over the first 48 h of contact with dcm04 (**Figure 3**), but a 3-log₁₀ reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum was never reached. Thus, the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds were only bacteriostatic against the clinical strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*.



DISCUSSION

Among the currently developed therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia, two promising original classes of compounds have recently been identified. First, substituted diphenyl ethers have demonstrated potent inhibition of ftuFabI Enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (England et al., 2009). This enzyme, absent in human cells, plays a key role in the type II fatty acid biosynthesis and has proved to be a useful target for growth inhibition of various pathogens such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, S. aureus and Plasmodium falciparum (England et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2009; Hevener et al., 2012; Mehboob et al., 2012; Kingry et al., 2013). The leading compound SBPT04 has an MIC of 0.16 \pm 0.06 µg/mL against F. tularensis LVS and Schu4 strains and also has a bactericidal activity with a MBC of $0.25 \,\mu$ g/mL. In a murine model of F. tularensis infection, this compound cleared bacteria by day 4 of treatment, without any relapse the following 30 days post-treatment (England et al., 2009). Secondly, screening of a library of more than 1000 2,5,6- and 2,5,7-trisubstituted benzimidazoles identified 21 leading derivatives exhibiting MICs between 0.35 and 48.6 µg/mL against the F. tularensis LVS strain. Their bacterial target remains uncharacterized, but these compounds may block polymerization of FtsZ, which is a homolog of tubulin/microtubule proteins found in eukaryotes, thus interfering with cell division processes (Kumar et al., 2013).

Here, we report that bis-indole derivatives in which the two indole groups are linked either with an amide (dcm01 and dcm02) or an α -keto-amide (dcm03 and dcm04) central linker exhibit antimicrobial activity against F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. These compounds were previously characterized as anti-staphylococcal drugs active against methicillin-resistant, vancomycin-intermediate, and fluoroquinolone-resistant S. aureus strains (Denis et al., 2013a,b). In this study, the 24 bisindolic molecules evaluated were inactive against Gram-negative bacteria, including enterobacterial species (Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Serratia marcescens, and Enterobacter cloacae), Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. The MICs of two bis-indolic derivatives were lower (16 µg/mL) against Haemophilus influenzae. The cytotoxicity of the four bis-indole compounds we tested against F. tularensis strains was previously evaluated using three different cell lines: KB (human mouth carcinoma), MCR5 (human lung fibroblast) and HCT116 (human colon tumor) (Denis et al., 2013a,b). The IC₅₀ determined using the HCT116 cell line were 1-5 times higher than the MICs found for F. tularensis strains.

We found a significant bacteriostatic activity of these tested bis-indole derivatives against 41 strains of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* isolated in France. Dcm04 appeared to be the most



effective compound with a MIC₉₀ of 2 µg/mL. The MICs ranged from 2 to 16 µg/mL when considering all four bis-indole compounds, except for a single strain that displayed higher MICs for the dcm01 and dcm03 compounds. The variations in antibiotic activities between the four compounds (especially between dcm01 and dcm03 vs. dcm02 and dcm04) may be related to differences in chemical structure, especially the presence of a large CH₂NHBoc chemical group in dcm01 and dcm03, whereas it is replaced by a methyl in dcm02 and dcm04. This large chemical group may limit access of dcm01 and dcm03 to their bacterial target or limit their penetration within bacteria. Surprisingly, the dcm02 and dcm04 compounds displayed a bactericidal activity against the virulence-attenuated LVS strain, but not the Ft6 and Ft24 clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. This was demonstrated both by MBC determinations and in killing curve experiments. The bactericidal activity of dcm04 against the LVS strain was not concentration-dependent but time-dependent. Hopefully, further structural optimization of these bis-indolic compounds and identification of their bacterial targets may enable us to obtain the same bactericidal activity for clinical strains of F. tularensis subsp. holarctica.

The activity of the bis-indole compounds also showed *Francisella* species and subspecies specificity, since these compounds were active against *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, only partially active (dcm02 and dcm04) against *F. philomiragia* and inactive against *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida*. As a result, *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida* cannot be used as an experimental model to identify the bacterial targets of these compounds, nor to evaluate the *in vivo* activity of the bis-indoles. Comparison of the complete genomes of *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* LVS, OSU18, and FSC200, and that of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* Schu

S4, previously identified a relatively limited number of specific genetic alterations (Petrosino et al., 2006; Rohmer et al., 2006) in the attenuated LVS strain. This might help in further investigations to search for an antibacterial target of these compounds in F. tularensis, or at least to explain the differences observed in susceptibility to the bis-indoles. Working hypotheses may include an increased affinity of the bis-indoles for their bacterial target in the LVS strain, a reduced penetration of the bis-indole in the clinical strains as compared to the LVS strain, partial inactivation of the bis-indoles in the clinical strains but not in the LVS strain, an escape pathway to the action of the bis-indoles in clinical strains but not in the LVS strain, and a lower efflux of these molecules in the LVS strain. Another hypothesis is that the bisindole compounds are more active against the LVS strain because it belongs to the type B biovar II strains of F. tularensis, whereas the 41 clinical strains belong to biovar I of this sub-species. Biovar II strains naturally resistant to erythromycin are found in Central and Eastern Europe, and Asia (Kudelina and Olsufiev, 1980), whereas only the erythromycin-susceptible biovar I strains are found in France. We did not evaluate the activity of the bisindoles against type A F. tularensis strains. Testing the activity of these compounds against a larger panel of F. tularensis strains will be needed to assess potential variability in susceptibility among different sub-species and biovars.

In conclusion, we have identified novel synthetic bis-indole compounds active against *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* but not the closely related bacteria *F. tularensis* subsp. *novicida* and *F. philomiragia*. These compounds may be drug candidates for the development of new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia treatment. Their bacterial targets remain to be characterized.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Research project design: Yvan Caspar, Max Maurin. Experiments: Yvan Caspar, Vivien Sutera, Sandrine Boisset. Writing: Yvan Caspar, Max Maurin.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Linda Northrup for English editing. This work was supported by the Institut National de Veille Sanitaire (InVS), the Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA, 07CO301), and the Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire (CHU) of Grenoble, Joseph Fourier University-Grenoble 1.

REFERENCES

- Antunes, N. T., Frase, H., Toth, M., and Vakulenko, S. B. (2012). The class A β-Lactamase FTU-1 is native to *Francisella tularensis*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 56, 666–671. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05305-11
- Denis, J.-N., Jolivalt, C., Maurin, M., and Burchak, O. (2013a). Preparation of bisindole derivatives useful as antibacterials. *PCT Int. Appl. WO* 2013014104 A1 20130131.
- Denis, J.-N., Jolivalt, C., Maurin, M. and Jeanty, M. (2013b). Preparation of novel bis-indolic derivatives antibacterial drugs and a process for preparing them. *PCT Int. Appl. WO* 2013014102 A1 20130131.
- Dennis, D. T., Inglesby, T. V., Henderson, D. A., Bartlett, J. G., Ascher, M. S., Eitzen, E., et al. (2001). Tularemia as a biological weapon: medical and public health management. *JAMA* 285, 2763–2773. doi: 10.1001/jama.285.21.2763
- Dentan, C., Pavese, P., Pelloux, I., Boisset, S., Brion, J.-P., Stahl, J.-P., et al. (2013). Treatment of tularemia in pregnant woman, France. *Emerging Infect. Dis.* 19, 996–998. doi: 10.3201/eid1906.130138
- England, K., am Ende, C., Lu, H., Sullivan, T. J., Marlenee, N. L., Bowen, R. A., et al. (2009). Substituted diphenyl ethers as a broad-spectrum platform for the development of chemotherapeutics for the treatment of tularaemia. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 64, 1052–1061. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkp307
- Gestin, B., Valade, E., Thibault, F., Schneider, D., and Maurin, M. (2010). Phenotypic and Genetic characterization of macrolide resistance in *Francisella tularensis* subsp. holarctica biovar I. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 65, 2359–2367. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkq315
- Hevener, K. E., Mehboob, S., Su, P.-C., Truong, K., Boci, T., Deng, J., et al. (2012). Discovery of a novel and potent class of *F. tularensis* enoyl-reductase (FabI) inhibitors by molecular shape and electrostatic matching. *J. Med. Chem.* 55, 268–279. doi: 10.1021/jm201168g
- Johansson, A., Berglund, L.,Gothefors, L., Sjöstedt, A., and Tärnvik. A. (2000). Ciprofloxacin for treatment of tularemia in children. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 19, 449–453. doi: 10.1097/00006454-200005000-00011
- Johansson, A., Urich, S.K., Chu, M. C., Sjöstedt, A., and Tärnvik, A. (2002). In vitro susceptibility to quinolones of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 34, 327–330. doi: 10.1080/00365540110080773
- Kaya, A., Uysal, I. O., Güven, A. S., Engin, A., Gültürk, A., İçağası oğlu, F. D., et al. (2011). Treatment failure of gentamicin in pediatric patients with oropharyngeal tularemia. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 17, 376–380. doi: 10.12659/MSM.881848
- Kingry, L. C., Cummings, J. E., Brookman, K. W., Bommineni, G. R., Tonge, P. J., and Slayden, R. A. (2013). The *Francisella tularensis* FabI enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase gene is essential to bacterial viability and is expressed during infection. J. Bacteriol. 195, 351–358. doi: 10.1128/JB.01957-12
- Kudelina, R. I., and Olsufiev, N. G. (1980). Sensitivity to macrolide antibiotics and lincomycin in *Francisella tularensis* holarctica. J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol. 24, 84–91.
- Kumar, K., Awasthi, D., Lee, S.-Y., Cummings, J. E., Knudson, S. E., Slayden, R. A., et al. (2013). Benzimidazole-based antibacterial agents against *Francisella tularensis*. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 21, 3318–3326. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2013.02.059

- Larssen, K. W., Afset, J. E., Heier, B. T., Krogh, T., Handeland, K., Vikøren, T., et al. (2011). Outbreak of tularaemia in central norway, January to March 2011. *Euro Surveill*. 16:pii 19828. Available online at: http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=19828
- Lu, H., England, K., am Ende, C., Truglio, J. J., Luckner, S., Reddy, B. G., et al. (2009). Slow-onset inhibition of the FabI enoyl reductase from *Francisella tularensis*: residence time and *in vivo* activity. ACS Chem. Biol. 4, 221–231. doi: 10.1021/cb800306y
- Maurin, M., Mersali, N. F., and Raoult, D. (2000). Bactericidal activities of antibiotics against intracellular *Francisella tularensis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 44, 3428–3431. doi: 10.1128/AAC.44.12.3428-3431.2000
- Maurin, M., Pelloux, I., Brion, J.-P., Del Banö, J.-N. and Picard, A. (2011). Human tularemia in France, 2006–2010. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 53, e133–e141. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir612
- Mehboob, S., Hevener, K. E., Truong, K., Boci, T., Santarsiero, B. D., and Johnson, M. E. (2012). Structural and enzymatic analyses reveal the binding mode of a novel series of *Francisella tularensis* enoyl reductase (FabI) inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 55, 5933–5941. doi: 10.1021/jm300489v
- Pérez-Castrillón, J. L., Bachiller-Luque, P., Martin-Luquero, M., Mena-Martin, F. J., and Herreros, V. (2001). Tularemia epidemic in northwestern Spain: clinical description and therapeutic response. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 33, 573–576. doi: 10.1086/322601
- Petrosino, J. F., Xiang, Q., Karpathy, S. E., Jiang, H., Yerrapragada, S., Liu, Y., et al. (2006). Chromosome rearrangement and diversification of *Francisella tularensis* revealed by the type B (OSU18) genome sequence. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 6977–6985. doi: 10.1128/JB.00506-06
- Rohmer, L., Brittnacher, M., Svensson, K., Buckley, D., Haugen, E., Zhou, Y., et al. (2006). Potential source of *Francisella tularensis* live vaccine strain attenuation determined by genome comparison. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 6895–6906. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01006-06
- Rotem, S., Bar-Haim, E., Cohen, H., Elia, U., Ber, R., Shafferman, A., et al. (2012). Consequences of delayed ciprofloxacin and doxycycline treatment regimens against *Francisella tularensis* airway infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56, 5406–5408. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01104-12
- Rydén, P., Björk, R., Schäfer, M. L., Lundström, J. O., Petersén, B., Lindblom, A., et al. (2012). Outbreaks of tularemia in a boreal forest region depends on mosquito prevalence. J. Infect. Dis. 205, 297–304. doi: 10.1093/ infdis/jir732
- Sutera, V., Levert, M., Burmeister, W. P., Schneider, D., and Maurin, M. (2014). Evolution toward high-level fluoroquinolone resistance in Francisella species. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 69, 101–110. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt321
- Tärnvik, A., and Chu, M. C. (2007). New approaches to diagnosis and therapy of tularemia. Ann. N.Y Acad. Sci. 1105, 378–404. doi: 10.1196/annals.1409.017

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 13 January 2014; accepted: 12 February 2014; published online: 27 February 2014.

Citation: Caspar Y, Sutera V, Boisset S, Denis J-N and Maurin M (2014) Bis-indolic compounds as potential new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 4:24. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2014.00024

This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology.

Copyright © 2014 Caspar, Sutera, Boisset, Denis and Maurin. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. 4.2 Publication 6 : Novel synthetic bis-indolic derivatives with antistaphylococcal activity, including against MRSA and VISA strains.

L'évaluation de ces nouveaux composés bis-indoliques de synthèse s'est poursuivie par la détermination de leur spectre d'activité antibactérienne. Ces molécules se sont avérés posséder un spectre assez large vis-à-vis de plusieurs espèces de bactéries à Gram positif appartenant notamment aux genres Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Enterococcus, Bacillus et Listeria. En revanche, aucune autre espèce bactérienne à Gram négatif en dehors des Francisella n'a présenté de sensibilité à ces dérivés bis-indoliques (Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Haemophilus) (Données non encore publiées). Cela laisse penser que ces composés ont soit du mal à traverser la membrane externe des bactéries à Gram négatif (résistance par imperméabilité), soit plus probablement, sont soumis à des mécanismes d'efflux au sein des bactéries à Gram négatifs. L'absence ou la faible présence de systèmes d'efflux au sein de F. tularensis, peut expliquer l'activité de ces composés bisindoliques sur cette bactérie, par analogie à l'activité modérée observée par exemple avec le linézolide sur F. tularensis, alors qu'il s'agit également d'un antibiotique utilisé pour le traitement d'infections liées à des bactéries à Gram positif. L'activité la plus forte de ces composés bis-indoliques a été observée vis-à-vis des staphylocoques, sans aucune diminution d'activité vis-à-vis de souche multi-résistantes de S. aureus, que ce soit des souches résistantes à la méticilline ou intermédiaires à la vancomycine, comme le montre ce dernier article. L'étude de ces composés s'est donc poursuivi en premier lieu sur des souches de staphylocoques, de manipulation plus aisée que les Francisella car sont des bactéries de croissance plus rapide et surtout ne nécessitant pas d'être manipulées en LNSB3. La détermination des CMI de 24 composés bis-indoliques de synthèse vis-à-vis de 13 souches de S. aureus et S. epidermidis présentant des mécanismes de résistance variés a été réalisée. Puis l'activité des trois composés les plus actifs a été vérifiée vis-à-vis de 39 souches de staphylocoques à coagulase négative isolées d'hémocultures. L'activité bactéricide de ces composés a été mesurée par la réalisation de courbes de bactéricidie sur 24h. L'évaluation en parallèle de 24 composés a permis de réaliser une étude de relation structure-activité afin de déterminer les groupements chimiques importants pour l'activité antibactérienne de ces composés. Enfin cette étude a permis de d'obtenir de premières données de solubilité, de liaison aux protéines plasmatique, de cytotoxicité et de fréquence d'apparition de mutations de résistance pour les composés les plus actifs, en vue d'étudier ces paramètres indispensables au développement d'un nouvel agent antibactérien.

Novel synthetic bis-indolic derivatives with antistaphylococcal activity, including against MRSA and VISA strains

Yvan Caspar^{1,2}, Matthieu Jeanty³†, Jérôme Blu³, Olga Burchak³‡, Emmanuelle Le Pihive², Laure Maigre², Dominique Schneider², Claude Jolivalt⁴§, Jean-Marc Paris⁴, Arnaud Hequet⁴¶, Frédéric Minassian³, Jean-Noël Denis³# and Max Maurin^{1,2}*#

¹Laboratoire de bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, CS10217, 38043 Grenoble cedex 9, France; ²Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, LAPM, F-38000 Grenoble, France; ³Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, DCM, F-38000 Grenoble, France; ⁴Chimie ParisTech, Laboratoire Charles Friedel, 75005 Paris, France

*Corresponding author. Laboratoire de bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, CS10217, 38043 Grenoble cedex 9, France. Tel: +33-4-76-76-54-79; Fax: +33-4-76-76-52-28; E-mail: mmaurin@chu-grenoble.fr

+Present address: NovAliX, Site Janssen-Cilag, Campus de Maigremont, Val-de-Reuil, France.

‡Present address: Laboratoire d'Electronique Moléculaire, Organique et Hybride, INAC-SPrAM, UMR 5819 CEA/CNRS/UJF-Grenoble 1, CEA, Grenoble, France.

SPresent address: Laboratoire de Réactivité de Surface, UMR 7197 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Ivry sur Seine, France. Present address: Laboratoire d'Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, UMR 7267 CNRS, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France. #J.-N. Denis and M. Maurin contributed equally to this work.

Received 18 July 2014; returned 16 November 2014; revised 26 December 2014; accepted 7 January 2015

Objectives: We report the synthesis, antibacterial activity and toxicity of 24 bis-indolic derivatives obtained during the development of new ways of synthesis of marine bis-indole alkaloids from the spongotine, topsentin and hamacanthin classes.

Methods: Innovative ways of synthesis and further structural optimizations led to bis-indoles presenting either the 1-(1*H*-indol-3'-yl)-1,2-diaminoethane unit or the 1-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine unit. MIC determination was performed for reference and clinical strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and CoNS species. MBC, time-kill kinetics, solubility, hydrophobicity index, plasma protein-binding and cytotoxicity assays were performed for lead compounds. Inhibition of the *S. aureus* NorA efflux pump was also tested for bis-indoles with no antistaphylococcal activity.

Results: Lead compounds were active against both *S. aureus* and CoNS species, with MICs between 1 and 4 mg/L. Importantly, the same MICs were found for MRSA and vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* strains. Early concentration-dependent bactericidal activity was observed for lead derivatives. Compounds with no intrinsic antibacterial activity could inhibit the *S. aureus* NorA efflux pump, which is involved in resistance to fluoroquinolones. At 0.5 mg/L, the most effective compound led to an 8-fold reduction of the ciprofloxacin MIC for the SA-1199B *S. aureus* strain, which overexpresses NorA. However, the bis-indole compounds displayed a high hydrophobicity index and high plasma protein binding, which significantly reduced antibacterial activity.

Conclusions: We have synthesized and characterized novel bis-indole derivatives as promising candidates for the development of new antistaphylococcal treatments, with preserved activity against MDR *S. aureus* strains.

Keywords: antibiotics, drug development, drug susceptibility testing, staphylococci, efflux pumps, bis-indoles

Introduction

Since the discovery of penicillin G in the early 1940s, antibiotics have become the cornerstone of treatment of bacterial infections.¹ However, despite the development of numerous antibiotic classes, multidrug resistance to antibiotics now occasionally leads to therapeutic impasses,^{2,3} especially in hospital settings where

bacteria face high antibiotic selection pressure. Among MDR bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus* is able to resist antibiotics through several mechanisms: (i) the production of enzymes that inactivate antibiotics (e.g. penicillinase and transferases); (ii) the modification of natural targets of antibiotics, as observed for the *mecA* gene that codes for a novel PBP (PBP2a) resistant to the action of almost all β -lactams in MRSA strains; and (iii) the

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

overproduction of efflux systems such as the multidrug NorA efflux pump involved in resistance to quinolones and fluoroquinolones.^{4–6} Antibiotic treatment of infections caused by *S. aureus* strains with acquired resistance to the first-line antistaphylococcal drugs, especially β-lactams (MRSA strains) and glycopeptides [vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA) strains and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* strains], is highly challenging. Moreover, infections caused by MRSA strains or *S. aureus* strains with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin (MIC >1 mg/L) are associated with a poorer outcome.^{7,8} There is an urgent need to develop new drugs active against these MDR *S. aureus* strains.

Over the last six decades, the search for new antibiotic compounds has been supported by the pharmaceutical industry, with continuous development of new drugs derived from known antibiotic classes. Because of similar structural features between old and new compounds, resistance to the latter has rapidly emerged. Therefore, pharmaceutical companies have currently lost interest in this therapeutic field,^{3,9} owing to the poor return on investments. This is particularly true for Gram-negative MDR bacteria, whereas several anti-MRSA agents are still in the pipeline.¹⁰ Measures to overcome bacterial resistance to antibiotics may include three complementary approaches: (i) preserve the antibiotic activity of the more recently developed molecules by optimizing their use in humans and animals; (ii) inhibit known bacterial resistance mechanisms in order to restore the activity of inactivated antibiotics; and (iii) develop novel compounds active against MDR bacteria, ideally displaying original chemical structures, in order to delay the emergence of new resistance mechanisms.² Since the 1970s, only two new antibiotic classes have been developed and approved:^{1,11} the oxazolidinones (e.g. linezolid, Pfizer), which inhibit protein synthesis by binding to the peptidyl transferase centre of the bacterial ribosome;¹² and the lipoglycopeptides (e.g. daptomycin, Cubist Pharmaceuticals), which act through membrane depolarization and disturbance of membrane-associated pro-cesses, leading to bacterial cell death.^{13,14} Unfortunately, resistance mechanisms to these two antibiotic classes have already emerged in Staphylococcus strains.^{15,16}

Because most currently available antibiotics were first extracted from terrestrial microorganisms during the last two decades, the search for original bioactive molecules has focused on marine bio-organisms. Among them, sponges appear to be one of the richest phyla in toxicogenic species because of their ability to produce a wide variety of secondary metabolites. In particular, bis-indole alkaloids have shown cytotoxic, antitumoral, antiviral, antiparasitic, antifungal, anti-inflammatory and antibacterial activities.¹⁷ These molecules present unique structures with two indole units linked either by an imidazole or a piperazine core. Indeed, the central linker is an α -carbonylimidazoline for spongotines, an α -carbonylimidazole for topsentins and a 5,6-dihydropyrazinone for hamacanthins A (Figure 1; molecules 1, 2 and 3, respectively).¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The search for innovative methods of chemical synthesis of spongotines, topsentins and hamacanthins highlighted that these molecules include the 1-(1H-indol-3'-yl)-1,2diaminoethane unit **4** (Figure 1).^{20,21} This common key scaffold is not easily accessible via conventional synthetic methodologies. We developed an original access to the first derivatives of this key unit, using a reaction between an α -amino nitrone and the appropriate indolic core, paving the way to spongotines, topsentins and hamacanthins A.^{20,21} These innovative protocols led to a large number of original structures, sharing either an indolic or a bis-indolic scaffold.¹⁸

In the meantime, compounds of DCM's chemical library were screened against various biological targets, including bacterial strains, in order to evaluate their potential activities. Owing to the great diversity of compounds of this chemical library, the chances of finding bioactive molecules were increased. Indeed, mono-indolic molecules with intrinsic antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and other *Staphylococcus* species or inhibiting the *S. aureus* NorA multidrug efflux pump were discovered.^{18,20-23} Due to their original structures and antibacterial activities, these compounds were patented²⁴ and further structural optimizations allowed us to obtain new molecules with a bis-indolic scaffold linked either by an amide or an α -keto-amide group.^{25,26} This study reports the original ways of synthesis, antistaphylococcal activities, physicochemical properties and cytotoxicity of these new families of bis-indolic compounds.

Materials and methods

Synthetic derivatives

Twenty-four bis-indolic molecules were synthesized (Table 1 as well as the Supplementary data, available at JAC Online, for compound **12**). Their preparation (Figures S1-S6) as well as their characterization data are



Figure 1. Chemical structures of spongotines 1, topsentins 2 and hamacanthins A 3 and 1-(1H-indol-3'-yl)-1,2-diaminoethane unit 4.

 Table 1. Structures and overall yields of synthesized bis-indolic compounds

		R ₁ 6 HN HN		5' R'1 6'	
Compound	n	R	R_1	R'_1	Overall yield (%)
9a	1	CH₂NHBoc	Н	Н	40
9b	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Br	Н	73
9c	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	Н	5′-Br	72
9d	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	6-Br	Н	65
9e	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	Н	6′-Br	76
9f	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Br	5′-Br	68
9g	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Br	6′-Br	65
9h	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	6-Br	5′-Br	52
9i	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	6-Br	6′-Br	47
9j	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Cl	5′-Cl	75
9k	1	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Cl	6′-Cl	62
10a	1	Me	5-Br	5′-Br	76
10b	1	Me	5-Br	5′-F	50
14a	1	CO ₂ Me	5-Br	5′-Br	61
14b	1	CO ₂ H	5-Br	5′-Br	57
14c	1	CH ₂ OH	5-Br	5′-Br	56
15a	1	CONH(CH ₂) ₂ OH	5-Br	5′-Br	46
15b	1	CONH(CH ₂) ₄ OH	5-Br	5′-Br	40
15c	1	CONH(CH ₂) ₄ NH ₂	5-Br	5′-Br	36
17a	2	CH ₂ NHBoc	Н	Н	97
17b	2	CH ₂ NHBoc	Н	6′-Br	90
17c	2	CH ₂ NHBoc	5-Br	5′-Br	62
17d	2	Me	5-Br	5′-Br	63

See the Supplementary data for compound 12 structure.

described in the Supplementary data. Then, stock solutions of these compounds were prepared by diluting powders in pure DMSO (Sigma–Aldrich, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) at a concentration of 25.6 g/L. Working solutions of the bis-indolic compounds were prepared in bacterial growth medium so as to obtain final concentrations of DMSO <5%, which was verified to be non-toxic for the bacterial strains tested.

Bacterial strains

Reference bacterial strains, including ATCC strains (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and CIP strains (Centre de Ressources Biologiques de l'Institut Pasteur, Paris, France) were purchased. These included: six MSSA strains (ATCC 25923, ATCC 29213, ATCC 9144, ATCC 6538, CIP 65.6 and CIP 103428); two MRSA strains (CIP 65.25 and ATCC 33592); one VISA strain (ATCC 106414); two methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus epidermidis strains (ATCC 12228 and CIP 81.55); two methicillin-resistant S. epidermidis strains (CIP 103627 and ATCC 49461); and one Staphylococcus sciuri strain (ATCC 29061). MSSA476 and MRSA252 strains, whose genomes have been entirely sequenced, were kindly provided by the French reference centre for Staphylococcus (Lyon, France). We also used 39 clinical strains of Staphylococcus species isolated from human blood samples, including 2 Staphylococcus arlettae, 10 Staphylococcus capitis, 1 S. epidermidis, 7 Staphylococcus haemolyticus, 8 Staphylococcus hominis, 1 Staphylococcus lugdunensis, 1 Staphylococcus massiliensis, 2 Staphylococcus pettenkoferi, 2 Staphylococcus saprophyticus, 1 Staphylococcus simulans and 4

Staphylococcus warneri. Identification at the species level for these clinical strains was obtained by amplification and sequencing of the *tuf* gene according to previously published protocols.²⁷ The fluoroquinolone-resistant *S. aureus* SA-1199B strain, which overexpresses the chromosomal *norA* gene encoding the NorA efflux pump and also harbours an A116E mutation in *grlA*,²⁸ was generously provided by G. W. Kaatz (University of Michigan). This strain displays a ciprofloxacin MIC of 16 mg/L, whereas its parental counterpart (SA-1199) has an MIC of 0.25 mg/L.

