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Résumé : La combinatoire extrémal est l'une des branches les plus vigoureuses des mathématiques combinatoires au cours des dernières décennies, et elle a été largement utilisée en informatique, en conception de réseaux et en conception de codage. Elle se concentre sur la détermination de la taille maximale ou minimale possible de certaines structures combinatoires, sous certaines conditions ou contraintes. En particulier, la théorie des graphes extrémaux est une branche importante de la combinatoire extrémale, qui traite principalement de la manière dont les propriétés générales d'un graphe contrôlent la structure locale du graphe.

Un système de $k$-graphes $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[m]}$ est une collection de $k$-graphes sur le même ensemble de sommets $V$. Pour un système de $k$ graphes $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[m]}$ sur $V$, un graphe $H$ sur $V$ est arc-en-ciel dans $\boldsymbol{H}$ s'il existe une injection $\varphi: E(H) \rightarrow[m]$ telle que $e \in E\left(H_{\varphi(e)}\right)$ pour chaque $e \in E(H)$.

Cette thèse produit une étude en trois parties.
(1) Nous étudions l'existence de cycles Hamiltoniens arc-en-ciel dans les systèmes de $k$-graphes. Le théorème de Dirac a de nombreuses variantes. Tout d'abord, il a été généralisé dans les systèmes de graphes. Deuxièmement, il a été généralisé dans les hypergraphes. Dans le même esprit, nous voulons trouver un cycle Hamiltonien arc-en-ciel dans un système d'hypergraphes. Étant donné $k \geq$ $3, \gamma>0$, un $n$-vertex $k$-système de $k$-graphes $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ avec $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ pour chaque $i \in[n]$, alors il existe un cycle Hamiltonien $\boldsymbol{H}$-arc-en-ciel. De plus, des chercheurs se sont consacrés à caractériser la condition de degré $(k-2)$ pour l'existence d'un cycle Hamiltonien. Lang et Sanhueza-Matamala, Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl et Schulke ont prouvé indépendamment que pour tout $\gamma>0$, chaque $n$ vertex $k$-graph avec $\delta_{k-2}(H) \geq(5 / 9+\gamma)\binom{n}{2}$
contient un cycle Hamiltonien. Cependant, la version arc-en-ciel de la conclusion ci-dessus est beaucoup plus difficile. Gupta, Hamann, Muyesser, Parczyk et Sgueglia ont mentionné le problème : étant donné un système de 3 graphes $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ avec une condition de degré minimum de chaque $H_{i}$, est-ce que $\boldsymbol{H}$ admet un cycle Hamiltonien arc-en-ciel? Nous résolvons le problème ci-dessus et tirons la conclusion générale pour tout $k \geq 3$.
(2) Nous étudions l'existence d'une appariement parfaite arc-en-ciel dans les systèmes de $k$-graphes. Soit $c_{k, d}$ le seuil minimum de $d$-degré pour des appariements fractionnaires parfaites dans les graphiques $k$, à savoir, pour chaque $\varepsilon>0$ et suffisamment grand $n \in \mathbb{N}$, chaque $n$-sommet $k$-graphe $H$ avec $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ contient une appariement fractionnaire parfaite. On sait que tout $k$-graphe de $n$ sommets $H$ avec $\delta_{d}(H) \geq$ $\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ a un appariement parfait, et cette condition est asymptotiquement optimale. Nous démontrons que dans un $k$-graphe, les conditions minimales de $d$-degré pour un appariement parfait garantissent également asymptotiquement la présence d'un appariement parfait rainbow dans le système du $k$-graphes pour $d \in[k-1]$. Plus généralement, un cadre général pour résoudre l'existence de facteurs transversaux dans les systèmes d'hypergraphes peut également être donné.
(3) Nous étudions l'existence de longs cycles arc-en-ciel dans des graphes proprement arêtes-colorés. En 1989, Andersen a conjecturé que chaque $K_{n}$ proprement arêtes-colorés admet un chemin arc-en-ciel qui omet un seul sommet. Nous avons prouvé que chaque $K_{n, n}$ proprement arêtes-colorés contient un cycle arc-en-ciel d'au moins $n-28 n^{3 / 4}$ pour $n$ suffisamment grand. La limite ci-dessus est asymptotiquement optimale car chaque classe de couleurs pourrait être un couplage parfait de $K_{n, n}$ et seuls $n$ couleurs apparaissent dans $E\left(K_{n, n}\right)$.
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#### Abstract

Extremal Combinatorics is one of the most vigorous branch of Combinatorial Mathematics in recent decades and it has been widely used in Computer Science, Network Design and Coding Design. It focuses on determining the maximum or minimum possible size of certain combinatorial structures, subject to certain conditions or constraints. In particular, Extremal Graph Theory is a significant branch of Extremal Combinatorics, which primarily explores how the overall properties of a graph influence its local structures.


A $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[m]}$ is a collection of not necessarily distinct $k$-graphs on the same vertex set $V$. For a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[m]}$ on $V$, a graph $H$ on $V$ is rainbow in $\boldsymbol{H}$ if there exists an injection $\varphi: E(H) \rightarrow[m]$ such that $e \in E\left(H_{\varphi(e)}\right)$ for each $e \in E(H)$.

This thesis presents a three-part study.
(1) We study the existence of rainbow Hamilton cycle in $k$-graph systems. Dirac's theorem has many variants. Firstly, it was generalized in graph systems. Secondly, it was generalized in hypergraphs. Along the same idea, we want to find a rainbow Hamilton cycle in a hypergraph system. Given $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, sufficiently large $n$ and an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ for each $i \in[n]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle. Further, scholars devoted to characterizing the ( $k-2$ )-degree condition for the existence of a Hamilton cycle. Lang and Sanhueza-Matamala, Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl and Schülke independently proved that for any $\gamma>0$, every $n$-vertex $k$ graph with $\delta_{k-2}(H) \geq(5 / 9+\gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ contains a Hamilton cycle. However, the rainbow version
of the above conclusion is much more difficult. Gupta, Hamann, Müyesser, Parczyk, and Sgueglia mentioned the following problem : Given a 3-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ with minimum vertex degree condition of each $H_{i}$, does $\boldsymbol{H}$ admit a rainbow Hamilton cycle? We settle the above problem, and draw the general conclusion for any $k \geq 3$.
(2) We study the existence of rainbow perfect matching in $k$-graph systems. Let $c_{k, d}$ be the minimum $d$-degree threshold for perfect fractional matchings in $k$-graphs, namely, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\right.$ $\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ contains a perfect fractional matching. It is known that every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ has a perfect matching, and this condition is asymptotically best possible. We proved that a minimum $d$-degree condition asymptotically forcing a perfect matching in a $k$-graph also forces rainbow perfect matchings in $k$-graph systems for $d \in[k-1]$. More generally, a general framework for solving the existence of rainbow factors in hypergraph systems can also be given.
(3) We study the existence of long rainbow cycle in properly edge-colored graphs. In 1989, Andersen conjectured that all proper edgecolorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path which omits only one vertex. We proved that every properly edge-colored $K_{n, n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length at least $n-28 n^{3 / 4}$ for sufficiently large $n$. The bound above is asymptotically optimal as each color class could be a perfect matching of $K_{n, n}$ and only $n$ colors occur in $E\left(K_{n, n}\right)$.

## Table des matières

1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Terminologies and tools ..... 1
1.2 Rainbow structures in properly edge-colored graphs ..... 6
1.2.1 Extremal problems in properly edge-colored graphs ..... 6
1.2.2 Rainbow cycles in properly edge-colored complete graphs ..... 8
1.3 Dirac-type problems ..... 9
1.4 Rainbow structures in (hyper)graph systems ..... 14
1.5 Contribution and outline of the thesis ..... 19
2 Rainbow perfect matchings in hypergraph systems with minimum d-degree ..... 21
2.1 Notation and preliminaries ..... 21
2.2 Rainbow absorption method ..... 22
2.3 Rainbow matching cover ..... 24
2.4 Concluding remarks ..... 30
3 Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraph systems with minimum (k-1)-degree ..... 33
3.1 Notation and preliminaries ..... 33
3.2 Rainbow absorption method ..... 36
3.3 Rainbow path cover lemma ..... 39
3.4 The connecting lemma ..... 46
3.5 Concluding remarks ..... 50
4 Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraph systems with minimum $(k-2)$-degree ..... 53
4.1 Notation and preliminaries ..... 53
4.2 Obtaining sequentially Hamilton vicinity with degree condition ..... 62
4.3 From sequentially Hamilton vicinity to sequentially Hamilton framework ..... 63
4.4 From sequentially Hamilton framework to sequentially Hamilton cycle ..... 69
5 Long rainbow cycles in complete bipartite graphs ..... 107
5.1 Notation and preliminaries ..... 107
5.2 Obtaining long rainbow cycles ..... 110
5.3 Concluding remarks ..... 113
6 Concluding remarks ..... 115
6.1 Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraphs systems ..... 115
6.2 Exact results and the stability in graph and hypergraph systems ..... 115
6.3 Rainbow structures in random graph systems ..... 116
Bibliography ..... 117
Publications and manuscripts ..... 127

## 1 - Introduction

The paper written by Euler on the Seven Bridges of Königsberg and published in 1736 is regarded as the first paper in the history of graph theory [16]. As it continued to develop, graph theory has many branches, including graph coloring, extremal graph theory, algebraic graph theory, topological graph theory, probabilistic graph theory and so on. Since graphs can be used to model many types of relations and processes in physical, biological, social and information systems, graph theory has wide applications in real-world systems. Meanwhile, it also has applications in other areas of mathematics, such as group theory and number theory.

In this thesis, we mainly study the rainbow spanning structures in properly edge-colored graphs and hypergraph systems. For the convenience of the description of our research topic's background, we first give some terminology and notation in the first section of this chapter. Then we will describe background in detail, including motivations, known results and so on.

### 1.1. Terminologies and tools

## Graph

A graph $G$ is an ordered pair $(V(G), E(G))$ with a nonempty set $V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E(G)$ of edges, where $E(G)$ is made up of some unordered pairs of (not necessarily distinct) vertices. A graph is finite if both its vertex set and edge set are finite. A graph $G$ is simple if $E(G)$ is a collection of some distinct 2-subsets of $V(G)$. Note that unless otherwise stated, all graphs considered in this thesis are finite and simple. The order of a graph $G$ refers to the cardinality of $V(G)$, while the size refers to the cardinality of $E(G)$. If there is a path between any two vertices of $G$, then $G$ is called a connected graph. A complete graph $K_{r}$ is a simple graph on $r$ vertices in which every pair of distinct vertices is connected by a unique edge.

## Adjacent and incident

Let $G$ be a graph and $u, v \in V(G)$. We say that $u, v$ are adjacent if the 2-set $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$. Let $e \in E(G)$ with $e=\{u, v\}$. Then we say that $u, v$ are two ends of $e$ and $u, v$ are incident with $e$, respectively.

## Degree

Let $G$ be a graph and $u, v \in V(G)$. If $u, v$ are adjacent, then $u$ is called a neighbor of $v$ and vice versa. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we use $N_{G}(v)$ to denote the set of all neighbors of $v$ and call $N_{G}(v)$ the neighborhood of $v$. The cardinality of $N_{G}(v)$ is the degree of $v$, denoted by $d_{G}(v)$, i.e. $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. Denote by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ the minimum degree and maximum degree of $G$,
respectively. Denote the average degree $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{d_{G}(v)}{n}$ of $G$ by $d(G)$.

## Subgraph

Let $G, H$ be two graphs. We say $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. Moreover, if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $H$ contains all the edges $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ with $u, v \in V(H)$, then $H$ is an induced subgraph of $G$. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $V(H)=V(G)$, then $H$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$. We say $G$ is $F$-free if $G$ does not contain $F$ as a subgraph. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be two subgraphs of $G$. If $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then we say $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are vertexdisjoint. If $E\left(H_{1}\right) \cap E\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then we say $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are edge-disjoint. Let $V^{\prime} \subseteq V(G)$ and $E^{\prime} \subseteq E(G)$. We use $G-V^{\prime}$ to denote the subgraph induced by $V(G) \backslash V^{\prime}$ and use $G \backslash E^{\prime}$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ containing the same vertices as $G$ but with all the elements of $E^{\prime}$ removed. In particular, if $V^{\prime}=\{v\}$, then we write $G-v$ for simplicity. If $E^{\prime}=\{e\}$, then $G \backslash\{e\}$ will be replaced with $G \backslash e$.

## Walk, Path and Cycle

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph. A walk in $G$ is defined as a sequence of alternating vertices and edges such as $v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}, v_{k}$, where each $e_{i}=$ $\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$. The length of this walk is $k$. A walk is considered to be closed if the starting vertex is the same as the ending vertex, that is $v_{0}=v_{k}$. A walk is considered open otherwise. A path is defined as an open walk with no repeated vertices. A cycle is defined as a closed walk where no other vertices are repeated apart from the starting/ending vertex. We usually use $P_{k}$ and $C_{k}$ to denote a path of length of $k-1$ and a cycle of length $k$, respectively. A path (cycle) is called a Hamilton path (Hamilton cycle) if it visits each vertex of $G$ exactly once. A cycle of length of 3 is called a triangle.

## Multipartite graph

Let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-partite graph is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into $k$ different independent sets, which are called $k$ parts of the graph. When $k=2$, these are the bipartite graphs. A $k$-partite graph is balanced if the $k$ parts have same cardinality. A complete $k$-partite graph is a $k$-partite graph in which there is an edge between every pair of vertices from different independent sets.

## Power of a graph

Let $G$ be a graph and $k$ be an integer. The $k$-th power of $G$, denoted by $G^{k}$, is defined as the graph on the same vertex set whose edges join distinct vertices at distance at most $k$ in $G$.

## Factor

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph and $H$ be an $h$-vertex graph. An $H$-tiling is a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of $H$ in $G$. An $H$-factor is an $H$-tiling which covers all vertices of $G$. Note that $n \in h \mathbb{N}$ is a necessary condition for $G$ containing an $H$-factor. When $H$ is $C_{k}$, we call it $k$-factor of $G$ for convenience. In particular, a 1-factor of $G$ is a perfect matching. A 2-factor of $G$ is a collection
of vertex-disjoint cycles covering all vertices of $G$. A connected 2-factor is a Hamilton cycle.

## Vertex-coloring

Let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-coloring of a graph $G$ is an assignment of colors to the vertices of $G$ such that no two adjacent vertices receive the same color. The chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the smallest value of $k$ possible to obtain a $k$-coloring.

## Edge-coloring

An edge-coloring $c$ of a graph $G$ is an assignment of colors to the edges of $G$. A $k$-edge-coloring of $G$ is an edge-coloring using $k$ colors overall, while a local $k$-edge-coloring of $G$ is an edge-coloring using at most $k$ colors at each vertex of $G$. An edge-coloring of $G$ is proper if no two adjacent edges receive the same color. An edge-colored graph is a graph with an edge-coloring (not necessarily proper). Given an edge-colored graph $G$, we say $G$ is monochromatic if all edges of $G$ have the same color, and $G$ is a rainbow graph if all the edges receive pairwise different colors.

For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, the color degree of $v$, denoted by $d_{G}^{c}(v)$, is the number of distinct colors appearing on the incident edges of $v$. The minimum color degree of $G$, denoted by $\delta^{c}(G)$, is the minimum $d_{G}^{c}(v)$ over all vertices $v \in V(G)$. We say that color $i$ is presented at vertex $v$ if some edge incident with $v$ has color $i$. The color neighborhood $C N(v)$ is the set of different colors that are presented at $v$.

## Digraph

A digraph or directed graph $D$ is an ordered pair $(V(D), A(D))$ consisting of a nonempty set $V(D)$ of vertices and a set $A(D)$ of $\operatorname{arcs}$, where $A(D)$ is made up of some ordered pairs of (not necessarily distinct) vertices.

## Outdegree and indegree

Let $D$ be a digraph and $u, v \in V(D)$. If $(u, v) \in A(D)$, then we say that $v$ is an outneighbor of $u$ and $u$ is an inneighbor of $v$. For any vertex $v \in V(D)$, let $N_{D}^{+}(v)$ and $N_{D}^{-}(v)$ be its outneighborhood and inneighborhood, i.e. the set of outneighbors and the set of inneighbors of $v$, respectively. Let $d_{D}^{+}(v)=$ $\left|N_{D}^{+}(v)\right|$ and $d_{D}^{-}(v)=\left|N_{D}^{-}(v)\right|$ and call $d_{D}^{+}(v)$ and $d_{D}^{-}(v)$ outdegree and indegree of $v$, respectively. Denote by $\delta^{+}(D)$ and $\delta^{-}(D)$ the minimum outdegree and minimum indegree, respectively. Let $\delta(D)=\min \left\{\delta^{+}(D), \delta^{-}(D)\right\}$ and call $\delta(D)$ the minimum semi-degree of $D$. Denote by $\Delta^{+}(D)$ and $\Delta^{-}(D)$ the maximum outdegree and maximum indegree, respectively.

## Oriented graph

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph. If we give every edge of $G$ a direction, then we obtain a digraph and we call this digraph an oriented graph of $G$.

## Hypergraphs

A $k$-uniform hypergraph ( $k$-graph, hereafter) $H=(V(H), E(H)$ ) consists of a vertex set $V(H)$ and an edge set $E(H)$ which is a family of $k$-element
subsets of $V(H)$, i.e. $E(H) \subseteq\binom{V(H)}{k}$. For any $S \subseteq V(H)$, the degree of $S$ in $H$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(S)$, is the number of edges containing $S$. For any integer $\ell \geq 0$, define the minimum $\ell$-degree $\delta_{\ell}(H)$ to be $\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{H}(S): S \in\binom{V(H)}{\ell}\right\}$.

## Subgraph

Let $G, H$ be two $k$-graphs. We say $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. An induced subgraph $H\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ of a $k$-graph $H$ is a $k$-graph with vertex set $V^{\prime}$ and edge set $E^{\prime}$ where each edge is precisely the edge of $H$ consisting of $k$ vertices in $V^{\prime}$. We usually denote $H\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ by $H$. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $V(H)=V(G)$, then $H$ is a spanning subgraph of $G$. Let $V^{\prime} \subset V(G)$ and $E^{\prime} \subset E(G)$. We use $G-V^{\prime}$ to denote the subgraph induced by $V(G) \backslash V^{\prime}$ and use $G \backslash E^{\prime}$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ containing the same vertices as $G$ but with all the elements of $E^{\prime}$ removed. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be two subgraphs of $H$. If $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then we say $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are vertex-disjoint. If $E\left(H_{1}\right) \cap E\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, then we say $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are edge-disjoint.

## $k$-partite $k$-graph

A $k$-graph $H$ is $k$-partite if $V(H)$ can be partitioned into $k$ parts $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ such that every edge consists of exactly one vertex from each part.

## Tight path and tight cycle

A tight path $P$ is a $k$-graph whose vertices can be ordered in such a way $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{t}$ that each edge consists of $k$ consecutive vertices and two consecutive edges intersect in exactly $k-1$ vertices. We say that $P$ connects $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{t}, \ldots, v_{t-k+2}\right) .\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{t}, \ldots, v_{t-k+2}\right)$ are called the ends of $P$.

A $k$-graph is called an $\ell$-cycle if its vertices can be ordered cyclically such that each of its edges consists of $k$ consecutive vertices and every two consecutive edges (in the natural order of the edges) share exactly $\ell$ vertices. In $k$-graphs, a $(k-1)$-cycle is often called a tight cycle, a 1-cycle is often called a loose cycle. We say that a $k$-graph contains a Hamilton $\ell$-cycle if it contains an $\ell$-cycle as a spanning subgraph. Without special instruction, the tight cycle is referred to as cycle for short.

## Matching and fractional matching

Given a $k$-graph $H$, a matching in $H$ is a collection of vertex-disjoint edges of $H$. A perfect matching in $H$ is a matching that covers all vertices of $H$. a fractional matching is a function $f: E(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$, subject to the requirement that $\sum_{e: v \in e} f(e) \leq 1$, for every $v \in V(H)$. Furthermore, if equality holds for every $v \in V(H)$, then we call the fractional matching perfect. Denote the maximum size of a fractional matching of $H$ by $\nu^{*}(H)=\max _{f} \Sigma_{e \in E(H)} f(e)$.

## $k$-graph systems

A $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[m]}$ is a family of not necessarily distinct $k$ graphs on the same $n$-vertex set $V$ where $k \geq 2$. Note that each $H_{i}$ can be seen as the collection of edges with color $i$, and in this sense $\boldsymbol{H}$ can be regarded as an edge-colored multi- $k$-graph. Moreover, a $k$-graph $H$ on $V$ is rainbow in
$\boldsymbol{H}$ if there is an injection $\varphi: E(H) \rightarrow[m]$ such that $e \in E\left(H_{\varphi(e)}\right)$ for each $e \in E(H)$. Note that $H$ can be also called $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow.

For terminology and notation not mentioned here, we will give at the beginning of the respective chapters or refer readers to [41].

The following well-known concentration results, i.e. Chernoff bounds, can be found in $[10,75]$. Denote a binomial random variable with parameters $n$ and $p$ by $B i(n, p)$.

Lemma 1.1 (Chernoff Inequality for small deviation $[10,75]$ ) If $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are mutually independent random variables, each $X_{i}$ has Bernoulli distribution with expectation $p_{i}$ and $\alpha \leq 3 / 2$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}[|X-\mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \alpha \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{3} \mathbb{E}[X]}
$$

In particular, when $X \sim B i(n, p)$ and $\lambda<\frac{3}{2} n p$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}[|X-n p| \geq \lambda] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(\lambda^{2} /(n p)\right)}
$$

Lemma 1.2 (Chernoff Inequality for large deviation $[10,75]$ ) If $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are mutually independent random variables, each random variable $X_{i}$ has Bernoulli distribution with expectation $p_{i}$ and $x \geq 7 \mathbb{E}[X]$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}[X \geq x] \leq e^{-x}
$$

We also need the Janson's inequality to provide an exponential upper bound for the lower tail of a sum of dependent zero-one random variables.

Lemma 1.3 (Theorem 8.7.2 in [10]) Let $\Gamma$ be a finite set and $p_{i} \in[0,1]$ be a real for $i \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{p}$ be a random subset of $\Gamma$ such that the elements are chosen independently with $\mathbb{P}\left[i \in \Gamma_{p}\right]=p_{i}$ for $i \in \Gamma$. Let $M$ be a family of subsets of $\Gamma$. For every $A_{i} \in M$, let $I_{A_{i}}=1$ if $A_{i} \subseteq \Gamma_{p}$ and o otherwise. Let $B_{i}$ be the event that $A_{i} \subseteq \Gamma_{p}$. For $A_{i}, A_{j} \in M$, we write $i \sim j$ if $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ are not pairwise independent, in other words, $A_{i} \cap A_{j} \neq \emptyset$. Define $X=\Sigma_{A_{i} \in M} I_{A_{i}} \lambda=\mathbb{E}[X]$, $\Delta=\sum_{i \sim j} \mathbb{P}\left[B_{i} \wedge B_{j}\right]$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}[X \leq(1-\gamma) \lambda]<e^{-\gamma^{2} \lambda /[2+(\Delta / \lambda)]}
$$

Lemma 1.4 (Corollary 2.2, [62]) Let $\binom{[N]}{r}$ be the set of $r$-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and let $h:\binom{[N]}{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given. Suppose that there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|h(A)-h\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \alpha
$$

for any $A, A^{\prime} \in\binom{[N]}{r}$ with $\left|A \cap A^{\prime}\right|=r-1$. Let $C \subseteq[N]$ be a set of size $r$ chosen uniformly at random. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{h(C)}\right]=\exp (\mathbb{E}[h(C)]+a), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a real constant such that $0 \leq a \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{8} \min \{r, N-r\}$. Furthermore, for any real $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(|h(C)-\mathbb{E}[h(C)]| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\min \{r, N-r\} \alpha^{2}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.5 (McDiarmid's inequality [119]) Suppose $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ are independent Bernoulli random variables and $b_{i} \in[0, B]$ for $i \in[m]$. Suppose that $X$ is a real-valued random variable determined by $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ such that altering the value of $X_{i}$ changes $X$ by at most $b_{i}$ for $i \in[m]$. For all $\lambda>0$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X-\mathbb{E}[X]|>\lambda) \leq 2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \lambda^{2}}{B \Sigma_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}}\right)
$$

### 1.2. Rainbow structures in properly edge-colored graphs

How global parameters of a graph, such as its edge density or chromatic number, can influence its local substructures? How many edges, for instance, do we have to give a graph on $n$ vertices to ensure that the graph will contain a $K_{r}$ as a subgraph for some given $r$, no matter how these edges are arranged? Will some sufficiently high average degree or chromatic number ensure that some structure occurs? Questions of this type are among the most natural ones in Graph Theory, and there is a host of deep and interesting results. Collectively, these are known as Extremal Graph Theory. Extremal Graph Theory lies at the intersection of Extremal Combinatorics and graph theory. In recent years several classical results in Extremal Graph Theory have been improved in a uniform way and their proofs have been simplified and streamlined.

The basic statement of Extremal Graph Theory is Mantel's theorem [117], proved in 1907, which states that any graph on $n$ vertices with no triangle contains at most $n^{2} / 4$ edges. This is clearly best possible, as one may partition the set of $n$ vertices into two sets of size $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ and $\lceil n / 2\rceil$ and form the complete bipartite graph between them. This graph has $\left\lfloor n^{2} / 4\right\rfloor$ edges and does not contain a triangle as a subgraph. The natural generalisation of this theorem to cliques of size $r$ is the following, proved by Turán [147], which states that every $n$-vertex graph does not contain $K_{r+1}$ as a subgraph has at most $\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^{2}}{2}$ edges. In 1946, Erdős and Stone [51] generalized Turán's theorem and bounded the number of edges in an $H$-free graph for a non-complete graph $H$.

### 1.2.1. Extremal problems in properly edge-colored graphs

There has been much research on extremal problems in edge-colored graphs. An example is the canonical Ramsey theorem, proved by Erdős and Rado [48], a special case of which shows that any properly edge-colored $K_{n}$ admits a rainbow $K_{m}$, provided $n$ is large relative to $m$. Ramsey's theorem
states that there exists a positive integer $R(r, s)$ for which every blue-red edgecolored complete graph on $R(r, s)$ vertices contains a blue $K_{r}$ or a red $K_{s}$.

Moreover, the Turán-type problem has a generalization in edge-colored graphs, called rainbow Turán problem. The systematic study of rainbow Turán numbers was initiated in [85] by Keevash, Mubayi, Sudakov and Verstraëte. It can be seen as a generalization of Turán-type problem in edge-colored graphs. For a fixed graph $H$, the rainbow Turán problem refers to determine the maximum number of edges in a properly edge-colored graph on $n$ vertices which does not contain a rainbow $H$. This maximum is denoted by $e x^{*}(n, H)$ and we refer to it as the rainbow Turán number of $H$. Recall that given a graph $H$, the maximum number of edges in a graph on $n$ vertices that contains no copy of $H$ is known as the Turán number of $H$, and is denoted by $e x(n, H)$. Clearly, $e x^{*}(n, H) \geq e x(n, H)$. They determined $e x^{*}(n, H)$ asymptotically for any non-bipartite graph $H$, by showing that $e x^{*}(n, H)=(1+o(1)) e x(n, H)$. For bipartite $F$ with a maximum degree of $s$ in one of the parts, they proved $e x^{*}(n, F)=O\left(n^{1 / s}\right)$. This matches the upper bound for the (usual) Turán numbers of such graphs. To quote [85], there are two questions that are the most important among the several ones raised therein. The first one is to determine $e x^{*}(n, \mathcal{C})$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of all cycles. It is shown that $e x^{*}(n, \mathcal{C})=$ $\Omega(n \log n)$ in [85] and Das, Lee, and Sudakov [39] obtained an upper bound $O\left(n e^{(\log n)^{1 / 2+o(1)}}\right)$. There have been some recent improvements upon the upper bound [76, 91, 144] and the current best one is $O\left(n \log ^{2} n\right)$ appeared in [91]. The second question in [85] concerns with $e x^{*}\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)$, where $C_{2 k}$ is the even cycle of length $2 k$. In [85], a general lower bound $e x^{*}\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)=\Omega\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$ is obtained, whereas the matching upper bounds were only verified for $k=2,3$. This upper bound was subsequently improved by Das, Lee, and Sudakov [39] to $O\left(n^{1+\left(1+o_{k}(1)\right) \log k / k}\right)$ and by Janzer [76] to $O\left(n^{1+1 / k}\right)$. While Janzer's bound matches the lower bound given in [85], the implicit constant is exponential in $k$. Recently, Kim, Lee, Liu and Tran [91] improved it to a polynomial one.

Some classic problems can be transferred into extremal problems in edgecolored graphs. For example, finding directed cycles can be formulated as a special case of finding properly colored cycles. To see this, consider the following construction which was first introduced by Li [107] and also studied in [42]. Let $D$ be an oriented graph of a graph $G$ with $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Define an edge-coloring $\tau$ of $G$ by coloring the edge $v_{i} v_{j}$ with $j$ for all arcs $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in $D$. The resulting edge-colored graph, denoted by $(D, \tau)$, is called the signature of $D$ (see Figure 1.1 for an example). Then the following two properties hold : (i) For every vertex $v \in V(G), d_{(D, \tau)}^{c}(v)=d_{D}^{+}(v)$ if $d_{D}^{-}(v)=0$, otherwise $d_{(D, \tau)}^{c}(v)=d_{D}^{+}(v)+1$; (ii) A cycle in $G$ is a directed cycle in $D$ if and only if it is a properly colored cycle in $(D, \tau)$. Recall that the well-known Caccetta-Häggkvist Conjecture [24] says that for all positive integers $n, r$ with $n \geq r$, every digraph $D$ of order $n$ with $\delta^{+}(D) \geq\lceil n / r\rceil$ contains a directed
cycle of length at most $r$. Hence, the study of Caccetta-Häggkvist Conjecture in some sense can be transferred into the study of properly colored cycles in edge-colored graphs with minimum color degree constraints.


D

$(D, \tau)$

Figure 1.1 - An illustration of the signature $(D, \tau)$ of an oriented graph $D$.

There is another interesting branch, called anti-Ramsey theory [58]. The anti-Ramsey problem is stated as follows: given a positive integer $n$ and a graph $H$, the anti-Ramsey number $\operatorname{ar}\left(K_{n}, H\right)$ is defined to be the minimum number of colors $k$ such that for any edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ with exactly $k$ colors, there exists a rainbow copy of $H$. The study of anti-Ramsey theory began with a paper by Erdős, Simonovits, and Sós [50] in 1975 (note that related ideas were studied even earlier in [49]). The anti-Ramsey number $\operatorname{ar}\left(K_{n}, H\right)$ is closely related to the Turán number $e x(n, H)$, which is the maximum number of edges in a graph on $n$ vertices with no subgraph isomorphic to $H$. The main result in [50] states that $\operatorname{ar}\left(K_{n}, H\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\chi-1}\right)(1+o(1))$, where $\chi=\min \{\chi(H \backslash e): e \in E(H)\}$. Instead of forcing rainbow copies of a given graph $H$, one can consider forcing properly edge-colored copies of $H$ by using many colors, and study the threshold on the number of colors needed. This is thoroughly studied by Manoussakis, Spyratos, Tuza and Voigt in [116].

Besides a number of applications in graph theory and algorithms, some concepts and results in edge-colored graphs have also appeared in communication network [149], social science [31], biology [44, 45, 123] and so on. For example, edge-colored graphs can be used to model homogeneous faults in networks [149], study the order of chromosomes [44, 45] and DNA physical mapping [123].

### 1.2.2 . Rainbow cycles in properly edge-colored complete graphs

In 1989, Andersen [13] conjectured that all proper edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path which omits only one vertex.

Conjecture 1.1 (Andersen [13]) All proper edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path of length $n-2$.

It is best possible by a construction of Maamoun and Meyniel [115].

There are many variations of Andersen's Conjecture. The following conjecture was proposed by Hahn [66]. Every edge-colored $K_{n}$ with at most $n / 2$ edges of each color contains a rainbow Hamilton path. In light of the aforementioned construction of Maamoun and Meyniel [115], Hahn and Thomassen [67] suggested the following slightly weaker form of Hahn's Conjecture in 1986 : every edge-colored $K_{n}$ with less than $n / 2$ edges of each color contains a rainbow Hamilton path. However, even this weakening of Hahn's Conjecture is false. Pokrovskiy and Sudakov [127] proved the existence of such edge-colored $K_{n}$ in which the longest rainbow path has length at most $n-\ln n / 42$.

Another direction is to find long rainbow paths or cycles in properly edgecolored complete graphs. In recent years, this problem has been extensively studied and a series of progresses have been made. We can greedily obtain that a rainbow path of length $n / 2-1$ in every properly edge-colored $K_{n}$.

Akbari, Etesami, Mahini and Mahmoody [6] proved that every properly edge-colored $K_{n}$ has a rainbow cycle of length at least $n / 2-1$. Gyárfás and Mhalla [64] proved that if the set of edges with every used color forms a perfect matching in $K_{n}$, then there exists a rainbow path of length $(2 n+1) / 3$. Gyárfás, Ruszinkó, Sárközy and Schelp [65] showed that every properly edgecolored $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length $(4 / 7-o(1)) n$. Gebauer and Mousset [59] and Chen and Li [26], independently showed that every properly edge-colored $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length (3/4-o(1))n. Alon, Pokrovskiy and Sudakov [9] proved that every properly edge-colored of $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow cycle with length $n-O\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$, and the error bound has since been improved to $O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)$ by Balogh and Molla [14].

Further support for Conjecture 5.1 and its variants was provided by Montgomery, Pokrovskiy, and Sudakov [121] as well as Kim, Kühn, Kupavskii, and Osthus [90], who considered the decompositions of rainbow spanning structures in properly edge-colored $K_{n}$.

### 1.3. Dirac-type problems

Problems that relate the minimum degree (in general, minimum $\ell$-degree in $k$-graphs where $\ell \in[k-1]$ ) to the structure of the (hyper)graphs are often referred to as Dirac-type problems. we concentrate on three such problems : Hamilton cycles, perfect matchings and tilings.

## Hamilton cycles

A classical theorem of Dirac [43] asserts that for any $n \geq 3$, every $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree at least $n / 2$ contains a Hamilton cycle. Note that the lower bound $n / 2$ is best possible, as can be seen by the following example : a complete bipartite graph with parts of sizes $k$ and $k-1$. The graph has $2 k-1$ vertices and minimum degree $k-1$, but there is no Hamilton cycle in this graph. The problem of determining the best possible minimum $(k-1)$ -
degree condition forcing Hamilton cycles in $k$-graphs, was initially researched by Katona and Kierstead [79]. They proved that every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{k-1}(H)>\left(1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right) n+4-k-\frac{5}{2 k}$ admits a Hamilton cycle. They also conjectured that the bound on the minimum ( $k-1$ )-degree can be reduced to roughly $n / 2$, which was confirmed asymptotically by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi in [135, 137]. The same authors gave the exact version for $k=3$ in [139].

Theorem 1.1 ( $[137,139])$ Let $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$ and $H$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph, where $n$ is sufficiently large. If $\delta_{k-1}(H) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$, then $H$ contains a Hamilton cycle. Furthermore, when $k=3$ it is enough to have $\delta_{2}(H) \geq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$.

More generally, We define the threshold $h_{d}^{\ell}(k, n)$ as the smallest integer $m$ such that every $k$-graph $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq m$ contains a Hamilton $\ell$-cycle. As before, we may omit the subscript when $d=k-1$. Let $h_{d}^{\ell}(k)=$ $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{d}^{\ell}(k, n) /\binom{n-d}{k-d}$. About this parameter, there are many results as follows [70, 82, 97].

Theorem 1.2 ([70, 82, 97]) For any $k \geq \ell \geq 1$, we have

$$
h^{\ell}(k)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}, & (k-\ell) \mid k, \\ \frac{1}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{k-\ell}(k-\ell)\right\rceil}, & (k-\ell) \nmid k .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.3 ( $[15,23])$ For integer $k \geq 3$ and any $1 \leq \ell<k-2$, we have

$$
h_{k-2}^{\ell}(k)=1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2(k-\ell)}\right)^{2} .
$$

More generally, Kühn and Osthus [100] and Zhao [152] noted that it is much more difficult to determine the minimum $d$-degree condition for tight Hamilton cycle for $d \in[k-2]$. Based on the results of Cooley and Mycroft [34], Glebov, Person and Weps [60], Rödl and Ruciński [132] and Rödl, Ruciński, Schacht and Szemerédi [134], Reiher, Rödl, Ruciński, Schacht and Szemerédi [130] gave that $h_{k-2}^{k-1}(k)=5 / 9$ when $k=3$. Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl, Ruciński, Schacht, and Schülke [128] gave that $h_{k-2}^{k-1}(k)=5 / 9$ when $k=4$. The best bound for general $k$ was given by Lang and Sanhueza-Matamala [105], Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl and Schülke [129] independently. They proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 ( 105,129$]$ ) For any integer $k \geq 3, h_{k-2}^{k-1}(k)=5 / 9$.

## Perfect matchings

Many open problems in combinatorics can be formulated as a problem of finding perfect matchings in hypergraphs, e.g., Ryser conjectured that every Latin square of odd order has a rainbow, and the existence of combinatorial designs (recently solved by Keevash [80, 81]). A well-known result of Tutte [148] characterized all the graphs with perfect matchings and there are efficient
algorithms (e.g., Edmond's algorithm [46]) that determine if a graph has a perfect matching. However, deciding if a 3-partite 3-graph contains a perfect matching is among the first 21 NP-complete problems given by Karp [78]. Therefore it is natural to look for sufficient conditions that guarantee a perfect matching.

Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [17] first related the minimum (vertex) degree to the existence of a large (but far from perfect) matching in $k$-graphs. Daykin and Häggkvist [40] extended this result by showing that every $k$-graph with $\delta_{1}(H) \geq(1-1 / k)\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ contains a perfect matching. Given integers $d<k \leq n$ such that $k$ divides $n$, define the minimum $d$-degree threshold $m_{d}(k, n)$ as the smallest integer $m$ such that every $k$-graph $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq m$ contains a prefect matching. A simple greedy argument shows that $m_{1}(2, n)=$ $n / 2$ for all $n \in 2 \mathbb{N}$. Given $k \geq 3$, a result of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [137] on Hamilton cycles implies that $m_{k-1}(k, n) \leq n / 2+o(n)$. Kühn and Osthus [99] sharpened this bound to $m_{k-1}(k, n) \leq n / 2+3 k^{2} \sqrt{n \log n}$ by reducing the problem to the one for $k$-partite $k$-graphs. Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [136] improved it further to $m_{k-1}(k, n) \leq n / 2+O(n \log n)$ by using the absorbing method. Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [133] found a simple proof of $m_{k-1}(k, n) \leq n / 2+k / 4$. Finally Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [138] determined $m_{k-1}(k, n)$ exactly for all $k \geq 3$ and sufficiently large $n$ (again by the absorbing method). In order to state this and later results, we need the following extremal configurations that are usually referred to as divisibility barrier.
Consruction Define $\mathcal{H}_{\text {ext }}(n, k)$ to be the family of all $k$-graphs $H=(V, E)$, in which there is a partition of $V$ into two parts $A, B$ and $i \in\{0,1\}$ such that $|A| \neq i|V| / k \bmod 2$ and $|e \cap A|=i \bmod 2$ for all edges $e \in E$.

It is easy to see that no hypergraph $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text {ext }}(n, k)$ contains a perfect matching. Indeed, suppose $H$ contains a perfect matching $M$, then $|A|=$ $\sum_{e \in M}|e \cap A|=i|V| / k \bmod 2$, contradicting the definition of $H$. Define $\delta(n, k, d)$ to be the maximum of the minimum $d$-degrees among all the hypergraphs in $\mathcal{H}_{\text {ext }}(n, k)$ and note that $m_{d}(k, n)>\delta(n, k, d)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\delta(n, k, k-1)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2}-k+2, & \text { if } k / 2 \text { is even and } n / k \text { is odd, } \\ \frac{n}{2}-k+\frac{3}{2}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd and }(n-1) / 2 \text { is odd, } \\ \frac{n}{2}-k+\frac{1}{2}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd and }(n-1) / 2 \text { is even, } \\ \frac{n}{2}-k+1, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

In general, $\delta(n, k, d)=(1 / 2+o(1))\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for any fixed $k>d$ but the general formula of $\delta(n, k, d)$ is unknown-this is related to the open problem of finding the minima of binary Krawtchouk polynomials. Nevertheless, Treglown and Zhao [145] determined $m_{d}(k, n)$ in terms of $\delta(n, k, d)$ for all $d \geq k / 2$.

Theorem 1.5 ([145]) For $k \geq 3$ and $d \geq k / 2, m_{d}(k, n)=\delta(n, k, d)+1$ for all sufficiently large $n$.

Another class of extremal constructions are known as space barrier.
Consruction Given $s, k, n \in N$ such that $s \leq\lceil n / k\rceil$ ( $k$ may not divide $n$ ), let $H_{s}^{0}(n, k)$ be the $k$-graph on $n$ vertices whose vertex set is partitioned into two parts $A$ and $B$ such that $|A|=s-1$, and whose edge set consists of all those edges with at least one vertex in $A$. When $k$ divides $n$, let $H^{0}(n, k):=$ $H_{n / k}^{0}(n, k)$.

Hàn, Person and Schacht [69] proved that $m_{1}(3, n)=(5 / 9+o(1)) n \approx$ $\delta_{1}\left(H^{0}(n, 3)\right)$ for sufficiently large $n$. Khan [88] and independently Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [101] obtained that $m_{1}(3, n)=\delta_{1}\left(H^{0}(n, 3)\right)+1$ for sufficiently large $n$. Khan [89] also proved that $m_{1}(4, n)=\delta_{1}\left(H^{0}(n, 4)\right)+1$ for sufficiently large $n$. Alon, Frankl, Huang, Rödl, Ruciński and Sudakov [8] determined $m_{d}(k, n)$ asymptotically for all $d \geq k-4$, including the new cases when $(k, d)=(5,1),(5,2),(6,2)$ and $(7,3)$. Very recently Treglown and Zhao [146] determined $m_{2}(5, n)$ and $m_{3}(7, n)$ exactly for sufficiently large $n$. All these results point to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 ([146]) Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \leq k-1$. Then for sufficiently large $n \in k \mathbb{N}$,

$$
m_{d}(k, n)=\max \left\{\delta(n, k, d),\binom{n-d}{k-d}-\binom{(1-1 / k) n-d+1}{k-d}+1\right\}
$$

When $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq d<k / 2$, Hàn, Person and Schacht [69] gave a general bound: $m_{d}(k, n) \leq((k-d) / k+o(1))\binom{n-d}{k-d}$. This was improved by Markström and Ruciński [118] to $\left.m_{d}(k, n) \leq\left((k-d) / k-1 / k^{k-d}\right)+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ and by Kühn, Osthus and Townsend [104] to

$$
m_{d}(k, n) \leq\left(\frac{k-d}{k}-\frac{k-d-1}{k^{k-d}}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d} .
$$

## Tilings

Tiling problems have been studied extensively for graphs. Finding sufficient conditions for the existence of an $F$-factor is one of the central areas of research in Extremal Graph Theory. The celebrated Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 1.6 (Hajnal-Szemerédi [68], Corrádi-Hajnal [35] for $t=3$ ) Every $n$ vertex graph $G$ with $n \in t \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta(G) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) n$ has a $K_{t}$-factor. Moreover, the minimum degree condition is sharp.

The minimum degree threshold forcing an $F$-factor for arbitrary $F$ was obtained by Kühn and Osthus $[102,103]$, improving earlier results of Alon and Yuster [11] and Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [95].

