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## CHAPTER I

Effects of discrete confinement of metal complexes. Structural and electrochemical properties.

Applications in catalysis

## CHAPTER I: Effects of discrete confinement of

# metal complexes. Structural and electrochemical properties and applications in catalysis 

## A. Introduction

One of the most common dreams of a synthetic chemist is the synthesis of an artificial system as perfect as those made by Nature. Hence, metalloenzymes became a great source of inspiration. One common point in the structure of metalloenzymes is the presence of a catalytically active metal inside a well-defined pocket. The confining space of these pockets has been mimicked by chemists to add new properties to a metal complex.

Different approaches have been developed trying to mimic the active site. The most traditional one involves modifications on second coordination sphere of the metal complex. ${ }^{1}$ Anchoring metal complexes to materials², direct inclusion of metal complexes to peptidic chains or proteins ${ }^{3}$ or ligand template approaches ${ }^{4}$ are just a few examples of how chemists of different fields have tried to reach the enzyme mimicry.

In the last few years, the relevance of the powerful effects of the encapsulation in the supramolecular catalysis field was stated by the publication of numerous reviews ${ }^{5}$. Some of them focused on the confining system, cavitands ${ }^{6}$, molecular flasks ${ }^{7}$ or nanoreactors. ${ }^{8}$ Others were focused on the nature of the second coordination sphere interacting with the metal, covalent or weak interactions ${ }^{9}$, hydrophobic effect, ${ }^{10}$ or biomimetic applications. ${ }^{11}$

This review will focus on examples where discrete confining systems, independently of their nature, supramolecular assemblies, of covalently linked metals, but where the metal complex is fully encapsulated within. A special focus will be done on systems with direct interactions between the cavity and the metal atom. The effect of the cavity on the structural and electrochemical properties will be explained, as well as the effect on its reactivity for catalytic applications.

[^0]
## B. Effect of the confinement on the structure and dynamics of the complex

Confinement of metal complexes has an important impact on the structure of the metal complex. The study of the behavior of the metal complex inside the cavity is the first step to understand the potential applications of the system.

## 1. Effect of the cavity in the trapping of organometallic complexes

One of the earliest examples in this field was proposed by Fujita et $a^{12}$. In their report, the encapsulation of a $\left[\left(\eta^{5} \text {-indenyl) } R u(C O)_{2}\right]_{2}\right.$ dimer inside a $\mathrm{Pd}_{6} \mathrm{~L}_{4}$ cage was studied. This complex, exists as a mixture of 4 different isomers in equilibrium in solution. In the presence of a Pd-based supramolecular assembled cage, the complex was quantitatively encapsulated. The driving force of the encapsulation is a $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the indenyl groups and the aromatic wall that constituted the cage. Only one compound was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of the encapsulated complex. Furthermore, only two peaks were observed for the CO ligands in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, one at 250 ppm and one at 190 ppm corresponding to the bridging CO ligand, and the terminal CO. Thus, the authors concluded that the encapsulated product was the cis-bridge isomer, displacing all the equilibria to form $\mathbf{1} \subset \mathbf{2}$ (Figure $\mathrm{I}-1$ ).


Figure I-1: Selective encapsulation of a cis-[( $\eta^{5}$-indenyl) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]_{2}$ complex by a coordination cage.
They also report an increase of the lifetime of the complex, being stable during several months. Additionally, through NMR, IR and X-ray analysis, it was proved that the complex behaves similarly in solution and in the solid state.

[^1]At the same time,13 Love and coworkers showed that a cyclic polypyrrolic-Schiff base ligand can form metal complexes with different metal ions. ${ }^{14}$ In one of the reports, the authors showed that the monomeric Pacman-shaped ligand could coordinate $\mathrm{MgBu}_{2}$ complexes. Addition of small amounts of water to the complex produces the hydrolysis of the MgBu salts. This hydrolyzed complex forms a $\mathrm{Mg}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ cubane inside two ligand molecules. This two ligands formed a dimeric capsule protecting the cubane within $\left(\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right] \subset(3)_{2}\right)$. The driving force to stabilize it inside the assembly is the formation of a network of hydrogen bonding between the OH groups of the complex and the nitrogen atoms of the ligand, observed in the crystal structure. (Figure l-2).


Figure l-2: Schematic structures of the Pacman shaped ligand and the encapsulated $\mathrm{Mg}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ cubane.

Few years later, Ballester and coworkers were interested in the confining mechanism of different gold catalysts inside a capsule. ${ }^{15}$ The nature of the capsule was based in a hydrogen bonded assembly of six resorcin[4]arenes (4). ${ }^{16}$ Additionally, the formation of inclusion complexes with IPrAuX complexes was reported ${ }^{17}$ but the mechanism was not elucidated (Scheme I-1).


4

(IPr)AuX


46

$(\operatorname{IPr}) A u X \subset \mathbf{4}_{6}$

Scheme l-1: Schematic structures of the capsule and the studied complexes.

[^2]During the encapsulation studies, the authors observed a kinetically slow encapsulation of the cationic $\mathrm{IPrAu}^{+}$complex. Thus, the authors proposed that in a first instance IPrAu(tmbn) $\mathrm{SbF}_{6} 5$ must dissociate first its ligand. Then, the resulting aqua complex can be encapsulated driven by cation- $\pi$ attractive interactions, forming a stable inclusion complex (Scheme I-2).


Scheme l-2: Schematic mechanism of the formation of the inclusion complex

To improve the rate of the encapsulation and avoid the rupture of the capsule due to an excess of water, the authors decided to form the aqua complex before the encapsulation. In this case, the complex was observed as a mixture of $(\operatorname{IPr}) \mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)$ and the dimeric $(\operatorname{IPrAu})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})$ complex (7). ${ }^{18}$ Nevertheless, in presence of 46 the authors observed only the encapsulation of the monomeric complex. To explain this observation, the packing coefficients (PC) were calculated. ${ }^{19}$ The PC of 7 is $70 \%$, thus, higher than the ideal $55 \%$ postulated by Rebek. In conclusion, it cannot be encapsulated inside the cage. In contrast, the PC for the monomeric aqua complex 6 was calculated to be $34 \%$, fitting inside the guest. Thus, the equilibrium is pushed to the thermodynamically stable $\mathbf{6} \subset \mathbf{4}$ producing the complete dissociation of the dimer prior to the encapsulation (Scheme l-3).


Scheme l-3: Schematic mechanism of the formation of the inclusion complex

[^3]To get more insights about the possibilities of this system, the authors were interested in the confinement of cationic phosphane complexes. Despite the appropriate PC for the monomeric 8, the acetonitrile ligand was displaced to form the aqua complex 9. Surprisingly, $\mathbf{9} \subset \mathbf{4}_{6}$ was the only complex observed within. In contrast to $\mathbf{7}$, when the the $\mu$-OH dimer $\mathbf{1 0}$ is formed prior to the encapsulation, the host-guest complex is quantitatively observed inside the capsule without any dissociation. The authors explained this behavior with the ideal PC $=55 \%$ for the dimer, thus a ideal fitting inside the host (Scheme l-4).


Scheme I-4: Proposed equilibria in the encapsulation of different gold(I) phosphine complexes.

In these examples, the possibility of encapsulating discrete metal complexes was illustrated. Those systems showed how different natures of cavities can confine reactive metal complexes. The confinement of those complexes afforded stable structures with well-defined conformations. In addition, for the three cases, an additional stabilization was observed, which was reflected in increased lifetimes.

## 2. Effect of the cavity in encapsulated dynamic species

Studying the encapsulation of $\left[\operatorname{lr}(C O D)_{2}\right]^{+}(11)^{20}$ inside a Rebek's self-folding cavitand (12)21, Ballester found that the included [ Ir$]^{+}$complex inside the vase-shaped cavitand had a particular motion and chiral properties. First, the encapsulation of the complex was detailed. It starts with a displacement of one of the COD ligands (cycloocta-1,5-diene) by two solvent molecules to form $\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}(\mathrm{COD})(13)$. This complex, driven by cation- $\pi$ and $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ interactions is included inside the host. (Scheme l-5)

[^4]

Scheme I-5: Structure of the Rebek's self folding cavitand 12 and the proposed mechanism of the encapsulation of 13.

Despite the chiral directionality of the hydrogen bonding of the amides, the NMR study of the host 12 showed a $\mathrm{C}_{4 v}$ symmetry, pointing to a mixture of two cycloenantiomers in equilibrium in solution. DFT calculations of the system showed an interconversion barrier between the enantiomers of $18 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, thus, both are conformationally stable. When the complex is encapsulated inside the vessel, the authors observed a reduction of the symmetry from a $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{v}}$ to a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and the formation of two products in proton NMR. These two products were showed to be two different diasteroisomers, with a slow rotational motion on the NMR timescale.

The diastereotopic chirality of the system was afforded by the chirality of the encapsulated $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{COD})(\mathrm{THF})_{2}$ (13). It was revealed by the resonances of the protons of the COD ligand. In their report, based on crystallographical data, ${ }^{22}$ the authors explained that despite the fact that the COD is usually drawn structure in a boat (B) conformation, the most stable structure is the twisted boat (13-TB). This TB conformation adds to the system the additional chirality to form two diastereomers. Thus, the formation of the host-guest system inside a chiral vessel 13 $\subset 12$, forms 4 products, two pairs of diastereoisomers and two pairs of enantiomers (cycloenantiomers) (Scheme I-6).

[^5]Cycloenantiomers


Scheme I-6: Structures of the fours isomers of 13 $\subset 12$.

Studying the mechanism of the isomerization of the system, the authors proved by DFT calculations that the isomerization must proceed without breaking the H-bonding network of the amides, thus, without guest exchange. Supported by variable temperature NMR experiments, the authors showed that the isomerization was achieved through a twisted boat to twisted boat isomerization, passing through a boat conformation (Scheme I-7). DFT calculations of this putative mechanism showed that this isomerization had a energy barrier of $8.6 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}} \mathrm{mo}^{-1}, 2.5$ times higher than the one calculated for the free complex in solution.


In conclusion, the encapsulation of a metal complex added two new properties to the confined complex. The first one, a particular chirality, behaving inside the guest as pairs of diastereoisomers. The second one, an increase of the interconversion barrier between two diastereoisomers in comparison to the free complex.

In the following example, aiming to mimic the active site of a redox active enzyme,23 Reinaud and coworkers developed a tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren)-modified calix[6]arene cavitand able to form monomeric $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ complexes 14 (Figure $\mathrm{I}-3$ ). Thanks to the cavity, a monomeric Cul/Cull reactivity could be developed, avoiding dimerization of the $\mathrm{Cu}($ tren $)$ system. This system showed to produce guest exchanges driven by an electrochemical stimulus. ${ }^{24}$


Figure I-3: Calix[6]trenCu 14 and its schematic representation.

For the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { calix }[6] \text { tren })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+} \mathbf{1 4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ where the water molecule is endo-coordinated to the copper(II) atom, an irreversible reduction peak at -0.94 V and a "sluggish" reoxidation wave at +0.03 V were observed. Since the use of different oxo-ligands ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{DMF}$ ) induced no change on the reoxidation peak, the authors proposed that the reduction of the oxophilic $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ complex leads to a decoordination and ejection of the guest from the cavitand. Thus, the reoxidation peak is due to the guest-free $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex.

When two competitive guest (MeCN and DMF) are present during the experiment, a redox-dependent interconversion of the endo-coordinated ligand is observed. Starting from $[\mathrm{Cu} \text { (calix[6]tren) }]^{2+}$ the coordination of the DMF is observed (14(DMF) ${ }^{2+}$ ). In the way of the reduction of the CV, an irreversible reduction of $14(\mathrm{DMF})^{2+}$ is observed, producing an ejection of the DMF molecule and a coordination of a MeCN molecule $14(\mathrm{MeCN})+$. The pentacoordinated $14(\mathrm{MeCN})^{+}$complex was reoxidizes at a different $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ different that the one observed in absence of MeCN . This oxidation leads to a subsequent guest ejection and recoordination of the DMF molecule 14(DMF) ${ }^{2+}$ in a completely reversible cycle (Scheme l-8)

[^6]

Scheme I-8: Redox cycle observed for 14(DMF)

In this example, the confinement of a monometallic $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ atom inside the cavitand provides a protection of the system towards the formation of dimeric species. This protection allowed the authors to observe and study a dynamic behavior of a monometallic system.

## C. Effect of the confinement in the electrochemical behavior

## 1. Effect of the cavity on redox-active host-guest complexes

The encapsulation of a metal complex inside a well-defined space can modify the redox properties of the free system. In this field, the most popular studies involved the encapsulation of a redox active center (used as guest) inside nanosized space (host) by weak interactions. The most popular redox active guests are the ferrocene (Fc) and cobaltocenium (Cob+). In this part, some examples on how the nature of the cavity can influence the behavior in cyclic voltammetry of the encapsulated complex will be discussed.

One of the earliest reports of Evans. The authors studied the redox behavior of a water soluble Fc derivative and its host-guest complex with $\beta$-cyclodextrin ( $\beta$-CD). ${ }^{25}$ In their work, the authors found a decrease of the response of the Fc in cyclic voltammetry experiments. Additionally, a flattening of the oxidation wave at fast scan rates was also found. To explain this phenomenon, the authors proposed that the encapsulated Fc inside the cyclodextrin was electrochemically silent. Thus, to observe a signal in the CV voltammogram, the dissociation of the Fc $\subset \beta$-CD must take place before the electron transfer (Figure). Therefore, the only signal observed is the one belonging to the free ferrocene in solution.


Scheme I-9: Observed process to explain the electrochemical behavior of Fc $\subset \beta-C D$.

In the original paper, the origin of the redox inactivity was unknown. It was not established if the electron transfer did not take place because of a steric hindrance around the metal center (thus, kinetically hindered) or if the oxidized $\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$was unstable inside the $\beta-\mathrm{CD}$ (thus, thermodynamically hindered). To elucidate these different contributions, the behavior of different hosts was studied. ${ }^{26}$

For example, the behavior of ferrocene complexes inside Cram's hemicarcerands ${ }^{27} 15$ was studied by Kaifer. In contrast to the experience with $\beta-C D$, the confined complex Fcc15 have responses in cyclic voltammetry, of a system that cannot release its guest ${ }^{28}$. Besides, two different effects were observed in the experiments: A positive shift of the half-wave potential of ( $\left.\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{Fc} \subset 15)\right)$ and a reduction of the intensity of response.

[^7]The first effect was proposed to be a thermodynamic contribution due to the difficulty of formation of cationic species inside the guest. These cationic species inside the hemicarcerand are not able to complete their solvation sphere because of the steric hindrance afforded by the walls. Thus, this disruption leads to a less stable cationic Fc+, requiring a higher energy for the oxidation, translated in a higher oxidation potential.

The second effect was proposed to be related to the distance of the Fc from the electrode's surface. The authors proposed that the walls of the encapsulating hemicarcerand added an additional distance form the electrode. Using molecular dynamic methods, the authors estimate the size of the hemicarcerand complex to $1.8 \mathrm{~nm} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~nm}$, much bigger than the free ferrocene ( 0.7 nm diameter). This bigger size, increased significantly the distance of the ferrocene from $3.5 \AA$ to $9 \AA$ from the electrode's surface, thus, adding a kinetic constraint to the electron transfer (Figure I-4).

$\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{1 5}$


Figure I-4: Structure of the hemicarcerand and metrics of the encapsulated complex.

The use of Rebek's self-folding resorcin[4]arenes 16 was also studied to understand the behavior of Fc and Cob+ based inclusion complexes. In this case, the encapsulation produced a loss of the electrochemical response of both inclusion complexes (Scheme I-10). Fcc1629 and Cob $+\subset 16{ }^{30}$ were electrochemically silent.

[^8]

Scheme l-10: Formation of the electrochemically silent inclusion complex Cob+C16.

A report of a structurally related resorci[4]arene cavitand 17 was done by Ballester and Sarmentero. ${ }^{31}$ In their system, the cavitand structure was incomplete as one of the walls was missing, and the metal was only partially confined and exposed to the solvent. Despite the partial confinement of the complex, the association constant is $1.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$, ten times higher than $\mathrm{Fc} \subset \beta-\mathrm{CD}$ (Scheme I-11). The authors attribute this high association constants to $\mathrm{CH}-\pi$ and cation- $\pi$ interactions, revealing a high affinity of these self folding cavitands for cationic guests.

Inside this new confining system, the CV of the host-guest complex showed a loss of $50 \%$ of the intensity of the signal, and a shift of the reduction of Coll/ $/$ Coll couple form -0.9 V (Cob+) to -1.1 V (Fcc15). The reduction of the intensity was proposed to be related to the distance from the electrode. ${ }^{25}$ The negative shift was proposed to be due to a stabilization of the cationic complex inside the host, that possesses a higher affinity for the charged Cob ${ }^{+}$.


17


Cob+c17

Scheme I-11: Structure of the cavitand and the host-guest complex.

[^9]In conclusion, two effects are observed at this point for the encapsulation. The kinetic constraint on the electron transfer due to the distance of the metal from the electrode produces a reduction of the intensity of the signal observed in CV. 32 This reduction can be total, leading to electrochemically silent host-guest complexes. ${ }^{26}$ The second one is related to the formation of complexes that are more stable than the free one. This stabilization produces changes on the redox potential of the system.

Different families of resorcin[4]arene capsules were studied in the literature. ${ }^{34}$ In the next example, the authors studied the behavior of the host-guest complex based on dimeric resorcin[4]arene capsules with Fc hosts. CV experiments showed that the host-guest complex is electrochemically silent ${ }^{29}$. In this work, the use of a mediator to prove that a redox activity inside the guest was studied. ${ }^{33}$ When $\mathrm{FcNMe}_{3} 18$ is added to the solution and the CV is carried out, one oxidation and two reduction peaks are observed. The oxidation one was attributed to the $\mathrm{FcNMe}_{3} / \mathrm{FcNMe}_{3^{+}}$couple. The two peaks were found to correspond to $\mathrm{FcNMe}_{3}+/ \mathrm{FcNMe}_{3}$ and to the free $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}$ reductions.

The observation of the reduction of the free $\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$let the authors state that a mediator can transfer the electrons from the electrode surface to the sterically hindered metal complex. Additionally in this case, the cationic $\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$was not stable inside the dimeric capsule and the assembly was broken to release the guest to the solution. Superimposable CV on continuous scans proved that the assembly-disassembly was made in a reversible way.


Scheme I-12: Proposed mechanism in solution for the redox reactions of this system.

[^10]In conclusion, encapsulation of redox systems induces an increase of the distance from the electrode surface, translated in a reduction of the response. Nevertheless, this reduction of the signal is not a consequence of an inactive host guest complex. ${ }^{27}$ The use of partially encapsulated complexes can recover the electrochemical activity. ${ }^{31}$ The effect of the encapsulation was showed to be dependent on the nature of the weak interactions between the metal center and the walls of the cavitand, as well as the size of the confining space. Besides, the addition of the correct mediator allows to transfer the electron from the surface of the electrode and the hindered metal center.

## 2. Effect of the cavity on covalently linked complexes

Later reports of encapsulated redox centers changed slightly the approach. Inspired by the usual N -coordinating hystidines of the enzymes covalently linked metals were used as model systems. ${ }^{24} \mathrm{~N}$-cryptand-modified cavitands were thus used to develop models of the restricted space of the active site of enzymes, usually using copper as model redox center. ${ }^{24}$

Martinez and coworkers showed that tren-modified hemicrytophanes can coordinate $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ complexes with interesting redox properties. ${ }^{34}$ In their report, the authors showed the same ligand exchange process observed by Reinaud with 14,24 driven by an electrochemical stimulus. In addition, the authors observed a dependence of the redox potential depending on the topology of the hemicryptophane. Additionally, since no deposit of Cu was observed during the electrochemical experiences, the authors suggest a stabilization afforded by the confinement.


Figure l-5: Structures and redox potentials of the hemicryptophane-tren complexes

[^11]The redox potentials of the Cu center were dependent on the topology of the cage varying from -0.68 V to -0.91 V for the reduction and from 0.1 V to 0.79 V for the reoxidation. This changes on the redox potentials, depending on the structures of the molecular cavitand, suggest a dependence on the topology of the confined space, and the size of the pocket. Nevertheless, the authors did not explained this effect (Figure l-5).

Recently, Le Mest and Reinaud described the electrochemical behavior of monocopper calix[6]arene(tmpa) ${ }^{35} 22$ (tmpa = tris(2-aminomethylpyridyl)amine). Mimicking the confined space of an active site of an enzyme, the authors were interested in the effect of the water molecules inside the cavity of the calixarene.


22

Figure I-6: Studied calix[6]arene(tmpa) and its schematic representation.

On the CV voltammogram of [calix[6]tmpaCu( $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1}\right]^{+}$under anhydrous conditions, the authors observed an irreversible reduction peak for the Cul/Cul couple at -0.20 V , and a irreversible oxidation peak at +0.75 V . Addition of controlled amounts of water ( 14 and 56 equivalents) lead to a shift of the oxidation peak at +0.25 V and 0.13 V . Due to the dependance of the redox potential on the amount of water, the authors proposed that small clusters of water molecules inside the cavity must be involved on the electrochemical properties.
$0.70 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}}\right)$

calix[6]tmpa
$-0.35 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}}\right)$

calix[6]tmpa $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1}$
$0.13 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)$

calix[6]tmpa $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$

Figure I-7: Structures of $\mathbf{2 2}$ aqua complexes.

[^12]In the report, the authors explain that the structural synergy between the conformation of the cavity and the coordination geometries of the Cu in different oxidation states are involved in the redox pontentials. The Cul complex, coordinated to only three ligands of the tmpa36 and one water molecule $\left(22-\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right)$, favors a geometry where a network of H-bonding can stabilize a second water molecule inside the cavity. This geometry establish one H-bond between the two water molecules and the water molecules and the OMe groups of the calixarene. When the complex is oxidized, the Cull atom $\left(22-\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right)$ is coordinated by the last pyridyl ligand and adopts a TBP geometry. This geometry cannot form the H -bonding with the second water molecule and forces the ejection of the guest (22-Cull $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ).


Scheme l-13: Mechanism of the redox behavior of 22

In conclusion, the impact of the cavity in the stabilization of a controlled amount of water was shown. In this example, the possibility of stabilization of different ligands by a confined metal, modifying its redox properties was illustrated.

[^13]
## D. Effect of the confinement of a metal on its catalytic properties

In the following part, the effects of the encapsulation of a metal complex on its reactivity will be shown. The next examples will show how the presence of a restricted, well-defined cavity around a metal center can modify different aspects of the reactivity of a catalytic system.

## 1. Cavity turning off the reactivity: On-off and product inhibition

Reek and coworkers studied the effect of the encapsulation of a (IPrAu) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ catalyst on the reactivity in a hydroxyphenoxilation reaction. 37 The characteristic of this reaction is the requirement of two gold centers to activate simultaneously, the phenol and the alkyne (Scheme I-14). 38


Scheme I-14: Dual activation of phenol and the alkyne.

Using the resorcinarene-assembled cage 46, the authors were able to encapsulate the aqua complex within. Using the encapsulated $\operatorname{IPrAu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{6} \subset \mathbf{4}_{6}$ to catalyze the reaction no reactivity was observed in the formation of 25 . To recover the activity of 6 the authors added $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}{ }^{+}$salts, a better guest than the complex for the cage. Thus, the encapsulated complex was released from the cage leading to the recovery of the activity of the system. (Scheme l-15)

[^14]

Scheme l-15: Switch of the reactivity of $\mathbf{6} \subset \mathbf{4}_{6}$

Studying the Zn catalyzed hydrolysis of MeCN inside a tetraimidazole substituted resorcinarene $\mathbf{2 6}(\mathrm{MeCN})$, Reinaud and coworkers observed a strong product inhibition of the catalytic site of the complex. 39 In their system, to allow the hydrolysis reaction, a simultaneous coordination of the MeCN and a water molecule is required. At the same time, the noncoordinated arm of the cavitand assisted the acid-base reaction and the nucleophilic attack to the endo-bounded MeCN . The resulting acetamide must be displaced by the MeCN to start again the catalytic cycle (Scheme).

During the study of the kinetics of the reaction, the authors observed a burst effect at the beginning of the reaction followed by a 5000 fold slowing down the reaction rate. To explain this behavior, the authors showed that the acetamido ligand ( $\mathbf{2 6}(\mathrm{MeCONH})$ ) produces a poisoning of the endo-coordination of the complex (OFF). To recover the catalytic activity of the complex, the addition of a high excess of water was required to displace the acetamide from the "catalytic site" (ON).

[^15]

Scheme I-16: Proposed mechanism for the hydrolysis of the acetonitrile

## 2. Controlled environment to reduce deactivation pathways

Increasing the steric bulk around a metal complex can be used to improve the efficiency of some catalytic reactions. ${ }^{2}$ In some cases, increasing the steric hindrance of a traditional ligand can lead to bowl shaped pseudo-cavitands. 40,41 This phosphine bowl-shaped ligand 27 was used in a Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of ketones to give yields 10 fold higher than $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{42}$ In their report, the authors rationalized this yield enhancement with by formation of monocoordinated Rh complexes. These mono-phosphine Rh complexes are more reactive in hydrosilylation reaction. The three-dimensional bowl-shaped ligands avoids the deactivation by di- or tri-phosphine Rh complexes. ${ }^{43}$


Scheme I-17: Structure of the Bowl-shape phosphine and catalytic application in a Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation

[^16]The synthesis of sterically demanding catalysts was also developed by confinement of transition metals inside molecular cavitands. Martinez groups developed tren-based hemycriptophane-copper complex 19 able to catalyze the oxidation of cycloalkanes with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} .44$ The complex 19 allowed the oxidation of cyclohexane in $30 \%$ yield. In contrast, when simple $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tren})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ complexes were used, the products were obtained in $15 \%$ yield. The authors suggest that the origin of this better performance was the stability of the confined metal, preventing intermolecular oxidative degradation.



Scheme l-18: Oxidation of cyclohexane by 19

The use of porphyrin assemblies confining catalytically relevant porphyrins was developed by Hupp and coworkers. ${ }^{45}$ In their first report, the authors observed an enhancement of the stability and turnover numbers (TON) in an epoxidation of alkenes catalyzed by $\mathrm{Mnc} \mathbf{2 9}$. The better stability was explained by the blocking of degradative pathways involving the formation of Mn-O-Mn complexes. ${ }^{46}$ This property, increased the stability and TON numbers up to 20000 .


Scheme I-19: Schematic synthesis of the formation of the Mnc29 and catalytic application.

[^17]In 2011, Raymond and Bergman reported the encapsulation of different transition metal complexes inside a $\left[\mathrm{Ga}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{6}\right]^{12-}$ supramolecular assembly $\mathbf{3 2}^{47}$. In the case of $\mathrm{RuCp}^{*}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ 33,48 the full encapsulation of the metal complex was produced with only one equivalent of the cage in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The complexation inside the assembly increased the lifetime and the solubility of the complex in water by at least an order of magnitude compared to 33.


Scheme l-20: Structure and encapsulation of the $33 \subset 32$

The catalytic activity of the encapsulated complex was studied performing a Rucatalyzed allyl-alcohol isomerization. Kinetic studies of the reaction showed that when $\mathbf{3 3} \subset \mathbf{3 2}$ was used, a reduction of the turnover frequency from 44 to $16 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ was obtained. In contrast, the turn over number (TON) of the catalyst increased by up to 1070 cycles, higher compared to the same complex in organic solvents. The authors attribute this high TON number to a protection from decomposition by the cage. This TON was the highest reported for a supramolecular catalyst at that time ${ }^{49}$.


Scheme l-21: Allyl isomerization catalyzed by 33с32

[^18]The use of a confined space to increase the rates of a reaction was also explored by Martinez. Their system is based in an hemicryptophane that confines an oxido-vanadium complex inside a highly hydrophobic pocket. 50 Taking advantage to the hydrophobicity of the cage, the authors proved that the oxidation of thioanisole could reach turnover numbers of 10000, extremely high for a confining system. Additionally, the rates of the reaction are faster than non-confining oxido-vanadium complexes. ${ }^{51}$


Scheme l-22: Oxidation of thioanisole performed by a confined oxido-vanadium complex.

Besides, this catalytic reaction obeys a Michaelis-Menten mechanism, proved by saturation studies. In addition, inhibition by substrates that can fit inside the pocket was proved with $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}{ }^{+}$salts. Thus, the system behaves as an enzyme mimic.

## 3. Restricted cavities to form more reactive catalysts

The use of a macromolecular assembly to increase the effective concentration of a catalyst inside a confined space was developed by Reek and coworkers. ${ }^{52}$ In their work, the concentration of the catalyst was increased inside a nanosphere, thus, in local spaces of the solution. The overall concentration depends on the $A: B$ ratio of ligands. Thus, in a $A: B=18: 6$, at 5 mM of nanosphere in solution, the concentration inside is increased to 0.27 M . If the nanosphere is constituted only by $A(A: B=24: 0)$ at 5 mM the concentration inside is increased to 1.07 M .

[^19]

Scheme l-23: Synthesis of the nano spheres $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{B}_{b} \mathrm{Pd}_{12}(\mathrm{OTf})_{24}$

The use of the nanospheres was proved to increase the reactivity in a gold catalyzed hydroxyalkylation of allenes. Using the $\mathbf{A}_{6} \mathbf{B}_{18}$ assembly, 40 was obtained only in $10 \%$ yield. In contrast, using the more gold-concentrated $\mathbf{A}_{24} \mathbf{B}_{0}$ nanosphere, the yield was significantly improved to $90 \%$. This effect was proved to be related to the concentration of gold in the confined space.


Scheme I-24: Hydroxyalkylation of 39 catalyzed by the nanospheres.
The $\left[\mathrm{Ga}_{4} \mathrm{~L}_{6}\right]^{12+}$ supramolecular assembly 32 was showed to be a good encapsulating system for $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{AuBr}$ complex $41 .{ }^{53}$ The use of the confined complex in a gold catalyzed hydroalkoxylation of allenes increases the reactivity, in comparison with the free catalyst. The use of the free complex to catalyze the cyclization of 42 produces a conversion of the starting material with $11 \%$ conversion. In contrast, using the confined complex $41 \subset 32$, the conversion was improved up to $48 \%$. Studying the encapsulation of the complex inside the cage, the authors observed a full dissociation of the Br ligand. This dissociation encapsulates produces a more reactive cationic gold complex inside the guest without silver salts.

[^20]

Scheme I-25: Gold-catalyzed hydroxyalkylation of 42
Using the same supramolecular assembly 32, Toste and Raymond showed that the encapsulation of high-valent Ptlv 44 and Aull 47 dialkyl complexes reduced the high kinetic barrier of the reductive elimination ${ }^{54}$. The addition of catalytic amounts of the cage produced an increase of the rate of the reductive elimination. It the case of gold, the observed $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ was reduced from 20 weeks to 53 minutes in the case of gold ( 4000 fold faster) and in the case of $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{IV}}$, from 45 days to 47 seconds ( 80000 fold faster). Kinetic studies showed a saturation behavior for both, Au and Pt complexes, consistent with a Michaelis-Menten like mechanism, thus, an enzyme-like kinetics (Scheme l-26).


The mechanism and the effect of the cavity is not well understood. The complexation is driven by the hydrophobic microenvironment created inside the cavitand. Further studies of the effects of the cavity on the mechanism suggests that the cage favors the ionization of the metal complex. This cationic metal complex undergoes faster the reductive elimination. ${ }^{55}$

[^21]In addition, in a proof of concept, the authors took advantage of the assembly to develop a Pt-based dual catalytic cross-coupling reaction, ${ }^{54}$ impossible to perform without the presence of the cage. To do so, starting from 45 the formation of the Pt complex 49 was done by transmetallation with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$. This complex can undergo an oxidative addition of Mel to form 44. 44 can then be encapsulated by the supramolecular assembly $42 \subset 32$ to perform the reductive elimination and regenerate the catalyst 45.



Scheme I-26: Reaction and mechanism of the dual catalysis.

## 4. Confined spaces for selective reactions

In addition to the differences in reactivity in terms of rates and TON explained previously, reactions developed inside a confined space can also vary in terms of selectivity. ${ }^{59}$ The threedimensional arrangement of the substrates and the catalyst can be structured differently by the effect of the cavity. ${ }^{56}$ This selectivity can be classified in regioselectivity, enantioselectivity, as well as in terms of substrate selection and product distribution.

## i. Regioselectivity

Alkyne-hydration of internal alkynes was proved to be a non-selective reaction when the substituents of the triple bond are different. ${ }^{57}$ Nevertheless, the selectivity of this reaction could be improved using a resorcin[4]arene-based ligand. The chemical structure of the cavitand was designed with a ligand close to the cavity and a H -bonding acceptor in the other side of the macrocycle. In addition, the complexed gold center 50 is confined by two quinoxalines. This dual cavitand forced the complexation of the alkyne, favoring the approach of smallest substituents towards the pocket. The hydrogen bonded acceptor forced the intramolecular attack of the water molecule achieving selectivities higher than $91: 9.58$


| R | R' | Yield (A : B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Et | $n \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $9 \%: 91 \%$ |
| Me | $n \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $18 \%: 82 \%$ |
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Proposed approach for the selectivity

Figure I-7: Regioselective hydration of internal alkynes catalyzed by $\mathbf{5 0}$ complex.

In this field, NHC-capped cyclodextrins (ICyD) were efficient catalysts in a regioselective hydroboration of alkynes. The system will be detailed in chapter II.59

[^22]
## ii. Enantioselectivity

Even if chiral supramolecular entities were largely studied, in most of the cases the metal is just placed close to the chiral moiety. ${ }^{60,61}$ Fully confined metals inside a discrete moiety, for asymmetric transformations remains limited. One of the first reports of fully confined metal inside a cavity to perform an enantioselective reaction was the use of Au-based ICyDs that will also be explained in detail later ${ }^{62}$.

The encapsulation of a chiral complex inside of a nonchiral supramolecular cage was the approach followed by Reek, Ribas and Costas. ${ }^{63}$ The system was constituted of a Zn -porphyrin 51, and a palladium ligand 52. In a $2: 1$ ratio, the ligands are assembled forming a macromolecular assembly. The apical coordination site of the Zn can complex a BINOL-based Rh complex (Rhс53) inside the cage.


52
Scheme I-27: Structure of the macromolecular assembly Rhc53.

The confined $\mathbf{R h} \subset 53$ was used in an enantioselective hydroformylation of styrene. The reaction gave high TONs at very low concentration of catalyst ( $0.02 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The products of the reaction were obtained with enantiomeric excesses up to $74 \%$ ee and TONs of 1600. A significant improvement can be observed by comparison with the free Rh-complex that performs the reaction with $7 \%$ ee and a TON of 41.

[^23]

Scheme l-28. Hydroformylation reaction catalyzed by Rhc53

Using a bowl-shaped confining chiral vanatrane, Martinez et al showed that confined vanadium inside the hydrophobic pocket 54 could perform the oxidation of thioethers in ee up to $42 \% 64$. In their report, the authors showed that the formation of the (R)-enantiomer 38 was 1.5 times faster than (S)-38, obtaining a $18 \%$ ee.


Scheme l-29: Enantioselective oxidation of 37 catalyzed by 54.

Furthermore, addition of one equivalent of oxidant to the mixture produced the oxidation of the sulfoxide. In contrast, the $(S)$ enantiomer reacted faster. Using this kinetic resolution, ee's were improved up to $42 \%$ in favor of the $(R)$ enantiomer.


Scheme I-30: Kinetic resolution catalyzed by 54

[^24]An enantioselective reaction can also be obtained by coupling enzyme catalysis with transition metal catalysis. Nevertheless, direct interactions of the metal with the active enzyme must be avoided. 65 To perform a enzymatic gold catalyzed tandem reaction, the authors used the encapsulated 41 in the supramolecular cage $32 .{ }^{66}$ In this system, a esterase-mediated kinetic resolution could be coupled to a gold-catalyzed hydroxycyclization affording 58 with enantiomeric excesses up to $96 \%$.


Scheme I-31: Confined gold catalysis coupled to a tandem enzyme catalysis

## iii. Substrate selection

As showed previously, metal encapsulation can produce a deactivation of the catalytic system. ${ }^{37}$ Nevertheless, a controlled and well-defined steric hindrance around the metal center can produce a selective deactivation of the catalyst towards substrates that differs only by their size. ${ }^{67}$ Developing size-selective reactions, selective transformations of equivalent positions, depending on the differences on the steric hindrance around the reactive sites could be done. ${ }^{68}$

Kinetic studies showed that IPrAuOTf complexes encapsulated inside 46 reacted 1.3 times faster with phenylacetylene $\mathbf{6 0}$ than with the slightly bigger $p$-tolylacetylene $\mathbf{6 2}$ in a Aucatalyzed hydration of triple bonds. ${ }^{69}$


Scheme I-32: Kinetic substrate selection catalyzed by IPrAuOTf $\subset \mathbf{4}_{6}$

[^25]The supramolecular assemblies based on porphyrins were revealed to be substrate selective catalysts. ${ }^{70}$ The coordination of the porphyrin inside the supramolecular assembly $\mathrm{Mn} @ \mathrm{Zn}_{4}$ produces the formation of big cavities. The epoxidation reaction of an equimolar mixture of 64 and 66 produced the corresponding epoxides $65: 67$ in a $65: 35$ ratio. ${ }^{71}$ Is interesting to notice that the use of the big pores to develop substrate selective reactions requires extremely big differences on the sizes of both substrates.


66

$\mathbf{M n} \subset 29$
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Scheme I-33: Competitive substrate selective reaction catalyzed by the Mnc29

De Bruin and coworkers also used porphyrin-based supramolecular assemblies to confine a Co-porphyrin and develop a size selective cyclopropanation reaction. ${ }^{72}$ The cubic assembly was able to encapsulate one single Co porphyrin within 68. This assembly, was an active catalyst in a size-selective and diastereoselective cyclopropanation reaction of alkenes (d.r. = $80: 20$ ). Additionally, the authors proved that the reaction of a $1: 1$ mixture of 69 and 70, the products of the reaction were obtained in a 71:21 ratio in favor of the smallest one 72.


Scheme I-34: Competitive substrate selective reaction catalyzed by 68

[^26]The $\mathrm{Mn}=$ O-porphyrine 73 was shown to be prefolded in a molecular basket-shape. The control of the coordination modes of this cavitand led the authors to develop size selective reactions. ${ }^{73}$ In their report, the authors showed that the different coordination modes of the Mn center could be controled. Besides those coordination modes have different impacts on the reactivity of the complex.


Figure I-8: Studied molecular basket

Based on NMR studies, the use of N-methyl imidazole 74 was proved to coordinate the Mn center inside the prefolded cavitand $\mathbf{7 4} \subset \mathbf{7 3}$. This inner complexation, let the only possible reactive site outside of the cavity. In contrast, using more hindered imidazole derivatives 75, the authors proved the outer coordination (75)73. In this case, free reactive site is found inside the basket.


Scheme l-35: Coordination modes of $\mathbf{7 4 \subset 7 3}$ and (75)73.

[^27]The substrate selectivity was showed in an epoxidation of alkenes. The use of exo-free Mn basket $\mathbf{7 4} \subset \mathbf{7 3}$ produced the epoxidation of the 1:1 mixture of $76: 77$ in a 1.2 ratio, in favor of the cyclic product 79. In contrast, using the endo-free basket (75)73, the selectivity was improved to a 1:2 ratio of 78:79 in favor of the smallest one.


Rebek et al/4 synthesized a resorcinarene-based Pd 80 complex active in Tsuji-Trost reactions. Competition studies in $1: 1$ mixtures of allylic acetates differing on the size of the substitutent (81 and 82) showed that the smallest ones react faster than bigger ones. In their report, the authors proved by mass spectrometry of the reaction mixtures that the smaller ( $\eta^{3}$ allyl) complexes were formed prior to the bigger ones. The ratios obtained in the mass spectra were preserved in the product distribution at the end of the reaction. The authors used this observation to suggest that the substituent of the allyl is hosted inside the cavity. Remarkably the use of this smaller cavitand, in contrast to the bigger porphyrin assemblies, reaches ratios up to 98:2 in substrates differing by one single carbon 81:82.


Scheme l-37: Substrate selection of $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ catalyzed by $\mathbf{8 0}$

[^28]Raymond and Bergman showed that small phosphine complexes could be encapsulated inside the 32 supramolecular assembly. ${ }^{75}$ The complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+} 85$ was an active catalyst in an isomerization of small allyl alcohols. 76 The reaction of a $1: 1$ mixture of allyl alcohol 86 and crotyl alcohol 87 catalyzed by $85 \subset 32$ takes place only with the smaller one. The quantitative formation of propionic acid 88 and the total recovery of the crotyl alcohol 86 were observed.


Scheme I-38: Substrate selection catalyzed by $\mathbf{8 5 \subset 3 2}$

## iv. Product distribution

$\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})_{2}$ complexes encapsulated inside a self folding cavitand 12 showed a reactivity that differs from the one observed for the free $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})_{2}$ complex 89.77 The hydrogenation of norbornadiene 90 catalyzed by the free $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{ndb})_{2}$ complex produced the dimerization of the substrate in more than a $80 \%$ of the reaction mixture. In contrast, when the $\mathbf{8 9} \subset \mathbf{1 2}$ is used as catalyst, norbornene $\mathbf{9 2}$ is obtained in $58 \%$ of the sample. To justify the product distribution, the authors explained that the confined space of the cavitand blocks the transition state required to dimerize. This example illustrates how the encapsulation can change the reactivity of a catalyst, producing products that are not achieved by the free complex. ${ }^{78}$

[^29]

Scheme I-39: Product distribution catalyzed by 89с12

Pt-based supramolecular assembled nanospheres were also used in this field to increase the amount of Au atoms in a confined space. ${ }^{79}$ The use of the $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{AuCl}$ to catalyze the lactonization of 94 produces the 6 -endo product 96 and the 5 -exo product 95 in a $92: 8$ ratio. In contrast, using the nano spheres to catalyze the cyclization, the ratio is reduced to a 67:33. The authors justified this selectivity by a lower rate of the isomerization of the product of the reaction, afforded by a increased amount of gold atoms inside the nanosphere.
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Scheme I-40: Substrate selection catalyzed by Au confining nanospheres. Nanosphere obtained from the original paper ${ }^{79}$

The use of gold in confining systems to develop substrate selective reaction was exploited using different shapes of cavities. ${ }^{80}$ A bis-Au resorcinarene 97 was studied in a dual activation of alkynes. The use of the introverted complex 97 to catalyze the Conia-ene reaction ${ }^{81}$ afforded the dimeric compound 99 as single product of the reaction. The influence of the cavity is not well understood in the selectivity of the reaction. The authors suggest that the double coordination and the proximity of both substrates could be a key step in the mechanistic pathway. Additionally, this complex was used in a broad scope of substrates, illustrating the efficiency of this system in the dimerization of terminal alkynes.


Scheme I-41: Product distribution catalyzed by 97

[^31]The use of monomeric Au complexes inside cavitands has a complete different reactivity. Reek and coworkers showed that using IPrAuOTf inside the hexameric cage 46, the distribution of the products is different that the one observed with IPrAuOTf. 82 The use of the free gold complex produces the exclusive formation of the ketone 101. In contrast, by using the confined complex, the dihydronaphtalene 103 and the ketone 101 was obtained in a $12 \%$ yield each. Additionally, the aldehyde 102 never observed with a gold catalyst was obtained in 4\% yield. The origin of this selectivity was suggested to be an unusual folding of the substrate afforded by the nanoenvironment of the cage. Alternatively or additionally, the capsule can also impose a barrier, avoiding the entrance of water inside the cage to form 103.



Scheme I-42: Observed product distribution with a confined IPrAuOTf complex

Au-ICyDs were also used in a enyne-cycloisomerization reaction showing different product distributions depending on the topology of the catalyst. This studies will be shown in the next chapter. 59
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## E. Conclusions and outlook

Through this review, the effect of differently shaped cavities in the properties of an encapsulated metal complex was shown. From a structural point of view, an increased stability could be observed upon encapsulation of reactive species. It was shown that depending on the nature of the cavity and the metal complex, the corresponding confined complexes could have different dynamic behaviors inside the host.

Additionally, the close presence of a cavity around encapsulated redox centers can change their electrochemical properties. Cavity-induced changes of their redox potentials could be result of direct weak interactions with the metal or by different topologies of the cavity. Additionally, the arrangement of ligands inside the cavity can also produce ON/OFF reversible systems. The applications in catalysis were also studied herein.

The impact of different cavity shapes and sizes was studied, and their effects on the development of selective reactions was illustrated. It was showed that regio-, enantioselective, and size selective reactions could be developed using different confining systems. The improvement of a catalytic system by a confining space was highlighted by the increase of rates and yields of a reaction. Besides, the use of cavitands could be also used to add new mechanistic steps to a metal catalyzed transformation. Finally, the possibility of change the products of a reaction was illustrated.

Most of the examples presented here are beautiful proofs of concepts of the possibilities of a confined space. More research is now required to develop useful and applicable systems with a broader scope of applications.

## CHAPTER II

Cyclodextrin based metal complexes.
Structures and applications in catalysis

# CHAPTER II: Cyclodextrin based metal complexes. Structures and applications in catalysis 

Cyclodextrins are a family of natural macrocycles produced by the enzymatic degradation of starch ${ }^{83}$. The only building block of the structure is glucopyranose. These units are linked in the macrocycle through $\alpha-1,4$ glycosidic bonds. This precise linking gives the cyclodextrin a well-defined conic structure, where all the primary alcohols of the glucose units are placed on the narrower rim, the primary rim of the cyclodextrin, and all of the secondary alcohols of the cyclodextrin are placed on the wider secondary rim of the macrocycle. (Figure II-1)


Figure II-1: Three dimensional structure of native $\alpha$ cyclodextrin

## A. Nomenclature

Each sugar unit of the macrocycle is labeled with a capital letter starting from the most functionalized one and following the order of the 1,4 glycosidic bond.

On each sugar unit, each carbon is numbered starting from the most oxidized carbon, the anomeric one (Figure II-2).


Figure II-2: Nomenclature of cyclodextrins

## B. Structure

The three main classes of cyclodextrins are: $\alpha$ cyclodextrin with six glucose units (yellow), $\beta$ cyclodextrin with seven (blue) and $\gamma$ with eight (green). Structures and dimension of the three native cyclodextrins are well known ${ }^{84}$. All the cyclodextrins have the same heigh of 7.9 $\AA$ A but different width (Figure II-3). Cavity volumes are $174 \AA^{3}$, $262 \AA^{3}$ and $472 \AA^{3}$ for $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ cyclodextrins, respectively.


Figure II-3: Dimensions of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ cyclodextrins.
Due to the rigid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ conformation of each sugar unit, two faces are clearly differenciated. All of the H 3 and H 5 of the cavitand are pointing towards the inside of the cavity while the rest of the hydrogens of the glucose units are pointing towards the outside (Figure II-4).


Figure II-4: Hydrogen orientation on conic cyclodextrins

## C. Cyclodextrin-metal complexes

Because of their well-defined and chiral structure, natural origin, water solubility and encapsulation properties, ${ }^{85}$ cyclodextrins were used as skeleton to develop enzyme mimetic systems for more than 40 years. ${ }^{86}$

Since the NMR characterization of the first transition metal-cyclodextrin host-guest complex in solution by Stoddart ${ }^{87}$, the search for metal complexes where the cyclodextrin acts as second coordination sphere started to rise.

In the use of cyclodextrins as second coordination sphere for supramolecular catalysis and metalloenzyme mimicry, two approaches were followed:

1) Formation of a host-guest complex between the cyclodextrin and one or more of the ligands of the organometallic complex (Figure II-5-a). In this case, the cyclodextrin only acts as a second coordination sphere, conferring new properties such as water solubility ${ }^{88}$ or selectivity.
2) Covalent linkage between the cyclodextrin and the metal complex. In this precise situation, the cyclodextrin is part of the first and second coordination sphere. Traditional approaches in this field are still based on a host guest interaction between the cyclodextrin and the substrate, without any weak interaction with the ligand. These systems will be thus considered as transient second coordination spheres ${ }^{89}$ (Figure II-5-b). In contrast, more recent approaches developed covalent bonding to a metal complex, ${ }^{56}$ where the ligand interacts through well-defined weak interactions with the CD-skeletton ${ }^{90}$ in the precatalytic system, being at the same time, first and second coordination spheres (Figure II-5-c).