Determination of MICs

MICs of the 24 bis-indolic compounds were determined for all *S. aureus* strains described above except MSSA476 and MRSA252 and for S. epidermidis strains ATCC 12228, CIP 81.55 and CIP 103627. A broth microdilution method was used, as recommended by the CLSI (M07-A8) using Mueller-Hinton 2 (MH2) broth (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) as the experimental medium. For all those bacterial strains, two rows of a 96-well microtitre plate were filled with a bacterial inoculum (180 μ L per well, 5×10⁵ cfu/mL of final inoculum) and 20 μ L of 2-fold serial dilutions of a stock solution of the tested bis-indolic compound in MH2 broth with 10% DMSO, so as to obtain final concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 128 mg/L. For some of the compounds, antibiotic suspensions at 64 and 128 mg/L were cloudy, suggesting that the solubility limit was reached and the antibiotic concentration could have been overestimated. Drug-free cultures served as growth controls. Cultures containing DMSO at final concentrations found in working solutions of the tested bis-indolic compounds were used as DMSO toxicity controls. Microplates were incubated at 37°C in ambient air. MICs were read after 24 h of culture incubation and corresponded to the minimum concentration of the bis-indolic compound that allowed complete inhibition of visual growth of bacteria. The determined MICs of ciprofloxacin and gentamicin were used as positive controls. Experiments were performed at least twice for each bis-indolic compound to confirm results.

MICs of three lead compounds for a large number of Staphylococcus species

A second assay was built in order to evaluate the activity of three lead compounds (9f, 17c and 17d) against a larger number of Staphylococcus species. To improve the solubility of the bis-indoles at high concentrations, two rows of a 96-well microtitre plate were filled with a bacterial inoculum (192 μ L per well, 5×10⁵ cfu/mL of final inoculum) and 2-fold serial dilutions of the tested bis-indolic compound in pure DMSO (8 μ L per well) so as to obtain final concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 32 mg/L, with <5% DMSO. Growth controls and DMSO toxicity controls were added as previously described. The antistaphylococcal activity of lead compounds was determined against seven reference strains, including the previously tested S. aureus ATCC 106414, S. aureus SA-1199B and S. epidermidis ATCC 12228 strains, taken as controls, and strains MSSA476, MRSA252, S. epidermidis ATCC 49461 and S. sciuri ATCC 29061. We also tested 39 clinical strains belonging to different Staphylococcus species. Microplates were incubated at 37°C in ambient air and MICs were read after 24 h of incubation. For each experiment, we checked that MICs obtained for the control strains were within 0.5-2× expected MICs. Experiments were conducted at least in duplicate for each strain.

Evaluation of plasma protein binding using MIC protocols

To seek potential plasma protein binding of our lead compounds, **9f**, **14a**, **17c** and **17d**, the MICs were determined (using the second protocol described above) for the *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 strain in the presence of 0%, 1%, 5% or 10% of decomplemented FCS (Gibco[®], Life Technologies, Saint Aubin, France). The bis-indole derivatives were tested at concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 32 mg/L. Gentamicin (0.03–16 mg/L) was used

as a positive control. The MIC assays were performed in triplicate to confirm results.

Determination of MBCs

MBCs were determined for the lead compounds **10a**, **14a** and **17d** and gentamicin (control) for *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 according to the CLSI (formerly NCCLS) M26-A microdilution procedure.²⁹ Briefly, a protocol closely related to MIC determination was applied, except that the primary inoculum was prepared from bacterial cultures in the logarithmic phase of growth. After 24 h of incubation of the plates at 37°C in ambient air, the MBCs were determined after stirring by inoculation of 10 μ L of the bacterial suspension from each well with no visible growth (concentration \geq MIC) on MH2 agar plates (bioMérieux). cfu counts were determined after 24–48 h of incubation of the plates at 37°C in ambient air and compared with CLSI M26-A tables. The MBC corresponds to the minimum antibiotic concentration that results in \geq 99.9% reduction of the primary bacterial load (i.e. 3 log₁₀ reduction of bacterial titres). MBC experiments were conducted in triplicate to confirm results.

Determination of time-kill curves

Time-kill curves were measured for the lead compounds 9f, 10a, 14a, 17c and 17d against S. aureus ATCC 29213 and ATCC 25923 according to the CLSI M26-A procedure.²⁹ For each S. aureus strain, an overnight culture in MH2 broth was adjusted to a turbidity equivalent to that of a 0.5 McFarland standard. Then, 100 μL of this suspension was added to 10 mL of MH2 broth (pre-warmed to 37°C). This mixture was incubated at 37°C for 4 h before being diluted to 1/65 in 40 mL of pre-warmed MH2 broth, so as to obtain 5×10^5 cfu/mL of a primary inoculum. The primary inoculum was split into seven 5 mL aliquots in glass tubes, one serving as an antibiotic-free growth control (containing 4% DMSO) and the six others receiving, respectively, 9f, 10a, 14a, 17c, 17d (in 4% DMSO) or gentamicin (with no DMSO) at eight times their respective MIC for the tested strain. Sterile MH2 broth with 4% DMSO served as a sterility control. Cultures were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. At 0, 2, 4, 8 and 24 h of incubation, a 50 μ L aliquot was taken from each culture after shaking. Then, 50 μ L of 10-fold serial dilutions in MH2 broth of each aliquot were plated onto MH2 agar plates. cfu counts were determined after 24-48 h of incubation of the plates at 37°C in ambient air. A significant bactericidal effect corresponded to a \geq 3 log₁₀ reduction of the primary bacterial inoculum at any time of incubation. For compound 17d, we repeated the experiment at $2\times$, $4\times$ and $8\times$ MIC for S. aureus ATCC 29213 and ATCC 25923 strains to test for concentration-dependent time-kill kinetics. All time-kill kinetics assays were performed in triplicate. The results are expressed as the mean of the three replicates \pm SD. The statistical significance of the reduction of cfu counts compared with the primary inoculum was determined at various exposure times of bacteria to the bis-indoles or gentamicin, using a one-tailed Student's t-test and a P value <0.05.

Because we observed bacterial regrowth at 24 h incubation time, we checked the presence of resistant mutants in the cultures exposed to **17d** at 2×, 4× and 8× MIC. Subcultures (50 μ L) were performed on MH2 and the bacterial colonies obtained were tested for **17d** MICs (two replicates per subculture) at the same time as the reference strains.

Determination of single-step resistance frequency

The frequency of spontaneous mutants resistant to one of the three lead compounds (**9f**, **17c** and **17d**) was determined in duplicate experiments. A bacterial suspension ($10^9 - 10^{10}$ cfu/mL) of MSSA476 or MRSA252 was inoculated (100μ L) onto MH2 agar plates containing 4×, 8× or 16× MIC of the bis-indolic compound tested. After 48 h of incubation of the plates at 37°C in ambient air, the colonies were counted. We confirmed that the isolated colonies corresponded to resistant bacteria by determination of their MICs using the broth microdilution method. Resistance was defined

as an MIC that was $\geq 4 \times$ MIC for the parental strain. The frequency of single-step resistance to a given compound was calculated by the ratio of the number of confirmed resistant colonies to the total number of colonies obtained in a drug-free control.

Physicochemical analysis

Measurements of solubility, the chromatographic hydrophobicity index (CHI; which estimates the log D and thus the hydrophobicity of compounds) and plasma protein binding were conducted for compounds **9f**, **14a**, **14c**, **17c** and **17d** at TechMed^{ILL} (ESBS, Illkirch, France) according to previously published protocols.^{30,31} For complete details, refer to www. pcbis.fr and the Supplementary data.

All solubility, CHI and plasma protein-binding assays were performed in duplicate. Results are expressed as the mean \pm SD.

Determination of NorA efflux pump inhibition

Compounds showing no intrinsic antibacterial activity were the only ones to be tested for NorA efflux pump inhibition. This activity was determined for four bis-indolic compounds (**9a**, **12**, **14b** and **17a**) by testing their capacity to restore the activity of ciprofloxacin against the SA-1199B strain. Various concentrations of the bis-indolic compounds were tested in combination with three different subinhibitory concentrations of ciprofloxacin: 4 mg/L (MIC/4), 2 mg/L (MIC/8) or 1 mg/L (MIC/16). For each combination, the minimum concentration of the bis-indolic compound that restored the bacteriostatic activity of ciprofloxacin due to NorA efflux pump inhibition was recorded as the epi-MIC.

Bis-indolic compounds were dissolved in DMSO at 10 mg/mL and then further diluted in MH2 broth. A 96-well microplate was filled with a SA-1199B suspension at 10⁶ cfu/mL. Using a Biomek 2000 handling robot (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France), the bis-indolic compounds were dispensed so as to obtain final 2-fold serial concentrations ranging from 0.125 to 128 mg/L. For each bis-indolic concentration tested, ciprofloxacin was added at a final concentration of 4, 2 or 1 mg/L, respectively. Bacterial growth was determined both visually and using a microplate reader at a 620 nm absorption wavelength, after 24 h of incubation of the plates at 37°C. Cultures free of bis-indolic compounds but containing 5 μ L of DMSO were used as growth controls. Positive controls included subinhibitory (4 mg/L) and inhibitory (64 mg/L) concentrations of ciprofloxacin for SA-1199B. All experiments were performed in duplicate.

Cytotoxicity determination

The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of 20 bis-indolic compounds (**9a-k**, **10a**, **12**, **14a-c** and 17a-d) was assayed on three different cell lines: KB (human mouth carcinoma), MCR5 (human lung fibroblast) and HCT116 (human colon tumour) (Table S1). Cell monolayers were prepared in 96-well tissue culture microplates and grown in 200 µL of complete medium for 24 h. Then, using a Biomek 3000 apparatus (Beckman-Coulter), 2 µL of a stock solution in DMSO of the bis-indolic compound tested was added to the cell culture supernatant to obtain a final concentration of 10^{-5} or 10^{-6} M. Cell cultures free of bis-indolic compound but with the same concentration of DMSO (1% of final volume) served as DMSO toxicity controls. After 72 h of incubation of cell cultures, MTS reagent (Promega, Lyon, France) was added and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Absorbance at 490 nm of aqueous soluble formazan product was monitored and the results were expressed as the percentage of cellular growth inhibition calculated according to the $[1 - (OD_{490} treated/$ OD₄₉₀ control)]×100 ratio, determined in triplicate experiments. In a separate experiment, using a similar protocol, the IC₅₀ (i.e. the concentration of compound inhibiting 50% of cellular growth) was determined in duplicate against the HCT116 cell line for compounds 9b, 9c, 9f, 9g, 9h, 9i, 9j, 10a, 12, 15c, 17c and 17d, tested at concentrations ranging from 0.005 to 100 μ M (Table 2)

													~	IIC (mg	/L)										
Gram-positive strains	9a	96	9с	p6	9e	9f	9g	Ч6	.e	je	k 10	a 10	b 11	140	14b	14c	15a	15 b	15c	17a	17b	17c	17d	CIP	GEN
S. aureus ATCC 25923	>128	∞	8	∞	16	2	5	1 2	4	4	4	16	$^{>1.}$	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	2	2	0.25	0.25
S. aureus ATCC 29213	>128	∞	∞	∞	∞	2	5	1	VI	2 4	4	16	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	28 4	>32	4	32	16	∞	>128	2	-	2	0.25	0.25
S. aureus ATCC 9144		∞	∞	∞	∞	1	5	1	4	4	4	16	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	-	7	0.13	
S. aureus ATCC 6538		∞	∞	∞	∞	1	5	1	4	4	2	16	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	28 4	>32	4	32	16	∞	>128	4	-	7	0.063	
S. aureus CIP 65.6		∞	∞	∞	16	-	5	2	4	4	2	Ň	2 >1:	28 4	>32	4	>32	32	∞	>128	4	-	2	0.13	
S. aureus CIP 103428		∞	∞	∞	∞	-	 	2	4	4	2	16	X	28 4	>32	4	32	16	∞	>128	4	2	-	0.13	
S. aureus CIP 65.25 (MRSA)		∞	∞	∞	∞	2		1	4	4	2	16	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	2	7	0.13	
S. aureus ATCC 33592 (MRSA)		∞	∞	∞	32	2	5	2	4	4	2	16	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	-	-	0.13	
S. aureus ATCC 106414 (VISA)		∞	∞	∞	∞	2		1	4	4	2	16	X	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	-	-	>4	
S. aureus SA-1199B (FQR)		∞	∞	∞	∞	2	5	2	4	4	2		X	28 4	>32	∞			I	>128	4	-	2	16	
S. epidermidis ATCC 12228	>128	∞	∞	16	∞	2		1	4	4	2	16	X	28 4	>32	4	32	32	∞	>128	4	-	2	0.13	0.0625
S. epidermidis CIP 81.55		∞	∞	16	∞	2	5	1	4	4	2	16	X	28 4	>32	4	32	>32	∞	>128	∞	2	2	0.063	
S. epidermidis CIP 103627 (MRSE)		∞	00	16	Ι		2	2	4	∞	4	16	$^{\wedge}$	28 4	>32	4	32	32	8	>128	> 16	2	2	0.063	
IC ₅₀ HCT116 (mg/L, replicate 1)		7.5	4.0			3.0	3.2	1.9 1	.1 2	Ŀ	2.9	-	6.0						29.7			2.7	4.9		
IC ₅₀ HCT116 (mg/L, replicate 2)		5.6	2.7			2.9	3.5	2.4 1	.2 1	ø.	4.5		7.7						24.0			3.7	5.0		
MRSE, methicillin-resistant S. <i>epider</i> Controls: ciprofloxacin (CIP) and ger	rmidis; F(ntamicin	QR, A (GEN	uoroc V).	aning	lone	resis	tant;	, L	ot de	term	ined.														

Results

Synthesis of bis-indolic compounds

The structures of the bis-indolic compounds synthesized are original compared with those of natural alkaloids, especially those found in marine sponges. Twenty-four bis-indolic molecules, including 20 with an amide group linker (**9a-k**, **10a**, **10b**, **12**, **14a-c** and **15a-c**) and four with an α -keto-amide linker (**17a-d**), were prepared (Table 1 and Figures S1–S6; see the Supplementary data for complete synthesis details). The synthesis of two of them (**17a** and **17b**) was previously described.²⁰

Lead bis-indolic compounds exhibit potent antistaphylococcal activity, including against MDR S. aureus strains

MICs of the 24 bis-indolic compounds were determined for 10 reference S. aureus strains including two MRSA strains and one VISA strain and for three reference S. epidermidis strains including a methicillin-resistant strain. Four derivatives (9a, 12, 14b and **17a**) had no proper antibacterial activity against any of the bacterial strains tested. In contrast, the remaining 20 compounds were active against all Staphylococcus strains (Table 2). However, MICs ranged from 1 to 32 mg/L for the various compounds, the most active being 9f, 9g, 9h, 9i, 17c and 17d, with MICs ranging from 1 to 2 mg/L. Interestingly, MICs of the different bis-indolic compounds remained unchanged when testing S. aureus strains resistant to currently available antibiotics, including β-lactams (MRSA strain), glycopeptides (VISA strain) and fluoroquinolones (SA-1199B strain). For the three lead compounds 9f, 17c and 17d, we further tested one MSSA, one MRSA and 41 CoNS strains belonging to 12 different species (Table 3). All these strains were susceptible to 9f, 17c and 17d with MICs of 1-4 ma/L.

MBCs and time-kill studies revealed early bactericidal activity followed by bacterial regrowth

The bactericidal activity of bis-indoles was evaluated by time-kill kinetic studies for compounds **9f**, **10a**, **14a**, **17c** and **17d** against *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and ATCC 25923 strains (Figure 2a and b). A significant reduction in cfu counts was found for all compounds against both strains and a 3 log reduction of cfu counts was found for compounds **10a**, **14a** and **17d** after 8 h of culture incubation. We then observed bacterial regrowth after 24 h of culture incubation. In contrast, MBC determination revealed that compounds **10a**, **14a** and **17d** allowed a 99.9% reduction of the initial bacterial load (5×10^5 cfu/mL) after 24 h of incubation for *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 strain at 8, 8–16 and 16–32 mg/L, respectively. For compounds **9f** and **17c**, time-kill kinetics only revealed a 1–2-fold reduction of cfu counts within the first 4–8 h of incubation.

For compound **17d**, time-kill kinetics were also performed at 2×, 4× and 8× MIC for *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and ATCC 25923 strains in order to distinguish time-dependent from concentration-dependent bactericidal activity. As shown in Figure 2(c and d), **17d** displayed a concentration-dependent bactericidal effect, with again a maximum activity after 8 h of culture incubation.

Table 3. Confirmation of broad-range antistaphylococcal activity by MIC determination of lead compounds **9f**, **17c** and **17d** against two *S. aureus* strains and 41 strains of CoNS species, including 39 strains isolated from human blood cultures

	Ν	1IC (mg/L)	
Species (no. of strains)	9f	17c	17d
S. arlettae (2)	4	1- 2	2
S. aureus MRSA252	2	1	2
S. aureus MSSA476	2	1	2
S. capitis (10)	4	1 -2	1- 2
S. epidermidis	4	1	1
S. epidermidis ATCC 49461	4	1	1
S. haemolyticus (7)	4	1- 2	2-4
S. hominis (8)	4	1 -2	1-4 (2)
S. lugdunensis	4	1	2
S. massiliensis	2	1	1
S. pettenkoferi (2)	2-4	1 -2	1- 2
S. saprophyticus (2)	2	1	2
S. sciuri ATCC 29061	4	2	4
S. simulans	4	1	2
S. warneri (4)	2-4	1 -2	2

When MICs were split between two or more dilutions, the mode value is indicated in bold.

Bacterial regrowth was observed at 24 h incubation time, but MICs determined for selected colonies obtained from these bacterial populations revealed no selection of resistance to **17d**. MICs for subcultured bacteria were the same as those for the parental *S. aureus* strains (i.e. 2 mg/L).

No resistant mutants were selected by the single-step resistance selection study

Spontaneous resistance frequency was determined in duplicate for the three lead compounds (**9f**, **17c** and **17d**) against one MSSA strain and one MRSA strain. Although rare colonies had grown after 24 h of incubation of bacterial suspensions on MH2 agar plates containing 4×, 8× or 16× MIC for the corresponding *S. aureus* strain, none of them corresponded to resistant mutants, as confirmed by MIC determination. Thus, spontaneous singlestep resistance frequencies were <10⁻⁹ for the three compounds tested against both MSSA and MRSA strains.

Evaluation of plasma protein binding and physicochemical analysis of lead compounds revealed high hydrophobicity, poor solubility and high plasma protein binding

To better guide further structural optimization and apprehend protein binding of our synthetic bis-indole compounds, the effect of 1%–10% decomplemented FCS on MIC values was evaluated for lead compounds **9f**, **14a**, **17c** and **17d**. Overall, a 1–16-fold



Figure 2. Time-kill kinetics of lead bis-indoles **9f**, **10a**, **14a**, **17c** and **17d** at 8× MIC (in 4% DMSO) for *S. aureus* (a) ATCC 29213 and (b) ATCC 25923 strains. Time-kill kinetics of bis-indole **17d** at 2×, 4× and 8× MIC (in 4% DMSO) for *S. aureus* (c) ATCC 29213 and (d) ATCC 25923 strains. Gentamicin at 8× MIC and a growth control without antibiotic, but with 4% DMSO, were added to all experiments. Results are presented as the mean of a triplicate experiment ± SD. A significant decrease in viable bacterial counts compared with the primary bacterial inoculum was defined as a *P* value <0.05 and corresponds to open symbols. A non-significant decrease corresponds to filled symbols. LOD, limit of detection.

Table 4. MICs of lead compounds for S. aureus ATCC 29213 strain in the presence of 0%-10% decomplemented FCS

		MIC	(mg/L)	
Compound	0% FCS	1% FCS	5% FCS	10% FCS
9f	2	4	16	32
17c	1	2	8	16
17d	2	4	16	32
14a	4	8	32	>32
Gentamicin	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.125

Table 5. Solubility, hydrophobicity and plasma protein binding of lead

 compounds

	Hydroph	obicity	Solubility,	Plasma protein
Compound	CHI±SD	log D CHI	concentration $(\mu M) \pm SD$	binding, %±SD
9f	93.8±0.3	3.58	2.3±0.1	100 ± 0
14a	87.8±0.6	3.27	6.0 ± 0.1	100 ± 1
14c	74.8 ± 0.9	2.61	30.3±0.4	100 ± 1
17c	102.4 ± 0.3	4.03	2.6 ± 1.2	100 ± 0
17d	99.0 ± 0.9	3.85	1.2 ± 0.1	100 ± 0

increase in MIC values was observed when adding 1%–10% FCS (Table 4), meaning that these lead compounds probably strongly bind to plasma proteins. To confirm these results and further evaluate the physicochemical properties of the lead derivatives, the exact protein-binding percentage was measured as well as solubility at physiological blood pH and estimated log D via CHI determination. As expected, the solubility of the lead compounds ranged from only 1.2 to 30.3 μ M at pH 7.4, log D was between 2.61 and 4.03 and the whole fraction of our compounds was bound to plasma protein when tested at 100 μ M (Table 5).

Determination of inhibitory activity of the multidrug efflux pump NorA

The four bis-indolic derivatives **9a**, **12**, **14b** and **17a**, showing no intrinsic antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* strains ATCC 25923 and SA-1199B (i.e. MIC >32 mg/L), potentiated ciprofloxacin activity against *S. aureus* SA-1199B. The ciprofloxacin MIC for SA-1199B was reduced 4-fold (from 16 to 4 mg/L) when this antibiotic was tested in combination with 32 mg/L of compound **14b**, but only 0.25 mg/L of compounds **9a** or **12** (Table 6). Compound **17a**, a bis-indolic derivative possessing an α -keto-amide linker, decreased the ciprofloxacin MIC to 4 and 2 mg/L for SA-1199B when tested at 0.5 and 2 mg/L, respectively. The non-brominated derivatives **9a** and **17a** were therefore as efficient as the methylated indole compound **12**. The latter derivative had no proper antibacterial activity against SA-1199B, whereas its non-methylated analogue **9f** displayed a 2 mg/L MIC for this strain. Thanks to the presence of the carboxylic function, compound

Table 6.	Inhibitory activity of bis-indole compounds against the S. aureus
NorA effl	ux pump

	epi	-MIC ^a (mg/L) compo	of the bis-ind ounds	ole
Ciprofloxacin (mg/L)	9α	12	14b	17a
1	2	4	128	ND
2	0.5	1	64	2
4	0.25	0.25	32	0.5

ND, not determined.

^aMIC of the bis-indole compounds tested that could restore the bacteriostatic activity of ciprofloxacin used at 1, 2 or 4 mg/L against SA-1199B (MIC of ciprofloxacin = 16 mg/L).

14b was completely soluble whilst inactive at 128 mg/L when used alone. However, higher concentrations of compound **14b** were necessary to significantly decrease the ciprofloxacin MIC. In the presence of 1 mg/L of ciprofloxacin, as high as 128 mg/L compound **14b** was necessary to inhibit bacterial growth.

Structure-activity relationship (SAR) study

We tried to determine the pharmacophore involved in the antistaphylococcal activity of the bis-indolic compounds (see the Supplementary data for detailed SAR analysis).

In summary, the most effective compounds contained in their structure two indole cores with a free indolic nitrogen, with either an amide or an α -keto-amide linker, each indolic core carrying one bromine atom at position 5 or 6. However, higher antibacterial activity correlated with increased cytotoxicity for many compounds.

Discussion

The search for efficient therapeutic alternatives against MDR bacteria, and more specifically MRSA strains, is a scientific and medical priority.^{2,8,32} Various marine invertebrates including bryozoans, coelenterates, tunicates and sponges synthesize indole-containing alkaloids.^{18,19} Among them, bis-indole alkaloids from the spongotine, topsentin and hamacanthin classes were isolated from many sponge species, including Topsentia sp., Hamacantha sp., Spongosorites sp. and Rhaphisia lacazei.^{18,19,33-37} Previous studies have shown that these compounds are active against various bacterial species, including Bacillus subtilis, Micrococcus luteus, MSSA, MRSA, Proteus vulgaris and Salmonella enterica Typhimurium, but not Escherichia coli.^{19,38} The most active compounds were deoxytopsentin, bromotopsentin, hamacanthin A, trans-4,5-dihydrohamacanthin A, hamacanthin B and 6"-debromohamacanthin A. They exhibited MICs of 4-32 mg/L for MSSA and MRSA, 1–32 mg/L for P. vulgaris and 4–64 mg/L for S. enterica Typhimurium.^{19,38}

During the synthesis of some of those marine bis-indole alkaloids, we obtained some mono-indolic compounds displaying good antibacterial activities against *Staphylococcus* species, with MICs of 8–32 mg/L for the most active compounds. This

activity was maintained against MDR MRSA and VISA strains.²² SAR studies highlighted the importance of the presence of a halogen atom at position C-5 or C-6 of the indole core and of the ethanamine side chain (-CH₂NH₂ or -CH₂NHBoc) for the antibacterial activity.²² Using a disconnection approach, further synthetic developments of bis-indole alkaloids from 1-(1H-indol-3-yl) ethanamine derivatives led to a set of bis-indolic compounds with either a central amide or $\alpha\text{-keto-amide linker.}^{20-22}$ In the present study, we have demonstrated that these compounds display potent activities against S. aureus and CoNS species with the lead compounds having MICs of 1-4 mg/L. Moreover, the antistaphylococcal activity of the bis-indolic compounds was not altered by common *Staphylococcus* sp. resistance mechanisms, including resistance to methicillin (through the mecA gene encoding PBP2a), glycopeptides (VISA strains) or fluoroquinolones (through NorA overexpression). The bis-indoles displayed a bactericidal effect against S. aureus strains after 8 h of exposure, although it was more pronounced for compounds 10a, 14a and 17d. However, after 8 h bacterial regrowth was observed. According to the CLSI M26-A guidelines, regrowth can be due to: (i) selection of resistant mutants; (ii) drug inactivation over time in incubated cultures; and (iii) bacterial adhesion to the glass tubes used in time-kill assays leading to artefactual growth of susceptible bacteria.²⁹ The first hypothesis was ruled out by demonstrating that 17d MICs were unchanged for surviving bacteria. Inactivation of the bis-indoles after 24 h of incubation at 37°C also seems unlikely since $a > 3 \log_{10}$ reduction in cfu counts was observed in MBC experiments after the same incubation time. Therefore, the bacterial regrowth observed for time-kill experiments could be artefactual. Especially, the poor correlation between MBC and time-kill experiments at 24 h incubation time may be related to different experimental conditions, including very different reaction volumes and bacterial growth containers (polystyrene microplates versus glass tubes, respectively).