It is not surprising that tiling problems become harder in hypergraphs. Other than the matching problems mentioned above, only a few tiling thresholds are known. Given a $k$-graph $F$ of order $f$ and an integer $n$ divisible
by $f$, we define the $F$-tiling threshold $\delta_{d}(n, F)$ as the smallest integer $t$ such that every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq t$ contains an $F$-factor. We simply write $\delta(n, F)$ for $\delta_{k-1}(n, F)$. Let $K_{t}^{k}$ be the complete $k$-graph on $t$ vertices. The first step towards a hypergraph is determining $\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right)$. Czygrinow and Nagle [38] showed that $\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right) \geq 3 n / 5+o(n)$. Keevash and Sudakov observed that $\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right) \geq 5 n / 8+o(n)$. Pikhurko [124] proved $3 n / 4-2 \leq \delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right) \leq 0.861 n$. Lo and Markström [108] showed that $\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right) \geq 3 n / 4+o(n)$ by the absorbing method. Independently and simultaneously Keevash and Mycroft [86] determined $\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right)$ exactly.

Theorem 1.7 ([86]) For all sufficiently large $n \in 4 \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\delta\left(n, K_{4}^{3}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{3 n}{4}-2, & \text { if } n \in 8 \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{3 n}{4}-1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

When $t=k+1$, Lo and Markström [108] showed that $\delta\left(n, K_{k+1}^{k}\right) \leq(1-$ $1 / 2 k) n$ for $k \geq 3$. It is plausible that one can prove $\delta\left(n, K_{k+1}^{k}\right) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} n+o(n)$ by applying the approach of [86]. Unfortunately we do not know a matching lower bound (it was shown in [108] that $\delta\left(n, K_{k+1}^{k}\right) \geq 2 n / 3$ for even $k$ ). For arbitrary $t$, it was shown in [108] that

$$
\left(1-\frac{193 \log (t-1)}{(t-1)^{2}}\right) n \leq \delta\left(n, K_{t}^{3}\right) \leq\left(1-\frac{2}{t^{2}-3 t+4}+o(1)\right) n
$$

and $\delta\left(n, K_{t}^{k}\right) \leq\left(1-\binom{t-1}{k-1}^{-1}+o(1)\right) n$ for $k \geq 6$ and $t \geq(3+\sqrt{5}) k / 2$.
Given positive integers $m_{1} \leq \cdots \leq m_{k}$, let $K_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}}^{k}$ denote the complete $k$-partite $k$-graph with parts of sizes $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$. In particular, let $K_{k}^{k}(m)=$ $K_{m, \ldots, m}^{k}$. It is clear that $\delta_{d}\left(n, K_{k}^{k}(m)\right) \geq m_{d}(k, n)$, but it is possible to have $\delta_{d}\left(n, K_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}}^{k}\right)<m_{d}(k, n)$ for certain $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$. Other than the matching problems, perhaps the earliest result on hypergraph tiling was on $K_{1,1,2}^{3}$-tiling (note that $K_{1,1,2}^{3}$ is the unique 3-graph with four vertices and 2 triples). As a corollary of their main result on loose Hamilton cycles, Kühn and Osthus [98] proved that $\delta\left(n, K_{1,1,2}^{3}\right)=n / 4+o(n)$. Recently Czygrinow, DeBiasio and Nagle [37] determined this threshold exactly for sufficiently large $n$.

Let us consider hypergraph tiling under vertex degree conditions. Very little is known in addition to [114] used the Local Lemma to derive a general upper bound for $\delta_{1}(n, F)$ for arbitrary $k$-graph $F$ as follows.

Theorem 1.8 ([114]) Let $F$ be a $t$-vertex m-edge $k$-graph in which each edge intersects at most $d$ other edges. Then $\delta_{1}(n, F) \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{e\left(d+1+\frac{m}{t} k^{2}\right)}\right)\binom{n-1}{k-1}$, where $e=2.718$.

Given a $k$-graph $F$, let $\tau_{d}(n, F)$ denote the minimum integer $t$ such that every $k$-graph $H$ of order $n$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq t$ has the property that every vertex of $H$ is covered in some copy of $F$. When $F$ is a graph, it is not hard to see that
$\tau_{1}(n, F)=(1-1 /(\chi(F)-1)+o(1)) n$ (see the concluding remarks of [71]). Given a $k$-graph $F$, trivially $\operatorname{ex}_{d}(n, F)<\tau_{d}(n, F) \leq \delta_{d}(n, F)$, where $\operatorname{ex}_{d}(n, F)$ is the $d$-degree Turán number of $F$, defined as the smallest integer $t$ such that every $k$-graph $H$ of order $n$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq t+1$ contains a copy of $F$.

### 1.4. Rainbow structures in (hyper)graph systems

## Graph Systems

The most famous transversals are the ones of Latin squares considered by Euler. In 1782, Euler [52] considered a Latin square of order $n$, which is an $n \times n$ array filled with symbols $1, \ldots, n$, where every symbol appears exactly once in each row and column. A transversal of a Latin square of order $n$ is a collection of cells such that every two cells share no row, column or symbol.


Figure 1.2 - Latin square.
Considering the rows and columns of the Latin square as a bipartite graph $K_{n, n}$, where each symbol in the Latin square represents a color and each cell represents an edge in the graph, the Latin square naturally corresponds to a properly edge-coloring of $K_{n, n}$. Viewing this edge-colored graph as a set of graphs $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, where each $G_{i}$ is a graph formed by edges with color $i$, then a rainbow matching corresponds to a transversal.


Figure 1.3-A transversal of latin sqaure.
The following conjecture has become known as the Ryser-Brualdi-Stein conjecture $[141,22,142]$ and is the most significant problem on transversals in Latin squares.

Conjecture 1.3 (Ryser-Brualdi-Stein Conjecture [141, 22, 142]) Every Latinsquare of order $n$ has a transversal with $n-1$ cells, and a transversal with $n$ cells if $n$ is odd.

Towards the above conjecture, Koksma [93] proved the existence of a transversal of size $2 n / 3$ before Brouwer, De Vries and Wieringa [21] and Woolbright [150] independently showed that every Latin square of order $n$ has a transversal with at least $n-\sqrt{n}$ cells. Hatami and Shor [73] showed that a transversal with $n-11 \log ^{2} n$ cells exists in any Latin square of order $n$. This bound stood until the breakthrough work of Keevash, Pokrovskiy, Sudakov and Yepremyan [87] in 2022, which showed that every Latin square of order $n$ has a transversal with $n-O(\log n / \log \log n)$ cells. Recently, Montgomery [131] resolved the above conjecture.

More generally, Aharoni and Berger [1] made the following generalization of the above conjecture.

Conjecture 1.4 (Aharoni-Berger Conjecture [1]) Let $G$ be a properly edge- colored bipartite multigraph with $n$ colors having at least $n+1$ edges of each color. Then $G$ has a rainbow matching of size $n$.

This conjecture attracted a lot of attention since it was made. Aharoni, Charbit and Howard [2] proved that matchings of size $\lfloor 7 n / 4\rfloor$ are sufficient to guarantee a rainbow matching of size $n$. Kotlar and Ziv [96] improved this to $\lfloor 5 n / 3\rfloor$. Clemens and Ehrenmüller [32] showed that $3 n / 2+o(n)$ is sufficient. The best currently known bound is by Aharoni, Kotlar and Ziv [5] who showed that having $3 n / 2+1$ edges of each color in an $n$-edge-colored bipartite multigraph guarantees a rainbow matching of size $n$. Pokrovskiy [126] approximate version of Conjecture 1.4.

This motivates the study about the existence of rainbow structures in a collection of graphs. Indeed, various interesting results have been proved.

Aharoni, DeVos, Maza, Montejano and Šámal [3] proved that there exists a rainbow triangle in $\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{3}\right\}$ if $e\left(G_{i}\right)>\frac{26-2 \sqrt{7}}{81} n^{2}$ for each $i \in[3]$, which is a Turán type problem over graph systems. Surprisingly, this bound is best possible as $\frac{26-2 \sqrt{7}}{81}$ is larger than $1 / 4$ which we obtained from Mantel's theorem. It is an interesting open problem to generalize this further by determining the tight conditions on $e\left(G_{i}\right)$ for the existence of a $\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{\binom{r}{2}}\right\}$-rainbow isomorphic to $K_{r}$ with $r>3$. In the same paper, they proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.5 ([3]) For $|V|=n \geq 3$ and graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$, if $\delta\left(G_{i}\right) \geq n / 2$ for each $i \in[n]$, then there exists a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

This was recently verified asymptotically by Cheng, Wang and Zhao [30], and completely by Joos and Kim [77]. In [20], Bradshaw, Halasz and Stacho strengthened the Joos-Kim result by showing that given an $n$-vertex graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ with $\delta\left(G_{i}\right) \geq n / 2$ for $i \in[n]$, then $\boldsymbol{G}$ has exponentially many rainbow Hamilton cycles. Similarly, Bradshaw [19] gave a degree condition for rainbow Hamilton cycle in bipartite graph systems, which generalized
the result of Moon and Moser [122]. Moreover, Gupta, Hamann, Müyesser, Parczyk and Sgueglia [63] recently proved that any collection of an $n$-vertex graph system with at least $r n$ graphs, each with minimum degree at least $(r /(r+1)+o(1)) n$, contains a rainbow $r$-th power of a Hamilton cycle. This can be viewed as a rainbow version of the Pósa-Seymour conjecture, which was proved by Komlós, Sárközy, and Szemerédi [94]. Cheng and Staden [29] developed a version of rainbow blow-up lemma (which can be used when the number of colors is $\varepsilon$-fraction more than the number of edges in $H$ ) and obtained a result similar to [63] when the number of colors is $\varepsilon$-fraction more than the number of edges in the power of Hamilton cycle.

Generally, for each graph $F$, let $\delta_{F}$ be the smallest real number $\delta \geq 0$ such that, for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some $n_{0}$ such that, for every $n \geq n_{0}$ with $|F|$ dividing $n$, if an $n$-vertex graph $G$ has minimum degree at least $(\delta+\varepsilon) n$, then $G$ contains an $F$-factor. Cheng, Han, Wang and Wang [27] proved that the minimum degree bound $\delta_{K_{r}}$ is asymptotically sufficient for the existence of rainbow $K_{r}$-factor in graph systems. Montgomery, Müyesser and Pehova [120] generalized the above conclusion for some $F$ satisfying $\delta_{F} \geq 1 / 2$ or $F$ has a bridge.

All those graphs above, powers of Hamilton cycles, $F$-factors and trees, have somewhat bounded maximum degree and have low connectivity. This low connectivity can be captured by the following notion of bandwidth. A graph $H$ has a bandwidth at most $b$ if there exists an ordering $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of $V(H)$ such that all edges $x_{i} x_{j} \in E(H)$ satisfies $|i-j| \leq b$. Indeed, the celebrated bandwidth theorem proved by Böttcher, Schacht and Taraz [18] determines the asymptotically sharp minimum degree condition on $G$ to find such a graph $H$ with bounded maximum degree and low bandwidth as a spanning subgraph. More precisely, the bandwidth theorem states that if an $n$-vertex $k$ chromatic graph $H$ has bounded maximum degree and sublinear bandwidth, then every $n$-vertex graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geq(1-1 / k+o(1)) n$ contains a copy of $H$. Recently, Chakraborti, Im, Kim and Liu [25] made important progress in this direction by proving a 'rainbow bandwidth theorem'.

Theorem 1.9 ([25]) For every $\varepsilon>0$ and positive integers $\Delta, k$, there exist $\alpha>0$ and $h_{0}>0$ satisfying the following for every $h \geq h_{0}$. Let $H$ be an $n$-vertex graph with $h$ edges and bandwidth at most $\alpha n$ such that $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$ and $\chi(H) \leq k$. If $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[h]}$ is a family of $h$ graphs on the same vertex set of size $n$ such that $\delta\left(G_{i}\right) \geq(1-1 / k+\varepsilon) n$ for all $i \in[h]$, then there exists a G-rainbow $H$.

## Hypergraph systems

It is also natural to investigate what can be guaranteed with a lower bound on the minimum degree in hypergraphs. It turns out that even in this more restrictive setting, there can be a discrepancy between the uncolored and the rainbow versions of the problem.

Definition 1.1 (Uncolored minimum degree threshold) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an infinite family of $k$-graphs. By $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}$ we denote, if it exists, the smallest real number $\delta$ such that for all $\alpha>0$ and for all but finitely many $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the following holds. Let $n=|V(F)|$ and $H$ be any $n$-vertex $k$-graph with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq(\delta+\alpha)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$. Then $H$ contains a copy of $F$.

For example, if $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of graphs consisting of a cycle on $n$ vertices for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, 1}=1 / 2$. Indeed, this follows from Dirac's theorem which states that any graph with minimum degree at least $n / 2$ has a Hamilton cycle.

Definition 1.2 (Rainbow minimum degree threshold) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an infinite family of $k$-graphs. By $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}^{\mathrm{rb}}$ we denote, if it exists, the smallest real number $\delta$ such that for all $\alpha>0$ and for all but finitely many $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the following holds. Let $n=$ $|V(F)|$ and $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[|E(F)|]}$ be any $n$-vertex $k$-graph and $\delta_{d}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(\delta+\alpha)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for each $i \in[|E(F)|]$. Then there exists an $\mathbf{H}$-rainbow $F$.

Note that $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}^{\mathrm{rb}} \geq \delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}$. Indeed, if $H$ contains no copy of $F$, the system $\boldsymbol{H}$ consisting of $|E(F)|$ copies of $H$ does not contain a rainbow copy of $F$ either. However, Montgomery, Müyesser, and Pehova [120] made the following observation which shows that $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}^{\mathrm{rb}}$ can be much larger than $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}$. Set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{k \times\left(K_{2,3} \cup C_{4}\right): k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ where $k \times G$ denotes the graph obtained by taking $k$ vertex-disjoint copies of $G$. It follows from a result of Kühn and Osthus [103] that $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, 1}=4 / 9$. Consider the graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}\right\}$ on $V$ obtained in the following way. Partition $V$ into two almost equal vertex subsets, say $A$ and $B$, and suppose that $G_{1}=G_{2}=\cdots=G_{m-1}$ are all disjoint unions of a clique on $A$ and a clique on $B$. Suppose that $G_{m}$ is a complete bipartite graph between $A$ and $B$. Observe that each $G_{i}$ in this resulting graph system has minimum degree $\lfloor|V| / 2\rfloor$. Further observe that if $\boldsymbol{G}$ contains a rainbow copy of some $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the edge of $K_{2,3}$ or $C_{4}$ that gets copied to an edge of $G_{m}$ would be a bridge (an edge whose removal disconnects the graph) of $F$. However, neither $K_{2,3}$ nor $C_{4}$ contains a bridge. Hence, $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}^{\mathrm{rb}} \geq 1 / 2$.

On the other hand, there are many natural instances where $\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}^{\mathrm{rb}}=\delta_{\mathcal{F}, d}$. When this equality holds, we say that the corresponding family $\mathcal{F}$ is $d$-colorblind. For example, Joos and Kim [77] showed that the family $\mathcal{F}$ of Hamilton cycles is 1-color-blind. There are many more families of color-blind hypergraphs. In particular, matchings [27, 113, 110, 109], Hamilton $\ell$-cycles [28, 143], factors [27,120] and spanning trees [120] have been extensively studied.

Recently, Gupta, Hamann, Müyesser, Parczyk and Sgueglia [63] gave a unified approach to this problem and proved the following result.

Theorem 1.10 ([63]) The following families of hypergraphs are all d-color-blind.
(A) The family of the $r$-th powers of Hamilton cycles for fixed $r \geq 2$ (and $d=1$ ).
(B) The family of $k$-uniform Hamilton $\ell$-cycles for the following ranges of $k, \ell$, and $d$.
(B1) $1<\ell<k / 2$ and $d=k-2$;
(B2) $1 \leq \ell<k / 2$ or $\ell=k-1$ and $d=k-1$;
(B3) $\ell=k / 2$ and $k / 2<d \leq k-1$ with $k$ even.
Other recent results include works on matchings. The largest size of a matching in a hypergraph $H$ is denoted by $\nu(H)$. A classical problem in Extremal Graph Theory is to determine $\max e(H)$ with $\nu(H)$ fixed. Erdős [47] made the following conjecture : For positive integers $k, n, t$ with $n \geq k t$, every $k$-graph $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\nu(H)<t$ satisfies $e(H) \leq \max \left\{\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-t+1}{k},\binom{k t-1}{k}\right\}$. This bound is tight for the complete $k$-graph on $k t-1$ vertices and for the $k$ graph on $n$ vertices in which every edge intersects a fixed set of $t-1$ vertices. There have been many results about this conjecture, but we mainly focus on the rainbow version. Aharoni and Howard [4] made the following conjecture, also see Huang, Loh, and Sudakov [74].

Conjecture $1.6([4,74])$ Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[t]}$ be an n-vertex $k$-graph system. If $e\left(H_{i}\right)>\max \left\{\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-t+1}{k},\binom{k t-1}{k}\right\}$ for each $i \in[t]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$ rainbow matching.

Huang, Loh, and Sudakov [74] proved that Conjecture 1.6 holds for $n>3 k^{2} t$. Recently, Frankl and Kupavskii [56] proved that Conjecture 1.6 holds when $n \geq 12 k t \log \left(e^{2} t\right)$, providing an almost linear bound. Lu, Wang and Yu [110] improved it to $n>2 k t$ and $t$ is sufficiently large. More recently, Keevash, Lifshitz, Long and Minzer [83] independently proved a more general version with $n=\Omega(k t)$ using sharp threshold techniques developed in [84].

There are also Dirac-type conditions in hypergraph systems for rainbow matchings. For 3-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 3]}$, Lu, Yu, and Yuan [113] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.11 ([113]) For sufficiently large $n$ with $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and a 3-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 3]}$, if $\delta_{1}\left(H_{i}\right)>\binom{n-1}{2}-\binom{2 n / 3}{2}$ for $i \in[n / 3]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow perfect matching.

This implies the result of Kühn, Osthus, and Treglown [101] and Khan [88] on perfect matchings in 3-graphs. In [109], Lu, Wang and Yu proved the following result in 4-graph systems,

Theorem 1.12 ([109] ) Let $n$ be a sufficiently large integer with $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 4]}$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system such that for each $i \in[n / 4]$, if $\delta_{1}\left(H_{i}\right)>\binom{n-1}{3}-\binom{3 n / 4}{3}$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow perfect matching.

This gives Khan's result [89] on perfect matchings in 4-graphs as a special case.
Besides, Lu, Wang and Yu [111] also give the co-degree threshold for rainbow perfect matchings in $k$-graph systems.

Theorem 1.13 ([111]) Given integers $k, d$ such that $k \geq 3$ and $k / 2 \leq d \leq k-1$ and $n \in k \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ is an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system with $n \geq n_{0}$ satisfying $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right)>$ $\delta(n, k, k-1)$ for each $i \in[n / k]$. Then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow perfect matching.

Recently, You [151] determined the minimum $d$-degree condition that guarantees the existence of a rainbow perfect matching in $k$-graph systems for $d \in[k / 2, k-1]$.

### 1.5. Contribution and outline of the thesis

In this section, we summarize main works and the organization of this dissertation.
(1) Let $c_{k, d}$ be the minimum $d$-degree threshold for perfect fractional matchings in $k$-graphs, namely, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ contains a perfect fractional matching. It is known that [8] every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ has a perfect matching, and this condition is asymptotically best possible. In Chapter 2, we proved that a minimum $d$-degree condition forcing a perfect matching in a $k$-graph also forces rainbow perfect matchings in $k$-graph systems for $d \in[k-1]$. The degree assumptions in the result is asymptotically best possible (although the minimum $d$-degree condition forcing a perfect matching in a $k$-graph is in general unknown). We also give a general framework to prove the existence of rainbow factors in hypergraph systems. This is a joint work with Y. Cheng, J. Han and G. Wang.
(2) A classical theorem of Dirac [43] asserts that for any $n \geq 3$, every $n$ vertex graph with minimum degree at least $n / 2$ contains a Hamilton cycle. The problem of determining the best possible minimum ( $k-1$ )degree condition forcing Hamilton cycles in $k$-graphs, was initially researched by Katona and Kierstead [79]. They proved that every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{k-1}(H)>\left(1-\frac{1}{2 k}\right) n+4-k-\frac{5}{2 k}$ admits a Hamilton cycle. They also conjectured that the bound on the minimum ( $k-1$ )degree can be reduced to roughly $n / 2$, which was confirmed asymptotically by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi in [135, 137]. The same authors gave the exact version for $k=3$ in [139]. In Chapter 3, we show that given $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, sufficiently large $n$ and an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ for each $i \in[n]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle, which is an extension of [137]. This is a joint work with Y. Cheng, J. Han, G. Wang and D. Yang.
(3) Gupta, Hamann, Müyesser, Parczyk, and Sgueglia [63] mentioned the following problem as "there is a well-known (uncolored) Dirac-type re-
sult whose rainbow version is missing" and "it would be an interesting challenge to obtain this result" : Given a 3-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ with minimum vertex degree condition of each $H_{i}$, does $\boldsymbol{H}$ admit a rainbow Hamilton cycle? In Chapter 4, we develop a sequentially Hamilton framework, which is of independent interest, settling the above problem, and draw the general conclusion for any $k \geq 3$. We show that given $\gamma>0, k \geq 3$, sufficiently large $n$ and an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta_{k-2}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(5 / 9+\gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ for $i \in[n]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle. This result implies the conclusion in a single graph, which was proved by Lang and Sanhueza-Matamala [105], Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl and Schülke [129] independently. This is a joint work with Y. Tang, G. Wang and G. Yan.
(4) In 1989, Andersen [13] conjectured that all proper edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path which omits only one vertex. It is best possible by a construction of Maamoun and Meyniel [115]. In Chapter 5, we proved that every properly edge-colored $K_{n, n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length at least $n-28 n^{3 / 4}$ for sufficiently large $n$. The bound above is asymptotically optimal as each color class could be a perfect matching of $K_{n, n}$ and only $n$ colors occur in $E\left(K_{n, n}\right)$. This is a joint work with H . Li and G . Wang.

## 2-Rainbow perfect matchings in hypergraph systems with minimum $d$-degree

It is well-known that perfect matchings are closely related to its fractional counterpart. Given a $k$-graph $H$, a fractional matching is a function $f: E(H) \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$, subject to the requirement that $\sum_{e: v \in e} f(e) \leq 1$, for every $v \in V(H)$. Furthermore, if equality holds for every $v \in V(H)$, then we call the fractional matching perfect. Denote the maximum size of a fractional matching of $H$ by $\nu^{*}(H)=\max _{f} \Sigma_{e \in E(H)} f(e)$.

Let $c_{k, d}$ be the minimum $d$-degree threshold for perfect fractional matchings in $k$-graphs, namely, for every $\varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ contains a perfect fractional matching. It is known that [8] every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq$ $\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+o(1)\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ has a perfect matching, and this condition is asymptotically best possible. However, determining the parameter $c_{k, d}$ is a major open problem in this field and we refer to [56] for related results and discussions.

Theorem 2.1 For every $\varepsilon>0$ and integer $d \in[k-1]$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the following holds for all integers $n \geq n_{0}$ and $n \in k \mathbb{N}$. Every $n$-vertex $k$ graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ with $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for each $i$ contains a rainbow perfect matching.

### 2.1. Notation and preliminaries

Given a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ on vertex $V$ and a subset $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$. Let $\boldsymbol{G}\left[V^{\prime}\right]=\left\{G_{i}\left[V^{\prime}\right]\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ be the induced $k$-graph system on $V^{\prime}$. If $\left|V^{\prime}\right| \in k \mathbb{N}$ and there exists a rainbow perfect $F$-tiling inside $\mathbf{G}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ whose color set is $C \subseteq$ $[n / k]$, then we say that $V^{\prime}$ spans a rainbow $F$-tiling in $\boldsymbol{G}$ with color set $C$. Next, we give some definition needed in this chapter.

Definition 2.1 (Rainbow $F$-absorber) Let $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ be a $k$-graph system on $V$. For every $k$-set $B$ in $V$ and every color $C$ in $[n / k], A=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ is called a rainbow edge-absorber for $(B, C)$ if

- $V(A)=B \dot{\cup} L$,
- $A_{1}$ is a rainbow perfect matching $L$ with color set $C_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ is a rainbow perfect matching on $B \cup L$ with color set $C_{1} \cup C$.

Definition 2.2 We call a hypergraph $H$ a $(1, b)$-graph, if $V(H)$ can be partitioned into $A \cup B$ and $E(H)$ is a family of $(1+b)$-sets each of which contains exactly one vertex in $A$ and $b$ vertices in $B$.

For a $(1, b)$-graph $H$ with partition $A \dot{\cup} B$, a $(1, d)$-subset $D$ of $V(H)$ is a $(d+1)$-tuple where $|D \cap A|=1$ and $|D \cap B|=d$. A $(1, b)$-graph $H$ with partition classes $A, B$ is balanced if $b|A|=|B|$. We say that a set $S \subseteq V(H)$ is balanced if $b|S \cap A|=|S \cap B|$.

Given an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ on $V$, we construct auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ as follows.

Definition 2.3 Let $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ be an auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with vertex set $V^{\prime}=$ $[n / k] \cup V$ and edge set $\left\{\{i\} \cup e: i \in[n / k], e \in G_{i}\right\}$.

For a hypergraph $H$, the 2-degree of a pair of vertices is the number of edges containing this pair and $\Delta_{2}(H)$ denotes the maximum 2-degree in $H$. For reals $a, b$ and $c$, we write $a=(1 \pm b) c$ for $(1-b) c \leq a \leq(1+b) c$. We need the following result which was attributed to Pippenger [125](see Theorem 4.7.1 in [10]), following Frankl and Rödl. A cover in a hypergraph $H$ is a set of edges such that each vertex of $H$ is in at least one edge of the set.

Lemma 2.1 ([125]) For every integer $k \geq 2, r \geq 1$ and $a>0$, there exist $\gamma=$ $\gamma(k, r, a)>0$ and $d_{0}=d_{0}(d, r, a)$ such that the following holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \geq d_{0}$. Every $k$-graph $H=(V, E)$ on $V$ of $n$ vertices in which all vertices have positive degrees and which satisfies the following conditions :

- For all vertices $x \in V$ but at most $\gamma n$ of them, $d_{H}(x)=(1 \pm \gamma) D$.
- For all $x \in V, d_{H}(x)<r D$.
- $\Delta_{2}(H)<\gamma D$.
contains a cover of at most $(1+a)(n / k)$ edges.


### 2.2. Rainbow absorption method

Given an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$ with $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+$ $\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for $i \in[n / k], d \in[k-1]$, we first construct a $(1, k)$-graph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ with vertex set $[n / k] \cup V$ and edge set $\left\{\{i\} \cup e: e \in H_{i}, i \in[n / k]\right\}$. Next, we construct a specific rainbow edge-absorber. For any $k$-set $T=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ in $V$ and every color $c_{1} \in[n / k]$, we give a rainbow absorber $A=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ for $\left(T, c_{1}\right)$ as follows.

- $A_{1}=\left\{M_{2}, \ldots, M_{k}\right\}$ is a set of $k-1$ disjoint edges in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ where $c_{i} \in$ $M_{i}(i \in[2, k])$.
- There is a vertex $u_{i}(i \in[2, k])$ from each $V\left(M_{i}\right)$ such that $\left\{u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{1}\right.$, $\left.c_{1}\right\} \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ and $\left(V\left(M_{i}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{i}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\} \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ for $i \in[2, k]$. Let $A_{2}$ be $\left\{\left\{u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{1}, c_{1}\right\},\left(V\left(M_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left(V\left(M_{k}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{k}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{k}\right\}\right\}$.
For any $k$-set $T$ in $V$ and every color $c_{1} \in[n / k]$, we denote the family of such rainbow edge-absorbers for $\left(T, c_{1}\right)$ by $\mathcal{A}\left(T, c_{1}\right)$.

Claim 2.1 $\left|\mathcal{A}\left(T, c_{1}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon^{2 k-2} n^{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}^{k} / 2$.

Proof. Fix $c_{1} \in[n / k]$ and $T=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq V$. Choose $\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$ arbitrarily from $[n / k]$ and there are at least $\left(\frac{n}{k}-1\right) \cdots\left(\frac{n}{k}-(k-1)\right) \geq \varepsilon^{k-1} n^{k-1}$ choices. Fix such $\left(c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$. Next, we construct $M_{2}, \ldots, M_{k}$ and note that there are at most $(k-1)\binom{n-1}{k-2} \leq \varepsilon\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ edges which contain $c_{1}, v_{1}$ and $v_{j}$ for some $j \in[2, k]$. Due to the minimum degree assumption, there are at least $\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ edges containing $v_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ but none of $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$. We fix such one edge $\left\{c_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ and set $U_{1}=\left\{u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$. For each $i \in[2, k]$ and each pair $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$, suppose we succeed in choosing a set $U_{i}$ such that $U_{i}$ is disjoint with $W_{i-1}=\cup_{j \in[i-1]} U_{j} \cup T$ and both $U_{i} \cup\left\{u_{i}, c_{i}\right\}$ and $U_{i} \cup\left\{v_{i}, c_{i}\right\}$ are edges in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$, then for a fixed $i \in[2, k]$, we call such a choice $U_{i}$ good.

Note that in each step $i \in[2, k]$, there are $k+(i-1)(k-1) \leq k^{2}$ vertices in $W_{i-1}$, thus the number of edges with color $c_{i}$ intersecting $u_{i}$ and at least one other vertex in $W_{i-1}$ is at most $k^{2}\binom{n-1}{k-2}$. So the minimum degree assumption implies that for each $i \in[2, k]$, there are at least $2 \varepsilon\binom{n-1}{k-1}-2 k^{2}\binom{n-1}{k-2} \geq \varepsilon\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ good choices for $U_{i}$ and in total we obtain $\varepsilon^{2 k-2} n^{k-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}^{k} / 2$ rainbow absorbers for $\left(T, c_{1}\right)$.

For any edge $e \in E\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$, If $A \subseteq V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$ and $|A|$ is divisible by $k+1$, then $A \in$ $\binom{(k+1) n}{|A|}$ is an absorber for $e$ if $e \subseteq A$, there is a perfect matching in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}[A]$ and there is a perfect matching in $H_{\mathbf{G}}[A \backslash e]$. Let $\mathcal{L}(e)$ denote the set of absorbers for $e$ in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$.

Lemma 2.2 (Rainbow Absorption Lemma) Let $A^{0}$ be a rainbow edge-absorber as above. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $\gamma, \gamma_{1}$ and $n_{0}$ such that the following holds for all integers $n \geq n_{0}$. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ is an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V$ and $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ is the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$, then there exists a matching $M$ in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ with size at most $2 \gamma(k-1) n$ such that for every balanced set $U \subseteq([n / k] \cup V) \backslash V(M)$ of size at most $\gamma_{1} n, V(M) \cup U$ spans $a$ matching in $H_{G}$.

Proof. Let $1 / n \ll \gamma_{1} \ll \alpha \ll \gamma \ll \varepsilon^{\prime} \ll \varepsilon$. Note that a matching of size $k$ in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ corresponds to a rainbow edge-absorber in $\boldsymbol{G}$. Choose a family $\mathcal{F}$ of matchings of size $k-1$ from $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ by including each matching of size $k-1$ independently at random with probability

$$
p=\gamma / n^{(k-1)(k+1)-1}
$$

Note that $|\mathcal{F}|,|\mathcal{L}(e) \cap \mathcal{F}|$ are binomial random variables with expectations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}|] \leq \gamma n \text { and } \\
\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{L}(e) \cap \mathcal{F}|] \geq \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n \text { for any } e \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The latter inequality holds since for any edge $e$ of $H_{\boldsymbol{G}},|\mathcal{L}(e)| \geq \varepsilon^{\prime} n^{(k-1)(k+1)}$ by the minimum degree assumption and Claim 2.1. By Lemma 1.1, with probability $1-o(1)$, the family $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following properties.

1. $|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}|] \leq 2 \gamma n$,
2. $|\mathcal{L}(e) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{L}(e) \cap \mathcal{F}|] \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n$ for any $e \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$.

Moreover, we can also bound the expected number of pairs of intersecting members of $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$
n^{(k-1)(k+1)}(k-1)^{2}(k+1)^{2} n^{(k-1)(k+1)-1} p^{2} \leq \frac{1}{8} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n .
$$

Thus, by Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least $1 / 2, \mathcal{F}$ contains at most $\frac{1}{4} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n$ pairs of intersecting members of $\mathcal{F}$. Remove one member from each of the intersecting pairs in $\mathcal{F}$. Thus, the resulting family, say $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, consists of pairwise disjoint matchings of size $m-1$ that satisfies

1. $\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \gamma n$,
2. $|\mathcal{L}(e) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n-\frac{1}{4} \gamma \varepsilon^{\prime} n \geq \alpha n$ for any $e \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$.

Therefore, the union of members in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is a matching in $H_{\mathbf{G}}$ of size at most $2 \gamma(k-1) n$ and can (greedily) absorb a balanced set $U$ of size at most $\gamma_{1} n$ since $\gamma_{1} \ll \alpha$.

### 2.3. Rainbow matching cover

The goal of this section is to prove the following lemma, an important component of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.3 (Rainbow Almost Cover Lemma) For every $\varepsilon, \phi>0$ and integer $d \in[k-1]$, the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in b \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{G}=$ $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ is an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V$ such that $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for $i \in[n / k]$, then $\boldsymbol{G}$ contains a rainbow matching covering all but at most $\phi n$ vertices.

For a $k$-graph $H$, a fractional cover is a function $\omega: V(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$, subject to the requirement $\sum_{v: v \in e} \omega(v) \geq 1$ for every $e \in E(H)$. Denote the minimum fractional cover size by $\tau^{*}(H)=\min _{\omega} \Sigma_{v \in V(H)} \omega(v)$. The conclusion $\nu^{*}(H)=$ $\tau^{*}(H)$ for any hypergraph follows from the LP-duality. For $n$-vertex $k$-graphs we trivially have $\nu^{*}(H)=\tau^{*}(H) \leq \frac{n}{k}$.

Given an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ on $V$. Let $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ be the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$. Let $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right):=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{H_{\boldsymbol{G}}}(S): S\right.$ is a $(1, k-1)$-subset of $\left.V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)\right\}$ where $\operatorname{deg}_{H_{\boldsymbol{G}}}(S)$ denotes the number of edges in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ containing $S$.

The proof of the following claim is by now a standard argument on fractional matchings and covers.

Claim 2.2 If each $G_{i}$ contains a perfect fractional matching for $i \in\left[\frac{n}{k}\right]$, then the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ contains a perfect fractional matching.

Proof. By the duality theorem, we transform the maximum fractional matching problem into the minimum fractional cover problem. Since $\tau^{*}\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)=$ $\nu^{*}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \leq \frac{n}{k}$, it suffices to show that $\tau^{*}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \geq \frac{n}{k}$ to obtain $\nu^{*}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)=\frac{n}{k}$. Let $\omega$ be the minimum fractional cover of $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ and take $i_{1} \in[n / k]$ such that $\omega\left(i_{1}\right):=\min _{i \in[n / k]} \omega(i)$. We may assume that $\omega\left(i_{1}\right)=1-x<1$, since otherwise $\omega([n / k]) \geq \frac{n}{k}$ and we are done. By definition we get $\omega(e) \geq 1-\omega\left(i_{1}\right)=x$ for every $e \in G_{i_{1}}$. We define a new weight function $\omega^{\prime}$ on $V$ by setting $\omega^{\prime}(v)=$ $\frac{\omega(v)}{x}$ for every vertex $v \in V$. Thus, $\omega^{\prime}$ is a fractional cover of $G_{i_{1}}$ because for each $e \in G_{i_{1}}, \omega^{\prime}(e)=\frac{\omega(e)}{x} \geq 1$. Recall that $G_{i_{1}}$ has a perfect fractional matching, and thus $\omega^{\prime}(V) \geq \tau^{*}\left(G_{i_{1}}\right) \geq \frac{n}{k}$ which implies that $\omega(V) \geq \frac{x n}{k}$. Therefore,

$$
\omega\left(\left[\frac{n}{k}\right] \cup V\right) \geq(1-x) \frac{n}{k}+\frac{x n}{k}=\frac{n}{k} .
$$

Hence, $\tau^{*}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)=\frac{n}{k}$, i.e. $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ contains a perfect fractional matching.
Given an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}$, we shall construct an auxiliary $(1, b)$ graph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}$ and a sequence of random subgraphs of $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Then, we use the properties of them to get a "near regular" spanning subgraph for the sake of applying Lemma 2.1.

The proof is based on a two-round randomization which is already used in $[8,110,27]$. Since we work with balanced $(1, k)$-graphs, we need to make sure that each random graph is balanced. In order to achieve this we modify the randomization process by fixing an arbitrarily small and balanced set $S \subseteq$ $V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$. This is done in Fact 2.1.

Let $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ be the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$ with partition classes $A, B$ and $k|A|=|B|$ where $A$ is the color set and $B=V$. Let $S \subseteq V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$ be a set of vertices such that $|S \cap A|=n^{0.99} / b$ and $|S \cap B|=n^{0.99}$. The desired subgraph $H^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained by two rounds of randomization. As a preparation to the first round, we choose every vertex randomly and uniformly with probability $p=$ $n^{-0.9}$ to get a random subset $R$ of $V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$. Take $n^{1.1}$ independent copies of $R$ and denote them by $R_{i+}, i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$, i.e. each $R_{i+}$ is chosen in the same way as $R$ independently. Define $R_{i-}=R_{i+} \backslash S$ for $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$.

Fact 2.1 Let $n, H_{\mathbf{G}}, A, B, S$ and $R_{i-}, R_{i+}$ be given as above. Then, with probability 1 - o(1), there exist subgraphs $R_{i}, i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$, such that $R_{i-} \subseteq R_{i} \subseteq R_{i+}$ and $R_{i}$ is balanced.

Proof. Recall that $|A|=n / k,|B|=n,|S \cap A|=n^{0.99} / b$ and $|S \cap B|=n^{0.99 \text {, }}$ thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i+} \cap A\right|\right] & =n^{0.1} / k, \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i+} \cap A \cap S\right|\right] & =n^{0.09} / k, \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|\right] & =n^{0.1},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i+} \cap B \cap S\right|\right]=n^{0.09}
$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i+} \cap A\right|-n^{0.1} / b\right| \geq n^{0.08}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.06}\right)}, \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i+} \cap A \cap S\right|-n^{0.09} / b\right| \geq n^{0.08}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.07}\right)}, \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-n^{0.1}\right| \geq n^{0.08}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.06}\right)}, \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i+} \cap B \cap S\right|-n^{0.09} / b\right| \geq n^{0.08}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.07}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, with probability $1-o(1)$, for all $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|R_{i+} \cap A\right| \in\left[n^{0.1} / k-n^{0.08}, n^{0.1} / b+n^{0.08}\right], \\
\left|R_{i+} \cap A \cap S\right|=(1+o(1)) n^{0.09} / k, \\
\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right| \in\left[n^{0.1}-n^{0.08}, n^{0.1}+n^{0.08}\right], \\
\left|R_{i+} \cap B \cap S\right|=(1+o(1)) n^{0.09} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $|b| R_{i+} \cap A\left|-\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|\right| \leq(k+1) n^{0.08}<\min \left\{\left|R_{i+} \cap A \cap S\right|, \mid R_{i+} \cap\right.$ $B \cap S \mid\}$. Hence, with probability $1-o(1), R_{i}$ can be balanced for $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$. $\square$

The following two lemmas together construct the desired sparse regular $k$-graph we need.

Lemma 2.4 Given an n-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ on $V$, let $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ be the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$. For each $X \subseteq V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$, let $Y_{X}^{+}:=\left|\left\{i: X \subseteq R_{i+}\right\}\right|$ and $Y_{X}:=\left|\left\{i: X \subseteq R_{i}\right\}\right|$. Then with probability at least $1-o(1)$, we have

1. $\left|R_{i}\right|=(1 / b+1+o(1)) n^{0.1}$ for all $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$.
2. $Y_{\{v\}}=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $Y_{\{v\}} \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$.
3. $Y_{\{u, v\}} \leq 2$ for all $\{u, v\} \subseteq V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$.
4. $Y_{e} \leq 1$ for all $e \in E\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$.
5. Suppose that $V\left(R_{i}\right)=C_{i} \cup V_{i}$, we have $\delta_{1, d}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]\right) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 4\right)$ $\binom{\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d}-\left|R_{i+} \cap B \cap S\right|\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{\left|R_{i}+\cap B\right|-d-1}{k-d-1} \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 8\right)\binom{\left|R_{i} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d} . ~\end{array}\right.$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i+}\right|\right]=(1 / k+1) n^{0.1}, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|R_{i-}\right|\right]=((1 / k+1) n-(1 / k+$ 1) $\left.n^{0.99}\right) n^{-0.9}=(1 / k+1) n^{0.1}-(1 / k+1) n^{0.09}$. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i+}\right|-n^{0.1}(1 / k+1)\right| \geq n^{0.095}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.09}\right)}, \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|R_{i-}\right|-\left((1 / k+1) n^{0.1}-(1 / k+1) n^{0.09}\right)\right| \geq n^{0.095}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.09}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, with probability at least $1-O\left(n^{1.1}\right) e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.09}\right)}$, for the given sequence $R_{i}$ in Fact 2.1, $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$, satisfying $R_{i-} \subseteq R_{i} \subseteq R_{i+}$, we have $\left|R_{i}\right|=(1 / k+1+$ $o(1)) n^{0.1}$.

For each $X \subseteq V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$, let $Y_{X}^{+}:=\left|\left\{i: X \subseteq R_{i+}\right\}\right|$ and $Y_{X}:=\mid\{i:$ $\left.X \subseteq R_{i}\right\} \mid$. Note that the random variables $Y_{X}^{+}$have binomial distributions $B i\left(n^{1.1}, n^{-0.9|X|}\right)$ with expectations $n^{1.1-0.9|X|}$ and $Y_{X} \leq Y_{X}^{+}$. In particular, for each $v \in V\left(H_{G}\right), \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{\{v\}}^{+}\right]=n^{0.2}$, by Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\left|Y_{\{v\}}^{+}\right|-n^{0.2}\right| \geq n^{0.19}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.18}\right)} .
$$

Hence, with probability at least 1-O(n) $e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.18}\right)}$, we have $Y_{\{v\}}=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $Y_{\{v\}} \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } Z_{p, q}=\left|X \in\binom{V\left(H_{G}\right)}{p}: Y_{X}^{+} \geq q\right| \text {. Then, } \\
& \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{p, q}\right] \leq\binom{\frac{n}{k}+n}{p}\binom{n^{1.1}}{q}\left(n^{-0.9 p q}\right) \leq C n^{p+1.1 q-0.9 p q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Markov's inequality we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left[Z_{2,3}=0\right]=1-\mathbb{P}\left[Z_{2,3} \geq 1\right] \geq 1-\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{2,3}\right]=1-o(1), \\
\mathbb{P}\left[Z_{1+k, 2}=0\right]=1-\mathbb{P}\left[Z_{1+k, 2} \geq 1\right] \geq 1-\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{1+k, 2}\right]=1-o(1),
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. with probability at least $1-o(1)$, every pair $\{u, v\} \subseteq V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$ is contained in at most two sets $R_{i+}$, and every edge is contained in at most one set $R_{i+}$. Thus, the conclusions also hold for $R_{i}$.

