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Résumé: L'objectif principal de cette thèse est d'étudier l'espace des modules d'un large ensemble de compactifications de la théorie des cordes hétérotiques et, en particulier, de trouver et classer la liste des groupes de jauge qui sont réalisés dans de telles théories. Nous commençons par analyser le cas des compactifications sur un cercle, en développant une technique pour calculer et représenter les régions dans I'espace des modules où il y a des groupes augmentés de symétrie.

A l'aide des techniques des réseaux, nous énonçons des critères généraux pour établir si un groupe de jauge est réalisé ou non dans les compactifications sur $T^{d}$, créant une série d'algorithmes pour explorer complètement ces espaces de modules. Pour $d=2$, on trouve que les groupes de jauge respectifs coïncident avec toutes les fibres singulières possibles des surfaces extrêmes K3, corroborant la dualité avec Théorie F sur une surface K3. Nous construisons également une méthode pour transformer les modules sous T-dualité et construisons la carte qui relie les modules des cordes hétérotiques $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ et $S O(32)$ sur un tore.

Nous analysons également les compactifications de la corde hétérotique sur les orbifolds asymétriques $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ qui réalisent la dite corde CHL. Ceci est particulièrement intéressant car les cas $d=2$ et $d=3$ sont duaux respectivement de la théorie $F$ et de la théorie $M$ sur un $K 3$ à singularité figée, qui ne sont pas bien compris. Nous étudions en détail ces théories et, avec quelques modifications à nos algorithmes, explorons et trouvons tous les groupes de symétrie, vérifiant qu'ils satisfont une condition de centre sans anomalie découverte très récemment.

Enfin, nous obtienons la liste complète des groupes de jauge qui sont réalisés dans la corde hétérotique en 7d et 6d, y compris les compactifications toroïdales ordinaires, le CHL et quatre autres composants réalisés via des triplets d'holonomie non triviaux. Nous dérivons une carte qui relie les groupes de jauge sur le compactifications toroïdales aux autres composants. En 7d, il coïncide avec le mécanisme de gel des singularités en théorie $M$ sur K3; tandis qu'en 6d on montre que les gels possibles pour chaque groupe de jauge sont déterminés par sa topologie.
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Abstract: The main goal of this thesis is to study the moduli space of a broad set of compactifications of heterotic string theory and, in particular, to find and classify the list of gauge groups that are realized in such theories. We start by analyzing the case of circle compactifications, developing a technique to compute and represent the regions of enhancement on the moduli space.

Using lattice embedding techniques, we state general criteria to establish whether a gauge group is realized or not on compactifications on $T^{d}$, creating a series of algorithms to completely explore these moduli spaces. For $d=2$, we find that the respective gauge groups coincide with all possible singular fibers of extremal K3 surfaces, corroborating the duality with F-theory on a K3 surface. We also construct a method to transform the moduli under T-duality and build the map that relates the moduli of the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and $S O(32)$ heterotic strings on a torus.

We also analyse compactifications of the heterotic
string on $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ asymmetric orbifolds which realize the so-called CHL string. This is of interest because the $d=2$ and $d=3$ cases are dual respectively to F-theory and M-theory on a K3 with a frozen singularity, which are not well understood. We study in detail these theories and, with some modifications to our algorithms, explore and find all the symmetry enhancements, verifying that they satisfy a condition for anomaly-free one-form center brought to light very recently.

Finally, we obtain the complete list of gauge groups that are realized in the heterotic string in 7d and 6d, including the ordinary toroidal compactifications, the CHL and four other components realized via non-trivial holonomy triples. We derive a map that relates the gauge groups on the toroidal compactifications to the other components. In 7d, it coincides with the singularity freezing mechanism in M-theory on K3; while in 6 d we show that the possible freezings for each gauge group are determined by its topology.

Título: Explorando el paisaje de las compactificaciones de la cuerda heterótica
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Resumen: El objetivo principal de la presente tesis es estudiar el espacio de módulos de un amplio conjunto de compactificaciones de la teoría de cuerdas heterótica y, en particular, encontrar y clasificar la lista de grupos de calibre que se realizan en dichas teorías. Comenzamos analizando el caso de las compactificaciones circulares, desarrollando una técnica para calcular y representar las regiones de aumento en el espacio de módulos.

Usando técnicas de encajes de látices, enunciamos criterios generales para establecer si un grupo de calibre se realiza o no en $T^{d}$, creando una serie de algoritmos para explorar completamente estos espacios de módulos. Para $d=2$, encontramos que los respectivos grupos de calibre coinciden con todas las posibles fibras singulares de las superficies extremas K 3 , lo que corrobora la dualidad con la teoría $F$ en una superficie K3. También construimos un método para transformar los módulos bajo T-dualidad y construimos el mapa que relaciona los módulos de las teorías heteróticas $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ y $S O(32)$ en un toro.

También analizamos las compactificaciones de la
cuerda heterótica en orbifolds asimétricos $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ que realizan la llamada cuerda CHL. Esto es de interés porque los casos $d=2$ y $d=3$ son duales respectivamente a la teoría $F$ y la teoría $M$ en un $K 3$ con una singularidad congelada, que no están bien entendidos. Estudiamos en detalle estas teorías y, con algunas modificaciones a nuestros algoritmos, exploramos y encontramos todos los aumentos de simetría, verificando que satisfacen una condición de centro sin anomalías descubierta muy recientemente.

Finalmente, obtenemos la lista completa de grupos de gauge que se realizan en la cuerda heterótica en 7 d y 6d, incluyendo las compactificaciones toroidales ordinarias, la CHL y otras cuatro componentes realizadas mediante triples de holonomía no triviales. Derivamos un mapa que relaciona los grupos de calibre en las compactificaciones toroidales con las otras componentes. En 7d, coincide con el mecanismo de congelamiento de singularidades en la teoría M en K 3 ; mientras que en 6d mostramos que los posibles congelamientos para cada grupo de calibre están determinados por su topología.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

String Theory is the most developed and promising framework to study quantum gravity. Many efforts have been made to try to reproduce the Standard Model as a low energy effective theory of some string model. The first string proposed, the bosonic string, only included bosons in its spectrum and predicted a tachyon, which signaled an inconsistency in the theory. This motivated the construction of the type I and II superstring theories, which have a tachyon-free supersymmetric spectrum and are formulated in 10 space-time dimensions (this number is fixed by consistency). Their low energy limit is supergravity in 10 dimensions.

Two important aspects of the Standard Model are that it is formulated in 4 dimensions and that it contains some particles with non-Abelian gauge symmetries. A way of reducing the number of dimensions from 10 to 4 is through the mechanism of compactification, that is, to take six of those dimensions to have finite length (e.g. by making them periodic), generalizing Kaluza-Klein theory. The 4-dimensional universe is then a low energy approximation of a more fundamental theory. When one compactifies string theory in special ways, the particles acquire non-Abelian gauge symmetries, making these theories plausible candidates for describing our universe. While in Kaluza-Klein theory the momentum in the fifth dimension is quantized, in string theory compactifications we have a similar situation, with the momentum associated to the string states living on a lattice.

The so called heterotic string combines the bosonic and type II string theories [6]. For consistency the low energy spectrum has two possible gauge group symmetries: $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$, defining two different string theories. These heterotic strings also have super-symmetry and live in a 10 -dimensional space-time, so that they can also be compactified on different geometries [7]. This feature was considered very promising, since the gauge groups of both theories contain $S O(10)$ and $S U(5)$, while $E_{8}$ also contains $E_{6}$, which are the symmetries of the principal Grand Unified Theories. In compactifications to lower dimensions, it is quite simple to break the original gauge symmetries to their subgroups. Depending on the geometry of the compact space, it is also possible to get an enhancement of the original symmetry, creating a rich landscape of theories with very diverse gauge groups. The low energy limit of these theories is just supergravity coupled to super Yang-Mills with the corresponding gauge group.

A simple question one could ask is: what are the possible gauge groups that can be obtained from heterotic string theory in less than 10 dimensions? Can we classify them? This question is relevant from a phenomenological point of view (building realistic examples of our universe);
but it could be connected to a deeper puzzle: what are the possible theories that can be consistently coupled to gravity?

Even for relatively simple geometries, little was known about the possible gauge groups that can appear ${ }^{1}$, let alone the special values for the parameters of the compactification where these enhancements occur. This motivated us to study in detail the structure of the space of these parameters (the moduli space) and to obtain a complete classification of the possible gauge groups for some types of geometries.

Since the strings are extended objects, they can be wrapped along compact dimensions. This originates an equivalence between different string configurations after compactification, which is known as T-duality [8].

It was shown that all the different superstrings are related by this and other dualities, leading to the idea that they are distinct limits of a higher dimensional theory coined M-theory [9]. A strong motivation to study toroidal compactifications of heterotic strings is the dualities they have with other theories: heterotic on $T^{4}$ is dual to type IIA on K3 [10], heterotic on $T^{3}$ is dual to M-theory on K3, while heterotic on $T^{2}$ is dual to F-theory on an elliptically fibered K3. This web of dualities provides a framework for exploring different aspects of string theory.

### 1.2 Results

The principal goal of this thesis is to answer the question of what gauge symmetries are allowed in heterotic string compactifications on geometries that preserve all of the supersymmetry. Although this is a very concrete and relatively simple question, an answer was only known for the two simplest cases: circle compactifications through the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) method [11-13] and, although indirectly, the 2-torus through duality with F-theory from the classification of ADE types of singular fibers in elliptic K3 surfaces [14, 15]. As we will explain in detail, for compact spaces of larger dimensions (which correspond to lowenergy effective theories with less dimensions) and for non-geometrical spaces, a great deal of subtleties appears, turning the aforementioned goal into a highly no-trivial one. A related problem that we will address is the study of the corresponding moduli spaces: their structure, regions of symmetry enhancements and their relation to T-duality. We will take different but complementary approaches.

In [1] we studied compactifications on the circle from the point of view of the 17-dimensional moduli space defined by the parameters. Solving the mass and level matching equations as a function of the moduli, we developed a method for charting the special regions where there is symmetry enhancement to some non-Abelian group of rank 17, finding that the maximal ones (i.e. to groups without $U(1)$ factors) appear on the intersection points of curves where there are non-maximal enhancements. This point of view allowed us to develop tools that are ideal to gain intuition and understand this type of compactifications but, even though they predict a rich variety of gauge symmetries, it was not possible to get all of them. Changing the focus to the fact that the momenta of the spectrum of states are quantized in the even

[^0]self-dual Lorentzian Narain lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}$, we were able to obtain the complete classification of enhancements, together with the regions on moduli space where they arise, using the GDD method.

In [2] we treated the case of toroidal compactifications of arbitrary dimensions, where the models realized also have 16 supercharges and the gauge groups are of ADE type (i.e. $S U(n)$, $\left.S O(2 n), E_{n}\right)$ and have rank $16+d$. We found how the points in the moduli space are related by T-duality and defined the map relating the moduli spaces of the compactified $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ and $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ theories. For $T^{d}$ with $d>1$, the momentum lattice $\Gamma_{d, 16+d}$ is still even and self-dual but not Lorentzian, making it impossible to construct a GDD. A certain gauge group appears in the moduli space if its associated root lattice can be embedded in the corresponding momentum lattice (satisfying certain conditions). This way of looking at the problem allowed us to state some conditions for these groups to appear by using some theorems by Nikulin [16]. We carried out an exhaustive classification of the allowed gauge groups in $d=2$, finding that it matches exactly the one obtained on the dual $F$-theory on elliptically fibered K3 surfaces [15], but also giving the moduli defining the compactification that realizes each case. To perform this classification we made an exploration algorithm which consists in moving from singular points of maximal enhancement in moduli space to others via manipulations of their associated root lattices in a controlled way. This algorithm was improved in subsequent works, allowing us to explore $T^{d}$ compactifications with $d>2$ and to compute the precise global data of the gauge groups.

In [3] we performed a detailed study of compactifications on special $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifolds $^{2}$ (realizing the CHL string $[17,18]$ ), which preserve the supersymmetry and present enhancements of reduced rank $d+8$. One peculiarity is that the gauge groups are not always of ADE type, with groups $S p(n), S O(2 n+1)$ or $F_{4}$ appearing in special regions. Another characteristic is that the momentum lattice is not self-dual for $d>1$ [19]. The latter implies that the criteria stated before for lattices embedding does not apply anymore. This required many changes in the methods developed in the previous work. With a generalization of our exploration algorithm we found the list of gauge symmetries for $d=2,3$ and 4 ( $d=1$ enhancements can be easily found using the associated GDD, which turns out to be the Dynkin diagram of $E_{10}$ ). We computed the precise form of their respective topologies by adapting our methods using results of [20], and checked that they satisfy a condition for anomaly-free one-form center symmetries given in [21].

For $d=1$ and 2 the only known possible ranks for heterotic string compactifications preserving 16 supercharges are $d+16$ and $d+8$, namely the Narain and CHL components ${ }^{3}$. In contrast, for $d \geq 3$ there are more possibilities: non-trivial holonomy triples of [23] produce vacua with reduced ranks $d+4, d+2$ and $d$. We turned to these constructions in [4], adapting and applying the machinery already developed in the previous works to the case of $d=3$ and obtaining the full list of maximal enhancements. These new moduli spaces have less maximal enhancement points due to the reduced rank, but in some cases they present a richer variety

[^1]of gauge groups (e.g. gauge group $G_{2}$ in the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple). Heterotic string on the $T^{3}$ is dual to M-theory on a K3 surface. The gauge groups with reduced rank are realized in the latter when there are partially frozen singularities on the K3 [23-25]. Exploiting lattices embedding techniques, we found the explicit freezing rules that relate the gauge groups on the Narain component with those appearing on the reduced rank ones. We found a perfect match of these rules from the heterotic string side with the known results on M-theory. A generalization of this analysis to $d=4$ was done in [5], where we again obtained the complete classification of groups for all the $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$-triples via our exploration algorithm. ${ }^{4}$ We discovered that the freezing mechanism here involves the topology of the gauge groups, acting on those and only those that are non-simply-connected. Strikingly, the freezing rules constructed coincide with the map relating the moduli space components of flat $G$-bundles on $T^{2}$ with $G$ non-simply-connected.

We only include in the present thesis the lists of gauge groups for the $d=1,2$ and 3 cases. For $d=4$ we refer to [27], where we list the maximal gauge groups for all the 16 supercharges preserving compactifications with 6 or more space-time dimensions. In addition to the Narain, CHL and triples components, there are many more listed there. In general they do not correspond to heterotic string constructions. They were studied in [26], which is left out of this thesis.

In Table 1.1 we list the main information concerning each of the compactifications studied. It is notable that the number of groups that appear on $T^{d}$ or $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ increases exponentially with $d$. Also, as expected, more types of groups are permitted when reducing the rank. Partial explorations for $d \geq 5$ exhibit the same exponential behavior, but the corresponding analysis is not included here. The rules relating the gauge groups in toroidal compactifications to the reduced rank theories found is summarized in Table 1.2.

[^2]| G | $d$ | $r$ | Algebras | Groups | Information | Types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\emptyset$ | 0 | 16 | 2 | 2 |  | DE |
| $S^{1}$ | 1 | 17 | 44 | 44 |  | ADE |
| $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | CHL | ADE |
| $T^{2}$ | 2 | 18 | 325 | 341 | Dual to F-theory on a K3 | ADE |
| $T^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 10 | 61 | 61 | $\mathrm{CHL} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ACDE |
| $T^{3}$ | 3 | 19 | 1035 | 1232 | Dual to M-theory on a K3 | ADE |
| $T^{3} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 3 | 11 | 407 | 429 | $\mathrm{CHL} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ABCDEF |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 3 | 7 | 50 | 52 | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple | ADEG |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 3 | 5 | 16 | 18 | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple | AD |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple | A |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triple | A |
| $T^{4}$ | 4 | 20 | 2252 | 3396 | Dual to IIA on a K3 | ADE |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 4 | 12 | 1988 | 2540 | $\mathrm{CHL} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ABCDEF |
| $T^{4} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 4 | 8 | 154 | 202 | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple | ADEG |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 4 | 6 | 101 | 127 | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple | ACDE |
| $T^{4} \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 4 | 4 | 11 | 14 | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple | AD |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 4 | 4 | 36 | 40 | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triple | ACG |

Table 1.1: Geometry of the compactification space $G$, number of compactified dimensions $d$, rank $r$, number of maximal algebras and groups found and their types.

| d | Algebra | Order | Transforms to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 2 | $\emptyset$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+8}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+6}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+7}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 5,6 | $\emptyset$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~A}_{q n-1}$ | $q=2,3,4,5,6$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{n-1}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+2}$ | $2(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 n}$ | $2(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 n+3}$ | $4(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |

Table 1.2: Freezing rules for the simple factors in the gauge groups for $d \leq 4$. We use the conventions $\mathrm{C}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3} \equiv \mathrm{~B}_{3}, \mathrm{G}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}$,

### 1.3 Structure of the thesis

This thesis is divided in six chapters: The first one is a short introduction to the thesis. Chapter 2 is an introduction to heterotic string and its toroidal compactification, with a detailed analysis
of the structure of the moduli space an its enhancements of symmetry for the circle case based on [1].

In Chapter 3, which is based on [2], we resume with the toroidal compactifications introduced earlier, this time analyzing them from the point of view of lattice embeddings. We introduce an exploration algorithm which we use to classify and give the list of symmetry enhancements of $T^{2}$ compactifications.

In Chapter 4 we analyze in detail the CHL string and its compactifications, constructing them from the heterotic string. We explore the moduli space and give the list of the rank reduced gauge symmetry enhancements for nine and eight space-time dimensions. To this end we improve and generalize the algorithm introduced in the previous chapter. This part is based on [3].

In Chapter 5, based on [4,5], we study different orbifold constructions in 7 and 6 space-time dimensions, obtaining the list of gauge groups that are realized not only on the Narain and CHL components but also four other components realized via non-trivial holonomy triples. Finally, we construct the general freezing rules relating the different components.

In Chapter 6 we summarize the conclusions of this thesis, while at the end we include five appendices: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C with complements to the second, third and fifth chapters; and D with the lists of groups of maximal enhancement for the studied theories. Finally, Appendix E provides a summary in French.

## Chapter 2

## Heterotic string compactifications

A generic point in the moduli space of a compactified theory corresponds to one whose spectrum has Abelian gauge symmetry. To arrive at non-Abelian symmetries we have to choose special regions where there is an enhancement of symmetry. After a brief introduction to heterotic strings and general toroidal compactifications in Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we restrict to one-dimensional compactifications for the rest of this chapter, in order to attack the problem of exploring these special regions explicitly. These compactifications are described by the radius $R$ of the circle and the 16 values of the background field $A$ called Wilson line. This will be the subject of Section 2.3.

There are different configurations of these parameters giving equivalent theories, we say they are related by the T-duality group. Examining the action of this group, we can see that all points in moduli space where there is maximal symmetry enhancement ${ }^{1}$, namely to groups that do not have $U(1)$ factors, are fixed points of T-duality. In the simplest cases, such as those listed below, the enhanced symmetry arises at the self-dual radius given by $R_{s d}^{2}=1-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}$. We explore the action of T-duality and its fixed points in Section 2.3.1. One can have other points of symmetry enhancement, which are fixed points of duality symmetries that involve shifts of Wilson lines on top of the exchange of momentum and winding.

To explore the whole moduli space of circle compactifications, we split the discussion into the situations in which the Wilson line preserves the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32)$ gauge symmetry, and those where it breaks it. In Section 2.3.2 we explain the former case. The circle direction can give a further symmetry enhancement to $E_{8} \times E_{8} \times S U(2)$ at radius $R=1$, and either to $S O(32) \times S U(2)$ at $R=1$ or to $S O(34)$ at $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. When the Wilson line breaks the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32)$ gauge symmetry, the pattern of gauge symmetries is very interesting. Not only is it possible to restore the original $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32)$ gauge symmetry for specific values of $R$ and $A$, but also larger groups of rank 17 can be obtained. In Section 2.3 .3 we explicitly work out enhancements of the HO theory to $S O(34)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2} ; S U(18)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4} ; E_{p+1} \times S O(32-2 p)$ at $R^{2}=1-\frac{p}{8} ; E_{p+1} \times S U(16-p)$ at $R^{2}=1-\frac{8}{16-p}$, and in the HE to $S O(34)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{18}$; $S U(18)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{9} ; S O(18) \times E_{8}$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2} ; S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$. We depict slices of the moduli space for different values of $R$ and Wilson lines in several figures, which clarify the analysis and neatly exhibit the curves and points with special properties. The technique used is presented in Section 2.3.4

Finally, switching to a more lattice-oriented approach that will accompany us for the reminder of the thesis, we find in Section 2.4 all the possible maximal enhancement groups, and

[^3]the point in the fundamental region of moduli space where they arise, using the Generalized Dynkin Diagram of the lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}[11,13]$.

We have included three appendices. Appendix A. 1 collects some known facts about lattices that are used throughout this chapter. Details of the procedures leading to construct the curves of enhancement and more slices of the moduli space are contained in Appendices A. 2 and A. 3 , respectively.

### 2.1 Heterotic String

Both in the bosonic and type II strings, the equation of motion for the fields implies that we can separate them in a left and right-moving part. This separation is translated to the commutation of the operators associated to the left-moving and right-moving oscillations. This decoupling between left and right degrees of freedoms allows us to define a new type of consistent theory, the heterotic string, combining the left-moving fields of the bosonic string and the right-moving fields of one of the superstrings. As for all closed strings, the states are just tensorial products of left and right-moving states subject to the level matching constraint.

For consistency, the heterotic string is defined in 10 space-time dimensions. While the right supersymmetric side is just the same as for the type II strings, the left side needs $26-10=16$ extra degrees of freedom as a consequence of this. There are two standard solutions: adding 16 left-moving bosons or adding 32 left-moving fermions. We will use the former, called the bosonic formulation, as it is the best suited for studying toroidal compactifications. The worldsheet left-moving degrees of freedom are the 10 bosonic fields $X_{L}^{\mu}(\tau+\sigma)$ with $\mu=0, \ldots, 9$ the space-time directions plus 16 internal chiral bosons $Y^{I}$ with $I=1, \ldots, 16$. On the right side we have the usual 10 bosonic fields $X_{R}^{\mu}(\tau-\sigma)$ and their superpartners $\psi_{R}^{\mu}(\tau-\sigma)$. The presence of these fermionic fields guarantees, in combination with a GSO projection, that the spectrum of states is supersymmetric.

The fields $X$ correspond to space-time coordinates while $Y$ correspond to internal degrees of freedom. To achieve a consistent string theory, the $Y^{I}$ have to satisfy periodic boundary conditions. Since they are chiral bosons (constrained by $\partial_{+} Y^{I}=0$ ), the only way of doing this besides taking their associated momentum $p^{I}=0$ is by making them periodic. Just as in usual string compactifications (or Kaluza-Klein theory), this periodicity induces a quantization of their momentum, forcing it to live on some compact space $\Upsilon_{16}$. Moreover, for consistency this space has to be a product of 16 circles of equal radius $R=\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$ (from now on we are taking $\alpha^{\prime}=1$ ).

On a well defined string theory, the 1-loop partition function presents modular invariance. By computing and demanding it to be invariant under the transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$, we find that $\frac{1}{2}\left|p^{I}\right|^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\Upsilon_{16}\right.$ is an even lattice); and by demanding invariance under $\tau \rightarrow \frac{-1}{\tau}$ and using the Poisson summation formula, we get $\Upsilon_{16}^{*}=\Upsilon_{16}$, with $\Upsilon_{16}^{*}$ the dual lattice. In conclusion the heterotic momentum lies on a very special type of lattice, an Euclidean, even and self-dual one. ${ }^{2}$

[^4]Even self-dual definite lattices exist only for rank multiple of 8. There are two possibilities for rank 16: the lattice of weights of the group $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the lattice of weights of $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$, denoted respectively by $\Gamma_{16}$ and $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ with $\Gamma_{N}$ being defined as the set of $N$-dimensional vectors with integer components together with the set of vectors with half-integer components whose sum is an even number (see Appendix A. 1 for details on these lattices). We have then two different supersymmetric heterotic string theories, the so called $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ heterotic string or HO , with heterotic momentum $p^{I} \in \Gamma_{16}$ and the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ heterotic string or HE with $p^{I} \in \Gamma_{8} \times \Gamma_{8}$ :

$$
\Upsilon_{16}= \begin{cases}\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}, & \text { for (HE) }  \tag{2.1.1}\\ \Gamma_{16}, & \text { for (HO) }\end{cases}
$$

### 2.1.1 Spectrum

We now describe the spectrum of the HE and HO theories in the light-cone gauge. Since they are closed strings, the possible states are made by taking the tensorial product between one state from the left sector and one from the right, subject to the level matching condition.

The mass equation is $m_{L}^{2}=N_{L}+\frac{1}{2}\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}-1$, with $N_{L}$ the number operator for the left-moving oscillators $\alpha_{-n}^{\mu}$ and $\alpha_{-n}^{A}$ and $p_{L}$ the heterotic momentum.

For $N_{L}=p_{L}=0$ we have the tachyonic vacuum state of bosonic string $|0\rangle$.
On the massless level we have:

- $\left(N_{L}=1, p_{L}=0\right): \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}|0\rangle$ space-time vector with $\mu=2, \ldots, 9$.
- $\left(N_{L}=1, p_{L}=0\right): \alpha_{-1}^{I}|0\rangle 16$ Abelian gauge bosons $\left(U(1)^{16}\right.$ Cartan subalgebra of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32))$.
- $\left(N_{L}=0,\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}=2\right):\left|p_{L}\right\rangle=e^{i p^{I} Y^{I}}|0\rangle$, with $p_{L}$ a root of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32)$ (480 nonAbelian gauge bosons).

We have an infinite tower of massive states for bigger values of $N$ or $\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}$.
The right sector is identical to the other superstrings, the mass equation is

$$
m_{R}^{2}= \begin{cases}N_{R} & \text { in } R \\ N_{R}-\frac{1}{2} & \text { in } N S\end{cases}
$$

with $N_{R}$ the number operator for the right-moving oscillators, $\bar{\psi}_{-n}^{\mu}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^{\mu}$. with the zero-point energy being different in the Ramond (R) and Neveu-Schwarz (NS) sectors. We apply the same GSO projection as in the type II strings, requiring for an odd (even) number of fermions on the NS (R) sector; therefore, eliminating the tachyon $|0\rangle_{N S}$ from the spectrum. The massless states are:

- $\left(N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}\right): \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0\rangle_{N S}$ space-time vector with $\mu=2, \ldots, 9$.
- $\left(N_{R}=0\right):|s\rangle_{R}$ space-time spinor, superpartner of the vector.

We again have an infinite number of massive states with bigger $N_{R}$ on both the $N S$ and $R$ sectors.

The level matching condition is just $m_{L}^{2}=m_{R}^{2}$ :

$$
N+\frac{1}{2}\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}-1= \begin{cases}N_{R} & \text { in } R  \tag{2.1.2}\\ N_{R}-\frac{1}{2} & \text { in } N S\end{cases}
$$

The states of heterotic strings will be the product between one state on the left and one on the right that satisfy this equation. The only negative value of the LHS is -1 , but the RHS is always bigger than $-\frac{1}{2}$, expelling from the spectrum the tachyon inherited from the bosonic string. The GSO projection on the right sector guarantees that each heterotic string state has a superpartner, making the spectrum $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric in 10 dimensions.

The massless states are

- Supergravity sector:
$-\left(N_{L}=1, p_{L}=0, N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}\right): \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\nu}|0\rangle_{N S} \longrightarrow$ graviton, Kalb-Ramond two-form and dilaton.
$-\left(N_{L}=1, p_{L}=0, N_{R}=0\right): \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}|a\rangle_{R} \longrightarrow$ gravitino and dilatino.
- Gauge bosons of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ :
$-\left(N_{L}=1, p_{L}=0, N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}\right): \alpha_{-1}^{I} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0\rangle_{N S} \longrightarrow 16$ space-time vectors associated to the Cartan subalgebra.
$-\left(N_{L}=0,\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}=2, N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}\right): e^{i \bar{p}^{I} Y^{I}} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0\rangle_{N S} \longrightarrow 480$ space-time vectors associated to the roots.
$-\left(N_{L}+\frac{1}{2}\left|p_{L}\right|^{2}=1, N_{R}=0\right): \alpha_{-1}^{I}|a\rangle_{R}, e^{i p^{I} Y^{I}}|a\rangle_{R} \longrightarrow$ the gaugini.
The low energy limit is then 10 -dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ supergravity coupled to super Yang-Mills theory with gauge groups $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Since both groups have dimension 496 , both strings have the same number of massless states. In fact, it is easy to prove that at each massive level the number of states is the same for both theories.


### 2.2 Toroidal compactifications

As shown in the previous section, the states of the heterotic string live on a 10 -dimensional space-time: to make contact with our universe it is necessary to compactify 6 of these dimensions. If the characteristic length of the compact geometry is small enough, the low-energy effective theory will be 4-dimensional. The simplest compactifications of $d$ dimensions occur for $d$-torus. As we will see, a rich and interesting pattern of gauge symmetries and some subtleties appear already for the smallest values of $d$. In this section we will describe the compactification on a torus of arbitrary dimension, focusing on the case $d=1$ for the remainder of this chapter. For a more complete review see [28].

The bosonic part of the action for the non-linear sigma model of heterotic string is given by $S=S_{b}+S_{h}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{b}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int d \tau d \sigma\left(\delta^{\alpha \beta} G_{\mu \nu} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu}-i \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} B_{\mu \nu} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu}\right) \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have the usual background fields of the gravitational sector: $G_{\mu \nu}$ and $B_{\mu \nu}$ (we are taking $\Phi=0$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{h}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int d \tau d \sigma\left(\delta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{I} \partial_{\beta} Y^{I}-2 i \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{I} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} Y^{I}\right) \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have an additional background field $A_{\mu}^{I}$, with a space-time index $\mu=0, \ldots, 9$ and a heterotic chiral index $I=1, \ldots, 16 . \alpha=1,2$ are the world-sheet coordinates $\sigma$ and $\tau$. For both actions we gauge fixed the world-sheet metric to $\delta^{\alpha \beta}$ and defined $\epsilon^{01}=1$.

Now we compactify on a $T^{d}$, denoting the compact directions as $i, j=1, \ldots, d$. We turn on constant background metric $G_{i j}$, antisymmetric two-form $B_{i j}$ and $U(1)^{16}$ gauge field $A_{i}^{I}$. For simplicity we take the background dilaton to be zero. The $d$ fields $A_{i}^{I}$ have null field-strength and are called Wilson lines, we will have one of them for each toroidal direction.

The set of vectors $e_{i}$ define a basis in the compactification lattice $\Lambda^{d}$ such that the internal part of the target space is the $d$-dimensional torus $T^{d}=\mathbb{R}^{d} / \pi \Lambda^{d}$, they satisfy $G=$ $e^{t} e\left(\Rightarrow G_{i j}=e^{a}{ }_{i} \delta_{a b} e^{b}{ }_{j}\right)$. The vectors $\hat{e}_{a}$ constitute the canonical basis for the dual lattice $\Lambda^{d *}$, i.e. $\hat{e}_{a}{ }^{i} e^{a}{ }_{j}=\delta^{i}{ }_{j}$, and thus they obey $\hat{e}^{t} \hat{e}=G^{-1}\left(\Rightarrow \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{i} \delta^{a b} \hat{e}_{b}{ }^{j}=G^{i j}\right)$.

The contribution from the internal sector to the world-sheet action (we consider only the bosonic sector here) is

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{M} d \tau d \sigma\left(\delta^{\alpha \beta} G_{i j}-i \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} B_{i j}\right) \partial_{\alpha} Y^{i} \partial_{\beta} Y^{n} \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{M} d \tau d \sigma\left(\delta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{I} \partial_{\beta} Y^{I}-2 i \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} A_{i}^{I} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{i} \partial_{\beta} Y^{I}\right), \tag{2.2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(recall we are always taking $\alpha^{\prime}=1$ ), $Y^{I}$ are chiral bosons and the currents $\partial Y^{I}$ form a maximal commuting set of the $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ current algebra. The internal string coordinate fields satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{i}(\tau, \sigma+2 \pi) \simeq Y^{i}(\tau, \sigma)+2 \pi w^{i} \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the winding numbers. It is convenient to define holomorphic $Y_{L}^{i}(z)$ and antiholomorphic $Y_{R}^{i}(\bar{z})$ fields as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{i}(z, \bar{z})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[Y_{L}^{i}(z)+Y_{R}^{i}(\bar{z})\right], \quad z=\exp (\tau+i \sigma), \bar{z}=\exp (\tau-i \sigma) \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Laurent expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{L}^{i}(z) & =y_{L}^{i}-i p_{L}^{i} \ln z+\cdots, \quad Y_{L}^{I}(z)=y_{L}^{I}-i p^{I} \ln z+\cdots,  \tag{2.2.6}\\
Y_{R}^{i}(\bar{z}) & =y_{R}^{i}-i p_{R}^{i} \ln \bar{z}+\cdots, \tag{2.2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

the dots standing for the oscillators contribution. Then the periodicity condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{i}(\tau, \sigma+2 \pi)-Y^{i}(\tau, \sigma)=2 \pi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(p_{L}^{i}-p_{R}^{i}\right)=2 \pi w^{i} \tag{2.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical momentum has components ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{i} & =i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_{\tau} Y^{i}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[i G_{i j} \partial_{\tau} Y^{n}+B_{i j} \partial_{\sigma} Y^{j}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}^{I} \partial_{\sigma} Y^{I}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{2}}\left[G_{i j}\left(p_{L}^{j}+p_{R}^{j}\right)+B_{i j}\left(p_{L}^{j}-p_{R}^{j}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4 \pi} A_{i}^{I} p^{I} \\
\Pi^{I} & =i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_{\tau} Y^{I}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(i \partial_{\tau} Y^{I}-A_{i}^{I} \partial_{\sigma} Y^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[p^{I}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_{i}^{I}\left(p_{L}^{i}-p_{R}^{i}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The chirality constraint on $Y^{I}$ and the condition of vanishing Dirac brackets between momentum components require the redefinitions $\Pi_{I} \rightarrow \tilde{\Pi}_{I}=2 \Pi_{I}$ and $\Pi_{i} \rightarrow \tilde{\Pi}_{i}=\Pi_{i}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}^{I} \tilde{\Pi}_{I}$. Integrating over $\sigma$, we get the center of mass momenta

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{i} & =\int d \sigma \tilde{\Pi}_{i}=2 \pi\left(\Pi_{i}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}^{I} \tilde{\Pi}_{I}\right)=n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{2.2.9a}\\
\pi^{I} & =\int d \sigma \tilde{\Pi}^{I}=p^{I}-A_{i}^{I} w^{i} \tag{2.2.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used univaluedness of the wave function in the first line. $\pi_{i} \equiv n_{i}$ are integer vectors, while modular invariance requires $\pi^{I} \in \Gamma_{16}$ or $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, corresponding to the HO or HE theory, respectively.

From these equations we get

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{R a} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{i}\left[n_{i}-\left(G_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) w^{j}-\pi^{I} A_{i}^{I}-\frac{1}{2} A_{j}^{I} A_{i}^{I} w^{j}\right]  \tag{2.2.10a}\\
p_{L a} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{i}\left[n_{i}+\left(G_{i j}-B_{i j}\right) w^{j}-\pi^{I} A_{i}^{I}-\frac{1}{2} A_{j}^{I} A_{i}^{I} w^{j}\right]  \tag{2.2.10b}\\
p^{I} & =\pi^{I}+w^{i} A_{i}^{I} \tag{2.2.10c}
\end{align*}
$$

The momentum $\mathbf{p}=\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)$, with $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=p_{R a}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(p_{L a}, p^{I}\right)$, transforms as a vector under $O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{R})$. It expands the $2 d+16$-dimensional momentum lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 d+16}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=p_{L a} \delta^{a b} p_{L b}^{\prime}+p^{I} p^{\prime I}-p_{R a} \delta^{a b} p_{R b}^{\prime}=n_{i} \omega^{\prime i}+n_{i}^{\prime} \omega^{i}+\pi^{I} \pi^{\prime I} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}=2 w^{i} n_{i}+\pi^{I} \pi^{I} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\pi^{I}$ is on an even lattice, and therefore $\mathbf{p}$ forms an even $(d, d+16)$ Lorentzian lattice for any values of the background fields called the Narain lattice. In addition, self-duality $\Gamma_{d, d+16}=\Gamma_{d, d+16}^{*}$ follows from modular invariance $[7,29]$. Note that $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}$ depend on $2 d+16$

[^5]integer parameters $n_{i}, w^{i}$ and $\pi^{I}$, and on the background fields $G, B$ and $A$.
The space of inequivalent lattices and inequivalent backgrounds reduces to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{R})}{O(d+16 ; \mathbb{R}) \times O(d ; \mathbb{R}) \times O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})}, \tag{2.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the T-duality group (we give more details about it in the next section).
The mass of the states and the level matching condition are respectively given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
m^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}+2\left(N_{L}+N_{R}-\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{R} \text { sector } \\
\frac{3}{2} & \text { NS sector }
\end{array}\right),\right.  \tag{2.2.14a}\\
0=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}+2\left(N_{L}-N_{R}-\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{R} \text { sector } \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text { NS sector }
\end{array}\right)\right. \tag{2.2.14b}
\end{gather*}
$$

the difference between $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}$ is given by $2 w^{i} n_{i}+\pi^{I} \pi^{I}$, but the sum depends on the background fields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}=G^{i j} n_{i} n_{j}+\left(G_{i j}+G^{k l} C_{j l} C_{i k}+A_{i}^{I} A_{j}^{I}\right) \omega^{i} \omega^{j}+ \\
& \quad\left(G^{k l} A_{r}^{I} A_{l}^{J}+\delta^{I J}\right) \pi^{I} \pi^{J}-2 G^{i k} C_{k j} n_{i} w^{j}-2 G^{i k} A_{r}^{I} n_{i} \pi^{I}+2\left(G^{i k} C_{i j} A_{k}^{I}+A_{j}^{I}\right) w^{j} \pi^{I} \tag{2.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{i j} \equiv B_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}^{I} A_{j}^{I}$.
Because the Narain lattice is even and self-dual, we have modular invariance guaranteed for all toroidal compactifications. It can be shown that, unlike the Euclidean case, these lattices are unique except for a pseudo-orthogonal transformation $O(d, d+16)$. Orthogonal transformations $O(d) \times O(d+16)$ that do not mix $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}$ produce equivalent lattices, then the moduli space of inequivalent compactifications would be given by $\frac{O(d, d+16)}{O(d) \times O(d+16)}{ }^{4}$, with the number of moduli equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{2}+16 d=\frac{d(d+1)}{2}+\frac{d(d-1)}{2}+16 d \tag{2.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that the moduli space is parameterized by the components of $G_{i j}, B_{i j}$ and the $d$ Wilson lines $A_{i}^{I}$.

### 2.2.1 Massless spectrum

In compactifications on $T^{d}$, the spectrum depends on the background fields. When $\left(N_{L}, N_{R}\right)=$ $\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ there are the same number of massless states at any point in moduli space (we refer to this as sector 1). When $\left(N_{L}, N_{R}\right)=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we see from (2.2.10) that there are no massless states for generic values of the metric, $B$-field and Wilson lines $A_{i}^{I}$, while for certain values of these fields the momenta can lie in the weight lattice of a rank $2 d+16$ group $G_{L} \times G_{R}$ (we refer to this as sector 2). In this case, there is a subgroup with $\left|\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right)\right|^{2}=2$ which can give rise to massless states. Subtracting (2.2.14a) and (2.2.14b) we see that massless states have $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=\mathbf{0}$,

[^6]and thus (unlike in the bosonic string theory), the non-Abelian gauge symmetry comes from the left sector only. The group $G_{L} \times U(1)_{R}^{d}$ in which the massless states transform defines the gauge group of the theory, with $G_{L}$ a simply-laced group of rank $16+d$ and dimension $N$, that depends on the point in moduli space (which is spanned by $G_{i j}, B_{i j}, A_{j}^{I}$ ). Specifically, the $10-d$ dimensional massless bosonic spectrum are given by $(\mu, \nu=0, \ldots, 9-d ; i, j=1, \ldots, d$; $I=1, \ldots, 16)$ :

1. $N_{L}=1, N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$ :

- Common gravitational sector: $G_{\mu \nu}, B_{\mu \nu}, D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-1}^{\mu} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\nu}|0, k\rangle_{N S} \tag{2.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $d$ KK left Abelian gauge vectors: $G_{i \mu}+B_{i \mu} \equiv a_{i \mu}$ and 16 Cartan generators of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}: a_{\mu}^{I}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-1}^{\hat{I}} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0, k\rangle_{N S} \tag{2.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $\hat{I}=(I, i)$ includes both the chiral "heterotic" directions and the compact toroidal ones, labeling the Cartan sector of the gauge group $G_{L}$.

- $d$ KK right Abelian gauge vectors: $G_{i \mu}-B_{i \mu} \equiv \bar{a}_{i \mu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-1}^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i}|0, k\rangle_{N S} \tag{2.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $d(d+16)$ scalars: $G_{i j}, B_{i j}, a_{i}^{I}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-1}^{\hat{I}} \bar{\chi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i}|0, k\rangle_{N S} \tag{2.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $N_{L}=0, N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{p}_{L}^{2}=2, \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{R}}=0$ :

- $(N-d-16)$ root vectors: $a_{\mu}^{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}\left|0, k, \pi_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{N S}, \tag{2.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ are the roots of $G_{L}$ (or equivalently the left momenta).

- $(N-d-16) \times d$ scalars: $a_{\alpha i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\chi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i}\left|0, k, \pi_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{N S} \tag{2.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The massive states are obtained increasing the oscillation numbers $N_{L}$ and $N_{R}$ or choosing $\left|\left(p_{R}, p_{L}\right)\right|^{2} \geq 4$.

Due to the uniqueness of Lorentzian self-dual lattices [11] both heterotic theories on $T^{d}$ can be connected continuously $[7,30]$, i.e. they belong to the same moduli space. The possible enhanced non-Abelian gauge symmetry groups are those with root lattices admitting an embedding into $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. Although some theorems on lattice embeddings are known [16], it is a
non-trivial problem to determine which groups admit an embedding ${ }^{5}$, as we will study in the next chapter. Here we present a general discussion.

Using that $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$, we get from (2.2.10) that the massless states have left-moving momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\sqrt{2} \hat{e}_{a i} w^{i}, \pi^{I}+w^{i} A_{i}^{I}\right) \tag{2.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

while their momentum number on the torus is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i}=\left(G_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) w^{j}+\pi^{I} A_{i}^{I}+\frac{1}{2} A_{j}^{I} A_{i}^{I} w^{j} \tag{2.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that quantization of momentum number on the torus is a further condition to be imposed on top of $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$.

In the absence of Wilson lines $A_{i}^{I}=0$, the $d$ torus directions decouple from the 16 chiral "heterotic directions" $Y^{I} ; p^{I}=\pi^{I}$ is a vector of the weight lattice of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and then $\left|p^{I}\right|^{2} \in 2 \mathbb{N}$. The only possible massless states then have either momenta $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, \pi^{I}\right)$ with $|\pi|^{2}=2$, or $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\sqrt{2} e_{a j} w^{j}, 0\right)$ with $w^{i} G_{i j} w^{j}=1$ (and additionally $n_{i} w^{i}=1$ ). The former are the root vectors of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$, while the latter have solutions only for certain values of the metric and $B$-field on the torus and lead to the same groups as in the (left sector of) bosonic string theory, namely all simply-laced groups $H$ of rank $d$. The total gauge group is then $S O(32) \times H \times U(1)_{R}^{d}$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8} \times H \times U(1)_{R}^{d}$. For $d=1$, i.e. a circle compactification, $H$ is $S U(2)$ at $G_{11}=R^{2}=1$, and $U(1)$ for any other value of the radius. For compactifications on $T^{2}$, the possible groups of maximal enhancement (see footnote 1) are $S O(32) \times S U(2)_{L}^{2} \times U(1)_{R}^{2}$ (for a diagonal metric with both circles at the self-dual radius and no $B$-field) or $S O(32) \times S U(3)_{L} \times U(1)_{R}^{2}$ (equivalently $S O(32) \rightarrow E_{8} \times E_{8}$ ). See [32] for details.

Turning on Wilson lines, the pattern of gauge symmetries is more complicated, and also richer. In the sector with zero winding numbers, $w^{i}=0$, we have $p^{I}=\pi^{I}$ as before, but now requiring a quantized momentum number imposes $\pi^{I} A_{i}^{I} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see (2.2.24)) which, for a generic Wilson line breaks all the gauge symmetry leaving only $\pi^{I}=0$, which corresponds to the $U(1)^{16}$ Cartan subgroup. The opposite situation corresponds to $A_{i}^{I} \in \Gamma_{g}^{*}{ }^{6}$. For HE, since $\Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}, A_{i}^{I}$ is innocuous because the momentum (2.2.10) remains the same if one substitutes $n_{i} \rightarrow n_{i}+\pi^{I} A_{i}^{I}-\frac{1}{2} A_{j}^{I} A_{i}^{I} w^{j}$ and $\pi^{I} \rightarrow \pi^{I}-w^{i} A_{i}^{I}$; and thus the pattern of gauge symmetries is as for no Wilson line. In the HO theory, the same conclusions hold if $A \in \Gamma_{16}$, but one has the more interesting possibility $A \in \Gamma_{v}$ or $A \in \Gamma_{c}$, where the $S O(32)$ symmetry is not broken, and the 16 chiral heterotic directions can be combined with the torus ones, giving larger groups which are not products. When analyzing the sectors with non-zero winding numbers we can have an incredible variety of enhancements for $A_{i}^{I} \notin \Gamma_{g}^{*}$, as we will show in the next section for the circle case and in the next chapter for $T^{2}$.

Let us discuss the different groups that can arise in points of moduli space where the enhancement is maximal. In that case, the matrices that embed the internal sector of the heterotic theory on $T^{d}$ into a $16+d$-dimensional bosonic theory are related to the Cartan

[^7]matrix $\mathcal{C}$ by [28]
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(G+\frac{1}{2} A^{I} A^{I}\right)_{i j} & \frac{1}{2} A_{i}{ }^{I} \\
\frac{1}{2} A^{I}{ }_{j} & G_{I J}
\end{array}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\hat{I} \hat{J}}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{i j} & \frac{1}{2} A_{i}{ }^{I} \\
-\frac{1}{2} A^{I}{ }_{j} & B_{I J}
\end{array}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\hat{I} \hat{J}} & \text { for } \hat{I}<\hat{J} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\hat{I} \hat{J}} & \text { for } \hat{I}>\hat{J} \\
0 & \text { for } \hat{I}=\hat{J}
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.2.25}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

One can then view the possible maximal enhancements from Dynkin diagrams. Let us first consider Wilson lines that do not break the original gauge group, i.e. $A \in \Gamma_{g}^{*}$. We start with the HO theory. The Dynkin diagram of $S O(32)$ is


The Dynkin diagrams of the gauge symmetry groups arising at points of maximal enhancement in the compactification of the HO theory on $T^{d}$ have $d$ extra nodes, with or without lines in between. Since the resulting groups have to be in the ADE class (they are all simply laced), one cannot add nodes with lines on the left side. Therefore, the nodes should be added on the right side, linked or not to the last node, and additionally add lines linking them to each other, or not. For one dimensional compactifications $(d=1)$, the only possibilities are

corresponding respectively to $S O(32) \times S U(2)$ and $S O(34)$. Since a line in the Dynkin diagram means that the new simple root is not orthogonal to the former one, then the Cartan matrix for this situation should have an off-diagonal term in the row corresponding to the new node and the column of the previous node, which according to (2.2.25) means that there is a non-zero Wilson line. Thus, no Wilson line (or a line in $\Gamma_{16}$, which is equivalent to no Wilson line) gives the enhancement group $S O(32) \times S U(2)$ and, as explained above, this enhancement works as in the bosonic theory, at $R=1$. The enhancement symmetry group $S O(34)$ is obtained with a Wilson line in the vector or negative-chirality spinor conjugacy classes, and will be presented in detail in Section 2.3.3.1. For compactifications on $T^{d}$, the $d$ extra nodes give as largest enhancement symmetry group $S O(32+2 d)$, and this happens when Wilson lines in all directions are turned on. For less symmetric Wilson lines one gets smaller groups, and it is easy to see from the Dynkin diagrams what are all the possible groups. Here we draw all the possibilities for $d=2$ only

corresponding respectively to $S O(36), S O(34) \times S U(2), S O(32) \times S U(2)^{2}$ and $S O(32) \times S U(3)$. For the HE theory, the situation is less rich in the cases in which the dimension of the resulting group is larger than that of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$. As we explained above, since $\Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, a

Wilson line that preserves the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ symmetry should be in the lattice, and thus equivalent to no Wilson line. This can also be seen from the Dynkin diagram of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$

where we see immediately that the extra nodes cannot be linked to any of the $E_{8}$ 's, as any extra line would get us away from $A D E$. Then the possible enhancements are groups which are products of the form $E_{8} \times E_{8} \times H$, where $H$ is any semisimple group of rank $d$, and each $H$ arises at the same point in moduli space as in the compactifications of the bosonic theory on $T^{d}$ [32]. However, maximal enhancement can still be obtained by breaking one of the $E_{8}$ to $S O(16)$, and then the richness of the $S O(32)$ case is recovered (e.g. enhancement to $S O(18) \times E_{8}$ ).

Points of enhancement are fixed points of some $O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry. Enhancement groups that are not semisimple, i.e. that contain $U(1)$ factors, arise at curves, surfaces or hypersurfaces in moduli space. On the contrary, maximal enhancement occurs at isolated points in moduli space. This is developed in Section 2.3.1 for some compactifications on a circle, to which we now turn.

### 2.3 Compactifications on a circle

In this section we will study in great detail the case of circle compactifications. We start by specializing the previous chapter observations to $d=1$.

The momentum components (2.2.10) are $^{7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{R} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n-R^{2} w-\pi \cdot A-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} w\right], \\
p_{L} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n+R^{2} w-\pi \cdot A-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} w\right], \\
p^{I} & =\pi^{I}+w A^{I}, \tag{2.3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|A|^{2}=A^{I} A^{I}=A \kappa A^{t} .{ }^{8}$ The massless states, which satisfy $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$, have left-moving momenta

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(\sqrt{2} R w, \pi^{I}+w A^{I}\right)=\left(\sqrt{2} R w, p^{I}\right) \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and momentum number on the circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left(R^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}\right) w+\pi \cdot A . \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $\left|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right|^{2}=2$ can be written in the following form, that we shall use

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\pi+w A|^{2}=2\left(1-w^{2} R^{2}\right) \tag{2.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]In the sector $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=0$ one has $n=w=\pi^{I}=0$, and the massless spectrum corresponds to the common gravitational sector and 18 Abelian gauge bosons: 16 from the Cartan sector of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ or $S O(32)$ and 2 KK vectors, forming the $U(1)^{18}$ gauge group.

The condition $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$ can be achieved in two possible ways:

1) $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, p^{I}\right)$, with $\left|p^{I}\right|^{2}=2$,
2) $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm s, p^{I}\right)$, with $0<s \leq \sqrt{2}, s^{2}+\left|p^{I}\right|^{2}=2$.

From (2.3.2) we see that sector 1 has $w=0$ and then (2.3.1) implies $p^{I}=\pi^{I}$. The condition on the norm says that these are the roots of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$. But as explained in the previous section, one has to impose further that $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus from (2.3.3), $\pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$. We divide the discussion into two cases, one in which this condition does not break the $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ symmetry, and the second one in which it does. This distinction is useful to understand the enhancement process but, as we will see, is somewhat artificial: all enhancement groups, including those with $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ as subgroups, can be achieved with Wilson lines that are not in the dual lattice by appropriately choosing the radius.

### 2.3.1 T-duality

In this section we discuss the action of T-duality in the heterotic string compactified on a circle. By T-duality we mean the action of certain type of transformations in $O(1,17, \mathbb{Z})$ that relate a given heterotic theory with 16 -dimensional lattice $\Gamma$, compactified on a circle of radius $R$ and Wilson line $A$, to another heterotic theory with lattice $\Gamma^{\prime}$, compactified on a circle of radius $R^{\prime}$ and Wilson line $A^{\prime}$.

The "generalized metric" of the circle, given by a $18 \times 18$ scalar matrix, is

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
R^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right)^{2} & -\frac{1}{2} A^{2} & \left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) A  \tag{2.3.5}\\
-\frac{1}{2} A^{2} & \frac{1}{R^{2}} & -\frac{1}{R^{2}} A \\
\left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) A^{t} & -\frac{1}{R^{2}} A^{t} & \mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} A^{t} A
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have defined the scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}^{2} \equiv \frac{|A|^{2}}{R^{2}} \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a symmetric element of $O(1,17)$, accounting for the degrees of freedom of the $\frac{O(1,17)}{O(17)}$ coset.

As in [33], $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{R} ; p_{L}, p^{I}\right)$ can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=w \bar{k}+n k+\pi \cdot l \tag{2.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{R} ; \frac{1}{R}, 0\right), \quad \bar{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-R-\frac{A^{2}}{2 R} ; R-\frac{A^{2}}{2 R}, \sqrt{2} A^{I}\right), \quad l^{I}=\left(-\frac{A^{I}}{\sqrt{2} R} ;-\frac{A^{I}}{\sqrt{2} R}, u^{I}\right) . \tag{2.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u^{I}$ is a Cartesian 16-dimensional basis vector. The inner product is taken with the Lorentzian metric $(-;+, \ldots,+)$. Thus $k \cdot k=\bar{k} \cdot \bar{k}=0, k \cdot \bar{k}=1, l^{I} \cdot l^{J}=\delta^{I J}, k \cdot l^{I}=\bar{k} \cdot l^{I}=0$. We
define the charge vector as the $O(1,17)$ vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z\rangle=\left|w, n ; \pi^{I}\right\rangle . \tag{2.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of basis to $\mathbf{p}$ is easily read from (2.3.7). In the rest of the thesis we will work extensively on this basis.

The mass formula (2.2.14a) and level matching condition (2.2.14b) read

$$
\begin{align*}
& m^{2}=2\left(N_{L}+N_{R}-\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{R} \text { sector } \\
\frac{3}{2} & \text { NS sector }
\end{array}\right)+\langle Z| \mathcal{M}|Z\rangle,\right.  \tag{2.3.10}\\
& 0=2\left(N_{L}-N_{R}-\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{R} \text { sector } \\
\frac{1}{2} & \text { NS sector }
\end{array}\right)+\langle Z \mid Z\rangle,\right. \tag{2.3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, with the inner product defined by the $O(1,17)$ invariant metric

$$
\eta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{2.3.12}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_{I J}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Z^{\prime} \mid Z\right\rangle=w^{\prime} n+n^{\prime} w+\pi^{\prime} \cdot \pi . \tag{2.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that these equations are invariant under the T-duality group $O(1,17 ; \mathbb{Z})$ acting as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z\rangle \rightarrow O \eta|Z\rangle, \quad \mathcal{M} \rightarrow O \mathcal{M} O^{t}, \quad O \in O(1,17, \mathbb{Z}) . \tag{2.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $O(1,17 ; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by:

- $\Lambda$-parameter shifts associated to the addition of a vector $\Lambda^{I}$ to the Wilson line

$$
O_{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda|^{2} & \Lambda  \tag{2.3.15}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\Lambda^{t} & 1_{16 \times 16}
\end{array}\right), \quad \Lambda \in \Upsilon_{16}
$$

- Factorized duality, which is the generalization of the $R \rightarrow 1 / R$ circle duality, of the form

$$
O_{D}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{2.3.16}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1_{16 \times 16}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The transformation of the charges under the action of $O_{\Lambda}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|w^{\prime}, n^{\prime} ; \pi^{\prime I}\right\rangle=\left.\left|w, n-\frac{1}{2}\right| \Lambda\right|^{2}+\Lambda \cdot \pi ; \pi^{I}-\Lambda^{I} w\right\rangle . \tag{2.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The duality generated by $O_{D}$ is the usual T-duality transformation exchanging momentum
and winding numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w^{\prime}, n^{\prime} ; \pi^{\prime I}\right\rangle=\left|n, w ; \pi^{I}\right\rangle . \tag{2.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\pi$ stays untouched, this duality is possible if $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma$. Its action on the background fields can be worked out from the generalized metric (2.3.5). The action of $O_{D}$ transforms it into

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=O_{D} \mathcal{M} O_{D}^{t}=\mathcal{M}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{R^{2}} & -\frac{1}{2} A^{2} & -\frac{1}{R^{2}} A  \tag{2.3.19}\\
-\frac{1}{2} A^{2} & R^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right)^{2} & \left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) A \\
-\frac{1}{R^{2}} A^{t} & \left(1+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) A^{t} & \mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{R^{2}} A^{t} A
\end{array}\right)
$$

and thus we get

$$
A^{\prime}=-\frac{A}{R^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)}, \quad R^{\prime}=\frac{1}{R\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)} \quad\left(\Rightarrow \frac{A^{\prime}}{R^{\prime}}=-\frac{A}{R}\right)
$$

in agreement with the heterotic Buscher rules for scalars [34]. We get that a background has $R^{\prime}=R$ for

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{sd}}^{2}=1-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} \quad\left(\Rightarrow R^{\prime}=R, A^{\prime}=-A\right) \tag{2.3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, if $2 A \in \Gamma^{\prime}$, then $A^{\prime}=-A \sim A$, and therefore the background is fully self-dual, satisfying $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ up to discrete transformations.

All the examples of enhancement that we will discuss in Section 2.3.3 except for 2.3.3.8 satisfy the self-duality condition (2.3.20). By performing a $\Lambda$-shift to the Wilson line of 2.3.3.8 we can bring it to the equivalent one $A=\left(\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)_{2},\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{6}, 0_{8}\right)$, which satisfies (2.3.20).

For Wilson lines with only one non-zero component, we have that the fixed "points" of this symmetry correspond actually to lines of non-maximal enhancement symmetry where the Wilson lines are functions of the radius $\left(A=A\left(R_{\mathrm{sd}}\right)\right)$, and are such that $A \sim A_{\mathrm{sd}}$, with $\left|A_{\mathrm{sd}}\right|^{2}=2\left(1-R_{\mathrm{sd}}^{2}\right)$.

### 2.3.2 Enhancement of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ symmetry

If we want the condition $\pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$ not to select a subset of the possible $\pi^{I}$ in the root lattice, or in other words not to break the $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ gauge symmetry, we have to impose

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \in \Gamma_{g}^{*}, \tag{2.3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{8} \times \Gamma_{8} \text { for } E_{8} \times E_{8} \quad \text { or } \quad \Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{w}=\Gamma_{16}+\Gamma_{v}+\Gamma_{c} \text { for } S O(32) .
$$

We restrict to this case now, and leave the discussion of the possible symmetry breakings to the next section.

