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## Abstract

In western countries, exudative age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is one of the leading causes of blindness in the elderly. This disease is characterized by an abnormal vascularization of the choroid and a strong intraocular inflammation. Currently, only anti-VEGF symptomatic treatments exist, which rely on humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and recombinant fusion glycoprotein targeting pro-angiogenic factors. Moreover, only $30 \%$ of the patients present a durable response to this treatment.

The blockage of the ERL ${ }^{+}$CXCL cytokines signaling pathway has been proposed as a promising alternative to target simultaneously choroid vascularization and inflammation. Indeed, this sub-family of cytokines is specifically involved both in inflammation and in the early stage of the pro-angiogenic signal.

Two compounds of diarylurea family, MCK133 and MCK140, have established a proof of concept in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, a new series of compounds has been designed that features the same pharmacophore but with new chemical functions, azole core, to enhance the activity and the physicochemical properties.

Four series based on the pharmacophore were considered: two with an imidazole core and two with a triazole core. Synthetic pathways to each of these families have been studied. One of them, 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine series allowed to synthesize various compounds thanks to a new straightforward synthetic pathway, aimed at modifying two key parts of the structure. The structure-activity relationships of these series were studied thanks to their biological evaluations on exudative AMD models. Therefore, the preparation of 63 final analogues possessing the desired pharmacophore and 14 1H-1,2,4-triazole intermediates, permitted the identification of a Lead compound. The perspectives of this thesis are the evaluation of this compound on several in vivo models of exudative AMD.

Finally, the last part of this manuscript presents two annexe projects: (i) the study of the biamidines transfer to biguanides and (ii) the stability study of NRPa-308.

Keywords: organic synthesis, 1,2,4-triazole, RSA, CXCR $1 / 2$ receptors, exudative AMD

## Résumé

Dans les pays occidentaux, la dégénérescence maculaire liée à l'âge (DMLA) exsudative est I'une des principales causes de cécité chez les personnes âgées. Cette maladie est caractérisée par une vascularisation anormale de la choroïde et une forte inflammation intraoculaire. Actuellement, seuls les traitements symptomatiques anti-VEGF existent, à base d'anticorps monoclonaux humanisés et des glycoprotéines de fusion recombinantes ciblant les facteurs pro-angiogéniques. À l'heure actuelle, uniquement $30 \%$ des patients présentent une réponse durable aux traitements.

Le blocage de la voie de signalisation des cytokines ERL ${ }^{+}$CXCL a été proposé comme une alternative prometteuse pour cibler simultanément la vascularisation de la choroïde et l'inflammation. En effet, cette sous-famille de cytokines est impliquée à la fois dans le processus inflammatoire et dans le stade précoce du signal pro-angiogénique.

Deux composés de la famille des diarylurée, MCK133 et MCK140, ont permis d'établir une preuve de concept in vitro et in vivo. À partir de ces composés, nous allons concevoir et développer des familles de composés basés sur le même pharmacophore mais avec de nouvelles fonctions chimiques, le noyau azole, pour améliorer l'activité et les propriétés physico-chimiques.

Quatre séries de composés basées sur le pharmacophore ont été envisagées: deux avec un noyau imidazole et deux avec un noyau triazole. Les voies d'accès synthétiques à chacune de ces familles ont été étudiées. L'une d'elles les 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$ - $1 H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine a permis la synthèse d'un certain nombre d'analogues, visant à modifier deux parties clés de la structure. Les relations structures-activité de cette série ont été étudiées à l'aide de leurs évaluations biologiques sur plusieurs modèles de DMLA exsudative. Grâce à la préparation de 63 analogues finaux possédant le pharmacophore désiré et de 14 intermédiaires $1 H-1,2,4$-triazole, un Lead prometteur a été identifié. Les perspectives de cette thèse sont l'évaluation de ce composé sur plusieurs modèles in vivo de DMLA exsudative.

Finalement, la dernière partie de ce manuscrit présente deux projets annexes: (i) une étude du transfert des biamidines vers les biguanides et (ii) l'étude de la stabilité du NRPa-308.

Mots-clés : synthèse organique, 1,2,4-triazole, RSA, récepteurs CXCR 1/2, DMLA exsudative

À mon grand-père, Emile Fabre (1934-2020)
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## Abbreviations

| $\mu \mathrm{M}$ : Micromolar | CRT: thickness of central retina |
| :---: | :---: |
| A2E: $N$-retinylidene- N -retinylethanolamine | CT: control |
| $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ : Amyloid $\beta$ | CXCL: Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand |
| ABCA4: ATP-Binding Cassette Subfamily A | CXCR: Chemokines receptor |
| Member 4 | DCM: Dichloromethane |
| Akt: Protein kinase B | DHA: Docosahexaenoic acid |
| AMD: Age-related Macular Degeneration | DIPEA: $N, N$-Diisopropylethylamine |
| ANG: angiopoietin | DMAP: 4-N,N-diméthylaminopyridine |
| AREDS: Age-Related Eye Disease Study | DME: diabetic macular edema |
| BCVA: best corrected visual acuity | DMF: Dimethylformamide |
| bFGF: basic fibroblast growth factor | DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| BMI: body mass index | DTC: differentiated thyroid cancer |
| Boc: $t$-butyloxycarbonyl group | ELR: glutamate-leucine-arginine motif |
| BrM: Bruch's membrane | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ : Efficient dose 50 |
| $n$-BuLi: $n$-Butyllithium | EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid |
| CC: choriocapillaris | ERK: Extracellular signal-regulated kinases |
| CFH: complement factor H | ESI: Electrospray ionization |
| CFI: complement factor I | EtOH: Ethanol |
| CNRS : Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (National Center of Scientific | $E t_{3} \mathbf{N}$ : Triethylamine |
| Research) | FAF: fundus autofluorescence |
| CNV: choroidal neovascularization | FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration |
| COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases | FGF-R: fibroblast growth factor receptor |


| GA: geographic atrophy | MeCN: Acetonitrile |
| :---: | :---: |
| GFP: green fluorescent protein | MeOH: Methanol |
| h: hour(s) | MeST: 5-(methylthio)-4H-1,2,4-triazol-3-amine |
| HAS : "Haute Autorité de Santé" | MLA: age-related maculopathy |
| HCMEC: human choroidal microvascular | MW: microwave |
| endothelial cells | n.c.: no conversion |
| HDL-C: High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol | n.d.: not determined |
| hESC: Human embryonic stem cells | n.i.: not isolated |
| HPLC: High-Performance Liquid | NMR: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| Chromatography |  |
|  | Nrf-2: Nuclear factor E2-related factor |
| HuCNS-SC: human central nervous system |  |
|  | NV: new vessels |
| HuVECs: Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial |  |
| Cells | OCT: optical coherence tomography |
| Hx: Hypoxia | o.n.: overnight |
| $\mathbf{I C}_{50}$ : Half maximal inhibitory concentration | ORT: outer retinal tubulation |
| ICN : Institut Chimie de Nice (Institut of | PDGF: platelet-derived growth factor |
| Chemistry of Nice) | PDGF-R: Platelet Derived Growth Factor |
| IL-8: Interleukine 8 | Receptor |
| iPSC: Human-induced pluripotent stem cells | PDR: proliferative diabetic retinopathy |
| IR: infrared reflectance | PIGF: placenta growth factor |
| IRCAN: Institute for Research on Cancer and | PPAR: peroxisome proliferator-activated |
| Aging | receptor |
| Kd: Dissociation constant | qPCR: quantitative polymerase chain reaction |
| LCMS: Liquid Chromatography-Mass | RBP4: retinol 4 |
| Spectrometry | RCC: renal cell carcinoma |
| LRAT: lecithin retinol acyltransferase | RDH: retinol dehydrogenase |
| MAC: membrane attack complex |  |


| RNP: ribonucleoproteins | TP: tempol |
| :---: | :---: |
| ROS: reactive oxygen species | TTR: transthyretin |
| RPE: retinal pigment epithelium | VA: Visual acuity |
| RPE65: retinal pigment epithelium-specific 65 | VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor |
| SAR: Structure-activity relationship | VEGF-R: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor |
| SC: stem cells | Receptor |
| sFLT-1: VEGF receptor | Vg: vector genomes |
| siRNA: Small interfering RNA | VIP: Verteporfin In Photodynamic Therapy |
| SM: starting material | THF: Tetrahydrofuran |
| $\mathbf{S}_{N} \mathbf{A r}$ : Aromatic nucleophilic substitution | TLC: Thin Layer Chromatography |
| r.t.: room temperature | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ : Retention time |
| T: Temperature | UMR : Unité Mixte de Recherche |
| TAP: Treatment of AMD with Photodynamic | U.V.: Ultra-Violet |
| Therapy |  |

General Introduction

Exudative age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is characterized by the neo-vascularization of the choroid mediated by the vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) and by inflammation. Currently, only symptomatic treatments exist, which rely on humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and recombinant fusion glycoprotein targeting pro-angiogenic factors. However, 30\% of the patients are insensitive to this therapy: no means are available to predict their degree of response. Other problems were highlighted as the side effects (retinal atrophy), the only delay progression to blindness, the stressful formulation by intravitreal injections. In addition, this approach targets only neovascularization mediated by VEGF, but it does not target the inflammation process. Inflammation and angiogenesis are integrated processes, and several cytokines are pro-inflammatory and proangiogenic. This Ph.D. project aims to concomitantly tackle these two phenomena by targeting the ERL ${ }^{+}$CXCL cytokines signaling pathway. Besides, this cytokine CXC family is precisely involved both in the early stage of the pro-angiogenic signal and in the inflammatory development.

Chapter 1 presents AMD as one of the major eye diseases. First, a description of pathogenesis, development, and characteristics has been broached. Second, a study of several strategies against atrophic AMD is depicted. A particular emphasis is given to the potential treatments currently in clinical trials or in a late research phase. Finally, the same study is performed for exudative AMD treatments including those currently on the market (anti-VEGF) and those currently tested in clinical or research phase with a particular focus on VEGF, PDGF, angiopoietin 2, and several miscellaneous targets.

Chapter 2 introduces our therapeutic target, the ELR ${ }^{+}$CXC cytokine subfamily, and is focused on the CXCL chemokines context as well as on the known CXCR1/2 antagonists. Based on two hit compounds already synthesized and validated by our group both in vitro and in vivo, the design of a new pharmacophore is depicted. Indeed, this Ph.D. deals with the development of new series of CXCR1/2 inhibitors with optimized physicochemical properties. Besides, in this document, the study of four new series based on the same pharmacophore is reported, they feature in their scaffold an imidazole or a triazole ring.


Pharmacophore of this Ph.D.

Chapter 3 is devoted to the study of the two series based on imidazole ring. We will describe different synthetic pathways explored during this work, namely: aza-Hantzsch, $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$, Riley oxidation, and pyrimidinium pathways, with a particular emphasis on the encountered difficulties and how they were managed and scientifically addressed.

Chapter 4 deals with the two triazoles series with the modulations studied on the two aromatic parts linked to the central triazole core. Based on an original and straightforward synthetic pathway, 63 benzyl analogues, and 14 1H-1,2,4-triazole intermediates have been synthetized. The structureactivity relationships of these series have been studied using: (i) cell viability XTT assays on retinal and endothelial cells, (ii) migration assays in a Boyden chamber allowing to block the cell migration associated with ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL-CXCR1/2 interaction, (iii) qPCR assays, (iv) immunoblotting assays, (v) ROS study and (vi) an in vivo zebrafish neovascular AMD model (in vivo angiogenesis study),

Lastly, chapter 5 will be devoted to annexe projects developed in parallel during my Ph.D. thesis: (i) transfer of biamidines to biguanides and (ii) the pharmacological study of a new anti-cancer agent active in vivo: NRPa-308.

Chapter 1. EXUDATIVE AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION (AMD): Evolution of The different treatments

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The increase in life expectancy results in the emergence of new age-related pathologies, among them age-related macular degeneration (AMD), the leading cause of blindness in the elderly. ${ }^{1}$ AMD constitutes a major health problem worldwide as no therapeutic curing options exist.

AMD is a degenerative disease mainly affecting people over 65, associated with the aging of the macula ${ }^{1}$, and results in an irreversible loss of central vision. Although this pathology is generally bilateral, its progression rate may not be necessarily the same in both eyes. The early AMD stage, agerelated maculopathy (MLA), can evolve towards two mains advanced forms: the atrophic (dry) or the exudative (neovascular or wet) AMD. ${ }^{2}$ This disease aggravation may occur within few months to several years and depends on various factors (demographic, environmental, genetic, and phenotypic risks), which remains not fully understood yet. Moreover, the mechanisms underlying these two advanced forms differ strongly, rendering the development of a global treatment challenging.

### 1.1.1 The complexity of the eye's anatomy

The eye is the central organ of the visual system, responsible for the phototransduction mechanism characterized by the capture of light, its switch into a cellular signal, and its transmission to the brain by the optic nerve. ${ }^{3,4}$


Figure 1-1: Eye's anatomy.

The eyeball is divided into two segments corresponding to different compartments and envelopes with distinct functions: (i) the anterior segment includes the ciliary body, the cornea, the iris, the aqueous humor, the lens, and (ii) the posterior segment including the choroid, the retina, and the optic nerve. Several envelopes protect the eye globe around the vitreous body and maintain the eye's shape, including the sclera, a white resistant fibrous tissue protecting the eye (Figure 1-1). ${ }^{4}$

The cornea is responsible for the transmission of light to the lens. The iris is known to act as a diaphragm by controlling the size of the pupil and focusing the light on the retina. ${ }^{5}$ In coordination with the lens, ${ }^{6}$ the iris acts as a natural zoom to converge the light rays. On the other hand, the choroid is a highly vascularized and pigmented membrane allowing the retina nourishment. ${ }^{7}$ The retina is considered as the photographic film, composed of sensory cells, called cones (for colorful vision during daytime) and rods (for black and white vision during twilight and night), able to catch the light and to transform it into nervous impulses. ${ }^{8}$ Finally, the macula, part of the retina center, is another key element of the posterior segment, ${ }^{9}$ responsible for the clarity of the vision and the perception of colors. Encompassing the highest concentration of photoreceptor, the macula, therefore, allows maximum visual acuity (VA). ${ }^{10}$ Its center is characterized by a small depression, called the fovea (Figure 1-1).

### 1.1.2 The different eye diseases

A large number of eye's pathologies affecting the vision have been reported, estimated at 45 million blind people worldwide. There are two main categories of ocular pathologies: on the one hand, the general diseases affecting the entire eye, and on the other hand, specific pathologies restricted to one compartment/membrane of the eye. However, very few diseases affect for more than $50 \%$ of the European population and are responsible for global visual in the elderly: glaucoma, cataracts, and AMD (Figure 1-2). Indeed, AMD is the most important since it affects $26 \%$ of the population over 65, closely followed by glaucoma (20.5\%) and cataracts (20\%). ${ }^{11}$ AMD is considered to be one of the leading causes of central vision loss in patients over 65 years due to severe retinal damages. Glaucoma results in an irreversible neurodegeneration that primarily damages the optic nerve and usually occurs after fluid build-up, causing increased pressure in the eye. Moreover, cataracts is a slow-growing eye disease in which the lens becomes cloudy, causing opacity or loss of transparency and can affect one or both eyes. ${ }^{12}$ Finally, cataract can be treated with surgery, glaucoma can be treated with eye drops, laser, or surgery, while AMD is incurable.

INCIDENCE IN EUROPE OF AMD, GLAUCOMA AND CATARACTS


Figure 1-2: Incidence in Europe of the different eyes' diseases affected people over 65 years old. ${ }^{13}$

### 1.2 Pathogenesis, development and characteristics of AMD

### 1.2.1 Pathogenesis

AMD covers different types of lesions altering the macula; depending on the grade of the disease, AMD results in a progressive visual loss. No symptoms appeared at its early stage; conversely, the advanced stages lead to wavy vision. Patients complain mainly of a decrease in central visual acuity predominant in near vision combined with metamorphopsia (distorted perception of straight lines and wavy images) and possibly the presence of a scotoma (dark spot), rendering diverse daily activities, such as reading and driving, problematic and even impossible (Figure 1-3). ${ }^{10}$


Figure 1-3: How people's vision is affected by AMD.

Among the tests to diagnose AMD, one can mention the Amsler's grid (allowing control of the central vision abnormalities generated by a fluid in the subretinal space) ${ }^{14}$ and the ETDRS scale (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study), currently in clinical trial to evaluate the visual acuity, and based on expressing the number of letters correctly read. ${ }^{15}$ In addition, clinicians monitor morphological changes in the retina/retinal pigment epithelium (RPE)/choroid by fundus exam, color fundus photography ${ }^{16}$, fundus autofluorescence (FAF) ${ }^{17}$, optical coherence tomography (OCT) ${ }^{18}$ and infrared reflectance (IR) ${ }^{19}$. AMD diagnosis is primarily achieved through these various ocular-imaging techniques that allowed to establish a classification system for early forms of AMD as well as refining the classification of late AMD (Figure 1-4). ${ }^{20}$


Figure 1-4: Diagnostics methods for visual acuity analysis. (A) Amsler's grid. ${ }^{19}$ (B) ETDRS scale. ${ }^{20}$ (C) Retina diagram by optical coherence tomography: normal retina (top) and a retina with pigmented epithelium detachment (bottom). (D) Normal retina and macula. (E) Early stage. (F) Atrophic stage. (G) Exudative stage. ${ }^{21}$

AMD can be described with different grades or stages, depending on its severity or evolution ${ }^{22}$ (Figure 1-5). First, early-stage known as age-related maculopathy (MLA), ${ }^{23}$ is characterized by the presence of insoluble extracellular lipid-rich aggregates and $\beta$-amyloid peptides accumulating in the retina/subretinal space. These aggregates are called drusen. AMD aggravation is associated with the formation of miliary drusens, small asymptomatic white spots in the perimacular region (diameter less than $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), or with the presence of larger drusens ( $\geq 125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter). ${ }^{24,25}$ These drusen are considered as a prognostic marker for the evolution of the disorders to the late AMD forms. ${ }^{26}$ However, the lesions affecting the macula are non-symptomatic and have no functional repercussion, even if it may induce in some cases a relative decrease in visual capacities or the presence of pigmentary abnormalities. ${ }^{27}$

The advanced (late) stage is characterized by the impaired central vision. ${ }^{28}$ Furthermore, the risk of an unfavorable progression in patients suffering from early bilateral or unilateral lesions was assessed at $26.4 \%$ and $6.3 \%$, respectively. ${ }^{22}$ Moreover, atrophic AMD can be considered as a risk factor or even a precursor condition of exudative AMD, which is less common and affects only $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ of patients but it is responsible for $90 \%$ of acute blindness ${ }^{29}$. Typical symptoms in late-stage disease include decreased night vision and progressive loss of central vision. ${ }^{30}$ Loss of vision is attributed to: (i) macular drop out of RPE and photoreceptors, termed geographic atrophy (GA) or to (ii) subretinal hemorrhage induced by the invasion of RPE and/or retina by abnormal blood vessels. This last symptom is called exudative AMD since it involves choroidal neovascularization (CNV). ${ }^{31}$ However, these two late forms
differ strongly, and are classified according to the presence or absence of blood vessels, in the retina in a disruptive manner. ${ }^{32,33}$ According to this classification, the atrophic form does not present blood vessels in opposition to the exudative form which is characterized by neovascularization under the RPE. ${ }^{29}$ Both forms are not mutually exclusive: the dry form can eventually develop CNV, and patients with CNV may display some degree of atrophy after a few years. ${ }^{34}$


Figure 1-5: Different stages of the AMD disease.

### 1.2.2 Epidemiology and Risks factors of AMD

As explained before, AMD is the leading cause of severe visual loss in persons older than 65 years. ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, after 75 years, one in four people are affected by this disease and one in two people over the age of 80 years. Interestingly, its prevalence is higher in women than in men at 65-69 years. ${ }^{35}$ In 2015, approximately 67 million people in the EU were affected by any type of AMD with severe visual loss. ${ }^{36}$ Besides, due to the increase in the global population size and mean population age, this number is expected to increase by $15 \%$ by $2050 .{ }^{37}$

In Europe the annual incidence for stage III (late AMD) is 1.4 cases per 1000 individuals over 50 years old, then 0.5 cases per 1000 individuals under 70 , and finally 6.7 cases per 1000 individuals over 70 years old (Figure 1-6). ${ }^{35}$

```
    GlOBAL PREVALENCE IN EUROPE ACCORDING THE
                            different stages of AMD
■ MLA (stages I, II) ■ late AMD (stage III) ■ nAMD (stage III) ■ GA (stage III)
```



Figure 1-6: Prevalence in Europe for all ages (from< 64 years to >75 years). MLA: Age-related maculopathy. nAMD: neovascular AMD. GA: geographic atrophy.

Several risk factors have been identified. First, demographic and environmental risks may be highly (age and smoking) or occasionally (body mass index (BMI), gender, education) related to the AMD progression. Genetic factors (e.g. in the complement factor) are also involved in the aggravation of the disease as well as phenotypic factors, including drusen or pigment abnormalities. Of note, molecular facts (High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C), Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), zeaxanthin or lutein) are excluded from prediction models but could be interesting because they are easily measured in blood. ${ }^{38}$

### 1.2.3 AMD characteristics



Figure 1-7: Strategies and targeted elements for AMD treatment.

In both atrophic and exudative AMD forms, some common characteristics have been reported to be responsible for the disease progression. In particular, chronic inflammation may be a key player in AMD evolution through different pathways, including endothelial dysfunction in choroidal vessels, development of basal deposit and drusen, and degeneration of Bruch's membrane.

Some other factors, such as accumulation of amyloid $\beta$ and reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been defined as causes of disease progression and degeneration. Unfortunately, their mechanism of action in the progression of AMD is only partially known and requires studies. Of course, the main difference concerns the exudative form, whose angiogenesis phenomenon is observed, leading to a fluid accumulation under the RPE and to its subsequent destruction. (Figure 1-7).

### 1.2.3.1 Atrophic form

The atrophic AMD form, also known as dry or geographic atrophy (GA), corresponds to 85\% of the latestage form. ${ }^{39}$ The main common symptom is a vision blurring with vision loss affecting, in general, both eyes, but sometimes only one eye.

This form is characterized by progressive dysfunction of the RPE, photoreceptors degeneration, choriocapillaris, inflammation, and peripapillary atrophy (Figure 1-8). ${ }^{40,41}$ These disorders are caused by the thickening of the Bruch's membrane ( BrM ) due to the accumulation of drusen. ${ }^{42-44}$ This accumulation of lipids primarily interferes with the fluid efflux of RPE through the BrM , thus stressing the RPE. ${ }^{45}$ The drusen's emergence and their growth occur slowly over years, but they are responsible for the RPE cell death and the synaptic dysfunction. Aging correlated with the formation of these drusens allows for biochemical and anatomical changes that occur in BrM. Subsequently, these physical deformities result in decreased nutrient flow through $\mathrm{BrM}^{46}$ and are also associated with mild to moderate retinal degeneration and vision loss. Thus, areas of hypopigmentation of the RPE monolayer are observed in the macula. However, the precise formation of drusens in the AMD pathogenicity has not been fully elucidated. ${ }^{47,48}$ Interestingly, even if most of late AMD starts as the atrophic form, 10-20\% of individuals suddenly turn into the exudative form. Unfortunately, no mechanistic model explains this conversion. ${ }^{49}$

Currently, atrophic AMD form remains incurable. However, several strategies are under investigation to decrease the formation of drusen and/or avoid RPE disorders. The current symptomatic treatments include the targeting of (i) the visual cycle with the A2E ( $N$-retinylidene- $N$-retinylethanolamine) formation, Amyloid $\beta(A \beta)$ protein aggregates, and drusens apparition ${ }^{50}$; (ii) the choroid's atrophy
stimulated by drusen's multiplication and choriocapillaris (CC) atrophy ${ }^{51,52}$; (iii) the loss of photoreceptor cells and RPE; (iv) the oxidative stress with neuroprotection agents ${ }^{53}$; (v) the inflammation ${ }^{54,55}$ (Figure 1-8). Although some treatments have reached the clinical trials stage (phase $1 \& 2$ ), and many other therapeutic options are currently under investigation, the lack of global comprehension in the AMD's aggravation mechanisms currently impairs the emergence of an efficient curing treatment.


Figure 1-8: Current therapeutic targets for the treatment of atrophic AMD.

### 1.2.3.2 Exudative form

The exudative form is characterized by neovascularization and choroidal proliferation, responsible for a decrease of the visual acuity (VA). The formation of these new choroidal vessels (CNV) ${ }^{56}$ is mediated by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and also by local inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) or NF-кB. ${ }^{57-59}$ These neovessels can be described as visible (CNV) or occult (OCNV) and have a variable location compared to the fovea (extrafoveal, juxtafoveolar, subfoveal). They can be also formed from the macular retina, which can secondarily establish contacts with the choroid network (angiomatous retinal proliferation), namely chorioretinal anastomoses.

CNV commonly is categorized into these three major types: (i) Extrafoveal, CNV is located between $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $2500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the geometric center of the foveal avascular zone, (ii) Juxtafoveal, CNV is confined to an area up to $199 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the geometric center of the foveal avascular zone (this area
may include portions of the foveal avascular zone) and, (iii) Subfoveal, CNV is directly beneath the geometric center of the foveal avascular zone.

These new vessels, unlike normal vessels, tend to be very fragile and induce tissue extravasation of lipids, plasma or blood, the reason for the name wet AMD. Subsequently, this neovascularization will cross the BrM and develop under the pigment epithelium or in the subretinal space, namely under the macula, thus causing significant retinal detachment responsible for the decrease in visual acuity, metamorphopsia, subretinal hemorrhages, and the formation of a central scotoma. These morphological anomalies quickly damage the macula. Degeneration of the photoreceptors is then observed, leading to cell death. The ultimate evolution is the appearance of a fibrous scar, called disciform. ${ }^{10}$

The exudative AMD form emerges abruptly; its most frequent complications is a rapid destruction of photoreceptors, responsible for a severe decrease in VA and a permanent central scotoma. Its evolution can be very fast, causing a loss of central vision in a few weeks or months, and rapidly progresses to blindness if untreated. ${ }^{56}$ The exudative AMD form is considered more severe than the atrophic form, due to the irreversible prognosis. Nevertheless, this neovascular form can be treated with a laser treatment or using anti-angiogenic therapies to avoid the formation of the new vessels. ${ }^{60}$ In fact, in the exudative AMD form, the abnormal overexpression of VEGF is one of the key players involved in the CNV and resulting in the RPE damage induction. Normally, the VEGF plays a physiological role in the development and trophic maintenance of the choriocapillaris ${ }^{61}$ and in protecting retinal neurons from apoptosis in ischemic conditions. Conversely, the high level of this growth factor involves the formation of angiogenic germs, and it is responsible for the activation, survival and proliferation of the new vessels (NV).

Therefore, several studies suggest that the production and the activity of the angiogenic factor VEGF would not be sufficient to increase the vascularization but requires the action of other angiogenic factors combined with a reduction in the activity of the antagonistic factors. ${ }^{62}$ Indeed, there is a consortium of pro-angiogenic factors but also pro-inflammatory factors which undoubtedly affect the progression of AMD. ${ }^{63}$ Even if some benefits with antiangiogenic treatments are observed (see part 1.4 Exudative (wet) AMD treatments), they are not efficient in the long term and patients have to resume the treatments.

### 1.3 ATROPHIC (DRY) AMD TREATMENTS

Several organelles of the eye macula have been targeted to propose therapeutic options towards atrophic AMD treatment (Figure 1-8), all of them having been developed during the past 15 years (Figure 1-9).

Each strategy presented shows the importance of the administration mode. For example, in the visual cycle, administration to patients as an oral capsule. In the same idea, another interesting point is an administration by eye drop in the case of MC-1101, OT-551 or tandospirone, considered a real challenge. This is very relevant to point out, as this type of formulation is less frightening for patients than intraocular injections, intravenous or subcutaneous injections present in most cases.


Figure 1-9: Timeline of therapeutic agents developed against atrophic AMD.

### 1.3.1 Visual cycle

The molecular base of the visual cycle is well known for years. Basically, when light reaches the photoreceptors cells, retinal 11-cis undergoes a photochemical reaction and converts into its more stable all-trans isomer. After a reduction of the retinal in all-trans retinol in photoreceptor cells, this
one undergoes esterification by lecithin retinol acyltransferase (LRAT) in RPE cells. Subsequently, alltrans retinyl ester is isomerized, and the ester bond is hydrolysed by retinal pigment epitheliumspecific 65 (RPE65) to form 11-cis retinol. Finally, this retinol is oxidized from 11-cis retinol to 11-cis retinal by 11-cis retinol dehydrogenase (RDH), then it migrates back to the photoreceptors, ready to start another cycle. However, in the case of atrophic AMD, this cycle is altered. Thus, in RPE cells, retinal all-trans isomer is converted, to a dimeric form: the $N$-retinylidene- $N$-retinylethanolamine (A2E) which aggregates and constitutes lipofuscin, a major aggravating factor in AMD (Figures 1-10, 1-11). ${ }^{64-}$ ${ }^{66}$ In this perspective, deceleration of the visual cycle turn-over was proposed as a therapeutic option. ${ }^{64}$ To date, four small-sized organic molecules have been developed to interfere with this mechanism.


Figure 1-10: Visual cycle in the retina with AMD disease. A2E : N-retinylidene-N-retinylethanolamine ; ABCA4 : ATP-Binding Cassette Subfamily A Member 4 ; LRAT : lecithin retinol acyltransferase ; RBP4 : retinol 4 ; RDH : retinol dehydrogenase ; RPE65 : retinal pigment epithelium-specific 65 ; TTR : transthyretin.


Figure 1-11: Lipofuscin obtention in RPE.


Emixustat or ACU-4429
Emixustat (Acucela, Inc., Kubota Vision Inc.; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 2012) was the first smallsized modulator of the visual cycle. ${ }^{67,68}$ This molecule inhibits the enzyme catalyzing the transformation of all-trans retinyl ester into 11-cis-retinol, the RPE65. Emixustat binds the retinoid site of RPE65 with its hydroxyl group, and this molecule inhibits in vitro this isomerase within an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $4.4 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{69} \mathrm{In}$ turn, emixustat induces the deceleration of the visual cycle and the reduction of A 2 E accumulation. Moreover, the binding affinity of $(R)$-emixustat with RPE65 is higher compare to $(S)$-emixustat. ${ }^{70}$ The emixustat dose-effect correlations have been evaluated in a phase $2 / 3$ study (SEATTLE, NCT01802866, 2013-2017) ${ }^{71,72}$ with different amounts of the drug ( 2.5 to 10 mg ) given to patients during 24 months. However, it resulted in a non-reduction of the growth rate of GA in AMD whatever the dose. A phase 1 and two phases 2 studies (NCTO2130531, 2014-2016; NCTO1002950, 2009-1014; NCTO3033108, 2017-2019 ${ }^{73-75}$ have been also performed aiming at evaluating the safety, the tolerability and the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of emixustat given in different doses level to atrophic AMD patients ( $2,5,7$, or 10 mg ) for 90 days. The drug was well tolerated when given daily for 2 weeks (phase 1 trial), and ${ }^{68}$ at this level emixustat displayed a reversible dose-response effect (in phase 2 trial). Two doses were interrupted ( 7 and 10 mg ) due to side effects in their groups, but for 7 mg and 5 mg , two patients (in each group) showed a decrease in VA compare to the placebo group. ${ }^{76}$ Of note, this molecule is already used in Stargardt macular dystrophy (in vivo studies) ${ }^{77}$, a pathology sharing with dry AMD the presence of lipofuscin.


## Fenretinide

Another target is the interaction between transthyretin (TTR) and retinol 4 (RBP4), which is in charge of the all-trans retinol transport from the photoreceptors to the RPE. ${ }^{78,79}$ Thus a RBP4 antagonist mimicking vitamin $\mathrm{A}^{80}$, the Fenretinide (ReVision Therapeutics, Inc.), inhibits the TTR-RBP4 complex formation with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 56 nM . ${ }^{81,82}$ A phase 2 study (NCTO0429936, 2007-2010) ${ }^{83}$ implemented to evaluate the efficacy of this molecule ( 100 mg during 24 months) in atrophic AMD, has demonstrated a reduction in the growth of GA lesions and a decrease in neovascularization. This result suggests that fenretinide may prevent the evolution of the AMD from its dry to its wet form. ${ }^{80}$


A1120
A1120 is another small molecule impeding the interaction between RBP4 and TTR, with a high affinity for RBP4 ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=8.3 \mathrm{nM}$ ), leading to the subsequent blockade of the RBP4-TTR complex formation. A1120 causes retinol orientation changes after binding to it and, leads downstream to the blockade of lipofuscin's formation (proved in vivo in an Abca4 mouse model) ${ }^{81}$. Remarkably, the scaffold of this molecule differs strongly from this of vitamin A, suggesting an original interaction with its molecular target. ${ }^{81}$ The inhibition of TTR-RBP4 complex formation showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 14.8 nM for A1120, which is a better result than fenretinide. ${ }^{81}$ To date, this molecule has not reached the trial stage for the atrophic AMD treatment.


ALK-001
ALK-001, or C20-D3-vitamin A, is a deuterated derivative of vitamin A, which prevents A2E by vitamin A replacement. ${ }^{84}$ A pre-clinical study revealed that ALK-001 could slow down the vitamin A dimerization (Scheme 1-1) due to an isotopic effect. ${ }^{66}$ ALK-001 was developed by Alkeus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. to slow down vision loss in atrophic AMD and Stargardt disease. ${ }^{66}$ After a phase 1 study (NCTO2230228, 2014-2015) ${ }^{85}$ to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetics in 40 healthy
patients, a phase 3 study (SAGA, NCT03845582, 2019-2020) ${ }^{86}$ was recently recruiting 300 AMD patients to evaluate its potential benefits.


Scheme 1-1: Vitamin A dimerization. Dimerization occurs after cleavage of a C-H bond in position C20.

Table 1-1: Drugs targeting the visual cycle.
Drugs

### 1.3.2 Amyloid $\beta$ (A $\beta$ )

The amyloid $\beta$ accumulation in drusens, in particular the amyloid peptides $A \beta 1-40(A \beta 40)$ and $A \beta 1-42$ (A $\beta 42$ ) could be responsible for AMD aggravation. ${ }^{50,87}$ Indeed, $A \beta$ participates in the inflammatory induction, and it inhibits in turn the complement factor I (CFI) activity, responsible for the alternative
complement cascade. ${ }^{88}$ Thus, its elimination - or reduction - may constitute a therapeutic option for atrophic AMD treatment.

## RN6G

RN6G (also called PF-04382923) is an anti-amyloid- $\beta$ monoclonal antibody developed by Pfizer, which binds and traps $A \beta$ in the retinal periphery and reduces in mice the accumulation of toxic species in the macula. ${ }^{87,89}$ Two phases 1 studies (NCT01003691, 2009-2013; NCT00877032, 2009-2015) ${ }^{90,91}$ have been completed to assess the optimal dose of RN6G; however, in both cases, no improvement in visual acuity (BCVA assays) has been reported. In addition, a phase 2 study (NCT01577381, 2012-2016) ${ }^{92}$ demonstrated also no improvements in BCVA neither in ocular lesions (GA).

## GSK933776

GSK933776 is a fully-humanized mouse anti-human $A \beta$ immunoglobulin $G 1$ which will bind to the $A \beta$ N-terminal end. ${ }^{93}$ This drug, developed by GlaxoSmithKline, designed to restore the CFI bioactivity has been proved active in vivo. ${ }^{88}$ It was initially used in the treatment of the Alzheimer's Disease. In the case of AMD treatment, two studies have been performed: a phase 1 study (NCTO2033668, 20142017) ${ }^{94}$ to evaluate the pharmacokinetic and different forms of the formulation by intravenous injection or subcutaneous injection of GSK933776 (200 mg) and a phase 2 study (NCT01342926, 20112017) ${ }^{95}$. This latter showed no improvement in GA enlargement rate and VA. Moreover, no correlation was observed between GA enlargement rate and the CFI variations. ${ }^{96}$

Table 1-2: Drugs targeting $\beta$-Amyloid.

| Drugs | Structure | Clinic or <br> Research | Formulation | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RNG6 | Monoclonal <br> antibody | 1 | Intravenous | NCTO100369190 $^{\text {NCTO0877032 }}$ |
|  |  | 2 |  | NCTO1577381 $^{92}$ |
| GSK933776 | Monoclonal <br> antibody | 1 | Intravenous or subcutaneous | NCTO2033668 |
|  |  | injection | NCTO1342926 $^{95}$ |  |

### 1.3.3 Choriocapillaris (CC) atrophy

CC ensures in the RPE the nutriments intake and the removal of waste; ${ }^{97}$ its alteration induces ocular hypoxia. This hypoxia may be potentially responsible for drusen multiplication may be an aggravating factor for AMD. In line with this consideration, vasodilators have been proposed to counteract this atrophy. ${ }^{51,52}$
 MC-1101

Hydralazine (so-called MC-1101, or Apresoline ${ }^{\circledR}$ hydrochloride; MacuCLEAR Inc.) ${ }^{98}$ is an antihypertensive, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and vasodilating agent, FDA approved in 1997 by the FDA to treat high blood pressure. ${ }^{99}$ In addition, on an animal model of an ocular hypertensive rabbit, MC-1101 proved to increase the choroidal blood flow in the macula. ${ }^{53,100}$ Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that hydralazine increases the choroidal blood flow and in animal models (rats and rabbits), antioxidant action and suppression of atrophic AMD were observed. ${ }^{100}$ In humans, two phases 1 studies (NCT01013376, 2009; NCT01922128, 2013-2014) ${ }^{101,102}$ and a phase 2/3 study (NCT01601483, 2012-2014) ${ }^{103}$ dealing with the hydralazine safety profile (including AMD patients), and its optimal use (dose and administration mode). These assays proved (including for patients suffering atrophic AMD) that no adverse effects (cardiovascular effects, ocular toxicity, and no effect on the blood-eye barrier) when the drug was given by topical mode (1\% in an ophthalmic solution).


## Moxaverine

Moxaverine (Kollateral forte ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is a papaverine derivative developed by Ursapharm, is a phosphodiesterase inhibitor exerting a vasodilator effect that was also studied for the enhancement of the choroidal blood flow. ${ }^{104}$ This molecule was initially used for the peripheral microcirculatory impairment treatment. ${ }^{105}$ Moxaverine has been assayed in a clinical trial phase 2/3 (NCT00709449, 2008-2009) ${ }^{106}$ as an intravenous infusion at the dose of 150 mg , demonstrating an increase of the choroidal blood flow in patients suffering AMD and glaucoma. ${ }^{104}$


Sildenafil citrate (Viagra ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Pfizer, is a phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitor marketed for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. ${ }^{107}$ A small pilot phase 2 study (NCTO1830790, 2013-2015) ${ }^{108}$ including 10 AMD patients (exudative and atrophic) evaluated its effects ( 100 mg ) on the increase of the choroid thickness, since the choroid being thinner in AMD patients. The authors reported that choroid thickness increased in both AMD forms (6.7-11.9\% for atrophic AMD and 10.1-11.5\% for exudative AMD from 1 to 3 h$).{ }^{109}$ However, because of insufficient support to complete the recruitment, this trial was closed prematurely. In 2019, a study with 23 subjects, including 15 AMD patients, showed an increase of choroid thickness from $6.0 \%$ to $9.0 \%$ (1-3 h) after the administration of a sildenafil oral dose of 100 mg . Nevertheless, in the older subjects the choroid thickness is thinner at the beginning with a smaller increase after treatment suggesting that with age, sildenafil will have a weaker vascular response. ${ }^{110}$


## Trimetazidine

Trimetazidine (TMZ), Vastare ${ }^{\circledR}$, is a piperazine derivative developed by Servier designed to inhibit the oxidation of fatty acids and glucose. ${ }^{111}$ First, this therapeutic agent has been used for anti-ischaemic properties (1981) ${ }^{112}$. However, TMZ also prevents microvascular abnormalities in the choroid and retina. Moreover, its protecting effects have been validated in glaucoma and degenerative myopia; this drug improves the sensitivity to contrast and the visual acuity ( 20 mg , twice a day of TMZ during 6 months) of patients. ${ }^{113}$ Nonetheless, a human study, carried out for its use at the dose of 35 mg , twice daily over 3-5 years) in exudative and atrophic forms of AMD, failed to demonstrate a clinical benefit on CNV for these patients, ${ }^{114}$ even if a possible preventive effect to delay atrophy has been suggested.

Table 1-3: Drugs targeting choriocapillaris atrophy.
Drugs

### 1.3.4 RPE and photoreceptors loss: Stem cells (SC)

The discovery of stem cells and their implication in tissues regeneration led to the emergence of new therapy options in numerous diseases. In atrophic AMD, three types of SC have been studied to regenerate the RPE and to produce cytokines (growth promoters), allowing the maintenance of the choriocapillaris and the retina ${ }^{115}$ : SC issued from fibroblasts (iPSC), SC from the central nervous system (HuCNS) and SC resulting from fertilization (hESC) (Figure 1-12).


Figure 1-12: Stem cells therapy for the regeneration of RPE and photoreceptor cells.