A preliminary SAR analysis showed that the concomitant presence of a bromine atom at the C-5, C-5', C-6 or C-6' position of the indole cores and of the CH₂NHBoc side chain in the linker made it possible to obtain antibacterial activities similar to those of the mono-indolic derivatives previously described. Replacement of the CH₂NHBoc chain with a carboxylic acid, an ester, a methyl alcohol or an alkyl amide group decreased or abolished antibacterial activities. Compared with mono-indolic derivatives, the bis-indole compounds showed a substantial increase in antibacterial activities when one bromine atom was added to each of the two indole cores. Improved antistaphylococcal activity was obtained with two atoms of bromine, whereas the introduction of atoms of fluorine or chlorine in place of bromine atom(s) led to MICs being increased 2-8-fold. Finally, we demonstrated the importance of the free nitrogen atom of the second indole core. Indeed, the N-methylated derivative was completely inactive. This could result from the loss of opportunities for hydrogen bonds between this amine function in the N-methylated derivative and its bacterial target, likely necessary to inhibit bacterial growth. It was also surprising to note that the replacement of the CH₂NHBoc side chain by a methyl group did not alter the antibacterial activity of the synthetic di-brominated bis-indole compounds. Further structural optimizations are still required, however, to improve their solubility at physiological pH, to obtain a higher fraction of unbound compound and to reduce their cytotoxicity while enhancing their antibacterial activity.

The mechanism(s) of action and bacterial target(s) of our bis-indole derivatives have not yet been elucidated, but a number of hypotheses can be highlighted. In the literature, a few molecules with a bis-indole scaffold (other than natural marine bis-indole alkaloids) are mentioned as antibacterial agents, including bis-(imidazolinylindole) derivatives with broad-spectrum antibacterial activity against Gram-positive (i.e. Staphylococcus, Enterococcus, Streptococcus and Clostridium species) and Gram-negative (i.e. Enterobacteriaceae, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Burkholderia and Haemophilus species) bacteria^{39,40} and bis-indoles active against MDR bacteria such as MRSA and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus species,^{39,40} Mycobacterium tuberculosis⁴¹ and Acinetobacter baumannii.⁴² Sharing some structural features with molecules that bind to the minor groove of duplex DNA, these compounds may act through DNA synthesis inhibition with higher affinity for bacterial DNA than for mammalian DNA.³⁹ However, their rapid bactericidal effect, broad-spectrum activity and planar structure able to bind DNA probably indicate a different mechanism of action as compared with our bis-indole compounds. In another study, aryl-3,3'-bis(indolyl)methanes displaying weak antibacterial activity have been described, with no mention of their potential mechanism of action.⁴³ Very recently, antibacterial activity against E. coli and B. subtilis of synthetic bis-indole molecules with -CO-NH-NH-CO- and -CO-CO-NH-NH-CO- linkers was identified. They inhibit the interaction between RNA polymerase and σ factors, which is essential to initiate bacterial transcription properly and efficiently.44

As for the mode of action of the bis-indole compounds isolated from marine sponges, the first hypothesis focused on inhibition of virulence-associated protein sortase A (SrtA), a membraneassociated transpeptidase modulating the adhesion ability of S. aureus to host tissue.⁴⁵ However, in 2011, Zoraghi et al.³⁸ demonstrated that SrtA is not essential for the arowth and viability of S. aureus and that specific SrtA inhibitors did not reduce bacterial growth. They also identified spongotine A, cis-3,4dihydrohamacanthin B and bromodeoxytopsentin isolated from Topsentia pachastrelloides as potent inhibitors of MRSA pyruvate kinase (PK), an essential hub in the MRSA interactome and thus an advantageous target for development of new therapeutics.^{38,46} Measured IC_{50} values were under the nanomolar level for MRSA PK and compounds displayed \geq 166–600-fold selectivity towards bacterial versus human PK isoforms, owing to structural differences in protein sequences and structures.^{38,43,46,47} Cocrystallization of MRSA PK with inhibitor molecules located the binding pocket in a small interface of the PK tetramer. Through salt bridges and hydrophobic interactions, bis-indole compounds may block PK in a rigid conformation, preventing the enzyme from passing from its inactive to active state.^{38,46,4} Evidence that our synthetic bis-indole derivatives may share the same antibacterial mechanism as the bis-indole alkaloids of sponges (i.e. inhibit MRSA PK) is also highlighted by the antistaphylococcal spectra and the structural similarities observed between compounds presented in this study and the previously identified MRSA PK inhibitors.^{46,48,49} On the other hand, various observations require clarification: e.g. Zoraghi et al.³⁸ reported that bromotopsentin did not inhibit MRSA PK, although its activity against MRSA strains was described by Oh et al.¹⁹ as similar to or 2-fold higher than that of bromodeoxytopsentin, a compound described as a potent MRSA PK inhibitor.³⁸ Moreover, in 2012, Kumar et al.⁴⁹

synthesized chemical compounds with high antistaphylococcal activity despite weak inhibition properties against MRSA PK, possibly because of their particular *Z*-configuration, and concluded that there was a poor correlation between anti-MRSA and PK inhibitory activities. This was also highlighted in a more recent paper from Kumar *et al.*,⁴⁸ who developed compounds with low IC_{50} against MRSA PK but high MICs. The authors suggested that the poor correlation between MRSA PK inhibitory activity and MIC values for *S. aureus* may be due to differences in solubility, cellular penetration or efflux of their bis-indole compounds.⁴⁸ The authors also demonstrated that the bactericidal activity of their lead compounds was dependent on the presence of the *pyk* gene in the tested bacterial strain but did not require PK metabolic activity. A bacteriostatic activity was preserved against an *S. aureus* strain in which the *pyk* gene had been deleted.

We recently demonstrated that some of our lead bis-indole compounds are also active against *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*,⁵⁰ a highly virulent, facultative intracellular, Gramnegative bacillus. Therefore, the antibacterial spectrum of these compounds may not be limited to *Staphylococcus* species. The mode of action of the bis-indole compounds, either natural or synthetic, still has to be fully characterized. It can be hypothesized that these compounds block MRSA PK in an inactive state, but also alter non-enzymatic functions of this hub protein. Alternatively, there may be another bacterial target explaining *pyk*-independent bacteriostatic activity. It should be emphasized that SAR studies should be conducted on the basis of MIC values and not on the basis of the IC₅₀ for MRSA PK.

Bacterial resistance to fluoroquinolones is mainly related to mutations in the genes coding for DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV, the natural targets of these antibiotics, and/or to overexpression of multidrug efflux pumps.^{28,51,52} In the latter case, efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs) can partially restore the activity of the fluoroquinolones. Moreover, EPIs can reduce the emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants.⁵¹ Characterization of new EPIs is mainly based on high-throughput screening of existing chemical libraries. Some of our synthetic bis-indole compounds displayed no proper antibacterial activity, but high inhibitory properties, against the multidrug efflux pump NorA, a member of the major facilitator superfamily whose overexpression plays a major role in fluoroquinolone resistance in S. aureus. To our knowledge, the structure of the NorA efflux pump has not been resolved, but this protein is considered to present a large hydrophobic binding site.²⁸ Previous indole-containing NorA EPIs have been described, including reserpine and 5-nitro-2-phenyl-1H-indole (INF55). The latter has recently been combined with berberine in a single molecule resulting in a >382-fold increase in berberine antibacterial activity against the NorA-overexpressing S. aureus strain SA-K2378.⁵³ We previously demonstrated that mono-indolic aldonitrones carrying a halogen atom at the C-5 position and a CH₂NHBoc alkyl chain were potent NorA EPIs, with an activity 4-5-fold higher than that of the reference compound reserpine.² In this study, we observed a 4-fold reduction of the ciprofloxacin MIC when adding one of the most active bis-indole compounds 9a or 12 at 0.5 and 1 mg/L, respectively. The restoration of the activity of ciprofloxacin was proportional to the concentration of the bis-indole derivative tested, confirming a synergistic effect between the two compounds. The combination of a fluoroquinolone and a bis-indole NorA-EPI may represent an advantageous therapeutic alternative for infections caused

by NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* strains. This combination may also better prevent the clinical emergence of high-level fluoroquinolone-resistant strains. The bis-indole derivatives with proper antibacterial activity could not be tested for potential NorA EPI activity, but these compounds may cumulate both properties. The present results correlate with those of Kumar *et al.*,⁴⁸ which showed that the MIC of some of their bis-indole compounds was reduced 4–32-fold for *S. aureus* when adding verapamil (an inhibitor of the ABC class of efflux pumps) at 200 mg/L to the culture medium. This suggests that the bis-indole compounds and verapamil are substrates of the same efflux pumps. The activation of such efflux pumps may explain why the bis-indole compounds are only transiently bactericidal against *S. aureus in vitro*.

In contrast to mono-indole EPIs, the SAR studies for bis-indole NorA EPIs did not show better antibacterial activity when a halogen was added at any position on the indole core, as observed for EPI compounds **9a** and **12**. Moreover, the acidic function of EPI **14b** correlated with a strong loss of NorA EPI potency. This was probably related to the introduction of a negative charge via deprotonation of the acidic function, no longer fitting with the hydrophobic pocket of the active site of the NorA efflux pump. Further ethidium bromide efflux inhibition assays will enable us to compare the inhibition potency of our lead EPIs with other potent NorA EPIs.

In conclusion, we synthesized bis-indolic compounds with original structures as compared with the natural bis-indole alkaloids of marine sponges. Among them, we identified derivatives with antistaphylococcal properties, including against MRSA and VISA strains, but also derivatives with inhibitory activity against the *S. aureus* NorA efflux pump. All these derivatives are promising candidates for development of new antistaphylococcal treatments that are active against MDR *S. aureus*. The bacterial target(s) of these bis-indolic compounds remains to be characterized. Further structural optimization is needed to tentatively increase the solubility and activity of these compounds and reduce their cytotoxicity.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. W. Kaatz (University of Michigan, USA) for providing the SA-1199B strain, Dr Thierry Cresteil and Dr Geneviève Aubert (UPR 2301 CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) for the cytotoxicity assays and Dr Cyril Colas [Fédération de Recherche, ICOA/CBM (FR2708) platform, Orléans, France] for the high-resolution MS analyses. We thank Linda Northrup for editing the article prior to submission.

Funding

This work was funded by the ANR-08-EBIO-012 grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data, including Figures S1 to S6 and Table S1, are available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/).

References

1 Hamad B. The antibiotics market. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2010; 9: 675–6.

2 Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS *et al*. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **48**: 1–12.

3 Projan SJ. Why is big Pharma getting out of antibacterial drug discovery? *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003; **6**: 427–30.

4 Munoz-Bellido JL, Manzanares MAA, Andres JAM *et al.* Efflux pumpmediated quinolone resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains wild type for *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *grlA*, and *norA*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; **43**: 354–6.

5 Tanaka M, Wang T, Onodera Y *et al*. Mechanism of quinolone resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Infect Chemother 2000; **6**: 131–9.

6 Kaatz GW, Seo SM, Ruble CA. Efflux-mediated fluoroquinolone resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1993; **37**: 1086–94.

7 Van Hal SJ, Lodise TP, Paterson DL. The clinical significance of vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration in *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; **54**: 755–71.

8 Van Hal SJ, Fowler VG. Is it time to replace vancomycin in the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections? *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; **56**: 1779–88.

9 Lewis K. Antibiotics: recover the lost art of drug discovery. *Nature* 2012; **485**: 439–40.

10 Kumar K, Chopra S. New drugs for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an update. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; **68**: 1465–70.

11 Wenzel RP. The antibiotic pipeline: challenges, costs, and values. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**: 523–6.

12 Shaw KJ, Barbachyn MR. The oxazolidinones: past, present, and future. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2011; **1241**: 48–70.

13 Vilhena C, Bettencourt A. Daptomycin: a review of properties, clinical use, drug delivery and resistance. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2012; **12**: 202–9.

14 Robbel L, Marahiel MA. Daptomycin, a bacterial lipopeptide synthesized by a nonribosomal machinery. *J Biol Chem* 2010; **285**: 27501–8.

15 Bayer AS, Schneider T, Sahl H-G. Mechanisms of daptomycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: role of the cell membrane and cell wall. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013; **1277**: 139–58.

16 Long KS, Vester B. Resistance to linezolid caused by modifications at its binding site on the ribosome. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56**: 603–12.

17 Gul W, Hamann MT. Indole alkaloid marine natural products: an established source of cancer drug leads with considerable promise for the control of parasitic, neurological and other diseases. *Life Sci* 2005; **78**: 442–53.

18 Guinchard X. Chimie des nitrones: applications en synthèse organique et à la synthèse de composés bio-actifs. *PhD Thesis*. Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France, 2006.

19 Oh K-B, Mar W, Kim S *et al*. Antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity of bis(indole) alkaloids from the sponge *Spongosorites* sp. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2006; **29**: 570–3.

20 Guinchard X, Vallée Y, Denis J-N. Total syntheses of brominated marine sponge alkaloids. *Org Lett* 2007; **9**: 3761–4.

21 Guinchard X, Vallée Y, Denis J-N. Total synthesis of marine sponge bis(indole) alkaloids of the topsentin class. *J Org Chem* 2007; **72**: 3972–5.

22 Burchak ON, Pihive EL, Maigre L *et al.* Synthesis and evaluation of 1-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine derivatives as new antibacterial agents. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2011; **19**: 3204–15.

23 Hequet A, Burchak ON, Jeanty M *et al.* 1-(1*H*-Indol-3-yl)ethanamine derivatives as potent *Staphylococcus aureus* NorA efflux pump inhibitors. *ChemMedChem* 2014; **9**: 1534-45.

24 Denis J-N, Guinchard X, Moreau N *et al*. Synthesis of new indole derivatives, their preparation processes, and their antibacterial uses. September 2008. *PCT Int Appl*, WO 2008110690 A2 20080918.

25 Denis J-N, Jolivalt C, Maurin M *et al.* Preparation of novel bis-indolic derivatives antibacterial drugs and a process for preparing them. January 2013. *PCT Int Appl*, WO 2013014102 A1 20130131.

26 Denis J-N, Jolivalt C, Maurin M *et al.* Preparation of bis-indole derivatives useful as antibacterials. January 2013. *PCT Int Appl,* WO 2013014104 A1 20130131.

27 Bergeron M, Dauwalder O, Gouy M *et al.* Species identification of staphylococci by amplification and sequencing of the *tuf* gene compared to the *gap* gene and by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011; **30**: 343–54.

28 Sabatini S, Gosetto F, Manfroni G *et al*. Evolution from a natural flavones nucleus to obtain 2-(4-propoxyphenyl)quinoline derivatives as potent inhibitors of the *S. aureus* NorA efflux pump. *J Med Chem* 2011; **54**: 5722–36.

29 National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. *Methods for Determining Bactericidal Activity of Antimicrobial Agents: Approved Guideline M26-A.* NCCLS, Wayne, PA, USA, 1999.

30 Oravcova J, Böhs B, Lindner W. Drug-protein binding studies new trends in analytical and experimental methodology. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci App* 1996; **677**: 1–28.

31 Valko K, Du C, Bevan C *et al.* Rapid method for the estimation of octanol/water partition coefficient (log P_{oct}) from gradient RP-HPLC retention and a hydrogen bond acidity term (Sigma alpha2H). *Curr Med Chem* 2001; **8**: 1137–46.

32 Gould IM, Cauda R, Esposito S *et al*. Management of serious meticillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus infections*: what are the limits? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011; **37**: 202–9.

33 Bao B, Sun Q, Yao X *et al.* Bisindole alkaloids of the topsentin and hamacanthin classes from a marine sponge *Spongosorites* sp. *J Nat Prod* 2007; **70**: 2–8.

34 Casapullo A, Bifulco G, Bruno I *et al*. New bisindole alkaloids of the topsentin and hamacanthin classes from the Mediterranean marine sponge *Rhaphisia lacazei. J Nat Prod* 2000; **63**: 447–51.

35 Gunasekera SP, McCarthy PJ, Kelly-Borges M. Hamacanthins A and B, new antifungal bis indole alkaloids from the deep-water marine sponge, *Hamacantha* sp. J Nat Prod 1994; **57**: 1437–41.

36 Bao B, Sun Q, Yao X *et al*. Cytotoxic bisindole alkaloids from a marine sponge *Spongosorites* sp. *J Nat Prod* 2005; **68**: 711–5.

37 Wright AE, Pomponi SA, Cross SS *et al*. A new bis-(indole) alkaloid from a deep-water marine sponge of the genus *Spongosorites*. *J Org Chem* 1992; **57**: 4772–5.

38 Zoraghi R, Worrall L, See RH *et al*. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) pyruvate kinase as a target for bis-indole alkaloids with antibacterial activities. *J Biol Chem* 2011; **286**: 44716–25.

39 Panchal RG, Ulrich RL, Lane D *et al*. Novel broad-spectrum bis-(imidazolinylindole) derivatives with potent antibacterial activities against antibioticresistant strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 4283–91.

40 Butler MM, Williams JD, Peet NP *et al*. Comparative in vitro activity profiles of novel bis-indole antibacterials against Gram-positive and Gram-negative clinical isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 3974–7.

41 Panchal RG, Lane D, Boshoff HI *et al*. Bis-imidazolinylindoles are active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and

multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 2013; **66**: 47–9.

42 Jacobs MR, Bajaksouzian S, Good CE *et al.* Novel bis-indole agents active against multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011; **69**: 114–6.

43 Kumar GS, Kumaresan S, Muthu Prabhu AA *et al.* An efficient one pot syntheses of aryl-3,3'-bis(indolyl)methanes and studies on their spectral characteristics, DPPH radical scavenging-, antimicrobial-, cytotoxicity-, and antituberculosis activity. *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc* 2013; **101**: 254–63.

44 Mielczarek M, Devakaram RV, Ma C *et al.* Synthesis and biological activity of novel bis-indole inhibitors of bacterial transcription initiation complex formation. *Org Biomol Chem* 2014; **12**: 2882–94.

45 Oh K-B, Mar W, Kim S et al. Bis(indole) alkaloids as sortase A inhibitors from the sponge Spongosorites sp. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2005; **15**: 4927–31.

46 Zoraghi R, See RH, Axerio-Cilies P *et al*. Identification of pyruvate kinase in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a novel antimicrobial drug target. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 2042–53.

47 Axerio-Cilies P, See RH, Zoraghi R *et al*. Cheminformatics-driven discovery of selective, nanomolar inhibitors for staphylococcal pyruvate kinase. *ACS Chem Biol* 2012; **7**: 350–9.

48 Kumar NS, Dullaghan EM, Finlay BB *et al.* Discovery and optimization of a new class of pyruvate kinase inhibitors as potential therapeutics for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2014; **22**: 1708–25.

49 Kumar NS, Amandoron EA, Cherkasov A *et al*. Optimization and structure–activity relationships of a series of potent inhibitors of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) pyruvate kinase as novel antimicrobial agents. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2012; **20**: 7069–82.

50 Caspar Y, Sutera V, Boisset S *et al.* Bis-indolic compounds as potential new therapeutic alternatives for tularaemia. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014; **4**: 24.

51 Markham PN, Westhaus E, Klyachko K *et al*. Multiple novel inhibitors of the NorA multidrug transporter of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; **43**: 2404–8.

52 Costa SS, Falcão C, Viveiros M *et al.* Exploring the contribution of efflux on the resistance to fluoroquinolones in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *BMC Microbiol* 2011; **11**: 241.

53 Samosorn S, Tanwirat B, Muhamad N *et al*. Antibacterial activity of berberine-NorA pump inhibitor hybrids with a methylene ether linking group. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2009; **17**: 3866–72.

Supplemental Material

Novel synthetic bis-indolic derivatives with antistaphylococcal activity, including against MRSA and VISA strains

Yvan Caspar,^{1,2#} Matthieu Jeanty,^{3¢} Jérôme Blu,³ Olga Burchak,³ Emmanuelle Le Pihive,² Laure Maigre,² Dominique Schneider,² Claude Jolivalt,^{4‡} Jean-Marc Paris,⁴ Arnaud Hequet,^{4§} Frédéric Minassian,³ Jean-Noël Denis,^{3*} Max Maurin^{1,2*}

¹ Laboratoire de bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, CS10217, 38043 Grenoble cedex 9, France

² Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LAPM, F-38000 Grenoble, France

CNRS, LAPM, F-38000 Grenoble, France

³ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, DCM, F-38000 Grenoble, France

CNRS, DCM, F-38000 Grenoble, France

⁴ Chimie ParisTech, Laboratoire Charles Friedel, 75005 Paris, France

[#]Address correspondence to Yvan Caspar, <u>YCaspar@chu-grenoble.fr</u>

⁽¹⁾ Present address: NovAliX, Site Janssen-Cilag, Campus de Maigremont, Val-de-Reuil, France

[‡] Present address: Laboratoire de Réactivité de Surface, UMR 7197 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Ivry sur Seine, France

§ Present address: Laboratoire d'Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, UMR 7267 CNRS, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France

*J.-N. Denis and M. Maurin contributed equally to this work.

Table of contents

Chemistry – General informations	S 2
Experimental procedures and characterization data for products	S2
Synthesis of bis-indolic compounds 9a-k and 10a,b (Figure 2)	S2
Alternative synthesis of indolic amine 7e (Figure S1)	S 7
Synthesis of the bis-indole amide 12 (Figure S2)	S 8
Synthesis of the bis-indoles 14a-c possessing hydrophilic groups (Figure 3)	S 9
Synthesis of bis-indole derivatives 15a-c (Figure 4)	S12
Synthesis of bis-indole compounds 17a-d (Figure 5)	S13
Cytotoxicity assays	S14
Cytotoxicity assays performed on KB, MCR5 and HCT116 cell lines (Table S1)	S 14

Chemistry – General informations

Purchased reagents and solvents were used without purification except those indicated below. Tetrahydrofuran, diethylether and toluene were refluxed over sodium-benzophenone and then distilled. Dichloromethane, acetonitrile, N,N-dimethylformamide, methanol, pyridine and triethylamine were dried by refluxing over CaH₂ and then distilled. Acetyl chloride was distilled prior use. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using commercial aluminium-backed silica gel plates (Merck G 60 F254). TLC spots were viewed under ultraviolet light and by heating the plate after treatment with either a 0.5% solution of ninhydrine in 3% ethanolic acetic acid or a 2% solution of potassium permanganate in 7% aqueous sodium carbonate. N-Hydroxylamines were detected with a 1% triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TTC) in ethanol followed by alkaline treatment with an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (red color). Product purification by gravity column chromatography was performed using Macherey-Nagel Silica Gel 60 (70-230 mesh). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Impact-400 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) or on a Nicolet Magna-550 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) equipped with an ATR (Attenuated Total Reflection) device and the data are reported in reciprocal centimeters (cm⁻¹). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were run on Bruker Advance300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H spectra are values determined from the internal solvent signal [CD₃OD (δ 3.31 ppm), (CD₃)₂CO (δ 2.05 ppm) and DMSO-d₆ (δ 2.5 ppm)] or from tetramethylsilane in CDCl₃ (δ 0.00 ppm), and are reported as follows: chemical shifts (ppm), multiplicity, coupling constants (Hz), and integration. The following abbreviations are used for the multiplicities: s: singlet, d: doublet, dd: doublet of doublet, ddd: doublet of doublet of doublet, t: triplet, dt: doublet of triplet, q: quadruplet, m: multiplet, br s: broad singlet. Chemical shifts for ¹³C NMR spectra are determined from the internal solvent signal. Low-resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a ThermoFinnigan PolarisQ ion-trap spectrometer using DCI (ammonia/isobutane 63:37) or on a Bruker Esquire 3000 plus (ESI) at DCM. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a Bruker maXis mass spectrometer by the Fédération de Recherche ICOA/CBM (FR2708) platform, Orléans, France. Microwave irradiation experiments were conducted in a CEM Discover S-Class apparatus (single mode technology).

Experimental procedures and characterization data for products

Synthesis of bis-indolic compounds 9a-k and 10a,b (Figure 2)

1H-Indole-3-carboxylic acids 8a-f

1*H*-Indole-3-carboxylic acids **8a-f** are commercially available. 5-Halo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acids **8b-f** were prepared in a two-step sequence according to the method reported by Katner.³⁴

Mono-indolic amines 7a-d

Indolic compounds **7a**, **7b** and **7c** were prepared as previously described.²²

tert-Butyl 2-amino-2-(5-chloro-1H-indol-3-yl)ethylcarbamate 7d

The synthesis of the *tert*-butyl 2-(5-chloro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(hydroxyamino)ethylcarbamate was previously described.²⁴ To a stirred solution of this compound (326 mg, 1.0 mmol) in 5 mL of methanol was added 1.7 mL of a 15% aqueous solution of titanium trichloride (339 mg, 2.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature during 30 min. A large excess of a 20% aqueous solution of NaOH saturated with NaCl was added. Methanol was then removed under vacuum and the crude mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x20 mL).

The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O and brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Pure amine **7d** was obtained as a white solid (288 mg, 0.93 mmol). Yield: 93%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.41$ (s, 9H), 3.39-3.45 (m, 2H), 4.30-4.34 (m, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.66 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.8$ (3C), 48.7, 49.3, 80.2 (C), 113.6, 117.2, 119.2, 122.8, 124.5, 125.7, 128.6, 136.5, 156.5 ppm. LRMS (ESI+): m/z (%) = 310 (13) [(M+H)⁺], 237 (100) [(M-C₄H₉O+H)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₂H₂₇ClN₃O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 416.1735. Found: 416.1735.

1-(5-Bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethanamine 7e

The synthesis of the N-[1-(5-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl]hydroxylamine was reported.²⁴ LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 253 and 255 [(M-H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₀H₁₀BrN₂O: 252.9971 and 254.9951. Found: 252.9974 and 254.9955 $[(M+H-H_2)^+]$ (loss of H₂). In a round-bottomed flask, N-[1-(5-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl]hydroxylamine (780 mg, 3.06 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 mL). A 20% weight aqueous solution of TiCl₃ (4.3 mL, 6.73 mmol) was added dropwise at room temperature. During the addition, the mixture became black and then turned colorless rapidly. After stirring for 30 minutes, the mixture was poured in a 20% weight aqueous solution of NaOH saturated with NaCl. The resulting solution was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The desired indolic amine 7e (670 mg, 2.80 mmol) was obtained without any further purification as a brown solid. Yield: 92%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.38$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 2.16 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 4.25 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.15 (dd, J = 1.6 and 8.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.23 (s, 1H, CH), 7.30(d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.84 (s, 1H, CH), 11.00 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 25.0 (CH₃), 43.4 (CH), 110.6 (C), 113.2 (CH), 119.2 (C), 121.4 (CH), 122.6 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 127.5 (C), 135.1 (C) ppm. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 237 and 239 [(M-H)⁻].