Fix a $(1, d)$-subset $D \subseteq V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ and let $N_{D}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ be the neighborhood of $D$ in $H_{G}$. Recall that $R$ is obtained by choosing every vertex randomly and uniformly with probability $p=n^{-0.9}$, let $D E G_{D}$ be the number of edges $\{f \mid f \subseteq R$ and $\left.f \in N_{D}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)\right\}$. Therefore $D E G_{D}=\sum_{f \in N_{D}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)} X_{f}$, where $X_{f}=1$ if $f$ is in $R$ and o otherwise. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[D E G_{D}\right] & =d_{H_{\mathbf{G}}}(D) \times\left(n^{-0.9}\right)^{k-d} \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d} n^{-0.9(k-d)} \\
& \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 3\right)\binom{|R \cap B|-d}{k-d}=\Omega\left(n^{0.1(k-d)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For two distinct intersecting edges $f_{i}, f_{j} \in N_{D}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ with $\left|f_{i} \cap f_{j}\right|=\ell$ for $\ell \in[k-d-1]$, the probability that both of them are in $R$ is

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[X_{f_{i}}=X_{f_{j}}=1\right]=p^{2(k-d)-\ell},
$$

for any fixed $\ell$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta=\sum_{f_{i} \cap f_{j} \neq \emptyset} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{f_{i}}=X_{f_{j}}=1\right] \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-d-1} p^{2(k-d)-\ell}\binom{n-d}{k-d}\binom{k-d}{\ell}\binom{n-k}{k-d-\ell} \\
\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-d-1} p^{2(k-d)-\ell} O\left(n^{2(k-d)-\ell}\right)=O\left(n^{0.1(2(k-d)-1)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.3 with $\Gamma=B, \Gamma_{p}=R \cap B$ and $M=N_{H_{G}}(D)$ (a family of ( $k-d$ )-sets), we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[D E G_{D} \leq(1-\varepsilon / 12) \mathbb{E}\left[D E G_{D}\right]\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[D E G_{D}\right]\right)^{2} / \Delta\right)}=e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.1}\right)}
$$

Therefore by the union bound, with probability $1-o(1)$, for all $(1, d)$-subsets $D \subseteq V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$, we have

$$
D E G_{D}>(1-\varepsilon / 12) \mathbb{E}\left[D E G_{D}\right] \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 4\right)\binom{|R \cap B|-d}{k-d}
$$

Summarizing, with probability $1-o(1)$, for the sequence $R_{i}, i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$, satisfying $R_{i-} \subseteq R_{i} \subseteq R_{i+}$, all of the following hold.

1. $\left|R_{i}\right|=(1 / b+1+o(1)) n^{0.1}$ for all $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$.
2. $Y_{\{v\}}=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $Y_{\{v\}} \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$.
3. $Y_{\{u, v\}} \leq 2$ for all $\{u, v\} \subseteq V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$.
4. $Y_{e} \leq 1$ for all $e \in E\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$.
5. $D E G_{D}^{(i)} \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 4\right)\binom{\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d}$ for all $(1, d)$-subsets of $D \subseteq V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$ and $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$.

Thus, by property 5 above, we conclude that suppose $V\left(R_{i}^{+}\right)=C_{i}^{+} \cup V_{i}^{+}$and $V\left(R_{i}\right)=C_{i} \cup V_{i}$, the following holds.

$$
\delta_{1, d}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}^{+}\right)\right]\right) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 4\right)\binom{\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d}
$$

After the modification, we still have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1, d}\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]\right) \geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 4\right)\binom{\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d}-\left|R_{i+} \cap B \cap S\right|\binom{\left|R_{i+} \cap B\right|-d-1}{k-d-1} \\
\geq\left(c_{k, d}+\varepsilon / 8\right)\binom{\left|R_{i} \cap B\right|-d}{k-d} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $n, H_{\boldsymbol{G}}, S, R_{i}, i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$ be given as in Lemma 2.4 such that each $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$ is a balanced $(1, k)$-graph and has a perfect fractional matching $\omega_{i}$. Then there exists a spanning subgraph $H^{\prime \prime}$ of $H^{*}=\cup_{i} H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$ such that

- $d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v) \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$,
- $d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for all $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$,
- $\Delta_{2}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq n^{0.1}$.

The proofs follow the lines as in $[8,110,27]$.
Proof. By the condition that each $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$ has a perfect fractional matching $\omega_{i}$, we select a generalized binomial subgraph $H^{\prime \prime}$ of $H^{*}$ by independently choosing each edge $e$ with probability $\omega_{i_{e}}(e)$ where $i_{e}$ is the index $i$ such that $e \in H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$. Recall that property 4 guarantees the uniqueness of $i_{e}$.

For $v \in V\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)$, let $I_{v}=\left\{i: v \in R_{i}\right\}, E_{v}=\left\{e \in H^{*}: v \in e\right\}$ and $E_{v}^{i}=E_{v} \cap H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$, then $E_{v}^{i}, i \in I_{v}$ forms a partition of $E_{v}$ and $\left|I_{v}\right|=Y_{\{v\}}$. Hence, for $v \in V\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)$,

$$
d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)=\sum_{e \in E_{v}} 1=\sum_{i \in I_{v}} \sum_{e \in E_{v}^{i}} X_{e}
$$

where $X_{e}$ is the Bernoulli random variable with $X_{e}=1$ if $e \in E\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $X_{e}=0$ otherwise. Thus its expectation is $\omega_{i_{e}}(e)$. Therefore

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)\right]=\sum_{i \in I_{v}} \sum_{e \in E_{v}^{i}} \omega_{i_{e}}(e)=\sum_{i \in I_{v}} 1=Y_{\{v\}}
$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)\right]=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)\right] \leq(1+$ $o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$. Now by Chernoff's inequality, for $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)-n^{0.2}\right| \geq n^{0.15}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.1}\right)}
$$

and for $v \in S$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)-n^{0.2} \geq n^{0.15}\right] \leq e^{-\Omega\left(n^{0.1}\right)}
$$

Taking a union bound over all vertices, we conclude that with probability $1-o(1), d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for all $v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v) \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for $v \in S$.

Next, note that for distinct $u, v \in V\left(H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)$,

$$
d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(\{u, v\})=\sum_{e \in E_{u} \cap E_{v}} 1=\sum_{i \in I_{u} \cap I_{v}} \sum_{e \in E_{u}^{i} \cap E_{v}^{i}} X_{e}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(\{u, v\})\right]=\sum_{i \in I_{u} \cap I_{v}} \sum_{e \in E_{u}^{i} \cap E_{v}^{i}} \omega_{i}(e) \leq\left|I_{u} \cap I_{v}\right| \leq 2 .
$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.2,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(\{u, v\}) \geq n^{0.1}\right] \leq e^{-n^{0.1}}
$$

then by a union bound we have $\Delta_{2}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq n^{0.1}$ with probability $1-o(1)$.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 2.3] By the definition of $c_{k, d}$, Lemma 2.4 (5) and Claim 2.2, there exists a perfect fractional matching $\omega_{i}$ in every subgraph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$, $i \in\left[n^{1.1}\right]$. By Lemma 2.5, there is a spanning subgraph $H^{\prime \prime}$ of $H^{*}=\cup_{i} H_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left[V\left(R_{i}\right)\right]$
such that $d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v) \leq(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for each $v \in S, d_{H^{\prime \prime}}(v)=(1+o(1)) n^{0.2}$ for all $v \in V\left(H_{\mathbf{G}}\right) \backslash S$ and $\Delta_{2}\left(H^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq n^{0.1}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 (by setting $\left.D=n^{0.2}\right), H^{\prime \prime}$ contains a cover of at most $\frac{n+n / k}{1+k}(1+a)$ edges which implies that $H^{\prime \prime}$ contains a matching of size at least $\frac{n+n / k}{1+k}(1-a(1+k-1))$, where $a$ is a constant satisfying $0<a<\phi /(1+k-1)$. Hence $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ contains a matching covering all but at most $\phi(n+n / k)$ vertices.
Proof. [The proof of Theorem 2.1] Suppose that $\frac{1}{n} \ll \phi \ll \gamma_{1} \ll \gamma \ll \varepsilon^{\prime} \ll \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon^{\prime}, \gamma, \gamma_{1}$ are defined in Lemma 2.2 and $\phi, \varepsilon$ in Lemma 2.3. Let $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ be the auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph of $\boldsymbol{G}$. By Lemma 2.2, we get a matching $M$ in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ of size at most $2 \gamma(k-1) n$ such that for every balanced set $U \subseteq[n / k] \cup V \backslash V(M)$ of size at most $\gamma_{1} n, V(M) \cup U$ spans a matching in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$. Let $\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}=\left\{G_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in[n / k]}$ be the induced $k$-graph system of $\boldsymbol{G}$ on $V^{\prime}$ where $V^{\prime}:=V \backslash V(M)$. Denote the subsystem of $\boldsymbol{G}^{\prime}$ by $\boldsymbol{G}_{I}^{\prime}=\left\{G_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$, where $I=[n / k] \backslash V(M)$. We still have $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\max \left\{c_{k, d}, 1 / 2\right\}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for $i \in I$, since $2 \gamma(k-1) n\binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\binom{n-d}{k-d}$. Then, we construct the new auxiliary $(1, k)$-graph $H_{\boldsymbol{G}_{I}^{\prime}}$ of $\boldsymbol{G}_{I}^{\prime}$.

By Lemma 2.3, $H_{G_{I}^{\prime}}$ contains a matching $M_{1}$ covering all but at most $\phi\left|V^{\prime}\right| \leq$ $\phi(n+n / k)$ vertices. Suppose $W_{1}=[n / k] \cup V \backslash\left(V(M) \cup V\left(M_{1}\right)\right)$, hence $\left|W_{1}\right| \leq \phi(n+n / k) \leq \gamma_{1} n$ and $W_{1}$ is balanced. By Lemma 2.2, $V(M) \cup W_{1}$ spans a matching $M_{2}$ in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$ and therefore $M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ is a perfect matching in $H_{\boldsymbol{G}}$, which yields a rainbow perfect matching in $\boldsymbol{G}$.

## 2.4 . Concluding remarks

Let $F$ be a $k$-graph with $b$ vertices and $f$ edges. We first define an absorber without colors. Given a set $B$ of $b$ vertices, a $k$-graph $A^{0}=A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{0}$ is called an $F$-absorber for $B$ if

- $V\left(A^{0}\right)=B \cup \dot{\cup} L^{1}$,
- $A_{1}^{0}$ is an $F$-factor on $L$ and $A_{2}^{0}$ is an $F$-factor on $B \cup L$.

Note that $\left|V\left(A^{0}\right)\right|$ is a constant. Naturally, we give the definition of rainbow $F$-absorber as follows.

Definition 2.4 (Rainbow $F$-absorber) Let $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n f / b]}$ be a $k$-graph system on $V$ and $F$ be a $k$-graph with $b$ vertices and $f$ edges. For every $b$-set $B$ in $V$ and every $f$-set $C$ in $[n f / b], A=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ is called a rainbow $F$-absorber for $(B, C)$ if

- $V(A)=B \dot{\cup} L$,
- $A_{1}$ is a rainbow $F$-factor on $L$ with color set $C_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ is a rainbow $F$-factor on $B \cup L$ with color set $C_{1} \cup C$.

Now we introduce one of the main parameters $c_{d, F}^{\mathrm{abs}}$. Roughly speaking, it is the minimum degree threshold such that all $b$-sets are contained in many rainbow $F$-absorbers.

[^0]Definition 2.5 ( $c_{d, F}^{\text {abs }}$ : Rainbow absorption threshold) Fix an $F$-absorber $A^{0}=$ $A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{0}$ and let $m$ be the number of vertex disjoint copies of $F$ in $A_{2}^{0}$. Let $c_{d, F, A^{0}} \in$ $(0,1)$ be the infimum of reals $c>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $d \in[k-1]$. Let $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n f / b]}$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V$. If $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq(c+\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for $i \in[n f / b]$, then for every $b$-set $B$ in $V$ and every $f$-set $C$ in $[n f / b]$ with the form $[(i-1) f, i f]$ for some $i \in[n / b]$, there are at least $\varepsilon^{\prime} n^{(m-1)(b+1)}$ rainbow $F$-absorbers $A$ with color set $C\left(A_{1}^{0}\right) \cup C$ whose underlying graph is isomorphic to $A^{0}$ such that $C\left(A_{1}^{0}\right)=\left[\left(i_{1}-1\right) f+1, i_{1} f\right] \cup\left[\left(i_{2}-1\right) f+1, i_{2} f\right] \cup \cdots \cup\left[\left(i_{m-1}-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.f+1, i_{m-1} f\right]$ where $i_{j} \in[n / b]$ for each $j \in[m-1]$ and $i_{j_{1}} \neq i_{j_{2}}$ for distinct $j_{1}, j_{2} \in[m-1]$. Let $c_{d, F}^{\text {abs }}:=\inf c_{d, F, A^{0}}$ where the infimum is over all $F$-absorbers $A^{0}$.

We next define a threshold parameter for the rainbow almost $F$-factor in a similar fashion. We use the following auxiliary $b$-graph $H_{F}$. Given a $k$-graph $F$ with $b$ vertices and $f$ edges, and an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[f]}$ on $V$, let $H_{F}$ be the $b$-graph with vertex set $V\left(H_{F}\right)=V$ and edge set $E\left(H_{F}\right)=$ $\left\{V\left(F^{\prime}\right): F^{\prime}\right.$ is a rainbow copy of $F$ with color set $\left.[f]\right\}$.

Definition 2.6 ( $c_{d, F}^{\text {cov }}$ : Rainbow almost $F$-factor threshold) Let $c_{d, F}^{\text {cov }} \in(0,1)$ be the infimum of reals $c>0$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$, the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[f]}$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system. If $\delta_{d}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(c+\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for every $i \in[f]$, then the $b$-graph $H_{F}$ has a perfect fractional matching.

Now we are ready to state our general result on rainbow $F$-factors. The proof process is similar with Theorem 2.1 and we will omit the details.

Theorem 2.2 Let $F$ be a $k$-graph with $b$ vertices and $f$ edges. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and integer $d \in[k-1]$, the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in b \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbf{G}=$ $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n f / b]}$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V$. If $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq\left(\max \left\{c_{d, F}^{\text {abs }}, c_{d, F}^{\text {cov }}\right\}+\right.$ $\varepsilon)\binom{n-d}{k-d}$ for $i \in[n f / b]$, then there is a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow $F$-factor.

## 3 - Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraph systems with minimum $(k-1)$-degree

The main goal of this chapter is to extend Theorem 1.1 to the rainbow setting. For every $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, we say that an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ is a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system if $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ for each $i \in[n]$.

Theorem 3.1 For every $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $(k, n, \gamma)$ graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ admits an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

### 3.1. Notation and preliminaries

Given a $k$-graph $H$ and a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on the same vertex set with $H$, we define $\left\{i: E\left(H_{i}\right) \cap E(H) \neq \emptyset\right\}$ as the color set of $H$, denoted by $C(H)$. We call $P=x_{1} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$ if $\left\{x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i+k-1}\right\} \in E\left(H_{c_{i}}\right)$ for $i \in[k-1]$. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ be a family of vertex-disjoint paths. If each $P_{i}, i \in[m]$, is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path and $C\left(P_{i}\right) \cap C\left(P_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for distinct $i, j \in[m]$, then we call this family an $\boldsymbol{H}$ rainbow family of paths. Denote $\bigcup_{i \in[m]} V\left(P_{i}\right)$ by $V(\mathcal{P})$. The size of $\mathcal{P}$ is the number of paths in the family.

When we write $\alpha \ll \beta$, we mean that $\alpha, \beta$ are constants in $(0,1)$, and for every $\beta$ we have chosen, there exists $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}(\beta)$ such that the subsequent arguments hold for all $\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}$. While multiple constants appear in a hierarchy, they are chosen from right to left.

Besides, we require the following concentration inequalities.
In this section we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Our proof is under the framework of the absorption method, systematised by the work of Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [135, 138], which reduces the problem of finding a spanning subgraph to building an absorption structure and an almost spanning structure. Tailored to our problem, the idea is to build a rainbow absorbing cycle and a rainbow path cover. Moreover, the rainbow absorbing cycle will be able to swallow an arbitrary leftover of vertices, a leftover of colors as well as an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths so that we obtain a rainbow Hamilton cycle. This motivates us to append the color information and the connecting technique into the rainbow absorption method, which is our contribution compared with the proof in [137].

Lemma 3.1 (Absorbing lemma) Given $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, there exists $\kappa>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ be a
$(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system on $V$. Then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle $A$ with at most $\gamma n / 2$ vertices such that for any $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{P}$ and any vertex set $U$ in $V \backslash V(A)$ with $|\mathcal{P}|,|U| \leq \kappa n$, there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle $A^{\prime}$ with vertex set $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$ and $C(A) \subseteq C\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

We first define two versions of absorbers as follows .
Definition 3.1 Given $a(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}$, a vertex $x$ and a color $c$, we say that a path $P$ is a rainbow absorber for $(x, c)$ in an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system if the following holds:

- $x \notin V(P)$;
- $P=x_{1} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ is an $\mathbf{H}$-rainbow path with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$;
- $x_{1} \cdots x_{k-1} x x_{k} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path with color pattern $\left(c, c_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.c_{k-1}\right)$.


Figure 3.1-Absorber for $(x, c)$ when $k=3$

Definition 3.2 Given a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}$, two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples of vertices $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right), \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $a(k-1)$-tuple $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of colors, we say that a path $P$ is a rainbow absorber for $\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ in an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system if the following holds :

- $V(P) \cap\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}=\emptyset$;
- $P=x_{1} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$;
- $x_{1} \cdots x_{k-1} u_{1} \cdots u_{k-1}$ and $v_{1} \cdots v_{k-1} x_{k} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ are $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow paths with color patterns $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right),\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ respectively.

The second task is to connect the absorbers to a path. The following lemma helps us to connect any two disjoint paths (by connecting their ends) and its proof is in Section 3.4.

Lemma 3.2 (Connecting lemma) For every $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[c]}$ be a ( $k, n, \gamma$ )-graph system and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ be two disjoint ( $k-1$ )-tuples of vertices. Then, there exists an $\mathbf{H}$-rainbow path from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$ with at most $c+k-1$ vertices.


Figure 3.2 - Absorber for $\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) ; o_{1}, o_{2}\right)$ when $k=3$

Given a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, we need to construct an $\boldsymbol{H}$ rainbow family of paths, covering almost all vertices of $V \backslash V(A)$ and almost all colors of $[n] \backslash C(A)$. To achieve this, we use the regularity lemma for hypergraphs and a trick of Ferber and Kwan [54].

Lemma 3.3 (Path cover lemma) For every $k \geq 3, \gamma, \delta>0$, there exists $L>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Every $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$ contains an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{P}$ of size at most $L$, covering at least $(1-\delta) n$ vertices of $V$.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 3.1] For any $k \geq 3$ and $\gamma>0$, we choose $1 / n \ll$ $1 / L, \kappa \ll \gamma, 1 / k$, and fix $\boldsymbol{H}$ to be a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system on $V$.
Step 1. By Absorbing lemma, we obtain an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle $A$ with at most $\gamma n / 2$ vertices such that the following property holds. For any $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{P}$ and any vertex set $U$ in $V \backslash V(A)$ with $|\mathcal{P}|,|U| \leq \kappa n$, there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle $A^{\prime}$ with vertex set $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$ and $C(A) \subseteq C\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.
Step 2. Set $\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}=\left\{H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in C^{\prime}}$ where $C^{\prime}=[n] \backslash C(A), H_{i}^{\prime}=H_{i}[V \backslash V(A)]$ for $i \in C^{\prime}$. Let $n^{\prime}=n-|V(A)|$. Note that $\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$ is a $\left(k, n^{\prime}, \gamma / 2\right)$-graph system where $n^{\prime}>$ $(1-\gamma / 2) n$. Applying path cover lemma to $\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$ with $\delta=\kappa$, we obtain an $\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$ rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}\right\}$, where $p \leq L \leq \kappa n$, covering all but at most $\kappa n^{\prime}$ vertices of $V \backslash V(A)$. Denote the set of uncovered vertices by $T$. Thus, $|T| \leq \kappa n^{\prime} \leq \kappa n$.
Step 3. Using property in Step 1, we obtain a rainbow cycle with vertex set $V(A) \cup T \cup V(\mathcal{P})$, which is actually an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

### 3.2. Rainbow absorption method

Given a vertex $x \in V$ and a color $c \in[n]$, let $\mathcal{L}(x ; c)$ be the family of rainbow absorbers for $(x, c)$. Similarly, given two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and a $(k-1)$-tuple $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$, let $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ be the set of rainbow absorbers for $\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$. We need the following simple result.

Fact 3.1 Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ be a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system on $V$, $S$ be $a(k-1)$-subset of $V$ and $V_{0} \subseteq V \backslash S$. For any $i \in[n]$, we have

$$
\left|N_{H_{i}}(S) \cap V_{0}\right| \geq\left|V_{0}\right|-\frac{1}{2} n+\gamma n+k-1
$$

In particular, for two $(k-1)$-subsets of vertices $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, we obtain that for any $i, j \in[n]$,

$$
\left|N_{H_{i}}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap N_{H_{j}}\left(S_{2}\right)\right| \geq 2 \gamma n+\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right|
$$

Proof. We have $\left|N_{H_{i}}(S) \cup V_{0}\right| \leq n-k+1$ and thus

$$
\left|N_{H_{i}}(S) \cap V_{0}\right| \geq\left|V_{0}\right|+\left|N_{H_{i}}(S)\right|-(n+k-1) \geq\left|V_{0}\right|-\frac{1}{2} n+\gamma n+k-1
$$

For the second statement, we apply the first one with $S=S_{1}$ and $V_{0}=$ $N_{H_{i}}\left(S_{2}\right) \backslash S_{1}$ and note that $\left|V_{0}\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n-\left(k-1-\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right|\right)$.

Next we show lower bounds on the number of absorbers in a $(k, n, \gamma)$ graph system.

Proposition 3.1 For any $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, there exists $\zeta>0$ such that the following holds for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ is a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system on $V$, then $|\mathcal{L}(x ; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for every vertex $x \in V$ and color $c \in[n]$, $\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for every two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and a $(k-1)$-tuple $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$.

Proof. Given $k$, $\gamma$, we choose $1 / n \ll \zeta \ll \gamma / k$. Fixing vertex $x \in V$ and color $c \in[n]$, we construct a rainbow absorber $P=x_{1} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$ for $(x, c)$. We choose $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$ arbitrarily, so there are $(n-1) \cdots(n-k+1) \geq 2^{1-k} n^{k-1}$ choices. Furthermore, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-2}$ can be chosen arbitrarily in $(n-1) \cdots(n-k+2) \geq 2^{2-k} n^{k-2}$ ways. For $x_{k-1}$, there are at least $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n$ choices such that $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x\right\} \in E\left(H_{c}\right)$. By Fact 3.1, there are at least $2 \gamma n+k-2$ choices for $x_{j}, j \in[k, 2 k-2]$, such that $\left\{x_{j-k+1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right\},\left\{x_{j-k+2}, \ldots, x_{j}, x\right\} \in E\left(H_{c_{j-k+1}}\right)$. For $j \in[k+1,2 k-2], x_{j}$ should be different from $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-k}$. Thus, the number of choices for each $x_{j}$ is at least $2 \gamma n+k-2-(j-k) \geq 2 \gamma n, j \in[k, 2 k-2]$, yielding together at least $2^{1-k} n^{k-1} 2^{2-k} n^{k-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n(2 \gamma n)^{k-1} \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ rainbow absorbers for $(x, c)$.

Given $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right), \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$, we construct a rainbow absorber $P=x_{1} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$ for $\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}\right.$,
$\left.\ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$. There are $(n-k+1) \cdots(n-2 k+2) \geq 2^{1-k} n^{k-1}$ choices for $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$. There are at least $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n-(k-1) \geq \gamma n$ choices for $x_{k-1}$ such that $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}, x_{k-1}\right\} \in E\left(H_{c_{k-1}}\right)$ and $x_{k-1}$ should be different from $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$. For $x_{i}, i \in[k-2]$, there are at least $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n-(2 k-3) \geq \gamma n$ choices such that $\left\{u_{k-i}, \ldots, u_{k-1}, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_{i}\right\} \in E\left(H_{c_{i}}\right)$, and it should be different from $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1-i}$.

By Fact 3.1, there are at least $2 \gamma n$ choices for $x_{k}$ such that $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\}$ $\in E\left(H_{c_{1}}\right),\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\} \in E\left(H_{o_{1}}\right)$ and it is different from $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$. For $x_{i}, i \in[k+1,2 k-2]$, the number of choices is at least $2 \gamma n+k-2-(k-1+2(i-$ $k)) \geq \gamma n$, such that $\left\{x_{i-(k-1)}, \ldots, x_{i}\right\} \in E\left(H_{c_{i-(k-1)}}\right),\left\{v_{i-(k-1)}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, x_{k}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, x_{i}\right\} \in E\left(H_{o_{i-(k-1)}}\right)$ and it should be different from $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}, x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{i-k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-k}$. Thus, there are at least $2^{1-k} n^{k-1}(\gamma n)^{k-1}(\gamma n)^{k-1} \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ rainbow absorbers for ( $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}$ ).
Now we show that we can construct a family of disjoint absorbers, with all different colors.

Lemma 3.4 For any $k \geq 3$ and $\alpha, \zeta>0$, there exists $\beta>0$ such that the following holds for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ be an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V$. If $|\mathcal{L}(x ; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for every vertex $x \in V, c \in[n]$ and $\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for all disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and ( $k-1$ )-tuple ( $o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}$ ) of $[n]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ of paths of length $k-1$, satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \leq \alpha n, \quad\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{L}(x ; c)\right| \geq \beta n, \text { and } \\
\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \beta n,
\end{gathered}
$$

for every vertex $x \in V, c \in[n]$, two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$.

Proof. Let $1 / n \ll \beta \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha, \zeta$. Each $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{2 k-2}$ with color pattern $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$ can be viewed as a ( $3 k-3$ )-tuple $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 k-2}, c_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, c_{k-1}\right)$. Choose a family $\mathcal{F}$ of $(3 k-3)$-tuples from $\binom{V}{2 k-2} \times\binom{[n]}{k-1}$ by including each possible $(3 k-3)$-tuple independently at random with probability

$$
p=\varepsilon \frac{(n-(2 k-2))!\cdot(n-(k-1))!}{(n-1)!\cdot n!} \geq \varepsilon n^{-(3 k-4)} .
$$

Note that $|\mathcal{F}|,|\mathcal{L}(x, c) \cap \mathcal{F}|,\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\right|$ are binomial random variables with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}|]=p \frac{n!\cdot n!}{(n-(2 k-2))!\cdot(n-(k-1))!}=\varepsilon n, \\
\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{L}(x, c) \cap \mathcal{F}|]=p|\mathcal{L}(x ; c)| \geq \varepsilon \zeta n, \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\right|\right]=p\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon \zeta n,
\end{gathered}
$$

for every vertex $x \in V, c \in[n]$, two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$. By Lemma 1.1, with probability $1-o(1)$, the family $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\mathcal{F}| \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{F}|]=2 \varepsilon n \leq \alpha n, \\
|\mathcal{L}(x ; c) \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{L}(x ; c) \cap \mathcal{F}|] \geq 2^{-1} \varepsilon \zeta n, \\
\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right) \cap \mathcal{F}\right| \geq 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right|\right] \geq 2^{-1} \varepsilon \zeta n,
\end{gathered}
$$

for every vertex $x \in V, c \in[n]$, two disjoint ( $k-1$ )-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$. We say that two $(3 k-3)$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2 k-2}, c_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.c_{k-1}\right)$ and ( $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{2 k-2}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k-1}$ ) are intersecting if $x_{i}=y_{j}$ for some $i, j \in[2 k-2]$ or $c_{m}=f_{\ell}$ for some $m, \ell \in[k-1]$. We can bound the expected number of pairs of $(3 k-3)$-tuples in $\mathcal{F}$ that are intersecting from above by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{n!\cdot n!}{(n-(2 k-2))!\cdot(n-(k-1))!}(3 k-3)^{2} \frac{(n-1)!\cdot n!}{(n-(2 k-2))!\cdot(n-(k-1))!} p^{2} \\
=(3 k-3)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} n .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least $1 / 2, \mathcal{F}$ contains at most $2(3 k-3)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} n$ intersecting pairs of $(3 k-3)$-tuples. Remove one $(3 k-3)$-tuple from every intersecting pair in $\mathcal{F}$ and remove the $(3 k-3)$ tuples that can not absorb any $(x, c)$ or ( $\left.\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ where $x \in V, c \in$ $[n], \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are $(k-1)$-tuples of $V$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ is a $(k-1)$-tuple of $[n]$. Thus the resulting subfamily, say $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, consists of pairwise disjoint $(3 k-3)$ tuples, which satisfies

$$
\left|\mathcal{L}(x ; c) \cap \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2^{-1} \varepsilon \zeta n-2(3 k-3)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} n \geq \beta n
$$

for any $x \in V, c \in[n]$, and a similar statement holds for $\mid \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \mid$ for any two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and a $(k-1)$-tuple $\left(o_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$. Since each $(3 k-3)$-tuple in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ induces a rainbow absorber, $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths, where each path is of length $k-1$.

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 3.1, assuming Lemma 3.2 holds.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 3.1] Given $1 / n \ll \kappa \ll \beta \ll \alpha, \zeta \ll \gamma, 1 / k$, let $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ be a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system on $V$. By Proposition 3.1, we obtain $|\mathcal{L}(x ; c)| \geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for every vertex $x \in V$ and $c \in[n]$, and $\left|\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right|$ $\geq \zeta n^{3 k-3}$ for every two disjoint ( $k-1$ )-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and a ( $k-1$ )-tuple $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$. By Lemma 3.4, there is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{q n}\right\}$, where $q \leq \alpha$ and $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|=2 k-2$ for $i \in[q n],\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{L}(x ; c)\right| \geq \beta n$ for every vertex $x \in V, c \in[n]$ and $\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} ; o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \beta n$ for every two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of $V$ and $\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k-1}\right)$ of $[n]$.

Next, we shall connect all the paths in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ into an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle. Suppose we have connected $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{j}$ into one path $P$, by using each time at most
$\left\lceil 8 k \gamma^{-2}\right\rceil-(2 k-2)$ vertices from outside $V\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{e}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-1}\right)$ be an end of $P$ and $\mathbf{f}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ be an end of $P_{j+1}$. Let $H_{i}^{\prime}$ be the induced subgraph of $H_{i}$ obtained by removing the vertices of $V\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \cup V(P)$ except $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{f}$. The number of vertices removed is at most

$$
\left|V\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right) \cup V(P)\right| \leq(2 k-2) q n+\left(\left\lceil\frac{8 k}{\gamma^{2}}\right\rceil-(2 k-2)\right)(q n-1)<\left\lceil\frac{8 k}{\gamma^{2}}\right\rceil q n<\frac{\gamma n}{2}
$$

where the last inequality holds since $\alpha \ll \gamma$ and $k \geq 3$.
We get a $\left(k, n^{\prime}, \gamma / 2\right)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}=\left\{H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in C}$ where $C=[n] \backslash(C(P) \cup$ $C\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ and $n^{\prime}=\left|V\left(H_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Taking a $\left(\left\lceil 8 k \gamma^{-2}\right\rceil-(k-1)\right)$-subset $C^{\prime}$ of $C$, we apply Lemma 3.2 to $\left\{H_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in C^{\prime}}$ with $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=\left(u_{k-1}, \ldots, u_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=\left(v_{k-1}, \ldots, v_{1}\right)$, obtaining an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path $P^{\prime}$ connecting $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|V\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left\lceil 8 k \gamma^{-2}\right\rceil$. Thus, $P \cup P^{\prime} \cup P_{j+1}$ forms an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path.

After connecting all paths in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ in a cyclic order, we obtain an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow $(k-1)$-cycle $A$ with at most

$$
(2 k-2) q n+\left(\left\lceil\frac{8 k}{\gamma^{2}}\right\rceil-(2 k-2)\right) q n \leq \frac{\gamma n}{2}
$$

vertices. Finally, fix any $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths $\mathcal{P}$ and any vertex set $U$ in $V \backslash V(A)$ with $|\mathcal{P}|,|U| \leq \kappa n$. We may assume that $U \cap V(\mathcal{P})=\emptyset$ as otherwise we just replace $U$ be $U \backslash V(\mathcal{P})$. Since the paths in $\mathcal{P}$ are vertex disjoint, and $V(\mathcal{P}), U$ and $V(A)$ are pairwise disjoint, we infer that the number of colors in $\boldsymbol{H}$ not used in $\mathcal{P}$ or $A$ is at least $n-|V(A)|-(|V(\mathcal{P})|-(k-1)|\mathcal{P}|) \geq n-|V(A)|-$ $(n-|V(A)|-|U|-(k-1)|\mathcal{P}|)=|U|+(k-1)|\mathcal{P}|$. Thus, by the property of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ and the fact that $\kappa \ll \beta$, there is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow cycle $A^{\prime}$ with vertex set $V(A) \cup U \cup V(\mathcal{P})$ and $C(A) \subseteq C\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

### 3.3. Rainbow path cover lemma

In this section, we prove our path cover lemma, Lemma 3.3. A $k$-graph $H$ is $k$-partite if there is a partition $V(H)=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ such that every edge of $H$ intersects each set $V_{i}$ in precisely one vertex for $i \in[k]$. Given a $k$-partite $k$ graph $H$ on $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ and subsets $A_{i} \subset V_{i}, i \in[k]$, we define $e_{H}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ to be the number of edges in $H$ with one vertex in each $A_{i}$ and the density of $H$ with respect to $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ as

$$
d_{H}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)=\frac{e_{H}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)}{\left|A_{1}\right| \cdots\left|A_{k}\right|}
$$

We say that a $k$-partite $k$-graph $H$ is $\varepsilon$-regular if for all $A_{i} \subseteq V_{i}$ with $\left|A_{i}\right| \geq$ $\varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right|, i \in[k]$, we have

$$
\left|d_{H}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)-d_{H}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

We give a straightforward generalization of the graph regularity lemma.

Lemma 3.5 (Weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs [70]) For any $k \geq 2$, $\varepsilon>0$ and $t_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $T_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. For every $k$-graph $H$ on sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ vertices, there is, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t_{0} \leq t \leq T_{0}$, a partition $V(H)=V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{t}$ such that $\left|V_{0}\right| \leq \varepsilon n_{1}\left|V_{1}\right|=$ $\left|V_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{t}\right|$ and for all but at most $\varepsilon t^{k}$ sets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in\binom{[t]}{k}$, the induced $k$-partite $k$-graph $H\left[V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right]$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.

The partition in Lemma 3.5 is called an $\varepsilon$-regular partition of $H$. For an $\varepsilon$-regular partition of $H$ and $d \geq 0$, we refer to the sets $V_{i}, i \in[t]$ as clusters and define the reduced hypergraph $K=K(\varepsilon, d)$ with vertex set $[t]$ and $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \in\binom{[t]}{k}$ being an edge if and only if $\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon$-regular and $d\left(V_{i_{1}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right) \geq d$. Next we provide a proof sketch of Lemma 3.3 and highlight key ideas. We need the following definition.

Definition 3.3 A hypergraph $H^{*}$ is a ( $1, k$ )-graph ( $(1, k)$-partite, in other words), if there is a partition of $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ such that every edge contains exactly one vertex of $V_{1}$ and $k$ vertices of $V_{2}$.

Given a partition of $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, a $(1, k-1)$-subset $S$ of $V\left(H^{*}\right)$ contains one vertex in $V_{1}$ and $k-1$ vertices in $V_{2}$. Let $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\right):=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{H^{*}}(S)\right.$ : $S$ is a $(1, k-1)$-subset of $\left.V\left(H^{*}\right)\right\}$.
Step 1. Construct an auxiliary ( $1, k$ )-graph. Given a ( $k, n, \gamma$ )-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$, we construct the auxiliary hypergraph $H^{*}$ with vertex set $V\left(H^{*}\right)=[n] \cup V$ and edge set $E\left(H^{*}\right)=\left\{\{i\} \cup e \mid e \in E\left(H_{i}\right), i \in[n]\right\}$. By the definition of $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system, we have $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ for each $i \in[n]$. Thus, $H^{*}$ is a $(1, k)$-graph with $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma) n$.
Step 2. Obtain a reduced hypergraph $K$. With an initial partition $[n] \cup V$ of $V\left(H^{*}\right)$, we apply the Weak Regularity Lemma (Lemma 3.5) to $H^{*}$, and obtain a partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{0}^{*} \cup I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{t_{1}} \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{t_{2}}$ where $I_{i} \subseteq[n], W_{j} \subseteq V$, $\left|I_{i}\right|=\left|W_{j}\right|=m$ for every $i \in\left[t_{1}\right], j \in\left[t_{2}\right],\left|V_{0}^{*}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon n$. By moving at most $2 \varepsilon n / m$ clusters to $V_{0}^{*}$ and renaming as $V_{0}$ if necessary, we may assume that $t_{1}=t_{2}$, but $\left|V_{0}\right| \leq 4 \varepsilon n, I_{i} \subseteq[n]$ and $W_{j} \subseteq V$ for every $i, j \in[t]$. Let $K$ be the reduced hypergraph for the partition with vertex set $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{W}$ where $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{t}\right\}$. Note that $K$ is a $(1, k)$-graph. We will prove that $K$ almost 'inherits' the $(1, k-1)$-degree condition of $H^{*}$.
Step 3. Obtain many matchings in $K$. We equally split $\mathcal{I}$ into $k$ parts $\mathcal{I}_{i}=$ $\left\{I_{(i-1) t / k+1}, \ldots, I_{i t / k}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$. For each $\mathcal{I}_{i} \cup \mathcal{W}$, we randomly partition it to balanced smaller pieces, namely, into parts of form $\mathcal{I}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$, where $\left|\mathcal{I}^{\prime}\right|=$ $Q / k$ and $\left|\mathcal{W}^{\prime}\right|=Q$. Denote the family of vertex-disjoint ( $1, k$ )-subgraphs of $K$ induced on all parts from the partition of $\mathcal{I}_{i} \cup \mathcal{W}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{i}, i \in[k]$. Note that the size of $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ is $t / Q$. We shall see in Section 3.3 that almost all members in $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ are 'nice' in the sense that they inherit the $(1, k-1)$-degree condition of $H^{*}$. For each such member in $\mathcal{F}_{i}, i \in[k]$, we use the following lemma, a combination of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [8], and obtain a perfect matching. This
yields for each $i \in[k]$ a large matching (in $K$ ), say $M_{i}$, by taking the union of the resulting matchings over all members in $\mathcal{F}_{i}$.

Lemma 3.6 ( $[8,27]$ ) For every $\gamma>0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds for all sufficiently large $n \in k \mathbb{N}$. every $(1, k)$-graph $H$ on $[n / k] \cup V$ with $\delta_{1, k-1}(H) \geq$ $(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ admits a perfect matching, where $|V|=n$.

Step 4. Embed the paths. Now back to the original $(1, k)$-graph $H^{*}$, each matching edge in $\bigcup_{i \in[k]} M_{i}$ can be blown up and we obtain an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths. This is achieved in Lemma 3.8. However, note that distinct matchings $M_{i}, M_{j}$ may intersect on vertices in $\mathcal{W}$. To overcome this, we build the $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths in $H$ piece by piece by zooming in each matching $M_{i}$ one by one. The following proposition shows that the reduced hypergraph almost inherits the minimum degree property of the original hypergraph.

Proposition 3.2 For any $\gamma>0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a $(1, k)$-graph $H^{*}$ with $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\right) \geq$ $(1 / 2+\gamma) n$ and an $\varepsilon$-regular partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{0} \cup I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{t} \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{t}$, let $K:=K(\varepsilon, \gamma / 6)$ be the reduced hypergraph. The number of $(1, k-1)$-subsets $S$ of $V(K)$ violating $\operatorname{deg}_{K}(S) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma / 4) t$ is at most $k \sqrt{\varepsilon} t^{k}$.

Proof. Let $1 / t, \varepsilon \ll \gamma$. Note that the reduced hypergraph $K(\varepsilon, \gamma / 6)$ can be written as the intersection of two hypergraphs $D:=D(\gamma / 6)$ and $R:=R(\varepsilon)$ both defined on the vertex set $\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{t}\right\}$ where

- $D$ consists of all sets $\left\{I_{i_{0}}, W_{i_{1}}, \ldots, W_{i_{k}}\right\}$ such that $d\left(I_{i_{0}}, W_{i_{1}}, \ldots, W_{i_{k}}\right) \geq$ $\gamma / 6$,
- $R$ consists of all sets $\left\{I_{i_{0}}, W_{i_{1}}, \ldots, W_{i_{k}}\right\}$ such that $H^{*}\left[I_{i_{0}}, W_{i_{1}}, \ldots, W_{i_{k}}\right]$ is $\varepsilon$-regular.
For any $(1, k-1)$-set $S$, assuming $S=\left\{I_{1}, W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{k-1}\right\}$, we first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}_{D}(S) \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) t \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $n / t \geq m:=\left|W_{i}\right|=\left|I_{j}\right|$ for $i, j \in[t]$. We now consider the number $z$ of edges in $H^{*}$ which intersect each of $I_{i_{0}}, W_{i_{1}}, \ldots, W_{i_{k-1}}$ in exactly one vertex. If (3.1) does not hold, then from the condition on $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
t m^{k+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 \gamma}{3}\right) \leq m^{k}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n-(k-1) m\right) \leq z  \tag{3.2}\\
<\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right) t m^{k+1}+t \frac{\gamma}{6} m^{k+1}
\end{gather*}
$$

a contradiction.
Note that there are at most $\varepsilon t^{k+1}$ edges in $\bar{R}$ (the complement of $R$ ). Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the family of all $(1, k-1)$-element subsets $S$ for which $\operatorname{deg}_{\bar{R}}(S)>\sqrt{\varepsilon} t$.

We have $|\mathcal{S}| \leq k \sqrt{\varepsilon} t^{k}$. This, together with (3.1) and $\varepsilon \ll \gamma$, implies that all but at most $k \sqrt{\varepsilon} t^{k}(1, k-1)$-sets $S \subseteq V(K)$ satisfy $\operatorname{deg}_{K}(S) \geq \operatorname{deg}_{D}(S)-\sqrt{\varepsilon} t \geq$ $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{4}\right) t$.

Ferber and Kwan [55] showed that if we randomly partition the vertex set of a $k$-graph $H$, then the subgraph of $H$ induced on almost all parts inherits the minimum degree of $H$. Here we need such a result for our $(1, k)$-graphs, whose proof follows almost identical as that in [55]. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.7 (Partition lemma) Suppose that $k \geq 3, \lambda, \gamma>0$, there exist $\eta>0$ and $Q \in k \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for $t \in Q \mathbb{N}$. If $H^{*}$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $\left[\frac{t}{k}\right] \cup V$ with $|V|=t$ where all but at most $\frac{\eta t}{k}\binom{t}{k-1}$ of the $(1, k-1)$-subsets of $V\left(H^{*}\right)$ have degree at least $(1 / 2+\gamma)(t-k+1)$, then there is a partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=$ $S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{t / Q}$ such that all but at most $\lambda t / Q$ classes $S_{i}$ satisfy $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]\right) \geq$ $(1 / 2+\gamma / 2)(Q-k+1)$ where each $S_{i}$ consists of a $Q / k$-subset of $[t / k]$ and a $Q$-subset of $V$.