Figure II-5: Catalytic approaches based on the second coordination sphere.
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## 1. CD-metal complexes as second coordination sphere

Thanks to the study of the encapsulation and host-guest chemistry with cyclodextrins, 87 cyclodextrins were used as encapsulating agents to solubilize one or more partners of a catalytic system (ligand, metal complex or substrate)

Preliminary results showed that cyclodextrins could be used as phase transfer agents to carry an organic substrate to an aqueous phase in a Wacker oxidation of long chain alkenes. ${ }^{91}$

One of the early examples where the cyclodextrin acts only as a second coordination sphere was presented by Monflier et al. In their work, the authors showed that the phosphane ligand 104 was able to form $1: 1$ host guest complexes with the permethylated $\beta$-cyclodextrin. ${ }^{92}$ These adducts behave as water soluble ligands that, in presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, could catalyze the allylic amination of long chain allyl carbamates in a binary phase system.


Figure II-6: Two phase system reaction performed with a $\beta$-CD-ligand inclusion complex.

Other results of the same group showed that surprisingly, even water soluble phosphines like $\mathrm{P}\left(m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}\right)_{3}$ (TPPTS) could form host-guest complexes in water with native $\beta$-cyclodextrin. ${ }^{93}$ This result allowed the group to develop a broad scope of systems, based on permethylated cyclodextrin/ligand combinations to improve Rh catalyzed hydroformylation of alkenes. ${ }^{94}$ One of the proposed examples consisted in the cyclodextrin dimer 105, encapsulatign the phosphane ligand 106.



Figure II-7: Structure of the cyclodextrin dimer 105 and ligand 106

[^34]In the tested conditions, this dimeric platform, performed the reaction with better conversions and TON than the water Rh-TPPTS complex or other traditional cyclodextrin based hydroformylation systems ${ }^{95}$ (Table II-1). The simultaneous encapsulation of the catalyst and the substrate was used as an explanation for the better performance of this system.

| $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17}$ | $\frac{\text { Catalyst }(0,2 \%)}{\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ |  |  <br> Branched |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalyst | Conversion | Aldehyde selectivity | Ratio L/B |
| $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{TPPTS})_{2}(\mathrm{cod})$ | 5 \% | 65 \% | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}(104)(105)$ | $99 \%$ | $94 \%$ | 2.1 |

Table II-1: Hydroformylation reaction in presence and absence of the CD dimer 105.

These two examples showed how through a simple host-guest encapsulation, a given catalytic system can be improved in terms of selectivity or water solubility. What can happen if the complexity of the system is increased to a well-defined covalent cyclodextrin-ligand complexed to a metal center?
2. CD-metal complexes as first and transient second coordination sphere

A well-defined structure of a cyclodextrin covalently linked to the ligand can have other properties than water solubility of a metal complex and phase transfer. The very first reported covalent system of a CD-ligand was developed by Cramer in 1966 where a imidazol-substituted $\alpha$-cyclodextrin catalyzed the hydrolysis of aromatic esters in water 2000 fold faster through a acid-base catalyzed reaction. ${ }^{86}$

Four years after Cramer, Breslow and coworkers reported the first cyclodextrin-based metal complex able to enhance a catalytic activity. 96,97 In this early example, the authors synthesized a chemically functionalized cyclodextrin by a pyridyl ligand on the secondary rim. This pyridine ligand could form catalytically active $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ complexes able to catalyze efficiently the hydrolysis of aromatic acetates.

In their system, supported by binding constants between the $p$-nitrophenyl acetate and the metal complex as well as competition studies, the authors proved an enhancement of the hydrolysis rate of almost 3000 times over the non-catalyzed reaction. In their report, the authors proposed that this enhancement of rate is a result of the binding of the substrate with the catalyst thanks to the hydrophobic effect (Scheme II-1).
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Scheme II-1: Early example of a CD-based complex with a catalytic activity.

Later studies by Breslow showed that a bipyridyl-linked $\beta$-cyclodextrin dimer could form $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ metal complexes (106) able to hydrolyze ditopic hydrophobic esters in physiological conditions ${ }^{98}$. This metal complex, enhanced the rate of the saponification of ditopic esters with two different hydrophobic groups up to 220000 fold faster over than non-catalyzed reaction.

The authors suggested that the rates are increased because of the binding of both hydrophobic groups, that approaches the ester from the copper alkoxide 106 (Scheme II-2). In his report, the authors also suggest that the rates of this reaction are comparable to those of some acylating antibodies.


Scheme II-2: Hydrolysis of ditopic esters by a 106 complex.

This hydrophobic binding was used by Reetz and coworkers to develop a system able to perform a substrate selection in a catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes. ${ }^{99}$ To do so, the authors synthesized a $\beta$-cyclodextrin-modified diphosphane 107 which in the catalytic system forms 108 prior to 109 (scheme II-3). The rationalization of the origin of the substrate selection was suggested to be a better entrance and affinity constants between the phenyl ring and the $\beta$ cyclodextrin cavity.


Scheme II-3: Substrate selection promoted by a $\beta$-cyclodextrin-Rh complex.

One of the early examples of an asymmetric reduction promoted by the chirality of a cyclodextrin was developed by Woggon et al. The metal complexes developed in this example were an amino alcohol derivatives from the native $\beta$-cyclodextrin 110.100


Figure II-8: Structure of (CD)Rh complexes 110 and 111

In their seminal work, the use of these ligands in a Ru-catalyzed enantioselective reduction of ketones was studied. First, the use of the "achiral" ligand 110 produced the ( $R$ )alcohols in good yields and enantiomeric excess up to $50 \%$ ee. Surprisingly, by addition of a chiral center at the $\alpha$ position of the alcohol, the complex 111, formed the enantiomeric (S)alcohols in $51 \%$ yield but in $97 \%$ ee (Figure II-9).
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Scheme II-4: Reduction of aromatic ketones by 110 and 111.

The origin of enantioselectivity was suggested to be the formation of a host-guest complex inside the cavity of the cyclodextrin with an additional hydrogen bonding between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ of the amine and the ketone. This example is one of the first and unusual systems where a cyclodextrin core, promoted an enantioselective reaction, that can be inverted. Additionally, the applicability to a broad scope of substrates showed the robustness of the catalytic system.


Figure II-9: Suggested hydrogen transfer (Re attack)

One of the latest works by Breslow in this field used a tetra-cyclodextrin molecule linked to a Mn porphyrin 112 (Scheme II-5). In this system, a late stage oxidation of the C6 position of a sterol skeleton was performed in a regio- and stereospecific manner. The selectivity of the system is justified by the recognition through hydrophobic effect of the diametrically opposed substituents of the sterol derivative.

This recognition places the steroid skeleton with the C9 carbon next to the catalytic Mn center, oxidizing selectively in a late step of synthesis, the C9 carbon of the sterol derivative (Figure II-10). ${ }^{101}$


Scheme II-5: Selective hydroxylation at C9 by a (CD) 4 Mn- porphyrin complex


Figure II-10: Computer model of the complex of the substrate with 112. For clarity, the cyclodextrin ring not involved in binding is hidden. Image extracted from the reference 101.

The hydrophobic effect and the recognition of phenyl rings of the $\beta$-cyclodextrin was also exploited by Kostic and coworkers to develop a biomimetic peptidase. ${ }^{102}$ In this example a palladium(II) $\beta$-cyclodextrin conjugate was synthesized. In this complex, the cyclodextrin played the role of recognition site and the palladium, the active catalytic site of a synthetic peptidase. Thus, by complexation of a phenylalanine residue, in a 0.1 M aqueous phosphate buffer, the system cleaved selectively the proline peptidic bond 2 residues after a Phe, that was recognized by the cyclodextrin.


Figure II-11: Selective peptide bond cleavage of the Pro-Phe sequence.

To verify the role of the host guest complex, the complexation of the Phe of the sequence was proved to be inside the cavity by ROESY experiments. In this experiments, the aromatic ring showed cross peak correlations between the phenyl ring and the intra-cavity protons H3 and H5.


Figure II-12: Observed ROESY cross correlations observed between the Pro and the $\beta$-CD.

Harada and coworkers also contributed to this field by using a $\beta$-CD-phosphane derivative 114, able to form Ru-based metal complexes ${ }^{103}$. This water soluble Ru complexes could perform a Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization (ROMP) in aqueous media. Through association constants and competition studies, the key role of the recognition of the monomer developed by the cavity in the ROMP mechanism was proved.


Scheme II-6: (CD)Ru catalyzed Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerization

The last report describing a transient second coordination sphere cyclodextrin was shown last year by Bols and coworkers. In this study a $\beta$-cyclodextrin dicarboxylate 115 as ligand for transition metals of the first row was synthesized104. The complexation of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and the different acid-base constants of the aquo complexes was described.

Finally, the $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{COO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ complex was used as catalyst in an oxidation of benzylic alcohols showing for all the substrates a enhanced rate up to 2689 fold for the $p$-bromo derivative. Additionally, Hanes plot for the oxidation revealed an enzyme-like rate enhancing effect, allowing the authors to propose their systems as an artificial metalloenzyme (Scheme II-7).


Scheme II-7: Oxidation of benzylic alcohols by a $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{COO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ complex
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## 3. CD-metal complexes as first and second coordination spheres

First results on CD-based metal complexes where the cyclodextrin acts as second coordination sphere at the same time as the first coordination one, were started by Matt and Armspach with very structurally different phosphane-based ligands. Different applications this system such as the use of the cyclodextrin cavities as probes for ligand exchange processes were shown. 90

One of their main contributions in the synthesis of cyclodextrin-based metal complexes field was a primary rim modified permethylated $\alpha$-CD-diphosphane used as ligand for transition metal complexes. 90 The formation of coordinated organometallic complexes such as (CD)PdMeCl produced a singular downfield shift of the H 5 of sugar units $A$ and $D(H-5 A, D)$. This downfield shift (around 0.8 ppm by comparison with the free ligand) was proved to be related with a weak interaction of the H 5 and the chlorido ligands of the metal complex.

In this seminal work, the authors proved this weak-interaction thanks to proton NMR downfield shifts of the H 5 involved, ROESY with the phenyl rings of the phosphine and the H 6 of the cyclodextrin. These NMR data were correlated with the crystal structures of the metal complexes. Based on the crystal structure, the authors estimate the $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance at $2.6 \AA$ and rationalized the downfield shift of the H 5 with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interaction (Figure II-13).


Figure II-13: Structure of the complex 116 with the first identified $\mathrm{CH}^{5}-\mathrm{Cl}$ interaction

These cyclodextrin-based ligands allowed the group to develop a second generation of confining phosphanes, based either on $\alpha$ - or $\beta$-cyclodextrin, with two anchoring point on the primary rim ( $\alpha$-HUGHPHOS 117 and $\beta$-HUGHPHOS 118). These derivatives, with well-defined structures ${ }^{90}$, were proved to be extremely efficient catalysts in regio- and enantioselective hydroformylation of styrene ${ }^{105}$. In this reaction, both systems, $\alpha$-HUGPHOS 117 and $\beta$ HUGPHOS 118-Rhodium complexes perform the reaction under 40 bar of a $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{CO}$ atmosphere with selectivities Branched : Linear higher than 98:2 and 93\% ee.


Scheme II-8 : HUGPHOS catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene.

Subsequently, Sollogoub described diametrically opposed Pd-based CD-bis-NHC and CD-bis-MIC ligands ${ }^{106}$ where the same downfield shift on the H5 proved the presence of the metal complex in the entrance of the primary rim of the cyclodextrin. In this work, the authors demonstrated that the palladium complexes 108 and 109 were good candidates to perform Suzuki cross-coupling reactions. Additionally, as for all the examples presented before, the water solubility of the cyclodextrin made good water soluble palladium-based catalysts.

Through all these examples, a broad scope of possibilities for the use of cyclodextrins as platforms in catalysis were developed. Since the first example of Breslow, different approaches concerning the coordination spheres were taken conferring the metal complexes many different properties: water solubility, stability, or different selectivities in catalysis such as regioselectivity, enantioselectivity or substrate selection.
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| Catalyst | Solvent | Conversion | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | EtOH | 100 | 83 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | EtOH | 100 | 99 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 100 | 95 |

Scheme II-9: bis(NHC) and bis(MIC) catalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling reaction.

NEVERTHELESS, the common point of all of the systems presented in this bibliographical report, regardless of the nature of the second coordination sphere, the number of ligands, or the strategies used to approach the metal complex to the cyclodextrin core, is the presence of the metal only at the entrance of the cavity.

What can happen if moving one step further, the metal was placed deeper in a capped cavity? Will it be too hindered for a substrate to enter inside the cavity? What will be the new properties? What will be the structural effects?

## D. The only introverted CD-metal complexes. NHC-capped cyclodextrins

Previous work in the laboratory showed the synthesis of introverted mono-imidazolium salts in cyclodextrins, called ICyDs ${ }^{59}$ (Figure II-14). These mono-NHC-bridged cyclodextrins can coordinate group 11 metal complexes inside the cavity. These complexes have been proved to be the only introverted cyclodextrin-based complexes with interesting applications in catalysis.


Figure II-14: Structure of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)MCI complexes

## 1. Synthesis

These complexes are obtained using the synthetic methodology developed in the laboratory. ${ }^{107}$ Protection of $\alpha$ or $\beta$ native cyclodextrin in the presence of benzyl chloride and sodium hydride in DMSO afforded the protected perbenzylated cyclodextrins in 98\% yield. These protected cyclodextrins can be regioselectively deprotected using DIBAL-H in toluene, 1 M in the medium at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\alpha$-CD-AD-diol 120 and $\beta$-CD-AD-diol 121 in $86 \%$ yield in both cases (Scheme II-10).


Scheme II-10: Synthesis of $\alpha$ and $\beta-C D(O H)_{2}$

Mesylation of these diols in the presence of mesyl chloride and triethylamine afforded the $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OMs})_{2}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OMs})_{2}$ in quantitative yields. Nucleophilic substitution using an excess of imidazole in DMF at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the introverted imidazolium-capped cyclodextrins in $73 \%$ yield. Metalation of the imidazolium precursors in presence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded the introverted ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl and ( $\beta$-ICyD) AgCl in $90 \%$ and $80 \%$ yield respectively (Scheme II-11).

$\mathrm{n}=1, \alpha-120$
$n=2, \beta-121$

1) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt $\xrightarrow{\text { 2) Imidazole, } D M F, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$


$n=2, \beta-124,73 \%$

$\mathrm{n}=1, \alpha-123,90 \%$
$n=2, \beta-125,80 \%$

Scheme II-11: Synthesis of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$ and $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$.
(ICyD)AgCl can be engaged in transmetallation reactions with 10 equivalents of AuCl in acetonitrile affording ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCI and ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI in $67 \%$ and $40 \%$ yield respectively (Scheme II-12). These complexes could be obtained thus in 6 steps, in $33 \%$ overall yield for the $\alpha-C D$ derivative and $20 \%$ yield for the $\beta-C D$ analogue.

By treatment of the silver precursors with a large excess of freshly prepared CuCl in DCM, ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )CuCl and ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl were obtained after a silica gel purification in quantitative yields. The copper complexes are thus synthesized in 6 steps in $50 \%$ overall yield. The scale up of the complexes could be increased up to 10 g for copper complexes, affording silica gel, air and moisture stable compounds.


Scheme II-12: Synthesis of ( $\alpha-$ ICyD)CuCl, ( $\alpha-I C y D$ )AuCl, ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl and ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl

## 2. Characterization

## i. NMR

Due to the difficulty to obtain monocrystals suitable to perform X-Ray analysis, all the characterization of these compounds were done by NMR. First indications of the introvertion of the ligand were observed by NOESY experiments on the cyclodextrin-capped azolium salt 128 ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ).HCI. First, a single clear cross-correlation is observed between the acidic proton of the imidazolyl ring and the intra-cavity proton H 5 of sugar units A and D (H-5A,D) (Figure II-15A). Besides, another cross correlation is observed between the H of the N -heterocyclic ring $(\mathrm{H}-$ NHC ) and one of the $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ of the primary rim of the cyclodextrin (Figure II-15B).


Figure II-15 : Key NOESY cross correlations of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)HCI. $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The same type of NOESY cross correlations were found on the copper complex derivative (Figure II-16). The proton of the NHC showed intense cross peak correlations with the H6 of sugar units $A, D$ as well as weaker correlations with the H 6 of the adjacent sugar units $B$ and $E$. Thus, the complexation takes place without changes on the orientation of the NHC.


Figure II-16: Key NOESY cross correlations of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

In addition to this NOESY experiment, an important deshielding of the intra-cavity protons $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ was observed in the NMR , proving the interaction of the cyclodextrin with the metal ion. 90 Through different NMR experiments, the authors proposed to reveal the real position of the metal and the ligand inside the cavity. First, by comparing the three metal complexes ( $\alpha-$ ICyD) AuCl 126 , $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl} 123$ and $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$ 128, a metaldependent downfield shift was observed for the H-5A,D. Comparing its chemical shift to the other H5s, a downfield shift of 2.4 ppm was observed for the gold(I) complex 128, 1.7 ppm for the silver(I) and 1.8 ppm for the copper(I) complex. It was therefore deduced that the metal atom is placed at the same level than the H 5 of the macrocycle.

In addition to the observed downfield shift of the H-5A,D, the H-3C,F are also deshielded by 1 ppm (compared with the other H 3 s ). Since no relevant changes were observed between the $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}$, or Au complexes in the shift of the H3 (Figure II-17), the authors deduced that this chemical shift is independent of the metal but of the chlorine atom is situated at the same level as the H 3 .


Figure II-17: Stacked NMR spectra of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCI, ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl and $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$. $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

To verify this deduction and to study the influence of the chlorine atom on the NMR, different ligands and counterions such as bromine, iodine, acetate or triflate were compared on the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgX complex. This experiment showed that halogens induced a stronger downfield shift ( 1 ppm ), than carboxylates or triflates (around 0.5 ppm ) proving the ligand-dependency of the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ (Figure II-18). The ligand and the H 3 are thus placed at the same level inside the cavity. Additional shifts were observed on the H5 chemical shifts, attributed to the modifications on the electronic density of the metal due to ligand exchange.


Figure II-18 : Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of different ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AgX complexes. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

To better understand the structure of this system, a three-dimensional model of the system was constructed. To do so, the chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons were related to the distances between the H 5 and the metal, as well as the H 3 and the chlorine. Since, the $\delta(\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D})>\delta(\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})>\delta(\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, the relative distances of the H 5 and the metal were supposed to follow the same order, $d(H-5 A, D-M)>d(H-5 C, F-M)>d(H-5 B, E-M)$. The same reasoning was follow to study the distances between the H 3 and the chlorine, resulting thus in $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}-$ $\mathrm{Cl})>\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Cl})>\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D})$.

Finally, with this information, a model of the $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$ was constructed using Avogadro. Starting from the crystal structure of the permethylated $\alpha$-cyclodextrin, the imidazolyl bridge was constructed, and the structure was optimized a first time using the universal force field (UFF). The AgCl part was then added and the sugar units were tilted and arranged according to the NMR deduced distances and the structure was optimized a last time using the UFF giving the structure shown in Figure II-19


Figure II-19: Modeled structure of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl .

## ii. DFT and X-Ray

Later studies of this system involved DFT calculations to confirm the structure obtained by molecular mechanics. ${ }^{56}$ Indeed, superimposition of the modeled ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl with the one obtained by DFT gives very similar structures, validating the followed approach.


Figure II-16 : Superimposition of modeled ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCl (blue) with the DFT calculated structure (green).

Finally, as a second confirmation, the synthesis and the crystallization of the permethylated-( $\alpha$-ICyD)AgCl was performed ${ }^{108}$ (Figure II-21). The X-Ray structure of the complex confirmed both the DFT-calculated one and the NMR-modeled structure, validating with an experimental data the process of modelization with Avogadro and the DFT.

$$
(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}
$$

Top view


Bottom view


Figure II-17: Cristal structure of ( $\alpha$-ICyD) AgCl

Chemical shifts of H 5 and H 3 of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCl, as well as the distances of the intracavity protons obtained on the structure deduced from the NMR data, and the crystallographic structure are reported in Table $\mathrm{II}-1$. It is interesting to mention the accuracy of the $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Ag}$ distances found to be at $0.1 \AA$ A from the real one. In addition, the distances between the H 3 and the chlorine are obtained with less than $15 \%$ error. In conclusion, the Avogadro modeling was confirmed to be a useful and valid tool to access the structures of the $\alpha$-ICyD metal complexes.

| Sugar unit | $\delta(\mathrm{H} 5)$ ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \delta^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Ag}) \\ \AA(\mathrm{MM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d(H5-Ag) } \\ & \text { A (XRay) } \end{aligned}$ | $\delta(\mathrm{H} 3)$ ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \delta^{\mathbf{a}} \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}) \\ & \AA(\mathrm{MM}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}) \\ & \text { A (XRay) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A/D | 5.64 | 1.76 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 4.18 | - | 4.8 | 4.4 |
| B/E | 3.88 | - | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.29 | 0.11 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| C/F | 3.89 | 0.01 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.98 | 0.80 | 3.8 | 3.2 |

Table II-2: Chemical shifts of H 5 and $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Ag}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl. a $\Delta \delta$ were calculated from the most deshielded H and the most shielded one.

## iii. Weak interactions in ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCI complex

As presented in Chapter I, the understanding of the weak interactions of both, first and second coordination spheres is a key step in the design of a catalyst, ${ }^{5 a}$ the catalytic performances or the understanding of the properties of the system.5b NMR of ICyD-metal complexes shows that indeed, this system can induce unusual downfield shifts on the intracavity protons, probably because of weak interactions.

| Sugar unit | $\delta(\mathrm{H} 5) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \delta \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | d(H5-Ag) <br> Å (MM) | d(H5-Ag) Å (XRay) | Angle From XRay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A/D | 5.64 | 1.76 | 2.5 | 2.4 | $136{ }^{\circ}$ |
| B/E | 3.88 | - | 3.2 | 3.1 | $159{ }^{\circ}$ |
| C/F | 3.89 | 0.01 | 3.8 | 3.9 | $153{ }^{\circ}$ |

Table II-3: Comparison of metrics of $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{M}$ in the MM and X-ray and NMR data

It was shown previously that the H 3 s of the cyclodextrin were influenced by the presence of the second ligand of the complex. When this ligand is a halogen, the effect on the NMR was shown to deshield these protons. This downfield shift is in accordance with a C-H $\cdots$ halogen interaction, present in different previously presented cyclodextrin-based complexes. ${ }^{90}$ This weak interaction is a hydrogen bond-like between a C-H bond and a halogen, widely studied for very different examples like anion recognition ${ }^{109}$ or template assembly. ${ }^{110}$

This interaction is maximized when the C-H $\cdots$ X angle is bigger than $150^{\circ}$ and the distances are less than $4 \AA \AA$. The presence of this bonding produces a downfield shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the protons concerned. ${ }^{111}$ Comparing the NMR based modeled distances and the crystallographic data, both are in agreement to this weak interaction.

| Sugar unit | $\delta(\mathbf{H 3})$ <br> $\mathbf{p p m}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \delta$ <br> $\mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{d ( H 3 - C l})$ <br> $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X R a y})$ | Angle <br> From Xray |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A/D | 4.18 | - | 4.4 | $136^{\circ}$ |
| B/E | 4.29 | 0.11 | 3.9 | $159^{\circ}$ |
| C/F | 4.98 | 0.80 | 3.2 | $153^{\circ}$ |

Table II-2: Chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$.

[^39]The intriguing effect was the deshielding of the H 5 . It was proved that the downfield shift was dependent on the nature of the metal. $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ interactions are widely studied because of the influence that a weak interaction can have in the reactivity of a transition metal complex ${ }^{112}$ with an special focus on $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ transition metals. Studies on unpolarized $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ interactions with metals of the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ configuration are classified in three different classes: Anagostic, agostic or preagostic (Figure II-20). ${ }^{113}$


Figure II-20: Main weak interactions in $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ transition metal complexes

Anagostic interactions (Figure II-20, a), were first observed by Lippard and coworkers in a cis diamino-Pt(II) complex 130 (Figure II-21). ${ }^{114}$ In this first report, the authors proposed that this remote interaction (bond distance $>3$ ) is produced because of a steric constraint of the complex. This proximity from the metal, produces an electronic repulsion between the $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z} 2)$ and the electrons of the $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ bond, producing a downfield shift of the H engaged on the interaction ${ }^{115}$. This 4 electron-3 center interaction is observed when a steric hindrance places the metal and the H at less than $3 \AA$. To reduce the electronic repulsion between the $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ bond and the filled $\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{z}^{2}\right)$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}$ angle must be between 130 and $180^{\circ}$.


$\Delta \delta=1.73 \mathrm{ppm}$
(from free ligand)

Figure II-21: First complex with a described anagostic interaction

[^40]In contrast, if the $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z} 2)$ is empty and the electronic donation is given from the $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ bond to this empty orbital, coordination of the $\sigma$-bond to a metal is classified now as agostic (Figure 20, b). This interaction is usual in $d^{6}$ complexes like Irll' or Relll ${ }^{116}$, also observed in $d^{8}$ transition metal complexes such a Pd" complexes ${ }^{117}$ and only one recent example in the case of Aulll118. This interaction, due to the full filled orbitals, cannot exist in $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ complexes such as Aul. ${ }^{119}$ This 3 center-2 electron interaction is partially covalent, thus, to achieve the best overlapping of orbitals, distances ranging from $1.8 \AA$ to $2.3 \AA$ and angles between $90^{\circ}$ and $140^{\circ}$ were usually observed. (Figure II-22) ${ }^{120}$. The effect on the NMR is metal dependent, but in the most cases a hydride shift is observed. ${ }^{121}$ Furthermore, a characteristic reduction of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ is systematically observed ${ }^{120}$.




Figure II-22: Structures of some Ti, Au, Pd agostic complexes.

If for different reasons, the system could not achieve these geometric parameters, a preagostic interaction would be observed 131. Similar in metrics to the anagostic, this partially covalent interaction does not involve the $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z} 2)$ orbital of the metal ${ }^{122}$ and only a retro-donation from the metal to the $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ is observed. This preagostic interaction also deshields the involved proton in the NMR but in contrast to the anagostic one, only 2 electrons are involved in the 3 centers. To differentiate this interaction from the anagostic, careful theoretical calculations must be done to know the origin of the deshielding, to determine if it is produced by an electrostatic repulsion (anagostic) or if it is a covalent bonding (preagostic).

[^41]Preagostic interactions are widely studied to improve the efficiency of a catalytic system. It is known in the literature that agostic interactions are widely involved in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation steps such as $\sigma$-bond metathesis, ${ }^{123} \beta$-hydride elimination ${ }^{124}$ or oxidative additions ${ }^{125}$. Furthermore, the study of these interactions becomes more interesting on $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ transition metal complexes because of the versatility of the possible interactions, translate thus, in different possible reactivities.


131
Figure II-23: example of a Rh complex with a preagostic interaction.

Looking now at the chemical shift of the H5-A,D and the distances obtained from the modeling and the X-Ray structure of the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl (table II-3), a short $2.4 \AA$ distance between the Ag atom and the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ with a $136^{\circ}$ angle is observed. According to the classification shown by Kuntscher et al this interaction could be either anagostic, either preagostic. Since the frontier between both requires powerful DFT calculations, 126 the interaction will be named as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ interaction.

## iv. First and second coordination sphere in (ICyD)MCI complexes

Now that intra-cavity interactions have been shown and described, the coordination spheres can be defined in the (ICyD)MCI complexes. The different weak interactions of this system make this ligand a simultaneous multi-coordinating first- and second-coordination sphere.

As presented previously, the first coordination sphere of a metal complex is formed by the ligands and the interaction with it, in this case, the NHC and the C-H5 $\cdots \mathrm{M}$ interaction and the chlorido ligand build the first coordination sphere. The second one, formed by weak interactions with the ligand is formed by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots$ halogen interaction between the H 3 and the CI ligand (Figure II-24).

[^42]

Second Coordination
Sphere

Figure II-24: First and second coordination spheres on (ICyD)MCI complexes.

## 3. Catalytic applications: Cavity controlled selectivity

As demonstrated in the introduction, encapsulation of a metal complex inside a cavity confers him with unusual catalytic properties, and in particular, allows to envisage specific selectivities. In the case of ICyD ligands, very different structures have been determined for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ cyclodextrins. It was therefore of great interest to test if these different cavities could induce different selectivities in a catalytic reaction and if these selectivities could be rationalized in terms of the different shapes.

Three different selectivities were therefore studied: First, a regioselective reaction was studied with (ICyD)CuCl complexes and finally, the enantioselectivity and product distribution were studied using (ICyD)AuCl complexes.

## i. Regioselectivity: Hydroboration of alkynes

The ability to induce regioselective reactions of this family of complexes was tested in a catalytic hydroboration of triple bonds. ${ }^{56}$ In this work, the regioselectivity of a copper-catalyzed hydroboration reaction is only depending on the size and shape of the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) CuCl or ( $\beta$ ICyD)CuCl cavity.

Hoveyda's original work on this copper-catalyzed reaction showed that the selectivity depends on the substitution of the nitrogens on the NHC. N-Alkyl-substituted NHC such as (SIAd)CuCl give the linear (E) 133 since N -aryl-substituted NHCs such as (SIMes)CuCl give the branched 134 (Scheme II-12). ${ }^{127}$


Scheme II-12: Original copper catalyzed hydroboration of alkynes.

DFT calculations showed that the regio-determining step is the insertion of the Cu -Bpin complex in the triple bond, more precisely, the approach of the triple bond to the complex 136. It was proven that strongly donating alkyl-substituted NHC such as SIAdCuCl, due to electronic parameters favors the approach of the terminal carbon of the triple bond parallel with the substituent G cis to the NHC. Thus, the insertion of the copper is done in the terminal carbon of the alkyne, forming the vinyl-copper complex 138 that after protodemetalation gives the linear hydroborated compound 133 (Scheme II-13).

## Parallel approach



Electronically driven: Less hindered and electron-rich NHC
Scheme II-13: Proposed mechanism of the regiodeterminant step of (SIAd)CuCl ligands.
In contrast, less electron-rich but more hindered aromatic-substituted NHC as SIMesCuCl , due to stereo-electronic parameters, forces the terminal carbon of the triple bond to be trans to the NHC, favoring now the approach of the internal carbon of the triple bond closer to the copper forming the vinyl-copper complex 140 that after protodemetalation forms the branched compound (Scheme II-14).

## Parallel approach



Stereo-electronically driven: More hindered and less electron-rich NHC
Scheme II-14: Proposed mechanism of the regiodeterminant step of (SIMes) CuCl ligands.
Hence, the contrast of the regioselectivity is mainly governed by electronic factors in this case. That is why it was interesting to study the differences of selectivity imposed by the $\alpha$ cyclodextrin cavity, and the $\beta$-cyclodextrin NHC complexes, which would be purely steric.

In the case of $(\alpha-I C y D) C u C l,[r e f] ~ p e r f o r m i n g ~ t h e ~ r e a c t i o n ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ c o n d i t i o n s ~ r e v e a l e d ~$ that, as expected, an electron-rich, alkyl-substituted NHC affords the linear regioisomer as the major one. In contrast, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) CuCl, while being also alkyl-substituted NHC affords surprisingly the branched regioisomer with similar yields in both cases (Scheme II-15).


| Catalyst | Yield | Linear: Branched |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$ | $63 \%$ | $91: 9$ |  |
| $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$ | $71 \%$ | $10: 90$ |  |

Scheme II-15: ICyD-catalyzed hydroboration of alkynes

As these two NHCs are both alkyl-substituted, the electronics of the ligand cannot account for this difference in selectivity. In addition, in contrast to Hoveyda's observation, the most hindered complex was the one that gave the linear compound and the less sterically demanding the one that formed the branched one.

DFT calculations were performed to understand the effect of the cavity on this opposite selectivity. In fact, if the aryl of the phenylacetylene enters into the cavity first (Scheme II-16, parallel approach I) the steric hindrance imposed by the cavity prevents the access of the copper by the triple bond. The only way for the triple bond to reach the metal is to enter as in the parallel approach II. In this case, the steric hindrance imposed by the cavity overrules the electronics of the ligand, reversing the approach compared to the alkyl-functionalysed (SIAd)CuCl described by Hoveyda. ${ }^{129}$


Parallel approach II


Scheme II-16 : Two parallel approaches for phenylacetylene to the ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuBpin complex

The case of the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )CuCl is completely different. The smaller cavity of the ( $\alpha$-ICyD) wraps the metal with the walls of the cyclodextrin. Therefore, a parallel aproach to form the $\pi$ complex with the alkyne is not possible (Scheme II-17-parallel approach). However, DFT calculations showed another possible route for the mechanistic pathway which is not a parallel approach anymore but a perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{B}$ bond.

Furthermore, the regioselectivity is likely to be governed by the development of a partial negative charge in the transition state stabilized by the phenyl ring at the benzylic position. ${ }^{128}$


Scheme II-17: Parallel and perpendicular approaches of phenylacetylene to the ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuBpin

In addition, in this work it was also proved, in contrast to many other confined space catalysts,[ref] that both systems could be used in a broad scope of substrates. In the case of ( $\alpha$ ICyD)CuCl in any case, the selectivity of the reaction (Linear: Branched < $85: 15$ ) was not altered by the electronic or the steric modifications on the aromatic ring. In the case of the ( $\beta$ $\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$, the selectivity of the reaction was only disturbed by the steric hindrance on the ortho substitution, while the electronics of the aromatic ring produced negligible changes on the selectivity (Table II-5).


Table II-5: Scope of the (ICyD)CuCl catalyzed reaction. Linear : Branched ratio is reported in brackets

## Conclusion:

In this example, the value of (ICyD)CuCl complexes has been demonstrated by a catalytic regioselective reaction. This regioselectivity was proved to be directly linked to the shape of the cavity. It was confirmed that using the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) CuCl complex, the regioselectivity obtained is the same that the one already described in the literature. However, DFT calculations proved that the steric hindrance brought by the cavity produces a switch in the mechanism from a parallel to a perpendicular approach.

In contrast, using ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )CuCl complex, the obtained regioselectivity was inverted, affording now the opposite regioisomer but with a usual parallel mechanism. Therefore, passing from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ ICyD induces a mechanistic switch in this hydroboration reaction.

In addition, a broad scope of substrates has been studied, proving the efficiency of this system in a laboratory-scale methodology. This methodological application remains exceptional in the case of supramolecular complexes.

## ii. Enantioselectivity: Enyne-cycloisomerisation

Due to the linear coordination of gold (I), in addition of a outer-sphere nucleophilic attack, chirality transfer from the ligand to the substrate is the main limitation on the development of gold catalyzed enantioselective reactions. (ICyD)AuCl compounds are perfect cantidates in the field thanks to the chiral wrapping of the gold atom. Hence, in collaboration with Pr. Fensterbank's group, it was shown that ( $\alpha-I C y D$ ) AuCl and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl could be used as catalysts in classical enyne-cycloisomerisations. 62 Using $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ and ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl with the enyne 141, the cycloisomerization product 143 is obtained in a $83 \%$ yield with $46 \%$ enantiomeric excess (ee). The same substrate using ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl and the same silver salt, affords the same product in $77 \%$ yield and $59 \%$ ee (Scheme II-18).

In the case of enyne 142, ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl forms the cycloisomerization product 144 in $78 \%$ yield and $48 \%$ ee, while ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl also gives the same product in almost quantitative yields and $80 \%$ ee, which represents an excellent ee in gold (I) chemistry. By comparing to the IPrAuCl, used as model complex for this reaction, it is worth noting that both complexes perform the reaction without any loss of yield or, in some cases, even better, proving the value of these ligands in catalytic reactions.


Scheme II-18: (ICyD)AuCI enantioselective cycloisomerisation of N -tethered enynes. ${ }^{\text {a Reaction }}$ performed at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## iii. Product distribution: Enyne-cycloisomerisation

In the supramolecular catalysis field, it is sometimes possible to obtain a new product not formed when using the model catalyst. ${ }^{79}$ In this example, using IPrAuCl complex 145, the enyne 146 gives the cyclopentenes 147 and 148 in a $1: 0,65$ ratio. Same results are obtained by using ( $\alpha-\operatorname{ICyD}$ )AuCl. Nevertheless, when the ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl complex is used as catalyst, no traces of 148 are observed, and the major compound is now a formal 6-endo cycloisomerisation cyclohexene 149. (Scheme II-19)


Scheme II-19: Product distribution in (ICyD)AuCl catalyzed cycloisomerisation of enynes.

## E. Conclusion and perspectives

With these examples, the value of the NHC-capped cyclodextrin metal complexes as ligands for laboratory scale regio- and enantioselective methods has been demonstrated.

Nevertheless, for the gold (I) complexes, a dependence on the cavity size is observed, bigger $\beta$-cyclodextrin derivatives gave in both reactions better enantiomeric excesses, and better substrate selection. These results are obtained just by adding one sugar unit in one of the loops of the macrocycle.

The remaining question is, can we synthesize even bigger analogues based on $\gamma$ cyclodextrin, and by using more distorted macrocycles improve even more the performances of this systems? (figure) This question is the starting point of this dissertation.


Figure II-23: Schematic representations of the $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ NHC-capped cyclodextrins. View from the secondary rim.

Hence, my PhD work starts with the synthesis, characterization and three-dimensional elucidation of the ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl to further study how the distortion and the cavity size and shape can change the effects of the second coordination sphere in the previously described enyne cycloisomerisation.

## CHAPTER III

Synthesis and study of ICyD metal complexes

## CHAPTER III: Synthesis and study of ICyD metal complexes

## A. Increasing the size of the cyclodextrin

As proposed in chapter II, the study of $\gamma$-cyclodextrin-based metal complexes, characterized by a larger CD core, would be of interest to better understand the effect of the second coordination sphere in catalytic applications. To obtain the desired ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl complexes, a synthetic route similar to the one developed for the smaller $\alpha$ and $\beta C D$ analogues has been considered.

## 1. Linear coordination in gamma cyclodextrin : ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$

## i. Synthesis

Starting from native $\gamma$-cyclodextrin, full protection in the presence of benzyl chloride and sodium hydride afforded the perbenzylated $\gamma$-cyclodextrin in quantitative yield. Next, the DIBALH mediated strategy for selective deprotection of perbenzylated cyclodextrins was applied. ${ }^{129}$

However, the deprotection with DIBAL-H was found to be less selective than with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ cyclodextrins, leading to a mixture of two non-separable AE (symmetrical) and AD (nonsymmetrical) isomers. Previous studies in the laboratory already showed that the AE/AD selectivity is low and strongly dependent on the concentration of DIBAL-H and temperature ${ }^{130}$. In this work, the reaction was performed at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 20 equivalents of DIBAL-H ( 1 M in the medium) to afford a $1: 1$ mixture of non-separable AD and AE diols in $45 \%$ yield.

Treatment of the mixture with mesyl chloride and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ gave the bis-mesylated derivatives. At this stage, the separation was performed by silica gel flash chromatography affording $51 \%$ of the pure AE isomer, $30 \%$ of the AD isomer and a $19 \%$ of mixture of both (Scheme III-1).

[^43]

Scheme III-1: Synthesis of both regioisomers of $\gamma$ - $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OMs})_{2}$

As for $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-cyclodextrins, the reaction of the $\gamma-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OMs})_{2}$ compounds with an excess of imidazole at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF afforded the azolium-capped salt in $57 \%$ and $40 \%$ yield for the symmetrical (AE, 153) or non-symmetrical (AD, 154) isomer, respectively (scheme III-2). A final metalation with silver oxide gave the ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AgCl complex with an overall yield of $8 \%$ for the AE (symmetrical) isomer 155 and $3 \%$ for the AD (non-symmetrical) isomer 156 over 6 steps.


Scheme III-2: Synthesis of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AgCl complexes 155 and 156

To obtain the desired ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl complexes, transmetalation of the silver precursor was considered. After optimization, the reaction was carried out with one equivalent of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ in dichloromethane, ${ }^{131}$ affording the expected $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ in $95 \%$ yield for both isomers (Scheme III-3).


Scheme III-3: Transmetalation conditions to obtain ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl

## ii. Characterization

The reactivity of a catalytic system is intrinsically dependent on the electronics and the steric environment around the metal. Therefore, the three-dimensional structures of the ( $\gamma$ ICyD)AuCl complexes must be elucidated. Because of the difficulty to get monocrystals suitable for X-ray analysis with CD-benzylated derivatives, the structures of the ICyD-metal complexes were analyzed by NMR. ${ }^{134}$ For clarity, because of the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry of the AE isomer, the analysis and the methodology to elucidate the 3D structures will be shown first for the $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-$ ICyD)AuCl complex, and then for the most complex the non-symmetrical ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl.

## - ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCI

The general method to obtain the structure of $(\gamma-\operatorname{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl} 157$ required first the attribution of all the protons of each sugar unit. The attribution of each proton of each sugar unit was made following the COSY and TOCSY correlations. The carbons attached to each proton were found with the HSQC spectrum. Then, the order of attachment of each sugar unit in the CD was deduced from the NOESY and HMBC experiments (see the experimental part for a detailed attribution). The coordination of the metal, as well as the nature of the complex, was characterized by the chemical shift of the carbene. Then, a study of shielding and deshielding of the intra-cavity protons ( H 5 and H 3 ) was done in order to relate the chemical shifts to relative distances between the different sugar units and the gold and chloride atoms. Finally, with these relative distances, the sugars were tilted and arranged on the macrocycle skeleton to build a three-dimensional model of the complex.

The complexation of gold was verified by HMBC (Figure III-1). An unambiguous cross correlation peak was observed between the C-H of the NHC ring and the quaternary carbene. For the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl complex studied here, the observed chemical shift of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 172.2 ppm of the carbene is consistent with the litterature and confirms the presence of a $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{AuCl}$ complex. ${ }^{131}$


FIGURE III-1: HMBC of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl 157 showing the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}$. $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The position of the AuCl moiety inside the cavity was first demonstrated by NOE crosscorrelations between the CH of the NHC ring and protons of the position 6 of the sugars of the cyclodextrin (Figure III-2). 2D-NOESY, stacked with HSQC of the complex, shows two clear cross correlations: An intense one with one of the diastereotopic H 6 protons from the AE sugar units and a less intense one with one of the diastereotopic protons of the BF sugar units, confirming the introversion of the complex.


Figure III-2: NOESY (top) and HSQC (bottom) of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl 157 complex showing crosscorrelations between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ of the imidazole and two H 6 .
$\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons ( H 5 and H 3 ) are also used as proofs for the position of the complex. $\delta(\mathrm{H} 3)$ and $\delta(\mathrm{H} 5)$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl},(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ are compiled in Table III-1. By comparison of the chemical shifts on the H5 of the metal complexes with its precursor, a downfield shift of 0.33 ppm for the H5-AE is observed between the silver complex and the azolium precursor. As for $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-ICyD complexes presented previously, this deshielding is symptomatic of a proximity between this proton and the silver atom.

In addition, the same H 5 is deshielded by another +0.13 ppm in the case of the gold analogue. This trend, produced by an enrichment of the metal, confirms the position of the metal inside the cavity.

Looking now at the chemical shifts of the H 3 , two pairs of sugar units are affected by a deshielding of its protons. By comparison of the chemical shifts of the H3 of the metal complexes and the azolium salt, H 3 of cycles C and G are deshielded by +0.28 ppm for the $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ complex and by +0.21 ppm for the Aul complex. In addition, H 3 of sugar units DH are also deshielded by +0.12 ppm and +0.15 ppm for the $\mathrm{Ag}{ }^{\prime}$ and the Au complexes, respectively

When comparing the trends on the H5-AE chemical shifts for the Agl and Aul with its azolium precursor, a dependance of the chemical shift on the metal can be observed, even if the effect is less important than the one observed for ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )MCI, presented in last chapter. On the other hand, the downfield shift of the H 3 remains close for both analogues. This confirms that as for $\alpha-C D$ and $\beta-C D$ analogues, the H 5 are at the same level than the metal, and the H 3 at the same level than the chlorine.

$\mathrm{CH}^{5}$-Au Interaction

$\mathrm{CH}^{3}$ - Cl Interaction

| Sugar unit | A/E |  | B/F |  | C/G |  | D/H |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \%/ppm | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$ | 3.84 | 3.93 | 3.80 | 3.79 | 3.58 | 3.89 | 3.80 | 3.96 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$ | 4.17 (+0.33) | 3.97 | 3.62 | 3.97 | 3.79 | 4.17 (+0.28) | 3.62 | 4.08 (+0.12) |
| $(\gamma-I C y D) A u C l$ | 4.30 (+0.46) | 3.95 | 3.52 | 3.90 | 3.81 | 4.10 (+0.21) | 3.52 | 4.11 (+0.15) |

Table III-1: Chemical shift differences of the intra-cavity H 3 and H 5 protons of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$ 153, ( $\gamma$ -
ICyD)AgCl 155 and ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl 157
$\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

With all these chemical shifts and interactions deduced from the NMR data in mind, a relative proximity of each H 5 and H 3 proton with the metal and halogen centers can be established and modeled structures can be proposed. Models of the structures have been done with Avogadro starting from the crystal structure of the native $\gamma$-cyclodextrin. Then the NHCbridge and metal complex are placed with the metal atom at the same heigh as the H 5 and the chlorine atom at the same level as the H 3 . Then, the system is optimized by reducing the energy with the UFF force field. Finally, sugars are arranged following the distances deduced from the NMR. The construction of the models has been done by removing all the benzyl groups from the molecule to avoid a possible ambiguity due to flexible substituents.

For the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl complex, the relative $\mathrm{H}-5 \cdots$ metal distances are ordered depending on their proton NMR chemical shifts, being shorter for the most deshielded ones. The order deduced is then: first AE sugar units, followed by CG and DH cycles, and finally the farthest BF units.

Following then the same reasoning for the H 3 , we hypothesized that H 3 of CG and DF units are the closest to the chlorine atom, followed by those of the AE and the BF units. Placing and tilting then the sugar units according to this criteria gave the modeled structures shown in figure III. 3 .


D


FIGURE III-3: Top and bottom views of modeled ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl. $\mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{Au}$ (left) and $\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ (right) distances are shown in the structures.

A distortion of the macrocycle and important tilts of the C and D units are clearly observed in the modeled structures. Distances ranging from 2.9 to $5.3 \AA$ are observed for the H 5 and the Au atom, and distances between 4.9 and $5.9 \AA$ for the H3 and the chlorido ligand.

Due to the complexity of the NMR data, the elucidation of the ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl complex was directly done on the gold (I) complex, following the same procedure as the one showed for its symmetrical analogue.

## - ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCI

The non-symmetrical bridging of the ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl 158 leads to a $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry, verified by the presence of eight characteristic anomeric signals on the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ region of the HSQC, as well as for the presence of 2 cross correlation peaks of the CH of the NHC. (Figure III-4).


Figure III-4: HSQC of the anomeric (left) and NHC (right) of ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl.
$\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

As for its symmetrical analogue, the introverted coordination of the gold center was determined by the presence of cross correlation peaks between the CH of the NHC and the both H 6 of both A and D sugar units.(Figure III-4)

The analysis of all the NMR experiments and attribution of all the signals of the proton NMR was performed as previously. The chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons of this complex are reported on the table III-2.

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H5 | 4.19 | 3.96 | 3.72 | 4.34 | 3.75 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 4.19 |
| H3 | 3.86 | 4.18 | 4.36 | 4.01 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.94 |

Table III-2: chemical shifts of the H 5 and H 3 of ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCI. $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

As for its symmetrical analogue the downfield shifts of the different H 5 and H 3 could be related to the relative distances between the H 5 and the gold atom, and the H 3 and the chloride atom. The closest H5 were thus, H5A, H5D and H5H, and the closest H3, H3B and H3C. With these informations, an approximated model of the ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl was constructed following the same steps as for the $\gamma$-AE analogue (Figure III-5).

$$
\text { ( } \gamma \text {-AD-ICyD)AuCl }
$$

## SIDE VIEW




Figure II-5: Modeled structure of ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCI.

