

# Multifunctional weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for membrane applications

Shazia Ilyas

### ► To cite this version:

Shazia Ilyas. Multifunctional weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for membrane applications. Chemical and Process Engineering. Université Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III, 2017. English. NNT: 2017TOU30386. tel-02158116

## HAL Id: tel-02158116 https://theses.hal.science/tel-02158116

Submitted on 17 Jun 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





En vue de l'obtention du

# DOCTORAT DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE

Délivré par :

Université Toulouse 3 Paul Sabatier (UT3 Paul Sabatier)

Cotutelle internationale avec l'Université de Twente (PaysBas) et l'Université de Louvain (Belgique)

## Présentée et soutenue par : Shazia llyas

le jeudi 16 février 2017

## Titre :

Multicouches multifonctionnelles de polyelectrolytes pour des applications en

filtration membranaire

Multifunctional weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for membrane applications

École doctorale et discipline ou spécialité : ED MEGEP : Génie des procédés et de l'Environnement

> Unité de recherche : Laboratoire de Génie Chimique UMR5503

### Directeur/trice(s) de Thèse :

Dr. Pierre Aimar Dr. Jean-François Lahitte

Pr. BRUENING Merlin Pr. SCHONHOFF Monika Dr. de Vos Wiebe Dr. AIMAR Pierre Dr. LAHITTE Jean-François Pr. MARTENS Johan Pr. BOELO Schuur Pr. VANKELECOM Ivi Jury : University of Notre Dame University of Münster University of Twente Université de Toulouse Université de Toulouse University of Leuven University of Twente University of Leuven

Rapporteur Rapporteur Examinateur Examinateur Examinateur Examinateur Examinateur Examinateur

# Multifunctional weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for membrane applications

Shazia Ilyas

# Contents

| Chapter 1<br>Introduction                                                                                                 | 1   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>Chapter 2</b><br>Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayers for membranes                                                 | 31  |
| <b>Chapter 3</b><br>Weak polyelectrolyte multilayer based nanofiltration membranes<br>for micropollutant removal          | 53  |
| <b>Chapter 4</b><br>Weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for solvent resistant<br>nanofiltration membranes                    | 79  |
| <b>Chapter 5</b><br>Dynamic layer by layer (LbL) assembly of multifunctional<br>polyelectrolyte multilayers for membranes | 106 |
| Chapter 6<br>Conclusions and future perspectives                                                                          | 134 |
| Summary                                                                                                                   | 142 |

# Chapter 1

Introduction

#### 1.1 Coatings

A *Coating* is a covering that is applied to the surface of an object for the purpose of functionalization, decoration or both. All living organisms (animals and plants) have some sort of coating around their body. For example, most aerial plant organs (fruits, leaves and young stems) have cuticle, a waxy coating that minimizes water loss and effectively reduces pathogen entry. Insects also have cuticle around their body for the sake of protection. In the human body, the skin protects the body against pathogens and excessive water loss [1, 2], but also includes other functions (insulation, temperature regulation, sensation and synthesis of vitamin D). Inspired by nature, the coating of surfaces has become a fascinating route for the modification of surfaces of a multitude of materials for the sake of different functionalities. Now a days buildings, vehicles, textiles and anything you can think of have some kind of coating around it, either to enhance their aesthetic appearance, give protection, enhance efficiency or life time or to give some other functions (e.g., corrosion resistance, wear resistance, fouling resistance, strength, friction or optical properties). For different materials coating can have different purpose, for example mirrors have thin aluminum coating at the back of the glass to make the surface reflective. Solar cells have an antireflective coating of porous silicon or titanium dioxide at the front surface to reduce reflection and thus enhance their efficiency [4]. All kinds of glasses like spectacles and windows have thin protective coatings. Optical devices have thin polymer coatings to enhance their optical properties [5]. Displays of smartphones and tablets use coatings to enhance their appearance, and life and make these water proof. Now if the coatings are easy to remove, one could easily replace old paint from walls of a house with new to give it new color or look, similarly tear-off plastic sheets on a new mobile phone are there to protect the screen against scratches or marks and can easily be torn off to be be replaced with a new sheet.

In today's nanotechnology era, along with a wide range of surface engineering routes available, the manipulation of materials down to the molecular level has paved the way for new functional thin film coatings especially polymer-based thin film coatings. A *thin film* is a layer of material ranging from fractions of a nanometer (monolayer) to several micrometers in thickness. The controlled synthesis of materials as thin films (a process referred to as deposition) is a fundamental step in many applications. An important functionality of the thin film coatings in daily life can be to protect surfaces from getting dirty (antifouling coatings) or to make cleaning of surfaces easier (sacrificial coatings). For example contact lenses have special hydrophilic/antifouling coatings to avoid accumulation of proteins to the surface of lenses. Hulls of sea ships are coated with antifouling coatings to reduce frictional drag due to fouling otherwise, in ship hulls increased frictional drag due to the fouling not only reduces the speed of the ship up to 10% but also increases the fuel consumption by 40% [6]. Surfaces used for biomedical applications are coated with antifouling to avoid adhesion and to kill any adheared bacteria.

Membrane separation processes used in water and wastewater treatment, and within the food industry also suffer from fouling, a phenomena where the membranes get dirty over the time due to adhering foulants. Almost all membrane processes suffers from fouling which ultimately increases the operational cost of the processes by employing additional use of energy, chemical cleaning and sometimes replacement of fouled membrane modules with new ones.

In membrane separation processes especially used for water and wastewater treatment, thin polymer coatings can be utilized to modify the surface of membranes to manage the fouling. But these thin polymer coatings can also act as a responsive and/or as a separation layer to give additional functionality to the membranes. Membrane coatings that combine multiple functionalities will be the main topic of this thesis.

#### **1.2** Polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs)

In this thesis we will focus on a very promising class of coatings. Thin multilayer films composed of polyelectrolytes (PEs) are an interesting class of coating to modify the surface of a variety of materials for giving them certain desired functionalities. Polyelectrolytes are large molecules with repeating units bearing charged or chargeable groups, which can dissociate in aqueous solutions to form a positively, or a negatively charged polymer chain. Based upon their charge PEs can also be classified as cationic (+), anionic (-) and zwitterionic (+ and -). Poly(ethyleneimine), poly(allylamine hydrochloride), poly(L-lysine), etc. belong to cationic PEs; poly(styrenesulfonate), poly(vinylsulfonic acid), poly(acrylic acid), alginic acid etc. are anionic PEs; and proteins belong to zwitterionic PEs. In this work we will study the combination of two weak polyelectrolytes, for which the degree of dissociation depends on the solution pH, see Fig. 1.



poly(acrylic acid) poly(allylamine hydrochloride)

Fig. 1: Chemical structures of PEs used in this work.

To prepare layers of PEs in the form of polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs), the most attractive technique is the layer-by-layer (LbL) self-assembly approach which was first reported in 1990's by Hong and Decher [7]. Buildup of PEMs using this so called LbL assembly involves alternate exposure of a charged substrate to solutions of polycations and polyanions, in combination with a rinsing step between each deposition step to remove weakly associated polymer chains [8, 9]. A schematic representation of LbL process on a charged substrate is shown in Fig. 2. When a negatively charged substrate is exposed to an oppositely charged polycation solution, because the first layer adsorb on the substrate either by electrostatic or hydrophilic attractions so charge overcompensation by the polycation reverses the charge of the substrate. Adsorption of the subsequent polyanion from solution can again overcompensates the charge on the surface again to reverse the substrate's charge, thus allowing consecutive growth of PEMs with controlled thickness [10-12]. LbL is suitable for any size and shape of the substrate and has been applied for the fabrication of functional films for use in applications such as biosensing [13-16], catalysis [17-19], separations [20], and optical devices [5], and research into these application has shown explosive growth over the past decade.



Fig. 2: Schematic representation of LbL process adsorption of polycation and polyanion on a charged support.

The PEM assembly process is performed completely in water and employs a variety of charged and water soluble polymers at low polymer concentrations. Therefore, the technique considered an economical and environmentally benign technique to prepare coatings with well-defined thickness, composition, and chemical functionalities [3, 21, 22]. It was assumed that individual layers within PEMs are highly interpenetrating and electrically neutralize each other except for the outer most surface layer, which is explained by charge over compensation [23, 24]. But later, it was shown that depending upon the terminal

layer, the bulk of the PEMs still can carry charges and may not be overall neutral [25]. Until 1999 it was believed that electrostatic interactions between oppositely charged polymer chains are the sole responsible interactions for the LbL assembly, however now it is well established that actually entropy gain by release of the counterions is the main driving force for the formation of PEMs [26, 27]. The ionic strength of the coating solution can be used to control the magnitude of this entropic gain, while other specific interactions (enthalpy) can also influence the layers.

To describe charge compensation within PEMs, Schlenoff et al., defined two types of charge compensations "intrinsic" and "extrinsic" charge compensation [11, 23, 24]. In intrinsic charge compensation the charges of the polyelectrolyte are balanced by the charges of the oppositely charged PE (PE complexation). In contrast, in extrinsic charge compensation the PE charges are balanced by counter ions (salt) derived from the coating solution (Fig. 3). Now the distribution between the intrinsic and extrinsic charge compensation is to a large degree is controlled by the ionic strength of the coating solution during LbL assembly process.



Fig. 3: Effect of presence of salt in LbL processes on multilayers structure.

Increasing the ionic strength shifts the equilibrium from intrinsic to extrinsic charge compensation which leads to thicker, more mobile and more swollen layers [11]. Decher et al. showed that PEMs exhibit a somewhat "fuzzy" but layered structure, with significant inter diffusion (penetration) with its neighboring layers [9, 28], while the degree of inter diffusion between the layers can be controlled by ionic strength [29]. This property of multilayers is of particular interest especially for membrane separation processes where solute and solvent diffuse through whole of the layer so the whole of the multilayer determines the separation properties. For instance thicker layers on a membrane results in lower permeabilities in membrane, whereas a more open structure of the layers can increase the permeability [30]. As mentioned the structure of the multilayers and their properties are influenced by factors during the coating and/or post

treatment such as type of PEs, pH, Ionic strength, temperature etc. [3, 24, 31-36], and could thus be used for optimization towards a specific application.

Now depending upon the strength of the intermolecular interactions of PEs, PEMs can grow either linearly or exponentially or can have a transition between these two growth types [3, 37-41]. In the linear growth regime the thickness and amount of PE deposited per layer increases is constant, and thin layers are usually formed. In the exponential growth regime, the thickness and amount of deposited PE increases exponentially per deposition step. Linear growth of PEMs is associated with a low mobility of chains in the layer, while exponential growth is due to the presence of much more mobile chains [42].

During PEM growth, the basic structure of PEMs can be subdivided into three zones [43]. Initially the growth occurs in zone I where the substrate has a strong influence on the multilayers growth, followed by zone III (outer zone) as shown in Fig. 4. Zone II (bulk zone) starts growing at the end when more layers are added, but then zone I and Zone III preserve their respective thickness. Multilayer properties in zone III are determined by outer solution environment. Transitions between these three zones are gradual and when the exact regime comes in a multilayer is unclear. Generally the effect of the number of layers is taken into consideration to see the performance of a multilayer system, especially in dense membrane filtration this is paramount when solvent and solute are transported through the whole of the layer.



Increasing layers number

Fig. 4: Schematic of zones in multilayers buildup.

#### Weak vs strong PEs

PEs can be referred to as weak PEs if their charge and charge dissociation is dependent on the pH, while in contrast strong PEs carry permanent charges and thus fully dissociate in water [44]. For strong PEs the addition of salt best controls the thickness of layers. However, the effectiveness of this parameter is often limited to a small range of salt concentrations because of either solubility problems or decomposition of the multilayer films at high ionic strengths [10, 45]. In contrast, for weak PEs a slight variation of the solution pH allows a large degree of control over the layer structure and properties (charge density, thickness, charge) of the formed PEMs [3, 34, 46, 47]. The crucial role of solution pH in the LbL assembly of weak PEs such as PAH and PAA has been explored in detail by the groups of Rubner [3, 34] and Schönhoff [37]. They have shown that a small variation in the coating solution pH can induce a big change in the layer thickness, the growth behavior, the degree of layer interpenetration and the surface wettability. Rubner et al. developed a complete pH matrix for PAH/PAA system and found four distinct pH regimes with different growth behaviors (Fig. 5). They identified that the main parameters controlling the layer thickness are the charge density on the adsorbing chain and the surface charge density of the final adsorbed layer. They observed that thin layers are formed close to neutral pH region (III) when both PEs are fully or equally charged, a behavior that is similar to strong PEs. However when the pH of the PEs is higher or lower then very thick layers are formed because layers become more interpenetrated and because charge compensation requires more material.



Fig. 5: Average incremental thickness contributed by PAH and PAA adsorbed layer as function of solution pH [3].

#### PEMs as a new approach to clean the surfaces

A few years ago, a very different approach named the "sacrificial layer" approach was proposed to clean surfaces [48]. This sacrificial layer approach involved the coating of a surface with a thin polymer film that limits the fouling, however, when it does become fouled, the layer can be desorbed/sacrificed from the surface removing any attached foulants. A schematic representation of the sacrificial layer approach concept is shown in Fig. 6.



Fig. 6: Schematic representation of sacrificial layer approach concept.

The removal or sacrificing of the layer is based on a simple trigger i.e. a change in pH, the salt concentration or the addition of a surfactant. The cleaned surface can subsequently be recoated with a new polymer layer to regain its functionality. Additionally when polymers are coated on an interface, it results in a change of the surface properties of the interface. The sacrificial layer coating could thus have additional benefits such as providing antifouling or anti adhesive properties to the surfaces. The sacrificial layer approach can also be based on PEM systems. For this to work it is very important to choose the right combination of PEs which are responsive towards triggers such as pH and ionic strength. Here the use of weak PEs is advantageous as both the pH and the ionic strength can be used as a trigger to destabilize these multilayers [49].

#### 1.3 Membrane processes and fouling

A membrane is defined as a selective barrier that permits the passage of one or more components of a stream through the membrane while retarding or preventing the passage of one or more other components. The separation of certain species in a fluid by membrane can be a described by acombination of sieving and the solution diffusion mechanisms. The application spectrum of membrane processes stretches from the filtration of solids up to separations in the molecular range. Pressure driven membrane separation processes such as microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NF) and reverse osmosis (RO) used for aqueous (water and wastewater treatment) applications are among the most widely studied and fastest growing membrane processes, and have been industrially established at impressively large scales over the last few decades. The breakthrough discovery of Loeb–Sourirajan's process of non-induced phase inversion [50] to make the first anisotropic, defect free and high flux reverse osmosis (RO) membranes in early 1960's, transformed the membrane separation from laboratory to industrial scale processes and improved the quality of life for a great part of the world population relying on sea water as the main water supply.

Membrane processes are used widely for numerous applications such as water and wastewater treatment, desalination, the food and dairy industry, biotechnology and others [51]. However, all of these membrane processes suffer from fouling (Fig. 7), which causes flux decline and and/or an increase in energy demand [52]. In most applications, periodic hydraulic cleaning limits short-term fouling, whereas more expensive chemical cleaning is needed to remove the hydraulically-irreversible fraction of foulants.



Fig. 7: Fouling mechanism in membranes.

Fouling [53] is a general term that describes the deposition of retained substances within the pores or on the surface of membranes. Parameters influencing fouling include the membrane properties, the nature and concentration of the feed solution, and the operating conditions [54]. Regardless of the location of fouling, a foulant layer increases the hydraulic resistance of the system thus reducing the permeability and overall flux at a given applied pressure. Fouling thus represents a major challenge that increases operating costs of membrane based separation processes. For this reason, new cleaning methods and anti-fouling materials have become the focus of research and development centers within the water industry [55]. The complex phenomenon of fouling is specific to the feed and process conditions and as such, solutions must be tailor made for the purpose [53].

Fouling is generally caused by a combination of foulants of varying particle sizes. Four main categories of foulants exist: (i) organic materials, (ii) colloids, (iii) inorganic materials and (iv) particulates [54]. Based on the nature of process solutions, major foulants can be colloidal materials and natural dissolved organic matter (NOM). Colloidal and particulate fouling is caused by fine organic, inorganic or biological suspended particles within the nanometre to micrometre range. NOM can be divided into a hydrophobic (humic) and hydrophilic (non-humic) fraction that also includes proteins, polysaccharides and other classes of biopolymers. For simulating fouling, most researchers have used dextran, bovine serum albumin (BSA), humic acid and silica particles as model foulants [56]. Membrane fouling with organic matter and

colloids is influenced by hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding, van der Waals attractions and electrostatic interactions. These properties of both the membrane and the colloids are strongly dependent on the ionic strength, pH, the presence of multivalent ions [57] and temperature. Additionally, surface characteristics such as roughness are important factors that impact the interaction of foulants with the interface. Consequently, strategies for the prevention of these interactions are required to limit fouling.

Currently, as a first step, fouling is controlled in most membrane processes using intermittent backwashes or back flushes. These simple and inexpensive control mechanisms are only effective to remove loosely attached foulants from the membrane surface [58, 59]. Less cooperative foulants, more strongly adsorbed to the surface or lodged in the membrane pores, are then exposed to a chemical wash or a chemical enhanced backwash. The chemical based control is more expensive and generally consists of harsh treatments, such as NaOH for organic foulants, HCl for inorganic foulants and  $H_2O_2$  for bio-fouling, at or above operating temperatures [58]. Even under these cleaning conditions, complete recovery of membrane performance is not guaranteed. Additionally, there exists a high risk of damage to the membrane due to the frequency and severity of the cleaning. As a strategy to control membrane fouling and to improve the longevity of membranes, surface manipulation is an ideal alternative. Existing strategies include altering the surface charge or increasing the hydrophilicity of the surface. One possible solution is the use of polyelectrolyte multilayers on the surface of membranes to induce hydrophilicity therby acting as low fouling layers, while also allowing their desorption/sacrificing to instantly remove all foulants from the membrane surface. The system would then need to be regenerated. This low fouling sacrificial layer approach to membrane fouling control could potentially eliminate the need for frequent chemical cleaning or complete unit overhaul.

#### **1.4** Nanofiltration (NF)

Nanofiltration (NF) is a pressure driven membrane separation process which covers the filtration spectrum between reverse osmosis (RO) and ultrafiltration (UF) (Table 1). The nominal molecular weight cutoff range for NF is from 200-1000 Da with estimated pore sizes of 0.5-2 nm. NF membranes allow passage of monovalent ions, and reject multivalent ions and low molecular weight organics. Just like RO membranes, NF membranes are also asymmetric; e.g. they consist of a thin active separation layer on a much thicker porous support structure that provides the mechanical strength. The rejection of the solutes by NF membranes is typically described by taking size/steric hindrances, donnan (electrostatic) exclusion, valence, dielectric exclusion, hydrophobic adsorption and dipole moment into account [30, 60-63]. NF has proven its success in many applications such as softening of water, micro pollutants removal, viruses and bacteria removal, dyes and color removal, pretreatment step for desalination and heavy metals removal

from ground water. Another important application of NF, and one that we will focus on in this thesis, is for the removal of micropollutants from water and wastewater [64-72].

| Process         | Pressure range (bar) | Permeance range                                           | Pore size     | Rejected species dimensions    |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|                 |                      | (l.m <sup>-2</sup> .h <sup>-1</sup> . bar <sup>-1</sup> ) | ( <b>nm</b> ) |                                |
| Microfiltration | 0.1 - 2.0            | > 50                                                      | 20 - 10 000   | Yeasts (1000-10,000 nm)        |
|                 |                      |                                                           |               | Bacteria (300-10,000 nm)       |
| Ultrafiltration | 1.0 - 10             | 10 - 50                                                   | 1 - 50        | Viruses (30-300 nm)            |
|                 |                      |                                                           |               | Proteins (3-10 nm)             |
| Nanofiltration  | 5.0 - 35             | 1.4 - 20                                                  | < 2           | Smaller molecules (0.6-1.2 nm) |
| Reverse Osmosis | 10 - 150             | 0.05 - 1.4                                                | < 1           | Ions (0.2-0.4 nm)              |

Table 1: Properties of pressure driven membrane processes [73].

The occurrence of micropollutants in aquatic environments around the world has become a serious environmental concern over the past few decades, and is posing a new challenge to scientific community. Micropollutants also termed as emerging contaminants (ECs), are low molecular weight compounds (Mw between 100-1000 Da) and encompass a wide range of man-made chemicals (from pesticides, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, personal and household care products and industrial chemicals among others), which are in use worldwide and are indispensable to modern human society [74]. Currently more than a million synthetic chemicals are registered in Europe [75], many of which ultimately will find their way into the environment at some stage in their life cycle. Several studies reported the occurrence of micropollutants in the surface and the ground water in countries around the globe, including The Netherlands, Belgium, France, Germany, Austria, Greece, Spain, Italy, Sweden, Switzerland, Western Balkan Region, UK, Korea, US, Canada and China [76-87]. Generally these micropollutants are present in the environment in very low concentrations (ranging from few ng/L to several  $\mu$ g/L), but their continuous and unregulated addition in environment can affect surface and ground water quality which can potentially impact drinking water supplies, human health and aquatic life [88]. Chronic exposure to these micropollutants (i.e. nonvlphenol, sulfamethoxazole, atenolol and atrazine etc.) may cause long term health effects.

Conventional wastewater treatment plants based upon an activated sludge process are considered to be a hot spot for the release of micropollutants into the environment [89, 90], as these wastewater treatment facilities were never designed for micropollutant removal. However, applying advanced treatment methods such as oxidation processes (UV- $H_2O_2$ ,  $O_3-H_2O_2$ ), adsorption and membranes processes as a polishing step, before discharging the treated effluent of wastewater plants, could significantly reduce the micropollutant load into the water bodies. Though the capital and operational cost of advanced treatment

methods can be high due to increased energy demands or due to the consumption of chemicals, upcoming more stringent regulations are expected to make these techniques much more common place. An Additional complication, is that due to their diverse nature (chemical structure, solubility, charge and hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity) a single advanced treatment method might not be suitable for removing all types of micropollutants. However by employing a combination of techniques full removal could be achieved, still for this purpose the advanced techniques need to be flexible, simple and as cheap as possible. Indeed, the use of membrane based treatment methods such as reverse osmosis (RO) and NF are becoming much more common in water treatment facilities [91]. Membrane based processes show a great promising potential for the removal of micropollutants with several advantages such as easy scale-up and high product quality, but also some of the disadvantages such as cost and fouling [92]. Among membrane based methods, RO has already proven to be successful for micropollutant's removal, however the high energy demand of RO and the associated costs are a limiting factor. Moreover, RO treatment not only removes all micropollutants, but also all ions, and these ions need to be added again later in the process to be able to use it the water for consumption or irrigation purposes. Due to their low operating pressures, NF membranes are believed to be a more cost-effective alternative of RO membranes for substantial removal of small organic contaminants (micropollutants) from water [93, 94]. In water production, NF is sometimes already applied as a combinatory process for removal of a wide range of inorganic and organic components such as hardness, natural organic matter, dyes, metals, and viruses in a single step [89, 95].

Similar to RO membranes, NF membranes are also asymmetric with a thin selective top layer on a highly permeable support. But membrane geometry is another important aspect for membrane separation processes, especially in terms of fouling. Nearly all commercially available NF membranes are flat sheet with a spacer in a spiral wound module which offers limited hydraulic and chemical cleaning possibilities making these membranes much more prone to fouling. For this reason, an expensive pretreatment step is often needed, before NF treatment. In contrast, hollow fiber based membranes not only have much larger membrane area per unit volume of membrane module compared to a spiral wound geometry, they are also better equipped to withstand fouling. In part this is due to the lack of spacers, and additional fouling interface, but also because these membranes can be cleaned much better by physical cleaning, for example allowing backwashing at higher pressures. By using hollow fibre membranes, one might thus be able to leave out the expensive pre-treatment step, but unfortunately most of the currently produced hollow fiber membranes are ultrafiltration (UF) and microfiltration (for removal of bacteria and viruses). So far Pentair X-Flow is the only producer of polymeric NF hollow fiber membranes (HFW 1000) with a MWCO of 1000 Da, which is not designed to remove micropollutants of small size. It can be clear that there is urgent need to develop hollow fiber NF membranes, with a much lower MWCO.

#### PEMs for hollow fiber NF

Polymer coatings in the form of polyelectrolyte multilayers described earlier can also act separation layer of membranes. In particular, the high surface charge of many PEM films makes them attractive material to be used as separation layer of NF membranes. To produce such membranes with precise control on separation performance one of the easiest way is the surface modification of existing UF membrane support with PEMs. Several studies have already shown that LbL adsorption of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes on porous supports is a suitable method to form NF membranes for the separation or removal of ions [96-108] sugars [109], and dyes [110]. However all of these studies utilized flat sheet membrane supports to prepare PEMs based NF membranes. de Grooth et al. [111] successfully developed hollow fiber NF membranes using PEMs of zwitterions prepared via dip coating for the removal of charged micropollutants from water. To modify the membrane surface using LbL process the traditional procedure is dip coating which involves alternate immersion of charged substrate into solutions of oppositely charged PEs with rinsing steps in between. However use of dynamic coating can give fast and controlled modification of membranes especially for modification of existing modules (UF). Dynamic coating involves running the PE solution through the lumen of the hollow fibers with [17, 112] or without [113-115] applied pressure or with a negative pressure [116]. In these studies dynamic coating was performed under constant pressure in a dead end mode. Recently Menn et al. [117] have shown dynamic coating under constant flux as effective way to coat the membranes but again in dead end mode and found that by dynamic flux coating in less time deposits more material on the membrane surface as compared to static coating or coating under constant pressure. However, to have precise control over layer deposition and its performance in membranes, it could be also interesting to see effectiveness of applying cross flow through the fibres and variable pressure coating which is discussed in this thesis.

#### **1.5** Solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF)

The success of NF in the processing of aqueous feeds inspired researchers in 1970's and 1980's to try to expand the use of NF membranes to non-aqueous applications. The first reported membrane application for non-aqueous systems was for the separation of hydrocarbon solvents using a cellulose acetate membrane by Sourirajan in 1964 [118]. Use of NF for non-aqueous (organic) solvents has been referred to as "organic solvent nanofiltration" (OSN) [119] or alternatively as "solvent resistant nanofiltration" (SRNF) or "organophilic nanofiltration" [120]. SRNF is a relatively a young technology, with a growing research interest. For example, a total of 335 publications appeared in indexed journals between 2005 and 2016 with keywords using OSN or SRNF but more than two third of these appeared over the last 5 years [121]. With a growing public awareness, and rapidly increasing environmental issues, it has become

important to consider processes which are more energy efficient and produce less hazardous waste streams. SRNF offers unique advantages over conventional separation processes (i.e. distillation, extraction, crystallization and chromatography), notably the ability to perform molecular separations in organic solvents at ambient conditions without requiring an energy demanding phase transition. These advantages, and the absence of additives, makes this technology attractive for the pharmaceutical and chemical industry [122, 123]. SRNF is interesting, as just like any other membrane separation, it can be combined with existing unit operations into hybrid process such as evaporation, distillation and extraction for solvents recovery and this makes this a strong tool in the bottlenecking processes that would otherwise need a full reconstruction or a parallel unit [120].

SRNF has a great potential to be applied in a wide range of processes in different industries such as food [124-129], petrochemical [123, 130-135], pharmaceutical [127, 136-141] and fine chemical [142-149], to separate desired molecules from solvents and/or to recover solvents and solutes from waste streams. The benefits of implementing SRNF in food industry, especially for edible oil are substantial. Edible oils like sunflower and soy are generally prepared by processing of seeds or by a solvent extraction method. In the preparation of oil, a distillation process applied for solvent recycling is the most energy demanding stage, a stage that could be replaced with SRNF or by a hybrid process to remove the solvents before final distillation. SRNF membranes are also successfully applied in edible oil industry to recover valuable products (carotenoids, tocopherols, sterols) from deodorizer distillates (a by-product of the refining edible oil industry) which have a special interest as a source of bioactive compounds for cosmetics, pharmaceutical and food industry [128, 150, 151]. In the pharmaceutical industry, which utilized high quality solvents in every step of drug synthesis, most of the solvents are discharged as these cannot be reused. To recover solvents from waste streams of pharmaceutical industry, distillation or evaporation is applied which is very expensive and energy intensive, however use of SRNF can limit the energy costs. The petrochemical industry was the first one to recognize the benefits of SRNF, and came up with the first industrial scale installation of SRNF in late 1990's by ExxonMobil in Texas to recover dewaxing solvents (methyl ethyl ketone and toluene) from dewaxing lube oil filtrates [152].

Nowadays the majority of SRNF membranes are polymer based because of wide choice of materials, low cost, relatively easy processing (phase inversion or coating) and good reproducibility however the only drawback is their limited chemical and thermal stability; and only very few polymeric membranes are stable because swelling and/or dissolution of the polymeric matrix often results in a loss of membrane selectivity.

#### Polyelectrolyte multilayers for SRNF

Thin film composite (TFC) membranes comprised of very thin, top selective layer on a porous ultrafiltration (UF) support are of great value for SRNF. As top selective layer and support are synthesized separately so both can be independently optimized to achieve good membrane performance [153]. One of the recent techniques to prepare TFC membranes for SRNF is layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly, which provides an accurate control over layer thickness in nanometer scale [154]. For industrial application of this technology a material is required which is able to withstand aggressive conditions which involves continuous exposure to organic solvents. Both the support and the selective layer to be used for SRNF should be stable in the organic solvents. THF, DMF, DMSO, NMP and DCM are some of the important industrial solvents which are still difficult for most of the currently available SRNF membranes [120]. To broaden the use of polymeric materials for SRNF applications it is essential to enhance interactions among macromolecules in polymeric materials. For PEs which are water-soluble polymers, introducing cross linkable chemical groups or enhancing the intertwined and electrostatic attraction among PEs is feasible ways to enhance their stability towards organic solvents. Some of the commonly used PEs combination to prepare selective separation layer in SRNF membranes are poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) ether (SPEEK), PDDA/polyacrylic (PDDA)/sulfonated poly(ether ketone) acid (PAA) PDDA/poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) (PSS) and PDDA/poly(vinyl sulfate) (PVS). In previous studies the combination of strong/strong and strong/weak PE's has been incorporated in the fabrication of PEM based SRNF membranes [155-161]. However the use of two weak PE's are unique in that the charge density is not fixed and depends on the coating pH, and variation in pH can be used to tailor the membrane performance for specific solutes adding an extra variable as tuning parameter for SNRF performance.

#### **1.6** Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers for membranes

In this thesis we will demonstrate that the use of weak polyelectrolytes (PAA/PAH), for which control over the layer thickness and molecular organization of a polyelectrolyte multilayer can be achieved by simple adjustments of the pH of the dipping solutions, can be used to create multifunctional membrane coatings. For the prepared membranes the PEM coating can not only function as the active separation layer (in NF/SRNF/RO) but can also be used for easy cleaning or as antifouling layers. The sacrificial layer approach discussed before is also ideally suited for membrane applications [49, 103, 162]. Bruening and co-workers [103] have successfully used a PEM as both a sacrificial layer and as the separating layer of an NF membrane. However, to create PEM based NF membranes they chose to use the combination of poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) and PAH, a combination of PEs that is known to give extremely stable layers. They could only remove or sacrifice their multilayer by physical means, e.g. backwashing at very

high pressure for prolonged periods of time [103]. In the PAH/PAA system (pKa 9.3 and 5.4 respectively), the dissociation of PAH increases under acidic conditions while the dissociation of PAA increases under basic conditions. Both these sensitivities can be used as triggers to induce PEM desorption. In another study from the Bruening group, PEMs of PAH/PAA were destabilized by using a combination of 1M HCl followed by 1 M NaOH and for multilayers where one of the PE was strong were further treated with surfactant triton X-100 [162]. Such a low pH is problematic for many types of membranes and will damage the membrane material with repeated use. However, as we will demonstrate, a combination of a much milder low pH (pH 3) and a high salt concentration together can also provide the required sacrificial effect.

#### **Outline of this thesis**

The aim of the work presented in this thesis is to describe how weak PE's can be utilized to fabricate multifunctional PEM based membranes for liquid applications. PEM based membranes were developed utilizing two PEs, where the PEM functions as a selective NF separation layer and as a "sacrificial layer" for easy cleaning of fouled membranes. We investigate PEM's prepared from two weak PEs (PAH/PAA) which are responsive towards pH. We have shown that using this responsive property of PAH/PAA, multilayers of desired characteristics can be prepared for particular membranes application and if required can be sacrificed or erased upon fouling. Moreover strong ionic interactions of these weak PEs lead to stable membranes for non-aqueous applications such as solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF). Throughout this thesis our main approach will be to combine the characterization results of PEMs from model surfaces with PEMs based membrane performance for specific application.

In **Chapter 2**, a model system of weak PEs (PAH/PAA) is applied using "dipcoating technique" to modify an ultrafiltration membrane support to prepare a PEM based membrane, where the PEM has a dual function: to act as NF separation layer and as a sacrificial layer for easy cleaning of membrane. In order to optimize the conditions for PEM coating and removal, adsorption and desorption of these layers on a model surface (silica) is first studied via optical reflectometry. This allowed us to understand the buildup and removal of the multilayer systems at different conditions, something that cannot be precisely monitored on the membrane itself. Then tight hollow fiber UF membranes were coated with PEMs under identical coating conditions.

In **Chapter 3**, we investigate in detail the role of the pH of the coating solution of weak PE's to prepare low pressure hollow fiber NF membranes which allow selective removal of micropollutants while allowing passage of most salt ions. Multilayers properties as determined from reflectometry and contact angle measurements is used to explain the salt ions and micropollutants rejection data. The next step is the simplification of the LbL procedure for hollow fibre membranes by employing "dynamic coating". In **Chapter 4**, dynamic coating by flushing the PE's solutions through the lumen of the hollow fibre membrane is investigated in dead end and cross flow mode. The role of different parameters like coating mode, cross flow speed and coating pressure is investigated in detail in order to optimise the coating conditions, that would offer ease in modification of the existing UF hollow fibre membrane modules. Also the fouling and sacrificial study is performed for more common model foulants.

In **Chapter 5**, we investigate the use of weak PEMs to prepare NF membranes for organic solvents applications. In this chapter we present a versatile and simple way of using pH to tune performance of weak PEMs based SRNF-membranes for specific applications.

Finally in **Chapter 6**, a conclusion of this work is presented, followed by an outlook in which recommendations for future work are given.

#### References

[1] K.C. Madison, Barrier Function of the Skin: "La Raison d'Être" of the Epidermis, Journal of Investigative Dermatology, 121 (2003) 231-241.

[2] E. Proksch, J.M. Brandner, J.-M. Jensen, The skin: an indispensable barrier, Experimental Dermatology, 17 (2008) 1063-1072.

[3] S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, pH-Dependent Thickness Behavior of Sequentially Adsorbed Layers of Weak polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 33 (2000) 4213-4219.

[4] M. Cid, N. Stem, C. Brunetti, A.F. Beloto, C.A.S. Ramos, Improvements in anti-reflection coatings for high-efficiency silicon solar cells, Surface and Coatings Technology, 106 (1998) 117-120.

[5] Y. Lvov, S. Yamada, T. Kunitake, Non-linear optical effects in layer-by-layer alternate films of polycations and an azobenzene-containing polyanion, Thin Solid Films, 300 (1997) 107-112.