Proof. Let $\eta \ll 1 / Q \ll \lambda, \gamma$. Partition $[t / k]$ into $t / Q$ sets $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t / Q}$ randomly such that $\left|I_{i}\right|=Q / k$ for $i \in[t / Q]$. We randomly order $V$ as $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ and let $V_{i}=\left\{v_{(i-1) Q+1}, \ldots, v_{i Q}\right\}$ for $i \in[t / Q]$. Let $S_{i}=I_{i} \cup V_{i}$ for $i \in[t / Q]$. Note that each $V_{i}$ is a random subset of $V$. Let $M^{*}$ be the collection of $(1, k-1)$-subsets with degree less than $(1 / 2+\gamma)(t-k+1)$ in $H^{*}$. Then $\left|M^{*}\right| \leq \frac{\eta t}{k}\binom{t}{k-1}$. We will prove that for $i \in[t / Q]$ and every $(1, k-1)$-subset $S$ of $S_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]}(S)<\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)(Q-k+1)\right] \leq \eta+e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First note that the probability of the event $S \in M^{*}$, is at most $\eta$. Now let $A_{S}$ be the event that $S$ is not in $M^{*}$. The set $V_{i} \backslash S$ is equivalent to a uniformly random set of size $Q-(k-1)$ in $V \backslash S$. Let $A$ denote the event that a vertex $v$ in $V_{i} \backslash S$ such that $S \cup\{v\} \in E\left(H^{*}\right)$. Note that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[A \mid A_{S}\right] \geq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right)(t-k+1)\binom{t-k}{Q-k}}{(Q-(k-1))\binom{t-(k-1)}{Q-(k-1)}}=\frac{1}{2}+\gamma,
$$

then we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]}(S) \mid A_{S}\right] \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right)(Q-k+1)
$$

Exchanging any element with an element outside $V_{i} \backslash S$ affects $\operatorname{deg}_{H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]}(S)$ by at most 1 . Fixing $i$, we apply Lemma 1.4 with $S \notin M^{*}$, the probability that $S$ has degree less than $(1 / 2+\gamma / 2)(Q-k+1)$ in $H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]$ is at most

$$
2 \exp \left(-\frac{2\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}(Q-k+1)\right)^{2}}{(Q-k+1)}\right)=e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)}
$$

Thus, (3.3) is proved.
We say that $S_{i}$ is poor if some $(1, k-1)$-set in the induced graph $H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]$ has degree less than $(1 / 2+\gamma / 2)(Q-k+1)$. By (3.3), $\mathbb{P}\left[S_{i}\right.$ is poor $] \leq \frac{Q}{k}\binom{Q}{k-1}(\eta+$ $e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)}$ ) for $i \in[t / Q]$. Let $X$ be the number of poor classes in our partition, then $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \frac{t}{k}\binom{Q}{k-1}\left(\eta+e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)}\right)$. By Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[X \geq \lambda \frac{t}{Q}\right] \leq \frac{Q}{\lambda k}\binom{Q}{k-1}\left(\eta+e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)}\right)
$$

By the choice of $\eta \ll 1 / Q \ll \lambda, \gamma$, it follows that

$$
\frac{Q}{k}\binom{Q}{k-1}\left(\eta+e^{-\Omega\left(\gamma^{2} Q\right)}\right)<\lambda
$$

and thus $\mathbb{P}\left[X \geq \lambda \frac{t}{Q}\right]<1$. Therefore, there is a partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup$ $S_{t / Q}$, where $S_{i}=I_{i} \cup V_{i}$, such that at least $(1-\lambda) t / Q$ classes of them satisfy $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(H^{*}\left[S_{i}\right]\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma / 2)(Q-k+1)$.
Given a $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$-graph $H$ on $V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$, we call that a ( $k-1$ )-subset $S$ of $V(H)$ is legal if $\left|S \cap V_{i}\right| \leq 1$ for $i \in[k]$ and $\left|S \cap V_{0}\right|=0$. An expanded path $P$ of length $t$ in $H$ is a $(k+1)$-graph with vertex set $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t+k-1}\right\}$ where $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right\} \subseteq V_{0},\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t+k-1}\right\} \subseteq V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ and edge set $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\}$ such that $e_{i}=\left\{c_{i}, v_{i}, \ldots, v_{i+k-1}\right\}$. Note that $\left|V(P) \cap V_{j}\right|=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{t+k-1}{k}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{t+k-1}{k}\right\rceil$ for $j \in[k]$.


Figure 3.3 - An expanded path in a 4-partite 4-graph (the vertices with the same color are from the same part)

Lemma 3.8 Given $c, m>0$ and $k \geq 2$, every ( $k+1$ )-partite ( $k+1$ )-graph $H$ on $V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ with at most $m$ vertices in each part and with at least $\mathrm{cm}^{k+1}$ edges contains an expanded path of at least $\mathrm{cm} / k$ vertices.

Proof. There are at most $k \cdot m^{k-1}$ legal $(k-1)$-subsets of $V(H)$. We proceed the following process iteratively. If there is a legal $(k-1)$-subset $S$, which is contained in less than $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / k$ edges in the current hypergraph, then we delete all the edges containing $S$. The process terminates at a nonempty hypergraph
$H_{0}$ since less than $k m^{k-1}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / k\right)=c m^{k+1}$ edges have been deleted in total. In $H_{0}$, every legal $(k-1)$-subset has degree either zero or at least $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / k$.

Let $P$ be a longest expanded path in $H_{0}$ with vertex set $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t+k-1}\right\}$ for some integer $t$. We have $\left|V(P) \cap V_{0}\right|=t$ and $\left|V(P) \cap V_{i}\right| \leq t$ since each edge contains exactly one vertex of $V_{i}$ for each $i \in[k]$. Consider $S_{t}=\left\{v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+k-1}\right\}$, which is a legal $(k-1)$-subset of $V(H)$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{deg}_{H_{0}}\left(S_{t}\right) \geq c m^{2} / k$ since $S_{t}$ has positive degree. All the edges containing $S_{t}$ must intersect $\left(V(P) \cap V_{0}\right) \cup\left(V(P) \cap V_{j}\right)$ by the maximality of $P$, where the index $j>0$ such that $S_{t} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c m^{2}}{k} \leq\left|V(P) \cap V_{0}\right| \cdot\left|V_{j}\right|+\left|V(P) \cap V_{j}\right| \cdot\left|V_{0}\right| \leq 2 t m \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $t \geq \mathrm{cm} /(2 k)$. Note that $|V(P)|=t+t+k-1$ and thus $|V(P)| \geq$ $\mathrm{cm} / k$.

The next result enables us to find a family of long vertex-disjoint expanded paths which covers almost all vertices in $V_{0}$ in an $\varepsilon$-regular $(k+1)$-partite $(k+$ 1)-graph.

Lemma 3.9 For any $\alpha>0, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that the following holds for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $H$ is an $\varepsilon$-regular $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$ graph with density at least $\alpha$ and $V(H)=V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ where $\left|V_{0}\right|=m$, $m / k \leq\left|V_{i}\right| \leq m$ for $i \in[k]$. Then we obtain that $H$ contains a family $\mathcal{P}$ of vertexdisjoint expanded paths such that for each $P \in \mathcal{P},|V(P)| \geq \varepsilon(\alpha-\varepsilon) m / k$ and $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}}\left|V(P) \cap V_{0}\right| \geq(1-2 k \varepsilon) m$.

Proof. Let $1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha, 1 / k$. We call an expanded path $P \operatorname{good}$ if $|V(P)| \geq$ $\varepsilon(\alpha-\varepsilon) m / k$. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}\right\}$ be a largest family of good, vertex-disjoint expanded paths and $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap V_{0}\right|=t_{i}$ for $i \in[p]$. Note that $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap V_{j}\right|=$ $\left\lfloor\frac{t_{i}+k-1}{k}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{t_{i}+k-1}{k}\right\rceil$ for $i \in[p]$ and $j \in[k]$. Suppose to the contrary that $\mathcal{P}$ covers less than $(1-2 k \varepsilon) m$ vertices of $V_{0}$ and thus $\sum_{i \in[p]} t_{i}<(1-2 k \varepsilon) m$. Let $W=V(H)-\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} V(P)$ be the set of vertices uncovered by $\mathcal{P}$. Then we have $\left|W \cap V_{0}\right| \geq 2 k \varepsilon m$. Hence, by the observation that $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right) \cap V_{j}\right| \leq\left\lceil\frac{t_{i}+k-1}{k}\right\rceil \leq$ $\frac{t_{i}}{k}+2$ for each $i \in[p], j \in[k]$ and the fact that $p=|\mathcal{P}| \leq(k+1) m /(\varepsilon(\alpha-$ $\varepsilon) m / k)=k(k+1)(\varepsilon(\alpha-\varepsilon))^{-1}$, we have that
$\left|W \cap V_{i}\right|=\left|V_{i}\right|-\left|V_{i} \cap V(\mathcal{P})\right| \geq \frac{m}{k}-\sum_{i \in[p]}\left(\frac{t_{i}}{k}+2\right) \geq \frac{m}{k}-\frac{(1-2 k \varepsilon) m}{k}-2 p \geq \varepsilon m+1$.
Let $W_{i} \subseteq W \cap V_{i}, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ be such that

$$
\left|W_{0}\right|=\left|W_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|W_{k}\right|=\varepsilon m \geq \varepsilon\left|V_{i}\right| .
$$

Finally, let $\hat{H}$ be the subhypergraph of $H$ induced on the vertex set $W_{0} \cup W_{1} \cup$ $\cdots \cup W_{k}$. Since $H$ is $\varepsilon$-regular, we have

$$
d_{H}\left(W_{0}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k}\right) \geq d_{H}\left(V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)-\varepsilon \geq \alpha-\varepsilon
$$

or equivalently,

$$
|E(\hat{H})| \geq(\alpha-\varepsilon)(\varepsilon m)^{k+1},
$$

and then Lemma 3.8 implies that there is an expanded path in $\hat{H}$ on at least $\varepsilon(\alpha-\varepsilon) m / k$ vertices, contrary to the maximality of $\mathcal{P}$.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 3.3] We choose the following parameters

$$
1 / n \ll 1 / T_{0} \ll \varepsilon, 1 / t_{0} \ll 1 / Q \ll \lambda \ll \delta, \gamma, 1 / k .
$$

Given a $(k, n, \gamma)$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$, we construct a $(1, k)$-graph $H^{*}$ with vertex set $[n] \cup V$ and edge set $\left\{\{i\} \cup e: e \in E\left(H_{i}\right), i \in[n]\right\}$. With an initial partition $[n] \cup V$ of $V\left(H^{*}\right)$, we apply Lemma 3.5 to $H^{*}$, and obtain a partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{0}^{*} \cup I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{t_{1}} \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{t_{2}}$ where $t_{0} \leq t_{1}, t_{2} \leq T_{0}$, $\left|I_{i}\right|=\left|W_{j}\right|=m$ for $i \in\left[t_{1}\right]$ and $j \in\left[t_{2}\right],\left|V_{0}^{*}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon n$. By moving at most $2 \varepsilon n / m$ clusters to $V_{0}^{*}$ and renaming if necessary, we obtain a partition $V\left(H^{*}\right)=V_{0} \cup$ $I_{1} \cup \cdots \cup I_{t} \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{t}$, where $t=\min \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\},\left|V_{0}\right| \leq 4 \varepsilon n, I_{i} \subseteq[n]$ and $W_{j} \subseteq V$ for every $i, j \in[t]$. Let $L=\left\lceil\frac{3 k T_{0}}{\varepsilon(\gamma / 6-\varepsilon)}\right\rceil$.

Let $K:=K(\varepsilon, \gamma / 6)$ be the $(1, k)$-partite reduced hypergraph on $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{W}$ where $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{t}\right\}$. We get a family of $(1, k)$ graphs $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right\}$ where $F_{i}=K\left[\left\{I_{(i-1) t / k+1}, \ldots, I_{i t / k}\right\} \cup \mathcal{W}\right]$ for $i \in[k]$.

For each $i \in[k]$, applying Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.7 to $F_{i}$ with $\eta=$ $k \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we obtain a partition $V\left(F_{i}\right)=S_{i, 1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{i, t / Q}$ such that each $S_{i, j}$ consists of $Q / k$ vertices in $\mathcal{I}$ and $Q$ vertices in $\mathcal{W}$, and all but at most $\lambda t / Q$ classes $S_{i, j}$ satisfy $\delta_{1, k-1}\left(F_{i}\left[S_{i, j}\right]\right) \geq(1 / 2+\gamma / 2)(Q-k+1)$ where $j \in[t / Q]$. We call such classes $S_{i, j}$ nice. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ the set of indices $j$ such that $S_{i, j}$ is nice. Then $\left|\mathcal{S}_{i}\right| \geq(1-\lambda) t / Q$. Applying Lemma 3.6 to each $F_{i}\left[S_{i, \ell}\right]$ for $i \in[k], \ell \in \mathcal{S}_{i}$, we obtain a perfect matching $M_{i, \ell}$. Let $M_{i}=\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{i}} M_{i, \ell}$ and $M=\bigcup_{i \in[k]} M_{i}$. Note that each $M_{i}$ is a matching in $F_{i}$. For each $W_{j} \in \mathcal{W}$, let $p_{j}$ be the number of edges in $M$ that contain $W_{j}, j \in[t]$. Then $\sum_{j \in[t]} p_{j} \geq k \cdot(1-\lambda) \frac{t}{Q} \cdot Q=(1-\lambda) k t$. Next, we proceed the following process.

## Path Embedding Process:

Given $H^{*}, \mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t}\right\}, \mathcal{W}=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{t}\right\}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$, we initialize $W_{j}^{*}:=W_{j}$ for $j \in[t]$ and $i:=1$.
Step 1. For each $e \in M_{i}$, let $H_{e}$ be the subgraph of $H^{*}$ induced on the corresponding clusters constituting the edge $e$, we denote by $I_{e}, W_{j_{1}(e)}^{*}, \ldots, W_{j_{k}(e)}^{*}$ where $I_{e} \in \mathcal{I}$.
Step 2. Applying Lemma 3.9 to each $H_{e}, e \in M_{i}$ with $\alpha=\gamma / 6$, we obtain a family $\mathcal{P}_{e}$ of vertex-disjoint expanded paths that covers all but at most $2 k \varepsilon m$ vertices in $I_{e}$ and for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_{e},|V(P)| \geq \varepsilon(\gamma / 6-\varepsilon) m / k$.
Step 3. Let $\mathcal{P}_{i}=\bigcup_{j \leq i} \bigcup_{e \in M_{j}} \mathcal{P}_{e}$ and update $W_{j}^{*}$ by deleting the vertices used in $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ for $j \in[t]$.
Step 4. Update $i:=i+1$ and if $i \leq k$, go to Step 1; otherwise terminate the process.

After the process, we obtain $\mathcal{P}:=\mathcal{P}_{k}$. It follows from the definition of $p_{j}$ that the size of uncovered vertices of each $W_{j}$ is

$$
\left|W_{j}^{*}\right|=m-\sum_{W_{j} \in e, e \in M}\left|\mathcal{P}_{e} \cap W_{j}\right| \leq m-p_{j}\left\lfloor\frac{(1-2 k \varepsilon) m+k-1}{k}\right\rfloor \leq m-p_{j} \frac{(1-2 k \varepsilon) m}{k}
$$

Recall that $\sum_{j \in[t]} p_{j} \geq(1-\lambda) k t$. We obtain that $\mathcal{P}$ covers all but

$$
\left|V_{0}\right|+\sum_{j \in[t]}\left|W_{j}^{*}\right| \leq 4 \varepsilon n+\sum_{j \in[t]}\left(m-p_{j} \frac{(1-2 k \varepsilon) m}{k}\right) \leq(4(k+1) \varepsilon+\lambda) n \leq \delta n
$$

vertices of $V$. Moreover, since $|V(P)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{\gamma}{6}-\varepsilon\right)}{k}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{t}\right\rfloor$ for each path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $t \leq T_{0}$, we have $|\mathcal{P}|<2 n /\left(\frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{\gamma}{6}-\varepsilon\right)}{k}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{t}\right\rfloor\right)<L$. Finally, observe that $\mathcal{P}$ gives rise to an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow family of paths which completes the proof.

$F_{1}$ (the black dotted lines represent nice classes)

$F_{1}\left[S_{1,1}\right]$ (with a perfect matching)


Figure 3.4 - The proof sketch of Lemma 3.3

## 3.4 . The connecting lemma

In this section, we prove Lemma 3.2. The idea of the proof is to grow treelike structures (called cascades) from both designated ends $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ until they meet, forming the $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path as desired. Our proof follows almost identical as that in $[106,137]$. Before we describe the cascades, it is convenient to introduce the following notation. For two sequences of vertices

$$
\omega_{1}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{s}\right) \text { and } \omega_{2}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{s}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right)
$$

where $r, t \geq 1, s \geq 0$ and all vertices are distinct, we define their concatenation as

$$
\omega_{1} \omega_{2}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{s}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right)
$$

This operation can be iterated. For instance, if $\omega_{1}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-2}\right), \omega_{2}=$ $\left(w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right)$ and $\omega_{3}=\left(w_{3}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ where all $w_{i}$ are distinct, then $\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}=$ $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$. We could write $\omega_{1} \omega_{2} w_{k}$ instead of $\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3}$. Let $e_{0}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ be a given $(k-1)$-tuple of vertices. We will define the rainbow $e_{0}$-cascade as an auxiliary sequence of bipartite graphs $G_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots$, with bipartitions $\left(A_{j-1}, A_{j}\right)$, whose vertices are ( $k-2$ )-tuples of the vertices of $H$ and the edges correspond to some $(k-1)$-tuples of the vertices of $H$. Each node $f \in A_{j}$ belongs to two graphs $G_{j}$ and $G_{j+1}$. Its neighbors in $G_{j}$ belongs to $A_{j-1}$, while its neighbors in $G_{j+1}$ belongs to $A_{j+1}$. For a node $f=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right)$ of the rainbow cascade, the vertex $v_{1}$ is called the prefix, while $v_{k-2}$ is called the suffix of $f$.

We define the rainbow cascade recursively as follows. Let $e_{0}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.v_{k-1}\right), f_{0}=\left(v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $A_{0}=\left\{f_{0}\right\}$. For every vertex $v \notin e_{0}$, we include the node $g=\left(v_{3}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v\right)$ in the set $A_{1}$ if and only if $v_{1} f_{0} g=e_{0} v \in H_{c_{1}}$ for $c_{1} \in[c]$. The graph $G_{1}$ is the star with center $f_{0}$ and the arms leading to all the nodes $g \in A_{1}$.

Further, let $A_{2}$ be the set of all $(k-2)$-tuples $h$ such that for some node $g \in A_{1}$ we have $f_{0} g h \in H_{c_{2}}$ where $c_{2} \neq c_{1}$ and $c_{2} \in[c]$. Note that each $h \in A_{2}$ is obtained from a node $g \in A_{1}$ by dropping the prefix of $g$ and adding a new suffix $u$, we denote such node by $g_{u}$. The graph $G_{2}$ consists of all edges $\{g, h\}$ where $g \in A_{1}, h \in A_{2}$ and $f_{0} g h \in H_{c_{2}}$, it is equal to say $G_{2}$ consists of all edges $\left\{g, g_{u}\right\}$ where $f_{0} g u \in H_{c_{2}}$.

For $j=3, \ldots, k-2$, we similarly define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{j}=\left\{h: \exists f \in A_{j-2}, g \in A_{j-1} \text { such that }\{f, g\} \in G_{j-1}, f g h \in H_{c_{j}}\right. \text { where } \\
&\left.c_{j} \neq c_{\ell} \text { for } \ell \in[j-1]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $G_{j}$ as the bipartite graph with bipartition $\left(A_{j-1}, A_{j}\right)$ and the edge set
$\left\{\{g, h\}: \exists f \in A_{j-2}\right.$ such that $\{f, g\} \in G_{j-1}$ and $f g h \in H_{c_{j}}$, where $c_{j} \neq c_{\ell}$ for $\ell \in[j-1]\}$.
In other words, $A_{j}$ and $G_{j}$ correspond to the sets of $(k-2)$-tuples and ( $k-1$ )-tuples of the vertices of $V$ which can be reached from $e_{0}$ in $j$ steps by an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path.

First refinement. Having defined $A_{j}$ and $G_{j}$ for $j \leq k$, beginning with $j=k-1$ we change the recursive mechanism by getting rid of the nodes in $A_{j}$ with too small degree in $G_{j}$. We define auxiliary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}^{\prime}=\left\{h: \exists f \in A_{k-3}, g \in A_{k-2} \text { such that }\{f, g\} \in G_{k-2}, f g h \in H_{c_{k-1}}\right. \text { where } \\
& \left.\quad c_{k-1} \neq c_{\ell} \text { for } \ell \in[k-2]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $G_{k-1}^{\prime}$ as the bipartite graph with bipartition $\left(A_{k-2}, A_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ and the edge set
$\left\{\{g, h\}: \exists f \in A_{k-3}\right.$ such that $\{f, g\} \in G_{k-2}$ and $f g h \in H_{c_{k-1}}$ where $c_{k-1} \neq c_{\ell}$ for $\ell \in[k-2]\}$.
Then let $A_{k-1}$ be the subset of $A_{k-1}^{\prime}$ consisting of all nodes $h$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{k-1}^{\prime}}(h) \geq$ $\sqrt{n}$ and set $G_{k-1}=G_{k-1}^{\prime}\left[A_{k-2} \cup A_{k-1}\right]$.

Second refinement. For $j \geq k$, to form an edge $\{g, h\}$ of $G_{j}$ we will now require not one but many nodes $f \in A_{j-2}$ to fulfil the above definition.

Set $m=\left\lceil n^{1 / 4}\right\rceil$. Having defined $G_{j-1}$, let $A_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{h: \exists f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} \in A_{j-2}, g \in\right.$ $A_{j-1}$ such that for all $i \in[m],\left\{f_{i}, g\right\} \in G_{j-1}$ and $f_{i} g h \in H_{c_{j}}$ where $c_{j} \neq$ $c_{\ell}$ for $\left.\ell \in[j-1]\right\}$ and let $G_{j}^{\prime}$ be the bipartite graph with bipartition $\left(A_{j-1}, A_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and the edge set $\left\{\{g, h\}: \exists f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} \in A_{j-2}\right.$ such that for all $i \in[m],\left\{f_{i}, g\right\} \in$ $G_{j-1}$ and $f_{i} g h \in H_{c_{j}}$ where $c_{j} \neq c_{\ell}$ for $\left.\ell \in[j-1]\right\}$.

Finally, let $A_{j}$ be the subset of $A_{j}^{\prime}$ consisting of all nodes $h$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}^{\prime}}(h) \geq$ $\sqrt{n}$ and let $G_{j}=G_{j}^{\prime}\left[A_{j-1} \cup A_{j}\right]$. The sequence $\left(G_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots$, will be called the rainbow $e_{0}$-cascade.

Claim 3.1([137]) For every $j \geq k-1$ and every edge $\{g, h\}$ of $G_{j}$ where $g=$ $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-2}\right) \in A_{j-1}, h=\left(w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right) \in A_{j}$ and $(g \cup h) \cap e_{0}=\emptyset$ and for every set of vertices $W \subset V \backslash\left(g \cup h \cup e_{0}\right)$ such that $j+|W| \leq n^{1 / 4}$, there is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path $P$ of length $j$ which connects $\left(w_{k-1}, \ldots, w_{1}\right)$ with $e_{0}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right)$ and $V(P) \cap W=\emptyset$.
Degrees. Recall that $G_{j}^{\prime}=G_{j}$ for $j \leq k-2$. For a node $g \in A_{j}$, we set

$$
d^{+}(g)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j+1}^{\prime}}(g) \text { and } d^{-}(g)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}}(g)
$$

for the forward and backward degree of $g$ in the cascade. Note that in the definition of $d^{+}(g)$ we consider the forward degree before some small degree vertices of $A_{j+1}^{\prime}$ are removed. The reason is that we have no control over the effects of the removal on individual forward degrees. On the other hand, for all $f \in A_{j}, \operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}}(f)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}^{\prime}}(f)$, so the backward degree is unaffected unless the node is removed. It is trivial that $d^{-}(g), d^{+}(g) \leq n-k+2$. Observe that $G_{1} \cup \cdots \cup G_{k-2}$ is a tree, thus, $d^{-}(g)=1$ for all $g \in A_{j}, j=1, \ldots, k-2$. Recall that for $j \geq k-1$ the graph $G_{j}$ is obtained from $G_{j}^{\prime}$ by removing nodes $g$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{j}^{\prime}}(g)<\sqrt{n}$. Hence our construction guarantees that for all $g \in A_{j}, j \geq$ $k-1$, we have $d^{-}(g) \geq \sqrt{n}$.

For all $j \leq k-2$ and all $g \in A_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{+}(g) \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since there are at least $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right) n$ vertices $u$ such that $f g u \in H_{c_{j+1}}$ where $f$ is the neighbor of $g$ in $A_{j-1}$. Each such vertex $u$ corresponds to a neighbor $g_{u}$ of $g$ in $A_{j+1}$.

For $j \geq k$, the second refinement affects and no lower bound on $d^{+}(g)$ is obvious. However, the lower bound $d^{-}(g) \geq \sqrt{n}$ introduced by the first refinement maintains.

Growth. By inequality (3.5), for each $j \in[k-2]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{j}\right|=\left|A_{j}\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right)^{j} n^{j}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left|G_{k-1}\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma\right)^{k-1} n^{k-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Call a node $f \in A_{j}$ small if $d^{-}(f)<\frac{1}{2} n$ and denote by $S_{j}$ the subset of $A_{j}$ consisting of the small nodes. Assume for simplicity that $1 / \varepsilon^{2}$ is an integer.

Claim 3.2 ([137]) There exists an index $j_{0}, k-1 \leq j_{0} \leq k-1+(k-1) / \gamma^{2}$ such that for all $j \in\left[j_{0}, j_{0}+k-2\right]$ we have $\left|S_{j}\right| \leq 2 \gamma n^{k-2}$.

Claim 3.3 ([137]) Let

$$
k \gamma^{2^{2-k}}<2^{-k}
$$

and let $j_{0}$ be as in Claim 3.2. Then $\left|A_{j_{0}+k-2} \backslash S_{j_{0}+k-2}\right| \geq\left(n-k+2-\gamma^{2^{2-k}} n\right)^{k-2}$.
Proof. [The proof of Lemma 3.2] Let $\gamma_{0}$ satisfy the condition in Claim 3.3, i.e. $\gamma_{0}:=\gamma^{2^{2-k}}$ and $k \gamma_{0}<2^{-k}$. Given two disjoint $(k-1)$-tuples of vertices $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, we build the rainbow $e_{1}$-cascade and the rainbow $e_{2}$-cascade, with the sets of nodes denoted by $A_{j}$ and $B_{j}$.

Let $j_{1}=j_{0}+k-2$, where $j_{0}$ is the index guaranteed by Claim 3.2 for the rainbow $e_{1}$-cascade. Then by Claim $3 \cdot 3$, with sufficiently large $n$, using Bernoulli inequality, we have

$$
\left|A_{j_{1}} \backslash S_{j_{1}}\right| \geq\left(n-2 \gamma_{0} n\right)^{k-2}>\left(1-2 k \gamma_{0}\right) n^{k-2}
$$

On the other hand by inequality (3.6) for $j=k-2$, we have $\left|B_{k-2}\right|>2^{2-k} n^{k-2}$,

$$
\left|B_{k-2} \cap\left(A_{j_{1}} \backslash S_{j_{1}}\right)\right|>\left(2^{2-k}-2 k \gamma_{0}\right) n^{k-2} \geq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{k-2}
$$

Hence, there is a not small node $g=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-2}\right) \in A_{j_{1}}$ such that $g \cap\left(e_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.e_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ and $g^{\prime}=\left(u_{k-2}, \ldots, u_{1}\right) \in B_{k-2}$.

Let $e_{2}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k-1}\right), S=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\right\}$ and $V_{0}$ be the set of prefixes $v$ of the neighbors $f \in A_{j_{1}-1}$ of $g$. Since $g^{\prime}=\left(u_{k-2}, \ldots, u_{1}\right) \in B_{k-2}$, we obtain that $w_{1} \cdots w_{k-1} u_{k-2} \cdots u_{1}$ is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path. By Fact 3.1, we have $\left|N_{H_{c_{j_{1}}}}(S) \cap V_{0}\right|>\gamma n$, and thus, there is at least one vertex $v_{0} \notin e_{2}$ such that $\left\{v_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\right\} \in H_{c_{j_{1}}}$.

Let $P_{1}=e_{1} \cdots v_{0} u_{1} \cdots u_{k-2}$ be an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path of length $j_{1}$ which avoids the vertices of $e_{2}$. The existence of $P_{1}$ follows from Claim 3.1 with $W=e_{2}$. The
path $P$ obtained from $P_{1}$ by adding the segment $\left(w_{k-1}, \ldots, w_{1}\right)$ and the "hookup" edge $\left\{v_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k-2}, w_{k-1}\right\}$, is the $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path connecting $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ as desired.

By the bound on $j_{0}$ established in Claim 3.2 and since $\gamma \leq 1 / 2$,

$$
|V(P)|=j_{1}+2(k-1)=j_{0}+3 k-4 \leq \frac{k-1}{\gamma^{2}}+4 k-5 \leq \frac{2 k}{\gamma^{2}} .
$$



Figure 3.5-An $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow path connecting two $(k-1)$-tuples $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$

## 3.5 . Concluding remarks

Inspired by a series of recent successes on rainbow settings of matchings [110, 109, 112, 113] and Hamilton cycles [77] , we suspect the threshold for rainbow Hamilton cycle in a $k$-graph system is the same with the threshold for Hamilton cycle in a single $k$-graph.

Conjecture 3.1 Suppose $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ is an $n$-vertex $k$-graph system on $V, n \geq$ $k+1 \geq 4$, such that $\delta_{k-1}\left(H_{i}\right) \geq\lfloor(n-k+3) / 2\rfloor$, then there is an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

On the other hand, the problem of giving the sufficient condition for the rainbow Hamilton $\ell$-cycles, $\ell \in[k-2]$, is still open.

# 4 - Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraph systems with minimum $(k-2)$-degree 


#### Abstract

Lang and Sanhueza-Matamala [105], Polcyn, Reiher, Rödl and Schülke [129] independently proved that for any $\gamma>0$ and sufficiently large $n \in N$, every $n$ vertex $k$-graph with $\delta_{k-2}(H) \geq(5 / 9+\gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ contains a Hamilton cycle. Gupta, Hamann, Müyesser, Parczyk, and Sgueglia [63] mentioned the following problem as "there is a well-known (uncolored) Dirac-type result whose rainbow version is missing" and "it would be an interesting challenge to obtain this result" : Given a 3-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ with minimum vertex degree condition of each $H_{i}$, does $\boldsymbol{H}$ admit a rainbow Hamilton cycle? In this chapter, we develop the sequentially Hamilton framework, which generalized the Hamilton framework in [105], and give a general result as follows.


Theorem 4.1 For every $k \geq 3, \gamma>0$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that the following holds
 $i \in[n]$, then there exists an $\boldsymbol{H}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

### 4.1. Notation and preliminaries

We call a hypergraph $H$ a $(1, k)$-graph if $V(H)$ can be partitioned into $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that every edge contains exactly one vertex of $V_{1}$ and $k$ vertices of $V_{2}$. Given a partition $V(H)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, a ( $\left.1, d\right)$-subset $S$ of $V(H)$ contains one vertex in $V_{1}$ and $d$ vertices in $V_{2}$. Let $\delta_{1, d}(H):=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{H}(S): S\right.$ is a $(1, d)$ subset of $V(H)\}$ for $d \in[k-1]$. The relative degree $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}(S)$ to be $\operatorname{deg}(S) /\binom{n-d}{k-d}$. The minimum relative $(1, d)$-degree of a $(1, k)$-graph $H$, written by $\bar{\delta}_{1, d}(H)$, is the minimum of $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}(S)$ over all $(1, d)$-subsets $S$ of $V(H)$.

A $k$-graph $H$ is $k$-partite if $V(H)$ can be partitioned into $k$ parts $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ such that every edge consists of exactly one vertex from each class. Given a $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$-graph $H$ with $V(H)=V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$. $\mathrm{A}(k+1)$-uniform sequential path $P$ of length $t$ in $H$ is a $(k+1)$-graph with vertex set $V(P)=$ $C(P) \cup I(P)$ where $C(P)=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t-k+1}\right\} \subseteq V_{0}, I(P)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\} \subseteq$ $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ and edge set $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t-k+1}\right\}$ such that $e_{i}=\left\{c_{i}, v_{i}, \ldots, v_{i+k-1}\right\}$ for $i \in[t-k+1]$. Denote the length of $P$ by $\ell(P)$. We call $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t-k+1}$ the colors of $P$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ the points of $P$. Furthermore, if $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right)$ is a cyclically ordered set, then we call this sequential path a sequential cycle. A $(k+1)$-uniform sequential walk is an ordered set of points with an ordered set of colors such that the set of the $i_{t h} k$ consecutive points along with the $i_{t h}$ color forms an edge. In particular, if the order is cyclical, then we call it sequentially closed walk. Note that the points, edges and colors in a sequential
walk are allowed to be repeated. The length of a sequential walk is its number of points.

Before we give the proof of Theorem 4.1, we use the following similar definitions with [105].
Definition 4.1 (Sequentially Hamilton cycle threshold) The minimum ( $1, k-$ 2)-degree threshold for sequentially Hamilton cycles, denoted by $\operatorname{th} c_{k-2}(k)$, is the smallest number $\delta>0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $(1, k)$-graph $H$ on $[n] \cup V$ with minimum degree $\delta_{1, k-2}(H) \geq(\delta+\varepsilon)\binom{n}{2}$ contains a sequentially Hamilton cycle where $|V|=n \geq n_{0}$.
Definition 4.2 (Sequentially tight connectivity) A subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $a(1, k)$ graph $H$ is sequentially tightly connected, if any two edges of $H^{\prime}$ can be connected by a sequential walk. A sequentially tight component of $H$ is an edge maximal sequentially tightly connected subgraph.

Given $\mathbf{b}: V(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$, we define the $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching to be a function w: $E(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{e: v \in e} \mathbf{w}(e) \leq \mathbf{b}(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V(H)$. Moreover, if the equality holds, then we call w perfect. Denote the maximum size of a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching by $\nu(H, \mathbf{b})=\max _{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{e \in E(H)} \mathbf{w}(e)$ where $\mathbf{w}$ is a b-fractional matching. It is well-known that perfect matchings are closely related to its fractional counterpart. In particular, when $\mathbf{b}(v)=1$ for every vertex $v \in V(H)$, the $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching is called fractional matching. The density of a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching is $\sum_{e \in E(H)} \mathbf{w}(e) /|V(H)|$. Besides, we require the following characterization. Given a $k$-graph $H$, we say that $H$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable if the following holds. For every vertex weight $\mathbf{b}: V(H) \rightarrow[1-\gamma, 1]$, there is an edge weight $\mathbf{w}: E(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$ with $\sum_{e: v \in e} \mathbf{w}(e)=\mathbf{b}(v) /(k-1)$ for every vertex $v \in V(H)$. Note that a $\gamma$-robustly matchable $k$-graph $H$ admits a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching of size $\sum_{v \in V(H)} \mathbf{b}(v) / k(k-1)$ for every vertex weighting $\mathbf{b}: V(H) \rightarrow[1-\gamma, 1]$. The following definition plays an important role in our proof.
Definition 4.3 (Link graph) Given $\ell \in[0, k-1]$, $a(1, k)$-graph $H$ on $V(H)=$ $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and a set $S$ of $(1, \ell)$-subset of $V(H)$, we define the link $(k-\ell)$-graph of $S$ in $H$ as the graph $L_{H}(S)$ with vertex set $V$ and edge set $\{X$ : $X \cup S \in E(H)\}$. If $H$ is clear, then we simply write $L(S)$.

Let $H=(V, E)$ be a $k$-graph, $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$, an induced subgraph $H\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ of a $k$ graph $H$ is a $k$-graph with vertex set $V^{\prime}$ and edge set $E^{\prime}$ where each edge is precisely the edge of $H$ consisting of $k$ vertices in $V^{\prime}$. We usually denote $H^{\prime}$ by $H\left[V^{\prime}\right]$.

Definition 4.4 (Sequentially Hamilton framework) Let $\alpha, \gamma$, $\delta$ be positive constants. Suppose $R$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V$ where $|V|=t$, we call a subgraph $H$ of $R$ an ( $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$ )-sequentially Hamilton framework, if $H$ has the following properties.
(F1) $H_{i}:=H[\{i\} \cup V]$ is sequentially tightly connected for $i \in[t]$,
(F2) $H_{i}$ contains a sequentially closed walk of length $1 \bmod k$ for $i \in[t]$,
(F3) $H_{W_{i}}:=H[[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k] \cup V]$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable for $i \in[k]$,
(F4) For every color $i \in[t]$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ points $v \in V$ such that $\{i, v\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $1-\delta+\gamma$,
(F5) $L_{H}(\{i\})$ and $L_{H}(\{j\})$ intersect in an edge for each $i, j \in[t]$.
We write $x \ll y$ to mean that for any $y \in(0,1]$, there exists an $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that for all $x \leq x_{0}$, the subsequent statements hold. Hierarchies with more constants are defined similarly to be read from right to left.

Definition 4.5 (Sequentially Hamilton framework threshold) The minimum $(1, k-2)$-degree threshold for $(1, k)$-uniform sequentially Hamilton framework, denoted by $r h f_{k-2}(k)$, is the smallest value of $\delta$ such that the following holds.

Suppose $\varepsilon, \alpha, \gamma, \mu>0$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha \ll \gamma \ll \mu$. If $R$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V$ where $|V|=t$, with minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta+\mu$ and a set $I \subseteq E(R)$ of at most $\varepsilon t\binom{t}{k}$ perturbed edges, then $R$ contains an ( $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$ )-sequentially Hamilton framework $H$ that avoids the edges of $I$.

We transform the problem of bounding the sequentially Hamilton cycle threshold to bound the sequentially Hamilton framework threshold.

Theorem 4.2 (Framework Theorem) For $k \geq 3$, we have $t h c_{k-2}(k) \leq r h f_{k-2}(k)$.
For any $j \in[k]$, let the shadow $\operatorname{graph} \partial_{j}(H)$ of $(1, k)$-graph $H$ at level $j$ be the $(1, j)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ whose edges are $(1, j)$-sets contained in the edges of $H$.

Definition 4.6 (Vicinity) Given a (1,k)-graph $R$ on $[t] \cup V$, we say that $\mathcal{C}_{i}=$ $\left\{C_{S} \subseteq L(S): S \in \partial_{k-2}(R)\right.$ and $\left.i \in S\right\}$ for each $i \in[t]$ is a $(k-2)$-vicinity. We define the $(1, k)$-graph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ generated by $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ as the subgraph of $R$ with vertex set $V(H)=\{i\} \cup V$ and edge set

$$
E(H)=\bigcup_{i \in S, S \in \partial_{k-2}(R)}\left\{A \cup S: A \in C_{S}\right\}
$$

Besides, we need the following structures.
Definition 4.7 (Switcher) A switcher in a graph $G$ is an edge ab such that $a$ and $b$ shares a common neighbor in $G$.

Note that a switcher together with its common neighbor generates a triangle.

Definition 4.8 (Arc) Let $R_{i}$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $\{i\} \cup V$ with $(k-2)$-vicinity $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\}$. We say that a $(1, k+1)$-tuple $\left(i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right)$ is an $\operatorname{arc}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ if the following holds.

- $\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right\} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$ with $\left\{v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right\} \in C_{\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right\}}$.
- $\left\{i, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$ with $\left\{v_{k}, v_{k+1}\right\} \in C_{\left\{i, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}}$.

Definition 4.9 (Sequentially Hamilton vicinity) Let $\gamma, \delta>0$. Suppose that $R$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V$, let $R_{i}:=R[\{i\} \cup V]$. We say that a family $\mathcal{C}=$ $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i}: i \in[t]\right\}$ of $(k-2)$-vicinities where $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\}$ is $(\gamma, \delta)$ sequentially Hamilton if for any $S, S^{\prime} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$ and $T \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{j}\right)$ where $i \neq j$, the followings hold,
(V1) $C_{S}$ is tightly connected,
$\left(V_{2}\right) C_{S}$ and $C_{S^{\prime}}$ intersect in an edge,
(V3) $C_{S}$ has a switcher and the vicinity $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ has an arc for $i \in[t]$,
(V4) $C_{S}$ has a fractional matching of density $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma)$,
(V5) $C_{S}$ has edge density at least $1-\delta+\gamma$,
(V6) $C_{S}$ and $C_{T}$ intersect in an edge.
Definition 4.10 (Perturbed degree) Let $\alpha, \delta>0$. We say that $a(1, k)$-graph $R$ has $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta$ if the followings hold for $j \in[k-2]$.
( $P_{1}$ ) every edge of $\partial_{j}(R)$ has relative degree at least $\delta$ in $R$,
${ }_{\left(P_{2}\right)} \overline{\partial_{j}(R)}$ has edge density at most $\alpha$, where $\overline{\partial_{j}(R)}$ denotes the complement of $\partial_{j}(R)$,
(P3) each $(1, j-1)$-tuple of $\partial_{j-1}(R)$ has relative degree less than $\alpha$ in $\overline{\partial_{j}(R)}$.
Definition 4.11 (Sequentially Hamilton vicinity threshold) The minimum (1, $k-2)$-degree threshold for $(1, k)$-uniform sequentially Hamilton vicinities, denoted by $r h v_{k-2}(k)$, is the smallest value $\delta>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\alpha, \gamma, \mu>0, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 / t \ll \alpha \ll \gamma \ll \mu$ and $R$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V$. If each $R_{i}:=R[\{i\} \cup V]$ has $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta+\mu$ for $i \in[t]$, then $R$ admits a family of $(\gamma, \delta)$-sequentially Hamilton $(k-2)$-vicinities.

Theorem 4.3 (Vicinity Theorem) For $k \geq 3, r h f_{k-2}(k) \leq r h v_{k-2}(k)$.
Combining Theorem 4.3 with Theorem 4.2, we just need to prove the following theorem, and we can obtain Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.4 For $k \geq 3 \operatorname{rrhv}_{k-2}(k) \leq 5 / 9$.
We use the following concentration inequalities.
A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, E)$ is a complex if its edge set is down-closed, meaning that whenever $e \in E$ and $e^{\prime} \subseteq e$, we have $e^{\prime} \in E$. A $k$-complex is a complex where all edges have size at most $k$. Given a complex $\mathcal{H}$, we use $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ to denote the $i$-graph obtained by taking all vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ and edges of size $i$. Denote the number of edges of size $i$ in $\mathcal{H}$ by $e_{i}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ partition a vertex set $V$ into parts $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{s}$. Then we say that a subset $S \subseteq V$ is $\mathcal{P}$-partite if $\left|S \cap V_{i}\right| \leq 1$ for every $i \in[s]$. Similarly, we say that hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-partite if all of its edges are $\mathcal{P}$-partite. In this case we refer to the parts of $\mathcal{P}$ as the vertex class of $\mathcal{H}$. We say that a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is s-partite if there is some partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $V(\mathcal{H})$ into $s$ parts for which $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-partite.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $\mathcal{P}$-partite complex. Then for any $A \subseteq[s]$ we write $V_{A}$ for $\bigcup_{i \in A} V_{i}$. The index of a $\mathcal{P}$-partite set $S \subseteq V$ is $i(S):=\left\{i \in[s]:\left|S \cap V_{i}\right|=1\right\}$. We write $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ to denote the collection of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ with index $A$, that is, $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ can be regarded as an $|A|$-partite $|A|$-graph on vertex set $V_{A}$. Similarly, if $X$ is a $j$-set of indexes of vertex classes of $\mathcal{H}$ we write $\mathcal{H}_{X}$ for the $j$-partite $j$-uniform subgraph of $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ induced by $\bigcup_{i \in X} V_{i}$. We write $\mathcal{H}_{X<}$ for the $j$-partite hypergraph with vertex set $\bigcup_{i \in V_{X}} V_{i}$ and edge set $\bigcup_{X^{\prime} \subset X} \mathcal{H}_{X^{\prime}}$.