Bis-indolic compounds 9a-k and 10a,b

General procedure

In a flask, indolic diamine 7 (1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF or CH_2Cl_2 . To this solution, 1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid 8 (1 equiv.) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDCI) or dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (1.1 equiv.) were added. The mixture was stirred for 20 hours at room temperature. The homogeneous crude material was then diluted in ethyl acetate (30 mL). The organic layer was washed twice with 1N aqueous HCl and once with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane) to afford the desired product 9 or 10.

tert-Butyl [2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9a

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7a** (100 mg, 0.364 mmol), 1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8a** (59 mg, 0.366 mmol) and EDCI (71 µL, 0.400 mmol) in THF (5 mL). After purification, the compound **9a** (60 mg, 0.143 mmol, 40%) was obtained as a white solid. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): $\delta = 1.36$ (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 3.70-3.75 (m, 2H, CH₂), 5.70-5.76 (m, 1H, CHN), 6.28 (s, 1H, NHBoc), 7.01 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H_{indole}), 7.08-7.18 (m, 3H, H_{indole}), 7.35-7.45 (m, 4H, H_{indole}), 10.13 (br s, 1H, NH), 10.64 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 28.2$ (C(<u>CH₃</u>)₃), 44.5 (CH₂), 45.2 (CHN), 77.7 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 110.7, 111.3, 111.7, 115.1, 118.4, 118.9, 120.2, 121.0, 121.7, 122.3, 126.2, 126.4, 127.8, 136.1, 136.2, 156.0 (C=O), 164.1 (C=O) ppm. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* = 441 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₇N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 419.2078. Found: 419.2078.

tert-Butyl [2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl-carboxamido)ethyl]carbamate 9b Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine 7b (60 mg, 0.169 mmol), 1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid 8a (27 mg, 0.169 mmol) and DCC (38 mg, 0.186 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). After purification, the compound 9b (62 mg, 0.125 mmol, 73%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 198°C decomp. (EtOAc/cyclohexane). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 9H), 3.58-3.70 (m, 2H), 5.56-5.60 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.90-7.92 (m, 2H), 8.11 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.7$ (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₃), 45.7 (CH₂), 48.4 (CHN), 80.3 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 111.9, 112.7, 113.3, 114.0, 115.5, 121.8, 122.0, 122.5, 123.4, 124.8, 125.4, 127.1, 129.3, 129.4, 136.8, 138.1, 159.1, 168.2 ppm. IR (ATR): 3411, 3392, 3370, 3328, 3289, 1657, 1619, 1536, 1489, 1458, 1169, 732 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 497 and 499 [(M+H)⁺], 519 and 521 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₄O₃BrNa [(M+Na)⁺]: 519.1002 and 521.0985. Found: 519.1005 and 521.0983.

tert-Butyl [2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9c

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7a** (150 mg, 0.545 mmol), 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8b** (131 mg, 0.545 mmol) and EDCI (110 μ L, 0.599 mmol) in THF (8 mL). After purification, the compound **9c** (195 mg, 0.392 mmol, 72%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 183°C (EtOAc/pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 9H), 3.60-3.74 (m, 2H), 5.62-5.66 (m, 1H), 6.99-7.05 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.13 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.71-7.74 (m, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 8.32 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.7$ (C(<u>CH₃)₃</u>), 45.7 (CH₂), 48.6 (CHN), 80.3 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 111.7, 112.4, 114.4, 115.4, 119.9, 120.1, 122.7, 123.2, 124.7, 126.3, 127.7, 129.2, 130.2, 136.7, 138.3, 159.1, 167.6 ppm. IR (ATR): 3374, 3309, 1728, 1652, 1626, 1533, 1455, 1371, 1355, 1293, 1256, 1166, 739cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* = 519 and 521 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₄O₃BrNa [(M+Na)⁺]: 519.1002 and 521.0985. Found: 519.1004 and 521.0982.

tert-Butyl [2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9d Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine 7c (60 mg, 0.169 mmol), 1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid 8a and EDCI (33 µL, 0.186 mmol) in THF (4 mL). After purification, the compound 9d (55 mg, 0.111 mmol, 65%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 207°C decomp. (EtOAc/cyclohexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 1.34 (s, 9H), 3.63-3.67 (m, 2H), 5.59-5.64 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.39-7.42 (m, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 8.08-8.11 (m, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 28.7 (C(CH₃)₃), 45.6 (CH₂), 48.4 (CHN), 80.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 111.9, 112.8, 115.3, 116.0, 116.1, 121.4, 121.8, 122.0, 123.1, 123.4, 124.1, 126.6, 127.1, 129.3, 138.1, 139.0, 159.1, 168.2 ppm. IR (ATR): 3400, 3367, 3309, 2983, 2936, 1655, 1625, 1536, 1496, 1365, 1289, 1163, 807, 734 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* = 497 and 499 [(M+H)⁺], 519 and 521 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₄O₃BrNa [(M+Na)⁺]: 519.1002 and 521.0985. Found: 519.1005 and 521.0983.

tert-Butyl [2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9e Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine 7a (60 mg, 0.218 mmol), 6-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid 8c (53 mg, 0.218 mmol) and EDCI (45 μ L, 0.248 mmol) in THF (4 mL). After purification, the compound 9e (83 mg, 0.167 mmol, 77%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 215°C (EtOAc/pentane). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 1.35 (s, 9H), 3.63-3.72 (m, 2H), 5.62-5.65 (m, 1H), 7.01 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (br s, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 28.7 (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₃), 45.7 (CH₂), 48.6 (CHN), 80.2 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 112.2 (C), 112.4 (CH), 115.3 (C), 115.6 (CH), 116.8 (C), 119.9 (CH), 120.0 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 126.3 (C), 127.6 (C), 129.8 (CH), 138.3 (C), 138.9 (C), 159.1 (C), 167.6 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3410, 3392, 3370, 3324, 3292, 2977, 1655, 1626, 1535, 1496, 1160, 737cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 519 and 521 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₄O₃BrNa [(M+Na)⁺]: 519.1002 and 521.0985. Found: 519.1004 and 521.0982.

tert-Butyl [2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9f

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7b** (60 mg, 0.169 mmol), 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8b** (41 mg, 0.169 mmol) and EDCI (33 µL, 0.186 mmol) in THF (5 mL). After purification, the compound **9f** (67 mg, 0.116 mmol, 68%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 225°C (EtOAc/pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 9H), 3.56-3.71 (m, 2H), 5.55-5.59 (m, 1H), 7.18 (dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.89-7.92 (m, 2H), 8.31 (br s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.7$ (C(CH₃)₃), 45.6 (CH₂), 48.3 (CHN), 80.3 (<u>C(</u>CH₃)₃), 111.6, 113.3, 114.0, 114.3, 115.3, 122.5, 124.6, 124.7, 125.4, 126.3, 129.1, 129.4, 130.1, 136.7, 138.8, 159.0, 167.5 ppm. IR (ATR): 3429, 3376, 3296, 2985, 1729, 1647, 1625, 1525, 1457, 1361, 1254, 1164, 887, 790 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* = 597, 599 and 601 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₄N₄O₃Br₂Na [(M+Na)⁺]: 597.0107, 599.0089 and 601.0073. Found: 597.0114, 599.0091 and 601.0071.

tert-Butyl [2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9g

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7b** (80 mg, 0.226 mmol), 6-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8c** (54 mg, 0.226 mmol) and EDCI (45 μ L, 0.248 mmol) in THF (5 mL). After purification, the compound **9g** (84 mg, 0.146 mmol, 65%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 203°C (EtOAc/cyclohexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 9H), 3.58-3.69 (m, 2H), 5.55-5.58 (m, 1H), 7.18-7.27 (m, 3H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.90 (s, 2H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.7$ (C(<u>CH₃</u>)₃), 45.6 (CH₂), 48.3 (CHN), 80.3 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 112.2, 113.3, 114.0, 115.4, 115.6, 116.8, 122.5, 123.4, 124.7, 125.1, 125.4, 126.5, 129.4, 129.8, 136.8, 138.8, 159.1, 167.6 ppm. IR (ATR): 3359, 3293, 2977, 1663, 1626, 1533, 1448, 1277, 1167 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 597, 599 and 601 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₄N₄O₃Br₂Na [(M+Na)⁺]: 597.0107, 599.0089 and 601.0073. Found: 597.0113, 599.0090 and 601.0071.

tert-Butyl [2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9h

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7c** (40 mg, 0.113 mmol), 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8b** (27 mg, 0.113 mmol) and EDCI (22 μ L, 0.124 mmol) in THF (3 mL). After purification, the compound **9h** (34 mg, 0.059 mmol, 52%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 227°C (EtOAc/pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 1.35 (s, 9H), 3.61-3.71 (m, 2H), 5.59-5.65 (m, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 1.7 and 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.34 (m, 3H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 8.32 (br s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 28.7 (C(<u>CH₃)₃</u>), 45.6 (CH₂), 48.2 (CHN), 80.3 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 111.6, 114.3, 115.3, 115.3, 115.8, 116.1, 121.4, 123.2, 124.1, 124.6, 126.3, 126.6, 129.1, 130.1, 136.7, 139.0, 159.1, 167.5 ppm. IR (ATR): 3364, 3293, 2978, 2933, 1652, 1628, 1530, 1455, 1290, 1253, 1162, 800, 788 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 597, 599 and 601 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₄N₄O₃Br₂Na [(M+Na)⁺]: 597.0107, 599.0089 and 601.0073. Found: 597.0113, 599.0091 and 601.0071.

tert-Butyl [2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9i

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7c** (70 mg, 0.198 mmol), 6-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8c** (47 mg, 0.198 mmol) and EDCI (38 μ L, 0.217 mmol) in THF (4 mL). After purification, the compound **9i** (54 mg, 0.094 mmol, 47%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 231°C (EtOAc/pentane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 9H), 3.60-3.71 (m, 2H), 5.58-5.63 (m, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 1.5 and 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 1.5 and 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 28.7$ (C(CH₃)₃), 45.6 (CH₂), 48.4 (CHN), 80.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 112.2, 115.3, 115.7, 115.9, 116.1, 116.9, 121.4, 123.2, 123.5, 124.1, 125.1, 126.3, 126.7, 129.8, 138.9, 139.1, 159.1, 167.6 ppm. IR (ATR): 3436, 3365, 3303, 2982, 2939, 1727, 1650, 1626, 1531, 1451, 1360, 1255, 1161, 840, 802 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* = 597, 599 and 601 [(M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₄N₄O₃Br₂Na [(M+Na)⁺]: 597.0107, 599.0089 and 601.0073. Found: 597.0113, 599.0091 and 601.0071.

tert-Butyl [2-(5-chloro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-chloro-1*H*-indolyl-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9j

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7d** (93 mg, 0.300 mmol), 5-chloro-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8d** (65 mg, 0.330 mmol) and EDCI (51 mg, 0.330 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). After purification, the compound **9j** (110 mg, 0.226 mmol, 75%) was obtained as beige foam. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (s, 9H), 3.56-3.60 (m, 2H), 5.22-5.28 (m, 1H), 5.38-5.43 (m, 1H), 6.88-7.20 (m, 6H), 7.46-7.52 (m, 2H), 8.13 (s, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 9.58 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 28.0$ (3C), 44.6, 47.9, 79.8, 110.4, 112.4, 112.6, 113.7, 118.1, 120.1, 122.1, 122.8, 123.5, 124.9, 126.4, 126.7, 126.9, 128.8, 134.7, 134.8, 157.7, 165.8 ppm. IR (neat): 3410, 3290, 2975, 2930, 1685, 1625, 1535, 1450, 1365, 1160, 895, 795 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): *m*/*z* (%) = 509 (39) [(M+Na)⁺], 237 (100). HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅Cl₂N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 487.1298 and 489.1274. Found: 487.1294 and 489.1269.

tert-Butyl [2-(5-chloro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(6-chloro-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamido)ethyl] carbamate 9k

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic diamine **7d** (93 mg, 0.300 mmol), 6-chloro-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8e** (65 mg, 0.330 mmol) and EDCI (51 mg, 0.330 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). After purification, the compound **9k** (90 mg, 0.185 mmol, 62%) was obtained as beige foam. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (s, 9H), 3.57-3.63 (m, 2H), 5.22-5.28 (m, 1H), 5.40-5.45 (m, 1H), 6.89-7.19 (m, 6H), 7.42-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.96-8.01 (s, 1H), 9.01 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 27.7$ (3C), 44.1, 47.3, 79.4, 110.5, 111.3, 112.2, 113.4, 117.9, 121.2, 121.3, 121.7, 123.3, 123.8, 124.6, 126.7, 128.0, 128.3, 134.8, 136.7, 157.5, 165.8 ppm. IR (neat): 3410, 3270, 2970, 2930, 1685, 1620, 1530, 1445, 1365, 1160, 850, 795 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z (%) = 509 (32) [(M+Na)⁺], 237 (100). HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅Cl₂N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 487.1298 and 489.1274. Found: 487.1296 and 489.1270.

5-Bromo-*N*-[1-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl]-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamide 10a

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic amine **7e** (150 mg, 0.627 mmol), 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8b** (150 mg, 0.627 mmol) and EDCI (107 mg, 0.690 mmol) in THF (10 mL). After purification, the compound **10a** (220 mg, 0.477 mmol, 76%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 144°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.61$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.49-5.56 (m, 1H, CH), 7.17 (dd, J = 1.6 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.27 (dd, J = 2.0 and 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.32-7.41 (m, 3H, CH), 7.82 (s, 1H, CH), 8.12 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.38 (s, 1H, CH), 11.11

(br s, 1H, NH), 11.70 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 20.9$ (CH₃), 40.0 (CH), 110.2 (C), 111.0 (C), 113.0 (C), 113.3 (CH), 113.7 (CH), 118.1 (C), 121.3 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 123.7 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 127.8 (C), 128.2 (C), 128.8 (CH), 134.7 (C), 135.0 (C), 163.2 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3298, 3160, 2986, 1592, 1537, 1445, 1205 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 458, 460 and 462 [(M-H)]⁻. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆Br₂N₃O [(M+H)⁺]: 459.9655, 461.9635 and 463.9617. Found: 459.9646, 461.9631 and 463.9612.

N-[1-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl]-5-fluoro-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamide 10b

Prepared according to the above general procedure from indolic amine **7e** (72 mg, 0.300 mmol), 5-fluoro-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8f** (59 mg, 0.330 mmol) and EDCI (51 mg, 0.330 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). After purification, the compound **10b** (60 mg, 0.150mmol, 50%) was obtained as beige foam. Yield: 50%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD-DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.60$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 5.49 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dt, J = 2.6 and 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (dt, J = 2.6 and 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, J = 1.9 and 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.44 (dd, J = 4.4 and 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, J = 2.6 and 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 8.18 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD₃OD-DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 21.3$, 42.3, 106.9 (d, J = 25.1 Hz), 111.9 (d, J = 26.6 Hz), 112.3, 112.8, 114.0 (d, J = 9.8 Hz), 121.2, 121.4, 121.5, 124.6, 126.2, 127.5, 134.7, 134.9, 135.1, 160.0 (d, J = 235.1 Hz), 168.8, 175.5 ppm. IR (neat): 3455, 3240, 2975, 2870, 1740, 1595, 1530, 1460, 1425, 1205, 1170, 1080, 1005, 930, 850, 760 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 422 and 424 [(M+Na)⁺], 101. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆BrFN₃O [(M+H)⁺]: 400.0455 and 402.0437. Found: 400.0452 and 402.0434.

Alternative synthesis of indolic amine 7e (Figure S1)



Figure S1 Synthesis of the primary indolic amine 7e.

1-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanone

The synthesis of this compound was already described.³⁵

1-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanone oxime 11

The oxime was synthesized according to a previously described procedure.³⁷

Hydroxylamine hydrochloride (834 mg, 12.0 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 3-acetyl-5-bromoindole (952 mg, 4.00 mmol) and pyridine (0.967 mL, 948 mg, 12.0 mmol) in 20 mL of ethanol. The resulting mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 hours and then ethanol was evaporated. Water (50 mL) was added and then the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x30 mL). The organic layers were washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. Pure oxime **11** (1.00 g, 3.95 mmol) was obtained as colourless oil and was used before further purification. Yield: 99%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 2.22 (s, 3H), 7.18-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H) ppm.

1-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine 7e

To a stirred and carefully deoxygenated solution of indolic oxime **11** (633 mg, 2.5 mmol) and H_2O (720 mg, 40.0 mmol, 16 equiv.) in 10 mL of THF, a 0.1 M solution of SmI₂ (110 mL, 11.0 mmol, 4.4 equiv.) was added at room temperature under argon. After 10 minutes, a saturated solution of Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL) and NaHCO₃ (20 mL) was added, followed by EtOAc (50 mL). After extraction, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous

 Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated. Pure amine 7e (560 mg, 2.34 mmol) was obtained as a white solid. Yield: 94%.

Synthesis of the bis-indole amide 12 (Figure S2)



Figure S2 Synthesis of the bis-indole amide 12.

5-Bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-indole

This compound was synthesized according to a previously described procedure.^a

In an oven-dried flask under argon, 5-bromoindole **6b** (1.50 g, 7.65 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (15 mL). After cooling the mixture to 0°C, sodium hydride (367 mg, 9.18 mmol, 60% in oil) was added. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 minutes. Then, methyl iodide (0.525 mL, 1.196 g, 8.42 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 5 hours and quenched with water. After dilution in ethyl acetate and separation, the organic layer was washed three times with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The desired product (1.24 g, 5.90 mmol) was obtained as a yellow solid without further purification. Yield: 77%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.79 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.42 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.03 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.25-7.31 (m, 1H, CH), 7.74 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH) ppm.

1-(5-Bromo-1-methyl-1 H-indol-3-yl)-2, 2, 2-trifluoroe than one

This compound was prepared according to the method reported by Katner.³⁴

In an oven-dried flask under argon, 5-bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-indole (1.24 g, 5.90 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (6 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0°C and trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.23 mL, 1.860 g, 8.86 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 3.5 hours then quenched with water. The crude mixture was filtered to afford a solid. This solid was washed twice with water and dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution followed by brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated. The desired product (1.62 g, 5.29 mmol) was obtained as a solid without further purification. Yield: 90%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.90 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.49 (dd, *J* = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.88 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.56 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH) ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₁H₈BrF₃NO [(M+H)⁺]: 305.9736 and 307.9716. Found: 305.9739 and 307.9717.

5-Bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid 8g

This compound is already described.^b

^a Johanson MB, Kerr MA. Direct functionalization of indoles: Copper-catalyzed malonyl carbenoid insertions. *Org. Lett.* 2010; **12**:4956-59.

A flask was charged with 1-(5-bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanone (1.53 g, 5.03 mmol) and an aqueous solution of 4 M sodium hydroxide (40 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 2.5 hours. Methanol (10 mL) was added to the mixture, which was refluxed overnight, then carefully acidified with 6M aqueous HCl. During the acidification, the carboxylic acid precipitated off the mixture. The resulting suspension was filtered. The solid was washed with water and dissolved in a mixture of ethyl acetate/methanol (about 9/1). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated under vacuum. The desired product **8g** (560 mg, 2.20 mmol) was obtained as a beige solid. Yield: 44%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6): δ = 3.84 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.52 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.08 (s, 1H, CH), 8.13 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 12.13 (br s, 1H, OH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d_6): δ = 33.1 (CH₃), 105.7 (C), 112.8 (CH), 114.2 (C), 122.7 (CH), 124.6 (CH), 128.0 (C), 135.7 (C), 137.1 (CH), 165.1 (C) ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₀H₉BrN₂O [(M+H)⁺]: 253.9811 and 255.9791. Found: 253.9814 and 255.9794.

tert-Butyl (2-(5-bromo-1-methyl-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamido)-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl) carbamate 12

In an oven-dried flask under argon, the carboxylic acid 8g (100 mg, 0.390 mmol) was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (3 mL) and few drops of dry DMF. The sluggish solution was cooled to 0°C and freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (60 mg, 0.470 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was then stirred for 4 hours at room temperature and directly evaporated with toluene under reduced pressure. The desired acid chloride was obtained as a yellow solid and used directly for the next step.

In an oven-dried flask under argon, the amine 7b (70 mg, 0.198 mmol) and triethylamine (32 mg, 0.316 mmol) were dissolved in 2 mL of dry dichloromethane. After cooling this solution to 0°C, the acid chloride previously obtained (65 mg, 0.237 mmol) was added and the mixture is stirred at 0°C for one hour. The reaction was then quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ solution and extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated. After purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1/1 until neat EtOAc), the desired product 12 (35 mg, 0.059 mmol) was obtained as a white solid. Yield: 30%. Mp: 233°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.32$ (s, 9H, CH₃), 3.40-3.54 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.44-5.50 (m, 1H, CH), 6.92 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.17 (dd, J = 2.0 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.30-7.37 (m, 3H, CH and NH), 7.48 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.84 (s, 1H, CH), 8.04-8.07 (m, 2H, CH and NH), 8.30 (s, 1H, CH), 11.13 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 28.1$ (CH₃), 33.0 (CH₃), 44.2 (CH₂), 45.1 (CH), 77.6 (C), 109.1 (C), 111.7 (C), 112.3 (CH), 113.3 (C), 113.4 (CH), 114.9 (C), 121.1 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 124.0 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 128.19 (C), 128.23 (C), 132.9 (CH), 134.7 (C), 135.3 (C), 155.8 (C), 163.2 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3365, 3271, 1668, 1609, 1529, 1513, 1466, 1231, 1156 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₅H₂₇Br₂N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 589.0444, 591.0426 and 593.0410. Found: 589.0436, 591.0419 and 593.0404.

Synthesis of the bis-indole 14a-c possessing hydrophilic groups (Figure 3)

Methyl 2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetate^c

^b Duflos M, Nourrisson M-R, Brelet J, Courant J, LeBaut G, Grimaud N, Petit J-Y. *N*-Pyridinyl-indole-3-(alkyl)carboxamides and derivatives as potential systemic and topical inflammation inhibitors. *Eur J Med Chem* 2001; **36**:545-53.

^c Ilovich O, Billauer H, Dotan S, Mishani E. Labeled 3-aryl-4-indolylmaleimide derivatives and their potential as angiogenic PET biomarkers. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2010; **18**: 612-20.

An oven-dried flask was charged with 5-bromoindole **6b** (4.00 g, 20.4 mmol) and anhydrous diethyl ether (60 mL) under argon. This solution was cooled to 0°C and freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (2.10 mL, 24.5 mmol) was added. The solution turned rapidly yellow. After stirring for 1.5 hours at 0°C, the mixture was quenched by addition of methanol (16.4 mL, 408 mmol) at 0°C and stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature. The solution was concentrated and the yellow powder was washed twice with diethyl ether. The yellow solid was then dried under vacuum to afford the desired product (4.68 g, 16.6 mmol) without any further purification. Yield: 81%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.89 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.43 (dd, *J* = 1.6 and 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.29 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.50 (d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H, CH), 12.66 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 52.5 (CH₃), 111.8 (C), 114.8 (CH), 115.5 (C), 123.2 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 127.3 (C), 135.4 (C), 139.2 (CH), 163.3 (C), 178.3 (C) ppm. LRMS (ESI⁻): *m*/*z* = 280 and 282 [(M–H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₁H₉BrNO₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 281.9760 and 283.9741. Found: 281.9762 and 283.9742.

Methyl 2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(hydroxyimino)acetate

A vial was charged with the keto-ester previously obtained (600 mg, 2.13 mmol), methanol (10 mL), water (4 mL), sodium acetate (1.74 g, 21.27 mmol) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.47 g, 21.27 mmol). The vial was sealed and heated at 100°C under microwave irradiation for 45 minutes. The resulting brown solution was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with a 1M aqueous solution of HCl and water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 4/6) to afford the desired product (342 mg, 1.15 mmol) as a red-brown solid (2 isomers, ratio, 1/1). Yield: 54%. Isomer 1. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.83 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.27 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.50 (s, 1H, CH), 8.02 (s, 1H, CH), 11.87 (br s, 1H, NH), 12.2 (s, 1H, OH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 62.1$ (CH₃), 116.5 (C), 122.2 (CH), 123.8 (C), 132.9 (CH), 134.0 (CH), 136.7 (C), 141.4 (CH), 144.1 (C), 157.2 (C), 175.1 (C) ppm. **Isomer 2.** ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 3.87$ (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.55 (s, 1H, CH), 8.13 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 11.31 (s, 1H, OH), 11.79 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 62.3$ (CH₃), 113.5 (C), 122.9 (CH), 124.0 (C), 133.5 (CH), 135.0 (CH), 135.4 (C), 138.7 (CH), 145.5 (C), 153.4 (C), 174.1 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3359, 2953, 1719, 1607, 1531, 1419, 1235, 1106 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 295 and 297 [(M–H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₁H₁₀BrN₂O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 296.9869 and 298.9850. Found: 296.9850 and 298.9852.

Methyl 2-amino-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)acetate 13

The indolic oxime previously obtained (1.67 g, 5.62 mmol) was dissolved in THF (30 mL). This solution was then added to a cold mixture (0°C) of acetic acid (55 mL) and water (55 mL). Once the temperature of the mixture was closed to 0°C, zinc dust (3.67 g, 56.23 mmol) was slowly added (over 15 minutes). After addition of zinc, the mixture was stirred for one hour at 0°C. During the reaction, the color of the mixture was changed from red to green. Ethyl acetate (80 mL) was then added to the solution and the acetic acid was quenched by addition of potassium carbonate. The basic (pH = 8–9) aqueous layer was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was washed twice with pentane and dried under vacuum to afford the desired amine **13** (1.17 g, 4.13 mmol) as a brown solid. Yield: 74%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 2.21 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 3.60 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.76 (s, 1H, CH), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.36 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.80 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 11.20 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 51.3 (CH), 51.6 (CH₃), 111.2 (C), 113.4 (CH), 114.3

(C), 121.3 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.7 (CH), 127.3 (C), 134.9 (C), 174.7 (C) ppm. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 281 and 283 [(M–H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₁H₉BrN₂O₂ [(MH–NH₃)⁺]: 265.9811 and 267.9792. Found: 265.9815 and 267.9795.

Methyl 2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamido)acetate 14a

In a flask, the amine 13 (1.13 g, 4.00 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of THF. To this solution, 5-bromo-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylic acid **8b** (862 mg, 3.60 mmol) and 1-ethyl-3-(3dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDCI, 680 mg, 4.39 mmol) were added. The reacting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The crude material was then diluted in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed twice with a 1N aqueous solution of HCl and once with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1/1 then 7/3 and pure EtOAc) to afford the desired product 14a as a white solid. Yield: 75%. The solid was washed with pentane to remove traces of ethyl acetate (1.10 g, 2.18 mmol). Yield: 61%. Mp: 126°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.67 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.82 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.24 (dd, J = 1.8 and 6.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.28 (dd, J = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.39 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.53 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.80 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.29 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.33 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.55 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 11.41 (br s, 1H, NH), 11.78 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 49.3$ (CH), 51.9 (OCH₃), 108.9 (C), 109.0 (C), 111.6 (C), 113.2 (C), 113.6 (CH), 113.8 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.9 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 127.7 (C), 128.2 (C), 130.1 (CH), 134.7 (C), 134.9 (C), 164.2 (C), 171.6 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3223, 3031, 1752, 1704, 1597, 1537, 1435, 1372, 1204 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 501, 502, 503, 504 and 505 $[(M-H)^{-}]$. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}Br_2N_3O_3$ $[(M+H)^{+}]$: 503.9553, 505.9534, and 507.9517. Found: 503.9547, 505.9529 and 507.9510.