Sector 2 contributes states only at radii $R^{2}=s^{2} /\left(2 w^{2}\right)$. The momentum number of these
states given in (2.3.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{w}+|A|^{2} w\right)+\pi \cdot A=\frac{1}{w}\left(1-\frac{|\pi|^{2}}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the last equality we have used (2.3.2) and $\left|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right|^{2}=2$.
If $A \in \Gamma,{ }^{9}$ the condition $\left|p^{I}\right|^{2}<2$ can only be satisfied for $p^{I}=\pi^{I}+w A^{I}=0$. Then we have $s^{2}=2$ and the quantization condition is: $\frac{1}{w}+\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} w \in \mathbb{Z}$. One has $\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus the only way to satisfy it is with $w= \pm 1$ and $\pi=\mp A$ which gives two extra states at $R=1$, with momentum number $n= \pm\left(1-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}\right)$.

The condition $0 \neq\left|p^{I}\right|^{2}<2$ is only possible if $A$ is not in the root lattice. And as it is required to be in the weight lattice, this possibility arises in the HO theory only, for $A \in \Gamma_{v}$ or $A \in \Gamma_{c}$. For $A \in \Gamma_{v}, \pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\pi \in \Gamma_{g}$ and $\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1)$, so the only option is $s=1$, giving extra states with $w= \pm 1$ at $R=1 / \sqrt{2}$. These states enhance $S O(32) \times U(1)$ to $S O(34)$. We present an explicit example of this case in Section 2.3.3.1. For $A \in \Gamma_{c}, \pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\pi \in \Gamma_{g}$ but now $\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus we cannot satisfy the quantization condition (2.3.22) this way. However $\pi \cdot A=\frac{1}{2}(\bmod 1)$ for $\pi \in \Gamma_{s}$ and thus we recover that for these Wilson lines there is an enhancement to $S O(34)$ at $R=1 / \sqrt{2}$ as well, by states with $w= \pm 1$. Note that $A \in \Gamma_{c}$ is equivalent by a $\Lambda$-shift with $\Lambda \in \Gamma_{s}$ to $A \in \Gamma_{v}$. As we can see from (2.3.17), by this shift the winding number remains invariant, while $\pi \in \Gamma_{s}$ gets shifted to $\pi^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{g}$.

We conclude that in circle compactifications with Wilson lines that do not break the original $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ groups the pattern of gauge symmetry enhancement is (we give here only the groups on the left-moving side):

- $E_{8} \times E_{8} \times U(1) \rightarrow E_{8} \times E_{8} \times S U(2)$ at $R=1$ if $A \in \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$
- $S O(32) \times U(1) \rightarrow S O(32) \times S U(2)$ at $R=1$ if $A \in \Gamma_{16}$, or
- $S O(32) \times U(1) \rightarrow S O(34)$ at $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ if $A \in \Gamma_{v}$ or $A \in \Gamma_{c}$

In the following figures we show slices of the moduli space. To exhibit the increase in the number of possible enhancement groups as the radius decreases and more winding numbers contribute, as well as the symmetries in the Wilson lines, we present figures 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 corresponding to compactification on a circle of generic radius $R^{2}>1$ and at $R^{2}=1$, $R^{2}=\frac{3}{4}, R^{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$, respectively. ${ }^{10}$ The circles in figures $2.3,2.4$ and 2.5 reflect the dependence on $|A|^{2}$ and invariance under rotations. Two dimensional slices given by one parameter in the Wilson line and the radial direction are shown in figures 2.6 and 2.7. More figures of slices of moduli space are given in Appendix A.3.

The first item above corresponds to the red points in figures 2.2 b and 2.6 b , while the second and third ones correspond, respectively to the red and green points in figures 2.2a, 2.4a and 2.6a. Note that there are also red points in figure 2.5, but as we will see, these arise in a

[^9]different way as above, by a combination of breaking and enhancement. In the next section we will show how the enhancement at some of the other special points in the figures arise.

(a) HO theory

(b) HE theory

Figure 2.1: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{3, \ldots, 16}=0, R=R_{0}$ with a generic $R_{0}>1$


Figure 2.2: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{3, \ldots, 16}=0, R=1$.


Figure 2.3: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{3, \ldots, 16}=0, R^{2}=3 / 4$.


Figure 2.4: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{3, \ldots, 16}=0, R^{2}=1 / 2$.


Figure 2.5: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{3, \ldots, 16}=0, R^{2}=1 / 4$.


Figure 2.6: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A^{2, \ldots, 16}=0$.


Figure 2.7: Enhancement groups on the left sector of the heterotic theory on the slice of moduli space defined by $A, R$, with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left(A, 0_{7}, A+1,0_{7}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HO theory: } \\
& \text { (2.1a to 2.5a) } \rightarrow \begin{cases}\square & U(1)^{3} \times S O(28) \\
\square & U(1)^{2} \times S U(2) \times S O(28) \\
\square & U(1)^{2} \times S O(30)\end{cases} \\
& (2.6 \mathrm{a}) \rightarrow \square U(1)^{2} \times S O(30) \\
& (2.7 \mathrm{a}) \rightarrow \square \quad U(1)^{2} \times S U(2) \times S O(28) \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(2)^{2} \times S O(28) \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(3) \times S O(28) \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(2) \times S O(30) \\
& \square U(1) \times S O(32) \\
& (2.1 \mathrm{~b} \text { to } 2.5 \mathrm{~b}) \rightarrow \begin{cases}\square & U(1)^{3} \times S O(12) \times E_{8} \\
\square & U(1)^{2} \times S U(2) \times S U(12) \times E_{8} \\
\square & U(1)^{2} \times S O(14) \times E_{8} \\
\square & U(1)^{2} \times E_{7} \times E_{8}\end{cases} \\
& (2.6 \mathrm{~b}) \rightarrow \square U(1)^{2} \times S O(14) \times E_{8} \\
& (2.7 \mathrm{~b}) \rightarrow \square U(1)^{2} \times S U(2) \times S O(12) \times E_{8} \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(2) \times E_{7} \times E_{8} \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(3) \times S O(12) \times E_{8} \\
& \square S U(2) \times S U(3) \times S O(28) \\
& \square U(1) \times S U(2) \times S O(14) \times E_{8} \\
& \text { ■ } U(1) \times S O(16) \times E_{8} \\
& \square S U(2) \times S O(32) \\
& \square U(1) \times E_{8} \times E_{8} \\
& \square S O(34) \\
& \square S U(3) \times E_{7} \times E_{8} \\
& \square S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8} \\
& \square S O(18) \times E_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3.3 Enhancement-breaking of gauge symmetry

Whenever the Wilson line is not in the dual root lattice, part or all of the original $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ symmetry is broken. However, this does not imply that no symmetry enhancement from the circle direction is possible. The pattern of gauge symmetries can still be rich. We denote these cases enhancement-breaking of gauge symmetry. This nomenclature can be confusing however: for specific values of $R$ and $A$, there is the possibility that the symmetry enhancement is so large that it restores the original $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ symmetry, or even leads to a larger group of rank 17. This means that we can have a maximal enhancement even if the Wilson line is not in the dual root lattice, either to the groups listed at the end of the previous section, or to any other simply-laced, semisimple group of rank 17 , such as for example $S O(18) \times E_{8}$.

The massless states for an arbitrary Wilson line are the following:
Sector 1 has $w=0$ (and thus $p^{I}=\pi^{I}$ ) and consists of the roots of $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ satisfying $\pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$, which form a subgroup $H \subset S O(32)$ or $H \subset E_{8} \times E_{8}$. We give examples of Wilson lines preserving $U(1) \times S U(16) \subset S O(32), S O(2 p) \times S O(32-2 p) \subset S O(32), U(1) \times$ $S U(p) \times S O(32-2 p) \subset S O(32), U(1) \times S U(9) \times S O(16) \subset E_{8} \times E_{8}, U(1)^{2} \times S U(8) \times S U(8) \subset$ $E_{8} \times E_{8}, S O(16) \times E_{8} \subset E_{8} \times E_{8}, S U(2) \times E_{7} \times E_{8} \subset E_{8} \times E_{8}$ in the following sections.

Sector 2 contains states only at radii $R^{2}=s^{2} /\left(2 w^{2}\right)$. Quantization of momentum gives the condition (2.3.22).If there are states in this sector, there is an enhancement of $H \times U(1)$ to $H \times S U(2)$ (where the $S U(2)$ can be on the circle direction or along some direction mixing the circle with the heterotic directions) or to a group that is not a product, like for example enhancement of $S O(16) \times U(1)$ to $S O(18)$, as we will show in detail.

On figures 2.6 to 2.11 and A. 1 to A. 16 sector 1 is represented by the horizontal lines and sector 2 by the curves.

Now we show explicitly how the groups mentioned in sector 1 get enhanced respectively to $S O(34)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2} ; S U(18)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4} ; E_{p+1} \times S O(32-2 p)$ at $R^{2}=1-\frac{p}{8} ; E_{p+1} \times S U(16-p)$ at $R^{2}=1-\frac{8}{16-p}$ in the HO theory, and $S O(34)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{18} ; S U(18)$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{9} ; S O(18) \times E_{8}$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2} ; S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$ at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ in the HE.

## - Explicit examples for the HO theory

Here we present some examples of symmetry enhancement-breaking. The roots of $S O(32)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S O(32): \quad\left( \pm 1, \pm 1,0^{14}\right) \tag{2.3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where underline means all possible permutations of the entries.
2.3.3.1 $U(1) \times S O(32) \rightarrow S O(34)$

Consider the HO theory compactified on a circle of radius $R=1 / \sqrt{2}$ with a Wilson line $A=(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \Gamma_{v}$. The states with $p_{R}=0$ have left-moving momenta

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(w, \pi^{I}+\delta_{1}^{A} w\right), \tag{2.3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first entry corresponds to the circle direction. In sector 1 , with $w=0$, all the momenta satisfy $\left|\pi^{I}\right|^{2}=2$ and $\pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$. The last condition holds for any $\pi^{I} \in \Gamma_{g}$, and thus in this sector one has all the root vectors of $S O(32)$ given in (2.3.23). In sector 2 we have $s=1$ and $w= \pm 1$. Here we get massless states coming from three different sectors of the $S O(32)$ weight lattice, namely
2.a) $|\pi|^{2}=2$, with $\pi^{1}= \pm 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=( \pm 1,0, \pm 1,0,0, \ldots, 0) \tag{2.3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the signs are not correlated). These are 60 states with $n=0$.
2.b) $|\pi|^{2}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=( \pm 1, \pm 1,0, \ldots, 0) \tag{2.3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are 2 states, which have $n=w$.
2.c) $|\pi|^{2}=4$, with $\pi^{1}= \pm 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=(\mp 1, \pm 1,0, \ldots, 0) . \tag{2.3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another 2 states with $n=-w$.
We thus get 64 extra states, which together with the Cartan direction of the circle, enhance the $S O(32)$ to $S O(34)$. This point in moduli space is illustrated in green in figures 2.4a, 2.6a and 2.7a. In figure 2.4a the other green points differ from this by a $\Lambda$-shift, while the other green points in figures 2.6a and 2.7a, that appear at a different radii, will be explained in Section 2.3.4.
2.3.3.2 $U(1)^{2} \times S U(16) \rightarrow S U(18)$

We now take the Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{15},-\frac{3}{4}\right)$. In sector $1(w=0)$ we have the roots of $S O(32)$ that obey:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{I=1}^{16} \pi^{I}-\pi^{16} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sum cannot be a multiple of 4 , it has to vanish. Then we have the roots with two non-zero entries of opposite signs, that is $S U(16)$. For a generic $R$ this is the gauge group, but if $R^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$ we get enhancement to the maximal group $S U(18)$. In this case, the mass formula (2.3.4) gives

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{15}\left(\pi^{I}+\frac{w}{4}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi_{16}-w+\frac{w}{4}\right)^{2}=\sum_{I=1}^{16}\left(\hat{\pi}^{I}+\frac{w}{4}\right)^{2}=2-\frac{w^{2}}{2}
$$

where we defined $\hat{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{15}, \pi_{16}-w\right)$. If $w$ is even then $\hat{\pi}$ is in ( 0 ) or $(s)$, but if it odd then $\hat{\pi}$ is in $(v)$ or $(c)$. We also have the quantization condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\pi|^{2}-1}{w}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\hat{\pi}|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} w^{2}+w \hat{\pi}_{16}-1}{w}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\hat{\pi}|^{2}-1}{w}+\frac{w}{2}+\hat{\pi}_{16} \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w=1,-\sum_{I=1}^{16} \hat{\pi}^{I}=2|\hat{\pi}|^{2}-1$, and the solutions are $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\underline{1,0_{15}}\right)$ on $(v)$ and $\hat{\pi}=$ $-\left(\underline{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{15},-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ on $(c)$.

For $w=2, \sum_{I=1}^{16}\left(\hat{\pi}_{I}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=0$, with unique solution $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right)$.
They all obey the quantization condition, and add up to 66 additional states. Together with the 240 roots of $S U(16)$, they complete the 306 roots of $S U(18)$.
2.3.3.3 $U(1) \times S O(2 p) \times S O(32-2 p) \rightarrow E_{p+1} \times S O(32-2 p)$

Now we take a Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{p}, 0_{16-p}\right), 2 \leq p \leq 8$, in the HO theory ${ }^{11}$.

[^10]The massless states that survive in sector $1(w=0)$ are those with momentum $\pi^{I}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{p} \pi^{I} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the surviving states have momenta

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{p-2}, 0_{16-p}\right) \longrightarrow S O(2 p)  \tag{2.3.31}\\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0,0_{p}, \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{14-p}\right) \longrightarrow S O(32-2 p) .
\end{align*}
$$

For generic radius there are no states with non-zero winding, and then we get $S O(2 p) \times$ $S O(32-2 p)$. These points are illustrated for $p=2$ by the cyan dots in figures 2.1a, 2.2a, 2.4a and 2.5 a; for $p=7$, on the horizontal cyan line in figure 2.7 a and for other values of $p$, at half-integer values of the horizontal lines of the figures in Appendix A.3.

At special values of $R$ some states with non-vanishing winding are massless. For example, when $R^{2}=1-\frac{p}{8}$ for $p<8$, the $U(1) \times S O(2 p)$ is enhanced to $E_{p+1}$. In this case, the mass formula (2.3.4) is

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{p}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{w}{2}\right)^{2}+\sum_{I=p+1}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}=2-2 w^{2}(1-p / 8) \leq \frac{p}{4}
$$

and then if $p<8$ the LHS must be smaller than 2 . If the $\pi_{I}$ are half-integer, then the LHS is always bigger than 2. Consequently, $\pi_{I}$ can only take integer values and we need $\sum_{I=p+1}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}=\beta=0,1$.

For $w=1$ the solution must be of the form $\left(\underline{(-1)_{k}, 0_{p-k}}, \underline{ \pm \beta, 0_{15-p}}\right)$ and the equation is solved for every $p$ if $\beta=0$. Then we get $\left(\underline{(-1)_{k}, 0_{p-k}}, 0_{16-p}\right)$.

There is an additional constraint because $|\pi|^{2}$ must be even, and then $k$ must be even. The number of states is equal to the way of choosing the value of the first $p$ components. Choosing the first $p-1$ components, the last one is fixed by the constraint. There are $2 \times 2^{p-1}=2^{p}$ states with $|w|=1$.

For $w=2$ we get $\sum_{I=1}^{p}\left(\pi_{I}+1\right)^{2}=p-6-\beta$, which is only possible for $p=6,7$. The RHS can only take the values 0 or 1 . In the first case, all the $\pi_{I}$ must be equal to -1 . Then we get the solutions $\left((-1)_{7}, \underline{ \pm 1,0_{8}}\right)$ for $p=7$ and $\left((-1)_{6}, 0_{10}\right)$ for $p=6$. The second case is only possible for $p=7$ and $\beta=0$. One of the $\pi_{I}$ can take the value 0 (or -2 ) and the rest must take the value -1 : $\left(\underline{-1 \pm 1,(-1)_{6}}, 0_{9}\right)$ for $p=7$. In total we have 2 states with $|w|=2$ for $p=6$ and $2 \times(18+14)=64$ for $p=7$.

For $w \geq 3$ the equation cannot be satisfied. Then for $p<6$ we get $2^{p}$ states (all with
$|w|=1$ ), while for $p=6$ and 7 we get 2 and 64 extra states respectively with $|w|=2$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U(1) \times S O(4) \rightarrow S U(2) \times S U(3) \equiv E_{3}(4 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1) \times S O(6) \rightarrow S U(5) \equiv E_{4}(8 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1) \times S O(8) \rightarrow S O(10) \equiv E_{5}(16 \text { extra states })  \tag{2.3.32}\\
U(1) \times S O(10) \rightarrow E_{6}(32 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1) \times S O(12) \rightarrow E_{7}(66 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1) \times S O(14) \rightarrow E_{8}(192 \text { extra states })
\end{array}
$$

Recalling that $E_{2}=U(1) \times S U(2)$, this is also valid for $p=1$, where we get the enhancement at $R^{2}=\frac{7}{8}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(1)^{2} \equiv U(1) \times S O(2) \rightarrow U(1) \times S U(2) \equiv E_{2}(2 \text { extra states }) \tag{2.3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The enhancement group $U(1) \times S U(2) \times S O(30)$, as any non-maximal enhancement, does not arise at an isolated point, but at a line, displayed in blue in figure 2.6a.

Applying the statement to $p=8$, appears an enhancement from $U(1) \times S O(16)$ to $E_{9}$ at $R=0$. Since $E_{9}$ has infinite dimension, we would need infinite massless states with infinitely many different winding numbers. It is obvious that at $R=0$ winding states do not cost any energy, and thus one can have all the windings. The mass equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{8}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{w}{2}\right)^{2}=2-\beta \tag{2.3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that for this value of $p$ the RHS is independent of the winding number. If $w=1$ then $\pi=\left(\underline{(-1)_{k}, 0_{8-k}}, 0_{8}\right)$ is a solution (if $k$ is even). For any other odd value of $w$ we have the solution: $\pi=-\left(\left(\frac{w+1}{2}\right)_{k},\left(\frac{w-1}{2}\right)_{8-k}, 0_{8}\right)$. These, together with the states with even $w$, give infinite massless states.

We can see all these enhancements at the intersections of the lines at $A=1 / 2$ in figures A. 1 to A. 16 that occur at $R^{2}=1-\frac{p}{8}$.
2.3.3.4 $U(1)^{2} \times S O(2 p) \times S U(16-p) \rightarrow S U(2) \times E_{p+1} \times S U(16-p)$

Consider the Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{4}{16-p}\right)_{p}, 0_{p}\right)$, with $0 \leq p \leq 7$.
The massless states that survive in sector $1(w=0)$ are those with momentum $\pi^{I}$ satisfying $\frac{4}{16-p} \sum_{I=1}^{16-p} \pi^{I} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the surviving states have momenta

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0,0_{16-p}, \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{p+2}\right) \longrightarrow S O(2 p)  \tag{2.3.35}\\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, \underline{\left.1,-1,0_{14-p}, 0_{p}\right)} \longrightarrow S U(16-p)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

For generic radii there cannot be states with non-zero winding, and then the symmetry group is $S O(2 p) \times S U(16-p)$. This is illustrated in the white spaces of the figures in Appendix

## A.3.

There are special values of $R$ where some states with non-vanishing winding are massless. For example, when $R^{2}=1-\frac{8}{16-p}$, the $U(1)^{2} \times S O(2 p)$ is enhanced to $S U(2) \times E_{p+1}$. To see this, consider the mass formula (2.3.4)

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{4 w}{q}\right)^{2}+\sum_{I=q+1}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}=2-2 w^{2}(1-8 / q) \text { where } q \equiv 16-p .
$$

For $w \neq 0$, the RHS is smaller than or equal to $16 / q$ and then the LHS must be smaller than 2. If the $\pi_{I}$ are integer, then we need $\sum_{I=q+1}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}=\beta=0,1$ and it follows that

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{4 w}{q}\right)^{2}=2-2 w^{2}(1-8 / q)-\beta .
$$

For $w=1, \sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{4}{q}\right)^{2}=16 / q-\beta \leq \frac{16}{9}$. If one of the $\pi_{I}$ is different from 0 or -1 then the LHS is larger than $16 / q$. So the solution must be of the form $\left(\underline{(-1)_{k}, 0_{16-p-k}}, \pm \beta, 0_{p-1}\right)$ and then $k=\beta=0$. There are only two states (considering also $w=-1$ ) with momentum ( $0_{16}$ ).

For $w=2$ we get $\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+8 / q\right)^{2}=-6+64 / q-\beta$ which is only possible for $q=9,10$ $(p=7,6)$. If $p=6$ then we need $\beta=0$, the RHS is $\frac{2}{5}$ and we only have the solution $\left((-1)_{10}, 0_{6}\right)$. If $p=7$ then, for $\beta=0$ and $\beta=1$ the RHS takes the values $\frac{10}{9}$ and $\frac{1}{9}$. The equation for $\beta=0$ is impossible to satisfy, and then we get $\left((-1)_{9}, \pm 1,0_{6}\right)$. In total we have 2 states with $|w|=2$ for $p=6$ and $2 \times(14)=28$ for $p=7$.

For $w \geq 3$ we get $\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+12 / q\right)^{2}=144 / q-16-\beta \leq 0$. Then for $q>10(p<6)$ there are 2 states (both with $|w|=1$ ), while for $p=6$ and 7 there are 2 and 28 extra $|w|=2$ states respectively.

If the $\pi_{I}$ are half-integer, then the last $p$ values have to be $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{4 w}{q}\right)^{2}=\frac{q}{4}-2-2 w^{2}(1-8 / q) \tag{2.3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $w=1, \sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{4}{q}\right)^{2}=\frac{(q-8)^{2}}{4 q} \leq 1$ and the $\pi_{I}$ can only take the values $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. The solutions are of the form $\left(\underline{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k}},\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16-p-k},\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)_{p}\right)$, and the equation implies $k=0$. Then, for $|w|=1$, we get the $2 \times 2^{p+1+\delta_{p, 0}}$ solutions $\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16-p},\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)_{p}\right)$.

For $w=2$ we obtain $\sum_{I=1}^{q}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{8}{q}\right)^{2}=\frac{(q-8)(q-32)}{4 q} \leq 0$, and then there are no states with $|w|>1$.

In total, for $p<6$ we get $2+2^{p+\delta_{p, 0}}$ states (all of them with $|w|=1$ ), while for $p=6$ and 7
we get 2 and 28 extra states respectively with $|w|=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(1)^{2} \rightarrow S O(4) & \equiv S U(2) \times E_{1} \text { (4 extra states) } \\
U(1)^{3} \equiv U(1)^{2} \times S O(2) \rightarrow S U(2) \times S U(2) \times U(1) & \equiv S U(2) \times E_{2} \text { (4 extra states) } \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(4) \rightarrow S U(2) \times S U(2) \times S U(3) & \equiv S U(2) \times E_{3}(6 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(6) \rightarrow S U(2) \times S U(5) & \equiv S U(2) \times E_{4}(10 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(8) \rightarrow S U(2) \times S O(10) & \equiv S U(2) \times E_{5}(18 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(10) & \left.\rightarrow S U(2) \times E_{6} \text { (34 extra states }\right) \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(12) & \rightarrow S U(2) \times E_{7}(68 \text { extra states }) \\
U(1)^{2} \times S O(14) & \rightarrow S U(2) \times E_{8}(158 \text { extra states })
\end{aligned}
$$

At $p=8$ we seem to get an enhancement from $U(1)^{2} \times S O(16)$ to $S U(2) \times E_{9}$ at $R=0$.
All of these enhancements can be seen on the intersections of the red and purple curves of figures A. 9 to A. 16 that occur at $R^{2}=1-\frac{8}{q}$.

## - Explicit examples for the HE theory

The roots of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{8} \times E_{8}: \quad & \left( \pm 1, \pm 1,0^{6}, 0^{8}\right),\left(0^{8}, \pm 1, \pm 1,0^{6}\right)  \tag{2.3.37}\\
& \left(\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{8}, 0^{8}\right),\left(0^{8},\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{8}\right), \text { with even number of }+ \text { signs }
\end{align*}
$$

2.3.3.5 $U(1)^{2} \times S U(9) \times S O(16) \rightarrow S O(34)$

Consider the HE theory compactified with Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7}, \frac{5}{6}, 1,0_{7}\right)$. In sector $1(w=0)$ we have the roots of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ that obey:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6} \sum_{I=1}^{7} \pi^{I}+\frac{5}{6} \pi^{8}+\pi^{9} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This breaks into two conditions, one for each $E_{8}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6} \sum_{I=1}^{7} \pi^{I}+\frac{5}{6} \pi^{8} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi^{9} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first condition we have (0) and (s) roots. The (0) roots are vectors of the form $\left( \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{6}\right)$. The condition implies that if $\pi_{8}=0$ then we need opposite signs for the two non-zero entries. If $\pi^{8}= \pm 1$ then the other non-zero entry must have the same sign. We get $\left(\underline{1,-1,0_{5}}, 0\right)$ and $\pm\left(\underline{1,0_{6}}, 1\right)$. These are $42+14=56$ roots.

The $(s)$ roots are vectors of the form $\left(\underline{\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}}\right)$ with an even number of minus signs. The condition is $\sum_{I=1}^{7} \pi^{I}+5 \pi^{8}=0 \bmod 6$. The absolute value of the LHS can only be 0 or 6 . In the first case one of the first 5 components must have a different sign than the rest, and in the
second case all the 8 components must have the same sign and we get $\pm\left(\underline{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{6},-\frac{1}{2}},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\pm\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)$. These are $14+2=16$ roots.

In total we have the $56+16=72$ roots of $S U(9)$.
The second condition leaves only the integer roots, and then we have $S O(16)$.
For an arbitrary value of $R$ there cannot be states with non-zero winding, and then the gauge group is $S U(9) \times S O(16)$.

Now we show that when $R^{2}=\frac{1}{18}$ there is enhancement of the gauge symmetry to $S O(34)$. The mass formula (2.3.4) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{7}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{w}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi_{8}+\frac{5 w}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi_{9}+w\right)^{2}+\sum_{I=10}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}=2-\frac{w^{2}}{9}<2 \tag{2.3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sum_{I=10}^{16} \pi_{I}^{2}$ can only take the values 0,1 or $\frac{7}{4}$. In the last case, we also have that $\left(\pi_{9}+w\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{4}$, which means that there are no spinorial roots in the last 8 components. The only possibilities are: $\left(-w, 0_{7}\right)$ and $\left(-w, 0_{7}\right) \pm\left(\underline{1,0_{7}}\right)$. The first (second) case requires $w$ to be even (odd). Defining $\hat{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{7},-\pi_{8}-w\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{8}\left(\hat{\pi}_{I}+\frac{w}{6}\right)^{2}=2-\frac{w^{2}}{9}-\frac{1-(-1)^{w}}{2} \tag{2.3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

but now the condition for the integer vectors is $\sum_{I=1}^{8} \hat{\pi}_{I}$ odd (even) when $w$ is odd (even); and for the half-integer vectors we have the $(s)$ conditions if $w$ is odd and the (c) conditions if $w$ is even.

The quantization condition is

$$
\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\pi|^{2}-1}{w} \in \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \begin{cases}|\pi|^{2}=0 \bmod 2 & \text { for }|w|=1  \tag{2.3.42}\\ |\pi|^{2}=2 \bmod 4 & \text { for }|w|=2 \\ |\pi|^{2}=2 \bmod 6 & \text { for }|w|=3\end{cases}
$$

If $w=1,-\sum_{I=1}^{8} \hat{\pi}_{I}=3\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}-2$. The minimum value for $\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}$ is 1 , and in that case we have $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\underline{1,0_{7}}\right)$.
$\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}=2$ can only be achieved for the $(s)$ conjugacy class, and then $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)$.
$|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=3$ is for the $(v)$ conjugacy class, $-\sum_{I=1}^{8} \hat{\pi}_{I}=7$, but this cannot be achieved. The same happens for greater values of $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}$.

If $w=2,-\sum_{I=1}^{8} \hat{\pi}_{I}=\frac{3}{2}\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}-1$. Then $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}$ has to be even. The minimum value is 0 , which could be achieved only on (0), and the equation cannot be solved. $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=2$ can only be achieved for $(0)$ and we get $\left(\underline{(-1)_{2}, 0_{6}}\right) \cdot|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=4$ has the solution $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\underline{\frac{3}{2}},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{7}\right)$. And for $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=6$ the equation cannot be satisfied.

If $w=3, \sum_{I=1}^{8}\left(\hat{\pi}_{I}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=0$, and the only solution is $\hat{\pi}=\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)$. That is $\pi=$ $\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{7},-\frac{5}{2},-3,0_{7}\right)+\left(0_{8}, \pm 1,0_{7}\right)$. This has $|\pi|^{2}=12,18$ or 24 , which do not obey the quantization condition.

If $w=4,-\sum_{I=1}^{8} \hat{\pi}_{I}=\frac{3}{4}|\hat{\pi}|^{2}+\frac{1}{6}$. But this equation cannot be solved for integer $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}$.

Defining 8 more components for a 16 dimensional $\hat{\pi}$ such that $\hat{\pi}_{9}=\pi_{9}-w$ and the rest equal to the last 7 components of $\pi$, one can write the additional states $\hat{\pi}$ for $R^{2}=\frac{1}{18}$ as $\left(\underline{ \pm 1,0_{7}}, \pm 1,0_{7}\right)$ and $\left( \pm\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}, \pm \underline{1,0_{7}}\right)$ for $|w|=1$ and $\pm\left(\underline{(1)_{2}, 0_{6}}, 0_{8}\right)$ and as $\pm\left(\left(\underline{\frac{3}{2}},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{7}\right), 0_{8}\right)$ for $|w|=2$. The former are $256+32=288$ states and the latter $56+16=72$ states. In total these 360 additional states added to the 184 roots of $S U(9) \times S O(16)$ give the 544 roots of $S O(34)$.

In figure 2.9 we show this maximal enhancement on the intersection between one red, two yellow and one green curves. The integer states with $|w|=1$ and $|w|=2$ give the red curve, the half-integer states with $|w|=1$ give the green curve and the ones with $|w|=2$ are represented by the yellow curve. The additional states without winding are those in the yellow line.



Figure 2.9: HE with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{7}, 1-A, 1,0_{7}\right)$
2.3.3.6 $\quad U(1)^{3} \times S U(8) \times S U(8) \rightarrow S U(18)$

Consider the Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7}, \frac{5}{6},\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7}, \frac{5}{6}\right)$ in the HE theory.
In sector $1(w=0)$ we have the first condition of (2.3.39) for each of the $E_{8}$, then we get the 144 roots of $S U(9) \times S U(9)$. For an arbitrary value of $R$ this is the gauge group.

For $R^{2}=\frac{1}{9}$ there is enhancement of the gauge symmetry to $S U(18)$. To see this, take the mass formula (2.3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{7}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{w}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi_{8}+\frac{5 w}{6}\right)^{2}+\sum_{I=9}^{15}\left(\pi_{I}+\frac{w}{6}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi_{16}+\frac{5 w}{6}\right)^{2}=2-\frac{2 w^{2}}{9}<2 \tag{2.3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $\hat{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{7},-\pi_{8}-w, \pi_{9}, \pi_{10}, \ldots, \pi_{15},-\pi_{16}-w\right)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I=1}^{16}\left(\hat{\pi}_{I}+\frac{w}{6}\right)^{2}=2-\frac{2 w^{2}}{9} \tag{2.3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

but now $\hat{\pi}$ has to be on the conjugacy classes $(s s),(v v),(s v)$ or $(v s)$ if $w$ is odd and on $(c c)$, $(00),(0 c),(c 0)$ if $w$ is even.

We also have to obey the quantization condition $\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\pi|^{2}-1}{w} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $w=1,-\sum_{I=1}^{16} \hat{\pi}_{I}=3|\hat{\pi}|^{2}-4$ and $\hat{\pi}$ is on $(v v),(s s),(v s)$ or $(s v)$. The minimum value for $\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}$ is 2 , and in that case $\left(\hat{\pi}, \hat{\pi}^{\prime}\right)=-\left(\underline{1,0_{7}}, \underline{1,0_{7}}\right)$.
$|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=3$ can only be achieved for the $(v s)$ and (sv) conjugacy classes, and $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\underline{1,0_{7}},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)$, $-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}, \underline{1,0_{7}}\right) \cdot|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=4$ is for the $(s s)$ and $(v v)$ conjugacy classes, and $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right) \cdot|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=5$ is for the $(s v)$ and $(v s)$ conjugacy classes, $-\sum_{I=1}^{16} \hat{\pi}_{I}=11$ which cannot be achieved. The same happens for greater values of $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}$.

If $w=2,-\sum_{I=1}^{16} \hat{\pi}_{I}=\frac{3}{2}|\hat{\pi}|^{2}+1$ implies $(00),(c c),(c 0)$ or $(0 c)$. The minimum value for $\left|\hat{\pi}_{I}\right|^{2}$ is 0 , but then the equation cannot be solved.
$|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=2$ can only be achieved for ( 00 ), ( $0 c$ ) or ( $c 0$ ), but there is no solution.
$|\hat{\pi}|^{2}=4$ implies $-\sum_{I=1}^{16} \hat{\pi}_{I}=7$ and this cannot be achieved. The same happens for greater values of $|\hat{\pi}|^{2}$.

If $w=3, \sum_{I=1}^{16}\left(\hat{\pi}_{I}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=0$ has only a solution belonging to $(s s)$, namely $\hat{\pi}=-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right)$.
It can be shown that all of these states obey the quantization condition. Then, the additional states for $R^{2}=\frac{1}{18}$ are $\pm\left(\underline{1,0_{7}}, \underline{1,0_{7}}\right), \pm\left(\underline{1,0_{7}},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)$ and $\pm\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}, \underline{1,0_{7}}\right)$ for $|w|=1$ and $\hat{\pi}=$ $\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right)$ for $|w|=3\left( \pm 1,0_{7}, \pm 1,0_{7}\right)$ and $\left( \pm\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}, \pm 1,0_{7}\right)$ for $|w|=1$ and $\pm\left(\underline{(1)_{2}, 0_{6}}, 0_{8}\right)$ and $\pm\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right)$ for $|w|=2$. The former are $128+32=160$ states and the latter 2 states. In total these are 162 additional states, which added to the 144 roots of $S U(9) \times S U(9)$ give the 306 roots of $S U(18)$.

In figure 2.10 we show this maximal enhancement on the intersection between one red, two yellow and one green curves. The integer states with $|w|=1$ are represented by the red curve, the half-integer states with $|w|=1$ give the yellow curve, the states with $|w|=3$ are represented by the green curve and the additional states with $w=0$ give the yellow horizontal line.


Figure 2.10: HE with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{7}, 1-A,(A)_{7}, 1-A\right)$

### 2.3.3.7 $U(1) \times S O(16) \times E_{8} \rightarrow S O(18) \times E_{8}$

Consider the HE string compactified on a circle of radius $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, with Wilson line $A=$ $\left(1,0^{7}, 0^{8}\right)$, which is of the form ( $v 0$ ) according to the notation of Appendix A. 1 (see (A.1.10) in particular). This Wilson line leaves the second $E_{8}$ unbroken, while from the first $E_{8}$, the
surviving states in sector 1 are the ones with integer entries, i.e. those in the first line of (2.3.37). The group $H$ from sector 1 is then $S O(16) \times E_{8}$ and the corresponding points in moduli space are illustrated by the grey dots in figure 2.1 b .

In sector 2 we have states with $w= \pm 1$ such that $s=1,\left|\mathbf{p}^{I}\right|^{2}=1$. The surviving states have the following momenta

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{6}\right), w=0,|\pi|^{2}=2 \quad 112 \text { roots } \\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm 1,0, \pm 1,0_{6}\right), w= \pm 1,|\pi|^{2}=2, \quad 28 \text { roots } \\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm 1, \pm 1,0_{7}\right), \quad w= \pm 1, \pi=0, \quad 2 \text { roots } \\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm 1, \mp 1,0_{7}\right), \quad w= \pm 1,|\pi|^{2}=4, \quad 2 \text { roots },
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first entry corresponds to the circle and the subsequent ones to the 8 directions along the Cartan of the first $E_{8}$ factor. The first line contains the states of sector 1. These are the 144 roots of $\mathrm{SO}(18)$. This point in moduli space, together with its equivalent ones, are illustrated by the green dots in figure $2.4 \mathrm{~b}, 2.6 \mathrm{~b}$ and 2.7 b .
2.3.3.8 $U(1) \times S U(2) \times E_{7} \times E_{8} \rightarrow S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$

This is an interesting example of enhancement-breaking in the HE theory, where first the $E_{8}$ is broken to $S U(2) \times E_{7}$ by the Wilson line $A=\left(\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{8}, 0_{8}\right)$ and then enhanced by the circle direction to $S U(2) \times E_{8}$.

The Wilson line leaves the second $E_{8}$ unbroken, while the surviving roots from the first $E_{8}$ have 9-momenta

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}= \pm\left(0, \underline{1,-1,0_{6}}\right) \\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}= \pm\left(0,\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8}\right)  \tag{2.3.45}\\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left(0, \underline{\left.\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{4},-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{4}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This, gives 128 roots, which together with the 8 Cartan directions, gives an unbroken gauge group $H=S U(2) \times E_{7} \subset E_{8}$.

Additionally at $R=\frac{1}{2}$ there are 114 states in sector 2 : two with $w= \pm 2$ and 112 with $w= \pm 1$ and momentum

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \mp\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_{2}, \pm\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{6}\right)  \tag{2.3.46}\\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=\left( \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \pm\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_{2}, \mp\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These states give a total of 114 extra states that add up to the previous 136 states, plus the circle direction, adding up to the 251 states of $S U(2) \times E_{8}$. So at $R=\frac{1}{2}$ we get enhancement to $S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$, which works very differently than the enhancement occurring at $R=1$, mentioned in Section 2.3.2.

In figure 2.11 we present these maximal enhancements for the HE theory, and we also show a maximal enhancement to $S U(3) \times E_{7} \times E_{8}$. The additional states with $w=0$ are represented by the cyan line and the states with $|w|=1$ together with the ones with $|w|=2$ are represented
by the orange curve.



Figure 2.11: HE with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{8}, 0_{8}\right)$

### 2.3.4 Exploring a slice of moduli space

In this section we present a detailed analysis of the slice of moduli space for compactifications of the heterotic theory on a circle at any radius and Wilson line given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(A_{1}, 0_{15}\right) \tag{2.3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of this section are displayed in figure 2.6. Here we present the main ingredients of the calculations, and leave further details to Appendix A.2.

For this type of Wilson line, the states with $w=0$ (sector 1 ) that survive, are those satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1} A_{1} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This preserves all the roots only if $A_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the $\Gamma_{16}$ case, or $A_{1} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for the $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ case. These correspond to the horizontal orange lines in figure 2.6 , where at any generic radius, the gauge symmetry is $U(1) \times S O(32)$, or $U(1) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$. If $A_{1}$ is an odd number, then the $S O(32)$ symmetry is unbroken, but the $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ is broken to $S O(16) \times E_{8}$, which is depicted with a black line at $A_{1}=1$ in figure 2.6b.

If $A_{1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then we have just the roots with $\pi_{1}=0$. That is, the 420 roots of $S O(30)$ or the 324 roots of $S O(14) \times E_{8}$. This corresponds to the white regions in figure 2.6 .

Now, depending on the value of $R$, we can have additional states in sector 2 , i.e. states with non-zero winding ${ }^{12}$ which momenta satisfy $\left|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right|^{2}=2$ and have a quantized momentum number

[^11]on the circle. Then, according to (2.3.2) and (2.3.22), they should obey
\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\pi+w A|^{2}=2\left(1-w^{2} R^{2}\right), \\
\frac{1}{w}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}|\pi|^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.49}
\end{array}
$$
\]

The first equation implies $R^{-1} \geq w$, and the simplest solution is

$$
\pi=\left( \pm \sqrt{2\left(1-w^{2} R^{2}\right)}-w A_{1}, 0_{15}\right)
$$

But $\pi$ is in an even lattice, which implies $\pi_{1}=-2 q, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The quantization condition for $n$ yields

$$
\frac{2 q^{2}-1}{w} \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

so we have only the winding numbers that are divisors of the numbers that can be written as $2 q^{2}-1$, for some integer $q$. In terms of $q$, the Wilson lines are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{2 q \pm \sqrt{2-2 w^{2} R^{2}}}{w} \equiv a_{w, q}(R), \quad\left\{w, q, \frac{2 q^{2}-1}{w}\right\} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the radius also satisfies $R<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} w}<\frac{1}{w}$, we have additional solutions where some of the other components of $\pi$ are non-zero, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi+w A=\left( \pm \sqrt{1-2 w^{2} R^{2}}, \pm 1,0_{14}\right) \text { for } \Gamma_{16}, \\
& \pi+w A=\left( \pm \sqrt{1-2 w^{2} R^{2}}, \pm 1,0_{6}, 0_{8}\right) \text { for } \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantization conditions are the same as before, but now the Wilson lines have the following behavior as a function of the radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{2 q+1 \pm \sqrt{1-2 w^{2} R^{2}}}{w} \equiv b_{w, q}(R), \quad\left\{w, q, \frac{2 q^{2}-1}{w}\right\} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If additionally $R<(2 \sqrt{2} w)^{-1}$ we have yet other possible solutions, but only for the HE theory, where

$$
\pi+w A=\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-8 w^{2} R^{2}},\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)_{7}, 0_{8}\right) \text { for } \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}
$$

The lines and quantization conditions are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{q+\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-2 w^{2} R^{2}}}{w} \equiv c_{w, q}(R), \quad\left\{w, q, \frac{q(q+1)}{2 w}\right\} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $\left(\pi_{1}\right)^{2}=|\pi|^{2}-\frac{7}{4}$ and $\pi_{1}=-\left(q+\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
For a given $q$ and $w$, whenever the Wilson line is of the form $a_{w, q}$ in (2.3.50), we get 2 massless states (one for $w>0$ and another one for $w<0$ ). If there are no more states, then we have enhancement to $U(1) \times S U(2) \times S O(30)$ and $U(1) \times S U(2) \times S O(14) \times E_{8}$. These correspond to the blue lines in figure 2.6, where for example in figure 2.6a, the long blue line going from $\left(R, A_{1}\right)=(0, \sqrt{2})$ to $(1,0)$ corresponds to $a_{1,0}=\sqrt{2\left(1-R^{2}\right)}$, while its mirror one
along the axis $A_{1}=1$ is $a_{1,1}=2-a_{1,0}$.
For Wilson lines of the form $b_{w, q}$ in (2.3.51), we get 60 extra states for the $\Gamma_{16}$, and 28 for $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$. The former promote the enhancement to $U(1) \times S O(32)$, while the latter to $U(1) \times S O(16) \times E_{8}$, and they correspond respectively to the orange lines in figure 2.6a and the black lines in figure 2.6b. The largest curved orange line in the former and black line in the latter going from $(0,0)$ to $(0,2)$ corresponds to $b_{0,1}=1 \pm \sqrt{1-2 R^{2}}$, where the plus sign is for the upper half of the curve, and the minus sign for the lower half.

Finally, Wilson lines of the form $c_{w, q}$ in (2.3.52) give in the HE theory, $2 \times 2^{6}=128$ states (the sign of one of the seven $\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)$ is determined by the sign of the other 6 and the sign chosen for the Wilson line). Note that $c_{w, q}(R)=b_{2 w, q}(R)$. It is not hard to show that a Wilson line that can be written as $c_{w, q}(R)$ can always be written as $b_{2 w, q}(R)$, but the function $b$ can also have an odd $w$. Wilson lines $b$ that can also be written as $c$ bring then a total of $28+128=156$ states, which corresponds to the enhancement to $U(1) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$ in the orange lines of figure 2.6b.

There are only two kinds of intersections between lines, and the points of intersection correspond to points of maximal enhancement (see Appendix A. 2 for details):

- between a blue curve $a(R)$ with $w_{1}$ and an orange curve $b(R)$ with $w_{2}$, where the enhancement group is $S U(2) \times S O(32)\left(S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}\right)$ in the HO (HE) theory. These are the red dots of figure 2.6, and arise at

$$
\left(R, A_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}}}, \frac{2}{w_{1}}\left(q \pm w_{2} R\right)\right)=\left(\frac{1}{C}, \frac{2 k}{C}\right)
$$

for some integer $k$, with $C=1,3,9,11, \ldots$ are all the integers whose prime divisors are 1 or $3(\bmod 8)$.

- between two blue $a(R)$ with $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ and two orange (black) curves $b(R)$ with $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$, where the enhancement group is $S O(34)\left(S O(18) \times E_{8}\right)$ for the $S O(32)\left(E_{8} \times E_{8}\right)$ theory. These are the green dots of figure 2.6, and arise at ${ }^{13}$

$$
\left(R, A_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}}, \frac{2}{w_{1}}\left(q \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} w_{2} R\right)\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} C}, \frac{k}{C}\right),
$$

for some integer $k$, with $C=1,5,13,17, \ldots$ are all the integers whose prime divisors are Pythagorean primes.

In Appendix A. 2 we give the details of the calculations and also prove that these are the only possible intersections for this type of Wilson lines. In Appendix A. 3 we present other slices of moduli space given by the radius and Wilson lines determined by a single parameter $A$.

[^12]
### 2.4 Generalized Dynkin Diagram of $\Gamma_{1,17}$

As was explained previously, the momentum of the states associated to heterotic strings compactified on a torus lies in an even self-dual lattice. In the case of the circle this is $\Gamma_{1,17}$. It is quite simple to obtain all the gauge group and their respective moduli for circle compactifications of both heterotic strings by means of the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) of this lattice. We refer to [11] for an introduction to root systems and associated GDDs of Lorentzian $\Gamma_{1,8 m+1}$ lattices. The special case of $\Gamma_{1,17}$ is discussed in detail in [33] and [13], precisely in connection to circle compactifications of the heterotic string. It was originally considered by Vinberg [36]. The reflective part of its group of automorphisms, which is actually the duality group $\mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{Z})$ [13], can be encoded in the GDD as we review shortly.

The equivalence of the two heterotic strings on $S^{1}$ is determined by the uniqueness of the $\Gamma_{1,17}$ root lattice. The Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) of $\Gamma_{1,17}$ is obtained by adding roots associated with the crosses in the following extension of the $S O(32)$ and $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ Dynkin diagrams respectively


The 17-dimensional moduli space of inequivalent compactifications can be chosen to be delimited by 19 boundaries, each of them associated with one of the nodes of the GDD


A possible fundamental region for the moduli space is determined by the points satisfying all of the inequalities in Table 2.12

| Node | Fund region for $\Gamma_{16}$ | Fund region $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \leq i \leq 6$ | $A_{i} \leq A_{i+1}$ | $A_{i} \leq A_{i+1}$ |
| 7 | $A_{7} \leq A_{8}$ | $A_{7} \leq A_{8}+1$ |
| 8 | $A_{8} \leq A_{9}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{16} A_{i}^{2} \geq 2-2 R^{2}$ |
| 9 | $A_{9} \leq A_{10}$ | $A_{9} \leq A_{10}+1$ |
| $10 \leq i \leq 15$ | $A_{i} \leq A_{i+1}$ | $A_{i} \leq A_{i+1}$ |
| 16 | $A_{16} \leq 1-A_{15}$ | $A_{16} \leq-A_{15}$ |
| 17 | $-A_{2} \leq A_{1}$ | $-A_{2} \leq A_{1}$ |
| 18 | $\sum_{i=1}^{16} A_{i}^{2} \geq 2-2 R^{2}$ | $\sum_{i=9}^{16} A_{i} \geq 0$ |
| 19 | $\sum_{i=1}^{16}\left(A_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \geq 2-2 R^{2}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{8} A_{i} \leq 0$ |

Figure 2.12: Fundamental region for HE and HO in $d=1$.

This defines a 17 -dimensional surface resembling a chimney [13]. In $\Gamma_{16}$, the first 17 nodes define walls parallel to the $R$ direction and the last two nodes define hyperspheres which delimit the bottom of the chimney. In $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, there are 18 walls and only one hypersphere at the bottom defined by the 8th node. At the borders of the fundamental region, where some equalities are saturated, the gauge symmetry is enhanced. The enhanced gauge group is obtained by removing all the nodes of the Generalized Dynkin Diagram except those with saturated inequality. Hence, the maximally enhanced symmetries saturate all but 2 of the inequalities ${ }^{14}$. It can be shown that all the possible combinations of saturated inequalities produce Dynkin diagrams of the ADE classification.

Some sections of the bottom of the chimney are represented below in figures A. 1 to A. 16 by the red curves that intersect the horizontal axis and the purple curves that intersect the $A=\frac{1}{2}$ line. These are the sections of the hypersphere associated respectively to the nodes 18 and 19 in the $\Gamma_{16}$ case. The absence of purple curves in the first eight figures is related to the fact that for Wilson lines with more than 7 zeros there are no spinorial roots which makes the inequality of the 19th node impossible to saturate.

All the possible enhancement groups in $S^{1}$ compactifications can be obtained from the GDDs [11-13]. Here we list all the possible maximal enhancements for the $\Gamma_{16}$ and $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ theories, together with the point in the fundamental region that gives that enhancement $(p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq 8$ )

| Wilson line | $R^{-2}$ | Gauge group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(0_{8-p},\left(\frac{q}{2(p+q)}\right)_{p+q},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8-q}\right)$ | $8\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}\right)$ | $E_{9-p} \times E_{9-q} \times S U(p+q)$ |
| $\left(-\frac{q}{2(6+q)},\left(\frac{q}{2(6+q)}\right)_{7+q},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8-q}\right)$ | $2-\frac{2}{q+9}+\frac{8}{q}$ | $S U(9+q) \times E_{9-q}$ |
| $\left(-\frac{1}{4},\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{14},-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\left(0_{15}, 1\right)$ | 4 | $S U(18)$ |
| $\left(0_{8+q},\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{8-q}\right)$ | $\frac{8}{q}$ | $S O(16+2 q) \times E_{9-q}$ |
| $\left(0_{15}, 1\right)$ | 2 | $S O(34)$ |

Figure 2.13: Maximal enhancements for the HO theory.

| Wilson line | $R^{2}$ | Gauge group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(0_{8-p},\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)_{p},\left(-\frac{1}{q}\right)_{q}, 0_{8-q}\right)+\left(0_{7},-1,1,0_{7}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}\right)$ | $E_{9-p} \times E_{9-q} \times S U(p+q)$ |
| $\left(-\frac{1}{6},\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7},\left(-\frac{1}{q}\right)_{q}, 0_{8-q}\right)+\left(0_{7},-1,1,0_{7}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}+\frac{1}{q}\right)$ | $S U(9+q) \times E_{9-q}$ |
| $\left(-\frac{1}{6},\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7},\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right)+\left(0_{7},-1,1,0_{7}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | $S U(18)$ |
| $\left(0_{8},\left(-\frac{1}{q}\right)_{q}, 0_{8-q}\right)+\left(0_{7},-1,1,0_{7}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2 q}$ | $S O(16+2 q) \times E_{9-q}$ |
| $\left(0_{8},\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)_{7}, \frac{1}{6}\right)+\left(0_{7},-1,1,0_{7}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $S O(34)$ |

Figure 2.14: Maximal enhancements for the HE theory.

When $p$ and/or $q$ equal 7 one gets $E_{2}=S U(2) \times U(1)$ and the enhancement is not maximal.

[^13]Now we will explain the construction of the GDD in more detail for the HE case. The HO is analogous an can be read for example in [2].

### 2.4.1 Embedding of $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$

We will describe the embedding of the HE lattice $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ in $\Gamma_{1,17}$.


Figure 2.15: Generalized Dynkin Diagram for the $\Gamma_{1,17}$ lattice, with labels showing the embedding of the extended Dynkin diagrams of $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$. The Kac marks are shown in red.

The GDD is shown in Figure 2.15. It is composed by the extended Dynkin diagrams of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ joined by a central node. The nodes can be specified in terms of the charge vectors (2.3.9)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\varphi_{i}=\left|0,0 ; \alpha_{i}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \varphi_{i^{\prime}}=\left|0,0 ; 0^{8}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle, & i=1, \ldots, 8,  \tag{2.4.4}\\
\varphi_{0}=\left|0,-1 ; \alpha_{0}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \varphi_{\mathrm{c}}=\left|1,1 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \varphi_{0^{\prime}}=\left|0,-1 ; 0^{8}, \alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ are the simple roots of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$, given together with their fundamental weights in Table $2.1^{15}$. We have also written down the lowest root $\alpha_{0}=-\sum_{k=1}^{8} \kappa_{k} \alpha_{k}$, and similarly for $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$. The $\kappa_{i}$ and $\kappa_{i}^{\prime}$ are the Kac marks, they are listed on the table and also shown in red in the Figure 2.15. By definition $\kappa_{0}=\kappa_{0}^{\prime}=1$ and sometimes we will set $w_{0}=0, w_{0}^{\prime}=0$.

| $i$ | $\kappa_{i}$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $w_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | $(1,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ | $-\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{5}{2}\right)$ |
| 2 | 6 | $(0,1,-1,0,0,0,0,0)$ | $-(0,0,1,1,1,1,1,-5)$ |
| 3 | 5 | $(0,0,1,-1,0,0,0,0)$ | $-(0,0,0,1,1,1,1,-4)$ |
| 4 | 4 | $(0,0,0,1,-1,0,0,0)$ | $-(0,0,0,0,1,1,1,-3)$ |
| 5 | 3 | $(0,0,0,0,1,-1,0,0)$ | $-(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,-2)$ |
| 6 | 2 | $(0,0,0,0,0,1,-1,0)$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,1)$ |
| 7 | 4 | $-(1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ | $-\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{7}{2}\right)$ |
| 8 | 2 | $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2)$ |
| 0 | 1 | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,-1)$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ |

Table 2.1: Simple roots $\alpha_{i}$, Kac marks $\kappa_{i}$ and fundamental weights $w_{i}$ of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$.

[^14]In [13] (see also [36]), the generators of the duality group $\mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{Z})$ were identified with Weyl reflections in the lattice. To be more concrete, let us consider the transformations of the charge vector $|Z\rangle$ about the simple roots of $\Gamma_{1,17}$ in (2.4.4), denoted collectively $|\varphi\rangle$. Since $\langle\varphi \mid \varphi\rangle=2$, the Weyl transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z^{\prime}\right\rangle=|Z\rangle-\langle\varphi \mid Z\rangle|\varphi\rangle . \tag{2.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once $\left|Z^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is found, the action on the moduli is deduced by imposing that $p_{R}=0$ transforms into $p_{R}^{\prime}=0$, i.e. $n-E w-\pi \cdot A=0$ goes into $n^{\prime}-E^{\prime} w^{\prime}-\pi^{\prime} \cdot A^{\prime}=0$, where we have defined the moduli space parameter $E=R^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}$ as an alternative to $R$. This is a shortcut to requiring invariance of the spectrum. For example, writing only the transformed quantities, from the nodes 1,0 , and C we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}: \pi^{\prime 1}=\pi^{2}, \pi^{\prime 2}=\pi^{1} \Rightarrow A^{\prime 1}=A^{2}, A^{\prime 2}=A^{1},  \tag{2.4.6a}\\
& \varphi_{0}: n^{\prime}=n-w+\pi^{7}-\pi^{8}, \pi^{\prime 7}=\pi^{8}+w, \pi^{\prime 8}=\pi^{7}-w \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
A^{\prime 7}=A^{8}-1, A^{\prime 8}=A^{7}+1, \\
\\
E^{\prime}=E+A^{7}-A^{8}+1,
\end{array} \\
& \varphi_{c}: w^{\prime}=-n, n^{\prime}=-w \Rightarrow E^{\prime}=\frac{1}{E}, A^{\prime}=\frac{A}{E} . \tag{2.4.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, (2.4.6a) is a permutation of the first two components of the Wilson line. In general, the reflections about nodes $\varphi_{i}$, or $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, 8$, induce transformations of the Wilson line $A^{I}$ which are just elements of the Weyl group of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, or $\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$. In (2.4.6b) we recognize a translation of $A^{I}$ by $\alpha_{0} \times 0$, which belongs to $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, combined with a permutation of $A^{7}$ and $A^{8}$. Finally, (2.4.6c) is the generalization of the T-duality $R \rightarrow 1 / R$ when $A \neq 0$, already given in Section 2.3.1.

The fundamental region described in Table 2.12 can be rewritten in terms of the simple roots of $\Gamma_{1,17}$ in Table 2.1. On the HE case, the inequality for the nodes $1 \leq i \leq 8$ on figure 2.15 can be expressed as $A \cdot\left(\alpha_{i} \times 0\right) \geq 0$; for the 0 node it is $A \cdot\left(\alpha_{0} \times 0\right) \geq-1$ and for the C node $E \geq 1$. For the primed nodes it is the same as before but changing $\left(\alpha_{k} \times 0\right)$ with $\left(0 \times \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.

As explained above, the prescription to obtain a non-Abelian gauge group $G_{r}$ is to delete $19-r$ nodes of the GDD such that the remaining ones give the Dynkin diagram of the desired semisimple Lie Algebra. The total gauge group is $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{17-r}$. The Wilson line and the radius are determined by saturating the inequalities corresponding to the $r$ undeleted nodes. In this manner one can obtain all the allowed groups and the corresponding moduli. For example, for maximal enhancement, all but 2 of the inequalities are saturated. The allowed groups of maximal rank are precisely found by deleting one node in the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ side and one node in the $\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ side, while the central node C corresponding to $E=1$ cannot be erased. We note that the $i$-th node forming the first $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ will have its inequality saturated only when the Wilson line $A$ is orthogonal to $\alpha_{i}$, while the presence of the node 0 implies $A \cdot \alpha_{0}=-1$. From this it is easy to see that the Wilson lines that give maximal enhancements are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{w_{k}}{\kappa_{k}} \times \frac{w_{m}^{\prime}}{\kappa_{m}^{\prime}} \tag{2.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the fundamental weights of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ given in Table 2.1. As a check, see that the LHS of the inequalities is

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \cdot\left(\alpha_{i} \times 0\right)=\frac{w_{k}}{\kappa_{k}} \cdot \alpha_{i}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{i}} \delta_{i k}, \\
A \cdot\left(\alpha_{0} \times 0\right)=\frac{w_{k}}{\kappa_{k}} \cdot\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{8} \kappa_{j} \alpha_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{llc}
-1 & \text { for } & 1 \leq k \leq 8 \\
0 & \text { for } & k=0
\end{array},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

confirming that this Wilson line kills the nodes $k$ and $m^{\prime}$ while preserving the rest.
If the Wilson line $A$ and the radius $R$ are supplied, the resulting group can be determined by checking which boundary conditions are saturated and keeping only the associated nodes in the GDD. To this end we might need to first bring the given $A$ and $R$ to the fundamental region by transformations including shifts and Weyl reflections of $A$ in $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, and the T-duality (2.4.6c).