[6] J. Telegdi, L. Trif, L. Románszki, 5 - Smart anti-biofouling composite coatings for naval applications
A2 - Montemor, M.F, in: Smart Composite Coatings and Membranes, Woodhead Publishing, 2016, pp. 123-155.

[7] J.D.H.a.J.S. G. Decher, Buildup of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process:

III. Consecutively alternating adsorption of anionic and cationic

polyelectrolytes on charged surfaces, Thin Solid Films, 210/21 I (1992) 831-835.

[8] G. Decher, J.D. Hong, J. Schmitt, Buildup of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process: III. Consecutively alternating adsorption of anionic and cationic polyelectrolytes on charged surfaces, Thin Solid Films, 210–211, Part 2 (1992) 831-835.

[9] G. Decher, Fuzzy Nanoassemblies: Toward Layered Polymeric Multicomposites, Science, 277 (1997) 1232-1237.

[10] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Containing a Weak Polyacid: Construction and Deconstruction, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 3736-3740.

[11] J.B. Schlenoff, H. Ly, M. Li, Charge and Mass Balance in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 120 (1998) 7626-7634.

[12] J.F. Joanny, Polyelectrolyte adsorption and charge inversion, Eur. Phys. J. B, 9 (1999) 117-122.

[13] Y. Sun, X. Zhang, C. Sun, B. Wang, J. Shen, Fabrication of ultrathin film containing bienzyme of glucose oxidase and glucoamylase based on electrostatic interaction and its potential application as a maltose sensor, Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics, 197 (1996) 147-153.

[14] C. Amorosi, M. Michel, L. Avérous, V. Toniazzo, D. Ruch, V. Ball, Plasma polymer films as an alternative to (PSS-PAH)n or (PSS-PDADMAC)n films to retain active enzymes in exponentially growing polyelectrolyte multilayers, Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 97 (2012) 124-131.

[15] T. Deng, H. Wang, J.-S. Li, G.-L. Shen, R.-Q. Yu, A novel biosensing interfacial design based on the assembled multilayers of the oppositely charged polyelectrolytes, Analytica Chimica Acta, 532 (2005) 137-144.

[16] R.M. Iost, F.N. Crespilho, Layer-by-layer self-assembly and electrochemistry: Applications in biosensing and bioelectronics, Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 31 (2012) 1-10.

[17] L. Ouyang, D.M. Dotzauer, S.R. Hogg, J. Macanás, J.-F. Lahitte, M.L. Bruening, Catalytic hollow fiber membranes prepared using layer-by-layer adsorption of polyelectrolytes and metal nanoparticles, Catalysis Today, 156 (2010) 100-106.

[18] S. Kidambi, M.L. Bruening, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films Containing Palladium Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization, and Application in Selective Hydrogenation, Chemistry of Materials, 17 (2005) 301-307.

[19] B. Ballarin, M.C. Cassani, D. Tonelli, E. Boanini, S. Albonetti, M. Blosi, M. Gazzano, Gold Nanoparticle-Containing Membranes from in Situ Reduction of a Gold(III)–Aminoethylimidazolium Aurate Salt, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 114 (2010) 9693-9701.

[20] G.-R. Xu, S.-H. Wang, H.-L. Zhao, S.-B. Wu, J.-M. Xu, L. Li, X.-Y. Liu, Layer-by-layer (LBL) assembly technology as promising strategy for tailoring pressure-driven desalination membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 493 (2015) 428-443.

[21] J. Borges, J.F. Mano, Molecular Interactions Driving the Layer-by-Layer Assembly of Multilayers, Chemical Reviews, 114 (2014) 8883-8942.

[22] Y. Li, X. Wang, J. Sun, Layer-by-layer assembly for rapid fabrication of thick polymeric films, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41 (2012) 5998-6009.

[23] J.B. Schlenoff, S.T. Dubas, Mechanism of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Growth: Charge Overcompensation and Distribution, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 592-598.

[24] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Factors Controlling the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Macromolecules, 32 (1999) 8153-8160.

[25] W.M. de Vos, L.L.E. Mears, R.M. Richardson, T. Cosgrove, R. Barker, S.W. Prescott, Nonuniform Hydration and Odd–Even Effects in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers under a Confining Pressure, Macromolecules, 46 (2013) 1027-1034.

[26] D.E. Bergbreiter, K.-S. Liao, Covalent layer-by-layer assembly-an effective, forgiving way to construct functional robust ultrathin films and nanocomposites, Soft Matter, 5 (2009) 23-28.

[27] K. Ariga, J.P. Hill, Q. Ji, Layer-by-layer assembly as a versatile bottom-up nanofabrication technique for exploratory research and realistic application, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 9 (2007) 2319-2340.

[28] M. Lösche, J. Schmitt, G. Decher, W.G. Bouwman, K. Kjaer, Detailed Structure of Molecularly Thin Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Films on Solid Substrates as Revealed by Neutron Reflectometry, Macromolecules, 31 (1998) 8893-8906.

[29] E. Kharlampieva, V. Kozlovskaya, J. Chan, J.F. Ankner, V.V. Tsukruk, Spin-Assisted Layer-by-Layer Assembly: Variation of Stratification as Studied with Neutron Reflectivity, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 25 (2009) 14017-14024.

[30] J. de Grooth, R. Oborný, J. Potreck, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, The role of ionic strength and oddeven effects on the properties of polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 475 (2015) 311-319.

[31] E. Tjipto, J.F. Quinn, F. Caruso, Assembly of Multilayer Films from Polyelectrolytes Containing Weak and Strong Acid Moieties, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 8785-8792.

[32] K. Büscher, K. Graf, H. Ahrens, C.A. Helm, Influence of Adsorption Conditions on the Structure of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 18 (2002) 3585-3591.

[33] M. Salomäki, I.A. Vinokurov, J. Kankare, Effect of Temperature on the Buildup of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 11232-11240.

[34] D. Yoo, S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, Controlling Bilayer Composition and Surface Wettability of Sequentially Adsorbed Multilayers of Weak Polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 31 (1998) 4309-4318.

[35] X. Gong, Controlling surface properties of polyelectrolyte multilayers by assembly pH, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 15 (2013) 10459-10465.

[36] M.F. Rubner, pH-Controlled Fabrication of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Assembly and Applications, in: Multilayer Thin Films, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2003, pp. 133-154.

[37] P. Bieker, M. Schönhoff, Linear and Exponential Growth Regimes of Multilayers of Weak Polyelectrolytes in Dependence on pH, Macromolecules, 43 (2010) 5052-5059.

[38] X. Jiang, Z. Chen, D. Lv, Q. Wu, X. Lin, Basic Law Controlling the Growth Regime of Layer-by-Layer Assembled Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics, 209 (2008) 175-183.

[39] C. Porcel, P. Lavalle, V. Ball, G. Decher, B. Senger, J.-C. Voegel, P. Schaaf, From Exponential to Linear Growth in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 22 (2006) 4376-4383.

[40] A.S. Vikulina, Y.G. Anissimov, P. Singh, V.Z. Prokopović, K. Uhlig, M.S. Jaeger, R. Von Klitzing,C. Duschl, D. Volodkin, Temperature effect on the build-up of exponentially growing polyelectrolyte multilayers. An exponential-to-linear transition point, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 18 (2016) 7866-7874.

[41] L. Xu, D. Pristinski, A. Zhuk, C. Stoddart, J.F. Ankner, S.A. Sukhishvili, Linear versus Exponential Growth of Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Correlation with Polyelectrolyte Complexes, Macromolecules, 45 (2012) 3892-3901.

[42] R. v. Klitzing, Internal structure of polyelectrolyte multilayer assemblies, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 8 (2006) 5012-5033.

[43] G. Ladam, P. Schaad, J.C. Voegel, P. Schaaf, G. Decher, F. Cuisinier, In Situ Determination of the Structural Properties of Initially Deposited Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 16 (2000) 1249-1255.

[44] A. Ciferri Ionic Mixed Interactions in Macromolecules, Chemistry – A European Journal, 16 (2010) 10930-10945.

[45] D. Kovacevic, S. van der Burgh, A. de Keizer, M.A. Cohen Stuart, Kinetics of Formation and Dissolution of Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Role of Salt and Free Polyions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 18 (2002) 5607-5612.

[46] V. Izumrudov, E. Kharlampieva, S.A. Sukhishvili, Salt-Induced Multilayer Growth: Correlation with Phase Separation in Solution, Macromolecules, 37 (2004) 8400-8406.

[47] V. Izumrudov, S.A. Sukhishvili, Ionization-Controlled Stability of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers in Salt Solutions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 5188-5191.

[48] W.M. de Vos, A. de Keizer, M.A.C. Stuart, J.M. Kleijn, Thin polymer films as sacrificial layers for easier cleaning, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 358 (2010) 6-12.

[49] S. Ilyas, J. de Grooth, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning, Journal of colloid and interface science, 446 (2015) 386-393.

[50] S. Loeb, S. Sourirajan, Sea Water Demineralization by Means of an Osmotic Membrane, in: Saline Water Conversion—II, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, 1963, pp. 117-132.

[51] R.W. Baker, Membrane Technology and Applications, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, West Sussex, (2004).

[52] C. Zhao, J. Xue, F. Ran, S. Sun, Modification of polyethersulfone membranes – A review of methods, Progress in Materials Science, 58 (2013) 76-150.

[53] V. Kochkodan, D.J. Johnson, N. Hilal, Polymeric membranes: Surface modification for minimizing (bio)colloidal fouling, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 206 (2014) 116-140.

[54] K.-V. Peinemann, S.P. Nunes, Membrane Technology, Volume 4: Membranes for Water Treatment, John Wiley & Sons, 2010.

[55] P. Ahmadiannamini, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings as an approach to membrane fouling control: Disassembly and regeneration mechanisms, Journal of Membrane Science, (2015). [56] S. Ilyas, J. de Grooth, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 446 (2015) 386-393.

[57] A. Schäfer, N. Andritsos, A.J. Karabelas, E. Hoek, R. Schneider, M. Nyström, Fouling in nanofiltration, (2004).

[58] G. Pearce, Introduction to membranes: Fouling control, Filtration & Separation, 44 (2007) 30-32.

[59] A.I. Schäfer, A.G. Fane, T.D. Waite, Nanofiltration: principles and applications, Elsevier, 2005.

[60] A.I. Schäfer, A.G. Fane, T.D. Waite, Nanofiltration : principles and applications, Elsevier, Oxford, 2005.

[61] A. Szymczyk, P. Fievet, Investigating transport properties of nanofiltration membranes by means of a steric, electric and dielectric exclusion model, Journal of Membrane Science, 252 (2005) 77-88.

[62] A.E. Yaroshchuk, Dielectric exclusion of ions from membranes, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 85 (2000) 193-230.

[63] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, W. Maes, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Nanofiltration as a treatment method for the removal of pesticides from ground waters, Desalination, 117 (1998) 139-147.

[64] Y. Zhang, B. Van der Bruggen, G.X. Chen, L. Braeken, C. Vandecasteele, Removal of pesticides by nanofiltration: effect of the water matrix, Separation and Purification Technology, 38 (2004) 163-172.

[65] Y. Zhang, C. Causserand, P. Aimar, J.P. Cravedi, Removal of bisphenol A by a nanofiltration membrane in view of drinking water production, Water research, 40 (2006) 3793-3799.

[66] R. Boussahel, S. Bouland, K.M. Moussaoui, A. Montiel, Removal of pesticide residues in water using the nanofiltration process, Desalination, 132 (2000) 205-209.

[67] J. Geens, B. Van der Bruggen, C. Vandecasteele, Transport model for solvent permeation through nanofiltration membranes, Separation and Purification Technology, 48 (2006) 255-263.

[68] K. Košutić, L. Furač, L. Sipos, B. Kunst, Removal of arsenic and pesticides from drinking water by nanofiltration membranes, Separation and Purification Technology, 42 (2005) 137-144.

[69] K.V. Plakas, A.J. Karabelas, Removal of pesticides from water by NF and RO membranes — A review, Desalination, 287 (2012) 255-265.

[70] J.-H. Tay, J. Liu, D. Delai Sun, Effect of solution physico-chemistry on the charge property of nanofiltration membranes, Water research, 36 (2002) 585-598.

[71] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, W. Maes, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Nanofiltration as a treatment method for the removal of pesticides from ground waters, Desalination, 117 (1998) 139-147.

[72] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Influence of molecular size, polarity and charge on the retention of organic molecules by nanofiltration, Journal of Membrane Science, 156 (1999) 29-41.

[73] M.H.V. Mulder, Basic principles of membrane technology, Kluwer Academic Publisher, The Netherlands, 2nd edition (1996).

[74] N.S. Thomaidis, A.G. Asimakopoulos, A.A. Bletsou, Emerging contaminants: A tutorial mini-review, Global Nest J., 14 (2012) 72-79.

[75] UNEP, Global Chemical Outlook; Towards Sound Management of Chemicals, United Nations Environment Programme, (2012).

[76] M. Kuzmanovic', A. Ginebreda, M. Petrovic', D. Barcelo', Risk assessment based prioritization of 200 organic micropollutants in 4 Iberian rivers, Science of the Total Environment, (2014).

[77] Y. Luo, W. Guo, H.H. Ngo, L.D. Nghiem, F.I. Hai, J. Zhang, S. Liang, X.C. Wang, A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment, Science of the Total Environment, 473-474 (2014) 619-641.

[78] E.D. N.G.F.M. van der Aa, L. Bijlsma, E. Emke, B.M. van de Ven, A.L.N. van Nuijs, P. de Voogt Drugs of Abuse and Tranquilizers in Dutch Surface Waters, Drinking Water and Wastewater - Results of Screening Monitoring 2009, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, The Netherlands, (2010).

[79] E. Cho, J. Khim, S. Chung, D. Seo, Y. Son, Occurrence of micropollutants in four major rivers in Korea, Science of the Total Environment, 491-492 (2014) 138-147.

[80] K.K. Barnes, D.W. Kolpin, E.T. Furlong, S.D. Zaugg, M.T. Meyer, L.B. Barber, A national reconnaissance of pharmaceuticals and other organic wastewater contaminants in the United States — I) Groundwater, Science of The Total Environment, 402 (2008) 192-200.

[81] Q. Sui, J. Huang, S. Deng, G. Yu, Q. Fan, Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals, caffeine and DEET in wastewater treatment plants of Beijing, China, Water research, 44 (2010) 417-426.

[82] D. Rozman, Z. Hrkal, P. Eckhardt, E. Novotná, Z. Boukalová, Pharmaceuticals in groundwaters: a case study of the psychiatric hospital at Horní Beřkovice, Czech Republic, Environ. Earth Sci., (2014).

[83] L. Pasquini, J.F. Munoz, M.N. Pons, J. Yvon, X. Dauchy, X. France, N.D. Le, C. France-Lanord, T. Görner, Occurrence of eight household micropollutants in urban wastewater and their fate in a wastewater treatment plant. Statistical evaluation, Science of the Total Environment, 481 (2014) 459-448.

[84] C.J. Houtman, J. Kroesbergen, K. Lekkerkerker-Teunissen, J.P. van der Hoek, Human health risk assessment of the mixture of pharmaceuticals in Dutch drinking water and its sources based on frequent monitoring data, Science of The Total Environment, 496 (2014) 54-62.

[85] T. Heberer, Occurrence, fate, and removal of pharmaceutical residues in the aquatic environment: a review of recent research data, Toxicology Letters, 131 (2002) 5-17.

[86] S. Kleywegt, V. Pileggi, P. Yang, C. Hao, X. Zhao, C. Rocks, S. Thach, P. Cheung, B. Whitehead, Pharmaceuticals, hormones and bisphenol A in untreated source and finished drinking water in Ontario,

Canada — Occurrence and treatment efficiency, Science of The Total Environment, 409 (2011) 1481-1488.

[87] A. Verliefde, E. Cornelissen, G. Amy, B. Van der Bruggen, H. van Dijk, Priority organic micropollutants in water sources in Flanders and the Netherlands and assessment of removal possibilities with nanofiltration, Environmental Pollution, 146 (2007) 281-289.

[88] J. Rivera-Utrilla, M. Sánchez-Polo, M.Á. Ferro-García, G. Prados-Joya, R. Ocampo-Pérez, Pharmaceuticals as emerging contaminants and their removal from water. A review, Chemosphere, 93 (2013) 1268-1287.

[89] I. Michael, L. Rizzo, C.S. McArdell, C.M. Manaia, C. Merlin, T. Schwartz, C. Dagot, D. Fatta-Kassinos, Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of antibiotics in the environment: A review, Water research, 47 (2013) 957-995.

[90] R.I.L. Eggen, J. Hollender, A. Joss, M. Schärer, C. Stamm, Reducing the discharge of micropollutants in the aquatic environment: The benefits of upgrading wastewater treatment plants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48 (2014) 7683-7689.

[91] J.H. Al-Rifai, H. Khabbaz, A.I. Schäfer, Removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in a water recycling process using reverse osmosis systems, Separation and Purification Technology, 77 (2011) 60-67.

[92] J.L. Acero, F.J. Benitez, F.J. Real, F. Teva, Micropollutants removal from retentates generated in ultrafiltration and nanofiltration treatments of municipal secondary effluents by means of coagulation, oxidation, and adsorption processes, Chem. Eng. J., 289 (2016) 48-58.

[93] C. Bellona, D. Heil, C. Yu, P. Fu, J.E. Drewes, The pros and cons of using nanofiltration in lieu of reverse osmosis for indirect potable reuse applications, Separation and Purification Technology, 85 (2012) 69-76.

[94] V. Yangali-Quintanilla, S.K. Maeng, T. Fujioka, M. Kennedy, G. Amy, Proposing nanofiltration as acceptable barrier for organic contaminants in water reuse, Journal of Membrane Science, 362 (2010) 334-345.

[95] W.R. Bowen, J.S. Welfoot, Modelling the performance of membrane nanofiltration—critical assessment and model development, Chemical Engineering Science, 57 (2002) 1121-1137.

[96] M.L. Bruening, D.M. Sullivan, Enhancing the Ion-Transport Selectivity of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes, Chemistry – A European Journal, 8 (2002) 3832-3837.

[97] J.J. Harris, J.L. Stair, M.L. Bruening, Layered Polyelectrolyte Films as Selective, Ultrathin Barriers for Anion Transport, Chemistry of Materials, 12 (2000) 1941-1946.

[98] S.U. Hong, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Optimization of flux and selectivity in Cl-/SO42– separations with multilayer polyelectrolyte membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 283 (2006) 366-372.

[99] G. Liu, D.M. Dotzauer, M.L. Bruening, Ion-Exchange Membranes Prepared Using Layer-by-Layer Polyelectrolyte Deposition, J Memb Sci, 354 (2010) 198-205.

[100] R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, High-Flux Nanofiltration Membranes Prepared by Adsorption of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes on Polymeric Supports, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 10587-10592.

[101] M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Controlling the Nanofiltration Properties of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Variation of Film Composition, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 20 (2004) 11545-11551.

[102] L. Ouyang, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Multilayer polyelectrolyte films as nanofiltration membranes for separating monovalent and divalent cations, Journal of Membrane Science, 310 (2008) 76-84.

[103] W. Shan, P. Bacchin, P. Aimar, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films as backflushable nanofiltration membranes with tunable hydrophilicity and surface charge, Journal of Membrane Science, 349 (2010) 268-278.

[104] J.L. Stair, J.J. Harris, M.L. Bruening, Enhancement of the Ion-Transport Selectivity of Layered Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Cross-Linking and Hybridization, Chemistry of Materials, 13 (2001) 2641-2648.

[105] B.W. Stanton, J.J. Harris, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films as Nanofiltration Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 7038-7042.

[106] K. Hoffmann, T. Friedrich, B. Tieke, Layer-by-layer assembled polyelectrolyte blend membranes and their use for ion separation and rejection, Polymer Engineering & Science, 51 (2011) 1497-1506.

[107] W. Jin, A. Toutianoush, B. Tieke, Use of Polyelectrolyte Layer-by-Layer Assemblies as Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 2550-2553.

[108] A. Toutianoush, W. Jin, H.s. Delig Z, B. Tieke, Polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for desalination of aqueous salt solutions and seawater under reverse osmosis conditions, Applied Surface Science, 246 (2005) 437-443.

[109] X. Liu, M.L. Bruening, Size-Selective Transport of Uncharged Solutes through Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes, Chemistry of Materials, 16 (2003) 351-357.

[110] S.U. Hong, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Removal of Dyes, Sugars, and Amino Acids from NaCl Solutions Using Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Nanofiltration Membranes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 6284-6288.

[111] J. de Grooth, D.M. Reurink, J. Ploegmakers, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Charged Micropollutant Removal With Hollow Fiber Nanofiltration Membranes Based On Polycation/Polyzwitterion/Polyanion Multilayers, ACS applied materials & interfaces, 6 (2014) 17009-17017.

[112] B. Su, T. Wang, Z. Wang, X. Gao, C. Gao, Preparation and performance of dynamic layer-by-layer PDADMAC/PSS nanofiltration membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 423–424 (2012) 324-331.

[113] G. Liu, D.M. Dotzauer, M.L. Bruening, Ion-Exchange Membranes Prepared Using Layer-by-Layer Polyelectrolyte Deposition, Journal of membrane science, 354 (2010) 198-205.

[114] C. Liu, L. Shi, R. Wang, Enhanced hollow fiber membrane performance via semi-dynamic layer-bylayer polyelectrolyte inner surface deposition for nanofiltration and forward osmosis applications, Reactive and Functional Polymers, 86 (2015) 154-160.

[115] C. Liu, L. Shi, R. Wang, Crosslinked layer-by-layer polyelectrolyte nanofiltration hollow fiber membrane for low-pressure water softening with the presence of SO42- in feed water, Journal of Membrane Science, 486 (2015) 169-176.

[116] G. Zhang, X. Song, S. Ji, N. Wang, Z. Liu, Self-assembly of inner skin hollow fiber polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes by a dynamic negative pressure layer-by-layer technique, Journal of Membrane Science, 325 (2008) 109-116.

[117] D. Menne, J. Kamp, J. Erik Wong, M. Wessling, Precise tuning of salt retention of backwashable polyelectrolyte multilayer hollow fiber nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 499 (2016) 396-405.

[118] S. Sourirajan, Separation of Hydrocarbon Liquids by Flow Under Pressure Through Porous Membranes, Nature, 203 (1964) 1348-1349.

[119] L.G. Peeva, S. Malladi, A. G. Livingston, In Comprehensive Membrane Science and Engineering, Drioli, E., Giorno, L., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, UK, (2010).

[120] P. Vandezande, L.E.M. Gevers, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Solvent resistant nanofiltration: Separating on a molecular level, Chem. Soc. Rev., 37 (2008) 365-405.

[121] M. Amirilargani, M. Sadrzadeh, E.J.R. Sudhölter, L.C.P.M. de Smet, Surface modification methods of organic solvent nanofiltration membranes, Chem. Eng. J., 289 (2016) 562-582.

[122] G. Szekely, M.F. Jimenez-Solomon, P. Marchetti, J.F. Kim, A.G. Livingston, Sustainability assessment of organic solvent nanofiltration: from fabrication to application, Green Chem., 16 (2014) 4440-4473.

[123] L.S. White, C.R. Wildemuth, Aromatics Enrichment in Refinery Streams Using Hyperfiltration, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 9136-9143.

[124] W. Cai, Y. Sun, X. Piao, J. Li, S. Zhu, Solvent Recovery from Soybean Oil/Hexane Miscella by PDMS Composite Membrane, Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering, 19 (2011) 575-580.

[125] L.R. Firman, N.A. Ochoa, J. Marchese, C.L. Pagliero, Deacidification and solvent recovery of soybean oil by nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 431 (2013) 187-196.

[126] K. Hendrix, S. Vandoorne, G. Koeckelberghs, I.F.J. Vankelecom, SRNF membranes for edible oil purification: Introducing free amines in crosslinked PEEK to increase membrane hydrophilicity, Polymer (United Kingdom), 55 (2014) 1307-1316.

[127] D. Peshev, L.G. Peeva, G. Peev, I.I.R. Baptista, A.T. Boam, Application of organic solvent nanofiltration for concentration of antioxidant extracts of rosemary (Rosmarinus officiallis L.), Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 89 (2011) 318-327.

[128] A.R.S. Teixeira, J.L.C. Santos, J.G. Crespo, Solvent resistant diananofiltration for production of steryl esters enriched extracts, Separation and Purification Technology, 135 (2014) 243-251.

[129] M.V. Tres, H.C. Ferraz, R.M. Dallago, M. Di Luccio, J.V. Oliveira, Characterization of polymeric membranes used in vegetable oil/organic solvents separation, Journal of Membrane Science, 362 (2010) 495-500.

[130] Y. Kong, D. Shi, H. Yu, Y. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, International Congress on Membranes and Membrane ProcessesSeparation performance of polyimide nanofiltration membranes for solvent recovery from dewaxed lube oil filtrates, Desalination, 191 (2006) 254-261.

[131] M. Namvar-Mahboub, M. Pakizeh, Development of a novel thin film composite membrane by interfacial polymerization on polyetherimide/modified SiO2 support for organic solvent nanofiltration, Separation and Purification Technology, 119 (2013) 35-45.

[132] R. Othman, A.W. Mohammad, M. Ismail, J. Salimon, Application of polymeric solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes for biodiesel production, Journal of Membrane Science, 348 (2010) 287-297.

[133] R. Othman, A.W. Mohammad, M. Ismail, J. Salimon, Selectivity of Polymeric Solvent Resistant Nanofiltration Membranes for Biodiesel Separation, in: Sustainable Membrane Technology for Energy, Water, and Environment, John Wiley and Sons, 2012, pp. 277-287.

[134] M. Takht Ravanchi, T. Kaghazchi, A. Kargari, Application of membrane separation processes in petrochemical industry: a review, Desalination, 235 (2009) 199-244.

[135] L.S. White, A.R. Nitsch, Solvent recovery from lube oil filtrates with a polyimide membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 179 (2000) 267-274.

[136] R. Abejón, A. Garea, A. Irabien, Analysis and optimization of continuous organic solvent nanofiltration by membrane cascade for pharmaceutical separation, AIChE Journal, 60 (2014) 931-948.

[137] S. Darvishmanesh, L. Firoozpour, J. Vanneste, P. Luis, J. Degrève, B. Van Der Bruggen, Performance of solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes for purification of residual solvent in the pharmaceutical industry: Experiments and simulation, Green Chem., 13 (2011) 3476-3483.

[138] J.F. Kim, G. Székely, I.B. Valtcheva, A.G. Livingston, Increasing the sustainability of membrane processes through cascade approach and solvent recovery - Pharmaceutical purification case study, Green Chem., 16 (2014) 133-145.

[139] L. Peeva, J.D.S. Burgal, I. Valtcheva, A.G. Livingston, Continuous purification of active pharmaceutical ingredients using multistage organic solvent nanofiltration membrane cascade, Chemical Engineering Science, 116 (2014) 183-194.

[140] G. Székely, J. Bandarra, W. Heggie, B. Sellergren, F.C. Ferreira, Organic solvent nanofiltration: A platform for removal of genotoxins from active pharmaceutical ingredients, Journal of Membrane Science, 381 (2011) 21-33.

[141] G. Székely, J. Bandarra, W. Heggie, B. Sellergren, F.C. Ferreira, A hybrid approach to reach stringent low genotoxic impurity contents in active pharmaceutical ingredients: Combining molecularly imprinted polymers and organic solvent nanofiltration for removal of 1,3-diisopropylurea, Separation and Purification Technology, 86 (2012) 79-87.

[142] T. Fahrenwaldt, J. Großeheilmann, F. Erben, U. Kragl, Organic solvent nanofiltration as a tool for separation of quinine-based organocatalysts, Org. Process Res. Dev., 17 (2013) 1131-1136.

[143] J. Großeheilmann, H. Büttner, C. Kohrt, U. Kragl, T. Werner, Recycling of phosphorus-based organocatalysts by organic solvent nanofiltration, ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, 3 (2015) 2817-2822.

[144] J. Großeheilmann, T. Fahrenwaldt, U. Kragl, Organic solvent nanofiltration-supported purification of organocatalysts, ChemCatChem, 8 (2016) 322-325.

[145] J.F. Kim, G. Szekely, M. Schaepertoens, I.B. Valtcheva, M.F. Jimenez-Solomon, A.G. Livingston, In situ solvent recovery by organic solvent nanofiltration, ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, 2 (2014) 2371-2379.

[146] P.G.N. Mertens, F. Cuypers, P. Vandezande, X. Ye, F. Verpoort, I.F.J. Vankelecom, D.E. De Vos, Ag0 and Co0 nanocolloids as recyclable quasihomogeneous metal catalysts for the hydrogenation of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes to allylic alcohol fragrances, Applied Catalysis A: General, 325 (2007) 130-139.

[147] P.G.N. Mertens, P. Vandezande, X. Ye, H. Poelman, I.F.J. Vankelecom, D.E. De Vos, Recyclable Au0, Ag0 and Au0–Ag0 nanocolloids for the chemoselective hydrogenation of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes and ketones to allylic alcohols, Applied Catalysis A: General, 355 (2009) 176-183.

[148] R. Valadez-Blanco, F.C. Ferreira, R.F. Jorge, A.G. Livingston, A membrane bioreactor for biotransformations of hydrophobic molecules using organic solvent nanofiltration (OSN) membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 317 (2008) 50-64.

[149] D. Nair, S.S. Luthra, J.T. Scarpello, L.S. White, L.M. Freitas dos Santos, A.G. Livingston, Homogeneous catalyst separation and re-use through nanofiltration of organic solvents, Desalination, 147 (2002) 301-306.

[150] A.R.S. Teixeira, J.L.C. Santos, J.G. Crespo, Assessment of solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes for valorization of deodorizer distillates, Journal of Membrane Science, 470 (2014) 138-147.

[151] D. Darnoko, M. Cheryan, Carotenoids from red palm methyl esters by nanofiltration, Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 83 (2006) 365-370.

[152] R.M. Gould, L.S. White, C.R. Wildemuth, Membrane separation in solvent lube dewaxing, Environmental Progress, 20 (2001) 12-16.

[153] S. Hermans, H. Mariën, C. Van Goethem, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Recent developments in thin film (nano)composite membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration, Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering, 8 (2015) 45-54.

[154] N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, R. Hoogenboom, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Layer-by-layer preparation of polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for separation, Polymer Chemistry, 5 (2014) 1817-1831.

[155] X. Li, S. De Feyter, D. Chen, S. Aldea, P. Vandezande, F.D. Prez, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Solventresistant nanofiltration membranes based on multilayered polyelectrolyte complexes, Chemistry of Materials, 20 (2008) 3876-3883.

[156] X. Li, W. Goyens, P. Ahmadiannamini, W. Vanderlinden, S. De Feyter, I. Vankelecom, Morphology and performance of solvent-resistant nanofiltration membranes based on multilayered polyelectrolytes: Study of preparation conditions, Journal of Membrane Science, 358 (2010) 150-157.

[157] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, B. Meesschaert, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Multilayered PEC nanofiltration membranes based on SPEEK/PDDA for anion separation, Journal of Membrane Science, 360 (2010) 250-258.

[158] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, N. Joseph, B. Meesschaert, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Multilayered polyelectrolyte complex based solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes prepared from weak polyacids, Journal of Membrane Science, 394–395 (2012) 98-106.

[159] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, B. Meesschaert, W. Vanderlinden, S. De Feyter, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Influence of polyanion type and cationic counter ion on the SRNF performance of polyelectrolyte membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 403-404 (2012) 216-226.

[160] D. Chen, Solvent-resistant nanofiltration membranes based on multilayered polyelectrolytes deposited on silicon composite, Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 129 (2013) 3156-3161.

[161] N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, P.S. Jishna, A. Volodin, I.F.J. Vankelecom, 'Up-scaling' potential for polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 492 (2015) 271-280.

[162] P. Ahmadiannamini, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings as an approach to membrane fouling control: Disassembly and regeneration mechanisms, Journal of Membrane Science.

# Chapter 2

# Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayers for membranes

This chapter has been published as:

Shazia Ilyas, Joris de Grooth, Kitty Nijmeijer and Wiebe M. de Vos, *Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning*, Journal of colloid and interface science, 446 (**2015**) 386-393. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2014.12.019.

#### Abstract

This chapter investigates the modification of an ultra-filtration (UF) membrane support with polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs) consisting of the weak polyelectrolytes poly(allyl amine) hydrochloride (PAH) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA). These prepared polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes have a dual function: They act as nanofiltration (NF) membranes and as sacrificial layers to allow easy cleaning of the membranes. In order to optimize the conditions for PEM coating and removal, adsorption and desorption of these layers on a model surface (silica) was first studied via optical reflectometry. Subsequently, a charged UF membrane support was coated with a PEM and after each deposited layer, a clear increase in membrane resistance against pure water permeation and a switch of the zeta potential were observed. Moreover these polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, exhibited rejection of solutes in a range typical for NF membranes. Monovalent ions (NaCl) were hardly rejected (<24%), while rejections of > 60% were observed for a neutral organic molecule sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and for the divalent ion SO4<sup>2-</sup>. The rejection mechanism of these membranes seems to be dominated by size-exclusion. To investigate the role of these PEMs as sacrificial layers for the cleaning of fouled membranes, the prepared polyelectrolyte multilayers were fouled with silica nano particles. Subsequent removal of the coating using a rinse and a low pressure backwash with pH 3, 3 M NaNO<sub>3</sub> allowed for a drop in membrane resistance from  $1.7 \cdot 10^{14}$  m<sup>-1</sup> (fouled membrane) to  $9.9 \cdot 10^{12}$  m<sup>-1</sup> (clean membrane), which is nearly equal to that of the pristine membrane  $(9.7 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ m}^{-1})$ . Recoating of the support membrane with the same PEMs resulted in a resistance equal to the resistance of the original polyelectrolyte multilayer membrane. Interestingly, less layers were needed to obtain complete foulant removal from the membrane surface, than was the case for the model surface. The possibility for backwashing allows for an even more successful use of the sacrificial layer approach in membrane technology than on model surfaces. Moreover, these PEMs can be used to provide a dual function, as NF membranes and as a Sacrificial coating to allow easy membrane cleaning.
# 2.1 Introduction

Fouling of surfaces and interfaces is a well-known and often studied problem in colloid and interface science. Irrespective of the anti-fouling strategies employed, all surfaces will eventually become fouled under adverse conditions [1]. Fouling is an especially crucial issue in membrane technology [2]. Separation processes such as microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NF) and reverse osmosis (RO) are used widely for numerous commercial applications in various fields such as water and wastewater treatment, desalination, the food industry, biotechnology and others [3]. However, fouling is an inherent problem for all of these membrane processes, causing fluxes to decline and thus leading to a decrease in productivity and/or an increase in energy demand. While the removal of foulants can be performed using various cleaning techniques, cleaning is often found to be incomplete (irreversible fouling) and cleaning can damage the membrane itself. In both cases, the membrane will need to be replaced, which increases the operational cost of the process. Over the years much research has been devoted to develop methods to make the cleaning easier, such as the use of surfactants [4], superhydrophobic coatings with self-cleaning properties [5], nanobubbles [1] and antifouling layers such as polymer brushes [6]. A very different approach to cleaning was proposed a few years ago and was denoted as the "sacrificial layer" approach [7, 8]. This sacrificial layer approach involves the precoating of a surface with a nanometer thick polymer layer that upon fouling can be desorbed/sacrificed from the surface along with any attached foulants. Sacrificing the layer is based on a simple trigger i.e. a change in pH, salt concentration or by the addition of a surfactant. The cleaned surface can subsequently be recoated with a new polymer layer to use it again. As this polymer layer inhibits the contact between foulant and the interface, the success of the approach should be independent of the type of foulant. Additionally when polymers are coated on an interface, it results in a change in the surface properties of the interface. The sacrificial layer coating could thus have additional benefits such as antifouling or anti adhesive properties. We strongly believe that the sacrificial layer approach is also ideally suited for membrane applications. Especially as for membranes, the sacrificial layer coating could not only be used for easy cleaning, but could even function as the active separation layer. A schematic representation of this concept on membranes is illustrated in Fig. 1.