## iii. Comparison of $(\gamma$-ICyD)AuCI shape with that of $\beta$ and $\alpha$-CD-derived complexes

For future rationalization of the properties of the metal center inside the cavity and to better understand the impact of the second coordination sphere, Connolly's surfaces have been built for the four $\alpha-, \beta-$, and $\gamma$-AD and $\gamma$-AE gold derivatives (Figure III-6). Connolly's surfaces are three-dimensional contours around molecules that show the atoms accessible to the solvent molecules. 132
( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl possesses a C2-symmetrical shape, where the gold atom is completely wrapped by the inner walls of the cyclodextrin. The symmetrical bridge between the sugar units A and D (sugar units bearing the bridge) produced a tilt of these sugar units and a groove through the entrance of the cavity. In addition, an important steric hindrance afforded by the proximity of the cycles C and F is observed around the gold atom (represented in red).

The addition of one sugar unit on one of the loops of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl analogue produces a loss of the symmetry of the shape. As for the narrowest analogue the NHC bridge of the CD macrocycle through A,D units generates two different macrocyclic subunits which differ in their size by only one glucose unit. The narrow side, containing $B$ and $C$ sugar units on the loop, is much closer from the metal, affording an steric hindrance around only one face of the gold atom. The other face of the gold atom is now sterically released thanks to the presence of one more one sugar unit in the larger macrocyclic loop (sugar units E,F and G). Additionally, a tilted coordination of the NHC-Au-Cl was observed.

The same tilted coordination of the NHC-Au-Cl was found on the non-symmetrical ( $\gamma$-ADICyD)AuCl, in addition of a complete distorted form of the cyclodextrin skeleton. As for the ( $\beta$ $I C y D$ ) analogue, the steric hindrance afforded by the smaller loop of the macrocycle (B,C sugar units) is also present. On the opposite side of the cyclodextrin, a distancing of the inner walls of the macrocycle was also found.

Finally, the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl showed a completely symmetrical shape, with the AuCl complex in the middle of the cavity. The presence of three sugar units on each loop of the macrocycle produced a complete release of the steric hindrance around the metal center without any interaction with the inner walls of the cyclodextrin core.

During the following studies, the effect of these different structures and shapes can influence different structural, electrochemical, and reactivity properties.

[^44]

Figure III-6: Modeled Conolly's surfaces of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCI, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCI, $(\gamma-\mathrm{AD}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-$ ICyD)AuCl

## B. Control of the metal position. <br> Confined versus non-confined gold (I) complexes

During the synthesis of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl, a problem of reproducibility of the last transmetallation step of the synthesis was observed. The origin was the requirement of an excess of AuCl to push the equilibrium to completion. The excess of a strong Lewis acid, concentrated at the moment of the evaporation of the solvent produced a degradation of the cyclodextrin skeleton. Additional economical factors, such as the price of the salt and successive silica gel purifications to get rid of the excess of gold salts encouraged us to look for a better synthesis of the complexes. As discussed before, $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ was used as transmetalating agent with $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AgCl}$ to get the desired $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ compound. ${ }^{131}$

The question is now, can we optimize the previous syntheses, affording better yields on higher scale? In this section, starting by a simple optimization of the previous reaction conditions, new synthetic procedures were developed affording new metal complexes and new coordination modes. In the following studies, the consequences of the confinement of the metal will be detailed.

## 1. Synthesis of AuCl complexes via the silver route. Comparison between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ cyclodextrins

 of only one equivalent of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$.

Formation of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl was previously described by reaction of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl with 8 equivalents of AuCl affording at maximum 300 mg of the desired complex in $70 \%$ yield. Transmetalation with only one equivalent of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ in dichloromethane induce an instantaneous precipitation of AgCl salts and the formation of the desired ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl complex in $98 \%$ yield carried out up to 1.7 g of product (Scheme III-4). However, the same reaction conditions in the case of the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl gave no conversion after 18 h of reaction.

This result can be related to the shape of the cavity of the ( $\alpha-\operatorname{ICyD}$ )AuCl. The steric hindrance caused by the cavity, wrapping the whole silver atom may prevent a possible associative mechanism with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ to take place.

The following trials towards the optimization of the ( $\alpha-I C y D$ )AuCl synthesis will be based on the use of the free carbene route in the synthesis of metal complexes.


Scheme III-4: Formation of (ICyD)AuCl complexes via the silver route with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$

## 2. Synthesis of (ICyD)AuCl complexes via the free carbene route.

## Comparison between $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ cyclodextrin

Synthesis of free ( $\alpha-$ ICyD) was previously optimized in the laboratory, showing that the best conditions to obtain the free carbene were by treatment of the azolium salt $(\alpha-I C y D) H C l$ with 5 equivalents of KH in THF. After a filtration over celite to remove the excess of KH and KCI , the free carbene ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) 159 was obtained in quantitative yield (Scheme III-5)

Reaction of $\alpha-I C y D$ carbene with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ in THF affords a mixture of two complexes. Purification by silica gel, followed by preparative TLC led to the isolation of the expected ( $\alpha-$ ICyD)AuCl 126 in a $26 \%$ yield. A second fraction containing a 23:77 ratio of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl and another compound having the same $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ value. This second complex was found to be an unprecedented isomer where the AuCl unit is coordinated via de normal NHC outside the cavity.


Scheme III-5: Reaction conditions of the formation of the free NHC and metallation with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CI}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of the minor faction containing a 23:77 mixture of both isomers is shown in Figure III-7. Characteristic signals of the ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCI complex were observed: The protons of the imidazole (highlighted in blue), the deshielded $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ (in green) and the confirmed the presence of the ( $\alpha-I C y D$ )AuCl as minor isomer. A deshielded proton for the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl was observed at 7.7 ppm . By integration of the isolated imidazole protons of both complexes, the presence of the new compound in $77 \%$ of mixture is proved (Figure III-7).


Figure III-7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the mixture of compounds isolated after preparative TLC. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

As for all ICyDs due to the difficulty to obtain monocristals, the structure of the major isomer of the mixture was elucidated through NMR analysis. The following presented NMR studies show that this compound is an unprecedented isomer where the gold atom is coordinated outside of the cavity, named as ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl.

Only two sets of three anomeric carbons are observed in the HSQC (Figure III-8). The three minor signals correspond to the previously described ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl and the three major signals correspond to the new ( $\alpha-$ rev-ICyD)AuCl. The presence of only three anomeric carbons indicates a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry for the entire molecule, excluding the possibility of an abnormal carbene.


Figure III-8 : Extract of HSQC of the mixture showing two sets of three signals showing the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry.
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

For ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI, a cross-correlation peak is also observed between the proton at 7.7 ppm and a carbon at 120 ppm , confirming the presence of the imidazolyl moiety. This value is consistent with the chemical shift of the CH of normal NHC. ${ }^{131}$ Besides, the C2 symmetry of the complex, observed also in the imidazolyl bridge, confirmed the normal-type coordination of the NHC (Figure III-9).


Figure III-9: Extract of HSQC showing one single correlation between the C and the H of the NHC. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

HMBC correlations of the CH at 7.7 ppm shows one single clear cross-correlation peak with the CH of the NHC ring (marked in blue) and a cross-correlation peak with a quaternary carbon at 172.1 ppm (marked in green) corresponding to the carbenic carbon of the $\mathrm{NHC-AuCl}$ unit (Figure III-10).

In addition, cross correlations peaks are observed between the same carbons with the H6 of the sugar units AD, the sugar units bearing the NHC bridge (marked in dark blue and orange). The $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry, and this additional chemical shift of the carbene at 172.1 ppm confirms the coordination of a bridged normal $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{AuCl}$ complex.


Figure III-10: Extract of HMBC showing all the cross correlations between the carbons of the NHC moiety and the surrounding protons
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The reversal of the imidazolyl moiety was proved by 2D-NOESY experiments. In contrast to ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl complexes, ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl exhibits very a clear NOESY cross correlations between the deshielded CH of the imidazole and the $\mathrm{H}-5$ of the sugar units $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$ (highlighted in orange) and the intra-cavity protons H 3 and H 5 on sugar units C and F (highlighted in green and blue, respectively) (Figure III-11). To clarify the attribution of the signals, the NOESY spectra was stacked with the HSQC, showing the corresponding correlations with the signals of the intracavity CH mentioned before. Additionally, 2D -NOESY experiments, recorded at long mixing times ( $\tau_{\text {mix }}=0.8 \mathrm{secs}$ ) did not show any exchange signal, suggesting the presence of two discrete non-equilibrated complexes.


Figure III-11: Extract of NOESY experience of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI stacked with the HSQC showing NOE correlations between the CH of the NHC and the intra cavity protons H 3 and H 5 .
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$


Scheme III-6 : Formation of the free $\alpha$-ICyD and metalation with $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ affording a mixture of ( $\alpha$ ICyD)AuCl and ( $\alpha-r e v-I C y D) A u C l$

All these experiments proved that the gold complex is coordinated outside of the cavity, and that this unprecedented reversed coordination mode is possible with ICyD ligands. However, the reason and mechanism of the flipping out of the free $\alpha-I C y D$ remains unclear.

To understand it, and to verify the non-equilibrated mixture of both isomers, a mixture of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\alpha-$ rev-ICyD)AuCl was heated in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 360 K showing no change of the ratio of both isomers (Figure III-12), concluding that the inversion must occur in the metallation step.


Figure III-12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of a mixture $\left.\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}\right) \mathrm{AuCl}$ and ( $\alpha-\mathrm{rev}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl at 300 K and 360 K . 600 MHz , toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$

To understand the behavior of the free NHC, a NMR study of the free ( $\alpha$-ICyD) was done. The NOESY of the compound shows a very clear cross correlation peak between the CH of the NHC and the H 6 of the sugar units $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$; similar to the one observed for all the introverted complexes and the imidazolium salts.


Figure III-13: 2D-NOESY of the free ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ). $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of the free NHC is sharp and only one compound is observed, the coalescence temperature must be thus under 300 K , to look for a rotation around the NHC coexisting in solution, the free ( $\alpha$-ICyD) was cooled down until 202 K . Nevertheless, cooling down to $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene-d $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ did not show any apparition of another compound.


Figure III-14: Temperature variation of free ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ). 400 MHz , toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$.

The in situ deprotonation-metalation of the azolium salt by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in presence of $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ in dichloromethane was also investigated ${ }^{133}$. Interestingly, the reaction gave ( $\alpha$-revICyD)AuCl and ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl in the same ratio as when using KH as base (77:23) but with a significant improvement of the yield from $29 \%$ to $81 \%$.


Scheme III-7: Reaction of $\alpha$-CyDHCl with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$

The mechanism of formation of the reversed ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI is still unknown, it could be due to a steric hindrance afforded by a single proton the azolium salt, the imidazolyl bridge cannot freely turn. Thus by deprotonation, a rotation happens but the coalescence temperature is much lower than 202 K .

It can be also a combination of steric hindrance afforded by the AuCl salt, the base and the narrow cavity of the $\alpha$-cyclodextrin that favors the coordination outside.

In conclusion, two different complexes could be obtained with $\alpha$-ICyD ligand, one inside ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl synthesized by a concerted deprotonation metallation with $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 134$ followed by the transmetallation; and one outside ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl, obtained as major compound by a in situ two step deprotonation-metallation reaction (Scheme III-8).

$81 \%, 77: 23$


Scheme III-8: Synthesis of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI

[^45]The remaining question is now, can the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ methodology be extended to ( $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-ICyD) precursors to obtain, an inner complex or an outer one depending on the synthetic route? By using the conditions previously described for ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$, both $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$ complexes gave the previously described "inner" complexes ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl in $90 \%$ and $94 \%$ yield, respectively, with no detectable trace of the "outer" coordinated gold complexes (Scheme III-9).


Scheme III-9: Optimized synthetic route to the obtention of (ICyD)AuCl complexes

## C. Square planar gold (III) complexes:

## Influence of the cyclodextrin in the geometry

While the only way to synthesize ( $\alpha-I C y D$ ) AuCl and $(\beta-I C y D) A u C l ~ c o n s i s t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~$ transmetalation of ( $\alpha$ - and $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl with 10 equivalents of AuCl in acetonitrile, this reaction gave a different result in the case of the $\gamma$ analogue. Instead, the only compound isolated during this reaction was the $(\gamma-I C y D) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ 161. This unexpected gold(III) complex resulted from disproportionation of the intermediate gold (I) complex and was obtained in $45 \%$ yield (Scheme III-10). Other conditions have been tried to obtain the $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$, such as a lower amount of gold and exclusion of light, but the gold (I) complex was never observed. This unexpected result, formed an unprecedented square planar complex inside the CD cavity opening the possibility to synthesize new complexes, with different geometries inside ICyDs.


Scheme III-10: Transmetalation conditions to obtain ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ complexes.

## 1. Square planar coordination in gamma cyclodextrin: $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$

## i. Characterization

The oxidation state of the complex was proved by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the C-carbene chemical shift. Nolan and co-workers have already shown that oxidation of the gold(I) to gold(III) produces an upfield shift around 30 ppm from gold (I) to gold (III). ${ }^{131}$ In the case of the $(\gamma$ $\operatorname{ICyD})_{A u C l_{3}}$, a resonance frequency for the C-carbene at 142.4 ppm was observed, in contrast to the 172 ppm for the $\mathrm{Au}^{\prime}$ analogue. In addition, the mass spectrum shows a clear isotopic pattern, also in agreement with the presence of three chlorine atoms (Figure III-15)


Figure III-15 : HMBC of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ showing the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}$. HMBC: $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ESI-TOF: Injection in MeCN

As in the Aul complex, the encapsulation of the gold center was proved with the NOESY spectra, showing cross correlation picks between the CH of the NHC and $\mathrm{H}-6$ of the sugar units A/E and B/F (Figure III-16).


Figure III-16: NOESY (top) and HSQC (bottom) showing cross correlations between the C-H of the imidazole and the H6-AE and BF

By analogy with the Au' analogue, chemical shifts of the intracavity protons H 5 and H 3 were used to prove the encapsulation of the $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ moiety. Due to the large size of the cyclodextrin the effects are weak, but some slight deshieldings could be appreciated at the H5 level (Figure III-17).


Figure III-17 : Stacked ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl} 161$ (top) and ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ (bottom). $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

## ii. From NMR shifts to the structure of $(\gamma-I C y D) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$

To elucidate the structure some preliminary hypotheses were done. Due to the position in the macrocycle (Figure III-18), upfield shifts on the H5 of sugar units A and E were attributed only to the presence of the metal. Following the same reasoning, due to the height in the cavity, all the deshieldings of the rest of the H5 of the cavity were attributed to the presence of the equatorial chlorines, thus, a C-H…halogen interaction. Finally, for the H 3 , the effects were only attributed to the axial chlorido ligand.



Figure III-18: Orientation of intra-cavity protons in the cyclodextrin and hypothesized interactions

Comparing the real values of the chemical shifts in both complexes (Table III-2), no changes were observed in the sugar units $A, E$ and $C, G$; this lack of changes may be produced by small changes in the conformation of the macrocycle.

On the opposite hand, the only H5s that followed a "significant" downfield shift were those of sugar units $B$ and $F(+0.14 \mathrm{ppm})$. This shift can be justified by the presence of the equatorial chlorines, close to these sugar units. Finally, a deshielded H3 was found on the sugar units D and H , due to a proximity from the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$-axial ligand.

|  | A/E |  | B/F | C/G |  | D/H |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sugar unit | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ | 4.30 | 3.95 | 3.62 | 3.90 | 3.81 | 4.10 | 3.52 | 4.11 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ | 4.31 | 3.94 | $3.76(+0.14)$ | 3.82 | $3.86(+0.05)$ | 4.00 | $3.44(-0.08)$ | 4.30(+0.19) |

Table III-3: Chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons of $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-I C y D) \mathrm{AuCl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

With all these chemical shifts, starting from the previous model of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$, the equatorial chlorine atoms were placed next to the most deshielded sugar units $B$ and $F$ assuming that the square planar geometry is not distorted inside the cavity. Those sugar cycles were tilted until the H5 was closer than the H5 of C,G units. The complex is optimized by reducing the energy with the UFF force field. Finally, bond distances around the metal atom were corrected with an average of those reported in the literature ( $2 \AA$ for Au-C and $2.25 \AA$ for Au-Cl bonds) affording the model showed on figure III-19.

## BOTTOM VIEW



SIDE VIEW


Figure III-19 : Modeled structure of ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$

As it can be observed in the modeled structures, the H5-A,D $\cdots \mathrm{M}$ distance, as well as other $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ are consistent to distances reported in the literature to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{M}^{134}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}{ }^{90}$ interactions, suggesting a valid procedure and confirming the initial hypothesis.

## iii. From the structure to the shape of the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$

To deduce more insights about the second coordination sphere of this square planar complex, the Connolly's surface of the modeled structure of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl and ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ were built to have a better vision of the three-dimensional structure of the complex.


Figure III-20: Bottom and side views of the Connolly's surfaces of ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ and ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl

As it can be observed on the Figure III-20, a similar shape to the one of the ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl analogue was obtained. Both shapes are symmetrical, both possesses a groove due to the bridging with a short imidazolyl moiety, more important in the case of the $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ complex. In both cases, the metal is far away from the inner walls of the cyclodextrin but in the case of the Aull complex the sugar units D and H were brought closer, probably to optimize the interaction with the axial chlorido ligands.

To further understand the structure of the ICyD-Aull' complexes, a library of CD-based Aull' complexes was synthesized starting with ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{Au}_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ and I). Then, the possibility of synthesis of the same Aull complexes was studied.

## 2. Oxidation of linear $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes. Effect of narrower cyclodextrins

## i. Reported synthesis of NHC-Au(III) complexes

As presented before, a spontaneous oxidation of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{III})$ complex was observed in the case of the largest $\gamma$-ICyD ligand. Gold (III) complexes are nowadays exhaustively studied because of their catalytic ${ }^{135}$, biological ${ }^{136}$, or luminescent ${ }^{137}$ properties. In the case of $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{AuClX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=$ halogen $)$, the described syntheses are limited to an oxidation of Aul complexes either with $\mathrm{PhICl}_{2},{ }^{131}$ or $\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{138}$ and only one recent example of transmetalation in presence of $\mathrm{HAuCl}_{4}$ is reported ${ }^{139}$ (Scheme III-11). Furthermore, the synthesis of this family of complexes seems to be substrate-dependent.


Scheme III-11: synthesis of NHC-AullI complexes

## ii. Screening of conditions with ( $\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl

To control the reactivity and stability of (ICyD)AuCl complexes towards these oxidative conditions, the oxidation reactions were first tested on the $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ analogue, for which the corresponding gold (III) complex 161 was already isolated and characterized.

[^46]The oxidation of the complex with 8 equivalents of iodosobenzene dichloride ${ }^{140}$ was followed by NMR (Figure III-21). Formation of the expected gold (III) complex was observed after 18 hours, without a total conversion of the starting material. Furthermore, before the completion of the reaction, a degradation product appeared as a major product of the reaction (highlighted in green).


Figure III-21 : NMR monitoring of the reaction of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ with $\mathrm{PhICl}_{2}$. Zoom of the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{NH}}$ and anomeric region is shown on the right.

Oxidations with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ were performed at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichloromethane. ${ }^{131}$ After evaporation of the solvent, crude NMR conversions superior to $90 \%$ were calculated by integration of the starting ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl and the products. Both, ( $\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuBr}_{2} 162$ and $\left(\gamma\right.$-AE-ICyD)Aul ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 163$ were characterized by NMR without any further purification (Scheme III-12).



Scheme III-12 : Oxidations with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCI

[^47]Moving to the nearest narrower ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl, oxidation was carried out by bubbling $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{141}$ into a solution of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichloromethane. After evaporation of the solvent, crude NMR showed the formation the expected ( $\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 164$ with full conversion by NMR.


Scheme III-13: Oxidation fo ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

The same procedure was followed to perform the reaction with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$. Addition of bromine at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced the expected ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ complex. Oxidation of the gold (I) complex was confirmed by a shift of the carbenic carbon from 171.9 ppm to 141.3 ppm . Mass spectrometry also shows the formation of the expected compound.(Figure III-22) Nevertheless, a degradation of the complex was observed during the acquisition of the NMR analysis, making impossible any further analysis.


Figure III-22: HMBC of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ showing the cross peak correlation between the CHNHC and the carbene. Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

[^48]Surprisingly, the reaction of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ with the ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl in dichloromethane at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not take place. Pushing the conditions to room temperature and longer reaction times only led to a degradation of the cyclodextrin skeletton.

To conclude the synthesis of (ICyD)AuX $3_{3}$ cyclodextrin complexes, reactions were performed on ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl. No oxidation products were observed in any of the cases.


Scheme I-14: Attempts of oxidation of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCI

All these experiments illustrated the variation of the reactivity of the previously described NHC-based cyclodextrin gold (I) complexes. The non-reactivity towards oxidants of the ( $\alpha$ $I C y D) A u C l ~ d e m o n s t r a t e s ~ i n ~ t h i s ~ c a s e ~ t h e ~ p r o t e c t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ m e t a l ~ c e n t e r ~ b y ~ t h e ~ s t e r i c ~ h i n d r a n c e ~$ afforded by the cavity.

Then, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl can be oxidized by the smallest $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, whereas oxidation with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ occurs but the obtained complex was revealed unstable. This linear ( $\beta$-ICyD) gold (I) complex shown to be unreactive against $I_{2}$. This size-dependent discrimination between oxidants can be an interesting starting point to develop site-selective reactions.

Finally, ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCIX ${ }_{2}$ complexes were formed without difficulty with both $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ leading to discrete well-defined introverted complexes. In addition, the $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ analogue was obtained through a different method (Table III-3).

| Oxidant | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Complex |  | NMR conversion |  |
| $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ | $100 \%$ | Observed but unstable | $0 \%$ |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ | $45 \% \mathrm{a}$ | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

Table III-3: Summary of conversion of oxidations with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ of the different NHC-based cyclodextrin gold (I) complexes. a) Obtained by a different method.

With all these different reactivities, the same NMR studies as the one done for the linear
 structures of the cyclodextrin core, aiming to synthesize new square planar complexes and develop new reactivities in the case of the easy accessible $\alpha$ and $\beta$ complexes.

## iii. NMR analysis of $\gamma$ - based square planar gold (III) complexes

The same methodology as for the $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ was followed to study the structures of the ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuClX}_{2}$. To elucidate the structures, the differences between all the intra-cavity protons of the three compounds were compared. By analogy with the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl, the downfield shift of the H5A,E will be treated as a metal-hydrogen interaction, and the rest of effects on the intra-cavity protons as CH -halogen interactions.

All of the complexes appeared in the NMR as a set of 4 sugar units, being all thus, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric (Figure III-23). This symmetry, is a direct consequence of a trans- addition of the included halogens.


Figure III-23: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3},(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$. Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

Chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons H 5 and H 3 of the cyclodextrin as well as the chemical shifts of the C-carbene are reported in Table III-4. Looking at the chemical shift of the carbene, a slight upfield shift of 1.5 ppm is observed between the ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 161$ and its brominated analogue 162, showing a negligible change of the electronic density around the gold atom. ${ }^{142} \mathrm{In}$ contrast, a more relevant upfield shift of 12.3 ppm is observed in the case of the $(\gamma$ ICyD)Aul ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ 163, appearing at 131.5 ppm . This shift is consequence of a more important electron density around the gold center due to a lower electronegativity of the iodine atom ( $\chi=$ 2.66 against $\chi=3.16$ in Pauling's scale).

[^49]| Sugar unit |  | A/E |  | B/F |  | C/G |  | D/H |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta$ carbene | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 | H5 | H3 |
| $\left(\gamma\right.$-ICyD)AuCl ${ }_{3}$ | 143.8 | 4.54 | 4.05 | 4.04 | 4.15 | 3.93 | 3.96 | 3.62 | 4.51 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{CyD}) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 142.3 | 4.63 (+0.09) | 4.02 | 4.11(+0.07) | 3.97 | 4.06 (+0.13) | 4.17 | 3.63 | 4.52 |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 131.5 | 4.81 (+0.27) | 3.98 | 4.39 (+0.35) | 4.00 | 4.13 (+0.20) | 4.18 (0.22) | 3.80 (+0.18) | 4.56 |

Table III-4: Key resonances of $(\gamma-$ ICyD $) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3},(\gamma-$ ICyD $) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ 600 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

This change on the electron density could be related to the chemical shift of the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ of the cyclodextrin. More electron rich metals produce a more important downfield shift of the influenced protons of a $\mathrm{M} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ bonding, ${ }^{117}$ being also a proof of the inner coordination of the gold atom.

Since the same behavior of chemical shifts was observed, $\delta(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F})>\delta(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G})>$ $\delta(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H})$ for the same complexes, thus, the same relative distances, both structures were built just by replacing the equatorial ligands without moving the skeleton of the cyclodextrin , obtaining thus the same three-dimensional system (Figure III-24).
$(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$

$(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuI}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$


Figure III-24: Modeled structures of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$.

## iv. Effects on the NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)-gold(III) complex

Studying now the case of the narrowest cavity hosting a Au(III) square planar complex, ( $\beta$-ICyD) $A_{u C l}^{3} 164$, the same comparative study of the intra-cavity protons with the linear analogue was done (Figure III-25).


Figure III-25: Superposition of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl (up) and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ (bottom)
Acetone-d ${ }^{2}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

The comparison of both complexes starts with the chemical shifts of the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$. Because of a less electron rich Aull metal, an important upfield shift for the H5A was observed for the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ complex in comparison for the Au analogue.

Interestingly, the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}$ is deshielded of 0.27 ppm , an opposite effect that the expected. This change on the chemical shift can be due to a new arrangement of the gold atom inside the cavity, reflected in a similar chemical shift for both $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}$ and D . Additional downfield shifts of H 5 of sugar units E and F ( 0.81 and 0.38 ppm , respectively) were observed. According to the same hypothesis than in the case of the $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuX}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ analogues, it is reasonable to assume that these shifts are due to the presence of an equatorial chlorine placed next to the sugar units E and F. ${ }^{59,90}$

| Sugar unit | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\beta-I C y D) A u C l$ | 5.88 | 4.17 | 3.91 | 4.72 | 3.50 | 3.87 | 3.99 |
| $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ | $4.95(-0.93)$ | 4.20 | 3.95 | $4.99(+0.27)$ | $4.31(+0.81)$ | $4.29(+0.38)$ | 3.95 |

Table III-5: Key resonances of H 5 of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} .600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, Acetone-d6

Now analyzing the H 3 of the macrocycle, an important upfield shift of 0.22 ppm is observed on the sugar unit G. This upfield shift of this precise proton confirmed the hypothesis of a structural change because its chemical shift was influenced by the presence of the axial chlorido ligand.

Additional downfield shifts of H 3 s were observed around all of the cyclodextrin. $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}$ is deshielded of $0.54 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{E} 0.13 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{H}-3 F 0.22 \mathrm{ppm}$. In these three cases, the rationalization of the chemical shift was the presence of a chlorine atom.

| Sugar unit | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) A u C \mathrm{Cl}$ | 4,10 | 4,32 | $\mathbf{4 , 3 7}$ | 4,12 | $\mathbf{4 , 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 6}$ | 4,80 |
| $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ | 4,00 | 4,28 | $4.91(+0.54)$ | 4,17 | $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}(+0.13)$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 2}(+0.26)$ | $4.58(-0.22)$ |

Table III-6: Key resonances of $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{2}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} .600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$, Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$

## v. Structure of ( $\beta$-ICyD)-gold(III) complex

Once again, by placing all the atoms of the metal ion, the three-dimensional structure of the complex was deduced. To do so, as for all the structures elucidated previously, the relative distances between the H 5 and the gold, as well as the $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ were deduced from the NMR.

Due to a similar chemical shift of the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}$ and the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}$, thus similar interactions with the metal, the gold atom was placed exactly in between them both. Deshieldings on the $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{E}$ and $F$ suggests the presence of one of the equatorial chlorido ligands on this side of cavity. In contrast, no significant change is observed on the chemical shift of the diametrically opposed H5-B and C , showing that the previous hypothesis is not valid for this complex, indicating potential a tilt of the gold complex inside the cavity.

Finally, the H 3 of the sugar unit C , the most deshielded of the compound, was rationalized with the presence of an equatorial chlorido ligand, justifying the tilting of the complex inside the cavity.

With all these informations, the structures were modeled following the same procedure as presented previously. The metal complex was placed in the middle-slightly closer to the H5A of the cyclodextrin, the chlorido ligands are placed according to the previous deductions and the sugar units are tilted according to relative distances. A final UFF optimization is done to obtain the structure showed in Figure III-26. As observed for the ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl complex, a consistent modeled structure was deduced from the NMR data, founding relative distances to build a three-dimensional model with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ distances in agreement to the ones described in the literature for weak interactions.


Figure III-26: Side view and bottom view of the modeled structures of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl $\mathrm{I}_{3}$

## vi. Comparison of structures and shapes of $\gamma$ - and $\beta$-CD-based square planar gold(III) complexes

As presented for the linear (ICyD)AuCl complexes, by modeling the three-dimensional structure of the cyclodextrin, Connolly's shape ${ }^{135}$ of the macrocycle is created again to analyze the steric environment around the metal center.

In the case of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ a similar shape from the one of the linear complex was observed. In contrast, in the case of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ the presence of a network of weak interactions between the H5 of sugar units E,F and the H-3,G forces the metal to be moved away from the inner walls of the small loop of the cyclodextrin, constituted by the sugar units $B$ and $C$ in agreement with the observed shifts of the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}$ and D . This displacement of the metal center makes the gold atom to be more exposed inside the cavity.

As observed for the ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl analogue 157, the sugar unit G (anti-clockwise from the sugar unit A), is tilted to establish a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interaction with the equatorial chlorido ligand, slightly changing the shape of the cyclodextrin on this side.

$$
(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}
$$



Figure III-27: Shape comparison of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl ${ }_{3}$ complexes

In conclusion, it was found that three different cyclodextrin-gold-complexes, ( $\alpha$ ICyD)AuCl, ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl, differing only in their cavity size, differed also in their reactivity towards the oxidation and in the structure of the different Au' and Aull complexes. As showed in chapter I, redox properties of encapsulated redox centers has been a subject of study for more than 30 years. ${ }^{25}$ In our case, we obtained four different complexes with different cavity sizes and coordination modes. In the literature, it was reported that the redox behavior of confined metals can be influenced by the nature of the confining system, the size and the topology. ${ }^{44}$ What can afford a cyclodextrin as confining system in the redox behavior of a metal complex?

## vii. Electrochemistry of (ICyD)AuCI complexes

As presented in chapter I, encapsulation of redox centers in confined spaces is an interesting research field in redox-metalloenzymes mimicry. Nevertheless, a risk of this encapsulation inside a big cavitand is a reduction in intensity or a loss of the signal in cyclic voltammetry. 62 Previous studies of the electrochemical properties of (ICyD)-CuCl complexes showed that the encapsulation of the CuCl moiety inside the $\alpha$-cyclodextrin cavity leads to a complete loss of the redox properties of the redox center ${ }^{38}$. This property was used as a proof of the encapsulation of the Cu atom. Thus, the reversal of the NHC should be then proved by the redox properties.

Since in the previous reports of electrochemistry with ICyDs only the $\alpha$-derivative was studied, at this stage, three different encapsulated complexes with different reactivities towards the oxidation, encouraged us to revisit the electrochemistry of ICyDs and look for cavity-size depending redox potentials. All the electrochemistry was done in a collaboration with Dr. Grimaud of ENS de Paris.

Electrochemistry of NHC-based gold complexes is an almost unexplored research field, only few examples of cyclic voltammetry studies with simple NHC are reported in the litterature ${ }^{143}$. The only complete report of the properties of NHC-AuX complexes has been done by Wu studying the redox properties of different benzimidazolin-2-ylidenes-based AuX complexes ( $\mathrm{X}=$ halogen). Wu and coworkers proved in their study the nature of the waves in a cyclic voltammogram of (166)AuX complexes. Only one reduction wave (R1) corresponding to a monoelectronic reduction of the $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ in $\mathrm{Au}^{0}$. This reoxidation is diffusion dependent being irreversible at low scan rates and quasi reversible at $0.2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$, where a reoxidation wave (O1) emerges.

Comparing the voltammograms of chlorine, bromine and iodine derivatives, 3 different oxidation waves ( $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3$, and O 4 respectively) are observed. The authors proposed there oxidation waves as $\mathrm{Au}^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Au}^{\text {III }}$ oxidation can be overlapped with the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ oxidation process without rejecting any of the processes.


Figure III-28: Overlaid cyclic voltammograms of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 0.1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ TBAPF 6 as supporting electrolyte.

[^50]In their study, the authors also showed, according to Hashmi144 and Raubenheimer ${ }^{145}$ that the R2 reduction of Aull to Au' wave emerged on continuous scans (Figure III-29). Two possibilities where proposed, either the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}$or $\mathrm{I}^{-}$and the generated $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ can quickly oxidize the gold complex and the Aull is generated chemically, either the Aull is electrogenerated but overlapped with this wave.


Figure III-29: Cyclic voltammogram of [ $\mathrm{AuBr}\left(\mathrm{iPr}_{2}\right.$-bimy $\left.)\right]$ complex. Dashed line first cyclic scan, red line second half scan. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 0.1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBAPF} 6$ as supporting electrolyte.

First trials on this system were done reproducing conditions of Wu's work presented before. Nevertheless, no oxidation or reduction has been observed on the voltammogram of IBnAuCl 167 in dichloromethane, used as model complex. On the opposite hand, better results were found using acetonitrile as solvent (Figure III-30). Similar results were found, a characteristic O 1 oxidation wave of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{R} 3$ reduction from $\mathrm{Au}^{\prime l l}$ to $\mathrm{Au}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{Au}^{1}$ to $\mathrm{Au}^{0}$. An additional R1 wave emerged at low reduction potentials, probably due to a reduction of the coordinated acetonitrile used as solvent to the complex.


Figure III-30: Cyclic voltammogram of (IBn)AuCl complex.MeCN, [IBnAuCl] $=2 \mathrm{mM}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ TBAPF $_{6}$ as supporting electrolyte.

As expected, due to a hindered metal complex ${ }^{146}$, in agreement with the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) CuCl analogue, a complete loss of the signal in cyclic voltammetry was observed for the ( $\alpha$ ICyD)AuCl complex.

[^51]

Figure III-31: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCI complex. MeCN, $0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$, $[(I C y D) A u C l]=2 m M, 0.1 M$ TBAPF $_{6}$ as supporting electrolyte.

In contrast, when the cyclic voltammetry is run in the same conditions with the ( $\alpha$-revICyD)AuCl ${ }^{147}$, a response similar to the one obtained with the IBnAuCl was obtained. In the oxidation way, the oxidation of chlorine ( $01=1.40 \mathrm{~V}$ ), several reductions between 1 V and -0.5 V are observed, probably because a limited stability of the complex. A reduction of the gold complex was observed at $\mathrm{R} 2=-1.87 \mathrm{~V}$, proving the outer coordination of the gold center.


Figure III-32: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl complex. MeCN $0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$, $[(I C y D) A u C l]=2 \mathrm{mM}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ TBAPF $_{6}$ as supporting electrolyte.

[^52]( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl showed in the same conditions a similar behavior. Once again, oxidation of Cl - ligands at 1.19 V ( O 1 ) but this time no reduction of an electrogenerated Aull was observed. Finally, the same two reduction waves were observed, R2 due to a reduction of the Au' in $\mathrm{Au}^{0}$, and the reduction of the coordinated acetonitrile molecule were observed at -1.86 V and -2.20 V respectively.


Figure: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl complex. MeCN 0.5V/s, $[(I C y D) A u C l]=2 \mathrm{mM}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ as supporting electrolyte.

Similar behavior was found with the largest ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCI, same oxidation wave, now with the apparition of a corresponding reduction of the generated Aulll (R3) and the two corresponding reductions of the $\mathrm{Au}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R} 2)$ and the proposed coordinated $\mathrm{MeCN}(\mathrm{R} 1)$.


Figure: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl complex. MeCN 0.5 V/s, $[(I C y D) A u C I]=2 \mathrm{mM}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TBAPF} 6$ as supporting electrolyte.

In conclusion, preliminary results on (ICyD)AuCl complexes proved their activity in electrochemistry being, to our knowledge, the first non-silent cyclodextrin-based encapsulated redox complexes. Additionally, four different behaviors were observed depending on the cavity size and the coordination mode (compiled in table III-5).

The first one, with ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl, which is inert in cyclic voltammetry, constituted an expected result according to all the encapsulated redox centers inside cyclodextrins ${ }^{148}$. Also expected, when the coordination mode is changed to the outer complex, and the complex is released of the steric hindrance afforded by the cavity, thus, the metal center can be closer to the electrode and the redox signals were recovered. 149
( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl also showed a response on cyclic voltammetry, unprecedented for confining cyclodextrins and ICyDs. In this case, redox potentials of the involved oxido-reduction processes changed substantially from the ones observed for the IBnAuCl. which is easier to oxidize and reduce. In addition, the R3 wave, attributed to a Aull/ $/ u^{\prime}$ reduction was no observed. Two reasons can explain this fact: Either the confinement produced an increase of the redox potential and the gold is not oxidized during the experiment. ${ }^{148}$ Or the chemical oxidation is kinetically slow, thus, it did not happen on the time of the experiment. Furthermore, ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl, showed a cyclic voltammogram similar to the one of the $\beta$-analogue, with the additional signal of the reduction of the Aull complex. This recovery could be observed thanks to a less hindered metal center, favoring the kinetic factors of the process.

| Complex | R1 | $\mathbf{R}_{2}\left(A u l^{1} / \mathrm{Au}^{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{Au}^{\text {I'I/ }}$ Au' ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IBnAuCI | -2.46 V | -2.22 V | -0.1 V | Broad 1.5-1.7 V |
| ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI | - | -1.87 V | Several waves | 1.40 V |
| ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl | - | - | - | - |
| ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl | -2.20 V | -1.86 V | - | 1.19 V |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCI}$ | -2.25V | -1.87 V | 0 V | 1.25 V |

Table III-5: Summary of R1, R2 and O1 potentials for the studied gold(I) complexes.

These results, unprecedented for encapsulated redox centers, showed the interest of a study of the redox behavior of these compounds. To gain more details about this phenomenon, more studies will be done using other encapsulated metal centers.

[^53]
## D. To other geometries: CD-based Pd and Pt complexes

## 1. Confinement of Pd. Square planar geometry in (ICyD)Pd complexes

i. Described synthesis of NHC-PdII complexes.

Now that the access to CD-encapsulated square planar geometries has been revealed possible, at least for $\beta$-ICyD and $\gamma$-ICyD, the access to other square planar complexes has been the first step to new metals inside ICyDs. Since the first report in 1995, ${ }^{150}$ the interest of palladium-NHC complexes has grown, with interesting applications in the catalytic field. To synthesize Pd"-NHC, the main reported synthetic routes are:

1) Direct displacement of a labile two electron ligand $L$ with the free NHC, the most common labile complexes are $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\text { allyl }\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{151}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{NCR})_{2} .{ }^{152}$
2) In situ deprotonation-metalation with a Pd bearing a basic conteranion such as $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right] .{ }^{153}$
3) In situ deprotonation-metallation with an external base such as tBuOK or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. ${ }^{154}$
4) Transmetalation from a silver NHC. 155


Scheme III-14: Main synthetic routes for the formation of Pd-NHC

Since both, the silver route and the free NHC route have been proved as useful tools to obtain gold NHC-capped cyclodextrin complexes, both synthetic methodologies will be tested to get square planar palladium based-ICyDs.

[^54]
## ii. Synthesis of CD-based palladium (II) complexes via the silver route

Even if this synthetic procedure is the less employed for the synthesis of these complexes, transmetalation of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AgCl was found to be an efficient method to obtain ( $\gamma$ $\operatorname{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ and ( $\left.\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl). These two complexes were obtained after silica gel purification in $88 \%$ yield by using one equivalent of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$, or 0.5 equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ in dichloromethane, respectively (Scheme III-15).


Scheme III-15: Synthesis by transmetallation of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ and $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(n^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$

Same results were observed with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) analogues. The reaction of $(\beta-I C y D) A g C l$ with one equivalent of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ in $94 \%$ yield, and
 $I C y D) P d C I\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) in excellent yields (Scheme II-16).


Scheme III-16: Synthesis of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ and ( $\left.\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$

By analogy with the previously studied gold oxidations, it was not unexpected that transmetalations of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl gave no traces of square planar PdII compounds, either with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ or $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}($ Scheme III-17).


Scheme III-17: Reaction conditions for the transmetallation of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl

## iii. Synthesis of CD-based palladium (II) complexes via the free NHC route

Transmetalations of silver-NHCs were proved as a powerful tool to obtain a diversity of NHC-metal complexes, nevertheless, this synthetic route is the less used for the synthesis of Pd-NHCs. We were interested in the synthesis of a broad library of Pd complexes due to the strong dependence on the reaction efficiency and the nature of the precatalyst. ${ }^{156}$

For example, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right]$ complexes are the best catalysts for CH activation reactions. However, standard reaction conditions to form this complexes did not show any evolution. ${ }^{157}$


Scheme III-18: Attempt of ligand exchange of 171

PEPPSI ${ }^{\text {™ }}$-like palladium(II) (Pyridine, Enhanced, Precatalyst, Preparation, Stabilization and Initiation) complexes were recently developed by Organ as powerful and stable precatalysts, extremely active in cross-coupling reactions ${ }^{160}$. Standard conditions to synthesize these complexes are treatment of an azolium precursor with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in presence of a palladium salt using pyridine as solvent at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{158}$

By using this synthetic procedure, ( $\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) and ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) were obtained after a silica gel column purification in $68 \%$ and $88 \%$ yield, respectively.

[^55]

Scheme III-19: Synthesis of ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine).

The same reaction conditions were used with $(\alpha-I C y D) \mathrm{HCl}$. These reaction conditions afforded the reversed ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) compound in $62 \%$ yield without traces of the introverted square planar complex.


Scheme III-20: Synthesis of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex.

Finally, ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCl was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 0.5 equivalents of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{ally}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ in dichloromethane to give the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) in $62 \%$ yield after silica gel filtration (Scheme III-20).


Scheme III-21: Synthesis of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl)

## iv. Analysis of structures.

- Structure and behavior of $\alpha-\beta$ - and $\gamma$-CD based (ICyD)Pd(allyl)CI complexes


## Nomenclature and structural characteristics

Due to the non-symmetrical coordination of a $\eta^{3}$-allyl ligand, its complexation to a NHCPdCl complex produces the formation of a stereogenic center on the palladium atom. ${ }^{156}$ The formation of this stereogenic center leads to the formation of two different enantiomers if the NHC is achiral (Figure III-35). In the case of a chiral NHC, the product is observed as a mixture of diastereoisomers. ${ }^{159}$ In addition, the five protons of the allyl moiety are not equivalent and all of them are observed in the NMR spectrum as five different signals.

Both $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons are easily differentiated because of the reduced electron delocalization of the allyl moiety induced by the carbene implies a downfield shift in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the trans carbon and a upfield shift of the cis one. ${ }^{160}$

The $\eta^{3}$-allyl will be named as shown in Figure III-, cis- and trans- will refer the position with respect to the NHC, and the syn and anti will be with respect to the central meso proton of the allyl.


Figure III-35: Stereiosomers and nomenclature of a generic $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}-\mathrm{ally}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$

## $\left(\gamma\right.$-AE-ICyD)PdCI( $n^{3}$-allyl)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the $\left(\gamma\right.$-AE-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right.$-allyl) 168 shows, as expected, the formation of two diastereoisomers. By integration of two isolated signals of the allyl ligand, a $6: 4$ ratio could be calculated, demonstrating that the asymmetric structure of the cyclodextrin cavity induced some stereoselectivity in the isomerization of the allyl ligand.

[^56]

Figure III-36: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCl( $n^{3}$-allyl). Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

From the anomeric region in the HSQC spectrum of $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) complex sixteen H1-C1 cross correlations were observed (Figure III-37). These sixteen signals are the consequence of a reduction of the C2 symmetry of the cyclodextrin to a C 1 symmetry, thus, all the sugars of the cyclodextrin are observed in the NMR. This loss of symmetry was produced by the complexation of a stereogenic ( NHC ) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) moiety. ${ }^{161}$ Thus, only two different isomers are observed.


Figure III-37: Extract of the anomeric region of the HSQC of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(n^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$ complex
The presence of two allyl complexes was verified by the presence of characteristic signals of palladium coordinated allyl complexes. Two meso carbon-proton correlations are observed in the frequency of carbon at 114.9 and 115.7 ppm according to a $\eta^{3}$-coordination, and two sets of cis proton-carbon cross correlations at 44.5 and 45.7 ppm . These chemical shifts are in agreement with previously described NHC -based $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl)complexes, ${ }^{162,163}$ confirming the formation of the expected complex as a mixture of diastereoisomers (Figure III-38).

[^57]

Figure III-38 : Extract of the allyl region of the HSQC of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(n^{3}-a l l y l\right)$ complex

A particular 2D-NOESY spectrum was obtained. In addition to usual cross correlation peaks (Figure III-39 green), exchange signals between both isomers were observed (Figure III-39-blue). More precisely, in the region of Hcis-anti protons of the allyl ligand, key exchange cross correlations were observed at $\tau_{\text {mix }}=800 \mathrm{~ms}$.


Figure III-40: NOESY of 170 at $\tau_{\text {mix }}=800 \mathrm{~ms}$. Exchange signals are marked in blue and NOESY signals in green. Acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

Four correlations were identified in the 2D-NOESY spectra of 170 for each H-cis-anti proton. From all of them, only one was attributed to a pure NOE effect. To these signals, three different exchange signals were additionally observed for each isomer (Figure III-40).


Figure III-40 :Observed signals in 2D-NOESY.

In other words, the diastereoisomerization takes places through an isomerization of the Ccis-Cmeso bond ${ }^{164}$. Thus, formation of a $\sigma$-bond between the palladium and the Ccis carbon with the corresponding decoordination of the double bond. Formation of a $\sigma$-allyl complex able to follow a rotation around the $\sigma$-Csyn-Cmeso bond to finally form $\eta 3$-allyl complex again. The relative position of the Hanti and Hsyn of the Ccis are thus inversed (Scheme III-21). This result is in agreement with Pregosin's observations. ${ }^{168}$


Scheme III-21: Proposed mechanism of the diastereomerization of $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}($ allyl $)$

## ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) PdCl(n3-allyl$)$

Complexation fo the $\operatorname{PdCl}($ allyl $)$ inside the cavity of the $\beta-I C y D 170$ should lead also to the formation of a mixture of diastereoisomers. Surprisingly, unlike its $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ analogue, one major disastereoisomer is observed, in a ratio superior to $97: 3$ calculated by NMR (Figure III-41). This result shows that the cavity can control the position of the allyl moiety, and therefore, the diastereoselectivity of the complexation of the allyl. ${ }^{168}$


Figure III-41: Extract of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )PdCI( $\left.n^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$ 170. Integration of two anomeric protons of both species is shown on the right.

Acetone $-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

[^58]From the anomeric region of the HSQC a single group of seven signals, confirms the presence of a major isomer (Figure III-42).


Figure III-42: Extract of HSQC of ( $\beta-I C y D) P d C l\left(\eta^{3}-a l l y l\right)$ showing the presence of only one major isomer.
Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$
The same information can be obtained from the allyl ligand. One single signal is observed for the meso proton-carbon correlation, and two cross correlations for the diastereotopic cis proton-carbon correlations. The split on the chemical shift of these two protons made the differentiation easy by simple comparison to the litterature ${ }^{169}$. The most shielded one corresponds to the anti one and the most deshielded one to the syn proton (Figure III-43).


Figure III-43: Extract of HSQC of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) PdCl( $n^{3}$-allyl) showing the cross correlations of the major allyl, (the minor one is not visible) acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

2D-NOESY shows a very clear cross-correlation peak between the H cis and anti from the allyl moiety and the $\mathrm{H}-5$ of the sugar unit A that bears the NHC-bridge (Figure III-44).