From the GDD we can also determine the automorphisms of the lattice corresponding to any enhanced gauge group. They are just generated by Weyl reflections (2.4.5) associated to the surviving nodes. The fixed points of each reflection determine a 16 -dimensional hyperplane in moduli space where the inequality associated to the given node is saturated. The intersection of $r$ of these hyperplanes gives the $(17-r)$-dimensional subspace of moduli space where the given rank $r$ gauge group is realized (maximal enhancements are realized at a point). This subspace is invariant under the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the $r$ Weyl reflections associated to the surviving nodes.

There are 44 allowed groups with maximal rank $r=17$, as can be seen by removing pairs of nodes from the GDD. They were given in a condensed form in Tables 2.13 and 2.14 and are shown with more details such as the global structure in Table D. $1^{16}$. On the other hand, there are 1093 forbidden groups with $r=17$, which clearly cannot be obtained from the GDD. One interesting case is the $r=16$ enhancement $S O(16) \times S O(16) \times U(1)$. From the GDD it is evident that there is only one way of selecting the nodes and it is impossible to add a node without getting some non-ADE diagram. This group has an expected trivial enhancement to $S O(16) \times S O(16) \times S U(2)$, but this is one of the forbidden groups mentioned. It turns out that the necessary self-dual radius (eq. (2.3.20)) would be equal to 0 , which is out of the moduli space. Another fact is that this is the only non-maximal group that cannot be enhanced. This is reflected on figure A.8, where the cyan curves do not have any intersection inside the moduli space ${ }^{17}$. In concordance to the previous observation, from this figure one can see that for $R=0$ there are indeed intersections. To finish, we mention that the property of this moduli space of having one non-maximal non-enhanceable point is also shared by the moduli spaces for $T^{2}$ and $T^{3}$ compactifications of heterotic strings [37]. We will revisit this in the following chapters.

[^15]
## Chapter 3

## Toroidal compactifications as lattice embeddings

In the previous chapter, we introduced $T^{d}$ compactifications of heterotic strings and focused on the simplest case, $d=1$, finding the 44 allowed gauge groups with maximal rank 17 and the corresponding moduli. The main objective of this chapter is to generalize this result to any value of $d$. To be able to obtain robust results it will be necessary to change the approach followed in most of the previous chapter (moving around in moduli space) and focus on the lattices that quantize the momenta. As it is well known, modular invariance requires that the momenta of the world-sheet fields take values on the even self-dual lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}[7]$. As a consequence, the allowed gauge groups are such that their even positive definite root lattice can be embedded there. Thus, they can in principle be found using lattice embedding techniques, in particular the machinery developed by Nikulin [16], as advocated in [38]. For instance, Theorem 1.12.4 in [16] implies that any ADE group of rank less or equal than $(d+8)$ can be embedded in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$, and is thus realized in compactifications of the heterotic theory on $T^{d}$.

For $d=2$ all allowed gauge groups are known from the work of Shimada and Zhang who classified all possible ADE types of singular fibers in elliptic K3 surfaces $[14,15]$. As we will explain, the classification provides all possible heterotic gauge groups because the lattice embedding conditions are identical in the K3 and heterotic frameworks. This is consistent with duality between heterotic on $T^{2}$ and F-theory on K3.

Another problem is to obtain the resulting gauge group for specific moduli. On the last chapter we addressed this by organizing the left-moving component of the momenta into roots of an ADE group (see also [31]). However, since this method is cumbersome, it is desirable to develop a more powerful approach which could also be applied to the question of finding all possible groups. When $d=1$ both problems can be solved using the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) associated to the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}$.

The generalization of the powerful GDD algorithm to higher dimensional compactifications clashes with the fact that, unlike $\mathrm{O}(1,17 ; \mathbb{Z})$, the T-duality group $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})$ is no longer generated by simple reflections. In the absence of a Dynkin diagram to describe $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$, what we can do to explore the landscape of heterotic strings on $T^{d}$, for generic dimension $d>1$, is to develop alternative methods.

To begin we will revisit Nikulin's criteria, and apply them to compactifications of the heterotic string on $T^{d}$. The study of embeddings in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ will enable us to characterize the allowed gauge groups in terms of lattice data consisting of the pair $(L, T)$, where $L$ is the root
lattice of the group, and $T$ is the dual lattice of the right-moving momenta. Conversely, ( $L, T$ ) can be determined from the moduli that originate the group.

We also present other method to examine the toroidal landscape. We focus mainly on maximal enhancement in $T^{2}$ compactifications of the HE theory, but the algorithm works in higher dimensions. In particular, we will obtain all semisimple groups with maximal rank $d+16$, occurring in $d=1,2$. Moreover, the moduli in the HO theory can be deduced from those of the HE theory by making use of an $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ transformation that generalizes the map constructed in [39] for $d=1$.

The idea of this exploration algorithm is to start from a point of maximal enhancement, i.e. a rank $d+16$ group with no $\mathrm{U}(1)$ factors, move along lines in moduli space where there is a breaking to a group with one $\mathrm{U}(1)$ factor, and then find all maximal enhancements that can be reached from the neighborhood of the initial point. We have fully exploited this technique in $d=2$, finding all enhancements reported in [14]. We have also done explorations for $d=3$ and 4 , in connection to the work explained in Chapter 5.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we briefly review the basics of heterotic compactification on $T^{d}$ and present a simple method to find the transformation of the background fields under the action of $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$. We also review the map relating the charge vectors and moduli of the HE and HO theories on the circle, and formulate it for generic $d$. In Section 3.2 we state criteria, based on lattice embedding techniques, that can be used to detect whether a group is allowed or not. We additionally explain how to translate between heterotic moduli and lattice data. The notation and essential concepts about lattices that supplement this section are contained in Appendices B. 1 and B.2. Compactifications on $T^{2}$ are the subject of Section 3.3. In Section 3.3.1, we present a computational algorithm to obtain the moduli underlying semisimple groups of maximal rank, exploring the neighborhood of points of maximal enhancement. In Section 3.3.2 we discuss several features of the models appearing in $d=2$. Tables containing all the groups of maximal enhancement in one and two dimensions, and the points in moduli space where they arise, are presented in Appendix D.1.

### 3.1 Toroidal compactification of the heterotic string

Now we return to the toroidal compactifications introduced in Section 2.2. On the following we will derive the transformation rules for the moduli and the map that relates the HE and HO theories. It will be convenient to define the tensor $E_{i j}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=G_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} A_{i} \cdot A_{j}+B_{i j} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}=A_{i}^{I} A_{j}^{I}$. The $d(d+16)$ parameters of the compactification are the $d \times d$ matrix $E_{i j}$ and the $d$ vectors $A_{i}^{I}$. The momenta 2.2.10 can be expressed as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{R} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[n_{i}-E_{i j} w^{j}-\pi \cdot A_{i}\right] \hat{e}^{* i}  \tag{3.1.2a}\\
p_{L} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[n_{i}+\left(2 G_{i j}-E_{i j}\right) w^{j}-\pi \cdot A_{i}\right] \hat{e}^{* i}=\sqrt{2} w^{i} e_{i}+p_{R}  \tag{3.1.2b}\\
p^{I} & =\pi^{I}+A_{i}^{I} w^{i} \tag{3.1.2c}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall the mass and level matching equations invariant under $O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})(2.2 .14 \mathrm{a})$ and (2.2.14b). In the NS sector the lowest lying states have $N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}$ and their supersymmetric partners in R have $N_{R}=0$. These states can be massless only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}+2\left(N_{L}-1\right)=0 \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left and right momenta were given in 2.2.10.
Moreover, from (2.2.12) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2 w^{i} n_{i}+\pi \cdot \pi \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For generic values of the moduli the only solution is $w^{i}=0, n_{i}=0, \pi^{I}=0$, implying $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=0$, and $N_{L}=1$ in (3.1.3). It gives rise to the gravity multiplet plus gauge multiplets of $\mathrm{U}(1)^{d+16}$. On the other hand, for special values of the moduli there can exist solutions with $N_{L}=0$, and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$. The set of $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}$ then gives the roots of a Lie group $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ of rank $r \leq d+16$. In this case there will be gauge multiplets of a group $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{d+16-r}$. The non-Abelian piece $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ is in turn a product of ADE factors of total rank $r$. Our main task for the next sections is to study which groups can occur and to determine the underlying moduli.

We will mostly work with the HE theory. The results for the HO can be deduced from the map discussed in Section 3.1.2.

### 3.1.1 Duality transformations of the moduli

In this section we present a simple way of finding the action of $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ transformations on the background fields ( $G_{i j}, B_{i j}, A_{i}^{I}$ ).

We first start by the transformation of the $2 d+16$ charge vectors, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z\rangle=\left|w^{i}, n_{i} ; \pi^{I}\right\rangle \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are just generalizations to $T^{d}$ of the one introduced in Section 2.4.1 for the circle. The

[^16]inner product between charge vectors is computed using the $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ invariant metric
\[

\eta=\left($$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1_{d \times d} & 0  \tag{3.1.6}\\
1_{d \times d} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_{I J}
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Z^{\prime} \mid Z\right\rangle=w^{\prime i} n_{i}+n_{i}^{\prime} w^{i}+\pi^{\prime I} \pi^{I} . \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the generators $O \in \mathrm{O}(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})$ presented in $[1]^{2}$, the transformation of $|\tilde{Z}\rangle \equiv \eta|Z\rangle$ is simply ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{Z}\rangle \rightarrow O|\tilde{Z}\rangle \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation of the moduli can be obtained from the transformation of the generalized metric for the torus. It is generally simpler though to find the transformation of the moduli using the vielbein $\mathcal{E}$ for the generalized metric. This vielbein can be built using that the left and right-moving momenta (3.1.2) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}=\mathcal{E}|\tilde{Z}\rangle \tag{3.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$, the vielbein transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \eta O^{T} \eta . \tag{3.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this transformation law it follows that the first $d$ rows of $\eta \mathcal{E}$, which we write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{e}_{a}^{i *}\left|E_{i k},-\delta_{i}^{j} ; A_{i}^{I}\right\rangle, \quad a=1, \ldots, d, \tag{3.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ vectors. Taking the transpose of (3.1.10) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle \rightarrow O\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle . \tag{3.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These vectors also form a negative definite orthonormal set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a} \mid \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{b}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_{a}^{i *} \hat{e}_{b}^{j *}\left(-2 E_{i j}+A_{i} \cdot A_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \hat{e}_{a}^{i *} \hat{e}_{b}^{j *}\left(-2 G_{i j}\right)=-\delta_{a b} . \tag{3.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the transformation laws for the moduli under an $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{R})$ element we simply construct the vectors $\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle$, transform them to $\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}^{\prime}\right\rangle=O\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle$, and extract the transformed moduli $E_{i j}^{\prime}, A_{i}^{\prime}$. In practice, however, this procedure can be simplified as follows. Construct the $d \times(2 d+16)$ matrix

$$
\mathcal{A} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}
E_{i j} & -\delta_{i}{ }^{j} & A_{i}{ }^{I} \tag{3.1.14}
\end{array}\right),
$$

with rows labeled $\mathcal{A}_{i}$. These differ from the vectors $\left|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{a}\right\rangle$ in that the factor $(1 / \sqrt{2}) \hat{e}_{a}^{* i}$ is missing (cf. eq. (3.1.11)). We may however interpret this as taking $\hat{e}_{a}^{* i}=\sqrt{2} \delta_{a}^{i}$, so that the rows $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ can

[^17]also be transformed as $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ vectors, $\mathcal{A}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}=O \mathcal{A}_{i}$. From the new matrix $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ one then extracts the moduli with the formula
\[

\left($$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{i j}^{\prime} & -\delta_{i}^{j} & A_{i}^{I^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$\right)=-\left($$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}_{1, d+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_{1,2 d}^{\prime}  \tag{3.1.15}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathcal{A}_{d, d+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_{d, 2 d}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$\right)^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}
\]

where on the right hand side we multiply by minus the inverse of the $d \times d$ middle block of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, which is the vielbein for the transformed metric $e_{a i}^{\prime}$.

We now proceed to illustrate this method with a pair of examples where we restrict to the T-duality group $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider first the case $d=2$, and apply the transformation given by the matrix

$$
O_{\Lambda_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2} & 0 & \Lambda  \tag{3.1.16}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\Lambda_{1}^{t} & 0 & 1_{16 \times 16}
\end{array}\right), \quad \Lambda_{1} \in \Upsilon_{16},
$$

which shifts $A_{1}$ by $\Lambda_{1}$. After transforming the rows of $\mathcal{A}$ with $O_{\Lambda_{1}}$, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
E_{11}+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{2}+\Lambda_{1} \cdot A_{1} & E_{12} & -1 & 0 & A_{1}+\Lambda_{1}  \tag{3.1.17}\\
E_{21}+\Lambda_{1} \cdot A_{2} & E_{21} & 0 & -1 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the second $2 \times 2$ block of $\mathcal{A}$ remains invariant, minus its inverse, which appears in (3.1.15), is the identity. The transformed $E_{i j}$ and $A_{i}$ can then be read off from eq. (3.1.17). In terms of the background fields $G_{i j}, B_{12}, A_{i}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\Lambda_{1}}: \quad G_{i j}^{\prime}=G_{i j}, \quad B_{12}^{\prime}=B_{12}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{1} \cdot A_{2}, \quad A_{1}^{\prime}=A_{1}+\Lambda, \quad A_{2}^{\prime}=A_{2} \tag{3.1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result highlights the fact that, generically, a shift of one Wilson line $A_{i}$ by a vector $\Lambda_{i} \in \Upsilon_{16}$ must be accompanied by a $b$-field shift $B_{i j}^{\prime}=B_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i} \cdot A_{j}$. The components of the charge vector $|Z\rangle$ transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{\Lambda_{i}}: \quad \pi^{I} \rightarrow \pi^{I}-\Lambda_{i}^{I} w^{i}, \quad n_{i} \rightarrow n_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i}^{2} w^{i}+\pi \cdot \Lambda_{i}, \quad n_{j} \rightarrow n_{j}(j \neq i), \quad w^{i} \rightarrow w^{i} . \tag{3.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us use this method to obtain the factorized duality $O_{D_{1}}$, which exchanges $n_{1} \leftrightarrow w^{1}$ in generic dimension $d$. The action of $O_{D_{1}}$ on the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ exchanges the first and the $(d+1)$ th columns, and so

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
E_{i j}^{\prime} & -\delta_{i}{ }^{j} & A_{i}^{I^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-E_{11} & \delta^{i}{ }_{1}  \tag{3.1.20}\\
\vdots & \vdots \\
-E_{d 1} & \delta_{d}{ }^{i}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\delta_{1}^{1} & E_{1 i} & E_{11} & -\delta^{i}{ }_{1} & A_{1}{ }^{I} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\delta_{d}^{1} & E_{d i} & E_{d 1} & -\delta_{d}{ }^{i} & A_{d}{ }^{I}
\end{array}\right), \quad i=2, \ldots, d .
$$

After performing this matrix operation, we obtain the transformation rules

$$
E^{\prime}=\frac{1}{E_{11}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -E_{1 j}  \tag{3.1.21}\\
-E_{i 1} & E_{11} E_{i j}-E_{i 1} E_{1 j}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{E_{11}}\binom{-A_{1}}{E_{11} A_{i}-E_{i 1} A_{1}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, d
$$

This result generalizes to a factorized duality in an arbitrary direction $\theta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{D_{\theta}}: \quad E_{\theta \theta}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \quad E_{\theta j}^{\prime}=-\frac{E_{\theta j}}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \quad E_{i \theta}^{\prime}=-\frac{E_{i \theta}}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \quad E_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{E_{\theta \theta} E_{i j}-E_{i \theta} E_{\theta j}}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \\
A_{\theta}^{\prime} & =-\frac{A_{\theta}}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \quad A_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{E_{\theta \theta} A_{i}-E_{i \theta} A_{\theta}}{E_{\theta \theta}}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, d \neq \theta \tag{3.1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with the heterotic Buscher rules found originally in [40] and discussed also in [34].

### 3.1.2 $\quad$ The $\mathrm{HE} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HO}$ map

Due to the uniqueness of the Narain lattices, the HO and HE theories compactified on $T^{d}$ share the same moduli space. For the circle, an explicit map relating the charge lattices of both theories was given in [33] and the precise relation between the moduli was worked out in [39].

The $\mathrm{O}(1,17)$ transformation relating a basis of vectors of the $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ embedding into $\Gamma_{1,17}$ to another one of the $\Gamma_{16}$ embedding is given by [33]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}=O_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}} O_{\Omega} O_{P_{1}} O_{D_{1}} O_{-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}} \tag{3.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}}, O_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}}$ are shifts of the Wilson line by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}=\left(0^{7}, 1,-1,0^{7}\right), \quad \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}=\left(\frac{1}{2}^{8}, 0^{8}\right) \tag{3.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$O_{D_{1}}$ is a T-duality in the circle direction, $O_{P_{1}}$ an inversion and $O_{\Omega}$ a rescaling. Their action on the charge vectors and moduli is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{\Lambda}: & |w, n ; \pi\rangle \rightarrow\left|w, n+\pi \cdot \Lambda-\frac{1}{2} w \Lambda^{2} ; \pi-w \Lambda\right\rangle, & (R, A) \rightarrow(R, A+\Lambda) \\
O_{D_{1}}: & |w, n ; \pi\rangle \rightarrow|n, w ; \pi\rangle, & (R, A) \rightarrow\left(\frac{R}{R^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}},-\frac{A}{R^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}}\right), \\
O_{P_{1}}: & |w, n ; \pi\rangle \rightarrow|-w,-n ; \pi\rangle, & (R, A) \rightarrow(R,-A)  \tag{3.1.25}\\
O_{\Omega}: & |w, n ; \pi\rangle \rightarrow\left|2 w, \frac{1}{2} n ; \pi\right\rangle, & (R, A) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2} R, \frac{1}{2} A\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the total transformation (3.1.23) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}: & w \rightarrow 2 w-2 n+2 \pi \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}, \quad n \rightarrow-2 w+2 n+\pi \cdot\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right), \\
& \pi \rightarrow w\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}\right)+2 n \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}+\pi-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot \pi\right),  \tag{3.1.26}\\
& R \rightarrow \frac{R}{2 R^{2}+\left(A-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{2}}, \quad A \rightarrow \frac{A-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}}{2 R^{2}+\left(A-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{2}}+\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}},
\end{align*}
$$

corresponding to the $O(1,17, \mathbb{R})$ matrix

$$
\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -2 & \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}  \tag{3.1.27}\\
-2 & 2 & 2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \\
2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}^{t} & \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}^{t}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}^{t} & \mathbb{1}_{16}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\otimes$ is an outer product.
Labeling $E_{\mathrm{E}}=R_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}$ and the Wilson line $A_{\mathrm{E}}$ in the HE theory, the transformation (3.1.26) gives the HO moduli as [39]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{\mathrm{O}}, A_{\mathrm{O}}\right)=\left(1+\frac{A_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}}{2\left(E_{\mathrm{E}}+1-A_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right)}, \frac{A_{\mathrm{E}}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}}{2\left(E_{\mathrm{E}}+1-A_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right)}+\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}\right) \tag{3.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map from HO to HE is simply obtained by exchanging $\left(E_{\mathrm{O}}, A_{\mathrm{O},}, \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(E_{\mathrm{E}}, A_{\mathrm{E}}, \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$.
To extend (3.1.27) from the circle to $T^{d}$, it is sufficient to consider a decomposition of the Narain lattice of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{d, d+16}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8} \tag{3.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the number of $\Gamma_{1,1}$ lattices is $d .{ }^{4}$ We use $\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}$ to transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}: \quad \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{16}, \tag{3.1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

choosing $\Gamma_{1,1}$ to be in the direction given by the torus lattice vector $e_{1}$, without loss of generality. This brings the Narain lattice into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{d, d+16}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{16} . \tag{3.1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the desired extension is

$$
\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{(2 d-2) \times(2 d-2)} \oplus \Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{1}_{(2 d-2) \times(2 d-2)} & 0  \tag{3.1.32}\\
0 & \Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which holds provided the ordering $|Z\rangle=\left|w^{2}, n_{2}, \ldots, w^{d}, n_{d}, w^{1}, n_{1} ; \pi\right\rangle$ is used. In practice one may wish to keep the order in (3.1.5) and rearrange the entries of $\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}^{(d)}$ instead, which is reasonable for low values of $d$.

To get the transformation rules for the moduli, we proceed constructively using the factorized form of $\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}$ in (3.1.23), and generalizing each intermediate transformation. Each of the generalized transformation rules can be obtained by the method detailed in Section 3.1.1, which is valid not only for T-dualities but for generic $\mathrm{O}(d, d+16)$ transformations such as $O_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}}$ (in HE ) and $O_{D_{1}}$.

Let us first take a detailed look at the map $\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}$ for $d=2$. The generalization to arbitrary $d$ is straightforward. Preserving the usual ordering of the components of $|Z\rangle$, namely

[^18]$\left|w^{1}, w^{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} ; \pi\right\rangle$, we write
\[

\Theta_{\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}}^{(2)}=\left($$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}  \tag{3.1.33}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}^{t} & 0 & 2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}^{t}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}}^{t} & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{16 \times 16}-2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}
$$\right),
\]

The transformation rules for the quantum numbers are exactly the same as in the $d=1$ case for $w^{1}, n_{1}$ and $\pi$, while $w^{2}$ and $n_{2}$ are invariant, as expected.

To work out the map, we proceed by applying the transformations in the RHS of (3.1.23) in succession. The Wilson line shift in direction 1 acts as

$$
O_{\Lambda}: \quad E \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{11}-\Lambda \cdot A_{1}+1 & E_{12}  \tag{3.1.34}\\
E_{21}-\Lambda \cdot A_{2} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}-\Lambda, \quad A_{2} \rightarrow A_{2} .
$$

Note that $E_{12}$ is invariant since the $b$-field is also shifted (see the footnote 3). The factorized duality acts as

$$
O_{D_{1}}: \quad E \rightarrow \frac{1}{E_{11}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -E_{12}  \tag{3.1.35}\\
E_{21} & \operatorname{det} E
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1} \rightarrow-\frac{A_{1}}{E_{11}}, \quad A_{2} \rightarrow A_{2}-\frac{E_{21}}{E_{11}} A_{1}
$$

and finally $O_{P_{1}}$ and $O_{\Omega}$ produce the transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{P_{1}}: & E \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{11} & -E_{12} \\
-E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1} \rightarrow-A_{1}, \quad A_{2} \rightarrow A_{2},  \tag{3.1.36}\\
O_{\Omega}: & E \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{4} E_{11} & \frac{1}{2} E_{12} \\
\frac{1}{2} E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} A_{1}, \quad A_{2} \rightarrow A_{2} . \tag{3.1.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting all together, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
E_{11} & E_{12} & A_{1} \\
E_{21} & E_{22} & A_{2}
\end{array}\right) & \rightarrow \\
& \left.+\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \\
\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot A_{2} & E_{22} & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.1.38}\\
& +\frac{1}{E_{11}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot A_{1}+1}\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot A_{2}-E_{21}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot A_{1} & E_{12} & A_{1}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The map for generic $d$ can be worked out in a similar fashion. The final result reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
E_{11} & E_{12} & \cdots & E_{1 d} & A_{1} \\
E_{21} & E_{22} & \cdots & E_{2 d} & A_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
E_{d 1} & E_{d 2} & \cdots & E_{d d} & A_{d}
\end{array}\right) & \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \\
\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot A_{2} & E_{22} & \cdots & E_{2 d} & A_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot A_{d} & E_{d 2} & \cdots & E_{d d} & A_{d}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{E_{11}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot A_{1}+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot A_{2}-E_{21} \\
\vdots \\
\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot A_{d}-E_{d 1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\Lambda_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot A_{1} & E_{12} & \cdots & E_{1 d} & A_{1}-\Lambda_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.1.39}
\end{align*}
$$

In the forthcoming sections we will apply the HE-HO map in compactifications to $d=1$ and 2 and give some examples for other values of $d$.

### 3.2 Embedding in Narain lattices

In this section we discuss how to determine which gauge groups $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{d+16-r}$ occur in the compactification of perturbative heterotic strings on $T^{d}$. We are mostly interested in heterotic compactification on $T^{2}$, which is dual to F-theory compactifications on elliptic K3 surfaces [41]. Not surprisingly, for $d=2$ the problem of finding all allowed $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ happens to be related to the classification of possible singular fibers of ADE type in elliptic K3 surfaces. The explicit solution has been obtained in the K3 framework in [14, 15], using Nikulin's formalism. The results are expected to hold in the heterotic context too. The reason is that in the K3 context, the condition on the allowed $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ is that its even positive definite root lattice can be embedded in $\Gamma_{2,18}$ which is precisely the Narain lattice.

According to Theorem 1.12.4 in [16], any $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ of type ADE with $r \leq 10$ is allowed for $d=2$, as indeed found in [15]. For larger $r$ more complicated conditions have to be verified as we will explain shortly. This program has been carried out in [15]. It turns out that for $r=11,12$, also all $\mathrm{ADE} \mathrm{G}_{r}$ can be embedded in $\Gamma_{2,18}$. For $r=13$, only $13 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ and $11 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are precluded. Henceforth $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ will be denoted by the chain of ADE factors of its algebra. For $r=14$, except $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$, all other forbidden groups, e.g. $14 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, were predicted to be prohibited because singular fibers with such $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ could not fit in a K3 where the vanishing degree of the discriminant must be 24. For $r \geq 15$ there are many more forbidden groups. In particular, there are 1599 ADE groups of rank 18 [15] but according to the analysis of [14, 15], only 325 are expected to be realized in compactifications of the heterotic string on $T^{2}$. A natural question is why some groups are forbidden. To answer it, we will present some tools that can be applied to decide when a group is allowed or not. Our purpose is to illustrate the main ideas, not to do a systematic search as in $[14,15]$ for $d=2$.

We will mostly focus on the case of maximal enhancement, i.e. $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ with $r=d+16$. In 3.2.1, we will first discuss three criteria that can be applied for generic $d$. We then specialize to $d=1,2$, and in less detail to $d=8$. The criteria for groups with $r<16+d$ are presented
in Appendix B.2.1. The connection of the criteria to heterotic compactifications is addressed in Section 3.2.2 for generic $d$ and in Section 3.2.3 for $d=1$. We refer to [42-44] for short expositions of the main results of Nikulin's [16] relevant for our analysis, see also [45-48]. On Appendix B. 1 we introduce the notation and some basic concepts that will be used in the following.

### 3.2.1 Embeddings of groups with maximal rank $r=d+16$

The problem is to embed a lattice $L$ of signature $(0, d+16)$ in the even unimodular Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. In the heterotic context $L$ is the root lattice of a group of maximal rank arising upon compactification on $T^{d}$. Nikulin [16] provides powerful results that serve to determine whether or not such embedding exists. In particular, adapting respectively Corollary 1.12.3 and Theorem 1.12.4(c) of [16] to the case at hand leads to the criteria

## Criterion 1

If $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)<d$ then $L$ has a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$.

## Criterion 2

$L$ has a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ if and only if there exists a lattice $T$ of signature $(0, d)$ such that $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right)$.

Here $A_{L}$ and $q_{L}$ are respectively the discriminant group and the quadratic discriminant form of $L$, whereas $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)$ is the minimal number of generators of $A_{L}$, and analogously for $T$ (see Appendix B. 1 for details). Since $\ell\left(A_{T}\right) \leq d$, groups with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=d$ could pass criterion 2 which actually requires $d(L)=d(T)$. We will shortly explain how the lattice $T$ can be determined when $d=1,2$. There could exist more than one $T$, as found for some groups in [14]. Notice that in our conventions $(0, d)$ means positive signature.

Now, criteria 1 and 2 cannot be the whole story. We know groups with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)>d$ that can be realized in heterotic compactifications on $T^{d}$. For example, when $d=2$, heterotic moduli that give $L=3 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ are known. Hence, there should be an embedding of this $L$ in $\Gamma_{2,18}$ even though $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=3$. We also know examples with $d=1$. In particular, $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=3$, would be forbidden by criterion 2 but must admit an embedding in $\Gamma_{1,17}$ because it certainly arises in the heterotic string on $S^{1}$. For $d=1$, the 44 groups with maximal rank in Table 2.13 have $\ell\left(A_{L}\right) \leq 3$. Only the groups with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$, e.g. $L=2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$, could possibly be allowed by criterion 2 . The problem is that criteria 1 and 2 refer to primitive embeddings and this need not be the case. From the arguments in $[14,15]$ it transpires that this condition can be relaxed by demanding that $L$ has an overlattice $M$ which can be embedded primitively in the Narain lattice. For instance, we know that $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ has an overlattice given by the even unimodular HO lattice $\Gamma_{16}$ with trivial discriminant group. Therefore, $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ has an overlattice $M=\Gamma_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ with $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\ell\left(A_{M}\right)=1$. The overlattice $M$ could then pass criterion 2 with an even 1 dimensional lattice $T$ equal to the $A_{1}$ lattice.

The above arguments lead to a third criterion obtained adapting Theorem 7.1 [15]. It reads

## Criterion 3

$L$ has an embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ if and only if $L$ has an overlattice $M$ with the following properties:
(i) there exists an even lattice $T$ of signature $(0, d)$ such that $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right)$,
(ii) the sublattice $M_{\text {root }}$ of $M$ coincides with $L$.

Since $L$ is an overlattice of itself, criterion 2 is a subcase of criterion 3. As explained in Appendix B.1, for an overlattice $M$ to exist, there must be an isotropic subgroup $H_{L}$ of $A_{L}$ such that $M / L \cong H_{L}$ and $\left|H_{L}\right|^{2}=d(L) / d(M)$. When criterion 3 is satisfied, $d(M)=d(T)$. We then obtain the useful relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(L)=d(T)\left|H_{L}\right|^{2} \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to $T$ as the complementary lattice in the following.
In the K3 framework, in which $d=2, H_{L}$ corresponds to the torsion part of the Mordell-Weil group, called $M W$ in [14]. It can be checked that all pairs $(L, T)$ in Table 2 of [14], reproduced in our Table D.2, satisfy the relation (3.2.1). We remark that there could exist more than one $M$, as found for some groups in [14].

In the work of Shimada and Zhang [14], the focus is on the classification of all possible ADE types of singular fibers of extremal elliptic K3 surfaces. Such a surface, called $X$, is characterized by having Picard number, $\rho(X)$, equal to 20, and finite Mordell-Weil group [42]. In this case the Néron-Severi lattice, $N S_{X}$, and the transcendental lattice, $T_{X}$, have signatures $(1,19)$ and $(2,0)$ respectively ${ }^{5}$. The lattice $W_{X}$ has signature $(0,18)$ and contains the sublattice $L(\Sigma)$ of rank 18 , where $\Sigma$ is the formal sum of the ADE types of singular fibers (determined by the Kodaira classification). It follows that $L(\Sigma)$ must admit an embedding in $\Gamma_{2,18}$. Now, in the heterotic compactification on $T^{2}$, the semisimple ADE groups of maximal rank 18 that can occur are such that their root lattice can be embedded in the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{2,18}$. Thus, the results of [14] for all possible $L(\Sigma)$ translate into all possible maximal enhancements in the heterotic compactification on $T^{2}$. Notice that the complementary lattice of criteria 2 and 3 above is related to the transcendental lattice by a change of sign of the Gram metric, i.e. $T=T_{X}\langle-1\rangle$. In Section 3.2.2 we will discuss to greater extent the connection to heterotic compactifications.

We illustrate below the application of criteria $1,2,3$ to the cases $d=1,2$. We will also comment briefly on $d=8$. In practice we first try criterion 1 . If $L$ passes it, then it is allowed. If not, we continue with criterion 2 . If $L$ satisfies it, we are done, otherwise we apply criterion 3. If $L$ also fails criterion 3 we conclude that $L$ is not allowed. A consistency check is that if $L$ passes criterion 1 it must also fulfill criterion 3. Let us mention that the steps taken by Shimada and Zhang to compile their list, cf. section 3 in [14], indicate that they run a computer program based on the more general criterion 3.

[^19]
### 3.2.1.1 $\quad d=1$

As a warm up we will study the $d=1$ case which is simple yet instructive. Moreover, all allowed groups of maximal enhancement appearing in heterotic compactification on $S^{1}$ were already listed in Section 2.4. Thus, there are many examples to illustrate the application of the lattice embedding techniques.

When $d=1$ the easy criterion 1 gives no information. When $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$ we then apply criterion 2. In Table 3.1 we give some examples of allowed groups. It is easy to propose the corresponding $T$ because it must be $d(T)=d(L)$ and the $(0,1)$ even lattices are of type $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle m\rangle$, defined to be the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ lattice rescaled so that its basis vector has norm $u_{1}^{2}=2 m$. One still has to check that the discriminant forms do match, more precisely that there is an isomorphism $\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right) \cong\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$. For example, for $L=\mathrm{D}_{17}, A_{L}$ is generated by the spinor class with $s^{2}=\frac{17}{4}=\frac{1}{4} \bmod 2$, so $q_{L}$ takes values $\frac{j^{2}}{4} \bmod 2, j=0, \ldots 3$. This matches the $q_{T}$ of $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 2\rangle$ which takes the same values because $\left(u_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$. It is more challenging to check $L=\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{10}$. For the proposed $T, A_{T}$ is generated by $u_{1}^{*}$ with $\left(u_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{22}$, whereas $A_{L}$ is generated by $w_{56} \times w_{1}$ with $w_{56}^{2}=\frac{3}{2}$ and $w_{1}^{2}=\frac{10}{11}$. To see that $q_{L}$ and $q_{T}$ match it suffices to verify that $\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{10 j^{2}}{11}=\frac{1}{22}+2 k\right)$ is satisfied by integers $j$ and $k$, e.g. $j=4, k=8$.

| $L$ | $A_{L}$ | $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{17}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}\langle 2\rangle$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}\langle 2\rangle$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}\langle 11\rangle$ |

Table 3.1: Examples of allowed $L$ with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$, when $d=1$.

The allowed groups with maximal enhancement of the form $L=\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{9-p}+A_{p}, p=1, \ldots, 9$, $p \neq 7$, all have $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$. Only for $p=8$ there is an isotropic subgroup (actually for the $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ component) but the $M_{\text {root }}$ of the associated $M$ is larger than $L$. Hence, all these groups should be allowed by criterion 2 . We find that the corresponding $T$ is $\mathrm{A}_{1}\left\langle\frac{p(p+1)}{2}\right\rangle, p=1, \ldots, 6$, and $\mathrm{A}_{1}\left\langle\frac{(10-p)(p+1)}{2}\right\rangle, p=8,9$.

It is straightforward but cumbersome to check exhaustively which of the known groups with maximal enhancement and $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$ satisfy criterion 2 , and if not apply criterion 3 . In many cases, e.g. $L=\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{4}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{30}$, one can quickly see that an overlattice cannot exist because there is no isotropic subgroup. Since this $L$ is known to appear, criterion 2 should allow it, and indeed $T=\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 15\rangle$ fulfills the conditions.

A neat example with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$ is $L=\mathrm{A}_{17}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{18}$. The candidate $T$ would be $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 9\rangle$ but the discriminant forms do not match because there are no integers $j$ and $k$ such that $\left(\frac{17 j^{2}}{18}=\frac{1}{18}+2 k\right)$ is satisfied. Fortunately, $\mathrm{A}_{17}$ has an overlattice $M$ associated to the isotropic subgroup $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, generated by $w_{6}$ with $w_{6}^{2}=4=0 \bmod 2$. From (3.2.1) we see that $d(T)=2$ so it must be $T=\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Since $d(M)=d(T)$ also $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It remains to check that the discriminant forms of $A_{M}$ and $A_{T}$ coincide. To this end we need to determine the orthogonal complement $H_{L}^{\perp}$ of $H_{L}$ in $A_{L}$ and restrict $q_{L}$ to $H_{L}^{\perp} / H_{L}$. We then look for weights orthogonal to the generator $w_{6}$, i.e. weights such that $w_{i} \cdot w_{6}=0 \bmod 1$. Besides $w_{6}$ and $w_{12}$ which belong
to $H_{L}, w_{3}, w_{9}$ and $w_{15}$ are orthogonal. Now, $w_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \bmod 2$, for $i=3,9,15$. This confirms that $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, with the discriminant form $q_{M}$ taking values 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$. These are the same values taken by $q_{T}$. Finally, the root sublattice of $M$ is equal to $L$ because $w_{6}^{2}=4$.

We can also study known allowed groups with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right) \geq 2$ where criterion 3 must be applied. An example is the group with $L=\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{11}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. There exists an overlattice with $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and it can be shown that criterion 3 is satisfied with $T=\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 2\rangle$. For a second example take $L=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{14}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{15} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{15}$. The piece $\tilde{L}=\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{14}$ has an overlattice $\tilde{M}$ with $d(\tilde{M})=5$ so necessarily $A_{\tilde{M}}=\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. Thus, $L$ has an overlattice $M=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\tilde{M}$, $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ and a candidate $T$ is $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 5\rangle$. With $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=3$ we already discussed how $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ passes the test. In Table D. 1 we give full results.

So far we have discussed groups with maximal enhancement which are known to occur. It is reassuring that they are allowed by the lattice embedding criteria but our main motivation was to understand why some groups are forbidden. Let us then finally offer a couple of examples of forbidden groups. Take $L=\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{11}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{28}$. A candidate $T$ is $A_{1}\langle 14\rangle$, but $q_{T} \not \approx q_{L}$. An overlattice cannot exist because there is no isotropic subgroup of $A_{L}$. Thus, this $L$ fails criteria 2 and 3. A less trivial example is $L=2 \mathrm{D}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}$. In Appendix B. 1 we explained that $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ admits $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ as an overlattice. For $L$ this leads to a full overlattice given by $M=2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Now $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and an adequate $T$ would be $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. However, condition (ii) in criterion 3 is not satisfied. As remarked in Appendix B.1, the root sublattice of $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}$ is not equal to $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$. Actually, $L$ admits also an overlattice $M^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ with $A_{M^{\prime}}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3}$ and $\ell\left(A_{M^{\prime}}\right)=3$ so there can be no associated $T$. It would be interesting to study more examples of forbidden groups.

### 3.2.1.2 $d=2$

When $d=2$, criterion 1 implies that lattices with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$ give allowed groups. In Table 3.2 we present a few examples of this type.

| $L$ | $A_{L}$ | $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{18}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$ | $[2,1,10]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{15}$ | $[2,1,8]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{17} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{51}$ | $[6,3,10]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{9} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{99}$ | $[10,1,10]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{91}$ | $[2,1,46]$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{13} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{39}$ | $[4,1,10]$ |

Table 3.2: Examples of allowed $L$ with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$, when $d=2 . T$ is denoted by its Gram matrix [ $u_{1}^{2}, u_{1} \cdot u_{2}, u_{2}^{2}$ ].

Before considering examples with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=2$ let us describe how to find the lattice $T$. To begin, $d(T)$ is known because it must be equal to $d(L)$ or $d(M)$. Next, the even 2 dimensional lattices of determinant less than 50 are listed in Table 15.1 of [49], and for larger $d(T)$ they can be found using the SageMath module on binary quadratic forms [50]. Given $T$, the pair $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ can be deduced as explained in Appendix B.1. We then check if $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right) \cong\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right)$.

Criterion 2 must also hold when $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=1$ since in this case the existence of a primitive
embedding is guaranteed by criterion 1. In Table 3.2 we have shown the corresponding matrices $T$. For example, with $d(T)=19$ there is only the lattice [2,1,10]. It can be checked that $A_{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{19}$ and that the values of $q_{T}$ are such that indeed $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ is isomorphic to ( $A_{L}, q_{L}$ ) for $L=A_{18}$. For $L=\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ we need a $T$ with $d(T)=15$. In this case there are two possible lattices, $[2,1,8]$ and $[4,1,4]$, both with $A_{T}=\mathbb{Z}_{15}$. It can be checked that only the discriminant form of the first does match $q_{L}$.

The allowed $L$ 's are given in Table 2 in [14]. It is a simple task to find $A_{L}$ and $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)$. Groups accepted by criterion 2 have $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=2$ and $M W=[0]$. In our language trivial $M W$ means trivial $H_{L}$, i.e. trivial overlattice $M=L$. There are many examples of this type. In Table 3.3 we show a few. To find $T$ we proceed as explained before, looking first for even lattices of determinant $d(T)=d(L)$ and $A_{T}=A_{L}$. There might be more than one, the correct ones must have $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right) \cong\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right)$. In Table 3.3 we have displayed in red candidates for $T$ that are discarded because $q_{T}$ is incongruent with $q_{L}$. The incorrect $T$ 's are more or less obvious. Checking the isomorphism for the correct ones is more laborious. For instance, for $L=\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{12}$, the distinct values that can appear in $q_{L}$ are in the set $\left\{0, \frac{1}{3}, 1, \frac{4}{3}\right\}$. Both $T$ 's have $A_{T}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$, but the values of $q_{L}$ can only be matched to the values in the $T$ with $Q^{-1}=\left[\frac{1}{3},-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}\right]$.

| $L$ | $A_{L}$ | $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $[4,0,4]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $[4,2,6][2,0,10]$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $[4,2,4][2,0,6]$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{17}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{18}$ | $[4,2,10][2,0,18]$ |

Table 3.3: Examples of allowed $L$ with $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=2$, when $d=2$. The candidates for $T$ with $d(T)=d(L)$, but with $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right) \not \equiv\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right)$, are displayed in red.

The example $L=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{17}$ is interesting because it also admits an overlattice. Indeed, in section 3.2.1.1 we saw that $\tilde{L}=\mathrm{A}_{17}$ has an overlattice $\tilde{M}$ with $\tilde{M} / \tilde{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}, A_{\tilde{M}}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $q_{\tilde{M}}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$. Thus, the full $L$ has an overlattice $M=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\tilde{M}$ with $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $M / L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Now criterion 3 can be fulfilled with $T=[2,0,2]$. This agrees with results of [14] for this $L$.

When $\ell\left(A_{L}\right) \geq 3$ we can check that the allowed groups pass criterion 3 with the data given in Table 2 of [14]. One example is $L=3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{7}^{3}$. There is an isotropic subgroup $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ generated by $\mu=w_{1}(1) \times w_{2}(2) \times w_{4}(3)$, where $w_{i}(a)$ denotes weights of the $a^{\text {th }} \mathrm{A}_{6}$ factor. Notice that $\mu^{2}=4=0 \bmod 2$. From (3.2.1), $d(M)=7$ so necessarily $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{7}$. Following the procedure to determine $q_{M}$ shows that it matches the $q_{T}$ of $T=[2,1,4]$ which is the unique even 2-dimensional lattice with $d(T)=7$.

Finally we come to forbidden groups. Let us discuss the examples in Table 3.4. In all three there are no suitable lattices $T$. The possible candidates, shown in red, are discarded because their $q_{T}$ does not match $q_{L}$. We conclude that these groups do not satisfy criterion 2 and continue to check criterion 3. In example 1 we know that $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ has an overlattice $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ so the full $L$ has an overlattice $M=2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{2}, M / L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ so $d(M)=3$, consistent with $A_{M}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$.

Now $q_{M}$ matches the $q_{T}$ of $T=[2,1,2]$ but still criterion 3 fails because $M_{\text {root }} \neq L$. In example 2, there is an isotropic subgroup $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ generated by $\mu=v \times w_{2}$, where $v$ is the vector weight of $\mathrm{D}_{15}$ and $w_{2}$ is the weight of the $\mathbf{1 0}$ of $\mathrm{A}_{3}$. Since $v^{2}=1$ and $w_{2}^{2}=1, \mu^{2}=2$. From (3.2.1), $d(M)=\frac{16}{2^{2}}=4$. The only possible $T$ with $d(T)=4$ is $[2,0,2]$ and it could be that $q_{T}$ matches $q_{M}$. However, $M$ has elements $y+n \mu, y \in L, n=0,1$ and since $\mu^{2}=2, M_{\text {root }} \neq L$. Hence, example 2 does not pass criterion 3. Concerning example 3, it flops criterion 3 because there is no isotropic subgroup of $A_{L}$. To see this, first observe that (3.2.1) implies that only $\left|H_{L}\right|=7$ would be consistent with $d(M)$ being an integer. Thus, $H_{L}$ would have to be $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ and its generator would have to be a product of weights of the $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ 's, say $\mu=w_{i}(1) \times w_{j}(2)$. However it is not possible to obtain $\mu^{2}=0 \bmod 2$.

| $\#$ | $L$ | $A_{L}$ | $T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $[2,0,6][4,2,4]$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{D}_{15}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $[4,0,4]$ |
| 3 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7} \times \mathbb{Z}_{7} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{7} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21}$ | $[14,7,14]$ |

Table 3.4: Examples of forbidden $L$ when $d=2$.

In summary, we have provided several examples where it was relatively simple to apply by hand the criteria that serve to determine whether a group of maximal rank is allowed or not. Clearly, to make a full search, or even to check more complicated examples, would require computer aid.

In Table D. 2 we give the subgroups $H_{L}$ and the lattice $T$ for all the allowed $L$ 's found in the K3 framework [14]. They correspond to all maximal enhancements arising in heterotic compactifications on $T^{2}$.

### 3.2.1.3 $d=8$

The case $d=8$ is peculiar because there exists an even unimodular lattice of signature $(0,8)$, namely $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. To see how this enters the analysis, consider $L=3 \mathrm{E}_{8}$ which has trivial $A_{L}$. Since $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=0$, this $L$ easily passes criterion 1 . Now, since criterion 2 must also be fulfilled there has to be an even lattice of signature $(0,8)$ and trivial $A_{T}$. This requires $d(T)=1$ so $T=\mathrm{E}_{8}$. This indicates that in the heterotic on $T^{8}$ it is possible to obtain the group $3 \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Indeed, it can be found in the HE by setting all the Wilson lines to zero and taking the internal torus with metric $G_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{i j}$, where $\tilde{G}_{i j}$ is the Cartan matrix of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. The antisymmetric field must be chosen as

$$
B_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{i j}, & i<j,  \tag{3.2.2}\\
-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{i j}, & i>j, \\
0, & i=j .
\end{array} .\right.
$$

This is an example of the general type discussed in $[11,33]$ in which $p_{L}-p_{R}$ belongs to the root lattice of an ADE group of rank $d$.

A second interesting example is $L=24 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{24}$. Since $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)=24, L$ fails criterion 1 and criterion 2 as well because $\ell(T) \leq 8$. To apply criterion 3 we recall that this $L$ admits an
even unimodular overlattice given by one of the Niemeier lattices, say $N_{\psi}$, with $N_{\psi} / L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{12}$ (see chapter 16 in [49]). It is also known that the root lattice of $N_{\psi}$ and $L$ coincide. Thus, $L$ fulfills criterion 3 with $M=N_{\psi}$ and $T=\mathrm{E}_{8}$. By the same token $L=12 A_{2}$ is also allowed by criterion 3. Niemeier lattices in heterotic compactifications on $T^{8}$ have appeared in [51].

### 3.2.2 Connection to heterotic compactifications

We have seen that the groups of maximal rank that can be embedded in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ are characterized by an ADE lattice $L$ of rank $d+16$, the isotropic subgroup $H_{L} \subset A_{L}$, the associated overlattice $M$ and the complementary even lattice $T$ of rank $d$, satisfying $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right) \cong\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right)$. The isotropic subgroup $H_{L}$ is the torsion part of the embedding, in the sense that $M / L \cong H_{L}$. For an embedding to exist, it must be that $d(M)=d(T)=d(L) /\left|H_{L}\right|^{2}$. In the heterotic framework $L$ is the root lattice of some gauge group with maximal enhancement. We now want to identify $T$, which we call the complementary lattice.

There is a natural candidate for an even lattice of rank $d$, namely the sublattice of $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$, denoted $K$, obtained by setting $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=0$. This is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}} ; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right) \in \Gamma_{d, d+16} \| \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=0\right\} \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us next examine the consequences of setting $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}=0$. First, from (3.1.2c) we find that $p^{I}=0$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{I}=-w^{i} A_{i}^{I} \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, imposing $p_{L}=0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i}=-w^{j} E_{j i}, \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

after substituting (3.2.4) in (3.1.2b). From $p_{L}=0$ it further follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R}=-\sqrt{2} w^{i} e_{i} . \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $p_{R}$ lies in a lattice of rank $d$ as long as all the windings $w^{i}$ are allowed to be different from zero. Since $\pi$ is a vector in the gauge lattice $\Upsilon_{16}$, the condition (3.2.4) can only be fulfilled with $w^{i} \neq 0$ if the Wilson lines $A_{i}$ are quantized, in the sense that they are given by a vector in $\Upsilon_{16}$, divided by a positive integer. We define the order of the Wilson line $A_{i}$ as the smallest positive integer $N_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i} A_{i} \in \Upsilon_{16} \quad(\text { no sum in } i) . \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A_{i}=0$, its order is 1 . All $A_{i}$ must be quantized so that (3.2.4) does not force some windings $w^{i}$ to be identically zero. The quantization condition in (3.2.5) is also very restrictive. It clearly demands the $E_{i j}$ to be rational numbers. Taking into account quantization of the Wilson lines then requires the $T^{d}$ metric components $G_{i j}=e_{i} \cdot e_{j}$ to be rational numbers, which is consistent with $p_{R}^{2}$ being even. From now on we assume that $K$ has rank $d$.

The constraints on the $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$ are compatible with having a gauge group of maximal
enhancement, which is the case under study. In fact, recall that to this end there must exist solutions to $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$. The former implies the condition $n_{i}=E_{i j} w^{j}+\pi \cdot A_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (eq. (2.2.24)), which can be achieved with quantized $A_{i}$ and rational $E_{i j}$.

The even lattice $K \subset \Gamma_{d, d+16}$ has signature ( $d, 0$ ) by construction. Applying Nikulin's Theorem 1.12.4 in [16], we learn that $K$ admits a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. It follows that the orthogonal complement of $K$ in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ also admits a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. This orthogonal complement is just the sublattice of $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ defined by $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$ which we denote $M$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}} ; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right) \in \Gamma_{d, d+16} \| \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0\right\} \tag{3.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The name $M$ is appropriate because it is indeed the overlattice of criteria 3 with $M_{\text {root }}=L$. The reason is that $M_{\text {root }}$ is the sublattice of $M$ generated by vectors with $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$ and it has rank $(d+16)$ by the assumption of maximal enhancement.

So far we have argued that $M$ of signature $(0, d+16)$ is the orthogonal complement in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ of $K$ of signature $(d, 0)$, and that $K$ as well as $M$ are primitively embedded in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. In fact, $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ is an overlattice of $M \oplus K$. We can then apply Lemma 2.4 in [14] to conclude that there is an isomorphism $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right) \cong\left(A_{K},-q_{K}\right)$. A proof of this lemma is presented in Appendix B.2.2. Finally, by Nikulin's Proposition 1.12.1 [16] there exists $T$ of signature ( $0, d$ ) satisfying $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right) \cong\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$. It is obtained by changing the sign of the Gram matrix of $K$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=K\langle-1\rangle . \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing, the two rationality conditions $N_{i} A_{i} \in \Upsilon_{16}$ and $E_{i j} \in \mathbb{Q}$, guarantee the existence of the even $(0, d)$ lattice $T$, which in turn implies the existence of the even $(0, d+16)$ lattice $M$ with $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right) \cong\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$. Thus, the rationality conditions are necessary to have maximal enhancement to a group of rank $d+16$. However, these conditions are not sufficient to ensure that the sub-lattice $M_{\text {root }}$ has rank $d+16$. The additional constraint in criterion 3 is precisely that the gauge lattice $L$ of rank $d+16$ coincides with $M_{\text {root }}$.

### 3.2.2.1 Moduli from lattice data

If we have the set of charge vectors $|Z\rangle$ that generate certain $L$, it is quite easy to obtain the associated moduli $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$. To see this, we rewrite the condition for massless states $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0$ in terms of $|Z\rangle$ and the moduli vectors $\left|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i}\right\rangle=\left|-\delta_{i}^{j}, E_{i k} ; A_{i}^{I}\right\rangle^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i} \mid Z\right\rangle=0, i=1, \ldots, d \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $d \times(d+16)$ unknowns and $d$ equations for each of the $(d+16)$ independent vectors $|Z\rangle$, which makes it possible to get the moduli solving this system.

For simple Wilson lines there is a basis that is quite useful. For the HE theory, we write them as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{8} \gamma_{k} w_{k}\right) \times\left(\sum_{k=1}^{8} \gamma_{k}^{\prime} w_{k}\right) \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^20]for some rational coefficients $\gamma_{k}$ and $\gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ with $w$ the fundamental weights of $E_{8}$ given in Table 2.1.

If $|Z\rangle$ corresponds to one of the first eight simple roots of the original $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ symmetry, $|Z\rangle=\left|0,0,0,0 ; \alpha_{j}, 0^{8}\right\rangle$, and eq. (3.2.10) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{8} \gamma_{k} w_{k}\right) \cdot \alpha_{j}=\gamma_{j}=0 \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we want most of the original symmetry to be preserved, the Wilson lines should be orthogonal to most of the roots. That is, most of the $\gamma_{k}$ and $\gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ on (3.2.11) are zero. It is quite useful to write the Wilson lines on this basis, as one can see from the subscripts of the $w_{k}$ that appear on the $A_{i}$, which of the original simple roots are killed. We use this basis to express the results in this chapter. ${ }^{7}$

### 3.2.2.2 Lattice data from moduli

Once we know the data $(L, T)$ of the allowed groups $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ we still have to determine specific moduli $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$ that give rise to them. Conversely, given $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$, in principle $L$ is obtained from the solutions of $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}=0, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$, which correspond to the roots of $\mathrm{G}_{r}$. On the other hand, $T$ can be derived directly from the moduli as explained below.

The elements of $T$ are of the form (3.2.6). Besides, the moduli must comply with the conditions (3.2.4) and (3.2.5). To make more concrete statements, consider first the case in which the $E_{i j}$ are integers so that (3.2.6) is satisfied by any $w^{i}$. Then, a class of allowed values for the $w^{i}$ are multiples of the Wilson lines orders, namely $w^{i}=\ell_{i} N_{i}$ (no sum over $i$ ), with $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If we assume that this class exhausts all possibilities, $T$ will be generated by a basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\sqrt{2} N_{1} e_{1}, u_{2}=\sqrt{2} N_{2} e_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}=\sqrt{2} N_{d} e_{d} \tag{3.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we dropped an irrelevant sign. The Gram matrix of $T$ will then be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j}=u_{i} \cdot u_{j}=2 N_{i} N_{j} G_{i j}=N_{i} N_{j}\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}\right) . \tag{3.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this is valid for $E_{i j}$ integers and $N_{i} A_{i} \in \Upsilon_{16}$, we see that the $Q_{i j}$ are integers and the diagonal components are even, as required for an even lattice.

In some cases there might be more admissible values of the winding numbers $w^{i}$. In general, the allowed values are sets of integers $\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{d}\right)$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1} A_{1}+M_{2} A_{2}+\cdots+M_{d} A_{d} \in \Upsilon_{16},  \tag{3.2.15a}\\
& M_{1} E_{1 i}+M_{2} E_{2 i}+\cdots+M_{d} E_{d i} \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, d \tag{3.2.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

In this situation a way to proceed is to obtain $d$ solutions $\left(M_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, M_{d}^{(k)}\right), k=1, \ldots, d$,

[^21]linearly independent (with Euclidean metric), such that the vectors
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \sqrt{2} M_{\ell}^{(k)} e_{\ell} \tag{3.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

generate a lattice with the least volume. For instance, the vectors in (3.2.13) are recovered when $E_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the only solutions of (3.2.15a) are $M_{\ell}^{(k)}=N_{\ell} \delta_{\ell k}$ (no sum over $\ell$ ). In the general case we have to impose the condition of least volume. To be more precise, define the matrix $C$ with elements $C_{k \ell}=M_{\ell}^{(k)}$, i.e. the rows of $C$ are the solutions of (3.2.15). The Gram matrix of $T$ then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k \ell}=u_{k} \cdot u_{\ell}=2\left(C G C^{t}\right)_{k \ell}, \tag{3.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $G_{i j}=e_{i} \cdot e_{j}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{det} Q=2^{d}(\operatorname{det} C)^{2} \operatorname{det} G$. Since the determinant of the torus metric is fixed by the choice of moduli $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$, to obtain the least lattice volume it suffices to choose $C$ with least determinant. Hadamard's inequality then instructs us to choose $d$ independent solutions $\left(M_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, M_{d}^{(k)}\right)$ of (3.2.15) with the least norm. To check that $Q_{k \ell}$ are integers and the diagonal elements are even, we write $G_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}\right)$, and take into account that the $M_{i}^{(k)}$ verify (3.2.15). Finally, $Q$ is unique up to the action of $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$. For $d=2$ we can use the procedure described in section 3, Chapter 15, of [49] to bring $Q$ to the standard reduced form used in [14].

In the next sections we will discuss systematic methods to determine moduli associated to groups of maximal enhancement when $d=1$ and $d=2$. We will then exemplify further how $T$ computed from the moduli matches the $T$ from the lattice embedding data. Meanwhile it is instructive to illustrate the main points in cases with generic $d$.

For a simple example, consider moduli $A_{i}=0, G_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{i j}$, where $\tilde{G}_{i j}$ is the Cartan matrix of an ADE group $\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{d}$ of rank $d$, and $B_{i j}$ is given in (3.2.2). The $E_{i j}$ moduli are found to be

$$
E_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{i j}, & i=j,  \tag{3.2.18}\\
\tilde{G}_{i j}, & i<j, \\
0, & i>j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Therefore, the $E_{i j}$ are either $1,-1$ or 0 . In this setup the gauge group of the heterotic string on $T^{d}$ is $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{d}$ in the HE or $\mathrm{D}_{16}+\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{d}$ in the HO. This example is of the general type in which all Wilson lines are set to zero and $p_{L}-p_{R} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{d}$, where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{d}$ is the root lattice of $\tilde{\mathrm{G}}_{d}[11,33]$. From the lattice formalism we find that $T=\widetilde{\Gamma}_{d}$. From the moduli we obtain the same result for $T$ because the basis is given in (3.2.13) with $e_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_{i}$ and $N_{i}=1$.