**Fig. 1:** Schematic representation of the application of a thin polymer film onto a UF support membrane and its subsequent use as a "sacrificial layer" to remove fouling. For membranes the sacrificial layer could act double as the effective separating layer to create NF, RO membranes, and/or to create low-fouling and responsive membranes.

To modify the surface of the materials to provide them with the desired properties, a simple and versatile approach is the use of polyelectrolyte layer-by-layer assembly (LbL) [9, 10]. This approach involves alternatingly dipping of a substrate in a polycation solution and a polyanion solution, typically with an intermediate rinsing step with solvent to remove loosely bound electrolytes from the surface. This LbL approach allows to prepare polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEMs) of just a few nanometers in thickness on an interface. The possibility to use a wide range of water soluble polyelectrolytes, its easy application, and the ability to apply it on surfaces of any shape and size are the key strengths of this technique. Since its discovery [10], this technique has been proposed for many applications, including drug delivery, solar sensors, lenses, cell engineering, fuel cells, and membrane processes [11]. For membrane processes the PEMs are always coated onto a membrane support, and have been employed for the preparation of both gas [12] and liquid separating membranes [13]. The key strength of the PEM membranes is the large variety of membrane properties that can be achieved by building in a LbL fashion. As such PEM membranes with a wide variety of properties have been produced for use as reverse osmosis membranes [14], nanofiltration membranes [14-18], solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) membranes [19], forward osmosis membranes [20], low fouling membranes [21-26], antiseptic/antibacterial membranes [22, 26-28], stimuli responsive membranes [8, 29-32] and ion selective membranes [33-36]. Selection of the right combination of polyelectrolytes can also make the membrane sensitive to a certain trigger (such as a change in pH or salt concentration) that leads to a controlled destruction of these PEMs when required [7, 37-39]. The above shows that PEMs hold much promise for membrane technology, especially in combination with its use as sacrificial layers. For the polymers to be used as sacrificial layers we need to tune the interaction between the polymers.

The use of one or more polymers bearing weak acid/base functionality affords the possibility of controlling the average charge per repeat unit and thus the extent of interaction between charged polymers [38]. Bruening and co-workers [8] have successfully used a PEMs as both a sacrificial layer and as the separating layer of an NF membrane. However, they chose to use the combination of poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) and PAH to create their PEM based NF membranes, a combination of polyelectrolytes



Fig. 2: Polyelectrolytes used for this study PAA poly(acrylic acid) and PAH poly(allyl amine) hydrochloride.

that is known to give extremely stable layers. They could only remove or sacrifice their multilayer by physical means, e.g. backwashing at high pressure. To make the sacrificial layer concept work it is key to have a system that is easy to apply, but also easy to remove. For our sacrificial layer approach, we propose to use a system of weak poly electrolytes of which the charge can be easily controlled by the pH [7]. For this study a model system of weak polyelectrolytes PAH and PAA was selected (Fig. 2). In the PAH/PAA system (pKa 9.3 and 5.4 respectively), the dissociation of PAH increases under acidic conditions while the dissociation of PAA increases under basic conditions. Both these sensitivities could be used as triggers to induce PEM desorption.

In this chapter, we prepare a PEM membrane, where the PEM functions as a selective NF separation layer and as a sacrificial layer for easy membrane cleaning. Initially the growth of PAA/PAH multilayers on model surfaces is studied by optical fixed angle reflectometry under various amounts of added poly electrolyte to determine the optimal growth conditions for the PEMs. Subsequently different triggers were applied to sacrifice these layers, with and without foulants. This allowed us to understand the buildup and removal of the multilayer systems at different conditions, something that cannot be precisely monitored on the membrane itself. Then tight hollow fiber UF membranes were coated with PEMs under identical coating conditions. The membranes were characterized after deposition of each subsequent layer in terms of membrane resistance to pure water permeation and change in zeta potential of membranes. Furthermore, rejection of different solutes was studied to investigate the performance of the layer as a nanofiltration membrane. Then membranes ware subjected to foul with Ludox and when the membranes became fouled, membranes were cleaned with a trigger to release the sacrificial layer, indeed leading to full removal of all foulants. The membrane could then be recoated in a simple manner.

# 2.2 Experimental

# 2.2.1 Materials

Polyelectrolytes used in this study were poly(allyl amine) hydrochloride (PAH;  $M_w = 15,000 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA;  $M_w = 15,000 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ). Silica particles (Ludox SM, radius approximately 9 nm) were used as a model fouling agent. Sodium nitrate (NaNO<sub>3</sub>) was used as a background electrolyte in all solutions. All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (The Netherlands) and were used as received without any further purification. Polyelectrolyte solutions always contained 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> of polymer at a pH of 6, but with various amounts of background electrolyte (5 mM, 50 mM or 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>). Deionized water (Milli Q, 18.2 MΩ·cm) was used to rinse the membranes and to prepare polyelectrolyte and feed solutions. All solutions were used within eight days after preparation.

# 2.2.2 Reflectometry studies of PEMs

The adsorption and desorption of PEMs and model foulants (silica particles) onto a silica surface was monitored with fixed-angle optical reflectometry. In reflectometry, measurements are performed under well-defined hydrodynamic conditions using a stagnation point flow cell [40]. It is a sensitive tool to study the alternating adsorption of different polyelectrolytes on flat, reflective surfaces [41]. The reflectometer is equipped with a He-Ne laser (monochromatic light,  $\lambda$ = 632.8 nm) with linearly polarized light. When this monochromatic light hits the wafer around the Brewster angle (71°), it is reflected towards a detector, where the reflected light is split into its parallel (R<sub>p</sub>) and perpendicular (R<sub>s</sub>) polarized components. The ratio between these two components (R<sub>p</sub>/R<sub>s</sub>) is defined as the signal *S* (-) and the change in this ratio ( $\Delta S$ ) is directly proportional to the amount of mass adsorbed on the wafer, according to equation 1:

$$\Gamma = Q(\Delta S/S_0) \tag{1}$$

Where  $\Gamma$  is the amount of mass adsorbed on the silicon wafer (mg·m<sup>-2</sup>), and where Q is a sensitivity factor, which depends on the angle of incidence of the laser ( $\theta$ ), the refractive indices (n), the thicknesses (d) of the layers on the silicon wafer in nanometer, and the refractive index increment (dn/dc) of the adsorbate. To calculate the Q-factor an optical model was used based upon values as used in our previous study [7]. The Q-factor thus obtained to calculate the actual mass adsorption is 30 mg·m<sup>-2</sup> for all of our experiments. S<sub>0</sub> is the starting output signal of the bare silicon wafer immersed in solvent (-). All experiments were performed on a silicon wafer with a 85 nm SiO<sub>2</sub> top layer. Before the experiment the silicon surface was cleaned by O<sub>2</sub> plasma treatment.

#### 2.2.3 LbL coating of membranes

Polyelectrolyte layers were deposited on hollow fiber dense ultrafiltration membranes prepared from poly(ether sulfone) with a sulfonated poly(ether sulfone) separation layer. These so-called Hollow Fiber membranes intended for colloidal silica removal were kindly supplied by Pentair X-Flow The Netherlands and have a molecular weight cut-off of 10,000 Da. PEMs were coated on membranes via a dip coating method. For this purpose, fibers were cut into specific lengths (to make bundles) and kept in 15 wt.% ethanol in water overnight to wet the fibers. After wetting, fibers were rinsed with deionized water three times followed by three times rinsing in the chosen background electrolyte solution (5, 50 or 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>). For coating, the fibers were completely immersed in 0.1 g $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup> polycation solution with the same background electrolyte solution, for 30 minutes, followed by rinsing three times with the used background electrolyte solution. The rinsing step removes any loosely bound or excess polyelectrolyte from the membrane surface. In the same fashion, the polyanion (PAA) layer was adsorbed to give a single bilayer of PAH and PAA. The dipping procedure was then repeated to give the desired number of layers. By dipping the membranes in the coating solution, PE deposition is not only limited to the inner surface of the membrane but also whole the porous structure can be coated. In theory this approach of also coating the inner structure could lead to problems when backflushing, as the polymer released from the inner structure would need to be flushed through the membrane top layer. While we did not observe such problems in our experiments, we still believe that for the real application dynamic coating from the inner side of the hollow fiber is the preferred option. After each coating step a membrane sample was taken for analysis. Membranes coated with the desired number of layers were immersed in a glycerol/water (15wt.%/85wt.%) solution for at least 4 hours, followed by a drying step under ambient conditions for at least 8 hours. For filtration experiments, PEM coated membrane fibers were potted in a module with a fiber length of approximately 10 cm.

#### 2.2.4 Membrane characterization

For each prepared membrane, the pure water flux was measured at 20°C with demineralized water in a dead-end mode at a trans-membrane pressure of 2.5 bar. From the obtained clear water flux the membrane resistance was calculated using equation 2:

$$R = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu \times J}$$
(2)

Where R is membrane resistance in m<sup>-1</sup>, J the membrane flux in m·s<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mu$  the dynamic viscosity of the feed in Pa·s and  $\Delta P$  the trans-membrane pressure in Pa. The membrane resistance was measured for two separate coating conditions (5 mM and 50 mM), each measurement was performed in triplet. The membrane performance was investigated by performing retention experiments on salts (NaCl and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and on a small organic pollutant, sulfamethoxazole (SMX)  $M_w = 253.28 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  with neutral charge. These retention experiments were carried out in a cross-flow mode with a cross flow velocity of 4 m.s<sup>-1</sup> in order to limit the effect of concentration polarization. The transmembrane pressure during filtration was 2 bar. This corresponds to a Reynolds number of approximately 3500, and is well in the turbulent regime. The salt concentration was measured with a WTW cond 3210 conductivity meter, while the concentration of the organic molecule was measured using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 U-HPLC system equipped with a RS variable wavelength detector. For the organic molecule, the permeate sample was collected after a minimum of 24 hours to ensure steady state rejections. The retention was based on the one minus ratio between the permeate and concentrate concentrations.

The zeta potential measurements were performed with an electrokinetic analyzer SurPASS system (Anton Paar, Graz Austria). The zeta potential was calculated by measuring the streaming current versus the pressure in a 5 mM KCl solution at room temperature using equation 3:

$$\zeta = \frac{dI}{dP} \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_o} \frac{L_s}{A_s} \tag{3}$$

where  $\zeta$  is the zeta potential (V), I is the streaming current (A), P is the pressure (Pa),  $\eta$  is the dynamic viscosity of the electrolyte solution (Pa·s),  $\varepsilon$  is the dielectric constant of the electrolyte,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the vacuum permittivity (F·m<sup>-1</sup>), and A<sub>s</sub> is the cross section of the streaming channel (m<sup>2</sup>).

# 2.2.5 Membrane fouling, cleaning and regeneration

To test the suitability of the PEM as a sacrificial layer, the coated membrane was rinsed with a trigger (pH 3, 3 M) solution for 20 minutes in a cross flow mode with 2 bar pressure. Removal of the coating was investigated by comparing the resistance before and after rinsing. For experiments with a fouled membrane, a feed solution containing Ludox particles ( $300 \text{ mg} \cdot 1^{-1}$ ) was filtered in dead end mode for 20 h at a pressure of 2.5 bar. Subsequently, the membrane resistance was determined again. After the membrane fouling, the membrane was rinsed with the trigger solution to see if the resistance could be restored to that of the pristine membrane. The rinsing was performed for approximately 20 minutes in a cross flow mode. In a subsequent experiment the membrane was also cleaned with the trigger solution in a back flush mode for about 30 minutes. After these cleaning steps, the PEM coating was regenerated with fresh polyelectrolyte solutions in a cross flow mode.

# 2.3 Results and discussion

Our results and discussion section is split into three major parts. In the first part, the adsorption and desorption of PAH and PAA multilayers on model surfaces is studied, with and without fouling agents, to determine the optimal coating and cleaning conditions. The second part encompasses the growth of PEM layers on the membrane surface, and describes the performance of the created membranes. In the third and final part we test the PEM as a sacrificial layer for easy membrane cleaning. We stress that the abbreviation PEM refers to Polyelectrolyte Multilayer and not to another common term Polymer Electrolyte Membrane.

#### 2.3.1 Layer by Layer Coating and layer removal on model surfaces

#### Polyelectrolyte multilayer growth

The preparation of a polyelectrolyte multilayer on a silica surface was measured over time using optical fixed-angle reflectometry. This technique enables the real time continuous monitoring of the adsorbed amount of polymer (in mg·m<sup>-2</sup>) during exposure to various solutions. Fig. 3 (a) shows typical reflectometry data with step by step growth of a PAA and PAH PEMs on a silica surface. The measurement starts by exposure of the silica surface to a solvent solution (pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) and provides the measurement baseline (S<sub>0</sub>). Then switching to a solution containing the weak cationic polymer PAH (denoted with +, 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) leads to a small adsorption to the negatively charged silica. Subsequent exposure to the weak negative polymer PAA (denoted with a –, 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) leads to an increased adsorbed amount, with the negative PAA adsorbing to the positive PAH. Continued switching between PAH and PAA exposure leads to a stepwise building of the multilayer. In our system, the pH was kept constant at 6 because at this pH both polyelectrolytes have a similar degree of dissociation and will adsorb in roughly similar amounts [42].

In Fig. 3 (b), we show the plateau adsorbed amounts as a function of the adsorbed number of layers. The experiments were done for three different ionic strengths: 5 mM, 50 mM and 500 mM. It is well established that PEM growth and the properties of the resulting layer depend on the ionic strength of the deposition solution and its pH [42-44]. In our work, we thus regard the ionic strength as a control parameter to optimize membrane performance and sacrificial layer properties. Indeed, we find large differences in growth behavior for different ionic strengths. At low ionic strength (5 mM), PEMs grow linearly as compared to 50 mM where the layer growth is exponential. Linear growth of PEMs is associated with a low mobility of chains in the layer, while exponential growth is due to the presence of highly mobile chains [45]. However, at the fairly high ionic strength of 500 mM we can see a distinctly different growth feature of these layers. Initially the growth rate is higher than at 5 mM and 50 mM but after a certain number of steps the growth stops. During PAH injection, quite some polymer adsorbs, but

this is then followed by desorption with the introduction of the next polymer (PAA). At this high salt concentration, it seems that PAA is able to complex with PAH, but then desorbs as a (quasi) soluble complex. These effects were also observed for other PEM systems [39].

These initial experiments would indicate that the optimal membrane coating conditions would be around 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>. At this ionic strength the growth is exponential, resulting in much thicker films in a smaller number of coating steps than is the case with linearly grown LbL thin films (at 5 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>). Higher ionic strengths, however, lead to unstable PEMs. Still, the ionic strength could also affect the eventual membrane performance [46] and possibly the performance as sacrificial layer. As such both 5 and 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> are studied in coming sections.



**Fig. 3:** (a) A typical reflectometry graph showing step by step growth of PEMs from PAH (+) and PAA (-) at 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> in 50 mM NaNO3 and at pH 6. (b) Effect of salt concentration on the growth of PEMs monitored with reflectometry; subsequent adsorption of PAH (+) and PAA (-) at 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> in 5 mM, 50 mM and 500 mM NaNO3.

# Study of triggers for sacrificing PEMs

For the sacrificial layer approach to be successful, it is key to have a good trigger mechanism to completely desorb the layer. PEMs, depending on the used polyelectrolytes, can be erased by applying external stimuli such as a change in pH [7, 45, 47] or an increase in ionic strength [38, 39]. However, the stimuli that will completely remove the fouled PEMs from the membrane surface should not damage the polymeric membrane surface. In previous work [7], PAH/PAA multilayers were desorbed by switching to a pH of 1, a trigger unsuitable for membranes. Here we propose to apply, for the first time, the combination of low pH and high ionic strength to desorb polyelectrolyte multilayers. For this study we did not go below pH 3 because lower pH might damage the polymer membrane surface. Simultaneously we

increased the salt concentration from 0.05 M to 3 M, with the results shown in Fig. 4. As a reference, we also applied high ionic strength solutions at pH 6. Only the combination of pH 3 and 3 M of NaNO<sub>3</sub> resulted in the complete layer removal desired for our application. From these experiments it follows that it is indeed the combination of low pH and high ionic strength that leads to the complete removal of the layer. At low pH, PAA becomes significantly uncharged, the high ionic strength then further weakens the ionic interactions leading to disintegration of the layer.



Fig. 4: Effect of different triggers on layer mass removal. The investigated layer was (PAH/PAA)s prepared at 50 mM, pH 6.

#### Effect of layer mass on the performance as sacrificial layer

In Fig. 5 (a) we show a reflectometry experiment to study PAH/PAA multilayers as a sacrificial layer for easy cleaning. By subsequent adsorption of PAH and PAA we built up the PEMs, ending in this case with a (cationic) PAH layer. Subsequently, we applied a solution containing our model fouling agent, Ludox silica particles (0.1 g.l<sup>-1</sup> Ludox, pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>), which are spherical silica particles approximately 9 nm in radius, on top of the PAH layer. As can be seen, these particles lead to a large increase in the adsorbed amount. However, when rinsed with a pH 3, 3 M solution the adsorbed PEMs, along with the fouling agent, are desorbed from the silicon wafer surface again, demonstrating the potential of these PEMs as a sacrificial coating. We applied this trigger for a different number of layers having fouling agent adsorbed on top and after applying the trigger solution we investigated the remaining layer mass. Fig. 5 (b) shows the effect of the number of PAH and PAA layers on the residual adsorbed amount, for two different coating conditions, 5 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> and 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>. At both coating conditions the residual

adsorption decreases with an increasing number of layers. For polyelectrolyte layers built at low salt concentration, 5.5 bilayers (PAH/PAA) are sufficient to obtain complete desorption.



**Fig. 5:** (a) Adsorption and desorption of PAH, PAA and Ludox particles  $(0.1 \text{ g·l}^{-1}, \text{ pH } 6, 5 \text{ mM NaNO}_3)$  on model surfaces as studied with reflectometry. (b) The residual adsorption of Ludox particles after desorption of the sacrificial PEMs as a function of the number of layers.

Here the role of the number of layers (layer mass) on the performance as a sacrificial layer is important to consider because the polyelectrolytes that are released into the solution upon destruction of the layers are expected to act as so-called anti-redeposition agents. By adsorbing to the released fouling agents they act to prevent possible re-adsorption of the fouling agents on the surface [7]. However other than layer mass, we can also see the role of the layer structure on the sacrificial layer performance. For an ionic strength of 50 mM the residual adsorbed amount decreases with increasing layer mass but complete desorption is never obtained. A very small amount (0.1 mg·m<sup>-2</sup>) is left on the surface. We hypothesize that this is because of the high mobility of the polymer chains in this layer, connected to the exponential growth regime at this ionic strength. Such mobility would allow some of the highly charged silica particles to penetrate into the layer and to reach the interface. Still, the remaining adsorbed amount is very low. Our results indicate that both the 5 and the 50 mM grown PAA/PAH PEMs have the potential to function as a sacrificial layer coating on membranes.

# 2.3.2 Formation and properties of a polyelectrolyte multilayer membrane

After optimizing the coating and release conditions for PEMs with reflectometry, negatively charged UF membranes were coated with PAH/PAA multilayers via a dip coating method. Change in water permeance (1·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>·bar<sup>-1</sup>) through the membrane after deposition of the layer is one of the ways to see if the PEMs are being deposited. Reduction in permeability with every deposited layer (mass) corresponds to an

increase in the membrane hydraulic resistance (equation 1). To confirm the deposition of PEMs on membranes the hydraulic resistance of the membrane was measured after every deposited layer. In Fig. 6 we show the effect of coating the HFS membranes at different ionic strengths on the membrane resistance. Here we can observe increase in resistance with each increment in PEM. Based upon the results of the reflectometry data we coated the membranes under two salt concentrations 5 mM and 50 mM. A significant effect of the ionic strength on the membrane resistance can be observed. At higher ionic strength of the coating solution, the membrane resistance per bilayer increases much stronger than the resistance at the lower ionic strength. This is completely in line with the reflectometry data in Fig. 3 (b). At higher ionic strengths, thicker layers are formed on the membrane due to increased extrinsic charge compensation within the multilayers. These thicker layers result a higher membrane resistance [46]. Here we also observe a zig-zag behavior which is related to the odd-even effect. Other than coating conditions, the properties of the total PEM are also dependent on the terminating-layer. The changes with respect to the different terminating layers are often referred to as odd-even effects. One well established odd-even effect is that the hydration of a PEM depends on the final layer being either the polycation or the polyanion. As shown by de Grooth et al [46] the observed odd-even effect in resistance can give information on the structure of the layer. If the PEM is predominately coated inside of the pores (pore dominated regime), swelling of the PEM layer will narrow the pore and lead to a clear increase in resistance. However, if the PEM forms a dense layer on top of the membrane (layer dominated regime), an increase in hydration (swelling) will actually lead to a more permeable layer and thus a lower resistance.



Fig. 6: Membrane resistance against pure water permeation for PEMs coated on a UF membrane support as a function of the number of deposited layers. All data are for a polyelectrolyte concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6, and at a salt concentration of either 5 mM or 50 mM; as indicated.

For the membrane formed at 5 mM, we always observed an increase in the resistance upon PAH adsorption and a decrease in the resistance upon adsorption of PAA. This would indicate that this membrane is always in the pore dominated regime. However for 50 mM, much thicker PEM layers are formed. Here we observed a clear transition (at layer 5) from PAH giving the highest resistance, to PAA giving the highest resistance. This would indicate a transition from the pore dominated regime to the layer dominated regime, as also observed by de Grooth et al. [46] for PDADMAC/PSS multilayers. For potential use as a sacrificial layer, it is likely to be beneficial to be in the layer dominated regime, as it would prevent any potential fouling agents from entering the membrane pores. Based on our reflectometry data (Fig. 3a), we know that we have about 1.7 mg.m<sup>-2</sup> of polymer after 5 coating steps. With a typical PEM hydration of 50%, this would correspond to a layer thickness of roughly 3 nm. For our dense UF membranes, a layer of such a thickness could indeed fully fill its pores, with subsequent coating being only possible on top of the membrane.

To test the stability and compressibility of our PEM membranes, we measured the resistance of a 10 layer PEM (deposited at 50mM NaNO3, pH 6) at multiple pressures (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 bar). We did not find any significant changes in resistance even at the highest pressures, and from that conclude that the layers are stable and not compressible at this range of pressures.

The zeta potential of the surface of the membranes was investigated after each coating step (Fig. 7a). As expected, charge reversal of the negatively charged membrane was observed after coating with a positively charged PAH (layer 1). Subsequently, after each additional layer the charge shifts from positive to negative and back.



Fig. 7: (a) The membrane zeta potential as measured after every deposited layer. The membrane was coated with  $0.1g \cdot l^{-1}$  PAH and PAA at pH 6, 50 mM NaNO3, the experiment was performed at pH 6, 5mM KCl. (b) Zeta potential as a function of the surrounding pH for a PEM of (PAH/PAA)<sub>7</sub>, prepared at 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, pH 6, experiment performed at 5mM KCl.

These results are exactly what was expected for the formation of a PEM on the membrane and is another clear indication of a successfully applied coating. As we also want to desorb these PEMs made from weak polyelectrolytes by changing the pH, we also investigated the effect of the surrounding pH after coating on the zeta potential of the 14<sup>th</sup> layer (Fig. 7(b)). As expected, for the set of weak polyelectrolytes, also the zeta potential of the layer depends strongly on the solution pH. At a pH of 2-3 the zeta potential becomes 0, indicating that the final PAA layer is becoming uncharged.

In Fig. 8 we show the rejection performance of PAA/PAH polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes prepared under two coating conditions, 5 mM and 50 mM of NaNO<sub>3</sub>. The membranes were coated with 9 layers (4.5 bi-layers). The membrane thus has a small positive zeta potential (Fig. 7a) as the final layer is the cationic PAH. We investigated the rejection of monovalent ions (NaCl), a divalent ion (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) ion and an uncharged small organic molecule, the pharmaceutically active compound SMX ( $M_w = 253.28$  Da). We observe that monovalent ions can relatively easily pass the prepared membranes, while the divalent ion and SMX show a much higher rejection. The prepared membranes thus perform as typical nanofiltration membranes, allowing the passage of monovalent ions, while rejecting divalent ions and small organics. The rejection performance for the membranes prepared under different coating conditions are quite similar. Still, the rejection of the membranes prepared at 5 mM is somewhat higher for all solutes. Polyelectrolyte multilayers prepared at lower ionic strength are known to have lower hydrations [45], leading to thinner and less open layers that can provide better retention behavior [46]. The rejection of the solutes by NF membranes is typically described by taking size, charge, valence and dielectric exclusion into account [48]. For a positively charged membrane a lower retention would be expected for Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> compared to NaCl when charge exlusion (Donnan) would be prevalent. As this is not the case here, we believe the rejection mechanism is mostly governed by size exclusion. Still, other separation mechanisms can play a role as well.



Fig. 8: Solute rejection by (PAH/PAA)4PAH polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes prepared under different coating conditions, as indicated.

# 2.3.3 The polyelectrolyte multilayer as a sacrificial layer for easy membrane cleaning

In the previous section, we clearly demonstrated that one can prepare PAH/PAA polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes with the typical characteristics of a NF membrane. Here we will investigate the possibility to use the same PEM coating as a sacrificial layer for easy cleaning of fouled membranes. Initially it was investigated whether the coating was fully removable by rinsing a coated membrane ((PAH/PAA)<sub>3</sub>PAH, prepared at 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) with the chosen trigger solution (pH 3, 3M NaNO<sub>3</sub>) in a cross flow mode at very low pressure (2 bar) for a short duration of time (20 minutes). After this rinsing step the membrane resistance reached exactly the level of an uncoated UF membrane confirming the complete removal of the PEM from the membrane surface.

We subsequently investigated if the removal of our sacrificial coating could indeed be used to remove foulants from the membrane. In Fig. 9 we show the membrane resistance against pure water permeation for several steps, including PEM coating, membrane fouling by silica particles, membrane cleaning by sacrificing the PEM coating and subsequent re-coating. Here we applied the same rinsing step for PEM removal, but only rinsing could not completely remove the fouled PEM and an additional backwashing step with the same cleaning solution was incorporated. Backwashing with the cleaning solution for 30 minutes resulted in a decrease in the membrane resistance up to one that is nearly identical to the resistance of the uncoated membrane. If we compare these results with our reflectometry data (Fig. 5 (b)), we find that for the same number of coated layers (7), rinsing our model surface with a trigger solution did not result in the complete removal of all foulants. On the membrane, the application of shear forces aid to completely remove the PEM along with any attached foulants. Interestingly, the sacrificial layer approach is thus found to work better on the membrane interface than on the model surfaces due to the added

possibility of backwashing. After backwashing a PEM re-coating was performed. As the hollow fibers were already potted in a module, the recoating was performed in a cross flow mode. After coating with the same number of layers the original PEM layer resistance is obtained again.



Fig. 9: Measured membrane resistance to pure water permeation after the following steps (I) a clean UF support membrane; (II) UF membrane coated with PEMs; (III) PEMs fouling with Ludox particles; (IV) rinsing of fouled PEMs with cleaning solution for 20 minutes; (V) backwashing of fouled PEMs with cleaning solution at 2 bar pressure for 30 minutes; (VI) regeneration of PEMs on cleaned UF membrane support

# 2.4 Conclusions

In this chapter we demonstrate the preparation of a PAA/PAH multilayer that, when coated on a UF membrane support, doubles as a nanofiltration membrane and a sacrificial layer to allow easy cleaning of the membrane. The optimal conditions for layer coating and layer removal were first studied on model surfaces using optical reflectometry. When coating with a background electrolyte concentration of 5 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, a typical linear growth regime is observed for the PAA/PAH multilayers. At a higher ionic strength of 50 mM, thicker layers are formed per coating step and a typical exponential growth regime is observed. However, at an even higher ionic strength (500 mM), stable layers could not be coated. Complete desorption of the formed PEM layers could be achieved by combining a high ionic strength (3M NaNO<sub>3</sub>), with a low pH of 3. However, in combination with model fouling agents (small silica particles) a relatively large amount of polyelectrolyte layers (>10) is needed to obtain complete or near complete fouling removal.

Upon coating of the PAA/PAH multilayers on a charged UF support membrane, a clear increase in the membrane resistance against pure water permeation is observed. Coating at 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> leads to a much stronger increase in resistance compared to coating at 5 mM, in line with the reflectometry experiments that showed much thicker layers to form at higher ionic strength. Another proof of successful LbL coating of the membrane support comes from zeta-potential measurements, which demonstrate a

clear switching between a positive and a negative zeta-potential after coating of the respective negative and positive polyelectrolytes. The formed polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, were found to reject solutes in a way typical for nanofiltration membranes: Monovalent ions (NaCl) are hardly rejected, while for a neutral organic molecule such as SMX and for the divalent ion  $SO_4^{2-}$  rejections of around 60% were achieved. The basis for separation is expected to be size exclusion, as the investigated membrane was positively charged and still rejected both the negatively charged  $SO_4^{2-}$  and an uncharged small organic molecule.

Finally, the prepared polyelectrolyte multilayer membrane was fouled with silica nanoparticles. Subsequent release of the coating using a rinse and a backwash with pH 3, 3 M NaNO<sub>3</sub> resulted in almost all recovery of the membrane resistance nearly equal to that of the pristine membrane. The support membrane could then easily be recoated to give the resistance typical for the polyelectrolyte multilayer membrane. This is the first clear evidence that in membrane technology a PEMs can be used to provide a double function, as a nanofiltration membrane and as a sacrificial coating to allow easy membrane cleaning. Interestingly, less layers were needed to obtain complete foulant removal from the membrane, than was the case for the model surface. The possibility for backwashing and the resulting shear forces allow for an even more successful use of the sacrificial layer approach.

# Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank the European Commission - Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA) for funding this research under the program Erasmus Mundus Doctorate in Membrane Engineering – EUDIME (FPA N° 2011-0014, Edition III, <u>http://eudime.unical.it/.</u> We also thank Pentair X-Flow, The Netherlands, for kindly supplying the membranes.

# References

 Z. Wu, H. Chen, Y. Dong, H. Mao, J. Sun, S. Chen, V.S.J. Craig, J. Hu, Cleaning using nanobubbles: Defouling by electrochemical generation of bubbles, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 328 (2008) 10-14.

[2] C. Zhao, J. Xue, F. Ran, S. Sun, Modification of polyethersulfone membranes – A review of methods, Progress in Materials Science, 58 (2013) 76-150.

[3] R.W. Baker, Membrane Technology and Applications, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, West Sussex, (2004).

[4] K. Holmberg, B. Jonsson, B. Kronberg, B. Lindman, Surfactants and Polymers in Aqueous Solution Wiley, West Sussex, (2002).

[5] E. Celia, T. Darmanin, E. Taffin de Givenchy, S. Amigoni, F. Guittard, Recent advances in designing superhydrophobic surfaces, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 402 (2013) 1-18.

[6] O. Azzaroni, Polymer brushes here, there, and everywhere: Recent advances in their practical applications and emerging opportunities in multiple research fields, Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry, 50 (2012) 3225-3258.

[7] W.M. de Vos, A. de Keizer, M.A.C. Stuart, J.M. Kleijn, Thin polymer films as sacrificial layers for easier cleaning, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 358 (2010) 6-12.

[8] W. Shan, P. Bacchin, P. Aimar, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films as backflushable nanofiltration membranes with tunable hydrophilicity and surface charge, Journal of Membrane Science, 349 (2010) 268-278.

[9] G. Decher, M. Eckle, J. Schmitt, B. Struth, Layer-by-layer assembled multicomposite films, Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 3 (1998) 32-39.

[10] G. Decher, J.D. Hong, J. Schmitt, Buildup of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process:
III. Consecutively alternating adsorption of anionic and cationic polyelectrolytes on charged surfaces,
Thin Solid Films, 210–211, Part 2 (1992) 831-835.

[11] O. Sanyal, I. Lee, Recent Progress in the Applications of Layer-By-Layer Assembly to the Preparation of Nanostructured Ion-Rejecting Water Purification Membranes, Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, 14 (2014) 2178-2189.

[12] D.M. Sullivan, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Gas-Selective Polyimide Membranes Prepared from Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Films, Chemistry of Materials, 15 (2002) 281-287.

[13] N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, R. Hoogenboom, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Layer-by-layer preparation of polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for separation, Polymer Chemistry, 5 (2014) 1817-1831.

[14] W. Jin, A. Toutianoush, B. Tieke, Use of Polyelectrolyte Layer-by-Layer Assemblies as Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 2550-2553.

[15] B.W. Stanton, J.J. Harris, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films as Nanofiltration Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 7038-7042.

[16] M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Controlling the Nanofiltration Properties of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Variation of Film Composition, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 20 (2004) 11545-11551.

[17] S.U. Hong, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Removal of Dyes, Sugars, and Amino Acids from NaCl Solutions Using Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Nanofiltration Membranes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 6284-6288.

[18] B. Su, T. Wang, Z. Wang, X. Gao, C. Gao, Preparation and performance of dynamic layer-by-layer PDADMAC/PSS nanofiltration membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 423-424 (2012) 324-331.

[19] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, N. Joseph, B. Meesschaert, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Multilayered polyelectrolyte complex based solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes prepared from weak polyacids, Journal of Membrane Science, 394-395 (2012) 98-106.

[20] C. Qiu, S. Qi, C.Y. Tang, Synthesis of high flux forward osmosis membranes by chemically crosslinked layer-by-layer polyelectrolytes, Journal of Membrane Science, 381 (2011) 74-80.

[21] C. Ba, D.A. Ladner, J. Economy, Using polyelectrolyte coatings to improve fouling resistance of a positively charged nanofiltration membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 347 (2010) 250-259.

[22] B.P. Tripathi, N.C. Dubey, M. Stamm, Functional polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for water purification applications, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 252–253 (2013) 401-412.

[23] F. Diagne, R. Malaisamy, V. Boddie, R.D. Holbrook, B. Eribo, K.L. Jones, Polyelectrolyte and Silver Nanoparticle Modification of Microfiltration Membranes To Mitigate Organic and Bacterial Fouling, Environmental Science & Technology, 46 (2012) 4025-4033.

[24] Y. Kouwonou, R. Malaisamy, K.L. Jones, Modification of PES Membrane: Reduction of Biofouling and Improved Flux Recovery, Separation Science and Technology, 43 (2008) 4099-4112.

[25] L. Tang, W. Gu, P. Yi, J.L. Bitter, J.Y. Hong, D.H. Fairbrother, K.L. Chen, Bacterial anti-adhesive properties of polysulfone membranes modified with polyelectrolyte multilayers, Journal of Membrane Science, 446 (2013) 201-211.