## Human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC)

Human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) are obtained from adult human fibroblasts, resulting from the activation of a set of transcription factors including ct3/4, Sox2, c-Myc and Klf4. These cells are characterized by the presence in their membrane of a sodium and potassium ion transport channel ${ }^{116}$. They have similarities with native RPEs in terms of membrane potential, polarized secretion of VEGF and gene expression. ${ }^{117}$ iPSCs can be used to generate pigmented epithelial cells in the outer retina to replace or regenerate the defective ones. Moreover, these cells can produce growth factors and supporting cytokines (brain-derived neurotrophic factor for supportive paracrine effect in the macula) ${ }^{117}$. These iPSC cells may be sub-retinal grafted in AMD patients, as shown in a transplantation study carried out on a hereditary degenerative rat retina model (RCS rat). ${ }^{118}$ A more recent in vitro study (2016) was also carried out against atrophic AMD to understand its mechanisms through a RPE-iPSC-RPE SC of AMD compare to normal RPE-iPSC-RPE. AMD model shows a different disease phenotype than the normal model and higher ROS formation under oxidative stress. Among others, an underlying pathway is involved in the disease: SIRT1/PGC-1 $\alpha$. ${ }^{119}$ Another trial is ongoing (NCTO2464956, 2015) ${ }^{120}$. To be able to study and better understand AMD on cells, a study
(NCT03372746, 2017-2019) ${ }^{121}$ was carried out to establish a bank of samples. These samples can be differentiated into ocular cells (transformation of skin, blood into iPSCs).

## Human embryonic stem cells (hESC)

The transplantation of human embryonic stem cells (hESC) has been assayed in atrophic AMD to replace damaged RPEs based on the MA09-hRPE model (hESC-RPE cell line). Once grafted, the SC proliferated without senescence nor apoptosis. ${ }^{122,123}$ A 1/2 phase study (NCT01344993, 2011-2017) ${ }^{124}$ demonstrated the survival of the transplant over 12 months, without abnormal proliferation nor side effects for the patients. ${ }^{122,123}$ A recent complete study (NCTO2463344, 2015-2020) ${ }^{125}$ aimed at evaluating the long-term (5 years) safety and tolerability of MA09-hRPE in atrophic AMD patients, will report conclusions shortly.

CPCB-RPE1 is a subretinal human implant, composed of hESC-RPEs precultured on a biocompatible, mesh-supported submicron parylene C membrane. ${ }^{126}$ Their use in vivo, in mini pigs eyes, was performed in 2016 and the safety of the subretinal implantation has been subsequently proved. ${ }^{126} \mathrm{~A}$ phase $1 / 2$ study (NCTO2590692, 2015-2020) ${ }^{127}$ is currently ongoing to observe the damages of the RPE/photoreceptor complex after a CPCB-RPE1 implant in atrophic AMD patients.

## Human central nervous system (HuCNS-SC)

The stem cells issued from the human central nervous system (HuCNS-SC) have been used to prevent degeneration of the photoreceptors in Royal College of Surgeons rats, an animal known for inherited retinal degeneration. ${ }^{128}$ The HuCNS-SCs survive over a minimum of eight months after their transplantation into the subretinal space; they minimize long-term vision loss and preserve photoreceptors, and they can. ${ }^{129,130}$ In humans, thanks to a safety profiles appearing from a phase $1 / 2$ study (NCTO1632527, 2012-2015) ${ }^{131}$ of HuCNS-SC in atrophic AMD patients, a complementary phase 2 (RADIANT, NCT02467634, 2015-2016) ${ }^{132}$ has been implemented one year later, by StemCells, Inc.. The authors concluded the maintains or improvement of BCVA and contrast sensitivity (CS), increasing the central subfield thickness, the macular volume and the decrease in the growth rate of GA in the treated eye compared to the control eye. ${ }^{133}$ Finally, a study to evaluate the long-term safety and the possible benefits for patients (NCTO2137915, 2014-2016) ${ }^{134}$ was terminated early due to financial reasons, despite no safety issues observed.

Table 1-4: Stem cells against atrophic AMD.

| Drugs | Origin | Goal | Clinic or Research | Formulation | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iPSC | Human fibroblast | RPE cells regeneration | not yet recruiting | Transplantation | NCT02464956 ${ }^{120}$ |
| hESC | Central nervous | Replace RPE cells | 1/2 | Transplantation | NCT01344993 ${ }^{124}$ <br> NCT02463344 ${ }^{125}$ <br> NCT02590692 ${ }^{127}$ |
| HuCNS-SC | Fertilization | Photoreceptors regeneration | 2 | Transplantation | NCT01632527 ${ }^{131}$ <br> NCT02467634 ${ }^{132}$ <br> NCT02137915 ${ }^{134}$ |

### 1.3.5 Oxidative stress

Recent evidence suggests that mitochondrial damages and oxidative stresses in RPE are key players in AMD, even if the damages mechanism is not fully understood yet. ${ }^{135}$ Indeed, RPE cells are exposed to chronic oxidative stress due to their oxygen requirements and to their exposure to products resulting from the peroxidation of lipids derived from external photoreceptors. ${ }^{136}$ At the RPE cells' level, a set of ROS and/or free radicals are produced, such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals. ${ }^{137}$ This accumulation is also associated with an overproduction of lipofuscin and beta-amyloid peptides. ${ }^{138}$ These damages to the RPE induce in turn apoptosis of photoreceptors. Furthermore, oxidative stresses are closely related to inflammation, which is also responsible for the development of AMD lesions. Moreover, inflammatory stimuli increase the production of reactive oxygen intermediates and reduce the bioavailability of antioxidants, initiating thereby a vicious circle. ${ }^{139}$ To summarize, oxidative stresses lead to the aggravation of the disease, and their downregulation may lead to potential therapeutic options (Figure 1-13). ${ }^{140}$ In line with this, compounds with anti-oxidative properties or aimed at interfering with the production of ROS have been proposed as potential treatments for AMD patients.


Figure 1-13: AMD progression due to ROS and inflammatory factors.

### 1.3.5.1 Small-sized molecules



OT-551
OT-551, developed by Othera Pharmaceuticals, is a derivative of the well-known radicals' quencher TEMPO. Delivered by eye drops, this lipophilic prodrug of tempol (TP) has the remarkable capacity to penetrate the cornea towards the back of the eye to reach the macula. The surrounding esterases then convert OT-551 into its corresponding acid TP-H (Scheme 1-2) making it an interesting candidate for the treatment of ocular disease, preserving VA. ${ }^{141}$ A non-direct mechanism of action of OT-551 was shown to downregulate through multiple pathways the activation of Nuclear factor E2-related factor (Nrf-2), overexpressed in inflammatory disease. As the consequence, OT-551 may act as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory factor. ${ }^{141}$

A phase 2 study (NCT00306488, 2006-2011) ${ }^{142}$, with 11 participants, reported an improvement (mean change: for study eyes $+0.2,13.3$ letters and for fellow eyes $-11.3,7.6$ letters) in BCVA for patients treated with OT-511 ( $0.45 \%$ concentration eye drops, three times a day, during 24 months) and the prodrug was well-tolerated. However, these positive results have been counterbalanced by the fact that the administration mode allowed no benefits for other AMD markers (lesions size, retinal sensitivity and drusen area). ${ }^{143}$ Moreover, another phase 2 study (OMEGA, NCT00485394, 20072010) ${ }^{144}$, not proved this VA improvement for 198 participants after 18 months (same dose and administration mode). ${ }^{145}$ These divergent studies may suggest that OT-551 is not an adequate treatment according to this administration mode.


[^0]

## Risuteganib

Risuteganib (ALG-1001, Luminate ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Allegro Ophthalmics, is a small pseudo peptide targeting the integrin heterodimers ( $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}, \alpha_{v} \beta_{5}, \alpha_{5} \beta_{1}$, and $\alpha_{M} \beta_{2}$ ) involved in angiogenesis, vascular leakage and inflammation. However, targeting $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{v} \beta_{5}$ heterodimers, induce also an oxidative stress action; moreover, in mice, their inhibitions reduced AMD and diabetic macular edema (DME) angiogenesis. ${ }^{146,147}$

A phase 2 study (NCTO3626636, 2018-2019) ${ }^{148}$ evaluated the safety profile and the efficacy of risuteganib in atrophic AMD patients. It concluded to an improvement in BCVA, characterized by a gain of Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) letters, to measure VA; however, no longerterm studies have been reported. ${ }^{146}$

Table 1-5: Drugs targeting oxidative stress.
Drugs

### 1.3.5.2 Nutritional supplements

In the absence of a curative treatment, lifestyles and nutritional supplements have also been proposed to slow down the disease's progression. ${ }^{149}$ Thus, two studies dealing with the use of nutritional
supplements (vitamins C, $\beta$-carotene and minerals such as zinc and copper) have been carried out by Bausch \& Lomb, Inc: Age-Related Eye Disease Study 1 (AREDS1) ${ }^{150}$ and Age-Related Eye Disease Study 2 (AREDS2) ${ }^{151}$. These supplements downregulate AMD progression ( $20 \%$ with antioxidant vs $28 \%$ without) and vision impairment (19\%) after 5 years. ${ }^{152}$ In another study (TOZTAL, 2007) based on the supplements in Taurine, Omega-3 Fatty Acids, Zinc, Antioxidant, Lutein showed, no change in VA. ${ }^{153}$ Other dietary supplements have also been studied such as curcumin ${ }^{154-156}$ or resveratrol ${ }^{157}$ with no conclusive result yet. To conclude, nutrient supplementation is a part of research in the prevention of AMD but, further research is needed to demonstrate the effectiveness of supplements. ${ }^{158}$

Table 1-6: Supplement drugs.


### 1.3.6 Neuroprotection

Neuroprotective factors protect RPE and photoreceptors cells from oxidative stress damages. ${ }^{53}$

## CNTF

The ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), developed by Neurotech Pharmaceuticals, is a cytokine belonging to the IL-6 family. In a set of neurodegenerative disorders, this survival factor is reported to delay the symptoms' aggravation. In the specific cases of ocular diseases, CNTF improves the survival of the photoreceptors and the RPE cells; in animals suffering retinitis pigmentosa, CNTF proved to slow down the retinal degeneration. ${ }^{159,160}$ In humans, CNTF secreted by modified human retinal pigment epithelium cells, trapped in a polymer implant (NT-501), maybe surgically implemented into the vitreous of the eye. ${ }^{161}$ For patients suffering atrophic AMD, a phase 2 study (NCT00447954, 20072016) ${ }^{162}$ evaluated the safety and efficacy of CNTF in this implant. The encapsulated cells remain active after 24 months, and positive pharmacokinetic results with continuous delivery of CNTF secreted by the implant have been observed.


## Brimonidine Tartarate

Brimonidine tartrate (Alphagan ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Allergan, Inc., is a small-sized 2-imidazoline, $\alpha 2$ adrenergic receptor agonist ${ }^{89}$, used for the treatment of ocular hypertension and glaucoma (FDA approved in 1996). ${ }^{163,164}$ Brimonidine has been well described to reduce intraocular pressure (IOP). ${ }^{165}$ This therapeutic agent prevents also RPE and photoreceptors cells apoptosis, by allowing the release of neutrophins such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), CNTF and basic fibroblast growth factor (b-FGF) are prevented. ${ }^{166}$

This molecule was administered as an intravitreal biodegradable implant for clinical studies. Allergen performed two phases 2 studies (NCTO0658619, 2008-2018; BEACON, NCTO2087085, 2014-2019) ${ }^{167,168}$ to evaluate its safety and efficacy. Thus, injecting 200 or $400 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of brimonidine for 24 months demonstrated a change in the size of the lesions with smaller GA lesions, especially for patients with larger lesions. In the BEACON study, an intravitreal implant of brimonidine ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) applicate every three months through month 21 , showed a reduction of the GA lesions after 30 months. ${ }^{169}$


Tandospirone
Tandospirone (AL-8309B, Sediel ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Alcon Laboratories, Inc., is a 1 A serotonin agonist ${ }^{53}$, used as anti-oxidant and anti-depressant. In the external retina, this molecule slows down the activation of microglia and the deposition of different complement proteins such as C3, factor B, factor H and MAC. ${ }^{170}$ This will preserve in turn RPE cells and photoreceptors from oxidative stress and prevents retinal cells apoptosis. ${ }^{89,171}$ Interestingly, tandospirone may be given to patients thanks to eye's drops.

A phase 3 study (GATE, NCT00890097, 2009-2014) ${ }^{172}$ did not point out any safety concerns for the use of tandospirone, but there was a lack of efficacy since no changes in the GA lesions growth were reported compared to untreated patients. ${ }^{173}$

Table 1-7: Drugs targeting neuroprotection.
Drugs

### 1.3.7 Inflammatory pathways

Many daily stressors, such as UV radiation, oxidation, infection result in a chronic low-grade inflammation, which is a relevant parameter for several age-related degenerative diseases, ${ }^{176,177}$ including AMD. ${ }^{178}$ In AMD, chronic inflammation may induce endothelial dysfunction in choroidal vessels, development of basal deposit and drusen, degeneration of Bruch's membrane. In addition, AMD is associated also with the increase of the permeability of the vessel wall, the leakage into the surrounding interstitial tissue and edema, the activation of blood-borne inflammatory cells, and the involvement of the complement system, which all are conventional inflammation hallmarks. ${ }^{179}$


## Glatiramer acetate

Glatiramer acetate (Copaxone ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Teva Pharmaceutical, is a four amino acid (Glu-Ala-TyrLys) polymer mimicking myelin, currently used in multiple sclerosis (FDA approved in 1996). ${ }^{180} \mathrm{By}$ an
unclear mechanism of action, glatiramer acetate suppresses the inflammatory response, as the proinflammatory T cells are feigned into Th2 cytokines (anti-inflammatory or regulatory cells). ${ }^{181,182}$ In 2007, a phase $2 / 3$ study (NCT00466076, unknown statue) ${ }^{183}$ and a phase 1 study (NCT00541333, 20072013) ${ }^{184}$ assessed its efficacy and safety for its use a preventive treatment to block the conversion of dry AMD to wet AMD; at the endpoint, both studies highlighted a decrease in the area of drusen. ${ }^{185}$


Fluocinolone acetonide
Fluocinolone Acetonide (Iluvien ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), is a lipophilic corticosteroïd developed by Alimera Sciences, ${ }^{186}$ currently used in the treatment of DME (FDA approved in 1963). ${ }^{187}$ In a phase 2 study (NCT00695318, $2008-2015)^{188}$ fluocinolone acetonide ( 0.2 or $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ day ) demonstrated only a slight improvement in the size of GA after 24 months.


Sirolimus
Sirolimus (rapamycin, Rapamune ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is a well known natural macrocycle with several therapeutic activities. This is an inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), ${ }^{189}$ initially used as an immunosuppressant to prevent graft rejects (first agreement by FDA in 1999, given in the case of renal transplantation). ${ }^{190}$ Sirolimus was given in association with Lidocaine (Phase 2, NCT01675947, 20122015) ${ }^{191}$ but this trial was stopped for safety concerns. A phase $1 / 2$ study (SIRGA, NCT0071249, 20082013 $)^{192}$, complemented by a phase $1 / 2$ study (SIRGA2, NCT01445548, 2011-2019) ${ }^{193}$ were evaluated the safety and efficacy of sirolimus formulation; unfortunately, side effects of retinal atrophy and/or RPE were observed without beneficial effects for patients. ${ }^{194}$ Thus, the use of sirolimus remains questionable for AMD treatment, and additional studies are needed.

## Complement cascade

An important part of inflammatory treatment passed by the complement system, a group of proteins able to stimulate inflammation and opsonization during the immune response. Three distinct biochemical pathways leading to cells swelling ${ }^{195}$ exist: classical, alternative and lectin pathway (Figure 1-14). This terminal cell lysis step is induced by the membrane attack complex (MAC) formation thanks to the complex formed including C5b, C6, C7, C8 and C9. MAC also acts at the level of the CC loss and, possibly, for the drusen formation. ${ }^{196}$ In atrophic AMD, the alternative pathway of the complement cascade may be targeted.


Figure 1-14: The complement cascade.

MBL: mannose binding lectin; MASP: MBL-associated serine protease; MAC: Membrane attack complex. Alternative pathway: linkage of Factor B with hydrolysed C3, then cleavage by Factor D to form C3 convertase. C3 convertase to cleave and active complement C3 for C3a and C3b conversion. Factor H to intervene over C3/C5 dissociation and Factor I to inactive C3b. C5 convertase to cleave and active complement C5 for C5a and C5b conversion. C5b, C6, C7, C8 and C9 allows the MAC formation. ${ }^{195}$

## Lampalizumab

Lampalizumab (FCFD4514S) is an antigen-binding (Fab) fragment from monoclonal antibody with an anti-inflammatory activity developed by Genentech, Inc. from Hoffmann-LaRoche to target the factor D. Two phases 2 studies (MAHALO, NCTO1229215, 2010-2016; NCTO2288559, 2014-2019) ${ }^{197,198}$ evaluated its safety: the drug was given by intravitreal injection during 18 months and 24 weeks at the
dose of 10 mg . Great benefits for patients have been reported, since authors reported an average reduction by $20 \%$ of the lesion's progression, which reached $44 \%$ for a subgroup of CFI risk-allele carriers. ${ }^{199}$ This study also pointed out that patients suffering from outer retinal tubulation (ORT) in atrophic AMD treated with lampalizumab have lesions that grow more slowly than those of untreated patients. ${ }^{200}$ An extension of the precedent studies (NCTO1602120, 2012-2019) ${ }^{201}$ was performed but was terminated prematurely because of a lack of treatment's efficacy. In addition, phase 3 clinical trials studies (CHROMA, NCTO2247479, 2014-2019; SPECTRI, NCT02247531, 2014-2019) ${ }^{202,203}$ were performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of lampalizumab intravitreal injection ( 10 mg ) during 96 weeks. An extension phase 3 study (OMASPECT, NCT02745119, 2016-2019) ${ }^{204}$ was terminated in 2019 and tarnished the former results since no significant reduction in geographic atrophy have been observed by comparison with a placebo group. Roche, therefore, announced the termination of the study in 2017. $2{ }^{205}$


POT-4 or AL-78898A is a 13 amino acids cyclic peptide derived from compstatin, a C3-targeted complement inhibitor, developed by Potentia Pharmaceuticals. This molecule has been reported to inhibit the conversion of C3 to C3a and C3b, preventing in turn MAC formation, in a comparable way to its parent compound. ${ }^{206}$ A phase 2 study (NCT01603043, 2012-2014) ${ }^{207}$ demonstrated product deposits for four patients among seven (57.4\%) due to a poor solubility of POT-4. ${ }^{208}$


APL-2 is a synthetic cyclic peptide conjugated to a PEG polymer developed by Apellis Pharmaceuticals. This molecule has been developed to counteract the solubility concerns of POT-4. APL-2 corresponds to a 40,000 Da PEGylated conjugate of POT-4, which is also able to block the C3 complement protein. ${ }^{209}$ A phase 2 study (FILLY, NCTO2503332, 20152019) ${ }^{210}$ was completed to evaluate the safety and tolerability of APL-2 and to demonstrate the relevance of multiple intravitreal injections (every month or every two months). This study evidenced a reduction in the growth of GA lesions. ${ }^{209}$ Two other studies are currently ongoing: a phase 1 study (NCT03777332, 2018-2019, active, not recruiting) ${ }^{211}$ and a phase 3 study (NCT03525600, 2018-2020, recruiting) ${ }^{212}$.

## Eculizumab

Eculizumab (Soliris ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Alexion Pharmaceuticals, is a monoclonal antibody that targets the C5 complement protein and prevents its conversion into C5a and C5b. Eculizumab has been approved by FDA in 2007 to treat atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome and paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. ${ }^{213}$ A phase 2 study (COMPLETE, NCTO0935883, 2009-2017) ${ }^{214-216}$ evaluated the effects intravenous injection of eculizumab against atrophic AMD ( 600 mg or 900 mg ). Normal-luminance and low-luminance visual acuities were analyzed throughout this study, without observing any significant results on the GA's growth rate or on the drusen volume reduction.

## Tesidolumab

Tesidolumab (LFG316) is a fully-human $\operatorname{lgG1}$ antibody developed by Novartis, that targets the complement protein C5 and has the same mode of action than ecluzumab. ${ }^{209}$ A phase 1 study (NCT01255462, 2010-2012) ${ }^{217}$ showed the safety and tolerability of tesidolumab (doses up to 5 mg ) in patients suffering advanced AMDs. Next, two phases 2 studies (NCTO1527500, 2012-2019; NCTO2515942, 2015-2019) ${ }^{218,219}$ evaluated tesidolumab multiple-dose intravitreal injections (12 injections every 28 days). However, while little gain was observed in VA, no improvement in GA lesion size was reported.

## Avacincaptad pegol or ARC1905 or Zimura

Avacincaptad pegol (ARC-1905, Zimura ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), a 40 kDa PEG-conjugated aptamer, ${ }^{220}$ is another inhibitor of C5 complement protein developed by Ophthotech which can be used in both AMD types (atrophic and exudative). A phase 1 study (NCT00950638, 2009-2017) ${ }^{221}$ proved the safety of avacincaptad pegol up to a dose of 2 mg . Recently (late 2020), a phase 2 study (NCTO2686658, 2016-2020) ${ }^{222}$ was initiated to evaluate the safety and tolerability of intravitreal injections of avacincaptad in GA secondary atrophic AMD patients.

## AAV5-VMD2-CR2-fH

AAV5-VMD2-CR2-fH (AAV5-VMD2-mCherry) is an adeno-associated virus gene, coding for an inhibitor of C3a, complement proteins in the eye. In 2018, a study on a mouse model identified a secretion of CR2-fH in RPE cells after injection of AAV5-VMD2-CR2-fH. Moreover, a reduction in the production of C3a associated with CNV was observed. This study shows the potential role of the alternative pathway of complement in the treatment of AMD. ${ }^{223}$

## AAVCAGsCD59 or HMR59

AAVCAGsCD59 is an adeno-associated viral vector serotype 2 expressing sCD59 developed by Hemera Biosciences. AAVCAGsCD59 allows normal retinal cells to increase the soluble form of CD59 expression (sCD59), which protects the retinal cells of central vision by inhibiting MAC, complement-mediated cell Iysis terminal step. A phase 1 study (NCTO3144999, 2017-2019) ${ }^{224}$ is ongoing to measure intraocular inflammation, evaluate VA, observe GA zone change, the GA rate of growth, the drusens volume in atrophic AMD patient's eyes and the incidence of the conversion of dry AMD to wet AMD.

Table 1-8: Drugs targeting inflammation.

| Drugs | Structure | Target | Clinic or <br> Research | Formulation | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glatiramer | Injection | NCTOO466076 <br> NCTO |  |  |  |
| acetate |  |  |  |  |  |

Despite intensive researches towards the treatment of atrophic AMD, no curing option emerged, and only three molecules reached clinical trials phase 3 (ALK-001, tandospirone and lampalizumab); the poor knowledge on the exact mechanism of the atrophic AMD remains poorly understood, which delay
the emergence of a viable therapeutic option. However, the survey of the literature depicted above points out inflammation as a target of utmost interest, since it as a common denominator of both AMD forms. By a marked contrast, the strategy consisting in targeting amyloid $\beta$ accumulation with monoclonal antibodies (RN6G and GSK933776) showed no beneficial effect. Last, there are not sufficient and convergent results yet, to consider the use of SC to replace and/or regenerate cells damaged by the disease, as a promising outcome. Therefore, today, only lifestyle improvement is used to delay disease progression.

### 1.4 Exudative (wet) AMD treatments

Exudative AMD has been reported for the first time in the 1850s, and the first therapeutic option, the laser photocoagulation therapy, was found more than a century later. Fortunately, new therapies and drugs validations against this disease are constantly accelerating since the beginning of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century as illustrated in Figure 1-15. These can be divided into two main families, the phototherapies and the anti-angiogenic treatments. New molecular targets have been recently disclosed, and they pave the way for the development of new drugs.

Similarly to the atrophic treatments, the formulation mode is a real challenge. The marketed drugs are administrated by intravitreal injections, invasive and stressful for the patient. But, some new therapies use the administration of eye drops in the case of tyrosine kinase or squalamine inhibitors, which are less invasive.


Figure 1-15: Evolution of exudative AMD treatments.

### 1.4.1 Phototherapies

## Laser photocoagulation

Historically, the laser photocoagulation, developed in the late 1980s, was the first therapeutic option against exudative AMD. ${ }^{225}$ This treatment aims at preserving the VA after the new choroidal vessels appearance in the in the juxtafoveolar or extrafoveolar regions. It is a non-selective treatment, which consists on the destruction of the new vasculature responsible for the formation of a fibroglial scar and for the destruction of the surrounding neuroretin. Practically, a laser beam is focalized on the RPE, generating locally an increase in temperature inducing neovascularization destruction (Figure 1-16). Different lasers are clinically used, including Argon Blue-green and Argon Green only, Krypton Red and Yellow, and the tuneable Dye Laser. ${ }^{225}$

## Photocoagulation



Figure 1-16: Laser photocoagulation mode of action.

The Macular Photocoagulation Studies (MPS, NCTO0000158) ${ }^{226}$, a phase 3 clinical trial, initiated in the 1970s with a 5-years follow-up, recruited patients with extrafoveal choroidal neovascularization, and demonstrated significant effectiveness of the treatment with the Argon Blue-Green Laser Photocoagulation method. On the other hand, a visual decompensation and loss of VA were observed, as well as some immediate or delayed side effects like scar enlargement or scotoma. This therapy was well tolerated by patients, and photocoagulation after 5 years allowed a benefit on the vision stabilization. ${ }^{227}$


Photodynamic therapy (PDT)
Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has been originally used to treat cancers, ${ }^{228}$ but Novartis Pharma S.A.S. proposed its use in the 2000s for treating choroidal neovascular membrane, and developed verteporfin (Visudyne ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) a photo-sensitizing agent, active against the retro-foveal neovessels. Visudyne ${ }^{\circledR}$ is administered as an intravenous infusion (over 10 min . at a dose of $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of body surface area), then, the laser beam is used for 15 min to target the specific lesion area without affecting the surrounding tissues. ${ }^{229}$

Verteporfin is a monoacid derivative of benzoporphyrin (BPD-MA, chlorin type). The drug was prepared as a mixture of two regioisomers (BPD-MA 1.5 and BPD-MA 1.6 , Figure 1-17), and does not
present apparent cytotoxicity perhaps due to its relatively limited lifetime in the body ( $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=5-6 \mathrm{~h}$ ) that reduces the risk of photosensitivity reactions. ${ }^{229}$ The therapy process consists of both verteporfin administration by injection and a non-thermal red light at a wavelength of 689 nm (diode laser). ${ }^{230,231}$ Once activated, this drug can transfer its energy to oxygen molecules to form singlet oxygen, which in turn creates damages and lesions on the surrounding tissues (Figure 1-18). For many years, this treatment has been studied through various clinical cases. ${ }^{232}$

1.5


BPD-MA
1.6

Figure 1-17: Verteporfine as a racemic mixture of two regioisomers.


Figure 1-18: Mechanism of PDT.

Two studies were performed to evaluate the verteporfin-PDT effects on lesions and VA in exudative AMD patients: Treatment of Age-related Macular Degeneration with Photodynamic Therapy (TAP) and Verteporfin In Photodynamic Therapy (VIP). Both studies showed that small lesions respond better to this treatment than larger ones; moreover, VA has been maintained thanks to PDT/verteporfin treatment. ${ }^{233}$ Visudyne ${ }^{\circledR}$ was approved by FDA in $2002{ }^{234}$ (approved by French HAS in $2000{ }^{235}$ ) for its use against choroidal exudative AMD. An extension was obtained from the French HAS in 2002 for occult retrofoveolar choroidal neovascularization. Currently, Visudyne is used as a second-line treatment, after the failure of anti-VEGF drugs. ${ }^{236}$

### 1.4.2 Anti-VEGF drugs

Angiogenesis is one of the major key markers of the progression from dry AMD to wet AMD. Thus, taking advantage of the breakthrough of the antiangiogenic drugs for cancer therapy, new AMD therapeutic options emerged in the 1980s. A particular emphasis has been dedicated to research on VEGF, which is the main target to tackle pathologic proangiogenesis signaling pathway. Anti-VEGF agents were initially developed as anti-tumor drugs, ${ }^{237}$ but they are nowadays commonly used against exudative AMD. ${ }^{238,239}$ Different VEGF-A isoforms exist: some of them exerting pro-angiogenic effects (VEGF-A 206 , VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{189}$, VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{165}$ and VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{121}$ ), while others are anti-angiogenic (VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{186 \mathrm{~b}}$, BEGF$\mathrm{A}_{165 \mathrm{~b}}$, VEGF- $\left.\mathrm{A}_{121 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$. The most relevant pro-angiogenic isoform in cancers and retinopathies is VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{165}$. These two pathologies are associated with a high over-expression of this isoform, which has therefore been considered as the major therapeutic target to tackle AMD angiogenesis.

### 1.4.2.1 Marketed drugs

Currently, five molecules have been approved for the palliative treatment of wet AMD, all of them being large size biologics.

## Pegaptanib sodium (Macugen ${ }^{\circledR}$ )

Pegaptanib sodium (Macugen ${ }^{\circledR}$, developed by Pfizer) is a short (28-base) RNA oligonucleotide PEGylated aptamer, which specifically targets VEGF- $\mathrm{A}_{165}{ }^{240}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=750-1400 \mathrm{pM}\right)^{241}$. The key study VISION (VEGF Inhibition Study in Ocular Neovascularization) explored the intravitreal use of
pegaptanib sodium ( 0.3 ; 1 or 3 mg every six weeks) for VEGF inhibition in subfoveal CNV. ${ }^{242}$ Thanks to pegaptanib sodium, the patients suffering of exudative AMD has better VA. The drug was approved by FDA in 2004 ( $0,3 \mathrm{mg}$ injectable solution $)^{243}$ and was marketed in France from 2006 for the treatment of wet AMD. However, pegaptanib sodium induces side effects such as endophthalmitis, traumatic injury to the lens and retinal detachment associated with VA loss, rendering its use questionable. ${ }^{244}$ Thus, anti-VEGFs with greater visual acuity efficacy replaced pegaptanib sodium, which was withdrawn from the market in 2013 in France ${ }^{245}$ ).

## Ranibizumab (Lucentis ${ }^{\circledR}$ )

Ranibizumab (Lucentis ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), also called rhuFab V2, was developed by Novartis Pharma. This is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody of 48 kDa Fab and targeting all VEGF-A isoforms with an IC $_{50}$ of $88-1140$ pM. ${ }^{241}$ The most relevant study is a phase 3 clinical trial (MARINA, NCT00056836, 20032014; NCT01442064, 2011-2012; NCT00379795, 2006-2017) exploring its use by intravitreal injection ( 0.5 mg monthly) to exudative AMD patients ${ }^{246-248}$. This 2-years study demonstrated (i) the prevention in vision loss, (ii) an average VA improvement and (iii) the angiographic lesions reduction. Little adverse effects have been reported (intraocular inflammation for 8-14.6\%, phakic eyes for 5.1-7.2\% patients, and endophthalmitis for $1 \%$, were among the most relevant). ${ }^{249}$

On the other hand, the ANCHOR study (NCTO0061594, 2003-2014) ${ }^{250}$ compared the use of ranibizumab vs photodynamic therapy (PDT) with verteporfin. This study undoubtedly established that ranibizumab improves the VA of 1 year in average, and exhibits superior beneficial effects than PDT. In addition, few low ocular adverse effects were observed (intraocular inflammation for 8-9.3\%, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment for $0.7 \%$, endophthalmitis for $0.7 \%, \ldots$ ). ${ }^{251}$ The long term ( $7-8$ years) prognosis of these treatments has been summarized by the SEVEN-UP study, which concluded that one third of the patients demonstrated good visual outcomes, whereas another third had poor outcomes. ${ }^{252}$ Next, different drug administration protocols have been studied for 0.5 mg : HARBOR ( 13.5 mean number injections showing an improvement in BCVA) ${ }^{253}$ and TREX-AMD (13 and 10.1 mean number injections showing visual gain) ${ }^{254}$.

Ranibizumab was FDA-approved in 2006 and marketed in 2007 in France for the treatment of wet AMD under the brand name Lucentis ${ }^{\circledR}$ (injectable solutions of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{month}$ ). Importantly, this drug is also used to treat neovascularization secondary choroid for high myopia (MF), diabetic macular edema (MDG), macular edema secondary to retinal vein branch occlusion (OBVR) or central retinal vein (OVCR). ${ }^{255}$

## Aflibercept (Eylea®)

Aflibercept was developed by Bayer HealthCare under the brand name of Eylea ${ }^{\circledR}$. This is a dimeric recombinant fusion glycoprotein, composed by fragments of the VEGF receptors 1 and 2 extracellular domains with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $16-90 \mathrm{pM} .{ }^{241}$ These domains are fused thanks to the Fc fusion protein (115 $\mathrm{kDa})$ region of human immunoglobulin gamma 1 (IgG1). ${ }^{256}$

Phase 3 studies comparing ranibizumab and aflibercept (VIEW1/2, NCT00509795, 2007-2012; NCT00637377, 2008-2014) ${ }^{257,258}$ proved that aflibercept intravitreal doses ( 0.5 mg to 2 mg ) administered every 2 months (after 3 initial monthly doses) show similar efficacy and safety than monthly ranibizumab ( 0.5 mg ) treatment. This lower injection frequency brought indisputable improvements for the patient, such as in terms of risk reduction for the patients' eyes and reduced monitoring. ${ }^{259}$

Aflibercept was FDA-approved in 2011 and marketed in France in 2012 to treat wet AMD (injectable solution of $2 \mathrm{mg} /$ month). Interestingly, aflibercept is also used in combination with fluoropyrimidinebased chemotherapy, for adult patients with colorectal cancer metastatic (MA in 2005); in metastatic breast cancer, in combination with paclitaxel (MA in 2007) and several other cancers. ${ }^{236}$ Moreover, it was a treatment for macular edema following retinal vein occlusion (RVO), macular edema (DME) and diabetic retinopathy (DR) in patients with DME. ${ }^{260}$

## Bevacizumab (Avastin ${ }^{\oplus}$ )

Bevacizumab or rhumAb was developed by Roche. This is a recombinant humanized full-length 149 kDa monoclonal antibody directed toward all VEGF-A isoform ${ }^{292}$ with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $500-1476 \mathrm{pM} .{ }^{241}$ This $m A B$ has been marketed in 2004 (Avastin ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer and other neoplastic diseases. ${ }^{263}$

The five years of study CATT (comparison of age-related macular degeneration treatments trials) study comparing the use of ranibizumab vs bevacizumab, showed that these drugs have similar effects on VA. ${ }^{264,265}$ A phase 3 study (GEFAL, NCTO1170767, 2010-2019) ${ }^{266,267}$ compared ranibizumab and bevacizumab to evaluate the efficacy in clinical terms on the VA of patients with exudative AMD. ${ }^{267,268}$ These results led to its marketing authorization in France (2015) for the treatment of exudative AMD; however, its use is not approved by the FDA in the USA due to a potential risk of contamination observed for about 20 Americans cases (eye infections) ${ }^{269}$. To date, this drug is administrated at the concentration of $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ by intravenous infusion. ${ }^{270}$

## Brolucizumab (ESBA 1008, RTH 258, BeovL®)

Brolucizumab (Beovu ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) was developed by Novartis. This is a relatively low molecular weight ( 26 kDa) humanized single-chain antibody fragment, that inhibits all isoforms of VEGF-A (IC $\left.{ }_{50}=0.49 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{271,272}$ Recently, four clinical trials (one phase 2 trial in 2018: NCT02507388 and three phases 3 trials in 2019 and 2020: NCT03930641, NCT03954626, NCT03386474) ${ }^{273-276}$ were completed and showed the safety of 6 mg of brolucizumab in exudative AMD patients. Moreover, the two phases 3 trials HAWK (NCTO2307682, 2019) ${ }^{277}$ and HARRIER (NCTO2434328, 2019) ${ }^{278}$ compared the effects of brolucizumab vs aflibercept. ${ }^{279}$ These studies differ only in the doses intravitreally administered to patients: two doses of brolucizumab ( 3 mg and 6 mg ) and a dose of 2 mg aflibercept for HAWK; 6 mg of brolucizumab vs a dose of 2 mg of aflibercept for HARRIER. Overall, these studies demonstrated that at 6 mg , brolucizumab is an interesting anti-VEGF drug with efficacy, safety and solubility that can be compared to the 3 anti-VEGF currently used as treatment against wet AMD (ranibizumab, aflibercept and bevacizumab). Moreover, HAWK and HARRIER show that brolocizuanb may be a more durable agent compare to other anti-VEGF, which allows high molar doses; and this might allow fewer injections to patients. These results show that brolucizumab might be preferred over aflibercept. Brolucizumab was recently approved in the US (FDA) for the treatment of exudative AMD (2019) and its authorization is in progress in France. ${ }^{280}$ This drug is currently used for the treatment of exudative AMD, diabetic macular edema and macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion.

Currently, among the five anti-VEGF treatments which have been approved for the treatment of wetAMD from 2006 to 2019, four have been marketed (Table 1-9). All these drugs share the same mode of administration, which is intravitreal injection. This allows a rapid and focused action, even if this process might be traumatic for some patients. ${ }^{281}$ Nevertheless, these treatments are not curative, since they only slow down the progression of the disease to blindness. Last, ranibizumab and bevacizumab have similar effects on VA after $1 / 2$ years, and similar side effects. ${ }^{264,282}$ Injection price discrepancies are nevertheless of note, bevacizumab being significantly more economic.

Table 1-9: Marked anti-VEGF drugs.

| Drugs | Structure | Target | Visual acuity efficiency in phase 3 | Formulation | Prices (US; France) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pegaptanib | Aptamer (50 kDa) | $\begin{gathered} \text { VEGF-A } \\ 165 \end{gathered}$ |  | Intravitreal injections ( 0.3 mg / 6 weeks) | \$5,300 per <br> 5 doses | VISION ${ }^{242}$ |
| Ranibizumab | Monoclonal <br> Antibody, <br> Fab <br> Fragment <br> (48 kDa) | All isoforms of VEGFA | $+$ | Intravitreal injections 10.5 mg /month) | \$2,023 per <br> dose; <br> 738 euros <br> /monthly <br> dose | NCT00056836 ${ }^{246}$ NCT01442064 ${ }^{247}$ NCT00379795248 NCTO0061594 ${ }^{250}$ |
| Aflibercept | Fusion <br> Protein (115 kDa) | All <br> isoforms <br> of VEGF- $A+P I G F$ | + | Intravitreal injections $(2 \mathrm{mg}$ <br> /month) | $\$ 1,940.90$ <br> per dose; <br> 730 euros <br> /monthly <br> dose | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCTO0509795 }{ }^{257} \\ & \text { NCT00637377258 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bevacizumab | Monoclonal <br> Antibody <br> (149 kDa) | All isoforms of VEGFA | + | Intravitreal injections $(1.25 \mathrm{mg})$ | \$55 per dose; 100 euros per dose | NCT01170767 266 |
| Brolucizumab | Monoclonal <br> Antibody (26 kDa) | All isoforms of VEGFA | + | Intravitreal injections (6 $\mathrm{mg} /$ month for the first three doses, followed by $6 \mathrm{mg} / 8-12$ weeks) | $\$ 1,940.90$ <br> per dose | NCTO2507388 ${ }^{273}$ NCT03930641274 NCT03954626275 NCT03386474276 NCT02307682 277 NCT02434328 |

### 1.4.2.2 Future trends

In addition of anti-VEGF treatments present in the market, several drugs were explored in clinic thanks to currently active research.

### 1.4.2.2.1 Proteins drugs

## Conbercept (KH-902; Lumitin)

Conbercept (also called KH-902) is developed by Chengdu Kanghong Biotech Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China). This is a recombinant fusion protein similar to aflibercept except for the VEGF2 domain 4 present in combercept which is larger. ${ }^{283}$ It is composed of the second Ig domain of VEGFR-1, the third and fourth Ig domains of VEGFR-2 and the constant region (Fc) of human IgG1. Like aflibercept, conbercept blocks all isoforms of VEGF A, VEGF $B$ and PIGF but with a higher affinity than bevacizumab and ranibizumab $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7-15 \mathrm{pM}\right)^{284}$. Moreover, this mAbs exerts doubly superior bioactivity for VEGF inhibition compared to ranibizumab. ${ }^{285}$

Thanks to animal studies (rats and mouse models) ${ }^{284}$, conbercept reached several clinical studies in phase 1 (HOPE, NCT01242254, 2010-2014) ${ }^{283,286,} 2$ (AURORA, NCT01157715, 2010-2014) ${ }^{287,288, ~} 3$ (PHOENIX, NCT01436864, 2011-2014) ${ }^{289}$ and 4 (RELIANCE, NCTO2577107, 2015-2017) ${ }^{290}$ but has not yet obtained marketing authorization in the United States and France (but this drug is approved by the China Food and Drug Administration in 2013, $)^{285,291,292}$

## Abicipar pegol

Abicipar pegol (or AGN-150998) is a recombinant protein of the DARPin family (Designed Ankyrin Repeat Protein) developed by Allergan. It is a small 34 kDa protein formed from the repeat of an ankyrin structure. This recombinant protein has a greater affinity than ranibizumab ( $\mathrm{Kd}=2 \mathrm{pM}$ vs 46 $\mathrm{pM})$ for all the VEGF-A isoforms $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=17 \mathrm{pM}\right) .{ }^{293}$ In 2018, Allergan demonstrated that abicipar pegol has also a longer length of action than ranibizumab and bevacizumab. ${ }^{294}$

Phase 1 studies (NCTO2859766, 2016-2018; PINE, NCTO3335852, 2017-2018) ${ }^{295,296}$ evaluated the safety and pharmacokinetics of abicipar pegol in exudative AMD, without results posted yet. Phase 2 studies (CYPRESS, NCT02181517, 2014-2016 / BAMBOO, NCTO2181504, 2014-2017) ${ }^{297,298}$ has been implemented in Japan and in the US to compare the effects of three monthly intravitreal injections of
abicipar (1 or 2 mg ) or five monthly intravitreal injections of ranibizumab ( 0.5 mg ). This study established that abicipar pegol allows not only an improvement in VA but also induces a decrease in the thickness of the retina. ${ }^{293}$ In addition, a phase 2 stage 3 study (REACH, NCT01397409, 20112019 ${ }^{299}$, demonstrated that abicipar pegol reduces the number of the injection compared to ranibizumab treatment (3 against 5), while improving the BCVA and the thickness of the central retina (CRT) and devoid of serious side effects. ${ }^{300}$ Two phases 3 studies are currently ongoing with a larger dose ( 2 mg ) of abicipar pegol comparing to ranibizumab at different injection times (CDER, NCTO2462928, 2015-2019 et SEQUOIA, NCTO2462486, 2015-2019) ${ }^{301,302}$ Moreover, a phase 2 study is also ongoing to evaluate the safety and effect of the drug in AMD patients (NCTO3539549, 20182020) ${ }^{303}$.