2-(5-Bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-(5-bromo-1H-indole-3-carboxamido)acetic acid 14b The ester 14a (400 mg, 0.79 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of methanol/water (8.0 mL / 5.3 mL). Lithium hydroxide monohydrate (133 mg, 3.20 mmol) was then added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 105 min at 40°C. The reaction was then quenched with 27 mL of a 1M aqueous solution of HCl and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and evaporated under vacuum. A brownish solid was obtained, then washed with pentane to remove traces of ethyl acetate. The desired carboxylic acid 14b (370 mg, 0.75 mmol) was obtained without further purification as a beige solid. Yield: 94%. Mp: 195°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 5.78 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.24 (dd, J = 2.0 and 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 2.0 and 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.41 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.53 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.84 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.31 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.35 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.46 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 11.37 (br s, 1H, NH), 11.78 (br s, 1H, NH), 12.54 (br s, 1H, OH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 49.2 (CH), 109.2 (C), 109.9 (C), 111.5 (C), 113.2 (C), 113.6 (CH), 113.8 (CH), 121.5 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.8 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 126.4 (CH), 127.8 (C), 128.2 (C), 130.0 (CH), 134.7 (C), 134.9 (C), 164.1 (C), 172.6 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3406, 3268, 2974, 1715, 1614, 1529, 1446, 1187, 1098 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁻): m/z = 488, 489, 490, 491 and 492 [(M-H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₁₄Br₂N₃O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 489.9396, 491.9377 and 493.9360. Found: 489.9392, 491.9374 and 493.9360.

5-Bromo-*N*-(1-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-hydroxyethyl)-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamide 14c

The ester **14a** (150 mg, 0.30 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of THF/methanol (5.0 mL / 1.0 mL). To this solution, NaBH₄ (114 mg, 3.00 mmol) was added portionwise. The mixture was refluxed for 3 hours then diluted in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was washed with pentane to afford the pure desired alcohol **14c** (130 mg, 0.274 mmol) as a white powder.

Yield: 92%. Mp: 155°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.84 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 4.87 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.42 (ddd, *J* = 6.3, 6.3 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.26 (dd, *J* = 2.1 and 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.37 (s, 1H, CH), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.86 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.06 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.17 (s, 1H, CH), 8.33 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 11.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 11.71 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 47.3 (CH), 63.8 (CH₂), 110.2 (C), 111.0 (C), 113.0 (C), 113.2 (CH), 113.7 (CH), 114.7 (C), 121.2 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 128.1 (C), 128.2 (C), 129.0 (CH), 134.7 (C), 134.8 (C), 163.7 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3282, 2948, 1708, 1593, 1541, 1432, 1255, 1204, 1041 cm⁻¹. LRMS (ESI⁻): *m*/*z* = 474, 476 and 478 [(M–H)⁻]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₁₉H₁₆Br₂N₃O₂ [(M+H)⁺]: 475.9604, 477.9584 and 479.9567. Found: 475.9600, 477.9580 and 479.9561.

Synthesis of bis-indole molecules 15a-c, alkylated on the amide linker (Figure 4)

5-Bromo-N-(1-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-((2-hydroxylethyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamide 15a

A vial was charged with the ester 14a (50 mg, 0.100 mmol), potassium carbonate (27 mg, 0.200 mmol), excess of ethanolamine (1.00 g, 16.4 mmol) and acetonitrile (1 mL). The mixture was heated at 115°C for 15 minutes under microwave irradiation. The crude material was diluted in ethyl acetate, washed three times with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was recrystallized in methanol to afford a white solid 15a (40 mg, 0.075 mmol). Yield: 75%. Mp: 164°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 3.15-3.24 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.40-3.46 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.65 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.92 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.19 (dd, J = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.27 (dd, J = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33-7.41 (m, 3H, CH), 7.86 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.15 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.24 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.28 (s, 1H, CH), 8.32 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 11.23 (s, 1H, NH), 11.76 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 41.6 (CH₂), 49.2 (CH), 59.6 (CH₂), 109.4 (C), 111.3 (C), 112.2 (C), 113.2 (C), 113.4 (CH), 113.8 (C), 121.4 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 127.9 (C), 128.1 (C), 129.8 (CH), 134.7 (C), 134.8 (C), 163.6 (C), 170.6 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3420, 3230, 2935, 1644, 1605, 1531, 1495, 1455, 1194 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₁H₁₉Br₂N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 532.9818, 534.9799 and 536.9783. Found: 532.9812, 534.9793 and 536.9776.

5-Bromo-N-(1-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-((4-hydroxybutyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-1*H*-indole-3-carboxamide 15b

A vial was charged with the ester 14a (50 mg, 0.100 mmol), potassium carbonate (27 mg, 0.200 mmol), excess of 4-aminobutanol (1.00 g, 11.2 mmol) and acetonitrile (1 mL). The mixture was heated at 115°C for 15 minutes under microwave irradiation. The crude material was diluted in ethyl acetate, washed three times with water, dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was recristallized in methanol/EtOAc to afford a white solid 15b (37 mg, 0.066 mmol). Yield: 66%. Mp: 187°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.36$ -1.47 (m, 4H, CH₂), 3.08-3.13 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.30-3.38 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.33 (br s, 1H, OH), 5.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.19 (dd, J = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.27 (dd, J = 2.1 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33-7.41 (m, 3H, CH), 7.87 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.15 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.20 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.28 (s, 1H, CH), 8.32 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 11.22 (br s, 1H, NH), 11.75 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 25.6 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 39.3 (CH₂), 49.2 (CH), 60.3 (CH₂), 109.4 (C), 111.3 (C), 112.3 (C), 113.1 (C), 113.4 (CH), 113.7 (CH), 121.4 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.3 (CH), 125.6 (CH), 127.8 (C), 128.1 (C), 129.8 (CH), 134.6 (C), 134.8 (C), 163.5 (C), 170.2 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3396, 3246, 2932, 1615, 1526, 1446, 1194 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₃H₂₃Br₂N₄O₃ [(M+H)⁺]: 561.0131, 563.0112 and 565.0096. Found: 561.0125, 563.0107 and 565.0090.
N-(2-((4-Aminobutyl)amino)-1-(5-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoethyl)-5-bromo-1H-indole-3-carboxamide 15c

A vial was charged with the ester **14a** (60 mg, 0.119 mmol), potassium carbonate (58 mg, 0.214 mmol), excess of 1,4-diaminobutane (1.20 g, 13.6 mmol) and acetonitrile (1.5 mL). The mixture was heated at 115°C for 15 minutes under microwave irradiation. The crude material was diluted in ethyl acetate, washed three times with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated to afford the product **15c** (39 mg, 0.07 mmol) as a white solid. Yield: 59%. Mp: 205°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 1.17-1.33 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.33-1.47 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.43-2.52 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.05-3.14 (m, 2H, CH₂), 5.89 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33-7.41 (m, 4H, CH), 7.88 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.14-8.24 (m, 2H, CH and NH), 8.28-8.32 (m, 2H, CH), 11.22 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. IR (ATR): 3256, 2928, 2869, 1610, 1531, 1444, 1205 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₃H₂₄Br₂N₅O₂ [(M+H)⁺]: 560.0291, 562.0272 and 564.0256. Found: 560.0285, 562.0267 and 564.0248.

Synthesis of bis-indole compounds 17a-d (Figure 5)

2-(1*H*-Indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetyl chlorides (16a-c)

The 2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetyl chlorides **16a-c** were already described.^{22,23,40}

Synthesis of α -keto-amides 17a-d

tert-Butyl (2-(2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetamido)-2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate 17a This compound was already described.²² LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 469 [(M+Na)⁺], 485 [(M+K)⁺], 915 [(2M+Na)⁺]. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₅H₂₇N₄O₄ [(M+H)⁺]: 447.2027. Found: 447.2025. *tert*-Butyl (2-(2-(6-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetamido)-2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl-) carbamate 17b

This compound was already described.²² HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for $C_{25}H_{26}BrN_4O_4$ [(M+H)⁺]: 525.1132 and 527.1115. Found: 525.1126 and 527.1108.

tert-Butyl (2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-(2-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoacetamido) ethyl) carbamate 17c

In an oven-dried flask under argon, the indolic primary amine **7b** (170 mg, 0.480 mmol) and triethylamine (77 mg, 0.768 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of dry dichloromethane and 1 mL of dry THF. After cooling this solution to 0°C, the acid chloride **16b** (165 mg, 0.576 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for one hour. The reaction was then quenched with an aqueous saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO3 and water, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 7/3) to afford the desired product 17c (180 mg, 0.300 mmol) as a white solid. Yield: 62%. Mp: 207°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 1.34$ (s, 9H, CH₃), 3.45-3.52 (m, 2H, CH₂), 5.34-5.40 (m, 1H, CH), 6.98 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.31 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H, CH), 7.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.84 (s, 1H, CH), 8.34 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.74 (s, 1H, CH), 8.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 11.19 (br s, 1H, NH), 12.39 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): $\delta = 28.1$ (CH₃), 43.8 (CH₂), 45.6 (CH), 77.7 (C), 111.2 (C), 111.6 (C), 113.4 (CH), 113.6 (C), 114.6 (CH), 115.2 (C), 120.9 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 127.9 (C), 128.0 (C), 134.7 (C), 135.0 (C), 139.2 (CH), 155.8 (C), 162.7 (C), 182.1 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3480, 3332, 3175, 1672, 1615, 1494, 1425, 1286, 1226, 1149 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₅H₂₅Br₂N₄O₄ [(M+H)⁺]: 603.0237, 605.0218 and 607.0203. Found: 603.0228, 605.0210 and 607.0191.

2-(5-Bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-*N*-(1-(5-bromo-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-2-oxoacetamide 17d

In a dry flask under argon, the indolic amine **11** (100 mg, 0.420 mmol) and triethylamine (67 mg, 0.670 mmol) were dissolved in 3 mL of dry dichloromethane. After cooling this solution to 0°C, the acid chloride 16b (143 mg, 0.502 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 minutes. The reaction was then quenched with an aqueous saturated solution of NaHCO₃ and diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/pentane, 1/1) to afford the desired product 17d (130 mg, 0.266 mmol) as a white solid. Yield: 63%. Mp: 141°C. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$: $\delta = 1.62$ (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 5.34-5.44 (m, 1H, CH), 7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.32-7.41 (m, 3H, CH), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.86 (s, 1H, CH), 8.34 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, CH), 8.74 (s, 1H, CH), 8.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 11.15 (br s, 1H, NH), 12.41 (br s, 1H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ = 20.3 (CH₃), 40.6 (CH), 111.2 (C), 111.7 (C), 113.4 (CH), 114.6 (CH), 115.2 (C), 116.9 (C), 121.1 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 127.5 (C), 127.8 (C), 134.97 (C), 135.03 (C), 139.1 (CH), 162.5 (C), 182.6 (C) ppm. IR (ATR): 3270, 2975, 1665, 1614, 1494, 1418, 1229, 1124 cm⁻¹. HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₀H₁₆Br₂N₄O₄ [(M+H)⁺]: 487.9604, 489.9584 and 491.9567. Found: 487.9598, 489.9580 and 491.9569.

Cytotoxicity assays

Cytotoxicity assays performed on KB, MCR5 and HCT116 cell lines (Table S1)

Table S1 Results of cytotoxicity assays performed on KB (human mouth carcinoma), MCR5 (human lung fibroblast) and HCT116 (human colon tumor) cell lines, expressed as the percentage of cellular growth inhibition of bis-indolic compounds tested at 10^{-5} M (10^{-6} M).

	KB	MRC5	HCT116	
Compounds				
	$10^{-5} \mathrm{M} (10^{-6} \mathrm{M})$	10 ⁻⁵ M (10 ⁻⁶ M)	$10^{-5} \mathrm{M} (10^{-6} \mathrm{M})$	
9a	18±1 (16±1)	14±1 (2±7)	22±5 (11±2)	
9b	41±6 (0±4)	8±5 (7±4)	30±2 (0±9)	
9c	46±8 (0±16)	16±9 (0±5)	42±3 (11±2)	
9d	67±3 (0±9)	73±6 (0±1)	73±3 (9±5)	
9e	99±1 (0±22)	92±6 (0±9)	92±1 (2±5)	
9f	97±1 (0±5)	91±10 (0±8)	89±9 (0±9)	
9g	98±1 (0±10)	83±10 (0±6)	86±2 (9±4)	
9h	100±1 (0±3)	92±1 (0±16)	100±3 (0±1)	
9i	100±1 (3±1)	91±7 (10±5)	91±1 (4±7)	
9j	87±6 (4±8)	82±4 (0±9)	80±9 (0±5)	
9k	92±4 (2±4)	99±1 (0±3)	97±6 (0±4)	
10a	13±3 (0±5)	36±4 (7±4)	12±1 (0±3)	
10b	ND	ND	ND	
12	52±2 (2±7)	23±6 (12±3)	35±3 (8±3)	
14a	51±1 (0±2)	28±9 (0±1)	51±5 (0±5)	
14b	0±1 (0±4)	3±3 (3±2)	0±4 (0±2)	
14c	1±4 (0±3)	6±1 (0±2)	12±5 (0±5)	
15c	ND	ND	ND	
17a	97±1 (33±8)	100±2 (10±5)	96±1 (53±4)	
17b	100±1 (4±3)	98±1 (0±3)	97±1 (0±13)	
17c	76±5 (0±7)	51±9 (0±3)	58±4 (0±9)	
17d	91±3 (0±11)	85±2 (6±3)	80±3 (10±6)	

ND: not determined

5. CONCLUSION ET PERSPECTIVES

Comme nous avons pu le voir dans l'introduction de cette thèse, les taux d'échecs thérapeutiques et de rechutes après traitement antibiotique chez les patients atteints de tularémie demeurent élevés, pouvent aller jusqu'à près de 40% dans certaines études, selon la classe d'antibiotique concernée. Nos investigations sur les causes potentielles de ces échecs et notre volonté de rechercher des stratégies thérapeutiques alternatives amènent aux conclusions suivantes. Notre revue de la littérature a tout d'abord permis de confirmer l'absence de souches résistantes vis-à-vis des classes d'antibiotiques utilisées pour le traitement de première intention de la tularémie (aminosides, fluoroquinolones, et doxycycline). Nous avons colligé l'ensemble des données de sensibilité de F. tularensis aux antibiotiques disponibles à ce jour, déterminées à la fois in vitro et en modèle animal. La première observation qui découle de cette revue de la littérature est le manque de standardisation des techniques de détermination de la sensibilité aux antibiotiques concernant l'espèce F. tularensis, entre les pays et les études. On retrouve ainsi des données obtenues à la fois par la technique de microdilution en milieu liquide, par la technique E-test® en bandelette de diffusion en milieu gélosé de réalisation beaucoup plus aisée, et par la technique de diffusion en agar. La technique de référence recommandée à l'heure actuelle est la microdilution en milieu liquide utilisant du bouillon de Mueller-Hinton supplémenté avec 2% de facteurs de croissance de type Polyvitex[®]. Les techniques alternatives (notamment l'utilisation de bandelettes E-test[®]) ne peuvent pas être formellement recommandées à l'heure actuelle devant l'absence de données expérimentales suffisantes, évaluant la corrélation de ces techniques alternatives avec la technique de référence. Cependant la dispersion des CMI semble faible entre les différentes techniques. Nous envisageons de comparer ces méthodes dans des études ultérieures. En cas de résultats concluants, la technique en bandelette E-test pourrait fournir une alternative rapide et simple pour l'étude de la sensibilité d'une souche isolée sporadiquement par rapport à la microdilution en milieu liquide qui est une technique plus chronophage, plus fastidieuse et plus à risque pour le personnel de laboratoire. Cette dernière resterait néanmoins la technique de référence, qui serait réalisée sur de plus grandes séries de souches dans les Centres de Référence.

En terme d'activité antibactérienne, les fluoroquinolones se sont révélées être les antibiotiques possédant les CMI les plus basses et l'activité intracellulaire la plus rapide par

rapport à la gentamicine, dont l'effet bactéricide intracellulaire nécessite au minimum 48h, et la doxycycline qui n'est que bactériostatique. L'activité bactéricide des fluoroquinolones a été confirmée in vitro et en modèle animal. Les données issues des modèles animaux ont permis de confirmer les observations cliniques quant à l'infériorité de la doxycycline par rapport à la ciprofloxacine pour le traitement des formes modérées de tularémie, notamment en cas de délai avant instauration d'une antibiothérapie efficace, ce qui en pratique est souvent le cas. En modèle murin, la doxycycline est associée à davantage d'échecs thérapeutiques en comparaison avec la ciprofloxacine, lorsque le traitement est débuté 24 à 72h post-infection. Les données en modèle animal confirment également l'importance de la durée du traitement et de l'utilisation d'antibiotiques bactéricides afin d'éviter la persistance de l'infection. En outre, la revue de la littérature a permis d'identifier des pistes thérapeutiques intéressantes (azithromycine, télithromycine), notamment pour le traitement des cas de tularémie liés aux souches de biovar I de F. tularensis subsp. holarctica. Les souches de biovar I semblent devoir être catégorisées « intermédiaires » pour les macrolides, mais l'azithromycine a montré une bonne efficacité en modèle cellulaire. Il faut cependant garder à l'esprit que la concentration intracellulaire de l'azithromycine est plus importante dans les polynucléaires que dans d'autres types cellulaires. Il manque donc à ce stade une étude en modèle animal pour confirmer ces données et pouvoir envisager plus sereinement l'utilisation de ces molécules, en cas de contre-indication aux aminosides, aux fluoroquinolones et aux tétracyclines, comme cela peut s'observer en cas de grossesse. La télithromycine semble à ce titre encore plus intéressante que l'azithromycine de par ses CMI plus basses et son activité bactéricide intracellulaire réduisant de deux à trois log₁₀ l'inoculum bactérien en 72h.

Le faible nombre de données publiées concernant la sensibilité des souches françaises de *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica,* dont toutes appartiennent à la lignée clonale B. FTNF002-00 présente en Europe de l'Ouest, nous a conduit à mener une étude sur la collection de souches du CNR des *Francisella*. Cette étude a démontré l'absence de souches résistantes aux fluoroquinolones, à la gentamicine et à la doxycycline en France. Par le biais de cet article, de nouvelles recommandations thérapeutiques pour le traitement de la tularémie ont été proposées. Premièrement l'affirmation formelle de la supériorité des fluoroquinolones sur la doxycycline pour le traitement des formes modérées de tularémie avec un positionnement de la doxycycline uniquement en cas de contre-indication aux fluoroquinolones. Deuxièmement la proposition d'une bithérapie pour les formes sévères de tularémie, associant la ciprofloxacine pendant 10 à 14 jours et la gentamicine en monodose journalière pendant uniquement 5 à 7 jours, afin de : 1/limiter la néphrotoxicité du traitement par gentamicine, 2/de fournir une activité bactéricide intracellulaire pendant les 48 premières heures de traitement pendant lesquelles la diffusion intracellulaire de la gentamicine est très faible, et 3/de permettre un relai par voie orale par fluoroquinolone lors de l'amélioration clinique du patient. Dans cette étude, l'intérêt de la télithromycine a été confirmé, avec plus de la moitié des souches évaluées catégorisées sensibles à cet antibiotique en se basant sur les concentrations critiques définies pour Haemophilus influenzae. Son étude doit être approfondie par l'évaluation de son activité antibactérienne vis à vis des souches de biovar I en modèle animal. Elle pourrait fournir une alternative thérapeutique encore plus intéressante que l'azithromycine en cas de contre-indications aux molécules recommandées actuellement pour le traitement de la tularémie. Enfin, cette étude nous a permis de proposer des concentrations critiques épidémiologiques pour une meilleure détection de potentielles apparitions de résistance aux fluoroquinolones, à la gentamicine et à la doxycycline chez F. tularensis.

L'absence d'isolement de souches résistantes n'a pas permis de fournir une explication quant aux échecs thérapeutiques observés, alors que la génération de souches résistantes est rapide et facile *in vitro*. Nous avons alors voulu rechercher des phénomènes de résistance bactérienne potentiels par des techniques de biologie moléculaire, directement sur des prélèvements de patients, en se limitant dans cette thèse à rechercher des mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones. Dans un premier article, nous avons validé l'impact fonctionnel de mutations de résistance générées *in vitro* sur des lignées de *F. novicida*, utilisées comme modèle avirulent pour l'étude de la résistance aux fluoroquinolones chez *F. tularensis*. Parmi les mutations étudiées, celles en position 87 du QRDR de la sous-unité GyrA, ainsi que la mutation +P466 dans le QRDR de la sous-unité GyrB semblent avoir le plus d'impact sur le niveau de résistance aux fluoroquinolones chez *F. novicida*. Des mutations sur ces mêmes positions ainsi qu'en position 83 de la sous-unité GyrA sont décrites chez *F. tularensis*. Par ailleurs nous avons validé l'impact sur la résistance aux fluoroquinolones de mutations situées en dehors des QRDR des gènes *gyrA* et *gyrB*. La mutation P43H au niveau de la sous-unité GyrA inhibe de façon significative mais plus modérée l'activité des fluoroquinolones sur le surenroulement et le relâchement de l'ADN. La mutation D487E-ΔK488 en dehors du QRDR de la sous-unité GyrB ne semble en revanche pas entrainer de résistance aux fluoroquinolones en elle-même, mais potentialise la résistance liée à l'acquisition d'une deuxième mutation en position 87 de la sous-unité GyrA. Il est donc malgré tout intéressant de la rechercher. De plus cette étude a permis de mettre en évidence que chez *Francisella*, l'apparition de mutations sur le gène *gyrB* pouvait s'avérer plus précoce que l'acquisition de mutations sur le gène *gyrA*. En revanche, la recherche de mutations de résistance dans le QRDR des gènes *gyrA* et *gyrB* chez 32 patients en situation d'échec thérapeutique après traitement par une fluoroquinolone n'a pas permis de mettre en évidence des échecs cliniques observés, en ce qui concerne les fluoroquinolones, demeurent donc inexpliquées.

Plusieurs hypothèses et pistes d'exploration futures sont envisageables. Un des facteurs importants pour le succès thérapeutique semble être le délai avant l'instauration d'une antibiothérapie efficace, notamment en cas d'atteinte ganglionnaire. Des différences significatives ont été observées lorsque le traitement est débuté dans les trois semaines après l'apparition des premiers signes cliniques par rapport aux traitements débutés plus tardivement. L'amélioration de la connaissance de la maladie par les médecins généralistes français pourrait donc permettre de diminuer les taux d'échec thérapeutiques. Peu de données sont disponibles en France concernant les traitements utilisés en fonction de la sévérité ou du type de forme clinique de la maladie. De même, l'évolution des patients en fonction de l'antibiotique utilisé et du délai avant mise en route de l'antibiothérapie est mal connue en France. Une étude nationale réalisée dans le cadre du Centre National de Référence des *Francisella*, semble opportune pour répondre à ces questions.

La deuxième hypothèse pour expliquer ces échecs reste la présence de souches résistantes *in vivo* bien que non détectées à ce jour, comme cela a été le cas jusqu'à récemment pour *Legionella pneumophila*. La sensibilité insuffisante des techniques de culture ou de PCR conventionnelles pourrait être à l'origine de ces résultats. L'amélioration des conditions de culture de F. tularensis (utilisation de flacons d'hémoculture, mise au point d'un milieu de culture spécifique) sont des pistes à explorer afin de pouvoir isoler les souches résistantes malgré la diminution de leur taux de croissance, lié au coût réplicatif de l'acquisition de mutations de résistances. L'alternative possible est de détecter ces mutations par biologie moléculaire directement dans les prélèvements de patients en échec thérapeutique, sans nécessité de culture de la souche. Dans cette hypothèse de souches résistantes, soit les mutations de résistance sont situées dans les zones de mutations décrites in vitro (par exemple dans les QRDR des gènes gyrA et gyrB pour ce qui est des fluoroquinolones). Des techniques plus sensibles comme la PCR digitale, ciblant des mutations ponctuelles particulières, pourraient alors être envisageables. Soit les mutations de résistance sont situées en dehors des zones étudiées (par exemple en dehors des QRDR des gènes dehors des QRDR des gènes gyrA et gyrB pour ce qui est des fluoroquinolones). La solution serait alors de rechercher ces mutations par séquençage de génomes bactériens complets en utilisant des techniques de séquençage de nouvelle génération directement sur les prélèvements de patients. Dans ce dernier cas, cela nécessiterait cependant de traiter au préalable l'échantillon par à une technique permettant d'augmenter le ratio ADN bactérien/ADN humain dans le prélèvement afin d'obtenir une sensibilité de détection suffisante des mutations par le biais d'une profondeur de séquençage suffisante.

Les autres pistes à explorer pour expliquer ces échecs thérapeutiques sont diverses. La recherche de bactéries quiescentes ou persistantes, c'est à dire ayant une activité métabolique très réduite, est une piste d'intérêt car les antibiotiques utilisés pour le traitement de la tularémie ne sont actifs que sur les bactéries métaboliquement actives. Les bactéries persistantes sont des variants phénotypiques présents au sein d'une population bactérienne, qui se mettent dans un état de dormance lorsqu'elles font face à divers stress, notamment en cas de traitement antibiotique. (258) Les antibiotiques agissant sur les grandes fonctions métaboliques de la bactérie (synthèse des protéines, transcription de l'ARN, réplication de l'ADN, synthèse du peptidoglycanne), la présence de cellules persistantes s'accompagne d'un phénomène dit de tolérance aux antibiotiques, malgré l'absence de mutations de résistance. De plus la réduction de l'activité métabolique à l'intérieur de la bactérie

notamment si ceux-ci pénètrent par des systèmes de transports actifs. La proportion de cellules persistantes au sein de la population bactérienne à l'origine de l'infection est contrôlée par les voies de signalisation de la réponse des bactéries au stress et par la voie SOS ainsi que par certaines alarmones comme le (p)ppGpp. (258) A l'arrêt de l'antibiothérapie, les cellules quiescentes sont capables de se réactiver, entrainant alors des phénomènes d'échec thérapeutiques. La présence de bactéries persistantes au site de l'infection et tolérantes au traitement antibiotiques est donc une hypothèse très intéressante à investiguer dans de futurs travaux. Une autre possibilité est la formation de biofilm par F. tularensis au site de l'infection, permettant à la bactérie de limiter l'action des traitements antibiotiques et de persister à l'intérieur du biofilm. La formation de biofilm in vitro a déjà été démontrée pour F. tularensis subsp. tularensis SchuS4, F. tularensis subsp. holarctica LVS, F. novicida et F. philomiragia. (259) Cette formation de biofilm pourrait être impliqué dans la persistance environnementale de la bactérie mais également être à l'origine d'échec thérapeutique en cas de formation de biofilm *in vivo* au site de l'infection, notamment en cas d'adénopathie traitée tardivement. La formation de biofilm peut également permettre à la bactérie d'entrer dans un état viable mais non cultivable, ce qui pourrait expliquer certaines des difficultés à isoler la bactérie en cas d'échec thérapeutique. Enfin une dernière hypothèse ne peut être écartée concernant certaines situations d'échec thérapeutique. Il s'agit de la mauvaise observance des patients lors de leur traitement de 10 à 14 jours par fluoroquinolones ou de 14 à 21 jours par doxycycline.