[26] I. Sawada, R. Fachrul, T. Ito, Y. Ohmukai, T. Maruyama, H. Matsuyama, Development of a hydrophilic polymer membrane containing silver nanoparticles with both organic antifouling and antibacterial properties, Journal of Membrane Science, 387-388 (2012) 1-6.

[27] M. Ben-Sasson, X. Lu, E. Bar-Zeev, K.R. Zodrow, S. Nejati, G. Qi, E.P. Giannelis, M. Elimelech, In situ formation of silver nanoparticles on thin-film composite reverse osmosis membranes for biofouling mitigation, Water Research, 62 (2014) 260-270.

[28] X. Zan, Z. Su, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films containing silver as antibacterial coatings, Thin Solid Films, 518 (2010) 5478-5482.

[29] N. Wang, G. Zhang, S. Ji, Z. Qin, Z. Liu, The salt-, pH- and oxidant-responsive pervaporation behaviors of weak polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 354 (2010) 14-22.

[30] Y. Cho, J. Lim, K. Char, Layer-by-layer assembled stimuli-responsive nanoporous membranes, Soft Matter, 8 (2012) 10271.

[31] D. Wandera, S.R. Wickramasinghe, S.M. Husson, Stimuli-responsive membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 357 (2010) 6-35.

[32] J. de Grooth, M. Dong, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Building Polyzwitterion-Based Multilayers for Responsive Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 30 (2014) 5152-5161.

[33] L. Ouyang, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Multilayer polyelectrolyte films as nanofiltration membranes for separating monovalent and divalent cations, Journal of Membrane Science, 310 (2008) 76-84.

[34] S.U. Hong, L. Ouyang, M.L. Bruening, Recovery of phosphate using multilayer polyelectrolyte nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 327 (2009) 2-5.

[35] C. Magnenet, F.E. Jurin, S. Lakard, C.C. Buron, B. Lakard, Polyelectrolyte modification of ultrafiltration membrane for removal of copper ions, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 435 (2013) 170-177.

[36] C. Magnenet, S. Lakard, C.C. Buron, B. Lakard, Functionalization of organic membranes by polyelectrolyte multilayer assemblies: Application to the removal of copper ions from aqueous solutions, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 376 (2012) 202-208.

[37] D.M. Lynn, Peeling Back the Layers: Controlled Erosion and Triggered Disassembly of Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Thin Films, Advanced Materials, 19 (2007) 4118-4130.

[38] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Containing a Weak Polyacid: Construction and Deconstruction, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 3736-3740.

[39] D. Kovacevic, S. van der Burgh, A. de Keizer, M.A. Cohen Stuart, Kinetics of Formation and Dissolution of Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Role of Salt and Free Polyions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 18 (2002) 5607-5612.

[40] J.C. Dijt, M.A.C. Stuart, J.E. Hofman, G.J. Fleer, Kinetics of polymer adsorption in stagnation point flow, Colloids and Surfaces, 51 (1990) 141-158.

[41] J.C. Dijt, M.A.C. Stuart, G.J. Fleer, Reflectometry as a tool for adsorption studies, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 50 (1994) 79-101.

[42] S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, pH-Dependent Thickness Behavior of Sequentially Adsorbed Layers of Weak polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 33 (2000) 4213-4219.

[43] J.D.H.a.J.S. G. Decher, Buildup of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process:

III. Consecutively alternating adsorption of anionic and cationic

polyelectrolytes on charged surfaces, Thin Solid Films, 210/21 I (1992) 831-835.

[44] J.B. Schlenoff, H. Ly, M. Li, Charge and Mass Balance in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 120 (1998) 7626-7634.

[45] R. v. Klitzing, Internal structure of polyelectrolyte multilayer assemblies, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 8 (2006) 5012-5033.

[46] J. de Grooth, R. Oborný, J. Potreck, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, The role of ionic strength and odd– even effects on the properties of polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 475 (2015) 311-319.

[47] S.A. Sukhishvili, S. Granick, Layered, Erasable Polymer Multilayers Formed by Hydrogen-Bonded Sequential Self-Assembly, Macromolecules, 35 (2001) 301-310.

[48] J. de Grooth, D.M. Reurink, J. Ploegmakers, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Charged Micropollutant Removal With Hollow Fiber Nanofiltration Membranes Based On Polycation/Polyzwitterion/Polyanion Multilayers, ACS applied materials & interfaces, 6 (2014) 17009-17017.

# Chapter 3

# Weak polyelectrolyte multilayer based nanofiltration membranes for micropollutant removal

This chapter is prepared as:

Weak polyelectrolyte multilayers as tunable separation layers for micropollutant removal by hollow fiber nanofiltration membranes, authored by Shazia Ilyas, Mehran Abtahi, Namik Akkilic, Claire Albasi, H.D.W. Roesink and Wiebe M. de Vos.

# Abstract

The occurrence of micropollutants (MPs) in wastewater effluents and in drinking water and its sources, is posing long term health concerns. This chapter encompasses the investigation into the development of weak polyelectrolyte multilayer (PEM) based hollow fiber nanofiltration (NF) membranes for the removal of micropollutants. Weak polyelectrolytes are unique in that their charge density is not fixed and depends on the coating pH, which adds an extra variable as tuning parameter for their performance. In this work, the weak PEM based hollow fiber NF membranes were prepared by layer (LbL) assembly of the weak PEs poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) as polycation and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) as polyanion on an ultrafiltration membrane support. Before coating the membranes, the role of the pH of the coating solution on the buildup of multilayers was studied on model surfaces via reflectometry. Detailed investigations were then carried out on the performance of the prepared membranes with pure water permeability, salt retention (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the retention of micropollutants of varying size (~200 - 400 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>), charge and hydrophilicity. Variation in pH of the coating solutions was found to lead to a large degree of control over the separation performance of the prepared membranes for the different ionic and organic species. The rejection was found to be dominated by size exclusion together with Donnan exclusion. A PEM membrane prepared at pH 6 showed the highest micropollutants retention (60-80%) while showing only low ion retentions. Such a membrane would be well suited to reduce the problem of micro pollutants, without significant alteration of the ionic composition of the feed.

# 3.1 Introduction

Over the past few decades, the occurrence of micropollutants in aquatic environments around the world has become a serious environmental concern, and is posing a new challenge to the scientific community. Micropollutants, also termed emerging contaminants (ECs), are low molecular weight compounds ( $M_w$  between 100-1000 Da) and encompass a wide range of man-made chemicals (from pesticides, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, personal and household care products and industrial chemicals among others), which are in use worldwide and are indispensable to modern human society [1]. Currently more than a million synthetic chemicals are registered in Europe [2], many of which will find their way into the environment at some stage in their life cycle. Several studies reported the occurrence of micropollutants in the surface and the ground water in countries around the globe, including The Netherlands, Belgium, France, Germany, Austria, Greece, Spain, Italy, Sweden, Switzerland, Western Balkan Region, UK, Korea, US, Canada and China [3-14]. Generally these micropollutants are present in the environment in very low concentrations (ranging from few ng.l<sup>-1</sup> to several  $\mu$ g.l<sup>-1</sup>), but their continuous and unregulated build-up in the environment can affect surface and ground water quality which can potentially impact aquatic life, but also drinking water supplies and human health and [15].

Conventional wastewater treatment plants based upon an activated sludge process are considered to be a hot spot for the release of micropollutants into the environment [16, 17], as these wastewater treatment facilities were never designed for micropollutant removal. However, applying advanced treatment methods such as oxidation (UV- $H_2O_2$ ,  $O_3-H_2O_2$ ), adsorption and membrane processes as a polishing step, just before discharging the treated effluent of wastewater plants, could significantly reduce the micropollutant load into the water bodies. Though the capital and operational cost of advanced treatment methods can be high due to increased energy demands or due to the consumption of chemicals, upcoming more stringent regulations are expected to make these techniques much more common place. An Additional complication, is that due to their diverse nature (chemical structure, solubility, charge and hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity) a single advanced treatment method might not be suitable for removing all types of micropollutants. However by employing a combination of these techniques full removal could be achieved, although for this purpose the advanced techniques need to be flexible, simple and as cheap as possible. For these reasons, the use of membrane based treatment methods such as reverse osmosis (RO) and NF are becoming much more common in water treatment facilities [18]. Membrane based processes show great potential for the removal of micropollutants with several advantages such as easy scale-up and high product quality, but also some of the disadvantages such as cost and fouling [19]. Among membrane based methods, RO has already proven to be successful for micropollutants removal, however the high energy demand of RO and the associated costs are a limiting factor. Moreover, RO treatment not only removes all micropollutants, but also all ions, and these ions need to be added again later in the process to be able to use the water for consumption or irrigation purposes. Due to their low operating pressures, NF membranes are believed to be a more cost-effective alternative of RO membranes for substantial removal of small organic contaminants (micro pollutants) from water [20, 21]. Some of the commercially available NF membrane are already reported to achieve partial or near complete removal of micropollutants from water and wastewater [22-30]. In water production, NF is sometimes already applied as a combinatory process for removal of a wide range of inorganic and organic components such as di-valent ions, natural organic matter, dyes, metals, and viruses in a single step [16, 31].

Similar to RO membranes, NF membranes are also asymmetric in structure with a thin selective top layer on a highly permeable support. But the membrane geometry is another important aspect for membrane separation processes, especially in terms of fouling. Nearly all commercially available NF membranes are flat sheet, used together with a spacer in a spiral wound module, which offers limited hydraulic and chemical cleaning possibilities making these membranes much more prone to fouling. For this reason, an expensive pretreatment step is often needed, before NF treatment. In contrast, hollow fiber based membranes not only have much larger membrane area per unit volume of membrane module compared to a spiral wound geometry, they are also better equipped to withstand fouling. In part this is due to the lack of spacers, and additional fouling interface, but also because these membranes can be cleaned much better by physical cleaning, for example allowing backwashing at higher pressures. By using hollow fiber membranes, one might thus be able to leave out the expensive pre-treatment step, but unfortunately most of the currently produced hollow fiber membranes are ultrafiltration (UF) and microfiltration (for removal of bacteria and viruses). So far Pentair X-Flow is the only producer of polymeric NF hollow fiber membranes (HFW 1000) with a MWCO of 1000 Da, which is not designed to remove micropollutants of small size. It can be clear that there is urgent need to develop hollow fiber NF membranes, with a much lower MWCO. A promising and easy way to achieve this is the surface modification of existing UF membranes. Several studies have shown that layer by layer (LbL) assembly of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes onto a porous support is an easy and suitable method to make NF membranes for the separation or removal of ions [32-44] sugars [45], and dyes [46]. Moreover PEs are water soluble and can increase the hydrophilicity of the membranes which offers a lower fouling tendency in membranes [47]. However all of these studies utilized flat sheet membrane supports to prepare PEMs based NF membranes. Recently, LbL assembly of PEMs on hollow fiber UF membrane supports was shown to lead to hollow fiber NF membranes [48-50]. Moreover, this versatile method allows one to prepare hollow fiber NF membranes with desired functionalities for some particular applications (Fig. 1). De Grooth et al., [51] developed hollow fiber NF membranes using multilayers of zwitterions for the removal of charged (both positive and negative) micropollutants from water, and in our previous study (chapter 1) we developed hollow fiber NF membranes with responsive a separation layer that can act as a sacrificial layer to allow for easy membrane cleaning [52].



Fig. 1: Schematic representation of LbL assembly of PEMs on hollow fiber UF membrane support to make hollow fiber NF membranes with desired functionalities.

PEM membranes are highly versatile, as the layer properties (molecular structure inside and charge on the outside of layers) can be controlled by the types of PEs, the number of deposition steps and the ionic strength of coating solution [53], which in turn affects the separation behavior of membranes for different solutes. Compared to strong PEs the use of weak PEs is advantageous, as the degree of ionization of weak PEs depends greatly on the pH of the coating solutions and thus the resulting charge density of PEs and the thickness of the deposited PEMs is affected by both the pH and the salt concentration of the coating solutions [54-57]. Therefore, the use of two weak PEs (both the polycation and the polyanion) can provide the opportunity of using pH during coating to allow for a large degree of control over membrane performance [34]. We have shown in Chapter 4 how the pH can be used to tune the separation properties of weak PEMs based membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) [58], truly allowing one to optimize the membrane for a specific application. An extra advantage of using weak PE based membranes is that after fouling their cleaning can be made very easy using a sacrificial layer approach [52, 59].

In this work, we show that the retention behavior of weak PEM based membranes towards salts and micropollutants can be tailored by varying the pH of the coating solution. PEMs were prepared under three pH combinations (PAH/PAA: 6.0/6.0, 6.0/3.5 and 3.5/3.5) and were characterized on model surfaces in order to understand the effect of coating conditions on their resulting multilayer growth and composition. The PEMs were then coated on a UF support membrane under the same coating conditions to prepare hollow fibre NF membranes. The newly formed multilayered NF membranes were then characterized in terms of pure water permeability, and salt and micropollutants rejection. The results on multilayers properties

obtained from model surfaces were the basis to explain the membrane performance. Overall we will demonstrate that pH is a strong parameter to optimize the performance of weak PEM base NF hollow fiber membranes, for example leading to a membrane with a high micropollutant retention that does not strongly affect the ionic composition of the feed.

# 3.2 Experimental

#### 3.2.1 Chemicals

The polyelectrolytes used in this study were poly(allyl amine) hydrochloride (PAH;  $M_w = 17,500 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA;  $M_w = 15,000 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ). Sodium nitrate (NaNO<sub>3</sub>) was used as a background electrolyte in all solutions. Polyelectrolyte solutions always contained 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> of polymer. De-ionized water (Milli Q, 18.2 M $\Omega$ ·cm) was used to rinse the membranes and to prepare polyelectrolyte and feed solutions. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were used to adjust the pH. All solutions were used within eight days after preparation. NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> were used for NF performance tests. The molecular structures and properties of micropollutants used for the rejection experiments are given in Fig. 2. All chemicals were of analytical grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (The Netherlands) and were used as received without any further purification.



Fig: 2: Chemical structures and physico-chemical properties of micropollutants used in this study.

# 3.2.2 Reflectometry studies of PEMs

The alternate adsorption of PEs onto a silica surface was monitored using Fixed-angle optical reflectometry equipped with a He-Ne laser (monochromatic light,  $\lambda$ = 632.8 nm) with linearly polarized light. In reflectometry, using a stagnation point flow cell, allows the measurements to be performed under well-defined hydrodynamic conditions [60]. When the laser beam strikes the adsorbing substrate in the cell around the Brewster angle (71°), it is reflected towards a detector and is split into its parallel (R<sub>p</sub>) and perpendicular (R<sub>s</sub>) components. The ratio between these two components (R<sub>p</sub>/R<sub>s</sub>) gives the reflectometric signal *S* (-). The change in this ratio ( $\Delta S$ ) is proportional to the mass adsorbed on the substrate, according to equation 1:

$$\Gamma = Q(\Delta S/S_0) \tag{1}$$

Where  $\Gamma$  is the amount of mass adsorbed on the silicon wafer (mg·m<sup>-2</sup>), and Q is a sensitivity factor, which depends on many factors like the angle of incidence of the laser ( $\theta$ ), the refractive indices (n), the thickness of the silicon layer (d), and the refractive index increment (dn/dc) of the adsorbate. All experiments were performed on a silicon wafer with 70 nm SiO<sub>2</sub> top layer. To calculate the Q-factor an optical model was used based upon values as used in our previous studies [52, 61]. The Q-factor thus obtained to calculate the actual mass adsorption is 20 mg·m<sup>-2</sup> for PAH and 27 mg·m<sup>-2</sup> for PAA. S<sub>0</sub> is the starting output signal of the bare silicon wafer immersed in solvent (-). Before the experiment the silicon surface was treated for 10 min by O<sub>2</sub> plasma (Femto-Diener electronic plasma cleaner, Germany, at 50% power). The overall charge of the multilayers was calculated by dividing the adsorbed mass with the monomeric molecular weight of the respective polyelectrolyte, and subsequently subtracting the total amount of negative charges from the positive charges.

#### 3.2.3 Water contact angle

Optical contact angle measurements of a DI water droplet on the PEMs surface, deposited on the silicon wafers were performed using an OCA21 from Dataphysics Instruments GmbH, Germany. For contact angle studies, the PEMs were assembled on silicon wafers using a similar procedure as for the membrane support. Before assembly, the silicon wafers were pre-treated for 10 min by  $O_2$  plasma (Femto-Diener electronic plasma cleaner, 50% power, Germany). Silicon wafers were used within 1 h after plasma treatment. PEMs were then deposited on the wafers. Before measuring contact angle, the PEMs deposited under different pH conditions using LbL technique were dried using a nitrogen gas stream. The contact angle of a sessile drop of 2  $\mu$ L of water on the PEMs terminated with PAH (+) and PAA (-) was measured using the OCA21 at five different locations for each coating at 20°C in static mode, and the average and standard deviation are reported. The contact angles were measured 5 s after the droplet was placed on the surface, no substantial effect of time was observed.

# 3.2.4 Membrane modification using LbL coating

Polyelectrolyte layers were deposited on hollow fiber dense ultrafiltration (UF) membrane support prepared from poly(ether sulfone) with a sulfonated poly(ether sulfone) separation layer. These so-called Hollow Fiber Silica (HFS) membranes, intended for colloidal silica removal, were kindly supplied by Pentair X-Flow, The Netherlands, and have a molecular weight cut-off of 10,000 Da. PEMs were coated on membranes via a dip coating method as reported before [52]. For this purpose, fibers were cut into specific lengths and kept in 15 wt.% ethanol in water overnight to wet the fibers and remove impurities. After wetting, fibers were rinsed with deionized water three times followed by three times rinsing in the chosen background electrolyte solution (50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>). For coating, the fibers were completely immersed in a 0.1 g·1<sup>-1</sup> polycation solution with the same background electrolyte solution, for 30 minutes, followed by rinsing three times with the used background electrolyte solution. Three different pH combinations for PAH/PAA were used to coat the membranes and resulting membranes are [6.0/6.0], [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5]. For example, [6.0/3.5] represents membranes with multilayer films (PAH/PAA) alternately assembled with the PAH layers deposited at pH 6.0 and the PAA layers at pH 3.5. A rinsing step with 50 mM background

electrolyte with the same pH as of the PE solution between every polyelectrolyte coating removes any loosely bound or excess polyelectrolyte from the membrane surface and prevents complex formation in bulk solution. The described procedure was repeated to deposit the desired number of bilayers. By dipping the membranes in the coating solution, PE deposition is not only limited to the inner surface of the membrane but also the whole porous structure can be coated. After each coating step a membrane sample was taken for analysis. Membranes coated with the desired number of layers were immersed in a glycerol/water (15 wt.%/85 wt.%) solution for at least 4 hours, followed by a drying step under ambient conditions for at least 8 hours. For filtration experiments, PEM coated membrane fibers were potted in a module with a fiber length of approximately 10 cm.

# 3.2.5 Membrane characterization

#### Filtration and rejection

For each prepared membrane, the pure water flux was measured at 20 °C with demineralized water at a trans-membrane pressure of 1.8 bar in dead end mode. The water permeability  $(1 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1})$  was calculated by normalizing the measured pure water flux. The performance of prepared membranes was further investigated by performing retention experiments on salt ions (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and 5 common micropollutants (covering medicinal compounds and herbicides). Retention experiments were carried out in a cross-flow mode with a cross flow velocity of 3.8 m·s<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to a Reynolds number of approximately 3100. The transmembrane pressure during filtration was 1.8 bar. The salt concentration was measured with a WTW cond 3210 conductivity meter. For this study, the selection of micropollutants was made such that it covers neutral, positive, and negative molecules (at a pH of 5.8) and contains both hydrophobic and hydrophilic molecules. Properties of these micropollutants are given in Fig. 2. The micropollutant molecular weight range is between 200 and 400 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Filtration of membranes with solutions containing all five micropollutants at a concentration of 10 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> was performed and permeate samples were collected after a minimum of 24 hours to ensure membrane saturation with solutes and steady state rejections.

The retention was based on one minus the ratio between the permeate  $(C_p)$  and concentrate concentrations  $(C_f)$ . The retention values were calculated from concentrations of the permeate and of the original feed solutions according to Eq. 1:

$$R(\%) = 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

All measurements were performed for each membrane type in duplicate and the average and standard deviation are reported.

# Analysis of micropollutants

A Dionex Ultimate 3000 U-HPLC system equipped with an RS variable wavelength detector was used to determine the micropollutant concentrations in the concentrate and permeate. Micropollutant separation was done on an Acclaim RSLC C18 2.2  $\mu$ m column (Thermo Scientific) at 45°C, while applying a gradient flow from 95 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 wt.% acetonitrile at pH 2 to 5 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O + 95 wt.% acetonitrile at 1 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>.

# **3.3** Results and discussion

We divided the results and discussion section into three major parts. The first part deals with the characterization of PEMs on model surfaces by reflectometry and contact angle to study their structure and composition. In the second part, the characterization of the prepared multilayered membranes is given in terms of permeance and salt rejections. The third part reports on the NF membrane performance regarding the retention of five micropollutants, with membranes prepared under different pH conditions.

# 3.3.1 Properties of PEMs

# Growth behavior and total charge on multilayers

The growth of weak PAH/PAA PEMs under three pH combinations (6.0/6.0, 6.0/3.5 and 3.5/3.5) was monitored on silicon wafers using optical fixed angle reflectometry. A typical measurement starts when the silicon wafer is exposed to the solvent solution (pH and ionic strength similar to the cationic polyelectrolyte) and this gives the baseline signal (S<sub>0</sub>). Adsorption starts when the negatively charged silicon wafer is exposed to a solution containing the positively charged polyelectrolyte (PAH), leading to an increase in the adsorbed amount. Further exposure to the negatively charged anionic polyelectrolyte PAA leads to another increase in adsorbed amount. Continuous switching between cationic and anionic polyelectrolytes (PAH and PAA) leads to a stepwise growth of the PEMs as shown in Fig. 3a.

Every time before switching between polyelectrolyte solutions, the cell was rinsed with a background electrolyte solution for at least 200 s to remove loosely adsorbed polymer chains. The used salt concentration in the coating solutions was selected based on a previous study where optimal growth of these PEMs was achieved at 50 mM [52]. Fig. 3 shows multilayer growth at three different combinations of pH. At all used pH conditions initially the growth of multilayers is linear, but then with more layers we observe a switch to an exponential growth regime. However, compared to pH condition [6.0/6.0] the onset of exponential growth regime for pH conditions [3.5/3.5] and [6.0/3.5] is quite early (after just 2.5 bilayers). Overall with the same number of bilayers the amount of material adsorbed during multilayer formations is significantly

different for the studied pH conditions (Fig. 3). The lowest adsorbed amount is found at pH [6.0/6.0], at the pH conditions [3.5/3.5] and especially [6.0/3.5] much more material is adsorbed.



**Fig. 3:** Effect of pH of polyelectrolyte solutions on buildup of PAH/PAA multilayers on silicon wafer monitored via reflectometry (polyelectrolyte solution contained polymer conc.  $0.1 \text{ g} \cdot l^{-1}$  with 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>).

Clearly, the growth behavior and in turn layers structure is influenced by the pH of the coating solution of the polycation and the polyanion. For weak polyelectrolyte pairs such as PAH/PAA, the thickness, composition and organization of the multilayers can be systematically tuned by varying the pH of each PE solution [54, 55, 62]. The pH controls the charge density of the weak PEs by controlling the degree of dissociation of the basic and acidic groups on the polymer chains. PAA with pKa of 6.5 and PAH with pKa 8-9 [62], are nearly fully charged (80-90% charged groups) at a pH condition of [6.0/6.0] and thus form thin layers upon deposition, requiring just a small amount of material to compensate for all charges from a previously deposited layer, most likely with a high degree of intrinsic charge compensation inside the bulk of the layers. The thickest layers are formed when one of the PEs is only partially charged (PAA at pH 3.5) and the other is fully or nearly fully charged (PAH at pH 3.5 and 6.0 respectively). The partially charged polymer segments adopt a much more coiled conformation and favor diffusion of counter ions of the employed salt into the multilayer, forming more swollen layers with more mobile chains leading to more extrinsic charge compensation of the polymeric charges [63].

A monomeric excess of a particular polymer during PEM build-up can give an indication on the overall charge in multilayers. To get into insight of the overall charge in prepared multilayers, the excess of particular polymers after each adsorption was also calculated (see Fig. S1). With addition of every positive

(PAH) or negative (PAA) layer we see a zigzag behavior. Here adsorption of PAH leads to a significant excess of cationic monomers, while after PAA addition layers we come to just a very small excess of negative polymer. This effect is strongest for pH conditions [6/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] where thicker layers are formed and weakest for the thin layers of pH [6.0/6.0] (Fig. 3). These observations are inline with zeta potential of these multilayers, where switching between the PEs reverses the charge of the surface of multilayers which shows that outside of the multilayers represents excess of that particular PE as shown in Chapter 1 [52]. However, the excess of PAH is so large that it cannot just be stemming from an excess on the outside of the PEM. The expectation is that also the bulk of the PEM is overall positively charged after a PAH adsorption step. A similar effect was found for the cationic PDADMAC and the anionic PSS, with an excess of the cationic charge throughout the PEM layer after PDADMAC deposition. Moreover, individual layers within PEMs are highly interpenetrating and can electrically neutralize each other except for the outer most surface layer [64, 65], or depending upon the terminal layer, the bulk (inside) of the PEMs still can carry charges and may not be overall neutral [66, 67]. The additional cationic charge would then also lead be expected to lead to stronger swelling after deposition of the cationic polymer.

# Contact angle of multilayers

The wettability of multilayers prepared under the different pH combinations was investigated for PAH (+) and PAA (-) terminated layers by means of contact angle measurements. These measurements provide insight into the hydrophilicity of the multilayers which can be important for their eventual transport properties. Furthermore, it is generally accepted that membranes with higher hydrophilicity offer better fouling resistance [47, 68]. In Fig. 4 we present water contact angles measured on the multilayers fabricated with the PAH/PAA with three pH combinations (6.0/6.0, 6.0/3.5 and 3.5/3.5) with 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> and a polymer concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>. In all cases, results show a change in the layer hydrophilicity for differently terminating layers, with the positively (PAH) terminated layers having a higher contact angle than the negatively (PAA) terminated layers. When comparing three different multilayers, the [6.0/6.0] layers show relatively higher contact angles (37° and 70° for PAA and PAH terminated layer respectively), which was expected due to high degree of intrinsic charge compensation and dense structure of these layers (few free -NH<sub>2</sub> and -COOH groups) which is comparable to our previous observations [58]. The contact angles measured on [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] multilayers with PAA (-) as terminal layer are 15° and 24° respectively, indicating these layers are more hydrophilic compared to multilayers terminated with PAH

(+). These results also demonstrate that the surface layers of the multilayers are dominated by segments of the final layer.



Fig. 4: Average contact angle measurements of PEMs on silicon wafers with different terminal layers (prepared at three pH combinations of PAH/PAA with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt).

#### 3.3.2 Performance of PEM based hollow fiber membranes

#### Pure water permeability

UF support membranes were coated with PAH/PAA multilayers using the same coating conditions as used for the silicon wafers. After every coating step, the pure water permeability of the membranes was measured. The effect of coating the UF membrane support at different pH conditions on the pure water permeability of the membranes is shown in Fig. 5. For all pH conditions, membrane permeability decreases with the number of coated layers. This is in line with the results of multilayer growth obtained from reflectometry and represents that the addition of material on the membrane surface reduces the pore size of membranes leading to a decline in the water permeability. We observe a typical zig-zag behavior in the permeability of membranes prepared at pH condition [6.0/6.0] which is indication of the odd-even effect [48, 52]. Often, the degree of swelling of a whole PEM will depend on the final adsorbed layer. In our reflectometry investigation (Figures 3 and S1), we already established a large excess of cationic monomer after PAH adsorption, which would be expected to lead to stronger swelling of the PEM. Initially, after PAH adsorption the permeability is lowest as the additional swelling of that layer closes of the pores. This is clearest observed between layer 3 and 4 and indicates a pore dominated regime. However, after 5 deposited layers we see a flip in the odd-even effect, with PAH terminated layers having a higher permeability. With this we have entered the layer dominated regime where a PEM layer has formed on top of the support. A more swollen layer is now also a more open and thus permeable layer. After depositing 6 bilayers PAA is less permeable  $(1.8\pm1.6 \text{ I}\text{m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}\text{bar}^{-1})$  because of its dense layer structure, while addition of a layer of PAH makes multilayers swell and more permeable  $(3.0\pm0.5 \text{ I}\text{m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}\text{bar}^{-1})$ . PAA terminated layers are thus expected to be denser and to show better solute retention behavior. We did not see a clear odd even effect for membranes prepared with pH conditions [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5]. An important observation to make is that the membranes prepared under [6.0/6.0] give the lowest permeability, while we know from reflectometry that these are also the thinnest layers. This must mean that layers prepared under [6.0/6.0] are significantly denser than the layers prepared under other pH conditions. This effect is most clear after 12 and 13 layers, where the water permeability of membranes coated with similar number of bilayers of PAH/PAA at pH condition [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] was almost two times higher than membranes prepared at pH conditions [6.0/6.0] i.e.,  $11.5\pm0.8 \text{ I}\text{\cdot}\text{m}^{-2}\text{\cdot}\text{h}^{-1}\text{\cdot}\text{bar}^{-1}$  respectively. For LbL membranes solubility and diffusivity of solutes are mainly determined by hydration of layers and the affinity of the solute to the membranes [48]. More hydrated layers are swollen and more open with more volume between the polymer chains, and degree of hydration in multilayers can be controlled by salt [63, 64], and pH [55].



**Fig. 5:** Pure water permeability of UF membrane support as a function of the number of coated layers at three pH combinations for PAH/PAA [6.0/6.0], [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5]. All coating solutions contained 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt.

#### NF performance of membranes

The performance of the prepared multilayered membranes was studied by measuring their ability to retain different salts (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The UF membrane supports used to prepare these membranes are unable to reject any salt ions, and therefore we are really studying the separation performance of the PEM's. During the filtration of the salt solutions no significant permeability changes were observed compared to the clean water permeabilities, demonstrating stable performance. Retention of salts is a typical

characterization of NF membranes which can also provide proof of successful deposition of PEMs on the support membrane. By studying the retention of a number of salts one can also achieve insight into of the main retention mechanism of the membranes [48]. The rejection of the solutes by NF membranes is typically described by taking size/steric hindrances, charge, valence, dielectric exclusion, and adsorption into account [48, 69-72].



**Fig. 6:** Different ions retention of multilayered membranes with 6 (-) and 6.5 (+) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations with 50 mM salt and 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer).

In Fig. 6 the rejection performance of PAA/PAH polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for monovalent (NaCl) and divalent ions (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>) is shown. The membranes were coated with 6(-) and 6.5(+)bi-layers under three different pH combinations for PAH/PAA (6.0/6.0, 6.0/3.5 and 3.5/3.5) and 50 mM ionic strength. We observe that all of the prepared membranes reject a significant amount of the  $SO_4^{2-}$ , while the membranes hardly retain Na<sup>+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions. The prepared membranes thus perform as NF membranes, allowing the passage of monovalent ions, while rejecting bigger divalent ions. Still, the ionic rejection, especially for PAA/PAH (6.0/6.0) membrane is rather low. This is not necessarily a bad thing as often one wants to remove organic compounds without significantly affecting the ionic composition of the feed. The rejection performance for the membranes prepared under different coating conditions are quite similar. For differently terminating layers (PAH or PAA) for [6.0/6.0] membranes very small differences in terms of retention are observed, which indicates only a very small contribution of the membrane charge towards solute retention, however we do see some role of terminal layer charge for [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] especially for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> retention. If the rejection was dominated by Donnon exclusion then the order of retention would be  $SO_4^{2-} > Na^+ > Ca^{2+}$  for negatively charged membranes and the inverse for positively charged membranes. These trends are clearly absent in our retention data. An alternative would be di-electric exclusion, which is especially powerful in retaining multivalent charged species. However, the low CaCl<sub>2</sub> retention for all membranes makes this very unlikely. For our dense PAA/PAH layers the retention mechanism seems to be based mainly on size exclusion. When the ions are dissolved in water, the dynamic hydrated radius of ions is the good representation of their size in water [73], though the size differences are not large, the order of the different ions used here is:  $Na^+ < Cl^- < Ca^{2+} < SO_4^{2-}$ . Indeed the larger  $SO_4^{2-}$  is the most rejected ion, independent of the charge of the membrane, something that we can only really explain on the basis of size exclusion as the dominating separation mechanism for these solutes. Still, other mechanisms can also play an additional role.

#### Micropollutant rejection

To investigate the ability of multilayered membranes to reject small organic molecules, the retention of a cocktail of five micropollutants was measured with membranes coated under different pH combinations for PAH/PAA [6.0/6.0, 6.0/3.5 and 3.5/3.5]. Filtration experiments for micropollutants were carried out for 24 hrs in order to exclude adsorption as a separation mechanism. It is important to mention that rejection of trace organic compounds by pressure driven membranes is a complex mixture of factors including electrostatic repulsion, steric hindrance, solute/membrane properties and solution effect [74], feed water composition and operating conditions [21]. Bruggen et al. [30] shown that for organic pollutants removal by NF, the key solute (and membrane) parameters that can influence the retention are hydrophobicity, molecular size and charge (pK<sub>a</sub>).



**Fig. 7:** Retention of different micropollutants at pH 5.8 by multilayered membranes with 6 (-) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations and ionic strength with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer). Conditions for filtration experiments were: pH 5.8, Re  $\approx$  3,100, and a trans-membrane pressure of 1.8 bar.
In Fig. 7, the filtration results of multilayered membranes with 6 bilayers of PAH/PAA, prepared from polymer solutions with different pH combinations are given. All of these membranes show between 40% and 80% retention for the micropollutants, significantly better than the ion retentions given in Fig. 6. Interestingly, the membrane with the lowest ion retentions, [6.0/6.0], has a superior micropollutant retention compared to the other membranes, [6.0/3.0] and [3.5/3.5], although the negative Naproxen and Bezafibrate have a comparable rejection by the three membranes. It seems that for [6.0/3.0] and [3.5/3.5] some Donnan exclusion plays a role leading to a preferred rejection of the negative micropollutants, while for [6.0/6.0] the high retention of all micropollutants, suggests that the retention of these [6.0/6.0] membranes is based mainly on size or steric retention. As mentioned in the discussion of the pure water permeability, the membranes prepared at [6.0/6.0] are expected to be much denser in nature.



**Fig. 8:** Retention as a function of size of micropollutants. Micropollutants are color coded based on their charge: orange for positive (+) micropollutants, blue for neutral (0) micropollutants, and red (-) for negative micropollutants. Membranes are with 6 (-) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations and 50 mM ionic strength with 0.1 g- $t^{-1}$  polymer).



**Fig. 9:** Retention as a function of hydrophilicity of micropollutants. Micropollutants are color coded based on their charge: orange for positive (+) micropollutants, blue for neutral (0) micropollutants, and red (-) for negative micropollutants. Membranes are with 6 (-) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations and 50 mM ionic strength with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer).

As there is just a relatively small difference in molecular size of the used compounds (range of 200 to 400  $g \cdot mol^{-1}$ ) it is difficult to observe a direct relation between molecular size (Fig. 8) and rejection of molecules, and we expect that other factors such as charge and hydrophobicity will also contribute to the observed retention.