OPT-302
All the above-mentioned drugs are directed towards VEGF-A, but AMD is also characterized by abnormally elevated levels of other growth factors such as VEGF-C and D (and their corresponding receptors VEGFR 2 and 3), which are also key players in the hypervascularization. Moreover, VEGF-C is reported as a potent inducer of vascular permeability or leakage. Thus, blocking simultaneously VEGF$A, C$ and $D$ could stop the angiogenesis and vascular leakage occurring in wet AMD. In line with these considerations, OPT-302 has been developed by Ophthea. This is a soluble form of VEGFR-3 (the transmembrane receptor for VEGF-D), including the 1-3 extracellular domains of VEGFR 3 and the Fc fragment of human $\operatorname{lgG1}$. OPT-302 is designed to bind and consequently neutralize the activity of VEGFC and D. The safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of OPT-302 have been evaluated thanks to the phase 1 trial (NCTO2543229, 2015-2017) ${ }^{304,305}$ when given at the dose of 2 mg , via an intravitreal injection alone or in combination with ranibizumab ( 0.5 mg ). Then, a phase 2 study (NCTO3345082, 2017-2020) ${ }^{306}$ was carried out to compare two doses of OPT-302 ( 2 mg and 0.5 mg ) in combination with ranibizumab ( 0.5 mg ). This trial revealed that the combined therapy induces a better VA, a decrease in macular thickness with OP-302-ranibizumab association compared to the use of ranibizumab alone. Moreover, this strategy allows a longer delay between two successive injections (every 4 weeks).

### 1.4.2.2.2 Small-sized molecules

Another anti-angiogenic strategy consists in targeting growth factor receptors with small-sized molecules. Indeed, these receptors exert intracellular tyrosine kinase activities on several substrates and are involved in numerous signaling pathways involved in proliferation, cell growth, apoptosis, angiogenesis and cellular motricity. These growth factors receptors have been extensively studied for more than two decades as relevant targets in oncology for the development of "targeted therapies".


Sorafenib (BAY 43-9006, Nexavar ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), is a poly-specific inhibitor of Raf, PDGF, VEGF receptor kinases and c Kit, developed by Bayer with low nanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (among them 26, 90, 20 nM for VEGFR-1, 2-, 3-). ${ }^{307}$ This molecule has been initially FDA approved for hepatocellular carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, and thyroid carcinoma in $2005^{308}$ and marketed for hepatocellular carcinoma in 2006 in France. ${ }^{309}$

An in vitro study was performed to block three specific targets involved in angiogenesis: VEGF, PIGF and PDGF. By treating RPE cells with sorafenib and exposing them to white light, these factors were reduced. In contrast, the absence of sorafenib treatment led to an increase of VEGF, PIGF and PDGF in the same conditions, highlighting the beneficial role of sorafenib. ${ }^{310}$

Out of formal clinical studies, some studies have been performed on isolated cases of patients for sorafenib in association with anti-VEGF: a study was implemented in 2008 to monitor the effects of a treatment combining sorafenib ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 3$ times a week for 5 weeks) and ranibizumab for two cases. This study showed that in combination or alone, sorafenib allows VA improvement and a resolution of the intraretinal fluid without serious adverse effects. However, to definitively validate these results, another clinical study would be necessary with a larger recruitment of AMD patients. ${ }^{311}$ Another study led on a single case was performed in 2008 to observe bevacizumab injection ( 1.25 mg twice) in combination with oral sorafenib ( 400 mg twice daily). A VA improvement and a decrease in the retina thickness were observed. A longer-term study would be required for sorafenib use. ${ }^{312}$


Pazopanib
Pazopanib (Votrient ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is another small-sized inhibitor that target VEGFR-1, $-2,-3\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7,15,2 n \mathrm{M}\right)$, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGFR- $\left.\alpha,-\beta ; \mathrm{IC}_{50}=73,215 \mathrm{nM}\right)^{313}$ and stem cell growth factor ( $c-K i t$ ). This molecule has been approved by FDA in 2009 for the treatment of the renal cell carcinoma and of the soft tissue sarcoma (in combination with chemotherapy). ${ }^{314}$

GSK led several studies on the oral administration of pazopanib to evaluate its safety, tolerability, efficacy, absorption, pharmacodynamics or pharmacokinetics for exudative AMD: phase 1 studies (NCT00463320, 2007-2012; NCT00659555, 2008-2017; NCT01154062, 2010-2017; NCT01051700, 2010-2017; NCT01072214, 2010-2017) ${ }^{315-319}$ and phase 2 studies (NCT00612456, 2008-2017; NCT00733304, 2008-2017; NCT01134055, 2010-2018) ${ }^{320-322}$, (NCT01362348, 2011-2017) ${ }^{323}$. The results of these phase 1 studies showed that pazopanib was well tolerated with improvement in BCVA, central retinal lesion thickness, and central retinal thickness. ${ }^{324}$ However, a phase 2 study ${ }^{323}$ was stopped because of a lack of efficacy. ${ }^{325}$ Finally, another phase 2 study ${ }^{322}$ compared the effects of pazopanib given in eye drops versus intravitreal injections of ranibizumab. This study revealed that pazopanib drops were well tolerated; however, after using pazopanib drops for one year, no greater therapeutic improvement has been observed and the number of intravitreal injections of ranibizumab could not be reduced. ${ }^{326}$ Of note, administration by eye drops ( 2 to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) would be a more acceptable mode of administration for patients compared to intravitreal injections.


## Axitinib

Axitinib (Inlyta ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is a small-sized bispecific inhibitor of VEGFR, PDGFR and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), colony-stimulating factor receptor, developed by Pfizer. This molecule appeared more potent in inhibiting kinases than some reference compounds such as pazopanib and sorafenib. Indeed, axitinib inhibits VEGFR-1, $-2,-3$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranging between 0.1 nM to 0.3 nM , and inhibits PDFG-R, FGFR with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ranging between 1.6 nM to more than 1000 nM for PDGF, FGFR, colony-stimulating factor. ${ }^{327}$ FDA approved its use in 2012 for renal cell carcinoma. ${ }^{328}$ In vitro and in vivo studies on a mouse model
of AMD demonstrated that oral administration of axitinib enabled $70.1 \%$ inhibition of choroidal neovascularization (CNV) lesions and significant regression of established CNV. ${ }^{329,330}$ Axitinib could be used in combination with other anti-VEGFs in the treatment of exudative AMD but more research is needed to determine its safety, the minimum dose needed or the effectiveness of the treatment on the long term. ${ }^{330}$


## Regorafenib

Regorafenib (Stivarga ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is a small-sized kinases inhibitor developed by Bayer, which remarkably inhibits VEGFR-1, $-2,-3\left(I C_{50}=13,4,46 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, PDGFR $\left(I C_{50}=22 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{331}$ This drug has been approved by FDA for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC), of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) in 2012, and of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in 2017. ${ }^{332}$ In the case of AMD, a phase 2 clinical trial (DREAM, NCT02222207, 2014-2016) ${ }^{333}$ terminated in 2016 evaluated its use in an ophthalmic oily suspension in eye drops. However, this study was prematurely terminated due to a lack of efficacy compared to current treatments, potentially due to an unsatisfactory diffusion of the molecule in the back of the eye. ${ }^{334}$


PAN-90806
PAN-90806, is a VEGF-R2 inhibitor ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.27 \mathrm{nM}$ ) specifically developed by PanOptica, Inc. for the treatment of exudative AMD and other neovascular eye diseases, exerting an antiangiogenic effect. ${ }^{335}$ PAN-90806 is an interesting molecule due to its topical administration by eye drops. ${ }^{336}$ A phase 1 study (NCTO2022540, 2013-2016) ${ }^{337}$ completed in 2016 to evaluate the safety and tolerability of PAN-90806 as monotherapy or in association with ranibizumab showed a biological positive response of PAN90806 for 45-50\% of patients. After these phase 1 outcomes, a recent phase 1/2 (NCT03479372, 20182019) ${ }^{338}$ is ongoing to determinate the quantity dose of PAN-90806 administered orally.


## Vorolanib

Vorolanib (X82 or CM-082) is a kinase inhibitor derived from sunitinib (anti-cancer agent), with antiVEGFR $\left(I C_{50}=0.052 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and anti-PDGFR $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.26 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ activities. ${ }^{339,340}$ Tyrogenex, Inc. initiated two phases $1 / 2$ study (NCTO1674569, 2012-2018) ${ }^{341}$ to evaluate the use of vorolanib in combination therapy with ranibizumab, and a phase 2 study (NCT02348359, 2015-2018) ${ }^{218}$ in which vorolanib was given in combination with one of the three anti-VEGF (ranibizumab, aflibercept and bevacizumab). For the patients who completed these studies ( 25 participants, $71 \%$ ), the authors reported the maintenance or the improvement of the VA, as well as a reduction of the average thickness of the central subfield (SD), except in one case. Unfortunately, this positive result is counterbalanced by undesirable side effects including diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, and transaminase elevation, which led to the discontinuation of the treatment for some patients (17\%). Thus, additional studies are needed to evaluate the balance between safety and efficacy. ${ }^{339}$


Lenvatinib (E7080 or Lenvima ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is developed by Eisai Inc. and FDA approved in 2015 for differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC), renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). ${ }^{343}$ This is a kinase inhibitor ${ }^{344}$ that targets VEGFR-1, $-2,-3$, fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR1), and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $22 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{345}$ The drug is interesting by its capacity to penetrate the blood-retina barrier to the retina. Furthermore, thanks to in vivo zebrafish model, lenvatinib ( $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) inhibited angiogenesis without malformation after $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{346}$ Finally, lenvatinib also stop CNV in a neovascular AMD mouse model ( $10 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), confirming its interest in exudative AMD treatment.


## Brivanib

Brivanib alaninate (BMS-582664) is an orally available inhibitor of FGFR and VEGFR $\left(I_{50}=34 \mathrm{nM}\right)^{347}$, with a marked specificity against VEGFR2 and FGFR1, ${ }^{348}$ developed initially by Bristol-Myers Squibb to treat hepatocellular carcinoma. Studies on mouse and zebrafish models have shown that brivanib is also an inhibitor of proliferation, migration, tubule formation of choroidal microvascular endothelial cells and angiogenesis as observed with lenvatinib. ${ }^{349}$ These recent results (published in 2020), requires more in-depth studies such as the analysis of brivanib metabolism in the mouse body, the mechanisms of CNV reduction by brivanib or the analysis of others VEGFR/FGFR.


Squalamine lactate (Evizon, OHR-102, MSI-1256F)
Squalamine, developed by Genaera Corporation and Ohr Pharmaceutical, is a small natural steroid with a steroid-polyamine motive, ${ }^{350}$ isolated from the liver of sharks of the genus Squalus. This drug binds calmodulin once absorbed in the cell, which blocks VEGF signal transduction (antiangiogenic action). This aminosterol is positively charged on the amine functions, which allows electrostatic bonding to the negatively charged cell membrane. As a result, the cell migration process is blocked and consequently angiogenesis. ${ }^{351}$

Three phases 2 studies (NCT00089830, 2004-2007; NCTO0333476, 2006-2007; NCT00094120, 20042008) ${ }^{352-354}$ and a phase 3 study (NCTO0139282, 2005-2007) ${ }^{355}$ were terminated to evaluate the safety and efficacy of squalamine lactate ( $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{infusion} /$ week during 4 weeks). These studies were stopped because the vision improvement does not happen as quickly compare to the treatments competing with squalamine.

A phase 2 study (IMPACT, NCTO1678963, 2012-2015) ${ }^{356}$ compared squalamine ( $0.2 \%$ ophthalmic solution) used in combination with ranibizumab vs the use of ranibizumab alone. The combination therapy allowed an improvement in VA for $42 \%$ of patients, compared to $28 \%$ for patients receiving ranibizumab alone. This improvement was dependent on the size and type of lesions. ${ }^{357}$ A phase 3
study (MAKO, NCTO2727881, 2016-2017) ${ }^{358}$ was initiated with a $0.2 \%$ ophthalmic solution of squalamine injected twice a day, in combination with a monthly injection of ranibizumab. This study showed a lack of effectiveness of visual acuity gain after nine months. ${ }^{359}$

### 1.4.2.2.3 siRNA target: Bevasiranib and siRNA-027

Another antiangiogenic strategy consists in the blockade of VEGF-A production thanks to small interfering RNAs (siRNA), which may induce the inhibition of genes coding for this growth factor. Indeed, siRNAs interact thanks to their two strands of RNA which break down mRNA and if they interact with certain cells, they would block the production of VEGF. ${ }^{360}$ However, these agents block the synthesis of new VEGF but do not eliminate pre-existing VEGF, rendering the combinational use of a conventional anti-VEGF agent necessary. ${ }^{360}$

## Bevasiranib

Bevasiranib, developed by OPKO Health, Inc, is a siRNA-based anti-angiogenic agent targeting VEGF. ${ }^{360}$ Bevasiranib showed safety and efficacy profiles with intravitreal injections in pre-clinical mouse models and clinical studies (phase 1 and 2, without literature data). Thus, the first siRNA tested in phase 3, was assayed against exudative AMD in association with ranibizumab in a phase 3 trial (COBALT, NCT00499590, 2017-2019) ${ }^{361}$; nevertheless, this clinical trial was interrupted due to a lack of efficacy for this combination therapy.

## siRNA-027 or AGN211745

siRNA-027 or AGN211745, developed by Allergan to suppress CNV and retinal neovascularization by reducing the levels of VEGF-R1-mRNA $\left(I_{50}=50 \mathrm{pM}\right) .{ }^{362}$

It was studied in two clinical trials completed in 2008 (Phase1/2, NCT00363714) ${ }^{363}$ and 2015 (Phase 2, NCT00395057) ${ }^{364}$. In the first study, single intravitreal injections were given to patients while the second studied a co-administration with ranibizumab. These studies were terminated prematurely due to a lack of efficacy in phase 2 without safety issues. ${ }^{365}$

### 1.4.2.2.4 Gene therapies

## OXB-201 or RetinoStat ${ }^{\oplus}$

OXB-201 (RetinoStat ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), developed by Oxford BioMedica, corresponds to the lentiviral vector. The infection by a lentivirus (equine infectious anemia virus, EIAV) leads to the expression of two $20-\mathrm{kDa}$ proteins, whose C-terminal fragment is derived from type XVIII collagen (endostatin) for the first and derived from plasminogen for the second (angiostatin). These two proteins exert an anti-angiogenic action by blocking endothelial cell proliferation and migration. Moreover, endostatin and angiostatin induce endothelial cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest but thanks the topic subretinal administration, no dose-limiting effects were observed in a dose-escalation assay. Endostatin and angiostatin expressions in the eye may reduce fluorescein angiographic leakage; however, in the context of exudative AMD, subretinal and/or intraretinal fluid was not removed reliably. ${ }^{366}$ This treatment is also used in cancers, diabetic retinopathy in addition to macular degeneration.

A phase 1 study (GEM, NCT01301443) ${ }^{367}$, completed in 2017, assayed the use of RetinoStat ${ }^{\circledR}$ when administrated by single subretinal injection with various doses until maximally tolerated dose (MTD). This study showed that EIAV subretinal injections were safe and well-tolerated with reproducible, sustained transgene expression. Moreover, endostatin and angiostatin allowed the fluorescein angiographic leakage reduction but, subretinal/intraretinal fluid is not eliminated in exudative AMD patients. ${ }^{366}$

## Genome surgery using Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs)

Cas9 (clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeat)/Cas (CRISPR-associated)) ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) can be delivered to human stem and primary cells, as well as to mice to modify target genes. ${ }^{368,369}$ RNP Cas9 was administered in an in vivo study (2017) by subretinal injection into RPE cells in adult mice for a potential local treatment of AMD. ${ }^{370}$ The first results show that CNV is reduced in treated animals and that in RPE the target site has undergone mutagenesis. But other studies need to be done to verify the initial theories supported by this study such as on large animal models or non-human primates.

## rAAV.sFlt-1

rAAV.sFlt-1 is a recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV2) vector encoded with the naturally occurring VEGF inhibitor known as soluble VEGFR1 receptor or sFLT-1. A phase $1 / 2$ study (NCT01494805) ${ }^{371}$, developed by Lions Eye Institute, Perth, Western Australia and Adverum

Biotechnologies, Inc., in which rAAV.sFlt-1 was administrated by subretinal injection at low or high dose $\left(1 \times 10^{\wedge 10}\right.$ and $1 \times 10^{\wedge 11}$ vector genomes (vg) rAAV.sFlt-1) was completed in 2017 . This study showed a well tolerate and demonstrated a favorable safety after injection of rAAV.sFLT-1 in phase $1 .{ }^{372}$

## AAV.sFLT-01

AAV.sFLT-01 is an adeno-associated viral (AAV) vector similar to rAAV.sFlt-1 with part of the sFLT1 grafted onto an Fc fragment as the synthesized receptor protein, which allows it to be stabilized. A phase 1 study (NCT01024998) ${ }^{373}$, developed by Genzyme, a Sanofi Company, where AAV.sFLT-01 was administrated by intravitreal injection was completed in 2018 despite the treatment seemed safe and well-tolerated at all doses tested ( $2 \times 10^{\wedge 8}, 2 \times 10^{\wedge 9}, 6 \times 10^{\wedge 9}$ and $2 \times 10^{\wedge 10}$ vector genomes (vg) AAV2sFLT01). ${ }^{374}$ To identify the sources of expression variability, the anti-permeability activity and the potential effect of the basic anti-AAV2 serum antibodies, more detailed studies must be developed.

### 1.4.3 Anti-PDGFs

Angiogenesis induction is a pivotal step in the progression of macular degeneration. Several studies have reported that an upregulation of PDGF (platelet-derived growth factor) occurs when anti-VEGF therapy is used in the wet AMD. This up-regulation induces the formation of a protective layer of pericytes to cover the neovascular complex, promoting in turn a resistance to anti-VEGF therapies. ${ }^{375}$ Hence, it is important to consider pretreatment with an anti-PDGF to avoid the apparition of resistance to anti-VEGF therapies. So, this strategy can improve the sensitivity and vulnerability of the neovascular complex to anti-VEGF therapies and other VEGF therapies that can be used in complement. This strategy generally improves visual outcomes. ${ }^{376}$

## Pegleranib or E10030 (Fovista®)

Pegleranib is a 29-nucleotides modified DNA PEGylated aptamer of 40 kDa substituted by 2'-fluoroand 2'-O-methyl-, ${ }^{220}$ developed by Ophthotech. This is an PDGF antagonist, which blocks its interaction with its receptor, namely: PDGFR- $\beta .{ }^{377}$ Several trials have been performed to study its use in combination with the anti-VEGF ranibizumab: the phase 1 study (NCT00569140, 2007-2010) ${ }^{378}$, the phase 2 study (NCTO1089517, 2010-2017) ${ }^{379}$ resulted in an improvement in BCVA and the phase 3
clinical studies (NCTO1940900, 2013-2018 \& NCT01944839, 2013-2018) ${ }^{380,381}$, still ongoing. ${ }^{382}$ These trials investigated the average variations in BCVA and demonstrated an improvement of VA. Moreover, another phase 3 study (NCTO1940887, 2013-2020) ${ }^{383}$ was compared pegleranib with other anti-VEGF agents (aflibercept and bevacizumab) in wet AMD patients is underway.

## Rinucumab or REGN2176-3

Rinucumab is a monoclonal antibody developed by Regeneron directed towards PDGF as pegleranib. A phase 1 study (NCTO2061865, 2014-2015) ${ }^{384}$ demonstrated the maintenance of a VA without serious adverse effects. Then in a subsequent phase 2 study, rinucumab was assayed in combination with aflibercept (CAPELLA, NCTO2418754, 2015-2017) ${ }^{385}$; however, this trial showed no benefit of the combination compared to aflibercept in monotherapy.

### 1.4.4 Angiopoietin 2 inhibitors

Angiopoietin-2 is a cytokine involved in angiogenesis and inflammatory processes. It is a Tie-2 receptorligand found in endothelial cells and fibroblasts. ${ }^{386}$ The angiopoietin (ANG) -Tie1/2 signaling pathway is necessary for the development of blood and lymphatic vessels. It plays an important role in the maturation of blood vessels, that result from VEGF-induced endothelial germination. ${ }^{387}$ In addition, this pathway controls also vascular permeability, inflammation and pathological angiogenic responses in adult tissues. ${ }^{388}$ Therefore, the activity of deregulated angiopoietin contributes to various pathological conditions, including cancer and AMD, where angiogenesis is promoted by overexpression of growth factors. In fact, Ang-2 is regulated via the binding of VEGF to TIE2 endothelial cell receptors. ${ }^{387}$ This interaction improves retinal neovascularization mediated by VEGF but does not by itself stimulates endothelial cells or proliferation in vitro. Angiopoietin-1 appears to play a maturation role and may have potential therapeutic benefit through its inhibition of inflammatory pathways. ${ }^{389}$

However, the molecular mechanisms resulting from the activation of the Ang-Tie signaling pathway are not fully understood. A better understanding is essential for the implementation of future therapies.

## Faricimab or RG7716

Faricimab, or RG7716, is a related human monoclonal antibody developed by Roche. In a mouse model, a combined therapy targeting simultaneously VEGF-A and ANG2 was tested by intravitreal injections of faricimab to show the effectiveness in CNV. ${ }^{390}$ A phase 1 study (NCTO1941082, 2013-2016) ${ }^{391}$ and phase 2 study (AVENUE, NCT02484690, 2015-2019) ${ }^{392}$ have already demonstrated the potential of this mAb which improves the VA. Recently, two phases 3 studies (LUCERNE, NCT03823300 and TENAYA, NCT03823287, 2019-2020) ${ }^{393,394}$ were initiated to compare combination treatments with aflibercept.

## Nesvacumab or REGN910-3

Nesvacumab, or REGN910-3, is a monoclonal antibody developed by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, which, specifically targets ANG2. A phase 1 study (NCTO1997164, 2013-2016) ${ }^{395}$ has been completed to evaluate its efficacy and safety when administrated in combination with aflibercept against exudative AMD and Diabetic Macular Edema (DME). A phase 2 study (ONYX, NCT02713204, 20162019) ${ }^{396}$ was performed to compare the therapeutic effects of nesvacumab vs aflibercept intravitreal injections showing inconclusive results.

### 1.4.5 Miscellaneous targets

Many studies have been performed to consider a pathway that does not specifically inhibit angiogenesis but other targets, such as PPAR, integrin receptors, anti-immune or anti-inflammatory pathways (mTOR, TNF- $\alpha$, complement cascade, ...). ${ }^{397}$ Indeed, the inflammatory axis is responsible for the exudative form of AMD. These studies are summarized in table 1-10. Multiple structures have been explored ranging from small molecules to monoclonal antibodies and the majority has been studied for both types of AMD. Furthermore, except for Anecortave acetate studied in phase 3 clinical trial in 2012, ${ }^{398}$ all these drugs did not go beyond phase 2 , showing a lack of efficacy and tolerance in healthy patients. Some of these studies have even obtained very recent results, at the end of 2020,39404 or are still in progress. ${ }^{405}$

Table 1-10: Different target studied for exudative AMD.

| Drugs | Structure | Target | Clinic | Formulation | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triple combination therapy | Verteporfin - Ranibizumab Dexamethasone | Multiple components | 2 | PDT, Intravitreal injections | NCT02287298 ${ }^{406}$ |
| PPAR inhibitors ${ }^{407-409}$ | Nuclear transcription factors | PPAR receptors | R | - | - |
| ALG-1001 |  | Integrin receptors | 1/2 | Intravitreal injections | NCT01749891 ${ }^{410}$ |
| JSM6427 |  | Integrin $\alpha 5 \beta 1$ | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00536016 ${ }^{411}$ |
| Volociximab | Monoclonal Antibody | Integrin $\alpha 5 \beta 1$ | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00782093 ${ }^{412}$ |
| ATG003 | Mecamylamine | Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAchR) | 2 | Eye drops | NCT00607750 ${ }^{116}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT00414206,117 |
| AdPEDF. 11 | Adenoviral PEDF | PEDF | 1 | Intravitreal injections | 415 |
| Methotrexate ${ }^{416,417}$ |  | Antifolate drug | R | Intravitreal injections |  |
| ALK4290 |  | CCL11 | 2 | Oral | NCT03558061 ${ }^{121}$ NCT03558074,122 |


| Sirolimus |  | mTOR | 2 | Intravitreal injections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCTOO304954 }^{123} \\ & \text { NCT00712491 }^{419} \\ & \text { NCTO2357342 }^{420} \\ & \text { NCT02732899 }^{126} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anecortave acetate |  | Inflammatory | 3 | Intravitreal injections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCT00299507 }{ }^{398} \\ & \text { NCT00346866422 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Triamcinolone |  | Inflammatory | 2 | Intravitreal Injections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCT00464347 }{ }^{423} \\ & \text { NCTO0211419424 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Infliximab | Monoclonal Antibody | TNF- $\alpha$ | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00304954418 |
| Tesidolumab | Monoclonal Antibody | C5 | 2 | Intravitreal injections | NCT01255462 ${ }^{217}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01535950 ${ }^{401}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01624636 ${ }^{402}$ |
| Avacincaptad pegol | Aptamer | C5 | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00709527425 |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT03362190 ${ }^{426}$ |
| POT-4 / APL-2 | Cyclic peptide | C3 | 2/1/2 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00473928 ${ }^{427}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01157065 ${ }^{428}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT02461771 ${ }^{403}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT03465709 ${ }^{404}$ |
| AAVCAGsCD59 | Ocular gene therapy product | MAC | (Active, not recruiting) | Intravitreal injections | NCT03585556 ${ }^{405}$ |

## Human-induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC)

iPSC was tested in in vivo assays (mouse and rat models) to evaluate the tumorigenic potential of hiPSCderived RPE in exudative AMD disease and this study showed no tumours during 6-12 months of monitoring. ${ }^{429}$ iPSC was first subretinally transplanted in exudative AMD patients in 2014 by Riken (Kobe, Japan) ${ }^{430}$, to replace or regenerate the dead or dying RPE. No side effects were observed and the vision loss was stabilized. ${ }^{117}$

## Human embryonic stem cells (hESC)

The implantation of PF-05206388 (retinal pigment epithelium-derived from human embryonic stem cells) in wet AMD patients has been recently studied (2019). A phase 1 study (NCT01691261, 20122019) ${ }^{144}$ and a four-year study (NCTO3102138, 2017-2019) ${ }^{145}$ developed by Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust have been initiated to replace RPE.

Exudative AMD is currently one of the major causes of blindness, and is globally responsible for $90 \%$ of vision loss. ${ }^{433}$ Anti-VEGF therapies are effective over several years, based on humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), as ranibizumab, bevacizumab, brolucizumab or dimeric recombinant fusion glycoprotein as aflibercept and combercept. But only $30 \%$ of the patients present a durable response to this treatment, that suggest the redundancy of alternative pro-angiogenic signaling pathways. In the same angiogenic strategy, the future trend focus on VEGF, PDGF or ANG2, resume in table 1-11. The non-response to anti-VEGF treatment explained by only the presence of inflammatory factor without VEGF factors in the eye. Some drugs were studied to block the inflammatory and/or integrin pathway (Table 1-10). As in the atrophic form, SC is currently ongoing as an interesting approach in the context of AMD, but these studies have been initiated too recently in the exudative part to be able to conclude the treatment efficacy. Other patients also refuse to take the treatment, because of the stress and discomfort caused by intravitreal injections. It should be possible to predict the response to treatment in patients, and research is currently ongoing in this direction.

This introductive chapter is the subject of a review currently in preparation: Fabre, M., et al. "Recent advances in age-related macular degeneration therapies: a review".

Table 1-11: All drugs studying for exudative AMD.

| Drugs | Structure | Target | Clinic | Formulation | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conbercept | Recombinant fusion protein | all isoforms of | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT01242254 ${ }^{286}$ |
|  |  | VEGF A, + VEGF B | 2 |  | NCT01157715 ${ }^{287}$ |
|  |  | + PIGF | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  | NCT01436864 ${ }^{289}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT02577107 ${ }^{290}$ |
| Abicipar pegol | DARpin | VEGF | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT02859766 ${ }^{295}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT03335852 ${ }^{296}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT02181517 ${ }^{297}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT02181504 ${ }^{298}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01397409 ${ }^{299}$ |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | NCT02462928 ${ }^{301}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT02462486 ${ }^{302}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT03539549 ${ }^{303}$ |
| OPT-302 | soluble form of VEGFR-3 | VEGF C and D | 2 b | Intravitreal injections | NCT02543229304 |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT03345082 ${ }^{306}$ |
| Sorafenib |  | Raf, PDGF, VEGF receptor kinases and c Kit | R | Eye drops | - |
| Pazopanib |  | VEGFR, PDGFR | 1 | Eye drops | NCT00463320315 |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT00659555 ${ }^{316}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01154062 ${ }^{317}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01051700 ${ }^{318}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01072214 ${ }^{319}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT00612456 ${ }^{320}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT00733304 ${ }^{321}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01134055 ${ }^{322}$ |


| Axitinib |  | VEGFR, PDGFR, FGFR and colonystimulating factor receptor | R | Eye drops | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regorafenib |  | VEGFR, PDGFR | 2 | Eye drops | NCT02222207333 |
| PAN-90806 |  | VEGF-R2 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | Eye drops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCT02022540 }^{337} \\ & \text { NCT03479372 }^{338} \end{aligned}$ |
| Vorolanib |  | VEGFR, PDGFR | 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCT01674569341 } \\ & \text { NCT02348359342 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Lenvatinib |  | VEGFR, PDGFR and FGFR | R |  | - |
| Brivanib |  | VEGFR2 and FGFR1 | R |  | - |
| Squalamine |  | VEGF + PDGF | 3 | Eye drops | NCT00089830332 NCT00333476 ${ }^{353}$ NCT00094120 ${ }^{354}$ NCT00139282 ${ }^{355}$ NCT01678963 ${ }^{356}$ |
| Bevasiranib | Small interfering RNA | siRNA | 3 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00499590 ${ }^{361}$ |
| siRNA-027 |  | siRNA |  | Intravitreal injections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NCT00363714 }{ }^{363} \\ & \text { NCT00395057 }^{364} \end{aligned}$ |


| RetinoStat | Lentivirus expressing endostatin/angiostatin | Gene therapy (VEGF) | 1 | Subretinal Injections | GEM STUDY ${ }^{366}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cas9 | ribonucleoproteins | Gene therapy (VEGF) | R | Subretinal injections | - |
| rAAV.sFLT-1 | AAV expressing sFLT | Gene therapy (VEGF) | 1/2 | Subretinal injections | NCT01494805 ${ }^{371,372}$ |
| AAV2.sFLT01 | AAV expressing sFLT | Gene therapy (VEGF) | 1 | Intravitreous injections | NCT01024998 ${ }^{373,374}$ |
| Pegleranib | PEGylated aptamer | PDGF | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT00569140 ${ }^{378}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT01089517 ${ }^{379}$ |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | NCT01940900 ${ }^{96}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01944839 ${ }^{97}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT01940887 ${ }^{383}$ |
| Rinucumab | Monoclonal Antibody | PDGF | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT02061865 ${ }^{384}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT02418754 ${ }^{385}$ |
| Faricimab | Monoclonal Antibody | ANG2 | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT01941082 ${ }^{391}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT02484690 ${ }^{392}$ |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | NCT03823300 ${ }^{106}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT03823287 ${ }^{107}$ |
| Nesvacumab | Fully human antibody | ANG2 | 1 | Intravitreal injections | NCT01997164 ${ }^{395}$ |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | NCT02713204 ${ }^{396}$ |
| iPSC | Human fibroblast | RPE cells | - | Implant | - |
| PF-05206388 | Stem cell | RPE cells | 1 | Implant | NCT01691261 ${ }^{431}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | NCT03102138 ${ }^{432}$ |

Chapter 2. Development of dual antiangiogenic /antiinflammatory compounds targeting the CXCR1/2 receptors

This Ph.D. thesis focuses on exudative AMD because this form represents $90 \%$ of patients with vision loss. ${ }^{433}$ This disease is characterized by exacerbated angiogenesis dependent on the overexpression of the VEGF and by severe inflammation. ${ }^{57-59}$ Both inflammation and angiogenesis induce in turn the neovascularization of the retro-foveolar choroid. As mentioned in chapter 1, the only available treatments are anti-VEGF therapies, consisting on intra-vitreal injection of mAbs. However, anti-VEGF injections delay progression to blindness, but $30 \%$ of the patients are insensitive or present a transient response to these agents. We hypothesized that these anti-VEGF therapies failures due to the redundancy of pro-angiogenic factors and to the activation of alternative signaling pathways. Moreover, antiVEGF/PDGF/PIGF therapies do not avoid inflammation, which also characterizes AMD. In addition, this anti-VEGF strategy is not viable for long term treatment, since (i) it is a palliative rather than curative approach, and (ii) the chronic use of anti-VEGF-agents may induce retinal atrophy ${ }^{434}$ because VEGF is implicated in the neuroprotection of retinal neuronal cells and in the maintenance of the retinal pigment epithelium.

By the way, inflammation and angiogenesis, are integrated processes: inflammatory conditions induce tissue hypoxia and pro-angiogenic factors expression, and angiogenesis may increase the inflammation. ${ }^{435}$ Thus, the cytokines, initially discovered as mediators of inflammation, ${ }^{436}$ are also involved in pro-angiogenic processes. ${ }^{437}$ We have focused our attention on the ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL cytokine subclass (including CXCL 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8), which exhibits pro-angiogenic/pro-inflammatory properties. ${ }^{438,439}$ The leading member of this cytokine family, interleukin 8 (IL-8) is abundantly secreted where and when VEGF is produced, and the molecular factors involved in VEGF expression stimulate also IL-8 expression. ${ }^{440}$ The ERL ${ }^{+}$CXCL exert their activity upon the stimulation of their receptors, CXCR1 and CXCR2, two heptahelical G-protein coupled receptors. ${ }^{441}$ The stimulation of CXCR1/2 results in the activation of pathways mediated by protein kinases $C$, phospholipase $C$ and $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{AKT} / \mathrm{mTOR}$, RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK and NF-кB pathways. ${ }^{436}$

In this context, the inhibition of the ERL ${ }^{+}$CXCL cytokines signaling pathway by small molecules has been proposed as a promising strategy to increase potency and pharmacologic properties (pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic) and to target the exudative AMD specifically including the angiogenesis and inflammatory phenomena.

### 2.1 CXCL CHEMOKINE FAMILY AND THEIR RECEPTORS

Chemokines are small proteins of 8 to 12 kDa , involved essentially in the immune and/or inflammatory response. They are also involved in the coordination of the leukocytes migration, in the inflammation regulation and angiogenesis. ${ }^{422,443}$ They are differentiated into four different families, according to the number of amino acids between the two first cysteines in their N -terminal position :
i) CXC corresponds to two cysteines separated by one amino acid other than cysteine. This family includes 7 receptors CXCR and 17 ligands CXCL;
ii) CC corresponds to two first adjacent cysteines. This family includes 10 receptors CCR and 28 ligands CCL;
iii) $\quad \mathrm{CX}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to two cysteines separated by three amino acids other than cysteine. This family includes only one member, Fractalkine and one receptor $\mathrm{CX}_{3} \mathrm{CR1}$;
iv) C corresponding to 2 single cysteines. This family includes two members only: Lymphotactine $\alpha \& \beta$ and one receptor XCR1 (Figure 2-1). ${ }^{438}$

This Ph.D. project focuses on the CXC family and their G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), CXCR owning seven transmembrane domains. ${ }^{441}$ Moreover, this family can be subdivided into two types depending on the presence or absence of the so-called ELR pattern, which corresponds to a N -terminal glutamate-leucine-arginine motif. $\operatorname{ELR}^{+} \operatorname{CXCL}(1,2,3,5,6,7,8,15)$ have pro-inflammatory and proangiogenic properties, whereas $\operatorname{ELR}$ - CXCL $(4,9,10,11,12,13,16,17)$ have anti-inflammatory and antiangiogenic properties (Figure 2-1). ${ }^{438,439}$ Thus, the ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL chemokines positively regulate the angiogenesis and the chemotaxis of neutrophils and endothelial cells ${ }^{438}$, which are over-regulated in AMD. In this context, we propose to inhibit their two receptors, namely CXCR1 and CXCR2, to counteract their multiple interactions with CXCL6 and CXCL8 (for CXCR1), and with CXCL1-3 and CXCL 5-8 (for CXCR2). Importantly, the leading member of this CXCL subclass is CXCL8 or Interleukin-8 (IL8). ${ }^{40}$
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Figure 2-1: Structure of Chemokine families.

### 2.2 CXCR1/2 KNOWN ANTAGONISTS

Small-sized CXCR1/2 antagonists have already been designed and synthesized for their use in chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD), asthma, cystic fibrosis and cancer. Among them, eight compounds reached the clinical trial stage (Figure 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5). ${ }^{436,444}$ These molecules may be classified in four distinct families:

The phenol-containing diaryl ureas family ${ }^{445}$ is a group of molecules consisting in an urea flanked by a phenol motive and an aryl moiety. This series of compounds has been originally developed by GlaxoSmithKline, and the first-in-class compound is SB225002, (1-(2-bromophenyl)-3-(2-hydroxy-4nitrophenyl)urea). This is the first non-peptidic potent and selective antagonist of the CXCL8-CXCR2 interaction $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=22 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{446}$ Several SB225002 derivatives, including various electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups on the phenol or the aromatic ring (in green and blue, Figure 2-2) have been studied. In particular, the presence of a sulfonamide function adjacent to the phenol shows a major improvement in the inhibiting potency, and a decrease of the clearance in rat models. ${ }^{447}$ The most relevant compounds of this series are danirixin and elubrixin evaluated in clinical trials. These
compounds target the interaction between the receptor CXCR2 and its ligands CXCL1 and CXCL8 (IC50 of 0.5-40 nM) with a marked selectivity over CXCR1 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>150 \mathrm{nM}$ ). ${ }^{436,445}$ In continuation, phase 1 or 2 clinical trials have been performed for the treatment of COPD. ${ }^{436}$ For danirixin, two phases 2 studies showed no improvements compared to placebo with an unfavorable benefit-risk profile and a lack of efficacy. ${ }^{488}$ For elubrixin (SB656933), phase 1 clinical trials showed safety and well-tolerated in healthy subjects.
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Figure 2-2: CXCR1/2 inhibitors of the phenol-containing diarylureas family tested in clinical studies.

Another structural modification consists in the replacement of the urea by 3-amino-4-(propylamino)cyclobut-3-ene-1,2-dione (squaramide) as bioisosteric functional group. This $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}^{\prime}$ diarylsquaramides family includes three compounds developed by Merck Sharp \& Dohme Corp. ${ }^{449}$ These molecules feature a phenol substituted by $N, N$-dimethylacetamide and two aromatic rings (furan or benzene). Navarixin displays strong CXCL8-CXCR1 and CXCL8-CXCR2 antagonisms, with respective values of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=36 \mathrm{nM}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.6 \mathrm{nM}$. A phase 2 trial was initiated for COPD, asthma and psoriasis but the clinical study was stopped due to a warning decreased number of neutrophils. ${ }^{444}$

## N. N'-diarvlsquaramides familv (3) <br> CXCR1/2 bi-selective



Figure 2-3: CXCR1/2 inhibitors of the $N, N^{\prime}$-diarylsquaramides family tested in clinical studies.

Next, reparixin or (R)(-)-2-(4-isobutylphenyl)propionylmethansulfonamide, developed by Dompé Farmaceutici S.p.A, is a selective CXCL8-CXCR1 antagonist $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ over CXCR2 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=100 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Six analogues of reparixin presenting the same ( $R$ ) - $N$-(1-phenethyl) acethyl methylsulfonamide motif (in orange, Figure 2-4) with various substituted aromatic parts (in blue, Figure 2-4) and the presence of nitrogen or oxygen between the carbonyl and the sulfone (in green, Figure 2-4). They are also CXCL8 inhibitors binding CXCR1 and CXCR2 with efficiencies ranging from 2.1-34 nM. Reparixin was assayed in different treatments in phase 2 such as metastatic breast cancer, kidney transplantation, lung transplantation and in phase 3 for pancreatic islet transplantation in type-1 diabetes. Besides, another compound, ladarixin was used for bullous Pemphigoid in phase 2 and type-1 diabetes (not yet recruiting). ${ }^{436}$
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Figure 2-4: CXCR1/2 inhibitors of the reparixin family tested in clinical studies.

Finally, the 2-thiobenzylpyrimidine family, developed by AstraZeneca, is composed of 15 members including AZD5069 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ), AZD8309 or SX-682 which all selectively inhibit the interaction between CXCL8 and CXCR2. For each member, a 2-thiobenzylpyrimidine motif is present (in orange, Figure 2-5). Phase 1 or 2 studies were terminated for asthma, COPD and were initiated in the case of bronchiectasis, head/neck cancer and rheumatoid arthritis for AZD5069. A phase 1 study is currently ongoing with SX-682 for metastatic melanoma. ${ }^{436}$

## 2-thiobenzvlovrimidine family (15)
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Figure 2-5: CXCR1/2 inhibitors of the 2-thiobenzylpyrimidine family tested in clinical studies.