Dans la dernière partie de cette thèse, nous avons évalué l'activité antibactérienne de nouveaux composés bis-indoliques de synthèse, de structure proche de celles d'alcaloïdes isolés d'éponges marines. La recherche de nouveaux composés antibiotiques est une priorité de l'organisation mondiale de la santé car très peu d'antibiotiques possédant un mécanisme d'action original et non soumis aux mécanismes de résistances connus à l'heure actuelle, ont été développés au cours des trois dernières décennies. *F. tularensis* ne fait pas partie de la liste des bactéries pathogènes prioritaires pour l'OMS, mais les taux d'échec cliniques rapportés avec les traitements actuels restent trop élevés, justifiant la recherche d'alternatives thérapeutiques. En revanche plusieurs bactéries potentiellement multi-résistantes, en particulier les staphylocoques dorés résistants à la méticilline (MRSA) ou

intermédiaires à la vancomycine (VISA) ainsi que les E. faecium résistants à la vancomycine sont catégorisés comme des pathogènes prioritaires pour l'OMS en ce qui concerne la recherche de nouvelles possibilités thérapeutiques. Les composés bis-indoliques de synthèse évalués et développés en collaboration avec le Département de Chimie Moléculaire de l'Université Grenoble Alpes, se sont avérés posséder un spectre antibactérien principalement dirigé contre les bactéries à Gram positif, tout en démontrant une activité antibactérienne visà-vis de F. tularensis. En particulier, le composé dcm04 a présenté une CMI₉₀ à 2 mg/L vis-àvis de F. tularensis et des staphylocoques, avec une activité identique sur les souches multirésistantes de S. aureus. Les composés bis-indoliques les plus actifs se sont révélés bactéricides vis-à-vis des staphylocoques et de la souche LVS de F. tularensis, mais uniquement bactériostatiques sur les souches cliniques de F. tularensis. En revanche, ces composés sont peu solubles en milieu aqueux et ont une forte affinité pour les protéines plasmatiques, ce qui appelle des développements futurs. La question qui vient dès lors à l'esprit est celle de la cible bactérienne potentielle de ces molécules. Des investigations sont actuellement en cours pour répondre à cette question. Pour des raisons de conditions expérimentales plus aisées avec S. aureus par rapport à F. tularensis, notamment l'absence de manipulation en LNSB3, le modèle expérimental choisi pour la détermination de la cible s'est porté sur S. aureus. Des lignées de S. aureus résistantes à certains de ces composés bisindoliques ont été générées in vitro selon la même méthodologie que celle employée par Sutera et al, à partir de souches de S. aureus dont le génome complet a été séquencé. (224) Comme observé pour les fluoroquinolones, les mutations de résistance apparaissent fréquemment dans les gènes codant les cibles des antibiotiques. Bien qu'aucune mutation de résistance n'ait pu être obtenue en « single step » (Article 6), quelques rares mutants résistants ont pu être isolés par sélection en multi-passages en présence de concentrations sub-inhibitrices d'antibiotiques. La poursuite du projet consiste à re-séquencer ces souches résistance pour rechercher des mutations de résistance et ainsi identifier le gène cible potentiel. Par ailleurs, des tentatives d'amélioration de la solubilité des composés les plus actifs sont en cours, notamment par l'ajout de groupement hydrophiles sur les structures existantes, afin d'obtenir des composés hydrosolubles, tout en conservant voire augmentant leur activité antibactérienne et leur spectre. Cette augmentation de la solubilité est un prérequis à l'analyse future de ces composés en modèle cellulaire puis en modèle animal.

Les perspectives de ce travail sont donc multiples et exaltantes, dépassant le seul cadre de la tularémie. De nombreuses questions restent en suspens pour diminuer les échecs thérapeutiques dans les cas de tularémie mais plusieurs pistes devront être explorées, dont l'une conduira peut-être au développement d'une nouvelle famille d'antibiotiques.

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

- 1. Evans ME, Gregory DW, Schaffner W, McGee ZA. Tularemia: a 30-year experience with 88 cases. Medicine (Baltimore). 1985;64(4):251-69.
- Syrjälä H, Schildt R, Räisäinen S. In vitro susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* to fluoroquinolones and treatment of tularemia with norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 1991;10(2):68-70.
- 3. Maurin M, Pelloux I, Brion JP, Banõ J-ND, Picard A. Human Tularemia in France, 2006–2010. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53(10):e133-41.
- 4. Sjostedt A. Tularemia: History, Epidemiology, Pathogen Physiology, and Clinical Manifestations. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1105(1):1-29.
- 5. Ohara S. Studies on yato-byo (Ohara's disease, tularemia in Japan). I. Jpn J Exp Med. 1954;24(2):69-79.
- 6. Weinberg AN. COMMENTARY: Wherry WB, Lamb BH. Infection of man with *Bacterium tularense*. J Infect Dis 1914;15:331–40. J Infect Dis. 2004;189(7):1317-20.
- 7. Mccoy G. Plague-like disease in rodents. Public Health Bull. 1911;(43):53-71.
- 8. Tärnvik A, Berglund L. Tularaemia. Eur Respir J. 2003;21(2):361-73.
- 9. Telford SR, Goethert HK. Toward an Understanding of the Perpetuation of the Agent of Tularemia. Front Microbiol. 2011
- 10. Mccoy GW, Chapin CW. Further Observations on a Plague-Like Disease of Rodents with a Preliminary Note on the Causative Agent, *Bacterium Tularense*. J Infect Dis. 1912;10(1):61-72.
- 11. Wherry WB, Lamb BH. Infection of Man with *Bacterium Tularense*. J Infect Dis. 1914;15(2):331 -40.
- 12. Wherry WB. A New Bacterial Disease of Rodents: Transmissible to Man. Public Health Rep 1896-1970. 1914;29(51):3387-90.
- 13. Francis E, Pike USNL of M 8600 R, MD B, Usa 20894. Weekly Reports for SEPTEMBER 12, 1919. Public Health Rep. 1919;34(37):2061.
- 14. Francis E, Mayne B, Lake GC. Tularæmia Francis 1921 a new disease of man. Washington: Govt. Print. Off.; 1922
- 15. Francis E. A summary of present knowledge of tularaemia. : Medicine. 1928.
- 16. Parker RR. Recent studies of tick borne diseases made at the U.S. Public Health Service Laboratories at Hamilton, MT. Proceedings of 5th Pacific Science Congress. 1934;3367–3374.

- 17. Parker RR, Spencer RR, Francis E. Tularemia infection in ticks of the species *Dermacentor andersoni* stiles in the Bitterroot Valley, Montana. Public Health Rep. 1924;1057–1073.
- 18. Philip CB, Jellison WL. The American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis*, as a host of *Bacterium tularense*. Public Health Rep. 1934;386-92.
- 19. Ohara H. Experimental inoculation of disease of wild rabbits into the human body, and its bacteriological study. Jpn Med World. 1926;299-304.
- 20. Oshio K, Hisayuki M, Kiyoaki I, Masao S, Shoichiro O. Studies on the Skin Test of Yato-Byo (Ohara's Disease, Tularemia in Japan). Ohoku J Exper Med. 1921;268-73.
- 21. Ellis J, Oyston PCF, Green M, Titball RW. Tularemia. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2002;15(4):631-46.
- 22. Rockwood S. Tularemia: What's in a name. ASM News. 1983;63-5.
- 23. Ritter DB, Gerloff RK. Deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization among some species of the genus *Pasteurella*. J Bacteriol. 1966;92(6):1838.
- 24. Olsufiev NG, Emelyanova OS, Dunayeva TN. Comparative study of strains of *B. tularense* in the old and new world and their taxonomy. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol. 1959;3:138-49.
- 25. Jellison WL. Tularemia in North America, 1930-1974. University of Montana, University of Montana Foundation; 1974.
- 26. Jellison WL, Owen CR. Tularemia and animal populations ecology and epizootiology. West Salem, Wis.: Microcard; 1961.
- 27. Olsufjev NG. Taxonomy and characteristic of the genus *Francisella* Dorofeev, 1947. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol. 1970;14(1):67-74.
- 28. Olsufjev NG, Meshcheryakova IS. Subspecific Taxonomy of *Francisella tularensis* McCoy and Chapin 1912. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1983;33(4):872-4.
- 29. Kudelina RI, Olsufiev NG. Sensitivity to macrolide antibiotics and lincomycin in *Francisella tularensis holarctica*. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol. 1980;24(1):84-91.
- 30. Olsufjev NG, Meshcheryakova IS. Infraspecific taxonomy of tularemia agent *Francisella tularensis* McCoy et Chapin. J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol. 1982;26(3):291-9.
- Vogler AJ, Birdsell D, Price LB, Bowers JR, Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, Auerbach RK, et al. Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis*: global expansion of a highly fit clone. J Bacteriol. 2009;191(8):2474-84.
- 32. Kiliç S, Çelebi B, Acar B, Ataş M. *In vitro* susceptibility of isolates of *Francisella tularensis* from Turkey. Scand J Infect Dis. 2013;45(5):337-41.
- 33. Wang Y, Peng Y, Hai R, Xia L, Li H, Zhang Z, et al. Diversity of *Francisella tularensis* Subsp. *holarctica* Lineages, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014
- 34. Conlan JW. *Francisella tularensis*: A Red-blooded Pathogen. J Infect Dis. 2011;204(1):6-8.

- 35. Dennis DT, Inglesby TV, Henderson DA, et al. Tularemia as a biological weapon: Medical and public health management. JAMA. 2001;285(21):2763-73.
- 36. WHO. Health aspects of chemical and biological weapons, 1st edition, 1970
- 37. Chaudhuri RR, Ren C-P, Desmond L, A. Vincent G, Silman NJ, Brehm JK, et al. Genome Sequencing Shows that European Isolates of *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *tularensis* Are Almost Identical to US Laboratory Strain Schu S4. PLoS ONE. 2007
- 38. Jackson J, McGregor A, Cooley L, Ng J, Brown M, Ong CW, et al. *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *holarctica*, Tasmania, Australia, 2011. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18(9):1484-6.
- 39. Mohamed SER, Mubarak AI, Alfarooq LO. *Francisella tularensis* Bacteremia: A Case Report from Sudan. Case Rep Infect Dis. 2012
- 40. Fujita O, Uda A, Hotta A, Okutani A, Inoue S, Tanabayashi K, et al. Genetic diversity of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* strains isolated in Japan. Microbiol Immunol. 2008;52(5):270-6.
- 41. Karlsson E, Golovliov I, Lärkeryd A, Granberg M, Larsson E, Öhrman C, et al. Clonality of erythromycin resistance in *Francisella tularensis*. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016;dkw235.
- 42. Sjödin A, Svensson K, Öhrman C, Ahlinder J, Lindgren P, Duodu S, et al. Genome characterisation of the genus *Francisella* reveals insight into similar evolutionary paths in pathogens of mammals and fish. BMC Genomics. 2012;13:268.
- 43. Brett ME, Respicio-Kingry LB, Yendell S, Ratard R, Hand J, Balsamo G, et al. Outbreak of *Francisella novicida* Bacteremia Among Inmates at a Louisiana Correctional Facility. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2014;
- 44. Birdsell DN, Stewart T, Vogler AJ, Lawaczeck E, Diggs A, Sylvester TL, et al. *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *novicida* isolated from a human in Arizona. BMC Res Notes. 2009;2:223.
- 45. Kingry LC, Petersen JM. Comparative review of *Francisella tularensis* and *Francisella novicida*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014
- 46. Busse H-J, Huber B, Anda P, Escudero R, Scholz HC, Seibold E, et al. Objections to the transfer of *Francisella novicida* to the subspecies rank of *Francisella tularensis* response to Johansson et al. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2010;60(Pt 8):1718-20.
- 47. Huber B, Escudero R, Busse H-J, Seibold E, Scholz HC, Anda P, et al. Description of *Francisella hispaniensis* sp. nov., isolated from human blood, reclassification of *Francisella novicida* (Larson et al. 1955) Olsufiev et al. 1959 as *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *novicida* comb. nov. and emended description of the genus *Francisella*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2010;60(Pt 8):1887-96.
- 48. Johansson A, Celli J, Conlan W, Elkins KL, Forsman M, Keim PS, et al. Objections to the transfer of *Francisella novicida* to the subspecies rank of *Francisella tularensis*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2010;60(Pt 8):1717-1718-1720.
- 49. Hollis DG, Weaver RE, Steigerwalt AG, Wenger JD, Moss CW, Brenner DJ. *Francisella philomiragia* comb. nov. (formerly *Yersinia philomiragia*) and *Francisella tularensis* biogroup

novicida (formerly *Francisella novicida*) associated with human disease. J Clin Microbiol. 1989;27(7):1601-8.

- 50. Kreitmann L, Terriou L, Launay D, Caspar Y, Courcol R, Maurin M, et al. Disseminated Infection Caused by *Francisella philomiragia*, France, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(12):2260-1.
- 51. Mailman TL, Schmidt MH. *Francisella philomiragia* adenitis and pulmonary nodules in a child with chronic granulomatous disease. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol J Can Mal Infect Microbiol Médicale AMMI Can. 2005;16(4):245-8.
- 52. Wenger JD, Hollis DG, Weaver RE, Baker CN, Brown GR, Brenner DJ, et al. Infection caused by *Francisella philomiragia* (formerly *Yersinia philomiragia*). A newly recognized human pathogen. Ann Intern Med. 1989;110(11):888-92.
- 53. Ivanov IN, Mitkova N, Reye AL, Hubschen JM, Vatcheva-Dobrevska RS, Dobreva EG, et al. Detection of New *Francisella*-Like Tick Endosymbionts in *Hyalomma* spp. and *Rhipicephalus* spp. (Acari: *Ixodidae*) from Bulgaria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(15):5562-5.
- 54. Ottem KF, Nylund A, Karlsbakk E, Friis-Møller A, Kamaishi T. Elevation of *Francisella philomiragia* subsp. *noatunensis* Mikalsen et al. (2007) to *Francisella noatunensis* comb. nov. [syn. *Francisella piscicida* Ottem et al. (2008) syn. nov.] and characterization of *Francisella noatunensis* subsp. *orientalis* subsp. nov., two important fish pathogens. J Appl Microbiol. 2009;106(4):1231-43.
- 55. Sjödin A, Svensson K, Lindgren M, Forsman M, Larsson P. Whole-genome sequencing reveals distinct mutational patterns in closely related laboratory and naturally propagated *Francisella tularensis* strains. PloS One. 2010;5(7):e11556.
- 56. Rydzewski K, Schulz T, Brzuszkiewicz E, Holland G, Lück C, Fleischer J, et al. Genome sequence and phenotypic analysis of a first German *Francisella* sp. isolate (W12-1067) not belonging to the species *Francisella tularensis*. BMC Microbiol. 25 juin 2014;14(1):169.
- 57. Keim P, Johansson A, Wagner DM. Molecular epidemiology, evolution, and ecology of *Francisella*. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1105:30-66.
- 58. Staples JE, Kubota KA, Chalcraft LG, Mead PS, Petersen JM. Epidemiologic and Molecular Analysis of Human Tularemia, United States, 1964-2004. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12(7):1113-8.
- 59. Kugeler KJ, Mead PS, Janusz AM, Staples JE, Kubota KA, Chalcraft LG, et al. Molecular Epidemiology of *Francisella tularensis* in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(7):863-70.
- Farlow J, Smith KL, Wong J, Abrams M, Lytle M, Keim P. Francisella tularensis Strain Typing Using Multiple-Locus, Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39(9):3186-92.
- 61. Johansson A, Farlow J, Larsson P, Dukerich M, Chambers E, Byström M, et al. Worldwide Genetic Relationships among *Francisella tularensis* Isolates Determined by Multiple-Locus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis. J Bacteriol. 2004;186(17):5808-18.

- 62. Vogler AJ, Birdsell D, Wagner DM, Keim P. An optimized, multiplexed multi-locus variablenumber tandem repeat analysis system for genotyping *Francisella tularensis*. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2009;48(1):140-4.
- 63. Duncan DD, Vogler AJ, Wolcott MJ, Li F, Sarovich DS, Birdsell DN, et al. Identification and typing of *Francisella tularensis* with a highly automated genotyping assay. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2013;56(2):128-34.
- 64. Svensson K, Larsson P, Johansson D, Byström M, Forsman M, Johansson A. Evolution of Subspecies of *Francisella tularensis*. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(11):3903-8.
- 65. Broekhuijsen M, Larsson P, Johansson A, Bystrom M, Eriksson U, Larsson E, et al. Genome-Wide DNA Microarray Analysis of *Francisella tularensis* Strains Demonstrates Extensive Genetic Conservation within the Species but Identifies Regions That Are Unique to the Highly Virulent *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(7):2924-31.
- 66. Svensson K, Granberg M, Karlsson L, Neubauerova V, Forsman M, Johansson A. A Real-Time PCR Array for Hierarchical Identification of *Francisella* Isolates. PLoS ONE. 2009
- 67. Gyuranecz M, Birdsell DN, Splettstoesser W, Seibold E, Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, Makrai L, et al. Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica*, Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18(2):290-3.
- 68. Pandya GA, Holmes MH, Petersen JM, Pradhan S, Karamycheva SA, Wolcott MJ, et al. Whole genome single nucleotide polymorphism based phylogeny of *Francisella tularensis* and its application to the development of a strain typing assay. BMC Microbiol. 2009;9(1):213.
- 69. Johansson A, Petersen JM. Genotyping of *Francisella tularensis*, the causative agent of tularemia. J AOAC Int. 2010;93(6):1930-43.
- 70. Birdsell DN, Johansson A, Öhrman C, Kaufman E, Molins C, Pearson T, et al. *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* group A.I, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(5):861-5.
- Chanturia G, Birdsell DN, Kekelidze M, Zhgenti E, Babuadze G, Tsertsvadze N, et al. Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* from the country of Georgia. BMC Microbiol. 2011;11:139.
- 72. Kilic S, Birdsell DN, Karagöz A, Çelebi B, Bakkaloglu Z, Arikan M, et al. Water as Source of *Francisella tularensis* Infection in Humans, Turkey. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(12):2213-6.
- 73. Pilo P, Johansson A, Frey J. Identification of *Francisella tularensis* Cluster in Central and Western Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15(12):2049-51.
- 74. Maurin M, Gyuranecz M. Tularaemia: clinical aspects in Europe. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(1):113-24.
- 75. Muller W, Hotzel H, Otto P, Karger A, Bettin B, Bocklisch H, et al. German *Francisella tularensis* isolates from European brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) reveal genetic and phenotypic diversity. BMC Microbiol. 2013;13:61.

- Origgi F, Frey J, Pilo P. Characterisation of a new group of *Francisella tularensis* subsp.
 holarctica in Switzerland with altered antimicrobial susceptibilities, 1996 to 2013. Euro Surveill
 Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2014;19(29).
- 77. Dempsey MP, Dobson M, Zhang C, Zhang M, Lion C, Gutiérrez-Martín CB, et al. Genomic Deletion Marking an Emerging Subclone of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* in France and the Iberian Peninsula. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73(22):7465-70.
- 78. Vogler AJ, Birdsell DN, Lee J, Vaissaire J, Doujet CL, Lapalus M, et al. Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis* ssp. *holarctica* in France. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2011;52(2):177-80.
- 79. Garrity G, Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JR. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology -Volume 2 : The Proteobacteria. Vol. Volume 2. 2005
- 80. Sandström G, Sjöstedt A, Forsman M, Pavlovich NV, Mishankin BN. Characterization and classification of strains of *Francisella tularensis* isolated in the central Asian focus of the Soviet Union and in Japan. J Clin Microbiol. 1992;30(1):172-5.
- 81. Apicella MA, Post DMB, Fowler AC, Jones BD, Rasmussen JA, Hunt JR, et al. Identification, Characterization and Immunogenicity of an O-Antigen Capsular Polysaccharide of *Francisella tularensis*. PLoS ONE. 2010
- 82. Mahawar M, Kirimanjeswara GS, Metzger DW, Bakshi CS. Contribution of Citrulline Ureidase to *Francisella tularensis* Strain Schu S4 Pathogenesis. J Bacteriol. 2009;191(15):4798-806.
- 83. Tarnvik A. WHO Guidelines on Tularaemia. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
- 84. Jantzen E, Berdal BP, Omland T. Cellular fatty acid composition of *Francisella tularensis*. J Clin Microbiol. 1979;10(6):928-30.
- 85. Phillips NJ, Schilling B, McLendon MK, Apicella MA, Gibson BW. Novel Modification of Lipid A of *Francisella tularensis*. Infect Immun. 2004;72(9):5340-8.
- 86. Jones BD, Faron M, Rasmussen JA, Fletcher JR. Uncovering the components of the *Francisella tularensis* virulence stealth strategy. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2014
- 87. Guryčová D. First isolation of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* in Europe. Eur J Epidemiol. 1998;14(8):797-802.
- 88. Twine SM, Shen H, Kelly JF, Chen W, Sjostedt A, Conlan JW. Virulence comparison in mice of distinct isolates of type A *Francisella tularensis*. Microb Pathog. 2006;40(3):133-8.
- 89. Molins CR, Delorey MJ, Yockey BM, Young JW, Sheldon SW, Reese SM, et al. Virulence Differences Among *Francisella tularensis* Subsp. *tularensis* Clades in Mice. PLoS ONE. 2010
- 90. Hornick R. Tularemia revisited. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(22):1637-9.
- 91. Jones RM, Nicas M, Hubbard A, Sylvester MD, Reingold A. The Infectious Dose of *Francisella tularensis* (Tularemia). Applied Biosafety. 2005;227-39.

- 92. Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, Auerbach RK, Godbole S, Pearson JV, Beckstrom-Sternberg JS, Deng Z, et al. Complete genomic characterization of a pathogenic A.II strain of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis*. PloS One. 2007;2(9):e947.
- 93. Karlsson E, Svensson K, Lindgren P, Byström M, Sjödin A, Forsman M, et al. The phylogeographic pattern of *Francisella tularensis* in Sweden indicates a Scandinavian origin of Eurosiberian tularaemia. Environ Microbiol. 2013;15(2):634-45.
- 94. Hesselbrock W, Foshay L. The Morphology of *Bacterium tularense*. J Bacteriol. 1945;49(3):209 -31.
- 95. Eigelsbach HT, Braun W, Herring RD. Studies on the variation of *Bacterium tularense*. J Bacteriol. 1951;61(5):557-69.
- 96. Molins CR, Delorey MJ, Yockey BM, Young JW, Belisle JT, Schriefer ME, et al. Virulence difference between the prototypic Schu S4 strain (A1a) and *Francisella tularensis* A1a, A1b, A2 and type B strains in a murine model of infection. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:67.
- 97. Forestal CA, Malik M, Catlett SV, Savitt AG, Benach JL, Sellati TJ, et al. *Francisella tularensis* Has a Significant Extracellular Phase in Infected Mice. J Infect Dis. 2007;196(1):134-7.
- 98. Barel M, Charbit A. *Francisella tularensis* intracellular survival: to eat or to die. Microbes Infect. 2013;15(14–15):989-97.
- 99. Chong A, Celli J. The *Francisella* Intracellular Life Cycle: Toward Molecular Mechanisms of Intracellular Survival and Proliferation. Front Microbiol. 2010
- 100. Hall JD, Woolard MD, Gunn BM, Craven RR, Taft-Benz S, Frelinger JA, et al. Infected-Host-Cell Repertoire and Cellular Response in the Lung following Inhalation of Francisella tularensis Schu S4, LVS, or U112. Infect Immun. 2008;76(12):5843-52.
- 101. Horzempa J, O'Dee DM, Stolz DB, Franks JM, Clay D, Nau GJ. Invasion of erythrocytes by *Francisella tularensis*. J Infect Dis. 2011;204(1):51-9.
- 102. Moreau GB, Mann BJ. Adherence and uptake of *Francisella* into host cells. Virulence. 2013;4(8):826-32.
- Pizarro-Cerdá J, Charbit A, Enninga J, Lafont F, Cossart P. Manipulation of host membranes by the bacterial pathogens *Listeria, Francisella, Shigella* and *Yersinia*. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2016;60:155-67.
- 104. Clemens DL, Horwitz MA. Uptake and intracellular fate of *Francisella tularensis* in human macrophages. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1105:160-86.
- 105. Clemens DL, Lee B-Y, Horwitz MA. *Francisella tularensis* Enters Macrophages via a Novel Process Involving Pseudopod Loops. Infect Immun. 2005;73(9):5892-902.
- 106. Bradburne CE, Verhoeven AB, Manyam GC, Chaudhry SA, Chang EL, Thach DC, et al. Temporal Transcriptional Response during Infection of Type II Alveolar Epithelial Cells with *Francisella tularensis* Live Vaccine Strain (LVS) Supports a General Host Suppression and Bacterial Uptake by Macropinocytosis. J Biol Chem. 2013;288(15):10780-91.