To describe hydrophobicity of micropollutants, often the octanol/water partition coefficient (logP) is used, which is a measure of the equilibrium concentration of a compound between octanol and water. Based on  $pK_a$  and logP values, the compounds were classified as hydrophilic neutral, hydrophilic ionic, hydrophobic ionic and hydrophobic neutral (Fig. 2). The logP of the micropollutants used in this study ranges from 0.49 (most hydrophilic) to 4.04 (most hydrophobic). In Fig. 9 rejection is shown as a function of logP again there is no clear trend in rejection of micropollutant with the degree of hydrophobicity. One thing that is clear from results is that retention of ionic species is better than non-ionic species.

To see effect of the final layer charge we also performed rejection measurements with membranes terminated with a PAH (+) layer. Our results show a drop in rejection for all investigated micropollutants



**Fig. 10:** Retention of different micropollutants at pH 5.8 by multilayered membranes with 6.5 (+) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations and ionic strength with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer). Conditions for filtration experiments were: pH 5.8, Re  $\approx$  3,100, and a trans-membrane pressure of 1.8 bar.

for the membrane [6.0/6.0] (Fig. 10). From contact angle measurements this membrane shows more hydrophobicity than all other membranes, so one could expect a different rejection behavior from this membranes. These retention results are in line with reflectometry data where excess of monomers of PAH in this layer makes the layers swollen and highly permeable and letting pass the Atenolol (+) through membrane without any significant retention. Permeability of this membrane terminated with PAH is  $3.0\pm0.5 \,\mathrm{l}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{h}^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{bar}^{-1}$  is higher than the membrane with PAA (-) as final layer ( $1.8\pm1.6 \,\mathrm{l}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{h}^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{bar}^{-1}$ ). A higher permeability, after the addition of an extra polyelectrolyte layer, would suggest that the multilayer adopts a more open structure leading to a

lower degree of size exclusion/steric hindrance and thus a lower rejections. We also studied the final layer effect for other two membranes [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5], the retentions of which are given in Fig. S2. Similar to [6.0/6.0], the retention of membranes [6/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] was found to be significantly declined. Swelling of the layers after PAH adsorption makes them more permeable.

# 3.4 Conclusions

Growing public awareness about the presence and the health concerns associated with small organic contaminants in water, also increase the demand of membrane based processes in the water industry. Here hollow fiber NF membranes are especially promising as they require much lower operating pressures than for example RO, while their hollow fiber geometry makes them less susceptible to fouling then their spiral wound counterparts. This work encompasses the investigation into the use of weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for preparing low pressure, tunable NF membranes for micropollutant removal from water. PEM-based NF-membranes of the weak polyelectrolytes PAH and PAA were prepared by the LbL method under varying pH conditions to tune the charge density and structure of PEM's, and to further control the eventual membrane performance. Membranes [6.0/6.0] have sufficiently good retention performance for all the micropollutants because of the dense structure and a thin layer, coupled with an acceptable flux. Membranes prepared under pH conditions [6.0/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] with more free acid and amine groups and a more open layer structure shows good retention only for the charged micropollutants. Overall the rejection mechanism was found to be dominated by steric hindrance, especially for the membranes prepared at pH 6. The results of this study provide a simple and versatile way of making weak PEM based hollow fiber NF membranes and using pH during coating to tune the performance of the membranes towards specific applications. Moreover, the membrane prepared at pH 6, is especially promising as it combines a high MP rejection with low ion rejections.

# Acknowledgments

S. Ilyas acknowledges the European Commission - Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA), for her PhD scholarship under the program: Erasmus Mundus Doctorate in Membrane Engineering – EUDIME (FPA N° 2011-0014, Edition III, <u>http://eudime.unical.it/</u>). Thanks to Pentair X-Flow, The Netherlands, for kindly supplying the membranes.

# References

[1] N.S. Thomaidis, A.G. Asimakopoulos, A.A. Bletsou, Emerging contaminants: A tutorial mini-review, Global Nest J., 14 (2012) 72-79.

[2] UNEP, Global Chemical Outlook; Towards Sound Management of Chemicals, United Nations Environment Programme, (2012).

[3] M. Kuzmanovic', A. Ginebreda, M. Petrovic', D. Barcelo', Risk assessment based prioritization of 200 organic micropollutants in 4 Iberian rivers, Science of the Total Environment, (2014).

[4] Y. Luo, W. Guo, H.H. Ngo, L.D. Nghiem, F.I. Hai, J. Zhang, S. Liang, X.C. Wang, A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment, Science of the Total Environment, 473-474 (2014) 619-641.

[5] E.D. N.G.F.M. van der Aa, L. Bijlsma, E. Emke, B.M. van de Ven, A.L.N. van Nuijs, P. de Voogt Drugs of Abuse and Tranquilizers in Dutch Surface Waters, Drinking Water and Wastewater - Results of Screening Monitoring 2009, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, The Netherlands, (2010).

[6] E. Cho, J. Khim, S. Chung, D. Seo, Y. Son, Occurrence of micropollutants in four major rivers in Korea, Science of the Total Environment, 491-492 (2014) 138-147.

[7] K.K. Barnes, D.W. Kolpin, E.T. Furlong, S.D. Zaugg, M.T. Meyer, L.B. Barber, A national reconnaissance of pharmaceuticals and other organic wastewater contaminants in the United States — I) Groundwater, Science of The Total Environment, 402 (2008) 192-200.

[8] Q. Sui, J. Huang, S. Deng, G. Yu, Q. Fan, Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals, caffeine and DEET in wastewater treatment plants of Beijing, China, Water research, 44 (2010) 417-426.

[9] D. Rozman, Z. Hrkal, P. Eckhardt, E. Novotná, Z. Boukalová, Pharmaceuticals in groundwaters: a case study of the psychiatric hospital at Horní Beřkovice, Czech Republic, Environ. Earth Sci., (2014).

[10] L. Pasquini, J.F. Munoz, M.N. Pons, J. Yvon, X. Dauchy, X. France, N.D. Le, C. France-Lanord, T. Görner, Occurrence of eight household micropollutants in urban wastewater and their fate in a wastewater treatment plant. Statistical evaluation, Science of the Total Environment, 481 (2014) 459-448.

[11] C.J. Houtman, J. Kroesbergen, K. Lekkerkerker-Teunissen, J.P. van der Hoek, Human health risk assessment of the mixture of pharmaceuticals in Dutch drinking water and its sources based on frequent monitoring data, Science of The Total Environment, 496 (2014) 54-62.

[12] T. Heberer, Occurrence, fate, and removal of pharmaceutical residues in the aquatic environment: a review of recent research data, Toxicology Letters, 131 (2002) 5-17.

[13] S. Kleywegt, V. Pileggi, P. Yang, C. Hao, X. Zhao, C. Rocks, S. Thach, P. Cheung, B. Whitehead, Pharmaceuticals, hormones and bisphenol A in untreated source and finished drinking water in Ontario, Canada — Occurrence and treatment efficiency, Science of The Total Environment, 409 (2011) 1481-1488. [14] A. Verliefde, E. Cornelissen, G. Amy, B. Van der Bruggen, H. van Dijk, Priority organic micropollutants in water sources in Flanders and the Netherlands and assessment of removal possibilities with nanofiltration, Environmental Pollution, 146 (2007) 281-289.

[15] J. Rivera-Utrilla, M. Sánchez-Polo, M.Á. Ferro-García, G. Prados-Joya, R. Ocampo-Pérez, Pharmaceuticals as emerging contaminants and their removal from water. A review, Chemosphere, 93 (2013) 1268-1287.

[16] I. Michael, L. Rizzo, C.S. McArdell, C.M. Manaia, C. Merlin, T. Schwartz, C. Dagot, D. Fatta-Kassinos, Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of antibiotics in the environment: A review, Water research, 47 (2013) 957-995.

[17] R.I.L. Eggen, J. Hollender, A. Joss, M. Schärer, C. Stamm, Reducing the discharge of micropollutants in the aquatic environment: The benefits of upgrading wastewater treatment plants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48 (2014) 7683-7689.

[18] J.H. Al-Rifai, H. Khabbaz, A.I. Schäfer, Removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in a water recycling process using reverse osmosis systems, Separation and Purification Technology, 77 (2011) 60-67.

[19] J.L. Acero, F.J. Benitez, F.J. Real, F. Teva, Micropollutants removal from retentates generated in ultrafiltration and nanofiltration treatments of municipal secondary effluents by means of coagulation, oxidation, and adsorption processes, Chem. Eng. J., 289 (2016) 48-58.

[20] C. Bellona, D. Heil, C. Yu, P. Fu, J.E. Drewes, The pros and cons of using nanofiltration in lieu of reverse osmosis for indirect potable reuse applications, Separation and Purification Technology, 85 (2012) 69-76.

[21] V. Yangali-Quintanilla, S.K. Maeng, T. Fujioka, M. Kennedy, G. Amy, Proposing nanofiltration as acceptable barrier for organic contaminants in water reuse, Journal of Membrane Science, 362 (2010) 334-345.

[22] Y. Zhang, B. Van der Bruggen, G.X. Chen, L. Braeken, C. Vandecasteele, Removal of pesticides by nanofiltration: effect of the water matrix, Separation and Purification Technology, 38 (2004) 163-172.

[23] Y. Zhang, C. Causserand, P. Aimar, J.P. Cravedi, Removal of bisphenol A by a nanofiltration membrane in view of drinking water production, Water research, 40 (2006) 3793-3799.

[24] R. Boussahel, S. Bouland, K.M. Moussaoui, A. Montiel, Removal of pesticide residues in water using the nanofiltration process, Desalination, 132 (2000) 205-209.

[25] J. Geens, B. Van der Bruggen, C. Vandecasteele, Transport model for solvent permeation through nanofiltration membranes, Separation and Purification Technology, 48 (2006) 255-263.

[26] K. Košutić, L. Furač, L. Sipos, B. Kunst, Removal of arsenic and pesticides from drinking water by nanofiltration membranes, Separation and Purification Technology, 42 (2005) 137-144.

[27] K.V. Plakas, A.J. Karabelas, Removal of pesticides from water by NF and RO membranes — A review, Desalination, 287 (2012) 255-265.

[28] J.-H. Tay, J. Liu, D. Delai Sun, Effect of solution physico-chemistry on the charge property of nanofiltration membranes, Water research, 36 (2002) 585-598.

[29] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, W. Maes, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Nanofiltration as a treatment method for the removal of pesticides from ground waters, Desalination, 117 (1998) 139-147.

[30] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Influence of molecular size, polarity and charge on the retention of organic molecules by nanofiltration, Journal of Membrane Science, 156 (1999) 29-41.

[31] W.R. Bowen, J.S. Welfoot, Modelling the performance of membrane nanofiltration—critical assessment and model development, Chemical Engineering Science, 57 (2002) 1121-1137.

[32] M.L. Bruening, D.M. Sullivan, Enhancing the Ion-Transport Selectivity of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes, Chemistry – A European Journal, 8 (2002) 3832-3837.

[33] J.J. Harris, J.L. Stair, M.L. Bruening, Layered Polyelectrolyte Films as Selective, Ultrathin Barriers for Anion Transport, Chemistry of Materials, 12 (2000) 1941-1946.

[34] S.U. Hong, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Optimization of flux and selectivity in Cl-/SO42– separations with multilayer polyelectrolyte membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 283 (2006) 366-372.
[35] G. Liu, D.M. Dotzauer, M.L. Bruening, Ion-Exchange Membranes Prepared Using Layer-by-Layer Polyelectrolyte Deposition, J Memb Sci, 354 (2010) 198-205.

[36] R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, High-Flux Nanofiltration Membranes Prepared by Adsorption of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes on Polymeric Supports, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 10587-10592.

[37] M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Controlling the Nanofiltration Properties of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Variation of Film Composition, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 20 (2004) 11545-11551.

[38] L. Ouyang, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Multilayer polyelectrolyte films as nanofiltration membranes for separating monovalent and divalent cations, Journal of Membrane Science, 310 (2008) 76-84.

[39] W. Shan, P. Bacchin, P. Aimar, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films as backflushable nanofiltration membranes with tunable hydrophilicity and surface charge, Journal of Membrane Science, 349 (2010) 268-278.

[40] J.L. Stair, J.J. Harris, M.L. Bruening, Enhancement of the Ion-Transport Selectivity of Layered Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Cross-Linking and Hybridization, Chemistry of Materials, 13 (2001) 2641-2648.

[41] B.W. Stanton, J.J. Harris, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films as Nanofiltration Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 7038-7042.

[42] K. Hoffmann, T. Friedrich, B. Tieke, Layer-by-layer assembled polyelectrolyte blend membranes and their use for ion separation and rejection, Polymer Engineering & Science, 51 (2011) 1497-1506.

[43] W. Jin, A. Toutianoush, B. Tieke, Use of Polyelectrolyte Layer-by-Layer Assemblies as Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 2550-2553.

[44] A. Toutianoush, W. Jin, H.s. Delig z, B. Tieke, Polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for desalination of aqueous salt solutions and seawater under reverse osmosis conditions, Applied Surface Science, 246 (2005) 437-443.

[45] X. Liu, M.L. Bruening, Size-Selective Transport of Uncharged Solutes through Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes, Chemistry of Materials, 16 (2003) 351-357.

[46] S.U. Hong, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Removal of Dyes, Sugars, and Amino Acids from NaCl Solutions Using Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Nanofiltration Membranes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 6284-6288.

[47] T. Ishigami, K. Amano, A. Fujii, Y. Ohmukai, E. Kamio, T. Maruyama, H. Matsuyama, Fouling reduction of reverse osmosis membrane by surface modification via layer-by-layer assembly, Separation and Purification Technology, 99 (2012) 1-7.

[48] J. de Grooth, R. Oborný, J. Potreck, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, The role of ionic strength and odd– even effects on the properties of polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 475 (2015) 311-319.

[49] J. de Grooth, B. Haakmeester, C. Wever, J. Potreck, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Long term physical and chemical stability of polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 489 (2015) 153-159.

[50] D. Menne, J. Kamp, J. Erik Wong, M. Wessling, Precise tuning of salt retention of backwashable polyelectrolyte multilayer hollow fiber nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 499 (2016) 396-405.

[51] J. de Grooth, D.M. Reurink, J. Ploegmakers, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Charged Micropollutant Removal With Hollow Fiber Nanofiltration Membranes Based On Polycation/Polyzwitterion/Polyanion Multilayers, ACS applied materials & interfaces, 6 (2014) 17009-17017.

[52] S. Ilyas, J. de Grooth, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning, Journal of colloid and interface science, 446 (2015) 386-393.

[53] L. Krasemann, B. Tieke, Selective Ion Transport across Self-Assembled Alternating Multilayers of Cationic and Anionic Polyelectrolytes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 16 (1999) 287-290.

[54] D. Yoo, S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, Controlling Bilayer Composition and Surface Wettability of Sequentially Adsorbed Multilayers of Weak Polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 31 (1998) 4309-4318.

[55] S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, pH-Dependent Thickness Behavior of Sequentially Adsorbed Layers of Weak polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 33 (2000) 4213-4219.

[56] V. Izumrudov, E. Kharlampieva, S.A. Sukhishvili, Salt-Induced Multilayer Growth: Correlation with Phase Separation in Solution, Macromolecules, 37 (2004) 8400-8406.

[57] V. Izumrudov, S.A. Sukhishvili, Ionization-Controlled Stability of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers in Salt Solutions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 5188-5191.

[58] S. Ilyas, N. Joseph, A. Szymczyk, A. Volodin, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Weak polyelectrolyte multilayers as tunable membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration, Journal of Membrane Science, 514 (2016) 322-331.

[59] P. Ahmadiannamini, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings as an approach to membrane fouling control: Disassembly and regeneration mechanisms, Journal of Membrane Science, 491 (2015) 149-158.

[60] J.C. Dijt, M.A.C. Stuart, J.E. Hofman, G.J. Fleer, Kinetics of polymer adsorption in stagnation point flow, Colloids and Surfaces, 51 (1990) 141-158.

[61] W.M. de Vos, A. de Keizer, M.A.C. Stuart, J.M. Kleijn, Thin polymer films as sacrificial layers for easier cleaning, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 358 (2010) 6-12.

[62] J. Choi, M.F. Rubner, Influence of the Degree of Ionization on Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Assembly, Macromolecules, 38 (2004) 116-124.

[63] J.B. Schlenoff, H. Ly, M. Li, Charge and Mass Balance in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 120 (1998) 7626-7634.

[64] J.B. Schlenoff, S.T. Dubas, Mechanism of Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Growth: Charge Overcompensation and Distribution, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 592-598.

[65] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Factors Controlling the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Macromolecules, 32 (1999) 8153-8160.

[66] W.M. de Vos, L.L.E. Mears, R.M. Richardson, T. Cosgrove, R. Barker, S.W. Prescott, Nonuniform Hydration and Odd–Even Effects in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers under a Confining Pressure, Macromolecules, 46 (2013) 1027-1034.

[67] R.A. Ghostine, M.Z. Markarian, J.B. Schlenoff, Asymmetric growth in polyelectrolyte multilayers, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 135 (2013) 7636-7646.

[68] D. Rana, T. Matsuura, Surface Modifications for Antifouling Membranes, Chemical Reviews, 110 (2010) 2448-2471.

[69] A.I. Schäfer, A.G. Fane, T.D. Waite, Nanofiltration : principles and applications, Elsevier, Oxford, 2005.

[70] A. Szymczyk, P. Fievet, Investigating transport properties of nanofiltration membranes by means of a steric, electric and dielectric exclusion model, Journal of Membrane Science, 252 (2005) 77-88.

[71] A.E. Yaroshchuk, Dielectric exclusion of ions from membranes, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 85 (2000) 193-230.

[72] B. Van der Bruggen, J. Schaep, W. Maes, D. Wilms, C. Vandecasteele, Nanofiltration as a treatment method for the removal of pesticides from ground waters, Desalination, 117 (1998) 139-147.

[73] M.Y. Kiriukhin, K.D. Collins, Dynamic hydration numbers for biologically important ions, Biophysical Chemistry, 99 (2002) 155-168.

[74] C. Bellona, J.E. Drewes, P. Xu, G. Amy, Factors affecting the rejection of organic solutes during NF/RO treatment—a literature review, Water research, 38 (2004) 2795-2809.

# **Appendix Chapter 3**



Fig. S1: Excess of monomers per adsorption step during LbL assembly of polyelectrolyte multilayers under different pH conditions. PE (50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>).



**Fig. S2:** Retention of different micropollutants at pH 5.8 by multilayered membranes with 6.5 (+) bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at different pH combinations and ionic strength with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer). Conditions for filtration experiments were: pH 5.8, Re  $\approx$  3,100, and a trans-membrane pressure of 1.8 bar.

# Chapter 4

# Weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes

This chapter has been published as:

Shazia Ilyas, Nithya Joseph, Anthony Szymczyk, Alexander Volodin, Kitty Nijmeijer, Wiebe M. Vos, and Ivo F. J Vankelecom, *Weak polyelectrolyte multilayers as tunable membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration*, Journal of Membrane Science, 514 (**2016**) 322-331. DOI: 10.1016/j.memsci.2016.04.073

# Abstract

This chapter encompasses the investigation into the solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) performance of multilayer membranes prepared from weak polyelectrolytes. These weak polyelectrolytes are unique in that the charge density is not fixed and depends on the coating pH, adding an extra variable as tuning parameter for SRNF performance. The weak polyelectrolyte based multilayers (PEMs) were prepared on a hydrolyzed PAN support membrane from poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) as polycation and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) as polyanion. Detailed investigations on the role of the pH of the coating solution on the performance of the prepared SRNF-membranes were carried out with organic dyes of different size (~300 - 1000 Dalton) and charge. Variation in pH of the coating solutions was found to lead to a large degree of control over the separation performance of the prepared SRNF-membranes for the different dyes. The solvent permeabilities and the dye retentions were measured and correlated to variations in the PEM membrane structures, with more dye adsorption being found for membranes with more free acid and amine groups. The membranes were also found to be stable for long term-filtrations in solvents such as isopropyl alcohol (IPA), acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and in the challenging polar aprotic solvent, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). Results of this study clearly demonstrate the potential of using pH as tuning parameter for weak PEMs to prepare SRNF-membranes optimized for specific applications.

# 4.1 Introduction

Nanofiltration (NF) is among the pressure-driven membrane processes which have gained a lot of attention recently, with separation characteristics falling in the range between RO and UF membranes. This makes the technology especially promising for separations on a molecular level. One of the key current challenges for NF is to broaden the range of applications from aqueous to organic feeds in the form of solvent-resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) membranes [1]. As SRNF separation does not involve an energy demanding phase transition, it has drawn considerable attention as an alternative separation technique to alleviate the energy costs and related environmental problems caused by conventional separation methods such as distillation [2, 3]. SRNF has potential applications in strategic fields, such as the petrochemical industry, pharmaceutical industry and food industry to separate desired molecules from solvents and/or to recover solvents and solutes from waste streams [4-8]. However, there is lack of membranes that are thermally and chemically stable, that have a high permeability and that provide effective separation of molecules in the range of 200–1000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup> in various organic solvents over long time-scales [1, 3, 9-11]. Polymers are an interesting material for SRNF related applications but very few polymeric membranes are stable: swelling and/or dissolution of the polymeric matrix often results in a loss of membrane selectivity.

In membrane technology, layer by layer (LbL) based self-assembly of charged polyelectrolytes (PEs) on an oppositely charged porous support has recently emerged as one of the simplest, most versatile, and most environmentally benign techniques to fabricate membranes with selective top-layers [12]. Fig. 1 shows schematically the LbL process to create membranes with a polyelectrolyte multilayer (PEM) top layer. A key strength of the PEM membranes is their versatility as the layer properties, and thus the separation properties, can be controlled via the types of PEs used, the number of deposited layers and the pH and ionic strength during coating [13]. PEMs have already been used to make different kinds of membranes such as reverse osmosis [14, 15], ion selective [13, 16-19], nanofiltration [14, 20-26] and SRNF membranes [27-32].

Tetrahydrofuran (THF), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile (ACN), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) and dichloromethane (DCM) are some of the important industrial solvents that are still problematic for most of the currently available SRNF membranes [1]. These aprotic solvents demand an extremely high chemical stability from any membrane they come into contact with. Recently, PEM-based SRNF-membranes were reported to have promising and stable performance for some of these solvents [27-31]. Furthermore, PEM-based membranes typically possess a highly charged top layer allowing the possibility for Donnan based exclusion of similarly charged molecules [33]. However, all previous studies utilized at least one strong PE, making their systems

relatively insensitive to the pH at which the layers are formed. With such a combination of PEs, salt addition to the PE dipping solutions best controls the thickness and morphology of the adsorbed layer. With the addition of salt the polymer chains become more coiled due to the screening of the charges along the polyelectrolyte chain. Furthermore, the ionic strength can determine the structure of the formed polyelectrolyte complex. To describe this, Schlenoff et al., [34] defined two types of charge compensations in polyelectrolyte complexation, intrinsic and extrinsic. In the former, a polymeric charge is balanced by an opposite charge of the other polyelectrolyte, while in the later most the polymeric charge is balanced by a counter ion. Increasing the ionic strength shifts the equilibrium from intrinsic to extrinsic charge compensation which leads to thicker, more mobile and more swollen layers [34]. Thicker layers on a membrane lead to lower membrane permeabilities, whereas more open layers can increase the permeability [35]. However, the effectiveness of this parameter is often limited to a small range of salt concentrations because of either solubility problems or decomposition of the multilayer films when increasing the ionic strength [36, 37].

The use of two weak PEs (both the polycation and the polyanion) can provide the opportunity to use the pH during coating to allow a large degree of control over membrane performance [38]. Unlike strong PEs, which remains charged over the entire pH range, the degree of ionization of weak PEs depends greatly on the deposition solution pH. So the resulting charge density of PEs and the thickness of deposited PEMs can be affected by both the pH and the salt concentration of the PE solutions [39-42]. SRNF-membranes fabricated by the LbL deposition of weak PEs thus have an obvious advantage: the molecular structure inside of the PEM and the charge on the outside of the PEM can be controlled by an additional parameter, the pH. An added advantage of using weak PEs based membranes is that after fouling their cleaning can be made very easy using a sacrificial layer approach as already shown in chapter 2 [43, 44].

In this work, the first example of a PEM-based SRNF-membrane is presented prepared from weak PEs, a system where variation in pH can be used to tailor the membrane performance for specific solutes. More specifically, poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) based PEMs were used, as this system has already shown itself promising in traditional NF-applications [38, 43]. The pH of the coating solution was used as a parameter to control the layer thickness and the molecular organization of the multilayers, which in turn leads to different separation behavior for different solutes. PEMs were prepared under three pH combinations (PAH/PAA: 7.5/7.5, 7.5/3.5 and 3.5/3.5) and were characterized on model surfaces in order to understand the effect of coating pH on their resulting multilayer composition. The PEMs were then prepared on a hydrolyzed PAN support membrane under the same coating conditions and were characterized in terms of surface roughness, contact angle and IPA permeance. The performance of these multilayered membranes was characterized in terms of their ability to retain different organic

solutes of varying size and charge from IPA in order to understand the effect of coating pH on membrane performance. Finally, the multilayered membranes were tested for their stability towards aprotic solvents such as acetonitrile (ACN), dimethylformamide (DMF) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) for long periods of time (> 50 h).



Fig. 1. Overview of the LbL assembly of PEMs of PAH/PAA on a charged membrane support.

# 4.2 Experimental

# 4.2.1 Chemicals

Polyacrylonitrile (PAN, MW= 150,000 Da) was purchased from Scientific Polymer Products Inc., Ontario, New York. Isopropyl alcohol (IPA), acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF), N,Ndimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were obtained from VWR, Leuven, Belgium, and were used as solvents. Rose bengal (RB), acid fuchsin (AF), bromothymol blue (BTB), methylene blue (MB) and methyl orange (MO) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used as solutes (see Table 1 for more details). The PEs used in this study were poly(allyl amine) hydrochloride (PAH;  $M_w = 15,000$ g·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA;  $M_w = 15,000$  g·mol<sup>-1</sup>). Sodium nitrate (NaNO<sub>3</sub>) was used to adjust the ionic strength in all solutions. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were used to adjust the pH.

| Solute                 | Mol. weight<br>(Dalton) | Charge | Molar volume<br>(cm <sup>3</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Rose Bengal (RB)       | 1017                    | -2     | 273                                                   |
| Methyl Orange (MO)     | 327                     | -1     | 160                                                   |
| Bromothymol Blue (BTB) | 624                     | 0      | 281                                                   |
| Methylene Blue (MB)    | 320                     | +1     | 242                                                   |
| Acid Fuchsin (AF)      | 585                     | -2     | 247                                                   |

Table 1 Properties of solutes used in this study.



Fig. 2. Chemical structures of solutes used for rejection experiments.

PE solutions always contained 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> of polymer at various pH conditions and always with 50 mM of background electrolyte. Deionized water (Milli Q, 18.2 M $\Omega$ ·cm) was used to rinse the membranes and to prepare polyelectrolyte solutions. All solutions were used within eight days after preparation.

#### 4.2.2 Membrane support preparation and LbL coating

The charged PAN-H support was prepared using a procedure reported earlier [27], but a short summary is given here. 15 wt. % PAN in DMSO was cast on a polypropylene/polyethylene support (FO 2471, Viledon) and then immersed in deionized water. The PAN film was subsequently immersed in 10 wt. % NaOH at 50°C for 45 min for hydrolysis. The remaining NaOH was removed by copious rinsing with water. The PEM-based membranes were prepared by means of an automated dip-coater (HTML, Belgium). The dip-coater comprises four separate compartments, two for PE solutions and two for background electrolyte solutions for rinsing. PEs were dissolved in background electrolyte solutions at a concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>. To adsorb the PEs, the PAN-H support was first immersed in the solution of the cationic PE (PAH) for 15 min, followed by rinsing with background electrolyte of same ionic strength and pH as that of the PAH solution for 10 min, then immersed in the solution of the PAA solution. Three different pH combinations for PAH/PAA were used to coat the membranes and resulting membranes are [7.5/7.5], [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5]. For example, [7.5/3.5] represents membranes with multilayer films (PAH/PAA) alternately assembled with the PAH layers deposited at pH 7.5 and the PAA layers at pH 3.5.

prevents complex formation in bulk solution. The described procedure was repeated to deposit the desired number of bilayers. The final membranes were then stored in Milli Q water for further use.

# 4.2.3 Preparation of PEMs on glass slides and silicon wafers

For ellipsometry studies, the PEMs were assembled on silicon wafers using a similar procedure as for the membrane support. For MB adsorption studies, PEMs were assembled on glass slides. Before assembly, the silicon wafers and glass slides were pre-treated with piranha solution (3:1 mixture of 98% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; caution: piranha is a strong oxidizer and should not be stored in closed containers) for 2 h and rinsed in de-ionized water. Wafers and glass slides were used within 1 h after piranha treatment. PEMs were then deposited on wafers and glass slides [32, 45], and were dried with a nitrogen stream and stored at ambient conditions for characterization.

# 4.2.4 Characterization

#### Thickness of PEMs by ellipsometry

The dry thickness of PEMs deposited on polished silicon wafers under different pH conditions were measured using an ellipsometer (Woolam EC-400, M-2000V) at ambient conditions. All samples were dried at room temperature with a nitrogen stream prior to measurements.

# Methylene blue adsorption on PEMs

Methylene blue (MB) staining technique was used to gain information on the charge of the surface layer (terminal layer) of PEMs. PEMs were assembled on glass slides, dried with a nitrogen stream, and subsequently immersed in aqueous 10<sup>-3</sup> M, pH 7.0 MB aqueous solutions for 10 min. With an immersion time of 15 minutes or less the dye can only diffuse into the outer most layers, making the results independent of the layer thickness [39, 40]. After immersion in the dye solution, the multilayer films were soaked in demi-water for 1 min to remove unbound dye and dried with a mild air flow. UV/Vis spectroscopy was used to determine the amount of MB adsorption on multilayer films. Absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 600 nm.

# Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

FTIR spectra of membranes were acquired using a spectrometer (Varian 670-IR, Varian Inc., USA) in absorbance mode. The samples were dried at room temperature for 24 h prior to FTIR measurement to minimize the influence of water.

#### Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM (Philips XL FEG30) was used to study the surface structure and cross section of the membranes. Before use, the SEM samples were first gold coated.

## Atomic force microscopy (AFM)

The surface morphology and roughness of dry membranes were measured by AFM (Agilent 5500) operating in tapping mode at ambient conditions (relative humidity ~30%). Commercial AFM cantilevers (PPP-NCSTR AFM probes from NanoAndMore GmbH) made of Si with a nominal spring constant of 7.4 N·m<sup>-1</sup> and with a typical tip radius of less than 7 nm were used. AFM measurements were performed with scan areas of  $1 \times 1 \mu m^2$  at 3 different locations for each of the samples. The average roughness ( $R_a$ ) and the root-mean-square roughness ( $R_{rms}$ ), which is given by the standard deviation of the topography height measurement data (Z values), were calculated using Eq. 1:

$$R_{a} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |Zi - \bar{Z}| \quad ; \quad R_{rms} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |Zi - \bar{Z}|^{2}}$$
(1)

Where  $\overline{Z}$  is the average of the height values within the given area,  $Z_i$  is the current height, and N is the number of data points taken within the given area.

## Contact angle

Optical contact angle measurements of a DI water droplet on the membrane surface were performed using a Krüss goniometer (drop shape analysis system DSA 10 Mk2). For contact angle measurements, the membranes were dried overnight at room temperature. The contact angle of the sessile drop of 3  $\mu$ L of water on the membrane was measured three times for each membrane at 20°C in static mode, and the average value and standard deviation are reported. The contact angles were measured 5 s after the droplet was placed on the surface.

#### 4.2.5 Membrane performance

#### Filtration and rejection

Five dyes (Fig. 2) were selected for the rejection experiments. The dye molecular weight range was chosen to be between 319 to 1100 Dalton. The selection of dyes was made such that it covers neutral, positive, negative, but also small and large molecular sizes. Filtration of membranes with dye solutions was performed using a stainless steel dead-end high-throughput apparatus (HTML, Belgium) [45] with 8 filtration cells, all with a membrane surface area of 1.77 cm<sup>2</sup>. The filtration setup was pressurized with nitrogen to 25 bar. To minimize concentration polarization during filtration, the feed solution was constantly stirred at 500 rpm. Dye rejections were calculated based on the differences between permeate and concentrate concentrations. The retention values were calculated from concentrations of the permeate and of the original feed solutions according to Eq. 2:

$$R(\%) = 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

Where  $C_p$  and  $C_f$  are solute concentration in the permeate and the feed, respectively. The permeation was stopped when the retention reached a constant value. All measurements were based on at least three samples, and the average values were used. The standard deviation on the measurements was about 5%. All were used in a 35  $\mu$ M concentration, with most experiments performed in IPA.

#### Long term filtration studies

To further demonstrate the chemical stability of these weak PEM-membranes for different solvents and for longer time-scales, ACN, THF and DMF were selected for long-term filtrations. Table 2 gives the physico-chemical properties of these solvents. Membranes prepared with 5 bilayers [7.5/3.5] were subjected to filtration with RB in IPA, THF, ACN and DMF separately for more than 50 h.

| Solvent | Molar volume<br>(cm <sup>3</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ) | Viscosity<br>(cP) | Molar volume/viscosity<br>(cm <sup>3</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·cP <sup>-1</sup> ) | Dielectric<br>constant |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| IPA     | 76.92                                                 | 2.00              | 38.46                                                                             | 18.30                  |
| DMF     | 77.43                                                 | 0.82              | 94.43                                                                             | 36.70                  |
| ACN     | 52.86                                                 | 0.38              | 139.10                                                                            | 37.50                  |
| THF     | 81.08                                                 | 0.55              | 147.42                                                                            | 7.60                   |

Table 2 Physico-chemical properties of solvents used [46].

#### 4.3 Results and discussion

The results and discussion section is divided into three major parts. The first part deals with the characterization of PEMs by ellipsometry and MB absorption to study their structure and composition. In the second part, the characterization of the support and the prepared multilayered membranes is given. The third part reports on the SRNF-membrane performance, with membranes prepared under different pH conditions, being tested for their retention of different organic molecules.

### 4.3.1 Properties of PEMs

#### Thickness

The thickness of multilayer films of PAH/PAA deposited under different pH combinations (7.5/7.5, 7.5/3.5 and 3.5/3.5 for PAH/PAA) at a polymer concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> in a 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution was determined by ellipsometry. The used salt concentration was selected based on a previous

study where optimal growth of these PEMs was achieved at 50 mM [43]. The measured average thickness for 5 bilayer thick multilayers is reported in Table 3. Clearly, the thickness of the layers is influenced by the pH of the coating solution of the polycation and the polyanion. For weak polyelectrolyte pairs such as PAH/PAA, the thickness, composition and organization of the multilayers can be systematically tuned by varying the pH of each PE solution [39, 40, 47]. The pH controls the charge density of the weak PEs by controlling the degree of dissociation of the basic and acidic groups on the polymer chains. PAA with pKa of 6.5 and PAH with pKa 8-9 [47], are nearly fully charged (80-90% charged groups) at a pH condition of [7.5/7.5] and thus form thin layers upon deposition, requiring just a small amount of material to compensate for all charges from a previously deposited layer, most likely with a high degree of intrinsic charge (PAA at pH 3.5) and other is fully or nearly fully charged (PAH at pH 3.5 and 7.5 respectively). The partially charged polymer segments lead to a more coiled conformation and favor diffusion of counter ions of the employed salt into the multilayer, forming more swollen layers with more mobile chains leading to more extrinsic charge compensation of the polymeric charges.