A second example in the HO on $T^{d}$ has moduli $[11,33]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}^{a}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{i}^{a}, \quad B_{i j}=0, \quad A_{i}^{I}=\delta_{i}^{I} \text { with } i \leq d \tag{3.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that the resulting group is $\mathrm{D}_{d+16}$. All Wilson lines have order $N_{i}=2$. Besides, $E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ so that the condition (3.2.15b) does not constrain the $M_{i}$. For $d=1$ we can just take $M_{1}=N_{1}=2$ so that $u_{1}=2$ and $T=\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle 2\rangle$ as we found with the lattice formalism in Section 3.2.1.1. For $d \geq 2$ there are solutions to (3.2.15a) other than $M_{i}^{(j)}=2 \delta_{i j}$. For instance,
$A_{1} \pm A_{2} \in \Gamma_{16}$. The $M_{i}^{(j)}$ can be chosen so that the $u_{i}$ are the roots of $\mathrm{D}_{d}$. Thus, $T=\mathrm{D}_{d}$.
Another important question in the heterotic context is the meaning of the quadratic discriminant form $q_{T}$. The answer is that the values that $p_{R}^{2}$ can take are precisely given by $q_{T} \bmod 2$. This follows because $p_{R}$ generically lies in the dual lattice $T^{*}$. When $T$ has basis (3.2.13), it is easy to see from (3.1.2a) that $p_{R}$ indeed takes values in a lattice generated by $u^{* i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} N_{i}} \hat{e}^{* i}$, with Gram matrix the inverse of $Q$ in (3.2.14). When there are additional solutions to (3.2.15), so that the basis for $T$ is given by (3.2.16), $p_{R}$ lies in a lattice spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{* i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{d} C^{k i} \hat{e}^{* k}, \tag{3.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{k i}=C_{k i}^{-1}$ and as before $C_{k \ell}=M_{\ell}^{(k)}$. Thus, $u^{* i} \cdot u^{* j}=Q^{i j}=Q_{i j}^{-1}$, with $Q$ the Gram matrix in (3.2.17). The fact that $q_{T}$ gives the values of $p_{R}^{2}$ is useful to determine the spectrum of massive states.

### 3.2.3 Circle compactifications

In this section, we consider again compactifications of the heterotic string on the circle, where the moduli are the radius $R$ and the 16 -dimensional Wilson line $A^{I}$. The problems of finding all possible gauge groups $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{17-r}$ and the corresponding moduli ( $R, A^{I}$ ), was solved in Section 2.4 by means of the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) associated to $\Gamma_{1,17}$. Here we will discuss the connection with the lattice embedding formalism.

As mentioned previously, there are 44 different groups of maximal rank that are realized in heterotic compactification on $S^{1}$. We collect them in Table D. 1 in Appendix D.1, where they are denoted by its root lattice $L$. The Table includes the moduli ( $R_{\mathrm{E}}, A_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) and ( $R_{\mathrm{O}}, A_{\mathrm{O}}$ ) in the HE and HO theories respectively. For both the moduli lie in the fundamental regions defined in Table 2.12. As explained previously, they can be obtained by just saturating the inequalities associated with the nodes we are keeping. In the case of the HE it is given by (2.4.7), with an analogous expression for the HO. Also, the latter can be derived from the map (3.1.28) too. In all cases $E_{\mathrm{E}}=E_{\mathrm{O}}=1$. By looking at the GDD we deduce that all $\mathrm{ADE} \mathrm{G}_{r}$ of $r \leq 9$ are allowed, which is consistent with Theorem 1.12.4 in the Nikulin formalism [16]. The diagram also shows that for $r=10$ all $\mathrm{ADE} \mathrm{G}_{r}$ can appear and that for $r=11$ only $11 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ is forbidden.

For each maximal group in Table D. 1 we also give its discriminant group $A_{L}=L^{*} / L$, its appropriate isotropic subgroup $H_{L}$, and its complementary lattice $T$. For the lattice $T$, the notation $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle m\rangle$ is simplified to $\langle m\rangle$. Besides, $d(T)=2 m$. It is easy to check that in all cases $d(L)=d(T)\left|H_{L}\right|^{2}$ holds. For all groups we have verified the isomorphism $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right) \cong\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$, which is less trivial when $H_{L} \neq \mathbb{1}$. Some examples were worked out in Section 3.2.1.1.

It is a compelling exercise to deduce the lattice $T$ from the moduli as explained in Section 3.2.2. For $d=1$ there is only one Wilson line and the simple result (3.2.13) is valid. Thus, $T$ is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sqrt{2} N R, \tag{3.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the order of $A$ and we used $e_{1}=R$. The Gram matrix is then $Q=2 N^{2} R^{2}=d(T)$.

On the lattice side, $T=\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle m\rangle$ with $d(T)=2 m$. Therefore, it must be that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 N^{2} R^{2}=2 N^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right)=2 m, \tag{3.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used that $E=1$ in all cases of maximal enhancement. It is straightforward to confirm this relation using the data for $m$ and $A$ in Table D.1. In the HE case the Wilson line $A_{\mathrm{E}}$ is given in (2.4.7) and the order is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\kappa_{k} \kappa_{m}^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(\kappa_{k}, \kappa_{m}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{3.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another interesting question is the relation of generic $p_{R}$ to the complementary lattice $T$. In Section 3.2.2 we argued that in general $p_{R}$ takes values in $T^{*}$. When $d=1$ the proof is rather simple. Since $E=1$, (2.3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{R}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}(n-w-\pi \cdot A) . \tag{3.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use that $A$ has order $N$ to set $\pi \cdot A=\tilde{l} / N, \tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Inserting in $p_{R}$ above gives $p_{R}=\frac{l}{\sqrt{2} N R}$, with $l$ integer. Hence, $p_{R}$ lies in a lattice generated by $u^{*}$, with $u$ the generator of $T$ in (3.2.21). We conclude that $p_{R}$ lies on $T^{*}$ and the allowed values of $p_{R}^{2}$ are $q_{T} \bmod 2$.

### 3.3 Compactifications on $T^{2}$

In heterotic compactification on $T^{2}$ there are 36 real moduli, namely $\left\{G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{22}, B_{12}\right\}$, plus two 16-dimensional Wilson lines $\left\{A_{1}^{I}, A_{2}^{I}\right\}$. The $\Gamma_{2,18}$ lattice vectors $\left(p_{R} ; p_{L}, p^{I}\right)$, which depend on these moduli, are given in (3.1.2). For the purpose of studying enhancement of symmetries it is actually more appropriate to use as moduli the components $E_{i j}$, cf. (3.1.1), together with the $A_{i}^{I}$. Indeed, as we have seen in Section 3.2.2, enhancement requires the $E_{i j}$ to be rational numbers and the $A_{i}$ to be quantized in the sense of eq. (3.2.7). In Section 3.3.1 we treat the problem of determining all gauge groups $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{18-r}$ that can appear, and the corresponding moduli.

The extension of the systematic procedure discussed in Section 2.4 to compactifications on $T^{2}$ would require the construction of a Generalized Dynkin Diagram for $\Gamma_{2,18}$. However, it has been argued that the even, self-dual lattices of signature $(p, q)$ with both $p, q>1$ (that is, with a signature with more that one negative sign), do not possess a system of simple roots and cannot be described in terms of generators and relations similar to Kac-Moody or Borcherds algebras [52]. Nevertheless, although the addition of a new Kac-Moody simple root introduces multiple links and loops in the structure of the quadruple extension of simple Lie algebras, it was shown in [53] that the "simple-links" structure can be preserved if the extra root is a Borcherds (imaginary) simple root. In any case, a GDD for $\Gamma_{2,18}$ is not known and it is not even clear whether it exists. Hence, we will proceed in a constructive way.

In Section 3.2 we explained that all allowed groups $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{d+16-r}$ in heterotic compactification on $T^{d}$ can be obtained by lattice embedding techniques. For $T^{2}$ the full results are known from the work of Shimada and Zhang who classified all possible ADE types of singular
fibers in elliptic K3 surfaces [14, 15]. The classification translates into all possible heterotic gauge groups because the lattice embedding conditions are the same in the K3 and heterotic contexts.

Knowing all allowed groups it remains to compute the corresponding moduli. We will focus in the HE since the moduli in the HO can be derived from the map elaborated in Section 3.1.2. We will mostly consider the case of maximal enhancement, i.e. $r=18$. As argued in Section 3.2.2, this can occur only if the $E_{i j}$ are rational numbers and the $A_{i}$ are quantized.

In Section 3.3.1 we will develop a procedure in order to obtain all groups of maximal rank. The results are summarized in Section 3.3.2.

### 3.3.1 Exploring the moduli space (Neighborhood algorithm)

To tackle the problem of getting all the maximal enhancements on $T^{2}$ compactifications, we pursued different methods: construction of Extended Dynkin diagrams, the Fixed Wilson line algorithm and the Neighborhood algorithm. Even though each one of them gives different insights, we will only include in this thesis the latter, which is the one that succeeded in this task. The former methods can be consulted in [2]. We will apply the algorithm in the HE theory. The moduli for the $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ theory will then be determined using the map described in Section 3.1.2.

The main idea is to find new maximal enhancements that are close to those already found. More precisely, we start at a point of maximal enhancement where the group $\mathrm{G}_{18}$, and its 18 simple roots, are known. Then we move along surfaces in moduli space where the symmetry is broken to $\mathrm{G}_{17} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. On each of these 18 surfaces $\mathrm{G}_{17}$ will have 17 of the 18 original simple roots. For each surface we collect the candidate extra simple root that would give back an ADE group of rank 18. For each candidate we compute the moduli, $A_{i}$ and $E_{i j}$, by imposing that the 18 simple roots correspond to states that satisfy the massless conditions ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{1}=E_{11} w^{1}+E_{12} w^{2}+\pi \cdot A_{1}, \quad n_{2}=E_{21} w^{1}+E_{22} w^{2}+\pi \cdot A_{2}  \tag{3.3.1a}\\
\pi^{2}+2 w^{1} n_{1}+2 w^{2} n_{2}=2 \tag{3.3.1b}
\end{gather*}
$$

We then check that the torus metric $G_{i j}$ is well defined and finally read the gauge group from the simple roots. ${ }^{9}$ We also compute the Gram matrix $Q$ corresponding to the moduli, as explained in Section 3.2.2.2, giving us the complementary lattice $T$. We end with a list of points of maximal enhancement that are on the neighborhood of the original point, i.e. they are connected through a 17 -dimensional enhancement surface. The algorithm can be repeated to explore regions of the moduli space that are far away from the starting point.

We illustrate the algorithm with an example defined by the starting point $A_{1}=A_{2}=0$,

[^22]$E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$, where the gauge group is $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{E}_{8}$. The charge vectors of the 18 simple roots are
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{j}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; \alpha_{j}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \varphi_{j}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8}, \alpha_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad j=1, \ldots, 8,  \tag{3.3.2}\\
\varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}=\left|1,0,1,0 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}=\left|0,1,0,1 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$
\]

They form the DD of Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1: Dynkin diagram of $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{E}_{8}$
Now we want to move along directions that preserve 17 of the 18 simple roots by deleting one node. Since the DD is symmetric under the interchange of the node $[j]$ with the node $\left[j^{\prime}\right]$, it suffices to remove one of the nodes $[j]$. We are then effectively breaking $\mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ by erasing one node. The nodes $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are also interchangeable. We choose to always keep $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. There are thus only 9 inequivalent breakings, obtained by deleting either $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ or one of the 8 nodes of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. Altogether, the 17 surviving simple roots are the 18 original ones in (3.3.2), except for the one corresponding to the removed node. Afterwards we add a new node which clearly cannot be connected to any of the 8 nodes $\left[j^{\prime}\right]$ associated to the second $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, since the resulting diagram has to be of type ADE. Hence, only algebras of the form $\mathrm{G}_{10}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ can arise. For convenience we ignore the second $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ unless otherwise stated.

To further elaborate on the algorithm we analyze first the case in which the node $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is removed. The effect is simply to break $\mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$. We then add one node, called N , to its Dynkin diagram. The 2 possibilities for the connections of the new node are displayed in Figure 3.2. Generically, the charge vector corresponding to N is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}=\left|w^{1}, w^{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} ; \pi^{1}, \ldots, \pi^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle . \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last 8 components of $\pi$ are zero just because the new node is always disconnected from the second $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. The way that N is linked in each of the possible Dynkin diagrams gives 9 conditions for the 12 unknowns $w^{i}, n_{i}$, plus the eight non-zero components of $\pi$. We use these conditions to determine all except 3 of the unknowns. It is convenient, and always possible, to leave $w^{1}$ and $w^{2}$ undetermined.


Figure 3.2: Dynkin diagrams corresponding to the possible ways of adding a node N to the diagram of $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$.

We just consider all possible values for the 3 unknowns, with a fixed bound for the maximum
of their absolute values. For computational reasons, this truncation is necessary to avoid infinitely many possibilities ${ }^{10}$. Concretely, we introduce two parameters $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, which define the truncation, and consider only states with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w^{i}\right| \leq \lambda_{1}, \quad\left|n_{i}\right| \leq \lambda_{2}, \quad\left|\pi^{I}\right| \leq \lambda_{2} \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this example it is enough to use $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=2$. Afterwards, we filter all the candidates by imposing that $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$ has norm squared 2 and $\pi \in \Upsilon_{16}$. In some cases it might occur that, regardless of the values of $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, there are actually no solutions with $w^{i}, n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi \in \Upsilon_{16}$.

The case of $\mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, on the left in Figure 3.2, is rather trivial because we are just restoring the deleted node $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. The algorithm will find charge vectors $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$ which are not necessarily equal to $\varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{1}}$, but at the end of the day all of them should be equivalent to it. When we compute the moduli we obviously get $E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}, A_{1}=A_{2}=0$, or some T-dual point. We just restored the simple root that we removed, thus returning to the original point in the moduli space. In general, this possibility will occur in all the breakings.

In the less trivial case $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$, on the right of Figure 3.2, N is linked to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Imposing $\left\langle\varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \mid \varphi_{\mathrm{N}}\right\rangle=-1$, implies $n_{2}=-1-w^{2}$. Considering all the possible values for the 3 unknowns $w^{1}, w^{2}$ and $n_{1}$, with the bounds in (3.3.4), and filtering by requiring $\left\langle\varphi_{\mathrm{N}} \mid \varphi_{\mathrm{N}}\right\rangle=2$, gives the list

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1,0,1,-1 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|-1,-1,-1,0 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|1,-1,1,0 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|-1,0,-1,-1 ; 0^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle \tag{3.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next deduce the moduli by demanding that the charge vectors of the full set of 18 simple roots satisfy the quantization conditions (3.3.1a). This is a well posed problem because in general there are 36 moduli to determine and the 18 simple roots give two equations each. In this case we readily find $A_{1}=0$ and $A_{2}=0$. From $\varphi_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ we obtain $E_{12}=0$ and $E_{22}=1$, whereas from $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}, n_{1}=E_{11} w^{1}$ and $-2 w^{2}-1=E_{21} w_{1}$. The 4 elements in the list (3.3.5) solve these equations with $E_{11}=1$, and $E_{21}$ equal to 1 or -1 . It is easy to see that the corresponding $G_{i j}$ is well defined and that these points are T-dual to each other.

The algorithm proceeds in the same fashion for all the 9 possible breakings of $\mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$. For a more fruitful example, let us consider the breaking to $\mathrm{A}_{7}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, obtained by removing the node $\varphi_{1}$. Appending a new node N leads to various possible enhancements. For instance, N can connect only to $\varphi_{8}$ to form $\mathrm{A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$. With $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=2$ in the bounds (3.3.4), we find that the charge vectors of $\varphi_{N}$ can be one of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-1,0,1,0 ;-w_{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|0,-1,0,1 ;-w_{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|1,0,-1,0 ;-w_{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad\left|0,1,0,-1 ;-w_{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle . \tag{3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moduli are determined as explained before. Taking into account all nodes except N , we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}, \quad A_{1}=\gamma_{1} w_{1} \times 0, \quad A_{2}=\gamma_{2} w_{1} \times 0, \tag{3.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ are some free parameters. The above moduli determine a slice of moduli space with group $\mathrm{SU}(8) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^{2} \times \mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. Finally imposing the quantization conditions (3.3.1a) to the possible charge vectors for $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$, cf. (3.3.6), fixes $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)= \pm\left(\underline{\frac{2}{5}}, 0\right)$, where underlining means permutations. With

[^23]these values we reach the rank 18 group with algebra $\mathrm{A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$.
There is a feature of the algorithm than can be explained considering again the enhancement to $\mathrm{A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, but now with $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ formed by connecting $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$ to $\varphi_{6}$. The algorithm finds the charge vector $\left|0,0,0,0 ;-w_{6}, 0^{8}\right\rangle$ for $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$. The moduli are again of the form (3.3.7), but now the quantization conditions from $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$ imply $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=(0,0)$. Thus, the predicted moduli are $A_{1}=A_{2}=0, E=\delta_{i j}$, and we know that this point has trivial enhancement to $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$. On the other hand, the Dynkin diagram that results adding $N$ indicates enhancement to $\mathrm{A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$. The problem here is that the $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}$, which has zero winding and momenta, corresponds to a root of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. In fact, $-w_{6}=\alpha_{0}$ is the lowest root. Since the quantization conditions are linear equations, if we replace one of the original simple roots of $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ with any other root, the moduli that solve the system will be the same, but the other root is no longer simple. Our prescription to solve it is to classify all the enhancements, originating from the same starting point, by the resulting moduli. If there is more than one enhancement for the same moduli we just pick the one with higher dimensional group ${ }^{11}$. In this case, we choose $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ over $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$.

In Table 3.5 we collect the maximal enhancements in the neighborhood of the original point $A_{1}=A_{2}=0, E=\delta_{i j}$, which has $\mathrm{G}_{18}=2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$. The node shown in the first column is removed from the set in (3.3.2) at the start. The effect is to break $\mathrm{G}_{18}$ to $\mathrm{G}_{9} \times \mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$, with $\mathrm{G}_{9}$ given in the second column. Appending a new node then leads to $\mathrm{G}_{10} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$, with the various possibilities for $\mathrm{G}_{10}$ listed in the third column. To arrive at this list we have only kept the groups of higher dimension as explained before, and we have used $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=2$ in the bounds in (3.3.4).

| deleted <br> node | $\mathrm{G}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~A}_{7}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{9}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{10}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{4}, \mathrm{~A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}, \mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~A}_{6}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{9}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{8}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{D}_{7}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{9}+\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |

Table 3.5: Maximal enhancements $\mathrm{G}_{10}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ in the neighborhood of $A_{1}=0, A_{2}=0, E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$, found setting $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=2$ in the bounds of (3.3.4).

The Neighborhood algorithm can be iterated and can ramify from a different point of maximal rank. In particular, in this way we can find the maximal enhancements $A_{3}+A_{6}+A_{9}$ and $3 A_{6}$, which, as argued in [2], cannot be deduced using the algorithm with fixed Wilson lines. To this end we will set the bounds (3.3.4) as before. We will see that this is enough to obtain the missing groups, although a priori there was no guarantee for it. We now start at a point with group $\mathrm{G}_{18}=\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$, which in turn was found by the algorithm initiating from the point $E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}, A_{1}=0, A_{2}=0$ (cf. Table 3.5). Concretely, $\mathrm{G}_{18}$ arises after deleting the node $\varphi_{3}$ in (3.3.2) and then appending

[^24]the extra node N with charge vector $\varphi_{\mathrm{N}}=\left|0,-1,-1,1 ; w_{3}-w_{1}, 0^{8}\right\rangle$. The corresponding moduli are $A_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} w_{3} \times 0, A_{2}=\frac{1}{4} w_{3} \times 0, E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$. We can now readily apply the algorithm to $\mathrm{G}_{18}$ whose Dynkin diagram is shown in Figure 3.3.a. All the enhancement points on the neighborhood of this point can be computed. However, to reach the desired maximal enhancements, the nodes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ will be maintained during the whole process. Therefore, $E_{i j}$ will remain equal to the identity as we move through the neighborhood. To proceed we remove the node $1^{\prime}$, thereby breaking $\mathrm{G}_{18}$ to $\mathrm{G}_{17} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$, with $\mathrm{G}_{17}=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{7}$, as shown in Figure 3.3.b. The neighboring point is on the surface characterized by $A_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} w_{3} \times \gamma_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}=\frac{1}{4} w_{3} \times \gamma_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}$. The algorithm then searches for new nodes that can be consistently added. It finds $N^{\prime}$ with charge vector $\left|-1,-1,1,0 ; 0^{8},-w_{8}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which leads to $\mathbf{A}_{3}+\mathbf{A}_{6}+\mathbf{A}_{9}$, as seen in Figure 3.3.c. The point is $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(-\frac{2}{5},-\frac{1}{5}\right)$. Luckily, from this point we can attain $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ in a couple of steps. With the algorithm it is easy to see what is needed. As displayed in Figure 3.3.d, the node $8^{\prime}$ is next removed to break the symmetry to $2 \mathrm{~A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$, plus $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The surface is given by $A_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} w_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{2} w_{8}^{\prime}+\mu_{1}\left(4 w_{1}^{\prime}-5 w_{8}^{\prime}\right)\right), A_{2}=\frac{1}{4} w_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{4} w_{8}^{\prime}+\mu_{2}\left(4 w_{1}^{\prime}-5 w_{8}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The algorithm then discovers the extra node $S$, with charge vector $\left|-1,0,1,-1 ;-w_{6}, w_{8}^{\prime}-w_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which has enhancement to $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$, as indicated in Figure 3.3.e. The point is $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\left(-\frac{1}{8}, 0\right)$.


Figure 3.3: Dynkin diagrams for the steps leading to the enhancements $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ (c) and $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ (e), starting from a point with $A_{6}+A_{3}+A_{1}+E_{8}(a)$. Intermediate stages where the symmetry is broken are shown in (b) and (d).

In conclusion, we have arrived at $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ and $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$. The former has Wilson lines $A_{1}=$ $-\left(\frac{1}{8} w_{3} \times \frac{2}{5} w_{1}^{\prime}\right), A_{2}=\frac{1}{4} w_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{5} w_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and complimentary lattice $T$ with Gram matrix $Q=[2,0,140]$. For the latter $A_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} w_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{2} w_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{8} w_{8}^{\prime}\right), A_{2}=\frac{1}{4} w_{3} \times\left(-\frac{1}{4} w_{8}^{\prime}\right)$, and $Q=[2,1,4]$. For both, $E=\delta_{i j}$.

For $d=1$ and 2, we know that this algorithm is exhaustive. By removing arbitrary roots from the maximal enhancements we can obtain the non-maximal ones. As mentioned previously, there is only one case for $d=1$ and 2 that is missing, namely $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ and $4 \mathrm{D}_{4}$, respectively. To arrive at these enhancements we can just use this algorithm but instead of starting with a maximal enhancement, we start with any non-maximal enhancement of type $\mathrm{G}_{16} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{d}$.

### 3.3.2 All maximal rank groups for $d=2$

From the results in [14] we infer that there are 359 distinct maximally enhanced heterotic models on $T^{2}$, some of which share the same gauge group ${ }^{12}$. The number of distinct maximal rank gauge groups found is 325 (if we do not take into account the global structure). Using the extended diagram formalism developed in [2], we are able to obtain the moduli for 331 of these models. The more powerful computational method described in 3.3.1 allow us to obtain the moduli for the remaining 28 models, as well as alternative moduli for the other 331.

In Table D.2, displayed in Appendix D.1, we show a representative for each of the 359 models in the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ heterotic theory. The data for each point consists of the root lattice $L$, which gives the gauge group, the isotropic subgroup $H_{L}$, the complementary lattice $T$, and the moduli $E_{i j}, A_{1}, A_{2}$. The lattice $T$ is conveyed by its Gram matrix, computed from the moduli as described in Section 3.2.2.2. Once $T$ is known we can determine the order of $H_{L}$ using the relation (3.2.1). We can then check that the appropriate isotropic subgroup of $A_{L}$ exists as in the examples worked out in Section 3.2.1.2. In this way we can confirm the results of [14] for the $H_{L}$ corresponding to each pair $(L, T)$.

In contrast to the $d=1$ case, we do not have an explicit form of the fundamental domain of the moduli space, which would give us a clear criterion for choosing the moduli. Instead, we have selected those that have the simplest form. In some cases we present two different sets of moduli, one in which the Wilson lines are simple but the $E_{i j}$ have non-integer entries, and another where the opposite happens. As expected from the general arguments of Section 3.2.2, in all cases the $E_{i j}$ are rational numbers and the Wilson lines are quantized in the sense of eq. (3.2.1). Moreover, it can be shown that for every pair $(L, T)$, it is always possible to find Wilson lines such that $E_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$. Examples of this result are \# 15 or \# 19 in Table D.2. The torus metric and the $b$-field can be easily derived from the moduli $E_{i j}$ and $A_{i}$ substituting in $G_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}\right)$ and $B_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{i j}-E_{j i}\right)$.

For each model in Table D.2, the moduli in the HO theory can be obtained by using the map described in Section 3.1.2. We have explicitly verified that the Gram matrices of the lattices $L$ and $T$ are preserved under this map, which is to be expected from an orthogonal transformation. Some examples of these transformed HO models are given in Table D.3.
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## Chapter 4

## Chaudhuri-Hockney-Lykken string

With the motivation to exhaustively explore the landscape of heterotic compactifications with maximal supersymmetry now we extend the analysis of the previous chapters to compactifications of the HE string on $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ asymmetric orbifolds which realize the so-called CHL string [17, 18] (in $10-d$ dimensions with $d \geq 1$ ). This $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ acts by exchanging the two $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ components of the momentum lattice, together with a shift by half a period along one of the compact directions. One of the effects of this (freely acting) $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ modding is to remove eight of the $U(1)$ gauge bosons from the spectrum, thereby reducing the rank of the gauge group by eight. The moduli space of the CHL string in $10-d$ dimensions is locally $\frac{\mathrm{SO}(d, d+8)}{\mathrm{SO}(d) \times \mathrm{SO}(d+8)}$ and world-sheet current algebras can be realized at level 2 or 1 .

The momenta of the physical states of the 9-dimensional CHL string belong to the Lorentzian even self-dual lattice $\Gamma_{1,9}$ [19]. At generic points of the moduli space the (left) gauge symmetry is Abelian, namely $\mathrm{U}(1)^{9}$. In the absence of Wilson lines and for generic values of the radius, some vector states of the untwisted sector become massless and enhance the gauge group to $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. At the self-dual orbifold radius $R=\sqrt{2}\left(\operatorname{taking} \alpha^{\prime}=1\right)$, two twisted states become massless and a further enhancement to $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ takes place. Eight other non-Abelian ADE groups of maximal rank 9 can be found at other special points of the moduli space. All of these groups have world-sheet current algebras realized at level 2. In Section 4.1 we list these groups, which can be easily obtained by deleting nodes from the Generalized Dynkin Diagram for $\Gamma_{1,9}$ (which is the same as the Dynkin diagram of the group $E_{10}$ ), in an analogous way as we did in Section 2.4 for the $S^{1}$ compactification.

In less than nine dimensions the lattice of momenta of the physical states (the so-called Mikhailov lattice) is even but not self-dual [19]. This can be understood by noting that there is an asymmetry between the possible winding states along the orbifolded direction and those along the remaining ones, obstructing an automorphism that would make the lattice self-dual. On the other hand, this asymmetry enriches the pattern of gauge symmetries with respect to those found from the Narain lattice, and we find in addition gauge groups of BCF type.

As in the case of $T^{d}$ compactifications, there does not seem to exist a Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) from which one can extract all possible enhancements for $d>1$. Although many different GDDs can be constructed, it is uncertain whether they can produce the whole set of enhanced gauge groups. Hence, we adapt the exploration algorithm that was introduced in Section 3.3.1 for the Narain lattices to the Mikhailov lattices. We find that, for $d=2$, the algorithm generates a list of 61 groups of maximal enhancement. In this case, the CHL string is a realization of the anomaly free theories with 16 supercharges and rank 10 gauge groups [54]. We find that the ADE groups arise at level 2 while C groups appear at level 1 ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ also appears at level 1 , but $\mathrm{A}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ). Taking into account that the exploration algorithm produces all possible maximal enhancements in $T^{2}$ compactifications strongly indicates that these results exhaust all the possibilities.

Roughly half of the enhanced gauge groups in the CHL string in eight dimensions are multiply
connected, while the rest are simply connected. Importantly, they are seen to satisfy the condition derived in [21] for anomaly free $8 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ supergravities, a result that can be proven in general also for $T^{2}$ compactifications [20].

We note that our results for the 8d CHL string extend under T-duality to compactifications of the HO theory string on tori without vector structure [22], as discovered in [55] and further discussed in [23]. These theories are also dual to F-theory on K3 with a frozen singularity [22,56], hence it should be possible to reproduce our results in that context. Similarly, the $d=1$ case is dual to M-theory on the Möbius strip [57,58]. We do not dwell on these dualities here, focusing our attention only on the $\left(\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}\right)$ heterotic side.

The chapter is organized as follows. In Section 4.1 we review the construction of the CHL string in nine dimensions as an $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold of the HE string. We then find all the maximal enhancements from the Generalized Dynkin Diagram and list them in Table D.4. The T-duality map among the states of the theory is also checked. The more general setting of the CHL string in $D=10-d$ dimensions (with $D \leq 9$ ) is considered in Section 4.2, where the theory is realized as an orbifold of heterotic compactifications on $T^{d}$. In Section 4.3 we explain the methods used in the algorithm that searches for maximal enhancement points and illustrate them with an explicit example. We then present the maximal enhancements generated by this procedure in the eight dimensional theory and collect the final results in Table D. 5 with the corresponding explanation in Section 4.3.4.

### 4.1 The nine-dimensional CHL String

In this section we review the construction of the CHL string in nine dimensions [17] as an $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold of the HE string [18] and fix our conventions. We recall the massless spectrum and study the possible gauge symmetries from the point of view of lattice embeddings. We will see that, as in the case of the heterotic string on $S^{1}$, this problem is well under control.

### 4.1.1 Constructing the theory from the heterotic string

In Chapter 2, we considered the HE string with the coordinate $x^{9}$ compactified on a circle of radius $R$. We explained that varying $R$ and turning on the Wilson line $A$ on the compact direction we sweep through the Narain moduli space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\text {Narain }}=\frac{\mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{R})}{\mathrm{O}(17, \mathbb{R})} / \mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the discrete T -duality group $\mathrm{O}(1,17, \mathbb{Z})$ determining its global structure. These compactifications yield theories with gauge group of rank 17 (ignoring the graviphoton). However, the class of ninedimensional theories with 16 unbroken supercharges also contains reduced rank theories, with gauge groups of rank 9 and 1 . Those of rank 9 are realized in the CHL string, and have moduli space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{CHL}}=\frac{\mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{R})}{\mathrm{O}(9, \mathbb{R})} / \mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as will be made clear at the end of this section.
For our purposes, it is convenient to construct the CHL string as an orbifold of the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ heterotic string following [18]. The orbifold symmetry $g=\mathrm{RT}$ consists of the outer automorphism R of the
$\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ lattice accompanied by a half turn T around the compactification circle, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}: \quad \Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}} \oplus \Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}} \oplus \Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}}, \quad \mathrm{~T}: \quad x^{9} \rightarrow x^{9}+\pi R . \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{9} \sim x^{9}+2 \pi R$ in the parent theory, $g^{2}=1$ and $g$ defines a freely-acting $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold.
To find the spectrum of this theory, we start by recalling the components of the internal momentum of the heterotic string in nine dimensions eq. (2.3.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{R}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n-\left(R^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) w-\Pi \cdot A\right]  \tag{4.1.4a}\\
& p_{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n+\left(R^{2}-\frac{1}{2} A^{2}\right) w-\Pi \cdot A\right]  \tag{4.1.4b}\\
& p^{\hat{I}}=\Pi^{\hat{I}}+A^{\hat{I}} w, \tag{4.1.4c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{I}=1, \ldots, 16, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the momentum number on the circle, $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the winding number and $\Pi \in \Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, with $\Gamma_{8} \equiv \Gamma_{E_{8}}$. The momenta form the unique even self-dual Lorentzian lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}$ (up to $\mathrm{SO}(1,17)$ boosts given by the moduli), with vectors labeled by the quantum numbers $w, n, \Pi^{\hat{I}}$. We use the convention $\alpha^{\prime}=1$.

On the $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold, the Wilson lines are restricted to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=(a, a), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{8} . \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it is convenient to decompose the heterotic momenta as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right), \quad \pi, \pi^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{8} \tag{4.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to define the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{+}^{I}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p^{I}+p^{I+8}\right), \quad p_{-}^{I}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(p^{I}-p^{I+8}\right), \quad I=1, \ldots, 8 \tag{4.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining moreover the symmetric combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\pi+\pi^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{8}, \tag{4.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the components (4.1.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{R}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n-R^{2} w-a^{2} w-\rho \cdot a\right],  \tag{4.1.9a}\\
& p_{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}\left[n+R^{2} w-a^{2} w-\rho \cdot a\right]=p_{R}+\sqrt{2} R w,  \tag{4.1.9b}\\
& p_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho+2 a w),  \tag{4.1.9c}\\
& p_{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\pi-\pi^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.1.9d}
\end{align*}
$$

and the total internal momentum vector is $P=\left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}} ; \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}\right) \equiv\left(p_{R} ; p_{L}, p_{+}, p_{-}\right)$.

The orbifold action on the momenta can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left|p_{R} ; p_{L}, p_{+}, p_{-}\right\rangle=e^{2 i \pi v \cdot P}\left|p_{R} ; p_{L}, p_{+},-p_{-}\right\rangle, \tag{4.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inner product is defined with respect to the metric $\operatorname{diag}(-1,+1, \ldots,+1)$. The shift vector $v$ is constrained by the condition that $g$ has order two. Choosing $v_{-}=0$ implies that $2 v$ belongs to the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}$. Besides, the condition that the shift corresponds to the geometric translation of $x^{9}$ by half a period amounts to $e^{2 i \pi v \cdot P}=e^{i \pi n}$ and leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(-R-\frac{a^{2}}{R} ; R-\frac{a^{2}}{R}, 2 a, 0\right) . \tag{4.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $2 v$ equals the Narain lattice vector obtained by substituting $w=1, n=0$, and $\pi=\pi^{\prime}=0$ in the formulae (4.1.9). The lattice vectors can be conveniently traded for states $\left|w, n, \pi, \pi^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which depend on the quantum numbers and transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left|w, n, \pi, \pi^{\prime}\right\rangle=e^{i \pi n}\left|w, n, \pi^{\prime}, \pi\right\rangle, \tag{4.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all values of the moduli.
The action of $g$ on the left-moving bosons living on $\Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}} \oplus \Gamma_{\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}}$, denoted $Y^{I}$ and $Y^{\prime I}=Y^{I+8}$, $I=1, \ldots, 8$, is the exchange $Y^{I} \leftrightarrow Y^{\prime I}$, or $Y_{ \pm}^{I} \rightarrow \pm Y_{ \pm}^{I}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{ \pm}^{I}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(Y^{I} \pm Y^{\prime I}\right) . \tag{4.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action on the space-time coordinates is just the translation in $x^{9}$. The corresponding oscillators then transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\alpha^{I}\right)=\alpha^{I+8}, \quad g\left(\alpha^{I+8}\right)=\alpha^{I}, \quad g\left(\alpha^{\mu}\right)=\alpha^{\mu}, \tag{4.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=2, \ldots, 9$ refers to the space-time transverse coordinates. Notice also that $g\left(\alpha_{ \pm}^{I}\right)= \pm \alpha_{ \pm}^{I}$ for the $Y_{ \pm}^{I}$ oscillators.

In the untwisted sector, the spectrum consists of states of the parent theory invariant under the orbifold action. The invariant states are superpositions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi\rangle_{\text {untwisted }}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\alpha\left|w, n, \pi, \pi^{\prime}\right\rangle+(-1)^{n} g(\alpha)\left|w, n, \pi^{\prime}, \pi\right\rangle\right), \tag{4.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ denotes any possible combination of oscillators and $g(\alpha)$ its image under $g$, given by (4.1.14).
In the twisted sector, the internal chiral bosons $Y^{I}$ and $Y^{I I}$ satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{I}(\sigma+2 \pi)=Y^{\prime I}(\sigma)+Q^{I}, \quad Y^{\prime I}(\sigma+2 \pi)=Y^{I}(\sigma)+Q^{\prime I}, \tag{4.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are arbitrary (fixed) vectors in $\Gamma_{8}$ which specify the precise way of exchanging $\mathrm{E}_{8} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ [59]. The $Y_{ \pm}^{I}$ then obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{ \pm}^{I}(\sigma+2 \pi)= \pm Y_{ \pm}^{I}(\sigma)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(Q^{I} \pm Q^{\prime I}\right), \tag{4.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and have oscillator expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{+}^{I}(\tau+\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} y_{+, 0}^{I}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} p_{+}^{I}(\tau+\sigma)+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_{+, n}^{I}}{n} e^{-i n(\tau+\sigma)}, \\
& Y_{-}^{I}(\tau+\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} y_{-, 0}^{I}+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\alpha_{-, s}^{I}}{s} e^{-i s(\tau+\sigma)} \tag{4.1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{+}^{I} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(Q^{I}+Q^{\prime I}\right)$ and $y_{-, 0}^{I} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(Q^{I}-Q^{\prime I}\right)$. The boson corresponding to the compact $x^{9}$ dimension satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{9}(\sigma+2 \pi)=X^{9}(\sigma)+\pi R+2 \pi R \tilde{w} \equiv X^{9}(\sigma)+2 \pi R w \tag{4.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{w} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence $w \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$.
The twisted states have three distinctive features: they have half-integer winding $w$, the occupation numbers of their oscillators can be half-integer or integer valued, and they do not have antisymmetric momentum $p_{-}^{I}$. We write them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi\rangle_{\text {twisted }}=|w, n, \rho\rangle \tag{4.1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to the action of oscillators. Note that upon quantisation the symmetric momentum takes the form $p_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho+2 a w)$, with $\rho=Q+Q^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{8}$, coinciding with the untwisted symmetric momentum in (4.1.7).

In the NS sector for the right movers (which gives the space-time bosons), the mass and level matching conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{2} & =\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}+2\left(N_{L}+N_{R}\right)+2 \mathfrak{a}-1,  \tag{4.1.21}\\
0 & =\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{2}+2\left(N_{L}-N_{R}\right)+2 \mathfrak{a}+1, \tag{4.1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where the zero point energy $\mathfrak{a}$ is -1 in the untwisted sector, as usual, and $-\frac{1}{2}$ in the twisted sector, since the left-moving side part receives contributions from 16 periodic bosons $\left\{Y_{+}^{I}, X^{\mu}\right\}$ (with $\mu$ labeling the 8 transverse directions) and 8 anti-periodic bosons $\left\{Y_{-}^{I}\right\}$. Concretely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{a}_{\text {twisted }}=16 \times \mathfrak{a}_{\text {periodic }}+8 \times \mathfrak{a}_{\text {anti-periodic }}=-16 \times \frac{1}{24}+8 \times \frac{1}{48}=-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{4.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to define the modified 'oscillator number'

$$
N_{L}^{\prime}=N_{L}+\delta, \quad \delta=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} p_{-}^{2} & \text { Untwisted }  \tag{4.1.24}\\
\frac{1}{2} & \text { Twisted }
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $p_{-}^{2}$ is an integer (cf. (4.1.9)), and the nine-dimensional momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}=\left(p_{L}, p_{+}\right), \tag{4.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows to rewrite the formulas (4.1.21) and (4.1.22) in an $\mathrm{O}(1,9)$ covariant form as

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{2} & =P_{L}^{2}+p_{R}^{2}+2\left(N_{L}^{\prime}+N_{R}\right)-3  \tag{4.1.26a}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} Z^{T} \mathcal{H} Z+2\left(N_{L}^{\prime}+N_{R}\right)-3  \tag{4.1.26b}\\
0 & =P_{L}^{2}-p_{R}^{2}+2\left(N_{L}^{\prime}-N_{R}\right)-1  \tag{4.1.27a}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} Z^{T} \eta Z+2\left(N_{L}^{\prime}-N_{R}\right)-1 \tag{4.1.27b}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have defined the charge vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \equiv|\ell, n ; \rho\rangle \tag{4.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell \equiv 2 w \tag{4.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\rho$ is defined in (4.1.8). Note that $\ell$ is always an integer, and is odd (even) for twisted (untwisted) states. $\mathcal{H}$ is the so-called 'generalized metric' (cf. (2.3.5))

$$
\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{R^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
E^{2} / 2 & -a^{2} & E a  \tag{4.1.30}\\
-a^{2} & 2 & -2 a \\
E a^{T} & -2 a^{T} & R^{2}+2 a^{T} a
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a$ is taken to be a row vector and the lower right $R^{2}$ term is implicitly multiplied by $\mathbb{1}_{8}$ so that $\mathcal{H}$ is a $10 \times 10$ matrix, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \equiv R^{2}+a^{2} \tag{4.1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\eta$ is the $\mathrm{O}(1,9)$ metric

$$
\eta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{4.1.32}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The important result

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{2} \equiv Z^{T} \eta Z=2 \ell n+\rho^{2} \in 2 \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that the charge vectors $Z$ span the even self-dual Lorentzian lattice $\Gamma_{1,9} \simeq \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, since $\ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \in \Gamma_{8}$. The correspondence between the states of the theory and the elements of $\Gamma_{1,9}$ was derived in [19].

It can now be seen that the local structure of the moduli space (4.1.2) is $O(1,9, \mathbb{R}) / O(9, \mathbb{R})$ due to the reduction of the Wilson line from 16 to 8 components and the invariance of eqs. (4.1.26a) and (4.1.27a) under $\mathrm{O}(9, \mathbb{R})$ rotations of $P_{L}$. Furthermore, the automorphism group $\mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{Z})$ of $\Gamma_{1,9}$ corresponds to the T-duality group of the theory, giving the global structure for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{CHL}}$. The similarities between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{CHL}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {Narain }}$ (cf. eq. (4.1.1)) allow to carry out an analysis of the ninedimensional CHL string mirroring the one performed for $S^{1}$ compactifications in [13] and mentioned in Section 2.4, namely constructing the fundamental region of the moduli space whose codimension $r \leq 9$ boundaries give enhanced semisimple gauge groups of rank $r$. This ensures that we are able to easily find all possible gauge group enhancements in the theory, as we explain shortly.

### 4.1.2 Massless vectors

From equations (4.1.26a) and (4.1.27a) we see that the NS sector contains massless states with $N_{R}=\frac{1}{2}$, $p_{R}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}^{2}=2\left(1-N_{L}^{\prime}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad N_{L}^{\prime}=0,1, \frac{1}{2} . \tag{4.1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of these, untwisted states can have $N_{L}=0,1$ and twisted states $N_{L}=0$ (cf. eq. (4.1.24)). For $N_{L}=1$, besides the universal gravitational sector, the massless spectrum contains the left Abelian KK gauge vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-1}^{9} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0\rangle_{\mathrm{NS}}, \tag{4.1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}$ the coefficient of the Laurent expansion of the right-moving fermions, $\mu=2, \ldots, 8$, and the 8 symmetric combinations of the Cartan sector of the heterotic theory that survive the R projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\alpha_{-1}^{I}+\alpha_{-1}^{I+8}\right) \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\mu}|0\rangle_{\mathrm{NS}}, \tag{4.1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that the gauge group of the theory has rank 9 .
For $N_{L}=0$, the set of massless states depends on the point in moduli space. The $p_{R}=0$ condition reads (cf. eq. (4.1.9a))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} E \ell-n+a \cdot \rho=0 \tag{4.1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E$ defined in (4.1.31), while the level matching condition (4.1.27b) becomes a constraint on the norm of $\Gamma_{1,9}$ vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{2}=2 \ell n+\rho^{2}=4\left(1-N_{L}^{\prime}\right)=4 \text { or } 2 . \tag{4.1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The states with $Z^{2}=4$ correspond to $N_{L}^{\prime}=0$, and from the definition of $N_{L}^{\prime}$ given in (4.1.24) we see that this is only possible in the untwisted sector, with $\pi=\pi^{\prime}$. From eq. (4.1.15) we see that such states could only exist with $n$ even. However, substitution in (4.1.38) gives $2 \ell n+\rho^{2}=4 q+4 \pi^{2}=4$, with $q$ even, or $\pi^{2}=1-q=$ odd, which is inconsistent since $\pi \in \Gamma_{8}$. In compactifications to lower dimensions, such massless states do appear, and correspond to roots of gauge algebras at level 1, being long roots for non-ADE algebras (see Section 4.2). On the other hand, states with $Z^{2}=2$ are allowed in this case, and correspond to roots of ADE algebras at level 2. They come both from the twisted and untwisted sectors (the latter with $\pi=0$ or $\pi^{\prime}=0$ ). We summarize this in Table 4.1.

|  | twisted | untwisted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z^{2}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $\ell$ | odd | even |
| $n$ | integer | integer |
| $\rho$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ |

Table 4.1: Quantum numbers of the massless states in the twisted and untwisted sector in nine dimensions. The states must satisfy (4.1.37) to be massless.

At a generic point in the moduli space there are no massless states (twisted or untwisted) other than (4.1.35)-(4.1.36), since condition (4.1.37) can only be satisfied generically for $Z=0$, and therefore generically the gauge group is $U(1)^{9}$. Enhanced gauge symmetry appears at special points in the moduli space, as we will show.

Let us look at the simple situation where $a=0$. The massless condition (4.1.37) is trivially satisfied
for states with $\ell=n=0$, and the level matching condition (4.1.38) with $\rho^{2}=2$, hence we get the massless untwisted sates with charge vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=|0,0 ; \rho\rangle, \quad \rho^{2}=2 . \tag{4.1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are just the 240 roots of the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ arising from the symmetric combination of the two $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ 's in the parent theory. In the twisted sector, since $\ell$ is odd, eq. (4.1.37) is not satisfied for generic values of the compactification radius, since $R=\sqrt{E}$ in this case. The surviving gauge group for $a=0$ and generic $R$ is then $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$. Interestingly enough, taking $R=1$ when $a=0$ does not lead to additional states that enhance the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, as occurs in the $S^{1}$ compactification. For this enhancement to occur we must actually take $R=\sqrt{2}$, i.e. $E=2$, so that equations (4.1.37) and (4.1.38) are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z= \pm|1,1 ; 0\rangle, \tag{4.1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to two twisted states with winding number $m= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.
It can be shown that in this example the world-sheet realization of the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ space-time gauge symmetry is provided by a Kac-Moody algebra at level $k=2$. It is interesting to compare the radius that gives this enhancement in the orbifold theory with the self-dual radius $R_{k}$ in the standard $S^{1}$ compactification where the enhancement occurs at $R_{k}=1$ and the gauge group is realized at level 1. They are related as $R=\sqrt{k} R_{k}$. For generic Wilson lines this enhancement occurs at

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=k^{-1} E=1 \tag{4.1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following section we show that this is a generic feature: while maximal enhancement in the heterotic string on $S^{1}$ occurs at $E=1$ and the Kac-Moody algebra is realized at $k=1$, in the nine-dimensional orbifold theory they occur at $E=2$ and $k=2$, i.e. both enhancements occur at $E_{k}=1$. This is actually expected from T-duality. We will shortly explain that in the orbifold theory the self-dual point is $E=2$.

### 4.1.3 Maximal enhancements from the Generalized Dynkin Diagram

As we have commented in Section 4.1.1, the structure of the moduli space of the nine-dimensional CHL string, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{CHL}}$, is similar to that of $S^{1}$ compactifications of the heterotic string, $\mathcal{M}_{\text {Narain }}$ (studied in Chapter 2). In particular, its global structure is given by $\mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{Z})$, the group of automorphisms of a Lorentzian even self-dual lattice. This group is reflexive, meaning that it can be generated by a finite set of Weyl reflections on the moduli space cover $\mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{O}(9, \mathbb{R})$, each of which fixes an hyperplane at the boundary of the fundamental domain. Each one of these reflections is uniquely associated to a short root quantum state that becomes massless on its fixed hyperplane, such that all possible enhanced semisimple gauge groups of rank $r$ may be found at their $r$-fold intersections (for details see [13]).

The upshot is that given the set of 10 roots corresponding to the boundaries of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHL }}$, we may simply impose that some of them satisfy the massless condition (4.1.37) (condition (4.1.38) is satisfied by construction), so that they become the simple roots of some simply laced gauge algebra. This can be done neatly by introducing the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD) [11] for the lattice $\Gamma_{1,9}$ shown in Figure 4.1, which is the over-extended Dynkin Diagram for $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, usually denoted $\mathrm{E}_{10}$. The roots 1
through 8 are the simple roots of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, and we take them to have the following embedding in $\Gamma_{1,9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=\left|0,0 ; \alpha_{i}\right\rangle, \quad i=1, \ldots, 8 \tag{4.1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\alpha_{i}$ are listed in Table 2.1. The root 0 corresponds to the lowest root of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ with the additional property that it has $n=-1$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=\left|0,-1 ; \alpha_{0}\right\rangle . \tag{4.1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the root C lies in the hyperbolic sublattice $\Gamma_{1,1}$ and reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{C}}=|1,1 ; 0\rangle . \tag{4.1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.1: Generalized Dynkin Diagram for the lattice $\Gamma_{1,9}$. The coloring of the nodes 0 and C reflects the fact the the associated states have non-zero momentum and/or winding, as opposed to the white nodes.

Analogously to the case of the torus of Section 2.4, maximally enhanced (rank 9) non-Abelian gauge groups are then found by deleting one node in the GDD such that the remaining nodes form the Dynkin diagram of an ADE algebra. Imposing the condition (4.1.37) on the roots associated to the remaining nodes gives rise to 9 constraints on the moduli and defines a singular point $(E, a)$ at the boundary of the fundamental domain with maximally enhanced gauge group. More generally, deleting $s$ nodes defines a subvariety of dimension $s-1$ with generic semisimple gauge group of rank $10-s$, given by the remaining Dynkin diagram.

Note that for maximal enhancements the node C cannot be broken, since the remaining diagram corresponds to the infinite dimensional algebra Eg. This means that all maximal enhancements must contain this node, and from eq. (4.1.37) this implies that $E=2$. The massless condition then reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \cdot \rho=\ell-n . \tag{4.1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Deletion of the $i$ th node, $i=0, \ldots, 8$, corresponds to the Wilson line

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{1}{\kappa_{i}} w_{i}, \tag{4.1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with no sum over $i$, where $w_{i}$ and $\kappa_{i}$ are respectively the fundamental weight and Kac mark listed in Table 2.1, similarly to 2.4.7. It is easy to show that this prescription exactly solves eq. (4.1.45) for the remaining roots $Z_{j \neq i}$, while violating the one for $Z_{i}$ since $w_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i} / \kappa_{i} \notin \mathbb{Z}, i \neq 0$. In fact, these values for the Wilson line correspond to those for a shift vector breaking E8 to a maximal regular subgroup [60].

The maximal enhancements are listed in Table D.4, where in all the cases the world-sheet KacMoody algebra is realized at level 2 . Moreover, note that the relation (4.1.41) is satisfied in all cases, since $E=2$.

### 4.1.4 T-duality

The T-duality group of the nine-dimensional CHL string is $\mathrm{O}(1,9, \mathbb{Z})$, the automorphism group of $\Gamma_{1,9}$. Of particular interest is the Weyl reflection, say $T$, generated by the root $Z_{\mathrm{C}}$, whose action on the moduli and the quantum numbers $\ell, n, \rho$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: \quad E \leftrightarrow \frac{4}{E}, \quad a \leftrightarrow \frac{2 a}{E}, \quad \ell \leftrightarrow n, \quad \rho \leftrightarrow-\rho \tag{4.1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $N_{L}^{\prime}$ is invariant. Note that this transformation is not inherited from the T-duality group of the parent theory on $S^{1}$, although it is analogous to the transformation $E \rightarrow 1 / E$ found there. In fact, in the $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold some states in the untwisted sector are transformed under $T$ to states in the twisted sector. Twisted states with $\ell$ odd and $n$ even are mapped to untwisted states with $\ell$ even and $n$ odd (cf. Table 4.1), and vice versa. This mixing of the two sectors under T-duality was originally noted in [19].

It can be shown that the partition function of the $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold is invariant under $T$. One can also see explicitly how the mixing of untwisted and twisted states occurs at the level of the Hilbert space by taking into account the difference in the ground states and internal oscillators of the two sectors. As a simple example consider the twisted state with $\ell=1, n=0, \rho=r$, with $r$ a root of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, and no left oscillators. Since T-duality preserves the norms of the momenta $p_{R}^{2}$ and $P_{L}^{2}$, it should also preserve the value of $N_{L}^{\prime}$ to leave the mass (4.1.26a) unaffected. In this case, $N_{L}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}$, and so the transformed untwisted state must have $p_{-}^{2}=1$ (cf. eq. (4.1.24)). It is not hard to see that it should take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,1 ; r, 0\rangle-|0,1 ; 0, r\rangle), \tag{4.1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation is that of eq. (4.1.15).
The mapping is more complicated when oscillators are involved. Consider for instance the set of twisted states with charge vector $Z=|1,0 ; 0\rangle$ and $N_{L}^{\prime}=2$, i.e. $N_{L}=\frac{3}{2}$. The allowed combinations of oscillators along the eight directions $I$ that can act on $Z$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{I} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{J} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{K}, \quad \alpha_{+,-1}^{I} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{J}, \quad \alpha_{-,-\frac{3}{2}}^{I}, \quad I, J, K=1, \ldots, 8, \tag{4.1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving $120+64+8=192$ states. Their T-dual untwisted states, labeled by $\left|\ell, n ; \pi, \pi^{\prime}\right\rangle$, must have $\ell=0, n=1, \pi^{\prime}=-\pi$ since $\rho=0$, and they must also add up to 192 states. For the first 120 twisted states the T-duality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{I} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{J} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{K},|1,0 ; 0,0\rangle \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0,1 ; r,-r\rangle-|0,1 ;-r, r\rangle), \tag{4.1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is any of the 120 positive roots of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ (the other 120 give the same states up to an overall irrelevant sign). We see that $p_{-}^{2}=2 r^{2}=4$, hence $N_{L}^{\prime}=2$ as required.

For the remaining states the mapping reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{+,-1}^{I} \alpha_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{J}|1,0 ; 0,0\rangle & \leftrightarrow \alpha_{+,-1}^{I} \alpha_{-,-1}^{J}|0,1 ; 0,0\rangle  \tag{4.1.51}\\
\alpha_{-,-\frac{3}{2}}^{I},|1,0 ; 0,0\rangle & \leftrightarrow \alpha_{-,-2}^{I}|0,1 ; 0,0\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used that in the untwisted sector the $\alpha_{-}^{I}$ oscillators have integer occupation number and under the orbifold action pick up a minus sign so that the full states are invariant.

### 4.2 The CHL string in $D$ dimensions

We now consider the more general setting of the CHL string in $D$ external dimensions, with $D \leq 9$. It is realized as an orbifold of heterotic compactifications on $T^{d}$ (with $d=10-D$ ), where the orbifold symmetry is again $g=$ RT (cf. eq. (4.1.3)), with T a half-turn around one of the cycles of $T^{d}$. We will choose this cycle to be along $x^{9}$, while the others remain unaffected.

### 4.2.1 Extending the nine-dimensional construction

The moduli of the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ heterotic string on $T^{d}$ are the torus metric $G_{i j}$, the antisymmetric tensor $B_{i j}$ and the Wilson lines $A_{i}$, where $i, j=1, \ldots, d$. Again, the Wilson lines have to be invariant under the R symmetry, which implies that they are of the form $A_{i}=\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)$. Generalizing (4.1.31), we define the moduli

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i j}=G_{i j}+B_{i j}+a_{i} \cdot a_{j}, \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the quantum numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{i} \equiv 2 w^{i}, \quad n_{i}, \quad \rho^{I} \equiv \pi^{I}+\pi^{\prime I}, \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{i}$ and $n_{i}$ are the winding and momentum numbers along the $i$ th direction and $\pi^{I}, \pi^{\prime I}$ are the same as in (4.1.6). The momenta (4.1.9) are then generalized to

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{R}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(n_{i}-\frac{1}{2} E_{i j} \ell^{j}-a_{i} \cdot \rho\right) \hat{e}^{i},  \tag{4.2.3a}\\
& p_{L}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(n_{i}+\left(G_{i j}-\frac{1}{2} E_{i j}\right) \ell^{j}-a_{i} \cdot \rho\right) \hat{e}^{i}=p_{R}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell^{i} e_{i},  \tag{4.2.3b}\\
& p_{+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\rho+\ell^{i} a_{i}\right),  \tag{4.2.3c}\\
& p_{-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\pi-\pi^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.2.3d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{i}$ is the vielbein for the torus metric, i.e. $e_{i} \cdot e_{j}=G_{i j}$, and $\hat{e}^{i}$ its inverse.
The construction of the spectrum in Section 4.1 carries over with some differences. Basically, the $i=1$ direction behaves as in the nine-dimensional case, while the other directions $i \geq 2$ behave as in the usual $T^{d}$ compactification. In particular, the charge vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \equiv\left|\ell^{1}, \ldots, \ell^{d}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} ; \rho\right\rangle \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

have $\ell^{1}$ odd (even) for twisted (untwisted) states, but $\ell^{2}, \ldots, \ell^{d}$ are always even, while in general, $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \in \Gamma_{8}$.

The Lorentzian metric (4.1.32) generalizes to

$$
\eta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mathbb{1}_{d} & 0  \tag{4.2.5}\\
\mathbb{1}_{d} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathbb{1}_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and, together with the allowed values for the quantum numbers, already suggests that the vectors $Z$ span the lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{(d)} \simeq \Gamma_{d-1, d-1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,9} . \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (2) at the right of $\Gamma_{d-1, d-1} \simeq{ }^{d-1} \Gamma_{1,1}$ means that the norm squared of its vectors is scaled by a factor of 2 , in this case due to $\ell^{2}, \ldots, \ell^{d}$ always being even. This is in agreement with [19], where these lattices were initially introduced. We therefore refer to $\Gamma_{(d)}$ in this context as the Mikhailov lattice. This is the analog of the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$, but with the important difference that it is not self-dual (except for the $d=1$ case reviewed in Section 4.1).

The left-moving sector of the theory now includes $d$ Abelian KK gauge vectors like (4.1.35), so that the gauge group is of rank $8+d$. A generic point in the moduli space has gauge group $\mathrm{U}(1)^{d+8}$, but at special points this group is enhanced. The novel feature for $d>1$ compactifications is that states with $Z^{2}=4$ can become massless and certain enhanced gauge groups are not simply laced, as we now show.