### 2.3 Proofs of concept of CXCR1/2 antagonists Effects in angiogenic pathologies

In the two last decades, the search for new CXCR1 and CXCR2 has been mainly focused on the development of drugs targeting pulmonary diseases as asthma or COPD. Several molecules have been also studied in clinical trials as anti-tumor agents: reparixin (phase 1: 2013-2015; phases 2: 2013-2020, 2015-2020) ${ }^{450,451}$, navarixin (phase 2: 2018-2021; not yet recruiting), AZD5069 (phases 1/2: 2015-2019; 2015-2020; 2017-2020) and SX-682 (phases 1/2: 2017-2020; 2020-2021; recruiting) (Figure 2-6).


Figure 2-6: Know CXCR1/2 antagonists in clinical trial for cancer.

Before these studies, the Pagès team, a specialized group in cancer and angiogenesis, established in 2012-2014, a correlation between ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL cytokines decrease and renal cancer cell growth inhibition, in vitro and in vivo. ${ }^{437,452}$ Overall, the inhibition of the interaction between ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL and their receptors CXCR1/2 (expressed on endothelial cells and neutrophils/macrophages) promotes at the same time the reduction of neutrophils/macrophages attraction and endothelial/cancer cells proliferation (Figure 2-7). ${ }^{437}$ For these reasons, the Benhida and Pagès groups were interested to apply this strategy in cancerology, for which inflammation and angiogenesis are well-known hallmarks. Another pathology that could be targeted by this strategy, and which is the subject of this Ph.D. is the exudative AMD.


Figure 2-7: ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL pathway.

### 2.3.1 In cancers

Pagès group demonstrated in a pioneering work, that the binding of cytokines ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL to their chemokine receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2 stimulates kidney cancer cells proliferation, tumor inflammation and angiogenesis (Figure 2-7) ${ }^{453}$. The treatment of mice tumors three times a week with $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the CXCR2-selective competitive antagonist SB225002 ${ }^{446,454}$ induces a significant decrease in blood vessels formation and inhibits cell proliferation. ${ }^{453}$ However, the cell viability EC 50 of SB225002 is greater than 90 micromolar on cancer cells (Breast, Kidney, AML, MDS, CML), which greatly limits its applications. ${ }^{453}$

In the continuation of this work, our group at the ICN Institute designed and synthesized new series of diarylurea family for biological studies. After several rounds of derivatizations, the urea was functionalized on one side with a benzothiazole. Then, after a rationalization of the structure activity relationships, two compounds have been identified, namely MCK133 and MCK140. These molecules present an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ between 2 and 10 micromolar against various cancer cells (Breast, Kidney, Head and Neck, AML, MDS, CML) which was a real improvement compared to the activity of the parent compound SB225002. Moreover, in vivo, MCK140 has shown significant tumor growth inhibition on xenografted mice with a clear reduction in the tumor volume and weight compared to the control (Figure 2-8, $A-B$ ).

Besides, MCK140 has shown a better effectiveness in inhibiting the ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL7-CXCR2 pathway compared to the reference CXCR2 antagonist danirixin. This observation was performed thanks to a migration assay after 24 hours in HuVEC cells (Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells) by Boyden chamber using 1 or $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MCK140 and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of danirixin (Figure 2-8, C). ${ }^{435,455}$


Figure 2-8: Structures of MCK133/MCK140 and tumor growth inhibition in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) with MCK140 thanks to CXCL-CXCR2 antagonist effect. *Human A-498 Kidney Cancer Cells. (A) The tumor volume was measured twice weekly. The results are presented as the means $\pm$ sd. (B) Tumor weights at the end of the experiment. (C) CXCL7 or VEGF-dependent HuVEC migration was analyzed using Boyden chamber assays in the presence/absence of MCK140 or danirixin. ${ }^{435,455}$

### 2.3.2 In AMD

Arylurea are already used in applications for ocular pathologies related to an angiogenic context. For example, they have been used to treat posterior segment disorders in the case of exudative AMD, macular degeneration, proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) ${ }^{456}$, cancers and inflammatory diseases (such as COPD or cystic fibrosis). ${ }^{436,457}$

The two lead compounds MCK133 and MCK140 have been assayed in vivo in a model of AMD induced by eye cornea laser burn. These experiments have been performed in collaboration with Iris Pharma, a world-class CRO dedicated to ophthalmology. They consist in burning the mice cornea with a laser beam followed by 3 weeks intraperitoneally daily treatment of 0.15 mg of MCK133 or MCK140. After 15 days, an angiography (to observe the ocular vascularity) points out that treated animals have a lower angiogenesis compared to the untreated animals (Figure 2-9).

These preliminary results also highlighted the limits of these inhibitors. They underline the need of new compounds with an optimized efficacy and with improved solubility to allow the administration of higher dosages. The possibility of administration by eye drops - the least intrusive for patients - is also an ideal goal to pursue.


Figure 2-9: Angiography of MCK133 and MCK140 in exudative AMD model. ${ }^{1}$ (A) Angiography after 15 days. (B) Size of the lesion after 15 days.

### 2.4 Design of new series of CXCR1/2 antagonists

### 2.4.1 Rationale

### 2.4.1.1 Predicted pharmacophore

In the continuation of the above reported studies, the goal of my Ph.D. project, which is a part of ANR program, was to develop a new family of small-sized molecules specifically designed for the treatment of AMD. A particular emphasis has been given to the isosteric replacement of the urea motif of SB225602, MCK133 and MCK140 (Figure 2-10). Thus, we seek for new molecular scaffolds to simultaneously enhance efficacy and solubility properties by scaffold hopping. A potential pharmacophore was predicted by the team, with a specific motif as cyclic bio-isostere of urea: a 2-

[^1]aminoimidazole or a 3,5-diamino-1,2,4-triazole. Indeed, these heterocycles present structural similarities with the urea in terms of geometry with similar bond angles (115-125 ${ }^{\circ}$ for urea motif while $121-131^{\circ}$ corresponding of outside angle and $103-113^{\circ}$ corresponding to inside angle for our new pharmacophore), presence of lone pairs and hydrogen bond donors, and electronic density repartition. (in green, Figure 2-10). This 5-membered heterocycle would require to be joined to two aromatic moieties to ensure the structural similarities with the diarylurea family and to complete the potential pharmacophore.


Figure 2-10: Scaffold hopping from the diarylurea to the new predicted pharmacophore

### 2.4.2 Envisaged series

Our predicted pharmacophores can be declined in four different series, two belonging to the 2aminoimidazole series (series A and B) and two belonging to the 3,5-diamino-1,2,4-triazole series (series C and D) (Figure 2-11). However, all these series present similarities in their scaffolds elements,
namely the aminoazole (in green, Figure 2-11), flanked by two aryl moieties (in blue and red, Figure 211). My objectives were to attempt the synthesis of these four series and to create analogues thereof in order to define the structure-activity relationships.



Figure 2-11: Declination of the predicted pharmacophore and different series envisaged.

Practically, the synthetic development of the two imidazole series ( $A$ and $B$ ) is presented in chapter 3, whereas the triazole derivatives of series $C$ and $D$ are the object of chapter 4 .

### 2.4.3 Structural properties and similarities of the predicted pharmacophores and diarylureas

The diarylureas series is characterized by an urea with two hydrogen bond donors (the nitrogens) and acceptors (the oxygen) (Figure 2-10). The urea motif is basic, with pKb of 13.9. Whereas imidazoles and 1,2,4-triazoles are ubiquitous 5-membered aromatic heterocycles both presenting two nitrogen atoms at positions 1 and 3 of the ring. We can observe a weak basic character with a pKb -imidazole of 7.0 , pKa imidazole of $14.9{ }^{458}$ and $\mathrm{pKa}-1,2,4$-triazole of $10 .{ }^{459}$ These features lead to partially charged molecules in water and therefore are beneficial for the overall polarity and solubility properties. Finally, thanks to similar structural properties, these two nuclei can correspond to our chosen pharmacophore.

## Chapter 3. Synthesis of the imidazole series

The 2-aminoimidazole derivatives possess, in addition to the imidazole core, two aryl groups at defined positions: a phenyl on the extra-cyclic nitrogen, keeping the $\mathrm{N}-1$ free (series A ) or a benzyl directly on the intra-cyclic nitrogen, keeping the extra-cyclic nitrogen free (series B). The synthetic routes towards these series $A$ and $B$ are described in this chapter.

## $3.1 N$, PHENYL-1H-IMIDAZOL-2-AMINE SYNTHESIS (Series A)



Figure 3-1: Compounds of series $A$ and main retrosynthesis disconnections.

The first target molecule was $N$-phenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine 2.1, which includes a phenyl on the extracyclic nitrogen (in blue, Figure 3-1) and an aryl in position C-4 of the imidazole (in red, Figure 3-1). Three synthetic pathways have been envisaged and attempted to synthesize the molecule 2.1. The first concerns an aza-Hantzsch reaction from a guanidine intermediate (A1 pathway), the second involves a nucleophilic aromatic substitution ( $S_{N} A r$ ) of a thiomethyl derivative by aniline (A2 pathway) and the last requires a nucleophilic addition of hydrazine on a pyrimidinium protected imidazole formed by cyclocondensation and $\mathrm{S}_{N} \operatorname{Ar}$ (A3 pathway) (Figure 3-1).

### 3.1.1 A1: Aza-Hantzsch pathway: Unexpected product

The desired imidazole would be obtained through aza-Hantzsch reaction between aryl guanidines 3.3 and bromoacetophenone 3.1a or chloroacetophenone 3.2a following a procedure described in the literature by Mahboobi et al., ${ }^{460}$ then confirmed by Capua et al. ${ }^{461}$. Thus, aminoimidazole $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ can be
synthesized starting from phenylguanidine 3.3a and pyridyl-bromoacetophenone 3.1b. The guanidine intermediates can be obtained from anilines 3.4 through arylguanidine synthesis (Scheme 3-1).


Scheme 3-1: Retrosynthesis of pathway A1.

### 3.1.1.1 Bromination

The bromination has been carried out by following two procedures depending on the aromatic ring.
i. The bromination of acetophenone 3.5a has been carried out with bromine using aluminium chloride catalytic activation in ether at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours to afford product 3.1a in 93\% yield. ${ }^{462}$
ii. The synthesis of pyridyl-bromoacetophenone 3.5b was performed by bromination based on Dornow's work in $1951^{463}$ with bromine in aqueous hydrogen bromide solution at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes to isolate $87 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ b (Scheme 3-2).


Scheme 3-2: Bromination reactions.

### 3.1.1.2 Guanidine formation

### 3.1.1.2.1 Literature survey

Several methods for arylguanidine syntheses have been described and they are listed in the table 3-1. The first pathway requires the addition of substituted anilines 3.4 on cyanamide 3.6 in acidic conditions, to obtain a variety of arylguanidines in $36-98 \%$ yield. ${ }^{464-468}$ The second method, based on Scott et al. work in 1953, ${ }^{469}$ was performed with 3,5-dimethylpyrazole guanidine nitrate 3.7a and aniline $3.4 \mathbf{a}$ or aminophenol $\mathbf{3 . 4 b}$ to obtain phenylguanidine $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ a in $96 \%$ yield or hydroxyphenylguanidine $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ b in $76 \%$ yield. Scott conditions ( 2 hours of reaction) are shorter than the substitutions on cyanamide 3.6. Moreover, the use of neat conditions simplifies the purification process.

Table 3-1: State of the art of guanylation synthesis.


| entry | R | Conditions | Yield , \% | Limitations | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; 3-\mathrm{OMe} ; 2-\mathrm{Me}- \\ & 5-\mathrm{Cl} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ 3.6, $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ conc., EtOH, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 82 | $\checkmark$ Good yield | $\mathrm{Ha}, \mathrm{H}$. et al. $(2008)^{464}$ |
| 2 | Ortho: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{OCHF}_{2}$, OEt Meta: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$, $\mathrm{COMe}, \mathrm{NHSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, OMe <br> Para: $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Me}$, $\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{OMe}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ 3.6, $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, o.n. | 30-98 | * Long time treatment (o.n. at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) <br> * Low yield for phenylguanidine (30\% yield) | Diab, S. et <br> al. $(2014)^{465}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; 4-\mathrm{OMe} ; 4-\mathrm{tBu} ; \\ & 4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} ; \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ 3.6, Sc(OYTf ${ }_{3}$ ) (10mol\%), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1-2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 63-88 | $\checkmark$ Moderate to good yield <br> * Long-time reaction | Tsubokura, K. et al. $(2014)^{466}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ 3.6, EtOH, Reflux, 40 h | guanidine <br> picrate (69) <br> + aniline <br> $\mathrm{HCl}(15)$ | * Still aniline in end <br> * phenylguanidine salt formation <br> * Possible hydrolysation of cyanamide | Horwitz, J. <br> P. et al. <br> $(1958)^{467}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{R}=2-\mathrm{Me}, 5-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ 3.6, HCl , m-cresol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ | 82 | $\checkmark$ Good yield <br> $\checkmark \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ substituent <br> * Non-common solvent | Ciufolini, M. et al. (2006) ${ }^{468}$ |

$6 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$

### 3.1.1.2.2 Synthesis

Surprisingly, in our hands, the direct substitution of anilines 3.4 by cyanamide 3.6 failed. By a marked contrast, the use of the Scott conditions ${ }^{469}$ led to the desired products in $54-92 \%$ yield (Table $3-2$ ). All these reactions were performed with aniline 3.4 in neat conditions at $75-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours to 2 days. In the case of aminophenol 3.4b, water was used as a solvent with less equivalent of aminophenol 3.4b, due to its high melting point of $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to obtain hydroxyphenylguanidine 3.3 b in $54 \%$ yield.

Table 3-2: Aryl guanidine synthesis with Scott method.

entry
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Free-solvent reaction with 10 eq. of aniline. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Reaction in water with 5.0 eq. of aniline.

Unfortunately, nitroanilines 3.4 h -i were not soluble in water and their high melting point ( $114-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) led us to use another method, using in phenol as solvent. Thus, we added hydrochloric acid on cyanamide 3.6 at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours. ${ }^{468}$ After purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.9 / 1\right)$, the nitrophenylguanidine 3.3 h and 3.3 i were obtained with $35 \%$ and 50\% yield respectively (Scheme 3-3). All these guanidines were directly used in the next aza-Hantzsch step.


Scheme 3-3: Aryl guanidine synthesis from Ciufolini et al. method.

### 3.1.1.3 Aza-Hantzsch

### 3.1.1.3.1 Literature survey

In 2009, Mahboobi et al. ${ }^{460}$ synthesized different imidazoles with aryl group substituted in the extracyclic nitrogen and with a pyridine ring in position C-4 of the imidazole, starting from the substitutedguanidine 3.4 and bromoacetophenone 3.1. This reaction was conducted in basic media in ethanol, at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain four required products 2.1b-d in 41-76\% yield (Scheme 3-4). Moreover, Capua et al. ${ }^{461}$ confirmed, the work of Mahboobi et al., synthesizing several triaryl-substituted imidazoles 2.1 in the same conditions, starting from substituted-guanidine 3.3 and chloroacetophenone 3.2.

2.1e $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=H, 76 \%$ yield

Y = N
2.1b: $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, 66 \%$ yield
2.1c: $R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, 50 \%$ yield
2.1d: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, 41 \%$ yield
$Y=C H$
tion in the literature
Scheme 3-4: Examples of aza-Hantzsch reaction in the literature.

### 3.1.1.3.2 Methodological study

A variety of conditions were screened for the aza-Hantzsch reaction (Table 3-3). Unfortunately, product 3.8 was obtained instead expected product 2.1 (see below).

First, we demonstrated the requirement of using a base (entries 1, 2), then the Mahboobi et al. conditions were applied after freebasing of guanidine 3.3a. The addition of triethylamine and pyridylbromoacetophenone 3.1b at room temperature overnight led only to a partial conversion (entry 3). LCMS showing a major polar peak with two mass compounds inside: the product $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ a and a sideproduct, expected to be 1,3-diphenylguanidine 3.9. Unfortunately, the product 3.8a was not isolated due to its very high polarity (no migration on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1$ as mobile phase).

To overcome these problems, we attempted two procedures: (i) the introduction of a strong base to freebase guanidine 3.3a first, followed by the introduction of (pyridyl)-bromoacetophenone 3.1b with a weak base to catch salt; (ii) the introduction of a single strong base: to identify if the reaction requires the guanidine freebasing or weak to the salt catching.
i. However, the use of the first approach did not reach the desired product:

- The utilisation of a strong base ( KOH or ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ ) with a weak base ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ or $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ) led to a mixture of product 3.8a in mixture with side-products 3.9 or possible $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-triethyl-2-oxo-2-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-aminium 3.10 (entries 3-7).
- The replacement by only strong bases $(\mathrm{KOH}$ or NaH$)$ led to degradation (entry 8 ).
- The introduction of a Lewis acid $\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}\right)$ instead of the base did not give conversion (entry 9).
ii. The second approach is divided by:
- The utilisation of only a strong base in various solvents led to a partial conversion of $53 \%$ with ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ in dioxane (entries 11 ).
- The replacement by weak bases $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in ethanol led to a mixture of product $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ a with side product, expected to correspond to N -1-diphenyl-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine 3.11 (entry 13). In isopropanol, the product 3.8a was formed with side product, expected to correspond to 2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)-1-(2-oxo-2-(pyridin-3-yl)ethyl)-3-phenylguanidine 3.12 (entry 14). Finally, in dioxane, by increasing the equivalents number of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ up to 5.5, the product 3.8a has been isolated in $30 \%$ yield (entry 15 ).

Of note, our results showed an advantage to use guanidine 3.3a in a salt form rather than as free base to avoid the formation of 1,3-diphenylguanidine 3.9.

Table 3-3: Conditions screening for the aza-Hantzsch reaction.



| entry | Base 1 | Base 2 | Solvent | T ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Reaction <br> time (h) | Conversion ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (Yield), \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - | - | EtOH | 80 | 16 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | - | iPrOH | 80 | 16 | n.c. |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction and conditions: 3.3a ( 1.0 mmol ), 3.1b ( 1.0 mmol ), solvent ( 8 mL ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculate by the ratio between products and reagent 3.3a. Reaction followed by LCMS (D.A.D.) Isolated yield. MW: microwave irradiation. n.d.: not determined. n.c.: no conversion.

The best conditions ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 5.5$ eq., in dioxane at room temperature for 5.5 hours) were applied to the synthesis of three arylguanidines $\mathbf{3 . 8 a} \mathbf{- b}$, e-f: unsubstituted, substituted by an electron-withdrawing group (3-NO2), ${ }^{460}$ and by an electron donor group (2-OMe) (Table 3-4).

Importantly, we observed discrepancies with the product described in the literature. Indeed, the azaHantzsch product in the literature ${ }^{460}$ was described as $N$-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine 2.1. However, we obtained a regioisomer, 1-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2amine 3.8 with intra-cyclic nitrogen substitution (Table 3-4).

Table 3-4: $N$, phenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine obtained.
Entry

The careful examination of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 2.1, ${ }^{460}$ revealed some anomalies, notably for the description of both intra- and extra-cyclic nitrogen, described at 6.0 ppm . Furthermore, Capua et al. ${ }^{461}$ publication described the triaryl-substituted imidazole as substituted by aryl groups in intra- and extra-cyclic nitrogen positions and by a phenyl ring in C-4 $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ (in the place of pyridine ring), hence the regioselectivity problem was not applicable. However, one example of 1 H -diaryl-substituted imidazole 2.1e, described in extra-cyclic nitrogen and C-4 positions with a shift characterization at 4.90 ppm explaining the exchange of the $2 \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{N}-1, N^{2}\right)$ groups with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. However, a previous work of Little and Webber in $1994^{470}$, on the imidazole formation, showed a shift of the proton carried by intra-cyclic nitrogen at 12 ppm whereas the extra-cyclic nitrogen would correspond to 7.07 ppm . Likewise, an imidazole protected by a Boc group had a shift of NH at $10.32-10.5 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{471}$ Finally, in 2016, Iwata et al. ${ }^{472}$ explained that starting from a guanidine with methyl fumaraldehydate 3.14 under basic conditions ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) at room temperature will form the imidazole with the free extra-cyclic nitrogen (in situ reaction, non-isolated product).

Similarly, we obtained the characteristic shift at 6.0 ppm corresponding to 1,4 -diaryl-1 H -imidazol-2amine 3.8 with unsubstituted extra-cyclic nitrogen and substituted intra-cyclic nitrogen (Figure 3-2). Even if $R^{1}$ is a phenyl or pyridine ring or if we introduce different groups, the same shift at 6 ppm has been noted. In the literature, the unsubstituted intra-cyclic nitrogen of an imidazole should be more de-shielded. This hypothesis will be demonstrated in the later in the manuscript



Figure 3-2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 1-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine 3.8a and 1,4-diphenyl$1 H$-imidazol-2-amine $\mathbf{3 . 8 d}$ with the unsubstituted extra-cyclic nitrogen.

This result can be explained by the proposed mechanism of the aza-Hantzsch reaction depicted in Scheme 3-5. We hypothesized that the first step is the nucleophilic substitution to carbon C-2 of 3.1 by guanidine 3.3 to form 3.15. Then, the second nucleophilic addition occurs by the nitrogen N-3 of guanidine 3.15 to generate the hemiaminal 3.16. This hemiaminal 3.16 undergoes water dehydration to access to the desired regioisomer $N$-phenyl-4-(aryl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-amine 2.1 (green path, Scheme 3-5). However, the nitrogen N-1 of guanidine 3.3, despite its steric hindrance, will produce the first nucleophilic substitution to attain guanidine intermediate 3.15'. After the second nucleophilic addition to form 3.17 and dehydration, the undesired regioisomer 1-phenyl-4-(aryl)-2,3-dihydro- $1 H$-imidazol-2-amine 3.8 has been reached (orange path, Scheme 3-5). This configuration was explained by the pKa of phenyl guanidine of $9.70^{2}$ deprotonated by triethylamine $(\mathrm{pKa}=10.78$ ) rendering this nitrogen $\mathrm{N}-1$ of $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ more available to produce the nucleophilic substitution.

[^2]

Scheme 3-5: Supposed mechanism of the desired regioisomer $N$-aryl-2-aminoimidazole 2.1 and undesired regioisomer 1-aryl-2-aminoimidazole 3.8.

In the end, a Dimroth rearrangement was attempted at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to transfer $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ group from the intracyclic nitrogen to the extra-cyclic one. The mechanism proceeds via the nucleophilic addition of a water molecule to the electrophilic carbon C-5 of 3.18 to form an hemiaminal intermediate 3.19, and subsequent ring-opening. Secondly, by nucleophilic addition of the $N^{2}$ on the electrophilic carbon of carbonyl 3.20, the desired imidazole 2.1 was formed (Scheme 3-6). Nevertheless, the degradation of the product 3.8 was observed without conversion into the desired imidazole.


Scheme 3-6: Supposed mechanism of Dimroth rearrangement.

### 3.1.2 $\quad \mathrm{A} 2: \mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ pathway

Another straightforward pathway consisting of aza-Hantzsch reaction from pyridylbromoacetophenone 3.1b and methyl carbamimidothioate hydroiodide 3.22, after S-methylation, to obtain thiomethyl imidazole pyridine 3.21, as key intermediate bearing a thiomethyl leaving group. Then, this leaving group can be substituted by aniline through an aromatic nucleophilic substitution ( $S_{N} A r$ ) (Scheme 3-7).


Scheme 3-7: Retrosynthesis through 2-(methylthio)-4-pyridin-1H-imidazole 3.21.

### 3.1.2.1 Literature survey

First, in 2010, Laufer et al. ${ }^{473}$ developed a synthesis on $N$-Benzylidene-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylthio-1H-imidazol-1-amine 3.24 by introducing a protective group, phenylmethanimine on the nitrogen of imidazole. The product was obtained in $81 \%$ yield with sodium bicarbonate in acetonitrile at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. In 2017, Laufer et al. ${ }^{474}$ reproduced the conditions by replacing the solvent by a THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: 4 / 1$ mixture at reflux and without phenylmethanimine group to isolate the same desired product 3.21b in 50\% yield (and a range of 15-65\% yield for various alkyl or aryl group).

Concerning the $S_{N} A r$, several conditions exist, and they depend on the leaving group. In the case of thiomethyl, Li et al. ${ }^{475}$ developed a method in 2-propanol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours to isolate 3.27 in $80 \%$ yield.

In the case of methylsulfinyl, three conditions were performed.
i. By using a strong base, from 1-methyl-2-(methylsulfonyl)-5-nitro-1H-imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}$ in sodium hydride allowed to isolated $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ in a low 11\% yield (Sudarsanam et al. conditions). ${ }^{476}$
ii. By using a weak base, from the substitution of benzoimidazole $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ by methyl sulfonamide with an excess of aniline 3.4a ( 5 equivalents) and triethylamine at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours to obtain $N$-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine 3.31 in 69\% yield (Lan et al. conditions). ${ }^{477}$
iii. By using microwave irradiation, from the addition of the aniline 3.4a on imidazopyridine in acetonitrile at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 40 min to obtain 3.33 in $24 \%$ (Lukasik et al. conditions). ${ }^{478}$

At last, in the case of phenylsulfinyl, D'Sidocky et al. described a method from a substituted imidazole 3.34 and primary amine 3.35, using a strong base, $n$-BuLi, in dioxane/hexane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min to isolate 3.36 in $40 \%$ yield. ${ }^{479}$

## Aza-Hantsch reaction: Laufer et al. conditions


$\underline{S}_{\underline{N}} \underline{A r}$ reaction on methvlsulfane: Li et al. conditions

$\underline{S}_{\underline{N}} \operatorname{Ar}^{\text {reaction on methylsulfonvl: }}$


## Lan et al. conditions



## Lukasik et al. conditions





Scheme 3-8: State of the art for pathway A2.

### 3.1.2.2 Methodological study

Firstly, the methylation of thiourea 3.37 was performed with iodomethane in refluxing methanol ${ }^{480}$, leading quantitatively to 3.22, $98 \%$ (Table 3-5). The second step of the aza-Hantzsch reaction to isolate
thiomethyl imidazolepyridine 3.21, never characterized before, was based on the work of Laufer et al. of $2017 .{ }^{474}$ We replaced 4 -fluoro phenyl 3.21b with pyridine ring to isolate $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ a in $19 \%$. We tried to optimize these conditions by taking the first condition of Laufer et al. ${ }^{473}$ with sodium bicarbonate in acetonitrile at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to isolate only $1 \%$ of 3.21a. However, changing the base with DIPEA at room temperature led to 21\% of 3.21a.

Table 3-5: Screening conditions for aza-Hantzsch reaction in pathway A2.



| entry | Base | Solvent | $\mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Reaction time (h) | Yield ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (3eq.) | $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 80 | 1.5 | $19 \%$ yield |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (3eq.) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 75 | 16 | $1 \%$ yield |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | DIPEA (4eq.) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | r.t. | 1.5 | $21 \%$ yield |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | EtOH | r.t. to 80 | 48 | n.d. (mixture of 3.21a and <br> side product) |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | DBU | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | r.t. | 1.5 | n.d. (mixture of 3.21a and <br> side product) |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | - | Pyridine | 50 |  | n.c. |

${ }^{\text {a Reaction }}$ and conditions: 3.22 ( 1.0 mmol ), 3.1b ( 1.0 mmol ), bases ( 3.0 mmol ), solvent ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reaction followed by LCMS (D.A.D.). n.d.: not determined. n.c.: no conversion.

First, following Li et al. protocol ${ }^{475}$ aromatic nucleophilic substitution using thiomethyl as leaving group was tried with 3.21a at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in isopropanol or in aniline 3.4a as solvent, without observing conversion (Table 3-6, entries 1-2). The same result had been noted based on an adapted procedure from D'Sidocky et al., ${ }^{479}$ using $n$-BuLi in dioxane (entry 3).

Secondly, we attempted to oxidize the sulphur atom to sulfone to create a better leaving group. A similar protocol of Lan et al. or Lankasik et al. with a methylsulfonyl group was attempted. ${ }^{477,478}$ To that end, two sulfur oxidation conditions were tested: (i) using m-CPBA in dichloromethane, that lead to
the detection of mono-oxidized and di-oxidized products and, (ii) using 2 equivalents of hydrogen peroxide in glacial acetic acid, which shows after two days 55\% mono-oxidized product 3.38 and 42\% di-oxidized product 3.39; after five days $88 \%$ of di-oxidized 3.39 has been observed by LCMS. It is believed that the sulfoxide oxidation to sulfone is more difficult to achieve, which could explain the easy access to sulfoxide. This last method allows obtaining $36 \%$ yield of the di-oxidized product 3.39. This product 3.39 is then used following the same methods of D'Sidocky et al. ${ }^{479}$ with $n$-BuLi in dioxane but, only traces of the product 2.1a were then observed. We hypothesized that the second oxidation carried out not on sulphur to reach 3-(2-(methylsulfonyl)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pyridine 3.39 but could have been on the nitrogen of the pyridine to lead 3-(2-(methylsulfinyl)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pyridine 1oxide 3.40 (entry 4 ).

Table 3-6: Screening conditions for $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction in pathway A 2.



| entry | Base | Solvent | $\mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Reaction time (h) | Conversion $^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Yield), \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - | iPrOH | 80 | 24 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | - | - | $80-120$ | 24 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ | Dioxane | 0 to r.t. | 48 | n.c. |


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction and conditions: 3.21 a or 3.39 ( 1.0 mmol ), 3.4a ( 1.8 mmol ), bases ( 1.8 mmol ), solvent ( 2 mL ). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculate by the ratio between products and reagents 3.21a or 3.39. Reaction followed by LCMS (D.A.D.). n.d.: not determined. n.c.: no conversion.

Finally, due to unsatisfactory results, pathway A2 was abandoned to concentrate our efforts on pathway 3 to reach our pharmacophore.

### 3.1.3 A3: Pyrimidinium pattern pathway

The last pathway relies on the use of a pyrimidinium salt 3.41, a protected aminoimidazole pattern. After cleavage and rearrangement, the desired substituted aminoimidazole $\mathbf{2 . 1 e}$ can be reached. The straightforward synthesis starts with the $S_{N} A r$ of chloropyrimidine 3.43 by aniline $\mathbf{3 . 4 a}$ to form aminopyrimidine 3.42 in order to introduce our aryl on extra-cyclic nitrogen of imidazole. Then, a cyclocondensation has been achieved with bromoacetophenone 3.1a to form 2-hydroxy-1,2-diphenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazo [1,2-a] pyrimidin-4-ium bromide salt 3.41, a key intermediate and allowing the second aryl introduction. The last step is the nucleophilic addition of hydrazine, followed by the cleavage of a pyrimidinium salt leading to the $N^{2}$-substituted aminoimidazole product $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ (Scheme 39). ${ }^{481}$


Scheme 3-9: Retrosynthesis from chloro-pyrimidine starting.

### 3.1.3.1 Literature survey

The $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ first step was widely developed, foremost in 2011 by Delvos et al. ${ }^{482}$ with the use of an otolylzinc (II) agent bromide 3.44 in acetonitrile for 5 hours at room temperature with $87 \%$ yield. Then, methods based on the Buchwald-Hartwig amination gave 3.42 in $44 \%$ yield (developed by the Hao et al. ${ }^{483}$ in 2012 and $88 \%$ yield in 2014 by Shaw et al. ${ }^{484}$ ). Another simple method was developed under acetic acid and dioxane conditions in 2014 by Huang et al. ${ }^{485}$ at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 23 hours in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 3-10).

The pyrimidinium salt formation 3.41, is based on the publication of Steenackers et al., ${ }^{481}$ which has shown two pathways to isolate intra-cyclic substituted aminoimidazole $\mathbf{3 . 4 7}$ or extra-cyclic substituted aminoimidazole 3.49. These pathways dependent on the hydroxy group at position 2 of the salt, obtained or not thanks to temperature changes in a microwave $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to keep it and $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to not). The last nucleophilic addition of hydrazine is similar in both cases but, the elimination of water allowed the rearrangement to isolate $N^{2}$-substituted aminoimidazole 3.49 (Scheme 3-10, yield not disclosed).
Huang et al. conditions

Steenackers et al. conditions


Scheme 3-10: State of the art of A3 pathway.

### 3.1.3.2 Synthesis



Scheme 3-11: Synthesis of N,4-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine 2.1e. n.i.: not isolated.

The Huang et al. conditions ${ }^{485}$ were reproduced for chlorine substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ ) with aniline in total conversion for 3 hours leading after purification to 3.42 in $54 \%$ yield. Then, the next cyclisation was performed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes by microwave irradiation following the Steenackers et al. ${ }^{481}$ protocol allowing to isolate 2 -hydroxy pyrimidinium 3.41. In the case of bromoacetophenone 3.1a, product 3.41a was easily recovered by filtration of the precipitate appearing upon cooling down of the reaction mixture. Unfortunately, no conversion to the desired product 3.41b was observed in the case of pyridyl-bromoacetophenone 3.1b, limiting the reproducibility of this reaction for the pyridine group in N -2-aminoimidazole 2.1a. 3.41a was directly mixed with hydrazine hydrate in ethanol at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight to obtain our desired amino imidazole of series A 2.1e (Scheme 3-11).

The mechanism of this last step corresponds to the nucleophilic addition of hydrazine on electrophilic carbon in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ position of the positive nitrogen of 3.41a, depleted in electrons by the presence of this positive nitrogen. The second free nucleophilic amine of hydrazine attacks another electrophilic carbon to eliminate $1-H$-pyrazole 3.52. In this way, the unprotected aminoimidazole will undergo a rearrangement similarly to Dimroth rearrangement by water elimination to isolate $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ (Scheme 312).


Scheme 3-12: Mechanism of pyrimidinium salt 3.41a cleavage.

Finally, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis allowed to confirm the isolation of extra-cyclic nitrogen substituted aminoimidazole 2.1e and not its intra-cyclic nitrogen substituted regioisomer 3.8d such as in pathway A1. Indeed, the nitrogen N-1 of imidazole was observed at 10.85 ppm (in pink, Figure 3-3) and the extra-cyclic nitrogen $N^{2}$ linked to phenyl at 8.74 ppm (in green, Figure $3-3$ ). This result is in contradiction to Capua et al. publication ${ }^{461}$ which explained that both intra- and extra-cyclic nitrogen were exchangeable in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 4.90 ppm .


Figure 3-3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathrm{N}, 4$-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine 2.1e.

To summarize, thanks to isolation and NMR analysis in paths A1 and A3, both regioisomers intra-cyclic nitrogen substituted imidazole 3.8a-d and extra-cyclic nitrogen substituted imidazole 2.1e have been identified. Unfortunately, pathway A3 was not applicable for pyridyl derivative of 2.1a.

### 3.2 1-benžl-1H-Imidazol-2-Amine synthesis (SERIES B)



Figure 3-4: Compounds of series B and main retrosynthesis disconnections.

The introduction of benzyl group on the intra-cyclic nitrogen of 1 H -imidazol-2-amine substituted by an aryl allow to reach the pharmacophore via the compound $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ (Figure 3-4). This series of 1-benzyl-2aminoimidazole starting from benzylamines has been designed to increase the flexibility of the amino imidazole and potentially increase the water solubility properties. Three pathways have been attempted: (i) aza-Hantzsch reaction from benzylguanidine $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ intermediate (B1 pathway), (ii) through 2-oxo-2-(pyridin-3-yl)acetaldehyde 3.57, product of Riley's oxidation, to undergo cyclocondensation and benzylation steps (B2 pathway), and (iii) through $1 H$-imidazo pyrimidinium $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ key intermediate allowing the imidazole liberation by hydrazine addition similar to A3 pathway (B3 pathway, Figure 3-4).

### 3.2.1 B1: Aza-Hantzsch pathway

The formation of these products would be carried out in two steps, reproducing the path A1 strategy. First, the formation of benzylguanidine 3.56 is synthesized, then, this intermediate is cyclized through an aza-Hantzsch reaction with a 2-bromo-1- (pyridin-3-yl) ethan-1-one 3.1b (Scheme 3-13).


Scheme 3-13: Retrosynthesis of pathway B1.

### 3.2.1.1 Literature survey

The first step consists on the guanylation of benzylamines 3.60 from the 3,5-dimethylpyrazole guanidine salt 3.7a-b. Three methods have been tested: (i) in methanol at room temperature for 12 hours to isolate $100 \%$ yield (conditions already used in path $A 1^{469,486}$ ), (ii) in basic medium with
triethylamine insertion at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in thermic ( $98 \%$ yield), and (iii) triethylamine insertion at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in microwave activation ( $67 \%$ yield) $)^{487}$ (Table 3-7).

Table 3-7: State of the art of benzylamine guanylation.


This type of molecule $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ has been already described with a phenyl ring or pyridine ring $\mathbf{2 . 2 c}$ in position C-4 of the imidazole. ${ }^{488,489}$ This method starts by an aza-Hantzsch reaction to form $N$-( 1 H -imidazol-2yl )acetamide 3.63, followed by the insertion of a benzyl group in position $\mathrm{N}-1$, and by the final elimination of an acetamide group. Another method consists in introducing the benzyl group by nucleophilic substitution of bromoacetophenone 3.1a by benzylamine 3.60, followed by nucleophilic addition of amine over the carbon of cyano group leading to aminoimidazole 2.2 (Figure 3-14, yield not disclosed).

$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$, alkyl, $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, aryl
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{OMe}, 2,6-\mathrm{diOMe}, 2-\mathrm{Cl},-6-\mathrm{OMe}, 2,6-\mathrm{diF}$


Scheme 3-14: State of the art of pathway B1: Amberg et al. conditions. ${ }^{489}$

### 3.2.1.2 Methodological study

Two conditions were explored to synthesize benzyl guanidine 3.56: (i) first, the Scott et al. condition ${ }^{469}$ consists in an amidine transfer using 10.0 equivalents of benzylamine 3.60a in 3,5-dimethylpyrazole guanidine nitrate $\mathbf{3 . 7 a}$ at reflux to isolate product $\mathbf{3 . 5 6 a}$ in $93 \%$ yield and, (ii) second, Castillo-Meléndez et al. ${ }^{486}$ conditions, which proceeds in methanol at room temperature for 60 hours to reach $\mathbf{3 . 5 6 a}$ in $84 \%$ yield.

Various conditions were tested to obtain our desired product $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ (aza-Hantzsch reaction). According to the Mahboobi et al. conditions ${ }^{460}$, potassium hydroxide was added to benzyl guanidine nitrate $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ in ethanol and mixed for 30 minutes at room temperature before addition of triethylamine at reflux in pyridyl-bromoacetophenone 3.1b. Similarly, conditions with potassium carbonate in DMF did not allow the conversion. Finally, using 5.5 equivalents of triethylamine in dioxane at room temperature, only freebase form of benzyl guanidine $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ and pyridyl-bromoacetophenone 3.1b or bromoacetophenone 3.1a were detected in LCMS (Table 3-8).

Table 3-8: Conditions screening for the aza-Hantzsch reaction.


| entry | $\mathbf{Y}$ | Base 1 | Base 2 | Solvent | $\mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Reaction <br> time (h) | Conversion <br> (Yield), \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | N | KOH | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2 \mathrm{eq})$. | EtOH | 80 | 16 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | N | KOH | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3$ eq. $)$ | DMF | reflux | 16 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | N | - | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.5$ eq. $)$ | Dioxane | r.t. | 36 | n.c. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | CH | - | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.5$ eq.) | Dioxane | r.t. | 36 | n.c. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction and conditions: 3.56 a ( 1.0 mmol ), 3.1 ( 1.0 mmol ), bases ( 3.0 or 5.5 mmol ), solvent ( 2 mL ).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculate by the ratio between products and reagent 3.56a. Reaction followed by LCMS (D.A.D.). n.c.: no conversion.

### 3.2.2 B2: Riley oxidation pathway

The Riley oxidation pathway allow the oxidation of 1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one 3.5b to form 3.57, 2-oxo-2-(pyridin-3-yl)acetaldehyde. Then, a cyclocondensation ${ }^{490}$ with guanidine 3.58 , followed by benzylation with benzyl chloride 3.64a could lead to the desired product 2.2b (Scheme 3-15).


Scheme 3-15: Retrosynthesis of pathway B2.

Riley oxidation was performed according to the procedure patented by Congreve et al. in 2011 ${ }^{491}$, and involving the use of selenium dioxide in a mixture of water/dioxane: $1 / 33$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours, followed by the addition of water and heating at reflux for 12 hours. According to this, we observed after the complete dissolution of selenium dioxide, a red coloration of the solution. Water was added at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the disappearance of 1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one 3.5b (Scheme 3-16).


Scheme 3-16: Riley oxidation.

Selenium dioxide oxidizes a carbon in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ position of a carbonyle. This proceeds by attack of the enol 3.68 to the selenium atom, followed by hydrolysis. Selenium is reduced to selenium zero, and it precipitates as a black solid and can be therefore removed by filtration (Scheme 3-17). However, we observed a mixture of two oxidation products that can correspond to 3.57 and 3.67 in proportion 2.5:1 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.



Scheme 3-17: Mechanism of Riley oxidation.

### 3.2.3 B3: Pyrimidinium pathway

To reproduce the A3 path, we choose to develop the protected imidazole in the form of pyrimidinium salt 3.59 by cyclocondensation of pyrimidin-2-amine 3.73 and bromoacetophenone 3.1. Then protecting group was removed releasing $1-H$-pyrazole 3.52 and 2 -aminoimidazole 3.66. The last benzylation step leads to molecule 2.2, which is our pharmacophore (Scheme 3-18).