Let $H_{i}$ be any $i$-partite $i$-graph and $H_{i-1}$ be any $i$-partite $(i-1)$-graph on a common vertex set $V$ partitioned into $i$ common vertex classes. Denote $K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)$ by the $i$-partite $i$-graph on $V$ whose edges are all $i$-sets which are supported on $H_{i-1}$ (i.e. induce a copy of complete $(i-1)$-graph $K_{i}^{i-1}$ on $i$ vertices in $H_{i-1}$ ). The density of $H_{i}$ with respect to $H_{i-1}$ is defined to be

$$
d\left(H_{i} \mid H_{i-1}\right):=\frac{\left|K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right) \cap H_{i}\right|}{\left|K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)\right|}
$$

if $\left|K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)\right|>0$. For convenience, we take $d\left(H_{i} \mid H_{i-1}\right):=0$ if $\left|K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)\right|=$ 0 . When $H_{i-1}$ is clear from the context, we simply refer $d\left(H_{i} \mid H_{i-1}\right)$ as the relative density of $H_{i}$. More generally, if $\mathbf{Q}:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}\right)$ is a collection of $r$ not necessarily disjoint subgraphs of $H_{i-1}$, we define

$$
K_{i}(\mathbf{Q}):=\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} K_{i}\left(Q_{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
d\left(H_{i} \mid \mathbf{Q}\right):=\frac{\left|K_{i}(\mathbf{Q}) \cap H_{i}\right|}{\left|K_{i}(\mathbf{Q})\right|}
$$

if $\left|K_{i}(\mathbf{Q})\right|>0$. Similarly, we take $d\left(H_{i} \mid \mathbf{Q}\right):=0$ if $\left|K_{i}(\mathbf{Q})\right|=0$. We say that $H_{i}$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon, r\right)$-regular with respect to $H_{i-1}$ if we have $d\left(H_{i} \mid \mathbf{Q}\right)=d_{i} \pm \varepsilon$ for every $r$-set $\mathbf{Q}$ of subgraphs of $H_{i-1}$ such that $\left|K_{i}(\mathbf{Q})\right|>\varepsilon\left|K_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)\right|$. We refer to $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon, 1\right)$-regularity simply as $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$-regularity. We say that $H_{i}$ is $(\varepsilon, r)$-regular with respect to $H_{i-1}$ to mean that there exists some $d_{i}$ for which $H_{i}$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon, r\right)$ regular with respect to $H_{i-1}$. Given an $i$-graph $G$ whose vertex set contains that of $H_{i-1}$, we say that $G$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon, r\right)$-regular with respect to $H_{i-1}$ if the $i$-partite subgraph of $G$ induced by the vertex classes of $H_{i-1}$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon, r\right)$-regular with respect to $H_{i-1}$. Similarly, when $H_{i-1}$ is clear from the context, we refer to the relative density of this $i$-partite subgraph of $G$ with respect to $H_{i-1}$ as the relative density of $G$.

Now let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $s$-partite $k$-complex on vertex classes $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{s}$, where $s \geq k \geq 3$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex, if $e \in \mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ for some $i \in[2, k]$, then the vertices of $e$ induce a copy of $K_{i}^{i-1}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{(i-1)}$. This means that for any index $A \in\binom{[s]}{i}$, the density $d\left(\mathcal{H}^{(i)}\left[V_{A}\right] \mid \mathcal{H}^{(i-1)}\left[V_{A}\right]\right)$ can be regarded as the proportion of 'possible edges' of $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}\left[V_{A}\right]$ which are indeed edges. We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon, r\right)$-regular if

1. for $i \in[2, k-1]$ and $A \in\binom{[s]}{i}$, the induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}\left[V_{A}\right]$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$ regular with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{(i-1)}\left[V_{A}\right]$ and
2. for any $A \in\binom{[s]}{k}$, the induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}\left[V_{A}\right]$ is $\left(d_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $\mathcal{H}^{(k-1)}\left[V_{A}\right]$.
The Regular Slice Lemma says that any $k$-graph $G$ admits a regular slice. Informally speaking, a regular slice of $G$ is a partite $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ whose vertex classes have equal size, whose subgraphs $\mathcal{J}^{(2)}, \ldots, \mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}$ satisfy certain regularity properties and which moreover has the property that $G$ is regular with respect to $\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}$. The first two of these conditions are formalised in the following definition : we say that a ( $k-1$ )-complex $\mathcal{J}$ is $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-equitable, if it has the following properties.
3. $\mathcal{J}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-partite for a $\mathcal{P}$ which partitions $V(\mathcal{J})$ into $t$ parts of equal size, where $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$. We refer to $\mathcal{P}$ as the ground partition of $\mathcal{J}$, and to the parts of $\mathcal{P}$ as the clusters of $\mathcal{J}$.
4. There exists a density vector $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$ such that for $i \in[2, k-1]$ we have $d_{i} \geq 1 / t_{1}$ and $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ of size $i$, the $i$-graph $\mathcal{J}^{(i)}\left[V_{A}\right]$ induced on $V_{A}$ is $\left(d_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$-regular with respect to $\mathcal{J}^{(i-1)}\left[V_{A}\right]$.
If $\mathcal{J}$ has density vector $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$, then we will say that $\mathcal{J}$ is $\left(d_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $d_{k-1}, \varepsilon$ )-regular, or ( $\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon$ )-regular, for short. For any $k$-set $X$ of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, we write $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{X}$ for the $k$-partite $(k-1)$-graph $\mathcal{J}_{X<}^{(k-1)}$. Given a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-equitable ( $k-1$ )-complex $\mathcal{J}$, a $k$-set $X$ of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$ and a $k$-graph $G$ on $V(\mathcal{J})$, we say that $G$ is $\left(d, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $X$ if $G$ is $\left(d, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{X}$. We will also say that $G$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $X$ if there exists a $d$ such that $G$ is $\left(d, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $X$. We write $d_{J, G}^{*}(X)$ for the relative density of $G$ with respect to $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{X}$, or simply $d^{*}(X)$ if $\mathcal{J}$ and $G$ are clear from the context, which will always be the case in applications.

We now give the key definition of the Regular Slice Lemma.
Definition 4.12 (Regular slice) Given $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}>0, r, t_{0}, t_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, a k$-graph $G$ and a ( $k-1$ )-complex $\mathcal{J}$ on $V(G)$, we call $\mathcal{J}$ a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular slice for $G$ if $\mathcal{J}$ is $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-equitable and $G$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-regular with respect to all but at most $\varepsilon_{k}\binom{t}{k}$ of the $k$-sets of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, where $t$ is the number of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$.

It will sometimes be convenient not to specify all parameters, we may write that $\mathcal{J}$ is $(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)$-equitable or is a $\left(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}, r\right)$-slice for $G$, if we do not wish to specify $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$.

Given a regular slice $\mathcal{J}$ for a $k$-graph $G$, it will be important to know the relative densities $d^{*}(X)$ for $k$-sets $X$ of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$. To keep track of these we make the following definition.

Definition 4.13 (Weighted reduced $k$-graph) Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular slice for $G$. We define the weighted reduced $(1, k)$-graph of $G$, denoted by $R(G)$, to be the complete weighted $(1, k)$-graph whose vertices are the clusters of $\mathcal{J}$ and where each edge $X$ is given weight $d^{*}(X)$.

Similarly, for $d_{k+1}>0$, we define the $d_{k+1}$-reduced $(1, k)$-graph $R_{d_{k+1}}(G)$ to be the (unweighted) $(1, k)$-graph whose vertices are the clusters of $\mathcal{J}$ and whose edges are all $(1, k)$-sets $X$ of clusters of $\mathcal{J}$ such that $G$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $X$ and $d^{*}(X) \geq d_{k+1}$.

Given a $(1, k)$-graph $G$ on $[n] \cup V$, a vertex $v \in V$ and a color $c \in[n]$, recall that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(c, v)$ is the number of edges of $G$ containing $c$ and $v$ and $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{G}(c, v)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(c, v) /\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ is the relative degree of $\{c, v\}$ in $G$. Given a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-equitable $(k-1)$-complex $\mathcal{J}$ with $V(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq V(G)$, the rooted degree of $(c, v)$ supported by $\mathcal{J}$, written by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}((c, v), \mathcal{J})$, is defined as the number of $\left(k-1\right.$ )-sets $T$ in $\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}$ such that $T \cup\{c, v\}$ forms an edge in $G$. Then the relative degree $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{G}((c, v) ; \mathcal{J})$ of $(c, v)$ in $G$ supported by $\mathcal{J}$ is defined as $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{G}((c, v) ; \mathcal{J})=\operatorname{deg}_{G}((c, v) ; \mathcal{J}) / e\left(\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right)$.

Definition 4.14 (Representative rooted degree) Let $\eta>0, G$ be a $(1, k)$ graph on $[n] \cup V$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}\right)$-regular slice for $G$. We say that $\mathcal{J}$ is $\eta$-rooted-degree-representative iffor any vertex $v \in V$ and any color $c \in[n]$, we have

$$
\left|\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{G}((c, v) ; \mathcal{J})-\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{G}(c, v)\right|<\eta
$$

Definition 4.15 (Regular setup) Let $k, m, r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}>$ 0 . We say that $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ is $a\left(k, m, t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$-regular setup, if
$\left(R S_{1}\right) G$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and $G_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq G$,
(RS2) $\mathcal{J}$ is $a\left(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular slice for $G$ with density vector $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $d_{k}$ ),
(RS3) $\mathcal{P}$ is the ground partition of $\mathcal{J}$ with initial partition of $[n] \cup V$ and $2 t$ clusters, each of size $m$,
(RS4) $R$ is a subgraph of $R_{d_{k+1}}(G)$,
(RS5) for each $X \in E(R), G_{\mathcal{J}}$ is $\left(d_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular with respect to $X$.
We further say that $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ is representative if
(RS6) $\mathcal{J}$ is $\varepsilon_{k+1}$-rooted-degree-representative.

The Regular Slice Lemma of [7] ensures that every sufficiently large $k$ graph has a representative regular slice. Given the existence of a regular slice, it is easy to derive the existence of a regular setup. In [105], it is stated directly
in terms of regular setups. And it is an easy corollary of giving a sufficiently large $(1, k)$-graph.

Lemma 4.1 (Regular Setup Lemma [7]) Let $k, t_{0}$ be positive integers, $\delta, \mu, \alpha$, $\varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{k+1}$ be positive and $r: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow(0,1]$ be functions. Suppose that

$$
k \geq 3, \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \alpha, d_{k+1} \ll \mu .
$$

Then there exists $t_{1}$ and $m_{0}$ such that the following holds for all $n \geq 2 t_{1} m_{0}$. Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and suppose that $G$ has minimum relative ( $1, k-2$ )-degree $\bar{\delta}_{1, k-2}(G) \geq \delta+\mu$. There exists $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and a representative $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon\left(t_{1}\right), \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\left(t_{1}\right)\right.$, d)-regular setup $\left(G_{,}, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R_{d_{k+1}}\right)$ with $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right], m_{0} \leq m$ and $n \leq(1+\alpha) m t$. Moreover, there is a $(1, k)$-graph $I$ on $\mathcal{P}$ of edge density at most $\varepsilon_{k+1}$ such that $R=R_{d_{k+1}} \cup I$ has minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta+\mu / 2$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $\mathcal{P}$-partite $k$-complex and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s} \in \mathcal{P}$ (possibly with repetition), and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $k$-complex on vertices $[s]$. We say that an embedding of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ is partition-respecting, if $i$ is embedded in $X_{i}$ for $i \in[s]$. Note that this notion depends on the labeling of $V(\mathcal{H})$ and the clusters $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$, but these will be clear in the paper. Denote the set of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}\left[\bigcup_{i \in S} X_{i}\right]$. When $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$ are clear, we denote it by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ for short. Recall that $e_{i}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the number of edges of size $i$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

The following lemma states that the number of copies of a given small $k$-graph inside a regular slice is roughly what we expect if the edges inside a regular slice were chosen randomly. There are many different versions in [7, 33, 61, 140] and we use the following version in [33].

Lemma 4.2 (Counting Lemma [33]) Let $k, s, r, m$ be positive integers and let $\beta, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}$ be positive constants such that $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in[2, k-1]$ and such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{k} \ll \beta, d_{k}, 1 / s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $H$ be a $k$-graph on [s] and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $k$-complex generated by the downclosure of $H$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \cdots, d_{k}\right)$, let $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$ regular setup and $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{J} \cup G_{\mathcal{J}}$. Suppose $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$ are such that $i \mapsto X_{i}$ is a homomorphism from $H$ into $R$, then the number of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{H})}\right) m^{s} .
$$

The following tool allows us to extend small subgraphs into a regular slice. It was given by Cooley, Fountoulakis, Kühn and Osthus [33].

Lemma 4.3 (Extension Lemma [33]) Let $k, s, s^{\prime}, r$, $m$ be positive integers, where $s^{\prime}<s$ and let $\beta, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}$ be positive constants such that $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in[2, k-1]$ and such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, \\
\varepsilon_{k} \ll \beta, d_{k}, 1 / s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose $H$ is a $k$-graph on [s]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $k$-complex generated by the downclosure of $H$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be an induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{H}$ on $s^{\prime}$ vertices. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=$ $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$ and $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup and $\mathcal{G}=$ $\mathcal{J} \cup G_{\mathcal{J}}$. Suppose $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$ are such that $i \mapsto X_{i}$ is a homomorphism from $H$ into $R$. Then all but at most $\beta\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}\right|$ labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ extend to

$$
(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{H})-e_{i}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)}\right) m^{s-s^{\prime}}
$$

labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$.
In some certain situation, we look for structures whose edges lie entirely in the ( $k-1$ )-complex $\mathcal{J}$ of a regular setup. We can no longer use the above lemmas whose input is a regular setup rather than an equitable complex. Also, the above lemmas requires $r$ to be large enough with respect to $\varepsilon_{k}$ while the $(k-1)$-th level of $\mathcal{J}$ will only need to be $\left(d_{k-1}, \varepsilon\right)$-regular with respect to the lower level. We can use a Dense Counting Lemma as proved by Kohayakawa, Rödl and Skokan [92]. We state the following version given by Cooley, Fountoulakis, Kühn and Osthus [33].
Lemma 4.4 (Dense Counting Lemma [33]) Let $k, s, m$ be positive integers and $\varepsilon, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, \beta$ be positive constants such that

$$
1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll \beta \leq d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, 1 / s
$$

Suppose $H$ is a $(k-1)$-graph on $[s]$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is the $(k-1)$-complex generated by the down-closure of $H$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(\boldsymbol{d}, \varepsilon)$-regular $(k-1)$ complex with ground partition $\mathcal{P}$, each size of whose vertex class is $m$. If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}$ $\in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{H})} m^{s}
$$

The following lemma gives the number of edges in each layer of a regular slice.
Lemma 4.5 ([7]) Suppose that $1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll \beta \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, 1 / k$ and that $\mathcal{J}$ is a $(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)$-equitable $(k-1)$-complex with density vector $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$ and clusters of size $m$. Let $X$ be a set of at most $k-1$ clusters of $\mathcal{J}$. Then

$$
\left.\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|=(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{|X|} d_{i}^{(|X|} \begin{array}{c}
\mid x \\
i
\end{array}\right)\right) m^{|X|}
$$

Analogously, we have a dense version of Extension Lemma [33].
Lemma 4.6 (Dense Extension Lemma [33]) Let $k, s, s^{\prime}$, m be positive integers, where $s^{\prime}<s$ and $\varepsilon, \beta, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ be positive constants such that $1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll$ $\beta \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, 1 / s$. Let $H$ be a $(k-1)$-graph on $[s]$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the $(k-1)$-complex generated by the down-closure of $H$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be an induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{H}$ on $s^{\prime}$ vertices. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$ and let $\mathcal{J}$ be a $(\boldsymbol{d}, \varepsilon)$-regular $(k-1)$-complex, with ground partition $\mathcal{P}$ with vertex classes of size $m$ each. If $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s} \in \mathcal{P}$, then all but at most $\beta\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}\right|$ labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{J}$ extend to

$$
(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{H})-e_{i}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)}\right) m^{s-s^{\prime}}
$$

labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{J}$.
The restriction of a regular complex to a large subset of its vertex is also a regular complex, with slightly altered constants.

Lemma 4.7 (Regular Restriction Lemma [7]) Let $k, r, m, s$ be integers and $\alpha$, $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$ be positive constants such that $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $\in[2, k]$ and

$$
1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{k} \ll \alpha
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an s-partite $k$-complex on vertex classes $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{s}$, each of size $m$ and which is $\left(\boldsymbol{d}, \varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon, r\right)$-regular where $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$. Choose any $V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq V_{i}$ with $\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq \alpha m$ for $i \in[s]$. Then the induced subcomplex $\mathcal{G}\left[V_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup V_{s}^{\prime}\right]$ is $\left(\boldsymbol{d}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k}}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right.$, $r)$-regular.

The chapter is organised as follows. In Section 4.2, we show the minimum degree condition guarantees a sequentially Hamilton vicinity. In Section 4.3, we show that how a sequentially Hamilton vicinity deduce a sequentially Hamilton framework. In Section 4.4, we show that how a sequentially Hamilton framework deduce a sequentially Hamilton cycle. The sequentially Hamilton cycle in a $(1, k)$-graph is a rainbow Hamilton cycle in a $k$-graph system, as desired.

## 4.2 . Obtaining sequentially Hamilton vicinity with degree condition

In this section, we determine the $(k-2)$-vicinity threshold of $(1, k)$-graphs. Lovász's formulation of the Kruskal-Katona theorem states that, for any $x>0$, if $G$ is a $k$-graph with $e(G) \geq\binom{ x}{k}$ edges, then $e_{j}(G) \geq\binom{ x}{j}$ for every $j \in[k]$ (Theorem 2.14 in [57]). By approximating the binomial coefficients, they [105] deduce the following variant.

Lemma 4.8 (Kruskal-Katona theorem [105]) Let $1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll 1 / k$ and $G$ be $a$ graph on $t$ vertices and edge density $\delta$, then $\partial(G)$ has at least $\left(\delta^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon\right)$ t vertices.

Proposition 4.1 Let $t \in N$ and $\gamma, \delta^{\prime}, \delta>0$ with $1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll \delta$ and $\delta+\delta^{1 / 2}>1+\varepsilon$. Let $R_{i}$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $\{i\} \cup V$ where $|V|=t$ with a subgraph that is generated by a $(k-2)$-vicinity $\mathcal{C}_{i}$. Suppose that each $C_{S} \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$ has edge density at least $\delta+\mu$, then $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ admits an arc.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary set $S=\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right\} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$. By averaging, there is a vertex $v_{k-1}$ with relative vertex degree at least $\delta$ in $C_{S}$. Set $S^{\prime}=\left\{i, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$, we have $S^{\prime} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$. Thus, $C_{S^{\prime}}-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ has edge density at least $\delta+\mu / 2$. By Lemma 4.8, $\partial\left(C_{S^{\prime}}-\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)$ has at least $\left(\delta^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon\right) t$ vertices.

By the choice of $v_{k-1}$ and the pigeonhole principle, $\partial\left(C_{S^{\prime}}-\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)$ and $L\left(\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}\right)$ must share a common vertex $v_{k}$. Since $v_{k} \in \partial\left(C_{S^{\prime}}-\right.$ $\left.\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)$, there is another vertex $v_{k+1}$ such that $\left\{v_{k}, v_{k+1}\right\} \in C_{S^{\prime}}-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\}$ is an arc.

We use the following result of [105].
Lemma 4.9 ([105]) Let $1 / t \ll \gamma \ll \mu$, suppose that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are graphs on a common vertex set of size $t$ such that $L_{1}, L_{2}$ has edge density at least $5 / 9+\mu$. For $i \in[2]$, let $C_{i}$ be a tight component of $L_{i}$ with a maximum number of edges. We have
(i) $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ has an edge in common,
(ii) $C_{i}$ has a switcher for $i \in[2]$,
(iii) $C_{i}$ has a fractional matching of density $1 / 3+\gamma$ for $i \in[2]$,
(iv) $C_{i}$ has edge density at least $4 / 9+\gamma$ for $i \in[2]$.

Proof. [The proof of Theorem 4.4] Let $\alpha, \gamma, \mu>0$ with

$$
1 / t \ll \alpha \ll \delta \ll \mu \ll 5 / 9
$$

Consider a $(1, k)$-graph $R$ on $[t] \cup V$ where $|V|=t$ and each $R_{i}:=R[\{i\} \cup$ $V]$ has $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $5 / 9+\mu$. For every $S \in \partial_{k-2}(R)$, let $C_{S}$ be a tight component of $L(S)$ with a maximum number of edges and $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}(R)\right.$ and $\left.i \in S\right\}$. By the choice of $C_{S},\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$ holds obviously. By Lemma 4.9, $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ satisfies ( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{2}\right),(\mathrm{V} 4),\left(\mathrm{V}_{5}\right)$ and (V6). Every $C_{S} \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$ contains a switcher. By Proposition 4.1, $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ contains an arc since $4 / 9+(4 / 9)^{1-1 / 2}=1+1 / 9$, thus $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i}: i \in[t]\right\}$ satisfies $\left(V_{3}\right)$, as desired.

### 4.3. From sequentially Hamilton vicinity to sequentially Hamilton framework

Our goal is to prove Theorem 4.3 in this part. We need the followings lemmas.

Lemma 4.10 Let $R_{i}$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $\{i\} \cup V$ with a $(k-2)$-vicinity $\mathcal{C}_{i}=$ $\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\}$ for $i \in[t]$. For every $S, S^{\prime} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)$, if the vicinity $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ has an arc for $i \in[t], C_{S}$ and $C_{S^{\prime}}$ intersect, $C_{S}$ is tightly connected and has a switcher, then the vertex spanning subgraph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ of $R_{i}$ generated by $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ is sequentially tightly connected and contains a sequentially closed walk of length $1 \bmod k$.

Lemma 4.11 Let $\gamma, \alpha, \delta>0$ such that $1 / t \ll \alpha, \gamma \ll 1 / k$. Let $R$ be a $(1, k)$ graph on $[t] \cup V$ where $|V|=t$ and each $R_{i}$ has $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta$. Let $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i}: i \in[t]\right\}$ be a family of $(k-2)$-vicinities where $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}\right)\right\}$. If for every $S \in \partial_{k-2}(R), C_{S}$ has a fractional matching of density $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma)$, then the graph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{W_{i}}} \subseteq$ R generated by $\mathcal{C}_{W_{i}}:=\left\{\mathcal{C}_{j}: j \in[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k]\right\}$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable for each $i \in[k]$.

Lemma 4.12 Let $t, k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in[t]$ and $\delta, \alpha, \varepsilon>0$ with $1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha \ll \delta, 1 / k$. Let $R_{i}$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $\{i\} \cup V$ with minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta$ where $|V|=t$. Let $I$ be a subgraph of $R_{i}$ with edge density at most $\varepsilon$, there exists a vertex spanning subgraph $R_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq R_{i}-I$ of $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta-\alpha$.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 4.3] Let $\delta=r h v_{k-2}(k)$ and $\varepsilon, \alpha, \gamma>0$ such that

$$
1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha \ll \alpha^{\prime} \ll \gamma \ll \mu \ll \delta, 1 / k
$$

Moreover, the constants $t, \varepsilon, \alpha, \mu$ are compatible with the constant hierarchy given by Definition 4.11, $t, \varepsilon, 2 \alpha, \mu$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.11 and $t, \varepsilon, \alpha, \delta$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.12.

Given a $(1, k)$-graph $R_{i}$ on $\{i\} \cup V$ with minimum relative ( $1, k-2$ )-degree at least $\delta+2 \mu$ and a set $I$ of at most $\varepsilon\binom{t}{k}$ perturbed edges. We start by selecting a subgraph of $R_{i}$. By Lemma 4.12, we obtain a vertex spanning subgraph $R_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq$ $R_{i}-I$ of $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $(1, k-2)$-degree at least $\delta+\mu$.

By the definition of 4.11, $R^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} R_{i}^{\prime}$ has a family of $(2 \gamma, \delta)$-sequentially Hamilton $(k-2)$-vicinities $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i}: i \in[t]\right\}$ where $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{S}: S \in \partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Each $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ generates a $(1, k)$-graph $G_{i}$. Let $H=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} G_{i}$. Note that $G_{i}$ does not contain the edges of $I$ and $V\left(G_{i}\right)=V\left(R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 4.10 and 4.11, $H$ also satisfies (F1)-(F3). For $k \geq 4$, by repeatedly applying Definition 4.10, we deduce that for all but at most $\alpha t(1,1)$-sets of $V\left(R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in at least ( $1-$ $2 \alpha)^{k-3}\binom{\left|V^{\prime}\right|-1}{k-3} \geq(1-2(k-3) \alpha)\binom{\left|V^{\prime}\right|-1}{k-3}$ many $(1, k-2)$-sets in $\partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $\partial_{k-2}\left(R_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\partial_{k-2}\left(G_{i}\right)$. This implies that for all but at most $\alpha t(1,1)$-sets of $V\left(G_{i}\right)$ has relative degree at least $1-2(k-3) \alpha$ in $\partial_{k-2}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Moreover, every $(1, k-2)$-set in $\partial_{k-2}\left(G_{i}\right)$ has relative degree at least $1-\delta+2 \gamma$ in $G_{i}$, since $G_{i}$ is generated from $(2 \gamma, \delta)$-sequentially Hamilton $(k-2)$-vicinity and Definition 4.9. Thus, we obtain that for each color $i \in[t]$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ points $v \in V$ such that $\{i, v\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $1-\delta+\gamma$, which implies
(F4) for $k \geq 4$. While for $k=3$, by Definition 4.9, we have every $(1,1)$-set has relative degree at least $1-\delta+2 \gamma$ in $G_{i}$, which implies (F4) for $k=3$.

Besides, it is obvious that (V6) implies (F5), we obtain an ( $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$ )-framework, as desired.
We define a directed edge in a $k$-graph to be a $k$-tuple whose vertices correspond to an underlying edge. Note that the directed edges $(a, b, c),(b, c, a)$ corresponds to the same underlying edge $\{a, b, c\}$. Given a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{H}=\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on vertex set $V$, we consider the hypergraph $H$ with vertex set $[n] \cup V$ and edge set $\left\{\{i\} \cup e: e \in E\left(H_{i}\right), i \in[n]\right\}$. Define a directed edge to be a (1,k)-tuple $\left(i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ with $k$ points corresponding to an underlying edge $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ in $H_{i}$. Given a $k$-tuple $\vec{S}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$, abbreviated as $v_{1} \cdots v_{k}$, we use $\vec{S} \subseteq V$ to mean that the corresponding $k$-set of $\vec{S}$ is a subset of $V$. Similarly, given a family $F$ of $k$-sets and a $k$-tuple $\vec{S}$, we use $\vec{S} \in F$ to denote that the corresponding $k$-set of $\vec{S}$ is an element of $F$. Let $\vec{S}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$, $\vec{S} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ is the $(k-1)$-tuple $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ for $i \in[k],\left\{v_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup \vec{S} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ is the $k$-tuple $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$.

Definition 4.16 (Strong connectivity) A hypergraph is called strongly connected, if every two directed edges lie on a sequential walk.

Claim 4.1 If $G$ is a tightly connected graph, then $G$ is strongly connected.
Proof. Let $a b$ be a switcher in $G$, by Definition 4.7, we obtain that $a$ and $b$ share a neighbor $c$. If we can prove that $(a, b)$ and $(b, a)$ are on a walk $W$, then we can obtain that $G$ is strongly connected. Since we consider any two directed edges $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ of $G$, there are walks $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ starting from $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ respectively and ending with $\{a, b\}, W_{1} W W_{2}$ is a tight walk starting from $D_{1}$ and ending with $D_{2}$. While it is easy to see that $a b c a b a$ is a tight walk from $(a, b)$ to $(b, a)$ containing a closed walk of length 3 , as desired.
Next, we want to show that switchers can control the length of sequential walks. Note that a triangle is a closed walk of odd length in a tightly connected graph containing a switcher and we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2 If $G$ is a tightly connected graph containing a switcher, then $G$ has a closed walk of odd length.

Proposition 4.3 Let $R$ be a $(1, k)$-graph with a subgraph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ which is generated by $\mathcal{C}_{i}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.10, for any $(1, k-2)$-tuple $\vec{S} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$ and two directed edges $D_{1}, D_{2} \in C_{\vec{S}}$, there exists a sequential walk $W$ of length o mod $k$ in $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ starting from $\vec{S} D_{1}$ and ending with $\vec{S} D_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i}=\left\{C_{\vec{S}}: \vec{S} \in \partial_{k-2}(R)\right.$ and $\left.i \in \vec{S}\right\}$ and $\vec{S}=\{i\} \cup \vec{S}^{\prime}$ where $\vec{S}^{\prime}$ is a $(k-2)$-tuple. By Proposition 4.2 , there is a closed walk $W_{1}$ of odd length in $C_{\vec{S}}$. By Claim 4.1, there is a tight walk $W_{2}$ starting from $D_{1}$, ending with $D_{2}$
and containing $W_{1}$ as a subwalk. Let $\ell\left(W_{2}\right)=p$. We obtain $W_{3}$ from $W_{2}$ by replacing $W_{1}$ with the concatenation of $p+1 \bmod 2$ copies of $W_{1}$. Hence, $W_{3}$ is a tight walk of even length in $C_{\vec{S}}$ starting from $D_{1}$ and ending with $D_{2}$.

Suppose that $W_{3}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots, a_{2 m}\right)$, we have $D_{1}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $D_{2}=$ $\left(a_{2 m-1}, a_{2 m}\right)$. Note that $\left(i \ldots i, \vec{S}^{\prime} a_{1} a_{2} \vec{S}^{\prime} a_{3} a_{4} \cdots \vec{S}^{\prime} a_{2 m-1} a_{2 m}\right)$ is a sequential walk in $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$. Moreover, it has length o $\bmod k$, as desired.

Proposition 4.4 Let $R$ be a $(1, k)$-graph with a subgraph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ that is generated by $\mathcal{C}_{i}$. Suppose $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.10, we consider directed edges $\vec{S}, \vec{T} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$ and $D_{1} \in C_{\vec{S}}, D_{2} \in C_{\vec{T}}$. If $\vec{S}$ and $\vec{T}$ differ in exactly one coordinate, then there is sequential walk of length o mod $k$ in $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ starting from $\vec{S} D_{1}$ and ending with $\vec{T} D_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\vec{S}=\left(i, v_{1} \ldots v_{i} \ldots v_{k-2}\right)$ and $\vec{T}=\left(i, v_{1} \ldots u_{i} \ldots v_{k-2}\right)$ where $u_{i} \neq v_{i}$. By Definition 4.9, there is a directed edge $D_{3}$ in $C_{\vec{S}} \cap C_{\vec{T}}$, thus $\left(i i, \vec{S} \backslash\{i\} D_{3} \vec{T} \backslash\{i\}\right)$ is a sequential walk in $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$. By Proposition 4.3, there is a sequential walk $W_{1}$ of length o mod $k$ starting from $\vec{S} D_{1}$ and ending with $\vec{S} D_{3}, W_{2}$ of length o mod $k$ starting from $\vec{T} D_{3}$ and ending with $\vec{T} D_{2}$, $\left(C\left(W_{1}\right) C\left(W_{2}\right), I\left(W_{1}\right) I\left(W_{2}\right)\right)$ is the desired walk.

Proposition 4.5 Let $R$ be a $(1, k)$-graph with a subgraph $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ that is generated by $\mathcal{C}_{i \rightarrow}$. Suppose $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.10, we consider directed edges $\vec{S}, \vec{T} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$ and $D_{1} \in C_{\vec{S}}, D_{2} \in C_{\vec{T}}$. There is a sequential walk of length o mod $k$ in $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ starting from $\vec{S} D_{1}$ and ending with $\vec{T} D_{2}$.

Proof. Let $r \in[k-2]$ be the number of indices where $\vec{S}$ and $\vec{T}$ differ. If $r=1$, the result follows from Proposition 4.4. Suppose the result is known for $r-1$. By Definition 4.9, there exists an edge $p q$ in $C_{\vec{S}} \cap C_{\vec{T}}$.

Suppose that the $i$ th coordinate vertex of $\vec{S}$ and $\vec{T}$ are different, which are replaced with $p$, we obtain $\overrightarrow{S^{\prime}}$ and $\vec{T}^{\prime}$. Note that $\overrightarrow{S^{\prime}}, \overrightarrow{T^{\prime}} \in \partial_{k-2}\left(H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$. Choose $D_{1}^{\prime} \in C_{S^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 4.4, there is a sequential walk $W_{1}$ of length $0 \bmod k$ from $\vec{S} D_{1}$ to $\vec{S}^{\prime} D_{1}^{\prime}$, similarly, there is a sequential walk $W_{3}$ of length o $\bmod k$ from $\vec{T}^{\prime} D_{2}^{\prime}$ to $\vec{T} D_{2}$ where $D_{2}^{\prime} \in C_{\vec{T}}$, By induction, there is a sequential walk $W_{2}$ from $\overrightarrow{S^{\prime}} D_{1}^{\prime}$ to $\vec{T}^{\prime} D_{2}^{\prime}$ of length o mod $k$. Thus, $\left(C\left(W_{1}\right) C\left(W_{2}\right) C\left(W_{3}\right)\right.$, $\left.I\left(W_{1}\right) I\left(W_{2}\right) I\left(W_{3}\right)\right)$ is the desired walk.
Proof. [The proof of Lemma 4.10] Consider any two edges $X$ and $Y$ of $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$. Let $X=S \cup A$ and $Y=T \cup B$ where $A \in C_{S}$ and $B \in C_{T}$. The desired walk can be obtained from Proposition 4.5.

Next, we need to show that $H_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ contains a closed walk of length $1 \bmod k$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ admits an arc $\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\}$, by Proposition 4.5 , there is a sequential walk $W$ of length $0 \bmod k$ from $\left\{i, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\}$ to $\left\{i, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. Thus, $\left(C(W) i, I(W) v_{k+1}\right)$ is a closed walk of length $1 \bmod k$.

The following claim can be seen in [105], we use a corollary of the claim in this paper.
Claim 4.2 ([105]) Let $H$ be a $k$-graph and $\boldsymbol{b}: V(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$. Suppose that there exists $m \leq \sum_{v \in V(H)} \boldsymbol{b}(v) / k$ such that for every $v \in V(H)$, the linkgraph $L_{H}(\{v\})$ has $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b}$-fractional matching of size $m$, then $H$ has $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b}$-fractional matching of size $m$.

Corollary 4.1 Let $H$ be ak-graph, $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}: V(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$. Suppose that there exists $m \leq \sum_{v \in V(H)} \boldsymbol{b}(v) / k$ such that for all but at most $\alpha|V(H)|$ isolated vertices $v$, the link graph $L_{H}(\{v\})$ has a b-fractional matching of size $m$, then $H$ has ab-fractional matching of size $m$.

Proof. We first delete the isolated vertices of $H$ and obtain a subgraph $H^{\prime}$ of $H$. Thus, $L_{H^{\prime}}(\{v\})$ has a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching of size $m$. By Claim 4.1, we obtain that $H^{\prime}$ has a b-fractional matching $\mathbf{w}$ of size $m$. Assign a weight $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}(u) \in$ $[0,1]$ to each isolated vertex $u$ of $H$, and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}(v)=\mathbf{b}(v)$ for each non-isolated vertex $v$ of $H$, it is obvious that $H$ has a $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$-fractional matching $\mathbf{w}$ of size $m$ since $\sum_{e \ni u} \mathbf{w}(e)=0$ for any isolated vertex $u$ and $E\left(H^{\prime}\right)=E(H)$.

Proposition 4.6 Let $R$ be $a(1, k)$-graph on $[n / k] \cup V$ where $|V|=n, \gamma>0, \alpha \in$ $[0,1), \boldsymbol{b}:[n / k] \cup V \rightarrow[1-\gamma, 1]$. Suppose that there exists $m \leq \sum_{v \in V(R)} \boldsymbol{b}(v) /(k+$ 1) such that given $c \in[n / k]$, for all but at most $\alpha n$ vertices $v \in V$, the link graph $L_{R}(\{c, v\})$ has $\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{b}$-fractional matching of size $m$, then $R$ has ab-fractional matching of size $m / k$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.1 with $H$ being $L_{R}(\{c\})$ for $c \in[n / k]$, we obtain that $L_{R}(\{c\})$ has ab-fractional matching of size $m$ for $c \in[n / k]$.

Next, we want to construct a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching of size $m / k$ for $R$. Let $\mathbf{w}_{c}: E\left(L_{R}(\{c\})\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\sum_{v \in e, e \in L_{R}(\{c\})} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e) \leq \mathbf{b}(v)$ where $\sum_{e \in L_{R}(\{c\})} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e)=m$. Let $\mathbf{w}(f)=\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e)$ for $e \in L_{R}(\{c\})$ and $f=e \cup\{c\}$, $c \in[n / k]$. Thus, we have $\sum_{f \in E(R)} \mathbf{w}(f)=\sum_{c \in[n / k]} \sum_{e \in L_{R}(\{c\})} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e)=\frac{m}{k}$. It is easy to see that $\sum_{c \in f} \mathbf{w}(f)=\sum_{e \in L_{R}(\{c\})} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e)=\frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{1}{k} \leq \mathbf{b}(c)$. And $\sum_{v \in f} \mathbf{w}(f)=\sum_{c \in[n / k]} \sum_{v \in e, e \in L_{R}(\{c\})} \frac{k}{n} \mathbf{w}_{c}(e) \leq \sum_{c \in[n / k]} \frac{k}{n} \mathbf{b}(v)=\mathbf{b}(v)$ for $v \in V$. As desired.
We use the following results of [105] directly.
Proposition 4.7 ([105]) Let $H$ be a $k$-graph and $m \leq v(H) / k$. Iffor every vertex $v$ of $V(H), L_{H}(\{v\})$ has a fractional matching of size $m$, then $H$ has a fractional matching of size $m$.

Proposition 4.8 Let $d \in[k-2]$ and $\alpha, \gamma, \delta>0, k \geq 3$ such that $\alpha, \gamma \ll 1 / k$. Let $R$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V$ with $\alpha$-perturbed minimum ( $1, k-2$ )-degree $\delta$ where $|V|=t$. If for every $S \in \partial_{d}(R)$, the link graph $L(S)$ contains a fractional matching of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$, then for every edge $S^{\prime} \in \partial_{1}(R)$, the link $\operatorname{graph} L\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ contains a fractional matching of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$.

Proof. We prove it by induction on $d$. Note that the base case when $d=1$ is obvious. Suppose that given $d \in[2, k-2]$, we obtain the conclusion for $d^{\prime}<d$. Let $S \subseteq V(R)$ be a $(1, d-1)$-set in $\partial_{d-1}(R)$. Consider any vertex $s^{\prime}$ in $\partial_{1}\left(L_{R}(S)\right), S \cup\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}$ is an edge in $\partial_{d}(R)$. By assumption, $L_{R}\left(S \cup\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ has a fractional matching of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$, thus, we have $L_{R^{\prime}}\left(\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ contains a fractional matching of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$ for any vertex $s^{\prime}$ of $V$ where $R^{\prime}$ is the subgraph of $L_{R}(S)$ induced on the nonisolated vertices of $L_{R}(S)$.

By Definition 4.10, $S$ has at most $\alpha t$ neighbors in $\overline{\partial_{d}(R)}$. It follows that $v\left(R^{\prime}\right)=\partial_{1}\left(L_{R}(S)\right) \geq(1-\alpha) t$ and $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t \leq v\left(R^{\prime}\right) /(k-d+1)$ since $\alpha, \gamma \ll 1 / k$. By Proposition 4.7 with the condition that $L_{R^{\prime}}\left(\left\{s^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ contains a fractional matching of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$ for any vertex $s^{\prime}$ of $V$, we obtain $R^{\prime}$ (and thus $L_{R}(S)$ ) contains a fractional matching of size $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$. Since $S$ is arbitrary, for any $S \in \partial_{d-1}(R), L_{R}(S)$ contains a fractional matching of size $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$. Hence, we are done by the induction hypothesis.

Proof. [The proof of Lemma 4.11] Suppose that $V(H)=[t / k] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$. By assumption, $C_{S}$ contains a fractional matching of size $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k$ $+1)+\gamma) t$ for every $S \in \partial_{k-2}(H)$ and $C_{S}$ is a subgraph of $L_{H}(S)$. By Proposition 4.8, we have $L_{H}(\{i, v\})$ contains a fractional matching of size $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+$ 1) $+\gamma) t$ for every $\{i, v\} \in \partial_{1}(H)$.

We want to show that $H$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable. Given a vertex weight $\mathbf{b}:[t / k] \cup V^{\prime} \rightarrow[1-\gamma, 1]$, we have to find a b-fractional matching w such that $\sum_{e \ni v} \mathbf{w}(e)=\mathbf{b}(v) / k$ for any vertex $v \in V(H)$. That is, we need to find a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching with size $\sum_{v \in V(H)} \mathbf{b}(v) / k(k+1)$. Given $i \in[t / k]$, there are at most $\alpha$ t isolated ( 1,1 )-tuples by Definition 4.10. For any non-isolated $(1,1)$-tuple $(i, v)$ of $V(H)$, let $\mathbf{x}$ be a fractional matching in $L_{H}(\{i, v\})$ of size at least $(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t$ and let $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}=(1-\gamma) \mathbf{x}$, since $1-\gamma \leq \mathbf{b}(v)$ for any $v \in V(H)$, thus $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbf{b}$-fractional matching in $L_{H}(\{i, v\})$. Moreover $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ has size at least $(1-\gamma)(1+1 / k)(1 /(k+1)+\gamma) t \geq(1+1 / k) t /(k+1) \geq$ $\sum_{v \in V(H)} \mathbf{b}(v) /(k+1)$ since $1 / t \ll \gamma \ll 1 / k$. We can assume that $\mathbf{w}^{\prime}$ has size exactly $\sum_{v \in V(H)} \mathbf{b}(v) /(k+1)$. By Proposition 4.6, we obtain that $H$ has a bfractional matching of size $\sum_{v \in V(H)} \mathbf{b}(v) / k(k+1)$, as desired.
We use the following claim directly, which can be seen in [105].
Claim 4.3 ([105]) Let $t, d, k$ be integers with $d \in[k-1]$ and $\delta, \varepsilon, \alpha>0$ with $1 / t \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha \leq \delta, 1 / k$. Let $R$ be a $k$-graph on $t$ vertices with minimum relative $d$-degree $\overline{\delta_{d}(R)} \geq \delta$. Let I be a subgraph of $R$ of edge density at most $\varepsilon$. Then there exists a vertex spanning subgraph $R^{\prime} \subseteq R-I$ of $\alpha$-perturbed minimum relative $d$-degree at least $\delta-\alpha$.

The ( $1, k$ )-graph $R_{i}$ on $\{i\} \cup V$ with minimum relative ( $1, k-2$ )-degree at
least $\delta$ is equivalent to a $k$-graph $R_{i}^{\prime}$ on $V$ with minimum relative $(k-2)$-degree at least $\delta$. Thus, by Claim 4.3, we obtain Lemma 4.12.

### 4.4. From sequentially Hamilton framework to sequentially Hamilton cycle

In this section, we use the following absorption lemma and almost cover lemma to prove Theorem 4.2. The proof of these two lemmas will be found in Section 8 and 9 . Before we give these two lemmas, we need some definition.

Definition 4.17 (Extensible paths) $\operatorname{Let}\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}\right.$, $r, \boldsymbol{d})$-regular setup, $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and $c, \nu>0$. A ( $k-1$ )-tuple $A$ in $V^{k-1}$ is said to be $(c, \nu)$-extensible rightwards to an ordered edge $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$ in $R$ if there exists a connection $S \subseteq[n] \cup V$ and a target set $T \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)}$ with the following properties.

- $|T| \geq \nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)}\right|$,
- for every $\left(v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in T$, there are at least $\mathrm{cm}^{3 k+1}$ many $(3 k+1)$-tuples $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 k}, w_{1}, \ldots w_{k}, v_{1}\right)$ with $v_{1} \in S \cap Y_{1}, w_{i} \in S \cap Y_{i}$ and $c_{j} \in Y_{0}$ for $i \in[k]$ and $j \in[2 k]$ such that $\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{2 k}, A w_{1} \ldots w_{k} v_{1} \ldots v_{k}\right)$ is a sequential path in $G$.

Given a sequential path $P$ in a $(1, k)$-graph $G$ and an ordered edge $X$ in $R$, we say that $P$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible rightwards to $X$ if the $(k-1)$-tuple corresponding $P^{\prime}$ s last $k-1$ vertices is $(c, \nu)$-extensible rightwards to $X$. We call $X$ as the right extension. We can define leftwards path extensions for $(k-1)$-tuples and for sequential paths in an analogous way (this time corresponding to the first $k-1$ vertices of $P$ ). A connection set of a sequential path is the union of the connection set of the initial $(k-1)$-tuple and the connection set of the end ( $k-1$ )-tuple.

Given that $X=(a, b, c)$ and $Y=(a, c, b)$, there is no guarantee that $H$ contains a walk from $X$ to $Y$. While if $Y$ is a cyclic shift of $X$, that is, $(b, c, a)$ or ( $c, a, b$ ), then a walk from $X$ to $Y$ does exist. More generally, a cyclic shift of a $k$-tuple $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ is any $k$-tuple of the form $\left(v_{i}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$.