Figure III-44: NOESY extract of ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) showing a cross correlation between the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}$ and the anti/cis proton of the allyl.

Acetone-d $6,600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

Since this allyl proton has only one cross correlation peak with the cyclodextrin, a the C-carbene-Pd bond rotation will be considered as slow, on the analysis timescale ( $\tau_{\text {mix }}=800 \mathrm{~ms}$ ). This NOESY cross correlation was used as key data in the structural determination of the complex. Either the allyl ligand is included in the bigger loop of the macrocycle, (E,F,G sugar units, Figure III-45B), either it is encapsulated in the smaller one (B, C sugar units, Figure III-45A). In any case, as observed for all the complexes presented previously, the downfield shifts of the H 5 and H 3 will be the other key structural informations in the elucidation of the structure. Additionally, assuming the square planar geometry around the palladium atom, the chlorido ligand will be placed on the opposite side of the macrocycle.


Figure III-45: Schematic representation of the two structures according to the NOESY cross correlations

To discriminate between these two possible structures, the chemical shifts of the intracavity protons were studied. As for all the introverted complexes, a downfield shift of the H5 A and D is observed (Table III-6) due to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ interaction with the metal. Comparing the rest of the H 5 , a downfield shift is observed on the H 5 of sugar units $E$ and $F$ (deshielded almost by 1 ppm in comparison with C and G) and on the H 3 F and G (deshielded by 0.5 and 0.75 ppm in comparison of the rest of H 3 ). In contrast, there are no deshieldings on the sugar units B and C, confirming the presence of the allyl in the smallest loop of the macrocycle, as proposed in the Figure III-45B.


Table III-6: 1H-NMR chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ and $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl). Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

With all this information, as for the rest of the complexes, the Pd atom was placed between the H5 A and D according to the NMR deductions. To model the E,F,G sugars, the chlorido ligand was placed in the between the H 3 and the H 5 of the cyclodextrin, and the sugars were tilted to obtain relative distances in agreement with the other of the NMR chemical shifts. After a final UFF optimization the structures obtained are shown in the figure III-46.

SIDE VIEW


Figure III-46: Modeled structures of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right) 170$

In contrast to the linear complexes, the Pd atom is moved away from the walls of the B,C sugar units, placed in the exact middle of the cavity. The chlorine atom is deeply included at the H5 level of the cyclodextrin and the allyl ligand partially included inside the cavity. The distances obtained for the different parts of the complex are consistent with the downfield shifts described in the literature for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interactions. ${ }^{90,117}$

## $(\alpha-r e v-I C y D) P d C l\left(n^{3}-a l l y l\right)$

In the case of the $\alpha$-rev-ICyD complex, two species are also observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR. As for the $(\gamma-I C y D) \operatorname{PdCl}\left(n^{3}-a l l y l\right)$ analogue, by integration of the two shielded protons of the allyl ligand of both isomers a $1: 1$ ratio is deduced (Figure III-47). The anomeric protons H1-C,F isolated in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ as well as the characteristic deshielded protons of the NHC, integrates for four protons, compared to one of the allyl, suggesting a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry for both complexes.


Figure III-47: 1H-NMR of ( $\alpha-$-rev-ICyD)PdCI( $n^{3}$-allyl) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

By analyzing the anomeric region of the HSQC six signals corresponding to six different H1-C1 cross-correlations were found (Figure III-48). Also in accordance with a possible mixture of two $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric complexes., which is, this time, in contrast with $\gamma$-ICyD and non-confined NHC complexes, ${ }^{170}$ were $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetries are observed


Figure III-48: HSQC of the anomeric region of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The presence of two allyl moieties was also confirmed by HSQC since two different signals at 114.8 and 114.9 ppm are observed, corresponding to the proton-carbon meso crosscorrelations. Multiplicities and chemical shifts also suggested to two $\eta^{3}$-coordinated species. ${ }^{169}$


Figure III-49: HSQC of the meso-allyl region of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

Two cross correlation peaks were observed between the CH of the NHC and the carbenic carbon in the HMBC spectra (Figure III-50). Confirming the coexistence of two $\mathrm{C}_{2^{-}}$ symmetrical complexes.


Figure III-51: HMBC of the carbene region of ( $\alpha-$ rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) showing the presence of two carbenes.
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

As for the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCI analogue, three cross correlation peaks were observed on the 2D-NOESY between the CH of the NHC and the intra-cavity protons $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ and the H5C,F (Figure III-51).


Figure III-51: NOESY and HSQC of ( $\alpha-$-rev-ICyD)PdCI $\left(n^{3}-\right.$ allyl $)$ showing cross correlations between the CH of the imidazole and some intra-cavity protons. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

In contrast to its $\gamma$-analogue, a different pattern on the 2D-NOESY was observed on the allyl part. In this complex, 2D-NOESY and exchange cross correlations signals were observed between the four Hcis of the allyl moiety (Figure III-52, green NOESY, blue exchange). In contrast, no cross correlations were observed with the Hmeso of the allyl (region showed on the image with a yellow rectangle).


Figure III-52: Extract of 2D-NOESY spectra of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl). Exchange signals are marked in blue, NOESY in green and the region of the Hmeso is marked with a yellow rectangle.
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K} \tau_{\text {mix }}=800 \mathrm{~ms}$
This different 2D-NOESY pattern suggests that the diastereoisomerization mechanism differs for this complex. What is clear is that the stereochemistry between the Ccis and the Cmeso is conserved. The most probable mechanism in agreement for this observation involves, in analogy to the one presented for the $\gamma$-ICyD-complex, ${ }^{168}$ a isomerization through the CmesoCtrans bond. This mechanism starts with the formation of the $\eta^{1}$-allyl complex by decoordination of the double bond to form a $\sigma$-bond between the Pd and the Ctrans carbon. Then, rotation around the $\sigma$-Ctrans-Cmeso bond, this one, after a final complexation of the double bond gives the diasteroisomer of the palladium center without changing the relative position of the Hcis and the Hmeso (Scheme III-22).


Scheme III-22: Proposed mechanism of diasteroisomerization of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl)

Due to the overlapping of signals in the NMR experiments, cross correlations between the Htrans and the Hmeso could not been found in 2D-NOESY experiments. To elucidate this mechanism, cleanEXSY sequences are under development.

In conclusion, with this three (ICyD)PdCl(allyl) complexes, three different effects of the encapsulation and the coordination mode on the dynamic behavior of a complex were observed. It was found that the complexation in the chiral pocket of the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) complex formed a mixture of diastereoisomers in a $6: 4$ ratio. When the complexation is done inside a narrower cavity ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), the ratio of diastereoisomers was significantly increased at more than $97: 3$. Finally, the outer coordination of the ( $\alpha-$ rev-ICyD) afford a $1: 1$ mixture of complexes. This complex was revealed as $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric, unexpected for monodentated NHC. 168

Additionally, the observed mechanisms of diastereoisomerization for the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) and the $(\gamma-I C y D)$-based complexes were different in both cases. ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) was found to isomerize with the $\eta^{1}$-allyl complex coordinated by the Ctrans carbon. In contrast, with the ( $\gamma$ ICyD)PdCl(allyl) complex the carbon found to be bonded to the Pd atom was the Ccis one. These differences in the isomerization mechanism will be tried to be applied in the development of selective allylation reactions.

## - Structures of $\gamma$ - $\beta$ - and $\alpha$-CD-based (ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complexes. Structure, shape and confirmation with DFT calculations

Bulky NHC-based Pd pyridine compounds are more and more exploited as catalysts in palladium chemistry ${ }^{165}$. To understand the reactivity of ICyD-based Pd complexes the threedimensional structure is required first.

The same methodology was followed to elucidate the shape of all the (ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complexes. Analysis of the chemical shifts of the H 5 and H 3 pointing inside the cavity allowed us to deduce relative distances depending on the $\delta$. Finally, the metal and the sugar units were placed according to this relative distances. As for the square planar ( $\gamma-$ ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$, it will be considered that the only interaction of the $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{A}$ and E was the one with the metal. The rest of the downfield shifts will be considered to be an interaction with the equatorial chlorido ligands.

As observed on the NMR, the order of H 5 proton chemical shifts in the $(\gamma$ ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 173 complex is $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}$ (the most deshielded), then $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ and finally D,H (Figure III-53). According to the previous hypothesis and experiences, H5-A,E is deshielded because of the presence of a $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}$ interaction, and the rest of H 5 due to the presence of the two diametrically opposed equatorial chlorido ligands of the square planar palladium complex.


Figure III-53: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{py}) . \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

As presented for the rest of complexes, the model was constructed placing the palladium atom in the middle of the cavity between the sugar units A and E , then, the equatorial chloride ligands were placed next to the most deshielded sugar units $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}$ and the rest were tilted according to the relative distances deduced from the NMR. The resulting modeled structure is presented in the figure III-54 and the measured distances and the chemical shifts are reported in table III-7.

## TOP VIEW



SIDE VIEW


Figure III-54: Modeled structure of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine)

| $(\gamma-\mathbf{I C y D}) \mathbf{P d C l}_{2}(\mathbf{p y})$ |  | $\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{B , F}$ | $\mathbf{C , G}$ | $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta / \mathbf{p p m}$ | H 5 | 5.08 | 4.21 | 3.99 | 3.58 |
|  | H 3 | 4.25 | 4.11 | 4.16 | 4.12 |
| DISTANCES-H5-Claxiar $/ \hat{\text { A }}$ |  | - | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.3 |

Table III-7: Chemical shifts of intra-cavity protons ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 173 complex and distances obtained from the modeled structure.

It can be observed on the modeled structure a complete inclusion of the $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ moiety at the level of the H 5 . In contrast, the pyridine is placed at the entrance of the cavity, coordinated in axial position of the complex. This trans complexation of the pyridine can be verified with the observed upfield shift of the $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\left(-0.18 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ by comparison with the $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ analogue) downfield shift attributed in the ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ complexes to a $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ interaction. Unfortunately, any NOESY signal was observed between the pyridine and the protons of the cyclodextrin, probably, because a fast rotation of the Pd-N bond.


Figure III-55: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 172. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

The same reasoning was followed to deduce the structure of the $\beta$ $I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine). After analysis all the NMR, the chemical shifts of the intra-cavity protons were analyzed to find where the chlorido ligands of the palladium complex were placed inside the cavity (Figure III-55).

Then as presented several times during this chapter, the sugar units of the macrocycle were tilted according to the relative distances obtained from the NMR. Thus, once again, the palladium atom is placed in the inside of the cavity, slightly closer from the most deshielded $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}$. Then, chlorido ligands are placed inside the cavity, the first one, $n$ the big loop next to the H5 E and F, and the second one, between the H5-B and the H3-C the only one deshielded. After a final UFF optimization of the system the result is shown on the figure III-56 and the mesured distance on the table III-8.

| $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{py})$ |  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ | H 5 | 5.42 | 4.49 | 3.93 | $\mathbf{6 . 1 2}$ | 4.68 | 4.52 | 4.12 |
| Distances $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H 3 | 4.14 | 4.40 | 5.22 | 4.12 | 3.91 | 4.19 | 4.14 |
|  | - | $4.1 \AA$ | $5.6 \AA$ | - | $4.0 \AA$ | $4.8 \AA$ | $4.3 \AA$ |  |

Table III-8: Chemical shifts of intra-cavity protons ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{2}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex and distances obtained from the modeled structure. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure III-56: Top view and side view of the modeled structures of ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI2(pyridine).

It can be observed on the modeled structure a slightly distorted coordination, with extremely tilted $C$ and $B$ sugars. As observed for the $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ analogue, one of the Cl atoms is closer to the entrance of the cavity, closer to the H 3 ; and the other one, completely included at the H5 level. The pyridine ligand, also coordinated at the axial position, is as observed previously, placed at the entrance of the cyclodextrin.

To finish the analysis of the (ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) compounds, the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) analogue was studied. To avoid the overlapping of signals in the 2D-NOESY experiment and confirm the cross correlations with the intra-cavity protons, selective 1D NOESY was perform by irradiation of the CH of the imidazole to suppress all the signals of the $1 \mathrm{D}-1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum without NOE effect (Figure III-57).


Figure III-57: Stacked of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, NOESY and HSQC showing the spacial proximity of the CH of the NHC and the intra-cavity protons $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The structure of this compound was estimated starting with the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl complex. By returning the NHC and adding the $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex, after a UFF optimization the structure obtained is showed in Figure III-58 with the NOE distances marked in green.


Figure III-58: Modeled three-dimensional structure of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl2(pyridine). Distances according to the NOE are shown in green.

The position of the NHC was verified, in addition to the NOESY correlations, with the coupling constants between the H 5 and both H6. As it can be observed in the 1D-NOESY spectrum, the H 5 are observed as broad doublets and not as the usual triplet observed for the introverted ICyDs. The calculated dihedral angle using Haasnot's method ${ }^{166}$ are $59^{\circ}, 108^{\circ}, 247^{\circ}$ and $294^{\circ}$ using the coupling constant of ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}={ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Hg}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ obtained from the 2D-Jres spectrum. Only two conformations fit with this dihedral angles, the staggered one with the two H 6 at $60^{\circ}$, or the eclipsed one with the H 5 and both H 6 at $120^{\circ}$ (Figure III-59).

Staggered




Eclipsed


$\mathrm{RH}^{5}$

Figure III-59: Staggered and eclipsed conformations around the C5-C6 bond.

[^59]As it can be observed on the modeled structures, the structure directly obtained is the eclipsed one, with a internalization of the NHC and a complete exposition of the Pd center to the outside of the cyclodextrin.

The internalization of the NHC and the eclipsed conformer with the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$, places this last protons exactly in the anisotropic cone of the aromatic ring. This fact, explains the downfield shifted $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ at 4.75 ppm of a complex where the metal atom is placed outside of the cavity.

## v. QM-MM modeled structure of ( $\beta-I_{C y D}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine).

To validate all the procedure of the modeling based on NMR, QM-MM calculations were performed to get the structure of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex ${ }^{167}$. The obtained structure, compared to the NMR deduced, is shown on the Figure III-60 (Benzyl groups and non-intra-cavity hydrogens were removed for clarity). A slight distortion of the square planar geometry with an $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ (pyridine) angle of the complex was obtained by DFT structure. To appreciate the similarity between both structures distances between the H 5 and the metal (used as reference point) were measured and reported on the table III-9.

NMR-Based structures



QM-MM calculations



Figure III-60: NMR-based structures (left) and QM-MM calculated structures of $\beta$-ICyD)PdCl 2 (py)

[^60]| Proton | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | C-Pd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NMR <br> models | $2.8 \AA$ | $4.1 \AA$ | $5.6 \AA$ | $2.7 \AA$ | $4.0 \AA$ | $4.8 \AA$ | $4.3 \AA$ | $1.9 \AA$ |
| QM-MM | $3 \AA$ | $4.2 \AA$ | $5.0 \AA$ | $2.8 \AA$ | $4.2 \AA$ | $4.8 \AA$ | $4.5 \AA$ | $2 \AA$ |

Table III-9: Distances between the H5 of each sugar and the Pd center of the NMR-based proposed structures and the calculated one

It can be appreciated in the reported distances a precision of less than $0.2 \AA(\sim 10 \%)$ for all the H 5 of the cyclodextrin except the sugar unit C , because is the only sugar unit without weak interactions, thus, without any probe of the position. These two similar structures validate the whole methodology and all the hypotheses done during the elucidation of the structure of the cyclodextrin-based square planar complexes.

## vi. Electrochemistry of (ICyD)PdCl 2 (pyridine) compounds

As presented previously, (ICyD)AuCl complexes showed, for the first time, an activity on cyclic voltammetry that differs from a model complex (IBn)AuCl. This fact, aroused our interest to study the behavior of the Pd analogues.

To continue the study of the effect of the cavity size and coordination modes of in the redox properties of these compounds, cyclic voltammetry of the cyclodextrin-based $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complexes were performed. As for NHC-gold complexes, only a couple of studies of the electrochemical properties of simple NHC ligands are reported in the litterature ${ }^{168,169}$

To get a model complex with the redox potentials of an imidazolylidene compound, control experiences were carried out with $(\mathrm{IPr}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) in acetonitrile. In the reduction direction, this complex shows two reduction waves, one irreversible at $-1.67 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{R} 2)$ produced by a two electron reduction of the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, and the reversible R1, probably due to a reduction of the complexed acetonitrile (solvent), pyridine, or both, at -2.61 V .

Two oxidation waves are then observed on the reoxidation way, the O 1 reoxidation of the electrogenerated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ at $-0,29 \mathrm{~V}$ and the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 1.15 V (Figure III-61).

[^61]

Figure III-61: Cyclic voltammogram of (IPr) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine). $\mathrm{MeCN},\left[(\mathrm{IPr}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]=2 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{GC} 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, CE Pt wire, SCE, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{mM}$.

Same results have been observed for the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex. Two reduction waves and two oxidations involving the same couples at the same redox potentials were observed (Figure III-62).


Figure III-62: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine). [(IPr) $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]=2 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{GC} 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, CE Pt wire, SCE, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

Analyzing now the nearest larger ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) analogue, a surprisingly different behavior was observed. The irreversible R2 reduction from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ is now shifted at -2.02 V while the R 1 reversible reduction of is also observed at -2.6 V. The same O 1 reoxidation of the electrogenerated palladium at -0.22 V and O 2 oxidation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 1.16 V (Figure III-63).

Surprisingly, an additional reversible reduction R3 is observed at -2.44 V , this additional reduction wave is still not determined.


Figure III-63: Cyclic voltammogram of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine). [(ICyD) $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]=2 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4} 0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{GC} 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, CE Pt wire, SCE, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

Finally, cyclic voltammetry of the largest analogue ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) shows a new shift of the R 2 Pd " to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to a even lower potential $(-2.32 \mathrm{~V})$ while the reversible reduction R 1 is still observed at -2.6 V . On the oxidation way, O 1 oxidation of the electrogenerated palladium (0) is now observed at +0.07 V and finally the O 2 oxidation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is observed at the same potential (1.20V)

In conclusion, a cathodic shift of the reduction of $\mathrm{Pd}^{11 /} / \mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ was observed, as well as an anodic shift for the oxidation of the electrogenerated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$, for the $\gamma$-ICyD analogue (Figure III-64).


Figure III-64: Cyclic voltammogram of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}($ pyridine $)\left[(\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]=1.5 \mathrm{mM}$. $\mathrm{TBABF}_{4} 0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{GC} 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, CE Pt wire, SCE, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

The redox potentials obtained from the cyclic voltammetry experiences are summarized in table III-10. Since the reduction potential of the $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ wave remains negligibly unchanged in the four different complexes, it can be deduced that the reduction potential of the coordinated pyridine is not affected either by the steric around the metal center either by the diffusion coefficient.

Looking now at the palladium center redox peaks, it can be observed that the redox behavior of the extroverted $\alpha$-ICyD based complex is similar to the one observed for the control complex $\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine). This result means that the diffusion coefficient of the bigger ( $\alpha$-revICyD ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex is not relevant on the redox potential of the system. ${ }^{170}$ To exclude this factor, showed to have an effect in other encapsulated redox centers, ${ }^{171}$ diffusion coefficients were calculated by DOSY-NMR for all the (ICyD)PdCl 2 (pyridine) complexes, being 6 $x 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the three complexes.

By comparison of the $\alpha$ - and $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{\operatorname{PdCl}} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ (pyridine) complexes, the reduction of the palladium is now shifted to a lower potential $(\Delta \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{R} 1)=-0.34 \mathrm{~V})$, in addition, the reoxidation of the electrogenerated palladium (0) stays at -0.22 V .
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Figure III-65: Stacked cyclic voltammogram of the studied complexes. [(NHC)Pd] $=2 \mathrm{mM}$, $\left[(\gamma-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]=1.5 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{TBABF}_{4} 0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{GC} 3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{CE}$ Pt wire, $\mathrm{SCE}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$.

Moving now to the biggest analogue ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) the reduction potential R2 of the palladium center is shifted again to lower potentials at -2.32 V , again shifted to lower potentials. Furthermore, the reoxidation wave of the electrogenerated palladium (0) appears now at 0.07 V , at upper potentials.

| Complex | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | R2 (Pd"/Pd ${ }^{\text {0 }}$ ) | O $\mathbf{1}^{\text {Pd }}$ / ${ }^{\text {PdII }}$ | $\Delta E\left(R_{2} / O_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 PrPdCl 2 (pyridine) | -2.61 V | -1.64 V | -0.29 V | 1.35 V |
| ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) | -2.64 V | -1.68 V | -0.22 V | 1.46 V |
| ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}($ pyridine) | -2.64 V | -2.02 V | -0.22 V | 1.80 V |
| $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}($ pyridine $)$ | -2.64 V | -2.32 V | +0.07 V | 2.25 V |

Table III-10: Summary of R1, R2 and O1 potentials for the studied complexes.

The proposed razionalization of this behavior is based in previous reports of encapsulated redox active metal complexes, such as the already presented calixarenes ${ }^{35}$ or hemicryptophanes. ${ }^{34}$

One key aspect on the CV, is the necessity of completion of the first coordination sphere, and the effect of these ligands in the redox potential. ${ }^{171}$ The ligands and the stability of a metal complex are determinant on the redox potential of a metal complex, ${ }^{31}$ and the evolution during the CV can be considered as a chemical reaction. ${ }^{172}$ Additionally, in different Fc-based hostguest complexes, another important factor is the solvation sphere. ${ }^{26}$ The close presence of a

[^63]cavitand, can disturb these factors in the different species generated by an electrochemical reaction, ${ }^{173}$ the exchange of "guests" are thus involved in the process. ${ }^{24}$

The model system started thus with a ( NHC ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex reduced in a (NHC)Pdo(pyridine) complex. At this stage, both chlorido ligands must get out of the first coordination sphere and the palladium with 14 valency electrons needed to complete its coordination sphere, thus a rearragement of the first coordination sphere should be involved to complete the coordination sphere and the electrogenerated complex (NHC)Pd(pyridine) accepts 2 electron ligands to complete its valency electrons (ideally 2 to complete the 18 valency electrons). Then, this different complex is reoxidized to generate a cationic ( NHC ) $\mathrm{Pd}^{2}+($ pyridine $)$. (Scheme III-23)


Scheme III-23: Proposed generic pathways of the electrochemical process.
In the case of the (IPr) and the ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) ligands, no steric hindrance disturbs the process of coordination and expulsion of ligands around the metal center. Nevertheless in the case of the confined complexes of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) and ( $\gamma$-ICyD), the steric hindrance afforded by the cavity implies an additional cost in energy for the coordination-expulsion of ligands to happen.

The proposal of this process is thus, that the expulsion of the chlorido ligands requires an additional energy. This additional energy is lower for the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine), where tilt of the metal complex places one of the equatorial chlorido ligands at the entrance of the cavity. Nevertheless, in the case of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine), a deeper coordination of the chlorides requires an additional energy to expel the guest from the cavity (Scheme III-24), reflected in a lower redox potential.

[^64]In addition, the completion of the first coordination sphere of the $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ complex is also influenced by the same steric hindrance, requiring the entrance into the cavity of a new guest. In this case, this new ligand is a solvent MeCN molecule. The entrance inside the cavity requires also an additional energy cost, reflected in a higher redox potential.


Scheme III-24 : Proposed pathways for the electrochemical process of ( $\beta$-and $\gamma$ $\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine)

In conclusion, through all the electrochemical experiments, the study of ICyD-confined Pd complexes was done. Relating all the CV performed (gold and palladium), a strong dependence on the position of the ligands of the metal inside the cavity was found. Due to the linear coordination of gold, (ICyD)AuCl complexes placed all the reactivity at the entrance of the cavity, reflected in any change on the redox potentials between ( $\alpha-, \beta$ - and $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl complexes.

In contrast, in the case of ( $\beta$ - and $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) Pd complexes, the reactivity of the ligands happened at the cis position of the Pd atom, thus, inside the cavity. This inner reactivity was reflected in strong changes on the redox potentials of the complex. The reduction peaks were observed at lower potentials when the coordination-expulsion of ligands take place in a higher position on the cavity (Scheme). This result is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study of the dependance of the position of the metal in a cavitand on the redox potentials of the complex.

## 2. Confinement of Pt compounds.

## Square planar and trigonal geometry of (ICyD)Pt compounds.

## i. Synthesis of Pt-based-NHC.

While Pd-based NHC's exists mainly in Pd(II) oxidation state, Pt are reported under many different geometries and oxidation states. (Scheme III-25). In this work the only considered complexes will be the $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Pt}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (pyridine) as a PEPPSI structural analogue, as well as the $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Pt}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{dvtms})$ for recent interest as catalysts in the hydrosilylation ${ }^{174}$ and borylation 175 reactions. Synthetic methods for this compounds are, as for most of NHC-transition metal complexes, complexation with a free $\mathrm{NHC}^{176}$ or transmetalation of the silver carbene. ${ }^{177}$


Scheme III-25: Synthetic methods for the selected Pt complexes

## ii. Comparison between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ - CD-based (ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) reactivity

The synthesis of the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)Pt(pyridine) complex has been performed in the same conditions reported in the literature. ${ }^{187}$ Deprotonation of the azolium salt ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCl 122 with an excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in presence of $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ in pyridine at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ affords the expected reversed ( $\alpha$ -rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ complex in $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ yield (Scheme III-26)
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Scheme III-26: Synthesis of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)Pt(pyridine)

As for its Pd analogue ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine), the external coordination of the metal center was verified by 1D-NOESY experiments. Irradiation of the deshielded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ proton of the NHC shows an unambiguous spatial proximity with the intra-cavity protons $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D} \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ and H-5C,F. As showed previously, the same conformational change observed on the ( $\alpha$-rev$\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) can be deduced from the multiplicity of the $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ (Figure III-66).


Figure III-66: 1H-NMR, selective 1D-NOE and HSQC of ( $\alpha-r e v-I C y D$ ) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 181.
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ (irradiation at 7.4 ppm )

In contrast, the same reaction conditions with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCl afforded a non-separable mixture of the expected ( $\beta-I_{C y D}$ ) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 181, and the reversed ( $\beta$-rev$\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 182. This reversed complex is the first observed with $\beta$-cyclodextrin. A 45:55 mixture of both compounds in favor of 181 was obtained in $55 \%$ yield after two silica gel purifications.


Scheme III-27: Synthesis of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(pyridine)

From the HSQC (Figure III-67), the presence of 14 anomeric carbons, two pairs of C6 attached to the NHC, two pairs of ortho carbons of the coordinated pyridine and two pairs of CH of the NHC (two shielded at 6.13 and 6.03 ppm corresponding to the inner one, and two deshielded at 7.95 and 7.92 ppm ) and 2 characteristic ortho protons of the complexed pyridine.


Figure III-67: HSQC of a mixture of $\left(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{2}\right) \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) and ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine)
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

The possibility to obtain Pt complexes with $\alpha$-ICyD and $\beta$-ICyD ligands made us consider the possibility to obtain other Pt-based complexes found more frequently in synthetic applications.

## iii. Synthesis and structure of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt ${ }^{0}($ dvtms $)$

NHC-based platinum(0) species merged as important catalyst because of their easy synthesis, bench storage and stability towards air and moisture. The free NHC route was chosen to afford the desired ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) Pt(dvtms) compound. Deprotonation of the azolium salt with KHMDS ${ }^{178}$ in toluene and the in situ metallation in presence of the Karsted's catalyst ( $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ (dvtms) $)_{3}$ ) afforded the ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) complex 183 in $26 \%$ yield as pure isomer, isolated from the mixture by silica gel chromatography (Scheme III-28).


Scheme III-28: Synthesis of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)
An external coordinated complex could be deduced because of an extremely deshielded CH of NHC at 8 ppm, observed also for the $\alpha$-rev-ICyD analogues 180, 174 and 160. The coordination of the dvtms ligand was confirmed with a single set of vinyl signals between 1.75 and 2.25 ppm and the additional four $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Me}$ singlets between -0.5 ppm and 0.5 ppm . Additionally, a Ccarbene at 182.1 ppm , in agreement with already described NHC-based$\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dvtms})$ complexes, ${ }^{189}$ confirmed the formation of the complex.


Figure III-68 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) complex. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

[^66]The outer coordination of the carbene was verified by 2D-NOESY experiments. Cross correlation peaks were observed between both CH of the NHC and H 5 and H 3 intra cavity protons, as it was observed for all the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) complexes.


Figure III-69: 2D-NOESY spectrum of ( $\beta-r e v-I C y D) P t(d v t m s) . C D C l_{3} 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K} \tau_{\text {mix }}=800 \mathrm{~ms}$.

## iv. Synthesis and structure of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{\mathrm{Cy}} \mathrm{Pt}^{0}(\mathrm{dvtms})$

In contrast, using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base and the same $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{dvtms})_{3}$, compound in a dichloromethane : xylene 7:3 mixture. The reaction was started at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slowly warmed up to room temperature to obtain the inner ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dvtms}$ ), also isolated from the mixture by silica gel chromatography in 30\% yield (Scheme III-29).


Scheme III-29: Synthesis of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)

Once again, a different conformational behavior could be observed in the NMR. At room temperature in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, three different complexes in slow exchange on the NMR timescale were observed. This equilibrium was suggested by the presence of 6 signals of the CH of the NHC. Several groups of vinyl signals between 2 and 2.6 ppm and the same observation could be done for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ signals between 0 and 0.5 ppm . All the signals were in agreement with the literature, confirming the presence of a coordinated divinyltetramethylsiloxane (Figure III-70).


Figure III-70: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)184. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

As already presented several times during this chapter, chemical shift of the carbene, used as key information of the nature of the NHC was obtained through the HMBC cross correlation with the CH of the NHC at 185.8, according with already reported complexes ${ }^{178}$ confirming the presence of a $\mathrm{Pt}^{0}$ complex (Figure III-72).


Figure III-71: HMBC of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) 184. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

To study the dynamic behavior of the compound, the complex was heated in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$. A clear approach of the NHC signals of the NHC and the dvtms could be observed between 300 K to 360 K due to a possible coalescence at more than 360 K (Figure III-72).


Figure III-72: Temperature variation of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) Pt(dvtms). Tol- $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.
In conclusion, different Pt-based complexes, with different geometries were synthesized inside and outside the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-ICyD ligands. In the case of $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine), a single outer coordinated complexe was obtained without traces of the inner one. In the case of the ( $\beta$ ICyD) ligand, a non-separable mixture of ( $\beta-I C y D$ ) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) and ( $\beta$-rev$\mathrm{ICyD}_{\mathrm{CtCl}}^{2}$ (pyridine) complexes was obtained. In addition, when the reaction is performed with the $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ (dvtms) $)_{3}$ precursor, a dependance on the base was observed in the major product of the reaction. If KHMDS is used as base to form the free NHC, the outer ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) complex is isolated as major product of the reaction. If in contrast, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is used as base to form the free ( $\beta$-ICyD), the inner ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dvtms}$ ) is obtained as major compound of the reaction.

## E. General conclusion to chapter III.

Through all this chapter, a synthesis of new NHC-capped cyclodextrins were presented. This synthetic work started with the synthesis of a targeted ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl complex, for a enynecycloisomerization that will be studied in the next chapter.

Studying the optimization of the synthesis, passing through the free-NHC, either in a two steps or a one pot reaction, an unprecedented reversed coordination mode of the AuCl was found for $\alpha$-cyclodextrin. This ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl was first observed as side product of the reaction, to finally develop a method to synthesize it in a preparative scale.

Also during the synthesis of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ complex, an unexpected oxidation of the gold complex at the last step of the synthesis, producing a unprecedented square planar ( $\gamma$-AE$\left.I^{I C y D}\right) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ complex inside the cavity of a cyclodextrin. This preliminary result, opened a synthetic route to square planar complexes, with an important interest on Pd-based complexes. First, inside of the largest $\gamma$-cyclodextrin cavity, to then develop the synthesis in narrower easily accessible analogues in $\beta$-cyclodextrin, with the expected inner coordination; and $\alpha$ cyclodextrin, where the coordination mode was observed to be exclusively outside of the cavity. Finally, the methodology was extended to Pd and Pt complexes, showing that the different metals, square planar or trigonal could be also accessible inside the cavity of ICyDs.

All these complexes contributed to a better understanding of the behavior of ICyDs. From a structural point of view of the dynamics of the complexes it was found that the cavity size and the coordination mode had an important impact on the mechanism of the isomerization of the simplest $\eta^{3}$-allyl ligand of a Pd complex. In the case of the ( $\left.\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) complex, the mechanism found was in agreement with a $\eta^{3}-\eta^{1}-\eta^{3}$ isomerization around the Ccis of the ligand. In the case of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{rev}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), the mechanism found showed no rotation around this bond. Finally, in the case of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$, the cavity restricted the position of the allyl, found to be in a ratio higher than $97: 3$.

This first study showed how the size of a cavitand, in cooperation with the size of the metal complex can influence the dynamic behavior of a metal complex. This behavior is the first clue towards selective allylations, either regio- or enantioselective, that will be studied during the next chapter.

Another interesting result of this chapter were the electrochemistry results, being to the best of out knowledge, the first metal complexes, confined in cyclodextrins that exhibit a response in CV. This study related the structure of the complexes to the electrochemical properties. In this work, a relationship of the position of the ligands inside the cavitand and the redox potentials was showed, suggesting that the redox potentials are not only dependent on the confining system but also in the position within. This results showed that more confining systems lead to lower reduction and higher oxidation potentials.

## CHAPTER IV

ICyD-metal complexes in catalysis. Effects of a discrete confinement

## CHAPTER IV: ICyD-metal complexes in catalysis. Effects of a discrete confinement

In chapter III different cyclodextrin-based metal complexes were presented. In all of the synthesized complexes, different structural parameters were studied such as the cavity size and its deformation, the coordination modes depending on the cavity size, as well as the influence of the cavity size on the redox potentials.

In the following chapter, the structure-reactivity of all the ICyD complexes presented in the last chapter will be studied. Different aspects will be presented, as for example the effect of the coordination mode and the encapsulation in the efficiency of the system or the different geometries of a complex. This study will start with the previously presented enyne cycloisomerization, followed by other reactions where the oxidation state of the complex remains unchanged $(\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ ). In a second part, the study of redox active catalytic cycles will be studied, starting with higher oxidation states of Pt and Au , and finishing with lower oxidation states of Pd .

## A. NHC-capped cyclodextrin in redox neutral catalytic cycles.

## 1. Linear gold (I) catalyzed enyne cycloisomerization

As presented in chapter II, increasing the cavity size of (ICyD)AuCl catalysts from $\alpha-$ cyclodextrin to $\beta$-cyclodextrin produced an improvement of the enantiomeric excess ${ }^{56}$ up to $80 \%$. The main goal of the synthesis of the $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}$ analogues was to see if even a larger cavity size could also increase the efficiency in terms of selectivity. With these largest analogues, the understanding of the behavior of the ICyD ligands in enyne-cycloisomerization will be completed.

## i. Effect of the cavity size on the enantioselectivity

As observed in table IV-1, the use of the largest ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl analogues lead to an important drop of the enantioselectivity and yield in the cycloisomerization reaction fo 141. With this symmetrical isomer, a slightly drop of yield from $77 \%$ (for $\beta$-ICyD) to $50 \%$, as well as and a complete loss of enantioselectivity ( $0 \%$ ) were observed. ${ }^{56}$ In the case of the non-symmetrical isomer ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl, the system kept the efficiency with $77 \%$ yield, but an almost total loss of enantioselectivity was unfortunately observed (7\%).56


Table IV-1: Yields and values of ee of the cycloisomerization of enyne 141

The same trend was observed when using enyne 142 with a more hindered phenyl ring (Table IV-2). This time, both catalysts are as active as ( $\beta$-ICyD) AuCl giving quantitative yields. In terms of ee, both $\gamma$-ICyD complexes gave lower ee: $22 \%$, in the case of the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl, and $42 \%$ ee of the opposite enantiomer (!) was obtained in the case of the non-symetrical isomer ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl. To understand the origin of the enantioselectivity, a study of the mechanism of the reaction was operated using the cyclodextrin shapes as steric constraints.



Table IV-2: Yields and values of ee of the cycloisomerization of enyne 142

## ii. Mechanism and selectivity.

Cycloisomerization of 1,5 enynes were first reported by Toste ${ }^{179}$ and the proposed mechanism was confirmed by later studies of Echavarren's group180. The catalytic cycle starts with a coordination of the alkyne to the cationic gold $(\mathrm{I})$ complex. This activated triple bond undergoes a nucleophilic attack of the olefin to form the key intermediate 186. This gold carbene, after a 1,2 hydrogen shift and elimination of the complex forms the product of the reaction 144 regenerating the cationic gold(I) catalyst (Scheme IV-1).


Scheme IV-1: Mechanism of the cycloisomerisation compound 42

The enantiodeterminant step was shown to be the attack of the double bond on the activated alkyne. ${ }^{191 c}$ Thus, the enantioselectivity must be determined by the approach of the double bond to the coordinated alkyne, directed by the different topologies of each cyclodextrin. To visually appreciate the influence of the irregular steric hindrance of the cavity, the different approaches will be presented using the Connolli's surfaces in analogy with the model of quadrants. ${ }^{181}$

To coordinate the triple bond of the substrate, it seemed evident to place it through the groove produced by the short bridging of the NHC. Then, to discriminate between both approaches, the steric hindrance induced by the secondary rim was analyzed. In the case of $\beta$ ICyD the closest sugar units are the B and C , thus, the less sterically demanding vinylic- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the double bond must approach through this side. Substituents of the double bond had to approach therefore closer to the E,F,G sugar units, the biggest loop of the cyclodextrin. Then, only two possibilities were left, either the phenyl ring approached closer to the E and F sugar units, or it approached closer to the $F$ and $G$ sugars. From the results obtained by NMR, sugar units F and G are closer to the metal center, as it can be observed in the Figure IV-1. Thus, the

[^67]less sterically hindered approach is the one where the phenyl ring approaches next to the sugar unit E, for both ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ). In addition, these two approaches, with less steric clash, produce the same enantiomer as the one obtained in the experiments.

To verify this approach, DFT, calculations were performed on each approach, founding that for ( $\alpha-I C y D$ ) and ( $\beta-I C y D$ ) the deduced favored approaches are energetically favored by 3.7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $4.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. 56

Favored Approach




## Unfavored Approach




Figure IV-1: Favored and unfavored approach for ( $\alpha$-ICyD) and ( $\beta$-ICyD).

Using a larger and symmetrical analogue, ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl, the obtained enantioselectivity drop to $22 \%$. Due to this lower selectivity, we assume that the approach is similar to the one of the narrower $\alpha$ and $\beta$ analogues.

In the case of the non-symmetrical ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD) complex, a notably inversion of the enantioselectivity was observed for the same substrate. To explain this unexpected result, the same study of the possible approaches with the shape was done. As for its $\alpha$ - and $\beta$ analogues, it seemed reasonable to place the triple bond parallel to the closest $B$ and $C$ sugar units, and the smaller $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the alkene approaching closer to this part of the macrocycle. In contrast to the narrower analogues, three H5 were deshielded on the proton NMR as consequence of their proximity to the metal center. A steric clash between the H 5 H and the NTs, not present in the other analogues, was considered to explain this reversed enantioselectivity. Thus, placing the NTs on the opposite side of the macrocycle (D,E), and the phenyl substituent close to the sugar unit $G$ the approach founded gives the correct isomer.


Unfavored Approach





Figure IV-2: Favored and unfavored approaches to rationalize the enantioselectiviy of the ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl

## iii. Effect of the cavity size on the product distribution

First results of our laboratory, 59 a substrate distribution in the cycloisomerization reaction of 146 was observed. While ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl gave the same formal 5 -exo cycloisomerization products as the model catalyst IPrAuCl, ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl gave as major compound the formal 6endo (3) product without traces of the $s$-trans isomer.

Using ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl catalysts, the selectivity started to drop. Using the ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl isomer, a quantitative yield was obtained, leading to the products 147:148:149 in a 1: $0.14: 1$ ratio. In the case of the ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl isomer, compounds 147:148:149 were obtained in a $1: 0.56: 0.6$ ratio, with a total yield of $80 \%$.


Table IV-3: Yields and values of ee of the cycloisomerization of enyne 146
To rationalize this product distribution, the mechanism was studied by DFT calculations. Gold-catalyzed cycloisomerization mechanisms affording 5-or 6- member rings products were studied in detail by Echavarren with several revisitations of the calculations ${ }^{182}$. As for the main reactions of gold (I), the mechanism starts with a complexation of the triple bond to the cationic Au' complex, this complexation activates the triple bond and this one is attacked by the olefin. This nucleophilic attack forms a [3.1.0]bicycle with an external gold carbene 187 (Scheme IV-2).

This gold carbene, depending on the catalyst and the substitution pattern of the enyne ${ }^{183}$ has three different pathways to evolve:

1) Expansion of the cyclopropane forms the cyclobutene 188, intermediate that can follow an electrocyclic reaction to form the formal 5-exo cyclopentene 148.

[^68]2) Opening of the cyclopropane 187 through the pathway A forms the cyclopentane 189 that by elimination of the gold complex gives $\mathbf{1 4 8}$, the same product as the electrocyclic reaction.
3) Ring expansion of the cyclopentene $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ forms the cyclohexane 190, this pathway $B$ forms the product of the reaction that after elimination of the LAu catalyst, produces directly the product 149.


Scheme IV-2 : Mechanistic pathways to form in the formation of 148 and 149

Mechanistic pathways are strongly dependent on the substitution pattern of the enyne, and the metal complex. ${ }^{180 a}$ But in our case, due to similar electronics of the four ICyD ligands, it seemed logical to suppose that the factor dictating the mechanistic pathways is the different topologies of the different ligands. To understand the origin of the product distribution, and the mechanism of the reaction, DFT calculations ${ }^{184}$ were performed with ( $\alpha-I C y D$ ) and ( $\beta$-ICyD).

For ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), calculations showed that the conformation imposed by the cyclodextrin of the cyclopropane intermediate $\alpha-187$ forced the spontaneous formation of a new carbocationic intermediate $\alpha$-191. This carbocation evolved through a transition state (TS $\alpha-191$ ) low in energy ( $0.95 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) to form the cyclobutene $\alpha-188$. This intermediate, evolved through a electrocyclic reaction forming product 148 (Scheme II-3). This mechanistic pathway is consistent with both experimental and theoretical results. ${ }^{180 a}$

[^69]

Scheme IV-3: Mechanistic pathway and TS for the ( $\alpha$-ICyD)AuCl catalyzed formation of 149

In the case of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), the cyclopropane carbene $\beta-187$ intermediate was found to correspond to a local minimum, and any spontaneous evolution could be found. Furthermore, calculations showed that a new conformation of the cyclopropene carbene must be adopted. In this new conformation, both methyl groups are placed away from the metal center ( $\beta$-187'), evolving to the cyclohexane $\beta-\mathbf{1 9 0}$. Finally, $\beta-190$ evolves directly to the product of the reaction by elimination of the gold complex, in agreement with the experimental results and the mechanism proposed by Echavarren ${ }^{180 a}$ (Scheme IV-4).


Scheme IV-4: Calculated mechanistic pathway and conformation for the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl catalyzed formation of 149.

In conclusion for ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), two different conformations imposed by the different topologies of the cyclodextrin forced the system to evolve through different mechanistic pathways. In these two conformations, for ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) both $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ substituents are placed totally outside of the cyclodextrin cavity. In contrast, the conformation found to be the most reactive in the case of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) is one where one of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ is included into the cavity. Due to the largest cavity size of ( $\gamma$-ICyD), and the possible conformations of the macrocycle, mechanistic DFT calculations could not be performed.

For the symmetrical isomer ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl, the results were more selective that in the case of the non-symmetrical AD isomer. $1: 0.9$, against 1:0.4, respectively (147+148:149). This points to a more important effect of the cavity in the case of the AE isomer. Nevertheless, both are much less selective than ( $\beta-I C y D$ )AuCI that formed the product in a 1:3.3 ratio. This suggest that the larger size of the cavity is less discriminating between the possible conformers of the intermediate 187. Therefore, all the products are made in similar amounts.

To conclude, the use of confined cationic gold complexes, in this case, based on a cyclodextrin skeleton, was proved to be a useful approach to develop enantioselective enyne cycloisomerization reactions. The enantioselectivity was found to be dependent on the topology of the catalyst obtaining ee's up to $80 \%$, extremely elevated in gold-catalyzed reactions. ${ }^{191 \mathrm{c}}$ More surprisingly, different enantiomers could be obtained depending on the arrangement of the walls of the macrocycle, and not on the chirality of the sugars.

These complexes were also studied in another enyne cycloisomerization, showing to be a important effect on the distribution of the obtained products. In this case, based on DFT calculations, it was shown that the product distribution was dictated by the conformation adopted by one common intermediate. Additionally, these conformations were dictated, as for the enantioselectivity, by the topology of the macrocycle.

These results showed that ICyD metal complexes are powerful catalysts in laboratory scale reactions and the understanding of reaction mechanisms. The following parts of this PhD dissertation will be based on the use of ICyD complexes to develop synthetic useful reactions.

## 2. Copper (I) catalysis: Copper(I) Catalyzed Alkyne-Azide Cycloaddition

Since the discovery by Sharpless and Fokin in 2002,185 the CuAAC made a place as an almost universal reaction in different fields of chemistry. From bioconjugation ${ }^{186}$ to surface grafting ${ }^{187}$ the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{l})$ catalyzed click reaction gained an important interest in organic chemistry. As for all of chemical reactions, understanding its mechanism helps to improve the system.

In the first report, the proposed mechanism involved only one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ atom to activate the alkyne (Scheme IV-Mononuclear Pathway). Later experimental studies on the mechanism showed that two copper atoms are involved: one that forms a copper acetylide 192 and another one to activate the triple bond. ${ }^{188}$


Scheme IV-5: Mononuclear and dinuclear pathways in the CuAAC reaction.

Nevertheless, even if the presence of both copper atoms were proved experimentally, all of the studies consider the mononuclear pathway as feasible but less favorable. ${ }^{189}$ Since (ICyD)CuCl are complexes with a second coordination sphere wrapping the Cu atom inside the cavity, the dinuclear pathway must be deleted. This makes our complexes as perfect candidates to study the mononuclear pathway.

[^70]
## i. Catalytic activity of (ICyD)CuCl complexes

The first test was tried in a catalytic reaction conditions. The reaction of benzyl azide 198 with phenylacetylene $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in presence of $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$ and KOH in a $1: 1$ mixture of THF : water, led to the formation of the 1,4-triazole 199 in $31 \%$ yield and an unexpected bistriazole $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ as a major compound in $63 \%$ yield.


Bistriazoles were reported on the original report as oxidative coupling side-products of the CuAAC reaction. Ten years ago, Burgess and Angell were able to improve the formation of this bistriazoles up to the preparative scale. These bistriazoles were obtained in quantitative yields proposed to be assisted by inorganic bases in aqueous media. ${ }^{190}$ To avoid the formation of bistriazoles, the reaction was carried out in the absence of water using pure THF as solvent, obtaining exclusively the 1,4 triazole 199 in 74\% yield.


Scheme I-7: ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}$ catalyzed synthesis of triazole 199

## ii. Mechanistic studies

To get more insights into the reaction mechanism, the putative intermediates of the mononuclear pathway were synthesized stepwise. Reaction of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl 128 with an excess of KOH formed instantaneously ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuOH 201. After filtration of the excess of KOH and KCl , the copper hydroxide intermediate reacted slowly with 2 equivalents of phenylacetylene to afford the monomeric copper acetylide ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCCPh 202 (Figure IV-3). The formation of these species was monitored by NMR. The complete shift of the characteristic deshielded $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ indicated the completion of the reaction.

[^71]

Figure IV-3: Monitoring of the stepwise formation of key reactive species.
After addition of an excess of benzyl azide, an extremely slow reaction was observed. After 70 hours of reaction, a consumption of the excess of phenylacetylene of the previous step and formation of 1 -benzyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole of the reaction were observed. Nevertheless, no transformation of the ( $\alpha-\operatorname{ICyD}$ )CuCCPh 202 was observed, confirming the monomeric copper-acetylide as resting state. This means that the cycloaddition is the rate limiting step thus, slow on the mononuclear complex (Figure IV-4).