- 107. Clemens DL, Ge P, Lee B-Y, Horwitz MA, Zhou ZH. Atomic Structure of T6SS Reveals Interlaced Array Essential to Function. Cell. 2015;160(5):940-51.
- 108. Nano FE, Schmerk C. The *Francisella* Pathogenicity Island. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1105(1):122–137.
- 109. Alkhuder K, Meibom KL, Dubail I, Dupuis M, Charbit A. Glutathione provides a source of cysteine essential for intracellular multiplication of *Francisella tularensis*. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(1):e1000284.
- 110. Steele S, Radlinski L, Taft-Benz S, Brunton J, Kawula TH. Trogocytosis-associated cell to cell spread of intracellular bacterial pathogens. eLife. 2016;5:e10625.
- 111. Tularaemia and Australian Wildlife May 2013 (Online material)
- 112. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual epidemiological report 2014 food- and waterborne diseases and zoonoses. Stockholm: ECDC; 2014.
- 113. Lopes de Carvalho I, Escudero R, Garcia-Amil C, Falcao H, Anda P, Nuncio MS. *Francisella tularensis*, Portugal. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13(4):666-7.
- 114. Rijks JM, Kik M, Koene MG, Engelsma MY, van Tulden P, Montizaan MG, et al. Tularaemia in a brown hare *(Lepus europaeus)* in 2013: first case in the Netherlands in 60 years. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2013;18(49).
- 115. Hotta A, Fujita O, Uda A, Sharma N, Tanabayashi K, Yamamoto Y, et al. In Vitro Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Francisella tularensis* Isolates from Japan. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2013;66(6):534-6.
- 116. Ohara Y, Sato T, Homma M. Epidemiological analysis of tularemia in Japan (yato-byo). FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 1996;13(3):185-9.
- 117. Gurcan S. Epidemiology of Tularemia. Balk Med J. 2014;31(1):3-10.
- 118. Larssen KW, Bergh K, Heier BT, Vold L, Afset JE. All-time high tularaemia incidence in Norway in 2011: report from the national surveillance. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 2014
- 119. Cerný Z. Changes of the epidemiology and the clinical picture of tularemia in Southern Moravia (the Czech Republic) during the period 1936-1999. Eur J Epidemiol. 2001;17(7):637-42.
- 120. Payne L, Arneborn M, Tegnell A, Giesecke J. Endemic tularemia, Sweden, 2003. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11(9):1440-2.
- 121. Svensson K, Back E, Eliasson H, Berglund L, Granberg M, Karlsson L, et al. Landscape Epidemiology of Tularemia Outbreaks in Sweden. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15(12):1937-47.
- 122. Johansson A, Lärkeryd A, Widerström M, Mörtberg S, Myrtännäs K, Ohrman C, et al. A respiratory tularemia outbreak caused by diverse clones of *Francisella tularensis*. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2014

- 123. Larssen KW, Afset JE, Heier BT, Krogh T, Handeland K, Vikøren T, et al. Outbreak of tularaemia in central Norway, January to March 2011. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2011;16(13).
- 124. Christova I, Velinov T, Kantardjiev T, Galev A. Tularaemia outbreak in Bulgaria. Scand J Infect Dis. 2004;36(11-12):785-9.
- 125. Kantardjiev T, Ivanov I, Velinov T, Padeshki P, Popov B, Nenova R, et al. Tularemia outbreak, Bulgaria, 1997-2005. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12(4):678-80.
- 126. Reintjes R, Dedushaj I, Gjini A, Jorgensen TR, Cotter B, Lieftucht A, et al. Tularemia outbreak investigation in Kosovo: case control and environmental studies. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8(1):69-73.
- 127. Hauri AM, Hofstetter I, Seibold E, Kaysser P, Eckert J, Neubauer H, et al. Investigating an airborne tularemia outbreak, Germany. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16(2):238-43.
- 128. Pérez-Castrillón JL, Bachiller-Luque P, Martín-Luquero M, Mena-Martín FJ, Herreros V. Tularemia Epidemic in Northwestern Spain: Clinical Description and Therapeutic Response. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33(4):573-6.
- 129. Barut S, Cetin I. A tularemia outbreak in an extended family in Tokat Province, Turkey: observing the attack rate of tularemia. Int J Infect Dis. 2009;13(6):745-8.
- 130. Helvaci S, Gedikoğlu S, Akalin H, Oral HB. Tularemia in Bursa, Turkey: 205 cases in ten years. Eur J Epidemiol. 2000;16(3):271-6.
- 131. Mengeloglu Z, Duran A, Hakyemez IN, Ocak T, Kücükbayrak A, Karadag M, et al. Evaluation of patients with Tularemia in Bolu province in northwestern Anatolia, Turkey. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2014;8(3):315-9.
- 132. Akalın H, Helvacı S, Gedikoğlu S. Re-emergence of tularemia in Turkey. Int J Infect Dis. 2009;13(5):547-51.
- 133. Celebi G, Baruönü F, Ayoğlu F, Cinar F, Karadenizli A, Uğur MB, et al. Tularemia, a reemerging disease in northwest Turkey: epidemiological investigation and evaluation of treatment responses. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2006;59(4):229-34.
- 134. Siret V, Barataud D, Prat M, Vaillant V, Ansart S, Le Coustumier A, et al. An outbreak of airborne tularaemia in France, August 2004. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2006;11(2):58-60.
- 135. Mailles A, Madani N, Maurin M, Garin-Bastuji B, Vaillant V. Unexpected increase of human and animal tularemia cases during winter 2007/2008 in France: Emergence or short-lasting episode?. Médecine Mal Infect. 2010;40(5):279-84.
- 136. Feldman KA, Enscore RE, Lathrop SL, Matyas BT, McGuill M, Schriefer ME, et al. An outbreak of primary pneumonic tularemia on Martha's Vineyard. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(22):1601-6.

- Petersen JM, Carlson JK, Dietrich G, Eisen RJ, Coombs J, Janusz AM, et al. Multiple Francisella tularensis Subspecies and Clades, Tularemia Outbreak, Utah. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14(12):1928-30.
- Wang Y, Hai R, Zhang Z, Xia L, Cai H, Liang Y, et al. Genetic relationship between *Francisella tularensis* strains from China and from other countries. Biomed Environ Sci BES. 2011;24(3):310-4.
- Ariza-Miguel J, Johansson A, Fernández-Natal MI, Martínez-Nistal C, Orduña A, Rodríguez-Ferri EF, et al. Molecular investigation of tularemia outbreaks, Spain, 1997-2008. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(5):754-61.
- Grunow R, Kalaveshi A, Kühn A, Mulliqi-Osmani G, Ramadani N. Surveillance of tularaemia in Kosovo, 2001 to 2010. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2012;17(28).
- 141. Capellan J, Fong IW. Tularemia from a cat bite: case report and review of feline-associated tularemia. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 1993;16(4):472-5.
- 142. Gyuranecz M, Rigó K, Dán A, Földvári G, Makrai L, Dénes B, et al. Investigation of the ecology of *Francisella tularensis* during an inter-epizootic period. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2011;11(8):1031-5.
- 143. Kuehn A, Schulze C, Kutzer P, Probst C, Hlinak A, Ochs A, et al. Tularaemia seroprevalence of captured and wild animals in Germany: the fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) as a biological indicator. Epidemiol Infect. 2013;141(4):833-40.
- 144. Padeshki PI, Ivanov IN, Popov B, Kantardjiev TV. The role of birds in dissemination of *Francisella tularensis:* first direct molecular evidence for bird-to-human transmission. Epidemiol Infect. 2010;138(3):376-9.
- 145. Gehringer H, Schacht E, Maylaender N, Zeman E, Kaysser P, Oehme R, et al. Presence of an emerging subclone of *Francisella tularensis holarctica* in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks from south-western Germany. Ticks Tick-Borne Dis. 2013;4(1–2):93-100.
- 146. Petersen JM, Mead PS, Schriefer ME. Francisella tularensis: an arthropod-borne pathogen. Vet Res. 2009
- 147. Kreizinger Z, Hornok S, Dan A, Hresko S, Makrai L, Magyar T, et al. Prevalence of *Francisella tularensis* and *Francisella*-Like Endosymbionts in the Tick Population of Hungary and the Genetic Variability of Francisella-Like Agents. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2013;13(3):160-3.
- 148. Franke J, Fritzsch J, Tomaso H, Straube E, Dorn W, Hildebrandt A. Coexistence of pathogens in host-seeking and feeding ticks within a single natural habitat in Central Germany. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76(20):6829-36.
- 149. Reese SM, Petersen JM, Sheldon SW, Dolan MC, Dietrich G, Piesman J, et al. Transmission efficiency of *Francisella tularensis* by adult american dog ticks (Acari: *Ixodidae*). J Med Entomol. 2011;48(4):884-90.

- 150. Výrosteková V. Transstadial transmission of *Francisella tularensis* by *Ixodes ricinus* ticks infected during the nymphal stage. Epidemiol Mikrobiol Imunol Cas Spolecnosti Epidemiol Mikrobiol Ceske Lek Spolecnosti JE Purkyne. 1994;43(4):166-70.
- 151. Genchi M, Prati P, Vicari N, Manfredini A, Sacchi L, Clementi E, et al. *Francisella tularensis*: No Evidence for Transovarial Transmission in the Tularemia Tick Vectors Dermacentor reticulatus and Ixodes ricinus. PLoS ONE. 2015
- 152. Lundstrom JO, Andersson A-C, Backman S, Schafer ML, Forsman M, Thelaus J. Transstadial Transmission of *Francisella tularensis holarctica* in Mosquitoes, Sweden. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17(5):794-9.
- 153. Thelaus J, Andersson A, Broman T, Backman S, Granberg M, Karlsson L, et al. *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *holarctica* Occurs in Swedish Mosquitoes, Persists Through the Developmental Stages of Laboratory-Infected Mosquitoes and Is Transmissible During Blood Feeding. Microb Ecol. 2014;67:96-107.
- 154. Broman T, Thelaus J, Andersson A-C, Backman S, Wikstrom P, Larsson E, et al. Molecular Detection of Persistent *Francisella tularensis* Subspecies *holarctica* in Natural Waters. Int J Microbiol. 2011
- 155. Parker RR, Steinhaus EA, Kohls GM, Jellison WL. Contamination of natural waters and mud with *Pasteurella tularensis* and tularemia in beavers and muskrats in the northwestern United States. Bull Natl Inst Health US. 1951;193:1-161.
- 156. Gilbert SE, Rose LJ. Survival and persistence of nonspore-forming biothreat agents in water. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2012;55(3):189-94.
- 157. Forsman, Henningson, Larsson, Johansson, Sandström. *Francisella tularensis* does not manifest virulence in viable but non-culturable state. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2000;31(3):217-24.
- 158. Berrada ZL, Telford SR. Survival of *Francisella tularensis* Type A in brackish-water. Arch Microbiol. 2011;193(3):223-6.
- 159. Simşek H, Taner M, Karadenizli A, Ertek M, Vahaboğlu H. Identification of *Francisella tularensis* by both culture and real-time TaqMan PCR methods from environmental water specimens in outbreak areas where tularemia cases were not previously reported. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 2012;31(9):2353-7.
- 160. Abd H, Johansson T, Golovliov I, Sandström G, Forsman M. Survival and growth of *Francisella tularensis* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003;69(1):600-6.
- 161. El-Etr SH, Margolis JJ, Monack D, Robison RA, Cohen M, Moore E, et al. *Francisella tularensis* type A strains cause the rapid encystment of *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and survive in amoebal cysts for three weeks postinfection. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2009;75(23):7488-500.
- 162. Duncan C, Krafsur G, Podell B, Baeten LA, LeVan I, Charles B, et al. Leptospirosis and tularaemia in raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) of Larimer County, Colorado. Zoonoses Public Health. 2012;59(1):29-34.

- 163. Nelson DD, Haldorson GJ, Stanton JB, Noh SM, Bradway DS, Mansfield KG, et al. *Francisella tularensis* infection without lesions in gray tree squirrels (*Sciurus griseus*): a diagnostic challenge. J Vet Diagn Investig Off Publ Am Assoc Vet Lab Diagn Inc. 2014;26(2):312-5.
- 164. Rossow H, Sissonen S, Koskela KA, Kinnunen PM, Hemmilä H, Niemimaa J, et al. Detection of *Francisella tularensis* in voles in Finland. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2014;14(3):193
 -8.
- 165. Unal Yilmaz G, Gurcan S, Ozkan B, Karadenizli A. Investigation of the presence of *Francisella tularensis* by culture, serology and molecular methods in mice of Thrace Region, Turkey. Mikrobiyoloji Bül. 2014;48(2):213-22.
- 166. Decors A, Lesage C, Jourdain E, Giraud P, Houbron P, Vanhem P, et al. Outbreak of tularaemia in brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) in France, January to March 2011. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2011;16(28).
- 167. Avashia SB, Petersen JM, Lindley CM, Schriefer ME, Gage KL, Cetron M, et al. First reported prairie dog-to-human tularemia transmission, Texas, 2002. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10(3):483-6.
- 168. Hansen CM, Vogler AJ, Keim P, Wagner DM, Hueffer K. Tularemia in Alaska, 1938 2010. Acta Vet Scand. 2011;53(1):61.
- 169. Liles WC, Burger RJ. Tularemia from domestic cats. West J Med. 1993;158(6):619-22.
- 170. Chitadze N, Kuchuloria T, Clark DV, Tsertsvadze E, Chokheli M, Tsertsvadze N, et al. Waterborne outbreak of oropharyngeal and glandular tularemia in Georgia: investigation and follow-up. Infection. déc 2009;37(6):514-21.
- 171. Erdem H, Ozturk-Engin D, Yesilyurt M, Karabay O, Elaldi N, Celebi G, et al. Evaluation of tularaemia courses: a multicentre study from Turkey. Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014
- 172. Mailles A, Vaillant V. 10 years of surveillance of human tularaemia in France. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2014;19(45):20956.
- 173. Hanke CA, Otten J-E, Berner R, Serr A, Splettstoesser W, von Schnakenburg C. Ulceroglandular tularemia in a toddler in Germany after a mosquito bite. Eur J Pediatr. 2009;168(8):937-40.
- 174. Ryden P, Bjork R, Schafer ML, Lundstrom JO, Petersen B, Lindblom A, et al. Outbreaks of Tularemia in a Boreal Forest Region Depends on Mosquito Prevalence. J Infect Dis. 2012;205(2):297-304.
- 175. Myrbäck KE, Ringertz O, Dahlstrand S. An epidemic of tularemia in Sweden during the summer of 1967. Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand. 1968;72(3):463-4.
- 176. Syrjälä H, Kujala P, Myllylä V, Salminen A. Airborne transmission of tularemia in farmers. Scand J Infect Dis. 1985;17(4):371-5.
- 177. Teutsch SM, Martone WJ, Brink EW, Potter ME, Eliot G, Hoxsie R, et al. Pneumonic tularemia on Martha's Vineyard. N Engl J Med. 1979;301(15):826-8.

- 178. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tularemia Missouri, 2000-2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(27):744-8.
- 179. Eliasson H, Bäck E. Tularaemia in an emergent area in Sweden: an analysis of 234 cases in five years. Scand J Infect Dis. 2007;39(10):880-9.
- 180. Fritzsch J, Splettstoesser WD. Septic pneumonic tularaemia caused by *Francisella tularensis* subsp. holarctica biovar II. J Med Microbiol. 2010;59(9):1123-5.
- 181. Egan JR, Hall IM, Leach S. Modeling Inhalational Tularemia: Deliberate Release and Public Health Response. Biosecurity Bioterrorism Biodefense Strategy Pract Sci. 2011;9(4):331-43.
- 182. Guerpillon B, Boibieux A, Guenne C, Ploton C, Ferry T, Maurin M, et al. Keep an Ear Out for *Francisella tularensis*: Otomastoiditis Cases after Canyoneering. Front Med. 2016
- 183. Akimana C, Kwaik YA. *Francisella*-Arthropod Vector Interaction and its Role in Patho-Adaptation to Infect Mammals. Front Microbiol. 2011
- 184. Carvalho CL, Lopes de Carvalho I, Zé-Zé L, Núncio MS, Duarte EL. Tularaemia: A challenging zoonosis. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;37(2):85-96.
- 185. Eren Gok S, Kocagul Celikbas A, Baykam N, Atay Buyukdemirci A, Eroglu MN, Evren Kemer O, et al. Evaluation of tularemia cases focusing on the oculoglandular form. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2014;8(10):1277-84.
- 186. Terrada C, Azza S, Bodaghi B, Le Hoang P, Drancourt M. Rabbit hunter uveitis: case report of tularemia uveitis. BMC Ophthalmol. 2016;16(1):157.
- 187. Thompson S, Omphroy L, Oetting T. Parinaud's oculoglandular syndrome attributable to an encounter with a wild rabbit. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001;131(2):283-4.
- Aktas D, Celebi B, Isik ME, Tutus C, Ozturk H, Temel F, et al. Oropharyngeal Tularemia Outbreak Associated with Drinking Contaminated Tap Water, Turkey, July–September 2013. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(12):2194-6.
- 189. Karadenizli A, Forsman M, Şimşek H, Taner M, Öhrman C, Myrtennäs K, et al. Genomic analyses of *Francisella tularensis* strains confirm disease transmission from drinking water sources, Turkey, 2008, 2009 and 2012. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2015;20(21).
- 190. Haholu A, Salihoğlu M, Turhan V. Granulomatous lymphadenitis can also be seen in tularemia, not only in tuberculosis. Int J Infect Dis. 2013;17(4):e283.
- 191. Kandemir B, Erayman I, Bitirgen M, Aribas ET, Guler S. Tularemia presenting with tonsillopharyngitis and cervical lymphadenitis: report of two cases. Scand J Infect Dis. 2007;39(6-7):620-2.
- 192. Kaya A, Uysal IO, Guven AS, Engin A, Gulturk A, Icagas?oglu FD, et al. Treatment failure of gentamicin in pediatric patients with oropharyngeal tularemia. Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res. 2011;17(7):CR376-CR380.

- 193. Peter R, Banyai T. Erythema nodosum revealing oculoglandular tularemia. Dermatol Basel Switz. 2001;202(1):79-80.
- 194. Ruiz AI, González A, Miranda A, Torrero V, Gutiérrez C, García M. Sweet's syndrome associated with *Francisella tularensis* infection. Int J Dermatol. 2001;40(12):791-3.
- 195. Senel E, Satılmış O, Acar B. Dermatologic manifestations of tularemia: a study of 151 cases in the mid-Anatolian region of Turkey. Int J Dermatol. 2014;
- 196. Barbaz M, Piau C, Tadie JM, Pelloux I, Kayal S, Tattevin P, et al. Rombencephalitis Caused by *Francisella tularensis*. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(10):3454-5.
- 197. Contentin L, Soret J, Zamfir O, Gontier O, Lherm T, Hamrouni M, et al. *Francisella tularensis* meningitis. Médecine Mal Infect. 2011;41(10):556-8.
- 198. Gangat N. Cerebral abscesses complicating tularemia meningitis. Scand J Infect Dis. 2007;39(3):258-61.
- 199. Hofinger DM, Cardona L, Mertz GJ, Davis LE. Tularemic meningitis in the United States. Arch Neurol. 2009;66(4):523-7.
- 200. Rodgers BL, Duffield RP, Taylor T, Jacobs RF, Schutze GE. Tularemic meningitis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1998;17(5):439-41.
- 201. Briere M, Kaladji A, Douane F, Breux JP, Touroult-Jupin P, Boisset S, et al. *Francisella tularensis* aortitis. Infection. 2016;44(2):263-5.
- 202. Tärnvik A, Chu MC. New Approaches to Diagnosis and Therapy of Tularemia. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1105(1):378-404.
- Bevanger L, Maeland JA, Kvan AI. Comparative analysis of antibodies to *Francisella tularensis* antigens during the acute phase of tularemia and eight years later. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 1994;1(2):238-40.
- 204. Chaignat V, Djordjevic-Spasic M, Ruettger A, Otto P, Klimpel D, Müller W, et al. Performance of seven serological assays for diagnosing tularemia. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14(1):234.
- Petersen JM, Schriefer ME, Gage KL, Montenieri JA, Carter LG, Stanley M, et al. Methods for Enhanced Culture Recovery of *Francisella tularensis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004;70(6):3733
 -5.
- 206. Karatuna O, Çelebi B, Can S, Akyar I, Kiliç S. The use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry in the identification of *Francisella tularensis*. Bosn J Basic Med Sci. 2016;16(2):132-8.
- 207. Seibold E, Maier T, Kostrzewa M, Zeman E, Splettstoesser W. Identification of *Francisella tularensis* by Whole-Cell Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry: Fast, Reliable, Robust, and Cost-Effective Differentiation on Species and Subspecies Levels. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(4):1061-9.

- 208. Durighello E, Bellanger L, Ezan E, Armengaud J. Proteogenomic biomarkers for identification of *Francisella* species and subspecies by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2014;86(19):9394-8.
- 209. Lundquist M, Caspersen MB, Wikström P, Forsman M. Discrimination of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies using surface enhanced laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry and multivariate data analysis. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2005;243(1):303-10.
- 210. Seibold E, Bogumil R, Vorderwülbecke S, Al Dahouk S, Buckendahl A, Tomaso H, et al. Optimized application of surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight MS to differentiate Francisella tularensis at the level of subspecies and individual strains. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2007;49(3):364-73.
- 211. Forsman M, Sandström G, Sjöstedt A. Analysis of 16S Ribosomal DNA Sequences of *Francisella* Strains and Utilization for Determination of the Phylogeny of the Genus and for Identification of Strains by PCR. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1994;44(1):38-46.
- 212. Michelet L, Bonnet S, Madani N, Moutailler S. Discriminating *Francisella tularensis* and *Francisella*-like endosymbionts in Dermacentor reticulatus ticks: Evaluation of current molecular techniques. Vet Microbiol. 2013;163(3–4):399-403.
- 213. Versage JL, Severin DDM, Chu MC, Petersen JM. Development of a Multitarget Real-Time TaqMan PCR Assay for Enhanced Detection of *Francisella tularensis* in Complex Specimens. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41(12):5492-9.
- 214. Ahlinder J, Ohrman C, Svensson K, Lindgren P, Johansson A, Forsman M, et al. Increased knowledge of *Francisella* genus diversity highlights the benefits of optimised DNA-based assays. BMC Microbiol. 2012;12:220.
- 215. Higgins JA, Hubalek Z, Halouzka J, Elkins KL, Sjostedt A, Shipley M, et al. Detection of *Francisella tularensis* in infected mammals and vectors using a probe-based polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2000;62(2):310-8.
- 216. Johansson A, Ibrahim A, Goransson I, Eriksson U, Gurycova D, Clarridge JE, et al. Evaluation of PCR-Based Methods for Discrimination of *Francisella* Species and Subspecies and Development of a Specific PCR That Distinguishes the Two Major Subspecies of *Francisella tularensis*. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38(11):4180-5.
- Larsson P, Svensson K, Karlsson L, Guala D, Granberg M, Forsman M, et al. Canonical Insertion-Deletion Markers for Rapid DNA Typing of *Francisella tularensis*. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13(11):1725-32.
- 218. Kugeler KJ, Pappert R, Zhou Y, Petersen JM. Real-time PCR for *Francisella tularensis* types A and B. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12(11):1799-801.
- 219. Birdsell DN, Vogler AJ, Buchhagen J, Clare A, Kaufman E, Naumann A, et al. TaqMan Real-Time PCR Assays for Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms Which Identify *Francisella tularensis* and Its Subspecies and Subpopulations. PloS One. 2014;9(9):e107964.

- 220. Bossi P, Tegnell A, Baka A, Van Loock F, Hendriks J, Werner A, et al. Bichat guidelines for the clinical management of tularaemia and bioterrorism-related tularaemia. Euro Surveill Bull Eur Sur Mal Transm Eur Commun Dis Bull. 2004;9(12):E9-10.
- 221. Dentan C, Pavese P, Pelloux I, Boisset S, Brion J-P, Stahl J-P, et al. Treatment of tularemia in pregnant woman, France. Emerg Infect Dis. 2013;19(6):996-8.
- 222. Gestin B, Valade E, Thibault F, Schneider D, Maurin M. Phenotypic and genetic characterization of macrolide resistance in *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* biovar I. J Antimicrob Chemother. nov 2010;65(11):2359-67.
- 223. Jaing CJ, McLoughlin KS, Thissen JB, Zemla A, Gardner SN, Vergez LM, et al. Identification of Genome-Wide Mutations in Ciprofloxacin-Resistant *F. tularensis* LVS Using Whole Genome Tiling Arrays and Next Generation Sequencing. PLoS ONE. 2016
- 224. Sutera V, Levert M, Burmeister WP, Schneider D, Maurin M. Evolution toward high-level fluoroquinolone resistance in *Francisella species*. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013
- 225. Enderlin G, Morales L, Jacobs RF, Cross JT. Streptomycin and alternative agents for the treatment of tularemia: review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 1994;19(1):42-7.
- 226. Ulu-Kilic A, Gulen G, Sezen F, Kilic S, Sencan I. Tularemia in central Anatolia. Infection. 2013;41(2):391-9.
- 227. Weber IB, Turabelidze G, Patrick S, Griffith KS, Kugeler KJ, Mead PS. Clinical recognition and management of tularemia in Missouri: a retrospective records review of 121 cases. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2012;55(10):1283-90.
- 228. Kaya A, Deveci K, Uysal IO, Güven AS, Demir M, Uysal EB, et al. Tularemia in children: evaluation of clinical, laboratory and therapeutic features of 27 tularemia cases. Turk J Pediatr. 2012;54(2):105-12.
- 229. Meric M, Willke A, Finke E-J, Grunow R, Sayan M, Erdogan S, et al. Evaluation of clinical, laboratory, and therapeutic features of 145 tularemia cases: the role of quinolones in oropharyngeal tularemia. APMIS. 2008;116(1):66-73.
- 230. Karakas A, Coskun O, Artuk C, Savasci U, Gul HC, Mert G, et al. Oropharyngeal tularemia cases admitted to a military hospital in Ankara, Turkey. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2014;8(8):994-9.
- 231. Gozel MG, Engin A, Altuntas EE, Salk I, Kaya A, Celik C, et al. Evaluation of clinical and laboratory findings of pediatric and adult patients with oropharyngeal tularemia in Turkey: a combination of surgical drainage and antibiotic therapy increases treatment success. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2014;67(4):295-9.
- 232. Kaya A, Uysal IÖ, Güven AS, Engin A, Gültürk A, İçağasıoğlu FD, et al. Treatment failure of gentamicin in pediatric patients with oropharyngeal tularemia. Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res. 2011;17(7):CR376-380.
- 233. Komitova R, Nenova R, Padeshki P, Ivanov I, Popov V, Petrov P. Tularemia in bulgaria 2003-2004. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2010;4(11):689-94.

- 234. Sencan I, Sahin I, Kaya D, Oksuz S, Ozdemir D, Karabay O. An Outbreak of Oropharyngeal Tularemia with Cervical Adenopathy Predominantly in the Left Side. Yonsei Med J. 2009;50(1):50-4.
- 235. Gönen İ. A small outbreak of tularemia in a rural area. Turk J Med Sci. 2013;43(1):75-8.
- 236. Tezer MS, Övet G, Alataş N, Görgülü MH, Koç E, Öztürk MA. Clinical manifestations of 16 oropharyngeal tularemia patients: experience of a referral hospital in the city of Konya, Turkey. Turk J Med Sci. 2013;43(2):227-31.
- 237. Cağlı S, Vural A, Sönmez O, Yüce I, Güney E. Tularemia: a rare cause of neck mass, evaluation of 33 patients. Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol Off J Eur Fed Oto-Rhino-Laryngol Soc EUFOS Affil Ger Soc Oto-Rhino-Laryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;268(12):1699-704.
- 238. Celebi S, Hacimustafaoglu M, Gedikoglu S. Tularemia in children. Indian J Pediatr. nov 2008;75(11):1129-32.
- 239. Jounio U, Renko M, Uhari M. An outbreak of *holarctica*-type tularemia in pediatric patients. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2010;29(2):160-2.
- 240. Snowden J, Stovall S. Tularemia: retrospective review of 10 years' experience in Arkansas. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2011;50(1):64-8.
- 241. Bicakci Z, Parlak M. A neglected cause of cervical lymphadenitis. Oropharyngeal tularemia. Saudi Med J. 2008;29(7):1059-61.
- 242. Cross JT, Schutze GE, Jacobs RF. Treatment of tularemia with gentamicin in pediatric patients. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1995;14(2):151-2.
- Oz F, Eksioglu A, Tanır G, Bayhan G, Metin O, Teke TA. Evaluation of clinical and sonographic features in 55 children with tularemia. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis Larchmt N. 2014;14(8):571-5.
- 244. Tezer H, Ozkaya-Parlakay A, Aykan H, Erkocoglu M, Gülhan B, Demir A, et al. Tularemia in Children, Turkey, September 2009–November 2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(1):1-7.
- 245. Johansson A, Berglund L, Gothefors L, Sjöstedt A, Tärnvik A. Ciprofloxacin for treatment of tularemia in children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2000;19(5):449-53.
- 246. Georgi E, Schacht E, Scholz HC, Splettstoesser WD. Standardized broth microdilution antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica strains from Europe and rare Francisella species. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(10):2429-33.
- 247. Shadoud L, Almahmoud I, Jarraud S, Etienne J, Larrat S, Schwebel C, et al. Hidden Selection of Bacterial Resistance to Fluoroquinolones In Vivo: The Case of Legionella pneumophila and Humans. EBioMedicine. 2015;2(9):1179-85.
- 248. Andersson DI, Hughes D. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to reverse resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8(4):260-71.