Scheme 3-18: Retrosynthesis of pathway B3.

### 3.2.3.1 Literature survey

Zidar et al. developed a synthesis using 3-nitroacetophenone 3.1d and pyrimidin-2-amine 3.73 to isolate 3.59c in $66 \%$ yield. Then this intermediate was cyclized in 3.66 c in $90 \%$ yield and the final benzylation, using benzyl bromide 3.74, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in acetonitrile at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 d}$ in $44 \%$ yield (Figure 3-19). ${ }^{492,493}$ Another benzylation method was described by Amberg et al. using benzyl chloride 3.64, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMF at room temperature for 18 hours (yield not disclosed) ${ }^{489}$ and will be explored widely in chapter 4.

A) $3.74, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}, 44 \%$ yield
B) $3.64, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ DMF, r.t., 18 h , yield not disclosed

Scheme 3-19: State of the art of pathway B3. ${ }^{492,493}$

### 3.2.3.2 Methodological study

The exploration of this pathway was performed for $N^{3}$-pyridine ring in C-2 of imidazopyrimidinium 3.59b. The reaction was first assayed overnight in acetone at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - but these condition doesn't led to the expected 2-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-ium bromide 3.59b. In a recent paper of 2019, ${ }^{494}$ an example of this pyrimidinium salt formation with $N^{3}$-pyridine ring in C-2 of imidazopyrimidinium has been described in ethanol at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours in only $4 \%$ yield. Conversely, with phenyl ring, the cyclisation occurs when using a bromoacetophenone 3.1a and afforded the expected product 3.59a in 64\% yield.

Next, 3.59a was treated with $40 \%$ of hydrazine hydrate at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes under microwave activation to obtain a mixture of product $\mathbf{3 . 6 6 b}$ and side product, expected to be 2-diazenyl-4-phenyl$2 H$-imidazol-2-amine 3.75 (Scheme 3-20 after purification ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then $9 / 1$ )). Indeed, LCMS shows two mass compounds: the product 3.66b and the supposed side-product 3.75. No investigation was performed and the pathway B3 was abandoned.



Mixture of 3.66b and 3.75, 18\% yield

Scheme 3-20: Our work in pathway B3.

To conclude, we observed that with a phenyl ring, the desired extra-cyclic substituted 2aminoimidazole 2.1e was obtained in $28 \%$ yield after 3 steps (pathway A3). Unfortunately, imidazole carrying the pyridyl ring could not be obtained with our desired regioisomer for series $A$. We have also demonstrated that starting from an aryl guanidine by an aza-Hantzsch reaction, the regioisomer intra-
cyclic nitrogen substituted 2-aminoimidazole 3.8a-d will be favored compared to the extra-cyclic nitrogen substituted 2.1.

Regarding series $B$, the imidazole precursor is also difficult to form, and unsatisfactory results have been realized. These pathways were then discarded in favour of the development of the triazoles series in order to get the pharmacophore.

Chapter 4. Synthesis of the triazole series

Two series of triazole derivatives have been developed: series C encompasses a phenyl ring linked to the extra-cyclic nitrogen of the 2-amino-1,2,4-triazole core, whereas series D (encompasses a benzyl substituent linked to the intra-cyclic nitrogen of the 2-amino-1,2,4-triazole core.

## 4.1 $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ Ar approach (Series C \& D)

We first aimed to adapt the Li et al. conditions ${ }^{475}$ described in pathway A 2 (Chapter 3 ) for the substitution of a thiomethylimidazole 3.21 by aniline $\mathbf{3 . 4 a}$ to the $\mathrm{S}_{N} \mathrm{Ar}$ on a 3-thiomethyltriazole 4.1. Therefore, various conditions have been tested for the substitution of 5 -(methylthio)-1,2,4-triazolidine-3-amine (MeST) 4.1 with aniline 3.4a (Table 4-1). In neat conditions, a minimum of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was necessary to obtain the product 4.2 (entry 5), which is quite unstable (degradation begins after 1 day). To speed up the reaction, microwave activation was applied, leading to $21 \%$ conversion of 4.2 and the apparition of a side product: 4.3 (9\%) (entry 6). Besides, this unexpected product represents an interesting precursor of the series C . However, the increase of the temperature to $155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to worst conversion rates ( $15 \%$ and $6 \%$, entry 7 ), and at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only degradation was observed (entry 8 ). Likewise, the increase of the number of equivalents of the aniline 3.4a led to only 4\% of 4.2 and $2 \%$ of 4.3 (entry 9). Moreover, no conversion was observed in dioxane, DMF, or acid medium, even at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 10-13).

Table 4-1: Conditions for the synthesis of precursors C and D.


| Entry | Eq. 4.1:3.4a | Conditions | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Reaction time ( h ) | Conversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| 1 | 1:5 | Neat | 100-130 | 24 | n.c. |  |  |
| 2 | 1:5 | Solvent-free, KF (2.5 eq.) | 100-130 | 24-120 | n.c. |  |  |
| 3 | 1:5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (5.0 eq.), <br> Solvent-free | 100-130 | 24-48 | n.c. |  |  |
| 4 | 1:2 | EtOH | 140 (MW) | 1.30 | n.c. |  |  |
| 5 | 1:1 | Solvent free | 150 | 16 | 84 | 16 | - |
| 6 | 1:1 | Solvent free | 150 (MW) | 1 | 70 | 21 | 9 |
| 7 | 1:1 | Solvent free | 155 (MW) | 1 | 79 | 15 | 6 |
| 8 | 1:1 | Solvent-free | 170 (MW) | 15 min. | deg | ation |  |
| 9 | 1:5 | Solvent free | 150 (MW) | 1 | 94 | 4 | 2 |
| 10 | 1:1 | Dioxane | 150 (MW) | 1 | n.c. |  |  |
| 11 | 1:1 | DMF | 150 (MW) | 1 | n.c. |  |  |
| 12 | 1:1 | AcOH | 150 | 24 |  |  | $s^{\prime}$ |
| 13 | 1:1 | HCl in dioxane (1.0 eq.) | 100-120 | 24 | n.c. |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculate by the ratio between products 4.2 or 4.3 and reagent 4.1. Reaction followed by LCMS (D.A.D.). MW: microwave reaction. n.c.: no conversion.

We propose that at high temperature, two nucleophilic aromatic substitutions are possible via (i) substitution of thiomethyl group by aniline 3.4a as already described (in orange, Scheme 4-1) and (ii) substitution of the amino group at position 3 of the triazole (in purple, Scheme 4-1). In general, this substitution was described with halogen as a leaving group. ${ }^{495}$ Thiomethyl group seems to react slower.


Scheme 4-1: Mechanism and supposed reaction to obtain series C \& D.

Face to these problems, we moved to the synthesis of series $D$.

### 4.2 1-benZyL- ${ }^{3}$ - $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,4-$ TRIAZOLe-3,5-diAmine (SERIES D)



Figure 4-1: SAR prediction of series D.

Series $D$ constitutes the main family of compounds of this Ph.D. thesis. These compounds consist of a triazole core substituted with
i) a benzyl substituent at N-1, which introduces flexibility to the molecule. This benzyl group was further substituted with various groups in series $D_{1}$;
ii) an aryl group linked to the amine on the position 3 of the triazole ring, which modulated by the addition of different substituents in series $D_{2}$.

The preparation of these two subseries aims to decipher the SAR around our pharmacophore (Figure 4-1).

To synthesize the benzyl-1,2,4-triazole 2.4, three steps were needed. A first condensation step of dimethyl cyanodithioimidocarbonate 4.5 with aniline $\mathbf{3 . 4 a}$, $\mathbf{h}$-i, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}$ or aminopyridine $\mathbf{3 . 4 j}$-m resulted in the formation of imidates 4.6. The resulting compounds were cyclized into the corresponding 5-amino$1 H$-[1,2,4]-triazole derivatives 4.2 in the presence of hydrazine hydrate in ethanol under reflux. Finally, the benzylation step was performed with benzyl chloride $\mathbf{3 . 6 4}$ or benzyl bromide $\mathbf{3 . 7 4}$ in the presence of a base at room temperature (Scheme 4-2), resulting in the desired compounds.

It is to note that all molecules that were evaluated in biological assays are named "MCK" compounds followed by a number for this thesis.


Scheme 4-2: Retrosynthesis of triazole series.

### 4.2.1 1 1H 1,2,4-triazole intermediates

### 4.2.1.1 Literature survey

The desired $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine MCK243 has been already described and synthesized in two steps (Scheme 4-3) ${ }^{496-499}$. After condensation of dimethyl cyanocarbonimidodithioate 4.5 with aniline 3.4 , the reaction mixture is treated with hydrazine to perform the triazole cyclization.

This first step has been already described for the $N^{4}$-pyridine ring 4.6b and $N^{3}$-pyridine ring 4.6c using sodium hydrate, with or without DMAP, in DMF to obtain product 4.6b-c in good yield ( $84 \%$ in both cases $)^{500-503}$. The cyclization was described for $N^{3}$-pyridine ring by the treatment of hydrazine with $70 \%$ yield ${ }^{499}$ for MCK245 with the phenyl group, but it has not been described for $N^{4}$-pyridine ring MCK244 (Scheme 4-3).


A: EtOH, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$B_{1}: \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{DMF}$, r.t.; $\mathrm{B}_{2}: \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$, r.t.
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}: \mathbf{4 . 6 a} 71-85 \%$ yield (step 1, method A), MCK243 92\% yield (step 2)
$Y=N^{4}: \mathbf{4 . 6 b} 84 \%$ yield (step 1, method $B_{1}$ ), 4.6b 67\% yield (step 1, method $B_{2}$ ), (step 2 not described)
$Y=N^{3}: \mathbf{4 . 6 c} 84 \%$ yield (step 1, method $B_{1}$ ), 4.6c 64\% yield (step 1, method $B_{2}$ ), MCK245 70\% yield (step 2)

Scheme 4-3: 1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine synthesis described in the literature.

### 4.2.1.2 Synthesis

Starting from dimethyl cyanocarbonimidodithioate 4.5 and the substituted-aniline 3.4 in ethanol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as described in the literature, ${ }^{497}$ the carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N$-phenyl-methyl ester intermediate 4.6a was obtained with $60 \%$ yield. A cyclization step with hydrazine hydrate allowed to reach the product MCK243 with 96\% yield. A series of 1H,1,2,4-triazoles MCK265-266/285-287/302304 was synthesized from substituted anilines $\mathbf{3 . 4 n - r}$ with yields in the range of $24-69 \%$ over two steps after cyclization.

From the pyridyl group, 1H-1,2,4-triazoles MCK244-247 were obtained as described in table 4-2, with sodium hydride, with or without DMAP, in DMF or THF for the first step. In THF the product precipitate before its reprotonation via $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ treatment. Sodium hydride is required to obtain $4.6 \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{e}$, as the nitrosubstituted and ester-substituted compounds $\mathbf{4 . 6 k - m}$. A reaction test for $N^{2}$-pyridine $\mathbf{4 . 6 d}$ allowed to show that the presence of DMAP decreases the reaction time, but no significant difference in reaction yield was observed.

All these molecules were used in the last benzylation step without needing purifications, except MCK246. Moreover, after hydrolysis by LiOH in water/THF 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3yl)amino)benzoic acid MCK305 was obtained in 26\% yield.

Table 4-2: Two-step synthesis of 1H-1,2,4-triazole formation MCK243-247/265-266/285-287/302-305.


| R | Step $1^{\text {a }}$ | Step $2^{\text {a }}$ | R | Step $1^{\text {a }}$ | Step $2^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.6a (60\%) | MCK243 (96\%) |  | 4.6h (48\%) | MCK285 (94\%) |
|  | 4.6b (39\%) | MCK244 (83\%) |  | 4.6i (44\%) | MCK286 (91\%) |
|  | 4.6c (64\%) | MCK245 (73\%) |  | 4.6j (59\%) | MCK287 (96\%) |
|  | 4.6d (41\%) | MCK246 (36\%) |  | 4.6k (16\%) | MCK302 (78\%) |
|  | 4.6e (72\%) | MCK247 (90\%) |  | 4.61 (72\%) | MCK303 (90\%) |
|  | 4.6f (24\%) | MCK265 (99\%) |  | 4.6 m (n.i.) | МСК304 (91\% over 2 steps) |
|  | 4.6g (73\%) | MCK266 (95\%) |  | - | MCK305 (26\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yields obtained are indicated in brackets. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The product was obtained by hydrolysis. n.i.: not isolated.

Moreover, in the case of $N^{2}$-pyridine MCK246, a purification was needed after the cyclization step due to another product formed, which explains the lower yield (36\%). A hypothesis could be either a lack of reactivity of intermediate 4.7 to cyclize or an undesired cyclization in 4.8, 4-diazenyl-2-(methylthio)-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrido[1,2-a][1,3,5]triazin-4-amine (Figure 4-4). But further analysis is necessary to confirm their structures.


Scheme 4-4: Mechanism of hypothetic formation of 4.7 methyl- $N$ '-(hydrazinyl(imino)methyl)- $N$ -(pyridin-2-yl)carbamimidothioate or 4.84-diazenyl-2-(methylthio)-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrido[1,2a] [1,3,5]triazin-4-amine.

### 4.2.2 Benzyl-1,2,4-triazole: First results

To reach our predicted pharmacophore, a benzylation step is required at intra-cyclic nitrogen in position N-1.

### 4.2.2.1 Literature survey

The benzylation at position 1 of a 1,2,4-triazole was described only once in the literature, starting from 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)-amino) benzenesulfonamide 4.9 and 2-(bromomethyl)-1,3difluorobenzene 3.74b with cesium carbonate in DMF at room temperature to obtain 4.10 with $12 \%$ yield (Scheme 4-5). ${ }^{504}$


Scheme 4-5: 4-((5-amino-1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide 4.10 formation.

A benzoylation step to obtain a similar compound with carbonyl in the place of methylene group has been described by Malerich et al.. ${ }^{505}$ This reaction was performed in pyridine at room temperature for

6 hours, affording two regioisomers 4.13 with $65 \%$ yield and 4.14 with $10 \%$ yield. In this work, the separation of these two products by silica gel flash chromatography was very challenging.


Scheme 4-6: Example of the Malerich group`s conditions.

### 4.2.2.2 Synthetic approach to identify the preferred aryl group of the molecule

To obtain 2.4, the first reactions were performed according to the protocol described in the literature (item 4.2.2.1) using benzyl chloride 3.64 with cesium carbonate in DMF. This afforded a mixture of two regioisomers 2.4 and 4.15, in variable ratios with conversion rates ranging between $40 \%$ and $53 \%$. This reaction has been tested with four substrates: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, 2-\mathrm{pyr}, 3-\mathrm{pyr}$ and 4-pyr (Table 4-3).

Table 4-3: Ratio of the regioisomers resultant from the benzylation step.


| $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Ratio regioisomer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Ratio regioisomer ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | 3:1 |  | H | 9:1 |
|  | 2-Me | 8:2 |  | H | 9:1 |
|  | 2,6-F | 3 :1 |  | H | 4:2 1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Determined by HPLC analysis.

In the case of a phenyl substituent in position 3 of the triazole (MCK243), three groups were introduced at $R^{2}$, leading to different ratios between the regioisomers in favor to the 1,3-isomer (3:1 for $R^{2}=H$ or 2,6-difluoro and 8:2 for 2-methyl). The separation of these regioisomers by silica gel flash chromatography was done with the eluent system $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ THF isocratic $1 / 1$. The major products were isolated with 13-30\% yield for major isomers MCK249-250, MCK256, and 5-7\% yield for minor isomers MCK248/MCK257 (Scheme 4-2). This fairly low isolated yield could be explained by a poor chromatography separation efficiency, resulting in a fraction mixture of 2.4 and 4.15 , but also the presence of 4.2 as well as the dibenzylated product 4.16.

The pyridine-substituted triazoles MCK244-246 showed a better conversion towards the major regioisomer (ratio 9:1), except for $N^{2}$-pyridine. The separation method was performed by silica gel flash chromatography with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1$ as eluent. But the major regioisomers from MCK253-255 led to low isolated yield (2-16\%), and only traces of the minor regioisomer MCK252 was observed. Moreover, MCK255 was obtained after 2 hours with 2\% yield but, prolonging the reaction to 3 days, a nitrogen substitution of pyridine ring MCK251 was noted with $26 \%$ yield.


Figure 4-2: Scope of benzyl derivatives MCK248-257.

### 4.2.2.3 Chemical characterization

### 4.2.2.3.1 NMR and HPLC

The characterization of the regioisomers MCK256 and MCK257 was carried out using NMR and HPLC analyses. We observed a difference in the chemical shift of the amino group in position 3 in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, with a signal at 6 ppm for the major product MCK256, but at 5 ppm for the minor product MCK257. Through NOESY analysis, a significant correlation between the amino group in position 3 and the methylene of the benzyl is observed for the major product MCK256 (Figure 4-3). Similarly, we observed a correlation between the NH at position 3 and the methylene of the benzyl for MCK257 (Figure 4-3). The peaks are closed to each other in HPLC but not overlapping, allowing us to distinguish both regioisomers: $t_{R}$ : 8.22 min for major MCK256 and $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : 7.68 min for minor MCK257.



Figure 4-3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and NOESY spectra supporting the characterization of the regioisomers MCK256 and MCK257.

### 4.2.2.3.2 Crystallization studies

The two regioisomers MCK256 and MCK257 were crystallized to confirm their structure. Multiple solvent systems were tested: methanol in pentane, toluene or ether, which didn't lead to the desired crystals. The same result was observed with ethanol in pentane or only DMSO but, ethyl acetate in pentane gave great crystals (Figure 4-4). MCK256 and MCK257 crystals confirmed the identification of the major $(1,3)$ and the minor $(1,5)$ regioisomers.



MCK256
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Figure 4-4: X-ray crystal structure of MCK256 and MCK257 showing the major and minor regioisomers.

### 4.2.2.3.3 Stability assay

The stability in solutions at different pHs was studied to correlate with the pH of the different compartments of the body. For this study, MCK256 was chosen as a model and showed to be stable at acidic, physiologic, and basic conditions ( $\mathrm{pH} 0.9,7.4,8.4$, respectively) after 14 days in the corresponding aqueous buffers ( $\mathrm{C}=1,7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) with $5 \%$ DMSO (Figure 4-5). HPLC analysis showed no decomposition peak, but the decrease in the peak intensity might be related to the precipitation of the compound in the respective aqueous solutions.



Figure 4-5: Stability assay of MCK256 monitored by HPLC (CH1 $=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ and CH2 $=280 \mathrm{~nm})$ at $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ and after 14 days.

### 4.2.2.4 Biological evaluation

The biological assays were carried out by the Pagès team from the IRCAN in Nice. I had the chance to participate in the cytotoxicity assay to evaluate their viability but also, I observed how to perform
migration assay by Boyden chamber. At first, we studied the viability and anti-proliferative effect on several cell lines (keratinocytes cells; fibroblastes cells; carcinoma cells, RCC or TFE3; retinal cells, ARPE and endothelial cells, TIME) when cultured in the presence of different concentrations of our molecules. Second, to inhibit ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL-CXCR1/2 interaction so as to block exudative AMD. This group is specialized in angiogenesis, particularly in cancer, and some tests are performed over cancer cell lines. Our intermediate 1 H-1,2,4-triazole compounds MCK243-247 were also tested to understand if the benzyl group is necessary and which aryl group can show activity.

### 4.2.2.4.1 Cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity assays were performed to evaluate the viability of different cell lines when cultured in the presence of compounds (MCK243-256) at a simple dose (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Different lines were assayed:
i) in cancer cells (RCC), 786 and A498 to analyze the activity of MCK in carcinoma cancer and,
ii) in keratinocytes and fibroblasts cells to evaluate their viability and proliferation in healthy cells.

All the compounds were tested upon 48 h incubation and showed no significant effect. An exception for MCK250 has an anti-proliferative effect on healthy keratinocyte cells due to a proliferation's decrease than 50\%.


Figure 4-6: First cellular viability in carcinoma cells (RCC) and health cells (keratinocytes and fibroblastes). Values not released.

### 4.2.2.4.2 Migration assay (Boyden chamber)

The Boyden chamber assay allows to observe cell migrations. These migrations are stimulated by cytokines and can be modulated under the action of CXCR antagonists. Thus, a decrease in cell migration in the presence of our compounds means that the CXCR1/2 receptors are antagonized (functional tests). The migration assay was performed after 24 h over the carcinoma cancer cell line ( $786, \operatorname{RCC}$ ) with the cytokine CXCL8 for fourteen compounds MCK243-256. The reference molecule is the previously presented lead compound (MCK133), produced by the group, showing migration inhibition of more than $80 \%(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Two of the compounds MCK253 and MCK256 show a similar result with the same migration inhibition of more than $80 \%(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$.
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Figure 4-7: Migration test by Boyden chamber on 786 RCC cells after 24 h treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the indicated compounds relative to a DMSO control.

The benzene and $N^{2}$-pyridine ring seem to show an inhibition of CXCL8 and are the basis of our series $D_{1}$ for the following synthesis by varying the groups on the benzyl at different positions. Indeed, we can observe that unsubstituted benzyl MCK253 and 2,6-difluoro substituted benzyl MCK256 show similar results of inhibitions.

Unfortunately, the Boyden chamber assays could not be reproducible during the time of this thesis due to a technical problem.

### 4.2.3 Series $D_{1}$ : functionalization with different benzyl groups

### 4.2.3.1 Optimization of benzylation conditions and synthesis

A conditions screening was performed with $N^{3}$-pyridine-1H-1,2,4-triazole MCK245 and benzyl chloride 3.64a as a model substrate. First, was studied the solvent effects by using dioxane, acetonitrile, THF or water. In our hands, we observed the formation of the two expected regioisomers in DMF only
(conversion rate: 80\%). No reaction occurred obtained when using the other solvents (Table 4-4, entries 2-5). We also explored the nature of the base. In particular, we focused our interest on the nature of the counter cation by using various carbonate: cesium, potassium, or lithium. We do not observe conversion with lithium carbonate, whereas $10 \%$ conversion was obtained with potassium carbonate (Table 4-4, entries 8-9). Thus, the conversion is higher with cesium carbonate. Indeed, the attack of the lone pair of the triazole will be facilitated with the larger and softest cesium countercation. Moreover, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ increased solubility in DMF compared to potassium carbonate. We also studied the use of strong bases were, like potassium hydroxide or sodium hydride, which led to higher amounts of by-products. Finally, ${ }^{t}$ BuOK showed a good conversion of $90 \%$. Additional studies with some aryl modulations have been performed to compared $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ (Table 4-5).

Table 4-4: Screening condition for benzylation of $N^{3}$-pyridine $1 H$-1,2,4-triazole diamine.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | Solvent | Conversion ${ }^{\text {b }}$, \% | entry | Bases | Conv |  |
| Base: $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |  |  | Solvent: DMF |  |  |  |
| 1 | DMF | 80 | 1 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 80 |  |
| 2 | Dioxane | n.c. | 7 | - | n.c. |  |
| 3 | Acetonitrile | n.c. | 8 | $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | n.c. |  |
| 4 | THF or THF/DMF | n.c. | 9 | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 10 |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ DMF | n.c. | 10 | KOH | 70 |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | NaH | 80 |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ | 90 |  |

${ }^{\text {a Reagents }}$ and conditions: Bases (1.2 eq.), $N^{3}$-pyridinetriazole MCK245 (1.0 eq.), Benzyl chloride 3.64a (1.0 eq.); ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Global conversion from MCK245 into the mixture of regioisomers MCK254 and 4.15 observed by HPLC. n.c: no conversion.

In some case (e.g. phenyl ring as $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and hydrogen as $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ), these optimized conditions ( ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ in DMF) led to a significant improvement of the conversion rates. Furthermore, the ratio towards the major/minor isomers is higher, but not significant, towards MCK249 regioisomer with ${ }^{t}$ BuOK (9/1),
compared to $8 / 2$ with $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. In the case of $N^{2}$-pyridine, using this condition, the conversion was improved from 10\% up to 79\%; moreover, also reduces the reaction time from 16 h to 1-2 h. Besides, the conversion only leads to MCK253, allowing to increase the yield from 2\% to 37\%. Furthermore, by LCMS and HPLC studies, a side-product 4.16 corresponding to $N^{5}$-1-dibenzyl- $N^{3}$-(aryl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine has been observed.

The addition of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ as base allowed the direct deprotonation of the amine N-1 4.2. This can be predicted by calculation; ${ }^{3}$ the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{b}}$ values are 13.45 for $\mathrm{N}-1$ and 14.05 for $\mathrm{N}-5$ 4.2, and experimentally attested by a change in the color (from clear purple to deep red). Conversely, the use of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ induces slow reaction kinetics (coloration of the solution: deep purple). Finally, no deprotonation occurred with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (no color change due to the weakness of the base).

The benzylation step was performed by the dropwise addition of halobenzyl derivatives (3.64 or 3.74) into the deprotonated N-1 4.2. The corresponding reaction leads to a mixture of two regioisomers: MCK249 (major) and MCK248 (minor) (Table 4-5). These conditions in hand, we synthesized a series of derivatives with selected electro-withdrawing or electron-donating groups (halogen, methoxy, methyl, nitro, trifluoromethyl, or cyano) on the benzyl group. We also studied the modification of the methylene link by an ethylene or an ethan-1-one group. Hence, these derivatives were obtained from the two 1H,1,2,4-triazole intermediates MCK243 and MCK246. Besides, two different separation system conditions were noted.

Table 4-5: Conversion survey for the benzylation step.


[^3]| entry | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |  | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Conversion ${ }^{\text {b }}$, \% | Ratio <br> Maj./Min. | Conversion ${ }^{\text {b }}$, \% | Ratio <br> Maj./Min. |
| 1 |  | H | 44-69 | 8/2 | 68-74 | 9/1 |
| 2 |  | 2-Me | 45-56 | 8/2 | 78-80 | 9/1 |
| 3 |  | H | $10^{\text {c }}$ | 3/7 | 79 | 10/0 |
| 4 |  | H | 80 | n.d. | 90 | n.d. |

${ }^{\text {a Reagents }}$ and conditions: Bases (1.0-1.2 eq.), triazole intermediate 4.2 (1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{R}^{2}$-Benzyl chloride 3.64 ( 1.0 eq.) in DMF at r.t. for 2 h ; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Global conversion from 4.2 into the mixture of regioisomers 2.4


We usually observed conversion rates close to $80 \%$; however, the isolated yields in purified products were low because of difficult separation. We tried to optimize our separation condition to obtain preferentially 1,3 -substituted major regioisomer.

First, the separation method developed before was used (in 4.2.2 First results). This method consisted of using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ THF as eluent for chromatography to separate easily $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ phenyl ring and using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ for purifying $\mathrm{R}^{1} N^{2}$-pyridyl ring. However, even with these purification systems and with the optimized base ( ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ ), yields of pure products remain low ( $5-30 \%$ yield for major while $3-7 \%$ yield for minor). It has been noted that the yields are higher for the $N^{2}$-pyridyl subseries due to a higher conversion to our desired regioisomer 2.4, 20-56\% yield.

Next, we tested an improvement in the purification procedure base on a reverse-phase gel chromatography system with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as solvent (Table 4-6). After optimization steps, greater isolation of 1,3-substituted 2.4 was obtained for $R^{1}$ phenyl ring: from $7-22 \%$ to $30-50 \%$. Moreover, the global yields increased from $7-22 \%$ yield to $38-57 \%$. It is also to underline that in these conditions, we were able to isolate some minor compound, which was not possible before, as MCK338 and MCK339.

Table 4-6: Isolated yield of the optimization separation method.


| entry | R | Normal Phase ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}$ ) |  | Reverse phase ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maj. | Min. | Maj. | Min. |
| 1 | H | $14^{\text {a }}$ (MCK249) | $7{ }^{\text {a }}$ (MCK248) | $50^{\text {b }}$ (МСК249) | $7{ }^{\text {b }}$ (MCK248) |
| 2 | 2-Me | $13^{\text {a }}$ (MCK250) | n.i. | $46^{\text {b }}$ (MCK250) | n.i. |
| 3 | 4-isopropyl | $14^{\text {b }}$ (MCK263) | $3{ }^{\text {b }}$ (MCK262) | $28^{\text {b }}$ (MCK263) | $12^{\text {b }}$ (MCK262) |
| 4 | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ | $22^{\text {b }}$ (MCK264) | n.i. | $30^{\text {b }}$ (MCK264) | $10^{\text {b }}$ (MCK 339) |
| 5 | 4-Me | $7{ }^{\text {b }}$ (MCK271) | n.i. | $38^{\text {b }}$ (MCK271) | n.i. |
| 6 | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $15^{\text {b }}$ (MCK273) | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ (n.d.) | $41^{\text {b }}$ (МСК273) | $11^{\text {b }}$ (MCK 338) |

${ }^{\text {a Reagents }}$ and conditions: (a) MCK243 (1.0 eq.), R-benzyl chloride (1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.0 eq .) in DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t.; (b) MCK243 (1.0 eq.), R-benzyl chloride (1.0 eq.), ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ ( 1.0 eq.) in DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t.. n.i.: not isolated, n.d.: not described.

These various improvements enabled us to obtain 40 molecules for the first $D_{1}$ series in addition to the previous 10 optimized compounds (4.2.2 First results), summarized in table 4-7.

Besides, the low yields obtained are comparable to those reported in the literature with similar regioisomery problems. Indeed, we saw that the only molecule described, 4.10 had a yield of $12 \%^{504}$. Moreover, 1-benzyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole mono or di-amino substituted have a range of 14-36\% yield ${ }^{506,507}$.

Table 4-7: Series $D_{1}$ products.


| Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenyl series |  |  | Pyridine series |  |  |
| 1,3-substituted |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCK249 (50\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ph | benzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK253 } \\ & (37 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | benzyl |
| MCK250 (46\%) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ph | 2-methylbenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK254 } \\ & (16 \%)^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{3}$-pyridyl | benzyl |
| MCK256 (30\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ph | 2,6-difluorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK255 } \\ & (19 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{4}$-pyridyl | benzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK261 } \\ & \text { (8\%) }^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3-methoxybenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK258 } \\ & (45 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3-methoxybenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK263 } \\ & \text { (28\%) }^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 4-isopropylbenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK259 } \\ & (20 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 2,6-difluorobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK264 } \\ & (30 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3-bromobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK269 } \\ & \text { (53\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 2-methylbenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK267 } \\ & (21 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 4-benzonitrile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK270 } \\ & (56 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 4-isopropylbenzyl |
| MCK268 20\%) | Ph | 2,6-dichlorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK276 } \\ & (25 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3-bromobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK271 } \\ & (38 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 4-methylbenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK278 } \\ & (7 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 2,6-dichlorobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK272 } \\ & (18 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 4-chlorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK279 } \\ & (25 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 4-methylbenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK273 } \\ & (41 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3(trifluoromethyl)benzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK280 } \\ & (17 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 4-chlorobenzyl |
| MCK274 (5\%) | Ph | 3,5-difluorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK281 } \\ & (12 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 4-benzonitrile |
| MCK275 (8\%) | Ph | 3-nitrobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK282 } \\ & \text { (41\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3(trifluoromethyl)benzyl |
| MCK277 <br> (7\%) | Ph | 2,4-difluorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK283 } \\ & (29 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3,5-difluorobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK288 } \\ & \text { (5\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 4-nitrobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK284 } \\ & (46 \%)^{c} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 2,4-difluorobenzyl |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK297 } \\ & \text { (14\%) }^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 2-nitrobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK289 } \\ & (21 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 2-nitrobenzyl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK298 } \\ & (20 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK290 } \\ & (24 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 4-nitrobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK299 } \\ & (33 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | phenylethan-1-one | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK292 } \\ & (20 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3-nitrobenzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { МСК301 } \\ & (9 \%)^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | phenethyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK293 } \\ & (16 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl |
| 1,5-substituted |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK294 } \\ & \text { (15\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | phenylethan-1-one |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK248 } \\ & (7 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | benzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK295 } \\ & \text { (12\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | (3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1one |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK257 } \\ & \text { (5\%) }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 2,6-difluorobenzyl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK296 } \\ & (9 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | phenethyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK260 } \\ & (1 \%)^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3-methoxybenzyl | 1,5-substituted |  |  |
| MCK262 $(3 \%)^{\text {c }}$ | Ph | 4-isopropylbenzyl | MCK252 <br> (traces) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $N^{2}$-pyridyl | benzyl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { МСК300 } \\ & \text { (3\%) }^{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | phenethyl |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK338 } \\ & \text { (11\%) }^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3(trifluoromethyl)benzyl |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCK339 } \\ & (10 \%)^{d} \end{aligned}$ | Ph | 3-bromobenzyl |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yields are indicated in brackets. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ phenyl or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ pyridyl separation method. ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ phenyl or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ for $\mathrm{R}^{1} N$-pyridyl separation method. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ separation method.

### 4.2.3.2 Chemical characterization of fluoro compounds

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ of the 2,6-difluro and 3,5-difluoro compounds (MCK256 and MCK274) showed (Figure 4-8):

- a doublet of doublet for the two carbons substituted with both fluorine in $J^{1}$ and $J^{3}$ (in orange);
- a doublet of doublet for the two CH in $\beta$ position of fluor with $J^{2}$ and $J^{4}$ (in blue);
- a triplet for the quaternary carbon, respectively $J^{2}, J^{3}$ (in green);
- a triplet for the CH which couples with the fluorines, respectively $J^{3}$ and $J^{2}$ (in purple);
- also, for 2,6-difluoro MCK256, we can see a triplet for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, which sees the fluors in J ${ }^{4}$ (in grey).

These carbon analyses were confirmed by 2D NMR: HSQC, HMBC, proton decoupled from fluorine, carbon decoupled from fluorine, and carbon coupled to fluorine and the proton. In the latter, we could confirm the carbon equivalence. A doubt arises for the carbon carrying the proton, which in uncoupled
carbon does not show any link with fluorine except if we consider the frequency equal to 0 Hz . However, no correlation exists, of course, to 2.4-difluoro product MCK277 with five doublet of doublet and one triplet in a different position depending of the coupling constant between the carbon and fluorine. All the $J$ constants are reported with corresponding peak of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in Figure 4-8. Thanks to this study, the positions of the fluor were confirmed by fluorine-carbon couplings. Thus, allowed the most comprehensive analysis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR.




Figure 4-8: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (coupling of $F$, decoupling of $H$ ) data supporting to fluoro compounds MCK256, MCK274 and MCK277.

### 4.2.3.3 Biological evaluation

### 4.2.3.3.1 Cytotoxicity assay

We assessed the cytotoxicity of the newly synthesized compounds on ARPE cells to evaluate the biological effects of the benzyl group modulations. All the compounds were tested at $2.5,10$, and 25 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ upon 48 h incubation (Table 4-8). Above all, MCK250, MCK263-265, and MCK272-273 showed interesting results and have been selected to be also assayed at $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Then the cases of MCK263265 and MCK272-273 report a proliferation's decrease by more than $50 \%$, which represents significant anti-proliferative effects.

Table 4-8: Cell viability by XTT assays on ARPE cells after 48 hours of treatment at $2.5,10,25$, and 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ of the indicated compound compared to the DMSO control.

| Compounds | \% cell viability in ARPE cells |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| MCK133 | $99.0 \pm 0.0$ | $43.6 \pm 7.0$ | $54.4 \pm 0.0$ | $58.0 \pm 9.8$ |
| MCK140 | $25.4 \pm 5.5$ | $13.5 \pm 3.4$ | $4.6 \pm 3.9$ | $6.2 \pm 3.3$ |
| MCK243 | $118.9 \pm 2.9$ | $94.0 \pm 5.5$ | $106.9 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK244 | $121.8 \pm 3.5$ | $91.8 \pm 8.1$ | $90.1 \pm 5.5$ | n.d. |
| MCK245 | $114.7 \pm 7.4$ | $99.7 \pm 4.4$ | $115.0 \pm 5.4$ | n.d. |
| MCK246 | $116.7 \pm 4.4$ | $102.4 \pm 0.0$ | $108.1 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK247 | $74.3 \pm 7.2$ | $95.0 \pm 1.9$ | $93.4 \pm 5.4$ | n.d. |
| MCK248 | $74.2 \pm 7.4$ | $82.0 \pm 1.2$ | $70.2 \pm 2.7$ | n.d. |
| MCK249 | $82.1 \pm 2.9$ | $90.5 \pm 8.7$ | $66.6 \pm 1.9$ | n.d. |
| MCK250 | $101.8 \pm 4.1$ | $83.7 \pm 0.0$ | $77.7 \pm 0.0$ | $73.2 \pm 0.0$ |
| MCK251 | $107.6 \pm 7.4$ | $101.3 \pm 4.2$ | $116.8 \pm 5.2$ | n.d. |
| MCK252 | $101.6 \pm 3.5$ | $92.8 \pm 3.1$ | $86.8 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK253 | $132.8 \pm 0.0$ | $85.4 \pm 00$ | $117.5 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK254 | $134.7 \pm 5.2$ | $97.9 \pm 6.5$ | $91.7 \pm 2.8$ | n.d. |
| MCK255 | $133.7 \pm 7.2$ | $114.7 \pm 0.0$ | $100.9 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK256 | $124.5 \pm 3.1$ | $99.0 \pm 0.0$ | $108.0 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK257 | $92.8 \pm 1.9$ | $109.4 \pm 9.2$ | $103.1 \pm 4.1$ | n.d. |
| MCK258 | $100.3 \pm 5.5$ | $98.8 \pm 2.3$ | $101.8 \pm 3.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK259 | $105.8 \pm 9.8$ | $101.6 \pm 2.2$ | $113.0 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK260 | $103.9 \pm 0.0$ | $99.4 \pm 0.0$ | $97.3 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK261 | $109.4 \pm 6.4$ | $99.1 \pm 9.7$ | $93.9 \pm 2.6$ | n.d. |
| MCK262 | $128.3 \pm 5.7$ | $102.2 \pm 7.6$ | $108.7 \pm 4.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK263 | $123.5 \pm 0.0$ | $84.0 \pm 0.0$ | $58.3 \pm 4.8$ | $38.0 \pm 4.8$ |
| MCK264 | $118.0 \pm 0.0$ | $65.7 \pm 4.0$ | $79.2 \pm 1.5$ | $49.9 \pm 0.0$ |
| MCK265 | $116.0 \pm 7.3$ | $54.5 \pm 8.3$ | $50.3 \pm 9.6$ | $32.6 \pm 6.8$ |
| MCK266 | $132.1 \pm 0.0$ | $96.0 \pm 0.0$ | $126.8 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK267 | $95.2 \pm 4.5$ | $97.2 \pm 0.0$ | $74.3 \pm 6.1$ | n.d. |
| MCK268 | $206.9 \pm 8.0$ | $95.9 \pm 5.8$ | $98.1 \pm 6.1$ | n.d. |
| MCK269 | $111.2 \pm 0.0$ | $108.4 \pm 0.0$ | $112.1 \pm 9.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK270 | $113.2 \pm 0.0$ | $115.2 \pm 0.0$ | $116.2 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK271 | $119.4 \pm 2.0$ | $106.8 \pm 0.0$ | $95.1 \pm 7.7$ | n.d. |
| MCK272 | $109.8 \pm 8.6$ | $93.8 \pm 5.9$ | $65.6 \pm 4.0$ | $35.7 \pm 3.3$ |


| MCK273 | $116.4 \pm 2.0$ | $69.1 \pm 4.9$ | $56.0 \pm 9.1$ | $27.4 \pm 5.3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCK274 | $133.6 \pm 0.0$ | $91.2 \pm 0.0$ | $89.2 \pm 9.5$ | n.d. |
| MCK275 | $134.4 \pm 0.0$ | $80.7 \pm 0.0$ | $80.4 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK276 | $122.6 \pm 0.0$ | $86.3 \pm 0.0$ | $119.6 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK277 | $106.4 \pm 0.0$ | $97.7 \pm 5.9$ | $90.1 \pm 3.6$ | n.d. |
| MCK278 | $95.3 \pm 0.0$ | $84.8 \pm 0.0$ | $94.1 \pm 2.3$ | n.d. |
| MCK279 | $112.8 \pm 0.0$ | $87.9 \pm 0.0$ | $109.0 \pm 8.5$ | n.d. |
| MCK280 | $106.6 \pm 0.0$ | $102.5 \pm 0.0$ | $110.2 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK281 | $115.0 \pm 0.0$ | $95.7 \pm 7.1$ | $106.7 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK282 | $113.1 \pm 0.0$ | $92.8 \pm 2.3$ | $109.5 \pm 1.8$ | n.d. |
| MCK283 | $108.8 \pm 0.0$ | $90.8 \pm 0.0$ | $99.8 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK284 | $111.8 \pm 0.0$ | $77.2 \pm 5.8$ | $94.9 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK285 | $140.4 \pm 0.0$ | $91.7 \pm 5.2$ | $84.8 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |
| MCK286 | $136.2 \pm 0.0$ | $121.6 \pm 0.0$ | $80.6 \pm 0.0$ | n.d. |

The assay is based on the cleavage of the yellow tetrazolium salt XTT to form an orange formazan dye only by metabolic active cells (viable cells). n.d.: not determined.

MCK250, MCK263-265, and MCK272-273 have also been tested via cell viability XTT assay on the TIME cells, corresponding to endothelial cells at $12.5,25$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 48 h . A strong anti-proliferative effect has been noted for MCK264-265 and MCK272-273 (Table 4-9).