**Table 3** Average thickness of PEMs with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at three pH combinations with 0.1 g· $l^{-1}$  polymer and 50 mM salt).

|                | pH [PAH/PAA] |           |           |  |
|----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| PEMs           | [7.5/7.5]    | [7.5/3.5] | [3.5/3.5] |  |
| Thickness (nm) | 10±1         | 83±1      | 61±2      |  |

#### Adsorption of the cationic dye methylene blue (MB)

A dye test was performed on PEMs on glass surfaces to determine the excess of free carboxylic acid groups available as binding sites (for positively charged solutes) on the surface of PEMs. Fig. 3 shows the results of the MB test performed with 5 (ending with PAA) and 5.5 (ending with PAH) bilayers of PEMs deposited on glass slides. A higher absorbance of MB indicates the presence of more free carboxylic acid groups. At pH condition [7.5/7.5] for PAH/PAA, both PEs have a high charge density (fully ionized) producing polycation-polyanion contact pairs and leaving only little free carboxylic acid groups for the adsorption of positive dye. Thus, regardless whether PAH (+) or PAA (-) is the last layer, few free functional sites will be left on the surface with most of the polyions bound with an oppositely charged group. As can be seen from these results, just a small difference in MB absorbance exists for PAH (+) or PAA (-) terminated layers, and these values are always low.

However, at the pH combinations [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5], an unbalanced ionization state (PAA only partly charged during deposition at pH 3.5) results in many free acidic groups. For [7.5/3.5], this result in a very high absorbance for the PAA (-) terminated layer, indicating a highly charged layer. Still for the PAH (+) terminated [7.5/3.5] layer, the absorbance drops strongly, which indicates just a small amount of free unbound acid groups. For [3.5/3.5], absorbance is always rather high. This is an indication that this layer has many extrinsically compensated ion pairs (free NH<sub>2</sub> and COOH groups).



Fig. 3. Methylene blue absorbance measured at 600 nm of 5 and 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA prepared at three different pH combinations with polymer concentration at 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mM salt.

The results so far show at the chosen pH conditions, three entirely different PEMs are created. For [7.5/7.5], a very thin layer with a high degree of intrinsic charge compensation is found. For [7.5/3.5], the thickest layer is created that is especially highly charged when terminated with PAA (-). Finally, for [3.5/3.5] a thick layer is obtained that always has many free acid groups available, likely an indication of very high extrinsic charge compensation and thus presence of free amine and acid groups.

# 4.3.2 Characterization of support and PEMs based membranes

#### ATR-FTIR

ATR-FTIR was used to confirm the hydrolysis of the PAN support. Fig. S1 presents the ATR-FTIR spectra of a PAN and a hydrolyzed PAN membrane. The peaks at 2243 and 1451 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to stretching vibrations of the CN moiety of the PAN membrane support [48]. For hydrolyzed PAN, most of

the CN groups convert to COO<sup>-</sup> groups, leading to a peak reduction at 2243 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the emergence of a new peak at approximately 1674 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to the C=O bond in the COO<sup>-</sup> groups. FTIR results thus confirm the hydrolyzation of PAN into a negatively charged membrane support.

#### SEM

Surface images of the PAN-H support and PEMs based SRNF membranes [7.5/7.5], [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] showed no significant difference in the surface morphology and are given in Fig. S2. A cross section of the PAN-H support shows a very open pore structure with fingerlike pores (Fig. S3), while the thickness of the support layer used is in the range of 150 um.

# Surface morphology and surface roughness

AFM images presenting the surface morphology of the membrane support and the multilayered membranes are displayed in Fig. 4. The surface structure seems to become smooth with the deposition of polyelectrolyte multilayers. These images thus suggest that the applied polyelectrolytes form an even layer on top of the support. In images b and c, some small cracks can be observed but as they are much shallower than the thickness of the PEM films these are not believed to be true defects.



**Fig. 4.** AFM images of (a) support; and multilayered SRNF membranes prepared with PAH/PAA pH of (b) [7.5/7.5] (c) [7.5/3.5] (d) [3.5/3.5] with polymer concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mM salt.

Average surface roughness values of the membranes on  $1 \times 1 \ \mu m^2$  scans in root mean square (R<sub>rms</sub>) and average roughness (R<sub>a</sub>) are presented in Table 4. When the charge density of both PAH and PAA is high (at pH 7.5/7.5), smooth layers are formed due to a flattened conformation of the polyelectrolytes. The layers formed seem to be somewhat more rougher when one of the polymers is only partially charged [40] as at pH 7./5/3.5 and 3.5/3.5, since these thicker layers will have more coiled polymers.

|                       |         | pH[PAH/PAA] |           |           |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Roughness             | Support | [7.5/7.5]   | [7.5/3.5] | [3.5/3.5] |
| R <sub>rms</sub> (nm) | 2.6±0.4 | 0.5±0.1     | 2.1±0.2   | 3.5±0.7   |
| R <sub>a</sub> (nm)   | 2.4±0.4 | 0.4±0.1     | 1.4±0.1   | 1.5±0.1   |

**Table 4** Calculated surface roughness of membranes with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA(prepared at three pH combinations with  $0.1 \text{ g} \cdot 1^{-1}$  polymer and 50 mM salt).

#### Contact angle with water

Determining the contact angle with water is a useful method to observe changes in the hydrophilicity of the membranes. For PAN and PAN-H, contact angles of  $47.0\pm1.0$  and  $15.0\pm2.0$  respectively, confirm successful hydrolyzation of the PAN support. Contact angle values measured for all three types of membranes with PAH (+) and PAA (-) terminated layers are given in Fig. 5. The coating of PEMs on the hydrophilic membrane support in all cases leads to higher contact angles. Little difference in the contact angle value with the type of terminating layer is observed for [7.5/7.5] membranes, while it is substantial for the [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] membranes. Here, the PAA (-) terminated membrane is more hydrophilic as compared to the PAH (+) terminated membrane, likely because of the large excess of carboxylic groups. The [7.5/7.5] prepared layer is relatively hydrophobic, as could be expected for a PEM layer with a high degree of intrinsic charge compensation (few free -NH<sub>2</sub> and -COOH groups). On the other hand, the contact angle is considerably lower for [3.5/3.5] and a very high degree of extrinsic charge compensation can be expected. It is good to mention that also roughness influences contact angle measurements, however as the determined rough nesses were found to be very low (table 4) this effect could be neglected.



**Fig. 5.** Sessile droplet water contact angle of 5 and 5.5 bilayer membranes [7.5/7.5, 7.5/3.5 and 3.5/3.5] prepared at a polymer concentration 0.1 g  $t^{-1}$  and 50 mM salt. In the 5 bilayer membranes the terminating layer is of PAA and in 5.5, PAH is the terminating layer.

# 4.3.3 SRNF performance of PEM based membranes

#### Pure solvent permeability

After coating the support with PEMs, the pure solvent permeability of the support and the prepared membranes was measured. The pure IPA permeance values were:  $127.0\pm7.0 \text{ l}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{bar}^{-1}$  for the PAN-H

support,  $7.0\pm0.5 \, l \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$  for [7.5/7.5] membranes,  $4.0\pm1.0 \, l \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$  for [7.5/3.5] membranes, and  $5.0\pm2.0 \, l \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$  for [3.5/3.5] membranes. The [7.5/7.5] membranes thus have a higher flux. However, when taking into account the thickness, the [7.5/7.5] membranes have much lower permeability as they are 8 times thinner (Table 3) than [7.5/3.5] and 6 times thinner than [3.5/3.5] membranes. It seems that not only is this layer quite thin, it is also quite dense, a typical behavior expected for PEM membranes with a high degree of intrinsic charge compensation [35].

#### Influence of solute size and charge

Five different dyes with double negative charges (RB, Mw 1017 Da and AF, Mw 558 Da), single negative charge (MO, Mw 327 Da), positive charge (MB, Mw 320 Da) and without charge (BTB, Mw 624 Da) were selected as solutes (Table 1). Filtrations were performed with membranes coated from 50 mM salt solutions and with different pH combinations for PAH/PAA [7.5/7.5, 7.5/3.5 and 3.5/3.5]. PAN-H support used to prepare PEMs based SRNF membranes has RB retention of 46% with a 2.2 1·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>·bar<sup>-1</sup> permeance. It is important to mention that the retention of organic dyes in SRNF is a complex mixture of factors including Donnan exclusion, size exclusion and the mutual affinities between the dye, the solvent and the membrane [1, 49-51]. Furthermore, the dye can potentially foul the SRNF-membrane, either by adsorption on top of the PEM layer, adsorption in the PEM layer or adsorption on the charged membrane support. Such fouling will lead to lower permeance but can substantially increase the initial rejection. This is the first investigation of the SRNF performance of multilayered membranes prepared from weak PEs and because of the mentioned complexity, focus is to show the easiness of the approach in combination with its versatility and on comparing the performance of the differently prepared PEM membranes, rather than determining the exact rejection mechanism for all membrane/dye combinations.

In Fig. 6, the filtration results of multilayered membranes with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA, prepared from polymer solutions with different pH combinations are given. The retention and permeance results are given for negatively charged solutes (RB and AF) in IPA. For all membranes, more than 90% retention for RB is observed but with a sharp drop in permeability (Fig. 6a). Possibly, a strong solute adsorption in/on the membranes takes place which then densifies (i.e. bivalent dyes can crosslink) the membranes [30]. However, the [7.5/7.5] membrane is more permeable than the others with similar rejection. We hypothesize that the lower amount of free -NH<sub>2</sub> and -COOH groups in this layer, also leaves less adsorption sites available for the dyes. Consequently, [3.5/3.5] has the lowest permeability as compared to pure IPA filtration as it has most free -COOH and -NH<sub>2</sub> groups. AF is a smaller molecule than RB and also carries two negative charges. Membranes show slightly less rejection for AF as compared to RB (Fig. 6b), probably because of the smaller size. Especially membrane [7.5/7.5] (Fig. 6b) shows good rejection while retaining a high operational permeability, in agreement with the RB results. It is surprising that the

highly negative layer of the [7.5/3.5] membrane adsorbs so many double negatively charged molecules. It is possible that the molecules do not adsorb at the top of the layer, where the excess of charge is localized, but rather deeper inside the layers.



**Fig. 6.** SRNF performance of membranes for large sized charged solutes by 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at three pH combinations with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt) (a) retention and permeance of RB from IPA solution; (b) retention and permeance of AF from IPA solution.

In Table 5, the comparison is given of the SRNF performance of membranes from the present work with previously reported PEM membranes prepared from two strong PEs or one strong and one weak PE [27, 31]. The data are given for 5 bilayer thick PEM membranes for RB retention from IPA. It shows that PEM membranes prepared from both weak PEs have a RB retention comparable to that of membranes prepared from both strong PEs or from one of the weak PEs. Present work even shows the highest observed retention for RB within this comparison.

| PEMs membrane                              | Permeance<br>(l·m <sup>-2</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ·bar <sup>-1</sup> ) | Retention<br>(%) | References   |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| (PAH/PAA, pH 7.5/7.5)5 50 mM               | 0.21±0.08                                                             | 95±4             | Present work |
| (PAH pH 7.5/PAA pH 3.5) <sub>5</sub> 50 mM | 0.05±0.09                                                             | 99±1             |              |
| (PDDA/PAA, pH 7/4)5 0 mM                   | 0.03                                                                  | 97±2             | [27]         |
| (PDDA/PAA, pH 7/4)5 100 mM                 | 0.06                                                                  | 92±5             |              |
| (SPEEK/PDADMAC)5 0 mM                      | 0.40                                                                  | 94               | [31]         |
| (SPEEK/PDADMAC) <sub>5</sub> 100 mM        | 0.08                                                                  | 98               |              |

Table 5 Comparison of SRNF performance of PEMs based membranes for RB in IPA.

To further explore the performance of these membranes, the retention of the relatively large neutral dye molecule BTB (Mw 624 Da) was studied. For BTB, membranes [7.5/7.5] show decent performance both in terms of permeance and rejection (See Fig. 7). Here, surprisingly, the membrane [3.5/3.5] also shows reasonable performance, but the overall retention of BTB by all these three membranes is lower than for the strongly charged AF and RB. Less dye adsorption would lead to less densified films and a lower retention.



Fig. 7. SRNF performance of membranes for BTB from IPA solution with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at three pH combinations with 0.1 g- $t^{-1}$  polymer and 50 mM salt).

Next, MO (with a single negative charge) and MB (with a single positive charge) retentions are given as examples of smaller sized dyes (Fig. 8a and b). For MO (-), the membrane [7.5/3.5] shows good rejection and high permeance. This would be expected for such a highly negatively charged layer, having Donnan exclusion as the main separation mechanism. The other less negatively charged layers show much lower retentions, while [3.5/3.5] again suffering from very low permeabilities. For MB (+), none of the membranes show a good combination of retention and permeance. This is expected as the membranes are all negatively charged. Especially for [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5], this leads to very low permeances, likely because of adsorption and densification of the layers. So by varying the pH of coating PEs, PEM membranes can be prepared with very different performances for different dyes in SRNF.

To see the role of the terminating layer charge on retention performance of membranes in terms of electrostatic repulsion, also filtrations were performed with membranes terminated with positive polyelectrolyte PAH (5.5 bilayers). RB and AF retentions for membranes with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA at different pH combinations with a terminating layer of PAH (+) are given in Table 6. For big dyes,

retentions do not significantly change with the type of terminating layer, except for RB and AF for [7.5/3.5], where it is slightly less than compared to PAA (-) terminated layers. Strong interactions of negatively charged solutes with the polycation from the membrane layers might densify the membranes [30] and make the membranes less positively charged, which in turn increases the retention and reduces permeance for these layers. The effect of the terminating layer charge is more clear in the retention of small solutes. MO (-) is more rejected by the membranes with PAA (-) terminating layer than by the PAH (+) terminating layers and vice versa for MB (+) retention with membranes prepared at pH 7.5/3.5 (Table 5). For membranes [3.5/3.5], retention of MO (-) is more for a PAH (+) terminating layer than for a PAA (-) terminating layer, but the permeance is also lower, indicating that the higher retention is the result of more adsorption and more densification.



Fig. 8. SRNF performance of membranes for small sized charged solutes with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at three pH combinations with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt) (a) retention and permeance of MO (-) from IPA solution; (b) retention and permeance of MB (+) from IPA solution.

| Retention (%)    |           |           | Permeance l·m <sup>-2</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ·bar <sup>-1</sup> |           |           |                 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| PEM<br>membranes | [7.5/7.5] | [7.5/3.5] | [3.5/3.5]                                                       | [7.5/7.5] | [7.5/3.5] | [3.5/3.5]       |
| RB               | 93±2      | 96±2      | 91±2                                                            | 0.22±0.12 | 0.07±0.01 | 0.01±0.00       |
| AF               | 86±4      | 86±8      | 96±2                                                            | 0.88±0.22 | 0.45±0.30 | $0.02 \pm 0.00$ |
| МО               | 23±1      | 31±4      | 60±8                                                            | 1.00±0.30 | 2.00±0.22 | 0.10±0.02       |
| MB               | 28±5      | 62±3      | 29±7                                                            | 0.30±0.10 | 0.20±0.05 | 0.10±0.02       |

**Table 6** SRNF performance of PAH (+) terminating multilayered membranes with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA (prepared at three pH combinations with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt).

For all dyes, [7.5/7.5] membranes show relatively high permeances coupled with relatively good rejections compared to the other membranes (Fig. 6, 7 and 8). The low degree of extrinsic charge compensation is expected to be responsible for this effect. For MO, membrane [7.5/3.5] gives the best performance, likely due to the high negative charge of this layer. Membrane [3.5/3.5] shows only decent performance for the neutral dye BTB. Ionic dyes thus seem to adsorb strongly to this layer, possibly because of a very high degree of extrinsic charge compensation. The main point however, is that by varying the pH, PEM membranes can be prepared with very different performances for different dyes in SRNF. For this system of multilayers, the pH can thus be used as a tuning parameter to optimize the performance for a specific application.

## 3.3.2 Influence of solvent type and long term stability

To evaluate the membrane performance over long time-scales, filtrations were performed with RB in different solvents such as THF, DMF and ACN. The membranes, coated with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA at pH [7.5/3.5] were used as they showed the highest RB retention of all tested membranes (Fig. 6a). The membranes exhibited very good performance in terms of RB retention (>90%) and permeance for all of these organic solvents (Fig. 9).



**Fig. 9.** SRNF properties of weak PEM membranes for RB in different organic solvents. Tested membranes are [7.5/3.5] with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA prepared with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt. (a) Short term (5 h) SRNF performance for polar aprotic solvents.

The maximum retention of RB is observed in IPA and DMF with a value of 99 and 95% respectively. The permeability was found to be in the following order of ACN>THF>DMF>IPA. This variation in permeation behavior for different solvents may be attributed to solvent-membrane interactions and the

physico-chemical properties of the solvents, like molar volume and viscosity. However, it is also important to consider the effect of interactions between membrane-solute, membrane-solvent, and solute-solvent [4]. A part of the viscosity effect we can see in (Fig. 9) where IPA, with the highest viscosity, shows the lowest permeance and ACN, with the lowest viscosity, is the highest. The permeability trend observed in the present study is similar to that observed in previously reported SRNF membranes prepared with 20 bilayers of PDDA/PAA [27].

To further evaluate the long term stability of these multilayered membranes, filtrations were performed for extended periods of time (about 50 h) with RB in different solvents (Fig. 10a, b and c) using the



Fig. 10. Long term SRNF performance of [7.5/3.5] membranes for (a) THF and (b) DMF and (c) ACN (tested membranes are with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA prepared with 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polymer and 50 mM salt).

membranes [7.5/3.5] prepared with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA. The membranes show very stable performance in terms of RB retention (>90%) and permeance as a function of time. In the case of DMF, the permeability decreased significantly in the first hour of filtration indicating strong interactions between

DMF and the membrane, or RB and the membrane. The membrane seems to take up RB over time, densify and become less permeable, while the rejection shoots up (Fig. 10b).

In our investigation we have focused on pH as a tuning parameter. We stress that the membranes could be further improved by including the ionic strength as a variable. For example, coating at low ionic strength where intrinsic charge compensation between the layers is high and layers are thin [35, 43] would lead to less free charges being available for complexation with the dye molecules, and could further reduce fouling of the PEM layers by the dye molecules.

# 4.4 Conclusions

This manuscript encompasses the first investigation into the use of weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for SRNF applications. PEM-based SRNF-membranes of the weak polyelectrolytes PAH and PAA were successfully prepared by the LbL method with varying the pH of the coating solutions to tune the charge density and structure of PEs, and thus further control the morphology and performance of the resulting membranes for specific dyes from organic media. Membranes [7.5/7.5] have high a permeance coupled with a relatively good retention performance for all the dyes because of a low degree of extrinsic charge compensation combined with a dense structure and a thin layer. Membranes prepared under pH conditions [7.5/3.5] and [3.5/3.5] have more free acid and amine groups and were found to be much more susceptible to dye adsorption, leading to a pronounced film densification, a low permeance, but in some case excellent dye retentions. Specifically for the negative dye methyl orange, a PAA terminated [7.5/3.5] membrane was found to have good performance in terms of retention and permeance, likely due to the high negative charge of that multilayer film. The resulting membranes also showed long term (more than 50 h) stable performance for organic solvents, including troublesome aprotic solvents of industrial importance like THF, DMF and ACN. The results of this study provide a versatile and simple way of using pH to tune performance of weak PEs based multilayerd SRNF-membranes for specific applications.

# Acknowledgments

S. Ilyas acknowledges the European Commission - Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA), for her PhD scholarship under the program: Erasmus Mundus Doctorate in Membrane Engineering – EUDIME (FPA N° 2011-0014, Edition III, <u>http://eudime.unical.it/</u>).

# References

[1] P. Vandezande, L.E.M. Gevers, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Solvent resistant nanofiltration: Separating on a molecular level, Chem. Soc. Rev., 37 (2008) 365-405.

[2] A.V. Volkov, D.F. Stamatialis, V.S. Khotimsky, V.V. Volkov, M. Wessling, N.A. Platé, New membrane material for SRNF applications, Desalination, 199 (2006) 251-252.

[3] P. Marchetti, M.F. Jimenez Solomon, G. Szekely, A.G. Livingston, Molecular Separation with Organic Solvent Nanofiltration: A Critical Review, Chemical Reviews, 114 (2014) 10735-10806.

[4] D. Bhanushali, D. Bhattacharyya, Advances in Solvent-Resistant Nanofiltration Membranes, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 984 (2003) 159-177.

[5] K. Hendrix, S. Vandoorne, G. Koeckelberghs, I.F.J. Vankelecom, SRNF membranes for edible oil purification: Introducing free amines in crosslinked PEEK to increase membrane hydrophilicity, Polymer (United Kingdom), 55 (2014) 1307-1316.

[6] S. Aerts, A. Buekenhoudt, H. Weyten, L.E.M. Gevers, I.F.J. Vankelecom, P.A. Jacobs, The use of solvent resistant nanofiltration in the recycling of the Co-Jacobsen catalyst in the hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR) of epoxides, Journal of Membrane Science, 280 (2006) 245-252.

[7] L. Peeva, J.d.S. Burgal, I. Valtcheva, A.G. Livingston, Continuous purification of active pharmaceutical ingredients using multistage organic solvent nanofiltration membrane cascade, Chemical Engineering Science, 116 (2014) 183-194.

[8] H.J. Zwijnenberg, S.M. Dutczak, M.E. Boerrigter, M.A. Hempenius, M.W.J. Luiten-Olieman, N.E. Benes, M. Wessling, D. Stamatialis, Important factors influencing molecular weight cut-off determination of membranes in organic solvents, Journal of Membrane Science, 390-391 (2012) 211-217.

[9] A.W. Mohammad, Y.H. Teow, W.L. Ang, Y.T. Chung, D.L. Oatley-Radcliffe, N. Hilal, Nanofiltration membranes review: Recent advances and future prospects, Desalination, 356 (2015) 226-254.

[10] S. Hermans, H. Mariën, C. Van Goethem, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Recent developments in thin film (nano)composite membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration, Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering, 8 (2015) 45-54.

[11] P. Silva, S. Han, A.G. Livingston, Solvent transport in organic solvent nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 262 (2005) 49-59.

[12] N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, R. Hoogenboom, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Layer-by-layer preparation of polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes for separation, Polymer Chemistry, 5 (2014) 1817-1831.

[13] L. Krasemann, B. Tieke, Selective Ion Transport across Self-Assembled Alternating Multilayers of Cationic and Anionic Polyelectrolytes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 16 (1999) 287-290. [14] W. Jin, A. Toutianoush, B. Tieke, Use of Polyelectrolyte Layer-by-Layer Assemblies as Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 2550-2553.

[15] J. Park, J. Park, S.H. Kim, J. Cho, J. Bang, Desalination membranes from pH-controlled and thermally-crosslinked layer-by-layer assembled multilayers, Journal of Materials Chemistry, 20 (2010) 2085.

[16] S.U. Hong, L. Ouyang, M.L. Bruening, Recovery of phosphate using multilayer polyelectrolyte nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 327 (2009) 2-5.

[17] G. Liu, D.M. Dotzauer, M.L. Bruening, Ion-Exchange Membranes Prepared Using Layer-by-Layer Polyelectrolyte Deposition, J Memb Sci, 354 (2010) 198-205.

[18] C. Magnenet, S. Lakard, C.C. Buron, B. Lakard, Functionalization of organic membranes by polyelectrolyte multilayer assemblies: application to the removal of copper ions from aqueous solutions, Journal of colloid and interface science, 376 (2012) 202-208.

[19] C. Magnenet, F.E. Jurin, S. Lakard, C.C. Buron, B. Lakard, Polyelectrolyte modification of ultrafiltration membrane for removal of copper ions, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 435 (2013) 170-177.

[20] B.W. Stanton, J.J. Harris, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films as Nanofiltration Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 7038-7042.

[21] M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Controlling the Nanofiltration Properties of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Variation of Film Composition, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 20 (2004) 11545-11551.

[22] R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, High-Flux Nanofiltration Membranes Prepared by Adsorption of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes on Polymeric Supports, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 10587-10592.

[23] X. Liu, M.L. Bruening, Size-Selective Transport of Uncharged Solutes through Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes, Chemistry of Materials, 16 (2003) 351-357.

[24] S.U. Hong, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Removal of Dyes, Sugars, and Amino Acids from NaCl Solutions Using Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Nanofiltration Membranes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 6284-6288.

[25] L. Ouyang, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Multilayer polyelectrolyte films as nanofiltration membranes for separating monovalent and divalent cations, Journal of Membrane Science, 310 (2008) 76-84. [26] L.Y. Ng, A.W. Mohammad, C.Y. Ng, A review on nanofiltration membrane fabrication and modification using polyelectrolytes: Effective ways to develop membrane selective barriers and rejection capability, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 197–198 (2013) 85-107.

[27] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, N. Joseph, B. Meesschaert, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Multilayered polyelectrolyte complex based solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes prepared from weak polyacids, Journal of Membrane Science, 394–395 (2012) 98-106.

[28] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, B. Meesschaert, W. Vanderlinden, S. De Feyter, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Influence of polyanion type and cationic counter ion on the SRNF performance of polyelectrolyte membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 403-404 (2012) 216-226.

[29] P. Ahmadiannamini, X. Li, W. Goyens, B. Meesschaert, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Multilayered PEC nanofiltration membranes based on SPEEK/PDDA for anion separation, Journal of Membrane Science, 360 (2010) 250-258.

[30] X. Li, S. De Feyter, D. Chen, S. Aldea, P. Vandezande, F.D. Prez, I.F.J. Vankelecom, Solventresistant nanofiltration membranes based on multilayered polyelectrolyte complexes, Chemistry of Materials, 20 (2008) 3876-3883.

[31] X. Li, W. Goyens, P. Ahmadiannamini, W. Vanderlinden, S. De Feyter, I. Vankelecom, Morphology and performance of solvent-resistant nanofiltration membranes based on multilayered polyelectrolytes: Study of preparation conditions, Journal of Membrane Science, 358 (2010) 150-157.

[32] N. Joseph, P. Ahmadiannamini, P.S. Jishna, A. Volodin, I.F.J. Vankelecom, 'Up-scaling' potential for polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 492 (2015) 271-280.

[33] L. Krasemann, B. Tieke, Selective Ion Transport across Self-Assembled Alternating Multilayers of Cationic and Anionic Polyelectrolytes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 16 (2000) 287-290.

[34] J.B. Schlenoff, H. Ly, M. Li, Charge and Mass Balance in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 120 (1998) 7626-7634.

[35] J. de Grooth, R. Oborný, J. Potreck, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, The role of ionic strength and oddeven effects on the properties of polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 475 (2015) 311-319.

[36] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Containing a Weak Polyacid: Construction and Deconstruction, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 3736-3740.

[37] D. Kovacevic, S. van der Burgh, A. de Keizer, M.A. Cohen Stuart, Kinetics of Formation and Dissolution of Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Role of Salt and Free Polyions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 18 (2002) 5607-5612.

[38] S.U. Hong, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Optimization of flux and selectivity in Cl-/SO42– separations with multilayer polyelectrolyte membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 283 (2006) 366-372.

[39] D. Yoo, S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, Controlling Bilayer Composition and Surface Wettability of Sequentially Adsorbed Multilayers of Weak Polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 31 (1998) 4309-4318.

[40] S.S. Shiratori, M.F. Rubner, pH-Dependent Thickness Behavior of Sequentially Adsorbed Layers of Weak polyelectrolytes, Macromolecules, 33 (2000) 4213-4219.

[41] V. Izumrudov, E. Kharlampieva, S.A. Sukhishvili, Salt-Induced Multilayer Growth: Correlation with Phase Separation in Solution, Macromolecules, 37 (2004) 8400-8406.

[42] V. Izumrudov, S.A. Sukhishvili, Ionization-Controlled Stability of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers in Salt Solutions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 5188-5191.

[43] S. Ilyas, J. de Grooth, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning, Journal of colloid and interface science, 446 (2015) 386-393.

[44] P. Ahmadiannamini, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings as an approach to membrane fouling control: Disassembly and regeneration mechanisms, Journal of Membrane Science, 491 (2015) 149-158.

[45] http://www.biw.kuleuven.be/cok/membrane2/.

[46] I.M. Smallwood, in: Handbook of Organic Solvent Properties, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1996, pp. 73-291.

[47] J. Choi, M.F. Rubner, Influence of the Degree of Ionization on Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Assembly, Macromolecules, 38 (2004) 116-124.

[48] G. Zhang, H. Meng, S. Ji, Hydrolysis differences of polyacrylonitrile support membrane and its influences on polyacrylonitrile-based membrane performance, Desalination, 242 (2009) 313-324.

[49] P. Marchetti, M.F. Jimenez Solomon, G. Szekely, A.G. Livingston, Molecular Separation with Organic Solvent Nanofiltration: A Critical Review, Chemical Reviews, (2014).

[50] D.F. Stamatialis, N. Stafie, K. Buadu, M. Hempenius, M. Wessling, Observations on the permeation performance of solvent resistant nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 279 (2006) 424-433.

[51] L.E.M. Gevers, G. Meyen, K. De Smet, P. Van De Velde, F. Du Prez, I.F.J. Vankelecom, P.A. Jacobs, Physico-chemical interpretation of the SRNF transport mechanism for solutes through dense silicone membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 274 (2006) 173-182.

# **Appendix Chapter 4**



Fig. S1: ATR-FTIR spectra of PAN and hydrolyzed PAN membrane support.



**Fig S2:** SEM Surface images of (a) PAN-H support; and multilayered SRNF membranes prepared with PAH/PAA pH of (b) [7.5/7.5] (c) [7.5/3.5] (d) [3.5/3.5] with polymer concentration of 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> and 50 mM salt.


Fig. S3: Cross section images of PAN-H support at two different magnifications observed by SEM.

# Chapter 5

## Dynamic layer by (LbL) assembly of multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers for membranes

This chapter is prepared as:

Preparation of multifunctional hollow fiber NF membranes by dynamic LbL assembly of weak polyelectrolyte multilayers, authored by Shazia Ilyas, Renee English, Pierre Aimar, Jean Francois Lahitte and Wiebe M. de Vos.

#### Abstract

In this work, we investigate the effect of preparation conditions for dynamic layer by layer (LbL) assembly to prepare multifunctional hollow fiber nanofiltration (NF) membranes. Dynamic coating was performed at constant pressure and variable cross flow speeds to deposit multilayers of the weak polyelectrolytes poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) on a negatively charged polyethersulfone ultrafiltration (UF) support. The resulting membrane performance was investigated and compared to membranes prepared by different methods (dip coating and dead end filtrations), and it was found to be comparable. It was shown that PAH/PAA multilayers can be fabricated reproducibly and homogenously using optimised dynamic LbL deposition conditions on single fibre module (surface area of  $6.2 \text{ cm}^2$ ) and on a module of 15 fibres (surface area of  $67 \text{ cm}^2$ ). Moreover the approach of dynamic coating could be easily upscaled to coat existing UF modules. The resulting membrane rejects the solutes on the basis of size exclusion and Donnan exclusion, while retentions of divalent ions and organic solutes (retentions of 50-70%) are comparable to membranes prepared via dipcoating. Membranes terminated with PAA exhibit a lower fouling tendency than membranes terminated with PAH and than the UF support membrane, demonstrating a low fouling potential. Moreover, if severe membrane fouling would occurs after prolonged use, the PEM coating, including any attached foulants can be removed by rinsing with a solution that combines a low pH and a high salinity (pH 3, 3 M). The surface of bovine serum albumin (BSA) fouled membranes were successfully regenerated at least twice, after which a new PEM coating could be applied by active coating.

#### 5.1 Introduction

Growing water crises around the globe and more stringent environmental regulations are increasing the interest of the water industry to consider new, more energy efficient, treatment processes. During the last two decades, membrane separation processes in general and NF in particular have attracted enormous attention as an environmental friendly separation technology for water and wastewater treatment and for re-use applications [1]. NF is a pressure driven membrane separation process with properties falling between reverse osmosis (RO) and ultrafiltration (UF), allowing the effective removal of inorganic and organic pollutants at much lower pressures than those required for RO. NF membranes are proposed to be an alternative to RO membranes, because of their lower operating pressures, higher fluxes, and high retention of multivalent salt ions, and their low operation and maintenance costs [2].

However, a major challenge for all membrane processes, including NF, is the loss of membrane productivity over time, due to the accumulation of particles and larger molecules at the membrane interface in the form of a fouling layer. Fouling can lead to lower fluxes and therefore higher operating costs, while frequent physical and chemical cleaning is costly and might shorten the membrane life-time [3, 4]. Fouling is affected by many parameters, including the membrane surface chemistry, feed composition, operational parameters, and hydrodynamic conditions [4-6]. To deal with membrane fouling, new cleaning methods and anti-fouling materials have become the focus of research and development within the water industry [7]. In a typical process, fouling is controlled using intermittent backwashes or backflushes, which is only effective for loosely attached foulants on the membrane surface [8, 9]. Organic impurities in the water, such as proteins, humics, and polysaccharides, can behave as strong, irreversible foulants [10]. For these foulants, which are more strongly adsorbed to the surface or lodged in the membrane pores, chemical cleaning is required. Chemical cleaning is expensive and generally consists of harsh treatments with acids, alkalis, chlorine etc. [5, 8]. Even under these cleaning conditions, complete recovery of membrane performance is not guaranteed. Additionally, there exists a high risk of damage to the membrane due to the frequency and severity of the cleaning. Other strategies to control membrane fouling are mainly focused on surface modification of the membrane to weaken the interactive forces between foulants and membrane, thus inhibiting foulant adsorption. This can be achieved by altering the membrane surface charge, increasing the hydrophilicity or decreasing the surface roughness [11-13].

In the past decade, LbL adsorption of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (PEs) in the form of polyelectrolyte multilayer (PEM's) onto a charged and porous support has proven to be a promising method for the surface modification of membranes for antifouling purposes [11, 12, 14-18], as well as for the preparation of a separation (skin) layer for NF membranes [19]. Similar to RO membranes, the majority of the NF membranes are asymmetric thin film composite (TFC) membranes, with a thin active

skin layer deposited on a thick and highly permeable support. The composition of the active layer determines the separation characteristics of the membrane, while the support provides mechanical strength. Most of the previous studies on PEM based NF membranes, utilized flat sheet membrane supports as reported for example by Bruening et al. [20-25] and Tieke et al. [26, 27]. However, the hollow fiber configuration would offer a higher productivity per unit volume due to the high packing density [28, 29]. Furthermore, the hollow fiber geometry also offers the possibility of backwashing (with or without chemicals) at higher pressures for enhanced foulant removal. To our knowledge only one commercial hollow fiber NF product with the trade name HFW 1000 and a molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) of 1000 Da is available in market. So, it would be very beneficial to develop hollow fibre NF membranes at a lower MWCO, and for that the surface modification of a UF support using LbL assembly of PEMs is a very promising method. This approach was shown to successfully produce hollow fiber NF membranes with precise control over separation performance [30-34]. In these studies LbL deposition is performed by dip coating (static coating) by immersing the hollow fibers into the solutions of PEs followed by intermittent rinsing steps. An alternative is the use of dynamic coating or active coating, which involves running the PE solution through the lumen of the hollow fibers while controlling the pressure [35-39] or the flux [40]. This dynamic approach can give fast and controlled convective transport of PEs towards the membrane. Recently Menne et al. [40] studied dynamic coating of the strong PEs poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMAC) and poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS) in a dead end mode. He found that especially the constant flux approach was an effective way to coat the hollow fiber UF membrane support. Coating in dead end mode quickly increases the filtration resistance due to accumulation of material, while the cross flow mode where liquid stream over the surface of membrane limits the accumulation of PEs, and thus provides more precise control over layer deposition. Apart from the mode of coating, PEs with different sizes, charge densities degrees of swelling and diffusion velocities may have completely different deposition speeds on the membrane. Now depending upon the used PEs, these multilayers can be erasable by some external stimuli such as by changing pH [41-43] or by increasing ionic strength [44, 45]. For weak PE system such as PAH/PAA where charge density is not fixed and depends on solution pH, a combination of both of these stimuli (low pH and high ionic strength) can add extra trigger for complete disintegration of PEM along the foulant (ludox particles), as shown previously [34]. This sacrificial approach to cleaning is highly promising as a new approach to help alleviate fouling, but it could only really be used in practice if the coating and recoating steps are both simple, and can be applied on module level. In the current work we investigate exactly this, we study the application of PEM based NF separation layers by dynamic coating, to demonstrate that a simple method is available to coat and recoat membranes, while imbuing it with NF separation properties and a low fouling propensity. This weak polyelectrolyte system of PAH/PAA, holds great promise for membrane applications and this work intends to help to bring this system to maturity.