In conclusion for this reaction, even if the stoichiometric reactivity was slower than
 pathway not accessible to traditional catalysts. Unfortunately, results were in agreement with the literature: The mononuclear pathway is slower and less favorable that the reported dinuclear one.


Figure IV-4: Monitoring of the reaction of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCCPh with $\mathrm{BnN}_{3}$.

## 3. Palladium (II) as Lewis Acid: Thiolation of triple bonds

To start the study of (ICyD)Pd"I in catalytic applications, the simplest case to study was the use of the complex in a reaction where the oxidation state remains unchanged during the whole catalytic cycle, thus, a Lewis acid reactivity. The chosen reaction was a hydrothiolation of triple bonds.

## i. Reaction and mechanism

C-sp2 heteroatom substituted vinyl monomers are building blocks of interest in the development of functional polymers, ${ }^{191}$ from an economically point of view, development of mild and selective conditions to synthesize the monomers are required to develop a scalable method.

Transition metal-catalyzed synthesis of Markovnikov-type vinyl sulfides was shown as a tolerant method but sensitive to the structure of the catalyst as well as to the substitution of the thiol ${ }^{192}$. Different methods were developed in a hydrothiolation of triple bonds with different advantages and drawbacks ${ }^{193}$. In this context, we were interested in a Pd" catalyzed hydrothiolation of alkynes proposed by Hirao ${ }^{194}$, recently revisited by Ananikov ${ }^{195}$. In the latest report, Ananikov et al proposed the following conditions to obtain the industrially valuable branched vinyl sulfides (Scheme IV-8).


Scheme IV-8: Pd-NHC catalyzed synthesis of branched vinyl sulfides.

[^72]The mechanism of the reaction starts with a $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ mediated double substitution of the chloride ligand and the acac ligand to afford the intermediate I. Coordination of the alkyne(II), followed by an insertion of the thiol on the triple bond affords the vinyl palladium complex III that after acid-base reaction release the product and regenerates the intermediate $\mathbf{I}$.

Stepwise mechanistic studies ${ }^{195}$ showed that the Pd-dimer (204)2 was an unreactive resting state. This low reactivity was confirmed by theoretical studies showing that the alkyne insertion on the dimer (204)2 was 4 times higher in energy than on the monomer 204. From an experimental point of view, higher temperatures were required when the dimer (204)2 was used as precatalyst.


Scheme IV-9: Mechanism of the Pd-catalyzed alkyne thiolation.

The idea of the study of this reaction was to perform the reaction with (ICyD)palladium(II) complexes, more precisely of ( $\beta$-ICyD) which due to the steric hindrance induced by the cyclodextrin a priori cannot form dimeric species. This monomeric reactivity, would avoid the unreactive resting state, and carry out the reaction in milder conditions and faster rates.

## ii. Scope of catalyst and kinetics

To start the study of the reaction, a test of the catalyst from the library of $\beta-\operatorname{Pd}(I)$ complexes synthesized previously was done, as well as the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)Pd" complexes, to better understand the reversed coordination. As simplest system to study, to reduce the steric hindrance around the $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ center, $p$-thiocresol 207 and 4-phenyl-1-butyne 100 were used as substrates. Reaction with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ at room temperature afforded the expected vinyl-sulfide 208 in $89 \%$ yield (table IV-entry 1). Changing the PhCN ligand by a more coordinating pyridine produced a drop on the yield of the reaction to $8 \%$ (Entry 2), reflecting a poisoning effect of the coordinating pyridine.

The same effect was found with the less sterically demanding ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD). The axial coordinated pyridine ligand produced a significant drop in the yield affording the desired product only in $33 \%$ yield. In contrast, ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl allyl afforded the product in $79 \%$ yield in the same conditions. Finally $\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2} P E P P S I 209$ and $\left(\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2}\right)_{2} 210$ were used as model catalyst for this reaction, affording the vinyl sulfide 208 in $51 \%$ and $70 \%$ yield, respectively.

The use of bigger alkynes with the inner ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ produced a drop of the yield to $34 \%$, showing the limits to reach the metal center for hindered substrates (Table-entry 7 )

| Entry |  <br> R' | Catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) <br> NEt3 (4 mol\%) <br> $\gamma$-terpinene $2 e q$ <br> THF 100mM overnight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catalyst (2\%) | NMR yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(\beta-1 C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ | 89 \% |
| 2 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (Pyridine) | 8 \% |
| 3 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) | 33 \% |
| 4 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI(allyl) | 79 \% |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(\mathrm{PrPdCl})_{2}$ | 70 \% |
| 6 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $1 \mathrm{PrPdCl}_{2} \mathrm{PEPPSS}^{\text {TM }}$ | 51 \% |
| 7 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $(\beta-1 \mathrm{CyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ | 34 \% |

Table IV-4: Scope of catalysts for the $\alpha$-hydrothiolation of alkynes
aUsing 1,4-dinitrobenzene as internal reference.

In conclusion, among the introverted complexes, $(\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ is the one that showed the best efficiency to catalyze this reaction, probably, because of the presence of a labile PhCN ligand, easily substituted by weakly coordinating triple bonds. The same deduction was followed to chose $\left(\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ as model catalys to study the kinetics of the reaction.

Kinetics of the reaction were followed by NMR ${ }^{196}$ recording a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum each 5 minutes, using 0.3 equivalents of 1,4 -dinitrobenzene as internal reference (Figure IV-5). In the case of $(\mathrm{IPrPdCl} 2)_{2}$, a kinetic profile reaching a maximum of $81 \%$ yield at 7 hours of reaction was obtained.


Figure IV-5 : Kinetic profile of the $\left(\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ catalyzed hydrothiolation.

In contrast, $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ showed a much different kinetic profile. An accelerating rate profile is observed during the first seven hours of reaction where an inflection point was obtained. After this point the reaction is faster an reach a maximum yield of $83 \%$ at 11 hours.

Evaluating the initial rates of both reactions by linearization of the first eight points of the reaction, the $\mathrm{v}_{0}(\mathrm{IPr})=4.7 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{0}(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD})=0.44 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, 11 times faster for the nonconfined complex.

This different behavior could be due to two different reasons: The first possibility, a slow activation of the catalyst, either because of the steric hindrance or poisoning of the Pd induced by the PhCN ligand. This slow activation could produce an increase of the effective concentration of catalyst over time (Figure IV-6). The second one, the product reacted with the catalyst and formed more active catalytic species, thus, the concentration of these active species increased over time, generating more active catalytic species. ${ }^{197}$

[^73]In conclusion, a different mechanistic behavior was observed. ( $\left.\mathrm{IPrPdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ showed at 27 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a fast reaction since the beginning of the experiment. In contrast, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ required an activation time to form the most active catalytic species.


Figure IV-6 : Kinetic profile of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ catalyzed hydrothiolation.

Once again, a different behavior during a reaction was observed with an ICyD ligand. Nevertheless, this reaction showed that even if the cavity of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ complex seemed to be extremely crowded, reactions are still possible with the square planar complexes.

## 4. Conclusion to part A :

Through these three different reactions, using the previously described linear complexes ( $\alpha-, \beta$-, and $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl, ( $\alpha-$ and $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl and the additional square planar (ICyD)Pd"-complexes and ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdII different behaviors, either positive or negative were observed.
(ICyD)AuCl complexes are efficient catalysts in enyne cycloisomerization, and more importantly, the steric hindrance around a gold center can influence the mechanism of gold(I)catalyzed enyne cycloisomerization.

Copper(I) complexes showed again a small application in the understanding of Cu catalyzed reactions. Using this complexes in a well-studied CuAAC reaction, according to previous reported studies on the CuAAC reaction, ${ }^{196}$ a slow but not forbidden reaction catalyzed by a monomeric Cu atom.

Finally, the first studies in a hydrothiolation with PdII complexes showed that even with an important steric hindrance around the square planar palladium atom complexes, the activity is not lost. ${ }^{37}$

The following studies will show, in addition to the electrochemistry showed before, how the second coordination sphere depending on the cavity size of the cyclodextrin can influence reactions where the oxidation state changes during the catalytic cycle.

## B. NHC capped cyclodextrin in redox active catalytic cycles.

During this part of the dissertation, the study of the reactivity of ICyDs in catalytic cycles where the geometry of the metal evolves was studied. In the first place, tests will be studied with Pt-catalyzed reactions where the geometry can dramatically change between two or three different oxidation states. Then, trials of oxidations from organometallic Au' to Aull were studied, to finally move to cross-coupling-type reactions where Pd" complexes are reduced to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$.

## 1. Increasing the oxidation state

## iii. Platinum(0)/platinum(II) catalysis : Hydrosilylation of triple bonds

Since the synthesis of the Marko's catalyst ${ }^{198}$, NHC-Pt0-complexes were developed as one of the most powerful catalysts in alkene or alkyne hydrosilylation, due to the ability to insert into silicon-hydrogen bonds at extremely low loadings of catalyst ${ }^{199}$. In the reaction of triple bonds with silanes, three different products could be obtained: the linear ( E ) isomer, the linear (Z) and the branched compound (Scheme IV). Due to the industrial interest of this reaction200, selective reactions in mild conditions are the objectives for the study of cyclodextrin-based Pt complexes.


Scheme IV-10: Generic Pt(0) catalyzed hydrosilylation of alkynes
For all these reactions, ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) will be used as the model complex as it possesses the same electronic features as the inner ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dvtms})$, but with a less sterically crowded metal center.

A generic hydrosilylation reaction was carried out using phenylacetylene 132 and triethylsilane in toluene ${ }^{201}$. When the reaction was catalyzed by the outer ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) at room temperature, a mixture of the linear isomer and the branched one in a $9: 1$ ratio was obtained (without traces of the linear ( $Z$ ) compound). When the inner ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) is used as catalyst, a mixture of both compounds was obtained in a $8: 2$ ratio, also in favor of the linear (E) isomer.

[^74]

Table IV-5: Synthesis of triethylsilylstyrenes catalyzed by $\beta$-ICyD-based $\operatorname{Pt}(0)$ complexes. a Ratio calculated in the crude NMR.

These first catalytic tests showed the activity of NHC-based-Pt(0) catalysts proving that in a catalytic cycle sterically demanding substituents such as $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$ could be in the first coordination sphere of the metal complex, thus, inside the cavity. Due to a modest selectivity of the catalyst, this reaction was abandoned to move towards a more challenging reactivity with more coordinated octahedral Pt complexes.

## iv. $\mathbf{P t}$ (0)/Pt (II) /Pt (IV) catalysis: C-H borylation of arenes.

Since the discovery of C-H activation, a broad variety of systems were developed to functionalize unactivated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds ${ }^{202}$. Despite of the development of systems and methods, directing groups are required to perform selective activation of C-H bonds, frequently at ortho or para- positions of aromatic rings. In this field, activation of para C-H bonds were developed with hindered ligands in synergy with hindered substitutions on the aryl substrate ${ }^{203}$.

[^75]The first remote C-H bond functionalization was developed by Hartwig using a Rhcomplex ${ }^{204}$. Due to the steric hindrance afforded by the substituents on the aromatic ring and the hindered ligands, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ silylation did not take place at the ortho position and the selectivity was directed by the rate of the reductive elimination. ${ }^{205}$

Later results showed that the use of bulky phosphines in an iridium(I) complexes can direct the C-H borylation to the para position of bulky mono substituted arenes. ${ }^{206}$ The same year, a C-H borylation of arenes was developed using (ICy)Pt(dvtms) complexes207. This reaction was not affected by the steric hindrance of the substituents of the arene, reacting in extremely crowded positions. Based on this result, we expect that the use of sterically crowded ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) could favor the reaction at the para- position of non-directing substrates.

Reaction of (Bpin) $)_{2}$ with toluene catalyzed by the external ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) complex at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the Bpin substituted arenes in a ratio 213:214:215 of 13:55:32, similar to the one reported with the non-confined ICyPt(dvtms) (ICy = 1,3-bis-dicyclohexyl-Imidazol-2-ylidene) 177 (Scheme IV-11).


Scheme IV-11: ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) catalyzed C-H borylation of toluene.

Unfortunately, the inner ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) appeared to be inert in this reaction. In this example, a negative effect of the hindrance of the cavity was observed, producing a complete deactivation of the complex.

[^76]
## 2. Gold(I) to gold(III)

## i. Bibliography: From linear to square planar.

Despite the existence of a wide variety of organometallic $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ and Aull complexes, catalytic cycles where gold changes its oxidation state are rare ${ }^{208}$. Main challenges of gold chemistry are the stability of the organogold complexes ${ }^{209}$ and extremely high activation barriers between both oxidation states ${ }^{210,211}$. Nevertheless, in the last decade, exciting reports were published with cross-coupling cycles, oxidative arylations or migratory insertions, thought to be forbidden for gold complexes.

To set up catalytic cycles between Au' and Aull' different approaches were reported in the literature:

Early reports in this field started with a classical activation of an unsaturated C-C bond and nucleophilic attack to form a organogold complex. Then, oxidation with selectfluor® and transmetallation with a boronic acid212 forms a gold(III) complex that finishes the catalytic cycle with a reductive elimination (Scheme IV-12). ${ }^{191 c}$


Scheme IV-12: Early report of a reaction with a Au(I)/Au(III) catalytic cycle.

Lloyd-Jones and then Nevado, showed that oxidative direct arylation can be performed with aryl silanes or boronic acids using hypervalent iodine as stoichiometric external oxidant ${ }^{213}$ (Scheme IV-13).


Scheme IV-13: Au(I)/Au(III) catalyzed oxidative direct arylation.

[^77]The use of gold in a crosss coupling reaction with a $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{III})$ catalytic cycle without external oxidant was first reported by Toste in a allylation of aromatic boronic acids. ${ }^{214}$ The mechanism was shown to be polar but intermolecular $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{III})$ transmetallations produced homocoupled biaryl byproducts (219).


Scheme IV-14: Gold catalyzed allylation of aryl-boronic acids.

Later studies by Toste's group showed that C-C bonds could perform oxidative additions into a cationic $\mathrm{IPrAuSbF}_{6}$ complex. In their report, the authors showed that an antiaromatic biphenylene could form a stable gold (III) complex by oxidative addition into a cationic NHC-Au' complex 220.211 Despite the fast reductive elimination reported on $\mathrm{Au}(I I I)$ complexes ${ }^{215}$, the stability of this complex remains on the high energy barrier to produce again the 4 member ring antiaromatic biphenylene (Scheme IV-17).


220

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

Scheme IV-15: Oxidative addition of phenylene into a IPrAuSbF 6 complex.

Another approach to avoid the high energy activation barriers for the oxidative addition ${ }^{211}$ was proposed by Bourissou, and coworkers (Scheme IV-16). The first one, a distorted non-linear geometry of the initial dicoordinated gold $(\mathrm{I})$ complex ${ }^{216}$ allowed the authors to perform oxidative additions on aryl-iodides in a stoichiometric manner. (Scheme IV-16).


Scheme IV-16: Oxidative addition in bent coordinated gold(I) complex.

[^78]Another approach followed by the same group was the use of a ligand possessing an additional hemilabile ligand. ${ }^{217}$ In this case, the generated gold(III) complex is stabilized by the ancillary ligand allowing the system to react in a controlled manner. Using this approach, the authors set up a cross-coupling reaction, without external oxidants between aryl-iodides and trimethoxybenzene (Scheme IV-17).


Scheme IV-17: Cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by a Au(I)/Au(III) catalytic cycle

In conclusion, common points on the approaches to force oxidative additions are the use of a distorted partner of the reaction. Either an antiaromatic substrate with a contracted cycle, either a distorted complex to reduce the activation barrier of the step. Our hypothesis, is that the presence of the cyclodextrin, with a well-defined environment, could produce conformations that could force the oxidative addition step. The approach followed to do so, was the synthesis of ( $\beta$ ICyD)Au' organogold complexes and try different elementary steps. To do so, different alkyl and aryl ICyD organogold complexes were synthesized and different elementary reactions were tested.

## ii. Synthesis of ( $\beta-I C y D) A u(I)$ organogold species.

To obtain the $\beta$-ICyD organometallic precursors described methods with NHC-Au were used. ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 was obtained by reaction of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI with $\mathrm{MeMgBr}{ }^{218}$ in toluene in $70 \%$ yield after silica gel purification.

Aromatic ( $\beta$-ICyD)Au( $p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ ) 224 was synthesized in two steps: first, ligand exchange with AgOAc afforded the ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuOAc 223 precursor, after transmetalation with one equivalent of $p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$-boronic acid the desired ( $\beta$-ICyD)Au( $p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ ) $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ in $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{213 a}$

[^79]
## iii. Stoichiometric studies.



222, 70 \%


Scheme IV-18: Synthesis of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuMe 222 and ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuAr 224.

226 To first understand the stability of these organogold complexes, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuAr 224 was engaged in oxidation reactions using hypervalent iodine. Reaction of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuAr with $\mathrm{PhlCl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature formed ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl 127 after 18 hours of reaction. If $\mathrm{Phl}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was used instead of the chlorinated analogue, after three days at room temperature ( $\beta$ ICyD)AuCl is formed as major compound (Scheme IV-19). To avoid chloride donors in the reaction medium the reaction was carried out in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$. In this solvent, a degradation of the cyclodextrin was observed.

In conclusion, a reactivity of the organometallic complexes was observed, but unfortunately, in any case the presence of 1 -chloro-4-fluorobenzene was observed. Thus, any evidence of the oxidation of the complexes.


Scheme IV-19: oxidations with hypervalent iodine of $\mathbf{2 2 4}$

Looking for a reactivity of these complexes, first tests were done with the electron rich ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe complex. Mixture of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuMe with benzyl bromide or allyl bromide showed no evolution at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Reaction of $(\beta-I C y D)$ AuAr 224 with Mel in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ gave, the same result in the same reaction conditions.


Scheme IV-20: Investigation of the oxidative addition of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuR complexes.

Reactivity towards triple bonds was also tested. The reaction of ( $\beta-I C y D$ )AuAr with phenylacetylene in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ afforded the corresponding ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCCPh 225 through an acidbase reaction. 219


Figure IV-7: Synthesis of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCCPh 225

To avoid the acid-base reactivity, the substituted version of the phenylacetylene, PhCCMe was used as triple bond. While no evolution was observed at room temperature, when heating the reaction mixture at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the system evolved towards the formation of a mixture of non-characterized compounds observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$. This mixture of complexes, after cooling down again the mixture at room temperature evolved to the precursor ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI 127 (?) (Figure IV-8).


Figure IV-8: Monitoring of the reaction of ( $\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}$ )AuAr with 226

## iv. Catalytic tests

To check the reactivity of the last system, ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuAr 224, a catalytic test was performed. The first one to test a reactivity of a possible reactivity of the last reaction, the corresponding boronic acid was reacted with PhCCMe in the presence of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AuCl 127. 1 equivalent of MeOH and $\mathrm{CsCO}_{3}$ were added to form ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuAr 224 in catalytic amounts. In these conditions only protodeborylation of the starting material and the formation of ( $\beta$ ICyD)AuAr 224 were observed in the crude NMR.


Scheme IV-21: Attempt of catalytic reactivity catalyzed by ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI

The second catalytic trial, was based on the cross-coupling reaction developed by Toste. ${ }^{226}$ In the original report, the formation of byproducts produced by intermolecular gold-gold transmetallations was reported. In this case, we expect that the steric hindrance of the cyclodextrin could block the Au-Au intermolecular interactions and reduce the formation of byproducts. Unfortunately, any reactivity was observed using ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl (Scheme).


Scheme IV-22: Attempt of cross-coupling catalyzed by ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI

In conclusion, for an organogold reactivity, the steric hindrance brought by the cyclodextrin skeleton produced a negative effect on the reactivity of the gold complex. High temperatures were required to observe a slow reactivity of the complexes. To continue the study of reactivity with changes in the coordination and the oxidation state, complexes where the reactivity starts with the highest coordinated complex will be evaluated. To do so, the best candidates the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-based (ICyD) complexes.

## 3. Reducing the oxidation state: Palladium(II) to palladium(0)

Since the first synthesis of a palladium NHC by Herrmann in 1995220, the development and study of N -Heterocyclic carbenes as ligands for Pd -catalyzed cross-coupling increased exponentially. ${ }^{2}$

In comparison to phosphane ligands, the strong $\sigma$-donnating character of NHCs favors the oxidative addition, reacting easily with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds ${ }^{221}$. Nevertheless, this electron-donating character of the ligand made the reductive elimination step harder than in the case of less electron-rich ligands ${ }^{222}$.

Different approaches were followed to improve the efficiency of this reductive elimination. The two more popular ones are the increase of the steric bulk on the N -substituents of the ligand producing steric repulsion around the metal center, ${ }^{223}$ and the substitution with electro-withdrawing groups on the C 4 , and C 5 position of the $\mathrm{NHC}^{224}$.

In most of the systems, the activation of the pre-catalyst is a key step for the reactivity of the system ${ }^{225}$. The first study of the reactivity of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}(I I)$ complexes will be the synthesis to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$.

## i. Activation of the complex: Towards ( $\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}$ ) $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species.

Isolation of NHC-Pd ${ }^{0}$ complexes developed interest in the use of easy-activating precatalysts ${ }^{226}$ or phosphorescent complexes ${ }^{227}$. No universal reduction conditions for NHC-Pd" complexes were developed and the reducing system, dependent on the chemical structure of the precatalyst, could have an influence on the efficiency of the reaction ${ }^{228}$. In this part of the study, preliminary activation tests for the ( $\beta-I C y D$ )PdII complexes were done to see if the steric hindrance and the low reduction potentials obtained in CV are also a symptom of a low reactivity of the complexes.

[^80]Reducing systems of ( NHC ) $\mathrm{PdCl}(\mathrm{Allyl})$ compounds are mainly based on alkoxides ${ }^{229}$, such as tBuOK or LiOiPr mixed with a phosphine ${ }^{230}$. Other methods are based on hydride donors such as LiOiPr or Na-formate (HCOONa). Nevertheless, no evolution of the ( $\beta$ $I C y D) P d C l(a l l y l)$ complex was observed, in all of the tested conditions.


Scheme IV-23: Test reduction conditions with ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI(allyl).
$(\mathrm{NHC}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})$ complexes ( $\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{e}$ ligand), are frequently reduced by hydride donors such as LiOiPr or $\mathrm{HCOONa}{ }^{231}, \beta-\mathrm{H}$ eliminating amines such as morpholine ${ }^{232}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$; or stronger conditions with Grignard reagents. ${ }^{172}$

As for $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}($ allyl $)$, reaction of $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ with an excess of LiO OPr in THF or toluene showed no evolution after 20 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The same lack of reactivity was observed when using Na-formate. (Scheme IV)


Scheme IV-24: Tested reduction conditions with hydride donors on ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$

Reaction of the same complex with 3 equivalents of morpholine showed a coordination of the amine in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ at room temperature (Figure IV-9), nevertheless, no evolution was observed when the reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of an excess of BuOK , and heating again at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 20 hours led to the formation of a mixture of different non-identified species 226.

[^81]As presented previously, the evolution of the complex was followed by the shifts of the H5A and the CH-NHC. Shifts of those signals was symptomatic of the completion of the reaction and a change of the electronics of the Pd atom.


Figure IV-9: Evolution of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN}) \mathbf{1 7 1}$ complex with

This new compound showed two singlets at -3.35 and -3.65 ppm in the proton NMR integrating for one proton each (Figure IV-10). For these two peaks, no cross correlation was found on the HSQC spectrum. Also from the HSQC, an extremely deshielded H5 appeared at 6.55 ppm , confirming the non-decomplexation of an electron-rich palladium atom


Figure IV-10: HSQC of the non-identified complex formed by reaction of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ with morpholine and ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{BuOK}^{2}$. THF-d8, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$.

The presence of two CH of the NHC and seven anomeric peaks confirms also the presence of a major compound. As for the rest of complexes the resonance frequency of the carbene was found in the HMBC following the cross correlations of the CH of the NHC and the H 6 of one of the sugars attached to it (Carbene $=166.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure IV-11: Extract of the HMBC of the complex showing the chemical shift of the carbene.

Any reactivity was found after addition of $p$-chloroanisole, excluding the possibility of a $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ complex.

With all these informations the a putative structure for this compound is a ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdH}_{2}$ 226, with a possible second 2 electron ligand. Nevertheless, no monomeric bis hydride palladium-II complexes were reported in the literature, making impossible any comparison of chemical shifts. ${ }^{233}$

Another user friendly synthesis of low-valent $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{1}$ complexes is based on the direct coordination of the free NHC to ( $\eta^{3}$-Allyl)( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)palladium(II). ${ }^{234}$ The complexation of the precursor produces then a spontaneous reductive elimination forming low valent Pd complexes. Thus, reaction of $(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$ or $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}$, in the presence of a $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the Pd-complexes afforded the corresponding (ICyD)PdCl( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) complexes as single compounds in $48 \%$ and $50 \%$ yield respectively (Scheme).

[^82]


Scheme IV-25: Synthesis of (ICyD)PdCl(n3-allyl) with $\operatorname{CpPd}\left(\eta^{3}-\right.$ allyl $)$.
These reduction tests showed that despite the low reduction potentials observed in electrochemistry and the steric hindrance afforded by the cyclodextrin, the ( $\beta$ $I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ complex was not inert and chemical transformations could happen inside the cavity. Due to the reaction conditions tested here, the first attempts to use these complexes in Pd cross-coupling reactions were a Buchwald-Hartwig amination reaction.

## ii. Towards selectivity with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )Pd(II) complexes

Since the only conditions that showed an evolution of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ were the use of morpholine and tBuOK, the first reaction considered was a Buchwald-Hartwig crosscoupling reaction. It was reported in recent studies that using hindered NHCs in this reaction has many advantages. ${ }^{137}$

In addition, the strong $\sigma$-donating character of the NHC facilitates the oxidative addition, making it possible on $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds. Besides, from a mechanistic point of view, the same reactivity was found in the case of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{I}$ substrates. Thus, in contrast to weaker donating phosphane ligands, the oxidative addition is not the limiting step in the case of N -heterocyclic carbenes ${ }^{235}$.

From all the studies of the square planar complexes based on ( $\beta$-ICyD), an important selectivity imposed by the size of the cavity was found on the reactivity towards the oxidation of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. The initial idea of the selectivity with square planar compounds was based on the result that $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ could react inside the cavity while $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ showed to be non-reactive towards the oxidation of the metal center.

The question is: Can we relate the structure and the reactivity obtained with gold(I)/gold(III) oxidations in a $\operatorname{Pd}(0) / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ reaction? The aim of this work is to develop a site-selective catalytic reaction where the steric hindrance afforded by the cyclodextrin, made react easier smaller aryl chlorides than bigger aryl iodides?


Scheme IV-26: Design of the attempt of development of a size selective oxidative addition.

Reported reaction conditions for this cross-coupling reaction used an NHC-PEPPSI and a base and in temperatures from room temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{246}$, depending on the substrate.


Scheme IV-27: Pd-PEPPSI-IPr catalyzed Buchwald Hartwig amination.

The formation of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-$ Chloropyridine) 227 complex was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ starting from the $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$. Addition of one equivalent of 3 chloropyridine produced a decoordination of HOD and apparition of 2 signals of the corresponding H -ortho of the pyridine confirmed the formation of the expected "PEPPSI-Pd-ICyD" complex 227. Additionally, apparition of only two signals for the CH of the NHC showed the formation of only one compound.


Figure IV-12: Formation of $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3$-chloropyridine) 227 complex

## Screening of reaction conditions

The reaction conditions chosen were the same as those proposed by Organ. ${ }^{246}$ Using morpholine and 4-chloroanisole in DME In presence of tBuOK and the ( $\beta$ $I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$ complex, the expected cross-coupling product was obtained in $35 \%$ isolated yield. The same result was obtained using THF as solvent.


Scheme IV-28: ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig amination.

The use of potassium tert-amylate as soluble equivalent of tBuOK led to a significant improvement of the yield. Carrying out the reaction in a toluene :THF 5:2 mixture improved the yield to $98 \%$. Using pure toluene as solvent $93 \%$ yield was obtained. To avoid mixtures of solvents in future kinetic studies, pure toluene was selected as solvent for the reaction.


Scheme IV-29: Optimization of solvent for the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig amination.

## Scope

Finally, the loading of the catalyst could be reduced to $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ obtaining the crosscoupled amine in 89\% yield.


Scheme IV-30: Final conditions for the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )PdCI2(3-CIPy) reaction

PEPPSI-Pd-ICyD 227 was found to be an efficient catalyst for this reaction. The reactivity of the system was first studied by comparing different aryl substrates with different halogens as partners for the reaction, always using morpholine as model amine. Interestingly, electron-rich chloroanisole gave better yields (entry 1, 89\%) than chlorobenzene (entry 4, 67\%) or electron-poor $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-substituted aryls (entry 6, 68\%).

The opposite trend was observed for the aryl-iodides, that gave lower yields with electron rich MeO - than with electron poor $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituents (entries 3, 5, 7, 68\%, 77\%, 89\%). In the case of bromo-anisole, an intermediate reactivity between the chloro- and iodo- substrates was obtained (entry 2, 74\%). With the aim to develop a site selective reaction, 4chloroiodobenzene was tested as substrate and reacted exclusively at the iodo position.

$$
(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{ClPy})(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)
$$



| Entry | R | X | Yield (Product) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MeO | Cl | 89 \% (230) |  |
| 2 | MeO | Br | 74 \% (230) | E $\underbrace{-1}$ |
| 3 | MeO | I | 68 \% (230) |  |
| 4 | H | Cl | 67 \% (231) | $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{ClPy})$ |
| 5 | H | 1 | 77 \% (231) | 227 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Cl | 68 \% (232) |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 1 | 89 \% (232) |  |
| 8 | Cl | I | 63 \% (233) |  |

Table IV-6: Yields of the Buchwald-Hartwig amination catalyzed by ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$

## Kinetics:

To verify the initial idea of an easier reaction, the kinetic profiles of the reactions were studied. To do so, the formation of the product was quantified during eight hours by GC using dodecane as internal standard. In the case of the 4-chloroanisole, catalyzed by ( $\beta$ ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (3-chloropyridine) the reaction reached its maximum of $96 \%$ yield in 4 hours of reaction.


Figure IV-31: Reaction conditions and kinetic profile of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig amination of 4-chloroanisole.
( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy}) 0.5 \%$



Figure IV-32: Reaction conditions and kinetic profile of the ( $\beta-\operatorname{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig amination of 4-chloroanisole.

In the case of 4-iodoanisole the plateau of the reaction is also achieved in four hours but only in $68 \%$ yield. No appreciable changes were observed in the rates of the reaction, just a reduction of the turnover number from 192 to 138 (Figure IV-32).

Trying to achieve the desired reactivity, the temperature was reduced to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this case, after 4 hours less than a $5 \%$ yield was obtained in the case of the 4 -Chloroanisole and a $30 \%$ in the case of the 4 -iodoanisole.


Figure IV-33: Reaction conditions and kinetic profile of the ( $\left.\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{\mathrm{Cy}}\right) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig amination at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Unfortunately, the attempts to develop a site selective reaction failed (again). Nevertheless, good reaction conditions, with good performances were obtained with different substrates. Those results encouraged us to investigate in more details the possibility of using $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$ in a broader scope for this reaction.
Buchwald-Hartwig amination: Mono-arylation of amines.
Since the first report by Buchwald ${ }^{236}$ and almost simultaneously Hartwig ${ }^{237}$ of the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling between arylbromides and amines, researchers focused to improve the original catalytic system to a more methodological reaction. First reports using NHC as ligands for this reaction were started by Nolan238, and years later Organ's work contributed in the understanding of the role of the sterics and the electronics of the NHC. ${ }^{223}$

Recent researches on this field developed selective cross-coupling reaction to synthesize secondary amines from a $\operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{X}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=$ halogen or pseudo halogen) and a primary amine. First reports showed that using a hindered and electron-poor Pd-PEPPSI-IPentCI could couple electron-poor aryl-amines and electron rich aryl-halides affording the secondary amines in mild conditions ${ }^{239}$ without traces of tertiary amines (Scheme IV-a).

Later results showed that the same catalyst could also couple primary amines with a broad scope of aryl chlorides affording the mono-arylated compound as the major product, using the hindered NaBHT as base ${ }^{240}$ (Scheme IV-31-b). Aditionally, to avoid the over-arylation of the secondary amine, the use of an excess of the aryl-halide partner ${ }^{241}$ or the use of a hindered amine are required. ${ }^{242}$


Scheme IV-31: Reported examples of selective mono-arylation of primary amines with NHCs.

[^83]Based on these reports, we hoped that a selective method could be developed using our hindered ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}^{2}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) complex but with a commercial base, with non-hindered amines and avoiding excesses of reactants. Using the conditions previously developed for the cross-coupling. The reaction was carried out with a $1: 1$ mixture of 4 -chloroanisole and $n$ octylamine. The cross-coupled secondary amine was obtained in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme). Additionally, no traces of bis-arylated product were detected in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the crude mixture.


Scheme IV-32: ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$ catalyzed cross-coupling of octylamine with 4-Cl-anisole.
This encouraging result encouraged us to investigate in details the reaction. The first parameter studied was the effect of the halide, showed to be related to the TON of the reaction. This time, 4-iodo-anisole gave better yields than 4-Br-anisole and 4-Cl-anisole (88\%, 82\% and $74 \%$, respectively).


Scheme IV-33: Study of the effect of the halide.

Then, the substitution of the aryl was studied. Reaction of 3-bromoanisole in the same conditions formed the corresponding product in $89 \%$ yield, as observed previously, no trace of bis-arylated compound was observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of the crude. In contrast, the ortho substituted 2-bromoanisole gave no trace of product in the same conditions.

$$
\text { ( } \beta \text {-ICyD) } \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)
$$



Scheme IV-34: Study of the substitution of the aryl.

Less electron rich chlorobenzene afforded the expected N -phenyl- N -octylamine as a single compound in more modest $56 \%$ yield. Finally, under the same conditions electro-deficient trifluoromethylbenzene derivatives afforded a $2: 1$ mixture of mono : bis arylated compound in $21 \%$ for the iodo-derivative and in $33 \%$ for the chloro-derivative (Scheme IV-35).



Scheme IV-35: Scope of less electron-rich substrates

In conclusion, ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (3-chloropyridine) appeared as a useful catalyst in a laboratory-scale Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling reaction.The system works with electron-rich aryl cross-coupling partners in excellent yields and chlorinated aryl partners and non-hindered primary amines. The reaction can be performed with a commercial base, affording the secondary amines from moderate to excellent yields.

## iii. Towards enantioselectivity with ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pd(II) complexes: Allylation of aldehydes

Another property of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pd" complexes is the intrinsically chiral cyclodextrin skeleton that wraps completely the metal center. Different enantioselective reactions were developed in palladium chemistry, from $\alpha$-arylation of ketones ${ }^{243}$, to assymetric Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions. ${ }^{244}$

In this part of the work, we were interested in a mixed (NHC) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ catalyzed nucleophilic allylation of aromatic aldehydes. Presented by Roland et al, it was developed in the racemic version, never explored in the asymmetric manner with chiral NHCs (Scheme). 245


Scheme IV-36: $\mathrm{IMesMePdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ catalyzed alkylation of 4-bromo-benzaldehyde

[^84]Since the position of the allyl seemed to be blocked in the cavity of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ), the first attempt to perform the reaction was the use ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) to catalyze the reaction between the 4-bromobenzaldehyde and the allyl acetate afforded the expected product in $77 \%$ yield. Unfortunately, only $2 \%$ ee was obtained. In contrast, the catalytic efficiency was preserved with lower catalyst loadings of $2 \%$.

Similar catalytic performances were obtained when ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) was used as catalyst, giving the expected product in $80 \%$ yield. Again, only $5 \%$ ee was obtained.


Scheme IV-37: (ICyD) catalyzed allylation of $\mathbf{2 3 8}$

To obtain more insights about the reaction, the reaction was performed on substituted allylic acetates trying to obtain a regioselective reaction. The reaction of cinnamyl acetate catalyzed by ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\operatorname{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$ afforded compound 240 in $76 \%$ yield. In contrast, the more demanding ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)$ gave no conversion to this substrate. Same result was obtained when the crotyl acetate was used showing the size discrimination afforded by the steric hindrance of the cyclodextrin.

238


Ph, 240

| Entry | Catalyst | R | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(\alpha-$-rev-ICyD $) \operatorname{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$ allyl $)$ | Ph | $76 \%$ |
| 2 | $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$ allyl $)$ | Ph | $0 \%$ |
| 3 | $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$ allyl $)$ | Me | $0 \%$ |



Scheme IV-38: (ICyD)PdCl(allyl) catalyzed allylation of 4-bromobenzene.

Studying the behavior of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) in a reaction mixture 1:1 of cynnamyl acetate and allylic acetate ( 0.6 equivalents each). The complex showed a slight preference for the allyl acetate but both products were obtained in a 42:58 mixture. This result showed that, as expected, the outer coordination of the complex towards the primary rim of the cyclodextrin has almost no effect on the properties of the metal.


Figure IV-39: Reaction conditions for the "substrate selection" with ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI(allyl)

In contrast, the reaction of 4-bromo anisaldehyde 238 with 0.6 equivalents of allylacetate and 0.6 equivalents of cinammyl acetate, catalyzed by $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ afforded a $88: 12$ mixture of 239 and 240 (Figure IV-40). This result showed how the wrapping of the metal center by the cyclodextrin affords an steric hindrance that could be used to develop a substrate selective reaction. In this case, the catalyst favored the reaction with the smallest substrate.



Figure IV-40: Reaction conditions for the substrate selection with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}($ allyl $)$

Size discriminating reactions are an interest topic of interest in bio-inspired reactivity67. Enzymes are able to select one single substrate from a extremely complex biological mixture. Using again the active sites of enzymes as inspiration for the development of a highly selective chemical transformation, substrate selective reaction are a topic of interest. In this case, the use of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\eta^{3}\right.$-allyl) where substituted allyls seemed to be unreactive could be used to develop selective reactions. This selectivity was proved to be possible only by the steric of the substrate.

## C. Conclusion to chapter IV

In conclusion, during this chapter, a study of the structure-reactivity of the complexes was done. In some cases, the confinement of the metal inside the cavity had negative effects for a catalytic reaction, as showed for the CuAAC and the Pt.
(ICyD)AuCl complexes were found to be efficient catalysts in enyne -cycloisomerization. The addition of the new ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl to the library helped to understand the effect and behavior of the cavity in the selectivity, either in terms of enantioselectivity or product distribution. From a global point of view of this reaction, a laboratory scalable reaction was developed, that in the case of the enyne 144, the enantiomeric excess was found to be $80 \%$, one of the bests achieved in a cycloisomerization reaction. ${ }^{246}$

It was also found that the ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdII complexes were extremely stable, in agreement with the redox potential obtained in last chapter. However, some reactivity could be achieved. ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ PEPPSI was found to be an efficient catalyst for a arylation of morpholine, forming the expected cross-coupled products with low catalyst loadings in good yields. This arylation of amines could be developed in a selective manner, to synthesize secondary amines by cross-coupling. This reaction was developed with commercial reactants in $1: 1$ mixtures of both cross-coupling partners. In this conditions, perfect selectivities were obtained, except for electron-poor substrates.

Finally, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) PdCl(allyl) was tested in a Pd-catalyzed allylation of aldehydes. The complex was found to be useful in a substrate selection directed by the size of the cavity. This selection was found to be directed by the size of the substrate, and discriminating substrates with small size differences.

## General conclusion and perspectives

## General conclusion and perspectives

During the course of this PhD work, the synthesis of different families of NHC-capped metal complexes was developed. To begin with, an obtention of the largest analogues ( $\gamma$ ICyD)AuCl was performed in order to understand the behavior of ICyD complexes in a goldcatalyzed enyne cycloisomerization reaction. This complex was characterized to understand the structure-reactivity relationship of the cyclodextrin skeleton in the selectivity of the reaction.

In the enantioselective version of the enyne cycloisomerization, ICyDs were revealed as efficient catalysts, achieving ee's up to $80 \%$. Nevertheless, due to the largest size of the $\gamma$ cyclodextrin cavity, the influence on the reactivity of the metal was less important, and the ee's were lower. Furthermore, with the non-symetrical isomer, ( $\gamma$-AD-ICyD)AuCl, the surprising opposite enantiomer was obtained.

During the synthesis of these gold complexes, the formation of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ was observed, being this the first time that a squared planar complex was observed inside the cavity of a cyclodextrin. This observation led to the synthesis of palladium and platinum complexes inside the narrower analogues $\beta$ - and $\alpha$-ICyDs. This synthesis showed two different coordination modes depending, at the same time, on the size of the metal, the size of the cavity and the synthesis performed.

When the synthesis was made by transmetallation of the inner silver NHC, the complex was obtained inside the cavity, meanwhile, when the free-NHC route was performed, the reactions gave different results. In the case of the $\alpha$-CD, using an in situ protonation-metallation reaction, the metal complexes were complexed outside of the cavity. In the case of the $\beta$ analogues, the complexes were obtained mainly inside of the cavity.


Figure $\mathrm{V}-1$ : $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-CD based complexes depending on the synthesis.

To elucidate the effect of the cavity on these metal complexes, cyclic voltammetry and catalytic reactions were studied. As expected, when the CV was carried out on the ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) complexes, the same behavior as in the model complexes was observed. Surpringly, in the case of $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-ICyD complexes different behaviors were observed. This result depicts, as far as we are aware of, the first complexes, based on cyclodextrins that are non-silent in electrochemistry. In the case of (ICyD)Au complexes, the same redox potential was obtained for the $\alpha-, \beta$ - and $\gamma$ complexes. In contrast, when the CV was done on the square planar palladium(II) complexes, the redox potentials of the $\operatorname{Pd}(I I) / P d(0)$ reduction were lower with the size of the cavity. This different behavior was explained by a reactivity on the entrance of the cavity or a confined reactivity inside the cyclodextrin skeleton by a ligand exchange.


Figure V-2: Schematic reactivity of (ICyD)Au and (ICyD)Pd complexes in electrochemistry

The catalytic applications were broadly studied. In the case of Pd complexes, due to the steric hindrance afforded by the cavity of the ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) a selective arylation of primary amines could be developed. In this reaction, the unhindered N-Octylamine was arylated in good to excellent yields. Additionally, enhanced by the steric hindrance of the cyclodextrin, a substrate selective reaction was developped. This reaction was particularly selective with unhindered substrates, while larger ones reacted less efficiently.

All these synthesis of compound, made in a context of the confined space catalysis are the beginning of the development of new reactions that could be useful for synthetic applications.
In this PhD work, in addition to the ones reported previously, CuAAC, amination reactions and hydrosilylation, reactions were the beginning of a broad scope of confined space catalysis. Following this project, different reactivities could be developed. In the case of palladium complexes, since the reductive elimination was revealed as a limiting step, modification of the electronics of the NHC could be developed. In the case of platinum complexes, enantioselective hydrosilylation reactions could be developed in an enantioselective manner.
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## General Informations

THF was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone. DMF and MeCN were dried over molecular sieves. Reactants were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fluorochem, TCI or Strem), and used without further purification. HRMS were recorded on a Bruker micrOTOF spectrometer, using Agilent ESI-L Low Concentration Tuning-Mix as reference. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341 digital polarimeter or a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter with a path length of 1 dm . NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 600 MHz or Brüker AM-400 MHz using residual solvent signal as internal reference. 1 Assignments were aided by COSY, HSQC, NOESY, TOCSY and HMBC experiments.
All electrochemical experiments were performed using a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT101 potentiostat under argon flow in a three-electrode cell. The counter-electrode was a platinum wire; the reference was a saturated calomel electrode separated from the solution by a bridge filled with a 0.3 M solution of $\mathrm{nBu}_{4} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ in solvant ( 2 mL ). $\mathrm{nBu}_{4} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}(0.3 \mathrm{M}$ ) was used as supporting electrolyte. All the cyclic voltammograms (CV) were recorded using a steady GC disk ( $\mathrm{d}=3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) as working electrode.


In a round-bottom flask under nitrogen, $\alpha-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OMs})_{2}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 440 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and imidazole ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 20 ml ). The mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the reaction followed by TLC. After cooling down to r.t., the solvent was evaporated under high vacuum and the residue dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of dichloromethane and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 times) dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (DCM/MeOH 100:1 then 100:5 then 100:10) to afford 122 ( $931 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a white foam.
The structure of the product was confirmed by comparison with the NMR spectra of the literature. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ : $\delta 9.09$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 7.43-7.06 (m, 70H, $70 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.97-6.95$ (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.89-6.84 (m, 8H, $6 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 5.66 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 5.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.08$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$ снн $=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $4.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{C H}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.82$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.79\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 4.77$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$. $\mathrm{CHH}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $4.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.65-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.54-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D}), 4.42$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.40-4.36(m,4H,2xCHPh, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 4.11$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, F, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aC}, F), 3.90$ (bd, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, F), 3.88\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), \quad 3.73-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 3.69\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.57\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right)$, $3.53\left(\mathrm{bdd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right)$, $3.25\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{a}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.11\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.3 J_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 140.17$ (2C), 139.98 (2C), 139.85 (2C), 139.64 (2C), 139.50 (2C), 139.15 (2C), 139.03 (2C), 138.89 (2C) (16 x C-Ar-quat.), 133.52 ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 129.50-127.72 (80 x C-Ar-tert.), 125.29 ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.99 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 98.63 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 98.10 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 82.34 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 81.82 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 81.36 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 80.47 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 80.19 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 78.27 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 77.27 ( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 77.11 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 76.52 (2C), 74.85 (2C), 74.61 (2C) ( $6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 74.43 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 74.11 (2C), 73.98 (2C), 73.79 (2C), 73.19 (2C) ( $8 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.03 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 71.27 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 71.24 (2 $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 69.23 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 53.91 (2 x C-6A,D) ppm.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 90: 10\right)$
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{157} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]+2446.0917$, found 2446.0831 .