- 249. Fàbrega A, Madurga S, Giralt E, Vila J. Mechanism of action of and resistance to quinolones. Microb Biotechnol. 2009;2(1):40-61.
- 250. La Scola B, Elkarkouri K, Li W, Wahab T, Fournous G, Rolain J-M, et al. Rapid comparative genomic analysis for clinical microbiology: The *Francisella tularensis* paradigm. Genome Res. 2008;18(5):742-50.
- 251. Loveless BM, Yermakova A, Christensen DR, Kondig JP, Heine III HS, Wasieloski LP, et al. Identification of ciprofloxacin resistance by SimpleProbe[™], High Resolution Melt and Pyrosequencing[™] nucleic acid analysis in biothreat agents: Bacillus anthracis, Yersinia pestis and Francisella tularensis. Mol Cell Probes. 2010;24(3):154-60.
- 252. Caspar Y. Évaluation de l'activité antibactérienne de nouveaux composés bis-indoliques de synthèse, de structure proche de celles d'alcaloïdes d'origine marine [Thèse d'exercice]. [Grenoble, France]: Université Joseph Fourier. 2013
- 253. Guinchard X, Vallée Y, Denis J-N. Total Syntheses of Brominated Marine Sponge Alkaloids. Org Lett. 2007;9(19):3761-4.
- 254. Guinchard X, Vallée Y, Denis J-N. Total Synthesis of Marine Sponge Bis(indole) Alkaloids of the Topsentin Class. J Org Chem. 2007;72(10):3972-5.
- 255. Denis J-N, Jolivalt C, Maurin M, Jeanty M. Bis-Indolic Derivatives, a Process for Preparing the Same and Their Uses as a Drug. WO/2013/014102, 2013
- 256. Denis J-N, Jolivalt C, Maurin M, Burchak O. Bis-Indolic Derivatives, Their Uses in Particular as Antibacterials. WO/2013/014104, 2013
- 257. DENIS J-N, GUINCHARD X, MOREAU née LAFFONT N, NEUVILLE L, VALLEE Y. NOVEL INDOLE DERIVATIVES, METHODS FOR PREPARING SAME, AND USE THEREOF PARTICULARLY AS ANTIBACTERIAL AGENTS. WO/2008/110690, 2008
- 258. Harms A, Maisonneuve E, Gerdes K. Mechanisms of bacterial persistence during stress and antibiotic exposure. Science. 2016;354(6318):aaf4268.
- 259. van Hoek ML. Biofilms. Virulence. 2013;4(8):833-46.

ANNEXES

<u>Annexe 1 :</u> Composition des géloses chocolat et du mélange PolyViteX[™]

Annexe 2 : Fiche de déclaration obligatoire de la tularémie

REF 41 536 / 55 651 / 55 652

IVD

Gélose Chocolat (CHOCO-F)/ Mélange PolyViteX™ (PVX-M)

Isolement des bactéries exigeantes

INTRODUCTION ET OBJET DU TEST

La gélose Chocolat s'utilise additionnée du mélange PolyViteX. Ce milieu est destiné à la culture et à l'isolement des souches exigeantes appartenant aux genres *Neisseria, Haemophilus, Streptococcus* (*S. pneumoniae*).

PRINCIPE

Ce milieu est composé d'une base nutritive enrichie en facteur X (hémine) apporté par l'hémoglobine.

Les facteurs de croissance indispensables, comme le facteur V (NAD), sont apportés par le mélange PolyViteX (1, 2).

La sélectivité de la gélose Chocolat additionnée de PolyViteX peut être assurée par l'addition du mélange VCN, pour la recherche des *Neisseria (N. meningitidis* et *N. gonorrhoeae*).

PRESENTATION

Références commercialisées séparément

Milieu prêt à l'emploi

REF 41 536 Gélose Chocolat

Coffret de 6 flacons de 100 ml

	Mélange PolyViteX		
	R1 : 4 x →1 ml (lyophilisé)		
REF 55 651	R2 : 4 x 1 ml de solvant		
	1 notice fournie dans le coffret ou téléchargeable sur www.biomerieux.com/techlib		
	R1 : 4 x →10 ml (lyophilisé)		
REF 55 652	R2 : 4 x 10 ml de solvant		
	1 notice fournie dans le coffret ou téléchargeable sur www.biomerieux.com/techlib		

COMPOSITION

Ces milieux peuvent être ajustés et/ou supplémentés en fonction des critères de performances imposés :

Gélose Chocolat

Formule meorique	
Peptone de caséine (bovin)	7,5 g
Peptone de viande (bovin ou porcin)	7,5 g
Amidon de maïs	1 g
Phosphate dipotassique	4 g
Chlorure de sodium	5 g
Hémoglobine (bovin)	
Agar	
Fau purifiée	1 1

pH 7,2

Mélange PolyViteX

Formule théorique en mg/l après addition à 100 ml de base :

0,1
10
0,3
0,13
11
2,5
1,04
0,2
0,03
259

MATERIEL NECESSAIRE MAIS NON FOURNI

- Boîtes de Petri stériles.
- Bains-marie.
- Générateurs d'atmosphère contrôlée.
- Jarres.
- Etuve bactériologique.
- Ou
- Enceintes thermorégulées à atmosphère contrôlée.

REACTIF COMPLEMENTAIRE

• Mélange VCN (Réf. 55 663 ou 55 664).

PRECAUTIONS D'UTILISATION

- Pour diagnostic in vitro uniquement.
- Pour usage professionnel uniquement.
- Ce coffret contient des composants d'origine animale. La maîtrise de l'origine et/ou de l'état sanitaire des animaux ne pouvant garantir de façon absolue que ces produits ne contiennent aucun agent pathogène transmissible, il est recommandé de les manipuler avec les précautions d'usage relatives aux produits potentiellement infectieux (ne pas ingérer, ne pas inhaler).
- Les prélèvements, cultures bactériennes et produits ensemencés doivent être considérés comme potentiellement infectieux et doivent être manipulés de façon appropriée. Les techniques aseptiques et les précautions usuelles de manipulation pour le groupe bactérien étudié doivent être respectées tout au long de la manipulation; se référer à "CLSI® M29-A, Protection of Laboratory Workers from Occupationally Acquired Infections; Approved Guideline - Révision en vigueur". Pour informations complémentaires sur les précautions manipulation, se référer à "Biosafety in de Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories - CDC/NIH Dernière édition", ou à la réglementation en vigueur dans le pays d'utilisation.
- Les milieux de culture ne doivent pas être utilisés comme matériau ou composant de fabrication.
- Ne pas utiliser les réactifs après la date de péremption.
- Ne pas utiliser des flacons présentant une suspicion de contamination.
- Avant utilisation, s'assurer de l'intégrité des ergots d'inviolabilité de la capsule des flacons et de l'intégrité des bouchons.
- Après régénération et supplémentation, le flacon doit être intégralement réparti en boîtes (le milieu ne pouvant pas être liquéfié plusieurs fois).
- Les performances présentées ont été obtenues avec la méthodologie indiquée dans cette notice. Toute déviation de méthodologie peut modifier les résultats.
- L'interprétation des résultats du test doit être faite en tenant compte du contexte clinique, de l'origine du prélèvement, des aspects macro et microscopiques et éventuellement des résultats d'autres tests.

CONDITIONS DE STOCKAGE

- Les flacons de gélose se conservent entre 2°C et 25°C dans leur coffret jusqu'à la date de péremption.
- Les flacons de mélange se conservent entre 2°C et 8°C dans leur coffret jusqu'à la date de péremption.

- Après répartition en boîtes de Petri, les milieux supplémentés en PolyViteX™ se conservent 1 semaine à 2 - 8°C.
- Après reconstitution du mélange PolyViteX :
 - Le flacon de 1 ml est utilisé extemporanément.
 - Le flacon de 10 ml se conserve 1 semaine à 2-8°C.

ECHANTILLONS

Les prélèvements peuvent être de toute nature et sont directement ensemencés sur la gélose.

Il convient de respecter les bonnes pratiques en terme de prélèvements et de transport, adaptées à chaque type de prélèvement (3).

Ce milieu peut être utilisé pour le repiquage des souches bactériennes afin d'obtenir des cultures pures.

MODE OPERATOIRE

Préparation du mélange PolyViteX :

- 1. Laisser les flacons revenir à température ambiante.
- 2. Reprendre aseptiquement le flacon de réactif lyophilisé (R1) par un volume de solvant (R2) mesuré précisément (1 ml pour la réf. 55 651, 10 ml pour la réf. 55 652).
- 3. Agiter pour assurer une dissolution complète.

Préparation des boîtes de Petri :

- 1. Desserrer au préalable la capsule du flacon de gélose.
- 2. Mettre le flacon à régénérer dans un bain marie sécurisé à environ 50°C, monter la température jusqu'à 95°C et laisser fondre la gélose (environ 45 minutes).
- 3. Homogénéiser après fermeture de la capsule (utiliser des gants de protection contre les risques thermiques):
- 4. Laisser les flacons à température ambiante au moins 15 secondes avant de les transférer dans un bain d'eau thermostaté à environ 45-50°C. Maintenir les flacons à cette température jusqu'au moment de l'utilisation.
- 5. Ajouter 1 ml du contenu du flacon de mélange PolyViteX dans 100 ml de gélose Chocolat.

Remarque : l'incorporation éventuelle du mélange sélectif VCN est réalisée simultanément à l'addition du mélange PolyViteX (se reporter à la notice correspondante pour la préparation de ce mélange).

- 6. Homogénéiser et répartir en boîtes de Petri (à raison de 18 à 20 ml par boîte).
- 7. Après reprise et refroidissement du milieu, placer les boîtes à 2 - 8°C.

Ensemencement et incubation :

- 1. Laisser les boîtes revenir à température ambiante.
- 2. Ensemencer le prélèvement dès son arrivée au laboratoire.
- 3. Placer la boîte en atmosphère appropriée en utilisant éventuellement des générateurs d'atmosphère contrôlée.
- 4. Incuber à l'étuve, couvercle en bas, à 37°C. Le choix de la température et de l'atmosphère d'incubation est de la responsabilité de l'utilisateur en fonction de l'application et des normes en vigueur.

La durée d'incubation varie selon le type de prélèvement et la nature des micro-organismes recherchés. Les cultures sont examinées généralement après 24 à 48 heures d'incubation. Dans certains cas, il peut être nécessaire de prolonger l'incubation.

LECTURE ET INTERPRETATION

- Après incubation, observer la croissance bactérienne.
- · L'identification du ou des micro-organismes isolés doit être réalisée grâce à des tests complémentaires.

CONTROLE DE QUALITE

Protocole :

La fertilité de la gélose Chocolat additionnée de mélange PolyViteX peut être testée vis-à-vis des souches suivantes incubées sous atmosphère enrichie en CO2 :

- Neisseria gonorrhoeae ATCC[®] 43069
 Haemophilus influenzae ATCC[®] 10211

Résultats attendus :

Souche	Résultat à 33-37°C	
Neisseria gonorrhoeae ATCC [®] 43069	Croissance en 48 heures	
Haemophilus influenzae ATCC [®] 10211		

Remarque :

Il est de la responsabilité de l'utilisateur de prendre en compte la nature de l'application et la législation locale en vigueur pour la mise en oeuvre du contrôle de qualité température (fréquence, nombre de souches, d'incubation...).

LIMITES DU TEST

- Le développement est fonction des exigences propres à chaque micro-organisme. Il est donc possible que certaines souches ayant des exigences spécifiques ne se développent pas.
- En fonction des prélèvements analysés et selon les micro-organismes recherchés, il est recommandé d'associer la gélose Chocolat PolyVitex avec des milieux complémentaires (milieux sélectifs) (1).

PERFORMANCES

Les performances ont été évaluées, à 37°C sur la gélose Chocolat additionnée du mélange PolyViteX, sur (N. gonorrhoeae, 38 souches bactériennes N. meningitidis, Haemophilus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, autres bactéries Gram (+) et Gram (-)) et 2 levures (Candida et Saccharomyces).

Fertilité :

Toutes les souches se sont développées en 24 heures, à l'exception d'une souche d'Haemophilus equigenitalis qui s'est développée en 48 heures.

ELIMINATION DES DECHETS

Les réactifs non utilisés peuvent être éliminés comme déchets non dangereux.

Eliminer les réactifs utilisés ainsi que les matériels à usage unique contaminés en suivant les procédures relatives aux produits infectieux ou potentiellement infectieux.

Il incombe à chaque laboratoire de gérer les déchets et les effluents qu'il produit selon leur nature et leur dangerosité, et d'en assurer (ou faire assurer) le traitement et l'élimination selon les réglementations applicables.

REFERENCES BIBLIOGRAPHIQUES

- MARTIN J.E., BILLING T.E., HACKNEY J.F. and al. -Primary isolation of *N. gonorrhoeae* with a new commercial medium - *Publ. Health. Rep.*, 1967,vol. 82, n° 4, p. 361-363.
- THAYER J.D., MARTIN J.E. Improved medium selective for cultivation of *N. gonorrhoeae* and *N. meningitidis* -*Publ. Health. Rep.*, 1966, vol. 81, n°6, p. 559-562.
- EVANGELISTA A.T., BEILSTEIN R.H.– Cumitech 4A. Laboratory diagnosis of Gonorrhea - American Society for Microbiology, 1993.

TABLE DES SYMBOLES

Symbole	Signification		
REF	Référence du catalogue		
IVD	Dispositif médical de diagnostic in vitro		
	Fabricant		
	Limites de température		
Σ	Utiliser jusque		
LOT	Code du lot		
Consulter les instructions d'utilisation			

BIOMERIEUX, le logo bleu et PolyViteX sont des marques utilisées, déposées et/ou enregistrées appartenant à bioMérieux SA ou à l'une de ses filiales. CLSI est une marque appartenant à Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Inc. ATCC est une marque appartenant à American Type Culture Collection.

Les autres marques et noms de produits mentionnés dans ce document sont des marques commerciales de leurs détenteurs respectifs.



RCS LYON 673 620 399 Tél. 33 (0)4 78 87 20 00 Fax 33 (0)4 78 87 20 90 www.biomerieux.com

CE

République française

Médecin ou biologiste déclarant (tampo	on)	Si notificati	on nar un hio	logista		Maladia à déalaration abligatoire
	511)			logiste		Cerfa
Nom :		Nom du clin	licien :			Tularémie 12214
Hôpital/service :						
Adresse :		Hôpital/servi	ce :			
Téléphone :		Adresse :				Important : cette maladie justifie une intervention urgente locale, nationale ou internationale. Vous
Télécopie :		Téléphone :				devez la signaler par tout moyen approprié (téléphone, télécopie) au médecin de l'ARS avant
Signature :		Télécopie ·				même confirmation par le CNR ou envoi de cette
Initiale du nom : Prénom :			Sexe : 🗌 N	1 🗌 F	Da	te de naissance :
Code d'anonymat :	A établi	r par l'ARS)			Date de	la notification :
Code d'anonymat :	A établi	 r par l'ARS)			Date de	la notification :
Sexe : M F Année de na	issance :				Code p	oostal du domicile du patient :
Symptômes et signes cliniques : date d	de début de	es symptômes	:			
☐ Fièvre	, préciser la	a localisation :				
Ulcère cutané, préciser la localisation :						
Pharyngite Pneumonie	🗌 Conjo	nctivite] Autres, préc	iser :		
Evolution : en cours gué	rison	🗌 décès	🗌 com	plications,	préciser :	
Hospitalisation : 🗌 oui 🗌 nor	n 🗆	inconnu				Tularémie
Confirmation du diagnostic :		_			Critères de	notification : (cocher la case correspondante)
Isolement de <i>F. tularensis</i> :oui	non	n 🗌 non	effectué		Tableau clini	que évocateur de tularémie associé à
Date du prélèvement positif					Cas cor	firmé
			_		1. isolemen 2. multiplica	t de <i>F. tularensis</i> à partir de prélèvements cliniques ou ation par 4 au moins du titre d'anticorps ou
La souche a-t-elle ete envoyee au CNR .			n 		séroconver	sion entre un sérum prélevé en phase aiguë et un sérum
PCR : Site de prelevement :	D	ate :			3. PCR posit	tive à partir d'un prélèvement clinique
Sérologie Resultat de la PCR :					Cas pro	bable
1 ^{er} prélèvement	2 ^e	prélèvement			titre supérieu	ence d'anticorps specifiques dans un seul serum avec un ur ou égal au seuil du laboratoire.
Date :	Da	ite :				ssible
Méthode 1 :	······ Mé	ethode 1 :			Exposition of	
Titre 1 : Méthode 2 :	······ Titi	re 1 :				
Titre 2 :	······ Titı	re 2 :				
Expositions à risque (dans les 4 semain	es précéda	ant les premier	rs signes clinio	ques de tula	rémie) :	
Profession :			-			(profession des parents si enfant)
Contact direct avec des animaux (vivants o	ou morts) o	ou des produits	s animaux (ma	anipulation,	préparation,	ingestion) :
- Lièvres : 🗌 oui		non 🗌	inconnu			
- Rongeurs : 🛛 🗌 oui		non	inconnu	Si oui, pré	éciser l'espèc	e :
- Autres animaux : 🛛 🔲 oui		non	inconnu	Si oui, pré	éciser l'espèc	e :
Pour les animaux, précisez les circonstances du contact (chasse, animal de compagnie personnel, dépeçage, préparation culinaire, etc.) :						
Contact direct avec l'eau d'un cours d'eau	(ruisseau	rivière lac)	d'un réservoi	r ou d'un pu	 iit · □ oui	
Contact avec de la terre (jardinage, tonte c	de gazon, r	emblavage) :		non 🗆 in		
Piqûres de tiques :				non 🗆 in	iconnu Si	i oui, préciser zone mordue :
Piqûres de moustiques ou de taons :						
Loisirs de plein air (promenade, trek, VTT, jardinage):						
Voyage à l'étranger dans le mois précéder	nt le début	des signes :	🗌 oui 📃	non Si ou	i, préciser le	pays :
Cas dans l'entourage : Oqui*	non		Si qui comb			
* Remplir une fiche pour tous les cas confi	rmés ou pr	obables				
Médecin ou biologiste déclarant (tamp	on)	Si déclaration	par un biolog	jiste		ARS (signature et tampon)
Nom :		Nom du méde	cin prescripte	eur :		
Hôpital/service :						
Adresse :		Hôpital/service	e :			
Téléphone :		Adresse :				
Télécopie :		Téléphone :				
Signature :		l élécopie :				

Maladie à déclaration obligatoire (Art D 3113-7 et D 3113-6 du Code de la santé publique) Droit d'accès et de rectification pendant 6 mois par le médecin déclarant (loi du 6 janvier 1978) - Centralisation des informations à l'Institut de veille sanitaire

Résumé

La tularémie est une zoonose liée à la bactérie Francisella tularensis, hautement pathogène pour l'homme. La sous espèce la plus virulente, F. tularensis subsp. tularensis, est retrouvée uniquement en Amérique du Nord, alors que la sous-espèce F. tularensis subsp. holarctica est présente dans tout l'hémisphère Nord. En France toutes les souches appartiennent au biovar I de la sous-espèce holarctica et plus précisément au groupe phylogénétique B.FTNF002-00. Bien que rarement grave en France, la tularémie pose le problème de taux d'échecs thérapeutiques élevés, jusqu'à 25% en cas de traitement par ciprofloxacine ou gentamicine, et 35% pour la doxycycline. Les causes de ces échecs ne sont pas bien élucidées à l'heure actuelle. L'analyse de la littérature ainsi que la détermination de la sensibilité de 59 souches françaises de F. tularensis subsp. holarctica à 18 antibiotiques, confirment qu'aucune souche isolée à ce jour ne présente de résistance acquise à ces trois familles d'antibiotiques, qui représentent le traitement de première ligne de la tularémie. Les fluoroquinolones (en particulier la ciprofloxacine et la lévofloxacine) présentent concentrations minimales inhibitrices les plus basses, devant la gentamicine et la doxycycline. Les données disponibles in vitro et en modèle animal étant corrélées aux données humaines en termes d'efficacité et de taux d'échecs thérapeutiques, il semble néanmoins préférable de positionner la ciprofloxacine en première ligne pour le traitement des formes modérées de tularémie et de limiter l'utilisation de la doxycycline aux cas de contre-indication aux fluoroquinolones. L'azithromycine et la télithromycine ont été identifiées comme des alternatives thérapeutiques envisageables en cas d'infection par une souche de biovar I de F. tularensis subsp. holarctica lorsqu'existe une contre-indication aux traitements de première ligne. Des études en modèles animaux restent néanmoins nécessaires pour conforter ces dernières observations. La sélection in vitro de souches résistantes aux fluoroquinolones est possible, ce qui suggère la possibilité d'émergence de mutants résistants in vivo pour expliquer les taux d'échec thérapeutiques. Les principales mutations de résistance aux fluoroquinolones chez F. tularensis sont observées au niveau des gènes gyrA et gyrB codant pour les topoisomérases de type II. L'impact fonctionnel de mutations de résistances aux fluoroquinolones a été caractérisé in vitro chez F. novicida, pris comme modèle de bactérie avirulente proche de F. tularensis. L'activité de superenroulement et de clivage de l'ADN en présence de fluoroquinolones a été déterminée suite à la reconstruction in vitro de complexes GyrA/GyrB fonctionnels. La résistance aux fluoroquinolones était la plus forte en cas de mutation D87G/D87Y pour la sous-unité GyrA ou +P466 pour la sous-unité GyrB. La mutation P43H située en dehors du QRDR de GyrA est à l'origine d'un plus faible niveau de résistance. La mutation D487R-ΔK488 en dehors du QRDR de GyrB ne confère pas de résistance intrinsèque mais potentialise l'effet d'une mutation D87G concomitante. En revanche, l'identification de mutations de résistance in vivo au sein des QRDR des gènes gyrA et gyrB chez des patients en situation d'échec thérapeutique traités par une fluoroquinolone est demeurée négative. Enfin, notre recherche a permis d'identifier de nouveaux composés de synthèse de structure bis-indolique possédant des activités antibactériennes. Ces composés sont bactériostatiques vis-à-vis de F. tularensis mais bactéricides vis-à-vis des staphylocoques y compris vis-à-vis de souches multi-résistantes de Staphylococcus aureus avec des CMI30 évaluées à 2mg/L chez F. tularensis et S. aureus pour le composé le plus actif. La faible solubilité de ces composés en milieu aqueux, leur forte liaison aux protéines plasmatiques ainsi que la recherche de leur mécanisme d'action original appellent néanmoins de nombreux développements futurs.

Mots Clés : Nouvelles stratégies thérapeutiques, Francisella tularensis, Composés bis-indoliques, Tularémie, Résistance bactérienne

Abstract

Tularemia is a zoonosis caused by the highly pathogenic bacterium Francisella tularensis. The most virulent subspecies, F. tularensis subsp. tularensis, is found only in North America while the subspecies F. tularensis subsp. holarctica is present in the whole Northern hemisphere. In France, all strains belong to the biovar I of the subspecies holarctica and more specifically to the phylogenetic subclade B.FTNF002-00. Although tularemia is usually not a severe disease in France, many patients suffer from therapeutic failures despite receiving an appropriate treatment. These treatments failures are observed in up to 25% of patients treated with ciprofloxacin or gentamicin, and up to 35% if patients treated with doxycycline. The causes of those therapeutic failures remain poorly elucidated. Analysis of the literature and determination of the susceptibility of 59 French F. tularensis subsp. holarctica strains to 18 antibiotics confirmed that to date, no strain with acquired resistance to any of the first-line antibiotics used for treatment of tularemia have been isolated. The fluoroquinolones (in particular ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin) exhibit the lowest minimal inhibitory concentrations, compared to gentamicin and doxycycline. Data obtained in vitro and in animal models are concordant with human data concerning the efficacy of antibiotics and therapeutic failure rates. Thus, we advocate the use of ciprofloxacin as first-line treatment for mild form of tularemia, and the use of doxycyclin only as a second-line treatment in patients with contraindications to fluoroquinolones. Azithromycin and telithromycin may also be considered as potential therapeutic alternatives for tularemia cases caused by biovar I strains of the susbspecies holarctica, but only for patients with contraindications to first-line antibiotics. Further data in animal models are however required to consolidate our in vitro data. The in vitro selection of fluoroquinolone-resistant strains of F. tularensis has been reported. This suggests that the in vivo selection of such resistant mutants may occur. In vitro, the main fluoroquinolone resistance mutations occur in the gyrA and gyrB genes that encode type II topoisomerases of F. tularensis. We have characterized the functional impact of such mutations in avirulent F. novicida strains, taken as a surrogate of F. tularensis. Supercoiling and DNA cleavage activity of GyrA/GyrB complexes reconstituted in vitro have been determined in the presence of fluoroquinolones. Fluoroquinolone resistance level was the highest in strains with a D87G/D87Y mutation in the GyrA subunit or +P466 mutation in the GyrB subunit. The mutation P43H located outside the GyrA Quinolone-Resistance-Determining-Region (QRDR) confered significant but lower fluoroquinolone resistance. The mutation D487R-ΔK488 also outside GyrB QRDR did not cause fluoroquinolone resistance by itself, but increased the resistance level in case of concomitant D87G mutation. No mutation could be identified in vivo in the QRDR of gyrA and gyrB genes amplified from clinical samples collected in patients treated with a fluoroquinolone, although some of them experienced therapeutic failure. Finally, while searching for new antibiotic compounds, we identified new synthetic bis-indolic derivatives with antibacterial activity. Lead compounds were only bacteriostatic against F. tularensis but bactericidal against staphylococci including against multi-drug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. MIC₉₀ were measured at 2mg/L for F. tularensis and S. aureus strains for the most active compound. However, many developments are still required to improve their solubility in water, decrease their plasma proteins binding and elucidate their original mechanism of action.

Keywords : New therapeutic strategies, Francisella tularensis, Bis-indolic compounds, tularemia, antibiotic resistance