Table 4-9: Cell viability by XTT assays on TIME cells after 48 hours of treatment at 12.5, 25 and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the indicated compound compared to the DMSO control.

| Compounds | \% cell viability in TIME cells |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $12.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| MCK133 | $76.0 \pm 9.4$ | $69.3 \pm 0.0$ | $57.8 \pm 2.8$ |
| MCK140 | $5.2 \pm 1.5$ | $7.3 \pm 4.2$ | $6.5 \pm 2.8$ |
| MCK250 | $99.2 \pm 3.0$ | $89.7 \pm 7.9$ | $80.2 \pm 5.3$ |
| MCK263 | $89.1 \pm 3.5$ | $68.0 \pm 3.8$ | $102 \pm 4.9$ |
| MCK264 | $87.8 \pm 7.2$ | $72.9 \pm 6.0$ | $39.5 \pm 2.1$ |
| MCK265 | $82.0 \pm 6.6$ | $61.1 \pm 3.6$ | $31.5 \pm 3.5$ |
| MCK272 | $70.4 \pm 4.2$ | $76.5 \pm 4.2$ | $29.5 \pm 3.6$ |
| MCK273 | $71.5 \pm 0.0$ | $71.4 \pm 3.5$ | $31.2 \pm 1.3$ |

The assay is based on the cleavage of the yellow tetrazolium salt XTT to form an orange formazan dye only by metabolic active cells (viable cells).

In addition, the anti-proliferative effects of our compounds have also been assayed on TFE3 cells (CXCR1 ${ }^{+}$and CXCR2 ${ }^{+}$kidney cancer). Compared to the reference compounds, MCK133 and MCK140, whose have inhibition activity on cancer cells, no cytotoxicity has been observed with our new derivatives. The most relevant effects on ARPE cells have been reported with MCK263-265 and MCK272-273, in 38-52\%, except for MCK250 with the nearly equivalent percentage of 73\% (Figure 49).



Figure 4-9: Cell viability on TFE3 cells after 48 hours of treatment at 2.5, 5, and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the indicated compound compared to the DMSO control. The assay is based on the cleavage of the yellow tetrazolium salt XTT to form an orange formazan dye only by metabolic active cells (viable cells).

### 4.2.3.3.2 ZebraFish

We studied our compound in vivo in a zebrafish model. These microscopic animals are transgenic and express the GFP (green fluorescent protein) into their blood vessel cells constitutively. Thus, allowing easy visualization of their vascularization in different organs. In hypoxic conditions, the pro-angiogenic potency may be therefore quantified: under hypoxia $(\mathrm{Hx})$, the vessel area increases above $40000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ compared to CT below $20000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ in normoxia (Figure 4-10, B-C). Thus, we can test the anti-angiogenic potential of our newly synthesized compounds, which can be directly dissolved in the aquarium at a final concentration of 0.1 or $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After 48 h , the environment in the zebrafish eye was analyzed (Figure 4-10, A) by fluorescence analyses. Thanks to the first cytotoxicity tests, MCK264 was chosen to evaluate its anti-angiogenic activity.

## A Normoxia condition

Hypoxia condition



Figure 4-10: ZebraFish in eyes with $5 \%$ hypoxia after 48 h . CT: Control. Hx: under hypoxia. The line is the median, and the points are the fish, the quantification of the vessel area for each fish.

With our reference compounds (MCK133 and MCK140), the vessel area decreases widely; however, in this case, the anti-angiogenic effect may be related to toxicity. The toxicity value that kills zebrafish in hypoxia of MCK140 is above $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, so MCK140 was injected at $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, compare to the toxicity value of MCK133, above $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. MCK283 is considered as a negative control due to no effect in decreasing vessel area, even if we increase the concentration from 1 to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Finally, MCK264 has an efficient effect by decreasing vessel area, close to the CT in normoxia condition, without revealed toxicity effect against zebrafish. A toxicity assay has been performed with increasing doses confirming the toxic effect of MCK140 with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compared to $\mathbf{M C K 2 6 4}$ with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

In conclusion, MCK264 has a clear anti-angiogenic effect in vivo without toxicity.

### 4.2.3.3.3 Western blot assay

The inflammatory axis is induced by ERK/AKT signaling pathway activation in AMD. Endothelial cells (TIME cells) were stimulated with CXCL8 to induced activation of ERK pathway (p-ERK). MCK molecules were added to CXCL8 stimulation to determine if the reversion of this ERK pathway occurs or not: if MCK are active, there is a decreased of ERK pathway ( $p-E R K$ ).

Table 4-10: Results of western blot assays on MCK243-305 in TIME cells.

| Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Block pERK ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Block pERK ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Block pERK ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCK133 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| MCK243 | n.y.d. | MCK264 | n.y.d. | MCK285 | 0 |
| MCK244 | n.y.d. | MCK265 | 1 | MCK286 | 0 |
| MCK245 | n.y.d. | MCK266 | n.y.d. | MCK287 | 0 |
| MCK246 | n.y.d. | MCK267 | n.y.d. | MCK288 | 0 |
| MCK247 | n.y.d. | MCK268 | n.y.d. | MCK289 | 0 |
| MCK248 | n.y.d. | MCK269 | n.y.d. | MCK290 | 0.5 |
| MCK 249 | n.y.d. | MCK270 | n.y.d. | MCK292 | 0.5 |
| MCK250 | n.y.d. | MCK271 | n.y.d. | MCK293 | 0 |
| MCK251 | n.y.d. | MCK272 | n.y.d. | MCK294 | 0 |
| MCK252 | n.y.d. | MCK273 | 0 | MCK295 | 1 |
| MCK253 | 0 | MCK274 | 0 | MCK296 | 0 |
| MCK254 | n.y.d. | MCK275 | 0 | MCK297 | 1 |
| MCK255 | n.y.d. | MCK276 | 1 | MCK298 | 1 |
| MCK256 | 0 | MCK277 | 0 | MCK299 | 1 |
| MCK257 | n.y.d. | MCK278 | 1 | MCK300 | 1 |
| MCK258 | n.y.d. | MCK279 | 0.5 | MCK301 | 0 |
| MCK259 | n.y.d. | MCK280 | 1 | MCK302 | 1 |
| MCK260 | n.y.d. | MCK281 | 1 | MCK303 | 1 |
| MCK261 | n.y.d. | MCK282 | 1 | MCK304 | 1 |
| MCK262 | n.y.d. | MCK283 | 0 | MCK305 | 0 |
| MCK263 | n.y.d. | MCK284 | 1 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{MCK}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Results corresponding to 0 for no effective/no decrease, 0.5 for effective/weak decrease and 1 for effective/decrease. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ MCK133 as reference. n.y.d.: not yet determined.

A decrease of ERK signaling pathway has been highlighted for MCK265, MCK276, MCK278, MCK280282, MCK284, MCK295, MCK297-300, and MCK 302-304 in TIME cells. Three of these compounds corresponding to intermediates and major regioisomers, three of the phenyl ring series and seven of $N^{2}$-pyridine ring series.

In contrast, no effective effect in the decrease of ERK signaling pathway has been observed for MCK273-275, MCK277, MCK283, MCK285-289, MCK293-294, MCK296, MCK301, and MCK305. Another point is the no effective effect for MCK253 and MCK256, which had shown interesting results in the Boyden chamber test (presented above).


Figure 4-11: Autocrine loop of ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL -CXCR1/2.
$E L R^{+}$CXCL will bind to CXCR1/2 receptors allowing the induction of CXCL transcription (ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL mRNA increased) and increase in turn ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL expression and subsequent secretion to form an autocrine loop (Figure 4-11). The amount of mRNA transcript can be measured thanks to a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). This evaluation allows to measurement of ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL expression from a CXCL1 mRNA sample. The same results were obtained with other ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL (CXCL2/5/8). Indeed, we can conclude CXCL-CXCR1/2 inhibition thanks to MCK antagonists owing to the decrease of mRNA.

Table 4-11: CXCL1 inhibition in TIME or ARPE cells.

| Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | qPCR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | qPCR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | qPCR ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TIME | ARPE |  | TIME | ARPE |  | TIME | ARPE |
| MCK243 | 0.5 | 0 | MCK264 | 1 | 1 | MCK285 | 0 | 0 |
| MCK244 | 0 | 0 | MCK265 | 0.5 | 1 | MCK286 | 0 | 0 |
| MCK245 | 0 | 0 | MCK266 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK287 | n.y.d. | n.y.d. |
| MCK246 | 0 | 0 | MCK267 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK288 | n.y.d. | n.y.d. |
| MCK247 | 0 | 0 | MCK268 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK289 | n.y.d. | n.y.d. |
| MCK248 | 0 | 0 | MCK269 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK290 | 0 | 0 |
| MCK 249 | 0 | 0 | MCK270 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK292 | 0 | 0 |
| MCK250 | 0 | 0 | MCK271 | n.y.d. | n.y.d. | MCK293 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK251 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK272 | 1 | 1 | MCK294 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK252 | n.y.d. | 0.5 | MCK273 | 1 | 0.5 | MCK295 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK253 | n.y.d. | 0.5 | MCK274 | 0 | 0 | MCK296 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK254 | n.y.d. | 0.5 | MCK275 | 0 | 0 | MCK297 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK255 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK276 | 0 | 0 | MCK298 | n.y.d. | 0.5 |
| MCK256 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK277 | 0.5 | 0 | MCK299 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK257 | n.y.d. | 0.5 | MCK278 | 0 | 0 | MCK300 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK258 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK279 | 0 | 0 | MCK301 | n.y.d. | 0.5 |
| MCK259 | n.y.d. | 1 | MCK280 | 0 | 0 | MCK302 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK260 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK281 | 0 | 0 | MCK303 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK261 | n.y.d. | 0 | MCK282 | 1 | 1 | MCK304 | n.y.d. | 0 |
| MCK262 | n.y.d. | 0.5 | MCK283 | 0 | 0.5 | MCK305 | n.y.d. | 0.5 |
| MCK263 | 0.5 | 1 | MCK284 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{MCK}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results corresponding to 0 for no effective/no decrease, 0.5 for effective/weak decrease and 1 for effective/decrease. n.y.d.: not yet determined.

For TIME cells, a weak decrease was observed for MCK243, MCK263, МСК265, MCK277, and inhibition of CXCL1-CXCR1/2 interaction effect for MCK264, MCK272, MCK273, MCK282, translating a better effect for phenyl ring (except MCK282 corresponding to $N^{2}$-pyridine ring). It has been interesting to note the weak decrease of mRNA for intermediates $1 H$-amino-1,2,4-triazole (MCK243 and MCK265).

For ARPE cells, a weak decrease of mRNA was observed for MCK252-254, MCK257, MCK262, MCK273, MCK283, MCK298, MCK301, and MCK305, corresponding to both major and minor products, without intermediates this time. Besides, a substantial decrease of mRNA will be noted for the same MCK as in TIME cells (MCK259, MCK263-265, MCK272, and MCK282).

This last test was carried out in a broader choice of MCK, showing the weak effect of $N^{2}$-pyridine group in position N -4 of 1,2,4-triazole (in both regioisomers major and minor), except for MCK282. Whereas an effective result was noted for phenyl modulation in position $\mathrm{N}-4$ of $1,2,4$-triazole in the case of major regioisomer.

### 4.2.3.3.5 Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) assay

In the late stages of AMD, the number of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) increases significantly. ${ }^{137}$ An evaluation based on a measure of ROS cytometry has been explored. ARPE cells were treated with hydrogen peroxide to induced ROS +/- MCK. Finally, DCFDA ( $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescin diacetate) was added as a dye to measure ROS species. So we can follow if ROS disappears thanks to MCK inhibitors.

Table 4-12: Results of ROS assays on MCK246-292 in ARPE- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ cells.

| Compounds $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | ROS $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Compounds $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | ROS $^{\text {b }}$ | Compounds $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | ROS $^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MCK243 | n.y.d. | MCK264 | 1 | MCK285 | 1 |
| MCK244 | n.y.d. | MCK265 | 1 | MCK286 | 1 |
| MCK245 | n.y.d. | MCK266 | 1 | MCK287 | 1 |
| MCK246 | 0.5 | MCK267 | 0 | MCK288 | 1 |
| MCK247 | 0 | MCK268 | 1 | MCK289 | 1 |
| MCK248 | 1 | MCK269 | 1 | MCK290 | 1 |
| MCK 249 | 1 | MCK270 | 0 | MCK292 | 0 |
| MCK250 | 0 | MCK271 | 1 | MCK293 | 0 |
| MCK251 | 0 | MCK272 | 0.5 | MCK294 | 0 |
| MCK252 | 0 | MCK273 | n.y.d. | MCK295 | 0 |
| MCK253 | 0 | MCK274 | 0.5 | MCK296 | 0 |
| MCK254 | 0 | MCK275 | 0.5 | MCK297 | 0 |
| MCK255 | 0 | MCK276 | 0 | MCK298 | 0 |
| MCK256 | 0 | MCK277 | 0 | MCK299 | 0 |
| MCK257 | 1 | MCK278 | 0 | MCK300 | 0 |
| MCK258 | 0 | MCK279 | 0 | MCK301 | 0 |
| MCK259 | 0 | MCK280 | 0 | MCK302 | 0 |
| MCK260 | 1 | MCK281 | 0 | MCK303 | 0 |
| MCK261 | 1 | MCK282 | 0 | MCK304 | 0 |
| MCK262 | 1 | MCK283 | 0 | MCK305 | 0 |
| MCK263 | 0.5 | MCK284 | 0 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{MCK}=5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Results corresponding to 0 for no effective/no decrease, 0.5 for effective/weak decrease and 1 for effective/decrease. n.y.d.: not yet determined.

First, a weak ROS decrease has been observed for MCK246, MCK263, MCK272, and MCK274-275. Secondly, a positive ROS decrease for MCK248-249, MCK257, MCK260-262, MCK264-266, MCK268269, MCK271 and MCK285-290. Finally, MCK247, MCK250-256, MCK258-259, MCK267, MCK270, MCK276-284 and MCK292-305 do not show disappearance of ROS.

In general, the MCKs with ROS decrease corresponds to phenyl group in position N-4 of 1,2,4-triazole, such as proton (MCK248-249), 3-bromo (MCK264), 4-chloro (MCK272) or 4-isopropyl (MCK262-263). Five intermediates also present a ROS decrease (e.g. MCK265 with 3,4-dichloro substitution). Of note, two compounds (MCK289-290), substituted with $N^{2}$-pyridyl modification in position $\mathrm{N}-4$ and nitro groups in benzyl part, show ROS decrease.

By contrast, most compounds without ROS disappearance have a $N^{2}$-pyridyl group in position N-4 of 1,2,4-triazole or has an ethyl or oxo modulation in place of methylene group.

Overall, phenyl ring in $\mathrm{N}-4$ of 1,2,4-triazole and some intermediates 1 H -1,2,4-triazole are more effective on the ROS decrease. Research is still ongoing regarding other MCKs.

In summary, in each biological evaluation, a correlation of activity was observed for MCK263, MCK264, and MCK265. We do not reproduce the positive result discovered for MCK256 or MCK253 earlier in Boyden chamber. However, we can explain it by studying cancer cells and not in the retina or endothelial cells.

To conclude, MCK264 has presented a better activity and was chosen for the next $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ series. Moreover, MCK265, corresponding to an intermediate 1 -1,2,4-triazole with 3,4-dichlorophenyl in position N-4, will be chosen to mix both effects of 3,4-dichloro for one side of phenyl in N-4 and 3-bromo or 4isopropyl (MCK263) in another side of benzyl in N-1.

### 4.2.3.4 Dockings

Recently in 2020, a publication of the cryo-electron microscopy structures of CXCL8-activated human CXCR2 in complex with Gi protein has been published. ${ }^{508}$ Thanks to this model, docking studies were carried out to verify the binding on our Lead MCK with CXCR2 (Figure 4-12). Several MCK were chosen, the reference compound MCK140 and MCK263-265, MCK283 representing the amino-1,2,4-triazole
family. The higher vinardo score, the more binding is important. The vinardo score corresponds to the energies sum of predicted interaction between molecule and target.

In a green circle, three of our molecules have a high binding with CXCR2, between 8.75 to 9.5 , compared to MCK265 (red circle, Figure 4-12) below 7.75. This docking shows the necessity of the benzyl part contributing to confirm our pharmacophore represented by two aryl modulation in each part of the triazole core. Indeed, despite the good biological result of the intermediate $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,4-$ triazole MCK265 presented before, its vinardo score is weaker. In contrast, MCK283 presents a high vinardo score without in vitro and in vivo effect in exudative AMD models (showing the limits of the predictive model). Finally, MCK263-264 showed a clear antagonist effect of the interaction CXCL8CXCR2, confirming a precedent positive effect to inhibit ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL-CXCR1/2 in exudative AMD models.


Figure 4-12: Docking of the MCK263-265 and MCK283 structures with cryo-electron microscopy structures of CXCR2.

### 4.2.4 Series $D_{2}$ : functionalization of $R^{1}$ group

The subseries $D_{1}$ corresponded to the functionalization by various benzyl group in the position $N-1$ of the 1,2,4-triazole allowed to observe the positive biological evaluation of MCK264. Thus, 3bromobenzyl substitution was chosen to perform the second series of compounds $D_{2}$ : functionalization of $R^{1}$ group. Thanks to the results of these both functionalizations, the SARs of our pharmacophore will be highlighted.

The aryl part $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ was modulated by various phenyls substituted with methyl, methoxy, halogen, nitro or ester mainly in position 4 and by changing with a pyridine ring in positions 3 or 4 . Thanks to optimized conditions ('BuOK in DMF) with purification by reverse-phase gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ as solvent system), a range of thirteen new MCK340-352 was isolated in 14-42\% yields for 1,3-substituted 2-amino-1,3,4-triazole and 1-11\% yields for 1,5-substituted 2-amino-1,3,4-triazole, allowing a yield of reaction until $50 \%$. The reaction was also tested with nitro substituted group, but the isolated product corresponding to a mixture of major and minor regioisomers. Moreover, due to the interesting results obtained with МСК263 and МСК265, compound МСК350 was synthesised with 4-isopropylbenzyl part in one hand and 3,4-dichloro substitution in aryl part in the other hand. The biological assays are underways.

Table 4-13: Series $D_{2}$ products.


| Compound | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1,3-substituted |  |
| MCK340 (19\%) | 4-methoxybenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK342 (35\%) | 4-methylbenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK344 (42\%) | 4-chlorobenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK346 (32\%) | 4-bromobenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK348 (20\%) | 4-benzoate | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK349 (22\%) | 3,4-dichlorobenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK350 (42\%) | 3,4-dichlorobenzene | $4-\mathrm{isopropyl}$ |
| MCK351 (29\%) | $N^{3}$-pyridyl | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK352 (14\%) | $N^{4}$-pyridyl | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
|  |  |  |
| MCK341 (11\%) | 4-methylbenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK343 (6\%) | 4-chlorobenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK345 (1\%) | 4-bromobenzene | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |
| MCK347 (2\%) | benzoate | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The isolated yields are indicated in brackets.

General conclusion and perspectives

Exudative age-related macular degeneration (Exudative AMD) is a pathology mainly diagnosed in the elderly population, which leads to severe visual complications and blindness within few years. It is characterized by the neo-vascularization of the retro-foveolar choroid and a high inflammatory environment. The pathogenesis of AMD involves complex biological factors and remains poorly understood and treatment insufficiently effective. Currently, only symptomatic anti-VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor) treatments exist, which only delay blindness and $30 \%$ of the patients are insensitive to this therapy.

Angiogenesis and inflammation are two integrated processes allowing AMD development and progression. The blockage of the ERL+ CXCL cytokines signaling pathway and theirs CXCR1/2 receptors allow to tackle these two processes simultaneously. Our team has already selected two hit compounds, namely MCK133 and MCK140, exhibiting anti-angiogenic and anti-inflammatory effects in vitro and in vivo in exudative AMD models. Based on these preliminary results and inspired by the chemical structure of the hit compounds, new bioactive molecules with new scaffolds have been designed and synthesized. They encompass an azole core and two aryl moieties to enhance their activity and their physicochemical properties. Precisely, four new series of compounds have been explored in this Ph.D. thesis: two with an imidazole core and two with a triazole core.

The three first series are: (i) $N$, aryl-1H-imidazol-2-amine (series A), (ii) 1-benzyl-4-aryl-1H-imidazol-2-amine (series $B$ ) and (iii) N,3-diaryl-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-amine (series C ). These series did not lead to conclusive results due to different problems in the synthetic pathways. Moreover, a structure revision of a series of compounds presented in the literature has been highlighted, namely the formation of 1,4-diaryl-2-aminoimidazoles 3.8 in the described conditions, instead of the claimed regioisomers 2-arylamino-4-arylimidazole 2.1 (series A).

Conversely, series D allowed us to develop a straightforward synthesis towards 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$ -1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamines, a scaffold encompassing our pharmacophore. Two main modifications of this scaffold have been studied by successive modulations introduced at the benzyl group (series $D_{1}$ ) and at the $R^{1}$ aryl group (series $D_{2}$ ). In addition, two types of triazole regioisomers (1,3-and 1,5-) have been obtained and their physicochemical properties and yields have been compared. In total, these series of molecules account for 63 fully characterized analogues, never described before. Moreover, 14 intermediates containing the $1 H-1,2,4$-triazole core have been obtained and fully characterized. To evaluate the efficiency of these series on angiogenesis and inflammation in exudative AMD models, all compounds have been tested in different biological assays including cytotoxicity, migration assay, western blot, qPCR, ROS production as well as on an in vivo zebrafish model.


SAR determined during this Ph.D. thesis


Overall, from these results, two analogues MCK263 and MCK264, corresponding to 4-isopropyl and 3-bromobenzyl derivatives, respectively, show the best activities to inhibit the ELR ${ }^{+}$CXCL-CXCR1/2 interaction. In addition, several points of SAR have been deduced. First, the $N^{2}$-pyridine is preferred compared to $N^{3}$ - or $N^{4}$-pyridine in Boyden chamber assay. But the phenyl ring is favored in comparison of $N^{2}$-pyridine due to no effect in decreasing vessel area through in vivo zebrafish assay on the analogue MCK283. The same result was observed in qPCR and ROS assays. Second, no activity improvement has been noticed by changing the methylene group by an ethyl or an ethan-1-one. Third, concerning the $R^{1}$ aryl part, the evaluation of 14 intermediates (1H-1,2,4-triazoles) particularly highlights the 3,4dichlorobenzene group, with MCK265 showing the best activities. In parallel, dockings studies confirmed the importance of the benzyl part. Promising compounds have already been synthesized composed of these favored substituents with 3-bromobenzyl or 4-isopropyl on one side and 3,4dichlorobenzene group on the other, MCK349 and MCK350.

The short-term perspectives of this Ph.D. thesis are the evaluation of $D_{2}$ series in exudative AMD models. In parallel, another in vitro assay is currently being implemented to evaluate the antiangiogenic effect of MCKs. Next, the in vivo evaluation in zebrafish exudation AMD model will be extended to other interesting compounds of $D_{1}$ or $D_{2}$ Series.

Besides, to increase the molecular diversity and to improve the physicochemical properties, we would like to substitute the extra-cyclic nitrogen in series $D_{3}$ by various groups such as (i) amino acids by a simple peptide coupling, (ii) alkyl by direct substitution or aryl by metal-catalyzed $N$ arylation, and (iii) acyl or sulfonyl by a simple acylation or sulfonylation. With this last modification, the SAR will be complete. Furthermore, the mode of action can be deeply studied using biochemical tools already developed in our group, i.e., biotin and fluorescence labelling in order to identify and validate the molecular target.


## Perspectives: Substitution of amine group (series $D_{3}$ )

As follow up, the activity of the lead compound (e.g. MCK264) will be evaluated in vivo in a rodent neovascular AMD model (mice, rats).

Finally, a galenic study of our leads MCKs using several formulations is envisaged towards different mode of administration, e.g., topical administration in the eye (established collaboration, L. Demange and C. Charrueau). Moreover, to get further insight on the potentiating effect of anti-VEGF therapy by our lead compounds, we plan to test our MCKs in combination with anti-VEFG antibodies, brolucizumab (latest FDA approved treatment with high molar doses for fewer injections to patients) towards a curative therapy of exudative AMD. We also plan to evaluate the potency of the most active compounds in other cancer and non-cancer models (uveal melanoma, polyarthritis, etc).

## Publications

- Fabre, M. et al. Synthesis, 3D-structure and stability analyses of NRPa-308, a new promising anti-cancer agent. Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett. 29, 126710 (2019) ${ }^{509}$;
- Fabre, M. et al. "Mild biamidine transfer conditions for the synthesis of aliphatic biguanides", submitted
- Fabre, M., et al. "Recent advances in age-related macular degeneration therapies: a review", in preparation.

Chapter 5. Annexe projects

During this thesis, I had the chance to participate in two different annexe projects. The first project consisted in the synthesis of biguanides compounds, a topic well developed in the group ${ }^{4}$, developed with another Ph.D. student Rostyslav Bardovskyi. The second project concerned the stability studies on a potential anticancer agent NRPa-308.

### 5.1 BIAMIDINE TRANSFER IN BIGUANIDES COMPOUNDS

### 5.1.1 Introduction

Biguanides are a class of compounds of interest with wide applications in catalysis, superbase chemistry, as organometallic ligands and with a broad range of bioactivities such as antidiabetic (metformin), antimalarial, antiseptic (chlorhexidine), antiviral, and more recently anticancer. ${ }^{510}$ Indeed, the biguanide function displays attractive properties of strong organic basicity, Lewis basicity and represents a potential pharmacophore related to its particular structure with 5 heteroatoms and 5 H -bonds sites modulated through several tautomeric forms. Meanwhile, these features render the synthesis and isolation of the biguanide derivatives sometimes tricky, with highly polar compounds and potential undesired complexation properties. ${ }^{511,512}$ The transformation of an amine to the corresponding biguanide derivative is particularly interesting. Precedents in the literature are scarce and basically rely on mixing an amine and cyanoguanidine in harsh conditions at temperatures above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (even neat) in the presence of hydrochloric acid. ${ }^{512-515}$ The conversion of aliphatic amines is especially demanding, and some improvements have been proposed recently such as the replacement of HCl by iron trichloride ${ }^{516}$ or the use of silylamines. ${ }^{517,518}$ Nevertheless, conditions remain harsh and prolonged heating is still necessary. ${ }^{519}$ Alternatively, the pre-activation of cyanoguanidine has been described through the use of N -(diaminomethylene)- 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboximidamide hydrochloride (5.1a) $)^{511,520-524}$ or $S$-methylguanylisothiouronium iodide ( 5.1 b$)^{511,525}$ as biamidine transfer reagents. However, these conditions suffer from low or moderate isolation yields, long reaction times and/or harsh conditions, and no general protocol exists for this transformation, especially for aliphatic amines. In this context, the purpose of this study has been to compare exhaustively the conditions and reagents used for the transfer of a biamidine group, propose new ones, and identify the problems related to this transformation. Mild high-yielding and straightforward reaction conditions suitable for various

[^4]amines have been developed, including a biological media compatible protocol in water. The study of the scope and limitations has demonstrated broad applicability for the synthesis of diverse biguanides in very smooth conditions.

### 5.1.2 Results and discussion



Scheme 5-1: Biguanide formation with biamidine transfer reagents.

The conversion of amines into monosubstituted biguanides consists of the addition of a biamidine group to the amine. This can be achieved either by direct addition of the amine to cyanoguanidine, which requires harsh reaction conditions or by using a biamidine transfer reagent. The latter comprises a biamidine moiety linked to a leaving group to allow the transfer by addition-elimination (Scheme 51). As the nature of the leaving group was predicted to have a major influence on the reactivity, six potential reagents with different leaving groups were synthesized (Figure 5-1). From those, only 5.1a and 5.1b were described to produce biguanides whereas 5.1 e is a new molecule. Shortly, reagents 5.1a, 5.1c and 5.1e were synthesized by addition of a heterocycle to cyanoguanidine in the presence of hydrochloric acid in water under reflux or at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-24$ hours with $65 \%, 44 \%$ and $25 \%$ yields respectively. ${ }^{526} S$-methyl derivative $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ b was prepared from 2 -imino-4-thiobiuret and methyl iodide in $91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{527}$ Phenolic derivate 5.1d was obtained from cyanoguanidine dihydrochloride in phenol as solvent at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours in $33 \%$ yield. ${ }^{528}$ Finally, N -guanyl- O -methylisourea hydroacetate ( 5.1 f ) was synthesized in two steps from cyanoguanidine in methanol under reflux for 2 hours in the presence of copper acetate monohydrate, then the product was released from the copper complex following hydrogen sulphide treatment, with a $46 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{529}$ These procedures provided after suitable washings the pure desired biamidine derivatives as salt form.



Figure 5-1: Synthesized biamidine transfer reagents.

With these reagents 5.1a-f in hand, we screened different reaction conditions on a model transformation of UV-absorbing benzylamine to the corresponding benzylbiguanide (Figure 5-1). Reagent $N$-amidinopyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride 5.1a was first used to compare the effect of additives and solvent nature on the conversion. The temperature was set up to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMF as the aim was to develop mild conditions. The addition of DIPEA to the reaction did not have a significant effect compared to the control experiment without additive, whereas the addition of 1.0 equivalent of hydrochloric acid, triflic acid or trifluoroacetic acid prevented the reaction (entries 1-3). Performing the reaction in the presence of copper acetate also did not show any improvement (entry 4). As a result, additive-free conditions were chosen, which led to the most efficient and cleanest reaction mixtures.

Table 5-1: Effect of additives and solvent.

| Entry | Reagent | Solvent | Additive | Equivalent | Conversion ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.1a | DMF | - | - | 19 |
| 2 | 5.1a | DMF | DIPEA | 1.1 | 18 |
| 3 | 5.1a | DMF | HCl | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.1a | DMF | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 0.1 | 15 |
| 5 | 5.1a | AcOH | - | - | 0 |
| 6 | 5.1a | Acetone | - | - | 0,5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 7 | 5.1a | NMP | - | - | 5 |
| 8 | 5.1a | DMA | - | - | 7 |
| 9 | 5.1a | Acetonitrile | - | - | $32^{\text {c }}$ |
| 10 | 5.1a | EtOH | - | - | 40 |
| 11 | 5.1a | MeOH | - | - | 45 |
| 12 | 5.1a | Pyridine | - | - | 51 |
| 13 | 5.1a | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | - | - | 53 |
| 14 | 5.1a | Pyridine | - | - | $67{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 15 | 5.1b | Pyridine | - | - | $53^{\text {b }}$ |
| 16 | 5.1b | Pyridine/Toluene:1/1 | - | - | $64^{\text {b }}$ |
| 17 | 5.1b | Pyridine/Toluene:1/9 | - | - | $58^{\text {b }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions were performed with 0.8 mmol of benzylamine, 0.88 mmol of the corresponding reagent in 4 mL of solvent, stirred for 6 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by HPLC $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All reactions were performed with 0.5 mmol of benzylamine, 0.55 mmol of the corresponding reagent in 1 mL of solvent, stirred for 5 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by HPLC $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm})$. ${ }^{\text {c Solubility }}$ issues with the formation of a suspension. ${ }^{d}$ Calculate by the ratio between product and benzylamine. Determined by HPLC analysis.

Then a solvent survey with a variety of polar solvents was carried out (Table 5-1). Alcohols proved more beneficial than DMF, with respective conversions of $40 \%$ and $45 \%$ for ethanol and methanol after 6 hours, compared to $19 \%$ in DMF. However, the most preferable solvents were pyridine and water, which showed higher solubility properties than alcohols, and demonstrated conversions of $51 \%$ and $53 \%$ respectively, (entries $1,10-13$ ). Pyridine showed the highest conversion rate and the easiest product recovery by direct precipitation from the reaction mixture and short washings. For their part, water conditions are attractive as bio-compatible protocol using a green nontoxic solvent.

For the next optimization step, we selected pyridine as a solvent and increased the reagent concentration from 0.20 to 0.50 mmoles of reagent per mL of solvent, leading to an increase of the conversion from $51 \%$ to $67 \%$ (entries 12,14 ). The reagent $S$-methyl-guanylisothiouronium iodide 5.1b proved to be somewhat less efficient in pyridine (entry 15), while the addition of toluene as a cosolvent led to conversion improvement (entries 16,17). As for reagent 5.1a, the product was not observed because of reagent insolubility in pyridine/toluene mixtures. Overall, the conditions of entry 14 and 16 , respectively reagent 5.1 a in pyridine and reagent 5.1 b in pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$, turned out to be the best and were selected for the next optimization stages.

Table 5-2: Comparison of the biamidine transfer reagents in pyridine and water.

|  | R | Conversion ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pyridine | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 5.1a |  | 48 | 50 |
| 5.1b | $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{3_{2}}$ | 43 | 15 |
| 5.1c |  | 26 | 20 |
| 5.1d |  | 17 | 28 |
| 5.1e |  | 34 | 0 |
| 5.1 f | $0^{3 / 2}$ | 0 | 1 |

[^5]The performance of the different biamidine transfer reagents 5.1a-f was screened in the two optimal solvents: water and pyridine (Table 5-2). As expected, reagent 5.1 f allowed the formation of only traces of product as it contains a poor leaving group methoxy. Benzotriazole derivative 5.1e showed a moderate conversion in pyridine but only traces of product in water. The most reactive compound proved to be 5.1d, but its reactivity led to concomitant degradation in the solvent and the overall
conversion was low. Decreasing the temperature to enhance the stability of 5.1d allowed to reach 60\% conversion, but only after unacceptable 7 days. Compound 5.1c is an analogue of pyrazole derivative 5.1a with two methyl groups, which surprisingly showed significatively diminished conversion efficacy. $S$-methyl derivative 5.1b demonstrated good conversion in pyridine whereas low in water. As a result, this survey revealed the best results for 5.1a in both solvents, as well as 5.1b in pyridine. It is also worth noting that reactions using 5.1a are easier to workup and purify than with 5.1b. In addition, they avoid the release of toxic, flammable and strong odoring gas methanethiol.

Finally, we studied the influence of concentration, temperature and equivalents of reactants on the formation of benzylbiguanide hydrochloride (Table 5-3). Increasing the concentration of 5.1a from 0.2 $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ to $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ had a great influence, enhancing the yield from $51 \%$ to $73 \%$. Further concentrating of 5.1a was not possible because of its saturation threshold in pyridine. Next, we moved the number of equivalents of benzylamine at a constant concentration of $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of 5.1 a . At 1.0 eq . of benzylamine, we observed $1 \%$ of side products from self-condensation or condensation with product leading to polyguanidines formation. Increased amounts of benzylamine eliminated the presence of side products and led to higher yields. A good compromise was found with the use of 1.5 eq. of amine. As the product is a salt, the excess of amine could be easily removed by simple washings. With the optimized conditions, we explored the effect of temperature increase on the conversions with 5.1a in pyridine and with $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ b in pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ mixture. We found for both reagents $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to be the optimal temperature, given the conversion and the formation of low amounts of side products. Overheating reaction mixture over $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ promoted the formation of undesired products ( $\sim 5 \%$ ), whereas at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the conversion was clean but required a longer reaction time of up to 2 days. Eventually, optimized conditions proved to be the use of 1.5 eq. at a concentration of $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ at 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 5-3: Effect of concentration, equivalents of amine and temperature.

| Reagent | Solvent | Eq. of amine | Concentration $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | Conversion ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 0.2 | 25 | 6 | 51 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 0.5 | 25 | 6 | 68 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 25 | 6 | 73 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 52 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.1 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 56 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.2 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 59 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.5 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 65 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 2.0 | 1.0 | 25 | 3 | 68 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 25 | 5 | 64 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 30 | 5 | 83 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 40 | 5 | 91 |
| 5.1a | Pyridine | 1.0 | 1.0 | 50 | 5 | 92 |
| 5.1b | Pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 25 | 5 | 70 |
| 5.1b | Pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 30 | 5 | 75 |
| 5.1b | Pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 40 | 5 | 86 |
| 5.1b | Pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 50 | 5 | 91 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were monitored by HPLC $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm})$. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration in mol of reagent dissolved per L of solvent. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Calculate by the ratio between product and biamidine transfer reagent 5.1a or 5.1b. Determined by HPLC analysis.

To study the scope and limitations of the conditions developed (5.1a in pyridine, 5.1a in water and 5.1b in pyridine/toluene $1 / 1$ ), several alkyl and dialkyl amines, substituted benzylamines, Lphenylalanine and aniline were used as substrates (Table 5-4). Alkyl and dialkyl amines were converted to the corresponding biguanides with excellent conversions (91-99\% after 6 h ) and good to excellent isolated yields (69-96\%) for both 5.1a-based methods. Only the reactions with butylamine and hexylamine were slower (12-24 h) due to steric hindrance. Different substituted benzylamines were also isolated in good yields 70-95\%, without significant effect of the substitution pattern on the conversion. The formation of phenylbiguanide proved less efficient however and the protocol in water
is clearly preferred with $68 \%$ isolated yield after 22 h reaction, compared to $44 \%$ after 6 days in pyridine. Likewise, mainly for solubility reasons, the protocol in water was the most efficient to convert L-phenylalanine to its biguanide analogue in $53 \%$ isolated yield (for this substrate, the procedure was modified with the addition of 1.0 eq. of DIPEA and 1.0 eq. of amino acid). Generally, despite good conversion rates with S-methyl derivative 5.1b, the workup and isolation often were less direct than with 5.1a, often requiring chromatography methods to afford the biguanides with high purity. Adding the necessity to consider the use of a gas trap to deal with methanethiol evolution, the reagent 5.1b appears not to be preferable for this reaction. Contrarily, $N$-(diaminomethylene)-1H-pyrazole-1carboximidamide hydrochloride 5.1a is facile to work with, providing products are hydrochloride salts in high yields and purity after simple workup.

Table 5-4: Scope and limitations of the conditions developed on a panel of amines.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvent |  | Pyridine |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  | dine/toluene | -1/1 |
| Amine | Time <br> (h) | Conversion <br> (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Isolated <br> yield <br> (\%) | Time <br> (h) | Conversion $(\%)^{c}$ | Isolated <br> yield <br> (\%) | Time <br> (h) | Conversion <br> (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Isolated <br> yield <br> (\%) |
| Benzylamine | 6 | 99 | 93 | 6 | 97 | 96 | 6 | 95 | 82 |
| 4-chlorobenzylamine | 6 | 94 | 86 | 6 | 96 | 75 | 6 | 97 | n.d. |
| 4-methylbenzylamine | 6 | 96 | 87 | 6 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 98 | n.d. |
| 4-nitrobenzylamine | 6 | 99 | 90 | 6 | 98 | 93 | 6 | 97 | n.d. |
| 4-methoxybenzylamine | 6 | 95 | 95 | 6 | 96 | 82 | 6 | 98 | n.d. |
| Ethylamine | 6 | 99 | 75 | 12 | 97 | 82 | 6 | 98 | n.d. |
| Butylamine | 24 | 95 | 86 | 12 | 96 | 77 | 6 | 98 | 54 |
| Hexylamine | 24 | 98 | 86 | 12 | 97 | 92 | 6 | 98 | n.d. |
| Morpholine | 6 | 96 | 83 | 6 | 97 | 91 | 6 | 97 | 82 |
| $N$-methylpiperazine | 6 | 99 | 83 | 6 | 97 | 96 | 6 | 96 | 97 |
| $N$-methylbenzylamine | 6 | 98 | 69 | 22 | 96 | 96 | 6 | 91 | n.d. |
| Aniline | 144 | 44 | nd | 22 | 71 | 68 | 6 | 0,1 | n.d. |
| L-phenylalanine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 96 | 0 | nd | 24 | 94 | 53 | 6 | 10 | n.d. |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions were performed with 8.25 mmol of amine, 5.50 mmol of the corresponding reagent in 5.5 mL of the corresponding solvent at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by HPLC $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) .{ }^{\text {b }}$ Reaction performed with 5.50 mmol of L-phenylalanine, 5.50 mmol of corresponding reagent and 5.50 mmol of DIPEA in 5.5 mL of the corresponding solvent, stirred for 24 hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by HPLC ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). n.d.: not determined. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Calculate by the ratio between product and biamidine transfer reagent 5.1a or 5.1b. Determined by HPLC analysis.

### 5.1.3 Conclusions

In summary, we have led a methodological study on the use of biamidine transfer reagents to convert amines into the corresponding guanidines. We have proposed and compared 6 potential reagents and concluded that $N$-(diaminomethylene)- $1 H$-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide hydrochloride (5.1a) is the best in terms of both efficiency and practicability. Moreover, we have shown that the choice of solvent, concentration and temperature are key parameters. The optimal conditions were achieved either in water or pyridine, affording the smooth transformation of various amines into biguanides with high yields up to $96 \%$. The method with pyridine showed fast workup, requiring only a washing step but is not applicable for amino acids or arylamines. The procedure in water showed very good efficiency for all tested amines under biological medium suitable conditions (water, no additive, temperature range: $25-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). As a result, this protocol will be compatible with sensitive or bio-inspired substrates like peptides, aminosugars or amine-bearing nucleotide analogues.

This project allowed the writing of a first-authored article: Fabre, M. et al. "Mild biamidine transfer conditions for the synthesis of aliphatic biguanides", submitted.

### 5.2 Stability analyses of NRPa-308

NRP-a308 (5.4) is an anticancer agent antagonizing Neuropilin-1, a multi-partners transmembrane receptor overexpressed in numerous tumours. A three steps synthesis without intermediate purification was previously developed within the group. After a first chlorosulfonylation step from 4methylbenzoic acid 5.5 at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours, 3-(chlorosulfonyl)-4-methylbenzoic acid 5.6 was obtained in $84 \%$ yield. This crude product was directly reacted in the sulfonylation of amine with $p$ toluidine at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichloromethane for one hour to afford the bi-aryl sulfonamide 5.7 in $78 \%$ yield. 4-methyl-3-( $N$-(p-tolyl)sulfamoyl)benzoic acid 5.7 was reacted with o-ethoxyaniline in the presence of EDCI as coupling agent and diisopropylethylamine as base in an addition/elimination reaction to afford the final amide 5.4 after overnight reaction at room temperature. This final step afforded NRPa-308 in $64 \%$ yield (42\% overall yield after three steps (Scheme 5-2)).