An orientation of a ( $1, k$ )-graph $G$ on $[n] \cup V$ is a family of ordered $(1, k)$ tuples $\left\{\vec{e} \in[n] \times V^{k}: e \in E(G)\right\}$. We say that a family $\vec{G}$ of ordered $(1, k)$ tuples is an oriented $(1, k)$-graph if there exists a $(1, k)$-graph $G$ such that $\vec{G}=$ $\left\{\vec{e} \in[n] \times V^{k}: e \in E(G)\right\}$. Given an oriented $(1, k)$-graph $\vec{R}$, we say that $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{R}\right)$ is an oriented ( $k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \mathbf{d}$ )-regular setup if $\vec{R}$ is an orientation of $R$ and $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ is a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \mathbf{d}\right)$-regular setup. Consider a $(1, k)$-graph $G$ with an orientation $\vec{G}$ and vertex set $[n] \cup V$. Given an ordered $k$-tuple $Y$ of distinct vertices in $V$ and $c \in[n]$, we say that $\{c\} \cup Y$ is consistent with $\vec{G}$ if there exists an oriented edge $\{c\} \cup \vec{e} \in \vec{G}$ such that
$\vec{e}$ is a cyclic shift of $Y$. We say that an extensible path is consistent with $\vec{G}$ if its left and right extensions are consistent with $\vec{G}$. Finally, when considering multiple paths, we refer to the union of their connection sets as their joint connection set.

Let $\vec{G}$ be an orientation of a $(1, k)$-graph $G$. A sequential walk $W$ in $G$ is said to be compatible with $\vec{G}$ if each oriented edge of $\vec{G}$ appears at least once in $W$ as a sequence of $k$ consecutive vertices.

Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$, and $S \subseteq V, O \subseteq[n],|O|=$ $|S|=k, P$ be a sequential path. Recall that $(C(P), I(P))$ is used to denote a sequential path where $C(P)$ is the color set of $P$ and $I(P)$ is the point set of $P$. We say that $P$ is $(S, O)$-absorbing in $G$ if there exits a sequential path $P^{\prime}$ in $G$ with the same initial $(k-1)$-tuple and the same terminal $(k-1)$-tuple with $P, I\left(P^{\prime}\right)=I(P) \cup S$ and $C\left(P^{\prime}\right)=C(P) \cup O$. We say that $P$ is $\eta$-absorbing in $G$ if it is $(S, O)$-absorbing in $G$ for every $S$ of size at most $\eta n$ divisible by $k$, any $O$ of size $|S|$, and $S \cap I(P)=\emptyset, O \cap C(P)=\emptyset$.

Lemma 4.13 (Absorption lemma) Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}$, $\eta, \mu, \delta, \alpha, c, \nu, \lambda$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}
\end{aligned}<d_{k+1}, \nu \leq 1 / k, \quad, \quad \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \lambda \ll \nu \ll \mu \ll \delta, 1 / k . \quad \text {. }
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented representative ( $\left.k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Let $G$ be $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ with minimum relative $(1,1)$-degree being at least $\delta+\mu$ where $|V|=n, n \leq(1+\alpha) m t$. Suppose that there exists a sequentially closed walk which is compatible with the orientation $\vec{H}$ of $H$ and
(F1) $H_{i}$ is sequentially tightly connected,
(F4) For every color $i \in[t]$, there are at least $(1-\alpha)$ t points $v \in V$ such that $\{i, v\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $1-\delta+\gamma$.
Then there exists a sequential path $P$ in $G$ such that the following holds.
(1) $P$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible and consistent with $\vec{H}$,
(2) $V(P)$ is $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$ and $V(P) \cap S=\emptyset$, where $S$ denotes the connection set of $P$,
(3) $P$ is $\eta$-absorbing in $G$.

Lemma 4.14 (Almost cover lemma) Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}$, $\alpha, \gamma, c, \nu, \lambda$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
& c \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / t \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1}, \nu, \alpha \leq 1 / k, \\
\alpha \ll \eta \ll \lambda \ll \nu \ll \gamma .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that $G$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and $n \leq(1+\alpha) m t, H$ is $a(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$ and
(F1) $H_{i}$ is sequentially tightly connected,
(F2) $H_{i}$ contains a sequentially closed walk $W$ compatible with $\vec{H}$ whose length is $1 \bmod k$,
(F3) $H_{W_{i}}$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable for $i \in[k]$,
(F5) $L_{H}(\{i\})$ and $L_{H}(\{j\})$ intersect in an edge for each $i, j \in[t]$.
Suppose that $P$ is a sequential path in $G$ such that
(1) $P$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible and consistent with $\vec{H}$,
(2) $V(P)$ is $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$ and $V(P) \cap S=\emptyset$ where $S$ is the connection set of $P$,
then there exists a sequential cycle $C$ of length at least $(1-\eta) n$ which contains $P$ as a subpath. Moreover, the number of uncovered points of $V$ is divisible by $k$ and the number of uncovered colors of $[n]$ has the same size with the number of uncovered points.

Proof. [The proof of Theorem 4.2] Let $\delta=r h f_{k-2}(k), \mu>0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \alpha \ll \eta \ll \lambda \ll \nu \ll \gamma \ll \mu, \\
1 / t_{0} \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \ll \mu .
\end{gathered}
$$

We apply Lemma 4.1 with input $\varepsilon_{k+1}, 1 / t_{0}, r, \varepsilon$ to obtain $t_{1}, m_{0}$. Choose $c \ll$ $1 / t_{1}$ and $1 / n_{0} \ll 1 / t_{1}, 1 / m_{0}, c, 1 / r, \varepsilon$. Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n$ and $2 n \geq n_{0}$ vertices with $\bar{\delta}_{1, k-2}(G) \geq \delta+\mu$. Our goal is to prove that $G$ contains a sequentially Hamilton cycle. By Lemma 4.1, there exists a representative $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$-regular setup $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R_{d_{k+1}}\right)$ with $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$ and $n \leq(1+\alpha) m t$. Moreover, there is a ( $1, k$ )-graph $I$ of edge density at most $\varepsilon_{k+1}$ such that $R=R_{d_{k+1}} \cup I$ has minimum relative $(1, k-2)$ degree at least $\delta+\mu / 2$. By Definition 4.5 and $\delta=r h f_{k-2}(k)$, we obtain that $R$ contains an ( $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$ )-sequentially Hamilton framework $H$ that avoids edges of $I$. Thus, $H \subseteq R_{d_{k+1}}$.

Next, we want to fix an orientation $\vec{H}$ and a compatible walk $W$. Since $H$ is an ( $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$ )-sequentially Hamilton framework, $H_{i}$ is sequentially tightly connected and has a sequentially closed walk of length $1 \bmod k_{,} L_{H}(\{i\})$ and $L_{H}(\{j\})$ intersect in an edge for each $i, j \in[t]$. We obtain a sequentially closed walk of length $1 \bmod k$ visiting all edges of $H$. Define an orientation $\vec{H}=\{\vec{e} \in$ $\left.V(H)^{k}: e \in H\right\}$ by choosing for every edge $e$ of $H$, a $k$-tuple (or subpath) $\vec{e}$ in $W$ which contains the vertices of $e$. Note that $W$ is compatible with $\vec{H}$.

Firstly, we select a sequentially absorbing path $P$. Note that $1 / t_{1} \leq d_{2}, \ldots$, $d_{k}$, since $\mathcal{J}$ is a $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$-equitable complex. Since $H$ is an $(\alpha, \gamma, \delta)$-sequentially Hamilton framework, it follows that there exists a sequential path $P$ in $G$ by Lemma 4.13 such that

1. $P$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible and consistent with $\vec{H}$,
2. $V(P)$ is $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$ and $V(P) \cap T=\emptyset$, where $T$ denotes the connection set of $P$,
3. $P$ is $\eta$-absorbing in $G$.

Next, by Lemma 4.14, there is a sequential cycle $A$ of length at least $(1-\eta) n$ which contains $P$ as a subpath. Moreover, the number of uncovered points $|V \backslash I(A)|$ is divisible by $k$ and the number of uncovered colors is of size $\mid[n] \backslash$ $C(A)|=|V \backslash I(A)|$.

Finally, we absorb the uncovered points and colors into $A$. Note that $\mid V \backslash$ $I(A) \mid \leq \eta n$. Thus, there is a sequential path $P^{\prime}$ with point set $I(P) \cup(V \backslash I(A))$ and color set $C(P) \cup([n] \backslash C(A))$, which has the same endpoints as $P$, as desired.
Embedding sequential paths Given sequential walks $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ with the property that the terminal $(k-1)$-tuple of $W$ is identical to the initial $(k-1)$-tuple of $W^{\prime}$, we may concatenate $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ to form a new sequential walk with color set $C(W)+C\left(W^{\prime}\right)$, which we denote $W+W^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.15 Let $k, r, n_{0}, t, B$ be positive integers and $\psi, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \nu$ be positive constants such that $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in[2, k]$ and such that $1 / n_{0} \ll 1 /$ t,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{n_{0}}, \frac{1}{B} \ll \frac{1}{r}, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k} \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \psi, d_{k+1}, \nu, \frac{1}{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the following holds for all integers $n \geq n_{0}$.
Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n, \mathcal{J}$ be $a\left(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$ regular slice for $G$ on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$ with density vector $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}$ be the induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{J}$ on $[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k] \cup V^{\prime}$ for $i \in[k]$. We call $[t]$ the family of color clusters and $V^{\prime}$ the family of point clusters. Let $R_{W_{i}}:=$ $R\left[[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k] \cup V^{\prime}\right]$ be the induced subgraph of $R:=R_{d_{k+1}}(G)$. Let $R_{W_{i}}$ be sequentially tightly connected for $i \in[k]$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ be a fractional matching of size $\mu_{i}=\sum_{e \in E\left(R_{W_{i}}\right)} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e)$ for $i \in[k]$ and $\mu_{i}(Z)=\sum_{Z \in e, e \in E\left(R_{W_{i}}\right)} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e) \leq 1 / k$ for each cluster $Z$. Also, let $X$ and $Y$ be $(k-1)$-tuples of point clusters, $S_{X}$ and $S_{Y}$ be the subsets of $\mathcal{J}_{X}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{Y}$ of sizes at least $\nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|$ and $\nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y}\right|$ respectively. Finally, let $W$ be a sequential walk from $X$ to $Y$ of length at most $t^{2 k+1}$ in $R_{W_{i}}$ and denote $\ell(W)$ by $p$. For $i \in[k]$, we have
(i) for any $\ell$ divisible by $k$ with $4 k \leq \ell \leq(1-\psi) \mu_{i} k n / t$, there is a sequential path $P$ in $G$ of length $\ell-1+\ell(W)(k+1)$ whose initial $(k-1)$-tuple belongs to $S_{X}$ and whose terminal $(k-1)$-tuple belongs to $S_{Y}$,
(ii) $P$ uses at most $\mu_{i}(Z) n / t+B$ vertices from any point cluster $Z \in V^{\prime}$ and at most $k \mu_{i}(C) n / t+B$ vertices from any color cluster $C \in[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k]$ where $\mu_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{Z^{\prime} \in e, e \in R_{W_{i}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e)$ for any cluster $Z^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\psi / 5$ and $\beta=1 / 200$. When using Lemma 4.3, we require that $\varepsilon \ll c^{2}$ and choose $m_{0}$ to be large enough so that $m \geq \alpha m_{0}$ is acceptable for all these applications. Given $t$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0}=t \cdot \max \left(m_{0}, \frac{200 k^{2}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{8 k^{2}}{\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \frac{10 k(k+1) t^{2 k+1}}{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\mathcal{G}$ for the $(k+1)$-complex obtained from $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}$ by adding all edges of $G$ supported on $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}^{(k)}$ as the '( $k+1$ )th level' of $\mathcal{G}$. So for any edge $X=$ $\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \in R_{W_{i}}, \mathcal{G}\left[\bigcup_{i \in[0, k]} X_{i}\right]$ is a $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, d^{*}(X), \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$-complex with $d^{*}(X) \geq d_{k+1}$.

Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a regular slice for $G$, for any $(1, k)$-set of clusters $X=\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}$, the $(k+1)$-partite $k$-complex $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}\left[\bigcup_{j \in[0, k]} X_{j}\right]$ is $(\mathbf{d}, \varepsilon)$-regular. By adding all $(k+1)$-sets supported on $\hat{\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}}$ as the ' $(k+1)$ th level', we may obtain a $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$-complex, whose vertex clusters are subsets $Y_{j} \subseteq X_{j}$ for $j \in[0, k]$ of size $\left|Y_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|Y_{k}\right|=$ $\alpha m / k$ and $\left|Y_{0}\right|=\alpha m$. $Y_{0}$ can be seen as $\bigcup_{i \in[k]} Y_{0, i}$ where $\left|Y_{0, i}\right|=\alpha m / k$ for $i \in[k]$ and we obtain a $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, r\right)$-regular by Lemma 4.7. We conclude by Lemma 4.5 that for any subset $Y_{i}, i \in[k-1]$ of distinct clusters of $\mathcal{J}$, each of size $\alpha m / k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon m^{k-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following claim plays an important role in Lemma 4.15.
Claim 4.4 Let $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ be an edge of $R$ and choose any $Y_{j} \subseteq X_{j}$ for each $j \in[0, k]$ so that $\left|Y_{0}\right|=k\left|Y_{1}\right|=\cdots=k\left|Y_{k}\right|=\alpha m$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a collection of at least $\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)\right|$ sequential paths in $G$ (not necessarily contained in $\bigcup_{j \in[k]} Y_{j}$ ) each of length at most $3 k$ and whose terminal $(k-1)$-tuples are distinct members of $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$. Then for each $\sigma \in\{0,1\}$ there is a path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a collection $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of $\frac{9}{10} e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)\right)$ sequential paths in $G$, each of length $2 k-1+\sigma$, all of whose initial $(k-1)$-tuples are the same (terminal $(k-1)$-tuple of $P)$. Furthermore, the terminal $(k-1)$-tuples of paths in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ are distinct members of $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)$. If $j \leq k-1$, then the $j$ th vertex $x$ of each path in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ lies in $Y_{j}$, if $j \geq k$, then $x$ is not contained in $P$, and $k$ new colors are not contained in $P$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in\{0,1\}$ be fixed, we take $\mathcal{H}$ to be the ( $k+1$ )-complex generated by the down-closure of a sequential path of length $2 k-1+\sigma$ with vertex set $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k+\sigma}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 k-1+\sigma}\right\}$ and consider its $(k+1)$-partition $V_{0} \cup$ $V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ where $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k+\sigma}\right\} \subseteq V_{0}$ and the $i$ th vertex of the path lies in the vertex class $V_{j}$ with $j=i \bmod k$. We take $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ to be the subcomplex of $\mathcal{H}$ induced by $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1+\sigma}, \ldots, v_{2 k-1+\sigma}\right\}$. Consider the pair $(e, f)$, where $e$ is an ordered $(k-1)$-tuple of $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$ and $f$ is an ordered ( $k-1$ )tuple of $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)$. For any such ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuple $e$, there are at most $\mathrm{km}^{k-2}$ such ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuples $f$ which intersect $e$, thus there are at most $1 / 200$-proportion of the pairs $(e, f)$ are not disjoint. On the other hand, if $e$ and $f$ are disjoint, then the down-closure of the pair $(e, f)$ forms a labelled copy of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}\left[\bigcup_{j \in[0, k]} Y_{j}\right]$, so by Lemma 4.3 with $s=3 k+2 \sigma-1$ and $s^{\prime}=2 k-2$, for all but at most $1 / 200$-proportion of the disjoint pairs $(e, f)$, there are at least $c(\alpha m / k)^{k+2 \sigma+1} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+2 \sigma+1}$ extensions to copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}\left[\bigcup_{j \in[0, k]} Y_{j}\right]$. Each such copy of $\mathcal{H}$ corresponds to a sequential path in $G$ of length $2 k-1+\sigma$ with all vertices in the desired clusters. We conclude that at least 99/100-proportion of all pairs $(e, f)$ of ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuples are disjoint and are linked by at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+2 \sigma+1}$ sequential paths in $G$ of length $2 k-1+\sigma$, where $c_{i} \in V_{0}$ for $i \in[k+\sigma]$ and $v_{\ell} \in V_{j}$ with $j=\ell \bmod k$. We call these pairs extensible.

We call an ordered $(k-1)$-tuple $e \in \mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$ good if at most $1 / 20$ of the ordered edges $f \in \mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)$ do not make an extensible pair with $e$. Then at most $1 / 5$ of the ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuples in $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$ are not good. Thus, there exists a path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ whose terminal $(k-1)$-tuple is a good ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuple $e$. Fix such a $P$ and $e$, and any ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuple $f$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)$ which is disjoint from $P$, suppose that $(e, f)$ is an extensible pair, there are at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+2 \sigma+1}$ sequential paths in $G$ from $e$ to $f$. We claim that at least one of these paths has the further property that if $j \geq k$, then the $j$ th vertex is not contained in $P$ and the $k+\sigma$ new colors are not contained in $P$, we can therefore put it in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Indeed as $f$ is disjoint from $P$, if $\sigma=0$, then it suffices to show that one of these paths has the property that $v_{k} \in Y_{k} \backslash V(P)$ and $c_{i} \in Y_{0} \backslash V(P)$ for $i \in[k]$. This is true because there are only at most $(2 k+1)(\alpha m)^{k}+k(2 k+1)(\alpha m / k)^{k}<\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+1}$ paths which do not have this property by (4.1). If $\sigma=1$, then we need a path whose $k$ th and $(k+1)$ st vertices are not in $V(P)$ and $c_{i} \in Y_{0} \backslash V(P)$ for $i \in[k+1]$, which is possible since $2(2 k+1)(\alpha m / k)^{k+2}+(k+1)(2 k+1)(\alpha m / k)^{k+2}<\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+3}$ by (4.1).

Finally, considering the ordered $(k-1)$-tuple $f \in \mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)$, we have $20|V(P)|(k-1)(\alpha m / k)^{k-2} \leq \varepsilon m^{k-1} \leq e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, Y_{\sigma+k-1}\right)\right)$ by (4.1) and (4.2), at most $1 / 20$ of these ( $k-1$ )-tuples $f$ intersect $P$ and by the choice of $e$, at most $1 / 20$ of these $(k-1)$-tuples $f$ are such that $(e, f)$ is not extensible. This leaves at least $9 / 10$ of $(k-1)$-tuples $f$ remaining, and choose a sequential
path for each such $f$ as described above gives the desired set $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right), Y=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right), X_{k}$ be the cluster following $X$ in $W$ and $Y_{k}$ be the cluster preceding $Y$ in $W$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ is an edge of $R_{1}$ and $\left\{Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right\}$ is an edge of $R_{k}$. By the condition, we have $S_{X}$ constitutes at least a $\nu$ proportion of $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right)$ and $S_{Y}$ constitutes at least a $\nu$ proportion of $\mathcal{G}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$. Given any subsets $X_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{j}$ of size $\alpha m / k$ for $j \in[k]$ and $X_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{0}$ of size $\alpha m$, we say that a ( $k-1$ )-tuple $e \in \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right)$ is well-connected to $\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ via $X_{k}^{\prime}$ and $X_{0}^{\prime}$ if for at least $9 / 10$ of the $(k-1)$-tuples $f$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$, there exist distinct $k$-subsets $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\},\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$ of $X_{0}^{\prime}$ and distinct $u, v \in X_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $\left(c_{1} \cdots c_{k}, e(u) f\right)$ and $\left(f_{1} \cdots f_{k}, e(v) f\right)$ are sequential paths in $G$ of length $2 k-1$.

Claim 4.5 For any subsets $X_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{j}$ of size $\alpha m / k, Z_{j} \subseteq X_{j}$ of size $\alpha m / k$ for $j \in[k]$ and $X_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{0}, Z_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$ of size $\alpha m$ such that each $X_{j}^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $Z_{j}$, the following statements hold.
(1) At least $9 / 10$ of the $(k-1)$-tuples $e$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ are well-connected to $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ via $Z_{k}$ and $Z_{0}$.
(2) At least $9 / 10$ of the $(k-1)$-tuples $e$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ are well-connected to $\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ via $X_{k}^{\prime}$ and $X_{0}^{\prime}$.
(3) At least $9 / 10$ of the $(k-1)$-tuples $e$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are well-connected to $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ via $X_{k}^{\prime}$ and $X_{0}^{\prime}$.
Proof. From the proof of Claim 4.4, we know that all but at most 1/100-proportion of pairs $(e, f)$, where $e, f \in \mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$, are disjoint and are linked by at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+1}$ sequentially tight paths in $G$ of length $2 k-1$. It is obvious that at least 9/10-proportion ( $k-1$ )-tuples of $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ can be extended to at least 9/10-proportion $(k-1)$-tuples of $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ by at least $\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+1}$ sequential paths. To prove (2), we apply Lemma 4.6 with $\mathcal{H}$ being the $(k+1)$-complex generated by the down-closure of a sequential path of length $2 k-1$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ being the subcomplex induced by its initial and terminal $(k-1)$-tuples. We regard $\mathcal{H}$ as a ( $2 k$ )-partite $(k+1)$-complex with $k$ colors in the color cluster and one point in each point cluster. The role of $\mathcal{G}$ in Lemma 4.3 is the ( $2 k$ )-partite subcomplex of $\mathcal{G}$ with vertex classes $X_{0}^{\prime}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}, X_{k}^{\prime}, X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}$, the colors of $\mathcal{H}$ are embedded in $X_{0}^{\prime}$, the first point $\mathcal{H}$ is to be embedded in $Z_{1}$, the second one in $Z_{2}$, and so forth. By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.3 , the proportion of pairs $(e, f)$ for which there is no path as in (2) is at most $1 / 200$, and the remainder of the argument can be followed in (1). (3) can be proved similarly.

We are ready to construct our path. Arbitrarily choose a subset $X_{0}^{(0)} \subseteq X_{0}$, $Z_{0} \subseteq Y_{0}$ of size $\alpha m$ and $X_{j}^{(0)} \subseteq X_{j}, Z_{j} \subseteq Y_{j}$ of size $\alpha m / k$ for $j \in[k]$. By Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.5 , there are at least $\left|S_{X} \| \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(0)}\right)\right| / 2$ pairs $(e, f)$, where $e \in S_{X}$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(0)}\right)$, can be extended to $\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha m / k)^{k+1}$ sequential paths whose remaining point lies in $X_{k}^{(0)}$ and colors
lie in $X_{0}^{(0)}$. Thus, we choose a $(k-1)$-tuple $P^{(0)}$ of $S_{X}$ such that the following holds, there is a set $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ of sequential paths of the form $\left(c_{1} \cdots c_{k}, P^{(0)}(v) f\right)$ for $v \in X_{k}^{(0)}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in X_{0}^{(0)}$ and $f \in \mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(0)}\right)$ for which the terminal $(k-1)$-tuples of paths in $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ are all distinct and constitute at least half of the ordered $(k-1)$-tuples of $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(0)}\right)$. Similarly, we can choose $e \in S_{Y}$ such that for at least half the members $e^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$, there is a sequential path of length $2 k-1$ in $G$ from $e^{\prime}$ to $e$ whose remaining point lies in $Z_{k}$ and colors lie in $Z_{0}$.

We now construct the desired path. Since $H_{W_{i}}$ is sequentially tightly connected, we can obtain $W=e_{1} \cdots e_{s}$ passing all edges of $H_{W_{i}}$. For each $i \in[s]$, let $n_{i}$ be any integer with $0 \leq n_{i} \leq(1-3 \alpha) \mathbf{w}\left(e_{i}\right) m$. Set the initial state to be 'filling the edge $e_{1}$ ', we proceed for $j \geq 1$ as follows,
$\star$ The terminal $(k-1)$-tuple of the path family $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ constitute at least half of the ordered $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)$.

Suppose that our current state is 'filling the edge $e_{i}$ ' for some $i$, if we have previously completed $n_{i}$ steps in this state, then we do nothing and change the state to 'position 1 in traversing the walk $W^{\prime}$. Otherwise, since $\star$ holds for $j-1$, we apply Claim 4.4 with $\sigma=0$ to obtain a path $P \in \mathcal{P}^{(j-1)}$ and a collection $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ of $\frac{9}{10} e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j-1)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j-1)}\right)\right)$ sequential paths of length $2 k-1$, all of whose initial $(k-1)$-tuples are the same (the terminal $(k-1)$-tuple of $P$ ) and whose terminal $(k-1)$-tuples are distinct numbers of $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j-1)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j-1)}\right)$ and are disjoint from $V(P)$, whose colors lie in $X_{0}^{(j-1)} \backslash C(P)$, and whose remaining vertex lies in $X_{k}^{(j-1)} \backslash V(P)$. We define $P^{(j)}$ to be the concatenation $P^{(j-1)}+P$ with color classes $C\left(P^{(j-1)}\right) \cup C(P)$. For $p \in[0, k]$, we generate $X_{p}^{(j)}$ from $X_{p}^{(j-1)}$ by removing the vertices of $P^{(j)}$ in $X_{p}^{(j)}$ and replacing them by vertices from the same cluster which do not lie in $Z$ or in $P^{(j)}$. We will prove that this is possible in Claim 4.6.

Now suppose that our current state is 'position $q$ in traversing the walk $W^{\prime}$. Since $\star$ holds for $j-1$, applying Claim 4.4 with $\sigma=1$ to obtain a path $P \in$ $\mathcal{P}^{(j-1)}$ and a collection $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ of $\frac{9}{10} e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j-1)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j-1)}\right)\right)$ sequential paths of length $2 k$, all of whose initial $(k-1)$-tuples are the same (the terminal ( $k-1$ )-tuple of $P$ ) and whose terminal ( $k-1$ )-tuples are distinct numbers of $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{2}^{(j-1)}, \ldots, X_{k}^{(j-1)}\right)$ and are disjoint from $V(P)$, and whose two remaining vertices lie in $X_{k}^{(j-1)} \backslash V(P)$ and $X_{1}^{(j-1)} \backslash V(P)$ respectively with colors in $X_{0}^{(j-1)} \backslash C(P)$. Exactly as before we define $P^{(j)}$ to be the concatenation $P^{(j-1)}+P$. We generate $X_{p}^{(j)}$ from $X_{p+1}^{(j-1)}$ for $p \in[0, k-1]$ by removing the vertices of $P^{(j-1)}$ in $X_{p+1}^{(j-1)}$ and replacing them by vertices from the same cluster do not lie in $Z$ or $P^{(j)}$. If we have not reached the end of $W$, we choose $X_{k}^{(j)}$ to be a subset of the cluster at position $q+k$ in the sequence of $W$ such that $X_{k}^{(j)}$ is disjoint from $P^{(j)} \cup Z$. In this case, we change our state to 'position $q+1$
in traversing $W^{\prime}$. Alternatively, if we have reached the end of $W$, meaning that the $(k-1)$-tuple of clusters containing $X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}$ is $\left(Y_{1} \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$, then we choose $X_{k}^{(j)}$ to be a subset of $Y_{k}$ which has size $\alpha m / k$ and is disjoint from $P^{(j)} \cup Z$. We may choose a path $P \in \mathcal{P}^{(j-1)}$ such that the terminal $(k-1)$-tuple $f \in G\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)$ of $P$ is well-connected to $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right)$ via $Z_{k}$ and $Z_{0}$. This implies that we may choose a $(k-1)$-tuple $e^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}\right), v, v^{\prime}$ in $Z_{k}$ with new colors $C^{*}, C^{* *}$ in $Z_{0}$ with $\left|C^{*}\right|=\left|C^{* *}\right|=k$ such that ( $\left.C^{*}, f\left(v^{\prime}\right) e^{\prime}\right)$ is a sequential path $Q^{\prime}$ and $\left(C^{* *}, e^{\prime}(v) e\right)$ is a sequential path $Q$. Return $P^{(j)}+Q^{\prime}+Q$ as the output sequential path in $G$. Note that an edge may appear multiple times. When it first appears in the walk, the process executes 'filling the edge'. When it appears later, 'filling the edge' is no longer needed. Again we prove Claim 4.6 that these choices are all possible.

Claim 4.6 The algorithm described above is well-defined(that is, it is always possible to construct the sets $X_{p}^{(j)}$ ), maintains $\star$ and returns a sequential path of length

$$
4 k-1+\left(\sum_{i \in[s]} n_{i}\right) \cdot k+\ell(W) \cdot(k+1) .
$$

Proof.
We prove that $\star$ is maintained, recall that $e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon m^{k-1}$ for each $j$. Fixing some $j$, for either $A_{p}:=X_{p}^{(j-1)}$ or $A_{p}:=X_{p+1}^{(j-1)}$, we obtain sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1}$, each with size $\alpha m$ such that the terminal $(k-1)$-tuples of $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ constitute at least $9 / 10$ of the ordered edges of $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1}\right)$ and for each $i \in[k-1], X_{i}^{(j)}$ is formed from $A_{i}$ by removing at most two vertices and replacing them with the same number of vertices. Since each vertex is in at most $m^{k-2}$ ordered $(k-1)$-tuples of either $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1}\right)$ or $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)$, we conclude that the fraction of ordered ( $k-1$ )-tuples of $\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)$ which are the terminal $(k-1)$-tuples of paths in $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ is at least

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\frac{9}{10} e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k-1}\right)\right)-2(k-1) m^{k-2}}{e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)\right)} \\
& \geq \frac{\frac{9}{10}\left(e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)\right)-2(k-1) m^{k-2}\right)-2(k-1) m^{k-2}}{e\left(\mathcal{G}\left(X_{1}^{(j)}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{(j)}\right)\right)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \geq \frac{9}{10}-\frac{4(k-1) m^{k-2}}{\varepsilon m^{k-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality holds since $m \geq m_{0} \geq 16(k-1) / \varepsilon$. Thus, we obtain $\star$.
To prove that we can always construct the set $X_{p}^{(j)}$, observe that it is enough to check that at termination every cluster still have at least $2 \alpha \mathrm{~m}$ vertices
not in $P^{(j)}$, as then there are at least $\alpha m$ vertices outside $Z$. In each walktraversing step, each path in $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ contains precisely $k+1$ new points and $k+1$ new colors and the total number of walk-traversing steps is precisely $\ell(W)$. Recall that this number is at most $t^{2 k+1}$, we have $(k+1) t^{2 k+1}<\frac{\alpha m}{2 k}$ and $(k+1)^{2} t^{2 k+1}<\frac{\alpha m}{2}$ by (4.1). When we are in the state 'filling the edge $e_{i}$ ', we have $n_{i}$ steps and in each step, each path in $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}$ contains $k$ new points, one from each cluster of $e_{i} \backslash C\left(e_{i}\right)$ and $k$ new colors from $C\left(e_{i}\right)$. So for any color cluster $C$, the number of whose vertices which are added to $P^{(j)}$ is at $\operatorname{most} \sum_{i: C \in e_{i}} k n_{i} \leq \sum_{i: C \in e_{i}}(1-3 \alpha) k \mathbf{w}\left(e_{i}\right) m \leq(1-3 \alpha) m$. And for any point cluster $X$, the number of whose vertices which are added to $P^{(j)}$ is at most $\sum_{i: X \in e_{i}} n_{i} \leq \sum_{i: X \in e_{i}}(1-3 \alpha) \mathbf{w}\left(e_{i}\right) m \leq(1-3 \alpha) m / k$. Together with $e$ and the $k$ vertices of the chosen path in $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$, we conclude that there are at most $(1-2 \alpha) m$ vertices of any color cluster and at most $(1-2 \alpha) m / k$ vertices of any point cluster contained in $P^{(j)}$ at termination.

Finally, the length of the path is equal to the number of points. Recall that $P^{(0)}$ contains $k-1$ points. Next, $k$ points and $k$ colors are added from $P^{(0)}$ to form $P^{(1)}$. Each of the $\sum_{i \in[s]} n_{i}$ edge-filling steps resulted in $k$ new points and $k$ new colors being added to $P^{(j)}$ and each of the $\ell(W)$ walk-traversing steps resulted in $k+1$ new points and $k+1$ new colors being added to $P^{(j)}$. When completing the path, we need $2 k$ points which are not in the final paths $P^{(j)}$ ( $v, v^{\prime}, e$ and $e^{\prime}$ ). Thus, the final path has length

$$
(k-1)+k+\left(\sum_{i \in[s]} n_{i}\right) \cdot k+\ell(W) \cdot(k+1)+2 k .
$$

We obtain the shortest sequential path by never entering the state 'filling an edge', in which case we can obtain a sequential path of length $4 k-1+$ $\ell(W)(k+1)$. On the other hand, by extending $W$ to include all edges of $R_{W_{i}}$, we take $n_{i}$ to be $(1-\psi) \mathbf{w}\left(e_{i}\right) m$ for each $i \in[s]$. We can obtain a sequential path of length at least $(1-\psi) \mu_{i} k n / t$, with using at most $k \mu_{i}(C) n / t+B$ vertices from any color cluster $C$ in $R_{W_{i}}$ and at most $\mu_{i}(X) n / t+B$ where $\mu_{i}(Z)=$ $\sum_{Z \in e, e \in R_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{w}_{i}(e)$ for $i \in[k]$ and $B=B(t, k)$. By choosing $n_{i}$ appropriately, we can obtain tight cycles of certain length between two extremes.

Similarly with Lemma 4.15, we can obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 4.16 Let $k, r, n_{0}, t, B$ be positive integers and $\psi, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \nu$ be positive constants such that $1 / d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in[2, k]$ and such that $1 / n_{0} \ll 1 / t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n_{0}} \ll \frac{1}{t} \ll \frac{1}{B} & \ll \frac{1}{r}, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \psi, d_{k+1}, \nu, \frac{1}{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the following holds for all integers $n \geq n_{0}$.
Let $G$ be a $(1, k)$-graph on $[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n, \mathcal{J}$ be a $\left(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r\right)$-regular slice for $G$ on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$ with density vector $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}$ be the induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{J}$ on $[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k] \cup V^{\prime}$ for $i \in[k]$. Let $R_{W_{i}}:=$ $R\left[[t(i-1) / k+1, t i / k] \cup V^{\prime}\right]$ be the induced subgraph of $R:=R_{d_{k+1}}(G)$. Let $R_{W_{i}}$ be sequentially tightly connected for $i \in[k]$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ be a fractional matching of size $\mu_{i}=\sum_{e \in E\left(R_{W_{i}}\right)} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e)$ for $i \in[k]$ with $\mu_{i}(Z) \leq 1 / k$ for each cluster $Z$ and $i \in[k]$. Also, let $X$ and $Y$ be $(k-1)$-tuples of point clusters, $S_{X}$ and $S_{Y}$ be the subsets of $\mathcal{J}_{X}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{Y}$ of sizes at least $\nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|$ and $\nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y}\right|$ respectively. Finally, let $W$ be a sequential walk traversing all edges of each $H_{W_{i}}$ from $X$ to $Y$ of length at most $t^{2 k+1}$ and denote $\ell(W)$ by $p$. For $i \in[k]$, we have

1. for any $\ell$ divisible by $k$ with $4 k \leq \ell \leq(1-\psi) \sum_{i \in[k]} \mu_{i} k n / t$, there is a sequential path $P$ in $G$ of length $\ell-1+\ell(W)(k+1)$ whose initial $(k-1)$ tuple belongs to $S_{X}$ and whose terminal ( $k-1$ )-tuple belongs to $S_{Y}$,
2. P uses at most $\sum_{i \in[k]} \mu_{i}(Z) n / t+B$ vertices from any point cluster $Z \in V^{\prime}$ and at most $k \mu_{i}(C) n / t+B$ vertices from any color cluster $C \in[t]$ where $\mu_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{Z^{\prime} \in e, e \in R_{W_{i}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e)$ for any cluster $Z^{\prime}$.

Connecting Let us begin with the existence of extensible paths. The following proposition states that most tuples in the complex induced by an edge of the reduced graph of a regular slice also extend to that edge.

Proposition 4.9 Let $k, m, t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \beta, c, \nu$ be such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll c \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta \ll d_{k+1}, \nu .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let d $=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Let $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$ be an ordered edge in $R$, then all but at most $\beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|$ many tuples $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right) \in \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$ are $(c, \nu)$-extensible both left and rightwards to $Y$.

Proof. Let $P=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{3 k-1}\right)$ be a sequential path. Partition its vertex set in $k+1$ clusters $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ such that $X_{0}=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 k}\right\}$, and $X_{i}=\left\{v_{j}: j=i \bmod k\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$. Thus, $P$ is a $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$-graph.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the down-closure of the path $P$, which is a $(k+1)$-partite $(k+1)$ complex. Let $V_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{v_{2 k+1}, \ldots, v_{3 k-1}\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ is a $k$-partite $(k-1)$-complex on $2 k-2$ points. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{J} \cup G_{\mathcal{J}}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}$ be the set of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}\right|=\left(1 \pm \varepsilon_{k+1}\right)\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|^{2}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the error term accounts for the fact that we do not count the intersecting pairs of $(k-1)$-tuples in $\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$. Since $Y$ is an edge of $R$, any function $\phi: V(P) \rightarrow V(R)$ such that $\phi\left(X_{i}\right) \subseteq Y_{i}$ is a homomorphism. By Lemma 4.3 with $\beta^{2}$ playing the role of $\beta$, we deduce that all but at most $\beta^{2}\left|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\prime}\right|$ of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ extend to at least $\mathrm{cm}^{3 k+1}$ labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$, since $c \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$. For each $e \in \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$, let $T(e)$ be the number of tuples $e^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$ such that $e \cup e^{\prime}$ can be extended to at least $\mathrm{cm}^{3 k+1}$ copies of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{G}$, We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e \in \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}} T(e) \geq\left(1-2 \beta^{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|^{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$ be the set of $(k-1)$-tuples $e$ which is not $(c, \nu)$ extensible leftwards to $Y$, that is $T(e)<\nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|$. Combining with (4.5) and $\beta \ll \nu$, we have

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}} T(e) \leq|S| \cdot \nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|+\left(\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|-|S|\right)\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|
$$

furthermore, we have

$$
|S| \leq \frac{2 \beta^{2}}{1-\nu}\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right| \leq \frac{\beta}{2}\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right| .
$$

A symmetric fact shows that all but at most $\frac{\beta}{2}\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|(k-1)$-tuples in $\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$ are not $(c, \nu)$-extensible rightwards to $Y$. Thus, all but at most $\beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}\right|$ pairs in $\mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)}$ are not $(c, \nu)$-extensible both left and rightwards to $Y$.

In Proposition 4.9, we know that most tuples in the complex induced by an edge of the reduced graph of a regular slice also extend to that edge. The following lemma allows us to connect up two extensible paths using either very few or quite a lot of vertices.

Lemma 4.17 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \lambda$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll c \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
& \lambda \ll \nu \ll 1 / k, \\
& \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, H\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$ regular setup where $\mathcal{P}$ has an initial partition of $[n] \cup V$ and $H$ is a $(1, k)$-graph on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$. Suppose that $H_{W_{i}}=H\left[[t(i-1) / k, t i / k] \cup V^{\prime}\right]$ and $H_{W_{i}}$ is sequentially tightly connected for $i \in[k]$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2} \subseteq G$ be $(c, \nu)$-extensible paths such that $P_{1}$ extends rightwards to $X$ and $P_{2}$ extends leftwards to $Y$. Suppose that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are either identical or disjoint, let $W$ be a sequential walk traversing each $H_{W_{i}}$
of length at most $t^{2 k+1}$ that starts from $X$ and ends with $Y$. Let $T$ be the joint connection set of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Suppose that $T$ and $S \subseteq V(G)$ are $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$, $V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right) \subseteq S$ and $T \cap S=\emptyset$, then
(1) there is a sequential path $Q$ of length $4 k-1+(\ell(W)+2)(k+1)$ in $G[V(\mathcal{P})]$ such that $P_{1} Q P_{2}$ is a sequential path, containing no vertices of $S$ and exactly $6 k+2$ vertices of $T$,
(2) consider $\psi$ with $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \psi$, let $\boldsymbol{w}$ be a fractional matching of size $\mu=\sum_{i \in[k]}$ $\sum_{e \in E\left(H_{W_{i}}\right)} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e) \geq 5 / m$ such that $\sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e) \leq(1-2 \lambda) / k$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. There is a sequential path $Q$ of length $\ell(W)+1 \bmod k$ in $G[V(\mathcal{P})]$ such that $P_{1} Q P_{2}$ is a sequential path, containing no vertices of $S$ and exactly $6 k+2$ vertices of $T$. Moreover, there is a set $U \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$ of size at most $\psi$ mt such that $U \cup V(Q)$ has exactly $\left\lceil\sum_{i \in[k]} \sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}(e) m\right\rceil+B$ vertices in each point cluster $Z$.

Proof. Let $X=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$, since $P_{1}$ extends rightwards to $X$, thus there exists a target set $T_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\left(X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)}$ of size $\left|T_{1}\right| \geq \nu\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left(X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)}\right|$ such that for every $\left(v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right) \in T_{1}$, there are at least $\mathrm{cm}^{3 k+1}$ many $(3 k+1)$-tuples $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, v_{1}\right)$ with $c_{i} \in T \cap X_{0}$ for $i \in[2 k], w_{i} \in T \cap X_{i}$ for $i \in[k]$ and $v_{1} \in T \cap X_{1}$ such that $\left(\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 k}\right), P_{1}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)\right)$ is a sequential path. Let $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right), P_{2}$ extends leftwards to $Y$ with target set $T_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)}$.

For each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, let $Z^{\prime} \subseteq Z \backslash(S \cup T)$ of size $m^{\prime}=(1-2 \lambda) m$ since $S$ and $T$ are $\lambda$-sparse. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{Z^{\prime}\right\}_{Z \in \mathcal{P},} G^{\prime}=G\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}=\mathcal{J}\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. By lemma 4.7, $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}:=\left(G^{\prime}, G_{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, H\right)$ is a $\left(k, m^{\prime}, 2 t, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, r, \mathbf{d}\right)$-regular setup.

For (2), let $\mu^{\prime}=\mu /(1-2 \lambda)$ be the scaled size of $\mathbf{w}$ and $B \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 / B \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon$. Let $\ell$ be the largest integer divisible by $k$ with $4 k \leq \ell \leq(1-$ $\psi / 4) \mu^{\prime} m^{\prime} k$. Note that such an $\ell$ exists since $(1-\psi / 4) \mu^{\prime} m^{\prime} \geq 4$, where the latter inequality follows from $\mu \geq 5 / m$. Applying Lemma 4.16 with $G^{\prime}, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}, W, \ell, \mathbf{w}, \mu^{\prime}$ and $T_{1}, T_{2}$, we obtain a sequential path $Q^{\prime}$ whose initial $(k-1)$-tuple belongs to $T_{1}$ and whose terminal ( $k-1$ )-tuple belongs to $T_{2}$. Furthermore, $Q^{\prime}$ has length $\ell-1+\ell(W)(k+1)$ and uses at most $\sum_{i \in[k]} \mu_{i}(Z) m+B$ vertices from any point cluster $Z$ where $\mu_{i}(Z)=\sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{w}_{i}(e)$ and $B \ll \psi \mu m k$. Note that $\ell \geq(1-\psi / 4) \mu k m-k$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{Z \in V^{\prime}} \sum_{i \in[k]} \mu_{i}(Z) m-\sum_{Z \in V^{\prime}}\left|V\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\right| \\
& \leq \mu k m-\left(1-\frac{\psi}{4}\right) \mu k m+k+1-\ell(W)(k+1) \\
& \leq \frac{\psi}{4} \mu k m+k+1 \\
& \leq \frac{\psi}{4}(1-2 \lambda) t m+k+1 \leq \frac{\psi}{2} m t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there is a set $U \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$ of size at most $\psi m t$ such that $U \cup V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ has $\left\lceil\sum_{i \in[k]} \mu_{i}(Z) m\right\rceil+B$ vertices from any point cluster $Z \in V^{\prime}$.

For (1), we can choose a path $Q^{\prime}$ in the same way. The only difference is that in this case $\mathbf{w}$ is a single edge of weight 1 and $\ell=4 k$. Hence, $Q^{\prime}$ is a path of length $4 k-1+\ell(W)(k+1)$.