The zero mass and level matching conditions generalizing (4.1.37) and (4.1.38) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} E_{i j} \ell^{j}-n_{i}+a_{i} \cdot \rho=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d  \tag{4.2.7}\\
Z^{2}=2 \ell^{i} n_{i}+\rho^{2}=4 \text { or } 2 \tag{4.2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us take for the moment $d=2$. An untwisted state with $Z^{2}=4$ has $n_{1}$ even and $\rho^{I}=2 \pi^{I}$. Substituting in (4.2.8) gives $2 \ell^{1} n_{1}+2 \ell^{2} n_{2}+\rho^{2}=2 \ell^{2} n_{2}+4 q+4 \pi^{2}=4$, with $q$ even, but in contrast to the situation in $d=1$, it can be solved by an appropriate choice of $\ell^{2}$ and $n_{2}$. Indeed, the product $\ell^{2} n_{2}$ can be any even number, say $2 p$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (4.2.8) reduces to $\pi^{2}=1-q-p$, which admits solutions in $\Gamma_{(2)}$ if $p$ is odd. As before, we have that $Z^{2}=4\left(1-N_{L}^{\prime}\right)$, which implies $N_{L}^{\prime}=0$ and, from (4.1.24), $\pi=\pi^{\prime}$, then $\rho \in \Gamma_{8}(2)$. These states give rise to $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ gauge algebras at level 1 , where they play the role of long roots when $n \geq 2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$. For $d \geq 3$ there are more possibilities such as $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ algebras (see [61] for an introduction on this topic). In Table 4.2 we record the values of the quantum numbers that massless states can have for $d \geq 2$, together with the squared length $Z^{2}$ of the charge vector.

|  | twisted | untwisted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $\ell^{1}$ | odd | even | even |
| $n_{1}$ | integer | integer | even |
| $\ell^{i}$ | even | even | even |
| $n_{i}$ | integer | integer | integer |
| $\rho$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Gamma_{8}(2)$ |

Table 4.2: Quantum numbers of the massless states in the twisted and untwisted sector. The index $i>1$ corresponds to further compactifications of the nine-dimensional theory. States with $Z^{2}=4$ can only be massless in $D<9$ dimensions. The states must satisfy (4.2.7) to be massless.

### 4.3 Exploring the moduli space

As in $T^{d}$ compactifications of the heterotic string, there does not seem to exist a GDD for $d>1$ from which one can extract all possible enhancements. One obstruction to obtaining such a GDD is that the group of automorphisms for the Mikhailov lattice, similarly to the Narain lattice, is not generated by simple reflections when $d>1$. A workaround was found in the previous chapter: we developed an algorithm for $T^{d}$ compactifications which, starting from a point $p_{0}$ of the moduli space
corresponding to a (semisimple) gauge group of maximal rank $r_{\max }=d+16$, gives a set of new points of maximal enhancement. Heuristically, it searches for maximal enhancement points which are connected to $p_{0}$ through some variety with generic gauge group of rank $r_{\max }-1$. In the case of $S^{1}$ and $T^{2}$ compactifications, this algorithm was proven to be exhaustive by comparing with previous results $[13,14]$.

We have modified this algorithm in order to apply it to the CHL compactifications. This is required by the technicalities of working with Mikhailov lattices as opposed to Narain lattices, specially for compactifications to spacetime dimensions lower than nine, where non-ADE root lattices appear.

In the following section we explain the methods used in our algorithm and illustrate them with an explicit example. We explain the final results in Section 4.3.4 and present the maximal enhancements generated by iterating this procedure in Table D.5.

### 4.3.1 Exploration algorithm

The purpose of our algorithm is to take as input some point $p_{0}$ of maximal enhancement and return a list of other such points $p_{k}$ related to $p_{0}$ in some specific, controllable way. To this end, it is best to specify $p_{0}$ not by its moduli, but by its root lattice $L_{0}$ via some generating matrix (in general, by generating matrix we mean a matrix whose rows are a basis for some lattice) of simple roots embedded in the Mikhailov lattice. Both sets of data are equivalent as one can recover one from the other using equations (4.2.7) and (4.2.8). However, the lattice $L_{0}$ is more amenable to discrete operations, which we now describe.

Consider the $(10-d)$-dimensional $(d \geq 1)$ CHL string at a point $p_{0}$ in moduli space specified by a set of $d+8$ simple roots with quantum numbers $\ell^{i}, n_{i}$ and $\rho$. Substituting each one of them in (4.2.7) gives $d$ real constraints on the $d \times(d+8)$ moduli. It follows that deletion of some simple root $r_{0}$ defines a $d$-dimensional subvariety in moduli space which contains $p_{0}$. Generically, this subvariety contains many more maximal enhancement points $p_{k}$, each one corresponding to a distinct simple root $r_{k}$ replacing $r_{0}, r_{k} \neq r_{0}$. It is in this sense that the $p_{k}$ are neighbors of $p_{0}$. To generate such a root $r_{k}$ we solve a system of equations stating that $r_{k}$ must have inner product $0,-1$ or -2 with all other roots, its squared length must be 2 or 4 and it must be embedded in the Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}$ in accordance with Table 4.2.

In order to make sure that the root lattice obtained by replacing $r_{0} \rightarrow r_{k}$ corresponds to the gauge group $G_{k}$ at $p_{k}$, we have to take care of an ambiguity in the relation between the moduli of $p_{k}$ and the root lattice $L_{k} \equiv L$ of $G_{k}$. Even though the embedding of $L$ in $\Gamma_{(d)}$ specifies the moduli via the constraints mentioned above, it is also true that any sublattice $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$ with $\operatorname{rank}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}(L)$ will give the same moduli. When we replace $r_{0} \rightarrow r_{k}$ there is therefore the possibility that the lattice obtained will not be $L$ but some $L^{\prime}$. This ambiguity is eliminated if we implement a procedure, which we explain below, that takes $L^{\prime}$ and returns $L$ by adding the missing roots. This adding of roots will be referred to as a saturation of $L^{\prime}$ to $L$.

To saturate $L^{\prime}$ we recall that all of its even overlattices are contained in the dual lattice $L^{\prime *}$, so that in particular $L^{\prime} \subseteq L \subseteq L^{\prime *}$. It suffices then to compute the vectors dual to $L^{\prime}$, select those which correspond to roots embedded in $\Gamma_{(d)}$ and add them to $L^{\prime}$. In practice this is done by iterating an algorithm which replaces one root vector in the generating matrix for $L^{\prime}$ such that det $L^{\prime}$ gets smaller (indicating that $L^{\prime}$ has been extended) and is still embedded in $\Gamma_{(d)}$. When all attempts to do this leave the determinant of the lattice invariant, $L^{\prime}$ has been saturated to the true root lattice $L$ at $p_{k}$.

### 4.3.2 Example

To illustrate this procedure we first consider an exploration of the neighborhood of the point in moduli space corresponding to eight dimensional CHL with gauge algebra $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)_{2}$, with the subscript indicating that the world-sheet Kac-Moody algebra is realized at level 2, given by the moduli

$$
E_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0  \tag{4.3.1}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\left(0^{7}, 1\right), \quad a_{2}=\left(0^{3},-\frac{1}{2}^{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

The root lattice $L_{0}$ is generated by the rows $\left(\ell^{1}, \ell^{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} ; \rho\right)$ of the $10 \times 12$ matrix

$$
\mathcal{G}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -2  \tag{4.3.2}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right],
$$

from which the gauge algebra is read by computing its Gram matrix $\mathcal{G}_{0} \eta \mathcal{G}_{0}^{T}$, with $\eta$ given in (4.2.5). Note also that $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ is not a square matrix due to the fact that it gives an embedding of a rank 10 lattice into the rank 12 lattice $\Gamma_{(2)}$. We have chosen this particular vacuum because, as we explain below, it neighbors another vacuum with globally non-trivial gauge group.

Starting from $\mathcal{G}_{0}$, one of the paths that our algorithm will follow is to remove, for example, the 6 th row. This breaks $\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)_{2} \rightarrow\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and eliminates two real constraints on the moduli (cf. eq. (4.2.7)), which taking into account the remaining $20-2=18$ constraints read

$$
E_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & x  \tag{4.3.3}\\
0 & y
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\left(0_{3}, x,(-x)_{3}, 1\right), \quad a_{2}=\left(0_{3}, y-\frac{3}{2},\left(\frac{1}{2}-y\right)_{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

with the subindex 3 meaning that the quantity is repeated 3 times. In other words, the moduli are now constrained to a plane $(x, y)$ with generic gauge algebra $\left(3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)_{2}$. Our algorithm will now generate a new simple root $\alpha$ by picking out a solution to the set of equations

$$
\begin{cases}\mathcal{G}_{0, m n} \alpha_{n}=k_{m}, & k_{m} \in\{0,-1,-2\},  \tag{4.3.4}\\ \alpha^{2}=N, & N \in\{2,4\}\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(\ell^{i}, n_{i} ; \rho\right)$ is constrained to lie in $\Gamma_{(2)}$, meaning that $\ell^{1}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell^{2} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho \in \Gamma_{8}$. One possible solution with $N=4$ is

$$
\alpha=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
0 & 2 & -2 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & -2 \tag{4.3.5}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The new matrix $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ resulting from this exchange of roots ( $\alpha$ is now in the 6 th row) is seen to generate the root lattice $L_{1}$ corresponding to the gauge algebra $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)_{1}$ and the moduli are fixed to

$$
E_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0  \tag{4.3.6}\\
0 & \frac{5}{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{1}=\left(0_{7}, 1\right), \quad a_{2}=\left(0_{3},-\frac{1}{4},\left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)_{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

To check that $L_{1}$ contains all the solutions to equations (4.2.7) and (4.2.8), our algorithm calculates the generating matrix $\mathcal{G}_{1}^{*}$ for the dual lattice $L_{1}^{*}$ :

$$
\mathcal{G}_{1}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -1  \tag{4.3.7}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

It then constructs generic integer linear combinations of the rows corresponding to roots lying in $\Gamma_{(2)}$ and adds them to $L_{1}$ by replacing one of the rows of $\mathcal{G}_{1}$. This is done in an exhaustive way, but in this particular case no such replacement decreases the determinant of $\mathcal{G}_{1}$, hence $L_{1}$ is saturated. This means that the gauge algebra at this point in moduli space is indeed $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right)_{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)_{1}$.

### 4.3.3 Matter states and global data

There are two other sets of data of importance that can be obtained by our methods, namely the matter states in the lowest massive level associated to fundamental representations of the gauge group $G$, and the global structure of $G$, i.e. the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(G)$. Both of these problems involve finding overlattices of root lattices which are primitively embedded in the momentum lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}$ or its dual $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}$, as we now explain.

### 4.3.3.1 Computing the overlattice

By primitively embedded overlattice we mean the intersection of the real span of the root lattice, $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and the momentum lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}$ in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{d+8, d}$. In terms of the momenta $p_{L, R}$ this means all vectors which satisfy the constraint $p_{R}=0$ but $p_{L}$ is unconstrained. Generally such an overlattice $M$ corresponds to an extension of $L$ by a set of fundamental weights $\left\{\mu, \mu^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$, and the quotient $M / L$ can be put in correspondence with a subgroup $K$ of the center of the universal cover $\tilde{G}$ of $G$, denoted $Z(\tilde{G})$ (cf. Table 4.3). It follows that the overlattice data can be encoded in the generators $\left\{k, k^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$ of $K$.

| $\tilde{G}$ | $Z(\tilde{G})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{SU}(n+1)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ |
| $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1), \operatorname{Sp}(2 n), \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $\operatorname{Spin}(4 n+2)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| $\operatorname{Spin}(4 n)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}, \mathrm{~F}_{4}, \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ |

Table 4.3: Center $Z(\tilde{G})$ of compact connected simple groups $\tilde{G}$.
Computing the weight vectors $\mu_{i}$ can be done by a slight generalization of the saturation algorithm described at the end of Section 4.3.1. Indeed, what it basically does is a computation of an overlattice of $L$ which is also a root lattice. By relaxing this last constraint, the same algorithm can be used to compute $M$. Returning to the example of Section 4.3.2, we apply this algorithm and find that $L$ can
be extended to an overlattice $M$ in $\Gamma_{(2)}$ by adding the weight vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=|2,2,-1,-2 ; 0,0,-1,0,2,1,1,-3\rangle . \tag{4.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the vector $\mu$ satisfies $p_{R}=0$ (cf. eq. (4.2.7)) with the moduli given in (4.3.6), but is not in $L$. Determining the precise $K \subset Z(\tilde{G})$ now amounts to determining the element in $Z(\tilde{G})$ to which $\mu$ corresponds. To do this we recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\tilde{G})=\Lambda_{\text {weight }} / \Lambda_{\text {root }} \tag{4.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{\text {weight }}$ is the weight lattice, which in particular contains $M$, and $\Lambda_{\text {root }}=L$. The weight $\mu$ together with all its $L$-translations constitutes an equivalence class $[\mu] \in Z(\tilde{G})$.

In general, for $\tilde{G}$ a semisimple group with $s$ simple factors, $Z(\tilde{G})$ is a product of $s+t$ cyclic groups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\tilde{G})=\mathbb{Z}_{p_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{s+t}}, \tag{4.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is the number of $\mathrm{D}_{2 n}$ factors since they contribute each a $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ group (see Table 4.3). Any element of $Z(\tilde{G})$ can therefore be written as a tuple

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s+t}\right), \tag{4.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i} \sim k_{i}+p_{i}$, and the ordering of the $k_{i}$ 's is appropriately specified in each case. In our example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}=\operatorname{SU}(2)^{2} \times \operatorname{SU}(4)^{2} \times \operatorname{Sp}(2), \quad Z(\tilde{G})=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \tag{4.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each central element is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{5}\right) \quad \bmod (2,2,4,4,2) . \tag{4.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine which equivalence class $k$ contains the weight vector $\mu$, we first note that each possible $k$ can be put in correspondence with a combination of fundamental weights of $\tilde{G}$. If for example one looks at the fundamental weights $w_{i}$ of $\operatorname{SU}(n)$, one finds that $\left[w_{i}\right]=i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ (up to the outer automorphism of $\operatorname{SU}(n)$ which maps $i \rightarrow-i \bmod n)$. For $\operatorname{Sp}(2)$, the only non-trivial element of the center contains the weight corresponding to the short simple root (or equivalently the spinor class in $\operatorname{Spin}(5)=\operatorname{Sp}(2))$. Using these facts one finds that the $\mu$ given in (4.3.8) is contained in

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=(1,1,2,2,1) . \tag{4.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify this, one can compute the fundamental weights (labeled by $i$ ) $w_{j, i}$ of each simple factor (labeled by $j$ ) and check that the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1,1}+w_{2,1}+w_{3,2}+w_{4,2}+w_{5,1} \tag{4.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be translated by roots in $L$ to the given $\mu$. Keep in mind that these calculations are performed with respect to the particular embedding of $L$ and $M$ in $\Gamma_{(2)}$.

Having determined the explicit form of $k=[\mu] \in Z(\tilde{G})$, we immediately find that $K=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, since $2 k=(2,2,4,4,2)=(0,0,0,0,0)$, i.e. $k$ is an order 2 element. Moreover, it is uniquely in correspondence with the fundamental representation $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{4})$ of $\tilde{G}$. Indeed, one can explicitly find all the states
which form this representation with mass $M^{2}=4$. It suffices to construct such a state from the weight vector (4.3.8) and act on it with the Weyl group of the enhanced gauge group, which is a subset of the subgroup of T-dualities that leave the moduli invariant. In this way all the states forming the corresponding representation of $\tilde{G}$ are obtained.

### 4.3.3.2 Computing the fundamental group

As explained in [20] (see also [19]) the fundamental group of $G$ can be computed as the quotient $M^{\vee} / L^{\vee}$, where $L^{\vee}$ and $M^{\vee}$ are respectively the coroot lattice and the cocharacter lattice of $G$. For every $G, L^{\vee}$ is embedded in the dual Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$, where the (2) means that it is also rescaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ to make it even, and $M^{\vee}$ corresponds to its overlattice primitively embedded in $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$. In practice this means that to compute the fundamental groups we need to find embeddings of the lattices $L^{\vee}$ in the dual Mikhailov lattice and then apply the procedure explained before to get the respective $M^{\vee}$.

Even though the exploration algorithm was designed to find points of maximal symmetry enhancement in moduli space, it can be considered on its own as an algorithm for finding embeddings of lattices into other lattices. For this reason it can be used also to compute all possible root lattices in $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$. This is due to the fact that the data that we manipulate through this algorithm corresponds to the lattice vectors themselves and not the moduli or the momenta. A point that has to be made clear however is that the condition for a vector in the lattice to be a root is that it is of norm 2, or that it is of norm 4 and furthermore has even inner product with all other vectors in the lattice. This is the statement which generalizes the conditions for massless states shown in Table 4.2 to any basis for the momentum lattice that we choose. It applies both to $\Gamma_{(d)}$ and $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$.

In eight dimensions, for example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{(2)}=\Gamma_{1,1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma_{(2)}^{*}(2)=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2) \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}(2) . \tag{4.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can take as a starting point for the exploration the root lattice of, say, $\mathrm{B}_{10}$, which can be constructed by hand and is expected to embed into $\Gamma_{(2)}^{*}(2)$ since it is the coroot lattice of $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ which embeds into $\Gamma_{(2)}$. After a few steps, the algorithm produces a list of root lattices which correspond exactly to the coroot lattices of the gauge algebras found by exploring the original lattice $\Gamma_{(2)}$. In particular, we find the root lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}(2) \oplus 2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}(2) \oplus \mathrm{B}_{2}, \tag{4.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to the coroot lattice $L^{\vee}$ of the model used in the examples of Sections 4.3.2 and 4.3.3.1. One may apply exactly the same procedure of the last section to compute its overlattice and the subgroup of $Z\left(\tilde{G}^{\vee}\right)$ to which it corresponds, where $\tilde{G}^{\vee}$ is the simply connected gauge group with root lattice in (4.3.17). Since this subgroup coincides with $M^{\vee} / L^{\vee}$, its generators $k_{i}$ give precisely the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(G) \subset Z(\tilde{G}) \simeq Z\left(\tilde{G}^{\vee}\right)$, which we refer to as $H$, i.e. $G=\tilde{G} / H$. In this case, we find two generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=(0,1,0,2,1), \quad k^{\prime}=(1,0,2,0,1) \tag{4.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

of order 2 , so that $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and the gauge group is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\operatorname{SU}(2)^{2} \times \operatorname{SU}(4)^{2} \times \operatorname{Spin}(5)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \tag{4.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is in agreement with that of [20].

### 4.3.3.3 Anomaly for center symmetries

It has been shown in [21] that in order for an $8 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ supergravity theory with global gauge group $G=\tilde{G} / H$ to be consistent, the following condition must be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{\tilde{G}_{i}} m_{i} k_{i}^{2}=0 \bmod 1 \tag{4.3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{G}_{i}$ are the $s$ simple factors in $\tilde{G}, \alpha_{\tilde{G}_{i}}$ are the conformal dimensions of the Kac-Moody representations which generate the center [62], $m_{i}$ are free parameters in the supergravity theory and $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)$ is the generator of $H \in Z(\tilde{G})$. This condition ensures that the $H$ center symmetry is free of anomalies. In the string theory whose low energy limit corresponds to this supergravity theory, $m_{i}$ are the levels of the world-sheet current algebra of $\tilde{G}_{i}$. It can be shown in general that (4.3.20) is satisfied by construction for all $G=\tilde{G} / H$ obtained from the heterotic string on $T^{2}$ and the 8d CHL string [20]. Here we give a brief alternative proof for this fact in the $T^{2}$ case, and comment briefly on the CHL case.

The fact that the gauge groups that arise from the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{2,18}$ satisfy (4.3.20) by construction is relatively easy to see. For this we recall that the conformal dimension $\alpha_{\tilde{G}_{i}}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\tilde{G}_{i}}=\frac{w_{i}^{2}}{\alpha_{\ell}^{2}}, \tag{4.3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}$ is the fundamental weight that generates the center of the group $\tilde{G}_{i}$ and $\alpha_{\ell}$ is the highest root, which is a long root. In this case, all possible gauge groups are of ADE type, so that $\alpha_{\ell}^{2}=2$, and have $m_{i}=1$. We can therefore rewrite (4.3.20) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(w_{i} k_{i}\right)^{2}=0 \quad \bmod 2 \tag{4.3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the statement that the weight vector $\sum_{i=1}^{s} w_{i} k_{i}$ is even. For ADE groups, the root and coroot lattices are the same, and since the Narain lattice is also self-dual, the global structure is given by the overlattice $M$ which embeds primitively into $\Gamma_{2,18}$ and is given by precisely this weight vector (cf. Sections 4.3.3.1 and 4.3.3.2). It is of course possible that there is more than one weight vectors involved, in which case the situation is analogous. Since the Narain lattice is even, all overlattices $M$ must also be even and so the condition (4.3.20) is satisfied by construction.

For the CHL string the situation is more subtle since the Mikhailov lattice is not self dual and there are symplectic groups. One can understand why groups occurring in this case should satisfy (4.3.20) by noting that all of them can be constructed from groups arising from the Narain lattice by a suitable projection [20], and so they must also preserve condition (4.3.20). It is straightforward to verify that this is the case given the $H$ generators displayed in Table D.6.

### 4.3.3.4 Globally non-trivial groups of lower rank

So far we have discussed maximally enhanced gauge groups. For non-Abelian groups of lower rank there are of course many more possibilities. In particular, the list of all possible gauge groups arising in $T^{2}$ compactifications of the heterotic string is 3279 , of which only 325 are of maximal rank ${ }^{1}$; this

[^26]was determined by Shimada in [15] from the point of view of elliptic K3 surfaces, and was verified for heterotic string on $T^{2}$ as explained in Chapter 3.

An important fact that was noticed in [15] is that all possible gauge groups of rank lower than 18 (the maximal rank in $T^{2}$ compactifications) which are simply-connected can be obtained from those of rank 18 which are also simply-connected by deleting nodes in the corresponding Dynkin diagram (e.g. $\mathrm{A}_{m+n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m}+\mathrm{A}_{n}$ ). For groups with non-trivial fundamental group $H$, this is not necessarily true. For example, the gauge group $\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ cannot be enhanced to a higher rank group, so that, conversely, it cannot be found by deleting a node as just described. We note that Shimada has given a set of rules for obtaining such gauge groups (see theorems 2.4-2.7 of [15]), but they do not correspond to arbitrary node deletion and are rather involved.

Here we will not attempt to repeat this analysis for the CHL string, but instead ask the following question: what gauge groups with non-trivial $H$ can be obtained by breaking maximally enhanced groups via node deletion? Given that all maximal enhancements in 9d have trivial $H$ (cf. Table D.4), we will restrict ourselves to the 8 d theory. In this case, there are 29 such groups, 24 with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and 5 with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (cf. Table D.5). We record them with their corresponding $k$ 's in Table D.6.

It is easier to find the answer to our question by brute force. Just delete one of the simple roots in the embedding of the rank 10 root lattice $L$ into the Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{(2)}$ and check if the resulting rank 9 lattice $L^{\prime} \subset L$ still has a non-trivial weight overlattice $W^{\prime} \subset W$. This will give rank 9 semisimple gauge groups with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (as there are no other possibilities). Repeating the same procedure gives groups of rank 8 with the same $H$, and so on. There is only one non-simplyconnected gauge group of rank 4 , namely $S U(2)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and there are none for rank $\leq 3$. On the other hand, all of the 29 rank 10 groups can be broken to the rank 4 one. Analogously, $S U(2)^{7} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is the only gauge group of rank 7 with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. There are no groups with that $H$ for rank $\leq 6$ and all of the five rank 10 groups with that fundamental group can be broken to the rank 7 one. In Figure 4.2 we present a graph which encodes the breaking patterns that preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$.


Figure 4.2: Scheme of how deleting nodes in the Dynkin diagrams of maximally enhanced groups with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ leads to gauge groups with lower rank and also with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

### 4.3.4 Results

We collect in Table D. 5 the 61 maximally enhanced groups $G=\tilde{G} / H$ that are realized in the eightdimensional CHL string, and give the point in moduli space where they arise. ADE groups are realized at level 2 of the Kac-Moody algebra, while C groups arise at level 1. Our results for the algebras are in complete agreement with those obtained in [63] from F-theory, which appeared while [3] was being written.

There are 32 simply connected groups. The rest are of the form $\tilde{G} / H$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. The fundamental group $H$ is generated in each case by the elements $k \in Z(\tilde{G})$ shown in Table D.6. Our results are in perfect agreement with those in [20].

Most of the groups shown lie in the subspace of moduli space given by $E_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}(2,1)$, and it can actually be shown that the remaining ones can be mapped to this subspace by applying T-dualities. This is analogous to the situation in the heterotic string on $T^{2}$ with $E_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1)$ encountered on Chapter 3. By performing the necessary T-dualities to realize the enhancement groups at such $E_{i j}$, however, the Wilson lines get much more complicated, and difficult to handle.

## Chapter 5

## Orbifolds and singularity freezing

By means of the asymmetric orbifold construction of [64], theories with 16 supercharges but with gauge symmetry with reduced rank can be obtained. In particular, one finds the so called CHL string component $[17,18]$ in 9 d , studied in the previous chapter, for which the momentum lattice was constructed by Mikhailov in [19]. In 8 d the story is the same, but in 7 d one finds four extra components (six including the Narain and CHL component). These were constructed together with their momentum lattices in [23].

In this chapter we extend this work to the six aforementioned components in 7 d and 6 d . To this end it is necessary to state precisely how the enhanced symmetry groups can be obtained from the momentum lattices, which we do by a natural generalization of the case for the CHL string. We see that the lattice alone is not sufficient to determine the allowed gauge groups, but rather one must impose a constraint in the embeddings characterized by an integer, which comes from the string theory but is ad hoc from the point of view of the lattice (see Proposition 3). Implementing this constraint in our algorithm we obtain a list of maximally enhanced gauge algebras for each component.

On the other hand it is well known that the heterotic string on $T^{3}$ is dual to M-theory on K3. Gauge groups with reduced rank are realized in the later when there are so-called partially frozen singularities on the K3 [23-25]. It is then natural to ask how this mechanism of partial freezing appears in the heterotic string. We study this problem by exploiting relations between the reduced rank momentum lattices and the Narain lattice and find a match with the known results in the M-theory side. General freezing rules involving the topology of the gauge groups are obtained, generalizing the results of [20] for the 8d CHL string.

It turns out that for $d \leq 4$ all the gauge groups of the reduced rank components can be obtained from those of the Narain component by means of a suitable map. At the level of the algebras this has been known for a long time, for $d=2$, in the dual frame of F-theory on K3, where reduced rank algebras are obtained by partially "freezing" the singular fibers [22,56]. This situation extends to $d=3$ using M-theory on K3 [23-25]. In the heterotic string these results can be reproduced by using lattice embedding techniques, and in fact one can also see how the full gauge group is "frozen". For $d=2$ this extension was done in [20], here we generalize these results for $d=3$, by exploiting relations between the reduced rank momentum lattices and the Narain lattice, finding a match with the known results in the M-theory side. As will be shown later, for $d \leq 3$ the map can be naively applied at the algebras of the enhancements found on the toroidal compactification, giving the algebras associated to the reduced rank components. In contrast, in $d=4$ in order to apply it one must know the fundamental group of the gauge group explicitly. This is due to the fact that the lattice which corresponds to the frozen singularity in the heterotic frame is a root lattice for $d=1,2,3$ but the weight lattice of a non-simply-connected group for $d=4$. Most remarkably, however, is the fact that the maps seem to be exactly those which relate the "topologically non-trivial" components of the moduli space of flat
connections of a non-simply-connected gauge group over $T^{2}$ (and not $T^{4}$ ) to the "topologically trivial" component $[55,65]$, although to our awareness an explanation for this is lacking.

As we did before for 9 d and 8 d compactifications, we have carried out an exploration of the possible maximally enhanced gauge groups realized in the six components of the moduli space of heterotic strings with 16 supercharges for 7 d and 6 d , with the results for 7 d being listed in Appendix D. 2 and for 6 d in the corresponding tables at $[27]^{1}$. These results where in turn used to check the freezing maps we derived.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 5.1 we review the construction of rank reduced heterotic theories in nine to seven dimensions, emphasizing the role of outer automorphisms of the gauge lattice in the framework of asymmetric orbifolds. Then in Section 5.2 we state the criteria for gauge groups being realized in the relevant theories in terms of lattice embeddings. In Section 5.3 we explain how the freezing maps for $d=1$ to 4 are constructed for the CHL case, extending them to the holonomy triples of [23] in 7d and 6d in Section 5.4. Finally, the results obtained with the exploration algorithm are presented and discussed in Section 5.5.

### 5.1 Construction of rank reduced theories

In this section we review how rank reduced theories with 16 supercharges are constructed from the heterotic string in nine to seven dimensions. The idea is to get an intuitive understanding of these constructions through the manipulation of Dynkin Diagrams, illustrating the asymmetric orbifold construction with an outer automorphism. This complements the more general (and abstract) treatment in [23]. We go through the CHL string, the $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ heterotic theory compactification without vector structure and the $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples.

### 5.1.1 CHL string

As we detailed in the previous chapter, the CHL string in 9 d can be realized as the HE string compactified on an orbifold of a circle involving the outer automorphism $\theta$ which exchanges both $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ 's and a half-period shift $a$ along the circle [18]. The resulting target space has an holonomy $\theta$ along the compact direction which breaks the gauge group $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ to its diagonal $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. The shift $a$ obstructs the recovery of the broken $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ in the twisted sector and so it ensures that the rank of the total gauge group is reduced.

Since $\theta$ is an outer automorphism of a gauge group, its implementation as an orbifold symmetry naturally leads to a picture of Dynkin Diagram folding. In the case of the CHL string, one "folds one $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ into the other", and finds that the gauge group of the resulting theory is $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ (with an extra $\mathrm{U}(1)$ for arbitrary radius). Turning on a Wilson line does not change this picture since it must break both $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ 's in the same way, and one then just folds one of the broken groups into the other.

Even though the length of a root is not by itself a meaningful concept, it is helpful to think that the nodes that get superposed in folding a diagram correspond to shortened roots. The reason is that this maps naturally to an increase in the level of the associated gauge algebra by a factor equal to the order of the automorphism $\theta$. In this case, the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ at level 1 becomes an $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ at level 2. On the other hand, connected diagrams containing invariant nodes correspond to algebras at level 1.

[^27]The main idea here is that using the symmetry $(a, \theta)$ one constructs a vacuum of the heterotic string with an holonomy that in particular projects out Cartan generator states. Such an holonomy can not be implemented in the theory by merely turning on Wilson lines, as outer automorphisms are not connected to the identity element in the gauge group. However, the set of holonomies that can be obtained by orbifolding the target manifold is larger and includes those of this type. Together with the diagram folding picture, this story generalizes to the other constructions reviewed below.

### 5.1.2 Compactification without vector structure

There is a theory dual to the 8 d CHL string which is obtained from the $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ heterotic string by compactifying it on a $T^{2}$ without vector structure [22]. The basic idea is that the spectrum of the 10d theory does not contain vector representations of $\operatorname{Spin}(32)$, and so one should consider topologies of the gauge bundle which do not admit such representations. An obstruction of this type is measured by a mod two cohomology class $\tilde{w}_{2}$, analogous to the second Stieffel-Whitney class $w_{2}$ which obstructs spin structure.

This compactification is characterized by the fact that the two holonomies $g_{1}, g_{2}$ on the torus commute as elements of $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, but do not commute when lifted to elements of the double cover $\operatorname{Spin}(32)$. In other words, the commutator of these holonomies is lifted to a non-trivial element in $\operatorname{Spin}(32)$ which is identified with the identity upon quotienting by one of the spinor classes in its center. The lifting $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(32)$ is therefore obstructed and no vector representations are allowed.

Two such holonomies can not be put simultaneously on a maximal torus of the gauge group. Similarly to the CHL string, one of them has to be realized by orbifolding the theory. The difference in this case is that the 10 d gauge group $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ does not have any outer automorphism. One can however turn on a Wilson line along one of the compact directions such that from the point of view of the remaining dimensions the gauge group is actually broken to one which does in fact have an outer automorphism. Concretely, we turn on a Wilson line $A=\left(\frac{1}{2}^{8}, 0^{8}\right)$ which breaks $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spin}(16)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. This can be represented diagrammatically as

where the white nodes are simple roots and the black nodes represent the fundamental weight which generates the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ in each case. We see that the RHS corresponds to a group with outer automorphism $\theta$. Orbifolding the theory by this symmetry and a half period shift along the second compact direction we obtain a theory with gauge group $\operatorname{Spin}(16) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{2}$ (for arbitrary values of the torus metric and B-field). We note that the fundamental weight gets projected out by the orbifold symmetry, but the gauge group is $\operatorname{Spin}(16) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, as can be seen by breaking group \#49 in Table D.6.

The commutator of the holonomies chosen is the exponential of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-\theta(A)=\left(\frac{1}{2}^{8}, 0^{8}\right)-\left(0^{8}, \frac{1}{2}^{8}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}^{8},-\frac{1}{2}^{8}\right), \tag{5.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not yield the identity in Spin(32) but rather the element which gets identified with it in
$\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. This corresponds to the discussion above. More generally one can deform this Wilson line by adding vectors symmetric in the first and last eight components, i.e. those of the form $(\delta, \delta)$, as to respect condition (5.1.2). One can also turn on another Wilson line $A^{\prime}$ in the second compact direction such that $\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)=A^{\prime}$, since the product of two holonomies on the same direction should commute. Together with deformations of the metric and the B-field we reach other points in the moduli space, which is equivalent to that of the 8 d CHL string, where the equivalence is given by T-duality [23].

### 5.1.3 Holonomy triples in 7d

The basic idea behind the construction just described can be applied to the heterotic string on a circle and further compactifying two dimensions on a torus. This comes from the fact that there are various 9 d gauge groups analogous to the $10 \mathrm{~d} \operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It is enough to consider the following five:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\mathrm{E}_{7} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)\right)^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}, \quad \frac{\left(\mathrm{E}_{6} \times \mathrm{SU}(3)\right)^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{3}}, \quad \frac{(\mathrm{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{SU}(4))^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{SU}(5)^{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{5}}, \quad \frac{(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(6))^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{6}} \tag{5.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These correspond to breakings of $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ by a Wilson line $A$, so that it is most natural to work in the framework of the HE string. Natural choices for these Wilson lines are, respectively,

$$
A= \begin{cases}\left(0^{6},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0^{6}\right) & \left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)  \tag{5.1.4}\\ \left(0^{5},-\frac{1}{3}^{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \times\left(-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}^{2}, 0^{5}\right) & \left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \\ \left(0^{4},-\frac{1^{3}}{}\right. & \left., \frac{3}{4}\right) \times\left(-\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}^{3}, 0^{4}\right) \\ \left(0^{3},-\frac{1}{5}^{4}, \frac{4}{5}\right) \times\left(-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{5}^{4}, 0^{3}\right) & \left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) \\ \left(0^{2},-\frac{1}{6}^{5}, \frac{5}{6}\right) \times\left(-\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{6}^{5}, 0^{2}\right) & \left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ 's correspond not only to the fundamental group of each broken gauge group but also to the cyclic group generated by the outer automorphism $\theta$ to be implemented. The name ' $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triple' refers to this group together with the three holonomies consisting of (5.1.4) and the pair analogous to the one discussed in the previous section, which we now discuss.

### 5.1.3.1 $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple

Consider first the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple. From the point of view of the $T^{2}$ on which the 9 d theory is compactified, the gauge group is $\left(\mathrm{E}_{7} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)\right)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which indeed has an order two outer automorphism, exchanging the $\mathrm{E}_{7} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ factors. However, using this symmetry to orbifold the theory just gives us the CHL string, as discussed in Section 5.1.1. Consider instead turning on a Wilson line $A^{\prime}$ on one of the $T^{2}$ directions $\left(x^{1}\right)$, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\left(0^{5},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \times\left(0,-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0^{5}\right) \tag{5.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the effect of further breaking the gauge group to $\left(\mathrm{E}_{6} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{2}\right)^{2}$. From the point of view of the other $T^{2}$ direction $\left(x^{2}\right)$, the gauge group has then an order 2 outer automorphism corresponding to the symmetry of each $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ diagram. To get a consistent theory (meaning that the partition function is modular invariant), however, we have to take into account how the orbifold symmetry acts on the 16 internal directions and not only the 12 corresponding to the $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ 's. Fortunately, it is not hard to find such a consistent automorphism. One just has to take the one corresponding to the symmetry of the
affine diagram of the original gauge algebra $2 \mathrm{E}_{7}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ :


It can then be shown that, together with an order $2 \operatorname{shift}$ in $x^{2}$, one obtains a consistent theory with an holonomy that breaks 8 Cartan generators, and the gauge group is $F_{4} \times F_{4}$ at level 1 times $U(1)^{3}$, for arbitrary metric and B-field. The former is due to the automorphism having an associated projector $P_{\theta}=1+\theta$ of rank 8. The later comes from the fact that each $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ folds into an $\mathrm{F}_{4}$, where two nodes are left invariant (cf. discussion in Section 5.1.1). As in the previous construction, we can represent this breaking diagrammatically:


Let us now consider the commutator of the holonomies along the $T^{2}$. We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime}=\left(0^{5}, 1,-1,0\right) \times\left(0,1,-1,0^{5}\right), \tag{5.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the fundamental weight represented as a black node in the above diagram. Its exponential is a non-trivial element of $\left(\mathrm{E}_{7} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^{2}\right)$ which gets identified with the identity in the quotient $\left(\mathrm{E}_{7} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{SU}(2)^{2}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, mirroring the situation in the compactification without vector structure as expected. One may also deform the Wilson lines along all directions by adding vectors invariant under $\theta$. This restriction reduces the degrees of freedom of the theory with respect to the Narain moduli space in the appropriate way.

Finally we note that here we have obtained a particular gauge group, $\mathrm{F}_{4} \times \mathrm{F}_{4} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{3}$, out of the many possibilities that exist in the moduli space of the theory. The general construction carried out in [23] leads to a momentum lattice analogous to the Narain lattice, with which we may systematically explore this moduli space (as we discuss in next section). In this case, the momentum lattice is just the Mikhailov lattice in 7d and the theory is equivalent to the 7d CHL string. We emphasize that the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple does not involve the exchange of the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ 's (or subgroups thereof), and so strictly speaking it does not correspond to the CHL string. Indeed, one can construct the CHL string but not the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple in 9 d . When they exist, they are equivalent by T-duality.

### 5.1.3.2 $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple

Starting in the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple we find genuinely new rank-reduced moduli space components with respect to the 8 d case. Here the gauge group from the point of view of the $T^{2}$ is $\left(\mathrm{E}_{6} \times \operatorname{SU}(3)\right)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. We turn on a Wilson line along $x^{1}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\left(0^{4},-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\right) \times\left(0,-\frac{1}{3},-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0^{4}\right) . \tag{5.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This breaks the gauge group to $\left(\mathrm{SO}(8) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{4}\right)^{2}$. To get the rank 3 automorphism we again consider the symmetry of the affine diagram of the original group:


This descends to the triality of each $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ and folds them into $\mathrm{G}_{2} \times \mathrm{G}_{2}$ at level 1 . The projector $P_{\theta}=1+\theta+\theta^{2}$ is of rank 4 , eliminating 12 Cartan generators, and so the resulting gauge group is $\mathrm{G}_{2} \times \mathrm{G}_{2} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{3}$ for arbitrary metric and B-field. Again, the orbifold includes an order 3 shift in $x^{2}$. The corresponding breaking diagram is


The commutator of $A^{\prime}$ and $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime}=\left(0^{4}, 1,-1,0^{2}\right) \times\left(0^{2}, 1,-1,0^{4}\right), \tag{5.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the weight represented by the black node in the diagram above, and the story is the same as before for the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple. In this case one can deform the three Wilson lines with four degrees of freedom each, which is the rank of the projector $P_{\theta}$. Together with the nine degrees of freedom coming from the metric and B-field, the dimension of the moduli space is 21 , and its local geometry is given by the coset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SO}(7,3, \mathbb{R}) /(\mathrm{SO}(7, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})) \tag{5.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [23] it was proposed that the global structure is given by the automorphism group of the momentum lattice of the theory, which was determined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{3}=\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \tag{5.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

extending the results for the first two components of the moduli space where the Narain and the Mikhailov lattice respectively play this role.

### 5.1.3.3 $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple

For the $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple we start with the 9 d gauge group ( $\left.\operatorname{Spin}(10) \times \mathrm{SU}(4)\right)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and turn on the Wilson line

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\frac{1}{8}(1,-1,-1,-3,3,1,-1,5) \times(-5,1,-1,-3,3,1,1,-1) \text {, } \tag{5.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which breaks it to $\mathrm{SU}(3)^{2} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{12}$. The affine diagram of the original group has an order 4 symmetry:




The surviving $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ 's under the action of $A^{\prime}$ correspond to the innermost nodes of the affine $\operatorname{Spin}(10)$ 's, and they get identified under $\theta$ into $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ at level 1 . The rank of the projector $P_{\theta}=$ $1+\theta+\theta^{2}+\theta^{3}$ is 2 , and so 14 Cartan generators are eliminated. There is again an order 4 shift in $x^{2}$ in the orbifold symmetry, and we get the gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{3}$ for generic metric and B-field. The breaking diagram is


We remark that the roots obtained after the folding have norm 8, this being the reason that the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ 's are at level 1. This can be understood by noting that the affine diagram for $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ gets folded into a pair of linked nodes with norms 2 and 8 , respectively. Four nodes collapse into one corresponding to a root with norm smaller by a factor of 4 , while two linked nodes fold into one with invariant length. Upon scaling, the shorter root that gets broken is of norm 2 , while the remaining has norm 8 .

We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime}=\left(0^{3}, 1,0^{3},-1\right) \times\left(1,0^{3},-1,0^{3}\right) \tag{5.1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the weight in the LHS of the diagram above modulo a translation in the $A_{3}$ sublattices. The moduli space is of dimension 15 , locally of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SO}(5,3, \mathbb{R}) /(\mathrm{SO}(5, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})) \tag{5.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the momentum lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{4}=\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1} \tag{5.1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1.3.4 $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triples

For the $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple we use Wilson line

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\frac{1}{5}(0,-1,-2,3,2,1,0,-1) \times(1,0,-1,-2,-3,2,1,0) \tag{5.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which breaks $\mathrm{SU}(5)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{U}(1)^{16}$. The automorphism $\theta$ corresponds to the symmetry

and has projector $P_{\theta}=0$. The rank of the gauge group is reduced by a factor of 16 and only the Cartans coming from the $T^{3}$ compactification are present. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime}=\left(0^{2}, 1,-1,0^{4}\right) \times\left(0^{4}, 1,-1,0^{2}\right), \tag{5.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the weight associated to the $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ quotient. The moduli space has dimension 9 and is locally of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SO}(3,3, \mathbb{R}) /(\mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})) \tag{5.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the momentum lattice is just $\Gamma_{3,3}$.
The story for the $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triple is basically the same, the only differences being that the Wilson line used is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=\frac{1}{12}(1,-5,7,5,3,1,-1,-3) \times(3,1,-1,-3,-5,-7,5,-1), \tag{5.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

the automorphism $\theta$ corresponds to the symmetry of the affine $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(6))^{2}$ diagram,

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(A^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime}=\left(0,1,-1,0^{5}\right) \times\left(0^{5}, 1,-1,0^{1}\right) . \tag{5.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the previous case there are no Wilson line degrees of freedom, and the local and global data for the moduli space are the same. One should note however that the groups which are realized at level 5 in the $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple are realized in this case at level 6 . Indeed, this information is not contained implicitly in the momentum lattice.

### 5.2 Momentum lattices

Here we explain the basic machinery of how gauge symmetry groups can be obtained from the momentum lattices corresponding to certain heterotic string compactifications with 16 supercharges. These include the Narain lattice for $T^{n}$ compactifications and the Mikhailov lattice for the CHL string (which we will revisit as they were already described in Sections 3.2.1 and 4.3.3) and the four extra momentum lattices for components with further rank reduction obtained in [23].

### 5.2.1 The Narain construction

It was shown in [7] that the perturbative spectrum of the heterotic string on $T^{d}$ can be put in correspondence with an even self-dual Lorentzian lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ of signature ( $-{ }^{d},+{ }^{d+16}$ ). This lattice is spanned by vectors $\left(p_{R} ; p_{L}, P\right)$, where $P$ is the left gauge lattice momentum and $p_{L, R}$ are the right and left internal space momenta.

The only massless states in the spectrum have $p_{R}=0$, and those which realize the adjoint representation of the gauge algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ also have $P^{2}+p_{L}^{2}=2$. They correspond therefore to a set of length $\sqrt{2}$ vectors in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ spanning a positive definite sublattice $L$, which is just the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. The question of what gauge algebras can be realized in the theory is then equivalent to the question of what root lattices $L$ can be embedded in the Narain lattice. Note that this embedding
has to be such that the intersection of the real span of $L$ with $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ does not contain a larger root lattice $L^{\prime}$, since this would leave out extra states that do form part of the massless spectrum. We can be more precise about the relation between gauge symmetries and lattice embeddings and in the way gain more information. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, relaxing the condition $P^{2}+p_{L}^{2}=2$ while keeping $p_{R}=0$ defines an overlattice $M \supseteq L$ corresponding to the weight lattice of the global gauge group $G$. In this case, $M$ is such that the intersection of its real span with $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ is $M$ itself, i.e. it is primitively embedded in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. The full statement regarding the possibility of some gauge group $G$ being realized in the heterotic string on $T^{d}$ is as follows:

Proposition 1. Let $G=\tilde{G} / H$ be some semisimple group of rank $r \leq 16+d$, where $\tilde{G}$ and $H$ are respectively the universal cover and the fundamental group. $G \times U(1)^{16+d-r}$ is realized in the heterotic string on $T^{d}$ as a gauge symmetry group if and only if its weight lattice $M$ admits a primitive embedding in the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ such that the vectors in $M$ of length $\sqrt{2}$ are roots.

At the end of the day, the classification of the possible gauge groups that can be obtained in the heterotic string on $T^{d}$ turns out to be a (conceptually) simple problem of lattice embeddings. As explained previously, using the exploration algorithm described in 4.3.1, we have collected a set of points of maximal enhancement characterized by their root lattices $L$, i.e. their gauge algebras $\mathfrak{g}$. For each point we compute the weight lattice $M$ and from it the generators of the fundamental group $H$, using the methods described in 4.3.3. The results are presented in Section 5.5.

### 5.2.2 The CHL string and Mikhailov lattice

The analog of the Narain lattice for this theory was constructed by Mikhailov in [19] and can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{(d)}=\Gamma_{d-1, d-1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}, \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the (2) indicates that $\Gamma_{d-1, d-1}$ is scaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. Depending on the dimension $d$, this lattice may be rewritten in different ways using lattice isomorphisms. For $d=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2,2}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \simeq \Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4} \simeq \Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{4} \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used the root lattice isomorphism $\mathrm{D}_{4} \simeq \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ (the corresponding root systems are of course not isomorphic) to reflect the fact that the 'canonical' point in the theory has gauge algebra $2 \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ and not $2 \mathrm{D}_{4}$, as shown in Section 5.1.3.1.

The relation between lattice embeddings and realizability of gauge groups in the CHL string is more complicated than for the usual heterotic string on tori. In the latter, the roots of the gauge algebra correspond to the length $\sqrt{2}$ vectors in some positive definite lattice $\Lambda$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. In the CHL string the mass formulas are such that it is also possible for some but not all vectors of length 2 to give roots. In order for such a vector $v$ to correspond to a root, it must satisfy the condition that its inner product with all other vectors in the whole Mikhailov lattice is even [19]. In this case we say that $v$ is a level 2 vector (not to be confused with the level of the Kac-Moody algebra for the gauge group). More generally, a vector $v$ in a lattice $\Lambda$ is said to be at embedding level $\ell$ if the product of $v$ with every vector in $\Lambda$ is divisible by $\ell$.

On the other hand, the statement that the global structure of the gauge group is given by the
primitively weight overlattice $M$ does not generalize to the case where the momentum lattice is not self-dual and the gauge algebras are not of ADE type. The problem of obtaining this global data was studied in detail in [20]. It was shown in particular that the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(G)$ of the gauge group $G$ is given by the quotient of the cocharacter lattice $M^{\vee}$ and the coroot lattice $L^{\vee}$ where the later is embedded in the dual momentum lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}$ and the former is the corresponding overlattice which is primitively embedded in $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}$.

As explained in Section 4.3.3.2, one strategy to obtain all the possible gauge groups in the theory is to apply the exploration algorithm described in 4.3 .1 to the dual lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}$ (which usually has to be rescaled to be made even) and compute the lattices $L$ and $M$ the same way as for the Narain lattice, but dualizing the algebra $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ at the end. It can be shown that the embedding level condition for vectors to be roots is the same as for the original lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}$. This was the method employed on Chapter 4 to obtain the list of gauge groups for the CHL string in 8d.

Having dealt with this subtlety, a statement generalizing proposition 1 for the usual heterotic string to the CHL string on $T^{d}$ can be made as follows:

Proposition 2. Let $G=\tilde{G} / H$ be some semisimple group of rank $r \leq d+8$, where $\tilde{G}$ and $H$ are respectively the universal cover and the fundamental group. $G \times U(1)^{d+8-r}$ is realized in the $C H L$ string on $T^{d}$ as a gauge symmetry group if and only if the weight lattice $M^{\vee}$ of the dual group $G^{\vee}$ admits a primitive embedding in the dual Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$ such that the vectors in $M^{\vee}$ of length $\sqrt{2 \ell}$ at embedding level $\ell=1,2$ in $\Gamma_{(d)}^{*}(2)$ belong to $L^{\vee}$.

We see that the embedding level $\ell$ plays an important role in the theory, allowing to treat the problem of finding the possible gauge groups without reference to the string theory itself, as in the case of the original heterotic string.

Finally let us recall that the simple factors in $G$ have associated Kac-Moody algebras at level $\mathfrak{m}=1,2$ where $4 / \mathfrak{m}$ is the squared length of the corresponding longest root. For $d=2$ there are only ADE groups at level 2 and symplectic groups at level 1 (including $\operatorname{Sp}(1)=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ ). For $d \geq 3$ there are more interesting possibilities including $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ at level 1.

### 5.2.3 Momentum lattices from Triples

Let us now turn to the $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples reviewed in Section 5.1.3. The respective momentum lattices in 7 d are given in Table 5.1, where we also show the rank reduction of the respective gauge groups. Here again we have chosen to write the lattices in terms of the canonical point groups using the lattice isomorphisms $\mathrm{D}_{4} \simeq \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2} \simeq \mathrm{G}_{2}$. We also record the frozen singularity for each lattice $\Lambda_{m}$, which in this context corresponds to the orthogonal complement of the embedding $\Lambda_{m} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}$. This point is discussed in more detail in the next section.

It is natural to ask whether we can extend propositions 1 and 2 to these lattices. An obvious ansatz is the following:

| $m$ | $\Lambda_{m}$ | $\Omega$ | $r_{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\emptyset$ | 0 |
| 2 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 8 |
| 3 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{G}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 12 |
| 4 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{7} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 14 |
| 5 | $\Gamma_{3,3}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 16 |
| 6 | $\Gamma_{3,3}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 16 |

Table 5.1: Momentum lattices $\Lambda_{m}$ for the moduli spaces of 7 d heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples. The gauge group rank for $m=1$ is 19 , which is just the Narain component. The case $m=2$ is dual to but not the same as the CHL component [23]. $\Omega$ is the frozen singularity corresponding to the orthogonal complement of $\Lambda_{m} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}$.

Proposition 3. Let $G=\tilde{G} / H$ be some semisimple group of rank $r \leq r_{m}$, where $\tilde{G}$ and $H$ are respectively the universal cover and the fundamental group, and $r_{m}=19,11,7,5,3,3$ respectively for $m=1, \ldots, 6 . G \times U(1)^{19-r_{m}}$ is realized in the $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triple as a gauge symmetry group if and only if the weight lattice $M^{\vee}$ of the dual group $G^{\vee}$ admits a primitive embedding in the dual momentum lattice $\Lambda_{m}^{*}(m)$ such that the vectors in $M^{\vee}$ of length $\sqrt{2 \ell}$ at embedding level $\ell=1, m$ in $\Lambda_{m}^{*}(m)$ belong to $L^{\vee}$. Simple factors are realized at level $\mathfrak{m}=2 m / \alpha_{\text {long }}^{2}$, where $\alpha_{\text {long }}$ is a long root in $L \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{m}$.

The key ingredient is that the vectors of length $\sqrt{2 m}$ at embedding level $m$ correspond to massless states and give e.g. long roots for non-ADE gauge groups. This can in fact be explicitly proved in the particular construction used in [23] to obtain the momentum lattices. This roughly corresponds to the fact that in this construction there is a rescaling by a factor of $\sqrt{m}$ involved, such that the product of long roots, coming from invariant states in the parent theory of the orbifold, with all other vectors is scaled by a factor of $m$. We will however confirm this for the general case by showing in Section 5.4.1 that assuming this ansatz one can reproduce the mechanism of singularity freezing in the dual M-theory on K3 from the heterotic side.

An extension of the exploration algorithm used for the CHL string to these lattices is straightforward and produces the results presented in Section 5.5.2. In Sections 5.3 and 5.4 we will see that these can be reproduced by applying an appropriate projection map to the Narain component.

It was already noted by Mikhailov in [19] that the momentum lattice for the CHL string is primitively embedded in the Narain lattice such that its orthogonal complement corresponds to the frozen singularity on the dual $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{M}$-theories on K 3 (for $d=2,3$, respectively). This observation was extended in [23] to the $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples in 7 d . On sections 5.3 and 5.4 we make use of it together with Proposition 3 to determine precisely how the ADE singularities are partially frozen in 7 d (usually to give non- ADE algebras) and recover the known "freezing rules" on the K3 side. We will also derive analogous rules in 6 d . As we will see in Section 5.4.2, Proposition 3 has to be generalized for 6 d by permitting the embedding level to be any divisor of $m$. This makes a difference only in the non-prime cases $m=4$ and $m=6$.

### 5.3 Mapping gauge groups from Narain to CHL

In this section we explain the general method for determining the map which connects the Narain component with the CHL component and explicitly derive it for $d=1,2,3,4$. The case $d=2$ was
first obtained in [20] and the case $d=3$ in [4]. Extensions to other rank reduced components are considered in Section 5.4.

### 5.3.1 Setup and basic facts

In order to determine the map which applies to the gauge groups of the Narain component of the moduli space to give those of the rank reduced components we have to relate the way in which these are obtained in each case from the corresponding momentum lattices. We will illustrate this procedure using the CHL string, and so the focus is on the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{N}$ and the Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{M}$, which can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{d, d} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8},  \tag{5.3.1}\\
& \Gamma_{M} \simeq \Gamma_{d-1, d-1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{d, d} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \Gamma_{1,1}$ is the unique even self-dual lattice with signature ( $+^{d},-^{d}$ ). The symbol (2) denotes a rescaling of the lattice by $\sqrt{2}$, hence a rescaling of the Gram matrix by 2. The lattice $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is just the lattice generated by the roots of the algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{8}$, but for the latter, as well as for the groups, we will use the symbol $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ when there is no risk of ambiguity. The same applies for any other root lattice of A-to-G type. We convene in taking the momentum lattices to have signature with mostly pluses, unless stated otherwise.

For the Narain component of the moduli space one obtains all the possible gauge algebras by finding embeddings of root lattices $L$ into $\Gamma_{N}$ such that the intersection of $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with $\Gamma_{N}$ is an overlattice $M \hookleftarrow L$ whose maximal root sublattice is $L$ itself. Here we mean by overlattice any lattice of the same rank containing the lattice in question. Intersections of real slices such as $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ with $\Gamma_{N}$ give lattices which are said to be primitively embedded, in this case in $\Gamma_{N}$, hence the embedding $M \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ is primitive but $L \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ is not unless $M=L$. By roots we mean vectors $v \in \Gamma_{N}$ with norm $v \cdot v=2$, since these are the ones associated to root states in the adjoint representation of the gauge algebra.

This discussion extends to the CHL component of the moduli space, with the only difference being that roots are not only vectors with norm 2 but also vectors $v$ with norm 4 satisfying the condition $v \cdot u=0 \bmod 2$ for all vectors $u \in \Gamma_{M}[19]$. This last condition is equivalent to the statement that the coroot $v^{\vee}=\frac{1}{2} v$ is in the dual lattice $\Gamma_{M}^{*}$, which is the language used in [20]. Note that $v^{\vee} \cdot v^{\vee}=1$, hence this condition cannot be satisfied by any vector in the Narain lattice which is even and self-dual. The same applies to $\Gamma_{M}$ when $d=1$. For $d \geq 2$, however, $\Gamma_{M}$ is not self dual and $\Gamma_{M}^{*}$ indeed contains vectors with norm 1. The appearance of non-simply-laced algebras seems therefore to be intimately connected with the non-self-duality of the momentum lattice for the moduli space component in question.

These facts allow to obtain the possible gauge algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ in these moduli space components, but we are also interested in the full gauge groups $G$. For this we need to compute the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(G)$, which we denote by $H$. If $\tilde{G}$ is the universal cover of $G$, then $G=\tilde{G} / H$. In the Narain component it suffices to compute the lattice quotient $M / L$, which gives a finite Abelian group isomorphic to $H$ due to the self-duality of $\Gamma_{N}$, as discussed in the previous chapters. For example, if $M=L$, then $G$ is simply-connected. For the CHL component one must do a more precise analysis [20], but the upshot is that $H$ is given by the quotient $M^{\vee} / L^{\vee}$, where $L^{\vee}$ is the coroot lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ embedded in the dual lattice $\Gamma_{M}^{*}$, and $M^{\vee}$ its overlattice which embeds primitively into $\Gamma_{M}^{*}$. Clearly, this is a
generalization of the computation for $\Gamma_{N}$. In both cases $H$ is a subgroup of the center $Z(G)$, specified by a set of elements $k_{i} \in Z(G)$.

### 5.3.2 Construction of the map in $d=1,2,3$

To relate the Narain and the CHL constructions just outlined we require some additional facts. For $d=1,2,3,4, \Gamma_{M}$ can be written respectively as [19]

$$
\Gamma_{M} \simeq \Gamma_{d, d} \oplus \Omega, \quad \Omega=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{E}_{8} & d=1  \tag{5.3.2}\\
\mathrm{D}_{8} & d=2 \\
\mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4} & d=3 \\
\mathrm{D}_{8}^{*}(2) & d=4
\end{array},\right.
$$

to which we restrict our attention in the following. In each case there is an embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{M} \oplus \Omega \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}, \tag{5.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{M} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ and $\Omega \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ are primitive. Furthermore, the primitive embedding of $\Omega$ into $\Gamma_{N}$ is unique (up to automorphisms of $\Gamma_{N}$ ), so that by constructing any such embedding one may take its orthogonal complement which by necessity is just $\Gamma_{M}$. As we will review, $\Omega$ can be interpreted as the K3 frozen singularity (or singularities) in the dual geometric frame both for 8 d and 7 d , and so we will refer to it as the frozen sublattice in the heterotic string context. We also use the terms mapping (from Narain to reduced rank) and freezing interchangeably.