Scheme 5-2: NRPa-308 synthesis.

The group reported formerly that the anti-proliferative activities of NRPa-308 show strong dependence with the incubation times with tumor cells (Figure 5-2). Thus, our purpose was to unambiguously demonstrate that these anti-proliferative effects are solely due to NRPa-308, and not to one of its potential metabolites / degradation products. Therefore, stability studies in vitro and in cellulo have been performed to address this question.

5.4 (NRPa-308)

NRPa-308 anti-proliferative activity :
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (48h)
MDA-MB231: $4.9 \mu \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{IC}_{50}(72 \mathrm{~h})$
BT549:2.1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$
MDA-MB231 : $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BT549 : $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$
Sunitinib anti-proliferative activity :
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (48h)
MDA-MB231 : $2.6 \mu \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{IC}_{50}(72 \mathrm{~h})$
MDA-MB231 : $3.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$
BT549 : $3.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 5-2: Structure of NRPa-308, and its antiproliferative activity against two breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and BT549) measured after 48 h and 72 h treatment and compared to these of the marketed drug Sunitinib ${ }^{\circledR}$. These values have been reported in ref. ${ }^{530}$

First, the chemical stability of NRPa-308 was assayed by HPLC analysis at three different pH acid, medium and basic ( $0.9,7.4$ and 8.4 ) and two temperatures ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to study its ionisation state in order to know its stability in human body. After 16 days, the compound proved fully stable under all these conditions (Figure 5-3).


Figure 5-3: Chromatograms showing the stability of NRPa-308 in aqueous buffers at $\mathrm{pH} 0.9,7.4$ and 8.4 after 16 days incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Next, we studied the stability of NRPa-308 in cellular culture media, in presence of malignant cells. To this end, MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 breast cancer cells were cultured in the presence of $2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of NRPa-308 for three days, and the HPLC analyses of the supernatant revealed that NRP-a308 was still largely the main compound detected, indicating a high stability of compound NRPa-308 in the extracellular medium (Figure 5-4).


Figure 5-4: Stability of NRPa-308 in outside environment of MDA and BT after three days incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Then, the cells were washed and lysed with methanol. The lysates were extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $9 / 1, v / v$ and the extracts were quantitatively analysed by HPLC. In both cases, NRPa-308 proved fully stable, with no other peak detected (Figure 5-5). In addition, the quantitative dosage revealed a significant accumulation of NRPa-308 in cells, with respectively $5.14 \pm 0.06 .10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol}$ and $1.40 \pm$ $0.01 .10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol}$ calculated in MDA-MB231 and BT549 cells, after three days.


Figure 5-5: Chromatograms showing the metabolism of NRPa-308 in MDA-MB231 and BT549 cells after three days incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In summary, these short stability studies confirmed us that the measured in vitro antiproliferative activities (Figure 5-2), are the result of the effect of compound NRPa-308 and are not due to one of its metabolites or degradation products.

This project allows the publication of an article in 2019 (Fabre, M. et al. Synthesis, 3D-structure and stability analyses of NRPa-308, a new promising anti-cancer agent. Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.

29, 126710 (2019)). ${ }^{509}$

## General.

All the commercially available products from chemical providers were used without purification. Solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Fisher or Alfa Aesar. All the chemical reactions were monitored simultaneously by LCMS, HPLC and thin-layer chromatography (TLC, Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates) and visualized both by UV radiation ( 254 \& 365 nm ) and by spraying with a relevant staining agent followed by subsequent warming with a heat gun. Column chromatography was performed on a CombiFlash Rf+, TELEDYNE Isco, using prepacked column (CHROMABOND Flash, SiOH 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, MACHEREY NAGEL or CHROMABOND Flash, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{ec} 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, MACHEREY NAGEL or POLYGOPREP 60-30 $\mathrm{C}_{18}$, MACHEREY NAGEL). All NMR spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ ) were recorded on Bruker Advance Spectrometers ( 200 or 400 MHz ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 and 400 MHz ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (50 and 101 MHz ) and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ ( 188 and 377 MHz ) spectra were obtained with samples dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ with the solvent residual signals as internal references: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=77.16$ ) and DMSO- $d_{6}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=2.50 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=39.52\right)$. Chemical shifts ( d ) are given in ppm to the nearest $0.01\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ or $0.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The coupling constants $(J)$ are given in Hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. The signals are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity ( $s=$ singlet, $d=$ doublet, $t=$ triplet, $m=$ multiplet, $d d=$ doublet of doublets, br s = broad singlet), coupling constants $(J)$ and integration. Dye syntheses were performed in a Branson 5510 ultrasound bath. Mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded on a ThermoFisher LCQ advantage ion trap mass spectrometer hyphenated with an Agilent 1100 HPLC or an Agilent iQ single quadrupole mass spectrometer hyphenated with an agilent 1260 infinity HPLC. HRMS was carried out on a Thermo Q-exactive Focus mass spectrometer hyphenated to Thermo Vanquish UHPLC system including degasser, binary pump, autosampler and multiwavelength detector. Separation was done on a Thermo scientific Hypersil GOLD ( $150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) at $0.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Gradient starts at 90/10 water ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid)/ acetonitrile ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) to $98 \%$ acetonitrile ( $0.1 \%$ formic acid) in 15 minutes, and then kept for two minutes. Mass spectrometry was used in Electrospray ionization in switch mode (alternate positive/negative scan) using the following parameters: spray voltage was 3.7 kV (pos mode) and 2.7 kV (neg mode); capillary temperature: $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; sheath gas: 30 a.u.; Auxiliary gas: 15 a.u.; probe heater temperature: $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Microwaves experiments were performed in CEM Discover 201A15 with a puissance of 150 Watts. Melting points were achieved over system Kofler type WME Heizbank nr. $7563\left(44-266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The purity of compounds was further assayed by HPLC analysis on a JASCO PU-2089 apparatus with Supelco analytical column Ascentis Express $\mathrm{C} 18,100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 46 \mathrm{~mm} 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Eluent A : water with $1 \%$ formic acid, Eluent $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with $1 \%$ formic acid. Four different methods were used which are described as follows: Method 1: 100\% A over $2 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$ A to $60 \%$ A over $5 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \%$ A for 3 min then from $60 \% \mathrm{~A}$ to $100 \%$ A over 1 min ( 11 min in
total). Method 2: 100\% A over $2 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$ A to $60 \%$ A over $8 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \%$ A for 4 min then from $60 \%$ A to $100 \%$ A over 1 min ( 15 min in total) ). Method 3: 95\% A over $2 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$ A to 50\% A over 8 min , 50\% A for 4 min , from $50 \%$ A to $40 \%$ A over $6.5 \mathrm{~min}, 40 \%$ A for 6 min , from $40 \%$ A to $95 \%$ for 2 min then 95\% A for $2.5 \mathrm{~min}(30 \mathrm{~min}$ in total) ). Method 4: 95\% A over $2 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$ A to $50 \%$ A over $8 \mathrm{~min}, 50 \% \mathrm{~A}$ for 8 min , from $50 \%$ A to $95 \%$ A over 0.5 min , then $95 \%$ A for $0.5 \mathrm{~min}(20 \mathrm{~min}$ in total) ). For chapter 5, the reactions were followed by HPLC analysis on a JASCO PU-2089 apparatus with the following method 5: EC 125/4 NUCEODUR HILIC, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. UV-detection: 254 and 280 nm . Eluent A: water with 0.1 M of TEAB buffer $\mathrm{pH}=7$. Eluent $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: 20 \% \mathrm{~A}$ over $1 \mathrm{~min}, 20 \% \mathrm{~A}$ to $60 \% \mathrm{~A}$ over $12 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \% \mathrm{~A}$ for 6 min then from $60 \% \mathrm{~A}$ to $20 \% \mathrm{~A}$ over 0.5 min ( 20 min in total).

## Chapter 3

## General procedure for the formation of guanidine (I):

Method A: 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate (1.0 eq.) was dissolved in aniline (10.0 eq.) and the solution was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down, diethylether was added to the reaction mixture. The precipitate that formed was filtered and washed with diethylether to afford the corresponding guanidine nitrate.

Method B: 3,5-dimethyl-l-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in water and aniline ( 5.0 eq .) was added. The mixture reaction was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down, diethylether was added to the reaction mixture. The precipitate that formed was filtered and washed with diethylether to afford the corresponding guanidine nitrate.

Method C: Aniline (1.0 eq.) and cyanamide ( 3.0 eq.) were dissolved in phenol, then $12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq}$. solution (1.2 eq.) was added dropwise to the mixture reaction. The solution was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then cooled down to r.t. and quenched with water and basified with NaOH 2.5 M . The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, 10/0 then 9/1) afforded the corresponding guanidine nitrate.

## General procedure for the formation of $N$, phenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine (II):

To a solution of corresponding guanidine nitrate (1.0 eq.) with triethylamine ( 2.4 eq. ) in dioxane ( 0.12 M) were added triethylamine ( 3.1 eq.) and 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide or 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one ( 1.0 eq ). The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. (from 3 h to 16 h ). Dioxane was removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 100 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ to afford the pure corresponding $N$, phenyl- 1 H -imidazol-2-amine

## 3.1a: 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrO}$
Exact Mass: 197,9680
Molecular Weight: 199,0470

Characterization: 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one

Acetophenone ( $1.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ (cat.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bromine ( $0.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the mixture reaction, then the solution was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 3 h . Water was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product ( 3.085 g , 15.6 mmol, $93 \%$ yield) was afforded as a green solid.

Green solid, $3.085 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.03-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.71 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $=$ 199.00. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{531}$

## 3.1b: 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide




Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}$
Exact Mass: 278,8894
Molecular Weight: 280,9470

Characterization: 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide
3-Acetylpyridine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{HBr} 40 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before addition dropwise bromine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was gradually warmed up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until solubilization, then a precipitate was formed, which was filtered and washed with ether to afford the title compound as a white solid ( $2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ yield).

White solid, $2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{R}=2.73 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=199.93$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{468}$

## 3.3a: 1-phenylguanidine nitrate



Characterization: 1-phenylguanidine nitrate

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aniline ( $4.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 2 h .

White solid, $529.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.33 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ $1.57,1.85 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=136.133$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{469}$

## 3.3b: 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)guanidine



Characterization: 1-(2-hydroxyphenyl)guanidine
The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method B) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-aminophenol ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in water $(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 24 h .

Brown solid, $404.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}$, $54 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $t_{R}=1.80,1.86 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=152.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{532}$

## 3.3c : 1-(o-tolyl)guanidine



Characterization: 1-(o-tolyl)guanidine
The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and o-toluidine ( $15.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 149.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 1 h 30.

Purple solid, $2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 12.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.18 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.38-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=1.93 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=150.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{533}$

## 3.3d: 1-(2-chlorophenyl)guanidine



## Characterization: 1-(2-chlorophenyl)guanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-chloroaniline ( $15.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 149.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 16 h.

Purple solid, 3.17 g, $13.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.16 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.46(d, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.66-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}:$ $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.60,3.40 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=170.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{534}$

## 3.3e: 1-(2-bromophenyl)guanidine



Characterization: 1-(2-bromophenyl)guanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-bromoaniline ( $5.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 2 days.

Grey solid, $1.02 \mathrm{mg}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.14 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 156.1,133.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 130.0,129.9$, 129.2, 122.0. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.35,3.35 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=214.07,216.07$.

## 3.3f: 1-(3-bromophenyl)guanidine

Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 275,9858
Molecular Weight: 277,0780

Characterization: 1-(3-bromophenyl)guanidine
The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-bromoaniline ( $5.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 16 h.

Purple solid, $754.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.16 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=2.68,3.48 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=214.07,216.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{535}$

## 3.3g: 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)guanidine



Characterization: 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)guanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and m-anisidine ( $5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 24 h .

Grey solid, $907.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.18 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.51-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.67,3.42 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=166.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{536}$

## 3.3h: 1-(4-nitrophenyl)guanidine



Characterization: 1-(4-nitrophenyl)guanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method C) was followed using cyanamide $(4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 108.70 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-nitroaniline ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 36.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl} 12 \mathrm{M}(3.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ as reagents for 8 h .

Yellow solid, 2.28 g, $12.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.17$ (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.68,3.42 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=181.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{537}$

## 3.3i : 1-(3-nitrophenyl)guanidine



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 243,0604
Molecular Weight: 243,1790

Characterization: 1-(3-nitrophenyl)guanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine ( $I$, method $C$ ) was followed using cyanamide $(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 43.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-nitroaniline ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 14.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl} 12 \mathrm{M}(1.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ as reagents for 8 h .

Yellow solid, $65.7 \mathrm{mg}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.67-7.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.55\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.25-7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.67,3.41 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=181.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{537}$

## 3.8a: 1-phenyl-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine



Characterization: 1-phenyl-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine

The procedure for the formation of $N$, phenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine (II) was followed using guanidine nitrate 3.3a (113 mg, 0.57 mmol ), 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide ( 159 mg , 0.57 mmol ) and triethylamine as reagents for 3 h . After evaporation of dioxane under reduced pressure, water was added. The mixture reaction was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 100 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ afforded the titled imidazole 3.8a as a yellow solid ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, 21.8 mg , $0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.51 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right.$ ), $8.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right.$ ), $8.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, CH ${ }_{\text {Pyr }}$ ), $7.57-7.49$ (m, 5H, CH ${ }_{\text {ph }}$ ), 7.40 (ddd, $J=8.5,5.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {im }}$ ), 7.34 (dd, $J=7.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}$ ), $5.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 149.0,146.7,145.4,136.9,133.5,130.6$, $130.3,129.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.1,124.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 123.5,112.7$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.80,5.30 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=237.20$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{538}$

## 3.8b 1-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine



Characterization: 1-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine

The procedure for the formation of $N$, phenyl- $1 H$-imidazol-2-amine (II) was followed using guanidine nitrate 3.3 i ( $656.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide ( 759 mg , 2.70 mmol ) and triethylamine as reagents for 5 h . Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 100 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ afforded the titled imidazole $\mathbf{3 . 8 b}$ as a yellow solid $(409.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46$ mmol, 54\% yield).

Yellow solid, $409.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.44-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23$ (dd, J = 8.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (ddd, J = 10.4, 8.1, 2.1 Hz, 2H), $7.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 149.2, $148.3,147.0,145.5,137.8,134.2,131.1,130.8,130.4,130.0,123.5,121.5,118.8,112.4 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{R}=$ 2.67, $3.82 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=282.13$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 96.9\%, $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $95.8 \% ; t_{R}: 5.375$ min (method 1). Data recorded are in accordance to the reported ${ }^{460}$ describing $N$-(3-nitrophenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine.


Characterization: 1-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazol-2-amine

The procedure for the formation of $N$, phenyl- $1 H$-imidazol-2-amine (II) was followed using guanidine nitrate $3.3 \mathrm{~g}(410.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$, 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide ( 505.9 mg , 1.80 mmol ) and triethylamine as reagents for 16 h . Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 100 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ afforded the titled imidazole 3.8 c as a brown solid ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47$ mmol, 26\% yield).

Brown solid, $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.92$ (dd, $J=2.3,0.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (ddd, J = 7.9, 4.9, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (ddd, $J=7.9,1.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$ (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 101 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 160.9,148.2,147.6,146.3,137.7,134.9,131.6$, 130.9, 130.1, 123.6, 116.8, 113.9, 112.2, 110.6, 55.7.

## 3.8d: 1,4-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine



Characterization: 1,4-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine

The procedure for the formation of $N$, phenyl- $1 H$-imidazol-2-amine (II) was followed using guanidine nitrate 3.3a ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine as reagents for 3 h . After evaporation of dioxane under reduced pressure, water was added. The mixture reaction was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 100 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ afforded the titled imidazole as a brown solid 3.8d ( $54.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ yield).

Brown solid, $54.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.231 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.59 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ph }}\right.$ ), $7.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ph }}\right), 7.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {im }}\right.$, $C_{\text {Ph }}$ ), $7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 7.15\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 5.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 148.6,137.1,136.4,134.6,129.6$ (2C), 128.3 (2C), 126.8, 125.7, 123.9 (2C), 123.8 (2C), 111.5. LCMS: $t_{R}=8.12 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=236.13$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{539}$

### 3.21: 3-(2-(methylthio)-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pyridine



Characterization: 3-(2-(methylthio)-1H-imidazol-4-yl)pyridine

Methyl carbamimidothioate hydrogen iodide (1 g, 4.59 mmol ), 2-bromo-1-(pyridin-3-yl)ethan-1-one hydrogen bromide ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 4.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $3.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in acetonitrile $(43 \mathrm{~mL})$ at r.t. for 1 h 30 . After evaporation of acetonitrile under reduced pressure, water was added. The mixture reaction was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a brown oil ( $180.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.944 \mathrm{mmol}$, $21 \%$ yield).

Brown oil, $180.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.944 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.99-$ $8.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right.$ ), 8.36 (dd, J = 4.8, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), 8.06 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H, CH pyr ), 7.73 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {imidazole }}$ ), 7.35 (dd, J = 7.9, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), $2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$.

### 3.22: methyl carbamimidothioate hydrogen iodide

| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{7} / \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |  |
| Exact Mass: 217,9375 |  |
| Molecular Weight: 218,0565 |  |

Characterization: methyl carbamimidothioate hydrogen iodide
Methyl lodide ( $3.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 55.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of thiourea ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 39.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then mixture reaction was heated at reflux temperature for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated to afford the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $8.42 \mathrm{~g}, 38.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $8.42 \mathrm{~g}, 38.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}\right)$, $2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{480}$
3.41: 2-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)-1-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-ium bromide


Characterization: 2-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)-1-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-ium bromide
$N$-phenylpyrimidin-2-amine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with DMAP (7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ mmol ). Then 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min under microwave irradiation. After cooling down, a precipitate formed, which was filtered and washed with acetone to afford the compound as a white solid ( $417.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield).

White solid, 417.8 mg , $1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.2 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) $\delta 9.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=28.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (hept, $J=5.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$
$167.6,154.3,148.3,137.8,133.3,129.0,128.9$ (2C), 128.1 (3C), 127.2 (2C), 127.0 (2C), 112.7, 92.2, 63.5. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.43,3.65 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=290.13$.

### 3.42: $N$-phenylpyrimidin-2-amine



Characterization: $N$-phenylpyrimidin-2-amine

Chloropyrimidine ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in AcOH and 1,4-dioxane with aniline ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 10 / 0$ then $50 / 50$ ) to afford the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 9.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 9.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $9.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{ph}}\right), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 7.00-6.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.50 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 172.13. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{540}$

## 2.1e: N,4-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ Exact Mass: 235,1109
Molecular Weight: 235,2900

Characterization: N,4-diphenyl-1H-imidazol-2-amine

2-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)-1-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidin-4-ium bromide (439 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in EtOH , then $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. EtOH was removed under reduced pressure and the crude
material was purified by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ to afford the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.328 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.328 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.6 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\text {im }}\right), 8.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 7.82-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.0,145.3,142.6,128.8(2 C), 128.5,128.4,125.6,124.8,123.8,121.4$, 119.2, 118.9, 115.4 (2C). LCMS: $t_{R}=2.38,3.33 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=236.20$. HPLC ( $\lambda 254$ ): Purity $96.980 \%$, ( $\lambda 280$ ): Purity $98.184 \%$; $t_{R}: 5.90$ min (method 1 ).

### 3.56: 1-benzylguanidine



Characterization: 1-benzylguanidine

The general procedure for the formation of guanidine (I, method A) was followed using 3,5-dimethyl-I-guanylpyrazole nitrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylamine ( $5.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents for 16 h .

White solid, $992.4 \mathrm{mg}, 4.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.44 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.44-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.35-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ ), $4.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.7,137.1,128.6,127.5,127.2,44.0$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=3.63 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=150.06$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{541}$

### 3.59: 2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine bromide



Characterization: 2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrimidine bromide

Aminopyrimidine ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 21.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetone with 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one (2.07 $\mathrm{mg}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A precipitate was formed, filtered and washed with acetone and diethylether to obtain the compound as a grey solid ( $3.71 \mathrm{~g}, 13.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, 64\% yield).

Grey solid, $3.71 \mathrm{~g}, 13.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, $64 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.7 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.76 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=196.27$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{542}$

## Chapter 4

## General procedure for the formation of carbamimidothioic acid:

Method A: To a solution of aniline ( 1.0 eq.) in ethanol was added dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate (1.6 eq.) and the mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate was filtered, washed with cold ethanol and diethylether to obtain a solid.

Method B: To a solution of aminopyridine (1.0 eq.) in dry DMF or THF was added dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( 1.3 eq.), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ in mineral oil ( 1.6 eq.), with or without DMAP at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t.. The solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added until pH 7 and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 1 / 1$. The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethylether to obtain a solid.

## 3.1d: 2-bromo-1-(3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one



Characterization: 2-bromo-1-(3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one

3-Nitroacetophenone ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 24.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{AICl}_{3}$ (cat.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bromine ( $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the mixture reaction, then the solution was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 3 h . Water was added to quench the reaction and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent were evaporated under pressure to afford the product as a white solid ( $3.597 \mathrm{~g}, 14.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield).

White solid, $3.597 \mathrm{~g}, 14.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{R}=9.74 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}=242.3$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{543}$

## 4.6a: Carbamimidothioic acid, N-cyano-N'-phenyl, methyl ester



Molecular Weight: 191,2520

Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N$ '-phenyl, methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method A) was followed using aniline ( $0.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 17.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol ( 30 mL ) for 16 h .

White solid, $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 5.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 6 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.23 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 10.16$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.60-7.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.14 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 192.07. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{496}$

## 4.6b: Carbamimidothioic acid, N-cyano-N'-(pyridin-4-yl), methyl ester



Molecular Weight: 192,2400

Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-4-yl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using pyridin-4-amine ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 10.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ in mineral oil ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 17.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 2.66$ mmol ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 13.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) for 16 h .

White solid, $1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 9.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.24 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}\right), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.42 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$192.93. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{501}$

## 4.6c: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- ${ }^{\prime}$-(pyridin-3-yl), methyl ester



Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-3-yl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using pyridin-3-amine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 5.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ in mineral oil ( $327 \mathrm{mg}, 8.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP (cat.) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 6.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in dry DMF ( 4 mL ) for 3 h at r.t., 16 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

White-off solid, $650.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.30 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.63\left(d, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right.$ ), $8.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right.$ ), $7.97-7.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right)$, 7.43 (dd, $J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), $2.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=3.78 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=192.93$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{501}$

## 4.6d: Carbamimidothioic acid, N-cyano-N'-(pyridin-2-yl), methyl ester



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ Exact Mass: 192,0470
Molecular Weight: 192,2400

Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-2-yl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using pyridin-2-amine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ in mineral oil ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 13.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate $(2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 17.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ as reagents in dry DMF ( 10 mL ) for 16 h .

Orange solid, $440 \mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.78 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=114-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.99-8.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right), 8.02$ (ddd, J = 9.0, 7.1, 1.7 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}$ ), 7.34 (br. s, 1H, NH), $7.26-7.19\left(m, 2 H, C_{\text {Pyr }}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 172.6, $152.8,150.2,142.5,130.1,122.6,116.3,13.1 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.70 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=192.93$.

## 4.6e: Carbamimidothioic acid, N-cyano-N'-(2-chloro, pyridine-3-yl), methyl ester



Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(2-chloro, pyridine-3-yl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using 3-amino-2-chloropyridine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ in mineral oil ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.48 \mathrm{mg}, 10.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in THF ( 8 mL ) for 4 days.

Grey solid, $1.27 \mathrm{mg}, 5.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.63 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.2,148.8,147.9,138.8$, $131.3,123.9,114.3,14.6$. LCMS: $t_{R}=4.68 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}=224.93$.

## 4.6f: Carbamimidothioic acid, N-cyano-N'-3,4-dichlorophenyl), methyl ester



Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N$ '-3,4-dichlorophenyl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method A) was followed using 3,4dichloroaniline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 9.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol ( 30 mL ) for 4 days.

Grey solid, $390.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}$, $24 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 6 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.18 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.63 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}=257.93 ; 259.87$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{544}$

## 4.6g: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methoxyphenyl), methyl ester



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$
Exact Mass: 221,0623
Molecular Weight: 221,2780

Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methoxyphenyl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method A) was followed using 4methoxyaniline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 8.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 24 h .

Grey solid, $1.31 \mathrm{mg}, 5.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 6 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.22 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.20$ $\min .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=220.20$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{496}$
4.6h: Carbamimidothioic acid, N -cyano- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(4-methylphenyl), methyl ester


Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methylphenyl), methyl ester
The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method $A$ ) was followed using $p$ toluidine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 16 h .

White solid, $925.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 6 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.31 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ $10.54 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=206.07$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{545}$

## 4.6i: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-chlorophenyl), methyl ester



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$
Exact Mass: 225,0127
Molecular Weight: 225,6940

Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-chlorophenyl), methyl ester
The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method A) was followed using 4chloroaniline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 8.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 24 h .

White solid, $789.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 6 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.29 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.84 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=226.00$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{546}$

## 4.6j: Carbamimidothioic acid, N -cyano- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(4-bromophenyl), methyl ester



Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-bromophenyl), methyl ester
The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method A) was followed using 4bromoaniline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 6.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in ethanol ( 10 mL ) for 3 days.

White solid, $942.5 \mathrm{mg}, 3.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.22$. M.p. $=190-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 170.1,136.6,131.6(2 C), 125.9(2 C), 118.5,114.5,14.9$. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.99$ $\min .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=270.00 ; 271.93$.

## 4.6k: Carbamimidothioic acid, N -cyano- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-(3-nitrophenyl), methyl ester



Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(3-nitrophenyl), methyl ester

The general procedure for the carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using 3nitroaniline ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$. in mineral oil ( $460 \mathrm{mg}, 11.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 9.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagents in $\mathrm{THF}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days.

Brown solid, $270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / E t O A c, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.24 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-$ $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,2.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (ddd, $J=8.2,2.2,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.46 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=237.00$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{547}$

### 4.61: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methylbenzoate), methyl ester



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
Exact Mass: 249,0572
Molecular Weight: 249,2880

## Characterization: Carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methylbenzoate), methyl ester

The general procedure for the Carbamimidothioic acid formation (method B) was followed using methyl 4-aminobenzoate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$. in mineral oil ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 10.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylcyanodithioimidocarbonate $(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 8.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ as reagents in $\mathrm{THF}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. Yellow solid, $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 4.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}(\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})=0.24 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (200 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.31 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=249.93$.

## MCK243: $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine



Characterization: $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N$ '-phenyl, methyl ester ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid (922.8 mg, 5.27 mmol, $96 \%$ yield).

White solid, $922.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.28 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=160-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.04$ (br. s, 1H, NH), 8.60 (s, 1H, NH), 7.49 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, CH ${ }_{\text {ph }}$ ), 7.15 $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ph}}\right), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $157.7,155.6,142.6,128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.3,115.5(2 \mathrm{C})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.44 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=176.13 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.6\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $96.7 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.73 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 1 ). Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{498}$

MCK244: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-4-yl), methyl ester ( $81.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.422 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.833 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a grey solid ( 61.6 mg , $0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ yield).

Grey solid, $61.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.28 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), $5.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.5,155.8,149.1,148.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 110.3$ (2C). LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.10 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=177.13$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 94.4\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 99.1\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 0.59$ $\min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK245: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$
Exact Mass: 176,0810
Molecular Weight: 176,1830

Characterization: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-3-yl), methyl ester ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 3.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 8 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.77 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a grey solid ( 435.9 mg , $2.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield).

Grey solid, $435.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.10$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$
 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), 7.17 (dd, $J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), $5.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $157.6,155.6,139.3,139.1,137.9,123.3,121.4 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{R}=2.15 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=177.20 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $99.9 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.73 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{548}$

MCK246: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-2-yl), methyl ester ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 6 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.87 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. After evaporation, the precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.90 / 10\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $327.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $327.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.25 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=212-214{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $) ~ \delta 10.92$ (s, 1H, NH), 8.25 (s, 1H, NH), 7.81 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, CH pyr), 7.68 (d, J $\left.=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right), 6.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=42.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}\right), 6.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ 156.1, 154.1, 153.7, 146.7, 138.9, 115.51, 110.6. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.00 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=177.20 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 97.1\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 100\%; $t_{R}: 0.62$ min (method 1).

MCK247: $N^{3}$-(2-chloropyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(2-chloropyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(2-chloro, pyridine-3-yl), methyl ester ( 1.27 mg , $5.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After evaporation of solvent, the precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid ( $1.07 \mathrm{mg}, 5.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield).

White solid, $44.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.34$. M. p. $=210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.48$ (br. s, 1H, NH), 8.50 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), 7.84 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), 7.56 (br. s, 1H, NH), 7.32 (dd, J = 8.2, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {pyr }}$ ), $6.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.4,155.8,138.9,136.8,135.4,124.2,123.5 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=4.48 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=211.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $100 \%$; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $99.3 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 1.78$ min (method 1$)$.


Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $447 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Benzyl chloride ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0$ then $5 / 5$ ) afforded the titled compound as a brown solid ( $20.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0786 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Brown solid, $20.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0786 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.69 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.81(s, 1 H, N H), 7.59\left(d, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 H, C H_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}\right)$, $7.29-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.22-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.11$ ( $s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.7,150.2,141.1,137.6,128.6$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), 127.2, $127.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.5,116.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.7 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.56 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=266.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.7 \%$; $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $96.8 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.73 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$.

## MCK249: 1-benzyl-N3-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine



Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Benzyl chloride ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 1 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for an additional 1 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $10 / 0$ then $60 / 40$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.449 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer ( $15.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ ).

White solid, $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.449 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.54$. M.p. $=136-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.34\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}\right)$, $7.29-7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 5.03 (s, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.1,154.1,142.4,137.6,128.4$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), $127.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.2,118.4,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.7 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.65 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=266.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.4\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 97.9\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.25$ min (method 1).

MCK250: 1-(2-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2-Methylbenzyl chloride ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07$ mmol ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.60 / 40\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a brown solid (111 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.398 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield) .

Brown solid, $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.398 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.51$. M.p. $=156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.48-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.14$ (ddd, J=15.8, 13.8, 7.6 $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.68\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.1, 154.3, 142.4, 135.8, 135.4, 129.9, 128.4 (2C), 126.9, 126.6, 125.8, 118.4, 115.5 (2C), 46.9, 18.7. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.10 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=280.13$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.5\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 98.5\%; $t_{R}: 8.78$ min (method 1 ).

MCK251: 4-((3-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)amino)-1-benzylpyridin-1-ium chloride


Characterization: 4-((3-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)amino)-1-benzylpyridin-1-ium chloride
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 6.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Benzyl chloride ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 days. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ to 8/2) afforded the desired compound as white solid ( $442.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield).

White solid, $442.6 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.10 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=254{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=37.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $7.47-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 156.1, 154.8, 152.9, 135.4, 129.1 (4C), 128.8, $128.1(4 \mathrm{C}), 60.3$. $\mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.52 ; 3.76 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 267.13. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $=99.1 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $=98.1 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.29 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.


Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{5}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.18 g , 3.2 mmol ) was added. Benzyl chloride ( $0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then $95 / 5$ ) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{3}-$ benzylated regioisomer.

Yellow solid, $4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.6 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.69 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.66$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.23\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.20-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 6.91 - $6.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $160.82,153.9,148.7,147.6,137.8,137.4,128.3(2 C), 127.4(2 C), 127.2,116.4,110.5,49.1$. LCMS: $t_{R}=$ 2.73; 6.37 min. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=267.13$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.6\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.45 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK253: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl chloride ( 0.16 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.9 / 1\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.526 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, 16.4 mg , $0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.61$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.48$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $8.16-8.11$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}$ ), 7.83 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H, CH $\mathrm{P}_{\text {Pyr }}$ ), $7.64-$ $7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ph }}\right), 7.30-7.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ph }}\right), 6.80-6.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Pyr }}\right)$, 6.47 ( $s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.8,154.4,154.3,147.6,137.6$, $137.4,128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.2,127.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 114.9,109.5,48.7 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.71 ; 6.42 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=267.13$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 98.8\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 95.6\%; $t_{R}: 5.55$ min (method 1$)$.

MCK254: 1-benzyl-N3²-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Benzyl chloride ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a brown solid ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ yield).

Brown solid, $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.12$. M.p. $=140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), 7.93 (dd, J=12.0, 3.5 Hz, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.35\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.30-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}, 6.37(\mathrm{~s}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.5, 154.1, 139.2, 138.7, 137.7, 137.3, 128.2 (2C), 127.1 (2C), 127.0, 123.1, 121.3, 48.5. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.69 ; 4.92 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=267.20$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 97.6\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity 97.1\%; $t_{R}: 5.21$ min (method 1).

MCK255: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-benzyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF (8 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzyl chloride ( 0.13 mL , 1.14 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 4 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 6 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography (H2O/CH3CN, 10/0 then 70/30) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $58.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.220 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ ).

White solid, $58.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.220 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.21$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=206-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $9.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.40-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.30-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.1,154.3,149.5$ (2C), 148.1, 137.3, 128.4 (2C), 127.3 (2C), 127.3, 110.3 (2C), 48.8. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.80 ; 3.90 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=267.20$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $100 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $100 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.1$ $\min (m e t h o d 1)$.

MCK256: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)-N33-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 301,1139
Molecular Weight: 301,3008

Characterization: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(930 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. 2,6-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( $463 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.5 / 5\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white-off solid ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.851 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{5}$ benzylated regioisomer ( $46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield).

White-off solid, 257 mg , $0.851 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.40 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=154-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), $7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,5.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.69\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 160.9(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=248.7,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 157.2,154.0,142.4,130.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.4,115.4$ (2C), $112.5(\mathrm{t}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.6(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 37.1(\mathrm{t}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta-114.67(2 F)$. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.20 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=302.13 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 98.3\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $99.5 \% ; t_{R}: 8.22 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

## MCK257: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)-N5-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine



Characterization: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF, then $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $930 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2,6-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( $463 \mathrm{mg}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was filtered and DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0$ then 6/4) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ benzylated regioisomer ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.851 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield).

White solid, $46.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.65 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=240-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), 7.42 (ddd, J=15.0, 8.4, 6.7 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.29-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.09\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.92-6.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.9$ (dd, J = 248.3, 8.0 Hz, 2C), 160.7, 150.0, $141.1,130.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.4,116.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 112.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.6(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.8$, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 37.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-114.99(2 \mathrm{~F}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.77 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=302.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 95.3\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity 97.5\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.68$ min (method 1$)$.

MCK258: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Methoxybenzyl chloride $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then $90 / 10$ ) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $151.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $151.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.34$. M.p. $=206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.24-8.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.70-$ $7.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.88-6.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.08$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 159.3, 155.6, 154.3, 154.1, 147.6, 138.8, $137.9,129.5,119.3,114.9,113.1,112.4,109.5,54.9,48.7$. $\mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.67 ; 4.03 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=297.07$. $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $97.8 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.78 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK259: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2,6$-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h 30 . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $87.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.291 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield).

White solid, $87.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.291 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.56 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.16-8.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.57$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.43 (ddd, $J=15.0,8.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 6.74 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.9(d d, J=248.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 C), 155.7,154.2,154.2,147.5,137.5,130.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $114.8,112.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=19.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.6(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 109.3,37.44-37.1(\mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta-114.67(2 F)$. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.48 ; 3.80 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=303.07$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.8\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $95.3 \% ; t_{R}: 5.53$ min (method 1 ).

MCK260: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Methoxybenzyl chloride ( 0.22 mL , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $40 / 60$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0102 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0102 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.74 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.87$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.77-6.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.6,159.2,150.2,141.1,139.1$, $129.5,128.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.4,119.2,116.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 113.0,112.2,55.0,48.6 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.82 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 296.07. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 90.7\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $92.4 \% ; t_{R}: 7.75 \min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK261: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)-N33-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-methoxybenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Methoxybenzyl chloride ( 0.22 mL , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $40 / 60$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $33.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $33.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.69 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=106-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.69-8.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), 7.25 (td, $J=7.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.86-6.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.03-4.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 159.2,157.1$, $154.1,142.3,139.1,129.4,128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 119.4,118.3,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 113.2,112.3,54.9,48.5 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 9.71 min. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=296.00$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $96.7 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.18$ min (method 2$)$.


Characterization: 1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (1 mL), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Isopropylbenzyl chloride ( 0.22 mL , $1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $45 / 55$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $51.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}$, $12 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $51.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.81 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.27-7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $7.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.07$ ( $s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.84\left(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ ), $1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $160.6,150.0,147.4,141.1,134.9,128.6$ (2C), 127.2 (2C), 126.2 (2C), 120.4, 116.8 (2C), 48.4, 33.1, 23.8 (2C). LCMS: $t_{R}=11.15 \min .[M+H]^{+}=308.07$. $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $91.1 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.47 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.


Characterization: 1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Isopropylbenzyl chloride ( 0.22 mL , $1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $45 / 55$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.397 \mathrm{mmol}$, $28 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.397 \mathrm{mmol}, 28 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.79 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.66(s, 1 H, N H), 7.47\left(d, J=7.8 H z, 2 H, C_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.17(d q, J=15.6,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ) $6.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.84$ (hept, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 1.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.0,154.0,147.3,142.3,135.0,128.4$ (2C), $127.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.3,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.4,33.1,23.9(2 \mathrm{C}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.15 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=308.07$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity $97.3 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.82 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK264: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 343,0433
Molecular Weight: 344,2160
Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Bromobenzyl bromide ( 225 mg , $0.857 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $\mathrm{r} . t$. for an additional 1 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $45 / 55$ ) afforded the titled compound as a purple solid ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol}$, $30 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Purple solid, $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.56$. M.p. $=108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.46\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 5.04 ( $s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.2,154.2,142.2,140.3,130.6,130.0,128.4$ (2C), $126.3,121.6,118.4,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.0 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.75 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=244.00 ; 246.00$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.4\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $96.7 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.85$ min (method 2 ).

MCK265: $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl), methyl ester ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.965$ mmol ) in ethanol ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was warmed at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a grey solid ( 232 mg , $0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ yield).

Grey solid, $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.34 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.36(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $5.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.2,155.5,14$ 2.6, 130.7, 130.1, 119.0, 116.2, 115.8. LCMS: $t_{R}=9.32$ min. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=244.00$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.4\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $96.5 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.37 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK266: $N^{3}$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methoxyphenyl), methyl ester ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a grey solid ( 873.3 mg , $4.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ yield).

Grey solid, $873.3 \mathrm{mg}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.24 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}\right), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $5.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 158.2,155.4,152.0,136.3$, $116.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 113.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 55.2$ LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.45,3.30 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=206.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.3\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $96.3 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.86 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{498}$

MCK267: 1-(4-cyanobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-cyanobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl- 1 H -1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Cyanobenzyl chloride ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with
ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a grey solid ( $88.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield).

Grey solid, $88.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.304 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.48$. M.p. $=178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.38$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$, $7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.14$ (s, 2H, CH 2 ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.4, 154.4, 143.3, 142.2, 132.4 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 118.7, 118.5, 115.5 (2C), 109.9, 48.3. LCMS: $t_{R}=9.90 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=291.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.3 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.09 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 2)$.

MCK268: 1-(2,6-dichlorocyanobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 333,0548
Molecular Weight: 334,2040

Characterization: 1-(2,6-dichlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2,6-Dichlorobenzyl chloride ( 276 mg , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a brown solid ( $93.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield).

Brown solid, $93.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 8 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.57 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=206-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.43-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.07\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.66\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $157.1,154.0,142.4,135.6$ (2C), 131.7, 130.4, 128.5 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 118.2, $115.4(2 C)$, 44.6. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.31$ min. $[M+H]^{+}=334.00$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 100\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $96.9 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.78 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK269: 1-(2-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2-\mathrm{Me}$. ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $210.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.753 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $210.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.753 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.44$. M.p. $=256-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.71-7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=12.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.90-6.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.6, 154.5, 154.2, 147.6, $137.7,135.5,135.3,129.9,127.0,126.4,125.8,114.9,109.5,47.0,18.6$. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.53 ; 3.64 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=281.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.9\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $97.4 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.85 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK270: 1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$
Exact Mass: 308,1749
Molecular Weight: 308,3890
Characterization: 1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 4-Isopropylbenzyl chloride ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $244.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.792 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $244.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.792 \mathrm{mmol}$, $56 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.46 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20-8.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.68-7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.26-7.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.82-6.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.85 (hept, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $1.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.5, 154.2, 154.1, 147.5, 147.4, 137.8, 134.7, 127.2 (2C), 126.3 (2C), 114.9, 109.5, 48.5, 33.1, 23.8 (2C). LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.42 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=309.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity \%; $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $98.1 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.15 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 1).

MCK271: 1-(4-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Methylbenzyl chloride ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a purple solid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield).

Purple solid, $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.56 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=116-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $156.3,153.5,142.1,136.3,134.4,128.8$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), 127.2 (2C), 118.5, 115.5 (2C), 48.5, 20.6. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.82 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=280.00 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.7\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 95.0\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.61$ min (method 2).

MCK272: 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Chlorobenzyl chloride ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.40 / 60\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a purple solid ( $76.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ yield).

Purple solid, $76.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.47$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.0,154.0,142.2,136.5,131.8,129.1$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 118.4, $115.5(2 \mathrm{C})$, 48.0. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.63 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=300.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $99.7 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $98.5 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.98 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.