Finally, we use the above extensible paths to choose $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k+1}, w_{1}, \ldots$, $w_{k}, v_{1}$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k+1}, v_{k}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}$ in $T$ such that for

$$
Q=\left(\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k+1}\right) C\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k+1}\right),\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, v_{1}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(v_{k}^{\prime}, w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

the concatenation $P_{1} Q P_{2}$ is a sequential path and $Q$ is disjoint from $S$, since $V(S) \cap T=\emptyset T \cap V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. It is obvious that the length of $Q$ in (1) is $4 k-1+$ $(\ell(W)+2)(k+1)$ and the length of $Q$ in (2) is $\ell(W)+1 \bmod k$.

Proposition 4.10 Let $W$ be a sequential walk in a $(1, k)$-graph $H$ on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ which starts from $(1, k)$-tuple $X$ and ends with $(1, k)$-tuple $Y$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$. There exists a sequential walk $W^{\prime}$ of length at most $k t^{k+1}$, which starts from $X$ and ends with $Y$. Moreover, $\ell\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\ell(W) \bmod k$.

Proof. Suppose that $\ell(W)=j \bmod k$ for a $j \in[0, k-1]$. Let $W^{\prime}$ be a vertexminimal sequentially tightly walk from $X$ to $Y$ of size $j \bmod k$. Our goal is to show that every $(1, k)$-tuple repeats at most $k$ times in $W^{\prime}$.

Assume that $W^{\prime}$ contains $k+1$ copies of the same $(1, k)$-tuple $Z$ and denote by $n_{j}$ the position in $W^{\prime}$ where the $j$ th repetition $Z$ begins. It is obvious that $n_{j}-n_{1} \not \equiv 0 \bmod k$, otherwise it is contrary to the minimal of $W^{\prime}$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist two indices $j, j^{\prime}$ such that $n_{j}-n_{1} \equiv n_{j^{\prime}}-n_{1}$ $\bmod k$ for $1 \leq j<j^{\prime} \leq k+1$. That is, $n_{j}-n_{j^{\prime}} \equiv 0 \bmod k$. We can also reduce the length of $W^{\prime}$ by deleting the vertices between $n_{j}$ and $n_{j^{\prime}}-1$, a contradiction.

Proposition 4.11 Let $j, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \in[k]$. Let $W$ be a sequentially closed walk that is compatible with respect to an orientation $\vec{H}$ of a $(1, k)$-graph $H$ on $[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be consistent with $\vec{H}$. There exists a sequential walk $W^{\prime}$ of length at most $k t^{k+1}$, which starts from $X_{1}$ and ends with $X_{2}$. Moreover, if $W$ has length $1 \bmod k$, then $W^{\prime}$ has length $j \bmod k$.

Proof. For the first part, by Proposition 4.10, it suffices to show that there is a sequential walk starting from $X_{1}$ and ending with $X_{2}$. Since $X_{1}$ is consistent with $\vec{H}$, there is a sequential path $W_{X_{1}}$ of length at most $k-1$ from $X_{1}$ to $X_{1}^{\prime}$ in $H$ where $X_{1}^{\prime}$ is an oriented edge in $\vec{H}$ which is a cyclic shift of $X_{1}$. Similarly, there is a sequential path $W_{X_{2}}$ of length at most $k-1$ from $X_{2}$ to $X_{2}^{\prime}$ in $H$ where $X_{2}^{\prime}$ is an oriented edge in $\vec{H}$ which is a cyclic shift of $X_{2}$. Since $W$ is compatible with respect to an orientation $\vec{H}$, there is a subwalk $W_{X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime}} \subseteq W$ starting from $X_{1}^{\prime}$ and ending with $X_{2}^{\prime}$, hence $\left(C\left(X_{1}\right) C\left(W_{X_{1}}\right) C\left(W_{X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime}}\right) C\left(W_{X_{2}}\right)\right.$ $\left.C\left(X_{2}\right), I\left(X_{1}\right) I\left(W_{X_{1}}\right) I\left(W_{X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime}}\right) I\left(W_{X_{2}}\right) I\left(X_{2}\right)\right)$ is the desired $W^{\prime}$.

Note that we choose $W_{X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime}}$ such that $W^{\prime}$ has length $j \bmod k$ by extending $W_{X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime}}$ along the same $(1, k)$-tuple with copies of $W$, for an appropriate number of times. This is possible since any number coprime to $k$ is a generator for the finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.18 (Connecting lemma) Let $k, m, r, t \in \mathbb{N}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, p, \nu$, $\lambda, \zeta$ be such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, \zeta, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k} \\
\zeta \ll p \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1}, \nu \leq 1 / k \\
\lambda \ll \nu \ll 1 / k
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, H\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup with $H$ being sequentially tightly connected. Let $\vec{H}$ be an orientation of $H$ with a compatible closed walk $W$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint $(p, \nu)$-extensible paths consistent with $\vec{H}$ and with joint connection set $T$. Assume that
(1) $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \zeta m$,
(2) $V(\mathcal{C})$ is $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$,
(3) $V(\mathcal{C}) \cap T=\emptyset$.

Consider any two elements $P_{1}, P_{2}$ of $\mathcal{C}$, there is a sequential path $P$ in $G$ such that
(a) $P$ connects every path of $\mathcal{C}$,
(b) $P$ starts from $P_{1}$ and ends with $P_{2}$,
(c) $V(P) \backslash V(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$,
(d) $V(P) \backslash V(\mathcal{C})$ intersects in at most $10 k^{2} \mathcal{C}_{Z}+t^{2 t+3 k+2}$ vertices with each cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{Z}$ denotes the number of paths of $\mathcal{C}$ intersecting with $Z$.

Proof. Choose a set $T^{\prime}$ from $V(G)$ by including each vertex of $V(\mathcal{P})$ independently at random with probability $p$. By Lemma 1.1 and the union bound, we obtain that the set $T^{\prime}$ is $(2 p)$-sparse with probability $1-2 t \exp (-\Omega(m))$. By Lemma 1.5, we obtain that the set $T^{\prime}$ is a connection set of a fixed $\left(p^{3 k+2} / 2, \nu\right)$ extensible path in $\mathcal{C}$ with probability $1-2 m^{k-1} \exp (-\Omega(m))$. Since $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \zeta m$, with positive probability, we get a set $T^{\prime}$ satisfying all these properties.

Initiate $S=V(\mathcal{C})$. While there are two paths $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the extension to the right of $Q_{1}$ equals to the left of $Q_{2}$, apply Lemma 4.17 (1) with $\ell(W)=k p^{k+4} / 2$ to obtain a path $Q$ of length $10 k^{2}$ which avoids $S$ and has exactly $6 k+2$ vertices in $T^{\prime}$. Add $V(Q)$ to $S$, replace $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ with $Q$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and delete the $6 k+2$ vertices used by $Q$ in $T^{\prime}$. Denote the set of paths after the procedure by $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

Note that the size of $S$ grows by at most $10 k^{2}|\mathcal{C}| \leq 10 k^{2} \zeta m \leq \lambda m$, we delete at most $(6 k+2)|\mathcal{C}| \leq(6 k+2) \zeta m \leq p^{3 k+2} m / 4$ vertices from $T$ throughout this process since $\zeta \ll p$. This implies that every path of $\mathcal{C}$ remains $\left(p^{3 k+2} / 4, \nu\right)$-extensible with connection set $T^{\prime}$. Hence the conditions of Lemma 4.17 (1) are satisfied in every step and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is well-defined.

Note that when the procedure ends, $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ has size at most $t^{2 t}$. Moreover, the paths of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ inherit the property of being consistent with $\vec{H}$. We continue by connecting up the paths of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ to the desired path $P$ along the orientation. As the paths of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are consistent with $\vec{H}$, the left and right extensions of each path in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ are contained in the walk $W$. Since $W$ is compatible with $\vec{H}$, we can apply Proposition 4.11 to obtain a sequential walk in $H$ of length of at most $t^{2 k+1}$ between the left and right end of each path in $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Use Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.17 (1), we can connect up the paths of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ using at most $t^{2 t+3 k+2}$ further vertices of $V(\mathcal{P})$.

Thus, $P$ contains every path in $\mathcal{C}$ as a subpath and $V(P) \backslash V(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover, note that $V\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right) \backslash \mathcal{C}$ intersects in at most $10 k^{2} \mathcal{C}_{Z}$ vertices for each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{Z}$ denotes the number of paths of $\mathcal{C}$ that intersects with $Z$. It is obvious that $P$ can start and end with any two paths of $\mathcal{C}$.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 4.14] Let $P_{1}=P$. Suppose that $P_{1}$ extends rightwards to $X$ and leftwards to $Y$, there exists a path $P_{2}$ of length $k-1$ which $(c, \nu)$-extends both leftwards and rightwards to $Y$ by Proposition 4.9. Moreover, we can assume that $V\left(P_{1}\right)$ is disjoint from $V\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $T_{2}$, where $T_{2}$ is the connection set of $P_{2}$. By Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.5, we can choose a $\lambda$-sparse vertex set $T^{\prime}$ such that $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are $\left(c^{3 k+2} / 2, \nu\right)$-extensible paths with connection set $T^{\prime}$.

Firstly, let $S_{1}=V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)$, and we choose $\kappa$ such that $\lambda \ll \kappa \ll \gamma$. For each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, we can select a subset $Z^{\prime}$ of $Z$ of size $m^{\prime}=\kappa m$ such that $Z \cap S_{1} \subseteq$ $Z^{\prime}$ since $S_{1}$ is $2 \lambda$-sparse, $1 / m \ll 1 / t \ll \alpha \ll \lambda$ and $2 \lambda \ll \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{Z^{\prime}\right\}_{Z \in \mathcal{P} \text {, }}$ $V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\bigcup_{Z \in \mathcal{P}} Z^{\prime}, G^{\prime}=G\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right], G_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=G_{\mathcal{J}}\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ be the corresponding induced subgraphs and $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}=\mathcal{J}\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ be the induced subcomplex. By Lemma 4.7, $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}=\left(G^{\prime}, G_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, H\right)$ is a $\left(k, m^{\prime}, 2 t, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, r, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$-regular setup.

Now we define a fractional matching that complements the discrepancy of $S_{1}$ in the clusters of $\mathcal{P}$. Consider $\mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{V\left(H_{W_{i}}\right)}$ by setting $\mathbf{b}_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\left|Z^{\prime} \backslash S_{1}\right| /\left|Z^{\prime}\right|$ for every $Z \in V\left(H_{W_{i}}\right)$. Recall that $\left|S_{1} \cap Z\right| \leq 2 \lambda m,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|=\kappa m$ and $\lambda \ll \kappa, \gamma$. It follows that

$$
1-\gamma \leq 1-\frac{2 \lambda}{\kappa} \leq 1-\frac{\left|S_{1}\right|}{\left|Z^{\prime}\right|} \leq \mathbf{b}_{i} \leq 1
$$

Since $H_{W_{i}}$ is $\gamma$-robustly matchable, there is a fractional matching $\mathbf{w}_{i}$ such that $\sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{w}_{i}(e)=\mathbf{b}_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) / k$ for every cluster $Z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of $H_{W_{i}}$ where $i \in[k]$. Consider $\psi>0$ with $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \psi \ll \alpha$, there exists a sequential path $Q_{1}$ in $G^{\prime}$ such that $P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1}$ is a sequential path in $G$ which contains no vertices of $S_{1}$
and $4 k+2$ vertices of $T^{\prime}$ by Lemma 4.17. Moreover, there is a set $U \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$ of size at most $\psi m t$ such that $U \cup V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ has $\left\lceil\sum_{i \in[k]} \sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{w}_{i}(e) \kappa m\right\rceil+B$ vertices in each point cluster $Z$. In other words, $V\left(P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1}\right) \cup U$ has $\kappa m+B$ vertices in each point cluster of $V(H)$ and uses $(\kappa m+B)(1-\alpha) t$ vertices of $V$ since $\left|V\left(L_{H}(i)\right)\right| \geq(1-\alpha) t$ for $i \in[t]$.

We now choose the second path $Q_{2}$. Note that $P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1}$ has right extension $X$ and left extension $Y$, which are consistent with $\vec{H}$. Since $W$ is compatible with $\vec{H}$, we can apply Proposition 4.11 to obtain a sequential walk $W^{\prime}$ in $H$ of length $p \leq t^{2 k+1}$ starting from $X$ and ending with $Y$. Moreover, since $W$ has length coprime to $k$, we can choose $W^{\prime}$ such that

$$
p+1=\left|V(G) \backslash V\left(P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1}\right)\right| \bmod k .
$$

Let $S_{2}=V\left(P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1}\right)$ and $T^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime} \backslash S_{2}$. Define $\mathbf{c}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{V\left(H_{W_{i}}\right)}$ by setting $\mathbf{c}_{i}(Z)=$ $\left(m-\left|Z \cap S_{2}\right|\right) / m$ for every $Z \in V\left(H_{W_{i}}\right)$. Note that $1-\gamma \leq 1-\kappa-\psi \leq \mathbf{c}_{i} \leq 1$. Since $H_{W_{i}}$ is robustly matchable, there is a fractional matching $\mathbf{z}_{i}$ such that $\sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{z}_{i}(e)=\mathbf{c}_{i}(Z) / k$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ of $H_{W_{i}}$. By Lemma 4.17, there exists a sequential path $Q_{2}$ in $G$ of length $p+1 \bmod k$ which contains no vertices of $S_{2}$ and $4 k+2$ vertices of $T^{\prime \prime}$ such that $P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1} Q_{2}$ is a sequential cycle. Besides, there is a set $U^{\prime} \subseteq V(\mathcal{P})$ of size at most $\psi m t$ such that $U^{\prime} \cup$ $V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ has $\left\lceil\sum_{i \in[k]} \sum_{Z \in e, e \in H_{W_{i}}} \mathbf{z}_{i}(e) m\right\rceil+B$ vertices in each point cluster $Z$. Thus, $U^{\prime} \cup V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ uses at least $((1-\kappa) m-B+B)(1-\alpha) t=(1-\kappa) m(1-\alpha) t$ vertices of $V$. Denote the set of uncovered vertices in all clusters of $\mathcal{P}$ by $M$.

Note that $P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1} Q_{2}$ contains all vertices of $V(G)$ but $M, U$ and $U^{\prime}$. We know that $|M| \leq \alpha m t,|U| \leq \psi m t,\left|U^{\prime}\right| \leq \psi m t$. Thus $P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1} Q_{2}$ covers all but at most $\alpha m t+2 \psi m t \leq 3 \alpha n \leq \eta n$ vertices. Since the length of $Q_{2}$ is $p+1 \mathrm{mod}$ $k$, it follows that $\left|V \backslash V\left(P_{2} Q_{1} P_{1} Q_{2}\right)\right|$ is divisible by $k$.
Absorption Next, we will give the proof of Lemma 4.13. The method can be sketched as follows. We define absorbing gadget to absorb a set $T$ of $k$ vertices and a set $O$ of $k$ colors. For each $(T, O)$, the absorbing gadgets are numerous. Based on the above properties, we can choose a small family of vertex-disjoint gadgets such that for every $(T, O)$, there are many absorbing gadgets. Such a family is obtained by probabilistic method. Connecting all these gadgets yields the desired absorbing path.

In this part, we will obtain some results to help us attach vertices to regular complexes. Let $H$ be a ( $1, k$ )-graph with vertex set $[n] \cup V, \mathcal{J}$ be a regular slice with cluster set $\mathcal{P}$. Given a $(0, k-1)$-subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{J}_{X}$ is an $|X|$-partite $|X|$ graph containing all edges of $|X|$-level of $\mathcal{J}$. For any $v \in V, \delta>0$ and any color cluster $C$, let
$N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \delta)=\left\{X \subseteq \mathcal{P}:|X|=k-1\right.$, for any $\left.c \in C,\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right|>\delta\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|\right\}$.
Lemma 4.19 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \mu, \delta$ be such that

$$
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k},
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \mu \ll \delta
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\left(H, H_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a representative $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that $H$ has minimum relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $\delta+\mu$ with vertex set $[n] \cup V$. Then for any $v \in V$ and any color cluster $C$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}\left((v, C), \frac{\mu}{3}\right)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

For any $c \in[n]$ and any point cluster $Z$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}\left((c, Z), \frac{\mu}{3}\right)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

Proof. Let $v \in V$ and $c \in C$ be arbitrary. The minimum relative degree condition implies that $\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{H}(v, c) \geq \delta+\mu$. Since the regular setup is representative and $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \mu$, we have $\left|\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{H}(v, c)-\overline{\operatorname{deg}}_{H}((v, c) ; \mathcal{J})\right|<\varepsilon_{k+1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left((v, c), \mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right) \geq\left(\delta+\mu-\varepsilon_{k+1}\right)\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{2}{3} \mu\right)\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right|
$$

For any $(0, k-1)$-subset $X$ of $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{J}_{X}$ corresponds to the $(k-1)$-edges of $\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}$ which are $X$-partite. Define $d_{X}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{\left(\begin{array}{c}k-1\end{array}\right)}$. By Lemma 4.5, we have $\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|=\left(1 \pm \varepsilon_{k+1}\right) d_{X} m^{k-1}$. By summing over all the $(0, k-1)$-subsets of $\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right| \geq\left(1-\varepsilon_{k+1}\right)\binom{t}{k-1} d_{X} m^{k-1}
$$

Moreover, let $X$ range over all $(0, k-1)$-subsets of $\mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\sum_{X}\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right|=\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right) \geq\left(\delta+\frac{2}{3} \mu\right)\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right|
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\delta+\frac{2}{3} \mu\right)\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{X}\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{X \in N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)}\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|+\sum_{X \notin N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)} \frac{\mu}{3}\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)\right|+\frac{\mu}{3}\left(\binom{t}{k-1}-\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)\right|\right)\right)\left(1+\varepsilon_{k+1}\right) d_{X} m^{k-1} \\
& \leq\left(\left(1-\frac{\mu}{3}\right)\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)\right|+\frac{\mu}{3}\binom{t}{k-1}\right) \frac{1+\varepsilon_{k+1}}{1-\varepsilon_{k+1}} \frac{\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right|}{\binom{t}{k-1}} \\
& \leq\left(\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)\right|+\frac{\mu}{3}\binom{t}{k-1}\right)\left(1+2 \varepsilon_{k+1}\right) \frac{\left|\mathcal{J}^{(k-1)}\right|}{\binom{t}{k-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for any $v \in V$ and $c \in C$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, c), \mu / 3)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

and by definition, the following holds for any $v \in V$ and color cluster $C$,

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu / 3)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1} .
$$

Similarly, we can obtain the following result holds for any $c \in[n]$ and point cluster $Z$,

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), \mu / 3)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1} .
$$

Lemma 4.20 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \mu, \lambda$ be such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / m \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \lambda \ll \mu .
$$

Let d $=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\left(H, H_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, R\right)$ be a $\left(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Let $T \subseteq V(H)$ such that $\left|Z_{1} \cap T\right|=\left|Z_{2} \cap T\right| \leq \lambda m$ for every $Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $Z^{\prime}=Z \backslash T$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}=\mathcal{J}\left[\cup Z^{\prime}\right]$ be the induced subcomplex. For every $v \in V$ and color cluster $C$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), 2 \mu)\right| \leq\left|N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((v, C), \mu)\right|,
$$

and for every $c \in[n]$ and point cluster $Z$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), 2 \mu)\right| \leq\left|N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((c, Z), \mu)\right|,
$$

Proof. For any $v \in V$, color cluster $C$ and a $(0, k-1)$-set $X \in N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), 2 \mu)$. By the definition, we have $\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right|>2 \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|$ for any $c \in C$. Let $X=$ $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right\}$ and $X^{\prime}=\left\{X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the corresponding clusters in the complex $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$. Our goal is to prove that $X^{\prime} \in N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((v, C), \mu)$.

Let $\varepsilon \ll \beta \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}$ and $d_{X}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{(k-1}{ }_{i}^{(1)}$. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{X} m^{k-1}
$$

and

$$
\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right|>2 \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right| \geq 2 \mu(1-\beta) d_{X} m^{k-1} .
$$

Let $m^{\prime}=\left|X_{1} \backslash T\right|$, we have $\left|Z^{\prime}\right|=m^{\prime}$ for each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, note that $m^{\prime} \geq$ $(1-\lambda) m$. By Lemma $4.7, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ is a $\left(\cdot, \cdot, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, r\right)$-regular slice. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
(1+\beta) d_{X}\left(m^{\prime}\right)^{k-1} \geq\left|\mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \geq(1-\beta) d_{X}\left(m^{\prime}\right)^{k-1} \geq(1-\beta)(1-\lambda)^{k-1} d_{X} m^{k-1}
$$

Since $\beta \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \lambda \ll \mu$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| & \geq\left|N_{H}\left((v, c) ; \mathcal{J}_{X}\right)\right|-\left(\left|\mathcal{J}_{X}\right|-\left|\mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \geq(1-\beta)\left(2 \mu-\left(1-(1-\lambda)^{k-1}\right)\right) d_{X} m^{k-1} \\
& \geq \mu(1+\beta) d_{X} m^{k-1} \geq \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain that $X \in N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((v, C), \mu)$.
Similarly, for every $c \in[n]$ and point cluster $Z$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), 2 \mu)\right| \leq\left|N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((c, Z), \mu)\right|
$$

In a $(k+1)$-uniform sequential cycle, the link graph of a point corresponds to a $k$-uniform sequential path. Thus, we will look for sequential paths in the neighbors of vertices inside a regular complex. The following lemma states that by looking at a $\mu$-fraction of $(1, k-1)$-edges of a regular complex, we will find lots of sequential paths.

Lemma 4.21 Let $1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1 / k, \mu$ and $k \geq 3$. Suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is a $(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)$-equitable complex with density vector $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$ and ground partition $\mathcal{P}$, the size of each vertex class is $m$. Let $W=\left\{W_{0}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k-1}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W}$ be with size at least $\mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|$ and $Q$ be a $k$-uniform sequential path $\left(c_{1} \cdots c_{k}, v_{1} \cdots v_{2 k-2}\right)$ with vertex classes $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right\}$ such that $v_{i}, v_{i+k-1}$ $\in X_{i}$ for $i \in[k-1]$ and $c_{j} \in X_{0}$ for $j \in[k]$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the down-closed $k$-complex generated by $Q$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be the copies of $\mathcal{Q}$ whose edges in the $k$-th level are in $S$. We have

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{S}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|
$$

Proof. The proof consists of three steps. Firstly, we use the dense version of the counting and extension lemma to count the number of various hypergraphs in $\mathcal{J}$. Secondly, we remove some $(1, k-1)$-tuples without good properties. Finally, we use an iterative procedure to return sequential paths using good $(1, k-1)$-tuples, as desired.

Firstly, let $\beta$ be such that $\varepsilon \ll \beta \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1 / k, \mu$. Define

$$
d_{a}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-2} d_{i}^{\binom{k-2}{i}}, d_{b}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-2} d_{i}^{\binom{k}{i}-\binom{k-2}{i}} \cdot \prod_{i=k-1}^{k} d_{i}^{\binom{k}{i}}
$$

Let $W^{\prime}=W \backslash\left\{W_{0}, W_{k-1}\right\}$. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} d_{b} m^{k}  \tag{4.6}\\
\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} m^{k-2} \\
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} d_{b}^{k} m^{3 k-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W}$ with $|S| \geq \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|$, with (4.6), we have

$$
|S| \geq(1-\beta) \mu d_{a} d_{b} m^{k} .
$$

Let $B_{W^{\prime}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$ be the $(k-2)$-edges which are not extensible to $(1 \pm \beta) d_{b} m^{2}$ copies of a $k$-edge in $\mathcal{J}_{W}$. By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$
\left|B_{W^{\prime}}\right| \leq \beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Secondly, we delete from $S$ the edges which contain a ( $k-2$ )-set from $B_{W^{\prime}}$ to obtain $S^{\prime}$, the number of edges deleted is at most

$$
\left|B_{W^{\prime}}\right| m^{2} \leq \beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right| m^{2} \leq \beta(1+\beta) d_{a} m^{k} \leq|S| / 3,
$$

since $\beta \ll \mu, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$. Thus, we have $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \geq 2|S| / 3$. Furthermore, if there is any partite $(k-2)$-set $T$ in $\mathcal{J}$ which lies in less than $\mu d_{b} m^{2} /(4 k)$ edges of $S^{\prime}$, then we delete all edges in $S^{\prime}$ containing $T$ to obtain $S^{\prime \prime}$ and iterate this until no further deletions are possible. Note that the number of partite $(k-2)$ sets supported in the clusters of $W \backslash\left\{W_{0}\right\}$ is $(k-1)(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} m^{k-2}$. Thus the number of edges deleted is at most

$$
(k-1)(1+\beta) d_{a} m^{k-2} \frac{\mu d_{b} m^{2}}{4 k} \leq(1+\beta) \frac{\mu d_{a} d_{b} m^{k}}{4} \leq \frac{|S|}{3} .
$$

Thus, $\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq|S| / 3$. Each partite $(k-2)$-set in $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k-1}$ is either contained in zero edges of $S^{\prime \prime}$ or in at least $\mu d_{b} m^{2} /(4 k)$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally, we use the properties of $S^{\prime \prime}$ to construct many labelled partitionrespecting paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$.

Step 1. Select $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-2}\right\} \in \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$ which is contained in at least $\mu d_{b} m^{2} / 4$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$.

Step 2. Choose ( $c_{1}, x_{k-1}$ ) such that $\left\{c_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right\} \in S^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{1}, x_{k-1}$ are not in $T$.

Step 3. For $i \in[k, 2 k-2]$, choose $\left(c_{i-k+2}, x_{i}\right)$ such that $\left\{c_{i-k+2}, x_{i-k+2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{i}\right\} \in S^{\prime \prime}$ and $c_{i-k+2}, x_{i}$ are not used before.

This constructs a sequential path $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$ on $3 k-2$ vertices such that each edge in the $k$-th level is in $S^{\prime \prime}$, thus in $S$. Next, we count the size of $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$.

In Step 1, let $G \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$ be the set of $(k-2)$-sets which are contained in less than $\mu d_{b} m^{2} / 4$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\frac{|S|}{3} \leq\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right|=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}} \operatorname{deg}_{S^{\prime \prime}}(T) \leq|G| \frac{\mu}{4} d_{b} m^{2}+\left(\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|-|G|\right) d_{b} m^{2}(1+\beta),
$$

it gives that $|G| \leq(1-\beta)(1-\mu / 12) d_{a} m^{k-2}$, thus, the choices for $T$ is at least $\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|-|G| \geq \mu / 13 d_{a} m^{k-2}$. In Step 2, we have at least $\mu d_{b} m^{2} / 4$ choices for $\left(c_{1}, x_{k-1}\right)$. In Step 3, $\left\{x_{i-k+2}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right\}$ is a ( $k-2$ )-set contained in $S^{\prime \prime}$, by the construction of $S^{\prime \prime}$, there are at least $\mu d_{b} m^{2} /(4 k)$ choices for $\left(c_{i-k+2}, x_{i}\right)$, furthermore, at least $\mu d_{b} m^{2} /(8 k)$ are different from the previous choices.

Thus, the number of paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$ is at least

$$
\left(\frac{\mu}{13} d_{a} m^{k-2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{4} d_{b} m^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k} d_{b} m^{2}\right)^{k-1} \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1} d_{a} d_{b}^{k} m^{3 k-2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|,
$$

since $\beta \ll \mu, 1 / k$.
Lemma 4.22 Let $1 / m \ll \varepsilon \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1 / k, \mu$ and $k \geq 3$. Suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is a $(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)$-equitable complex with density vector $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$ and ground partition $\mathcal{P}$, the size of each vertex class is $m$. Let $W=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k-1}, W_{k}\right\} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{P}$. Let $S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W}$ be with size at least $\mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|$ and $Q$ be a $k$-uniform tight path $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}, b, v_{k}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2}$ with vertex classes $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}, X_{k}\right\}$ such that $v_{i}$, $v_{i+k-1} \in X_{i}$ for $i \in[k-1]$ and $b \in X_{k}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the down-closed $k$-complex generated by $Q$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be the copies of $\mathcal{Q}$ whose edges in the $k$-th level are in $S$. We have

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{S}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|
$$

Proof. The proof consists of three steps. Firstly, we use the dense version of the counting and extension lemma to count the number of various hypergraphs in $\mathcal{J}$. Secondly, we remove some $k$-tuples without good properties. Finally, we use an iterative procedure to return a tight path using good $k$-tuples, as desired.

Firstly, let $\beta$ be such that $\varepsilon \ll \beta \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, 1 / k, \mu$. Define

$$
d_{a}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{(k-1)}, d_{b}=\prod_{i=2}^{k} d_{i}^{\binom{k-1}{i-1}} .
$$

Let $W^{\prime}=W \backslash\left\{W_{k}\right\}$. By Lemma 4.4 and 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} d_{b} m^{k}  \tag{4.7}\\
\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} m^{k-1} \\
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} d_{b}^{k} m^{2 k-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W}$ with $|S| \geq \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W}\right|$, with (4.7), we have

$$
|S| \geq(1-\beta) \mu d_{a} d_{b} m^{k}
$$

Let $B_{W^{\prime}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$ be the $(k-1)$-edges which are not extensible to $(1 \pm \beta) d_{b} m$ copies of a $k$-edge in $\mathcal{J}_{W}$. By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$
\left|B_{W^{\prime}}\right| \leq \beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|
$$

Secondly, we delete from $S$ the edges which contain a $(k-1)$-set from $B_{W^{\prime}}$ to obtain $S^{\prime}$, the number of edges deleted is at most

$$
\left|B_{W^{\prime}}\right| m \leq \beta\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right| m \leq \beta(1+\beta) d_{a} m^{k} \leq|S| / 3
$$

since $\beta \ll \mu, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$. Thus, we have $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \geq 2|S| / 3$. Furthermore, if there is any partite $(k-1)$-set $T$ in $\mathcal{J}$ which lies in less than $\mu d_{b} m /(4 k)$ edges of $S^{\prime}$, then we delete all edges in $S^{\prime}$ containing $T$ to obtain $S^{\prime \prime}$ and iterate this until no further deletions are possible. Note that the number of partite $(k-1)$-sets supported in the clusters of $W$ is $k(1 \pm \beta) d_{a} m^{k-1}$. Thus the number of edges deleted is at most

$$
k(1+\beta) d_{a} m^{k-1} \frac{\mu d_{b} m}{4 k} \leq(1+\beta) \frac{\mu d_{a} d_{b} m^{k}}{4} \leq \frac{|S|}{3}
$$

Thus, $\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq|S| / 3$. Each partite $(k-1)$-set in $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{k}$ is either contained in zero edges of $S^{\prime \prime}$ or in at least $\mu d_{b} m /(4 k)$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally, we use the properties of $S^{\prime \prime}$ to construct many labelled partitionrespecting paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$.

Step 1. Select $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right\} \in \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$ which is contained in at least $\mu d_{b} m / 4$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$.

Step 2. Choose $b$ such that $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, b\right\} \in S^{\prime \prime}$ and $b \notin T$.
Step 3. For $i \in[k, 2 k-2]$, choose $x_{i}$ such that $\left\{x_{i-k+2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, b, x_{k}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{i}\right\} \in S^{\prime \prime}$ and $x_{i}$ is not used before.

This constructs a sequential path $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$ on $2 k-1$ vertices such that each edge in the $k$-th level is in $S^{\prime \prime}$, thus in $S$. Next, we count the size of $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$.

In Step 1, let $G \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}$, be the set of $(k-1)$-sets which are contained in less than $\mu d_{b} m / 4$ edges in $S^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\frac{|S|}{3} \leq\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right|=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}} \operatorname{deg}_{S^{\prime \prime}}(T) \leq|G| \frac{\mu}{4} d_{b} m+\left(\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|-|G|\right) d_{b} m(1+\beta)
$$

it gives that $|G| \leq(1-\beta)(1-\mu / 12) d_{a} m^{k-1}$, thus, the choices for $T$ is at least $\left|\mathcal{J}_{W^{\prime}}\right|-|G| \geq \mu / 13 d_{a} m^{k-1}$. In Step 2, we have at least $\mu d_{b} m / 4$ choices for $b$. In Step 3, $\left\{x_{i-k+2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}, b, x_{k}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right\}$ is a $(k-1)$-set contained in $S^{\prime \prime}$, by the construction of $S^{\prime \prime}$, there are at least $\mu d_{b} m /(4 k)$ choices for $x_{i}$, furthermore, at least $\mu d_{b} m /(8 k)$ are different from the previous choices.

Thus, the number of paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{S}$ is at least

$$
\left(\frac{\mu}{13} d_{a} m^{k-1}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{4} d_{b} m\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k} d_{b} m\right)^{k-1} \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1} d_{a} d_{b}^{k} m^{2 k-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{J}}\right|
$$

since $\beta \ll \mu, 1 / k$.
Before we build the absorbing path, we need to define absorbing gadget, which is useful to absorb a particular set $T$ of $k$ vertices and a particular set $O$ of $k$ colors.

Definition 4.18 (Absorbing gadget) Let $T=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ be a $k$-set of points of $G$ and $O=\left\{o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}\right\}$ be a $k$-set of colors of $G$. We say that $F \subseteq G$ is an absorbing gadget for $(T, O)$ if $F=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ where $F_{1}=A \cup B \cup E \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(P_{i} \cup\right.$ $\left.Q_{i}\right) \cup C \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{k}$ and $F_{2}=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(P_{i}^{\prime} \cup Q_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup C^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{k}^{\prime}$ such that
(1) $A, B, E, P_{1}, Q_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}, Q_{k}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, P_{1}^{\prime}, Q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{k}^{\prime}, Q_{k}^{\prime}$ are pairwise disjoint and also disjoint from T. $C, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}, C^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{k}^{\prime}$ are pairwise disjoint and also disjoint from $O$,
(2) $C_{i}=\left(c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, k-1}\right)$ and $C_{i}^{\prime}=\left(c_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$,
(3) $A, B, E, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ are $k$-tuples of points of $G, C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are ( $k+1$ )-tuples of colors of $G,(C, A E),\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}\left(c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{k, 1}\right), A^{\prime} B^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)$ are sequential paths,
(4) for $B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$, each of $P_{i}, Q_{i}$ has $k-1$ vertices for $i \in[k]$, both $\left(C_{i}, P_{i} b_{i} Q_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\left\{o_{i}\right\} \cup C_{i} \backslash\left\{c_{i, 1}\right\}, P_{i} b_{i} Q_{i}\right)$ are sequential paths of length $2 k-1$ for $i \in[k]$,
(5) for $B^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{k}^{\prime}\right)$, each of $P_{i}^{\prime}, Q_{i}^{\prime}$ has $k-1$ vertices for $i \in[k]$, both $\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime} Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i}^{\prime} t_{i} Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are sequential paths of length $2 k-1$ for $i \in$ [k].


Figure 4.1 - Before the Absorption $(k=3)$, the paths $(C, A E),\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(C_{1}, P_{1} b_{1} Q_{1}\right),\left(C_{1}^{\prime}, P_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime} Q_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(C_{2}, P_{2} b_{2} Q_{2}\right),\left(C_{2}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime} Q_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(C_{3}, P_{3} b_{3} Q_{3}\right),\left(C_{3}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime} b_{3}^{\prime}\right.$ $\left.Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ are sequential paths, the black dots represent the points while other dots with the same color come from the same color set.

Note that an absorbing gadget $F$ spans $4 k^{2}+2 k$ points together with $2 k^{2}+$ $2 k+2$ colors.

Definition 4.19 (S-gadget) Suppose $F=F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ is an absorbing gadget where $F_{1}=A \cup B \cup E \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(P_{i} \cup Q_{i}\right) \cup C \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{k}$ and $F_{2}=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup E^{\prime} \cup$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(P_{i}^{\prime} \cup Q_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup C^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{k}^{\prime}$ with $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right), B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right), E=$ $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right), C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k+1}\right), C_{i}=\left(c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, k}\right), P_{i}=\left(p_{i, 1}, \ldots, p_{i, k-1}\right)$ and


Figure 4.2 - After the Absorption $(k=3)$, the paths $\left(\left\{o_{1}\right\} \cup C_{1} \backslash\left\{c_{1,1}\right\}, P_{1} b_{1} Q_{1}\right)$, $\left(\left\{o_{2}\right\} \cup C_{2} \backslash\left\{c_{2,1}\right\}, P_{2} b_{2} Q_{2}\right),\left(\left\{o_{3}\right\} \cup C_{3} \backslash\left\{c_{3,1}\right\}, P_{3} b_{3} Q_{3}\right),\left(C_{1}^{\prime}, P_{1}^{\prime} t_{1} Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(C_{2}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime} t_{2} Q_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(C_{3}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime} t_{3} Q_{3}^{\prime}\right),(C, A E),\left(C^{\prime}\left(c_{1,1}, c_{2,1}, c_{3,1}\right), A^{\prime} B^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)$ are sequential paths.
$Q_{i}=\left(q_{i, 1}, \ldots, q_{i, k-1}\right)$ for $i \in[k], A^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{k}^{\prime}\right), B^{\prime}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{k}^{\prime}\right), E^{\prime}=$ $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{k}^{\prime}\right), C^{\prime}=\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{k+1}^{\prime}\right), C_{i}^{\prime}=\left(c_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{i, k}^{\prime}\right), P_{i}^{\prime}=\left(p_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q_{i}^{\prime}=\left(q_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$. Suppose that $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, c, \nu>0$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and suppose that $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ is an oriented $\left(k+1, m, 2 t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. We say that $F$ is an $\mathfrak{S}$-gadget if
(G1) there exists an oriented edge $Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right) \in \vec{H}$ and a color cluster $Z_{0}$, such that $C \cup C^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{i \in[k]} C_{i} \subseteq Y_{0}, \bigcup_{i \in[k]} C_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq Z_{0}, a_{i}, b_{i}, e_{i} \in Z_{i}$ for $i \in[k]$,
(G2) there exists an oriented edge $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right) \in \vec{H}$, such that $a_{i}^{\prime}, b_{i}^{\prime}$, $e_{i}^{\prime} \in Y_{i}$ for $i \in[k]$,
(G3) there exists an ordered $k$-tuple of clusters $W_{i}=\left(W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}\right)$ such that $W_{i} \cup\left\{Y_{0}, Z_{i}\right\}$ is an edge in $H$ and $\left(Y_{0}, W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}, Z_{i}\right)$ is consistent with $\vec{H}, p_{i, j}, q_{i, j} \in W_{i, j}$ for $i \in[k], j \in[k-1]$,
(G4) there exists an ordered $k$-tuple of clusters $W_{i}^{\prime}=\left(W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $W_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{Z_{0}, Y_{i}\right\}$ is an edge in $H$ and $\left(Z_{0}, W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}, Y_{i}\right)$ is consistent with $\vec{H}, p_{i, j}^{\prime}, q_{i, j}^{\prime} \in W_{i, j}^{\prime}$ for $i \in[k], j \in[k-1]$,
(G5) $F \subseteq G_{\mathcal{J}}$,
We will further say that $F$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible if the following also holds :
(G6) The path $(C, A E)$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible both left- and rightwards to the ordered tuple $Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right)$ and the path $\left(C_{i}, P_{i} b_{i} Q_{i}\right)$ is $(c, \nu)$ extensible leftwards to ( $Y_{0}, W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}, Z_{i}$ ) and rightwards to ( $Y_{0}, Z_{i}$, $\left.W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$.
(G7) The path $\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right)$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible both left- and rightwards to the ordered tuple $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$ and the path $\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime} Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible
leftwards to $\left(Z_{0}, W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}, Y_{i}\right)$ and rightwards to $\left(Z_{0}, Y_{i}, W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$.

Definition 4.20 (Reduced gadget) A reduced gadget is a ( $1, k$ )-graph $L$ consisting of $Y \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k} \cup Z_{0} \cup Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k} \cup W_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k}^{\prime}$ where $Y=$ $\left\{Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right\}, W_{i}=\left\{W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}\right\}$ for $i \in[k], W_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$ and $2(k+1)$ edges given by $Y, Y^{\prime}=\left\{Y_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right\}, W_{i} \cup\left\{Y_{0}, Z_{i}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$ and $W_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{Z_{0}, Y_{i}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$. We refer to $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ as the core edges of $L$ and $W_{i}, W_{i}^{\prime}, i \in[k]$ as the peripheral sets of $L$.


Figure 4.3 - Reduced Gadget
Given an oriented ( $1, k$ )-graph $\vec{H}$, a reduced gadget in $\vec{H}$ is a copy of $L$ such that $Y$ coincides with the orientation of that edge in $\vec{H}$ and such that $\left(Z_{0}, W_{i, 1}\right.$, $\ldots, W_{i, k-1}, Y_{i}$ ) is consistent with that edge in $\vec{H}$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented regular setup. Let $c, \nu>0, T=$ $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ be a $k$-set of $V$ and $O=\left\{o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}\right\}$ be a $k$-set of $[n]$, and $L$ be a reduced gadget in $\vec{H}$. We define the following sets :

1. Denote the set of all reduced gadgets in $\vec{H}$ by $\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$,
2. Denote the set of $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets which use precisely the clusters of $L$ as in Definition 4.20 by $\mathfrak{F}_{L}$,
3. Denote the set of $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets in $\mathfrak{F}_{L}$ which are $(c, \nu, V(G))$-extensible by $\mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}$,
4. Denote the set of all $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets by $\mathfrak{F}$,
5. Denote the set of all $(c, \nu, V(G))$-extensible $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets by $\mathfrak{F}^{\text {ext }} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$,
6. For any $k$-subset $T$ of $V$ and any $k$-subset $O$ of $[n]$, let $\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ be the set of absorbing $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets for $(T, O)$,
7. Denote the set of $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets absorbing $(T, O)$ which are $(c, \nu)$-extensible by $\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}}=\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)} \cap \mathfrak{F}^{\text {ext }}$.

Lemma 4.23 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \beta$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k} \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta, d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup and $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\vec{H}}$ be a reduced gadget in $\vec{H}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $(k+$ 1)-complex corresponding to the down-closure of $(1, k)$-graph $F$ as in Definition 4.19. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|=(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}  \tag{4.8}\\
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \backslash \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}\right| \leq \beta\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right), Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right) \in \vec{H}$ be the ordered core edge of $L$ and $W_{i}=\left\{W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}\right\}, W_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$, be the peripheral sets, ordered such that $\left(Y_{0}, W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}, Z_{i}\right)$ and $\left(Z_{0}, W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}, Y_{i}\right)$ are consistent with $\vec{H}$. Note that $|V(F)|=6 k^{2}+4 k+$ 2. The bounds on $\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|$ are given by Lemma 4.2 directly.