## ( $\beta$-ICyD) HCl (124)



In a round-bottom flask under nitrogen, $\beta-C D(O M s)_{2}(23 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $11 \mathrm{~g}, 153 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 230 ml ). The mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the reaction was followed by TLC. After cooling down to r.t., the solvent was evaporated under high vacuum and the residue dissolved in a $1: 1$ mixture of dichloromethane and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3$ times) dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (DCM / MeOH $100 / 1$ then $100 / 5$ then $100 / 10$ ) to afford ( $\beta$-ICyD) HCl after two purifications ( $14.9 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ) as a white foam.
The structure of the product was confirmed by comparison with the NMR spectra of the literature. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.36-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 97 \mathrm{H}, 95 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 5.79$ (bd, $\left.{ }^{2} J=3.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1\right), 5.38\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.17\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.19$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{ChH}}=10.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, CHPh), 4.98 (d, ${ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), $4.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1\right), 4.82-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, CHPh, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1$ ), 4.76-4.70 (m, 5H, $4 \times$ CHPh, $\mathrm{H}-1$ ), 4.66(d, $\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}=10.92 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 4.61-4.30 (m, 31H, H-5, H-3, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6,27 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.12-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{CHPh})$, $4.00-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-6,4 \times \mathrm{H}-2,5 \times \mathrm{H}-3,7 \times \mathrm{H}-4,6 \times \mathrm{H}-5), 3.43-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-2)$, $3.31\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=2.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right), 3.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=2.83,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=\right.$ $11.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.10\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.65 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=\right.$ $4.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 140.69,140.58,140.56,140.30,140.08,139.81$, 139.73 , 139.68, 139.65, 139.63 (2C), 139.56, 139.48, 139.26, 139.21, 138.98 (3C), 138.82 (19 $\times$ C-Ar-quat.), $129.53-128.05$ (m, $95 \times$ C-Ar-tert.), 124.98, $124.56(2 \times \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{N})$, 100.56, 100.13, 99.28, 98.35, 97.28, 97.16, 96.68(7 $\times \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 83.00 (C-4), 82.56, 82.52(2 $\times$ $\mathrm{C}-3), 82.03,81.91(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4), 81.57,81.54,81.43(3 \times \mathrm{C}-3), 81.14,81.12,81.11,81.05(\mathrm{C}-4,2$ $\times \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-3), 80.50,80.42,80.28$ (2C) (C-4, $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-3), 79.94$ (C-2), 78.72 (C-2), 78.31 (C-2), 77.22, 77.18, 76.80, 76.48, 76.10, 76.09, 75.16, 75.14, 75.02 ( $9 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 74.19 (C-4), 74.15, 74.09, 73.99 ( $3 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $74.05(\mathrm{C}-5), 73.94,73.91\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.88(\mathrm{C}-4)$, $73.85,73.83,73.72,73.49\left(4 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.35(\mathrm{C}-5), 73.19\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.77,72.73(2 \mathrm{C})(3 \times$ $\mathrm{C}-5$ ), 72.06 (C-5), 70.54, 70.46, 70.26 (3 $\times \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 70.23 (C-5), 69.12 (C-6), 68.85 (C-6), 53.28 (C-6), 52.86 (C-6) ppm.
$[a]_{D^{20}}=+49.5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.1\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.31$ (DCM/MeOH 95:5)
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{185} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{33}{ }^{2+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{2+} 1450.6373$, found 1450.6434 err. 4.2 ppm


Figure S-1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $124\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S-2: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{HCI}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{AgClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight: 2590.17

In a round-bottom flask under nitrogen, imidazolium 122 ( $570 \mathrm{mg}, 230 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and silver oxide ( $231 \mathrm{mg}, 690 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). The black suspension was vigorously stirred at R.T. overnight, then filtrated on celite, rinsed with acetonitrile and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/AcOEt 4:1 then 3:1) to afford complex 123 ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a white foam.
The structure of the product was confirmed by comparison with the NMR spectra of the literature. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR : (Chloroform-d, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.38-6.97$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 74 \mathrm{H}, 74 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.84 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{m}}=$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-m-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.74\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.14$ (bs, 2H,2x N$\mathrm{C} \underline{H}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 5.65-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D})$, 5.37 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.15-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.98$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 4.87\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, $4.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{JPh}} \mathrm{CHH}, ~=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right)$, 4.60-4.42 (m, 16H, $12 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D})$, 4.29-4.25 (m, 6H, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 4.22$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.16 (dd, ${ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right.$, $6 \mathrm{~b}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aC}, F), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})$, $3.76\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, F\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}={ }^{3} J_{4,5}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 3.60\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, F\right), 3.55$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.42-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{D})$, 3.27 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.04$ (dd, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.9,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{a}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}), 2.76$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Chloroform-d, $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 176.56$ (C-Ag), 140.27 (2C), 139.92 (2C), 139.60 (2C), 139.10 (2C), 139.03 (2C), 138.81 (2C), 138.33 (2C), 138.01 (2C) ( $16 \times$ C-Arquat.), 128.72-126.71 (80 x C-Artert.), 122.11 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Ag}}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.66 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 98.09 (2C), 98.06 (2C) ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 82.60 (2 x C-4C,F), 81.51 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 81.36 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.26 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.12 ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 79.64 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 C, F$ ), 79.11 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 79.98 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 76.76 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 76.64 (2C), 76.39 (2C), 74.16 (2C) ( $6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.78 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 73.47 (2C), 73.31 (2C), 73.23 (2C), 72.80 (2C), 72.35 (2C) (10 x Ph- $\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), 71.92 ( 2 x C-5B,E), 71.14 (2 x C-6C,F), 70.80 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 67.82 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 54.36 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.21$ (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 4:1)
HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{AgCIN}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{2+}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+} 1316.4684$, found 1316.4674.

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCl (125)



A mixture of perbenzylated imidazolium ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{HCI}(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and silver oxide ( $534 \mathrm{mg}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 ml ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. Then the silver oxide was filtered on a celite pad and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the solvents were evaporated. Silica gel chromatography of the residue ( $\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}: 4: 1$ ) gave the silver complex ( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCI as a white foam ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Chloroform-d, 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.48-6.88$ (m, 95H, $95 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.21 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}$ ), 5.93 (s, 1H, N-CH=C), 5.88 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{G}\right), 5.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}\right)$, 5.78 (d, ${ }^{2}{ }^{\text {JPh-CHH }}$ = $\left.11.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}), 5.45\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {Ph-CHH }}=10,82 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, CHPh), 5.42 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} \boldsymbol{H}=11.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $5.35-5.33$ (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.25 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$ снㅏ $=12.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.02\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.00\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{CHH}=5.66\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.98-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=5.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aD}\right), 4.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}\right), 4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}), 4.58$ (d, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}$ $=3.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.51-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}$, $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, 20 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.36\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.31$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=3.87$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.29\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=2.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=2.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, CHPh ), 4.25-4.20 (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.14-3.94 (m, 7H, H-6aG, H-5B, H-4C, $3 \times$ H-3A, D,F, CHPh), 3.87-3.63 (m, 11H, H-6aF, H-6bF, H-6aC, H-6bC, H-6bG, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 3.57-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~F})$, 3.43-3.37(m,3H,H-6aA, H-6bD, H-6aE), 3.35-3.30 (m, 5H, $5 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{E}), 2.96\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=\right.$ $10.78 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bE}), 2.78$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}\right), 2.71\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.95 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=3.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Chloroform-d, 151 MHz ): $\delta 140.58$, 140.21, 140.10, 140.05, 139.57, 139.18, $139.04,138.98,138.91,138.79,138.72(2 \mathrm{C})$, 138.60, 138.58, 138.52, 138.26, 138.19, 138.08, 137.68, ( $19 \times$ C-Ar.quat.), 128.57-126.56 (m, $95 \times$ C-Ar-tert.), 122.71, $121.26(2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} H=\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-$ N), 100.91, 99.69, 98.61, 98.23, 97.52, 97.27, 96.96(7 $\times$ C-1A,B,C,D,E,F,G), 83.32 (C-4F), 82.26 (C-3A), 82.07, 81.94 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 81.29 (C-3D), 80.73, 80.46 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.44 (C-2B), 80.25 (C-3F), 80.17 (C-2A), 80.14, 79.97, 79.89, 79.54 ( $4 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 78.89, 78.66, 77.99, $77.89,77.39(5 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}), 76.94\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.85\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.39(2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.20\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right), 74.37(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}), 74.36\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 74.28\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.86(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C})$, 73.81, 73.73, 73.57, 73.49, 73.44(5 × Ph- $\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), 73.43 (C-4D), $73.28\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{-\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 73.19(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{G})$, 73.18, 73.07, 72.98, 72.76, $72.70\left(5 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.36(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~F}), 72.33\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.89,71.86$, 71.55 (3 x C-5B,D,E), 70.56 (C-6C), $70.50(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}), 70.34,69.94,68.21,67.63,55.63,53.29$ (6 $\times$ C-6A,B,D,E,F,G)
$[a]_{D^{20}}=+46.8\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=0.1\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.21(\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 4: 1)$
HMRS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AgN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33^{+}}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CI}]+2984.1827$, found 2984.1777 err. 1.7 ppm


Figure S-3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCI (125) (Chloroform-d, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S-4: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCI 125 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

## (a-ICyD)AuCl (126)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight:
2679.27

In a fround bottom flask under argon, silver complex (a-ICyD)AgCl 123 (1 g, 0.385 mmol ) and gold $(\mathrm{I})$ chloride ( $897 \mathrm{mg}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry acetonitrile (10 mL ). The reaction was protected from light and stirred overnight at r.t. The reaction was followed by Mass spectrometry. When finished the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 4:1) to afford the gold complex (a-ICyD)AuCl 126 (567 mg, $55 \%$ ).
The structure of the product was confirmed by comparison with the NMR spectra of the literature. 1
 $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.73\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{5,6}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 5.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{CHH}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right.$ ), $5.27\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times$ CHPh $), 5.17-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.02$ (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 4.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.68-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 14 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D})$, $4.32-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.24\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.18$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right)$, $4.14\left(\mathrm{bd},{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.01\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right.$ ), 3.98-3.93 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aC}, F), 3.85\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2\right.$ x H-4C,F), $3.79\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, \mathrm{F}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right)$, 3.57 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.43$ (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ${ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 3.33-3.26 (m, 4H, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.10$ (dd, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{~b}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{a}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{bd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}\right)$ ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 169.73$ (C-Au), 140.17 (2C), 139.83 (2C), 139.59 (2C), 139.15 (2C), 139.10 (2C), 138.84 (2C), 138.36 (2C), 138.07 (2C) (16 x C-Arquat.), 128.73-126.67 (80 x C-Ar-tert.), 121.52 ( $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.53 (2 x C-1C,F), 98.03 (2C), 97.99 (2C) ( $2 \times C-1 A, D, 2 \times C-1 B, E$ ), 82.59 ( $2 \times C-4 C, F$ ), 81.82 ( $2 \times C-3 A, D$ ), 81.43 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.36 (2C), 80.25 (2C) ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 79.53 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 79.14 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 77.12 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 76.96 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 76.65 (2C), 76.40 (2C), 74.22 (2C) ( $6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), 73.73 (2 x C-5C,F), 73.47 (2C), 73.29 (2C), 73.21 (2C), 72.65 (2C), 72.33 (2C) (10 x Ph- $\underline{C}_{2}$ ), 71.53 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 71.12 ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{C-6C,F}$ ), 69.90 (2 x C-5A,D), 67.92 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 54.32 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ) ppm.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.21$ (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 4:1)
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{28^{+}}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+2700.0091$, found 2700.0089 err. 0.07 ppm


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$ Molecular Weight: 3111.78

In a fround bottom flask under argon, silver complex (a-ICyD)AgCl 125 (1.7 g, $0.544 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.544 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The reaction was protected from light and stirred 30 min at r.t. The reaction was monitored by mass spectrometry. When finished, the product is filtrated on celite, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/ Ethyl Acetate 4:1) to afford the gold complex (a-ICyD)AuCl 127 (1.7 g, $98 \%$ )
${ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.3,400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.46-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 95 \mathrm{H}, 95 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C})$, $6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}), 5.88-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 5.73\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.79 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, CHPh $), 5.48\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.42\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{H}=6.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.39$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\text {Ph-CHH }}=5.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{JPh}} \mathrm{CHH}=12.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.21\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}=\right.$ $12.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.08 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=13.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aD}\right), 5.01-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{CHPh})$, 4.79 (bd, 1H, H-1D), 4.74-4.69 (m, 3H, H-3C, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}), 4.64-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$, CHPh ), 4.58-4.12 (m, 31H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, 3 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{GG}, 24 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.06-3.98$ (m, 5H, $3 \times$ H-3A, D,F, H-4C, CHPh), 3.92-3.52 (m, 16H, H-6bG, H-6aC, H-6aF, H-6bF, H-6bC, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{D}, 3 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=9.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aE}\right)$, 3.39-3.26 (m, 8H, $5 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bD}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}), 2.96$ (d, 1H, H-6bE), 2.79-2.72 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.42(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Au})$, 140.52, 140.19, 140.08, 140.04, 139.62, 139.13, 139.06, 138.93, 138.90, 138.81, 138.79(2C), 138.63, 138.61, 138.52, 138.27, 138.21, 138.14, 137.76 (19 $\times$ C-Ar.quat.), $128.55-126.57$ (m, $95 \times$ C-Ar-tert.), 122.00, 120.85 $(2 \times \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}), 100.91,99.52,98.78,98.12,97.55,97.24,96.71(7 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G})$, 83.32 (C-4F), 82.42 (C-3A), 82.09, 81.99 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 81.29 (C-3D), 80.88 (C-4E), 80.43, 80.20, 80.15, 80.07, 80.00, 79.85, 79.28 (C-2A, $5 \times$ C-3F,B,E,G,C, C-4B), 78.97, 78.74, 78.09, 77.82, 77.48, 77.36 ( $6 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}), 76.94\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.67\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.42$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.29\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 74.49\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}\right), 74.31\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}\right), 74.14(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}), 73.77(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{D})$, 73.71, 73.56 (2C), 73.51, 73.46 ( $5 \times \mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2}$ ) , $73.42(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}), 73.34,73.31\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.11$ (C-5G), 72.99 ,72.96 ,72.90, 72.71 (2C), $72.40\left(6 \times \mathrm{Ph}^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 72.31 (C-5F), 71.46, 71.40, 71.35 ( $3 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 70.59, 70.38 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 69.92 (C-5A), 69.85, 68.32, 67.74, 55.16, 53.20 ( $6 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}$ ) ppm.
$[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+43.2\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c=1\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21(\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{AcOEt} 4: 1)$
HRMS(ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{33^{+}}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 3132.2028$, found 3132.2134 err. 3.4 ppm


Figure S5: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCI 127. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$


Figure S6: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCI 127. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{ClCuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight:
2545.85

Under argon, ( $\alpha$-ICyD)HCI 122 ( $5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(I) oxide ( 1.2 g , 8.3 mmol ) were dissolved in 50 ml of 1,4-dioxane previously degassed by bubbling argon for 15 minutes. The mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, cooled down to R. T., filtered on Celite and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 7:3) to afford copper complex ( $\alpha-$ ICyD)CuCl 128 (4.7, $80 \%$ ) as a white foam.

The structure of the product was confirmed by comparison with the NMR spectra of the literature. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.39-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 74 \mathrm{H}, 74 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.82\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{om}, \mathrm{m}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{C} \underline{H}=\mathrm{C} H-\mathrm{N}), 5.79-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 5.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.16-5.11$ (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.07$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, $4.69\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.68-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 4.55-4.45(m, 8H, $6 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 4.30-4.24 (m, 6H, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 4.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 4.02-3.87 (m, 10H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aC}, \mathrm{F}), 3.83\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, F\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.61$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right)$, 3.56 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{3,4}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.45$ (dd, ${ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{D}\right)$, $3.28\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.05\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{5,6 \mathrm{a}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}\right)$ ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 173.52$ (C-Cu), 140.01 (2C), 139.91 (2C), 139.59 (2C), 139.18 (2C), 139.08 (2C), 138.82 (2C), 138.36 (2C), 138.06 (2C) (16 x C-Arquat.), 128.91-126.62 ( $80 \times \mathrm{C}-$ Ar-tert.), 121.56 ( $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.42 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 98.09 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 97.71 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 82.23 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 81.54 ( $2 \mathrm{x} C-3 A, D$ ), 81.43 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.24 (2C), 80.13 (2C) ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 79.66 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 79.25 (2 x C-2B,E), 77.01 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 76.65 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 76.54 (2C), 76.45 (2C), 74.13 (2C) ( $6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C}_{2}$ ), 73.67 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 73.45 (2C), 73.28 (2C), 73.24 (2C), 72.59 (2C), 72.31 (2C) ( $10 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 71.85 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 71.50 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 71.05 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 67.91 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 54.15 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ) ppm.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.21$ (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 4:1)
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]+2508.0135$, found 2508.0152 .

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl (129)



Under argon, ( $\beta$-ICyD)HCI 124 ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(I) oxide ( $414 \mathrm{mg}, 2.88$ mmol ) were dissolved in 20 ml of 1,4-dioxane previously degassed by bubbling argon for 15 minutes. The mixture was heated in a Schlenk at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, cooled down to r. t., filtered on celite, the cake washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/Ethyl Acetate 4:1) to afford copper complex ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Chloroform-d, 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.47-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 95 \mathrm{H}, 95 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}), 5.91-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{G}), 5.87\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}\right), 5.76-5.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}$ ), 5.45 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {Рh-снн }}=10,02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.40-5.38 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.26--5.24 (m, 2H, $2 \times$ CHPh), $4.99\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {Ph-CHH }}=11.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.94-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times$ CHPh, H-6aD), $4.80\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}\right), 4.72-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}), 4.64$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 4.60-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}), 4.57-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 35 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}$, $4 \times$ H-3E,G,F,A, H-4C, H-6aA, H-6aG, $26 \times$ CHPh), 3.94 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{3,4}=8.85 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=8.85 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}), 3.90-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}, 3 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{CC}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bF}$, H-6bG, H-6aC, H-6aF), 3.43 (br d, 1H, H-6bE), 3.37-3.30(m, 7H, $5 \times$ H-2B,F,E,D,A, H-6bD, H-6bA), 3.25(br d, 1H, H-5E), 2.99(br d, 1H, H-6aE), 2.79-2.73(m, 2H, H-6aB, H-6bB);
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Chloroform-d, 151MHz): $\delta 176.23(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}), 140.48,140.22,140.12,140.02$, 139.55, 139.16, 139.05, 138.99, 138.87, 138.85, 138.79, 138.77, 138.61, 138.59, 138.51, 138.27, 138.24, 138.09, 137.74 (19 $\times$ C-Ar.quat.), 128.57-126.50 (m, $95 \times$ C-Ar-tert.), 122.12, 120.87 ( $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 101.03, 99.51, 98.58, 97.94, 97.42, 97.31, 96.83(7 $\times$ C-1A,B,C,D,E,F,G), 83.47(C-4F), 82.22(C-4C), 82.06(C-4G), 81.66(C-3A), 81.41(C-3D), 80.68(C-4E), 80.57(C-4B), 80.38(C-2A), 80.27(C-3F), 80.19, 80.02(2 $\times$ C-3E,G), 79.93(C-3B), 79.89(C-3C), 79.38, 78.99, 78.82, 78.04(4 × C-2D,E,F,B), 77.96(C-2C), 77.47(C-2G), 76.96, 76.79, 76.41, 76.39, 76.19, 74.41(6 $\times$ Ph- $\left.\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 74.33(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}), 74.25\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 73.74,73.73(2$ $\left.\times \mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 73.70(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}), 73.54,73.49,73.44,73.29\left(4 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.27(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{D}), 73.11(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{G})$, 73.07, 72.97, 72.75, 72.72, 72.69(5 $\times \mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2}$ ), 72.35(C-5F), $72.34\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.05,71.84$, 71.51(3 $\times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}), \quad 70.89(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}), \quad 70.52, \quad 70.43, \quad 69.81(3 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}), \quad 68.27(\mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{E})$, 67.71(C-6B), 55.14(C-6D), 53.01(C-6A).
$[a]_{D^{20}}=48.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$ (CyH / AcOEt 4:1)

HRMS (ESI) calculated for. [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{CuCINa}\right]^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 2998.1658, found 2998.1513 err. 4.8 ppm


Figure S7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)CuCl $129\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$



Figure S7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$
$2^{A-G}, 3^{A-G}, 6^{B}, 6^{C}, 6^{E}, 6^{F}, 6^{G}-$ Nonadeca-O-benzyl- $\beta$-cyclodextrin $+2^{A-G}, 3^{A-G}, 6^{B}, 6^{C}, 6^{D}, 6^{F}$,

## 6G - Nonadeca-O-benzyl- $\beta$-cyclodextrin (150)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{202} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{O}_{40}$ Molecular Weight:
3279.82

Perbenzyalted $\gamma$-CD 167 ( $15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen was dissolved in freshly distilled toluene ( 30.7 ml ) and the solution stirred. DIBAL-H 1.5M in toluene ( $61.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 88$ mmol ) was added to give a final concentration of 1 M in DIBAL-H. The mixture was heated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a nitrogen flow and the reaction followed by TLC (cyclohexane/AcOEt 3:1). The solution was carefully and slowly poured on 300 ml of ice/water while stirring. AcOEt ( 400 ml ) and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl} 1 \mathrm{M}(150 \mathrm{ml})$ were added and the mixture was stirred at R.T until 2 layers are clearly differentiated $(\sim 1 \mathrm{~h})$. The layers were then separated and the aqueous one was extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 400 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO 4 and the solvent evaporated. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane:AcOEt 3:1) to afford a mixture of AE and AD diols as a white foam (6.6 g, 45\%)
$\mathbf{R f}=0.4$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)


Figure S9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the mixture of $\gamma-\mathrm{AD}-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} 150$

6A,6E-di-O-methylsulfonyl-2A-G, $3^{A-G}, 6^{B}, 6^{C}, 6^{D}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, 6^{G}, 6 \mathrm{H}-$ Docosa-O-benzyl- - -cyclodextrin (151) and $6^{A}, 6^{D}$-di-O-methylsulfonyl-2A-G, $3^{A-G}, 6^{B}, 6^{C}, 6^{E}, 6^{F}, 6^{G}, 6 \mathrm{H}-$ Docosa-O-benzyl- $\gamma$ cyclodextrin (152)

To a solution of the mixture of $150(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.611 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.444 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at r.t. Then methanesulfonyl chloride ( $340 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.444 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at R.T. for 2 h under nitrogen, and then water was added slowly, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM 3 times. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel chromatography of the residue ( $\mathrm{Cy}_{\mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3.5: 1$ ) afforded 151 ( $1,07 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ yield) in a first fraction followed by the second fraction containing 152 ( $0.589 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ yield) as a white foam.

6A, $6^{E}$-di-O-methylsulfonyl-2A-G, $3^{A-G}, 6^{B}, 6^{C}, 6^{D}, 6^{F}, 6^{G}, 6^{H}-$ Docosa-O-benzyl- $\gamma$-cyclodextrin (151)


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{204} \mathrm{H}_{216} \mathrm{O}_{44} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Molecular Weight:
3436.00
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.43-6.98 (m, 110H, $110 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $5.59\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ $\mathrm{H}-1$ ), 5.50 ( $\left.\mathrm{d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{JPh}} \mathrm{CHH}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.47$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 5.27 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1\right), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1\right), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1\right), 4.88-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{CHPh})$, $4.80\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 4.64-4.56 (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.55-4.33 (m, $28 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-6,2 \times \mathrm{H}-5,22 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.26 (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$. снн $=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.19-3.80 (m, 28H, $8 \times \mathrm{H}-3,8 \times \mathrm{H}-4,4 \times \mathrm{H}-5,8 \times \mathrm{H}-6)$, 3.72-3.71 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 3.64-3.56 (m, 6H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2,4 \times \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $3.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2\right), 3.45-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-2), 2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 139.89(2C), 139.72(2C), 139.59(2C), 138.97(2C), 138.71(4C), 138.56(2C), 138.50(2C), 138.46(2C), 138.00(4C) (22x C-Ar-quat.), 128.44-126.88 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 100.49(2C), 98.78(2C), 98.04(2C), 95.71(2C) (8 x C-1), 81.81(2C), 81.66(2C), 81.25(2C), 81.07(2C), 80.88(4C), 80.13(4C) (8 x C-3, $8 \times \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 79.07(2C), 78.85(2C), $78.04(2 \mathrm{C}), 77.38$ (2C) ( $8 \times \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 76.91(2C), 76.54(2C), 76.40(2C), 74.21(2C), 73.98(2C), $73.43(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.37(4 \mathrm{C}), 72.73(2 \mathrm{C}), 72.70(2 \mathrm{C}), 72.50(2 \mathrm{C})\left(22 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.80(2 \mathrm{C}), 71.33(4 \mathrm{C})($ $6 \times \mathrm{C}-5), 69.67(2 \mathrm{C}), 69.36(2 \mathrm{C}), 69.30(2 \mathrm{C})(6 \times \mathrm{C}-6), 68.61(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5), 67.65(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-6)$, 36.60(2 x C- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ );
$[a]_{D^{25}}=+39.1\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.4\left(\mathrm{Cy}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1\right)$
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{204} \mathrm{H}_{216} \mathrm{O}_{44} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+3456.3998$, found 3456.3979 , err. 0.6 ppm


Figure S10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $151\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure $\mathrm{S} 11:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $151\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{204} \mathrm{H}_{216} \mathrm{O}_{44} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Molecular Weight: 3436.00
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.35-7.08 (m, $\left.110 \mathrm{H}, 110 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 5.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ), 5.36-5.32 (m, 2H, H-1, CHPh), 5.28-5.25 (m, 3H, $3 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.17 (d, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 5.14\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1\right), 5.11-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-1,3 \times \mathrm{CHPh}) 4.85-4.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $9 \mathrm{H}, 9 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $4.63\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.57-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 27 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, $3 \times \mathrm{H}-6), 4.26\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right), 4.10-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-6$, $8 \times \mathrm{H}-3,8 \times \mathrm{H}-4,8 \times \mathrm{H}-5), 3.58-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-2,6 \times \mathrm{H}-6), 3.42\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=\right.$ $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2), 3.39\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 139.55, 139.52, 139.50, 139.35, 139.33, 139.18, 138.95, 138.84, 138.60, 138.57, 138.40, 138.39, 138.38, 138.32, 138.28, 138.28, 138.26, 138.24, 138.20, 138.19, 138.17, 137.95 (22x C-Ar-quat.), 128.52-126.95 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 99.35, 99.01, 98.96, 98.63, 98.31, 98.14, 97.80, 97.77(8 x C-1), 81.25, 81.18, 81.08(2C), 81.03(2C), $80.86,80.77,80.33,80.19,79.78,79.45,79.21,79.17(2 \mathrm{C}), 79.10(2 \mathrm{C}), 79.03(2 \mathrm{C}), 78.90(2 \mathrm{C})$, 78.54, 78.13, 77.36 ( $8 \times \mathrm{C}-3,8 \times \mathrm{C}-4,8 \times \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 76.37, 76.07, 76.04, 76.00, 75.81, 75.64, $75.16,75.00,73.52,73.42,73.40(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.37,73.32,73.27(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.16,73.01,72.87(2 \mathrm{C})$, 72.80, 72.77 (22 x Ph- $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}$ ), 72.12, 71.77, 71.57, 71.52, 71.47(2C) (6 x C-5), 69.84 (C-6), 69.51(C-5), 69.41(C-6), 69.35(C-5), 69.30, 69.20, 69.11, 69.06, 68.94(2C) (6x C-6), $37.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 36.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$;
$[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+41.0\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right) ;$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.33\left(\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1\right)$
HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{204} \mathrm{H}_{216} \mathrm{O}_{44} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+3456.3998$, found 3456.4178 , err. -5.2 ppm
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Figure S12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $152\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure $\mathrm{S} 13:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $152\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCl (153)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$
Molecular Weight: 3348.33

A mixture of compound 151 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 0.203 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $277 \mathrm{mg}, 4.07$ mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. When finished, the solvent was evaporated in high vacuo. The crude is dissolved in a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and HCl solution ( 1 M ) ( 20 mL ) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM 3 times. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Silica gel chromatography of the residue (DCM/MeOH 95:5) afforded ( $\gamma$-A,E-ICyD)HCI 153 as a white foam ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 7.41-7.12 (m, 104H, $\left.104 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.69$ (s, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}$-imidazolium), 5.61 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 5.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right.$ снн $=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.93 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}\right), 4.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Jph}^{2} \mathrm{CHH}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times$ CHPh $), 4.75\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.59-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}, 32 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.31$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bA}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=4.7\right.$ Hz, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aH}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 3.97-3.72 (m, 26H, H-6aC, H-6aG, H-6bD, H-6bH, H-6bC, H-6bG, H-6aA, H-6aE, $4 \times H-5 A, E, D, H, 8 \times H-3 A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, 6 \times H-4 B, C, D, F, G, H$ ), 3.64-3.58 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.48-3.47(m,4H,2xH-2A,E,2xH-5B,F),3.39 (ddd, $4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}), 3.11\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, F\right), 3.11$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, F\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 140.34(2C), 140.31(2C), 140.18(2C), 139.59(2C), 139.57(4C), 139.56(2C), 139.44(2C), 139.42(2C), 139.01(2C), 138.87(2C), (22x C-Ar-quat.), 129.50-128.05 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 125.08 (2 x N-C=C-N), 99.64(2C), 99.42(2C), 99.05(2C), 94.60(2C) ( $8 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}), 82.38(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 81.79(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G})$, 81.28(2 x C-3A,E), 81.22(2 x C-3B,F), 81.02(2C), 80.77(4C)( $6 \times$ C-4A,B,D,E,F,H), 80.56(4C) (2 x C-3D,H, $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E})$, 80.33(2C), 80.19(2C)( $4 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}), 78.27(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H})$, $77.46(2 \mathrm{C}), 77.12(2 \mathrm{C}), 76.16(2 \mathrm{C})$, 74.76(2C), 73.99(2C), 73.97(2C), 73.93(2C), 73.81 (2C), 73.51(2C), 73.41(2C), 73.22(2C)(22 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 72.74(2 x C-5D,H), 72.36(2C), 72.31(2C) ( $4 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, F), 71.20(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 70.66(2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 70.26(2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H})$, 69.01(2 x C-6B,F), 52.10(2 x C-6A,E);
$[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+35.1\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.29(\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5)$

HRMS (ESI) : calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]+3310.4791$, found 3310.4963 , err. -5.2 ppm
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Figure S13: 1 H NMR of ( $\mathbf{\gamma}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{HCl} 153\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S14: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ( $\gamma-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCI $153\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## (ү-A,D-ICyD)HCI (154)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight:
3348.33

A mixture of compound $\mathbf{2 Y} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{A , D}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91$ mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. When finished, the solvent was evaporated in high vacuo. The crude is dissolved in a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and HCl solution ( 1 M ) ( 20 mL ) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM 3 times. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Silica gel chromatography of the residue (DCM/MeOH 95:5) afforded the ( $\mathbf{\gamma}$-A,D-ICyD) HCl ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 8.73 (s, 1H, N-CH=N), 7.41-7.09 (m, 110H, $110 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.95 (s, 1H, H-Im), $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}), 5.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}\right), 5.32$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.25-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.14-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}$, CHPh), $5.04\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}\right), 4.99\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~F}\right), 4.94-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.77 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}$ ), 4.76-4.37 (m, 38H, H-6aA, H-6aD, H-5A, $35 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.30-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.23\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\text {Ph-CHH }}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 4.13-3.48 (m, 37H, $8 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, F, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{H}-4$ A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H, $7 \times$ H-5 B,C,D,E,F,G,H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 A, H, H-6 b A, H-6 a C, H-6 b C, H-6 b D, H-6 a E$, H-6bE, H-6aF, H-6bF, H-6aG, H-6bG, H-6aH, H-6bH), 3.45-3.42 (m, 3H, $3 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}$ ), $3.40-3.38$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 3.34 (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~F}$ ), 3.28 (br d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}$ ), 3.03 (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6 \mathrm{~b}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}\right)$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 140.44, 140.42, 140.38, 140.35, 139.62, 139.59, 139.57, 139.52, 139.47, 139.42, 139.36, 139.35, 139.29, 139.28, 139.21, 139.18, 139.09, 139.07, 139.04, 138.90, 138.89, 138.88(22x C-Ar-quat.), 129.52-127.61 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 125.05, 124.48(C-Im), 99.82, 99.39, 98.62, 98.35(2C), 98.08, 97.45, 95.49(8 x C-1), 81.90, 81.83, 81.46, 81.23(2C), 81.05, 80.87, 80.78, 80.70(2C), 80.68(2C),80.40, 80.39, 80.24, 80.22, 80.13, 80.00, 79.91, 79.84, 79.62(7 x C-3A,B,C,D,E,F,G, $8 \times$ C-4A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H, $6 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}) ,78.95(\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{H})$, 78.10(C-2D), 77.48, 77.15, 77.05(3 x Ph- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 76.61$ (C-2H), 76.40, 76.10, 76.02, 75.94, 75.71, 74.78, 74.20(2C), (8 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 74.06 (C-5), 73.96, 73.91(2C), 73.84(3C) (6 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.72 ( $\mathrm{C}-5$ ), 73.70, 73.51, 73.44 (3 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.27(C-5), 73.23, 73.01 (2 x Ph- $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}$ ), 72.69, 72.66, 72.61(3 x C-5), 71.16(C-5D), 70.95(C-6), 70.49(C-5A), 70.26, 70.11, 69.98, 69.47, 69.43(5x C-6), 53.40(C-6D), 52.63(C-6A);
$[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+38.5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.29(\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5)$
HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}]+3310.4791$, found 3310.4647 , err. 4.3 ppm


Figure S15: 1 H NMR of $(\gamma-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl} 154\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}50 & 145 & 140 & 135 & 130 & 125 & 120 & 115 & 110 & 105 & 100 & 95 & 90 & 85 & 80 & 75\end{array}$
Figure S16: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD) $\mathrm{HCl} 154\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCl (155)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{AgClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight: 3456.20

A mixture of perbenzylated imidazolium ( $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) HCl 153 ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and silver(I) oxide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. Then the silver oxide was filtered on a celite pad and the residue was washed with acetonitrile, and the solvents were evaporated. Silica gel chromatography of the residue (CyH/ EtOAc: 4:1) gave the silver complex ( $\mathbf{\gamma - A , E - I C y D ) A g C l}$ as white foam ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 7.43-7.08 (m, 104H, $104 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.03 (t, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.90 (t, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.26(s, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}$ ), 5.63 (d, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 5.25-5.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 4.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times$ CHPh $)$, 4.73-4.71 (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.59-4.34 (m, 36H, $34 \times$ CHPh, H-6aA, H-6aE), 4.18-4.16 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E})$, 4.09-4.07 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bD}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bH}$ ), 3.99-3.95 (m, 4H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, F)$, 3.87-3.53 (m, 24H, $6 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, F, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$, $8 \times H-4 A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, 2 \times H-2 D, H, H-6 b A, H-6 b E, H-6 a C, H-6 a G, H-6 b C, H-6 b G, H-6 a D$, $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aH}$ ), 3.44 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=\right.$ $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 3.29$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aF}$ ), 3.01 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bF}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 141.23(2C), 141.08(2C), 140.64(2C), 139.93(2C), 139.84(2C), 139.74(2C), 139.71(2C), 139.70(2C), 139.68(2C), 139.35(2C), 139.22(2C) (22x C-Ar-quat.), 129.46-127.71 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 99.95(2C), 99.31(2C), 98.82(2C), 94.93(2C) ( $8 \times \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 82.69 (2C), 82.05 (2C)( $4 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}), 81.95(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 81.88(2 \mathrm{C})$ ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ ), $81.34(4 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 81.19(2 \mathrm{C})$, 81.00(2C)( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$, 2 x C-4B,F), 80.65(4C)( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 80.19(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 78.04$ (2C) ( 2 x C-2D,H), 77.32(2C), 76.99(2C), 74.82(2C), 73.92(2C), 73.90(2C), 73.84(2C), 73.78(2C), 73.68(2C) ( $16 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.58 (2C)( $\left.2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 73.43(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.30(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.20(2 \mathrm{C})$ ( $6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) $72.93(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 72.39(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 71.41(2 \mathrm{C})(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 70.96(2 \mathrm{C})$, 70.79(2C)(4 x C-6C,D,G,H), 69.33(2C) (2 x C-6B,F), 54.27(2C)(2 x C-6A,E);
$[a]_{D^{20}}=+31.3\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43(\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 3: 1)$
HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}[\mathrm{M}]+3416.3764$, found 3416.3886 , err. - 3.6 ppm.


Figure S17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ( $\mathbf{\gamma}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCl $155\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S18: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of (Y-A,E-ICyD)AgCl $155\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD)AgCI (156)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AgClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight:
3455.19

A mixture of perbenzylated imidazolium ( $\mathbf{\gamma - A , D - I C y D ) H C I} 154$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and silver $(\mathrm{I})$ oxide ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.140 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. Then the silver oxide was filtered on a celite pad and the residue was washed with acetonitrile, and the solvents were evaporated. Silica gel chromatography of the residue ( $\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}: 4: 1$ ) gave the silver complex ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD)AgCI 156 as white foam (118 mg, $45 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 7.46-6.94 (m, 112H, 110 x H-Ar, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}$ ), 5.77 (d, ${ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 5.38-5.36 (m, 2H, H-1H, CHPh), 5.18-5.14 (m, 2H, CHPh, H-1D), $5.06\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3}{ }_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{G}\right), 5.02\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}\right), 4.98\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~F}), 4.96\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 4.84-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$, 4.69-4.20 (m, 47H, H-6aA, H-6aD, $3 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, 40 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.01-3.58 (m, 29H, H-2H, $8 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, E, F, G, H, 5 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, E, F, G, H-6 b A, H-6 b D$, H-6aC, H-6bC, H-6aF, H-6aG, H-6bG, H-aH, H-6bH), 3.52-3.43(m, 5H, H-6bF, H-6bE, $3 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}), 3.36-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E})$, 3.32-3.26 (m,3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aE}), 3.05(\mathrm{br}$ d, 1H, H-6aB), 2.90(br d, 1H, H-6bB);
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): 140.90, 140.77, 140.71(2C), 140.21, 139.82, 139.78, 139.71, 139.70, 139.67, 139.64, 139.63, 139.61, 139.54, 139.49, 139.45, 139.44, 139.38, 139.31, 139.23, 139.17, 138.14 (22x C-Ar-quat.), 129.42-127.62 (110x C-Ar-tert.), 124.86, 118.72(C-Im), 100.59, 99.12, 99.11, 97.79, 97.75(2C), 97.47, 96.16 (8 x C-1), 83.03(C-3H), 81.96(2C), 81.36(4C), 81.00(4C), 80.73(2C), 80.47(3C)(5 x C-3A,B,C,E,G, $4 \times C-4 B, D, E, F$, $6 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G})$, 79.64(2C, C-4C, C-2F), 79.09(C-3D), 78.65(C-2C), 78.29(C-3F), $77.35\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C}_{2}\right), 76.52(2 \mathrm{C}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}), 76.46\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right), 75.87\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.65\left(\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right)$, $75.52(\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}), 74.93,74.25,74.23,74.15,74.08,74.02,73.81(2 \mathrm{C}), 73.78(2 \mathrm{C})$, 73.77(3C), 73.74, 73.69(2C), 73.64, 73.55(18 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.50(2C, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{C}-5\right), 73.46,73.36,73.26,72.92$, 72.69, 72.39, 72.31, 72.18(5 x C-5), 71.19, 70.75, 70.39, 69.90, 69.40, 68.90(6 x C-6B,C,E,F,G,H), 56.74(C-6D), 53.48(C-6A);
$[a]_{D^{20}}=+38.5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.43(\mathrm{CyH} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 3: 1)$
HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+3474.3350$, found 3474.3150, err. 5.7 ppm


Figure S19: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ( $\left.\mathbf{y}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ICyD}\right) \mathrm{AgCl} 156\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S20: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-dept NMR of ( $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCI $156\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl 158



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{AuClN} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight: 3545.29

A mixture of perbenzylated imidazolium ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD)AgCI 156 (118 mg, $34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature for 20 hours. Then, the reaction was filtered on a celite pad and the residue was washed several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Then, the reaction mixture was purified in silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane: Ethyl acetate 2:1) giving the gold complex ( $\mathbf{\gamma - A , D - I C y D ) A u C l}$ as a white foam ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \mathrm{d} 7.40-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 112 \mathrm{H}, 110 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 5.33-5,18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times \mathrm{H}-1,2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.15-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1,1 \times$ CHPh), 5,04-5,00 (m, 2H, $1 \times \mathrm{H}-1,1 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $4,93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3,43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times \mathrm{H}-1), 4,85(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1), 4,75(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4,72-4,28(\mathrm{~m}, 42 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times \mathrm{H}-3,1 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-5,2 \times \mathrm{H}-6,38 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4,23-4,16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5,1 \times \mathrm{H} 3,1 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.07-3.65(25 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-3,6 \times \mathrm{H}-4,5 \times \mathrm{H}-5,8 \times \mathrm{H}-6), 3.63-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-2,2 \times \mathrm{H}-4,2 \times \mathrm{H}-6) 3.38-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-2,2 \times \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times \mathrm{H}-6), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{xH}-6)$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : d 171.1 (C-Au), 141.5, 141.0, 140.9, 140.8, 140.7, 140.0, 139.8, 139.7, 139.6, 139.5, 139.4, 139.3, 139.3 (22 x C-Ar-quat.), 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 128.6 ( $110 \times$ C-Ar, $2 \times$ C-Im), 100.7 (C-1), 99.0 (3 x C-1), 97.7 (3x C-1), 96.2 (C-1), 83.1 (C-3), 82.0 (C-2), 81.6, 81.5 ( $3 \times \mathrm{C}-3,2 \times \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 81.2 , 81.1 ( $1 \times \mathrm{C}-2,2 \times \mathrm{C}-3,1 \times$ $\mathrm{C}-4), 80.7$ ( $1 \times \mathrm{C}-2,1 \times \mathrm{C}-3,1 \times \mathrm{C}-4$ ), $80.5(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2), 79.9(\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-4), 79.1$ (C-3), 78.7(C-2), 77.7 (C-4), 77.4 (C-4), 76.7, 76.1, 76.0, 75.9, 75.1, 74.1, 73.8, 73.7, 73.6 (21 x Ph- $\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}$ ), 75.6 (C-2), 73.5 (C-4), $73.3\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{-\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 73.3(\mathrm{C}-5), 72.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 72.7(\mathrm{C}-5), 72.6$ (2 x C-5), $72.4(\mathrm{C}-5)$, 71.7 (C-5), 71.6 (C-5), 71.2 (C-6), 70.9 (C-6), 70.5 (C-6), 70.0 (C-6), 69.6 (C-6), 69.1 (C-6), 56.0 (C-6), 53.5 (C-6).

HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{AuCl}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right.$ : 1794.6956, found 1794.6957 err. 0.05ppm


Figure S21: ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR of ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD)AuCl $158\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S22: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ JMod NMR of ( $\gamma$-A,D-ICyD)AuCI $158\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\gamma$-A,E-ICyD)AuCl (157)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{213} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight: 3545.29

A mixture of perbenzylated imidazolium ( $\mathbf{Y - A , E - I C y D \text { DAgCl } 1 5 5 \text { ( } 1 5 0 \mathrm { mg } , 6 1 \mathrm { mmol } ) ~}$ and $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were disolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature for 20 hours. Then, the reaction was filtered on a celite pad and the residue was washed several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Then, the reaction mixture was purified in silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane: Ethyl acetate 2:1) giving the gold complex ( $\mathbf{\gamma - A}, \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) AgCl 157 as a white foam (143mg, 93\% yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right):$ d 7.43-7.06 (m, 104H, $\left.104 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{m}}=\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-m-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}}=7.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHN}), 5.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 5,39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.27$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.21\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 6.06\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4,87\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}\right)$, $4.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 4.80-4,27(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 36 \mathrm{x}$ CHPh), 4.22-4.05 (m, 6H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98-3.76 ( m, 14H, $2 \times$ H-3A,E, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74-3.59 (m, 6H, $2 \times$ H-5B,F, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.57-3,48 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 3,47-3,40 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 3,34\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=3,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F})$, $3.31-3.20$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ), 3.05 (d, $1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): d 172.2 (C-Au), 141.4 (2C), 141.0 (2C), 140.8 (2C), 140.9 (2C), 140.7 (2C), 139.9 (2C), 139.8 (2C), 139.77 (2C), 139.7 (2C), 139.3 (2C), 139.2(2C) (22 x C-Ar-quat.), 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6 ( $110 \times$ C-Ar-tert), 99.7 (2 x C-1C,G), $99.2(2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 98.7(2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E})$, 94.9 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 82.7 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 82.4 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), $82.1(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E})$, 81.8 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 81.5 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ), 81.2 (4C, $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2$ x C-3C,G), 80.9 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 80.6 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ), 80.31 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 79.3 (2 x Ph- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 79,0 ( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), $78.9(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 78.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 78.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 78.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right)$, 78.3 ( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), $77.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}\right), 77.0\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}\right), 76.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 74.8(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-$ $\left.\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right), 74.0\left(6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 73.9\left(6 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 73.7\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}\right)$, $73.5\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2}\right)$, $73.4(2 \times$ $\mathrm{Ph}-\underline{C H}_{2}$ ), 73.3 ( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 73.3 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ ), $73.2\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right.$ ), $73.0(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G})$, 72.4 ( $2 \times$ C-5B,F), 72.3 ( $2 \times$ C-5A,E), 71.9 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 71.1 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$ ), 70.9 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 69.4 ( 2 x C-6B,F), 54.2 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ )

## Rf=0.36 (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)

HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{AuCINa}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}$ : 1794.6956, found: 1794.6959 err. 0.16ppm


Figure S23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of ( $\gamma$-A,E-ICyD)AuCl $157\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S24: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ JMod NMR of ( $\gamma$-A,E-ICyD).AuCI (CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Chemical Formula:<br>$\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight: 2446.91

Under an argon atmosphere, ( $\alpha$-ICyD)HCI 122 (100mg, $40,3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ). Then, KH ( $30 \%$ in oil, $27,5 \mathrm{mg}, 201 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added stepwise and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The crude mixture was directly filtrated over celite and washed with freshly distilled THF. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ affording the free carbene 159 as a yellow-orange foam without any further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.66-7.59$ (m, 4H, H-o-Ar), $7.43-7.33$ (m, 9H, H-Ar), $7.30-6.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 5.98\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 5.84$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.76\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,4}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 5.27-$ 5.19 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 5.19-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 5.04\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{ChH}}=\right.$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.82\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=\right.$ $13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.76\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=13.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right) 4.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 4.58\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=9.7,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.50-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{x}$ PhCHH, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 4.07 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ H-6C,F), 3.98 (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,6}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, F\right), 3.94-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$, $2 x P h C H H, 2 \times H-4 A, D, 2 \times H-4 B, E, 2 x H-6 B, E, 2 \times H-3 C, F), 3.66\left(d d,{ }^{2} J_{6 a, 6 b}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{6,5}=\right.$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 3.62-3.48$ (m, 6H, $4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 3.43$ (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=$ $\left.9.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\right), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D} 6$ ) $\delta=212.42$ (C $\mathrm{C}_{\text {carbene }}$ ), 140.7, 140.6, 140.5, 139.5, 139.4x2, 139.3, 138.5 ( $16 \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 128.6-126.34(CH Ar), $120.3(2 x \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N})$, $99.0(2 x \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D})$, 98.4 ( $2 x C-1 B, E$ ), 98.1 ( $2 x C-1 C, F$ ), 82.9 ( $2 x C-4 B, E$ ), 82.6 ( $2 x C-3 A, D$ ), 82.03( $2 x C-4 C, F$ ), 81.7 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 81.1 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 80.7 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ) , 80.2 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 78.6 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 77.43 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 75.60, $74.32\left(4 x \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 74.18$ ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 73.79 ( $2 x \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 73.61 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 73.55, 73.44, 72.17, 71.78 ( $8 x \underline{C H}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 71.65 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 69.40 (2xC-6C,F), 67.83 (2xC-6B,E), 54.78 (2xC-6A,D).


Figure S25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\alpha$-ICyD 159. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S26: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\alpha$-ICyD 159. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S27: Extract of NOESY Spectrum of $\alpha$-ICyD 159 showing the cross correlations between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NHC}}$ and H-6A,D.

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C | Cycle C | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.17 | 99.01 | 1 | 4.66 | 98.43 | 1 | 5.93 | 98.10 |
| 2 | 3.53 | 81.1 | 2 | 3.48 | 80.2 | 2 | 3.38 | 78.6 |
| 3 | 4.58 | 82.6 | 3 | 4.29 | 81.7 | 3 | 3.82 | 80.7 |
| 4 | 3.76 | 77.43 | 4 | 3.82 | 82.9 | 4 | 4.21 | 82.03 |
| 5 | 5.73 | 71.65 | 5 | 4.15 | 71.65 | 5 | 4.21 | 73.6 |
| 6 | 3.64 |  | 6 | 3.30 |  | 6 | 3.94 |  |
| $6^{\prime}$ | 4.78 | 54.84 |  | 6, | 3.77 | 69.36 | 6 | 4.02 |

TableS1: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\alpha$-ICyD 159


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{156} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight: 2679.27

In a sealed tube, ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{Cl}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated and the product is filtered by a small silica gel pad CyH : AcOEt 3:1 affording a 77:23 mixture of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)AuCl and ( $\alpha-$ ICyD)AuCl as a white foam ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ yield).