Consider now a lattice ${ }^{2} M^{\prime}$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{M}$, with root sublattice $L^{\prime}$. It follows from (5.3.3) that there is an embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \oplus \Omega \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N} \tag{5.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $M^{\prime}$ (but not necessarily $M^{\prime} \oplus \Omega$ ) primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{N}$. The intersection $\left(M^{\prime} \oplus \Omega\right) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cap \Gamma_{N}$ gives a lattice $M$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{N}$, with root sublattice $L$. This gives a priori a map $\varphi$ from a gauge algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text {CHL }}$ in CHL moduli space to another $\mathfrak{g}_{\text {Narain }}$ in Narain moduli space, but since we are dealing with the full embedding data for each lattice, we can also obtain the fundamental group of the gauge group and promote this map to one at the level of groups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \quad G_{\mathrm{CHL}} \mapsto G_{\text {Narain }} . \tag{5.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider conversely a lattice $M$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{N}$, with root sublattice $L$, such that $\Omega$ is in turn primitively embedded into $M$ (note that primitivity in this case is guaranteed by the fact that $\Omega \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ is primitive). It follows that $M$ has a sublattice of the form $M^{\prime} \oplus \Omega$, where both $M^{\prime}$ and $\Omega$ are primitively embedded into $M$. Since the orthogonal complement of $\Omega$ in $\Gamma_{N}$ is just $\Gamma_{M}$, it follows that $M^{\prime}$ is primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{M}$, and defines a gauge group $G_{\mathrm{CHL}}$. This gives a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{-1}: \quad G_{\text {Narain }} \mapsto G_{\mathrm{CHL}} \tag{5.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note however that the embedding $\Omega \hookrightarrow M$ is not necessarily unique so that this map is generically

[^28]one-to-many. As we will see, the form of this map has markedly different qualitative features depending on the value of $d$. In the following we study explicitly the cases $d=1,2,3,4$.

### 5.3.2.1 $d=1$

For $d=1$, we have that $\Gamma_{M} \simeq \Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ and $\Omega=\mathrm{E}_{8}$ are even self-dual. Therefore, eq. (5.3.3) can be replaced by a stronger statement (cf. eq. (5.3.1)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{M} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}, \quad d=1 . \tag{5.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the lattice $M^{\prime}$ that we consider is a root lattice $L^{\prime}$, since in nine dimensions all gauge groups are simply-connected (as shown in Chapter 4). Therefore we have an embedding $L^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$. This embedding is primitive, since $L^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{M}$ is primitive and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is unimodular, so there does not exist an even overlattice of $L^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ in $\Gamma_{N}$. Moreover, $L^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ is a root lattice corresponding to a simply-connected gauge group in Narain moduli space. We see therefore that to every gauge group $G_{\text {CHL }}$ in the CHL component we can associate another group $G_{\text {Narain }}$ in the Narain component by some map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \quad G_{\mathrm{CHL}} \mapsto G_{\text {Narain }}=G_{\mathrm{CHL}} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}, \quad d=1 \tag{5.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, consider some root lattice of the form $L^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ in $\Gamma_{N}$. Similarly to the CHL component, all of the associated groups are simply-connected. Since the primitive embedding of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ into $\Gamma_{N}$ is unique, it follows that $L^{\prime}$ is primitively embedded into $\mathrm{E}_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\simeq} \Gamma_{M}$. This means that any gauge group of the form $G \times \mathrm{E}_{8}$ in the Narain component necessarily has $G=G_{\mathrm{CHL}}$ some group in the CHL component. At the end of the day, the result is that by taking all gauge groups in the Narain component which contain an $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ factor and deleting it one obtains all of the gauge groups in the CHL component. If there are two $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ factors, they are equivalent by an automorphism of $\Gamma_{N}$, so that there is no ambiguity in deleting one or the other.

This same result can be obtained in a more concrete way by considering the GDDs for the lattices $\Gamma_{N}$ and $\Gamma_{M}$, shown in Figure 5.1. Gauge algebras in the Narain moduli space can be obtained by deleting two or more nodes of the diagram such that the result is the Dynkin diagram for an ADE root lattice. The same applies to the CHL component, but the minimum number of nodes one can delete is one. As we can see, deleting the node $0^{\prime}$ in the GDD for $\Gamma_{N}$ gives the GDD for $\Gamma_{M}$ accompanied by an $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ Dynkin diagram, from which it follows that the gauge algebras that can be obtained in each moduli space component are related as deduced above. As commented, all of the relevant groups are simply-connected.


Figure 5.1: Generalized Dynkin Diagrams for the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{1,17}$ and the Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{M} \simeq \Gamma_{9,1}$ in nine dimension.

### 5.3.2.2 $d=2$

The map from the Narain to the CHL components of the moduli space for $d=2$ was obtained at the level of the full gauge groups in [20] using more group-theoretical language, and proven explicitly by projecting the cocharacter lattice, which determines the topology, from $\Gamma_{N}$ to $\Gamma_{M}$. Here we briefly explain how it can be obtained in the framework of this chapter.

In eight dimensions we have $\Gamma_{M} \simeq \Gamma_{2,2} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{8}$ and $\Omega=\mathrm{D}_{8}$. We will consider a lattice $M$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{N}$, which is the overlattice of a root lattice $L$, containing in turn a primitively embedded $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ lattice. This condition restricts $L$ to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \simeq \mathrm{D}_{8+n} \oplus N \tag{5.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is some non-negative integer and $N$ is some other ADE lattice. The orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ in $L$ is of the form $\mathrm{D}_{n} \oplus N$, and has an overlattice $M^{\prime}$ primitively embedded into $\Gamma_{M}$.

The question is if $\mathrm{D}_{n} \oplus N$ is the maximal root sublattice of $M^{\prime}$, according to the definition of roots in the CHL moduli space. This can indeed be verified for all points of symmetry enhancement. The subtlety here is that as lattices, $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ are equivalent. The actual contribution to the gauge algebra depends on which vectors correspond to massless states, and we find that in this case it is actually $\mathfrak{s p}_{n}$ and not $\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n}$. We therefore write $L=\mathrm{C}_{n} \oplus N$. We have then a simple rule for mapping gauge algebras from the Narain component to the CHL component of the moduli space. Just take any gauge algebra with a $\mathrm{D}_{8+n}$ factor and replace it with $\mathrm{C}_{n}$. Since it is possible to have gauge algebras with terms $\mathrm{D}_{8+n} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{8+m}$, with $m \neq n$, this map is generically one-to-many. We recover the freezing rule for F -theory on K 3 in the reverse. Indeed, applying these rules to all the possible gauge algebras in the Narain component gives those in the CHL component (Table D.5).

To promote this map to one at the level of groups we compute the fundamental group of the gauge group associated to the embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{N}$ and $L \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{M}$ using the lattice methods outlined above, and then see how they are related. We explain how this works by considering a gauge group, obtained from the Narain lattice, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\tilde{G} / H=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{s} \times \operatorname{Spin}(2 n+16) / H \tag{5.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is generated by an element $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}, \hat{k}\right)$ of the center $Z(\tilde{G})$. The corresponding group in the CHL string will be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{s} \times \operatorname{Sp}(n) / H^{\prime} \tag{5.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H^{\prime}$ generated by the element $k^{\prime}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}, \hat{k}^{\prime}\right)$ of the center $Z\left(\tilde{G}^{\prime}\right)$. As can be expected, only the contribution of the partially frozen factor will change. Indeed the center of $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+16)$ and that of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ are different. For $n$ odd, we have $\hat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\hat{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and the projection reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k} \rightarrow \hat{k}^{\prime}=\hat{k} \quad \bmod 2 \quad(\{0,1,2,3\} \rightarrow\{0,1,0,1\}), \quad n=\operatorname{odd} \tag{5.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n$ even, we have $\hat{k} \equiv\left(\hat{k}^{(1)}, \hat{k}^{(2)}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and again $\hat{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and the projection reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k} \rightarrow \hat{k}^{\prime}=\hat{k}^{(1)}+\hat{k}^{(2)} \quad \bmod 2 \quad(\{0, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{v}\} \rightarrow\{0,1,1,0\}), \quad n=\text { even } \tag{5.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{0, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{v}\} \equiv\{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}$.

As a simple example, consider the gauge group $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \times \operatorname{SU}(2)^{2}$, whose fundamental group is generated by only one element $k=(\mathrm{s}, 0,0)$. Using the rules above, the associated gauge group in the CHL component is $\frac{\operatorname{Sp}(8)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^{2}$ with $k=(1,0,0)$. If we had the gauge group $\mathrm{SO}(32) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^{2}$ with $k=(\mathrm{v}, 0,0)$, it would map to the simply-connected $\mathrm{Sp}(8) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^{2}$. However, $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ factors are not present in the theory so that this last example does not arise. It's interesting to note that $\mathrm{SO}(2 n)$ would map to the same gauge group as $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$, making the mapping generically many-to-many and not one-to-many.

Note also that the fundamental group of any two groups connected by this mapping are isomorphic. This is in accordance with the fact that the topology of the gauge groups in the dual frame of F-theory on elliptically fibered K3 is given by the torsional part of the Mordell-Weil group [66,67] which can be obtained from the Weierstrass model for the fibration (see e.g. [68]), as the mechanism of singularity freezing does not alter the Weierstrass model itself [56].

### 5.3.2.3 $\quad d=3$

In seven dimensions we have $\Omega=\mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4}$. Each $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ factor can be contained in algebras of $\mathrm{D}_{4+n}$ type, in which case the analysis for $d=2$ goes through, including the way in which the contribution of these factors to the fundamental group transform. The difference now is that we have two such factors transforming simultaneously, e.g. $\mathrm{D}_{n+4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{m+4} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{n} \oplus \mathrm{C}_{m}$. This is not the only possibility, however.

It is also possible for $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ to be primitively embedded into $\mathrm{E}_{6}, \mathrm{E}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. Taking the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ in each case we obtain the lattices $\mathrm{A}_{2}(2), 3 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \simeq \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4} \simeq \mathrm{~F}_{4}$, respectively. Similarly to the previous case, we can look at the points of symmetry enhancement in the CHL component and determine that the contributions to the algebra are respectively $\mathfrak{s u}_{3}, \mathfrak{s o}_{7}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{4}$, hence the use of these lattice isomorphisms. With respect to the gauge group's topology, we have that $Z(\mathrm{SU}(3)) \simeq Z\left(\mathrm{E}_{6}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{3}, Z(\operatorname{Spin}(7)) \simeq Z\left(\mathrm{E}_{7}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $Z\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \simeq Z\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right) \simeq\{0\}$, and that the contributions of these factors to the $\left\{k_{i}\right\}$ remain invariant. This means that as for $d=2$, the fundamental group of two gauge groups related by the mapping are isomorphic. As in the previous case, this coincides at the algebra level with results on the dual geometrical frame's mechanism of singularity freezing [23-25], in this case M-theory on K 3 with two $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ frozen singularities. We are not aware of how the fundamental group of the gauge group is encoded in the M-theory compactification, but it should in any case be invariant under singularity freezing.

### 5.3.3 Algebra projection

In the previous constructions we have seen that the root system of the CHL gauge algebra corresponds to a subset of the orthogonal complement lattice of $\Omega$ in the root lattice $L^{\prime}$. This algebra is determined precisely by checking each case algorithmically and the result is seen to correspond to a simple general rule. Now we give a procedure whose result predicts this algebra directly, mapping the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text {Narain }}$ to those of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text {ChL }}$. This procedure gives the correct results for $d=1,2,3,4$. We will illustrate it case by case starting with $d=2$, which exhibits the non-trivial features that generalize to larger $d$.

### 5.3.3.1 $\quad d=2$

We start by considering a primitive embedding of $\Omega=\mathrm{D}_{8}$ into $\Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{2,2} \oplus \Gamma_{16}$, where $\Gamma_{16}$ is the weight lattice of $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$. This description makes calculations easier because $D_{8}$ embeds primitively
(a)
(b)



Figure 5.2: (a) Primitive embedding of $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ in $\Gamma_{2,18}$ with simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ extended to $\mathrm{D}_{8+n}$ by $\beta_{j}$ (see eqs. (5.3.14) and (5.3.15)). (b) Projection of the roots $\beta_{j}$ to the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ gives a $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ lattice and associated $\mathfrak{s p}_{n}$ algebra in the CHL component.
into $\Gamma_{16}$ but not into $\mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$. A particularly simple embedding is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{i-1}, 1,-1,0^{15-i}\right\rangle, i=1, \ldots, 7, \\
& \alpha_{8}=\left|0,0,0,0 ;-1,-1,0^{14}\right\rangle, \tag{5.3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first four entries correspond to the $\Gamma_{2,2}$ part and the other 16 to $\Gamma_{16}$. Suppose the associated gauge algebra is enhanced to $\mathrm{D}_{8+n}$ by adding $n$ simple $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ roots forming an $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ chain, with $\beta_{1} \cdot \alpha_{7}=-1$. For example, take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{i+6}, 1,-1,0^{8-i}\right\rangle, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \leq 8 . \tag{5.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will take the projection of the roots $\beta_{i}$ along the space orthogonal to $\mathrm{D}_{8}$. The roots $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{8}$ are obviously invariant under this projection, but $\beta_{1}$ gets projected as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1} \rightarrow\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8},-1,0^{7}\right\rangle \tag{5.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this projection is not in $\Gamma_{N}$, and so we multiply it by 2 to get a simple root $\beta_{1}^{\prime}=$ $\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8},-2,0^{7}\right\rangle$. We see then that the simple roots of the $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ chain get projected into the simple roots of $\mathrm{C}_{n}$. This construction is represented in Figure 5.2, and applies to any other primitive embedding of $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ since it is unique up to automorphisms of $\Gamma_{N}$.

### 5.3.3.2 $d=3$

For $d=3$ we have $\Omega=\mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4}$, which has an easily describable primitive embedding into $\mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$, so we use the basis $\Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$. This embedding reads

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 1,-1,0^{6} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{2}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0,1,-1,0^{5} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, \\
\alpha_{3}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{2}, 1,-1,0^{4} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{4}=\left|0,0,0,0 ;-1,-1,0^{6} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, \\
\alpha_{1}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 1,-1,0^{6}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{2}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 0,1,-1,0^{5}\right\rangle,  \tag{5.3.17}\\
\alpha_{3}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 0^{2}, 1,-1,0^{4}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{4}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ;-1,-1,0^{6}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

As in the previous case, we can extend each $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{4+n}$ with an $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ chain, which gets projected to the orthogonal complement of $\Omega$ as a $\mathrm{C}_{n}$. However, $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ can also be extended to $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ passing through $\mathrm{D}_{5}, \mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{7}$. This $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ coincides with that of the generic extension $\mathrm{D}_{4+n}$ with $n=1$, and so it gives rise to an $\mathrm{A}_{1}(2)$ lattice with simple root, say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0,0,0,0,-2,0^{3} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, \tag{5.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which arises from projecting $\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0,0,0,1,-1,0^{3} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle$. Extending $D_{5}$ to $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ can be done by adding the root $\left|0,0,0,0 ; \frac{1}{2}^{8}, 0^{8}\right\rangle$. Its projection multiplied by 2 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}^{\prime}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{4}, 1^{4} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle \tag{5.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we see that $\beta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{2}^{\prime}$ give rise to an $\mathrm{A}_{2}(2)$ lattice, as expected. We can further add the roots $\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{4}, 1,-1,0,0\right\rangle$ and $\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{5}, 1,-1,0\right\rangle$, extending $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ to $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ and then $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. Since these roots are orthogonal to $\Omega$, they are invariant under the projection and we see that they extend $\mathrm{A}_{2}(2)$ to $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ and then $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ as predicted.

### 5.3.3.3 $d=4$

Here we have $\Omega=D_{8}^{*}(2)$. This lattice has a root sublattice $L_{\Omega}=8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ and can be in fact interpreted as the weight lattice of $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{8}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ diagonal, i.e. $k=(1, \ldots, 1)$. A suitable primitive embedding of this lattice into $\Gamma_{N} \simeq \Gamma_{4,4} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ has simple roots

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 1,-1,0^{6} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{2}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0,0,1,-1,0^{4} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle \\
\alpha_{3}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{4}, 1,-1,0^{2} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{4}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{6}, 1,-1 ; 0^{8}\right\rangle \\
\alpha_{5}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 1,-1,0^{6}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{6}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 0,0,1,-1,0^{4}\right\rangle  \tag{5.3.20}\\
\alpha_{7}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 0^{4}, 1,-1,0^{2}\right\rangle, & \alpha_{8}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{8} ; 0^{6}, 1,-1\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

The weight vector extending this root lattice to $\Omega$ is just

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_{i}=|0,0,0,0 ; 1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0 ; 1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0\rangle \tag{5.3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Requiring orthogonality with the roots is enough to get orthogonality with $\Omega$, so we will not worry about $w$. However we note that there exists also a primitive embedding of $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ into $\Gamma_{N}$, which should not be confused with $\Omega$.

The first thing to note is that the lattice $L_{\Omega}=8 A_{1}$ can be naively extended in many different ways but not all of them are allowed extensions of $\Omega$ itself. For example, no $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ factor can be individually extended to $A_{2}$ with a root orthogonal to the other $A_{1}$ factors. Any attempt to do this is easily seen to fail. The next logical step is to attach a root to two $A_{1}$ factors at the same time, e.g. with $\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0,1,-1,0^{5} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle$, in this case giving an $A_{3}$. This vector gets projected to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 1,1,-1,-1,0^{4} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle \tag{5.3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so we have that $A_{3}$ freezes to ${ }^{3} A_{1}(2)$. This is equivalent to $D_{3} \rightarrow C_{1}$, and forms part of the more general rule $\mathrm{D}_{2+n} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{n}$, or $\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s p}_{n}$, in analogy with those we have for $d=2,3$. This is depicted as


[^29]The next possibility is to attach $n-1$ roots to $n \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ factors in pairs such that one gets an $\mathrm{A}_{2 n-1}$ chain. The case $\mathrm{A}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}(2)$ above can be generalized e.g. to $\mathrm{A}_{5} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}(2)$ with roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 1,1,-1,-1,0^{4} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, \quad \beta_{2}=\left|0,0,0,0 ; 0^{2}, 1,1,-1,-1,0^{2} ; 0^{8}\right\rangle, \tag{5.3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and more generally we find the rule $\mathrm{A}_{2 n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}(2)$, or $\mathfrak{s u}_{2 n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}_{n}$, depicted as


From this rule we can actually get another by simply attaching a root $\beta_{n}$ to $\beta_{n-1}$, namely $\mathrm{D}_{2 n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{n}$, or $\mathfrak{s o}_{4 n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+1}$,


Finally, we can take the particular case $n=4$ and attach a root to $\beta_{3}$ to get the rule $\mathrm{E}_{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{4}$, or $\mathfrak{e}_{7} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{4}$,


In summary we have found the following freezing rules at the level of the algebras:

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+4} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{s p}_{n} \\
\mathfrak{S u}_{2 n} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{s u}_{n}  \tag{5.3.28}\\
\mathfrak{s o}_{4 n} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{S o}_{2 n+1} \\
\mathfrak{e}_{7} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{f}_{4}
\end{array}
$$

where both the LHS and RHS algebras are at level 1 (the algebras unaffected by the freezing become level 2). These rules cannot be applied arbitrarily, however. In order for the LHS algebras to be reduced to those in the RHS, their roots must be connected with those of $\Omega$ as specified in each case above. Any root of $\Omega$ left by itself is simply projected out, $\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \rightarrow \emptyset$.

### 5.3.4 Applying the map in $d=4$

Having seen the possible ways in which subalgebras of a gauge algebra in the Narain component in six dimensions can be transformed when mapping to the CHL component, we now treat the problem of when these rules are applicable for a given gauge group $G$. In the cases $d=1,2,3$ this problem is trivial because the root lattices associated to $\Omega$ are uniquely embedded, so one always knows for any
gauge group if its weight lattice contains $\Omega$ by a simple reading of the algebra. For $d=4$, however, the relevant root lattice is $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, which may or may not be associated to $\Omega$. It is necessary therefore to check explicitly, for each $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ sublattice, if it corresponds to $\Omega$ or not.

As a simple example let us consider the gauge group $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$, ignoring the extra four $U(1)$ factors for now. It turns out that the weight lattice of this group contains $\Omega$ as a sublattice, whose $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ sublattice correspond to the yellow nodes in the diagram


This can be shown explicitly by deleting the white nodes and checking that the weight vector of the $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{8}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ weight lattice is in the Narain lattice (cf. eq. (5.3.21)). At the level of the algebras, then, we have that $\mathfrak{s o}_{32}$ goes to $\mathfrak{s o}_{17}$. This is to be contrasted with the gauge group $\operatorname{Spin}(32)$, which is simply-connected and therefore does not contain $\Omega$ in its weight lattice (which is a root lattice in this case). From this we learn that the topology of the group dictates what are the allowed freezings. Furthermore, we can explicitly compute the fundamental group of the gauge groups using the methods described in Section 4.3.3, which extend to any $d$, and find that $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ gets mapped to $\operatorname{Spin}(17)$. In other words, the element $k^{\prime}=(1,0)$ which generates $\pi_{1}\left(\frac{S \sin (32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}\right)$ gets mapped to $k=0$ in $\pi_{1}(\operatorname{Spin}(17))$.

In general, the gauge group to be mapped has more than one non-trivial element in its fundamental group, which makes things more complicated. Consider for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \operatorname{Spin}(12) \times \mathrm{E}_{7}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \tag{5.3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ consists of

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=(0,2,0,(1,0), 1), \quad k_{2}=(1,0,2,(1,1), 1), \quad k_{3}=(1,2,2,(0,1), 0) . \tag{5.3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any pair of these elements, which generate $\pi_{1}(G)$, corresponds to two vectors which extend the root lattice $L$ of $G$ to its weight lattice $M$. They are inequivalent under translations in $L$. One can then delete nodes in the Dynkin diagram of $L$ such that the reduced root lattice still has a non-trivial weight overlattice which might correspond to $\Omega$, at which point any other reduction will not contain weight vectors. In this special case, all such reductions lead to inequivalent embeddings of $\Omega$ in $M$,
represented by the yellow nodes in the diagrams




To each of these embeddings corresponds a different way of mapping $G$ to the CHL component. Using the rules in (5.3.28) and computing the fundamental group in each case we get, respectively,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \operatorname{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{F}_{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}, & k=(1,0,2,1,0), \\
G \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(4) \times \mathrm{F}_{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}, & k=(2,0,1,0), \\
G \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{E}_{7}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}, & k=(0,0,1,1) . \tag{5.3.37}
\end{array}
$$

The first thing to note is that in the resulting gauge group the fundamental group always reduces by a factor of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (as already happened in the $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(17)$ case above). This can be understood by noting that one is taking the orthogonal complement of $\Omega$, which contains weight vectors. These are also weight vectors in $M$, equivalent under translations in $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, so they can be related to one of the elements in $\pi_{1}(G)$. For any such weight vector $w$, we have that $2 w \in 8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ and so the associated $k \in \pi_{1}(G)$ generates a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. This is precisely the factor which is eliminated in mapping $G$, corresponding respectively to $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ above.

Now we need to know how the remaining $k$ 's get transformed in each case. What we find is that it suffices to mod every $k$ by the one that is eliminated, call it $k_{\Omega}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \rightarrow k \quad \bmod k_{\Omega} \tag{5.3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then project it into the center of the resulting gauge group. In the case of a $\operatorname{Spin}(4 n)$ factor, we project the modded $k$ contribution to $1 \in \pi_{1}(\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1))=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if it is not $(0,0)$. Of course, we also have that $k_{\Omega} \rightarrow 0$ so that this rule applies equally well to all the $k$ 's of $\pi_{1}(G)$.

We see then that the only information we require to know how to map a group $G$ to the CHL component is the embedding of the roots of $\Omega$ into the root lattice $L$ of $G$ and its associated $k_{\Omega} \in \pi_{1}(G)$. In fact, however, these two pieces of data are the same. One can take any $k \in \pi_{1}(G)$ of order 2 in $Z(G)$ and check if it corresponds to $\Omega$ in the following way. For each simple factor in $G$, if the corresponding
entry in $k$ is non-zero, its Dynkin diagram should be labeled according to one of the diagrams of Section 5.3.3.3. The only simple factor which contains more than one order 2 element is $\mathrm{D}_{2 n}$, in which case $k_{D_{2 n}}=(1,1)$ corresponds to the diagram (5.3.23) and $k_{D_{2 n}}=(0,1),(1,0)$ correspond to (5.3.26). Coloring the nodes appropriately lead to those shown in the example above, as one can easily check. If there are in total eight yellow nodes, this labeling will correspond to an embedding of $\Omega$ into $M$. With this we can apply the mapping rules to the algebra and to the fundamental group of $G$.

We verified all of these statements by applying the procedures outlined above to a reasonably exhaustive list of gauge groups in the Narain component, and checking the results against a list for the CHL string. In the next section we look at other rank reduced components, where the results are similarly verified against lists of symmetry enhancements. These lists can be obtained with the same method as those of the 7d case and can be accessed at [27]. We provide various examples in Appendix C.

### 5.4 Other rank reduced components

In this section we extend the freezing procedure explained above to other rank reduced components in the moduli space of heterotic strings which appear in seven dimensions and below. These correspond to the holonomy triples constructed in [23] and their torus compactifications.

### 5.4.1 Freezing map in 7d

As explained in Section 5.1, in seven dimensions there are six connected components in the moduli space of supersymmetric heterotic strings. They can be obtained as asymmetric orbifolds of the $T^{3}$ compactifications at points in the moduli space where the Narain lattice exhibits appropriate symmetries. These orbifolds are of order 2 to 6 , and they correspond to non-trivial holonomy triples in the target space, hence they are called $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples with $m=2, \ldots, 6$.

For each $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triple, the momentum lattice can be obtained as the orthogonal complement of some other lattice $\Omega$. This data was shown in Table 5.1. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple is equivalent to the CHL string treated in Section 5.3.

For the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple, we have $\Omega=\mathrm{E}_{6} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{6}$, which can only be embedded into $\mathrm{E}_{p} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{q}$ with $p, q=6,7,8$. For each $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ factor, we have the algebra mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{e}_{6} \rightarrow \emptyset, \quad \mathfrak{e}_{7} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}_{2}, \quad \mathfrak{e}_{8} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{2}, \tag{5.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the corresponding contribution to any element $k$ of the fundamental group is preserved. As with the $m=2$ component, we have that the gauge groups related by the mapping have isomorphic fundamental groups.

For the $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple, we have $\Omega=\mathrm{E}_{7} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{7}$, which embeds only into $\mathrm{E}_{p} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{q}$ with $p, q=7,8$. For each $\mathrm{E}_{p}$ factor we have the algebra mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{e}_{7} \rightarrow \emptyset, \quad \mathfrak{e}_{8} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}_{2} . \tag{5.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triples both have $\Omega=\mathrm{E}_{8} \oplus \mathrm{E}_{8}$ and so the only mapping allowed is $\mathfrak{e}_{8} \rightarrow \emptyset$. All the possible gauge groups involved in this mapping are simply-connected so here again they have isomorphic fundamental groups, namely trivial ones.

The full set of rules is summarized in Table 5.2

| Algebra | $m$ | Transforms to | Contribution to $\Omega$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{n+6}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{n+7}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 5,6 | $\emptyset$ | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ |

Table 5.2: Freezing rules for the simple factors in the gauge groups for 7 d . Transformed algebras appear at level 1 on the rank reduced theory.
where we are using the conventions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3} \equiv \mathrm{~B}_{3}, \mathrm{G}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \tag{5.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map for the group explained in Section 5.3.2.2 can be directly generalized to all the different components in the moduli space of 7d theories treated here. Similarly, only the contributions to the fundamental group coming from the partially frozen factors change. In the 7d CHL string the rules for going from $\mathrm{D}_{n+4}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ are equivalent to those for going from $\mathrm{D}_{n+8}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ described above. For example, we find that $\left(\operatorname{Spin}(24) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times \operatorname{Spin}(14)$ maps to $\left(\operatorname{Sp}(8) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}(3)$. For the freezing $\mathrm{E}_{4+n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{n}$ (cf. Table 5.2), the center of the gauge group is unaltered and so is the corresponding contribution to the fundamental group, i.e. $\hat{k} \rightarrow \hat{k}^{\prime}=\hat{k}$. This is also true for the freezing $\mathrm{E}_{6+n} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{n}$ in the $m=3$ case.

For $m=5,6$, the rule $\mathrm{E}_{8} \rightarrow \emptyset$ has no effect on the fundamental group other than shortening $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}, \hat{k}\right)$ to $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)$. With these generalized freezing rules, one can project the enhancements in the Narain component of the moduli space to the other five components treated in this chapter to reproduce the results found with our exploration algorithm.

Like we encountered for the CHL string, these rules agree perfectly with the freezing mechanism in M-theory on K3 [23,25]. When applied to the enhancements found in the Narain moduli space one reproduces the results, at the level of the algebras, obtained with the exploration algorithm applied to the remaining momentum lattices, as expected.

### 5.4.2 Extension of the freezing map in 6d

Let us now consider the compactifications of the $7 \mathrm{~d} \mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples to 6 d with $m=3,4,5,6$. Not surprisingly, the mappings that we find here generalize naturally those of the $m=2$ case.

### 5.4.2.1 $\quad 6 \mathrm{~d} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple

For $m=3$, the momentum lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(3) \oplus \mathrm{A}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{2} \tag{5.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be shown to be the orthogonal complement of a lattice $\Omega$ in $\Gamma_{4,20}$ isomorphic to the weight lattice of $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)^{6}}{\mathbb{Z}_{3}}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ diagonal. There are two types of root lattices which can be obtained by attaching nodes to the Dynkin diagram of this $\operatorname{SU}(3)^{6}$. First, we have those of the type $\mathrm{A}_{3 n-1}$,
obtained by adding roots between each pair of $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ 's consecutively. These map to $\mathrm{A}_{n}$. For example, we have that $\mathrm{A}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2}$,


The other possibility is to map $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ to $\mathrm{G}_{2}$,


A gauge group $G$ in the Narain component can be mapped to this moduli space if $\pi_{1}(G)$ contains an order 3 element $k_{\Omega}$ such that its entries label exactly 12 nodes in the associated Dynkin diagram, in a manner completely analogous to the case for the CHL string (see Section 5.3.4). The procedure for mapping all the elements of $\pi_{1}(G)$ is the same. For example, the gauge group $\frac{\mathrm{E}_{6}^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{3}}$ with $\pi_{1}$ generator $k=(1,1,1)$ maps to $\mathrm{G}_{2}^{3}$, and $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(3)^{2} \times \operatorname{SU}(6)^{2} \times \operatorname{Spin}(10)}{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}$ with $\pi_{1}$ generator $k=(1,1,1,1,2)$ maps to $\frac{\operatorname{SU}(2)^{2} \times \operatorname{Spin}(10)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ with $k=(1,1,2)$. Similarly to the CHL string, the unaltered simple factors correspond to level 3 algebras and the altered to level 1 ones, so that e.g. the latter has algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}_{2}\right)_{1} \oplus$ $\left(\mathfrak{s p i n}_{10}\right)_{3}$.

### 5.4.2.2 $6 \mathrm{~d} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple

For $m=4$, the momentum lattice is $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(4) \oplus \mathrm{A}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, whose associated $\Omega$ is the weight lattice of $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{2} \times \mathrm{SU}(4)^{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ generated by $k=(1,1,1,1,1,1)$. The roots of this lattice can be extended in particular to $\mathrm{A}_{4 n-1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2 n+3}$, the latter with $n=1,2$. The algebras are respectively mapped to $\mathfrak{s u}_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{s p}_{n}$. For example, we have



In the latter case we see that the two $A_{1}$ 's of $\Omega$ are used up, so that one cannot extend to $D_{9}$ and beyond. The resulting gauge groups have current algebras at level 1, except for the case of $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ which only involves two frozen $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ 's and produces an $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ at level 2. Unaffected factors become level 4.

The element $k_{\Omega}$ associated to this mapping is of order 4 . In particular this means that $2 k_{\Omega}$ is an order 2 element, which turns out to be associated to the freezing to the CHL component of the moduli space. This is reflected in the fact that the frozen sublattice of this moduli space component contains the one for the CHL component. Indeed, the $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ part of $L_{\Omega}$ can be extended to $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ and frozen to $\mathrm{C}_{n-2}$, as for the CHL freezing rule. This will be the case if $k_{\Omega}$ has an order 2 contribution to a $\mathrm{D}_{n}$ factor.

For example, the group $\frac{\operatorname{SU}(2)^{3} \times \mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(8)^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{8}}$ with $\pi_{1}$ generator $k=(1,1,1,1,1,1)$ maps to $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{6}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$
with $\pi_{1}$ generator $k=(1,1,1,1,1,1)$ and algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}_{2}\right)_{1} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2}\right)_{2} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}_{2}\right)_{4}$, showcasing the possible ways in which current algebra levels can mix; here the mapping is associated to the order four element $2 k \simeq(0,0,0,2,2,2)$. Another interesting example is given by the group $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \frac{\operatorname{SU}(12) \times \operatorname{Spin}(14)}{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}$ with $\pi_{1}$ generator $k=(0,3,3)$, which maps to $\mathrm{SU}(3)^{2} \times \operatorname{Sp}(2)$, with algebra $\left(\mathfrak{s p}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{S u}_{3}\right)_{1} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{s u}_{3}\right)_{4}$; this involves the freezing rule for $\mathrm{D}_{7}$, producing a simply-connected gauge group.

### 5.4.2.3 $\quad 6 \mathrm{~d} \mathbb{Z}_{5,6}$-triples

For $m=5$ the momentum lattice is $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(5)$, whose associated $\Omega$ is the weight lattice of $\mathrm{SU}(5)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ generated by $k=(1,1,1,1)$. The only extension allowed here is $\mathrm{A}_{5 n-1}$, which maps to $\mathrm{A}_{n-1}$, generalizing the similar freezings in the previous cases.

For $n=6$, we have momentum lattice $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(6)$, whose $\Omega$ is the weight lattice of $\frac{\operatorname{SU}(2)^{2} \times \operatorname{SU}(3)^{2} \times \operatorname{SU}(6)^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{6}}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ generated by $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$. Again, the only allowed freezing here will be from $\mathrm{A}_{6 n-1}$ to $\mathrm{A}_{n-1}$, associated to an order 6 element in $\pi_{1}(G)$. However, this $\Omega$ includes the frozen sublattices of $m=2$ and $m=3$. Similarly to the $m=4$ case including $m=2$ freezing rules, here we also have the $m=2$ and $m=3$ rules which can be realized by two $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ factors and two $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ factors, respectively.

### 5.4.3 Freezing rules in $\mathbf{6 d}$

In 6 d , the connected components of moduli space of the heterotic string studied in this chapter have momentum lattices and corresponding orthogonal complements in $\Gamma_{4,20}$ (frozen sublattices) as shown in Table 5.3. Here we have given $\Omega$ in terms of its root sublattice $L_{\Omega}$ and the fundamental group of the gauge group associated to $\Omega^{4}$. The gauge symmetry groups that can be realized in the $n=2, \ldots, 6$ components can be obtained by applying a set of "freezing rules" to those of the $n=1$ one.

| $n$ | Momentum Lattice $\Gamma$ | Frozen root lattice $L_{\Omega}$ | $\pi_{1}\left(G_{\Omega}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Gamma_{4,20}$ | $\emptyset$ |  |
| 2 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2) \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4} \oplus \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| 3 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(3) \oplus \mathrm{A}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| 4 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(4) \oplus \mathrm{A}_{1} \oplus \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \oplus 4 \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| 5 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(5)$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |
| 6 | $\Gamma_{3,3} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(6)$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \oplus 2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \oplus 2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |

Table 5.3: Momentum lattices and corresponding orthogonal complements in $\Gamma_{4,20}$, given in terms of their root sublattices and fundamental group of the associated gauge group.

For 7 d it is guaranteed that the rules in 5.2 can be applied as long as the contributions to $\Omega$ result in the ones listed in Table 5.1. In contrast, there is a particularity in 6 d : to check if one of these freezings can be done with a certain $G$, one looks for order $n$ elements $k_{\Omega}$ in $\pi_{1}(G)$ such that they define an embedding of $L_{\Omega}$ into the root lattice $L$ of $G$. If this is the case, one applies the rules shown in Table 5.4 according to this embedding, and obtains the fundamental group of the resulting gauge group $G^{\prime}$ by modding the elements of $\pi_{1}(G)$ by $k_{\Omega}$ and projecting them onto the center of $G^{\prime}$.

[^30]| Algebra | $k_{\Omega}$ | Order of $k_{\Omega}$ | Transforms to | Contribution to $\Omega$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{q n-1}$ | $n$ | $q=2,3,4,5,6$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{n-1}$ | $n \mathrm{~A}_{q-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{n+2}$ | $v$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 n}$ | $s$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~B}_{n}$ | $n \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2 n+3}$ | $1 \simeq s$ | 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ | $n \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$ |

Table 5.4: Freezing rules in 6 d for the simple factors in the gauge groups according to the element $k_{\Omega}$ of the fundamental group associated to the freezing. For all the cases, the longest roots are of length twice the order of $k_{\Omega} . v$ and $s$ denote the vector and spinor classes of the orthogonal groups.

These transformations also appear in a seemingly unrelated problem, namely in the relation between the moduli space components of flat bundles over $T^{2}$ with non-simply-connected structure group $G$ [55] when $G$ is simply-laced. The transformed group is simply-connected and describes the so-called topologically non-trivial components of the moduli space for a certain $G$. In this sense, what we find in the moduli space of 6 d heterotic strings is a generalization to semisimple lie groups with many factors and more complicated fundamental groups ${ }^{5}$.

### 5.5 Classification of gauge groups

The full tables with maximal enhancements and their global data for the Narain components and the $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples are given in Appendix D. 2 for 7 d and in the corresponding tables in [27] for 6 d . Here we give tables with the counting of the different gauge symmetries which are realized in each component in 7d.

### 5.5.1 Narain Component

As explained before, obtaining the gauge groups for the Narain component is done with a straightforward extension of the original exploration algorithm developed in Chapter 3. However, here we have also computed the full global data for each group, giving the explicit generators for the fundamental groups using the methods in chapter 4 based on [20]. All the maximally enhanced groups in this component are listed in Table D.7, with the generators of their fundamental groups in Table D.12.

We have for example the gauge group (\#421)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{SU}(8) \times \mathrm{SU}(8) \times \operatorname{Spin}(10)}{\mathbb{Z}_{8}} \tag{5.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ is generated by the element $(1,3,1)$ of the center $\mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \mathbb{Z}_{8} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ of the universal cover $\mathrm{SU}(8) \times \mathrm{SU}(8) \times \operatorname{Spin}(10)$.

For each generator we give a sequence of numbers representing the contribution from the center of each simple factor. In the example just given, the generator is 131 . Note that the ordering of the sequence corresponds to the ordering of the listed ADE type. To properly read the sequence one must write expressions of the form $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}^{3}$ as $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$, assigning each number in the sequence to each subsequent $A D E$ factor. For $D_{2 n}$ factors there are four order two elements in the center denoted

[^31]$\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{s}$ and 1 , corresponding to the vector class, spinor classes and the identity, respectively. Note that in some cases the fundamental group has more than one generator.

For 7 d , we list the total number of distinct gauge algebras and distinct gauge groups for different ranks of the semisimple part in Table 5.5. These have been obtained by deleting nodes in the Dynkin Diagrams of the maximally enhanced groups, and we assume that this gives all the possibilities, as discussed in Section 4.3.

| Rank | 1 | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | Algebras | Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 652 | 381 | 68 | 51 | 37 | 5 | 1 |  | 6 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1035 | 1232 |
| 18 | 852 | 492 | 89 | 52 | 35 | 9 | 1 |  | 4 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1180 | 1557 |
| 17 | 827 | 442 | 73 | 39 | 23 | 8 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1024 | 1424 |
| 16 | 694 | 334 | 47 | 25 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 794 | 1122 |
| 15 | 528 | 217 | 24 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 567 | 788 |
| 14 | 389 | 128 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 403 | 536 |
| 13 | 272 | 66 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 276 | 343 |
| 12 | 192 | 33 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193 | 227 |
| 11 | 128 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 | 142 |
| 10 | 88 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 94 |
| 9 | 57 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 59 |
| 8 | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 40 |
| 7 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 24 |
| 6 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 16 |
| 5 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 |
| 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| All | 4779 | 2116 | 316 | 188 | 112 | 29 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 31 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5845 | 7625 |

Table 5.5: Number of algebras and groups of each rank with a certain fundamental group for the heterotic string on $T^{3}$. The gauge group with $\pi_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}$ (cf. eq. (5.5.2)) does not admit further enhancements.

We note that there are many cases in which two gauge groups have isomorphic fundamental groups with inequivalent inclusions in the center of the universal covering (meaning that they are not related by outer automorphisms of the group, as is the case e.g. for $\operatorname{SO}(2 n)$ versus $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $\left.n \neq 4\right)$. These were not distinguished in Table D.2, where we recorded 339 groups (the ordering goes only up to 325 because we label them only with the algebra). The inequivalence is taken into account on the $T^{2}$ table in [27] resulting in 341 different groups.

It is natural to assume that all points of maximal enhancement in moduli space can be reached with our exploration algorithm, and it is in fact true for the cases $d=1,2$. Non-maximal enhancements can be obtained from the maximal ones by simply removing an arbitrary number of roots. Remarkably, for $d=1,2$ there are respectively only two gauge groups which can not be obtained in this way, namely $\operatorname{Spin}(16)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $d=1$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ for $d=2$. In $d=3$ there is also such gauge group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{16}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}} \tag{5.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fundamental group is given by

The pattern for all $d$ is $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}\left(2^{5-d}\right)^{d}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\left(2^{d}-d\right)}}$. At the level of gauge algebras this was already noted in [37]. To obtain them we just use the exploration algorithm starting from an adequate non-maximal gauge group. For $6 d$ this pattern predicts an Abelian symmetry and is irrelevant for our study. Nonetheless, there is a peculiarity in the $T^{4}$ moduli space: one maximal enhancement, $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{20}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\delta}}$, cannot be obtained by just removing and adding a node to other maximal enhancements. To obtain it with our methods it is necessary to first find $\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{16}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3}}$ by exploring the neighborhood of some non-maximal enhancement we already have (or alternatively do a trivial compactification of (5.5.2) to 6d). We get all the missing groups by continuously adding nodes, obtaining sequentially:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{16}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{16}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{5}} \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{18}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{6}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{19}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{7}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{SU}(2)^{20}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{8}} \tag{5.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.5.2 Triples

The results for the components of the moduli space with rank reduction are obtained by an extension of the exploration algorithm taking into account Proposition 3 for 7 d and its generalization to 6 d where we accept as levels all the divisors of $m$ for each $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triple. For 7 d , the gauge groups are recorded in tables D. 8 to D. 11 in Appendix D.2.1, while the generators for the fundamental groups are recorded in Tables D. 13 and D. 14 in Appendix D.2.2. For 6d the gauge groups are recorded in the corresponding tables of [27]. In the case of the $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triples in 7 d , we see that all the gauge groups are simply connected and so no global data is required to specify them. As explained in Section 5.4, all the gauge groups for the non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ triples can be obtained from those of the Narain component using a projection map generalizing the one obtained in [20] for the 8d CHL string (see Tables 5.2 and 5.4). In Appendix C we give some examples of these freezings in 6 d . For 7 d , the total number of distinct gauge algebras and distinct gauge groups are listed in Table 5.6.


Table 5.6: Number of algebras and groups of each rank with a certain fundamental group for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ triples.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusions

The main objective of this thesis was to obtain and classify all the gauge groups appearing as symmetries of the massless spectrum of the half-maximal supersymmetric compactifications of heterotic string. We succeeded in this task for theories with $9,8,7$ and 6 space-time dimensions, with the results collected in [27] and also on Appendix D for 7 or more dimensions ${ }^{1}$. With this goal in mind we studied diverse aspects of the compactified theories, developing techniques and arriving at results serving not only for answering elementary questions (e.g. what are the relations between these theories?) but also for treating many unrelated problems. In the following we summarize these results and give possible directions for future work.

In Chapter 2, after a brief introduction to heterotic string theory, we presented its toroidal compactification and analyzed some generalities. The main goal of this chapter was to understand in an explicit manner the structure of the moduli spaces, gaining intuition on symmetry enhancements. To achieve this we quickly restricted to the circle case. The 17 -dimensional moduli space of $S^{1}$ compactifications, involving the radius of the circle and the 16 components of the Wilson line along the Cartan directions of the $S O(32)$ or $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ gauge group, was studied in detail.

We presented the action of the standard T-duality exchanging momentum and winding number, and studied its fixed points, which are at $R^{2}=1-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2}$. At these points, the dual background has the same radius and opposite Wilson line, $A^{\prime}=-A$. If $2 A$ is in the root lattice, then $A^{\prime}=-A \sim A$ and the full background is self-dual. For Wilson lines with only one non-zero component, as those explored in Section 2.3.4, the fixed "points" of the T-duality symmetry are not really points, but in this two-dimensional subspace of moduli space they correspond to lines of non-maximal enhancement symmetry, where the Wilson line is a function of the radius $\left(A=A\left(R_{\mathrm{sd}}\right)\right)$, and is such that $A \sim A_{\mathrm{sd}}$, with $\left|A_{\mathrm{sd}}\right|^{2}=2\left(1-R_{\mathrm{sd}}^{2}\right)$.

The discussion of the explicit enhancement process was split into compactifications with $\pi \cdot A \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi \cdot A \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Although all the enhancements can be obtained with Wilson lines that are not on any lattice by appropriately choosing $R$, the distinction is useful to understand the enhancement process. When the Wilson line has zero vacuum expectation value, or equivalently when the vacuum expectation value is on the root lattice $\Gamma_{g}$, the gauge group of the uncompactified theory is unbroken at generic radius, and the total gauge group on the external space is $U(1)_{R} \times(U(1) \times S O(32))_{L}$ or $U(1)_{R} \times\left(U(1) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}\right)_{L}$. At $R=1$, there are additional states with momentum and winding that become massless and enhance the $U(1)_{L}$ to $S U(2)_{L}$. For other values of Wilson lines and generic $R$, the gauge symmetry is determined by the subset of heterotic momenta $\pi$ that have integer inner product with the Wilson line. In the HO theory, one has the interesting possibility of a Wilson line that has integer inner product with all $\pi$, i.e. a Wilson line in the dual root lattice, but which is not in the lattice, namely $A \in \Gamma_{v}$ or $A \in \Gamma_{c}$. These two possibilities lead to an unbroken $S O(32)$ gauge

[^32]symmetry at any radius, while at $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ there are extra massless states with non-zero momentum and/or winding number on the circle, giving a total 17 -component left-moving momentum with mixed circle and chiral heterotic directions which enhance the gauge symmetry to $S O(34)$.

We developed a method for computing and drawing two dimensional slices of the 17-dimensional moduli space which neatly exhibit the distribution of the enhanced groups. The family of functions corresponding to each of the curves and the heterotic momentum of the additional massless states can be obtained from this analysis. While non-maximal enhancement occurs at lines, maximal enhancement occurs at isolated points. More interesting figures arise at smaller radii, and the smaller the radius, the richer the pattern of enhanced gauge symmetries, as there are more winding numbers that lead to massless states ${ }^{2}$. Moreover, we were able to univocally relate the intersections of the curves in the figures with the enhanced groups obtained from the Generalized Dynkin Diagram (GDD). An interesting output of the construction is that, in order to obtain groups that contain $S O(32)$ from the HE theory or groups that contain $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ from the HO theory it is necessary to choose a slice where, for a generic point, the group is $S O(16) \times S O(16)$ or a subgroup of it.

From the GDD for the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{1,17}$, we found all the possible maximal enhancements for heterotic string on a circle. In particular, we showed that the same enhancements can be achieved in both heterotic theories (e.g. $S O(34)$ enhancement from the HE string) and explained how to obtain them.

The emphasis in this thesis has been to study gauge symmetry enhancement in compactifications of perturbative heterotic string theory for the characterization of the string theory moduli space. One interesting direction that could be studied is the inclusion of non-perturbative effects, where the physics of symmetry enhancement plays an important part. In particular, winding heterotic $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ states are related to the dynamics of $D$-particles in the presence of $D 8$-branes and orientifold planes in type I' superstring theory, and have been crucial in the understanding of subtle aspects of the Type I/heterotic duality $[13,35,70,71]$. We hope that the methods developed here are useful to analyze these questions further.

In Chapter 3 we aimed at generalizing the results of Chapter 2 to compactifications to lower dimensions. To this end, we focused on the lattices that quantize the momenta. At special points in moduli space, the $(d+16) \mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetries can get enhanced, and we stated lattice embedding criteria to determine whether a given gauge group is realized or not in a toroidal compactification. The use of these criteria was explained in several examples.

We also introduced an algorithm to systematically explore the moduli space and applied it to obtain all the semisimple groups of maximal rank for $d=1$ and $d=2$, as well as the values of the corresponding background fields. Specifying the moduli is important for various reasons. First of all, the vertex operators and the full 1-loop modular invariant partition function of the theory explicitly depend on the momenta (3.1.2) [7,30]. Besides, the moduli could be relevant in the study of dualities with other constructions and in phenomenological applications (combining with additional orbifold actions).

Actually, our results include not only the groups with maximal enhancement, but also groups $\mathrm{G}_{r} \times \mathrm{U}(1)^{d+16-r}$, with $r \leq(16+d)$. For $d=1$ all possible $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ can be deduced from the GDD as mentioned previously, and for $d=2$ they are listed in [15]. A natural question is whether different $\mathrm{G}_{r}$ could arise in other non-chiral string constructions with 16 supercharges. For $d=2$, our results contain the groups with maximal enhancement found in the covariant lattice formulation [72]. It would

[^33]be interesting to know if some other CFT construction could give for instance 8-dimensional theories with 16 supercharges and an ADE gauge group of rank 18 that is forbidden in the heterotic on $T^{2}$ (such as $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{SO}(14) \times \mathrm{SU}(4)$ ). It would also be helpful to understand if a theory with a forbidden group could suffer from global anomalies as discussed in [73].

Our results show that all maximal enhancements in the heterotic compactification on $T^{2}$ coincide with all possible singular fibers of extremal K3 surfaces classified in [14]. This gives relevant information for the study of extremal K3 surfaces. Some realizations of these surfaces have been studied in detail, see $[42,74-77]$ and references therein. In the early days some examples were found by analyzing F-theory on orbifold limits of K3 [78]. Other examples have been obtained more recently by considering enhancements at special points in the moduli space of K3 surfaces with Picard number less than 20 [79-81].

In Chapter 4 we have studied heterotic string compactifications that realize the CHL branch of superstring vacua with 16 supercharges in $(10-d)$ dimensions, $d \geq 1$. Such vacua, characterized by left-moving gauge group of rank $d+8$, were first obtained in the context of type I strings [82] and later derived in heterotic strings both in the fermionic [17] and bosonic formalism [18]. We have followed the latter approach, based on compactification of the HE string on an asymmetric orbifold $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which enables a description at any point of the moduli space. In particular, we have focused on the question of which non-Abelian groups of maximal rank can appear. We have given a complete answer in $d=1,2$ in the form of a list of allowed groups and the corresponding moduli. We know that these lists are exhaustive, as they can also be obtained from the exhaustive lists of gauge groups for the $S^{1}$ and $T^{2}$ compactifications, respectively, by using the rules developed in Chapter 5.

Our analysis relies on the Mikhailov lattice $\Gamma_{(d)}$ underlying the $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ asymmetric orbifold. In analogy with the Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ associated to heterotic compactification on $T^{d}$, the momenta of all states in the orbifold spectrum lie in $\Gamma_{(d)}$ and symmetries of the spectrum correspond to automorphisms of the lattice [19]. For our purposes an essential fact is that the root lattice of the resulting non-Abelian groups must admit an embedding in $\Gamma_{(d)}$, which is even but not self-dual for $d>1$. This last property leads to both simply-laced and non-simply-laced groups realized at Kac-Moody levels 1 or 2. The embedding condition gives a systematic prescription to determine the groups that can arise or not. Moreover, studying embeddings of the coroot and cocharacter lattices in the dual Mikhailov lattice allows to determine the global structure of the gauge group [20]. In this way we have proven that for $d=1$ the groups are simply-laced and simply-connected whereas for $d=2$ there are also symplectic and doubly-connected groups.

Our results for the global groups exactly match those obtained in [20], where they were shown to satisfy the condition for anomaly-free one-form center symmetries [21]. It would be interesting to check if these results are also consistent with constraints imposed by triviality of cobordism classes [83]. A partial check was carried out in [20].

As mentioned above, the automorphisms of the Mikhailov lattice are T-dualities of the theory. As such they restrict the moduli space, and fixed points of discrete transformations are expected to display gauge symmetry enhancement. Indeed, we have shown that this is the case in $d=1$. A striking feature of the T-duality in $d=1$ is that it mixes untwisted and twisted states.

The 8-dimensional CHL string is known to have a dual F-theory description in terms of compactification on a K3 surface with frozen singularities [22,56]. The gauge groups arising in F -theory on such K3 surfaces were worked out very recently in [63], and agree perfectly with the heterotic groups of maximal enhancement given in Table D.5, giving yet more support to the exhaustiveness of our algorithm.

It is known that for $d \geq 3$, superstring vacua with 16 supercharges exhibit a broader pattern of rank reduction. In particular, in $d=3$ there are components with rank 7,5 and 3 for which an underlying momentum lattice analogous to $\Gamma_{(d)}$ has been constructed [23]. In Chapter 5 we extended our analysis to these theories. This was done by finding embeddings of weight lattices into the momentum lattices constructed in [23], taking into account an extra constraint on the role of the lattice vectors as stated in Proposition 3, stating the precise criteria for gauge groups being realized in the relevant theories.

Generalizing our previous algorithms we produced the list of maximally enhanced gauge groups that are realized in the heterotic string in 7 d and 6 d , encompassing the usual Narain component, and five other components with rank reduction realized via non-trivial holonomy triples. For 6d we verified exhaustiveness of these lists in [26] by computing the possible embeddings of rank 20 Lie algebra lattices on the Niemeier lattices. For 7d, since the explorations are simpler than for 6d, we conjecture that they are also exhaustive.

Exploiting the relations between the lattices corresponding to different compactifications, we found the general freezing rules for all these components, generalizing the results of [20] for the 8d CHL string. We described this map as a projection of the root sublattice of the gauge group in the toroidal compactification to the orthogonal complement of the singularity associated to each component. For 7 d this map does not alter the fundamental group, and we found an explicit match with the mechanism of singularity freezing in M-theory on K3. For 6 d we found a novel feature, since the freezing map explicitly involves the topology of the gauge groups, in particular acting only on non-simply-connected ones. This relation is equivalent to that of connected components of the moduli space of flat $G$-bundles over $T^{2}$ with $G$ non-simply-connected.

The moduli space components that we have studied are not all. In [23] it was shown that there is at least another component in 6 d : a $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-quadruple, and an exhaustive list of the components of the moduli space of heterotic strings in 6 d with maximal supersymmetry is not known. However, the map we have obtained is defined in terms of the fundamental group elements of the gauge groups and seems to naturally extend to many other cases that may correspond to other moduli space components, some of which require an M-theory description. This extension was the subject of [26].

On the other hand, the relation between these freezing rules and the problem of non-simplyconnected flat $G$-bundles over $T^{2}$ is not clear, as in the heterotic string we are considering bundles over $T^{4}$. It may be better understood, perhaps, in a dual frame such as F-theory on $\mathrm{K} 3 \times T^{2}$ where one can more naturally isolate tori such as the fibers of the K3. As the former problem is rather involved, it is tantalizing to think that it may play a role in constraining the possible theories with 16 supercharges that can be coupled to gravity (see e.g. [84] for recent results in this direction).

Note that our exploration algorithm can be implemented in arbitrary dimension. A classification for the gauge groups appearing in less than 6 space-time dimensions was left for future work. An important open question is if it is possible to find a set of freezing rules for 5 or less dimensions analogous to the ones appearing in higher dimensions.

Finally, we note that our results serve to test Swampland conjectures [85], which are also easier to study in high-dimensional theories with a large amount of supersymmetry (see e.g. [21,63,83]). More generally, it would be very interesting if the methods developed here could be generalized to theories with less supersymmetry such as [86].

## Appendix A

## Supplements to Chapter 2

## A. 1 Lie algebras and lattices

Modular invariance of the one-loop partition function of the heterotic string implies that the 16dimensional internal momenta must take values in an even self-dual Euclidean lattice, $\Gamma=\Gamma^{*}$, of dimension 16. There are only two of these: $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, where $\Gamma_{8}$ is the root lattice of $E_{8}$, and $\Gamma_{16}$, which is the root lattice of $S O(32)$ in addition to the $(s)$ or (c) conjugacy class

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8} & =\Gamma_{g} & \text { for } \mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}  \tag{A.1.1}\\
\Gamma_{16} & =\Gamma_{g}+\Gamma_{s} & \text { for } \operatorname{SO}(32)
\end{align*}
$$

In this Appendix we summarize some basic notions on these lattices.
Given a Lie algebra $g$ of rank $n$, taking arbitrary integer linear combinations of root vectors, one generates an $n$-dimensional Euclidean lattice $\Gamma_{g}$, called the root lattice. E.g., for the rank $n$ orthogonal groups $S O(2 n)$, the $n$ component simple root vectors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
( \pm 1, \pm 1,0, \ldots) \text { all other entries zero, } \tag{A.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all permutations of these. For $E_{8}$, the eight component vectors

$$
\begin{gather*}
( \pm 1, \pm 1,0,0,0,0,0,0)+\text { permutations } \\
\left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\right) \text { even number of " }- \text { " signs } \tag{A.1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

contain the 240 roots, i.e. the 112 root vectors of $S O(16)$ and 128 additional vectors.
Any Lie group $G$ has infinitely many irreducible representations which are characterized by their weight vectors. Irreducible representations fall into different conjugacy classes, and $\Gamma_{g}$ can be thought of as the ( 0 ) conjugacy class. Two different representations are said to be in the same conjugacy class if the difference between their weight vectors is a vector of the root lattice.