Characterization: $N^{3}$-phenyl-1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl chloride $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $45 / 55$ ) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $197.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.592 \mathrm{mmol}$, $41 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Yellow solid, 197.1 mg , $0.592 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.71$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.68-7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.3,154.2,142.2,139.0,131.4,129.5$ (2C), 129.2, 128.9, $128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 124.0-123.8(\mathrm{~m}), 118.5,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.1 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ - 61.11 (3F). LCMS: $t_{R}=10.58 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=334.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $98.1 \% ; t_{R}: 9.59 \min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

## MCK274: 1-(3,5-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine



Characterization: 1-(3,5-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3,5-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( 230 mg , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a purple solid ( $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield).

Purple solid, $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.61$. M.p. $=146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.16\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), 6.94 (d, J = $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}$ ), $6.74\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $6.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=246.4,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 156.4, 153.8, 142.0, $141.96(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 128.5 (2C), 118.8, 115.7 (2C), 110.3 (dd, $J=18.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 102.79(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 47.9 .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-109.66(2 F)$. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.13 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=302.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $98.4 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.88 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 2).

MCK275: 1-(3-nitrobenzyl))-N33-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-nitrobenzyl))- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $37.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $37.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.51$. M.p. $=180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.24-8.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.69\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.26-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $5.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 149.4, 148.9, 147.8, 139.7, 137.8, 134.3, $130.2,128.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 122.8,122.5,120.9,116.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.6$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.77 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=311.07$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 98.0\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 95.3\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : 8.61 min (method 2).

MCK276: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide $(159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( 352 mg , 1.42 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $121.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.352 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $121.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.352 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.50$. M.p. $=232-234{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{\left.-d_{6}\right)} \delta 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.18$ (ddd, $\left.J=4.9,2.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $8.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.63 (ddd, J=8.8, $7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.54-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.25\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.79$ (ddd, J = $\left.7.2,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.8,154.4,154.1,147.6,140.1,137.8,130.7$, $130.1,129.9,126.3,121.6,115.0,109.5,48.0$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.40 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=345.07$; 347.07. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.4\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.55 \min (m e t h o d 1)$.


Characterization: 1-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2,4-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( 232 mg , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $50 / 50$ ) afforded the titled compound as a brown solid ( $30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield).

Brown solid, $30.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.55 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=74-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 8.68 (s, 1H, NH), $7.48-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), 7.26 (ddd, J=10.4, 9.3, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.20-7.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.9$ (dd, J = 178.1, 12.3 Hz ), 159.5 ( $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=180.6,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 157.3, 154.3, $142.2,130.5$ (dd, $J=9.9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 120.9$ (dd, $J=15.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.4,115.5$ (2C), 111.4 (dd, J $=21.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 103.8(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=25.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 42.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta-111.47$, 113.80. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.34 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=302.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $98.3 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.55 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK278: 1-(2,6-dichlorobenzyl)-N3 -(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2,6-dichlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2,6$-Dichlorobenzyl chloride ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.9 / 1\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $30.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield).

White solid, $30.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.42$. M.p. $>60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.72-7.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 7.52 (dq, J = 5.7, 2.9, 1.9 Hz, 3H, CH Ar ), 7.39 (dd, $J=8.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.72 (ddd, J = $7.2,4.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), $5.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.6, 154.2, 154.2, $147.5,137.4,135.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 131.5,130.5,128.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 114.8,109.3,44.7$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.57 ; 2.08 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $=335.00$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 97.2\%; $t_{R}: 6.26 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK279: 1-(4-methylbenzyl)-N33-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-methylbenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4-$ Methylbenzyl chloride ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $99.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.357 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $99.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.357 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.52$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=210-212^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.23-8.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$,
7.63 (td, $J=8.8,8.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.78$ (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.5,154.2,154.2$, $147.5,137.8,136.3,134.3,128.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 114.9,109.5,48.5,20.6$. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.45 ; 3.95 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=281.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 99.5\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity 97.1\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.13$ min (method 1 ).

MCK280: 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4$-Chlorobenzyl chloride ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $70.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.236 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $70.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.236 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.47 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.72$ (s, 1H, NH), 8.15 (ddd, J=4.9, 1.9, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.83 (d, J= 8.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.62 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.49-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 6.77 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $6.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.8,154.4,154.1,147.6,137.7,136.4,131.8,129.1$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), 114.9, 109.5, 48.0. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.50 ; 3.49 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=301.13$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 98.4\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.47 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK281: 4-((5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)benzonitrile


Characterization: 4-((5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)benzonitrile
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 4(Chloromethyl)benzonitrile ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $49.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.171 \mathrm{mmol}$, 12\% yield).

Yellow solid, $49.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.171 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.47 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20-8.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.63$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.79$ (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.65 (s, 2H, NH2), $5.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.9,154.6,154.1,147.6,143.1$, $137.8,132.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.7,115.0,110.0,109.5,48.4$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.68 ; 4.46 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 292.07. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.8\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.26$ min (method 1 ).

MCK282: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl chloride ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.9 / 1\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $194.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.583 \mathrm{mmol}$, 41\% yield).

White solid, $194.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.583 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.42 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=248-250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.23-8.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.69-7.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.78$ (ddd, $J=7.3,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 5.19 (s, 2H, CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 155.9, 154.5, 154.1, 147.6, 138.8, 137.7, 131.4, 129.6, $129.1(q, J=31.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1(q, J=272.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.1(q, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.8(q, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 115.0, 109.5, 48.2. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-61.12(3 \mathrm{~F}) . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=4.00 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=335.07$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.7\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 99.3\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.75 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 1)$.

MCK283: 1-(3,5-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3,5-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3,5$-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $124.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.413 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $124.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.413 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.45 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.25-8.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 7.64 (ddd, J = 8.8, 7.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH Arr ), $7.17\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $6.97-6.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 6.80 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 162.3(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=246.4,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 155.9,154.5,154.1,147.6,141.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 137.88,115.07$, 110.2 ( $d, J=6.8,18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 109.5,102.8(\mathrm{t}, J=25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 47.9 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta-109.58$ (2F). LCMS: $t_{R}=2.66 ; 4.80 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=303.13$. $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $98.7 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.03 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK284: 1-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)-N33-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2,4-difluorobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .2,4$-Difluorobenzyl chloride ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $199.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.660 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $199.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.660 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.40 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .>60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20-8.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.62$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 7.27 (ddd, $J=10.5,9.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 7.17 (td, $J=8.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.08 (td, $J=8.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.78 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), $5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.9$ (dd, $J=182.2,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 159.5 (dd, $J=184.6$, 12.3 Hz ), 155.9, 154.5, 154.1, 147.0, 137.7, 130.4 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.9 Hz ), 120.66 (dd, J = 15.1, 3.7 Hz ), 114.9, 111.5 (dd, $J=21.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.5,103.9(\mathrm{t}, J=25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 42.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$,

DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$-111.33, -113.74. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.73 ; 6.94 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=303.13 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.1 \% ; t_{R}:$ $5.60 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 1)$.

MCK285: $N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methylphenyl), methyl ester ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 4.39$ mmol ) in ethanol ( 15 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.78 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid (780.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ yield).

White solid, $780.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $5.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.8,155.6,140.1,128.8$ $(2 C), 126.6,115.5(2 C), 20.2 . L C M S: t_{R}=6.86 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=190.13 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $100 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.92$ $\min (m e t h o d 1)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{497}$

MCK286: $N^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-chlorophenyl), methyl ester ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 3.29$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.54 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at
$75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid (628.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield).

White solid, $628.2 \mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 5.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.6, 155.4, 141.5, 128.1 (2C), 121.5, 116.9 (2C). LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.88 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=210.13 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $100 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $99.86 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.58$ $\min (m e t h o d 1)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{549}$

MCK287: $N^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}-4,6$-dimethylpyrimidine, methyl ester ( 850 mg , $3.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid ( $763.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.019 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield).

White solid, $763.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.019 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.26 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $10.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $5.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.40,155.64,141.93,131.03(2 \mathrm{C}), 117.46$ (2C), 109.23. LCMS: $t_{R}=8.47 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=254.07$; 256.07. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $100 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $98.3 \% ; t_{R}: 5.93 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 1)$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{549}$


Characterization: 1-(4-nitrobenzyl))- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 4-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.50 / 50\right)$ and second purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}\right.$, iso $\left.50 / 50\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$, $5 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.32 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.50-7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 7.21 - $7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.71\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}$) $\delta 157.4,154.4,146.7,145.4,142.2,128.4$ (2C), 128.2 (2C), 123.6 (2C), 118.5, 115.5 $(2 C), 48.1 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{R}=10.18 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=311.13$. $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.2 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.41 \min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK289: 1-(2-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 311,1131
Molecular Weight: 311,3050

Characterization: 1-(2-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, $10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $94.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.303 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $94.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.303 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.22$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=262^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.20-8.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.74(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.59 (ddd, $J=14.3,10.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.84-6.73$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.2, 154.9, 154.1, $147.6,147.3,137.6,134.2,133.2,128.5,128.1,124.9,115.0,109.6,46.7$. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.68 ; 6.32 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=312.13 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 98.6\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.23$ min (method 1$)$.

MCK290: 1-(4-nitrobenzyl)-N33-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(4-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .4$-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( 305 mg , 1.42 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, $10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $107.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.345 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, 107.3 mg , 0.345 mmol , 24\% yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.28 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.28-8.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 8.22-8.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.85$ (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.64 (ddd, J = $8.8,7.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), 6.80 (ddd, $\left.J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 156.0$, $154.6,154.0,147.6,146.7,145.1,137.9,128.2$ (2C), 123.7 (2C), 115.0, 109.6, 48.2. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.69$; 6.36 min. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=312.13$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $98.8 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.43 \mathrm{~min}($ method 1$)$.

MCK292: 1-(3-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3-Nitrobenzyl chloride ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.32$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ ), $8.21-8.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.85\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.73-7.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.66-7.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.79$ (ddd, J = $\left.7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.0,154.5,154.1,147.8,147.6,139.6,137.7$, $134.0,130.1,122.3,121.9,115.0,109.5,48.0$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.86 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=312.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.5\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 99.1\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.80$ min (method 1).

MCK293.2: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 5 -(Chloromethyl)-1,2,3trimethoxybenzene ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $79.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol}$, $16 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $79.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.36 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=248-250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.34(s, 1 H, N H), 8.13\left(d d d, J=4.9,2.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.60 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.76 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.65 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $6.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 4.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.7,154.3,154.2,152.8$ (2C), 147.6, 137.5, 136.7, 132.8, 114.8, 109.9, 104.9 (2C), 59.9, $55.8(2 \mathrm{C})$, 48.9. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.72 ; 6.38 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=357.00$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $99.4 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.34 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 1).

MCK294: 2-(5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one


Characterization: 2-(5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one ( $281 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}$, $15 \%$ yield).

White solid, $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.26 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=260-262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (ddd, J = 4.9, 2.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), $8.09-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), $7.77-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 193.0, 155.6, 155.5, 154.2, 147.6, 137.5, 134.6, 133.8, $128.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 114.8,109.5,52.7 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=2.72 ; 6.06 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=295.13 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $99.3 \% ; t_{R}: 5.26 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 1)$.

MCK295: 2-(5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-(3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one


Characterization: 2-(5-amino-3-(pyridin-2-ylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-(3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1one
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Bromo-1-(3-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.166 \mathrm{mmol}$, $12 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.166 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.30$. M.p. $=240^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.74\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 8.57-8.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 8.47$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $8.19-8.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.94-7.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), 7.62 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.1,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 6.79 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 192.0,155.7,155.5,154.1,148.0,147.5,137.8,135.8,134.2,130.7,127.9,122.4$, 114.9, 109.6, 53.1. LCMS: $t_{R}=2.76 ; 6.66 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=340.07$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $96.1 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.71 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 1).

MCK296: 1-phenethyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-phenethyl- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (2-chloroethyl)benzene ( $\mathrm{mL}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at r.t. for 4 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $10 / 0$ then $60 / 40$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ yield).

White solid, $11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}: 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.38$. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ ), 8.15 (ddd, $J=4.9,2.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.64 (ddd, J=8.8, 7.1, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.32-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), 6.78 (ddd, $J=7.2,4.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ), $6.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), $4.14-3.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.12-2.90$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 155.3,154.3,153.8,147.5,138.4,137.6,128.8$ (2C), 128.2 $(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2,114.8,109.5,46.4,34.6 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.01 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=281.13 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.8\%; $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{282}\right)$ : Purity $99.4 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 5.13 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 1)$.

MCK297: 1-(2-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(2-nitrobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2-Nitrobenzyl bromide ( $307 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $60 / 40$ ) afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \%$ yield).

Yellow solid, $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.57 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.14\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right.$ ), $7.73(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.62-7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, 6.99 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H, CH $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ), 6.72 (tt, J = 7.3, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.5,154.6,147.3,142.2,134.2,133.5,128.5,128.4$ (2C), 128.2, $124.8,118.5,115.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 46.6 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.47 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=311.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{282}\right):$ Purity $97.2 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.21$ $\min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK298: $N^{3}$-phenyl-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

$N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide $(159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. 5-(Chloromethyl)-1,2,3trimethoxybenzene ( 309 mg , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 3 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.60 / 40\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid (103.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield) .

White solid, $103.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.48 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.21-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $6.71\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.0,153.9,152.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 142.4,136.7,133.0$, $128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.4,115.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 105.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 59.9,55.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.8 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.36 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=355.95$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.7\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{282}$ ): Purity 98.8\%; $t_{R}$ : $7.44 \min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK299: 2-(5-amino-3-(phenylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one


Characterization: 2-(5-amino-3-(phenylamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1-phenylethan-1-one
$N^{3}$-phenyl- $1 H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 2-Bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one (283 mg , 1.43 mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , then at r.t. for 2 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$, $10 / 0$ then $60 / 40$ ) afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $139.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.475 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield).

White solid, 139.2 mg , $0.475 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.50 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.09-7.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.70\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.59\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 193.2,157.0,155.2$, $142.3,134.6,133.7,128.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 128.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 118.3,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 52.6 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.80 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$294.07. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 97.6\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $94.2 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.73$ min (method 2$)$.

## MCK300: 1-phenethyl- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine



Characterization: 1-phenethyl- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. (2-Chloroethyl)benzene ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then potassium iodade ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at r.t. for 24 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.60 / 40\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid (12.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $12.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.57 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.50-7.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.31-7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.88-6.81$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.12-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.03-2.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.4,149.6,141.1,138.4,128.7$ (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 126.2, 120.2, 116.9 (2C), 46.4, 34.8. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.51 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=280.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 94.2\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 6.47 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 2)$.


Characterization: 1-phenethyl- $N^{3}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in degassed DMF ( 10 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. (2-chloroethyl)benzene ( $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43$ mmol ), dissolved in degassed DMF ( 5 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then potassium iodade ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at r.t. for 24 h . Water was added to the mixture reaction and was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over magnesium sulfate and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.60 / 40\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( 37.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $37.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.56 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=160-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.53-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{td}$, $\left.J=6.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.16\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,5.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.77-6.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.06-3.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.04-2.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 156.7, 153.5, $142.4,138.5,128.8(2 C), 128.4(2 C), 128.2(2 C), 126.2,118.3,115.5(2 C), 46.4,34.6$. LCMS: $t_{R}=9.58$ $\min .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=280.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.3 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 7.00 \min (\operatorname{method} 2)$.

MCK302: $N^{3}$-(3-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(3-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(3-nitrophenyl), methyl ester ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 15 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a brown solid ( 181.1 mg , 0.823 mmol, $78 \%$ yield).

Brown solid, $181.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.823 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.27 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=264-266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $11.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ (ddd, J=8.2, 2.3, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,2.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.2,155.5,148.4,143.6,129.5,121.7,112.7,109.1 . \operatorname{LCMS}: t_{R}=7.84 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 221.00. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 97.6\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 97.3\%; $t_{R}: 5.10$ min (method 1).

## MCK303: methyl 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate



Characterization: methyl 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-methylbenzoate), methyl ester (1.1 g, 4.42 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 30 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.86 \mathrm{mmol})$, then the solution was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. The precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white solid ( $929.3 \mathrm{mg}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield).

White solid, $929.3 \mathrm{mg}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.33 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 166.2,157.0,155.6,146.9,130.3$ (2C), 118.7, 114.7 (2C), 51.4. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.40 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=234.07 . \mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 98.5\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $99.1 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.86 \mathrm{~min}$ (method 1). Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{505}$

MCK304: $N^{3}$-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine

To a solution of carbamimidothioic acid, $N$-cyano- $N^{\prime}$-(4-nitrobenzoate), methyl ester ( 2.5 g ) in ethanol ( 40 mL ) was added $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the solution was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Ethanol was evaporated and the solid was washed with diethyl ether to obtain a red solid ( $1.456 \mathrm{~g}, 6.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield (over 2 steps)).

Red solid, $1.456 \mathrm{~g}, 6.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield (over 2 steps). $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.29$. M.p. $>266$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.21-7.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.81-7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}\right)$, 6.07 (s, 2H, NH $)_{2}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.4,155.8,148.8,138.1,125.4$ (2C), 114.7 (2C). LCMS: $t_{R}=8.02 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=221.07 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.3 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 4.79 \min (\operatorname{method} 1)$.

## MCK305: 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoic acid



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 219,0756
Molecular Weight: 219,2040

Characterization: 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoic acid

To a solution of methyl 4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ THF $(4 / 1,15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(138 \mathrm{mg}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ at r.t.. The solution was heated at 55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The precipitate that formed was filtered and washed with THF to afford an orange solid ( $111.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.509 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield).

Orange solid, $111.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.509 \mathrm{mmol}, 26 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 90 / 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.14 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 167.1,152.8,152.1,145.1,130.6(2 C), 121.5,115.3(2 C)$. LCMS: $t_{R}=1.09 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=220.20 . \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 99.5\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 98.0\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 3.35$ min (method 1 ). Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{505}$

MCK338: $N^{5}$-phenyl-1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{5}$-phenyl-1-(3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl chloride ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $51.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $51.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.83 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $8.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.68-7.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.60-7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.49$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.17$ $\left(d, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.9,150.4,140.9,139.0,131.3,129.6,129.2$, $128.9,128.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 124.0,123.7,120.6,117.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.2$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.83 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=334.16$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 94.5\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.7$ min (method 2 ).


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{5}$-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-phenyl-1 $H$-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for an additional 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid $\left(29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%\right.$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

White solid, $29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.63 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.62-7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.50-7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.39$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.27-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.8,150.3,140.9$, $140.3,130.6,130.0,129.9,128.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2,121.6,120.5,116.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.0$. LCMS: $_{\mathrm{R}}=8.47 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $=342.30 ; 343.90$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 96.5\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.5 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 3)$.

MCK340: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N33-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( 320 mg , 1.28 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a beige solid ( $88.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ yield).

Beige solid, $88.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.237 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.35 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.47$ (ddd, J=7.9, 2.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H, CH $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.41 - $7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.82-$ $6.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ $157.6,154.1,152.1,140.4,136.0,130.6,130.0,129.9,126.3,121.5,116.7$ (2C), 113.8 (2C), 55.1, 47.9. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.63 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=374.30 ; 376.40$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 100\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 98.8\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : $10.5 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 3)$.

MCK341: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N5 -(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{5}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3 -Bromobenzyl bromide ( 347 mg , 1.39 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a pale pink solid ( $47.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Pale pink solid, $47.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.54 . \mathrm{M}$. p. $=162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.47\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {ar }}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.31\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.22-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.08-7.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ ) $\delta 160.8,150.5,140.3,138.4,130.6$, $130.0,129.9,129.2,129.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2,121.6,117.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 47.9,20.2 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.06 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 357.9; 358.9. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 99.3\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 99.7\%; $t_{R}: 11.0 \min (\operatorname{method} 3)$.

MCK342: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-methylphenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3 -Bromobenzyl bromide ( 347 mg , 1.39 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a yellow solid ( $157.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.441 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Yellow solid, $157.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.441 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.46$. M.p. $=86-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.50-7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.37-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.26-7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.02-6.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 6.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 157.4,154.1,140.4,139.8,130.6,130.0$, $129.9,128.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.8,126.3,121.5,115.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 47.9,20.2$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.57 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=357.40$; 358.40. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 96.6\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 95.1\%; $t_{R}$ : $11.5 \min (\operatorname{method} 3)$.

MCK343: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N ${ }^{5}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{5}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( 310 mg , 1.26 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a pale pink solid ( $22.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Pale pink solid, $22.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.059 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.54 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=82-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO-d $)_{6}$ ) $9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.68-7.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.47$ (ddd, J=8.0, 2.1, 1.1 Hz, 1 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.38\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.36-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.8,149.8,140.1,139.9,130.6,130.1$, $129.9,128.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2,124.0,121.6,118.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.0 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{R}=9.76 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=378.4 ; 380.3$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 96.4\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 98.7\%; $t_{R}$ : 11.9 min (method 3).

MCK344: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N ${ }^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. 3-Bromobenzyl bromide ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water (1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as an orange solid ( $197.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.524 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Orange solid, 197.7 mg , $0.524 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.42$. M.p. $=124-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{HNH}), 7.53-7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.27-7.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.22-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.04$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 157.0, 154.3, 141.2, 140.2, 130.6, 130.1, 129.9, 128.2 (2C), 126.3, 121.8, 121.6, 116.9 (2C), 48.0. LCMS: $t_{R}=10.52 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=378.4 ; 380.3$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity 98.5\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $98.7 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 12.6$ min (method 3 ).

MCK345: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N ${ }^{5}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{5}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.988 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.988 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3 -Bromobenzyl bromide ( 259 mg , 1.04 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a pink solid ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Pink solid ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.54$. M.p. $=76-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.59-7.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.47$ (ddd, J=8.0, 2.2, 1.1 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}$ ),
$7.44-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.31\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.8,149.8,140.3,140.1,131.3$ (2C), $130.6,130.1,129.9,126.2,121.6,118.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 111.8,48.1$. $\mathrm{LCMS}: t_{R}=10.01 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=422.3 ; 424.4$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity $95.1 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 12.2$ min (method 3 ).

MCK346: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N ${ }^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(4-bromophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.988 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.988 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( 259 mg , 1.04 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r .t. for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversedphase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a pink solid ( $132.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.314 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.

Pink solid ( $132.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.314 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.42 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.50-7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.45-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.35$ $-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Ar }}\right), 7.26-7.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d t $_{6}$ ( 156.9, 154.3, 141.6, 140.2, 131.0 (2C), 130.6, 130.1, 129.9, 126.3, 121.6, 117.5 (2C), 109.5, 48.0. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.64$ min. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=422.3$; 424.2. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity $97.7 \% ;$ HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $98.8 \%$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 13.0 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 3)$.

MCK347: methyl-4-((3-amino-1-(3-bromobenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)amino)benzoate


Characterization: methyl-4-((3-amino-1-(3-bromobenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)amino)benzoate
Methyl-4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3Bromobenzyl bromide ( 280 mg , 1.13 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 5 h 30 . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as an off-white solid ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \%$ yield) along with the $\mathrm{N}_{3}$-benzylated regioisomer.
$\operatorname{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.56 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.90-$ $7.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.72-7.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.47$ (ddd, $\left.\mathrm{J}=8.0,2.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.31\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.22-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 5.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 166.0,161.0,145.4,140.0,130.6$ (2C), 130.3 (2C), $130.1,129.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.2,121.6,115.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 51.6,48.2$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.92 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=400.4 ; 401.8 . \mathrm{HPLC}$ $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $96.7 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 11.0$ min (method 3).

MCK348: methyl-4-((5-amino-1-(3-bromobenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate


Characterization: methyl-4-((5-amino-1-(3-bromobenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate

Methyl-4-((5-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)amino)benzoate ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3Bromobenzyl bromide ( 280 mg , 1.13 mmol ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 5 h 30 . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as an off-white solid ( $83.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.209 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.
$\operatorname{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.39 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.81-$ $7.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.56-7.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.48\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,2.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.33\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.25\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 166.1,156.5,154.3,146.6,140.1,130.7,130.3$, 130.1, $130.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.4,121.6,119.0,114.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 51.4,48.0 . \mathrm{LCMS}: t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.63 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=402.3$; 404.3. HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 96.0\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{280}$ ): Purity 98.1\%; $t_{R}: 11.6$ min (method 3 ).

MCK349: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N33-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 3Bromobenzyl bromide ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 16 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as a white solid ( $93.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%$ yield).
$\operatorname{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.39 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.49 (ddd, $J=7.9,2.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.44\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.40-7.30$
( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.24\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.6,154.3,142.3,140.0,130.7,130.7,130.2,130.1,130.0,126.4,121.6,119.4,116.3$, 115.8, 48.0. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.64 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=412.3$; 414.4. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right)$ : Purity 97.7\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity $96.4 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 15.0 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 3)$.

MCK350: $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-(4-isopropylbenzyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine $N^{3}$-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine (250 mg, 1.03 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), then potassium tert-butoxide ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 4Isopropylbenzyl chloride ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 6 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as an orange solid ( $162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.432 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ yield) along with the $N_{5}$-benzylated regioisomer.
$\operatorname{Rf}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.53 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83$ (dd, J=1.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H, CH $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.45-7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.26-7.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.99$ ( $s, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.84 (hept, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.3,154.1,147.4,142.4,134.7,130.7,130.2,127.3$ (2C), 126.2 (2C), 119.3, 116.3, 115.8, 48.5, 33.1, $23.8(2 \mathrm{C})$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.49 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=376.4 ; 378.4$. $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $95.4 \% ; t_{\mathrm{R}}: 17.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (method $3)$.

MCK351: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N33-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{3}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 4 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with water (1 mL ) and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10 / 0\right.$ then 9/1) afforded the titled compound as a brown solid (141.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.411 \mathrm{mmol}, 29 \%$ yield).
$R f\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.44 . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .=92-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $) \delta 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63$ (dd, J = 2.7, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.94 (dd, $J=4.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.91 (ddd, J = 8.4, 2.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $7.50-7.43$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.8,154.4,140.1,139.5,138.8,138.0,130.6,130.1,130.0,126.4$, 123.2, 121.1, 121.4, 48.0. LCMS: $t_{R}=6.91 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=345.5 ; 347.4$. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ): Purity 96.6\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{280}\right)$ : Purity $96.5 \% ; t_{R}: 7.39 \min (\operatorname{method} 4)$.

MCK352: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)-N43-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine


Characterization: 1-(3-bromobenzyl)- $N^{4}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine
$N^{4}$-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-diamine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, then potassium tert-butoxide ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3$-Bromobenzyl bromide ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ), was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at r.t. for 1 h 30 . The reaction mixture was quenched with water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by reversed-phase chromatography $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10 / 0\right.$ then $\left.45 / 55\right)$ afforded the titled compound as an orange solid (68.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \%$ yield).
$R f\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}, 1 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)=0.72 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $) \delta 9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), 7.49 (ddd, $J=7.9,2.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ ), $7.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ ), $7.40-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $7.33\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 6.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 156.2,154.4,149.5$ (2C), 148.0, 140.0, 130.7, 130.2, 130.0, 126.4, 121.6, $110.3(2 \mathrm{C}), 48.1$. LCMS: $t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.50 \mathrm{~min} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=345.5 ; 347.4$. HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right):$ Purity $97.7 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{280}\right):$ Purity 100\%; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ : 7.22 min (method 4).

## Chapter 5

## Procedures for the synthesis of the biamidine transfer reagents.

## 5.1a. $N$-amidinopyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride.



Characterization: $N$-amidinopyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride

To a solution of pyrazole ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.294 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water ( 44 mL ) was added hydrochloric acid $37 \%$ ( 25.9 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.294 \mathrm{~mol})$ and dicyandiamide ( $24.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.294 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The resulting colorless solution was cooled to room temperature slowly, and colorless crystals of product appeared. These were filtered, washed with acetone and dried at air. White, crystalline solid ( 39.5 g , $0.209 \mathrm{~mol}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Protocol adapted from the literature ${ }^{526}$ (50\%), improved to $65 \%$.

## 5.1b. S-methyl-guanylisothiouronium iodide.



As described. ${ }^{527}$ Briefly, iodomethane was added dropwise to a suspension of 2-imino-4-thiobiuret (Aldrich) in $100 \%$ ethanol while stirring at r.t. The reaction flask was shaken at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The solvent was removed by evaporation and the residue was washed with cold diethyl ether to give a solid, which was collected by filtration and used without further purification. White, crystalline solid ( $39.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.209$ mol, 91\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.94$ (br s, 4H), 7.58 (br s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H).

## 5.1c. 1-(3,5-dimethylpyrazole-1-carboximidoyl)guanidinium chloride.



To a solution of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 13 mL ) was added hydrochloric acid $37 \%$ ( $4.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dicyandiamide ( $4.37 \mathrm{~g}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. The solution was evaporated to dryness in vacuo on and the residue triturated with acetone. White, crystalline solid ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.09$ (br s, 4H), 8.06 (br s, 2H), $6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5.1d. N -guanyl-O-phenylisourea hydrochloride. ${ }^{528}$



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CIN}_{4} \mathrm{O}$
Exact Mass: 214,0621
Molecular Weight: 214,6530

Dicyandiamide dihydrochloride was prepared by mixing 0.1 mol of dicyandiamide with 0.2 mol of $37 \%$ aqueous HCl and was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thereafter 0.2 mol of the acid was added. After 10 min of stirring, a dense crystalline precipitate of dicyandiamide dihydrochloride was obtained. The precipitate was filtered, washed with acetone, and dried in vacuo for 10 min . The white, crystalline solid was used in the next step without further purification.

Phenol ( $53.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.568 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dicyandiamide dihydrochloride ( $16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.102 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was triturated with toluene and the crude solid was filtered and washed with diethyl ether. The solid was recrystallized from water. White, crystalline solid ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.97$ (br s, 6H), 7.40 (br s, 2H), 7.23 (br s, 3H).

## 5.1e. 1-(benzotriazole-1-carboximidoyl)guanidinium chloride.



To a solution of benzotriazole ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 10.5 mL ) was added hydrochloric acid $37 \%$ $(3.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dicyandiamide ( $3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 42.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h . The solution was evaporated to dryness in vacuo on and the residue triturated with ethanol, washed with acetone, and dried. White, crystalline solid ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%$ ). HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{7}{ }^{+}, 204.09922$; Found: $340.05914 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86$ (br s, 2H), $8.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 8.46 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.3,7.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 162.4, 147.8, 145.9, 130.9, 130.1, 126.0, 119.9, 114.8.

## 5.1f. N -guanyl-O-methylisourea hydroacetate. ${ }^{529}$

Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{+}$

In methanol ( 200 mL ) was mixed dicyandiamide ( $43.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h . After cooling down, the precipitate was filtered off and mixed with 150 mL of distilled water. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was introduced into the suspension until $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is not observed in the solution. CuS was filtered off and water was removed in vacuo and treated with isopropanol to give the desired product. White, crystalline solid ( $40.5 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ${ }^{\text {}}$ ) $\delta 9.83$ (br s, $2 H$ ), 7.42 (br s, 4H), $3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Procedures for the synthesis of the biguanides.

General procedure A: $N$-carbamimidoyl- 1 H -pyrazole-1-carboximidamide ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 eq.) and the corresponding amine ( 8.25 mmol , 1.5 eq.) were dissolved in pyridine ( 5.5 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-24 \mathrm{~h}$. The solution was cooled to room temperature, the precipitate was filtered and washed with diethyl ether to obtain a white powder. In some cases, to promote precipitation, pyridine was evaporated with toluene and triturated with diethyl ether to get a solid product.

General procedure B: N-carbamimidoyl-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide (1.14 g, 5.5 mmol , 1.0 eq.) and the corresponding amine ( $8.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) were dissolved in water ( 5.5 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6-24 h . Water was evaporated and the residue was triturated with acetone, filtered and washed with acetone and diethyl ether.

General procedure C: S-methyl-guanylisothiouronium iodide ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and the corresponding amine ( $8.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) were dissolved in a $1 / 1$ pyridine/toluene mixture ( 5.5 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The solution was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with isopropanol, filtered and washed by diethyl ether to obtain a white powder.

## 5.2a. Benzylbiguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 93\%; Procedure B: Yield, 96\%; Procedure C: (hydroiodide salt) Yield, 82\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{\mathrm{R}}: 11.5 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.96-7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{512}$

## 5.2b. 1-benzyl-1-methylbiguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 69\%; Procedure B: Yield, $96 \%$; $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.7 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{512}$

## 5.2c. 1-(4-chlorobenzyl)biguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 86\%; Procedure B: Yield, 75\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{R}: 11.2 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{550,551}$

## 5.2d. 1-(4-methylbenzyl)biguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 87\%; Procedure B: Yield, 70\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ), $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 10.9 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), $2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{551,552}$

## 5.2e. 1-(4-nitrobenzyl)biguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 90\%; Procedure B: Yield, 93\%; HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$, 237.10945; Found: 237.10876. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ), $t_{R}: 11.6 \min (m e t h o d 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.4,158.4,147.3,146.5,128.1$ (2C), 123.4 (2C), 43.6.

## 5.2f. 1-(4-methoxybenzyl)biguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, $95 \%$; Procedure B: Yield, $82 \% ; \operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{R}: 11.6 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 7.80(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{553}$

## 5.2g. 1-Phenylbiguanide hydrochloride

Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{CIN}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 213,0781
Molecular Weight: 213,6690

Procedure B: Yield, 68\%; HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ), $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 12.8 \mathrm{~min}(m e t h o d 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.91$ (s, 1H), $7.50-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{512}$

## 5.2h. Morpholine biguanide hydrochloride



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{CIN}_{5} \mathrm{O}$
Exact Mass: 207,0887
Molecular Weight: 207,6620

Procedure A: Yield, 83\%; Procedure B: Yield, 91\%; Procedure C: (hydroiodide salt) Yield, 82\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{\mathrm{R}}: 15.7 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (d, J = $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{554}$

## 5.2i. $N$-methylpiperazine biguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, 83\%; Procedure B: Yield, 96\%; Procedure C: (hydroiodide salt) Yield, 97\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{R}: 3.99 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{555}$

## 5.2j. Ethylbiguanide hydrochloride



Procedure A: Yield, $75 \%$; Procedure B: Yield, $82 \%$; $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{\mathrm{R}}: 15.7 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{brs}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature, described without HCl form. ${ }^{556}$

## 5.2k. Butylbiguanide hydrochloride



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{CIN}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 193,1094
Molecular Weight: 193,6790

Procedure A: Yield, 86\%; Procedure B: Yield, 77\%; Procedure C: (hydroiodide salt) Yield, 54\%; HPLC $\left(\lambda_{254}\right), t_{R}: 12.1 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.07(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{p}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{516,557}$

## 5.2l. Hexylbiguanide hydrochloride



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 221,1407
Molecular Weight: 221,7330

Procedure A: Yield, 86 \%; Procedure B: Yield, $92 \%$ HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ), $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 9.96 \min (\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Data in accordance with the literature, described in free base form. ${ }^{558}$

## 5.2m. ( N -carbamimidoylcarbamimidoyl)phenylalanine


$N$-carbamimidoyl-1H-pyrazole-1-carboximidamide ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.), DIPEA ( $0.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.50$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) and L-phenylalanine ( $908 \mathrm{mg}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) were dissolved in water ( 5.5 mL ) and the solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Water was evaporated and the residue was triturated with

10 mL of ethanol. The precipitate was collected and washed with ethanol and diethyl ether. White, crystalline solid (735 mg, 53\%). HRMS-ESI $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}, 250.12985$; Found: 250.12911. HPLC ( $\lambda_{254}$ ), $t_{\mathrm{R}}: 8.5 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{method} 5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.9,161.9,159.5,139.9,129.0$ (2C), 127.8 (2C), 125.7, 59.3, 37.2.

# Stability assays 

MCK256

## HPLC analyses

HPLC analyses were performed on a JASCO PU-2089 apparatus with Supelco analytical column Ascentis Express $\mathrm{C} 18,100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 46 \mathrm{~mm} 5 \mu$. Eluent A : water with $1 \%$ formic acid. Eluent $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with $1 \%$ formic acid. Method used: 100\% A over 2 min, 100\% A to 60\% A over 8 min, 60\% A for 4 min then from 60\% A to 100\% A over 1 min ( 15 min in total).

## Chemical stability in buffered solutions.

2 mg of MCK256 was dissolved in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMSO and diluted in the corresponding buffer solution, pH $0.9,7.4$ and $8.4(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. These solutions were stored at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and analysed by HPLC at $0,1,2,7,9$ and 14 days. The areas of the compound peak at 254 nm were reported at the different times and pH .

## NRPa-308 Stability assays.

## HPLC analyses

HPLC analyses were performed on a JASCO PU-2089 apparatus with Supelco analytical column Ascentis Express $\mathrm{C} 18,100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 46 \mathrm{~mm} 5 \mu$. Eluent A: water with $1 \%$ formic acid. Eluent $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ with $1 \%$ o formic acid. Method used: 0\%B to 100\%B over 4 min, then 100\%B for 4 min ( 8 min in total).

## Chemical stability in buffered solutions.

1 mg of NRP-a308 was dissolved in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DMSO and diluted in the corresponding buffer solution, pH $0.9,7.4$ and $8.4(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. These solutions were stored at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and analysed by HPLC at 0, 1, $2,5,6,7,12$ and 16 days. The areas of the compound peak at 280 nm were reported at the different times and pH .

NRPa-308 stability and accumulation in cancer cells.
MDA-MB231 and BT549 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 7 or $10 \%$ FCS plus $1 \%$ of non-essential amino-acid at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the presence of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of NRPa-308. After three days, the supernatant was discarded, the cells were washed with 10 mL of PBS from Dutscher and they were then lysed with 1 mL of methanol. The methanolic lysates were extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvents were evaporated. The residues were dissolved in 1 mL MeOH and quantitatively analysed by HPLC thanks to a calibration curve.

## BIological assays

## Cell viability

## XTT

Cells were incubated in a 96-well plate with different effectors for 48 h . Fifty microliters of sodium 3'-[1-phenylaminocarbonyl)-3,4-tetrazolium]-bis(4-me thoxy-6-nitro) benzene sulfonic acid hydrate (XTT) reagent was added to each well. The assay is based on the cleavage of the yellow tetrazolium salt XTT to form an orange formazan dye by metabolically active cells. This bio-reduction occurs in viable cells only and is related to $N A D(P) H$ production through glycolysis. Therefore, the amount of formazan dye measured at 490 nm directly correlated with the number of metabolically active cells reflecting cell proliferation and viability. Each assay was performed in quadruplicate.

## Migration assay

CXCL8 stimulated chemotaxis assays were monitored using modified Boyden chambers containing polycarbonate membranes (8- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ pores, Transwell; Corning, Sigma). Cells were seeded onto the upper side of the filters and chambers were placed on $24-$ well plates containing CXCL8 ( $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Cell migration was followed for 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Migratory cells on the lower membrane surface were fixed in $3 \%$ paraformaldehyde, stained with $0.1 \%$ crystal violet.

## Zebrafish neovascular AMD model

All animal experiments were approved by the IRCAN Experimental Animal Ethical Committee. Transgenic zebrafishes (fli: EGFP, blood vessels labelled in green) embryos were raised at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under standard experimental conditions. At the age of 24 hpf , they were incubated in aquarium water containing $0.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ 1-phenyl-2-thio-urea (PTU, Sigma). At 48-hpf, they were dechorionated with a pair of sharp-tip forceps and anesthetized with $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of tricaine (MS-222, Sigma). Zebrafish embryos were immediately transferred into PTU aquarium water in normoxia (CT) or in 5\% oxygen hypoxia in the presence of treatment for 24 and 48 hours. Blood vessel in eyes were analyzed using a fluorescent confocal microscope (Nikon Eclipse 90). The area of blood vessel in retina were quantified by ImageJ software.

## Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) experiments

One microgram of total RNA was used for the reverse transcription, using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), with blend of oligo (dT) and random primers to prime first-strand synthesis. SYBR master mix plus (Eurogentec) was used for qPCR. The mRNA level was normalized to 36B4 mRNA.

## Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed by Laemmli 1.5x. For 100 mL of Laemmli 1.5 x in buffer containing 20\% SDS, 100\% glycerol, 15 mL of Tris 1 M pH 6.8 and 51.25 mL of water at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 10 et $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of proteins separated on 10\% SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a PVDF membrane and then exposed to the appropriate MCK. Proteins were visualized with the ECL system using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies.


#### Abstract

ROS assay ARPE cells were treated with MCK at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 30 min . $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was added directly over the cells, without rinsing the medium. Treat cells at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 2 h . DCF-DA ( $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-Dichlorofluorescin diacetate) was added over cells at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 30 min . After 30min aspirate the medium, rinse PBS, then trypsinize with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 x trypsin. Stop the trypsin by rinsing in $3-4 \mathrm{~mL}$ of PBS and centrifuge at 400 g for 5 min . Resuspend in $200 \mu$ L of Facs Buffer ( $0.5 \%$ BSA, 2 mM EDTA). Switch to cytometry Cytoflex. The DCF-DA goes out in the FITC channel.
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[^0]:    Scheme 1-2: OT-551 transformation in the eye. The prodrug has the ability to penetrate the cornea compare to TP-H, then OT-551 can be transformed in TP-H in the retina thanks to esterases.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unpublished results

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Values was predicted with the ChemAxon calculator

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Values was predicted with the ChemAxon calculator

[^4]:    4 "Synthetic accesses to biguanide compounds." Grytsai, O. Ronco, C. Benhida, R. Beilstein Journal of Chemistry (2021), accepted

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed with 0.8 mmol of benzylamine, 0.88 mmol of the corresponding reagent in 4 mL of solvent, stirred for 5 hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by HPLC $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}) .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculate by the ratio between product and benzylamine. Determined by HPLC analysis.