In this study we have developed hollow fiber NF membranes with sacrificial layers (PAH/PAA) employing dynamic (cross flow and dead end) coating. The effects of coating parameters (deposition time, applied pressure, coating mode and cross flow speed) on membranes in terms of permeability and NF performance have been investigated in detail and compared with those prepared by a static (dip coating) LbL process. Moreover, the applied dynamic coating in cross flow mode is also shown to be applicable for upscaling, to allow coating of longer modules for industrial applications. Fouling studies of PEMs and their cleanability by releasing the PEM coating (the sacrificial layer effect) were also studied, first on model surfaces, and subsequently on membranes.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

UF hollow fiber membranes with a sulfonated poly(ether sulfone) separation layer were kindly supplied by Pentair X-Flow (The Netherlands). These membranes with a nominal molecular weight cut-off of 10,000 Da are intended for colloidal silica removal from water. The polyelectrolytes used were poly(allylamine) hydrochloride (PAH, M<sub>W</sub> 17,500 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, M<sub>W</sub> 15,000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>). The pH of the polyelectrolyte solutions was adjusted with 1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) or 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Humic acid (HA) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were used as model foulants to simulate hydrophilic natural organic matter and the presence of proteins respectively. HA sodium salt was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (The Netherlands) with a reported molecular weight greater than 50,000 Da and a pH of 5.2 when dissolved in deionized water. Bovine serum albumin (cold alcohol precipitated BSA) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) with a well-defined molecular weight of 67,000 Da and an isoelectric point of 4.8. At a 1 g $\cdot$ I<sup>-1</sup> concentration, at the used pH of 7.3 the protein therefore has a net negative charge. It should be noted that the size of both model foulants was larger than the pore size of the PEM membranes. The salts NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and organic compounds Bezafibrate and Naproxen, were used for NF performance tests and were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (The Netherlands). Deionised (DI) water used in all experiments was purified by a commercial water system (Synergy Water Purification System, Millipore) to a water resistivity of 18.2  $M\Omega$  cm or greater. All reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

#### 2.2. Membrane modification using dynamic LbL assembly

For membrane coating, PE solutions were prepared with a polymer concentration of  $0.1 \text{ g} \cdot l^{-1}$  using a background electrolyte of 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> at pH 6 for both PEs based on the results of our previous study [34]. Single membrane fibers were potted in 300 mm long modules with a total surface area of 6.2 cm<sup>2</sup>.

Prior to coating, the membrane modules were wetted with 15 wt. % ethanol for 8 hours to remove any impurities and then immersed in deionized water overnight. SEM images of the structure of membrane support used in this work were taken by JOEL JSM-6010LA and are given in Fig. S1. The coating was performed using a homemade fully automated crossflow system (schematic shown in Fig. S2) under different cross flow speeds (0.5, 2, 4 and 6 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) and pressures (0. 1, 0.5 and 1 bar). The LbL deposition of PEs was performed from lumen to shell, and for that the membrane module was fed with a 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polycation (PAH) solution. The module was then flushed with background electrolyte solution to remove excess PE, after which the switch was made to a 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> polyanion (PAA) solution. By repeating these steps the membrane was coated the desired number of PAH/PAA bi-layers. The coated membranes were stored in DI water until used.

Dead end coating was performed using a constant pressure setup (Amicon cell) with the feed side of the system pressurized at 1 bar  $N_2$  gas as illustrated in Fig. S2. Similar to cross-flow coating, dynamic LbL coating in dead end mode includes the following preparation procedure: First, the membrane module was flushed with background electrolyte solution at 1 bar for 15 min. Then, the feed was changed to PAH solution and membrane module was flushed at 1 and 0.5 bar for 15 min followed by flushing with background electrolyte solution for 15 min as intermediate rinsing step. Again, the feed was changed to PAA solution and module was flushed for 15 min followed by a rinsing step with background electrolyte solution. Thus one bilayer of self-assembly was completed. Additional bilayers were prepared by the same procedure until the target number of bilayers was produced. During the whole preparation procedure, the permeability of the DI water or the polymer solution through the UF support or the LbL membrane was determined simultaneously. To check the effectiveness of dynamic coating at a much larger scale, the same cross flow modification procedure mentioned before was carried out for modules with an effective length of approximately 200 mm housing 15 fibers in one module (surface area of 67 cm<sup>2</sup>).

#### 2.3. TOC analysis

A total organic carbon (TOC) analyzer (TOC- $V_{CSN}$ , SHIMADZU) was used to determine the TOC values of PAH and PAA feed solution and UF permeate in the first filtration run.

#### 2.4. Filtration and NF performance tests

The pure water permeability of membranes was measured for each coating step. The water permeability (in  $1 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$ ) of membrane was obtained by normalizing the pure water flux measured at 20 °C by the trans membrane pressure. The pure water flux was measured using DI water in dead end mode at a trans-membrane pressure of 1 bar. The membrane permeability was then calculated using Eq. 1:

$$P(l.m^{-2}.h^{-1}.bar^{-1}) = \frac{V}{A \cdot \Delta t \cdot \Delta P}$$
(Eq.1)

Where V is the volume (l), A is the membrane area (m<sup>-2</sup>), t is the time (s),  $\Delta P$  is the trans-membrane pressure (bar). The relative permeability was obtained by dividing the final permeability at a specific time with the initial value and is reported as a percentage. Each measurement was performed in duplicates and repeated only if the deviation was significant.

Retention experiments were performed using a laboratory scale crossflow filtration unit (Fig. S3) in batch mode with recirculation of the retentate in the feed tank. The inorganic salts used for salt retention measurements were NaCl, MgSO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Salt retention of the coated hollow fibers was determined by cross flow filtration at 1 bar applied pressure with a crossflow velocity of 4.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup> (Reynolds number of approximately 3600). Concentration changes of the salts in the permeate and concentrate were monitored using conductivity measurements (WTW cond 3210 conductivity meter).

The retention values of solutes were calculated using Eq. 2:

$$R(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}\right) \times 100 \tag{Eq.2}$$

Where  $c_p$  and  $c_f$  represent the concentration of the solute in the permeate and feed at the end of the experiment respectively. The experiment was stopped when the retention reached a constant value and the average of a minimum of three measurements was used.

The membrane performance was also investigated by performing retention experiments on the small organic pollutants Bezafibrate Mw = 361.8 Da and Naproxen Mw = 230.26 Da both with a negative charge. Dionex Ultimate 3000 U-HPLC system equipped with an RS variable wavelength detector was used to determine the concentration of micro-pollutants in the concentrate and permeate. Micro-pollutant separation was done on an Acclaim RSLC C18 2.2  $\mu$ m column (Thermo Scientific) at 45 °C, while applying a gradient flow from 95 wt. % H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 wt. % acetonitrile at pH 2 to 5 wt. % H<sub>2</sub>O + 95 wt. % acetonitrile at 1 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.5. Fouling and sacrificial studies

#### 2.5.1. Reflectometry

Adsorption and desorption of multilayers and model foulants (BSA and HA) was monitored on silicon wafers using optical reflectometry, a proven technique for studying adsorption onto substrates [46]. The

fixed-angle optical reflectometry set-up consists of a He-Ne laser ( $\lambda = 632.8$  nm) with linearly polarized light. During adsorption or desorption, the resulting change in polarization is measured by detecting the parallel ( $R_p$ ) and perpendicular ( $R_s$ ) components of the polarized light. The ratio of the two components is the signal,  $S_0$  (-) and the change is the ratio,  $\Delta S$  (-), is directly proportional to the amount of mass adsorbed on the substrate (Eq. 3).

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{mg.}\,m^{-2}) = Q\left(\frac{\Delta S}{S_0}\right) \tag{Eq. 3}$$

Where  $\Gamma$  is the amount of mass adsorbed on the substrate (mg·m<sup>-2</sup>) and Q is the sensitivity factor (mg·m<sup>-2</sup>) that depends on the Brewster angle ( $\theta$ ), refractive indices ( $\eta$ ), thickness of the layers (*d*) and the refractive index increment (*dn/dc*) of the adsorbate. The values used to calculate Q factor are refractive index of silicon wafer n<sub>Si</sub> = 3.85, refractive index of silica layer n<sub>SiO2</sub> = 1.46, thickness of the silica layer d<sub>SiO2</sub> = 120 nm, refractive index of solutions n<sub>s</sub> = 1.33, dn/dc = 0.185 ml·g<sup>-1</sup> [41]. The corresponding Q factor was calculated to be 45 mg·m<sup>-2</sup> for all experiments. Prior to adsorption tests, the surface of the treated silicon wafer was cleaned using O<sub>2</sub> plasma cleaner with 50% power (Femto Diener, Germany).

#### 2.5.2. Membrane fouling and cleaning

Fouling and cleaning experiments on membranes were performed in cross-flow mode by applying the minimum cross flow speed (0.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup>). These tests included three main steps. The coated and uncoated membranes were first fed with DI water until a stable flux was achieved. The feed was then changed to an aqueous solution containing the model foulant at the appropriate concentration (1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> BSA, pH 7). The fouling experiments were performed for 2 h at a transmembrane pressure of 2 bar, and over the time the change in flux was monitored. Similar experiments were performed for HA fouling (1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> HA, pH 5.8). From the obtained flux membrane resistance was calculated using Eq. 4:

$$R(m^{-1}) = \frac{\Delta P}{\mu \cdot J} \tag{Eq.4}$$

Here, R is the membrane resistance (m<sup>-1</sup>),  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity of the feed (Pa·s) and J is the membrane flux (l·m<sup>-2</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>). Following the fouling experiments, membrane cleaning and surface regeneration was conducted to determine the success of the sacrificial layer concept. The fouled membranes were washed in crossflow mode with a 3 M NaNO<sub>3</sub> solution at pH 3 for specified time. The membranes were subsequently cleaned in black flush mode at 1 bar using the same trigger solution. After each cleaning, the membrane was rinsed with DI water and membrane resistance was measured. The extent of cleaning was characterized through comparison of the resistance before and after the cleaning.

Following the cleaning steps, the PEM coating was regenerated with fresh PE solutions as outlined in section 2.2. In order to evaluate the success of the cleaning and regeneration, the membrane resistance to pure water at each stage is compared.

#### 3. **Results and discussions**

The results and discussion section is divided into two main parts. In the first part we report on the preparation of hollow fiber PEM NF membranes using dynamic coating under different modes and dynamic coating conditions. The second part deals with the fouling and sacrificial cleaning studies of PEM's first on model surfaces and subsequently on dynamically coated hollow fiber NF membranes.

#### **3.1.** Membrane coating and performance

#### 3.1.1 Effect of cross flow speed, filtration time and pressure on coating

In order to optimise the deposition of PEMs on membranes by active coating, several parameters were investigated such as adsorption time, applied pressure and cross flow speed. Typically, the adsorption time for PEs is in the order of a few seconds to a few minutes to reach a stable plateau in stagnation point flow [47]. However, this applies to a non-porous model substrate under very well defined flow conditions. Prior to coating the multilayers onto the porous UF support via dynamic assembly, the effect of filtration time and coating speed on the deposition of the first layer of PE was investigated by feeding an uncoated UF support with a PAH (+) solution at constant cross flow speed and a constant pressure of 1 bar. The same experiment was carried out at different crossflow velocities ranging from 0.5 to 6 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Fig. 1(a) shows the profiles of the membrane permeability as a function of filtration time. The results are presented in terms of permeability of PE solution relative to the initial pure water permeability. It can be observed that initially, the flux of the UF support decreased rapidly for low cross flow speeds (0.5 and 2.0 m $\cdot$ s<sup>-1</sup>), while remaining quite high for the higher cross-flow velocities (4.0 and 6.0 m  $\cdot$ s<sup>-1</sup>). The onset of a stable flux is approximately within initial 10-12 min of filtration except for very low cross flow (0.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) condition, where it takes longer (around 60 min) for the flux to stabilize. Just as a high cross flow velocity limits the fouling in membranes, a high cross flow applied during coating allows less material to deposit on the membrane resulting in thinner and more permeable layers

Since the size of PAH is approximately 50% larger than the MWCO of the virgin membrane and the PEs system is fully dissociated at pH 6, the rate of deposition is therefore expected to be primarily controlled by electrostatic interactions with just the top of the membrane support. To verify if PE chains are 100% retained by the membrane pores under pressure coating, the TOC values of the PE during the first coating step for the permeate and the feed were determined. TOC values of feed and the permeate were 47.22 mg.l<sup>-1</sup> and 1.03 mg.l<sup>-1</sup> respectively, which suggests that 97% of PE was retained by the membrane during deposition of the first bilayer and only 3% PE molecules may pass across the pores of the support

membrane, confirming that most of the PE material is deposited inside or on top of the pores during coating.



**Fig. 1: (a)** Time dependent variation of permeability with reference to initial water permeability during coating of the first PAH layer under different cross flow speeds; **(b)** Effect of coating pressure and coating steps on membrane permeability. Measurements performed in triplicates, coating solutions contained PE conc. 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> with 50 mM salt and pH 6. Permeability of uncoated support was 60-70 l.m<sup>-2</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>.bar<sup>-1</sup>.

We then evaluated the impact of pressure on the membranes coated at a constant crossflow velocity. Fig. 1(b) shows the relative permeability as a function of the number of bi-bilayers for membranes coated for 15 min at varying pressures but at a constant crossflow velocity of  $0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . At constant cross-flow velocity, an increase in the pressure from 0.5 bar to 1 bar resulted in a decrease in permeability. At a higher pressure more polymers are transported to the membrane surface and are retained there, leading to a thicker less permeable layer. Unexpectedly, however, an increase from 1 bar to 2 bar resulted in an increase in the permeability of the membrane. Possibly the higher flux and the resulting higher shear leads to thinner layers at the membrane interface. On the basis of these experiments the condition of 1 bar was chosen as the optimum based on the drop in permeability observed. This will be used in the next sections.

Since preparation conditions (cross flow speed and pressure) greatly influence the efficiency of the formed membranes, the performance of these modified membranes was followed in greater detail using relative permeability measurements. Furthermore, preparation under cross-flow was compared to preparation under dead-end conditions and under static conditions (dip coating). As shown in Fig. 2(a), the permeability decreases as the number of bilayers increase. The reduction in permeability with each bilayer confirms that the layers are indeed being deposited, while small error bars confirm that all approaches give very reproducible results. This trend was observed for all investigated dynamic modes. As a basis for comparison, statically coated fibres were also made via dip coating in 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> PE solutions with an adsorption time of 15 minutes per layer. When comparing dynamic and static LbL coating methods, thinner layers are expected for the former due to the fact that the driving force (pressure) present in dynamic coating results in deeper penetration of the PEs into the pores of the support membrane. However as we are also applying cross flow through the fibres so layers formed could be different. As can be seen in Fig. 2 (a) the membranes prepared by dip-coating LbL assembly show sharper decrease in permeability followed by a plateau after 3 bilayers. Between 2 to 3 bilayers a 40% decrease in permeability of dip coated membranes occurs with the permeability being approximately 20% lower than dynamic modes. Therefore, dip coated membranes also show an overall lower permeability (higher resistance) than dynamically coated membranes. Moreover after a certain number of bilayers dead end coating does not differ much from cross flow coating, possibly also due to the low cross flow speed and low polymer concentrations used in this investigation.



**Fig. 2:** Comparison of different modes of coatings on membrane performance; (a) Relative permeability change with increasing bilayers coated under different coating techniques; (b) Effect of coating steps on membrane performance in terms of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> rejection.

Recently Menne et al. [40] demonstrated that thicker layers are produced from dynamic coatings (constant flux coating in dead end) relative to dip coating. However they used a different support, a combination of

strong PEs (PDADMAC/PSS) and higher concentration of PEs (1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>). Nevertheless, one clear similarity between the finding of this research and those of Menne et al., is the fact that the quantity of polyelectrolytes, and by extension membrane permeability, is a function of the amount of polyelectrolyte that is transported to the substrate surface via an external driving force. This conclusion could also be drawn from the results of Fig. 1, where at higher cross flow less material is deposited. Since  $0.5 \text{ m·s}^{-1}$  proved to have the lowest permeability (better deposition of PEs) of the dynamic techniques used, this cross flow velocity was used for subsequent experiments. In order to test the separation capability of the membranes created using  $0.5 \text{ m·s}^{-1}$  cross flow speed and 1 bar pressure, salt rejection experiments were conducted using Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The effect of layer numbers on salt retention was then investigated. All tested membranes are terminated with PAA (-) layer. The pristine (uncoated) membrane showed a 20-22% retention of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, with increase in rejection performance reaching 60%. The rejection of solutes seems to be mainly based on size exclusion and donnan exclusion, something that will be discussed in more detail later.

#### 3.1.2. Homogeneity of LbL coating

While the UF support used in this study possesses its own pore size distribution which could lead to different permeation rates at different points on the membrane, the membrane is assumed to be homogenous at the experimental scale. In order to check the homogeneity of the coating, a longer (40 cm long) membrane module was taken and divided into three segments of 10 cm active length and the permeability and retention of segmented sections were compared. A schematic of the segmented fibre is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3: Schematic of segmented module used for homogeneity test of membrane coating.

The segmented module was coated dynamically under 1 bar pressure, while applying  $0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  cross flow through the fiber. Fig. 4(a) shows the relative pure water permeability of each segment with a 2% deviation indicating that the sections are coated evenly. This is important to consider for longer modules where pressure drop inside the fiber can limit the proper coating, but that is not the case under the coating

conditions used here. As shown in Fig. 4(b) the retention of  $Na_2SO_4$  in each segment was comparable and no significant deviation was found. The dynamic coating process may therefore be applied to industrial systems and a uniform performance is expected from the entire module.



**Fig. 4:** Homogeneity test of dynamic coating (a) Relative permeability of each 10 cm segments; (b) Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> retention of each 10 cm segment, measured membrane coated with 7 bilayers of PAH/PAA (coating is performed with 0.1 g.  $l^{-1}$  PE containing 50 mM salt at pH 6, under 1 bar pressure and 0.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup> cross flow speed).

#### 3.1.3. Membrane performance as NF

To check if the created membranes were indeed within the NF performance regime, the retention of different salt ions (NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and small organic molecules (Bezafibrate and Naproxen) was investigated. Membranes coated with 7 bilayers of PAH/PAA terminating with the PAA (-) layer with 0.5 m.s<sup>-1</sup> cross flow and 1 bar pressure were used for NF performance test. As can be seen from Fig. 5, the prepared membranes are capable of rejecting the divalent anion Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (60%) and organic solutes (55-70%), while monovalent ions (NaCl) and divalent cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) are hardly rejected. It is noted that the salt rejection sequence of the PEMs membranes shows the typical performance behavior of a negatively charged NF membrane. Still the high retentions of Bezafibrate and Naproxen must have to do with their size, as they have just a single charge. Based on the results, it is expected that the rejection mechanism is governed mainly by size and charge exclusion although other separation mechanisms also can play a role.



**Fig. 5:** NF membrane performance in terms of retention of mono and divalent salts and organic molecules. The tested membranes were coated with 7 bilayers of PAH/PAA at  $0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  and 1 bar. Permeability of tested membrane was  $6.52\pm0.5 \text{ l} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-1}$ .

#### 3.1.4. Upscaling of cross flow dynamic coating approach

After optimizing the dynamic coating conditions on a single fiber module, we checked the upscaling potential of this dynamic coating approach to coat modules with an effective length of approximately 200 mm housing 15 fibers in one module yielding a total effective area of 67 cm<sup>2</sup>. Coating was performed at constant pressure of 1 bar under two cross flow speeds (0.5 and 2 m·s<sup>-1</sup>). As shown in Fig. 6 with every coating step a drop in permeability was observed and similar to single fiber module coating the drop is higher for modules coated at low cross flow speed due to accumulation of material. However salt rejection stays constant with coating steps indicating that most of pores are covered with dense thin layers after first bilayer when coating is in pore dominating regime that is why further addition of layer doesn't improve selectivity to greater extent. Membranes coated with PEM's can be either in the pore-dominated regime when layers are thin or layer-dominated regime when the layers are thicker [32]. Moreover dynamic coating approach is equally suitable for coating large modules so could be useful for coating existing UF modules in industrial applications.



Fig. 6: (a) Variations of pure water permeability relative to initial permeability and (b) Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> retention of PAH/PAA coated membranes with the number of coated layers.

#### 3.2. Fouling and cleaning studies

In the second part of this study we test the fouling propensity of PAH/PAA multilayer system and their potential as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning. Fouling and cleaning studies were performed first on model surfaces (silicon wafer) in order to optimize adsorption and release conditions, and were later replicated on LbL coated membranes.

#### 3.2.1. Reflectometry

Adsorption, fouling and sacrificial cleaning of PEMs was monitored on silicon wafers using optical fixed angle reflectometry. The adsorption measurements on silicon wafers were started by exposing the surface to a PE solution of PAH (denoted with +, 0.1 g·1<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>), then switching to a PAA (denoted with -, 0.1 g·1<sup>-1</sup>, pH 6, 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) solution. Between subsequent adsorption steps, the substrate was rinsed with the background electrolyte solution (50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>) to remove excess PE and avoid the formation of bulk complexes. Continued switching between PAH and PAA exposure leads to a stepwise building of the multilayers. Subsequently, a foulant solution was applied on top of differently terminated multilayers (PAH or PAA as the final layer). To simulate fouling two model foulants were used in this study bovine serum albumin (BSA, 0.1 g·1<sup>-1</sup>, pH 5.2) and Humic acids (HA, 0.1 g·1<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7). Both foulants are negatively charged at the pH conditions used for this study. Similar to the spontaneous self-assembly phenomena observed in PEs, biological materials can adsorb via electrostatic interactions. Many biological foulants are negatively charged membranes to positively charged membranes. As can be seen in Fig. 7, this phenomenon was clearly observed, when the foulants

introduced to the PAA (-) terminated layer, the quantity of foulant adsorbed is significantly less at approximately 1 mg·m<sup>-2</sup> for BSA and HA. In contrast, for PAH (+) terminated layers the introduction of the foulant leads to a large increase in the quantity of material adsorbed. The factor to which the mass increased for BSA and HA was 5 and 2 respectively. Results of HA fouling of PAH and PAA terminated multilayers are given in Fig. S4. Although both foulants adsorbed onto the positive (PAH) layer, they adsorbed to different degrees with BSA being adsorbed more. While this behavior is significant, for this particular study, the foulant-polyelectrolyte electrostatic interaction is not the primary focus here. The overall decrease in fouling propensity observed with PAA (-) terminated layers demonstrates that by carefull selection of the final layer of a PEM, a low fouling layer can be achieved. But apart from low fouling surfaces, these multilayers can also be used as sacrificial layers to allow for easy cleaning. When a trigger solution was applied on the fouled PEMs, the adsorbed multilayers along with the fouling agents are desorbed from the silicon wafer surface (Fig. 7), again demonstrating the potential of these weak PEMs as sacrificial coatings. When the pH is low, PAA becomes considerably uncharged and an increase in the ionic strength then further weakens the ionic interactions resulting in disintegration of multilayers, and the removal of all attached foulants.



**Fig. 7:** Effect of terminal layer on BSA and HA adsorption and sacrificial layer effect (**a**) BSA fouling of multilayers terminated with PAA (-) layer; (**b**) BSA fouling of PAH (+) terminated layers and effect of trigger solution (pH 3, 3 M) on desorption of fouled multilayers. Concentration of BSA 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7. Rinsing solution used after every adsorption step is 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, pH 6.

#### 3.2.2. Membrane fouling and cleaning

In this section, we demonstrate that the promising properties of multilayers as observed via reflectometry can also be applied to a membrane system. The interactions between the foulants and the membranes are investigated through cross flow permeation tests using the model foulants BSA and HA. Fouling experiments were conducted under very low cross flow speed ( $0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) for about 2 hrs with 1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> foulant. Initially the Virgin UF support was tested for its performance in terms of pure water permeability. The fouling propensity was measured as the ratio of the permeability at a point in time to the initial permeability. The UF support used contains negative charge and is well developed for retention of silica particles. Hence, the membrane is expected to already have a very low fouling propensity. The negative charge of the virgin membrane surface was expected to result in a strong electrostatic repulsion between the membrane surface and the foulant molecules. Additionally, the membrane is known to be hydrophilic such that repulsive hydration forces are expected to repel the hydrophobic foulants. When exposed to the foulants, the support membranes showed an average 8% decline in permeability (Fig. 8).



**Fig. 8:** Time dependent permeability of unmodified and modified membranes with PAH/PAA with + and - terminal layers membranes during the filtration of BSA and HA. Fouling experiments were carried out at 25 °C and 1 bar pressure.

This decline in flux is primarily due to the deposition of the foulants on the membrane surface by adsorption and aggregation. When coated membranes (with 5 and 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA) were exposed to foulants, it can be observed that BSA exhibited a greater affinity for the membrane surface than HA (Fig. 8 a&b), which is in line with the results of reflectometry (Fig. 7, S4). Here we can also see the impact of differently terminated layers (PAA and PAH) on foulant adsorption. A rapid flux decline was observed for PAH terminated membrane during crossflow filtration of 1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> BSA. This behaviour

may indeed be explained by the attractive force between the negatively charged BSA molecules and the positively charged PAH membrane. The carboxylic functional groups of the PAA terminated membranes are negative and thus a strong electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged BSA molecules and the negative membrane surface is expected. While the strong electrostatic repulsion is the most important reason for improved fouling prevention, the ionised carboxylic groups present on the membrane surface also interact with water molecules through Van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonds thereby preventing the deposition of the protein. The overall decrease in fouling of PAA terminated layers relative to PAH terminated layers and the uncoated membrane clearly highlights a viable approach of surface modification while retaining a very low fouling propensity. Antifouling results of PAA terminated layer are comparable to a UF membrane support optimized for fouling control.

#### 3.2.3. Sacrificial membrane cleaning

In this section, we investigate the use of dynamically coated PEMs as sacrificial layers for cleaning of fouled multilayered NF membranes along with the possibility of regeneration of the PEM membrane. Prior to cleaning the fouled membrane, the use of dynamically assembled PEMs as sacrificial layers was evaluated and for that UF support coated with 5 bilayers of PAH/PAA was first rinsed with the trigger solution (pH 3, 3 M) in cross-flow mode at 2 bar then back-flushed with the trigger solution at 2 bar for an hour. It can be seen (Fig. 9) that the coating is fully removable with the applied trigger solution bringing the membrane resistance back to the level of uncoated UF support.



**Fig. 9:** Measured membrane resistance to pure water permeation at different stages of coating and sacrificial cleaning of multilayers. The sequence followed is dynamically coating the HFS membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA, rinsing of multilayers from inside to out for 1 h with trigger solution (pH 3, 3M), backwashing with trigger solution from out to inside of membrane under pressure of 2 bar for 1 h and recoating of clean membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA.

Subsequently we investigated if the removal of this sacrificial coating could indeed be used to remove BSA foulants from the membrane. For sacrificial cleaning experiments we chose PAH terminated membrane (coated with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA) fouled with BSA because this membrane and foulant showed the highest fouling propensity (Fig. 8a). In Fig. 10 we show the membrane resistance against pure water permeation for several steps, including dynamic coating with PEMs (coating at 0.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup> cross flow and 1 bar pressure), membrane fouling by BSA, membrane cleaning by sacrificing the PEM coating and subsequent re-generation steps. Here we applied the same cleaning protocol for PEM removal as used for unfouled membrane, at similar conditions and number of bilayers as the unfouled membrane, the resistance of fouled membrane after cleaning went back close to the resistance of coated membrane suggesting the trigger may not effectively penetrate the foulant layer formed. This proposes that the nature of the foulant greatly impacts the success of the layer removal. Unlike the hard spherical nature of silica particles [34], it is believed that the BSA molecules form a gel like layer on the membrane surface and therefore restricts access of the trigger solution to the PEMs. Consequently, after the cleaning step, the membrane is effectively unchanged and did not return to its pristine state as expected.



**Fig. 10:** Measured membrane resistance to pure water permeation at different stages of coating, fouling and sacrificial cleaning of fouled multilayers. The sequence followed is dynamically coating the HFS membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA, fouling with 1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> BSA, backwashing with trigger solution from out to inside of membrane under pressure of 4 bar for 8 h rinsing of multilayers from inside to out for 1 h with trigger solution (pH 3, 3M), and recoating of clean membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA.

In light of these results, the order of cleaning was adjusted to include backflushing as a first step followed by rinsing. During backwash, the permeation is forced in the reverse direction through the membrane so that the polyelectrolyte on the surface can be lifted off and re-suspended in a tangential flow. This approach proved to be much more effective than the previous combination as it

resulted in an 86% reduction in the resistance (Fig. S5). Rinsing, therefore had no capacity to remove the BSA molecules while backflushing did. This is believed to be due to the fact that the trigger solution now had direct contact with the PEMs through the membrane's structure resulting in immediate removal of the layers and the attached foulants. Complete recovery of the pristine membrane resistance was obtained when modules were backwashed at a bit higher pressure of 4 bars followed by rinsing for an hour. Following up with rinsing resulted in further removal of loosely bound material. The used commercial support can bear up to 5 bar backwash pressure and a pH as low as 1 so we do not expect any change in membrane performance as a result of the cleaning procedure. This same support was already used by de Grooth et al. [31] successfully in combination with sodium hypochlorite and a backwash pressure of 5 bar without any reported damage to membrane. Two successive sets of BSA fouling, cleaning and regeneration experiments were performed on the same module to evaluate the longevity of the regeneration process. The pure water flux and resistance were re-measured and compared with the initial values. As shown in Fig. 10, the membrane maintained a relatively low resistance after a series of runs. After the first regeneration cycle, the resistance returned close to pristine membrane resistance. The second cycle resulted in a further 20% decrease in the membrane support permeability. While the regeneration results in second cycle are not as impressive as expected based on the reflectometry results, this set of results also illustrates the mechanical strength of the PEM as high shear flow operating conditions and 8 hour rounds of 4 bar backflush pressure with the acidic trigger solution produced no significant change in the membrane resistance relative to the first cycle. It can therefore be concluded that the membranes are less prone to fouling if terminated with PAA layer and can be regenerated multiple times with the applied cleaning agent and cleaning protocol. For continuous regeneration some additional cleaning parameters can be taken into account.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study sacrificial PEMs based hollow fiber NF membranes were prepared using dynamic LbL coating under constant pressure and in cross flow mode. The effect of different cross flow speeds, pressures and mode of coating was investigated extensively and compared with dip coating method. It is shown that dynamic deposition is a simple way to modify the surface (inside) of existing hollow fiber UF support to make versatile NF membranes with low fouling propensity (if terminated with PAA) and which are easy to clean by sacrificial layer approach. Rejection performance of solutes (salts and organic micropollutants) by dynamically coated membranes fall in typical NF performance range (50-70% retention). Moreover this coating method with its reproducibility and homogeneity features also shown to have upscaling potential to coat existing UF membrane modules.

### Acknowledgments

S. Ilyas acknowledges the European Commission - Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA), for her PhD scholarship under the program: Erasmus Mundus Doctorate in Membrane Engineering – EUDIME (FPA N° 2011-0014, Edition III, <u>http://eudime.unical.it/</u>). We thank Pentair X-Flow, The Netherlands, for kindly supplying the membranes.

#### References

[1] A.W. Mohammad, Y.H. Teow, W.L. Ang, Y.T. Chung, D.L. Oatley-Radcliffe, N. Hilal, Nanofiltration membranes review: Recent advances and future prospects, Desalination, 356 (2015) 226-254.

[2] M. Pontié, H. Dach, J. Leparc, M. Hafsi, A. Lhassani, European Desalination Society and Center for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), Sani Resort 22 –25 April 2007, Halkidiki, GreeceNovel approach combining physico-chemical characterizations and mass transfer modelling of nanofiltration and low pressure reverse osmosis membranes for brackish water desalination intensification, Desalination, 221 (2008) 174-191.

[3] Membrane filtration technologies tackle water reuse and purification, Membrane Technology, 2007 (2007) 9-11.

[4] W. Guo, H.-H. Ngo, J. Li, A mini-review on membrane fouling, Bioresour. Technol., 122 (2012) 27-34.

[5] A. Al-Amoudi, R.W. Lovitt, Fouling strategies and the cleaning system of NF membranes and factors affecting cleaning efficiency, Journal of Membrane Science, 303 (2007) 4-28.

[6] A. Al-Amoudi, P. Williams, S. Mandale, R.W. Lovitt, Cleaning results of new and fouled nanofiltration membrane characterized by zeta potential and permeability, Separation and Purification Technology, 54 (2007) 234-240.

[7] P. Ahmadiannamini, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Sacrificial polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings as an approach to membrane fouling control: Disassembly and regeneration mechanisms, Journal of Membrane Science, (2015).

[8] G. Pearce, Introduction to membranes: Fouling control, Filtration & Separation, 44 (2007) 30-32.

[9] A.I. Schäfer, A.G. Fane, T.D. Waite, Nanofiltration: principles and applications, Elsevier, 2005.

[10] L. Liu, D.Y.W. Di, H. Park, M. Son, H.-G. Hur, H. Choi, Improved antifouling performance of polyethersulfone (PES) membrane via surface modification by CNTs bound polyelectrolyte multilayers, RSC Advances, 5 (2015) 7340-7348.

[11] H. Guo, Y. Ma, P. Sun, S. Cui, Z. Qin, Y. Liang, Self-cleaning and antifouling nanofiltration membranes-superhydrophilic multilayered polyelectrolyte/CSH composite films towards rejection of dyes, RSC Advances, 5 (2015) 63429-63438.

[12] T. Ishigami, K. Amano, A. Fujii, Y. Ohmukai, E. Kamio, T. Maruyama, H. Matsuyama, Fouling reduction of reverse osmosis membrane by surface modification via layer-by-layer assembly, Separation and Purification Technology, 99 (2012) 1-7.

[13] D. Rana, T. Matsuura, Surface Modifications for Antifouling Membranes, Chemical Reviews, 110 (2010) 2448-2471. [14] C. Ba, J. Economy, Preparation and characterization of a neutrally charged antifouling nanofiltration membrane by coating a layer of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) on a positively charged nanofiltration membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 362 (2010) 192-201.