While $E_{8}$ has only one conjugacy class, namely (0), the $S O(2 n)$ algebras have four inequivalent conjugacy classes:

- The (0) conjugacy class, i.e. the root lattice, contains vectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right), \quad n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}=0 \bmod 2 . \tag{A.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The vector conjugacy class, denoted by (v), contains vectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right), \quad n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}=1 \bmod 2 \tag{A.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The spinor conjugacy class, denoted by (s), contains vectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, n_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}=0 \bmod 2 \tag{A.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The (c) conjugacy class contains vectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, n_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}=1 \bmod 2 \tag{A.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weight lattice $\Gamma_{w}$ is formed by all weights of all conjugacy classes including the root lattice itself. Clearly $\Gamma_{g} \subset \Gamma_{w}$, and for a simply-laced Lie algebra, which roots have squared modulus 2 , it can be shown that $\Gamma_{g}=\Gamma_{w}^{*}$. Therefore, the weight lattice of $E_{8}$ contains the weights of the form

$$
\Gamma_{w}^{8}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{8}\right)  \tag{A.1.8}\\
\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, n_{8}+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{8} n_{i}=\text { even integer }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, is identical to its root lattice, which implies that it is even self-dual. It is also identical to the $S O(16)$ lattice with the $(0)$ and ( $s$ ) conjugacy classes

A necessary condition for a self-dual lattice is that it be unimodular. The $S O(2 n)$ Lie algebra lattices are unimodular if they contain two conjugacy classes. The weight lattice of $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is identical to the $S O(32)$ lattice with the ( 0 ) and ( $s$ ) conjugacy classes. It is even self-dual and it's vectors are:

$$
\Gamma_{w}^{16}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{16}\right)  \tag{A.1.9}\\
\left(n_{1}+\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, n_{16}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{16} n_{i}=\text { even integer }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Both the root lattice of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and the weight lattice of $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ contain 480 vectors of (length) ${ }^{2}=2$ which are the roots of $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and $S O(32)$, respectively.

It is convenient to write the conjugacy classes of $S O(32)$ in terms of conjugacy classes of representations of $S O(16) \times S O(16)$. We denote by $(x y)$ a vector with the first eight components in the conjugacy class $(x)$ of $S O(16)$ and the last eight in the class $(y) . x$ and $y$ can be $0, s, v$ or $c$. We then have 16 conjugacy classes $(x y)$. The $S O(32)$ conjugacy classes correspond to the following $S O(16) \times S O(16)$ pairs

$$
\begin{align*}
& (0)=(00),(v v) \\
& (s)=(s s),(c c)  \tag{A.1.10}\\
& (c)=(s c),(c s) \\
& (v)=(0 v),(v 0)
\end{align*}
$$

We have then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{16} & =\Gamma_{0}^{16}+\Gamma_{s}^{16}=(00),(v v),(s s),(c c)  \tag{A.1.11}\\
\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8} \equiv \Gamma_{8+8} & =\left(\Gamma_{0}^{8}+\Gamma_{s}^{8}\right) \oplus\left(\Gamma_{0}^{8}+\Gamma_{s}^{8}\right)=(00),(s s),(0 s),(s 0)
\end{align*}
$$

The dual to the root lattice of $S O(32)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma_{0}^{16}\right)^{*}=\Gamma_{g}^{*}=(00),(v v),(s s),(c c),(0 v),(v 0),(s c),(c s) . \tag{A.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also use the following properties of the lattices

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{8+8} \backslash \Gamma_{16} & =(0 s),(s 0) \\
\Gamma_{16} \backslash \Gamma_{8+8} & =(v v),(c c) \\
\Gamma_{16} \cap \Gamma_{8+8} & =(00),(s s)  \tag{A.1.13}\\
\left(\Gamma_{16} \cap \Gamma_{8+8}\right)^{*} & =(00),(s s),(v v),(c c),(v c),(c v),(0 s),(s 0) \\
\left(\Gamma_{16} \cap \Gamma_{8+8}\right)^{*} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{16} \cup \Gamma_{8+8}\right) & =(v c),(c v) .
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 2 Maximal enhancement points for $A=\left(A_{1}, 0_{15}\right)$

In this Appendix we show how to obtain the maximal enhancement points for the particular case of Wilson lines with only one non-zero component, treated in Section 2.3.4. We also prove that the only possible maximal enhancements for Wilson lines with only one non-zero entry are to $S U(2) \times S O(32)$, $S O(34), S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$ and $S O(18) \times E_{8}$.

The maximal enhancement points are those where two or more curves intersect. There are three types of intersections: $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R), b_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$ and $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$, that we treat separately. In the case of $\Gamma_{8} \times \Gamma_{8}$, the curves $b$ can in principle have a curve $c$ on top of them.

## A.2.1 $\quad a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=\mathbf{a}_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{1} \pm 1 \sqrt{2-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{1}}, & \frac{2 q_{1}^{2}-1}{w_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{A.2.1}\\
a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{2} \pm 2 \sqrt{2-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{2}}, & \frac{2 q_{1}^{2}-1}{w_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mp_{1} w_{2} \sqrt{2-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}} \pm_{2} w_{1} \sqrt{2-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}=2 q_{1} w_{2}-2 q_{2} w_{1} \equiv C^{\prime}=2 C \in 2 \mathbb{Z} . \tag{A.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $C=0$ is trivial, so we must assume $C \neq 0$, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=\frac{2}{C^{\prime 2}}-\frac{\left(2 w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}-C^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}{8 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} C^{\prime 2}} \tag{A.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $N=\frac{\left(1-2 q_{1}^{2}\right)}{w_{1}} w_{2}+\frac{\left(1-2 q_{2}^{2}\right)}{w_{2}} w_{1}+4 q_{1} q_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can rewrite (A.2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2}=4-2 C^{\prime 2} R^{2} \tag{A.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(1-2 q_{i}^{2}\right)$ and $w_{i}$ are odd, $N$ is even. Also, since $C^{\prime}$ and $R$ are non-zero we get $N^{2}<4$, which implies $N=0$, then $R^{2}=\frac{2}{C^{\prime 2}}$. Then the radius where a curve $a$ with winding $w_{1}$ intersects another curve $a$ with winding $w_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2} . \tag{A.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraint

$$
\left|q_{1} w_{2}-q_{2} w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{\frac{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}{2}} \Longrightarrow \frac{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}{2} \text { must be a perfect square . }
$$

If $w_{1}=w_{2}=w$, then $q_{1}=q_{2} \pm 1$. The winding must be a divisor of both $2 q_{1}^{2}-1$ and $2 q_{2}^{2}-1$, but these numbers are coprime $\forall q_{1}$. Then the only possible value of $w$ is 1 . In conclusion, the only curves $a$ with the same winding number that intersect are $a_{1, q}(R)$ and $a_{1, q \pm 1}(R)$. And the intersection is on $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

## A.2.2 $\quad b_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{1}+1 \pm_{1} \sqrt{1-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{1}}, & \frac{2 q_{1}\left(q_{1}+1\right)}{w_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{A.2.6}\\
b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{2}+1 \pm_{2} \sqrt{1-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{2}}, & \frac{2 q_{2}\left(q_{2}+1\right)}{w_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mp_{1} w_{2} \sqrt{1-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}} \pm_{2} w_{1} \sqrt{1-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}=\left(2 q_{1}+1\right) w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1} \equiv C \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C=0$, then $w_{1}=w_{2}$ and $q_{1}=q_{2}$. If $C \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=\frac{1}{2 C^{2}}-\frac{\left(w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}-C^{2}\right)^{2}}{8 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} C^{2}} . \tag{A.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $N=\frac{2 q_{1}\left(q_{1}+1\right)}{w_{1}} w_{2}+\frac{2 q_{2}\left(q_{2}+1\right)}{w_{2}} w_{1}-\left(2 q_{1}+1\right)\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2}=1-2 R^{2} C^{2}<1 \Longrightarrow N=0 \tag{A.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2 C^{2}}$. Replacing in (A.2.8), $C^{2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$, and then the radius where curve $b$ with winding $w_{1}$ intersects curve $b$ with winding $w_{2}$ is $R^{-2}=2\left(w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right)$.

The constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(2 q_{1}+1\right) w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}} \Longrightarrow w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2} \text { is a perfect square } \tag{A.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w_{1}=w_{2}=w$ then $\left|2\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)\right|=\sqrt{2} w$. The LHS. is integer and the RHS is irrational, then there is no winding such that $b_{w, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w, q_{2}}(R)$.

## A.2.3 $\quad \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{w}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{1}}(\mathbf{R})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{w}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{2}}(\mathbf{R})$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{1} \pm \sqrt{2-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{1}}, \quad \frac{2 q_{1}^{2}-1}{w_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{A.2.11}\\
b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)=\frac{2 q_{2}+1 \pm_{2} \sqrt{1-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}}{w_{2}}, \quad \frac{2 q_{2}\left(q_{2}+1\right)}{w_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{A.2.12}\\
\mp_{1} w_{2} \sqrt{2-2 w_{1}^{2} R^{2}} \pm_{2} w_{1} \sqrt{1-2 w_{2}^{2} R^{2}}=2 q_{1} w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}=C \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{A.2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $w_{1}$ is always odd, then $C$ is also odd (in particular it is non-zero). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=\frac{1}{C^{2}}-\frac{\left(w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}-C^{2}\right)^{2}}{8 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} C^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad N^{2}=2-2 C^{2} R^{2} \tag{A.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=\frac{\left(1-2 q_{1}^{2}\right)}{w_{1}} w_{2}-\frac{2 q_{2}\left(q_{2}+1\right)}{w_{2}} w_{1}+q_{1}\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then $N=0$ or 1 , which give $R^{2}=\frac{1}{C^{2}}$ or $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2 C^{2}}$. From (A.2.14) we obtain $C^{2}=w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}$ or $C^{2}=\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$. Then the radii where a curve $a$ with $w_{1}$ intersects another curve $b$ with $w_{2}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad R^{-2}=2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{A.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each case we have one of these constraints:

$$
\left|2 q_{1} w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}} \quad \text { or }\left|2 q_{1} w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}
$$

and then $w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}$ or $\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$ must be a perfect square. If $w_{1}=w_{2}=w$ we get the constraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 q_{1}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right)\right|=\sqrt{3} \quad \text { or } \quad\left|2 q_{1}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right)\right|=1 \tag{A.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

leaving only the second case, with $q_{2}=q_{1}$ or $q_{1}-1$. The quantization conditions imply that $w$ must be a divisor of both $2 q_{1}^{2}-1$ and $2 q_{1}\left(q_{1} \pm 1\right)$. But it can be shown that these numbers are coprime, and then $w=1$. The only curves with the same windings that intersect are $a_{1, q}(R)$ and $b_{1, q}(R)$ or $b_{1, q-1}(R)$. The intersections are at $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Summarizing, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}} & \Longleftrightarrow R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}=C^{2} \\
b_{w_{1}, q_{1}}=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}} & \Longleftrightarrow R^{-2}=2\left(w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right)=2 C^{2} \\
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}} & \Longleftrightarrow R^{-2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}=C^{2} \\
2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right)=2 C^{2}
\end{array}\right. \tag{A.2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The winding numbers on $b$ can in principle be any positive integer and those on $a$ can only be the divisors of some number of the form $2 q^{2}-1, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## A.2.4 Enhancements to $S O(34)$ or $S O(18) \times E_{8}$

Here we prove that $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$ implies that there exist integers $w_{3}, q_{3}, w_{4}$ and $q_{4}$ such that $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=b_{w_{4}, q_{4}}(R)$.

We start with $R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}$. If $w_{1}>w_{2}$, there are integers $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$ such that $w_{1}=w_{3}+w_{4}$ and $w_{2}=w_{3}-w_{4}$, because $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are odd numbers. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+\left(2 w_{3}-w_{1}\right)^{2}=2\left(w_{1}^{2}-2 w_{3} w_{1}+w_{3}^{2}+w_{3}^{2}\right)=2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{3}\right)^{2}+w_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{A.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R^{-2}=2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{4}\right)^{2}+w_{4}^{2}\right)$ as well, there exist integers $w_{3}, w_{4}, q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ such that $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=$ $b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=b_{w_{4}, q_{4}}(R)$. Note that we can always find $q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ because the functions $b$ admit any value of $w$.

Replacing $w_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ and $w_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)$ we get

$$
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R) \Longrightarrow a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) / 2, q_{3}}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) / 2, q_{4}}(R)
$$

Note that we can also write the radius as $2\left(w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}\right)$. We want to satisfy

$$
(\sqrt{2} R)^{-1}=\left|2 q_{1} w_{3}-\left(2 q_{3}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\left|2 q_{1} w_{4}-\left(2 q_{4}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\left|\left(2 q_{3}+1\right) w_{4}-\left(2 q_{4}+1\right) w_{3}\right|
$$

and we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sqrt{2} R)^{-1} & =\left|q_{1} w_{2}-q_{2} w_{1}\right|=\left|2 q_{1} w_{3}-\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) w_{1}\right|=\left|2 q_{1} w_{4}-\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) w_{1}\right| \\
& =\left|\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) w_{4}-\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right) w_{3}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we need to identify $q_{1}+q_{2}=2 q_{3}+1, q_{1}-q_{2}=2 q_{4}+1$.
We still have to prove that $2 q_{3}\left(q_{3}+1\right)$ and $2 q_{4}\left(q_{4}+1\right)$ are divisible by $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$, respectively, which amounts to proving that

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{i} \text { is a divisor of } 2 q_{i}^{2}-1 \text { and }\left|q_{1} w_{2}-q_{2} w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{\frac{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}{2}}  \tag{A.2.19}\\
& \Longrightarrow w_{1} \pm w_{2} \text { is a divisor of }\left(q_{1} \pm q_{2}\right)^{2}-1
\end{align*}
$$

We checked that this is satisfied for the first 300 values of $q_{i}$.
Then we have that

$$
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R) \Longrightarrow b_{\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}-q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R) .
$$

To prove that $b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=b_{w_{4}, q_{4}}(R)$ implies that there exists integers $w_{1}, q_{1}, w_{2}$ and $q_{2}$ such that $b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$, we start with $R^{-2}=2\left(w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}\right)$. Define integers $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ such that $w_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ and $w_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)$ (we assume $\left.w_{3}>w_{4}\right)$,

$$
R^{-2}=2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{3}\right)^{2}+w_{3}^{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad R^{-2}=2\left(\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)^{2}+w_{3}^{2}\right) .
$$

But we still need to satisfy the constraint that $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are divisors of $2 q_{1}^{2}-1$ and $2 q_{2}^{2}-1$ for two integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. With the identifications $q_{1}+q_{2}=2 q_{3}+1, q_{1}-q_{2}=2 q_{4}+1$, we get the correct radius

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{-1}=\sqrt{2}\left|\left(2 q_{3}+1\right) w_{4}-\left(2 q_{4}+1\right) w_{3}\right|=\sqrt{2}\left|2 q_{1} w_{3}-\left(2 q_{3}+1\right) w_{1}\right|, \\
b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=b_{w_{4}, q_{4}}(R) \Longrightarrow b_{w_{3}, q_{3}}(R)=b_{w_{4}, q_{4}}(R)=a_{w_{3}+w_{4}, q_{3}+q_{4}+1}(R)=a_{w_{3}-w_{4}, q_{3}-q_{4}}(R) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We still have to prove that $2 q_{1}^{2}-1$ and $2 q_{2}^{2}-1$ are divisible by $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, respectively. This is the same as proving that

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{i} \text { is a divisor of } 2 q_{i}\left(q_{i}+1\right) \text { and }\left|\left(2 q_{3}+1\right) w_{4}-\left(2 q_{4}+1\right) w_{3}\right|=\sqrt{w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}}  \tag{A.2.20}\\
& \Longrightarrow w_{3} \pm w_{4} \text { is a divisor of } 2\left[\left(q_{3}+1 / 2\right) \pm\left(q_{4}+1 / 2\right)\right]^{2}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

which we checked is satisfied.
In conclusion, we have that, for $R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}, a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R) \Longleftrightarrow$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=a_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}-q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R) \\
\Longleftrightarrow b_{\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R)=b_{\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) / 2,\left(q_{1}-q_{2}-1\right) / 2}(R) .
\end{array}
$$

The Wilson lines that give this enhancement can be written in four different ways

$$
\frac{2 q_{1}}{w_{1}} \pm_{1} \sqrt{2} R \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}}=\frac{2 q_{2}}{w_{2}} \pm_{2} \sqrt{2} R \frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}=\frac{2 q_{3}+1}{w_{3}} \pm_{3} \sqrt{2} R \frac{w_{4}}{w_{3}}=\frac{2 q_{4}+1}{w_{4}} \pm_{4} \sqrt{2} R \frac{w_{3}}{w_{4}}
$$

Using that $w_{3}=\frac{w_{1}+w_{2}}{2}, w_{4}=\frac{w_{1}-w_{2}}{2}, q_{3}=\frac{q_{1}+q_{2}-1}{2}$ and $q_{4}=\frac{q_{1}-q_{2}-1}{2}$, after a few steps, we get
$\mp_{4}= \pm_{3}= \pm_{2}=\mp_{1}$ and then the Wilson lines are

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{1}}{w_{1}} \pm \frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} \sqrt{2} R, \quad A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{2}}{w_{2}} \mp \frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} \sqrt{2} R,  \tag{A.2.21}\\
& A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{3}+1}{w_{3}} \mp \frac{w_{4}}{w_{3}} \sqrt{2} R, \quad A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{4}+1}{w_{4}} \pm \frac{w_{3}}{w_{4}} \sqrt{2} R
\end{align*}
$$

From here,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sqrt{2} R)^{-1}=\mp\left(q_{1} w_{2}-q_{2} w_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then, after a few steps, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R}, \quad \frac{\mathbb{A}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \AA^{2}+1\right) \quad \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining integers $m=(\sqrt{2} R)^{-1}$ and $n=\mathbb{A} / \sqrt{2}$, all this type of enhancement points are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R, A_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{m \sqrt{2}}, \frac{n}{m}\right) \quad \text { such that } \frac{n^{2}+1}{2 m} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-2}=2,50,338,578,1250,1682,2738,3362,5618,7442,8450,10658, \ldots \tag{A.2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are all of the form $2 C^{2}$ with $C$ an integer with prime divisors congruent to $1 \bmod 4$. That is: $1,5,13,17,25,29,37,41,53,61,65,73,85,89,97,101,109, \ldots$ Except for the 1 , these numbers are all Pythagorean primes or multiples of them.

We want to see if the $b$ lines considered here can be interposed with a $c$ line. $q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ are suitable for curves $b$ with $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$. For curves $c$ to coincide with them, we need $w_{i}$ even and $\frac{q_{i}\left(q_{i}+1\right)}{w_{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If one of the two curves $b$ has also a curve $c$ then we have an intersection between an $a$ and a curve. Analyzing all the possibilities, it can be shown that there are no $c$ curves that intersect with more than one other curve.

## A.2.5 Enhancements to $S U(2) \times S O(32)$ or $S U(2) \times E_{8} \times E_{8}$

The equality $a_{w_{1}, q_{1}}(R)=b_{w_{2}, q_{2}}(R)$ arises for two type of radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad R^{-2}=2\left(\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{A.2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second type gives $R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+w_{3}^{2}$ if $w_{2}=\frac{w_{3}+w_{1}}{2}$, which implies that there is an intersection with another curve $a$ of winding $w_{3}$. Then, we restrict to the first type, where $R^{-2}$ is odd for odd $w_{1}^{2}$. Thus the even $R^{-2}$ found in the previous section cannot have additional curves $a$ or $b$ on the intersection.

For $R^{-2}=w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}$, the constraints are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 q_{1} w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}\right|=\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}}, \quad \frac{2 q_{1}^{2}-1}{w_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{2 q_{2}\left(q_{2}+1\right)}{w_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Wilson line can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{1} \pm_{1} 2 R w_{2}}{w_{1}} \quad \text { or } \quad A_{1}=\frac{2 q_{2}+1 \pm_{2} R w_{1}}{w_{2}} \tag{A.2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equating them leads to $\pm_{2}=\mp_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-1}=\mp\left(2 q_{1} w_{2}-\left(2 q_{2}+1\right) w_{1}\right), \tag{A.2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that $R^{-1}$ is an odd number. After some algebra, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R}, \quad \mathbb{A}, \quad R\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}^{2}+1\right) \quad \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then all this type of enhancement points satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(R, A_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{m}, \frac{2 n}{m}\right) \tag{A.2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for integer $m=R^{-1}$ and $n=\frac{R^{-1} A_{1}}{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-1}=3,9,11,17,19,27,33,41,43,51,57,59, \ldots \tag{A.2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

all integer numbers with prime divisors congruent to 1 or $3(\bmod 8)$.
It is not hard to prove that all the curves $b$ that intersect just one curve $a$ are superimposed by a curve $c$ (in the $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$ case).

## A. 3 Other slices of moduli space

Here we analyze two-dimensional slices of moduli space given by the radius and one parameter in the Wilson lines. First we consider the HO theory compactified with Wilson lines of the form $A^{I}=$ $\left((A)_{p}, 0_{16-p}\right)$. We then show how the Generalized Dynkin Diagrams give us the points of enhancement located in the fundamental region (in the conventions of Section 2.4).

## A.3.1 Slices for the HO theory

The results are summarized in the following figures, after which we present the calculations leading to them.


Figure A.1: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left(A, 0_{15}\right)$


Figure A.2: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{2}, 0_{14}\right)$


Figure A.3: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{3}, 0_{13}\right)$


Figure A.4: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{4}, 0_{12}\right)$


Figure A.5: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{5}, 0_{11}\right)$


Figure A.6: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{6}, 0_{10}\right)$


Figure A.7: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{7}, 0_{9}\right)$


Figure A.8: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{8}, 0_{8}\right)$

$S U(9) \times S O(14) \times U(1)^{2}$
$\square S U(2) \times S U(9) \times U(1) \times S O(14)$

Figure A.9: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{9}, 0_{7}\right)$


Figure A.10: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{10}, 0_{6}\right)$

$\square S U(11) \times S O(10) \times U(1)^{2}$
$\square S U(2) \times S U(11) \times S O(10) \times U(1)$
$\square \quad S U(12) \times S O(10) \times U(1)$
$\square \quad S O(22) \times S O(10) \times U(1)$

| $\square$ | $S U(11) \times S O(12) \times U(1)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | $S O(32) \times U(1)$ |
| $\square$ | $S U(11) \times E_{6} \times U(1)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S U(2) \times S U(12) \times S O(10) \times U(1)$ |

$\square+\square+\square+\square \quad S O(34)$
$\square+\square+\square+\square \quad S U(11) \times E_{7}$
$\square+\square+\square \quad S O(24) \times S O(10)$
$\square+\square+\square \quad S U(13) \times S O(10)$
$\square+\square \quad S O(22) \times E_{6}$
$\square+\square \quad S U(12) \times E_{6}$
$\square+\square S U(2) \times S U(11) \times E_{6}$
$\square+\square S U(2) \times S O(32)$

Figure A.11: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{11}, 0_{5}\right)$


Figure A.12: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{12}, 0_{4}\right)$
$S U(13) \times S O(6) \times U(1)^{2}$
$\square$
$S U(2) \times S U(13) \times S O(6) \times U(1)$
$\square S U(14) \times S O(6) \times U(1)$
$\square S O(26) \times S O(6) \times U(1)$
$\square S U(13) \times S O(8) \times U(1)$

| $\square$ | $S O(32) \times U(1)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | $S U(13) \times S U(5) \times U(1)$ |
| $\square$ | $S U(17) \times U(1)$ |
| $\square+\square+\square+\square$ | $S O(34)$ |
| $\square+\square+\square$ | $S U(13) \times S O(10)$ |
| $\square+\square+\square+\square$ | $S O(28) \times S O(6)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S U(2) \times S U(13) \times S U(5)$ |
| $\square+\square+\square+\square$ | $S U(13) \times S O(10)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S U(2) \times S O(32)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S U(2) \times S U(2) \times S U(17)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S O(26) \times S U(5)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $S U(18)$ |
| $+\square+\square$ | $S U(14) \times S U(5)$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $+\square$ |

Figure A.13: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{13}, 0_{3}\right)$


Figure A.14: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{14}, 0_{2}\right)$


Figure A.15: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{15}, 0\right)$

$\square S U(16) \times U(1)^{2}$
$\square S U(2) \times S U(16) \times U(1)$
$\square S O(32) \times U(1)$
$\square+\square S U(2) \times S O(32)$
$\square+\square S O(4) \times S U(16)$

Figure A.16: HO with Wilson line $A^{I}=\left((A)_{16}\right)$
For Wilson lines of type $A^{I}=\left((A)_{p}, 0_{16-p}\right)$ there are families of curves of enhancement parameterized by three integer numbers $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$. Inside each family there are different curves corresponding to different winding numbers and different integer values for $q$. If $R$ is sufficiently small then $w$ can be arbitrarily large.

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{w, \alpha, \beta, \delta}(R) & =\frac{p q+\alpha-\frac{p \delta}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\alpha-\frac{p \delta}{2}\right)^{2}-p\left(|\alpha|-\delta \alpha+\beta+4 \delta-2+2 w^{2} R^{2}\right)}}{p w} \\
& =\frac{p q+\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}-p\left(\lambda+2 w^{2} R^{2}\right)}}{p w}, \tag{A.3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we defined:

$$
\mu=\alpha-\frac{p \delta}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=|\alpha|-\delta \alpha+\beta+4 \delta-2
$$

The massless states associated with each family of curves are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\left(\underline{\left(q \pm\left(1-\frac{3}{2} \delta\right)\right)_{\alpha},\left(q \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_{p-\alpha}}, \underline{\left.\beta \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta,\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_{15-p}\right) .}\right. \tag{A.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The possible values of the parameters are listed in the following table, with the color we use to identify them on the figures and the corresponding gauge group.

| Colour | $\delta$ | $\beta$ | $\|\alpha\|$ | Gauge group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $A_{p-1} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $A_{1} \times A_{p-1} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | $A_{p} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | $D_{p} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square$ | 0 | $E_{p} \times D_{16-p}$ |  |  |
| $\square$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $A_{p-1} \times D_{17-p}$ |
| $\square$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $D_{16}$ |
| $\square$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\square$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $D_{16}$ for $p=12$, |
| $\square$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | $A_{16}$ for $p=13, \quad A_{15} \times A_{1}$ for $p=14, \quad A_{15} \times D_{1}$ for $p=15$ |
| $\square$ |  | $D_{16}$ |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |

The number of states for each of these curves is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\binom{p}{|\alpha|}(32-2 p)^{\beta} 2^{\left(15-p+\delta_{p, 16}\right) \delta} \tag{A.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The allowed values for $q$ and $w$ are the ones that satisfy the quantization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p q^{2}+2 \mu q+\lambda}{2 w} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For arbitrary $A$, we get the $3 p^{2}-63 p+480$ roots of $U(1)^{2} \times S U(p) \times S O(32-2 p)$. If $A$ is half-integer we get the $4 p^{2}-64 p+480$ roots of $U(1) \times S O(2 p) \times S O(32-2 p)$, so we can think of them as part of the family with $(\delta, \beta, \alpha)=(0,0,2)$ and $w=0$ which give $p^{2}-p$ additional states. For $p=2(0,0,2)$ is equivalent to $(0,0,0)$.

If $A_{1}$ is integer we get the 480 roots of $S O(32) \times U(1)$, so we can think of them as part of the family with $(\delta, \beta, \alpha)=(0,1,1)$ and $w=0$ superimposed with another one of the family with $(\delta, \beta, \alpha)=(0,0,2)$ and $w=0$, which give $63 p-3 p^{2}=\left(64 p-4 p^{2}\right)+\left(p^{2}-p\right)$ additional states. For $p=16$ we only have the $(0,0,2)$. We can classify some of the enhancements by the colors of the curves that intersect, we list them on the table below:

| Colours | Gauge group |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square+\square$ | $A_{1} \times D_{16}$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $A_{1} \times A_{p-1} \times E_{17-p}$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $E_{p+1} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $A_{p} \times E_{17-p}$ |
| $\square+\square$ | $A_{2} \times A_{p-1} \times D_{16-p}$ |
| $\square+\square$ |  |

## A.3.2 Relation to Generalized Dynkin Diagrams

Here we show how some of the previous enhancement curves and points can be obtained from the Generalized Dynkin Diagram in (2.4.3).

For Wilson lines of the form $\left(0_{16-p},(A)_{p}\right)$ and at any radius, then the inequality $-A_{2} \leq A_{1}$, as well as all the $A_{i} \leq A_{i+1}$ inequalities are saturated except for $A_{16-p}=A_{17-p}$. This means that the gauge group is given by the generalized diagram with all the nodes except for $16,18,19$ and $16-p$. Then the diagram that gives the enhancement symmetry is:

which corresponds to the $A_{p-1} \times D_{16-p}\left(\times U(1)^{2}\right)=S U(p) \times S O(32-2 p)\left(\times U(1)^{2}\right)$ at a generic value of $A$ and $R$. Choosing particular values for them, we can saturate one or more inequalities associated to the missing nodes. To obtain the horizontal lines we have to pick an arbitrary $R$, which discards the nodes 18 and 19 . To get the nodes $16-p$ or 16 we have only one possibility: $A=0$ for the former, and $A=\frac{1}{2}$ for the latter. We get, respectively:

and hence the gauge groups are $D_{16}=S O(32)(\times U(1))$ and $D_{p} \times D_{16-p}=S O(2 p) \times S O(32-2 p)$ $(\times U(1))$ (blue and cyan lines). Finally, choosing a specific value of $R$, the inequality associated to the 18th or 19th node (not both at the same time) can be saturated. This gives maximal enhancements. In the $D_{16}$ case, the only possibility is to add the 18 th node, which gives $A_{1} \times D_{16}$ (intersection between a blue and a red curve):


In the $D_{p} \times D_{16-p}$ case, one can add the 18th or the 19th node, depending on which part of the
diagram has less than 8 nodes


This accounts for $D_{p} \times E_{17-p}$ (intersection between a cyan and other curves) and $E_{p+1} \times D_{16-p}$ (intersection between a cyan and a purple curve).

For $R(A)$ (with arbitrary $A$ ) saturating the inequality associated to the 18th node, we obtain $A_{1} \times A_{p-1} \times D_{16-p}$ (red curves):


And in particular for $A=\frac{4}{p}$, we have:

(A.3.12)
which gives the gauge group $A_{1} \times E_{17-p} \times A_{p-1}$, considered in Section 2.3.3.4 and seen in the figures at the intersections between the red and purple curves.

On the other hand, choosing $R(A)$ so that it saturates the inequality associated to the 19th node, we obtain $E_{17-p} \times A_{p-1}$ (purple curves):


Then we can color the dots on the Generalized Dynkin Diagram depending on which curves saturate their inequality:


The enhancements corresponding to each curve are obtained by removing all the colored nodes except the node with that color. The intersections of curves give the group associated to the diagram obtained
by keeping the nodes with the colors of the involved curves.
Something odd happens for $p=1$


For generic $A$ and $R$, this is $D_{15}$. For $A=1$ (cyan dot) we get $D_{16}$ and if we also take $R^{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ (red dot) we get $D_{17}$. If, on the other hand, we take $A=0$ (blue dot) then we get $D_{16}$ and if we also select $R^{2}=1$ (red dot) we get $A_{1} \times D_{16}$. If we only take the appropriate $R$ to have the red dot, then we get $A_{1} \times D_{15}$. To compare with figure A. 1 we have to take into account that the cyan solutions are not well defined for $p<2$, and then we see them as blue curves.

For $p=15$, the equation for the seventeenth node no longer holds, and then we have:


For generic $A$ and $R$ this is $A_{14}$. Selecting a specific $R$, we can turn on the red and/or the purple nodes to get $A_{1} \times A_{14}$ or $D_{2} \times A_{14}$. Selecting $A=\frac{1}{2}$ (cyan dot) we obtain $D_{15}$ and for $A=0$ both blue dots are turned on and we get $D_{16}$. Only choosing $R=1$ (red dot) we get $A_{1} \times D_{16}$.

For $p=16$ we have a very different situation:


For generic $A$ and $R$ this is $A_{15}$. Selecting a specific $R$, the red and/or the purple nodes are turned on and we get $A_{1} \times A_{15}$ or $D_{2} \times A_{15}$. Selecting $A=\frac{1}{2}$ (cyan dot) we obtain $D_{16}$ and for $A=0$ (orange dot) we get $D_{16}$. Only choosing $R=1$ (red or purple dot) we get $A_{1} \times D_{16}$.

The enhancements of the curves that correspond to the other colors cannot be obtained with this construction. On one hand we see from the figures that the Wilson lines that give these curves are not in the fundamental region in the conventions of Section 2.4. On the other hand, if this region is the fundamental region, it should contain all the possible enhancement groups, and as such all the curves with the different colors. However, it is easy to see that using this method, the Wilson lines in the fundamental region that give the missing enhancement groups are not of the form chosen, with $p$ equal components and the other zero. For example, to obtain the enhancement $A_{p} \times D_{16-p}$ corresponding to the yellow curves, we would need to replace the 15th node with the 16th one (and then add the 18th one), which requires $A_{16}=1-A_{15}$ which is not within the ansatz chosen for the Wilson lines.

## Appendix B

## Supplements to Chapter 3

## B. 1 Notation and basics concerning lattices

$L$, even positive definite lattice of rank $r$
Typically $L$ will be the sum of ADE root lattices. There is a basis formed by roots $\alpha_{i}$ with $\alpha_{i}^{2}=2$. The Gram matrix of $L$ has elements $\alpha_{i} \cdot \alpha_{j}$. It is equal to the Cartan matrix when $L$ is the root lattice of an ADE group.
$d(L)$, discriminant of $L$
It is defined to be the determinant of the Gram matrix of $L$. By assumption $d(L) \neq 0$.
$L^{*}$, dual lattice
Lattice generated by the weights $w_{i}$ defined by $w_{i} \cdot \alpha_{j}=\delta_{i j}$. Clearly $L \subset L^{*}$.

## $A_{L}$, discriminant group

It is defined as $A_{L}=L^{*} / L$, also named $D_{L}$ or $G_{L}$ in the literature.
It can be shown that $A_{L}$ is a finite Abelian group of order $d(L)$.
Since $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is unimodular, its discriminant group is trivial. For $L=\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{D}_{2 m+1}, \mathrm{D}_{2 m}, \mathrm{E}_{6}, \mathrm{E}_{7}$,
$A_{L} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
$\ell\left(A_{L}\right)$, minimal number of generators of $A_{L}$
For example, for $L=2 \mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{6}, \ell\left(A_{L}\right)=2$, because $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{7} \sim \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{21}$. Notice that $\ell\left(A_{L}\right) \leq r$.
$\underline{q_{L}, \text { discriminant quadratic form }}$
It is a $\operatorname{map} q_{L}: A_{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, x+L \mapsto x^{2} \bmod 2$.
For example for $L=\mathrm{A}_{n}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ is generated by the class of the fundamental weight [ $w_{1}$ ]. Thus $q_{L}\left(\left[w_{1}\right]\right)=w_{1}^{2}=\frac{n}{n+1}$, whereas $q_{L}\left(\left[w_{j}\right]\right)=w_{j}^{2}=\frac{j(n+1-j)}{n+1}=\frac{j^{2} n}{n+1}$, with equalities mod 2 .

For $L=\mathrm{D}_{2 m+1}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ is generated by the spinor class $[s]$ with $q_{L}([s])=\frac{2 m+1}{4}$.
For $\mathrm{D}_{2 m}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. One $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is generated by the spinor class $[s]$ with $q_{L}([s])=\frac{m}{2}$, and the other $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by the vector class $[v]$ with $q_{L}([v])=1$.

For $\mathrm{E}_{6}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is generated by the fundamental weights of $[\mathbf{2 7}]$ with $q_{L}([\mathbf{2 7}])=\frac{4}{3}$.
For $\mathrm{E}_{7}, A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is generated by the fundamental weights of $[\mathbf{5 6}]$ with $q_{L}([\mathbf{5 6}])=\frac{3}{2}$.
$\underline{T \text {, even positive definite lattice of rank } d}$
It is characterized by the Gram matrix $(Q)_{i j}=u_{i} \cdot u_{j}$, where $u_{i}$ are the basis vectors.
A generic even 1 dimensional lattice, denoted $\mathrm{A}_{1}\langle m\rangle$, is a multiple by $m$ of the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ lattice. It is generated by a vector $u_{1}$ with $u_{1}^{2}=2 m$ and has discriminant group $\mathbb{Z}_{2 m}$, in turn generated by $\left(u_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 m}$.

We will mostly consider $d=2$ and as in [14], represent $Q$ as $\left[u_{1}^{2}, u_{1} \cdot u_{2}, u_{2}^{2}\right]$. For classification of even 2-dimensional lattices see chapter 15 in [49], and section 2 in [14] for a short account. $Q$ can
be brought to Smith normal form $\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$, with positive integer entries. Then $A_{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{s_{2}}$. Notice that if $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are coprimes then $A_{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{s_{1} s_{2}}$. We will also need to compute the discriminant form $q_{T}$. From $Q^{-1}$ we can read off $u_{i}^{*} \cdot u_{j}^{*}$, where $u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*}$ are the basis vectors of the dual lattice $T^{*}$. Besides, $Q^{-1}$ gives the $e_{i}^{*}$ in terms of $e_{i}$. With this data we can then find the generators of $A_{T}$ and derive $q_{T}$. For example, for $T$ with $Q=[2,1,4], A_{T} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{7}$ and $Q^{-1}=\left[\frac{4}{7},-\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}\right]$. The generator of $A_{T}$ can be taken to be $u_{2}^{*}$ which satisfies $7 u_{2}^{*}=-u_{1}+2 u_{2} \in T$, and has the lowest norm. Then $q_{T}$ takes values $\frac{2 j^{2}}{7} \bmod 2, j=0, \ldots, 6$.
$H_{L}$, isotropic subgroup of $A_{L}$
$H_{L} \subset A_{L}$ is isotropic if $\left.q_{L}\right|_{H}=0$.
For instance, for $L=\mathrm{A}_{8}$, with $A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{9}$, the subgroup $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ generated by $w_{3} \sim 3 w_{1}$ is isotropic because $q_{L}\left(\left[w_{3}\right]\right)=\frac{18}{9}=2=0 \bmod 2$.

Another example is $L=\mathrm{D}_{8}$, with $A_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Now there is an isotropic $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ generated by the spinor class with $s^{2}=\frac{8}{4}=2=0 \bmod 2$.

An important example is $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}$ which has an isotropic group $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ generated by the spinor weight with $s^{2}=\frac{16}{4}=4=0 \bmod 2$.

## Orthogonal complement

Given a sublattice $S$ of $\Gamma, S \subset \Gamma$, the orthogonal complement of $S$ in $\Gamma$ is defined to be the set $S^{\perp}=\{x \in \Gamma \mid x . y=0 \forall y \in S\}$.

## M, overlattice of $L$

If $L \subset M$ and the index $[M: L]$ is finite then $M$ is an overlattice of $L$. This means that $M$ and $L$ have the same rank. In fact, $[M: L]^{2}=d(L) / d(M)$. The index is also denoted by $|M / L|$.

The important Proposition 1.4.1 of Nikulin states that the set of even overlattices of $L$ corresponds bijectively with the set of isotropic subgroups of $A_{L}$ [16]. The overlattice corresponding to $H_{L}$ can be constructed as $M_{H}=\left\{x \in L^{*} \mid[x \bmod L] \in H_{L}\right\}$. (see e.g. proposition $\alpha$ in [44]). This means that the elements of $M_{H}$ are weights that can be written as roots plus generators in $H_{L}$. Besides, the discriminant form $q_{M_{H}}$ is given by the discriminant form $q_{L}$ restricted to $H_{L}^{\perp} / H_{L}$. Orthogonality is defined with respect to the bilinear quadratic form $b_{L}$ [44]. In practice, $y \in H_{L}^{\perp}$ if $y \in A_{L}$ and $y \cdot x=$ integer for all $x \in H_{L}$. To avoid cluttering we will drop the subscript in $M_{H}$ when $H_{L}$ has been specified.

As an example, take $L=\mathrm{A}_{8}$ and $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ so that $M / L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $d(M)=\frac{9}{3^{2}}=1$. Then $M$ has elements $x=y+n w_{3}$, with $y \in L$ and $n=0,1,2$. It can be shown that this $M$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, which is the unique rank 8 even unimodular lattice.

For $L=\mathrm{D}_{8}$ the overlattice associated to $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has elements $x=y+n s$, with $y \in L$ and $n=0,1$. This is nothing but $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, as expected since the overlattice has $d(M)=\frac{4}{2^{2}}=1$.

For $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}$ the overlattice corresponding to $H_{L}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is the even unimodular lattice $\Gamma_{16}$ with elements $x=y+n s$, with $y \in L$ and $n=0,1$. Unimodularity follows from $M / L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ implying $d(M)=\frac{4}{2^{2}}=1 . \Gamma_{16}$ is the HO lattice.
$M_{\text {root }}$, root sublattice of $M$
It is the sublattice of $M$ generated by roots, i.e. by vectors of norm 2 .
For example, for the overlattice of $L=\mathrm{D}_{16}, M_{\text {root }}=L$. For $L=\mathrm{D}_{8}$ this is not the case because the overlattice $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ has many more roots. This reflects the fact that for $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ the additional element $s$ in the overlattice has $s^{2}=2$.
$\underline{\text { Primitive embedding }}$

A lattice $S$ is primitively embedded in another lattice $\Gamma$ if $S \subset \Gamma$ and $\Gamma / S$ is torsion-free.
For example, $\mathrm{A}_{8} \subset \mathrm{E}_{8}$ but the embedding is not primitive because $\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{A}_{8} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ as explained above. An example of primitive embedding is $\mathrm{A}_{3} \subset \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Since $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ has rank 3 and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is even unimodular, this follows from Theorem 1.12 .4 of Nikulin [16] quoted below. It can then be shown that $D_{5} \subset \mathrm{E}_{8}$ is primitive because $D_{5}$ is the orthogonal complement of $A_{3}$ in $E_{8}$, and also that $E_{8}$ is an overlattice of $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$.

Nikulin's Theorem 1.12.4 [16]
Every even lattice of signature $\left(t_{(-)}, t_{(+)}\right)$admits a primitive embedding in an even unimodular lattice of signature $\left(l_{(-)}, l_{(+)}\right)$, with $l_{(+)}-l_{(-)} \equiv 0 \bmod 8$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{(+)} \leq l_{(+)}, \quad t_{(-)} \leq l_{(-)}, \quad t_{(+)}+t_{(-)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(l_{(+)}+l_{(-)}\right) \tag{B.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $r \leq(8+d)$ then $L$ of signature $(0, r)$ admits a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$.

## B. 2 Complements to Section 3.2

In this appendix we present some additional material for the discussion of the lattice embedding formalism.

## B.2.1 Embeddings of groups with rank $r<d+16$

The problem is now to embed $L$ of signature $(0, r), r<d+16$, in the even unimodular Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$. In this case there are also three criteria that read

Criterion 1, from Corollary 1.12.3 [16]
If $\ell\left(A_{L}\right)<16+2 d-r$ then $L$ has an embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$.

Criterion 2, from Theorem 1.12.2(c) [16]
$L$ has a primitive embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ if and only if there exists a lattice $T$ of signature $(d, d+16-r)$ such that $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A_{L}, q_{L}\right)$.

## Criterion 3, from Theorem 7.1 [15]

$L$ has an embedding in $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ if and only if $L$ has an overlattice $M$ with the following properties:
(i) there exists an even lattice $T$ of signature $(d, d+16-r)$ such that $\left(A_{T}, q_{T}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right)$,
(ii) the sublattice $M_{\text {root }}$ of $M$ coincides with $L$.

Recall that Theorem 1.12.4 [16] further implies that when $r \leq(8+d)$ there is always a primitive embedding. The above criteria clearly reduce to those in Section 3.2.1 setting $r=d+16$. The lattice $T$ now has indefinite signature so the application would be more complicated.

## B.2.2 More on the complementary lattice $T$ of signature $(0, d)$

In Section 3.2.2 we have argued that $T=K\langle-1\rangle$. To complete the proof that $\left(A_{M}, q_{M}\right) \cong\left(A_{K},-q_{K}\right)$ we can use the following theorem of [49]: Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be two sublattices of a unimodular lattice $L_{3}$ such that ${ }^{1}$

$$
L_{1} \oplus L_{2} \subset L_{3}, \quad L_{1}=\left(L_{1} \otimes \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L_{3}, \quad L_{2}=\left(L_{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}\right) \cap L_{3} .
$$

Then the discriminant groups $L_{1}^{*} / L_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{*} / L_{2}$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism is given by $y_{1}+L_{1} \rightarrow$ $y_{2}+L_{2}$, where $y_{1} \in L_{1}^{*} / L_{1}$ and $y_{2} \in L_{2}^{*} / L_{2}$, whenever $y=y_{1}+y_{2}$ generates an isotropic subgroup of $L_{1} \oplus L_{2}$.

To apply this theorem to our problem we take $L_{1}=M, L_{2}=K$, and $L_{3}=\Gamma_{d, d+16}$, with $K$ and $M$ given in (3.2.3) and (3.2.8). We have $M \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R}^{0, d+16}$ and $K \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R}^{d, 0}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{R}^{0, d+16} \cap \Gamma_{d, d+16}=M$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d, 0} \cap \Gamma_{d, d+16}=K$. It follows that $M$ and $K$ have isomorphic discriminant groups. It remains to show that they have isomorphic discriminant forms. The Narain lattice $\Gamma_{d, d+16}$ is generated by the lattice sum $M \oplus K$ together with some isotropic vectors (glue vectors in the language of [49]). These vectors are generically of the form $y=y_{1}+y_{2}$, where $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are non-trivial vectors in the discriminant groups of $M$ and $K$, respectively, and are connected by the discriminant group isomorphism. Since $y$ must be even, we have $y^{2}=0 \bmod 2$. Therefore, $y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=0 \bmod 2$, because $M$ and $K$ are orthogonal. We thus find $y_{1}^{2}=-y_{2}^{2} \bmod 2$. This shows that $q_{M} \cong-q_{K}$, and so $T$ as defined is the complementary lattice of $M$.

[^34]
## Appendix C

## Supplements to Chapter 5

## Examples of freezings

Here we give some examples of freezings of gauge groups in 6d heterotic strings. For simplicity we use the A-to-G notation for gauge groups. Whenever the length of an A factor is not 2, superscript indicates half its length.
a) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ generated by $k=(0,0,3,3)$, with center $(2,2,12,4)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,6,2)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(0,0,1,3)$ | $(2,2,2,6)$ |
| $(0,0,9,1)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2}^{4}$ | 1 |  | $(2,2,2,3)$ |

b) $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ generated by $k=(2,2,2)$, with center $(4,12,3)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2,6,0)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $(1,0,2)$ | $(3,2,6)$ |
| $(0,4,1)$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(2,0,2)$ | $(4,1,4)$ |
| $(2,2,2)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{6}$ | 1 |  | $(1,2,2)$ |

c) $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ generated by $k=(1,1,1,4,4,1)$, with center $(2,2,2,5,5,10)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1,0,0,5)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{4}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $(4,4,1)$ | $(5,5,5)$ |
| $(0,0,0,3,3,2)$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1,1,1)$ | $(2,2,2,2)$ |

d) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,2,4,1)$ and $k_{2}=(1,2,0,2,1)$, with center $(3,3,6,6,3)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,2,4,1)$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $(1,1,0,0,0)$ | $(3,3,1,2,2)$ |
| $(2,1,2,2,0)$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $(1,0,0)$ | $(3,2,2)$ |
| $(1,2,0,2,1)$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $(2,0,0)$ | $(6,1,2)$ |

e) $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{10}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,0,1,0,1)$ and $k_{2}=(1,1,1,0,1,0)$, with center $(2,2,2,(2,2), 2)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}+\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,0)$ | $(2,1)$ |
| $(1,1,1,0,1,0)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1)$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $(0,0,0,1,0,1)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{5}+\mathrm{F}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1,1,1,0)$ | $(2,2,2,2,1)$ |

f) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{11}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ generated by $k=(1,1,2,2,1,1)$, with center $(2,2,3,3,4,12)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,0,0,2,6)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $(1,1,2,2,1,1)$ | $(2,2,3,3,2,6)$ |
| $(0,0,2,2,0,4)$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{3}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $(1,1,1,1)$ | $(2,2,4,4)$ |
| $(1,1,0,0,3,3)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $(2,2,1)$ | $(3,3,3)$ |
| $(0,0,1,1,2,2)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1,1,1)$ | $(2,2,2,2)$ |

g) $\mathrm{A}_{1}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{4}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,3,3,(1,1))$ and $\left.k_{2}=(0,1,1,2,(0,1))\right)$, with center $(2,6,6,6,(2,2))$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,3,3,(1,1))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $(0,5,1,1,2)$ | $(2,6,2,3,3)$ |
| $(0,2,2,4,(0,0))$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,(1,0), 0,1,1)$ <br> $(0,(0,1), 1,0,1)$ | $(2,(2,2), 2,2,2)$ |
| $(0,1,1,2,(0,1))$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(0,1,1)$ | $(2,2,2)$ |

h) $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,1,1,0,2,2)$ and $k_{2}=(1,1,1,1,0,0,4)$, with center $(2,2,2,2,3,8,8)$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1,1,0,0,4)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $(0,2,2)$ | $(3,8,4)$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,0,4,4)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,1,0,1,0,2,2)$ <br> $(1,0,1,0,0,2,2)$ | $(2,2,2,2,3,4,4)$ |
| $(0,0,1,1,0,2,2)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1,0,0,0)$ | $(2,2,3,2,2)$ |

i) $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{D}_{5}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,0,0,1,3,1,3)$ and $k_{2}=(1,1,1,1,0,0,2,2)$, with center ( $2,2,2,2,4,4,4,4$ ) can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,1,1,1,2,2,0,0)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $(1,3,1,1)$ | $(4,4,2,2)$ |
| $(1,1,1,1,0,0,2,2)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $(1,3,1,1)$ | $(4,4,2,2)$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,2,2,2,2)$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{C}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0)$ <br> $(0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1)$ | $(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$ |
| $(0,0,0,0,1,3,1,3)$ | $\left(2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{3}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $(1,1,1,1,0,0)$ | $(2,2,2,2,2,2)$ |

j) $5 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ with $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3}$ generated by $k_{1}=(0,0,0,0,1,(0,1), 2,(0,1))$, $\left.k_{2}=(0,1,1,1,0,(0,0), 2,(1,0))\right)$ and $\left.k_{3}=(1,0,0,1,0,(1,1), 2,(1,1))\right)$, with center $(2,2,2,2,2,(2,2), 4,(2,2))$ can be frozen to

| $k_{\Omega}$ | Singularity | L | H | k | Center |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,0,0,1,(0,1), 2,(0,1))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,1,1,1,0,1,0)$ <br> $(1,0,0,1,1,1,0)$ | $(2,2,2,2,2,2)$ |
| $(0,1,1,1,0,(0,0), 2,(1,0))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,1,1,0,(0,1))$ <br> $(1,0,1,0,(1,1))$ | $(2,2,2,2,(2,2))$ |
| $(0,1,1,1,1,(0,1), 0,(1,1))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,0,1,2)$ <br> $(1,1,0,2)$ | $(2,2,2,4)$ |
| $(1,0,0,1,0,(1,1), 2,(1,1))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,1,1,0,0,1)$ <br> $(1,0,0,1,0,1)$ | $(2,2,2,2,2,2)$ |
| $(1,0,0,1,1,(1,0), 0,(1,0))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,0,1,1,2)$ <br> $(1,1,0,0,2)$ | $(2,2,2,2,4)$ |
| $(1,1,1,0,0,(1,1), 0,(0,1))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(1,0,1,0,2)$ <br> $(0,1,0,1,2)$ | $(2,2,2,2,4)$ |
| $(1,1,1,0,1,(1,0), 2,(0,0))$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $(0,1,0,(1,0))$ <br> $(1,0,0,(0,1))$ | $(2,2,2,(2,2))$ |

## Appendix D

## Tables of gauge groups

## D. 1 Groups of maximal enhancement in 9 d and 8 d

In this appendix we present the Tables containing all the groups of maximal enhancement in one and two dimensions. The list of groups realized in $S^{1}$ compactifications of the heterotic string is displayed in Table D.1. The groups realized in $T^{2}$ compactifications of the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ heterotic string are shown in Table D.2. To simplify notation we dropped the primes in the $\mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ weights. In Table D. 3 we give the realization of some of these groups in the $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ theory. Tables D.4, D. 5 and D. 6 contain the groups for the CHL string in 9 d and 8 d .

| \# | $L$ | $A_{L}$ | $H_{L}$ | $T$ | $R_{\text {E }}^{2}$ | $A_{\text {E }}$ | $R_{\mathrm{O}}^{2}$ | $A_{\mathrm{O}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 \mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 1\rangle$ | 1 | $0 \times 0$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{7}+\mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 3\rangle$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2} w_{6} \times 0$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6}\left(\mathrm{w}_{6}+2 \mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{5} \times 0$ | $\frac{3}{32}$ | $\frac{1}{8}\left(\mathrm{w}_{5}+3 \mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $0 \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{7}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | <10> | $\frac{5}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times 0$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{10}\left(\mathrm{w}_{4}+4 \mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 5\rangle$ | $\frac{5}{9}$ | $0 \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{5}{49}$ | $\frac{1}{7}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{7}+\mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 9\rangle$ | $\frac{9}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times 0$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | $\frac{4}{9} \mathrm{w}_{9}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{42}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 21\rangle$ | $\frac{7}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times 0$ | $\frac{3}{28}$ | $\frac{1}{14}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+6 \mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 15\rangle$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times 0$ | $\frac{5}{48}$ | $\frac{1}{12}\left(\mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} w_{6} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{6}+\mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | <15> | $\frac{5}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{5} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{3}{20}$ | $\frac{1}{10}\left(2 \mathrm{w}_{5}+3 \mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 1\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2} w_{6} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}^{\prime}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{6}$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\frac{3}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}\left(\mathrm{w}_{4}+2 \mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{22}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 11\rangle$ | $\frac{11}{36}$ | $\frac{1}{2} w_{6} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{11}{64}$ | $\frac{1}{8}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{6}+2 \mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 5\rangle$ | $\frac{5}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{2}{5} \mathrm{w}_{10}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | <12> | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{3}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{8}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+3 \mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{70}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | <35> | $\frac{7}{20}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{6}$ | $\frac{5}{28}$ | $\frac{1}{14}\left(2 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\langle 3\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{5} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{3}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{5}+\mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{5} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{3}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{5}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{84}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | <42> | $\frac{7}{24}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{3}{14}$ | $\frac{1}{14}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+4 \mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ |
| 21 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{2}{9}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{1} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{2}{9}$ | $\frac{1}{3}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ |
| 22 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{66}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | <33> | $\frac{11}{48}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{3}{11}$ | $\frac{4}{11} \mathrm{w}_{11}$ |


| 23 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\langle 9\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{6}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+2 \mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{120}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 60\rangle$ | $\frac{4}{15}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{5}$ | $\frac{15}{64}$ | $\frac{1}{16}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{D}_{17}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{1} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{D}_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 1\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{D}_{14}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 3\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{2}$ |
| 28 | $\mathrm{D}_{13}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 10\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{5}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{3}$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{D}_{12}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times \frac{1}{2} w_{8}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{4}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{52}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 26\rangle$ | $\frac{13}{72}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{13}{50}$ | $\frac{1}{10}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+4 \mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 31 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\langle 3\rangle$ | $\frac{3}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{4}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{w}_{12}$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 30\rangle$ | $\frac{5}{24}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{4}$ | $\frac{3}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{10}\left(2 \mathrm{w}_{2}+3 \mathrm{w}_{12}\right)$ |
| 33 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{180}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 90\rangle$ | $\frac{9}{40}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{4}$ | $\frac{5}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{18}\left(4 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{12}\right)$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\langle 4\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{4} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{4}+\mathrm{w}_{12}\right)$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{A}_{17}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\langle 1\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{1} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{A}_{16}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{34}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 17\rangle$ | $\frac{17}{144}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{17}{49}$ | $\frac{4}{7} \mathrm{w}_{15}$ |
| 37 | $\mathrm{A}_{15}+\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\langle 2\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{7}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{16}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{A}_{14}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{15} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\langle 5\rangle$ | $\frac{5}{36}$ | $\frac{1}{3} w_{1} \times \frac{1}{6} w_{2}$ | $\frac{5}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{14}\right)$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{A}_{13}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{70}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 35\rangle$ | $\frac{7}{45}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{3} w_{1}$ | $\frac{35}{121}$ | $\frac{1}{11}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{A}_{13}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{14} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\langle 21\rangle$ | $\frac{7}{48}$ | $\frac{1}{4} w_{7} \times \frac{1}{6} w_{2}$ | $\frac{3}{7}$ | $\frac{2}{7} \mathrm{w}_{14}$ |
| 41 | $\mathrm{A}_{12}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{130}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 65\rangle$ | $\frac{13}{80}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{4} w_{7}$ | $\frac{5}{13}$ | $\frac{1}{13}\left(4 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{16}\right)$ |
| 42 | $\mathrm{A}_{11}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\langle 6\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6} w_{2} \times \frac{1}{6} w_{2}$ | $\frac{3}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{14}\right)$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{330}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | $\langle 165\rangle$ | $\frac{11}{60}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{6} w_{2}$ | $\frac{15}{44}$ | $\frac{1}{22}\left(6 \mathrm{w}_{3}+5 \mathrm{w}_{14}\right)$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{A}_{9}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5} \times \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\langle 5\rangle$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{5} w_{3} \times \frac{1}{5} w_{3}$ | $\frac{5}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{3}+\mathrm{w}_{13}\right)$ |

Table D.1: Data for allowed groups of maximal rank, $d=1 .\left(R_{\mathrm{E}}, A_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ and $\left(R_{\mathrm{O}}, A_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ are the radius and Wilson line in the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ heterotic theory.