1H-NMR / ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$
$\mathbf{R f}=0.47$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C | Cycle <br> C |  | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.52 | 96.73 | 1 | 4.57 | 99.9 | 1 | 5.62 | 99.25 |  |
| 2 | 3.40 | 80.69 | 2 | 3.30 | 78.3 | 2 | 3.67 | 77.86 |  |
| 3 | 4.08 | 82.37 | 3 | 3.78 | Overlapped | 3 | 3.80 | 82.368 |  |
| 4 | 3.77 | 80.84 | 4 | Overlap <br> ped | Overlapped | 4 | 3.84 |  |  |
| 5 | 4.54 | 69.13 | 5 | Overlap <br> ped | Overlapped | 5 | 2.91 | 71.6 |  |
| 6 | 4.07 | 53.76 | 6 | 3.79 |  | 68.76 | 6 | 3.89 | 69.1 |
| 6 | 4.54 |  | 6 | 3.68 |  | 6 | 3.23 |  |  |

Table S2: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{1 6 0}$


Figure S28: 1H-NMR of the 77:23 mixture of $\mathbf{1 6 0 : 1 2 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S29: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of the $77: 23$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 6 0 : 1 2 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S30: HMBC of the 77:23 mixture of 160:127 $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cy} \\ & \mathrm{cle} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.52 | 96.73 | 1 | 4.57 | 99.9 | 1 | 5.62 | 99.25 |
| 2 | 3.40 | 80.69 | 2 | 3.30 | 78.3 | 2 | 3.67 | 77.86 |
| 3 | 4.08 | 82.37 | 3 | 3.78 | Overlapped | 3 | 3.80 | 82.368 |
| 4 | 3.77 | 80.84 | 4 | Overlap ped | Overlapped | 4 | 3.84 |  |
| 5 | 4.54 | 69.13 | 5 | Overlap ped | Overlapped | 5 | 2.91 | 71.6 |
| 6 | 4.07 |  | 6 | 3.79 |  | 6 | 3.89 |  |
| 6 ' | 4.54 |  | 6 ' | 3.68 |  | 6 ' | 3.23 |  |

Table S2: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 160


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight:
3615.24
( $\gamma$-ICyD)AgCl 155 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and gold(I) chloride ( $35.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were weighed in a sealed tube and purged with Ar. Then, dry MeCN ( 2 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The reaction mixture is evaporated under reduced pressure and charged directly in the column, CyH:EtOAc 5:1 to 4:1 recovering the ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl $\mathbf{I}_{3} 161$ complex ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) as a white foam
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (chloroform-d, 600 MHz ): $7.45-7.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {Arom }}\right), 6.81$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}$ ), 6.03 (s, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 5.69 , ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CHHPh}}=11.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 5.29 (d, ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ СНнPh, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 5}=2.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 5.12$ (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {сннР }}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.84-4.78$ (m, 8H, $6 \times$ PhCHH, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), $4.75-4.69$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ), 4.61 ( d , $\left.{ }^{2}{ }^{\text {JсннРh }}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.67-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 28 \times$ PhCHH), 4.16 (dd, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 5}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2$ $\times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}) 3.97-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 3.69\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}-6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.55 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 5}=\right.$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,3}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 3.56-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 3.32-3.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, / \mathrm{E}) 3.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}-6 \mathrm{~b}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}\right), 2.89$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} 6 \mathrm{a}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F})$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR: ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=145.4$ (C=Au III), 140.6-138.2 (Cipso), 128.7 $124.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Arom }}\right), 124.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Imid}}\right)$, 99.4 (C-1B/F), 98.9 (C-1C/G), 98.3 (C-1A/E), 94.7 (C-1D/H), 82.5 (C-4C/G), 82.0 (C-4D/H), 80.8 (C-3A/E, C-3C/G), 80.3 (C-2A/E, C-4B/F), 80.0 (C-3B/F), $79.6(\mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{H}), 79.0(\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{G})$, 78.7 (C-2B/F or $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{G}), 77.5(\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{H}), 77.0$ $\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 76.9\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 76.7\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 76.0\left(2 \times \underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 74.0\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 73.7\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 73.4$ $\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 73.0\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 73.0(\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{H})$, $72.6\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 72.3\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 72.2\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 72.1$ (C-5C/G), 71.2 (C-4A/E), 71.0 (C-5B/F), 70.9 (C-5A/E), 70.7 (C-6C/G), 70.0 (C-6D/H), 68.7 (C-6B/F), 53.1 (C-6A/E).

Rf: 0.37 (CyH : AcOEt 3 : 1)
HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{NaK}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{K}]^{2+} 1836.6486$ found 1836.6430 err 3.1 ppm


Figure S 31 : $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 161\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S 32 : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} \mathbf{1 6 1}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S 34 :Extract of HMBC of $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ showing the cross correlation between the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{NHC}}$ and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

| Cycl <br> e A/E | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | Cycl <br> e B/F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e C/ } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e D/ } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \text { ppm } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,74 | 98,3 | 1 | 4,70 | 99,4 | 1 | 4,80 | 98,9 | 1 | 5,69 | 94,7 |
| 2 | 3,31 | 80,3 | 2 | 3,36 | 78,8 | 2 | 3,37 | 78,8 | 2 | 3,54 | 77,5 |
| 3 | 3,94 | 80,7 | 3 | 3,90 | 80,1 | 3 | 4,04 | 80,8 | 3 | 4,36 | 79,5 |
| 4 | 3,51 | 71,2 | 4 | 3,76 | 80,1 | 4 | 3,59 | 82,5 | 4 | 3,84 | 82,0 |
| 5 | 4,43 | 70,9 | 5 | 3,76 | 72,0 | 5 | 3,86 | 72,1 | 5 | 3,50 | 73,1 |
| 6 | 5,16 |  | 6 | 2,89 |  | 6 | 3,69 |  | 6 | 4,15 |  |
| 6' | 3,30 | 53,1 | 6' | 3,05 | 68,8 | 1 | 4,80 | 70,7 | 1 | 4,16 | 0,0 |

Table S2 :Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 161


Figure S35: 1H-NMR of 161 (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycl <br> e A/E | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} / \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ | C/ ppm | Cycl <br> e B/F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | C/ ppm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e C/ } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | C/ ppm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e D/ } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.04 | 98.66 | 1 | 4.94 | 99,4 | 1 | 4.92 | 99.56 | 1 | 5.84 | 94.96 |
| 2 | 3.43 | 81.13 | 2 | 3.36 | 80.2 | 2 | 3.38 | 80.2 | 2 | 3.50 | 79.11 |
| 3 | 4.05 | 81.13 | 3 | 4.15 | 81.96 | 3 | 3.96 | 82.72 | 3 | 4.50 | 80.11 |
| 4 | 3.64 | 71.77 | 4 | 3.71 | 83.04 | 4 | 3.89 | 81.1 | 4 | 3.96 | 81.13 |
| 5 | 4.54 | 71.87 | 5 | 4.04 | 73.06 | 5 | 3.93 | 72.77 | 5 | 3.62 | 81.09 |
| 6 | 3.86 |  | 6 | 3.88 |  | 6 | 3.36 |  | 6 | 3.82 |  |
| 6' | 5.28 | 53.55 | 6' | 3.88 | 70.6 | 6' | 4,80 | 69.6 | 6' | 4.31 | 70.62 |

Table S3 :Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{1 6 1}$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$
Molecular Weight:
3704.15

A solution of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(0.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}\right.$ in 2 mL of $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4.77 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added into another solution of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl 157 complex ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 4.34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ previously cooled at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction is stirred for 30 minutes and followed by TLC and Mass spectrometry. The reaction media was concentrated in vacuum at room temperature and the NMR analysis of 162 was done without any further purification.
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR: (400MHz, acetone-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.55-7.10 (m, 100H, H-Ar), 7.05-7.00 (m, 6H, $2 \times$ HAr, $2 \times \mathrm{H}$-Imid), $6.75\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $5.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right)$, $5.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CHHPh}}=10.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.25(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 a, 6 b}=13.9,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6,5}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 5.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{CPh}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.07$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.93$ ( $2 \times \mathrm{d}, 2 \times{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 4.83 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {chHPh }}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.87-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.65-4.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 24H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 20 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 4.33-4.22 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 4.20 $-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times$ H-6D,H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, F), 3.76-3.60$ (m, 6H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E})$, $3.52\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 3.49-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.40-3.30\left(2 \mathrm{xdd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.5,{ }^{3} J_{2,1}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.9,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B} /\right.$ F, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{G}$ ), 3.25 ( 2 H , bd, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (100MHz, acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 142.3 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$ ), 140.4-139.3 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 129.7-127.4 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 127.1 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 100.1 (C-1B,F), $99.8(\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 98.5$ (C-1A, E$), 95.1$ (C-1D,H), 83.2 (C-4C,G), 82.9 (C-3B,F), 82.3 (C-3C,G), 81.7 (C-3A,E), 81.5 (C-2A,E), 81.2 (C-4D,H), 80.9 (C-4B,F), 80.3 (C-2B,F, C-2C,G), 80.2 (C-3D,H), 79.4 (C-2D,H), 78.0, 77.6, 76.9, 74.4, 74.18, 74.1 ( $6 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $73.8(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 74.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 73.4(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 73.3,72.9$ (2 x $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 72.4$ (C-5B,F), 72.2 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 71.9 (C-4A,E), 71.3 (C-5A,E), 71.2 (C-6C,G), 70.8 (C-6D,H), 69.9 (C-6B,F), 50.1 (C-6A,E).

HRMS (ESI): calculated for: $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 3723.2365$ found 3723.2703 err 9.07 ppm


Figure S36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 162$ (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure $\mathrm{S} 37:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ 162. (Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S38: HMBC of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{AuCl}_{3}$ 162. (Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

## ( $\gamma$-ICyD) $\mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ (163)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuCll}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38}$ Molecular Weight: 3798.10

A solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(1.07 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ in 2 mL of $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 4.77 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added into another solution of ( $\gamma$-ICyD)AuCl 157 complex ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 4.34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ previously cooled at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction is stirred for 30 minutes and followed by TLC and Mass spectrometry. The reaction media was concentrated in vacuum at room temperature and the NMR analysis of 163 was done without any further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.50-7.01$ (m, 108H, Har), 7.00 (s, 2H, N-$\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}) 6.80\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {paraBn }}\right), 5.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PhCHH }}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.43(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{d}$, РhCHH $=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}), 5.17-5.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 4.93\left(2 \times \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times\right.$ H-1C,G), $4.82-4.73$ (m, 8H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 6 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $4.58-4.39$ (m, 37H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.30$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{JH}_{6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}{ }^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{H} 5} 0=3.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 4.21-4.11$ (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.18-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 4.09$ $-3.59 \mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, E, F, G, 8 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, E, F, G, H, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 10 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}), 3.54\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2} \mathrm{H} 3=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2}\right.$ нз $\left.=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 3.37\left(2 \mathrm{xdd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{H} 3}=9.7,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ H-2B,F, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 142.0$ - 138.9 (Cipso), 131.3 (C=Aulli) 129.4 - 126.9 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 119.1 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 100.5 (C-1B,F), 99.8 (C-1C,G), 98.1 (C-1A; E$)$, $94.9(\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H})$, 83.3 (C-4C,G), 82.8 (C-4D,H), 82.2 (C-3C,G), 81.8 (C-3A,E), 81.5 (C-2A,E), 80.9, 80.4 (C-3B,F, C-4B,F), 80.1 (C-3D,H), 80.0 (C-2B,F, C-2C,G), $79.5-73.9$ (CHHPh), 73.5 (C-4A,E), 73.4(ㄷHHPh), 73.2 (C-5C,G), 73.1 (CHHPh), 72.6(CHHPh), 72.4(C-5B,F), 71.7 (ㄷHHPh), 71.6 (C-5D,H), 70.9 (C6-C,G), 70.5 (C-\&D,H), 70.3 (C-5A;E), 69.6 (C-6B,F), 54.7 (C-6A,E).

HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{205} \mathrm{H}_{212} \mathrm{AuClI}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 3818.2054$ found 3817.8503


Figure S39: ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$-NMR of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD})$ Aul $_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 163$ (Acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S40: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Jmod}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 163$ (Acetone-d $6,151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S 41 : HMBC of $(\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{Aul}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 163$ showing the cross correlations with the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$. (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycl <br> e A/E | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} / \\ & \mathrm{ppm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C/ } \\ \text { ppm } \end{gathered}$ | Cycl <br> e B/F | H/ ppm | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e C/ } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e D/ } \\ \text { H } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} / \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.11 | 98.0 | 1 | 4.92 | 100.5 | 1 | 4.91 | 99,8 | 1 | 5,86 | 94.9 |
| 2 | 3.47 | 81.5 | 2 | 3.35 | 79.99 | 2 | 3.33 | 80.0 | 2 | 3.54 | 79,5 |
| 3 | 3.98 | 81.8 | 3 | 4.00 | ? | 3 | 4.18 | 82.2 | 3 | 4.56 | 80.1 |
| 4 | 3.66 | 73.5 | 4 | 4.00 | $?$ | 4 | 3.69 | 83.3 | 4 | 4.00 | 82.7 |
| 5 | 4.80 | 70.3 | 5 | 4.39 | 72.3 | 5 | 4.13 | 73.3 | 5 | 3.66 | 71.6 |
| 6 | 3.93 |  | 6 | 3.33 |  | 6 | 3,89 |  | 6 | 4.29 |  |
| 6' | 5.15 | . 3 | 6' | 3.59 | 69.6 | 6' | 3.89 | . 85 | 6' | 3.85 | 70.6 |

Table S4 :Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 163


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$
Molecular Weight:
3182.73

Into a solution of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-ICyD-AuCl 127 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 6,4$ of $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2,5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is slowly bubbled until the reaction mixture becomes slightly yellow.The reaction is monitored by TLC (CyH:EtOAc 3:1) and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is slowly bubbled until completion. When finished, solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is added, Ar bubbled and slowly warmed up at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Ar is bubbled until loss of yellow coloration. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered over cotton and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is evaporated in vacuo until dryness without heating and the compound is characterized without any further purification.

1H-NMR: ( 600 MHz, Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.55-6.93$ (m, 96H, 94xH-Ar, $2 x \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}$ ), 6.81 ( t , $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 5.91$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{1,2}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{G}\right), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{C}\right)$, 5.70 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 5.64 (d, ${ }^{2}$ Јph-снн $=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 5.54 (dd, $J=$ $13.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}), 5.37-5.29$ (m, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6,5}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 5.28-5.22 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $5.20-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHH}}=11.1\right.$ Hz, 1H, PhCHH), 5.02-4.66 (m, 16H, H-5A, H-5D, H-3C, H-3E, H-1A, H-1B, H-1E, H-1F, 8 x PhCHH), $4.65-4.07$ (m, 33H, H-5B, H-5E, H-5F, H-3B, H-3D, H-3F, H-3G, H-4C, H-6G, H-6D, $23 x^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ) 4.05-3.54 (m, 19H, H-3A, H-4A, H-4B, H-4D, H-4E, H-4F, H-4G, H-5C, H-5G, H-6A, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, 2 \mathrm{xH}-6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 3,51 (d, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,1}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}\right), 3,44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{2,1}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}\right), 3,37-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H2-B, H2-F), $3.30-3.12\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=9.7,{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{E}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, Acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 143.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}), 141.8,141.5,141.2,140.9,140.8$, $140.2,140.1,140.0,140.0,139.9,139.9,139.8,139.7,139.6,139.1$, (Cipso), 129.3-, 127.4 ( $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Ar}, 2 x \mathrm{~N}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 101.3 (C-1E), 99.8 (C-1F), 99.1 (C-1D), 98.6 (C-1B), 98.5 (C-1A), 98.4 (C-1C), 97.8 (C-1G), 84.0 (C-4F), 83.0 (C-4G), 2x82.7 (C-4C, C-4E), 82.6 (C-3A), 82.5 (C-3D), $2 \times 81.70$ (C-2D,C-4B), 81.40 (C-2A), 81.2 (C-3F), 80.9 (C-2F), 80.5 (C-2B), 80.3 (C-3E), 80.0 (C-3G), 79.8 (C-2E), 78.96(C-3C), 78.3 (C-2C), 78.3(C-2G), 77.0-75.2 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 74.8 (C-5GorC-5C), 74.5 (C-4D), 74.1 (C-5G or C-5C), 74.3-72.6 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 73.7 (C-4A), 73.2 (C-5F), 72.9 (C-5A), 72.85 (C-5B), 72.1 (C-5E), 71.1 (C-6C), 70,9 (C-6F), 70.8 (C-5D) 70,5 (C-6G), 69.8 (C-6E), 69.7 (C-6B) 54.8 (C-6D), 53.8 (C-6A).

## Rf=0.43 (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)

HRMS (ESI, injection in MeCN): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AuCl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+$ found 3205.1386 calculated 3205.1416 err=-0.935ppm


Figure S42: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 164$ (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S43: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 164$ (Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S44: 2D-NOESY spectrum of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuCl}_{3} 164$ showing the cross correlations between the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NH}}$ and the H 6 (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S45: 2D-HMBC spectrum of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl 164 showing the cross correlations between the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {Nнс }}$, the H 6 and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$ (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,87 | 98,59 | 1 | 4,86 | 98,95 |
| 2 | 3,44 | 81,44 | 2 | 3,37 | 80,53 |
| 3 | 4,00 | 82.6 | 3 | 4,28 | 80,85 |
| 4 | 3,70 | 73,74 | 4 | 3,86 | 81,69 |
| 5 | 4,95 | 72,95 | 5 | 4,20 | 72,84 |
| 6 | 4,00 |  | 6 | 3,18 |  |
| 6 ' | 5,33 | 53,81 | 6 ' | 3,24 | 69,74 |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5,82 | 98,54 | 1 | 5,17 | 99,17 |
| 2 | 3,51 | 78,32 | 2 | 3,58 | 81,70 |
| 3 | 4,91 | 79,02 | 3 | 4,17 | 82,49 |
| 4 | 4,10 | 82,72 | 4 | 3,73 | 74,51 |
| 5 | 3,95 | 74,14/74,85 | 5 | 4,99 | 70,85 |
| 6 | 3,81 |  | 6 | 4,19 |  |
| 6, | 4,02 | 71, | 6' | 5,53 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4,80 | 101,33 | 1 | 4,93 | 99,83 |
| 2 | 3.27 | 78.3 | 2 | 3,34 | 80,90 |
| 3 | 4,13 | 80,11 | 3 | 4,32 | 81,21 |
| 4 | 3,90 | 82,58 | 4 | 3,63 | 84,02 |
| 5 | 4,31 | 74.14/74.85 | 5 | 4,29 | 73,27 |
| 6 | 3,70 |  | 6 | 3,76 |  |
| 6 ' | 3,23 | 69,79 | 6 ' | 3,76 | 70,86 |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5,91 | 97,86 | ImidA | 7,05 |  |
| 2 | 3,58 | 80,39 | ImidD | 7,29 |  |
| 3 | 4,58 | 80,11 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$ | 143.1 |  |
| 4 | 3,98 | 82,58 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3,95 | 72,09 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3,82 | 70,50 |  |  |  |
| 6 ' | 4,25 |  |  |  |  |

Table S5: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{1 6 4}$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{178} \mathrm{H}_{184} \mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$
Molecular Weight:
3271.59

In a round bottom flask, ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl 127 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 9.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved under argon in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the solution was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 5 minutes. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}\left(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}\right.$ in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 minutes and monitored by ESI-TOF. When finished, the solution is let to warm up slowly and the solvent is evaporated until dryness. The NMR and the Mass Spectra were done without any further purification.



Figure S46: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuBr ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 165$ (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S47: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CJmod}$ of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{AuBr}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 165$ (Acetone-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{208} \mathrm{H}_{217} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{Pd}$ Molecular Weight: 3494.81
( $\gamma-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AgCl 155 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and allylpalladium(II) chloride dimer ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ were weighed in a sealed tube and purged with Ar, then, dry DCM ( $1,5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction is checked by Mass Spectrometry and TLC, when finished, silver salts are removed by filtration with a 0.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ PET filter, washed with DCM recovering the ( $\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )PdCI( $\boldsymbol{n}^{3}$ allyl) 168 complex ( 9 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) characterized without any further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 110 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (s, 6H), 7.17 (ddd, J = 6.8, 4.9, 1.9 Hz, 20H), 7.17-7.07 (m, 10H), 7.10-6.97 (m, 10H), 6.81 (dt, J = 17.9, 7.5 Hz, 6H), $6.67-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $5.78-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=27.9,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 85 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 68 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAllylcis}-$ anti(isomer a)), 2.34 (d, $\mathrm{J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HAllylcis}-\mathrm{anti}$ (isomer b)).

Rf=0.34 (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)


Figure S46: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCI( $\mathbf{n}^{3}$ allyl) 168 (Acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S47: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCI( $\mathbf{n}^{3}$ allyl) 168 (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$


Figure S48: 2D-NOESY showing the cross correlations of the Heis-anti of the allyl Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$

## $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$



## Chemical Formula:

$\mathrm{C}_{212} \mathrm{H}_{217} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{Pd}$
Molecular Weight:
3592.31
( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)AgCI 155 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and bis(benzonitrile) palladium(II) chloride $(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were weighed in a sealed tube and purged with Ar, then, dry DCM ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction was monitored by Mass Spectrometry and TLC. When finished, silver salts were removed by filtration with a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PET filter, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ recovering the ( $\gamma$-AE$\operatorname{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN}) 169$ complex ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) characterized without any further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone-d6) $\delta 7.78$ (dd, J = 8.2, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Phcs}}$ ), 7.65 (m, 1H, $H_{\text {phcn }}$ ), 7.60 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {phcn }}$ ), $7.46-6.97$ (m, 106H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ar }}$ ), 6.88 (t, $J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {ar }}$ ), 6.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), $6.03-5.91$ (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 5.58$ ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, PhCHH), $5.49\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, PhCHH), $5.17-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 4.93\left(2 \times \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 4.87\left(2 \times \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H} .2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.80-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times \mathrm{PhCHH})$, $4.68-4.36$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 16 \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $4.32-4.27$ (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.25-4.13$ (m, 10H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, H-3B,F), 3.93 (m, 4H, H-6C,G), $3.90-3.82$ (m, 6H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.80 -3.73 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 3.70\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,5}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{4,3} 9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 3.61$ (bt, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}), 3.55\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 3.53-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times H-2 A, E), 3.42-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 3.09\left(\mathrm{bd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}=11.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta$, 144.6 (C=Pd), 144.6-139.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}$ ), 133.9-127.0 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$, CHArPhCN), 125.2 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 99.1 (C-1C,G), 98.6 (C-1A,E, C-1B,F), 94.8 (C-1D,G), 83.1 (C-4D,H, C-2D,H), 82.9 (C-4C,G), 82.2 (C-3A,E), 81.7(C-3C,G), 81.5 (C-2B,F, C-3B,F), 81.5 (C-2A,E), 80.6 (C-2B,F), 80.4 (C-2C,G), 80.0 (C-2D,H, C-3D,H), 77.5 (CHHPh), 76.9 (대HPh), 76.6 (ㄷHHPh), 74.2 (ㄷHHPh), 73.8 (다HPh), 73.8 (대HPh), 73.5 (C-4A,E,
 72.1 (C-5A,E), 71.9 (C-6B,F), 71.2 (C-2C,G), 70.8 (C-2D,H), 69.9 (CHHPh), 68.8 (CHHPh), 68.3 (ㄷHHPh), 66.8 (대HPh), 66.4 (C-2B,F), 54.1(C-6A,E)


Figure S49: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PhCN}^{2} 169\right.$ (Acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S50: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCl $\mathbf{2}^{(P h C N)} 169$ (Acetone-d6, $151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycl <br> e A/E | H | C | Cycl <br> e B/F | H | C | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cycl } \\ \text { e C/ } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | H | C | Cycl <br> e D/ <br> H | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5,14 | 98,6 | 1 | 4,92 | 98,6 | 1 | 4,94 | 99,1 | 1 | 5,99 | 94,9 |
| 2 | 3,52 | 81,5 | 2 | 3,40 | 80,6 | 2 | 3.34 | 80.43 | 2 | 3,55 | 80,0 |
| 3 | 4,21 | 82,16 | 3 | 4,06 | 81,47 | 3 | 4.29 | 81.75 | 3 | 4,65 | 80,0 |
| 4 | 3,76 | 73,46 | 4 | 3,88 | 81,45 | 4 | 3.70 | 82.86 | 4 | 3,88 | 83.1 |
| 5 | 5,08 | 72,1 | 5 | 4,20 | 71,95 | 5 | 4.16 | 72.86 | 5 | 3,78 | 73,5 |
| 6 | 3,61 |  | 6 | 3.30 |  | 6 | 3.93 |  | 6 | 4,31 |  |
| 6' | 5,95 | 54,1 | 6 ' | 3.09 |  | 6' | 3.93 |  | 6 ' | 3,84 |  |

Table S6:Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 169


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{181} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Pd}$
Molecular Weight:
3062.30
( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCl 125 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 49.7$ umol, 1eq) and allylpalladium(II) chloride dimer ( 9 $\mathrm{mg}, 49.7 \mathrm{umol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ were weighed in a sealed tube and purged with Ar, then, dry DCM (1,5 mL )was added, and the reaction mixture is then stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction is checked by Mass Spectrometry and TLC when finished, silver salts are removed by filtration with a 0.2 um PET filter, and the solvent is evaporated in vacuo. After silica gel filtration (CyH:AcOEt 3:1) ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$ allyl) 170 was obtained as a yellow palish foam ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR: ( 600 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.49-6.94$ (m, 94H, H Ar), 6.91 (d, ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }_{\text {NCH }}$ (CHN $=1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HImD}$ ), $6.80\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{NCH}} \mathrm{CHN}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HImA}\right), 6.77$ (m, 1H, HArp), $5.97\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=\right.$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{G}), 5.94\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}\right), 5.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CHHPh}}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right)$, 5.69 ( 1 H ,heptuplet? Hallylmeso), $5,65-5.58$ (m, 2H, H-6A, CHPh), 5.43 (d, ${ }^{2}{ }_{1}{ }_{1,2}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.33-5.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{xCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.13\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{HPh}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{6,5}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}), 5.08\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CHHPh}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}\right), 5.01-4.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~F}, 2 \mathrm{xCHPh}$ ), 4.96 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ ), $4.94-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{E}$, H-5D, H-5E, H-5F, H-3G, 5xCHPh), 4.69-4.30 (m, 25H, H-6D, H-3F, H-6G, Hallylcis-syn, 21 xCHPh ) $4.30-4.18$ (m, 7H, H-3B, H-3C, H-4C, Hallyltrans, $3 x \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ) 4.12-4.03 (m, 3H, H-3D, H-3E, H-5G) 4.02-3.42 (m, 22H, H-2A, H-2B, H-2C, H-2G, H-3A, H-4A, H-4B, H-4D, H-4E, H-4F, H-4G, H-5B, H-6B, H-5C, H-6A, 2xH-6C, H-6D, H-6G, 2xH-6F, Hallylcis), 3.39 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,3}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~F}\right), 3.30\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{E}\right.$ ), 3.05 (m, 3H, H6-B, 2xH6-E), 2.78 (m, 1H, Hallylcis-anti).

13C-NMR: 183.5 (C=Pd), 142.1-139.8 (Cipso), 129.2-127.1 (CAr), 124.2 (N-CH=CH$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}), 123.7$ ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 114,4 (CAllylmeso), 101.17 (C-1D), 101.12 (C-1E), $98.48 \times 2$ (C-1A, C-1F), 98.25 (C-1B) 97.3 (C-1C), 96.4 (C-1G), 84.0 (C-4F), 83.9 (C-3A), 83.5 (C-4E), 82.3 (C-4G), 82.1 (C-4C), 81.5x2(C-4B, C3/F), 81.3 (C-3G), 81.2-81.1 (2xC-2A/B/D, C-2G, C-3G, C-3B, C-3E/D), 81.0 (C-3E/D), 80.9 (C-3C), 80.6 (C-2E), 80.5 (C-2A/B/D), 79.8 (C-2F), 78.7 (C-2C), 74.8 (CAllylcis), 74.4 (C-4D), $73.7(\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}), 73.6$ (C-5B), 73.4 (C-5G), 73.3 (C-4A), 73.1 (C-5A), 72.5 (C-5F), 71.7 (C-5D), 71.5 (C-5E), 71.3 (C-6F), 70.8 (C-6C)70.7 (C-6G), 69.9 (C-6E), 69.3 (C-6A), 56.0 (C-6D), 53.1 (C-6A), 51.2 (CAllyltrans).

HRSM (ESI) : calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{181} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{PdNa}\right]+3082.1828$, found 3081.1738 err 1.3 ppm
$\mathbf{R f}=0.35$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)


Figure S51: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) PdCl( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{3}}$ allyl) $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ (Acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S52: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-J \operatorname{modNMR}$ of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )PdCl( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}$ allyl) $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S53: 2D-NOESY of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )PdCI( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}$ allyl) 170 showing the cross correlations of the Hcis-anti(Acetone-d $6,600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,81 | 98,91 | 1 | 4,96 | 98,47 |
| 2 | 3,46 | 80,50/81,23 | 2 | 3,46 | 81,22/80,50 |
| 3 | 3,96 | 83,94 | 3 | 4,20 | 81,23 |
| 4 | 3,74 | 73,23 | 4 | 3,92 | 81,48 |
| 5 | 5,13 | 73,10 | 5 | 3,54 | 73,64 |
| 6 | 3,60 |  | 6 | 3,54 |  |
| 6' | 5,63 |  | 6' | 3,05 |  |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5,94 | 97,25 | 1 | 5,03 | 100,15 |
| 2 | 3,53 | 78,70 | 2 | 3,45 | 81,23/80,50 |
| 3 | 4,25 | 80,9 | 3 | 4,07 | 81,08/82,40 |
| 4 | 4,23 | 82,13 | 4 | 3,64 | 74,40 |
| 5 | 4,01 | 73,66 | 5 | 4,79 | 71,67 |
| 6 | 3,98 |  | 6 | 3,87 |  |
| 6' | 3,84 | 70,80 | 6' | 4,67 | 56,04 |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4,78 | 100,15 | 1 | 4,99 | 98,92 |
| 2 | 3,30 | 80,55 | 2 | 3,39 | 81,28 |
| 3 | 4,06 | 81,07 | 3 | 4,55 | 81,49 |
| 4 | 3,78 | 83,55 | 4 | 3,66 | 84,04 |
| 5 | 4,82 | 71,49 | 5 | 4,91 | 72,52 |
| 6 | 3,05 |  | 6 | 3,91 |  |
| 6' | 3,05 | 70,80 | 6' | 3,83? | 7, |
| Cycle G | H | C | allyl | H | C |
| 1 | 5,97 | 96,4 | Hcis-anti | 2,78 |  |
| 2 | 3,50 | 79,22 | Hcis-syn | 4,53 |  |
| 3 | 4,89 | 81,24 | Hmeso | 5,69 | 114,43 |
| 4 | 3,93 | 82,3 | Htrans-anti | 4,24 |  |
| 5 | 4,09 | 73,40 | Htrans-syn | 3,94 |  |
| 6 | 4,36 | 70,70 | HImA | 6,80 | 124,15 |
| 6' | 3,80 |  | HImD | 6,91 | 123,66 |

Table S7: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{1 7 0}$



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{185} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Pd}$
Molecular Weight:
3159,81
( $\beta$-ICyD)AgCl 125 ( $227 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and bis(benzonitrile)palladium(II) chloride ( $28,8 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \mathrm{umol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were weighed in a sealed tube and purged with Ar , then, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2$ mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction was checked by Mass Spectrometry and TLC. When finished, silver salts are removed by filtration with a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PET filter, and evaporated to dryness. After silica gel filtration (CyH : EtOAc, $3: 1$ ) ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN}) 171$ was obtained as a palish yellow foam ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR: ( 600 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 7.80-7.76 (m, 2H, Hortho Benzonitrile), 7.75-7.70 (m, 1H, Hpara Benzonitrile), 7.62-7.57 (m, 2H, Hmeta Benzonitrile), 7.50-6.98 (m, 94H, HAr) 6.95 (, t, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, HArmeta), 6.91 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.77 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HArpara}) 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HImD}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{G}), 5.90$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{C})$, $5.89-5.77$ (m, 3H, H5-D, H6-A, H6-D) , $5.73-5.63$ (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$, H5-A), 5.35 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 5.29-5.16 (m, 5H, 3xCHPh, H1-D, H3-C), 5.00 (d, J $=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{F}), 4.98-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{F}, 8 \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-$ E), 4.75-4.25 (m, 38H, H1-A, H1-B, H3-B, H3-F, H5-B, H5-E, H5-F, H6-C, H6-G, 30xCHPh), 4.21-4.10 (m, 4H, H5-G, H3-A, H3-D, H3-E) 4.05-3.95 (m, 5H,H4-G, H4-C, H5-G, H5-C, CHPh), 3.92-3.78 (m, 6H, H4-B, H4-D,H4-E, H6-G, H6-D, H6-C) 3.77-3.67 (m, 5H, H2- G, H4-A, H6-A H6-B, H6.-B, H6-E, 2XH6-F), 3.65 (dd, J = 9.5, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{D}$ ), 3.58 (dd, J = $10.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4-F), 3.48$ (dd, J = 10.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H, H2-C), 3.42 (dd, J = 9.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H2-A), 3.38-3.32 ( 2 x dd, J = 10.0, 3.6 Hz, 2H H2-F, H2-B) 3.27 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H2-E), 3.18-3.06 (m, 2H, H6-B, H6-E)
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( 151 MHz ) 144.5 (C=Pd), 141.8-139.2 (Cipso), 133,9 (CBenzontrile meta) 132,9 (CBenzontrileOrtho), 130,3 (CBenzonitrile Para), 129,3-127,2 (CAr), 101,0 (C1-E), 99.3 (C1-D) 99.0 (C1-F), 98.3 (C1-B), 98.2 (C1-A), 98.1 (C1-G), 98.0 (C1-C), 83.9 (C4-F), 83.4 (C3-D), 83.3 (C3-A), 83.0 (C4-E), 82.6 (C4-G), 82.2 (C4-C), 82.0 (C2-D), 81.76 (C4-B), 81.71 (C2-A), 81.32 (C2-F), 81.30 (C4-E), 81.1 (C2-B), 80.85 (C3-B), 80.5 (C3-E), 80.0X2(C2-E, C3-G), 79.5(C3-C), 79.32 (C2-C), 78.54 (C2-G), 76.9x2, 76.7x2,76.7, 76.5, 75.6, 75.3 (7x 드2Ph), 74.6(C4-D), 74.4(C5-C), 74.0(C4-A),73.9 (드H2Ph), 73.8(C5-G), 73.8x3, 73.6, 73.5, 73.3, 73.2 ( $7 x \underline{C} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 73.2 (C5-A), 73.0, 72.8 (2x $\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 72.5X2 (C5-B, C5-F), 71.7(C5-E), 71.4 (C6-C), 71.3 (C5-D), 71.0 (C6-B), 70.6 (C6-F), 69.8 (C6-E), 69.7 (C6-B), 54.7 (C6-D), 54.1 (C6-A).

HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{185} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{PdNa}_{2}\right]^{2+}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+1549.5507}$ found 1549.5483 err $=1.6 \mathrm{ppm}$


Figure S55: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI(PhCN) 171 (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S56: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Jmod}$ of ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) PdCI(PhCN) 171 (Acetone-d ${ }_{6}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.86 | 98.2 | 1 | 4.86 | 98.26 |
| 2 | 3.42 | 81.67 | 2 | 3.34 | 81.08 |
| 3 | 4.12 | 83.26 | 3 | 4.55 | 80.85 |
| 4 | 3.73 | 74.06 | 4 | 3.84 | 81.78 |
| 5 | 5.67 | 73.20 | 5 | 4.47 | 72.5 |
| 6 | 5.84 |  | 6 | 3.70 |  |
| 6 ' | 3.72 |  | 6 ' | 3.13 |  |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5.90 | 98.02 | 1 | 5.25 | 99.30 |
| 2 | 3.48 | 79.3 | 2 | 3.65 | 82.04 |
| 3 | 5.21 | 79.51 | 3 | 4.15 | 83.26 |
| 4 | 4.00 | 82.22 | 4 | 3.81 | 74.61 |
| 5 | 4.03 | 74.36 | 5 | 5.83 | 71.32 |
| 6 | 4.33 |  | 6 | 3.87 |  |
| 6' | 3.83 | 71. | 6' | 5.81 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4.76 | 100.97 | 1 | 5.00 | 99.00 |
| 2 | 3.27 | 80.00 | 2 | 3.36 | 81.32 |
| 3 | 4.16 | 80.53 | 3 | 4.31 | 81.33 |
| 4 | 3.86 | 82.98 | 4 | 3.58 | 83.96 |
| 5 | 4.63 | 71.73 | 5 | 4.68 | 72.5 |
| 6 | 3.13 |  | 6 | 3.74 |  |
| 6' | 3.71 | 09. | 6' | 3.74 | 71.03 |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.02 | 98.1 | ImidA | 6.70 | 125.04 |
| 2 | 3.70 | 78.55 | ImidD | 6.91 | 125.37 |
| 3 | 4.90 | 80.00 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}$ |  | 144.5 |
| 4 | 4.01 | 82.6 | Hortho | 7.80-7.76 | 132.9 |
| 5 | 4.15 | 73.83 | Hmeta | 7.48 | 133.9 |
| 6 | 4.33 | 70.6 | Hpara | 7.60 | 130.3 |
| 6' | 3.83 |  |  |  |  |

Table S8: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units 171 (Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


## Chemical Formula:

 $\mathrm{C}_{210} \mathrm{H}_{217} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{Pd}$ Molecular Weight: 3568.29$(\gamma-\mathrm{AE}-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl} 153$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 1.96 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ $(5.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in Pyridine ( 1 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down the mixture to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography (CyH:AcOEt 5:1) affording ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCl 2 (pyridine) (173) as a pale yellow foam ( 47 mg , $88 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta=8.57$ (d, 2H, J=5.5, $2 \times$ Horthopy), 7.47 (d, 4H, J $=7.7,4 \times \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Bn}}$ ), 7.43 (d, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}_{\text {orthoBn }}$ ), $7.31-7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 92 \mathrm{H}, 94 \times \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), $7.04-$ $6.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{N}), 5.97$ (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {parapy }}$ ), 5.78 (d, 2H, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{JH6a-H6t}=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\right), 5.53(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {metapy }}$ ), 5.49 (d, 2H, J = $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 5.33 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHHPh}$ ), $5.08\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JH}_{5}-\mathrm{H6}={ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H} 5 \cdot \mathrm{H} 4=10.4 \mathrm{HZ}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 4.96-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH})$, $4.84-4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 4.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{JH1}-\mathrm{Hz}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 4.64$ - 4.50 (m, 10H, $10 \times$ PhCHH), $4.52-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 10 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.35-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 10 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.00$ (dd, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 4}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} 6=4.6,2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}\right), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}), 3.75\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 5}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 3}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{xH}-4 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 3.69-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 3.58 (dd, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 4}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{JH}_{5}-\mathrm{H6}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}\right), 3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.21$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}$ ), 2.95 (d, 2H, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {Рhснн }}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}}=11.0,2 \times \mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=155.9$ (C=Pd), 151.7 (Corthopy), 140.7, 140.1, 140.0, 139.1, 139.00, 138.98, 138.9, 138.8, 138.7, 138.6, 138.30 ( 11 x Cipso), 136.6 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {paraPy }}$ ), 128.8 - 125.9 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}$ ), 123.4 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {meta }}$ ), 122.9 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.9 (C-1C,G), 98.6 (C-1B, F ), 98.2 (C-1A,E), $93.1(\mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}), 82.0$ (C-4C,G), 81.9 (C-4D,H), 81.4 (C-3A,E), 80.9 (C-3C,G), 80.8 (C-3B,F), 80.7 (C-2A,E), 80.7 (C-4B,F), 79.3 (C-2D,H), 79.2 (C-2B,F), 78.9 (C-3D,H), 78.9 (C-2C,G), 77.4, 77.0, 76.2, 74.9, 73.8, 73.5, 73.4, 73.2, 72.7 (9 X PhCHH), 72.4 (C-5D,H), 72.2, 72.1 ( $2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 71.8 (C-5C,G), 71.5, 71.4 (C-4A,E, C-5A,E), 70.7 (C-5B,F), $70.4(\mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}), 69.7$ (C-6D,H), 69.3 (C-6B,F), 53.2 (C-2A,E).

HRMS (ESI): Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{210} \mathrm{H}_{217} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{38} \mathrm{PdNa}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}$ 1805.1689, found 1805.1566 err 6.8 ppm

Rf=0.. 35 (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)


Figure S57: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD)PdCl $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ (pyridine) 173 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S58: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\gamma$-AE-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) $173\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ )

| Cycl e AE | H | C | Cycl e BF | H | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cycl } \\ & \text { e CG } \end{aligned}$ | H | C | Cycl <br> e DH | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.91 | 98.22 | 1 | 4.78 | 98.72 | 1 | 4.72 | 98.88 | 1 | 5.97 | 93.04 |
| 2 | 3.44 | 80.66 | 2 | 3.47 | 79.25 | 2 | 3.29 | 78.87 | 2 | 3.46 | 79.25 |
| 3 | 4.25 | 81.41 | 3 | 4.11 | 80.75 | 3 | 4.16 | 80.89 | 3 | 4.12 | 78.93 |
| 4 | 3.67 | 71.39 | 4 | 3.85 | 80.67 | 4 | 3.64 | 82.00 | 4 | 3.75 | 81.83 |
| 5 | 5.08 | 71.39 | 5 | 4.21 | 70.78 | 5 | 3.99 | 71.75 | 5 | 3.58 | 72.43 |
| 6 | 3.31 |  | 6 | 3.24 |  | 6 | 3.85 |  | 6 | 4.19 |  |
| 6' | 5.78 | 53.25 | 6' | 2.89 | 69.32 | 6' | 3.85 | 70.42 | 6' | 3.83 | 69.7 |

Table S9:Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 173


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{183} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Pd}$ Molecular Weight: 3135.79
$(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 2.76 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}(12.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in Pyridine ( 1 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down the mixture to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography (CyH : AcOEt $5: 1$ ) affording 172 as a pale yellow foam (73, 68\%.
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 9.17$ - 9.14 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ortho }} \mathrm{Py}$ ), 7.42 - 6.92 (m, $95 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Arom }}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}$ Py) ), 6.81 (t, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {para }}$ ), 6.64 (t, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }} P y$ ), 6.19 (d, J $\left.=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Imid}} \mathrm{D}\right), 6.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{D}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, HImid-A), 5.97 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, H1-G), 5.89 (d, J = $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{C}$ ), $5.74-5.67$ (m, 2H, H6b-A, H6b-D), 5.52 (d, J = $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.43 (td, J = 10.4, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{A}$ ), $5.26-5.20$ (m, 3H, $2 x C H 2 P h, H 3-C), 5.14$ (2xd, J= $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 x \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.05 (d, J = $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{D}$ ), 4.84 - 4.09 (m, 46H, H1-A, H1-B, H1-E, H1-F, H5-E, H5-F, H5-G, H5-B, H3-A, H3-B, H3-D, H3-F, H3-G, 23xCH2Ph), 4.06 (dd, J = 10.7, $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{G}$ ), 3.92 (m, 3H, H3-E, H5-C, H6b-C), $3.86-3.72$ (m, 8H, H4-B, H4-C, H4-D, H4-E, H4-G, H6a-C, H6a-F, H6b-G), 3.69 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H4-A), 3.64 (t, J = $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{F}$ ), $3.62-3.55$ (m, 3H, H2-G, H6b-F, H6a-E ), 3.54-3.48 (m, 2H, H2-C, H2-D), 3.46 (dd, J = 13.2, 11.1 Hz, 1H, H6a-D), 3.41 - 3.27 (m, 5H, H2-A, H2-B, H2-E, H2-F, H6a-A), 3.06 (d, J = $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{E}$ ), 2.92 (dd, J = 11.3, 2.5 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{B}), 2.77$ (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, H6a-B).