[15] Y.-L. Ji, Q.-F. An, Q. Zhao, W.-D. Sun, K.-R. Lee, H.-L. Chen, C.-J. Gao, Novel composite nanofiltration membranes containing zwitterions with high permeate flux and improved anti-fouling performance, Journal of Membrane Science, 390–391 (2012) 243-253.

[16] T. Kruk, K. Szczepanowicz, D. Kregiel, L. Szyk-Warszyńska, P. Warszyński, Nanostructured multilayer polyelectrolyte films with silver nanoparticles as antibacterial coatings, Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 137 (2016) 158-166.

[17] L. Setiawan, R. Wang, K. Li, A.G. Fane, Fabrication and characterization of forward osmosis hollow fiber membranes with antifouling NF-like selective layer, Journal of Membrane Science, 394–395 (2012) 80-88.

[18] L. Tang, W. Gu, P. Yi, J.L. Bitter, J.Y. Hong, D.H. Fairbrother, K.L. Chen, Bacterial anti-adhesive properties of polysulfone membranes modified with polyelectrolyte multilayers, Journal of Membrane Science, 446 (2013) 201-211.

[19] L.Y. Ng, A.W. Mohammad, C.Y. Ng, A review on nanofiltration membrane fabrication and modification using polyelectrolytes: Effective ways to develop membrane selective barriers and rejection capability, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 197–198 (2013) 85-107.

[20] R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, High-Flux Nanofiltration Membranes Prepared by Adsorption of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes on Polymeric Supports, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 10587-10592.

[21] M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Controlling the Nanofiltration Properties of Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Membranes through Variation of Film Composition, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 20 (2004) 11545-11551.

[22] L. Ouyang, R. Malaisamy, M.L. Bruening, Multilayer polyelectrolyte films as nanofiltration membranes for separating monovalent and divalent cations, Journal of Membrane Science, 310 (2008) 76-84.

[23] W. Shan, P. Bacchin, P. Aimar, M.L. Bruening, V.V. Tarabara, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films as backflushable nanofiltration membranes with tunable hydrophilicity and surface charge, Journal of Membrane Science, 349 (2010) 268-278.

[24] B.W. Stanton, J.J. Harris, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Ultrathin, Multilayered Polyelectrolyte Films as Nanofiltration Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 7038-7042.

[25] S.U. Hong, M.D. Miller, M.L. Bruening, Removal of Dyes, Sugars, and Amino Acids from NaCl Solutions Using Multilayer Polyelectrolyte Nanofiltration Membranes, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 6284-6288.

[26] W. Jin, A. Toutianoush, B. Tieke, Use of Polyelectrolyte Layer-by-Layer Assemblies as Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 2550-2553.

[27] L. Krasemann, B. Tieke, Selective Ion Transport across Self-Assembled Alternating Multilayers of Cationic and Anionic Polyelectrolytes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 16 (1999) 287-290.

[28] N. Peng, N. Widjojo, P. Sukitpaneenit, M.M. Teoh, G.G. Lipscomb, T.-S. Chung, J.-Y. Lai, Evolution of polymeric hollow fibers as sustainable technologies: Past, present, and future, Progress in Polymer Science, 37 (2012) 1401-1424.

[29] C.Y. Feng, K.C. Khulbe, T. Matsuura, A.F. Ismail, Recent progresses in polymeric hollow fiber membrane preparation, characterization and applications, Separation and Purification Technology, 111 (2013) 43-71.

[30] J. de Grooth, M. Dong, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Building polyzwitterion-based multilayers for responsive membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 30 (2014) 5152-5161.

[31] J. de Grooth, B. Haakmeester, C. Wever, J. Potreck, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Long term physical and chemical stability of polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 489 (2015) 153-159.

[32] J. de Grooth, R. Oborný, J. Potreck, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, The role of ionic strength and odd– even effects on the properties of polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 475 (2015) 311-319.

[33] J. de Grooth, D.M. Reurink, J. Ploegmakers, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Charged Micropollutant Removal With Hollow Fiber Nanofiltration Membranes Based On Polycation/Polyzwitterion/Polyanion Multilayers, ACS applied materials & interfaces, 6 (2014) 17009-17017.

[34] S. Ilyas, J. de Grooth, K. Nijmeijer, W.M. de Vos, Multifunctional polyelectrolyte multilayers as nanofiltration membranes and as sacrificial layers for easy membrane cleaning, Journal of colloid and interface science, 446 (2015) 386-393.

[35] B. Su, T. Wang, Z. Wang, X. Gao, C. Gao, Preparation and performance of dynamic layer-by-layer PDADMAC/PSS nanofiltration membrane, Journal of Membrane Science, 423–424 (2012) 324-331.

[36] L. Ouyang, D.M. Dotzauer, S.R. Hogg, J. Macanás, J.-F. Lahitte, M.L. Bruening, Catalytic hollow fiber membranes prepared using layer-by-layer adsorption of polyelectrolytes and metal nanoparticles, Catalysis Today, 156 (2010) 100-106.

[37] C. Liu, L. Shi, R. Wang, Enhanced hollow fiber membrane performance via semi-dynamic layer-bylayer polyelectrolyte inner surface deposition for nanofiltration and forward osmosis applications, Reactive and Functional Polymers, 86 (2015) 154-160.

[38] C. Liu, W. Fang, S. Chou, L. Shi, A.G. Fane, R. Wang, Fabrication of layer-by-layer assembled FO hollow fiber membranes and their performances using low concentration draw solutions, Desalination, 308 (2013) 147-153.

[39] G. Zhang, X. Song, S. Ji, N. Wang, Z. Liu, Self-assembly of inner skin hollow fiber polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes by a dynamic negative pressure layer-by-layer technique, Journal of Membrane Science, 325 (2008) 109-116.

[40] D. Menne, J. Kamp, J. Erik Wong, M. Wessling, Precise tuning of salt retention of backwashable polyelectrolyte multilayer hollow fiber nanofiltration membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 499 (2016) 396-405.

[41] W.M. de Vos, A. de Keizer, M.A.C. Stuart, J.M. Kleijn, Thin polymer films as sacrificial layers for easier cleaning, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 358 (2010) 6-12.

[42] S.A. Sukhishvili, S. Granick, Layered, Erasable Polymer Multilayers Formed by Hydrogen-Bonded Sequential Self-Assembly, Macromolecules, 35 (2001) 301-310.

[43] R. v. Klitzing, Internal structure of polyelectrolyte multilayer assemblies, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 8 (2006) 5012-5033.

[44] S.T. Dubas, J.B. Schlenoff, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Containing a Weak Polyacid: Construction and Deconstruction, Macromolecules, 34 (2001) 3736-3740.

[45] D. Kovacevic, S. van der Burgh, A. de Keizer, M.A. Cohen Stuart, Kinetics of Formation and Dissolution of Weak Polyelectrolyte Multilayers: Role of Salt and Free Polyions, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 18 (2002) 5607-5612.

[46] J.C. Dijt, M.A.C. Stuart, G.J. Fleer, Reflectometry as a tool for adsorption studies, Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 50 (1994) 79-101.

[47] J.C. Dijt, M.A.C. Stuart, J.E. Hofman, G.J. Fleer, Kinetics of polymer adsorption in stagnation point flow, Colloids and Surfaces, 51 (1990) 141-158.

### **Appendix Chapter 5**

#### Structure of support membrane:

In Fig. S1 SEM images taken by JEOL JSM-6010LA of the PES UF support membrane are given. Membrane has spongy structure with dense separation layer on the lumen side (c) and more open structure towards outer side (d).



Fig. S1: SEM images obtained with JOEL JSM-6010LA of membrane support used for this study.



Fig. S2: Schematic representation of cross flow setup for coating and filtration experiments.



Fig. S3: Schematic representation of dead end filtration setup for coating experiments.



**Fig. S4:** Effect of terminal layer on BSA and HA adsorption and sacrificial layer effect (a) BSA fouling of multilayers terminated with PAA (-) layer; (b) BSA fouling of PAH (+) terminated layer and effect of trigger solution (pH 3, 3 M) on desorption of fouled multilayers; (c) HA fouling of multilayers terminated with PAA (-); (d) HA fouling of PAH (+) terminated multilayers and effect of trigger on desorption of fouled multilayers. Concentration of BSA 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7 and HA 0.1 g·l<sup>-1</sup>, pH 5.8. Rinsing solution used after every adsorption step is 50 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, pH 6.



**Fig. S5:** Measured membrane resistance to pure water permeation at different stages of coating, fouling and sacrificial cleaning of fouled multilayers. The sequence followed is dynamically coating the HFS membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA, fouling with 1 g·l<sup>-1</sup> BSA, backwashing with trigger solution from out to inside of membrane under pressure of 2 bar for 8 h rinsing of multilayers from inside to out for 1 h with trigger solution (pH3, 3M), and recoating of clean membrane with 5.5 bilayers of PAH/PAA.

# Chapter 6

Conclusions and future perspectives

Polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEM), prepared by layer-by-layer (LbL) self-assembly, are a promising type of coating to modify the surface of a large variety of materials and to create surfaces with desired functionalities. By careful selection of the used polyelectrolytes (PEs) and the used coating conditions (pH, ionic strength), PEM's can have different properties and therefore different functionalities. In this thesis we present the use of this promising coating approach to create versatile polymeric nanofiltration (NF) and solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) membranes. The current market of NF and SRNF is dominated by polymeric flat sheet membranes because of their low cost and ease of manufacture. However, polymeric membranes suffer from fouling which results in the loss of membrane performance over time and demands the additional effort of cleaning. Moreover, not all polymeric membranes are stable under harsh organic solvents used in industries on a routine basis. This thesis specifically investigates the potential of using a classic pH responsive, weak polyelectrolyte multilayer system, consisting of poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) and poly(acrylic acid) (PAA), to prepare antifouling and easy to clean NF membranes and solvent stable SRNF membranes. New membranes were prepared either by dip-coating or active coating on hollow fiber (chapter 2, 3 and 5) or on flat sheet (chapter 4) UF membrane supports. In all chapters, the coating conditions for multilayers were studied and optimized on model surfaces before applying the multilayers to the support membranes. The performance of prepared membranes is explained with the help of results obtained from model surfaces.

In this last chapter of the thesis we present the conclusion of this study and provide suggestions for future work, especially on the multifunctional aspects of PEM membranes.

#### Weak PEM's for nanofiltration

Hollow fiber NF membranes, with a sacrificial PEM as the active separation layer, were prepared in chapter 2, 3 and 5. In chapter 2, the coating conditions were optimized for adsorption and desorption of the PEM on model surfaces via optical fixed-angle reflectometry. Subsequently hollow fiber UF supports were dip-coated under identical coating conditions as used for the model surfaces, allowing the creation of hollow fiber NF membranes with a PEM separation layer. The resulting membranes were able to reject divalent salt ions and an organic molecule (Bisphenol A) up to 50-70%. This PEM separation layer was also shown to function as a sacrificial layer when exposed to low pH and high salt solution (pH 3, 3 M), to allow the easy cleaning of membranes upon fouling with silica-nano particles. Moreover, subsequent recoating of the clean membrane with same PEM system under similar coating conditions resulted in bringing the membrane's resistance to pure water close to the original PEM membrane prepared at first step. In chapter 3, a more detailed investigation of the role of pH of coating solution of weak polyelectrolytes on NF membrane performance was carried out. It was described that by simple variation in the pH of the coating solution, hollow fiber NF membranes could be created which selectively remove micropollutants while allowing passage to most of the salt ions from water. In chapter 5, a simplification of the coating method for the sacrificial PEM is presented. Simplification was achieved by employing active coating, which will allow the coating and recoating of new and

existing membrane modules. This active coating approach involved flushing the PE solutions through the lumen of the hollow fibre membrane under application of a constant pressure. It was shown that active coating is equally suitable to coat small and long fibers with homogeneous membrane performance. Moreover, PEM membranes terminated with the negative PAA, were found to be less prone to fouling. Applying a low pH and high salt (pH 3, 3M) trigger at an appropriate backflush pressure, lead to the complete removal of these PEM coatings, including any attached foulants, to obtain a clean membrane support. This clean membrane support was then subsequently recoated with same PE system to regenerate the PEM NF membrane. The rejection mechanism for solutes for hollow fiber PEM NF membranes prepared in chapter 2, 3 and 5 was found to be dominated by size exclusion together with Donon exclusion.

#### Weak PEM's for solvent resistant nanofiltration

SRNF offers unique advantages over conventional separation processes (i.e. distillation, extraction, crystallization and chromatography), notably the ability to perform molecular separations in organic solvents at ambient conditions. Furthermore the lack of an energy demanding phase transition and the absence of additives, makes it attractive for the pharmaceutical and chemical industry. In chapter 4, we presented how the pH can be used as a tuning parameter for the weak PEM system of PAH/PAA to prepare membranes with tuneable separation for SRNF applications. SRNF membranes were prepared by employing LbL assembly of PEM on a UF hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile (PAN-H) support, and a detailed investigation of the effect of pH of coating solution on membrane performance for specific dye molecules from organic media was evaluated. Membrane performance was tested for different organic solvents of industrial importance such as isopropyl alcohol (IPA), acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and membranes were found to be stable for these solvents under long term-filtrations. Moreover, membranes with more free acid and amine groups were found to be much more susceptible to dye adsorption, leading to a pronounced film densification, a low permeance, but in some case excellent dye retentions. In this chapter, we presented a simple and versatile approach of using pH during coating to tune SRNF membrane performance for specific application.

#### Multifunctional PEM membranes, a Future perspective

What stands out in this thesis is the multi-functionality of the involved polyelectrolyte multilayers and the resulting membranes. For example, we presented PEM membranes prepared of weak PEs that function as easy to tune separation layer for both NF (chapter 2, 3 and 5) and SRNF membranes (chapter 4). Moreover, these same layers could be used to provide the membrane with antifouling properties, while also allowing sacrificial cleaning of fouled membranes (chapter 2 and 5). Clearly a single PEM can be used to imbue a membrane with a number of different functionalities. However, in addition to already mentioned functionalities, future research could be broader, and could consider PEM coatings that could imbue even more functionalities to the PEM membranes (see Fig. 1) to allow

an even greater freedom to create membranes for specific applications. Here we present an overview of possible functionalities.

To provide PEM membranes with additional functionalities, careful selection of the PEs is very important, while one could also introduce other materials into the PEM's during the LbL assembly process. A recent example of this type of modification is the creation of ionic responsive membranes utilizing the polyzwitterion poly(N (3-sulfopropyl)-N-(methacryloxyethyl)-N,N-dimethylammonium betaine) (PSBMA) poly(sodium-4-styrenesulfonate) on top of а (PSS), poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMAC), where a change in water permeability up to 108% was achieved by varying the ionic strength of feed solution [1]. Such a membranes is an excellent example of ionic responsive NF membranes, while the zwitterion coating also leads to a membrane with a very low fouling potential. Another study reported on the creation of poly(ethyleneimine)/poly(sodium-4-styrenesulfonate) (PEI/PSS)-calcium silicate hydrate (CSH) multilayered membranes with enhanced hydrophilicity and self-cleaning properties [2]. Copolymers of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) are well known to allow the preparation of thermal responsive PEM's [3, 4]. Although the surface modification of membranes enhances the antifouling properties, the adhesion of microbes is not totally prevented and they may be immobilised due to the drag forces caused during permeate flow. For this reason, the PEM layers could also be provided with antimicrobial/biocidal properties, which can be introduced by the incorporation of silver (Ag) nanoparticles [5-9]. Diagne et al. [10] investigated the incorporation of Ag nanoparticles into the PSS layer of a PEM composed of PSS/PDADMAC for the introduction of biocidal properties. While the Ag nanoparticles did in fact inactivate deposited bacteria, the performance decreased over time as the Ag nanoparticles were leached from the PEM resulting in the need for crosslinking. Recently Zarpelon et al. [11] incorporated silver nanoparticles into PAH/PAA layers and crosslinked with glutaraldehyde to produce bactericidal films for the treatment of industrial wastewater. Incorporation of palladium and gold nanoparticles in PEM's can be used to create catalytic membranes for the removal of organic contaminants such as phenol [20-22] and nitroaromatic compounds [23] through catalytic reduction. Utilization of titanium oxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) particles can allow one to create antifouling and photocatalytic membranes [12-15]. It is also reported that embedding enzymes such as organophosphorus hydrolase (OPH) in PEM layers not only leads to catalytic membranes, but also prolongs the lifetime of the enzyme [29].



Fig. 1: Possible functionalities that can be induced to PEM membranes by incorporating different materials.

Gold nanoparticles can also be utilized to fabricate PEM films with enhanced ionic conductivities [24], which may be especially useful for making ion conductive membranes. Another possible functionality that can be induced in these PEM films is biodegradability which will be useful from an environmental perspective, especially in combination with the sacrificial layer approach. Biodegradable polymers such as of chitosan (CHI), poly(L-lysine) (PLL), alginate, poly(lactic acid) and copolymers such as poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and polylactide (PLA)/polyglycolide can be the polymers of choice for future PEM membranes [25-28].

Multifunctionality could also stem from building PEM membranes in smarter ways. For example to combine the separation mechanism of PEM membranes prepared in this thesis, one could consider utilizing a few bi-layers of strong PE's on top of a weak PEM to have separation in the top layers on the basis of Donnan exclusion and in the bottom layers on the basis of size exclusion. Another possibility could be using a few bi-layers of weak PE's (with antifouling and ease of cleaning) on top of multilayers prepared of strong PEs such poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMAC) and poly(styrenesulfonate) (PSS). In this way instead of sacrificing the whole PEM layer one could only remove just the final fouled layer. Clearly, multifunctionality cannot just come from what we coat, but also from how the membranes are coated.

#### References

[1] J. de Grooth, M. Dong, W.M. de Vos, K. Nijmeijer, Building polyzwitterion-based multilayers for responsive membranes, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 30 (2014) 5152-5161.
[2] H. Guo, Y. Ma, P. Sun, S. Cui, Z. Qin, Y. Liang, Self-cleaning and antifouling nanofiltration membranes-superhydrophilic multilayered polyelectrolyte/CSH composite films towards rejection of dyes, RSC Advances, 5 (2015) 63429-63438.

[3] K. Glinel, C. Déjugnat, M. Prevot, B. Schöler, M. Schönhoff, R.v. Klitzing, Responsive polyelectrolyte multilayers, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 303 (2007) 3-13.

[4] J.A. Jaber, J.B. Schlenoff, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers with Reversible Thermal Responsivity, Macromolecules, 38 (2005) 1300-1306.

[5] J. Kochan, M. Scheidle, J. van Erkel, M. Bikel, J. Büchs, J.E. Wong, T. Melin, M. Wessling, Characterization of antibacterial polyethersulfone membranes using the respiration activity monitoring system (RAMOS), Water research, 46 (2012) 5401-5409.

[6] T. Kruk, K. Szczepanowicz, D. Kregiel, L. Szyk-Warszyńska, P. Warszyński, Nanostructured multilayer polyelectrolyte films with silver nanoparticles as antibacterial coatings, Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 137 (2016) 158-166.

[7] M.S. Rahaman, H. Therien-Aubin, M. Ben-Sasson, C.K. Ober, M. Nielsen, M. Elimelech, Control of biofouling on reverse osmosis polyamide membranes modified with biocidal nanoparticles and antifouling polymer brushes, Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 2 (2014) 1724-1732.

[8] L. Tang, K.A. Huynh, M.L. Fleming, M. Larronde-Larretche, K.L. Chen, Imparting antimicrobial and anti-adhesive properties to polysulfone membranes through modification with silver nanoparticles and polyelectrolyte multilayers, Journal of colloid and interface science, 451 (2015) 125-133.

[9] X. Zan, Z. Su, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films containing silver as antibacterial coatings, Thin Solid Films, 518 (2010) 5478-5482.

[10] F. Diagne, R. Malaisamy, V. Boddie, R.D. Holbrook, B. Eribo, K.L. Jones, Polyelectrolyte and silver nanoparticle modification of microfiltration membranes to mitigate organic and bacterial fouling, Environmental science & technology, 46 (2012) 4025-4033.

[11] F. Zarpelon, D. Galiotto, C. Aguzzoli, L.N. Carli, C.A. Figueroa, I.J.R. Baumvol, G. Machado, J.d.S. Crespo, M. Giovanela, Removal of coliform bacteria from industrial wastewaters using polyelectrolytes/silver nanoparticles self-assembled thin films, Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 4 (2016) 137-146.

[12] T.-H. Bae, T.-M. Tak, Preparation of TiO2 self-assembled polymeric nanocomposite membranes and examination of their fouling mitigation effects in a membrane bioreactor system, Journal of Membrane Science, 266 (2005) 1-5. [13] R.A. Damodar, S.-J. You, H.-H. Chou, Study the self cleaning, antibacterial and photocatalytic properties of TiO2 entrapped PVDF membranes, Journal of hazardous materials, 172 (2009) 1321-1328.

[14] S.H. Kim, S.-Y. Kwak, B.-H. Sohn, T.H. Park, Design of TiO2 nanoparticle self-assembled aromatic polyamide thin-film-composite (TFC) membrane as an approach to solve biofouling problem, Journal of Membrane Science, 211 (2003) 157-165.

[15] J.-H. Li, Y.-Y. Xu, L.-P. Zhu, J.-H. Wang, C.-H. Du, Fabrication and characterization of a novel TiO2 nanoparticle self-assembly membrane with improved fouling resistance, Journal of Membrane Science, 326 (2009) 659-666.

[16] E. Celik, H. Park, H. Choi, H. Choi, Carbon nanotube blended polyethersulfone membranes for fouling control in water treatment, Water research, 45 (2011) 274-282.

[17] J.-H. Choi, J. Jegal, W.-N. Kim, Fabrication and characterization of multi-walled carbon nanotubes/polymer blend membranes, Journal of Membrane Science, 284 (2006) 406-415.

[18] Y. Mo, X. Zhao, Y.X. Shen, Cation-dependent structural instability of graphene oxide membranes and its effect on membrane separation performance, Desalination, 399 (2016) 40-46.

[19] L. Wang, N. Wang, J. Li, J. Li, W. Bian, S. Ji, Layer-by-layer self-assembly of polycation/GO nanofiltration membrane with enhanced stability and fouling resistance, Separation and Purification Technology, 160 (2016) 123-131.

[20] L. Ouyang, D.M. Dotzauer, S.R. Hogg, J. Macanás, J.-F. Lahitte, M.L. Bruening, Catalytic hollow fiber membranes prepared using layer-by-layer adsorption of polyelectrolytes and metal nanoparticles, Catalysis Today, 156 (2010) 100-106.

[21] C. Chu, Z. Su, Facile Synthesis of AuPt Alloy Nanoparticles in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers with Enhanced Catalytic Activity for Reduction of 4-Nitrophenol, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 30 (2014) 15345-15350.

[22] B. Domènech, M. Muñoz, D.N. Muraviev, J. Macanás, Polymer-stabilized palladium nanoparticles for catalytic membranes: ad hoc polymer fabrication, Nanoscale Research Letters, 6 (2011) 406-406.

[23] D.M. Dotzauer, S. Bhattacharjee, Y. Wen, M.L. Bruening, Nanoparticle-Containing Membranes for the Catalytic Reduction of Nitroaromatic Compounds, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 25 (2009) 1865-1871.

[24] A. Ostendorf, C. Cramer, G. Decher, M. Schönhoff, Humidity-Tunable Electronic Conductivity of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers Containing Gold Nanoparticles, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 119 (2015) 9543-9549.

[25] J.M. Garza, N. Jessel, G. Ladam, V. Dupray, S. Muller, J.-F. Stoltz, P. Schaaf, J.-C. Voegel, P. Lavalle, Polyelectrolyte Multilayers and Degradable Polymer Layers as Multicompartment Films, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 21 (2005) 12372-12377.
[26] G.E. Luckachan, C.K.S. Pillai, Biodegradable Polymers- A Review on Recent Trends and Emerging Perspectives, Journal of Polymers and the Environment, 19 (2011) 637-676.
[27] A. Schneider, C. Vodouhê, L. Richert, G. Francius, E. Le Guen, P. Schaaf, J.-C. Voegel, B. Frisch, C. Picart, Multifunctional Polyelectrolyte Multilayer Films: Combining Mechanical Resistance, Biodegradability, and Bioactivity, Biomacromolecules, 8 (2007) 139-145.
[28] J.M. Silva, A.R.C. Duarte, S.G. Caridade, C. Picart, R.L. Reis, J.F. Mano, Tailored Freestanding Multilayered Membranes Based on Chitosan and Alginate, Biomacromolecules, 15 (2014) 3817-3826.
[29] Y. Lee, I. Stanish, V. Rastogi, T.-c. Cheng, A. Singh, Sustained Enzyme Activity of Organophosphorus Hydrolase in Polymer Encased Multilayer Assemblies, Langmuir : the ACS journal of surfaces and colloids, 19 (2003) 1330-1336.

## Summary

Thin polymer coatings in the form of polyelectrolyte multilayers (PEM) are an interesting class of coatings for the surface modification of materials to provide functional interfaces. These layers are prepared by layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly, an attractive technique with control over the thickness and the properties of the multilayer. This LbL technique involves the buildup of PEM's by alternate exposure of a charged substrate to solutions of polycations and polyanions, in combination with a rinsing step between each deposition step to remove weakly associated polymer chains. LbL is a versatile technique where control over multilayer properties such as thickness, charge and density, can be easily achieved simply by the choice of polyelectrolytes, the number of coating steps and the coating conditions (pH, ionic strength etc.). As the layers are very thin, usually in the order of nanometers, they need to be characterized precisely in detail on model surfaces prior to coating on the desired substrate. LbL is a suitable surface modification technique for any size and shape of the substrate, and if the substrate is porous such as an ultrafiltration (UF) membrane support, then the PEM can act as a membrane separation layer for nanofiltration (NF) or solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) applications. Additionally these multilayers can be useful to alleviate the problem of fouling in membrane technology, as these multilayers can work as antifouling layers and can also make cleaning of fouled membrane easier by sacrificial removal with some trigger (e.g., salt, pH, surfactant etc.). To provide these functionalities to membranes one suitable choice of PEs is a pair of weak polyelectrolytes such as poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH). Since the charge density is not fixed in these weak polyelectrolytes, control over adsorption, desorption and separation performance of multilayers for membrane applications can be achieved by simple alterations of the pH of the coating solutions. In this thesis, the focus is to investigate the use of weak PEM's on UF membrane supports to make antifouling and easy to clean hollow fiber NF membranes for micropollutants removal from water and for stable SRNF membranes.

In **Chapter 1**, we present a general introduction to the topic and introduce the potential of weak polyelectrolyte multilayers to make multifunctional membranes for liquid applications (NF and SRNF), including their respective adjustable parameters. The different aspects of PEM membranes that can be beneficial for membrane technology are highlighted.

In **Chapter 2**, we demonstrate that multilayers of weak PEs (PAH/PAA) can be used to provide a dual function to membranes i.e., as a NF separation layer and as a sacrificial coating that allows easy membrane cleaning. In order to optimize the coating and sacrificial removal conditions for the applied PEM, adsorption and desorption studies of these multilayers are performed on a model surface (silica) and monitored via fixed angle optical reflectometry. This allows us to understand the buildup behavior and removal of the multilayers at different conditions, something that cannot be precisely monitored on the membrane itself. Subsequently, tight hollow fiber UF membranes were coated with PEM's under identical conditions via a dip coating method. After each deposited layer, a clear increase in membrane resistance against pure water permeation and a switch of the zeta potential confirms the successful

membrane modification. Moreover, these PEM based membranes, show rejection performance of solutes in a range typical for NF membranes i.e., hardly rejecting monovalent ions (NaCl) (<24%), while rejecting > 60% of the divalent ion  $SO_4^{2-}$  and of the neutral organic molecule sulfamethoxazole (SMX). Subsequently, the applied PEMs were investigated as sacrificial layers for the cleaning of membranes fouled with silica nano-particles. A rinse and a low pressure backwash with a suitable trigger solution (pH 3, 3 M NaNO<sub>3</sub>) allowed the successful sacrificial cleaning of the fouled membrane, bringing the resistance of the fouled membrane back to that of a pristine membrane. Recoating the clean membrane support with the same PEM system brings the resistance of the coated membrane equal to the resistance of the original polyelectrolyte multilayer membrane, demonstrating the re-usability of this approach.

**Chapter 3**, focuses on the utilization of these PAH/PAA based weak multilayers to create hollow fiber NF membranes for micropollutant removal from water. The role of the pH of the coating solution is investigated and coupled to membrane performance in terms of rejection for salt ions and micropollutants. We show the easy preparation of low pressure hollow fiber NF membranes by coating PEMs on a UF support. The newly formed PEM NF membranes allow for the selective removal of micropollutants while allowing passage to most salt ions. It is also shown that variation in the pH of the coating solutions leads to a large degree of control over the membrane performance. For example denser membranes are formed at pH [6.0/6.0] of PAH/PAA and shows the highest micropollutants retention (60-80%) while low ion retentions for this membrane indicate that it is not strongly charged. The rejection mechanism of the investigated membranes was found to be mainly determined by size exclusion, but influenced by Donnan exclusion. This study shows a simple way of preparing hollow fiber NF membranes for micropollutants removal from water.

In **Chapter 4**, our weak PEM system is investigated to prepare membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) applications. SRNF membranes with a PEM separation layer were prepared on a UF hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile (PAN-H) support by the LbL method while varying the pH of the coating solutions to tune the charge density and structure of PEs, and thus further control the morphology and performance of the resulting membranes for specific dye molecules from organic media. It is found that membranes with more free acid and amine groups are more susceptible to dye adsorption, leading to a film densification, and a low permeance, but in some case excellent dye retentions. Moreover, the prepared PEM membranes are found to be stable under long term-filtrations in organic solvents including troublesome aprotic solvents of industrial importance such as isopropyl alcohol (IPA), acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). Results of this study show a simple and versatile way of using pH during coating to tune membrane performance for specific SRNF application.

To bring PEM coating and especially the sacrificial cleaning approach into maturity and to coat existing modules we present in **Chapter 5**, the simplification of the LbL procedure for hollow fibre membranes

by employing "dynamic coating" or "active coating". This involves flushing the PE's solutions through the lumen of the hollow fibre membrane. It is shown that dynamic deposition is a simple way to modify the surface of existing hollow fiber UF support to make versatile NF membranes with low fouling propensity (if terminated with PAA) and easy to clean by utilizing the sacrificial layer approach. Moreover this coating method with its reproducibility and homogeneity, has the potential to coat existing UF membrane modules. Rejection performance of solutes (salts and organic micropollutants) by dynamically coated membranes is found to be in the typical NF performance range (50-70% retention).

**Chapter 6** presents the conclusion and reflections of knowledge obtained from this work. Finally some recommendations and ideas are presented for future research to contribute to the continuity of investigations into sacrificial cleaning and the management of fouling in membranes and possible functionalities that can be introduced to PEM membranes.

## Multifunctional weak polyelectrolyte multilayers for membrane applications

In this work, we demonstrate that layer by layer (LbL) is a versatile technique where control over multilayer properties such as thickness, charge and density, can be easily achieved simply by the choice of polyelectrolytes, number of coating steps and coating conditions (pH, ionic strength etc.). We demonstrate that multilayers of weak PEs (PAH/PAA) can be used to provide a dual function to membranes (PEM) i.e., as NF separation layer and as a sacrificial coating that allows easy membrane cleaning. These PEM based membranes, shows rejection performance of solutes in a range typical for NF membranes i.e., hardly rejecting monovalent ions (NaCl) (<24%), while rejecting > 60% of the divalent ion SO42- and the neutral organic molecule sulfamethoxazole (SMX). By a rinse and a low pressure backwash with a suitable trigger solution, we obtain a successful sacrificial cleaning of a fouled membrane, bringing the resistance of a fouled membrane back to that of the pristine membrane. Recoating the clean membrane support with the same PEM system brings the resistance of the coated membrane equal to the resistance of the original PEM, demonstrating the re-usability of this approach. The rejection mechanism of the investigated membranes was found to be mainly determined by size exclusion, but influenced by Donnan exclusion. We investigated our weak PEM system to prepare membranes for solvent resistant nanofiltration (SRNF) applications. SRNF membranes with PEM separation layer were prepared on a UF hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile (PAN-H) support by the LbL method. Results of this study show a simple and versatile way of using pH during coating to tune membrane performance for specific SRNF application. To bring PEM coating and sacrificial cleaning approach into maturity and to coat existing modules we present the simplification of the LbL procedure for hollow fibre membranes by employing "dynamic coating" or "active coating" which involves flushing the PE's solutions through the lumen of the hollow fibre membrane. This coating method with its reproducibility and homogeneity has the potential to coat existing UF membrane modules.

KeyWorlds: membrane, filtration, layer by layer, sacrificial layer, solvent resistant, NF

Multicouches multifonctionnelles de polyelectrolytes pour des applications en filtration membranaire Dans ce travail, nous démontrons que la technique de dépôt multicouche dite layer by layer (LbL) est une technique polyvalente où les propriétés des multicouches telles que l'épaisseur, la charge et la densité peuvent être facilement contrôlées par le choix des polyélectrolytes (PE), le nombre d'étapes et les conditions de dépôt (pH, force ionique, etc.). Nous démontrons que des multicouches de PE faible (PAH / PAA) peuvent être utilisées pour fournir une double fonction aux membranes (PEM) en tant que couche de séparation NF et comme revêtement sacrificiel permettant un nettoyage facile de la membrane. Ces membranes à base de PEM montrent des performances de rejet des solutés dans une gamme typique des membranes NF, c'est-à-dire rejetant peu les ions monovalents (NaCl) (<24%), tout en rejetant> 60% de l'ion divalent SO42- et la molécule organique neutre sulfaméthoxazole (SMX). Par un rincage et un lavage à contre-courant à basse pression avec une solution stimuli appropriée, nous obtenons un nettoyage sacrificiel réussi d'une membrane colmatée, ce qui ramène la résistance d'une membrane colmatée à celle de la membrane vierge. Après un nouveau dépôt sur la membrane avec le même système PEM, la résistance de la membrane est égale à la résistance du PEM d'origine, ce qui démontre la réutilisation de cette approche. Le mécanisme de rejet des membranes étudiées s'est révélé principalement déterminé par l'exclusion stérique mais aussi par l'exclusion de Donnan. Nous avons étudié notre système PEM pour préparer des membranes pour des applications de nanofiltration résistant aux solvants (SRNF). Des membranes de SRNF avec une couche de séparation de PEM ont été préparées sur un support UF de polyacrylonitrile hydrolysé (PAN-H). Les résultats de cette étude montrent une manière simple et polyvalente d'utiliser le pH pendant le revêtement pour régler les performances de la membrane pour une application SRNF spécifique. Afin d'amener l'approche PEM et du nettoyage sacrificiel à leur maturité et de modifier des modules existants, nous avons simplifié la procédure LbL pour des membranes fibres creuses en utilisant un «dépôt dynamique» ou un «dépôt actif» qui consiste à rincer les solutions du PE à travers le canal interne de la membrane fibre creuse. Cette méthode de dépôt avec sa reproductibilité et son homogénéité permet de revêtir les modules de membrane UF existants.

Mots clés : membrane, filtration, layer by layer, couche sacrificielle, résistance au solvant, NF