| \# | $L$ | $H_{L}$ | $T$ | $E_{11} E_{21} E_{22} E_{12}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | [4, 0, 4] | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ |
| 2 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | [10, 0, 10] | 1 $\frac{1}{5}$ 1 $-\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{3}}{5}$ | $\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right)$ |
| 3 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [60, 0, 60] | 1 0 $\frac{11}{12}$ $\frac{5}{12}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ |
| 4 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | [2, 0, 6] | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}-\frac{w_{2}}{4}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right)$ |
| 5 | $4 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [6, 0, 6] | $0 \quad 10$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+3 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [4, 0, 6] | $0 \quad 10$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{w_{5}}{2}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{w_{5}}{2}\right)$ |
| 7 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [12, 0, 12] | $0 \quad 10$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [ $6,0,12]$ | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{2 w_{4}}{3}-\frac{w_{2}}{3}\right)$ |
| 9 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | 11 | [30, 0, 30] | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{3}}{5}$ |
| 10 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [ $6,0,30$ ] | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{w_{5}}{2}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right)$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [12, 0, 30] | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}\right)$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [24, 12, 36] | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{3 w_{3}}{4}-\frac{w_{2}}{2}\right)$ |
| 13 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | [2, 1, 4] | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}-\frac{w_{7}}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{2 w_{8}}{3}-\frac{w_{1}}{3}\right)$ |
| 14 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [42, 0, 42] | $1{ }_{1} 000180$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 15 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [28, 0, 28] | $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline 1 & 0 & \frac{19}{20} & \frac{7}{20} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2} \\ \frac{2 w_{5}}{7} \times\left(\frac{4 w_{1}}{7}+\frac{w_{5}}{7}-\frac{2 w_{7}}{7}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \\ \left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{5 w_{5}}{8}\right) \times\left(\frac{w_{1}}{4}+\frac{3 w_{7}}{8}-\frac{3 w_{5}}{4}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [28, 7, 28] | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right)$ |
| 17 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [50, 20, 50] | $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline 1 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{13}{15} & -\frac{4}{15} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{w_{3}}{5} \\ \left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}+\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{5 w_{7}}{12}\right) \times \frac{w_{7}}{4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(w_{7}-\frac{2 w_{2}}{3}\right) \times\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \\ & \left(\frac{2 w_{3}}{5}-w_{6}\right) \times\left(\frac{2 w_{2}}{5}-\frac{w_{7}}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |





| 165 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 22] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166 | $\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | [2, 1, 2] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 167 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ |
| 168 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{w_{8}}{2}\right)$ |
| 169 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 2] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 170 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [12, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{8}}{2}-\frac{w_{6}}{2}\right) \times\left(\frac{3 w_{3}}{4}-\frac{w_{2}}{2}\right)$ |
| 171 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [6, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{5}}{2}-\frac{w_{1}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 172 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 173 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 30] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 174 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | 1 | [12, 6, 24] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right)$ |
| 175 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 24] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 176 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 10] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 177 | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | $0 \times\left(w_{5}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ |
| 178 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{8}}{2}-\frac{w_{6}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 179 | 2D9 | 1 | [4, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w 8}{2} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{8}}{2}$ |
| 180 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 30] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 181 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 182 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 30] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times 0$ |
| 183 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 42] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{{ }^{\frac{W}{8}}}{2}$ | $\frac{\omega_{2}}{6} \times 0$ |
| 184 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 8] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 185 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 18] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{7}}{4} \times 0$ |
| 186 | $\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 10] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{\omega_{1}}{3} \times 0$ |
| 187 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 20] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{08}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times 0$ |
| 188 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $[4,0,4]$ | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 189 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | 1 | [10, 0, 10] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 190 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 20] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 191 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 2, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 192 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 193 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 42] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right) \times 0$ |
| 194 | $\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 18] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 195 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{10}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 196 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{10}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{w_{8}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 197 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{10}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right) \times 0$ |
| 198 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 199 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [6, 0, 20] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 200 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{w_{5}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 201 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | 1 | [6, 2, 10] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{2}-\frac{5 w_{5}}{6}\right) \times 0$ |
| 202 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [6, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 203 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-\frac{w_{7}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 204 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 2, 6] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 205 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{12}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 206 | $\mathrm{D}_{12}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 2] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 207 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{13}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 20] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 208 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{13}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times 0$ |
| 209 | $\mathrm{D}_{13}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [4, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{2}-\frac{6 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times 0$ |
| 210 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{14}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right) \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ |
| 211 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2,0,6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{\omega_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 212 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{7}-\frac{2 w_{2}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 213 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{14}$ | 1 | [4, 2, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 214 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{15}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 215 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 2] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{\omega_{7}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 216 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 1, 2] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ |
| 217 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{17}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 4] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 218 | $\mathrm{D}_{18}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 2] | 1 | 0 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ |
| 219 | $3 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [2, 1, 2] | 1 | 0 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 220 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 221 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [20, 0, 30] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ |
| 222 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+2 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [2, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 223 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [6, 0, 6] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{w_{5}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 224 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [6, 0, 12] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 225 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 30] | 1 | 0 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 226 | $\mathrm{A}_{6}+2 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [6, 3, 12] | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |


| 227 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [6, 0, 84] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [12, 0, 42] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{5}}{3}$ |
| 228 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [20, 10, 26] | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 229 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 11 | [18, 3, 18] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right)$ |
| 230 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 42] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}-\frac{w_{7}}{2}\right)$ |
| 231 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 120] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 232 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 24] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times 0$ |
| 233 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [6, 3, 6] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 234 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [2, 0, 18] | 10 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 235 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 18] | 10 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times 0$ |
| 236 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 3, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ |
| 237 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 6, 18] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | $0 \times\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right)$ |
| 238 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [10, 0, 12] | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 239 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 66] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 240 | $\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [6, 3, 18] | $1{ }^{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 241 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{W_{1}}{3} \times \frac{W_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
|  |  | 11 | [ $6,0,12]$ | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 242 | $\mathrm{A}_{12}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [4, 1, 10] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | $0 \times\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right)$ |
| 243 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 20] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{5}}{3}$ |
| 244 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 84] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 245 | $\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [8, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | $\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 246 | $\mathrm{D}_{6}+2 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [6, 0, 6] | 10 | $1-1$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{w_{8}}{2}\right)$ |
| 247 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [6, 0, 30] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{w_{8}}{2}\right)$ |
| 248 | $\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [4, 2, 22] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 249 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 30] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ |
| 250 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 11 | [4, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{8}}{4}$ |
| 251 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [8,2, 8] | 10 | 10 | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times 0$ |
| 252 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [ $6,0,12]$ | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right)$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 253 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 11 | [4, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times 0$ |
| 254 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{11}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{\omega_{8}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 255 | $\mathrm{D}_{12}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 11 | [4,2, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{3}-\frac{5 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 256 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 6] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ |
| 257 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+2 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 258 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+2 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [4, 2, 6] | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | 10 | $\left(w_{4}-\frac{4 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times 0$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 259 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 20] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 260 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [12, 0, 30] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 261 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 262 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [6, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 263 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [8, 2, 8] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{2}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{4}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 264 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 30] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ |
| 265 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 42] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ |
| 266 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [4, 0, 42] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right) \times 0$ |
| 267 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [2, 0, 70] | $1{ }^{1}$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
|  |  |  | [8, 2, 18] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{2}-\frac{5 w_{5}}{6}\right) \times 0$ |
| 268 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | [4, 2, 22] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{1}-\frac{3 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times 0$ |
| 269 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [6, 0, 24] | 10 | 10 | $\left(\frac{w_{3}}{3}-\frac{5 w_{6}}{6}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ |
| 270 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 24] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 271 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 8] | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{7}-\frac{2 w_{2}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 272 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [6, 2, 14] | $1{ }^{1} 0$ | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times\left(w_{4}-\frac{4 w_{5}}{3}\right)$ |
| 273 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | [6, 0, 18] | 10 | 10 | $0 \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ |
| 274 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | [4, 0, 18] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times 0$ |
| 275 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 10] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 276 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 1, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\left(\frac{w_{1}}{2}-\frac{3 w_{6}}{4}\right) \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times 0$ |
|  |  | $\mathbb{1}$ | [ $6,0,10]$ | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 277 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{10}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [2, 0, 22] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
|  |  |  | [6, 2, 8] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3} \times \frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | $\left(w_{4}-\frac{4 w_{5}}{3}\right) \times 0$ |
| 278 | $\mathrm{A}_{11}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [4, 0, 6] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3} \times \frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | $0 \times\left(w_{4}-\frac{4 w_{5}}{3}\right)$ |
| 279 | $\mathrm{D}_{4}+2 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 2] | 10 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 280 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | [6, 0, 20] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times 0$ | $0 \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ |
| 281 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 12] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{\omega_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 282 | $\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 1 | [ $6,2,10$ ] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{2}-\frac{6 w_{3}}{5}\right) \times 0$ |
| 283 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 6] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $0 \times\left(\frac{w_{6}}{2}-\frac{w_{8}}{2}\right)$ |
| 284 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 2, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(w_{8}-\frac{w_{4}}{2}\right) \times 0$ |
| 285 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{6}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [2, 0, 4] | 10 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | $0 \times 0$ |
| 286 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{7}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | [4, 0, 4] | 10 | 10 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2} \times \frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\left(\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}\right) \times 0$ |



Table D.2: Data for all allowed groups of maximal rank, for the $\mathrm{E}_{8} \times \mathrm{E}_{8}^{\prime}$ heterotic on $T^{2}$.

| $\#$ | $L$ | $E_{11}$ | $E_{21}$ | $E_{22}$ | $E_{12}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $6 \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{6}+\mathrm{w}_{10}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{2}-\mathrm{w}_{6}-\mathrm{w}_{10}+\mathrm{w}_{14}\right)$ |
| 2 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+4 \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $-\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{3}+\mathrm{w}_{13}\right)$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{15}+\frac{1}{5}\left(\mathrm{w}_{8}-3 \mathrm{w}_{3}-3 \mathrm{w}_{13}\right)$ |
| 13 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}\left(2 \mathrm{w}_{6}-\mathrm{w}_{9}-\mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 21 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{14}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+4 \mathrm{w}_{11}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{28}\left(14 \mathrm{w}_{2}-15 \mathrm{w}_{4}-6 \mathrm{w}_{11}+14 \mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 65 | $\mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{6}$ | $\frac{1}{30}\left(3 \mathrm{w}_{6}-16 \mathrm{w}_{15}\right)$ |
| 177 | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{w}_{4}+\mathrm{w}_{12}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{4}-\mathrm{w}_{12}\right)-\mathrm{w}_{9}-\mathrm{w}_{11}$ |
| 196 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{10}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{6}$ | 0 |
| 219 | $3 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $-\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{11}+\mathrm{w}_{5}\right)$ | 0 |
| 297 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | $-\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{w}_{7}+\mathrm{w}_{9}\right)$ | 0 |
| 319 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{9}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\frac{5}{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{w}_{9}$ | 0 |

Table D.3: Data for some groups of maximal rank, for the HO theory on $T^{2}$.

| $i$ | Gauge group root lattice | $E$ | $-a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | 2 | $\left(-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6},-\frac{5}{6}\right)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | 2 | $\left(0,0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{6}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6},-\frac{5}{6}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | 2 | $\left(0,0,0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5},-\frac{4}{5}\right)$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | 2 | $\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4},-\frac{3}{4}\right)$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}+\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | 2 | $\left(0,0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3},-\frac{2}{3}\right)$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 2 | $\left(0,0,0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{8}$ | 2 | $\left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8},-\frac{7}{8}\right)$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 2 | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1)$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}+\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 2 | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$ |

Table D.4: Maximal enhancements in the nine-dimensional CHL string, obtained by deleting the $i$ th node in the GDD shown in Figure 4.1. All groups arise at level 2. The Wilson line is always of the form $a=w_{i} / \kappa_{i}$ (cf. Table 2.1).

| \# | $L$ | $H$ | $E_{11} E_{21} E_{22} E_{12}$ |  |  |  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}-\frac{w_{2}}{3}$ |
| 2 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}$ |
| 3 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{w_{6}}{6}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{2 w_{7}}{5}-\frac{w_{5}}{5}$ |
| 5 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | 0 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | -2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{3}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{5 w_{8}}{6}-\frac{w_{3}}{3}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5}$ | 0 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | 0 |
| 12 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{8}}{3}$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{4}$ | 0 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{A}_{9}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3}$ | 0 |
| 15 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}-\frac{w_{8}}{4}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{5}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{2}-w_{1}$ |
| 17 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $w_{4}-\frac{2 w_{3}}{3}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5}$ |
| 18 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{4}-\frac{3 w_{8}}{8}$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{8}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{7}$ |
| 21 | $\mathrm{A}_{8}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{7}$ |
| 22 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{2}-\frac{w_{2}}{3}$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{10}$ | $-\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{2 w_{6}}{3}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{6}+\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | $w_{8}-\frac{w_{2}}{3}$ |
| 26 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{6}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{2}-\frac{w_{4}}{3}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 28 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{5}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{10}$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{5}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{3}-\frac{w_{6}}{6}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 31 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |


| \# | $L$ | $H$ | $E_{11} E_{21} E_{22} E_{12}$ |  |  |  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{2}-w_{1}$ | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 33 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{8}$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{3}}{5}$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ | 0 |
| 36 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{7}}{4}$ |
| 37 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{2}}{6}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{9}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3}$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | $\frac{w_{1}}{3}$ | 0 |
| 40 | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 41 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | 0 |
| 42 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{6}$ | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{C}_{5}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | $\frac{w_{4}}{4}$ | 0 |
| 45 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{3}}{4}$ |
| 46 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{w_{4}}{6}$ |
| 47 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 48 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{8}}{4}$ |
| 49 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | $\frac{w_{4}}{2}-w_{6}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 50 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{8}}{2}$ | 0 |
| 51 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 52 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{5}}{6}$ | $\frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 53 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | 0 |
| 54 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3}$ |
| 55 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | $\frac{w_{5}}{3}$ | 0 |
| 56 | $A_{1}+A_{2}+E_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 57 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | 0 |
| 58 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 59 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ | $\frac{w_{6}}{2}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 0 | 0 |

Table D.5: All groups of maximal enhancement in the 8 -dimensional CHL string. The Wilson lines are given in terms of the fundamental weights of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, see Table 2.1. ADE groups arise at level 2 and C groups at level 1.

| \# | $L$ | H | $k$ | \# | $L$ | $H$ | $k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 2 & 2\end{array}$ | 28 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ |
| 2 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 33 | 31 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ |
| 3 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0 & 3\end{array}$ | 33 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1001 |
|  |  |  | $1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 2 & 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 36 \\ \hline 37 \end{array}$ | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $0 \quad 0 \quad 1$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 3\end{array}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $0 \quad 0 \quad 4$ | 40 | $2 \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 22 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 15 | 42 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 2\end{array}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 0\end{array}$ | 43 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 2\end{array}$ |
| 12 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{7}+\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 4 & 0\end{array}$ | 45 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 2 & (1,1) \\ 1 & 0 & (0,1) \end{array}$ |
| 15 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | - ${ }_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |  |  | 46 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & (1,0)\end{array}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ | 47 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $1(1,1)$ |
| 18 | $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ | 48 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 2\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ | 49 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 0 & 0 & (0,1) \\ 1 & 1 & (1,0) \end{array}$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 3 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ | 56 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 2 & 1\end{array}$ | 58 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |

Table D.6: Maximal enhancement groups with non-trivial global structure for the 8-dimensional CHL string. The $k$ 's are the generators of $H$. All ADE groups arise at level 2 while C groups arise at level 1.

## D. 2 Maximal enhancements for 7d

In this appendix we record the maximally enhanced gauge groups realized in the $7 \mathrm{~d} \mathbb{Z}_{m}$-triples constructed from the heterotic string. The algebras and the fundamental groups are presented in Appendix D.2.1, while the generators of the fundamental group are presented in Appendix D.2.2. The way in which the data is encoded is explained in Section 5.5.

## D.2.1 Maximally enhanced algebras and fundamental groups

| $1 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{2}$ | $48 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $95 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $142 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $189 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{5} \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $49 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $96 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $143 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $190 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2}$ |  | $50 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $97 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $144 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $191 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $51 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $98 \quad \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $145 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $192 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2}$ |  | $52 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $99 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $146 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $193 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{3}$ |  | $53 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $100 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $147 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $194 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $7 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $54 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $101 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $148 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $195 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $55 \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $102 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $149 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $196 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $9 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $56 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $103 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $150 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $197 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $57 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $104 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $151 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $198 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $11 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $58 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $105 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $152 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $199 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |
| $12 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ |  | $59 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $106 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $153 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $200 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{9}^{2}$ |
| $13 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $60 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $107 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $154 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $201 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{9}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |
| $14 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $61 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $108 \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $155 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $202 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ |  | $62 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $109 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $156 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $203 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ |  | $63 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $110 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $157 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2}$ |  | $204 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $17 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ |  | $64 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $111 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ |  | $158 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $205 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $18 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2}$ | $65 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $112 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $159 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2}$ |  | $206 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $66 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $113 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $160 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $207 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $67 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $114 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $161 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2}$ |  | $208 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $68 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $115 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $162 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $209 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $22 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $69 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{2}$ |  | $116 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | $163 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $210 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $23 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $70 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | $117 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ |  | $164 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $211 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $24 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $71 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $118 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $165 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $212 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $25 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $72 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $119 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $166 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $213 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $26 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $73 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $120 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ |  | $167 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $214 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ |  | $74 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $121 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $168 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $215 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $28 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $75 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $122 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ |  | $169 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $216 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ |  | $76 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $123 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $170 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $217 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $77 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $124 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $171 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $218 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $31 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $78 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $125 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $172 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $219 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $32 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $79 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $126 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $173 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $220 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $33 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}^{2}$ | $80 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $127 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $174 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $221 \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $81 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $128 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $175 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $222 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $35 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $82 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $129 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $176 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $223 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $36 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ |  | $83 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $130 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $177 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $224 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $37 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $84 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $131 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $178 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $225 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $38 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $85 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $132 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $179 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $226 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $39 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $86 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $133 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $180 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $227 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| $40 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $87 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $134 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $181 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $228 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ |
| $41 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $88 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $135 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $182 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $229 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $42 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $89 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $136 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $183 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $230 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $43 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $90 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $137 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $184 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $231 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $44 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $91 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $138 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $185 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $232 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $45 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $92 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $139 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $186 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $233 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| $46 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $93 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $140 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $187 \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ |  | $234 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ |
| $47 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ |  | $94 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ |  | $141 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ |  | $188 \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $235 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |






Table D.7: Algebras of maximal rank for the heterotic string on $T^{3}$.



Table D.8: Algebras of maximal rank for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ triple.

| $1 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}7 & A_{2} & A_{5} & \mathbb{Z}_{3}\end{array}$ | $13 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $19 \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $25 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $31 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $37 \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $43 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $49 \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $8 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{6}$ | $14 \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $20 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $26 \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $32 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $38 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $44 \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $50 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{G}_{2}^{2}$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2}$ | $9 \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $15 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $21 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $27 \mathrm{E}_{6} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $33 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $39 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $45 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $51 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}^{2}$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $10 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $16 \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $22 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $28 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $34 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $40 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $46 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $52 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{2}^{2}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ | $11 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $17 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $23 \mathrm{~A}_{6} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $29 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $35 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $41 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $47 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ |  |
| $6 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $12 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $18 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $24 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $30 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $36 \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $42 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $48 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ |  |

Table D.9: Algebras of maximal rank for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ triple.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 3 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\ 4 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 5 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\ 6 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 7 \mathrm{~A}_{5} \\ 8 \\ \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 9 & D_{5} \\ 10 & D_{5} \mathbb{Z}_{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\ & 12 \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $13 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ $14 \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & 15 \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\ & 16 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathbb{A}_{1}^{2}\end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 17 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \\ & 18 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2}\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table D.10: Algebras of maximal rank for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ triple. $\mathbb{A}_{1}$ denotes to an $A_{1}$ at level 1 .


Table D.11: Algebras of maximal rank for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ triples.

## D.2.2 Fundamental group generators



| 527 A | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 006v | 626 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 13 v 2 | 711 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 242 | 798 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 02s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $527^{\prime}$ A | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1160 | 628 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12 s 2 | 715 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 152 | 799 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ | , | s |
| 529 A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 06v | 629 | $\mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | s2 | 717 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 13 s 2 | 800 | D | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | S |
| 535 A | $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 03ss | 631 |  |  | 11222 | 723 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12s2 | 809 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0010s |
| 536 A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 04 sv | 636 | A |  | 22 s | 726 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11 s 2 | 811 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | s |
| 537 A | $\mathrm{A}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 50 s | 638 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 022s | 728 |  |  | 00s | 812 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 010s |
| 539 A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathbb{Z}$ |  | 022s | $638^{\prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0222v | 729 | A |  | 01002s | 815 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10s |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |  | 1202s | 639 |  |  | s | 731 |  |  | 1002s | 817 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | s |
| 545 A | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ |  | 0032s | 641 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0220s | 732 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 222 v | 823 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 000s |
| 546 A | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 032s | 645 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1003s | 733 |  |  | 11100s | 825 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{16}$ | 7 | 00s |
| $546^{\prime}$ A | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 230 s | $645^{\prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1023v | 735 |  |  | 0120s | 826 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | Os |
| 548 A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 042 v | 646 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 220 s | 739 |  |  | 0003s | 831 |  |  |  |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 140s | 647 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0103s | 740 |  |  | 003s | 840 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 012230 |
| 551 A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1022s | 649 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 33v | $740^{\prime}$ |  |  | 120s | 848 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2330 |
| $554$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 002ss | 649 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 103s | $740^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 123 v | 860 |  |  |  |
| 556 A | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 20ss | 652 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1120s | 743 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 03s | 861 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011240 |
| 558 A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 03 sv | 657 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0004s | 744 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1110s | 866 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 |
| 559 A | $\mathrm{A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 4 vv | $657{ }^{\prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1104v | 747 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 114 v | 875 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 02 ss | 659 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 004s | 751 |  |  | 100ss | 879 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1250 |
| 570 A | $A_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{2} A_{4} D_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ |  | 202 | 660 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 004s | 753 |  |  | 10ss | 885 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1160 |
| A | A |  | 2 | 660 ' | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 024v | 754 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 30s | 896 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 10232 | 661 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 04s | 755 |  |  | 0102s | 899 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 114s0 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 12230 | 663 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 015v | 757 |  |  | 102s | 902 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 112220 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 32 | 666 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 6 v | $757^{\prime}$ |  |  | 120s | 906 |  |  | 0 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00332 | 668 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2ss | 760 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | Oss | 916 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 22s0 |
| A | $A_{1}^{2} A_{5}^{2} D_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11330 | 67 |  |  | 2s | $760{ }^{\prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | vs | 919 |  | 2 | 13 |
| 589 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 042 | 673 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 022 | 761 |  | Z | 0ss | 932 |  | - | 112 s 0 |
| 591 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11042 | 674 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 1102s | 762 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 012s | 941 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111s0 |
| $591^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11240 | 675 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 130 s | 764 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 1 vs | 963 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ |  | 00221 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ |  | 242 | 67 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 132 v | 767 |  | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 11222 | 966 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 220 |
| $601$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00152 | 677 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 022s | 773 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11132 | 97 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 023 |
| $601{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ |  | 11150 | 679 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 100ss | 784 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1100 s | 97 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 023 |
| $3 \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 1052 | 681 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 02 vs | 786 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0002s | 975 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0231 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ |  | 062 | 682 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10ss | $786^{\prime}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1100s | 97 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2201 |
| A | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ |  | 022s2 | 683 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 3 sv | 788 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 02s | 975' |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2230 |
| 613 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 103s2 | 685 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 vs | 789 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 002s | 976 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11031 |
| 615 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 04s2 | 686 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1102s | 790 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0110s | 979 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2031 |
| 619 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01322 | 688 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 022s | 792 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 20s | 980 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11031 |
| 622 | $\mathrm{A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 422 | 690 | A | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 00 ss | 793 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 110 s | 980' | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11330 |
| 623 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathbb{Z}$ | 2 | 102s2 | 700 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01232 | 796 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00ss | 990 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 010 |
| 624 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 22 v 2 | 704 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 332 | 797 | ${ }_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 002s | 992 | ${ }_{1}{ }_{2}{ }_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10041 |
| $626^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{7} \quad \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 03s2 | 709 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01142 | $797{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 110s | 993 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01041 |


| 993' | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11240 | 1086 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0s11 | 839 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \quad 0$ | 011021 | 442 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00131 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 996 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1041 | 10 | $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{E}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 211 | 842 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11021 | 445 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ |  | 1031 |
| 1002 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00051 | 1090 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{3} E_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 112220 | 846 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00221 | 447 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 4 | 132 |
| 1002' | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11150 | 1099 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111230 | 849 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0221 | 463 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} A_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 001111 |
| 1004 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0051 | 111 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111140 | 868 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} 0$ | 000131 | 471 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00211 |
| 1006 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 051 | 10 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ |  | 1111002 | 869 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00131 | 472 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 0211 |
| 1011 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ |  | 1002s1 | 20 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011022 | 872 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1031 | 510 | $A_{3}^{2} A_{7} D_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 112 v |
| 1014 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 120s1 | 25 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011022 | 886 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0041 | 566 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 001111 |
| 1017 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 003s1 | 32 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00222 | 889 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 041 | 567 |  | 4 | 01111 |
| 1018 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 03s1 | $32^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11022 | 892 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} 01$ | 1101 | $567{ }^{\prime}$ |  | 4 | 11112 |
| 1018' | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 2301 | 37 | $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0222 | 895 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11201 | 592 | A | 4 | 00121 |
| 1020 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 1401 | 48 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 111120 | 948 |  |  | 111 | 595 | A | 4 | 0121 |
| 1022 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3}$ |  | 10021 | 124 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ |  | 11103 | 949 | $A_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{6}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11011 | 609 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 4 | 31 |
| 1023 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ |  | 10221 | 125 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011103 | 953 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00211 | 7 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{4}$ | 5 | 0001122 |
| 1025 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\text {}}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12201 | 129 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011103 | 954 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0211 | 8 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 5 | 001122 |
| 1028 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00321 | 136 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 000123 | 962 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0111 | 9 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{4}$ | 5 | 01122 |
| 1028 ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\text {}}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11301 | 137 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00123 | 2 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \quad 2$ | 211110 | 177 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 00114 |
| 1030 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0321 | $137{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11103 | 71 | ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00112 | 178 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}$ | 5 | 0114 |
| 1033 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 10 s 21 | 140 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 01023 | 73 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 002112 | 201 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{9}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 024 |
| 1034 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01221 | 144 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1023 | 74 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 02112 | 19 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 0011255 |
| 1036 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1100 s 1 | 158 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00033 | 79 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 111102 | 21 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{3}$ | $7_{6}$ | 011255 |
| 1039 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 002s1 | 160 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0033 | 110 | $A_{1}^{3} A_{2} A_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 011022 | 31 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{2} A_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 110255 |
| 1040 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 02s1 | 162 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 033 | 119 | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 0222 | 35 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 02255 |
| 1041 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 110s1 | 223 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00114 | 227 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 011013 | 222 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ |  | 0111110 |
| 1043 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 03v1 | 225 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00114 | 233 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{2} A_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00213 | 224 | 11 | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 1101110 |
| 1045 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0ss1 | 230 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 01104 | $233{ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{2} A_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 11013 | 231 | $A_{1} A_{2}^{2} A_{3} A_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 11210 |
| 1046 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 02s1 | 241 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1104 | 236 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 0213 | 246 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 11210 |
| 1047 | $\mathrm{D}_{6}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | sv1 | 248 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0024 | 293 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{15}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00114 | 252 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ | 6 | 2210 |
| 1049 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 01221 | 251 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\text {}}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 024 | 296 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 1104 | 323 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 1111s |
| 1053 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 321 | 285 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00015 | 301 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 024 | 326 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 6 | 211s |
| 1054 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 1 s 21 | 287 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0015 | 341 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 0112s | 367 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 6 | 112 |
| 1057 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 010s1 | 290 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 105 | 350 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 022s | 395 | $A_{2}^{2} A_{5}^{2} D_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 11112 |
| 1059 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 10s1 | 308 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 006 | 369 | A | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 013s | 845 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 011112 |
| 1059' | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{8} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12 v 1 | 310 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{17}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 06 | 375 |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 4 s | 850 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 2112 |
| 1063 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{10} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00s1 | 351 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011130 | 381 | $A_{1} A_{2}^{2} A_{3}^{3} D_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} 10$ | 001111 | 887 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 1122 |
| 1065 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{10} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0s1 | 353 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1230 | 382 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} A_{3}^{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 011112 | 70 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{6}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 0123 |
| 1068 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{6} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111201 | 366 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1140 | 384 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} 1$ | 111101 | 116 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | 01115 |
| 1079 | $A_{1}^{3} A_{2} E_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011011 | 394 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11220 | 411 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 010121 | 421 | $\mathrm{A}_{7}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | 131 |
| 1081 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{3} E_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00211 | 422 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11130 | $414$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 01122 |  |  |  |  |
| 1083 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{7}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0211 | 484 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 111120 | $414^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 10121 |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 00022 \mathrm{ss}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 002 ssv |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $376 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 00202 \mathrm{cc}$ | $538 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 010 scs | $560 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 000 css |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 11002 \mathrm{vv}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 100 csc |  |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 00102 \mathrm{ss}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1100 cc |  |
| $532 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathbb{Z}_{2} 01020 \mathrm{cs}$ | $552 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 00002 \mathrm{ss}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 000 \mathrm{ssss}$ |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 100220 \mathrm{c}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 11010 \mathrm{sv}$ | $380 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 000 \mathrm{cccc}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 0110 \mathrm{scv}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 1010 \mathrm{cvs}$ |  |  |

Table D.12: Groups of maximal rank with non-trivial fundamental group and their generators for the heterotic string on $T^{3}$.

| 4 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 004 | 82 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1300 | 161 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 001s | 312 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00220 | 82' | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1301 | 163 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 100s | 316 | $\mathrm{A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 301 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10030 | 84 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10011 | 164 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10s | 318 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01011 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1030 | 86 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0201 | 166 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01v | 320 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1011 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{A}_{5}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 330 | 87 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1011 | 167 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1012 | $320^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1201 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0040 | 88 | $\mathrm{A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 310 | 168 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 112 | 324 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0011 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{9} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 150 | 89 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11001 | 170 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 000s | 326 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 00200 | 91 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0201 | 172 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00s | 329 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | 2 | 100s1 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 010300 | 93 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0011 | 182 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1001 | 330 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01s1 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12300 | 95 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 130 | 183 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01001 | 331 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10s1 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11400 | 100 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1210 | 185 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 | 332 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1021 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 031 | 103 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1110 | 186 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0011 | 333 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | 2 | 01021 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 040 | 105 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1001 | 187 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 | 335 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0121 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{A}_{9} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 51 | 107 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 101 | 188 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{E}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 101 | 337 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0s1 |
| 33 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 100201 | 108 | $\mathrm{A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 31 | 196 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1230 | 338 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00s1 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}$ |  | 12001 | 109 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01001 | 199 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1140 | 339 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0s1 |
| 39 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ |  | 00301 | 111 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1001 | 202 | $A_{1}^{2} A_{3}^{2} C_{1} F_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 112200 | 339 ' | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1v1 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0301 | 111' | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1201 | 206 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111300 | 340 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 121 |
| 40 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2301 | 114 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0011 | 213 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12210 | 345 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 |
| 42 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0400 | 114' | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1001 | 216 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11310 | 347 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 |
| $42^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1401 | 115 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011 | 226 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11210 | 349 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 111201 |
| 46 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00211 | 116 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0101 | 233 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11110 | 360 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011011 |
| 48 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2011 | 118 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 101 | 280 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10021 | 362 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00211 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0301 | 124 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 001 | 283 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1201 | 364 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0211 |
| 51 | $\mathrm{A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 400 | 125 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0001 | 286 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0031 | 367 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0s11 |
| 52 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0220 | $125^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1101 | 287 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 031 | 369 | $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 211 |
| 54 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1030 | 126 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 001 | $287{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 231 | 192 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{4} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 011111 |
| 56 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 040 | 127 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 001 | 289 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 141 | 195 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1121 |
| 60 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01300 | 128 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01 | 291 | $A_{1}^{3} A_{2}^{2} C_{1} F_{3}$ |  | 110001 | 264 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2}$ |  | 01111 |
| 63 | $\mathrm{A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 400 | 131 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11 | 292 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 010201 | 265 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 11011 |
| 64 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 2 | 10210 | 141 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ |  | 0002 | 294 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 12201 | 269 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00211 |
| 65 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2200 | 144 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 10012 | 297 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00301 | 270 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0211 |
| 67 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0310 | 146 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0112 | $297{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11301 | 278 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 0111 |
| $67^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1300 | 147 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1102 | 299 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0301 | 15 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 001111 |
| 70 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11200 | 149 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0012 | 302 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 110011 | 23 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 00211 |
| 75 | $\mathrm{A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0021 | 150 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 012 | 305 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00211 | 24 | $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 0211 |
| 78 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 110001 | 152 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00s2 | 306 | $\mathrm{A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0211 |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ |  | 00201 | 153 | $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 022 | 307 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11011 |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11001 | 156 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1000s | 309 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0301 |  |  |  |  |


| 2 | $\begin{array}{cll}\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0022 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0202\end{array}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00211 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 12001 \end{array}$ | 106 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0101 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1021\end{array}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ |  | 001 s 100 c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{5}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 033 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 103\end{array}$ | 49 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00301 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 11011 \end{array}$ | 113 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 00011 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 11110 \end{aligned}$ | 159 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01 s 20 v |
| 8 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \begin{array}{lll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 01030 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 10230\end{array}$ | 74 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00021 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 11001 \end{array}$ | 134 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} \text { 0200s } \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 1001 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | 160 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0100 \mathrm{~s} \\ 1001 \mathrm{v} \end{gathered}$ |
| 28 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00220 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 10021\end{array}$ | 76 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0021 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0201\end{array}$ | 136 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 0011 \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 1001 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | 162 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 001 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 010 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0031 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1201\end{array}$ | 77 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0130 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1031 \end{array}$ | 137 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 011 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 200 \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | 165 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 01 v 10 s |
| $29^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0231 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1030\end{array}$ | 79 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 011001 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 101200 \end{aligned}$ | 138 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 0001 \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 1100 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | 169 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 110 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 010201 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 102001\end{aligned}$ | 83 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 001011 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 110001 \end{aligned}$ | 139 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 001 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 110 \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | 171 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 11 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 000301 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 111001\end{aligned}$ | 85 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00201 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 01011 \end{array}$ | 143 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0202 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1012 \end{array}$ | 281 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 01021 \\ & 10201 \end{aligned}$ |
| $38^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 001300 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 110301 \end{aligned}$ | 85' | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 01011 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 10210 \end{array}$ | 145 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 01012 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 10102 \end{aligned}$ | 285 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00031 \\ & 11101 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2} 010201$ | 92 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00011 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 01101 \end{array}$ | 148 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0012 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1102 \end{array}$ | 304 | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1201 \\ 0011 \end{array}$ |
| 47 | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00211 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 02011 \end{array}$ | 94 | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4}^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 011 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 201 \end{array}$ | 155 | $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 020 \mathrm{v} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 100 \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00111 \\ & 11001 \end{aligned}$ |


| $\begin{array}{llll}1 & & \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{3} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\ & 0 & 00022 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 00202 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 11002\end{array}$ | $\left.44 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2}\right) \begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{Z}_{2} 0000211 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} 0011011 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} 1101001\end{array}$ | 发 00011 s $135 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{C}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathbb{Z}_{2} 00101 \mathrm{c}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 11000 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 001021 \\ & 27 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2} 010201 \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 100221\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0020 \mathrm{~s} \\ 133 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 0101 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 1001 \mathrm{c}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & 002 \mathrm{v} \\ 154 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 010 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & 100 \mathrm{c}\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 000 \mathrm{ss} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 000 \mathrm{cc} \\ 140 \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{4}^{2} & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 0110 \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{2} 1010 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |

Table D.13: Groups of maximal rank with non-trivial fundamental group and their generators for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ triple.

| 17 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 11121 | 32 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0211 | 1 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 00011 | 7 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 011011 | 35 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 s 11 | 2 | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 110 | 15 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 01 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 00211 | 37 | $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{G}_{1}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 211 | 6 | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 002 |  |  |  |  |

Table D.14: Groups of maximal rank with non-trivial fundamental group and their generators for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ triple.

Table D.15: Groups of maximal rank with non-trivial fundamental group and their generators for the heterotic $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ triple.

## Appendix E

## Synthèse en Français

## Motivation

La théorie des cordes est le cadre le plus développé et le plus prometteur pour étudier la gravité quantique. De nombreux efforts ont été déployés pour tenter de reproduire le modèle standard en tant que théorie effective à basse énergie d'un modèle de cordes. La première corde proposée, la corde bosonique, ne comprenait que des bosons dans son spectre et prédisait un tachyon, ce qui signalait une incohérence dans la théorie. Cela a motivé la construction des théories des supercordes de type I et II, qui ont un spectre supersymétrique sans tachyon et sont formulées en 10 dimensions spatiotemporelles (ce nombre est fixé par cohérence). Leur limite de basse énergie est la sueergravité dans 10 dimensions.

Deux aspects importants du modèle standard sont qu'il est formulé en 4 dimensions et qu'il contient certaines particules avec des symétries de jauge non abéliennes. Une façon de réduire le nombre de dimensions de 10 à 4 est de recourir au mécanisme de compactification, c'est-à-dire de faire en sorte que six de ces dimensions aient une longueur finie (par exemple en les rendant périodiques), en qénéralisant la théorie de Kaluza-Klein. Le modèle quadridimensionnel est alors une approximation à basse énergie d'une théorie plus fondamentale. Lorsqu'on compacte la théorie des cordes de manière particulière, les particules acquièrent des symétries de jauge non abéliennes, ce qui fait de ces théories des candidats plausibles pour décrire notre univers. Alors que dans la théorie de Kaluza-Klein, le momentum dans la cinquième dimension est quantifié, dans les compacités de la théorie des cordes, nous avons une situation similaire, avec le momentum associé aux états des cordes vivant sur un réseau.

Ce qu'on appelle la corde hétérotique combine les théories bosonique et des cordes de type II [6]. Par souci de cohérence, le spectre de basse énergie présente deux symétries de groupe de jauge possibles: $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ ou $E_{8} \times E_{8}$, définissant deux théories de cordes différentes. Ces cordes hétérotiques possèdent également une super-symétrie et vivent dans un espace-temps à 10 dimensions, de sorte qu'elles peuvent également être compactées sur différentes géométries [7]. Cette caractéristique était considérée comme très prometteuse, puisque les groupes de jauge des deux théories contiennent $S O$ (10) et $S U(5)$, tandis que $E_{8}$ contient également $E_{6}$, qui sont les symétries des principales théories Grand Unified. Dans les compactages à des dimensions inférieures, il est assez simple de décomposer les symétries de jauge originales en leurs sous-groupes. Selon la géométrie de l'espace compact, il est également possible d'obtenir une amélioration de la symétrie originale, créant ainsi un riche paysage de théories avec des groupes de jauge très divers. La limite de basse énergie de ces théories est simplement la supergravité couplée au super Yang-Mills avec le groupe de jauge correspondant.

Une question simple que l'on pourrait poser est la suivante : quels sont les groupes de jauge
possibles qui peuvent être obtenus à partir de la théorie hétérotique des cordes en moins de 10 dimensions? Pouvons-nous les classer ? Cette question est pertinente d'un point de vue phénoménologique (construction d'exemples réalistes de notre univers) ; mais elle pourrait être liée à une énigme plus profonde : quelles sont les théories possibles qui peuvent être couplées de manière cohérente à la gravité?

Même pour des géométries relativement simples, on sait peu de choses sur les groupes de jauge possibles qui peuvent apparaître, et encore moins sur les valeurs spéciales des paramètres de la compactification où ces améliorations se produisent. Ceci nous a motivé à étudier en détail la structure de l'espace de ces paramètres (l'espace moduli) et à obtenir une classification complète des groupes de jauge possibles pour certains types de qéométries.

Puisque les cordes sont des objets étendus, elles peuvent être enveloppées dans des dimensions compactes. Ceci donne lieu à une équivalence entre différentes configurations de cordes après compactification, connue sous le nom de T-dualité [8].

Il a été démontré que toutes les différentes supercordes sont liées par cette dualité et d'autres, ce qui conduit à l'idée qu'elles sont des limites distinctes d'une théorie de dimension supérieure appelée Mthéorie [9]. Une forte motivation pour étudier les compactifications toroïdales des cordes hétérotiques est la dualité qu'elles ont avec d'autres théories: l'hétérotique sur $T^{4}$ est duale au type IIA sur K3 [10], l'hétérotique sur $T^{3}$ est duale à la M-théorie sur K 3 , tandis que l'hétérotique sur $T^{2}$ est duale à la F-théorie sur un K3 à fibres elliptiques. Ce réseau de dualités fournit un cadre pour l'exploration de différents aspects de la théorie des cordes.

## Résultats

L'objectif principal de cette thèse est de répondre à la question de savoir quelles symétries de jauge sont autorisées dans les compactifications de cordes hétérotiques sur des géométries qui préservent toute la supersymétrie. Bien qu'il s'agisse d'une question très concrète et relativement simple, une réponse n'était connue que pour les deux cas les plus simples: les compactifications du cercle par la méthode du Diagramme de Dynkin Généralisé (GDD) [11-13] et, bien qu'indirectement, le 2-torus par la dualité avec la F-théorie à partir de la classification des types ADE des fibres singulières dans les surfaces K3 elliptiques [14,15]. Comme nous l'expliquerons en détail, pour les espaces compacts de plus grandes dimensions (qui correspondent aux théories effectives de basse énergie de moins de dimensions) et pour les espaces non géométriques, de nombreuses subtilités apparaissent, transformant l'objectif susmentionné en un objectif hautement non trivial. Un problème connexe que nous aborderons est l'étude des espaces de moduli correspondants : leur structure, les régions de renforcement de la symétrie et leur relation avec la T-dualité. Nous adopterons des approches différentes mais complémentaires.

Dans [1] nous avons étudié les compacités sur le cercle du point de vue de l'espace moduli à 17 dimensions défini par les paramètres. En résolvant les équations de correspondance de masse et de niveau en fonction des moduli, nous avons développé une méthode pour cartographier les régions spéciales où il y a un renforcement de symétrie vers un groupe non abélien de rang 17 , en découvrant que les renforcements maximaux (c'est-à-dire vers des groupes sans facteurs $U(1)$ ) apparaissent sur les points d'intersection des courbes où il y a des renforcements non maximaux. Ce point de vue nous a permis de développer des outils qui sont idéaux pour avoir l'intuition et comprendre ce type de compactifications mais, même s'ils prédisent une riche variété de symétries de jauge, il n'a pas été possible de les obtenir toutes. En nous concentrant sur le fait que les moments du spectre d'états sont
quantifiés dans le réseau Lorentzien de Narain $\Gamma_{1,17}$, nous avons pu obtenir la classification complète des améliorations, ainsi que les régions de l'espace modulaire où elles apparaissent, en utilisant la méthode GDD.

En [2], nous avons traité le cas des compactifications toroïdales de dimensions arbitraires, où les modèles réalisés ont également 16 supercharges et les groupes de jauge sont de type ADE (c'est-à-dire $\left.S U(n), S O(2 n), E_{n}\right)$ et ont un rang $16+d$. Nous avons trouvé comment les points de l'espace de moduli sont reliés par la dualité T et défini la carte reliant les espaces de moduli des théories compactives $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ et $E_{8} \times E_{8}$. Pour $T^{d}$ avec $d>1$, le treillis de momentum $\Gamma_{d, 16+d}$ est toujours pair et auto-duel mais non Lorentzien, ce qui rend impossible la construction d'un GDD. Un certain groupe de jauge apparaît dans l'espace moduli si le réseau racine qui lui est associé peut être intégré dans le réseau de momentum correspondant (en satisfaisant certaines conditions). Cette façon de voir le problème nous a permis d'énoncer certaines conditions d'apparition de ces groupes en utilisant certains théorèmes de Nikulin [16]. Nous avons effectué une classification exhaustive des groupes de jauge autorisés en $d=2$, trouvant qu'elle correspond exactement à celle obtenue sur la $F$-théorie duale sur les surfaces K3 à fibres elliptiques [15], mais donnant aussi les modules définissant la compactification qui réalise chaque cas. Pour effectuer cette classification, nous avons réalisé un algorithme d'exploration qui consiste à passer des points singuliers d'enrichissement maximal dans l'espace des moduli à d'autres via des manipulations de leurs treillis de racines associés de manière contrôlée. Cet algorithme a été amélioré dans des travaux ultérieurs, ce qui nous a permis d'explorer des compacités de $T^{d}$ avec $d>2$ et de calculer les données globales précises des groupes de jauge.

Dans [3], nous avons effectué une étude détaillée des compactifications sur des orbifolds spéciaux $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{1}$ (réalisant la corde CHL $[17,18]$ ), qui préservent la supersymétrie et présentent des enrichissements de rang réduit $d+8$. Une particularité est que les groupes de jauge ne sont pas toujours de type ADE, avec des groupes $S p(n), S O(2 n+1)$ ou $F_{4}$ apparaissant dans des régions spéciales. Une autre caractéristique est que le treillis de momentum n'est pas auto-dual pour $d>1$ [19]. Ce dernier point implique que les critères énoncés précédemment pour l'encastrement des treillis ne s'appliquent plus. Cela a nécessité de nombreux changements dans les méthodes développées dans le travail précédent. Avec une généralisation de notre algorithme d'exploration, nous avons trouvé la liste des symétries de jauge pour $d=2,3$ et 4 (les améliorations de $d=1$ peuvent être facilement trouvées en utilisant le GDD associé, qui s'avère être le diagramme de Dynkin de $E_{10}$ ). Nous avons calculé la forme précise de leurs topologies respectives en adaptant nos méthodes à l'aide des résultats de [20], et vérifié qu'elles satisfont une condition pour les symétries centrales à une forme sans anomalie donnée dans [21].

Pour $d=1$ et 2 , les seuls rangs possibles connus pour les compacités de cordes hétérotiques préservant 16 supercharges sont $d+16$ et $d+8$, à savoir les composantes de Narain et CHL ${ }^{2}$. En revanche, pour $d \geq 3$, les possibilités sont plus nombreuses : les triples holonomiques non triviaux de [23] produisent des vacua de rangs réduits $d+4, d+2$ et $d$. Nous nous sommes tournés vers ces constructions dans [4], en adaptant et en appliquant la machinerie déjà développée dans les travaux précédents au cas de $d=3$ et en obtenant la liste complète des améliorations maximales. Ces nouveaux espaces de modulation ont moins de points d'amélioration maximale en raison du rang réduit, mais dans certains cas ils présentent une variété plus riche de groupes de jauge (par exemple le groupe de jauge $G_{2}$ dans le triplé $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ ). La corde hétérotique sur le $T^{3}$ est duale à la M-théorie sur une surface K 3 . Les groupes de jauge de rang réduit sont réalisés dans cette dernière lorsqu'il existe des singularités partiellement gelées sur la surface K3 [23-25]. En exploitant les techniques d'encastrement des treillis,

[^35]nous avons trouvé les règles de gel explicites qui relient les groupes de jauge sur la composante de Narain avec ceux apparaissant sur ceux de rang réduit. Nous avons trouvé une correspondance parfaite de ces règles du côté des cordes hétérotiques avec les résultats connus sur la M-théorie. Une qénéralisation de cette analyse à $d=4$ a été faite dans [5], où nous avons à nouveau obtenu la classification complète des groupes pour tous les $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$-triples via notre algorithme d'exploration. ${ }^{3}$ Nous avons découvert que le mécanisme de congélation fait intervenir la topologie des groupes de jauge, en agissant sur ceux et seulement ceux qui ne sont pas simplement connectés. Il est frappant de constater que les règles de congélation construites coïncident avec la carte reliant les composantes de l'espace modulaire des faisceaux plats de $G$ sur $T^{2}$ avec $G$ non-simplicitement-connecté.

Nous n'incluons dans la présente thèse que les listes de groupes de jauge pour les cas $d=1,2$ et 3 . Pour $d=4$, nous nous référons à [27], où nous listons les groupes de jauge maximaux pour l'ensemble des 16 supercharges préservant les compactages à 6 ou plus de dimensions spatio-temporelles. En plus des composantes Narain, CHL et triples, beaucoup d'autres sont listées ici. En général, elles ne correspondent pas à des constructions de cordes hétérotiques. Elles ont été étudiées dans [26], qui est laissé de côté dans cette thèse.

Dans le tableau 1.1, nous listons les principales informations concernant chacune des compacités étudiées. Il est notable que le nombre de groupes qui apparaissent sur $T^{d}$ ou $T^{d} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ augmente exponentiellement avec $d$. De plus, comme prévu, plus de types de groupes sont autorisés lors de la réduction du rang. Des explorations partielles pour $d \geq 5$ présentent le même comportement exponentiel, mais l'analyse correspondante n'est pas incluse ici. Les règles reliant les groupes de jauge dans les compactifications toroïdales aux théories de rang réduit trouvées sont résumées dans le tableau 1.2.

| G | $d$ | $r$ | Algèbres | Groupes | Information | Types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\emptyset$ | 0 | 16 | 2 | 2 |  | DE |
| $S^{1}$ | 1 | 17 | 44 | 44 |  | ADE |
| $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | CHL | ADE |
| $T^{2}$ | 2 | 18 | 325 | 341 | Dual à la F-théorie sur un K3 | ADE |
| $T^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | 10 | 61 | 61 | CHL $/ \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ACDE |
| $T^{3}$ | 3 | 19 | 1035 | 1232 | Dual à la M-théorie sur un K3 | ADE |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 3 | 11 | 407 | 429 | CHL $/ \mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ABCDEF |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 3 | 7 | 50 | 52 | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple | ADEG |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 3 | 5 | 16 | 18 | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple | AD |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple | A |
| $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triple | A |
| $T^{4}$ | 4 | 20 | 2252 | 3396 | Dual à la IIA sur un K3 | ADE |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 4 | 12 | 1988 | 2540 | CHL/ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple | ABCDEF |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 4 | 8 | 154 | 202 | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-triple | ADEG |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 4 | 6 | 101 | 127 | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-triple | ACDE |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 4 | 4 | 11 | 14 | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$-triple | AD |
| $T^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 4 | 4 | 36 | 40 | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$-triple | ACG |

Table E.1: Géométrie de l'espace de compactification $G$, nombre de dimensions compactées $d$, rang $r$, nombre d'algèbres et de groupes maximaux trouvés et leurs types.

[^36]| d | Algèbre | Ordre | Il se transforme en |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 2 | $\emptyset$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+8}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+4}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+6}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{n+7}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | 5,6 | $\emptyset$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~A}_{q n-1}$ | $q=2,3,4,5,6$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{n-1}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{n+2}$ | $2(\mathrm{v})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 n}$ | $2(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 n+3}$ | $4(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ |

Table E.2: Règles de gel pour les facteurs simples dans les groupes de jauge pour $d \leq 4$. Nous utilisons les conventions $\mathrm{C}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{3} \equiv \mathrm{~B}_{3}, \mathrm{G}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{~A}_{1}$,

## Structure de la thèse

Cette thèse est divisée en six chapitres: Le premier est une brève introduction à la thèse. Le chapitre 2 est une introduction à la corde hétérotique et à sa compactification toroïdale, avec une analyse détaillée de la structure de l'espace moduli et de ses améliorations de symétrie pour le cas du cercle basé sur [1].

Dans le chapitre 3, qui est basé sur [2], nous reprenons les compacités toroïdales introduites précédemment, en les analysant cette fois du point de vue des encastrements de treillis. Nous introduisons un algorithme d'exploration que nous utilisons pour classer et donner la liste des améliorations de symétrie des compacités $T^{2}$.

Dans le chapitre 4 nous analysons en détail la chaîne CHL et ses compactifications, en les construisant à partir de la chaîne hétérotique. Nous explorons l'espace moduli et donnons la liste des améliorations de symétrie de jauge de rang réduit pour neuf et huit dimensions espace-temps. À cette fin, nous améliorons et généralisons l'algorithme introduit dans le chapitre précédent. Cette partie est basée sur [3].

Dans le chapitre 5, basé sur [4,5], nous étudions différentes constructions d'orbitaux en 7 et 6 dimensions spatio-temporelles, obtenant la liste des groupes de jauge qui sont réalisés non seulement sur les composantes Narain et CHL mais aussi sur quatre autres composantes réalisées via des triples holonomes non triviaux. Enfin, nous construisons les règles générales de congélation reliant les différentes composantes.

Dans le chapitre 6 , nous résumons les conclusions de cette thèse, tandis qu'à la fin nous incluons quatre annexes: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ et C avec les compléments aux deuxième, troisième et cinquième chapitres; et D avec les listes des groupes d'enrichissement maximal pour les théories étudiées.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compactifications on a circle being an exception.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It should be noted that this is just notation, as the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ acts on the gauge bundle.
    ${ }^{3}$ In 8 d there are also the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple and the "no vector structure" constructions, which are dual to the CHL [22, 23].

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ For $d=4$ (as for $d=1$ and 2 ) we have a recent confirmation that our lists are exhaustive from the results in our very recent work [26], where all these gauge groups were reobtained from the Niemeier lattices.

[^3]:    1"Maximal" stands here for an enhanced semisimple and simply-laced symmetry group.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ As we will see in Section 2.2, in toroidal compactifications of heterotic strings, the momentum will also live on an even and self-dual (but not Euclidean) lattice.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ The unusual $i$ factors are due to the use of Euclidean world-sheet metric.

[^6]:    ${ }^{4}$ Taking into account the equivalence of points on moduli space due to T-duality, the coset manifold characterizing the distinct backgrounds is $\frac{O(d, d+16)}{O(d) \times O(d+16) \times O(d, d+16 ; \mathbb{Z})}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ A preliminary attempt can be found in [31].
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{We}$ denote $\Gamma_{g}^{*}$ the dual of the root lattice, and one has $\Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{8} \times \Gamma_{8}$ for HE and $\Gamma_{g}^{*}=\Gamma_{w}=\Gamma_{16}+\Gamma_{v}+\Gamma_{c}$ for HO (see Appendix A. 1 for more details).

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ From now on, suppressed indices in $p$ are orthonormal indices, i.e. $p_{R} \equiv p_{R a}, p_{L} \equiv p_{L a}$.
    ${ }^{8}$ We are abusing notation, as $|A|^{2}=A \kappa A$ is not a scalar under reparameterizations of the circle coordinate, i.e. our definition is $|A|^{2}=A_{i}^{I} A_{i}^{I}$ where $i$ here is just the circle coordinate. The scalar quantity is $\mathbb{A}^{2}=|A|^{2} / R^{2}$ (see (2.3.6) below).

[^9]:    ${ }^{9}$ By $\Gamma$ we mean $\Gamma_{16}$ or $\Gamma_{8} \oplus \Gamma_{8}$, according to which heterotic theory one is looking at.
    ${ }^{10}$ For the HE theory, the Wilson lines chosen do not break the second $E_{8}$ factor and therefore we display the unbroken gauge group corresponding to the circle and first $E_{8}$ directions. Figure 2.1b can be found in [35].

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ Note that $p>8$ is equivalent, by a shift $\Lambda=-\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{16}\right)$, to $p^{\prime}=16-p<8$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{12}$ From now on we take $w>0$, keeping in mind that for every massless state with $w$ there is also a massless state with $-w$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ We get additionally $R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{w_{3}^{2}+w_{4}^{2}}}$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{14}$ Actually, if the group has one or two $E_{2}, 3$ or 4 nodes have to be removed instead of 2 .

[^14]:    ${ }^{15}$ On our convention, $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ and $w_{i}^{\prime}$ are given by changing the sign and reflecting the components of $\alpha_{i}$ and $w_{i}$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{16}$ Our method of removing nodes from the GDD only accounts for the algebra associated to the group, on next chapters we will describe different methods for computing the global part of it.
    ${ }^{17}$ All the other curves of enhancement displayed in this thesis have at least one intersection.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ We clarify that the momenta can be written as a function of just $E$ and $A$ since $G_{i j}$ can be written as $\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}\right)$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ This specific content was not included on this thesis.
    ${ }^{3}$ For instance, when $B_{i j} \rightarrow B_{i j}+\Theta_{i j}$, with $\Theta_{i j}=-\Theta_{j i} \in \mathbb{Z},|Z\rangle \rightarrow\left|w^{i}, n_{i}+\Theta_{i j} w^{j} ; \pi^{I}\right\rangle$.

[^18]:    ${ }^{4} \Gamma_{1,1}$ is the hyperbolic lattice with Gram matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

[^19]:    ${ }^{5}$ By definition, $N S_{X}=H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and has signature $(1, \rho(X)-1)$. The transcendental lattice is the orthogonal complement of $N S_{X}$ in $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and has signature $(2,20-\rho(X))$. With the intersection form of $X$, the second cohomology group $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to $\Gamma_{3,19}$. The Néron-Severi lattice can be decomposed as $N S_{X}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus W_{X}$, where $\Gamma_{1,1}$ is generated by the zero section and the generic fiber. The lattice $W_{X}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\Gamma_{1,1}$ in $N S_{X}$ and has signature $(0, \rho(X)-2)$. Thus, $\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus W_{X} \oplus T_{X} \subset \Gamma_{3,19}$.

[^20]:    ${ }^{6} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{L}}{ }^{2}=2$ translates to $\langle Z \mid Z\rangle=2$ and is satisfied trivially.

[^21]:    ${ }^{7}$ The original reason for using this basis in [2] was as a byproduct of the shift algorithm and some related techniques.

[^22]:    ${ }^{8}$ Which in practice is done by imposing eq. (3.2.10).
    ${ }^{9}$ We developed a routine that takes a base of simple roots and detects if its Dynkin diagram is of ADE type and, in that case, it identifies the group.

[^23]:    ${ }^{10}$ This limitation was overcome in subsequent more general versions of the algorithm made for the exploration of the CHL string, which is the subject of the following chapter (see Section 4.3).

[^24]:    ${ }^{11}$ This issue can be solved in a more systematic and elegant way with the saturation method described in Section 4.3.1 (see footnote 10).

[^25]:    ${ }^{12}$ During this work we lacked a method for computing the overlattice of $L$. Later, we developed an algorithm which was used to verify all of the $H_{L}$ reported here. This algorithm will be explained in Section 4.3.3.1. By computing the precise global structure it is possible to distinguish two points both with $L=\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}_{5}+\mathrm{A}_{9}$, $H=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $T=[10,0,12]$ but inequivalent generators for $H$ : one is generated by the element $k=(1,2,0,5)$ and the other by $k=(0,0,3,5)$, in the notation of Section 4.3.3. This results in 360 distinct models, with two of them being identified as the same (\#61) in Table D. 2 of this thesis and Table 2 of [14].

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this count we are ignoring the global part of the groups.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ For 6 d its exhaustivity was proven in a recent work [26].

[^28]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here we prime the lattice $M$ in the Mikhailov lattice since we will later focus on the map to and not from the CHL component.

[^29]:    ${ }^{3}$ It is more precise to say that $\mathrm{A}_{3} \oplus 6 \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ freezes to $\mathrm{A}_{1}(2)$, but we are now focusing on the behavior under projection of sublattices corresponding to simple algebras and not the whole lattice containing $\Omega$.

[^30]:    ${ }^{4}$ The $L_{\Omega}$ 's correspond to the singularities of $\mathrm{K} 3 \times S^{1}$ orbifolds of order $n$ in the dual M-theory [23].

[^31]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{We}$ are not aware of a treatment of this general problem in the literature.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ We left out of this thesis the tables for 6 d because they are too long.

[^33]:    ${ }^{2}$ These figures could be useful when interpreting $R \rightarrow 0$ as a decompactification limit, where a tower of massless states appears [69].

[^34]:    ${ }^{1} L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ means the set of all points obtained by real linear combinations of the basis vectors of $L$.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Il convient de noter qu'il ne s'agit là que d'une notation, car le $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ agit sur le faisceau de jauge.
    ${ }^{2}$ En 8d il y a aussi le $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-triple et les constructions "sans structure vectorielle", qui sont duales à la CHL [22,23].

[^36]:    ${ }^{3}$ Pour $d=4$ (comme pour $d=1$ et 2 ) nous avons une confirmation récente que nos listes sont exhaustives grâce aux résultats de notre travail très récent [26], où tous ces groupes de jauge ont été obtenus à nouveau à partir des treillis de Niemeier.