[^85]HRMS (ESI): Calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{183} \mathbf{H}_{189} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathbf{N}_{3} \mathbf{O}_{33} \mathbf{P d N a K}\right]^{2+}$ 1557.5377, found 1557.5403 err -1.7 ppm
$\mathbf{R f}=0.42$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)


| 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure S59: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCl $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ (pyridine) $172\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ )


Figure S60: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCl 2 (pyridine) 172 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S61: 2D-NOESY of 172 showing the cross correlations of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NH}}$ with the H 6 A and D ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.62 | 97.52 | 1 | 4.62 | 98.14 |
| 2 | 3.36 | 80.82 | 2 | 3.38 | 79.50 |
| 3 | 4.14 | 80.77 | 3 | 4.40 | 80.20 |
| 4 | 3.69 | 74.41 | 4 | 3.80 | 80.93 |
| 5 | 5.42 | 71.72 | 5 | 4.49 | 71.17 |
| 6 | 3.31 |  | 6 | 2.29 |  |
| 6' | 5.71 | . | 6' | 2.79 | 8.88 |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5.89 | 97.73 | 1 | 5.05 | 98.19 |
| 2 | 3.53 | 78.14 | 2 | 3.52 | 81.10 |
| 3 | 5.22 | 78.28 | 3 | 4.12 | 82.09 |
| 4 | 3.82 | 82.11 | 4 | 3.75 | 74.62 |
| 5 | 3.93 | 73.80 | 5 | 6.12 | 70.67 |
| 6 | 3.80 |  | 6 | 3.46 |  |
| 6' | 3.91 |  | 6' | 5.69 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4.70 | 100.34 | 1 | 4.72 | 99.89 |
| 2 | 3.29 | 78.76 | 2 | 3.33 | 79.7 |
| 3 | 3.91 | 80.20 | 3 | 4.19 | 80.76 |
| 4 | 3.79 | 81.61 | 4 | 3.63 | 82.78 |
| 5 | 4.68 | 70.60 | 5 | 4.52 | 71.5 |
| 6 | 3.57 |  | 6 | 3.74 |  |
| 6' | 3.07 | 88.0 | 6' | 3.91 | 60.00 |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5.97 | 97.05 | ImidA | 6.04 | 123.2 |
| 2 | 3.58 | 78.58 | ImidD | 6.19 | 122.9 |
| 3 | 4.14 | 80.76 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}$ |  | 153.1 |
| 4 | 3.82 | 82.10 | Pyridine | H | C |
| 5 | 4.12 | 72.27 | Ortho | 9.15 | 151.95 |
| 6 | 4.05 | 60 | Meta | 6.63 | 124.15 |
| 6' | 3.77 |  | Para | 7.11 | 137.58 |

Table S10: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units $171\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{156} \mathrm{H}_{161} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{Pd}$ Molecular Weight: 2703,28
$(\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}(\mathrm{mg}$, $40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in pyridine ( 1 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down the mixture to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography ( CyH : AcOEt 4 : 1) affording ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 174 as a pale yellow foam ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR: ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d): 9.01 (dd, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {ortho }}=2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {orthoPy }}$ ), $7.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {paraPy }}\right)$ 7.77 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {Imid }}$ ), 7.43 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {meta }} P y$ ), 7.40-7.05 (m, H Harom ), 6.92-6.88 (m, 6H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\text {meta/paraBn }}$, 2 x C-2C,F), 5.71 (d, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{HH}-1 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}\right), 5.52$ ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), 5.24 (dd, $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{JH6a}-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} 5}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 5.19$ (d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{phCH}}=10.3,2 \mathrm{H}$, PhCHH) 4.91 ( $2 x d,{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PhCH }}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 x \mathrm{PHCHH}$ ), 4.78 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PhCH }}=11.4 \mathrm{HZ}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ) $4.75-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=\right.$ $11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.53-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 12 \times \mathrm{PHCHH}), 4.33\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ PhCHH), 4.28 (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6, \mathrm{H} 5}=2.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}\right), 4.25-4.10$ (m, 2 x PhCHH, 10H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 4.03\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{H} 5}={ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{H} 3}=9.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 3.93-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{HH} 3-\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{D}, 2 \mathrm{xH} 3-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}), 3.84-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ H-4A,D, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 3.73 (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H6b}-\mathrm{H6a}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{H} 5}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, F\right), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}$, , $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, F\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{H} 3}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,1}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2\right.$ x H-2A,D), 3.32 (d, 2H, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{H} 3}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{H} 1}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 3.21 (bdd, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ H-5C,F), 3.13 (d, 2H, 2xH-5B,E)
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR: (151MHz, Chloroform-d) 152.0 (C=Pd), 151.36 ( $2 x C_{\text {orthoPy }}$ ), 139.4, 139.3, 139.2, 139.9, 138.6 ( $12 x \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 138.3 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {paraPy }}$ ), 137.8, 137.7 ( $4 \times \mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}$ ), 129.3-127.2 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Arom }}$ ), 126.4 (Cortho-Bn3-A/D), 124.7 (2 x CmetaPy), 121.2 ( $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 100.2 (C-1C,F), 99.4 (C-1B,E), 96.2 (C-1A,D), 82.6 (C-3A,D), 81.8 (C-4C,F), 81.03 (C-3B,D), 80.99 (C-2A,D), 80.5 (C-3C,F), 79.86 (C-4B,E), 79.8 (C-4A,D), 78.6 (C-2B,D), 78.2 (C-2C,F), 77.0, 75.8, 74.3, 74.0,73.5, 73.4, 72.8, 72.55 (18xCHHPh), 72.4, (C-5C,F), 71.6 (C-5B,D), 70.3 (C-6B,E), 69.8 (C-6C,F), 68.9 (C-5A,D), 54.2 (C-6A,D)
$\mathbf{R f}=0.35$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)
HRMS (ESI): $\left[\mathrm{C}_{156} \mathrm{H}_{161} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{PdNa}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ msrd 1304.4702 calce 1304.4751 err 3.8 ppm


Figure S62: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl $\mathbf{2}_{2}$ (pyridine) 174 (CDCI3, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S63: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) $174\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ )


Figure S64: 2D-NOESY of $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ showing the cross correlations of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NH}}$ with the H 5 and H3 (CDCl3, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C | Cycle C | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.73 | 96.24 | 1 | 4.74 | 100.23 | 1 | 5.70 | 99.40 |
| 2 | 3.44 | 80.99 | 2 | 3.32 | 78.64 | 2 | 3.68 | 78.26 |
| 3 | 4.11 | 82.62 | 3 | 3.89 | 81.06 | 3 | 3.87 | 80.50 |
| 4 | 3.81 | 79.76 | 4 | 4.14 | 79.88 | 4 | 4.02 | 81.80 |
| 5 | 4.71 | 68.89 | 5 | 3.13 | 71.57 | 5 | 3.20 | 72.48 |
| 6 | 4.20 |  | 6 | 4.27 |  | 6 | 4.12 |  |
| 6, | 5.23 | 54.23 | 6 | 3.80 | 70.22 |  | 6 | 3.72 |

Table S11: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 174


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{155} \mathrm{H}_{164} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{Pd}$ Molecular Weight: 2644.83
( $\alpha$-ICyD) HCl 126 (150 mg, $60 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 840 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{allyl}\right)\right]_{2}$ (11.1, $30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography (CyH : AcOEt 4 : 1) affording ( $\alpha-r e v-$ ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) 175 as a pale yellow foam ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=7.71$ ( $2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHHCN}$ ), 7.41 (m, 9H, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.37-7.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 145 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.04-6.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.66\left(2 \mathrm{xd}, 2 \mathrm{x}^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{x}\right.$ C1), $5.52\left(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.18\left(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCHH}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.03-4.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times . \mathrm{H}_{\text {meso }}$ ), $4.81-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 45 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ $-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (q, J=9.2, 7.1, 12H), 3.76 (td, J=10.7, 7.8, 6H), $3.72-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0,3.4,4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=28.8,26.7$, 14.6, 6H), $3.13-2.96$ (m, 9H), 2.19 (bd, J=12.0, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {cis-anti), }} 1.85$ (bd, J=11.8 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cis- }}$ anti).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta=180.4$ (C=Pd), 180.1 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}$ ), 139.4 - 137.5 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}\right)$, $128.5-126.4\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 120.1(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {meso }}\right), 114.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {meso }}\right), 100.3,100.2$, 99.5, 99.4, 96.5, 96.4 (C1), 82.5, 82.5, 82.4, 82.1, 80.9, 80.9, 80.3, 80.1, 79.9, 79.9, 78.5, $78.2,78.1,77.4,77.2,77.0,76.9,75.8,74.4,74.4,74.1,73.6,73.6,73.5,73.4,73.0,72.6$, $72.4,72.3,71.5,69.8,69.3,69.2,69.1,63.0,54.1,48.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {cis }}\right), 47.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cis }}$ ).
$\mathbf{R f}=0.33$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)




Figure S65: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Jmod}$ of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{3}$-allyl) $\mathbf{1 7 5 ( \mathrm { CDCl } _ { 3 } , 1 5 1 \mathrm { MHz } , 3 0 0 \mathrm { K } ) ~}$


Figure S66: HMBC of 175 showing the presence of two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S67: 2D-NOESY of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI(n³-allyl) 175 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S68: HSQC of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI( $\eta^{3}-$ allyl) $175\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{156} \mathrm{H}_{161} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{Pt}$ Molecular Weight: 2791.94
( $\alpha$-ICyD)HCl 122 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 560 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(10.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in Pyridine ( 1 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down the mixture to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography ( CyH : AcOEt 4 : 1) affording ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) 180 as a pale yellow foam ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 9.02$ ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{m}}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {orthopy }}$ ), 7.86 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {metaPy }}$ ), $7.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}\right.$ ), $7.49-6.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 121 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 5.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{1,2}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 5.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{CHH}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}\right.$ PhCHH), $5.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{J} h C H H}\right.$ $\left.=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.96-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.78-$ $4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.74-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 4.52\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{JPhCHH}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $4.48-4.27$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 17 \mathrm{H}, 15 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}$ ), 4.21 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PhChH}}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H, PhCHH), $4.16-4.08$ (m, 8H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 4.04 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{4,5}={ }^{3} J_{4,3}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, F\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 B, E, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 3.82-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, F), 3.42$ (dd, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{2,3}=9.3$, $\left.{ }^{3} J_{2,1}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\right), 3.32-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 3.09\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{5,4}=\right.$ 9.3 Hz, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 151.4$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {orthoPy }}$ ), 139.5 - 137.70 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {paraPy }}$ ), 128.72 - 125.14 ( CH $_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 120.2 ( $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{NH}$ ) 100.1 (C-1B,E), 99.5 (C-1C,F), 96.38 (C-1A,D), 82.6 (C-3A,D), 81.8 (C-4C,F), 81.4 (C-3B,E), 81.1 (C-3C,F), 80.9 (C-2A,D), 80.4 (PhCHH), 80.0 (C-4B,E), 79.8 (C-4A,D), 78.6 (C-2B,E), 78.3 (C-2C,F), 77.1 (PhCHH), 75.8 (PhCHH), $74.4(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 74.00(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 73.5(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 73.4(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 72.9(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 72.5(\mathrm{PhCHH})$, 72.4 (C-5B,F), 71.5 (C-5B,E), 69.9 (C-6C,F), 69.7 (C-6C,F), 69.1 (C-5A,D), 53.0 (C-6C,F).
$\mathbf{R f}=0.33$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)




Figure S70: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PtCl $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ (pyridine) $\mathbf{1 8 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ )


Figure S71: HMBC of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) $\mathbf{1 8 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S71: 1D-NOESY of ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (pyridine) $\mathbf{1 8 0}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, Irradiation at $7.73 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NHC}}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C | Cycle C | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.71 | 96.37 | 1 | 4.71 | 100.05 | 1 | 5.69 | 99.40 |
| 2 | 3.43 | 80.93 | 2 | 3.29 | 78.63 | 2 | 3.68 | 78.29 |
| 3 | 4.13 | 82.60 | 3 | 3.89 | $81.41 ?$ | 3 | 3.90 | $81.07 ?$ |
| 4 | 3.80 | 79.85 | 4 | 4.11 | 79.97 | 4 | 4.04 | 81.82 |
| 5 | 4.69 | 69.09 | 5 | 3.09 | 71.49 | 5 | 3.28 | 72.39 |
| 6 | 5.36 |  | 6 | 3.68 |  | 6 | 4.12 |  |
| 6, | 4.12 | 53.03 |  | 6, | 4.30 |  | 69.93 | 3.71 |

Table S12: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{1 8 0}$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{183} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Pt}$
Molecular Weight:
3224.45
( $\beta$-ICyD)HCl 124 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 448 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(8.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in Pyridine ( 1 mL ) under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down the mixture to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the product was filtrated on a silica gel chromatography (CyH : AcOEt 4 : 1) affording a $55: 45$ mixture of 181:182 as a white foam ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ yield).


Figure S 72 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 8 1 + 1 8 2 ( \mathrm { CDCl } _ { 3 } , 6 0 0 \mathrm { MHz } , 3 0 0 \mathrm { K } ) ~}$


Figure S73: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of $\mathbf{1 8 1 + 1 8 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S74: 2D-NOESY of $\mathbf{1 8 1 + 1 8 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S75: HSQC of $\mathbf{1 8 1 + 1 8 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{186} \mathrm{H}_{202} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{34} \mathrm{PtSi}_{2}$ Molecular Weight: 3260.84

In a sealed tube ( $\beta$-ICyD)HCI 124 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene ( 1 mL ) under a Ar atmosphere. KHMDS ( $340 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene $0.171 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was slowly added. The solution was stirred for 30 minutes and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{dvtms})_{3}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2 \%$ in xylene, $\sim 32 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated and the product was purified by a silica gel flash chromatography. ( $15 \mathrm{~g} 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}+15 \mathrm{~g} 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) affording ( $\beta$ -rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) 184 as a white foam ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ )

In a sealed tube ( $\beta$-ICyD)HCI 124 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and tBuOK ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 800 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in toluene ( 5 mL ) under a Ar atmosphere at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ ( dvtms$)_{3}$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h . The solvent was evaporated and the product was purified by a silica gel flash chromatography. ( $15 \mathrm{~g} 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}+15 \mathrm{~g} 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) affording ( $\beta$-revICyD)Pt(dvtms) as a white foam (166 mg, 30\%)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.98$ (s, 1H, N-CH=CH-N), $7.42-6.89$ (m), 5.95 (d, J = $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), 5.88 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 1$ ), 5.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6, \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $5.35-5.26$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $5.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PhCH}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH}\right), 5.00$ (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H, H1), 4.89-4.67 (m, 13H, $2 \times \mathrm{H} 1,11 \times \mathrm{PhCHH}$ ), $4.67-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}$, H-5, H-6A, H-6D, $25 \times$ PhCHH), 4.17 (t, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), $4.14-3.90$ (m, 13H, H-6A, H5, $7 \times \mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4,4 \mathrm{xH} 6$ ), 3.89--3.83 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ ), 3.75 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H} 6,2 \times \mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{D}), 3.63$ (m, 6 H ), $3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=9.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32$ $-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.87-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CH}), 0.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right),-0.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right),-0.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=182.1$ (C=Pt), $139.9-137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ipso}}\right), 128.5-$ $126.4\left(\underline{C H}_{\text {ar }}\right), 122.8(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 119.5(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}), 101.1,101.0,99.1,98.2,98.1,96.9$, 95.2 ( $7 \times \mathrm{C} 1$ ), 83.4, 82.1, 82.0, 81.7, 80.8, 80.8, 80.6, 80.4, 80.2, 80.2, 79.6, 79.3, 78.9, 78.4, 78.0, 77.8, 77.7 ( $7 \times \mathrm{C} 2,7 \times \mathrm{C} 3,5 \mathrm{x}$ C4) 76.6, 76.5, 76.4, 76.1, 75.8, 74.5, 74.2, 74.1, 73.8, 73.7, 73.6, 73.4, 73.3, 73.3, 73.2, 73.1, 72.6 (C4), 72.6(C4), 72.4, 72.4, 72.2, 71.9, 71.9 (2 x C5), 71.7(C5), 71.3(C5), 70.4(2 x C5), 70.3(C5), 70.3(C5), 69.3, 68.5, 68.2, 66.0, 53.8 (C6), $50.9(\mathrm{C} 6), 40.7\left(=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.5(=\mathrm{CH}), 15.4,1.8\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 1.7\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right),-1.0\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right),-1.1\left(\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathbf{R f}=0.35$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)
HRMS (ESI) $\left[\mathrm{C}_{186} \mathrm{H}_{202} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{34} \mathrm{PtSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2}\right]^{2+} 1652.1599$ msrd 1652.1561 calcd err - 2.3 ppm


Figure S76: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S77: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S78: NOESY of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $183\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S79: HMBC of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $183\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


In a sealed tube $(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{HCl}(200 \mathrm{mg},) \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{XX})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution is cooled at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ (dvtms) $)_{3}$ was added $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \% \mathrm{Pt}$ in xylene). The reaction mixture is stirred for 18 hours. The reaction mixture is warmed up slowly to room temperature and stirred for 24 hours. The solvent was evaporated and the product was purified by a silica gel flash chromatography. ( $15 \mathrm{~g} 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}+15 \mathrm{~g} 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) affording ( $\beta$ ICyD)Pt(dvtms) as a white foam ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ )
$\mathbf{R f}=0.29$ (CyH:AcOEt 3:1)
HRMS (ESI-TOF): [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{186} \mathrm{H}_{202} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{34} \mathrm{PtSi}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{2}\right] 3280.3115 \mathrm{msrd}$ calcd 3281.3229 err 1.7 ppm


Figure S80: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $\mathbf{1 8 4}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S81: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Jmod}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $\mathbf{1 8 4}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S82: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $184\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S83: HSQC of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms) $184\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuOH (201)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{151} \mathrm{H}_{157} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{29}$ Molecular Weight:
2527.40

A mixture of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl ( 478 mg , 1 equiv.) and KOH ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 10$ equiv.) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 8 ml ) under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 24 h . Then the excess KOH was filtered on a micropore and the residue was washed with THF. The residue was evaporated and 279 was obtained as a foam ( $403 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-d8, 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.56$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.32-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 72 \mathrm{H}, 72 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}), 6.68-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{Ar}), 5.97(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 4,5=3 \mathrm{~J} 5,6=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~J}, 2=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 5.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 5.11-5.00 (m, 4H, $2 \times$ CHPh, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 4.90-4.81 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 1,2=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 4.61-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.52-4.46$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 2,3=3 \mathrm{~J} 3,4=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 4.35-4.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.28$ (d, $2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.23-4.16 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.10-4.00(m,6H,2×H-5C, F, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aC}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 3.96 (t, 3J3,4 $=3 \mathrm{~J} 4,5=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, \mathrm{F}), 3.76-3.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{D}$ ), 3.62-3.59 (m, 2H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 1,2=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{~J} 2,3=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{C}, F), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 1,2=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 2,3=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, 3.30 (dd, $3 \mathrm{~J} 1,2=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 2,3=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{~J} 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{~J}, 6 \mathrm{~b}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}), 2.99(\mathrm{wd}, 2 \mathrm{~J} 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}) \mathrm{ppm}$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-d8, 151 MHz ): $\delta 175.95$ (C-Cu), 142.10(2C), 141.56(2C), 140.93(2C), 140.32(2C), 140.11(2C), 139.90(2C), 139.55(2C), 139.36(2C)(16 $\times$ C-Ar-quat.), 129.36-126.82 (80 $\times$ C-Ar-tert.), 122.70( $2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 98.77( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), $98.44(2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, 97.90( $2 \times$ C-1A,D), 82.52, 82.42, 82.30( $2 \times$ C-3A,D, $2 \times$ C-4C,F, $2 \times$ C-4B,E), 81.39(2C)( $2 \times$ C-3B,E, $2 \times$ C- 2A,D), 81.18(2 $\times$ C-3C,F), 80.80( $2 \times$ C-2B,E),79.12( $2 \times$ C-2C,F), 77.31( $2 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 77.26(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 77.19\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.39\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 74.67\left(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 74.63(2 $\times$ C-5C,F), 73.86( $2 \times$ Ph-CH2), 73.80( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 73.66( $2 \times \mathrm{Ph}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 72.85( $2 \times$ C-5B,E), 72.70(2 $\times$ Ph-CH2), 72.66( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 71.71(2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 69.65(2 \times \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E})$, 54.85(2 $\times$ C-6A,D)ppm.
$[a]_{D^{20}}=42.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c}=1.0\right)$



Figure S84: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\alpha-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )CuOH 202 (THF-d ${ }_{8}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S85: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuOH 202 (THF-d $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

## ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCCPh (203)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{159} \mathrm{H}_{161} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ Molecular Weight: 2611.52
( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl (15 mg, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in anhydrous THF-d8 ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) under Ar atmosphere in a NMR tube. Then $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (2 equiv.) of phenylacetylene was added into the solution. The mixture was left at r.t. for 4 d and the reaction was followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-d8, 600 MHz ): $\delta 7.57$ (dd, $3 \mathrm{~J}=6.6,3 \mathrm{~J}=3.0,2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}$-o-Acetylide), 7.34-6.79 (m, 80H, $80 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.68(s, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Im}$ ), 6.66-6.63 (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{m}$ - Acetylide, H-p-Acetylide), $36.03(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 4,5=3 \mathrm{~J} 5,6=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}, 2=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 5.47-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 1,2=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.90-4.85 (m, 6H, $4 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), 4.79 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{JPh}-$ $\mathrm{CHH}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 4.70-4.63 (m, 6H, $2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aA}, \mathrm{D})$, 4.45-4.33 (m, 18H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, 14 \times \mathrm{CHPh}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{JPh}-\mathrm{CHH}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ CHPh), 4.14-4.05 (m, 6H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), 3.95 (d, 2H, 2J6a, $6 \mathrm{~b}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2$ $\times$ H-6aC,F), 3.81-3.71 (m, 8H, $4 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{BA}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bC}, \mathrm{F}), 3.62(\mathrm{td}, 3 \mathrm{~J} 3,4=$ $3 J 4,5=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 3.44-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{D}), 3.32-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{aB}, \mathrm{E}), 3.00(\mathrm{wd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~J} 6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{bB}, \mathrm{E}) \mathrm{ppm}$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-d8, 151 MHz ): $\delta 176.74(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}), 141.35(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.23(2 \mathrm{C}), 141.03(2 \mathrm{C})$, $140.17(2 \mathrm{C}), 140.02$ (2C), 139.65(2C), 139.63(2C), 139.09(2C) (16 $\times$ C-Ar-quat.), 133.16 (2C, m- C-Acetylide), 132.62 (2C, o-C-Acetylide), 129.18 (Cu-CC-Ph), 128.93-126.24 (80 $\times$ C-Artert.), 124.70 (C-Acetylide quat), 123.44 ( $p$-C-Acetylide) $122.75(2 \times \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ ), 106.83 (Cu-CC-Ph), 99.73( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ ), $98.90(2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 98.15(2 \times \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 83.81(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})$, 82.44(2 $\times$ C-3A,D), 82.33( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 81.93(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 81.60(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}), 80.26(2 \times$ C-3C,F), $79.98(2 \times$ C-2B,E), $78.68(4 C)(2 \times \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, 2 \times \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 77.51(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2)$, $76.19(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2)$, $75.09(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}), 74.42(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2), 73.96(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2), 73.64(4 \mathrm{C})(4$ $\times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2), 73.24(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2), 72.98(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{E}), 72.53(2 \times \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2), 72.47(2 \times \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D})$, 72.33(2 $\times$ C-6C,F), 69.31( $2 \times$ C-6B,E), 54.85( $2 \times$ C-6A,D)ppm.


Figure S86: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCCPh 203 (THF-d $\mathbf{d}_{8}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S86: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR of ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCCPh 203 (THF-d8, $151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{187} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$
Molecular Weight: 3091.36

Under an Ar atmosphere, ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )AuCl ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene. Then $\mathrm{MeMgBr}\left(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and followed by TLC. When finished, the product is directly filtrated on silica (CyH:AcOEt 3:1) affording ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 as a white solid ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ )
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.44-6.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.32-6.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ (d, J = $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.55 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (dd, J = 17.9, 10.7 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dd, J = 19.1, 11.5 Hz, 2H), $5.02(d, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 44 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $3.61-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCI3) $\delta 199.1,140.2,140.1,140.0,139.8,139.7,139.1,139.0$, $138.9,138.9,138.8,138.8,138.8,138.6,138.6,138.3,138.2,138.2,137.9,128.6,128.5$, $128.5,128.5,128.4,128.4,128.4,128.3,128.3,128.3,128.3,128.2,128.2,128.2,128.1$, $128.1,128.1,128.0,128.0,128.0,128.0,127.9,127.9,127.9,127.9,127.8,127.8,127.8$, $127.7,127.7,127.6,127.5,127.5,127.3,127.2,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.8,126.8,126.6$, $121.3,120.4,100.8,99.4,98.8,97.9,97.3,97.2,96.4,83.3,82.7,82.0,81.6,81.5,81.0,80.7$, $80.6,80.4,80.3,80.1,79.9,79.8,79.0,78.8,78.4,78.1,77.9,76.9,76.7,76.5,76.4,74.6$, $74.3,74.0,73.6,73.5,73.3,73.3,73.1,73.0,72.8,72.7,72.6,72.5,72.2,71.6,71.4,71.4$, $70.5,70.4,70.4,69.7,68.6,68.0,54.5,52.9,29.9,1.5$.

HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{179} \mathrm{H}_{187} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Na}]+3112.2574$ found 3112.2580 err -0.2 ppm


Figure S87: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 (THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S88Figure S86: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 (THF-d $8,151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ ): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 (THF-d8, 151 MHz, 300 K )


Figure S89: HMBC of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 (THF-d ${ }_{8}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


Figure S91: NOESY of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuMe 222 showing the cross correlations with the Me (THF-d ${ }_{8}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,66 |  | 1 | 4,63 | 97,78 |
| 2 | 3,31 |  | 2 | 3,37 |  |
| 3 | 4,01 |  | 3 | 4,21 |  |
| 4 | 3,61 | 73,9 | 4 | 3,75 |  |
| 5 | 6,24 | 70,28 | 5 | 4,30 | 71,22 |
| 6 | 3,20 |  | 6 | 2,73 |  |
| 6 ' | 4,60 |  | 6 ' | 2,73 |  |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5,85 | 97,07 | 1 | 4,77 | 98,74 |
| 2 | 3,54 |  | 2 | 3,36 |  |
| 3 | 4,54 |  | 3 | 4,01 |  |
| 4 | 4,04 |  | 4 | 3,54 | 73,33 |
| 5 | 3,86 | 73,27 | 5 | 4,61 | 71,41 |
| 6 | 3,73 |  | 6 | 3,23 |  |
| 6 ' | 4,19 |  | 6 ' | 5,23 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4,66 |  | 1 | 4,70 | 99,26 |
| 2 | 3,34 |  | 2 | 3,34 |  |
| 3 | 4,17 |  | 3 | 4,09 |  |
| 4 | 3,80 |  | 4 | 3,51 |  |
| 5 | 3,28 | 71,37 | 5 | 3,62 | 72,05 |
| 6 | 3,52 |  | 6 | 3,58 |  |
| 6' | 2,96 | 68,43 | 6' | 3,83 | 70,32 |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5,90 | 96,26 | ImidA | 5,96 | 121,14 |
| 2 | 3,54 |  | ImidD | 6,14 | 120,29 |
| 3 | 4,18 |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$ |  | 199,1 |
| 4 | 3,88 | 82,01 | CH3 | 0,84 | 1,46 |
| 5 | 3,69 | 72,82 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3,70 |  |  |  |  |
| 6' | 4,19 |  |  |  |  |

Table S13: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 222


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{180} \mathrm{H}_{187} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{35}$
Molecular Weight:
3135.37

In a sealed tube ( $\beta-1 \mathrm{CyD}$ )AuCl ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 32.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and AgOAc ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 32.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in dry toluene ( 1 mL ). The reaction was stirred overnight. The solution was filtrated in a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PET filter and washed with toluene. The solvent is evaporated and ( $\beta$ ICyD)AuOAc 223 was obtained as a white foam ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.41-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 83 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92$ (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 5.05 (dd, $J=12.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 33 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H})$, $3.55-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 175.5,164.9,139.2,138.9,128.5,128.4,128.3$, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.6, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 122.2, 120.8, 100.9, 99.8, 98.7, 97.8, 97.4, 97.2, 96.8, 83.4, 82.5, 82.1, 81.5, 81.4, 80.9, 80.8, 80.6, 80.2, 80.1, 79.9, 79.8, 79.7, 79.0, 79.0, 78.2, 78.1, 77.9, 76.9, 76.6, 76.5, 76.4, 76.3, 74.6, 74.5, 73.8, 73.7, 73.5, 73.5, 73.3, 73.2, 73.2, 73.0, 72.7, 72.6, 72.5, 72.3, 71.5, 71.4, 70.4, 69.9, 69.7, 68.4, 68.0, 55.0, 53.7, 24.2.

HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{180} \mathrm{H}_{187} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+3112.2574$ found 3112.2580, err -0.2 ppm


Figure S92: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuOAc $223\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S93: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuOAc $223\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ )


Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{184} \mathrm{H}_{188} \mathrm{AuFN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$ Molecular Weight: 3171.42

In a sealed tube $123(59 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{AgOAc}(5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 32.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in dry toluene ( 1 mL ). The reaction was stirred overnight. The solution was filtrated in a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PET filter and washed with toluene. The solvent is evaporated and ( $\beta$-ICyD)Au(4Fluorobezene) 224 was obtained as a white foam ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.94$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.42-6.93$ (m, 116H), 6.89 (t, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.57 (dd, J = 10.1, $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.17 (d, J = $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.10 (qd, J = $10.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.24-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87$ (dd, J = 17.7, 11.5 Hz, 2H), $4.83-4.75$ (m, 3H), $4.75-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 29 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-3.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 3.39 (ddd, J = 9.7, $3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (dtd, $\mathrm{J}=14.7,11.8,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.24 (dd, J = 9.9, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 193.71, 162.51, 142.08, 142.05, 140.14, 140.04, 139.94, 139.66, 139.62, 139.07, 138.97, 138.94, 138.88, 138.82, 138.68, 138.66, $138.58,138.52,138.22,138.13,137.91,128.55,128.52,128.48,128.45,128.41,128.38$, $128.36,128.32,128.26,128.23,128.17,128.13,128.10,128.06,127.97,127.95,127.92$, 127.90, 127.81, 127.73, 127.66, 127.61, 127.59, 127.54, 127.51, 127.49, 127.42, 127.35, 127.28, 127.24, 127.20, 127.12, 127.04, 126.97, 126.87, 126.80, 114.25, 114.14, 100.82, $99.49,98.92,98.05,97.36,97.27,96.75,83.17,82.70,82.03,81.88,81.21,80.84,80.54$, $80.38,80.22,80.14,79.91,79.83,79.04,78.56,77.92,77.37,77.37,77.16,76.95,76.64$, $76.48,76.32,76.24,74.92,74.60,74.44,74.16,74.09,73.76,73.62,73.51,73.48,73.42$, $73.38,73.30,73.26,73.00,72.83,72.80,72.73,72.58,72.26,71.94,71.58,71.46,70.79$, $70.65,70.46,69.79,68.50,67.98,54.68,53.30$.


Figure S94: $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 2 4}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S95: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $224\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4,72 | 97,26 | 1 | 4,54 | 98,03 |
| 2 | 3,40 | 80,84 | 2 | 3,25 | 78,6 |
| 3 | 4,12 | 79,82? | 3 | 3,91 | 80,42 |
| 4 | 3,74 | 74,14 | 4 | 3,71 | 80,55 |
| 5 | 6,09 | 71,95 | 5 | 4,06 | 71,60 |
| 6 | 3,32 |  | 6 | 2,76 |  |
| 6' | 4,67 | 53,3 | 6' | 2,67 | 67,99 |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5,89 | 97,35 | 1 | 4,81 | 98,87 |
| 2 | 3,51 | 77,93 | 2 | 3,39 | 80,17 |
| 3 | 4,42 | 79,93 | 3 | 3,99 | 81,88 |
| 4 | 3,91 | 82,01 | 4 | 3,61 | 72,26 |
| 5 | 3,86 | 73,30 | 5 | 4,78 | 71,95 |
| 6 | 3,80 |  | 6 | 3,33 |  |
| 6 ' | 3,75 |  | 6 ' | 5,16 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4,66 | 100,89 | 1 | 4,67 | 99,47 |
| 2 | 3,31 | 78,32 | 2 | 3,30 | 80,17 |
| 3 | 4,12 | 82,7? | 3 | 4,02 | 81,88 |
| 4 | 3,76 | 81,22? | 4 | 3,49 | 83,17 |
| 5 | 3,28 | 71,45 | 5 | 3,60 | 73,75 |
| 6 | 2,97 |  | 6 | 3,56 | 70,47 |
| 6' | 3,47 |  | 6' | 3,84 |  |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5,91 | 96,72 | ImidA | 6,17 | 120,61 |
| 2 | 3,52 | 78,33? | ImidD | 5,93 | 121,7 |
| 3 | 4,08 | 80,23 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Au}$ |  | 193,7 |
| 4 | 3,83 | 81,98 | Cipso |  | 193,7(3Hz) |
| 5 | 3,73 | 74,95 | Hortho | 7,94 | 142,06(5Hz) |
| 6 | 4,16 | 69,78 | Hmeta | 6,57 | $114,18(18 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 6 ' | 3,77 |  | CF |  | 164,4(241,4Hz) |

Table S14: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of 222

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCCPh (225)



Chemical Formula:
$\mathrm{C}_{186} \mathrm{H}_{189} \mathrm{AuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{33}$ Molecular Weight: 3177.45

In a NMR tube, 224 ( $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, then phenyl acetylene 132 ( $0.39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The mixture was left at r.t. overnight and the reaction is followed by NMR. In 18h 225 was quantitatively formed.


Figure S96: Mass Spectra $225\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S97: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 225 Figure $\mathrm{S} 94:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $224\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K})$

In a NMR tube ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathbf{P h C N})(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, tBuOK ( 5 mg ) and morpholine ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in THF-d8. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours and the reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ leading to a formation of one major compound.

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & 5.5 & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & -0.5 & -1.0 & -1.5 & -2.0 & -2.5 & -3.0 & -3.5\end{array}$
Figure S98: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 2 6}\left(\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S99: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ (THF-d8, $151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )


In an NMR tube. ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCl 2 .PhCN ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) has been dissolved in chloroform-d ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Then, 3-Chloropyridine was added in a Chloroform-d solution (100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ). The complexed formed (227) has been carachterized without any further purification.

[^86]HRMS(ESI) 3189.1153 msrd 3189.1375 err -7 ppm


Figure S100: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 2 7}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S101: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-Jmod of $227\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 151 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

| Cycle A | H | C | Cycle B | H | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.65 | 97.44 | 1 | 4.62 | 98.22 |
| 2 | 3.38 | 79.43 | 2 | 3.38 | 80.7/79.4 |
| 3 | 4.19 | 82.19 | 3 | 4.44 | 80.16 |
| 4 | 3.71 | 74.19 | 4 | 3.80 | 82.28 |
| 5 | 5.44 | 71.65 | 5 | 4.41 | 71.14 |
| 6 | 3.30 |  | 6 | 2.75 |  |
| 6' | 5.68 |  | 6' | 2.94 |  |
| Cycle C | H | C | Cycle D | H | C |
| 1 | 5.82 | 97.9 | 1 | 5.07 | 98.38 |
| 2 | 3.50 | 77.70 | 2 | 3.51 | 8097 |
| 3 | 5.10 | 77.96 | 3 | 4.11 | 81.83 |
| 4 | 3.78 | 81 | 4 | 3.72 | 74.68 |
| 5 | 3.90 | 73.9 | 5 | 5.95 | 70.41 |
| 6 | 3.92 |  | 6 | 3.46 |  |
| 6' | 3.79 |  | 6' | 5.65 |  |
| Cycle E | H | C | Cycle F | H | C |
| 1 | 4.70 | 100.36 | 1 | 5.96 | 96.79 |
| 2 | 3.32 | 78.62 | 2 | 3.53 | ¿ |
| 3 | 4.01 | 80.83 | 3 | 3.99 | ¿ |
| 4 | 3.80 | 81.7 | 4 | 3.77 | 81.8 |
| 5 | 4.71 | 70.48 | 5 | 4.06 | 72.16 |
| 6 | 3.55 |  | 6 | 3.76 |  |
| 6 ' | 3.06 |  | 6' | 4.08 |  |
| Cycle G | H | C |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.72 | 99.65 | ImidA | 6.05 | 123.03 |
| 2 | 3.36 | 79.6 | ImidD | 6.19 | 124.59 |
| 3 | 4.20 | ¿ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}$ |  | 151.2 |
| 4 | 3.67 | 82.77 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4.50 | 71.48 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3.75 | 70.0 |  |  |  |
| 6' | 3.61 |  |  |  |  |

Table S15: Attribution of the protons of the sugar units of $\mathbf{2 2 7}$

## Catalytic Reactions

## Gold(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization

(a-ICyD)AuCI-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 141:
(a-ICyD)AuCl (19.3 mg, mmol, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were introduced in a round bottom flask under an argon atmosphere and anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes, then enyne 141 was introduced ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and monitored by TLC. After completion, the solution was filtered through a pad of silica and the pad was washed with AcOEt The solvent was vapored under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (pentane: $E_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85: 15$ ) to afford ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}$ ) 143 ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%, 43 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) as a white powder.

$$
[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+40.5\left(c 0.62, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .\left\{[a]^{20} \mathrm{D}(e n t-143)-93\left(\mathrm{c} 1.07, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \text { for } 88 \% \text { ee }(1 \mathrm{~S}, 6 \mathrm{~S})\right\}^{3}
$$

Chromatogram was recorded on a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) using AD-H column, pressure: 100 Bars, with a debit of $5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and $4 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ as eluent at $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$. (+)-1,6-dimethyl-3-tolylsulfonyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene 7 was obtained as the major enantiomer.

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 141:

( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0073 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $2.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0073 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a round bottom flask under an argon atmosphere was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes, then enyne 5 was introduced ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.365 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 15 h monitored by TLC. After completion, the solution was filtered through a pad of silica and the pad was washed with diethyl ether. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Pentane/Et $2 \mathrm{O}: 85 / 15$ ) to afford 143 ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ). The enantiomer (+)-(R,R)-143 (60\% ee) was obtained as the major isomer.
$[a]^{20}{ }_{D}=+59.3\left(c 0.62, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 141:


${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.69-7.58$ (m, 2H), $7.34-7.27$ (m, 2H), 6.24 (dd, $J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.31(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=4.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; The spectral data for 1,6-dimethyl-3-tolylsulfonyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene correspond to those previously reported. ${ }^{4}$


Figure S102: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $141\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl-catalyzed cycloisomerization of 142:

Using ( $\beta$-ICyD)AuCl ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) and 142 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 h . After completion, the solution was filtered through a pad of silica and the pad was washed with diethyl ether. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Pentane/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}: 85 / 15$ ) to afford 8 ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29$ mmol, $99 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. (+)-(R,R)-6-methyl-1-phenyl-3-tolyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene (144) was obtained ( $80 \%$ ee) as the major enantiomer.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR of 6-methyl-1-phenyl-3-tolyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene 144:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.33-7.21 (m, 7 H ), 6.47 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 0.89 ( $s, 3 H$ ). The spectral data for 6-methyl-1-phenyl-3-(p-tolylsulfonyl)-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene correspond to those previously reported. ${ }^{5}$


Figure S103: ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$-NMR of $\mathbf{1 4 2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl catalyzed CuAAC.

In a round bottom flask, benzyl azide ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.354 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), phenylacetylene ( 0.236 $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) and ( $\alpha$-ICyD)CuCl ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were weight. THF ( 1.5 mL ) and an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(1 \mathrm{M} 1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours and monitored by TLC. When finished, the solvent was evaporated and the crude reaction was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CyH : EtOAc $6: 1$ ) To obtain 200 (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) and 199 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as white solids. The reported NMR data were in agreement with the one reported on the literature. 6

199
1H NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.56-7.41$ (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.32 - 7.04 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $6.89-6.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 4.80-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHH})$.


Figure S104: ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $199\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.90-7.73$ (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.66 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {triazole }}$ ), $7.49-$ 7.27 (m, 8H, CHAr), 5.58 (s, 2H, PhCHH ).


Figure $\mathrm{S} 105:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $200\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$ catalyzed hydrothiolation

209
In a sealed tube $p$-thiocresol ( $19.7 \mathrm{mg}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), was introduced under Ar. Then a solution of $(\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})(10 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\gamma$-terpinene $(50 \mu \mathrm{~mL}$, $340 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and phenylacetylene ( $17.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 170 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred during 18 hours and monitored by TLC, when finished, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and 1,4-dinitrobenzene ( 0.3 eq ) was added. The crude NMR was done without any further purification.
The NMR data was in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$
Selected examples:
$(\beta-I C y D) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN}): 7.6 \mathrm{mg}$ 1,4-dinitrobenzene $=89 \%$


Figure S106: Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $209\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S107: Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $209\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Kinetics of the reaction

In an NMR tube. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of a solution in THF ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of Phenylbutyne ( 100 mM ), p-thiocresol ( 100 mM ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(4 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\gamma$-terpinene $(200 \mathrm{mM})$ was recorded. The catalyst was added ( 1 mM in Pd ) and a proton NMR was run each 5 minutes.
$\left[(\mathrm{IPr}) \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$


Figure S108: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ kinetics of the formation of 209 (THF-d8, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

## ( $\beta$-ICyD) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})$



Figure S109: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ kinetics of the formation of $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ (THF-d $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$ )

## NHC-CD-Pt(dvtms) catalyzed hydrosylilation of phenylacetylene

In a sealed tube the catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), phenylacetylene ( $17 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 15.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and triethylsylane ( $24.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $15.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in toluene $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The reactions was stirred for 18 hours and the crude NMR of an aliquot was done.

Crude NMR of ( $\beta$-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)
210:212 obtained in a 8:2


Figure S110: Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the reaction with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ )Pt(dvtms) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

Crude NMR of ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)
210:212 obtained in a 9:1


Figure S111: Crude ${ }^{11} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the reaction with ( $\beta$-rev-ICyD)Pt(dvtms)
( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Representative procedure for the arylation of morpholine.



Under an Ar atmosphere ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})(4,75 \mathrm{mg}, 1,41 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and 3chloropyridine ( $0,1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1,41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of anhydrous toluene. Then morpholine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 485 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), a solution of potassium tert-amylate 1.7 M in toluene $(240 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 364 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and the aromatic substrate $(243 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When finished the reaction crude is directly purified by flash chromatography.

## Representative purification.

Sample: JM660A
RediSep Column: Silica 12g Flow Rate: $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{~ m l} / \mathrm{min}$ Equilibration Volume: 5.4 CV Initial Waste: 0.0 CV Air Purge: 0.0 min Solvent A: Cyclohexane Solvent B: ethyl acetate

Rf+
Peak Tube Volume: Max.
Non-Peak Tube Volume: Max.
Loading Type: Liquid
Wavelength 1 (red): 254nm
Peak Width: 1 min
Threshold: 0.20 AU
Wavelength 2 (purple): 280nm


Figure S112: Flash purificartion of $\mathbf{2 3 0}$

|  | $\mathbf{X = C l}$ | $\mathbf{X = B r}$ | X=I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R = M e O}$ | $89 \%(45 \mathrm{mg})$ | $74 \%(34 \mathrm{mg})$ | $68 \%(30 \mathrm{mg})$ |
| $\mathbf{R = H}$ | $68 \%(27 \mathrm{mg})$ |  | $78 \%(30 \mathrm{mg})$ |
| R=CF3 | $80 \%(50 \mathrm{mg})$ |  | $89 \%(55 \mathrm{mg})$ |
| R=CI | $63 \%(30 \mathrm{mg})$ |  |  |

Table S16: Yield of the acylation reaction for the morpholine with ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(3-\mathrm{CIPy})$

## N -Phenylmorpholine.

Following general procedure $27 \mathrm{mg}(68 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}) 30 \mathrm{mg}(78 \%, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ of the title compound were obtained as a yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{8}$

## N -(4-Methoxyphenyl)morpholine

Following general procedure $45 \mathrm{mg}(89 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}) 34 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$, ( $68 \%$, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ ) of the title compound were obtained as a yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{9}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=7.03-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (s, 3H), $3.17-2.95(m, 4 H)$.


Figure S113: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $230\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## N -(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)morpholine (232)

Following general procedure $50 \mathrm{mg}(80 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}) 55 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ of the title compound w obtained as a yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=7.72-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S114: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $232\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## Representative procedure for the arylation of $\mathbf{N}$-octylamine.

(b-ICyD)PdCl ${ }_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN}) 2 \%$
3-Chloropyridine 2\%



Under an Ar atmosphere ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})(15 \mathrm{mg}, 4,5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and 3chloropyridine ( $0,4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4,5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of anhydrous toluene. Then $n$-octylamine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 243 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), a solution of potassium tert-amylate 1.7 M in toluene ( $240 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 364 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the aromatic substrate ( $243 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added to the mixture. The reaction mixture is stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until finished and the reaction crude was directly purified by flash chromatography.

## N-octyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)aniline 237

Following general procedure $14 \mathrm{mg}(21 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}) 22 \mathrm{mg}(31 \%, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ of a 2:1 mixture of $\mathbf{N}$-octyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)aniline and $\mathbf{N}$-octyl-bis(4(trifluoromethyl))aniline were obtained as a yellow oil.


Figure S 115 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $237\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$


Figure S116: ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $234\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 376 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## N-octylaniline 236

Following general procedure $37 \mathrm{mg}(56 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$, of the title compound was obtained as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.23-7.12$ (m, 5H), $6.73-6.56$ (m, 2H), $3.10(t, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature.


Figure S117: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 3 6}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## N-octyl-4-methoxyaniline 235

Following general procedure $42 \mathrm{mg}(74 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}) 47 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ of the title compound were obtained as a yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{11}$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 6.83-6.73$ (m, 2H), $6.64-6.53$ (m, 2H), $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.83$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ).


Figure S118: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $235\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## N-octyl-3-methoxyaniline

Following general procedure $48 \mathrm{mg}(89 \% \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ of the title compound were obtained as a yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{12}$


Figure S119: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 3 5}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## (ICyD)Pd-catalyzed Allylation of aldehydes

## ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI(AllyI) catalyzed allylation of 238

In a sealed tube ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) ( $10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{mg} 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) under Ar. Then, $4-B r o m o b e n z a l d e h y d e ~(~ 37 ~ m g, ~ 0.200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and allyl acetate ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ in hexanes ( 700 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwhise. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. When finished, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwhise and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. The product is extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude is purified by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CyH}: \mathrm{AcOEt}$ $95: 5$ ) affording 239 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{13}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.52$ - 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 2H), $5.89-5.68$ (m, 1H), $5.23-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+1.05$ (c 1, benzene) $5 \%$ ee.

## ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCI(AllyI) catalyzed allylation of 238

In a sealed tube ( $\beta$-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) ( $10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{mg} 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) under Ar. Then, 4-Bromobenzaldehyde ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and allyl acetate ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ in hexanes ( 700 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwhise. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. When finished, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwhise and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. The product is extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude is purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CyH:AcOEt $95: 5$ ) affording 239 ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.52-7.42$ (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 2H), $5.89-5.68$ (m, 1H), $5.23-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (dd, J = 7.7, $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}+0.42(c 1$, benzene) $2 \%$ ee.


Figure S120: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $239\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCI(Allyl) catalyzed allylation of 238

In a sealed tube ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) $(10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{mg} 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) under Ar. Then, 4-Bromobenzaldehyde ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and cynnamyl acetate ( $39.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ in hexanes ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwhise. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. When finished, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwhise and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. The product is extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude is purified by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CyH}: \mathrm{AcOEt}$ $95: 5$ ) affording 240 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 5 \%}$ ) as a pale yellow oil.

The spectral data were in accordance with those reported in the literature.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 121:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $239\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

## ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(Allyl) substrate selection

In a sealed tube ( $\alpha$-rev-ICyD)PdCl(allyl) ( $10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{mg} 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) under Ar. Then, 4-Bromobenzaldehyde ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), allyl acetate ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and cynnamyl acetate ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ in hexanes ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwhise. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. When finished, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwhise and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. The product is extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 x 10 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo.

The crude is analyzed by NMR. By integration of the internal vinyl proton a ratio 58:42 of 238:239 was obtained.


Figure S122: Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 3 8 + 2 3 9}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$

In a sealed tube ( $\beta-\mathrm{ICyD}$ ) $\mathrm{PdCl}($ allyl $)(10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{mg} 4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 1.5 mL ) under Ar. Then, 4-Bromobenzaldehyde ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), allyl acetate ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and cynnamyl acetate ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 120 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ in hexanes ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 700 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwhise. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. When finished, a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwhise and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. The product is extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ $x 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude is purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CyH:AcOEt 95:5) affording a 30 mg of a mixture of 238 and 239

By integration of the internal vinyl proton a ratio 88:12 of 238:239 was obtained.


Figure S123: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{2 3 8 + 2 3 9}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 300 \mathrm{~K}\right)$
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[^86]:    1H NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 9.20$ (d, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {orthopy }}$ ), 9.11 - 9.04 (m, 1H, H5Py), $7.40-6.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Arom }}+\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.90-6.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Arom }}\right), 6.30$ (dd, J = 8.2, 5.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{Py}$ ), 6.19 (d, J = $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Imid }} \mathrm{D}$ ), $6.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {Imid }} \mathrm{A}\right), 5.99-5.92$ (m, 2H; H-1-G, H-5D), 5.82 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H, H1-C), 5.67 ( $2 x d d, ~ J=13.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~b}-$ A, H6b-D), 5.55 (d, J = $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.44 (td, J = 10.6, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{A}$ ), 5.40 (d, J $\left.=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.31-5.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.13-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{CH} 1-\mathrm{D}), 4.89-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 33 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-$ $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.77 (dd, J = 11.2, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
    ${ }^{13} \underline{\text { C-NMR: }}$ ( 151 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta=151.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Pd}), 150.5\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{\text {orhtoPy }}\right) 150.1$ ( $\underline{C H}_{\text {orhtoPy }}$ ), 140.39, 140.32, 140.08, 140.08, 139.80, 139.18, 139.17, 139.12, 139.01, 138.96, 138.92, 138.91, 138.78, 138.77, 138.61, 138.49, 138.46, 138.25, 138.23, 138.08 ( $19 \times$ Cipso $^{\text {ip }}$ +. $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\text {PhCN }}\right) 137.7\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}_{\text {py }}\right), 132.89\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}_{\text {Py }}\right), 132.31,131.95,131.01,130.65\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {Py }}\right), 129.2-126.4$ ( $\underline{C H}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 124.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {metaPy }}$ ), 100.5 (C-1E), 99.8 (C-1G), 98.4 (C-1D), 98.32 (C-1B), 97.9 (C-1C), 97.5 (C-1A), 96.9 (C1-F), 83.3 (PhCHH), 82.8 (PhCHH), 82.4 (PhCHH), 82.2 (C-3A), 81.92, 81.9 (C-2F), 81.7 (C-4E), 81.0 (C-3D), 80.88 (C-2D), 80.80, 80.7 (C4-G), 80.7 (C-3E), 80.65, 80.2 (C-3B), 79.6 (C-2G), 79.4 (C-2A), 78.69 (PhCHH), 78.54 (C-2E), 78.0 (C-3C), 77.7 (C-2C), 76.61 (PhCHH), 76.4 (PhCHH), 76.1 (PhCHH), 75.41 (PhCHH), 74.73 (PhCHH), 74.6 (C-4D), 74.2 (C-4A), 74.2 (PhCHH), 73.9 (C-5C), 73.6 (PhCHH), 73.4 (PhCHH), 73.3 ( PhCHH ), $73.3(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 73.1(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 73.2(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 72.8(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 72.7(\mathrm{PhCHH}), 72.5$ (PhCHH), 72.4 (PhCHH), 72.3 (PhCHH), 72.2 (C-5F), 71.8(C-5A), 71.5 (C-5D), 71.1 (C-5B), 71.2 (C-6C), 70.5 (C-5D), 70.5 (C-5E), 70.1 (C-6G), 69.64 (C-6F), 68.9 (C-6D), 68.8 (C-6E), 68.32 (C6-B), 54.80 (C-6A), 53.7 (C-6D).