Let $Y^{*}=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right)$ and denote the ordered tuples in the $(k-1)$-th level of $\mathcal{J}$ in the clusters $\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k-1}\right\}$ by $\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}$. Let $d_{Y^{*}}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{\left({ }_{i}^{k-1}\right)}$. By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{Y^{*}} m^{k-1}
$$

Let $\beta_{1}$ be such that $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta_{1} \ll \beta, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, 1 / k$. Let $B_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}$ be the set of $(k-1)$-tuples which are not $(c, \nu)$-extensible leftwards to $\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$. By Proposition 4.9 with $\beta_{1}$ playing the role of $\beta$, we deduce that

$$
\left|B_{1}\right| \leq \beta_{1}\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}\right|
$$

Let $\beta_{2}$ be such that $\varepsilon \ll \beta_{2} \ll \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$. Let $\phi: V(F) \rightarrow L$ be the homomorphism and $Z \subseteq V(F)$ corresponds to the first $k-1$ points $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}$ of path $A E$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{-}$be the $(k-1)$-complex generated by removing the $(k+1)$-st and $k$-th layer from the down-closure $\mathcal{F}$ of $F$. Let $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{F}^{-}[Z]$
be the induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{F}^{-}$in $Z$. Note that $\phi\left(a_{i}\right)=Y_{i}$ for $i \in[k-1]$. Thus the labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{J}$ correspond exactly to $\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}$. Define

$$
d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}}=\prod_{i=2}^{k-1} d_{i}^{e_{i}\left(\mathcal{F}^{-}\right)-e_{i}(\mathcal{Z})}
$$

Let $B_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}$ be the set of ( $k-1$ )-tuples which are not extensible to ( $1 \pm$ $\left.\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}} m^{6 k^{2}+3 k+3}$ labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{F}^{-}$in $\mathcal{J}$. By Lemma 4.6 with $\beta_{2}$ playing the role of $\beta$, we have

$$
\left|B_{2}\right| \leq \beta_{2}\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}\right| .
$$

By (4.8), we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|=(1 \pm \beta) d_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}(\mathcal{F})} d_{k}^{e_{k}(\mathcal{F})} d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}} d_{Y^{*}} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{J} \cup G_{\mathcal{J}}$. Say that a labelled partition-respecting copy of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ is nice if the vertices of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right\}$ are not in $B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. For every $Z \in \mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}$, let $N^{*}(Z)$ be the number of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ which extend $Z$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Z \in B_{1} \cup B_{2}} N^{*}(Z) & =\sum_{Z \in B_{1} \backslash B_{2}} N^{*}(Z)+\sum_{Z \in B_{2}} N^{*}(Z) \\
& \leq\left[\left|B_{1}\right|\left(1+\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}}+\left|B_{2}\right|\right] m^{6 k^{2}+3 k+3} \\
& \leq\left[\beta_{1}\left(1+\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}}+\beta_{2}\right]\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y^{\prime}}\right| m^{6 k^{2}+3 k+3} \\
& \leq 3 \beta_{1} d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}}\left|\mathcal{J}_{Y^{*}}\right| m^{6 k^{2}+3 k+3} \\
& \leq 3 \beta_{1}(1+\beta) d_{\mathcal{F}-\backslash \mathcal{Z}} d_{Y^{*}} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} \\
& \leq \frac{3 \beta_{1}(1+\beta)}{(1-\beta) d_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}(\mathcal{F})} d_{k}^{e_{k}(\mathcal{F})}\left|\mathcal{F}_{L}\right|} \\
& \leq \frac{\beta}{4 k+4}\left|\mathcal{F}_{L}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0 \leq N^{*}(Z) \leq m^{6 k^{2}+3 k+3}$ and $\beta_{1} \ll \beta, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, 1 / k$ and $\beta_{2} \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$, $\varepsilon_{k+1}$.

The same analysis shows that we define nice tuples for any $(k-1)$-set of vertices of $F$, the number of copies of $F$ which are not nice with respect to that $(k-1)$-set is at most $\beta\left|\mathcal{F}_{L}\right| /(4 k+4)$. Note that $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{L}$ is extensible if and only if paths $(C, A E),\left(C^{\prime}, A^{\prime} E^{\prime}\right),\left(C_{i}, P_{i} b_{i} Q_{i}\right)$ and $\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, P_{i}^{\prime} b_{i}^{\prime} Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$ contained in $F$ are extensible with certain edges of the reduced graph. This means that $4(k+1)$ many $(k-1)$-tuples are extensible with certain edges of the reduced graph. Thus, $F \in \mathfrak{F}_{L} \backslash \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}$ implies that $F$ is not nice with one of $4 k+4$ many ( $k-1$ )-sets. Thus,

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \backslash \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}\right| \leq(4 k+4) \frac{\beta}{4 k+4}\left|\mathcal{F}_{L}\right|=\beta\left|\mathcal{F}_{L}\right| .
$$

Lemma 4.24 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \beta, \mu$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta, d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \nu, \mu, \\
\alpha & \ll \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that for each color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha)$ t point clusters $Z$ such that $\{C, Z\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $\mu$ in $H$, then

$$
\frac{\mu^{2 k+2}}{8}\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1) \leq\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right| \leq\binom{ t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1)
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $(k+1)$-complex corresponding to the down-closure of the $(1, k)$-graph $F$. For each reduced gadget $L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$ in $\vec{H}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}^{e x t}\right|=(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}^{e x t}\right|=(1 \pm \beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right|
$$

Proof. The lower bound of $\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$ can be done as follows. Let $Y=\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$, $Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{0}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right) \in \vec{H}$ be the ordered core edge of $L$ and $W_{i}=\left\{W_{i, 1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.W_{i, k-1}\right\}, W_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$, be the peripheral sets, ordered such that $\left(Z_{0}, W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}, Y_{i}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{0}, W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}, Z_{i}\right)$ are consistent with $\vec{H}$. We first choose $Y_{0}, Z_{0}$ arbitrarily, there are at least $t(t-1)$ choices. For $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$, there are at least $\mu\binom{t}{k}-\alpha t\binom{t}{k-1} \geq \mu\binom{t}{k} / 2$ choices. Similarly, for $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right)$, there are at least $\mu\binom{t}{k} / 2$ choices. Furthermore, $W_{i}^{\prime}$ and $W_{i}$ for $i \in[k]$ can be chosen in at least $\mu\binom{t}{k-1}$ ways for $i \in[k]$, but we need to delete the possible choices of intersecting reduced gadgets, whose number is at most $t(t-1)\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{2} t^{2 k^{2}-2} \leq\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{2} t^{2 k^{2}}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right| \geq \frac{\mu^{2 k+2}}{4}\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1)-\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{2} t^{2 k^{2}} \\
\geq \frac{\mu^{2 k+2}}{8}\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1),
\end{gathered}
$$

since $1 / t \ll \mu, 1 / k$.
While the upper bound is obvious.
We choose $\beta^{\prime}$ such that $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta^{\prime} \ll \beta, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, 1 / k$. By Lemma 4.23 (with $\beta^{\prime}$ in place of $\beta$ ), we obtain that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} \leq\left(1-\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} \\
\leq\left(1-\beta^{\prime}\right)\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \leq\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}\right|, \\
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}\right| \leq\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \leq\left(1+\beta^{\prime}\right)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} \\
\leq(1+\beta)\left(\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}\right) m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}=\bigcup_{L \in \mathfrak{R}_{\vec{H}}} \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\mathrm{ext}},
$$

and the union is disjoint, the bounds of $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\text {ext }}\right|$ are easy to see.
Lemma 4.25 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \theta, \mu$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \nu \ll \theta \ll \mu \ll 1 / k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that for each color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha)$ t point clusters $Z$ such that $\{C, Z\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $\mu$ in $H$. For any point $v$ of $G$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu) \cap N_{H}(Z, C)\right| \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1}$.Andfor every $c \in[n]$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mid N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), \mu) \cap$ $N_{H}(C, Z) \left\lvert\, \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1}\right.$. Let $T \subseteq V$ be a $k$-set and $O \subseteq[n]$ be a $k$-set, we have

$$
\mid \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}^{\mathrm{xt}}|\geq \theta| \mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}} \mid .}
$$

Given a $k$-subset $T=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ of $V$ and a $k$-subset $O=\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}\right)$ of $[n]$, the family $\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$ and $\mu>0$, we define $\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H},(T, O), \mu}$ of reduced $((T, O), \mu)$-absorbers as the set of $(T, O)$-absorbers $Y \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k} \cup Z_{0} \cup Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k} \cup W_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k}^{\prime}$ where $W_{i} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(c_{i}, Z_{i}\right), \mu\right)$ and $W_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(t_{i}, Z_{0}\right), \mu\right)$ for $i \in[k]$.

Claim 4.7 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \theta, \mu$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \nu \ll \theta \ll \mu \ll 1 / k, \\
\alpha & \ll \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that for each color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z$ such that $\{C, Z\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $\mu$ in $H$. For any point $v$ of $G$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu) \cap N_{H}(Z, C)\right| \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1}$. And for every $c \in[n]$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mid N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), \mu) \cap$ $N_{H}(C, Z) \left\lvert\, \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1}\right.$. Let $T \subseteq V$ be a $k$-set and $O \subseteq[n]$ be a $k$-set, we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H},(T, O), \mu}\right| \geq \theta\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right| .
$$

Proof. Let $T=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ and $O=\left(o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}\right)$. Since $H$ has minimum relative (1,1)-degree at least $\mu$, there are at least $\mu t\binom{t}{k}-\operatorname{tat}\binom{t}{k-1} \geq \mu t\binom{t}{k} / 2$ choices for $Y$. Besides, there are at least $t-1$ choices for $Z_{0}$. For $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}\right)$, there are at least $\mu\binom{t}{k} / 2-k^{2}\binom{t}{k-1} \geq \mu\binom{t}{k} / 3$ choices. Each $W_{i}$ is chosen from $N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(o_{i}, Z_{i}\right), \mu\right) \cap N_{H}\left(Y_{0}, Z_{i}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$, thus, $W_{i}$ can be chosen in at least $\mu\binom{t}{k-1}-(k-1)((i-1)(k-1)+2 k)\binom{t}{k-2} \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1} / 2$ ways for $i \in[k]$, since there are at most $(k-1)((i-1)(k-1)+2 k)\binom{t}{k-2}$ choices for $W_{i}$ which intersects with $Y \backslash\left\{Y_{0}\right\}, Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{i-1}$.

And each $W_{i}^{\prime}$ is chosen from $N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(t_{i}, Z_{0}\right), \mu\right) \cap N_{H}\left(Y_{i}, Z_{0}\right)$ for $i \in[k]$. Similarly, there are at least $(\mu / 2)\binom{t}{k-1}$ possible choices for each $W_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \in[k]$. Thus, the number of reduced $((T, O), \mu)$-absorbers is at least

$$
\frac{\mu t}{2}\binom{t}{k}(t-1) \frac{\mu}{3}\binom{t}{k}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\binom{t}{k-1}\right)^{2 k} \geq \theta\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1) \geq \theta\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right|
$$

since $\theta \ll \mu$.
Claim 4.8 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \theta, \mu$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, c, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
c & \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1} \leq 1 / k, \\
\varepsilon_{k+1} & \ll \nu \ll \theta \ll \mu \ll 1 / k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Let $T \subseteq V$ and $O \subseteq[n]$ be $k$-sets and let $L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$ be a reduced $((T, O), \mu)$-gadget in $\vec{H}$. We have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}\right| \geq \theta\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| .
$$

Proof. Let $T=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$ and $O=\left\{o_{1}, \ldots, o_{k}\right\}, L=Y \cup W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k} \cup$ $Z_{0} \cup Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k} \cup W_{1}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup W_{k}^{\prime}$ where $W_{i}=\left\{W_{i, 1}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}\right\}$ and $W_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\left\{W_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{i, k-1}^{\prime}\right\}$. Choose $P_{i}, Q_{i}$ in $W_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}, Q_{i}^{\prime}$ in $W_{i}^{\prime}$, let $\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{i}, W_{i}}$ be the set of $k$-uniform tight paths ( $b_{i}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2}$ ) such that $b_{i} \in Z_{i}, v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+k-1} \in W_{i, \ell}$ for $i, j \in[k], \ell \in[k-1]$ and its down-closure is in $\mathcal{J}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{o_{i},\left(Z_{i}, W_{i}\right)} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{Z_{i}, W_{i}}$ be the set of those paths whose edges in the $k$-th level are in $N_{G}\left(o_{i}\right)$. Note that $F$ is the absorbing gadget for $(T, O)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the down-closure of $F$. Since $L$ is a reduced $(T, \mu)$-gadget, we have $W_{i} \in N_{H}\left(Y_{0}, Z_{i}\right) \cap N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(o_{i}, Z_{i}\right), \mu\right)$, thus $\left|N_{G}\left(\left(o_{i}, Z_{i}\right), \mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}\right)\right| \geq \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}\right|$. By Lemma 4.22 with $S$ being the set of $k$ sets where each $k$-set consists of $k-1$ points from $N_{G}\left(\left(o_{i}, Z_{i}\right), \mathcal{J}_{W_{i}}\right)$ and one point from $Z_{i}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{o_{i},\left(Z_{i}, W_{i}\right)}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{i}, W_{i}}\right| .
$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}}$ be the set of $k$-uniform sequential paths $\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{k}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $c_{j}^{\prime} \in Z_{0}, v_{\ell}^{\prime}, v_{\ell+k-1}^{\prime} \in W_{i, \ell}^{\prime}$ for $i, j \in[k], \ell \in[k-1]$ and its downclosure is in $\mathcal{J}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{t_{i},\left(Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}}$ be the set of those paths whose edges in the $k$-th level are in $N_{G}\left(t_{i}\right)$. Since $L$ is a reduced $((T, O), \mu)$-gadget, we have $W_{i}^{\prime} \in N_{H}\left(Z_{0}, Y_{i}\right) \cap N_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\left(t_{i}, Z_{0}\right), \mu\right)$, thus $\left|N_{G}\left(\left(t_{i}, Z_{0}\right), \mathcal{J}_{W_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\right| \geq \mu\left|\mathcal{J}_{W_{i}^{\prime}}\right|$. By Lemma 4.21 with $S$ being the set of $k$-sets where each $k$-set consists $k-1$ points from $N_{G}\left(\left(t_{i}, Z_{0}\right), \mathcal{J}_{W_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$ and one color from $Z_{0}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{Q}_{t_{i},\left(Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Let $\phi: V(F) \rightarrow V(L)$ be the homomorphism which labels the copies of $F$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{L}$. Set $Z=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(V\left(P_{i}\right) \cup V\left(Q_{i}\right)\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left(C_{i}^{\prime} \cup V\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup V\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus, $|Z|=5 k^{2}-3 k$. Let $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{F}[Z]$ be the induced subcomplex of $\mathcal{F}$ in $Z$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}$ consists of $k$ vertex-disjoint $k$-uniform tight paths of length $2 k-1$ where the $i$-th path lies in $\mathcal{Q}_{o_{i},\left(Z_{i}, W_{i}\right)}$ and $k$ vertex-disjoint $k$-uniform sequential paths of length $2 k-2$ where the $i$-th path lies in $\mathcal{Q}_{t_{i},\left(Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}\right)}$. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{J} \cup G_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the set of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\beta_{1}$ be such that $\varepsilon \ll \beta_{1} \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \varepsilon_{k+1}$ and define $d_{\mathcal{Z}}=\prod_{i=2}^{k} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{Z})}$. By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{i}, W_{i}}\right|\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}}\right|=\left(1 \pm \beta_{1}\right) d_{\mathcal{Z}} m^{5 k^{2}-3 k} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}_{(T, O), \mathcal{G}} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{Z}$ absorbing $(T, O)$, thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{Z}_{(T, O), \mathcal{G}}\right| & \geq \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{o_{i},\left(Z_{i}, W_{i}\right)}\right|\left|\mathcal{Q}_{t_{i},\left(Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\right| \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{8 k}\right)^{k+1}\right)^{2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{i}, W_{i}}\right|\left|\mathcal{Q}_{Z_{0}, W_{i}^{\prime}}\right| \\
& \geq 3 \theta\left|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\theta \ll \mu, 1 / k$.

Let $\beta_{2}$ be such that $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta_{2} \ll \theta, d_{k+1}, 1 / k$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}}=\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})-e_{i}(\mathcal{Z})}$. Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the set of labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{Z}$ which are not extensible to $\left(1 \pm \beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{k^{2}+7 k+2}$ labelled partition-respecting copies of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
|I| \leq \beta_{2}\left|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}\right| \leq \theta\left|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}\right|,
$$

since $\beta_{2} \ll \theta$. By Lemma 4.23, we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|=\left(1 \pm \beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} d_{\mathcal{Z}} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2},
$$

since $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta_{2} \ll \theta, d_{k+1}, 1 / k$.
Note that a labelled partition-respecting copy of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ containing a $Z \in$ $\mathcal{Z}_{(T, O), \mathcal{G}}$ yields exactly one gadget in $\mathfrak{F}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}\right| & \geq\left|\mathcal{Z}_{(T, O), \mathcal{G}} \backslash I\right|\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{k^{2}+7 k+2} \\
& \geq\left(\left|\mathcal{Z}_{(T, O), \mathcal{G}}\right|-|I|\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{k^{2}+7 k+2} \\
& \geq 2 \theta\left|\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{G}}\right|\left(1-\beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{k^{2}+7 k+2} \\
& \geq 2 \theta\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) d_{\mathcal{Z}} m^{5 k^{2}-3 k} d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{k^{2}+7 k+2} \\
& \geq 2 \theta\left(1-2 \beta_{2}\right) d_{\mathcal{Z}} d_{\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{Z}} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} \\
& \geq 2 \theta \frac{1-2 \beta_{2}}{1+\beta_{2}}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \\
& \geq \theta\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\beta_{2} \ll \theta$.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 4.25] Let $\theta \ll \theta^{\prime} \ll \mu$. By Claim 4.8 with $\theta^{\prime}$, we have for every reduced $((T, O), \mu)$-gadget $L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}\right| \geq \theta^{\prime}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| .
$$

Let $\beta$ be such that $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta \ll d_{k+1}, \theta^{\prime}$, by Lemma 4.23 with $\theta^{\prime}$, we have $\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \backslash \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\text {ext }}\right| \leq \beta\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \leq \theta^{\prime}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| / 2$. Thus,

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \geq\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}\right|-\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L} \backslash \mathfrak{F}_{L}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| \geq \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{2}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| .
$$

By Claim 4.7 with $\theta^{\prime}$ and Lemma 4.24, we have $\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H},(T, O), \mu}\right| \geq \theta^{\prime}\left|\mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}\right|$ and

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| \geq \sum_{L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H},(T, O), \mu}}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \geq \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{2} \sum_{L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H},(T, O), \mu}}\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}\right| \geq \theta\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right|
$$

Lemma 4.26 Let $k, r, m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_{k+1}, c, \nu, \theta, \mu, \alpha, \zeta$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / m & \ll 1 / r, \varepsilon \ll 1 / t, \zeta, \varepsilon_{k+1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
\zeta & \ll c \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}, \\
1 / t & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll d_{k+1}, \nu \leq 1 / k, \\
c & \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \alpha \ll \theta \ll \mu \ll 1 / k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}\right)$ and let $\mathfrak{S}=\left(G, G_{\mathcal{J}}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{P}, \vec{H}\right)$ be an oriented $(k, m, 2 t, \varepsilon$, $\left.\varepsilon_{k+1}, r, \boldsymbol{d}\right)$-regular setup. Suppose that $V(G)=[n] \cup V$ where $|V|=n \leq(1+\alpha) m t$ and $V(H)=[t] \cup V^{\prime}$ where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|=t$. Suppose that for each color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z$ such that $\{C, Z\}$ has relative $(1,1)$-degree at least $\mu$ in $H$. For any point $v$ of $G$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu) \cap N_{H}(Z, C)\right| \geq \mu\left({ }_{k-1}^{t}\right)$. And for every $c \in[n]$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((c, Z), \mu) \cap N_{H}(C, Z)\right| \geq \mu\binom{t}{k-1}$. Then there exists a family $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}$ of pairwise disjoint $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets which are ( $c, \nu$ )-extensible with the following properties.
(1) $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \zeta m$,
(2) $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\text {ext }}\right| \geq \zeta \theta m$ for any $k$-subset $T$ of $V$ and $k$-subset $O$ of $[n]$,
(3) $V\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $(2(k+1) \zeta / t)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Let $\beta>0$ be such that $\varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta \ll d_{k+1}$. Let $F$ be the $(1, k)$-graph as in Definition 4.19 and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the ( $k+1$ )-complex generated by its downclosure. Let $d_{F}=\prod_{i=2}^{k+1} d_{i}^{e_{i}(\mathcal{F})}$. By Lemma 4.24, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| \leq(1+\beta) & d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1) \leq d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+2} \\
\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| & \geq \frac{\mu^{k+1}}{2}(1-\beta) d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2}\binom{t}{k}^{2}\binom{t}{k-1}^{2 k} t(t-1) \\
& \geq \frac{\mu^{k+1}}{2^{k+2} k^{2 k}(k-1)^{2 k^{2}}} d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+2} \\
& \geq 6 \theta^{1 / 2} d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $1 / t \ll \varepsilon_{k+1} \ll \beta \ll d_{k+1} \ll 1 / k$ and $\theta \ll \mu, 1 / k$. By Lemma 4.24, for each reduced gadget $L \in \mathfrak{L}_{\vec{H}}$ in $\vec{H}$, we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{L}^{e x t}\right| \leq 2 d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} .
$$

By Lemma 4.25 with $\theta^{1 / 2}$, for any $k$-set $T \subseteq V$ and any $k$-set $O \subseteq[n]$, we have

$$
\left|\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\text {ext }}\right| \geq \theta^{1 / 2}\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\text {ext }}\right| \geq 6 \theta d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+2}
$$

Choose a family $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ from $\mathfrak{F}^{\text {ext }}$ by including each $\mathfrak{S}$-gadget independently at random with probability

$$
p=\frac{\zeta m}{2 d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+2}} .
$$

Note that $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right|,\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\text {ext }}\right|$ are binomial random variables, for any $k$-set $T \subseteq V$ and any $k$-set $O \subseteq[n]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right|\right]=p\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| \leq \frac{\zeta m}{2} \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right|\right]=p\left|\mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{xt}}\right| \geq 3 \theta \zeta m .
\end{gathered}
$$

For each $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, note that $Z$ exists in at most $t^{2 k^{2}+1}$ reduced gadgets, thus, there are at most $2 d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+1} \mathfrak{S}$-gadgets with vertices in $Z$. Note that each $\mathfrak{S}$-gadget contains at most $k^{2}+2 k+2$ vertices in a cluster. Hence, for each cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V\left(\widetilde{F}^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\right|\right] \leq 2\left(k^{2}+2 k+2\right) d_{F} m^{6 k^{2}+4 k+2} t^{2 k^{2}+1} p=\frac{\left(k^{2}+2 k+2\right) \zeta m}{t}
$$

By Lemma 1.1 , with probability $1-o(1)$, the family $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ satisfies the following properties.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right|\right] \leq \zeta m, \\
\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\mathrm{ext}}\right| \geq 2 \theta \zeta m, \\
\left|V\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\right| \leq \frac{2\left(k^{2}+k+1\right) \zeta m}{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $k$-set $T \subseteq V, k$-set $O \subseteq[n]$ and cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. We say that two $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets are intersecting if they share at least one vertex. Note that there at most $\left(2 k^{2}+2\right)^{2} t^{4 k^{2}+3}$ pairs of intersecting reduced gadgets. Hence, there are at most $\left(6 k^{2}+4 k+2\right)^{2} m^{12 k^{2}+8 k+1}\left(2 k^{2}+2\right)^{2} t^{4 k^{2}+3}$ pairs of intersecting $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets. We can bound the expected number of pairs of intersecting $\mathfrak{S}$ gadgets by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(6 k^{2}+4 k+2\right)^{2} m^{12 k^{2}+8 k+3}\left(2 k^{2}+2\right)^{2} t^{4 k^{2}+3} p^{2} \\
& =\frac{\zeta^{2}\left(6 k^{2}+4 k+2\right)^{2}\left(2 k^{2}+2\right)^{2} m}{4 d_{F}^{2} t} \leq \frac{\zeta \theta m}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\zeta \ll d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k+1}, \theta, 1 / k$. Using Markov's inequality, we derive that with probability at least $1 / 2, \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ contains at most $\zeta \theta m$ pairs intersecting $\mathfrak{S}$-gadgets. Remove one gadget from each intersecting pair in such a family and remove gadgets that are not absorbing for any $(T, O)$ where $T \subseteq V, O \subseteq[n]$ and $|T|=|O|$. We obtain a subfamily $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}$, satisfying the following properties.
(1) $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \zeta m$,
(2) $\left|\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}^{\text {ext }}\right| \geq \theta \zeta m$,
(3) $V\left(\mathfrak{F}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $\left(2\left(k^{2}+k+1\right) \zeta / t\right)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$,
as desired.

Proof. [The proof of Lemma 4.13] Since $G$ has minimum relative ( 1,1 )-degree at least $\delta+\mu$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ is a representative setup. For any $v \in V$ and any color cluster $C$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}\left((v, C), \frac{\mu}{3}\right)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1} .
$$

For any $c \in[n]$ and any point cluster $Z$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}\left((c, Z), \frac{\mu}{3}\right)\right| \geq\left(\delta+\frac{\mu}{4}\right)\binom{t}{k-1} .
$$

by Lemma 4.19. Let $\zeta>0$ with $1 / r, \varepsilon \ll \zeta \ll c$ and let $\theta>0$ with $\eta \ll \theta \ll$ $\mu, 1 / k$ and $M:=\lceil\eta t /(\theta \zeta)\rceil$. Firstly, we need the following claim.

Claim 4.9 For each $j \in[0, M]$, and any $S \subseteq V$ of size at most $j \theta \zeta n / t$ divisible by $k$ and any $O \subseteq[n]$ of size $|S|$, there is a sequential path $P_{j} \subseteq G$ such that the following holds.
(i) $P_{j}$ is $(S, O)$-absorbing in $G$,
(ii) $P_{j}$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible and consistent with $\vec{H}$,
(iii) $V\left(P_{j}\right)$ is $\left(100 k^{3} j \zeta / t\right)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$ and $V\left(P_{j}\right) \cap T_{j}=\emptyset$, where $T_{j}$ denotes the connection set of $P_{j}$.
Proof. [Proof of the claim] Take $P_{0}$ to be the empty path and $P_{j}$ satisfy the above conditions for $j \in[0, M)$.

Select a subset $Z^{\prime} \subseteq Z \backslash V\left(P_{j}\right)$ of size $m^{\prime}=(1-\lambda) m$, this can be done since $100 k^{3} j \zeta / t \leq(2 \eta t /(\zeta \theta))\left(100 k^{3} \zeta / t\right) \leq \lambda$ which follows from $\zeta \ll c \ll \eta \ll \lambda, \theta$. Also, since $n \leq(1+\alpha) m t$, we have $m^{\prime} \geq n /(2 t)$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{Z^{\prime}\right\}_{Z \in \mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}=$ $\mathcal{J}\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $G_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=G_{\mathcal{J}}\left[V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. By lemma 4.7, $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}:=\left(G^{\prime}, G_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, H\right)$ is a $\left(k, m^{\prime}, 2 t, \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{k+1}}, r, \mathbf{d}\right)$-regular setup.

By Lemma 4.20, for every $v \in V$ and color cluster $C$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((v, C), \mu / 6)\right| \geq\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu / 3)\right| \geq(\delta+\mu / 4)\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

and for every $o \in[n]$ and point cluster $Z$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}^{\prime}}((o, Z), \mu / 6)\right| \geq\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((o, Z), \mu / 3)\right| \geq(\delta+\mu / 4)\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

Thus, we obtain that for every $v \in V, o \in[n]$, color cluster $C$, there are at least $(1-\alpha) t$ point clusters $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((v, C), \mu / 6) \cap N_{H}(Z, C)\right| \geq \frac{\mu}{5}\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

and

$$
\left|N_{\mathcal{J}}((o, Z), \mu / 6) \cap N_{H}(C, Z)\right| \geq \frac{\mu}{5}\binom{t}{k-1}
$$

By Lemma 4.26 with $4 c$ instead of $c, 2 \zeta$ instead of $\zeta$, we obtain a set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of pairwise-disjoint $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$-gadgets which are $(4 c, \nu)$-extensible and such that
(1) $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \zeta m^{\prime}$,
(2) $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{F}_{(T, O)}\right| \geq 2 \zeta \theta m^{\prime}$ for any $k$-subset of $V$,
(3) $V\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\left(4\left(k^{2}+k+1\right) \zeta / t\right)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.

Next, we would connect all paths of absorbing gadgets in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $P_{j}$ to obtain $P_{j+1}$. By Definition 4.19, there are $2(k+1)$ pairwise disjoint sequential paths in each $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$-gadget in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ which are $(4 c, \nu)$-extensible in $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the union of all such sequential paths of all gadgets of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $P_{j}$. Set $T_{j+1}=V(G) \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$, it is obvious that $\mathcal{A}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint sequential paths in $G$ such that
(1') $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 4(k+1) \zeta m^{\prime}+1$,
(2') $V(\mathcal{A})$ is $\left(100 k^{3} j \zeta / t+4\left(k^{2}+k+1\right) \zeta / t\right)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$ and $V(\mathcal{A}) \cap T_{j+1}=\emptyset$,
(3') every path in $\mathcal{A} \backslash\left\{P_{j}\right\}$ is ( $2 c, \nu, T_{j+1}$ )-extensible in $\mathfrak{S}$ and consistent with $\vec{H} . P_{j}$ is $\left(c, \nu, T_{j+1}\right)$-extensible in $\mathfrak{S}$ and consistent with $\vec{H}$.
Note that ( $1^{\prime}$ ) follows from (1) and the addition of $P_{j}$. ( $2^{\prime}$ ) follows from (iii), (3) and the definition of $T_{j+1}$. (3') follows from (ii) and (3) since $4\left(k^{2}+k+1\right) \zeta m / t \leq$ 2 cm . In particular, $P_{j}$ is $(c, \nu)$-extensible by (ii) while all other paths go from $(4 c, \nu)$-extensible in $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$ to $(2 c, \nu)$-extensible in $\mathfrak{S}$. The consistency with $\vec{H}$ is given by the consistency of $P_{j}$ and the definition of $\mathfrak{S}^{\prime}$-gadgets.

By Lemma 4.18, we obtain a sequential path $P_{j+1}$ with the following properties.
(A) $P_{j+1}$ contains every path of $\mathcal{A}$,
(B) $P_{j+1}$ starts and ends with two paths different from $P_{j}$,
(C) $V\left(P_{j+1}\right) \backslash V(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq V\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$,
(D) $V\left(P_{j+1}\right) \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ intersects in at most $10 k^{2} \mathcal{A}_{Z}+t^{2 t+3 k+2}$ vertices with each cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{Z}$ denotes the number of paths of $\mathcal{A}$ that intersect with $Z$.
We claim that $P_{j+1}$ satisfies (i)-(iii). First, we prove (iii). Note that for every cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, the number of paths of $\mathcal{A}$ that intersect with $Z$ is bounded by $4(k+1) \zeta m / t+1$. (D) implies that $V\left(P_{j+1}\right) \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ intersects in at most $100 k^{3} \zeta m / t$ vertices with each cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. Together with (iii), it follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\left(100 k^{3}(j+1) \zeta / t\right)$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$.

Next, we want to prove (ii), $V\left(P_{j+1}\right) \backslash V(\mathcal{A})$ intersects in at most $100 k^{3} \zeta \mathrm{~m} / t \leq$ $\mathrm{cm} / 4$ vertices with each cluster $Z \in \mathcal{P}$, since $\zeta \ll c$. Also, we have $V(\mathcal{A}) \cap$ $T_{j+1}=\emptyset$. Hence, we obtain (ii) after deleting the vertices of $P_{j+1}$ from $T_{j+1}$. After the deletion, we go from $(2 c, \nu)$-extensible in ( $3^{\prime}$ ) to $(c, \nu)$-extensible. It is crucial that $P_{j+1}$ starts and ends with two paths different from $P_{j}$ by (B).

Finally, we claim that $P_{j+1}$ is $(S, O)$-absorbing in $G$ for any $S \subseteq V$ of size divisible by $k$ and at most $(j+1) \zeta \theta n / t$ and any $O \subseteq[n]$ of size $|S|$. Partition $S$ into two sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ such that both $\left|S_{1}\right|,\left|S_{2}\right|$ are divisible by $k$ and $S_{1}$ is maximal such that $\left|S_{1}\right| \leq j \zeta \theta n / t$. Partition $O$ into two sets $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ such that $\left|O_{1}\right|=\left|S_{1}\right|$ and $\left|O_{2}\right|=\left|S_{2}\right|$. Since $P_{j}$ is ( $S^{\prime}, O^{\prime}$ )-absorbing in $G$ for any set $S^{\prime} \subseteq V$ of size at most $(j \zeta \theta n / t)$ and $\left|O^{\prime}\right|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$, there exists a path $P_{j}^{\prime}$ with the same endpoints as $P_{j}$ such that $I\left(P_{j}^{\prime}\right)=S_{1} \cup I\left(P_{j}\right)$ and $C\left(P_{j}^{\prime}\right)=O_{1} \cup C\left(P_{j}\right)$,
besides, $P_{j}$ is a subpath of $P_{j+1}$. So it remains to absorb $S_{2}$. By the choice of $S_{1}$, we have $\left|S_{2}\right| \leq \zeta \theta n / t+k \leq 2 \zeta^{3} n / t \leq 2(1+\alpha) \zeta^{3} m \leq 5 \zeta^{3} m / 2$. Therefore, we can partition $S_{2}$ and $O_{2}$ into $\ell \leq 5 \zeta^{3} m /(2 k) \leq 2 \zeta \theta m^{\prime}$ sets of size $k$ each, let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{\ell}$ and $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{\ell}$ be those sets. By (2), we have $\left|\mathfrak{F}_{\left(D_{i}, R_{i}\right)} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right| \geq \ell$. Thus, we can associate each $\left(D_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ with a different gadget $F_{i} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ for each $i \in[\ell]$. Each $F_{i}$ yields a collection of $2(k+1)$ sequential paths $P_{i, 1}, \ldots, P_{i, 2(k+1)}$ and we can replace those paths with a collection of different paths with the same endpoints. Since $P_{j}$ and each $P_{i, u}, i \in[\ell], u \in[2(k+1)]$, are subpaths of $P_{j+1}$, the sequential path $P_{j+1}^{\prime}$ has the same endpoints with $P_{j+1}$. Also, $P_{j+1}^{\prime}$ is exactly $\left(C\left(P_{j+1}\right) \cup O, I\left(P_{j+1}\right) \cup S\right)$.
To finish, note that $P_{M}$ and $C_{M}$ has the desired properties. By the choice of $M=\lceil\eta t /(\zeta \theta)\rceil$, we have $M \zeta \theta / t \geq \eta$, so $P_{M}$ with $C_{M}$ is $\eta$ - absorbing in $G$. Moreover, since $M\left(100 k^{3} \zeta / t\right) \leq 200 k^{2} \eta / \theta \leq \lambda$ and $\eta \ll \lambda, V\left(P_{M}\right)$ is $\lambda$-sparse in $\mathcal{P}$.

## 5 - Long rainbow cycles in complete bipartite graphs

In 1989, Andersen [13] conjectured that all proper edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path which omits only one vertex.

Conjecture 5.1 (Andersen [13]) All proper edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ admit a rainbow path of length $n-2$.
It is best possible by a construction of Maamoun and Meyniel [115]. Akbari, Etesami, Mahini and Mahmoody [6] proved that every properly edge-colored $K_{n}$ has a rainbow cycle of length at least $n / 2-1$. Gyárfás and Mhalla [64] proved that if the set of edges with every used color forms a perfect matching in $K_{n}$, then there exists a rainbow path of length $(2 n+1) / 3$. Gyárfás, Ruszinkó, Sárközy and Schelp [65] showed that every properly colored $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length $(4 / 7-o(1)) n$. Gebauer and Mousset [59] and Chen and Li [26], independently showed that every properly colored $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length (3/4-o(1))n. Alon, Pokrovskiy and Sudakov [9] proved that every properly edge-colored of $K_{n}$ contains a rainbow path with length $n-O\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$, and the error bound has since been improved to $O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log n)$ by Balogh and Molla [14].

In this chapter, we show that every properly edge-colored $K_{n, n}$ contains a long rainbow cycle as follows.

Theorem 5.1 Every properly edge-colored $K_{n, n}$ contains a rainbow cycle of length at least $n-28 n^{3 / 4}$ for sufficiently large $n$.

The bound above is asymptotically optimal as each color class could be a perfect matching of $K_{n, n}$ and only $n$ colors occur in $E\left(K_{n, n}\right)$.

### 5.1. Notation and preliminaries

For a bipartite graph $G$ on vertex set $X \cup Y$ and (not necessarily distinct) vertex sets $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$, we define $E_{G}(A, B)=\{a b: a \in A, b \in B, a b \in$ $E(G)\}$. We often simply write $E(A, B)$ when $G$ is clear from the context. Let $e_{G}(A, B)=\left|E_{G}(A, B)\right|$. A path forest $\mathcal{P}$ is a family of vertex-disjoint paths in a graph. Given a path forest $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}\right\}$, let $V(\mathcal{P})=V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(P_{t}\right)$ and $E(\mathcal{P})=E\left(P_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup E\left(P_{t}\right)$. Given an edge-colored graph $G$ and a subgraph $H$ of $G$, let $C(H)$ be the set of colors appeared in $E(H)$. Furthermore, given a path forest $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}\right\}$ in an edge-colored graph, if each $P_{i}$ is a rainbow path and $C\left(P_{i}\right) \cap C\left(P_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for any $\{i, j\} \in\binom{t}{2}$, then we call $\mathcal{P}$ a rainbow path forest. For a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We write $a=(1 \pm b) c$ to mean that the inequality $(1-b) c \leq a \leq(1+b) c$ holds.

Lemma 5.1 For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C$ such that the following holds. Given a proper edge-coloring of a balanced bipartite graph $H$ of $2 n$ vertices on vertex set $X \cup Y$ such that $\delta(H) \geq(1-\eta) n$ for some $\eta=\eta(n)$, let $G$ be the subgraph obtained by choosing every color class randomly and independently with probability $p$. Then, with high probability, every vertex $v$ in $G$ has degree $(1-\varepsilon) p \cdot d_{G}(v)$ and for every two disjoint subsets $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ with $|A| \geq C \log n / p,|B| \geq C(\log n / p)^{2}, e_{G}(A, B) \geq(1-\varepsilon) p|A||B|$.

Lemma 5.2 For all $\gamma, \delta, n$ with $\delta \geq \gamma$ and $3 \gamma \delta-\gamma^{2} / 2>2 / n$ the following holds. Let $G$ be a properly edge-colored bipartite graph with $2 n$ vertices which is balanced and $\delta(G) \geq(1-\delta) n$. Then $G$ contains a rainbow path forest $\mathcal{P}$ with at most $\gamma n$ paths and $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq(1-4 \delta) n$.

Lemma 5.3 For any $b, m, r>0$ with $2 m r \leq b$, the following holds. Let $\mathcal{P}=$ $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ be a rainbow path forest in a properly edge-colored bipartite graph $G$ on vertex set $X \cup Y$. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ sharing no colors with $\mathcal{P}$ with $\delta(H) \geq 3 b$ and $\left|E_{H}(A, B)\right| \geq b+1$ for any two sets of vertices $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ of size $b$. Then either $\left|P_{1}\right| \geq|V(\mathcal{P})|-4 b$ or there are two edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in H$ and $a$ rainbow path forest $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{P_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $E\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E(\mathcal{P})+e_{1}+e_{2}$ and $\left|P_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|P_{1}\right|+m$.

Proof. [The proof of Theorem 5.1] Let $H$ be the subgraph of $K_{n, n}$ obtained by choosing every color class randomly and independently with probability $p=4.5 b / n$ where $b=n^{3 / 4}$. By Lemma 5.1, with high probability, all vertices in $H$ has degree $4 b \leq(1-o(1)) p n \leq 5 b$ and $e_{H}(A, B) \geq(1-o(1)) p b^{2}>4.4 n^{1 / 2} b$ for any two disjoint sets $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ of size $b$. We choose such an $H$.

Let $G=K_{n, n} \backslash H$, then $\delta(G) \geq n-5 b=\left(1-5 n^{-1 / 4}\right) n$. By Lemma 5.2 with parameters $\delta=5 n^{-1 / 4}, \gamma=n^{-3 / 4}$, we obtain a rainbow path forest $\mathcal{P}$ in $G$ with $n^{1 / 4}$ paths and $|E(\mathcal{P})| \geq n-20 n^{3 / 4}$.

Apply Lemma 5.3 in $H$ repeatedly $2 n^{1 / 2}$ times with parameters $b=n^{3 / 4}, r=$ $n^{1 / 4}, m=n^{1 / 2} / 2$. At each iteration, we delete all edges sharing a color with $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$ to get a subgraph $H^{\prime}$. We obtain that after $i$ iterations, $\delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq \delta(H)-$ $2 i \geq 3 b$ and for any $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ of size $b,\left|E_{H^{\prime}}(A, B)\right| \geq 4.4 n^{1 / 2} b-2 i b \geq$ $b+1$. We either increase the length of $P_{1}$ by $m$ or $\left|P_{1}\right| \geq|V(\mathcal{P})|-4 b$ at each iteration. Since $2 n^{1 / 2} m=n>n-4 b,\left|P_{1}\right| \geq|V(\mathcal{P})|-4 b$ must occur at some step during the iterations. Thus, we obtain a rainbow path $P$ of length at least $|V(\mathcal{P})|-4 b>|E(\mathcal{P})|-4 b \geq n-24 n^{3 / 4}$. Let $S, T$ be the first $2 b$ and the last $2 b$ vertices of $P$ respectively and $S^{\prime}=S \cap A, T^{\prime}=T \cap B$. Then the sizes of $S^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$ are $b$ and $e_{H^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right) \geq b+1$. There must be edge between $S^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$. We add this edge to get a rainbow cycle of length at least $|P|-4 b \geq n-28 n^{3 / 4}$. $\square$

We also need the following proposition and lemma.
Given a proper edge-coloring of $K_{n, n}$ on vertex set $X \cup Y$, we call a pair ( $A, B$ ) of disjoint subsets $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ nearly-rainbow if the number of colors of edges between $A$ and $B$ is at least $(1-o(1))|A||B|$.

Lemma 5.4 For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C$ such that the following holds. Given a proper edge-coloring of $K_{n, n}$ on vertex set $X \cup Y$, let $G$ be a subgraph of $K_{n, n}$ obtained by choosing every color class with probability $p$. Then, with high probability, all nearly rainbow pairs $(A, B)$ with $|A|=|B|=y \geq C \log n / p$, $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ satisfy $e_{G}(A, B) \geq(1-\varepsilon) p y^{2}$.

Proof. For any $y$, we choose $\varepsilon$ such that every nearly-rainbow pair $(A, B)$ has at least $(1-\varepsilon / 2)|A||B|$ colors and $C=40 / \varepsilon^{2}$. Let $(A, B)$ be a nearly-rainbow pair with $|A|=|B|=y$, then the number of colors $m$ between $A$ and $B$ in $K_{n, n}$ is at least $(1-\varepsilon / 2) y^{2}$. Thus, the number of colors between $A$ and $B$ in $G$ is binomially distributed with parameters $(m, p)$ where $m \geq(1-\varepsilon / 2) y^{2}$. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[e_{G}(A, B) \leq(1-\varepsilon) p y^{2}\right] \leq e^{-\varepsilon^{2} p y^{2} / 14}
$$

The result follows by taking a union bound over all $\binom{n}{y}^{2}$ pairs of sets of size $y$ since $\varepsilon^{2} y \geq 40 \log n / p$.

Lemma 5.5 For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C$ such that the following holds. Given a proper edge-coloring of $K_{n, n}$ on vertex set $X \cup Y$, let $A \subseteq X, B \subseteq Y$ be two sets of sizes $a$ and $b$ respectively with $a \leq b, b \geq C y^{2}$. Then there are partitions of $A$ and $B$ into sets $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ of size $y$ where $y \mid a$ and $y \mid b$ such that all but an $\varepsilon$-fraction of pairs $\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right)$ are nearly-rainbow.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $C \geq 1 / \varepsilon^{2}$. Let $E_{c}$ be the set of edges between $A$ and $B$ with color $c$. Note that $\sum_{c}\left|E_{c}\right|=|E(A, B)|=a b$ and $\left|E_{c}\right| \leq \min \{a, b\}=a$ since the edge-coloring of $K_{n, n}$ is proper.

Let $S$ and $T$ be selected uniformly at random from $\binom{A}{y}$ and $\binom{B}{y}$ respectively. Thus, for any two disjoint edges $e, e^{\prime} \in E(A, B)$, we have $\mathbb{P}[e \in E(S, T)]=$ $\frac{y^{2}}{a b}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left[e, e^{\prime} \in E(S, T)\right]=\frac{y^{2}(y-1)^{2}}{a b(a-1)(b-1)}$. By the inclusion-exclusion formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[c \text { is present in } E(S, T)] & \geq \sum_{e \in E_{c}} \mathbb{P}[e \in E(S, T)]-\sum_{\{e, f\} \in\binom{E_{c}}{2}} \mathbb{P}[e, f \in E(S, T)] \\
& \geq \frac{y^{2}}{a b}\left|E_{c}\right|-\frac{y^{2}(y-1)^{2}}{a b(a-1)(b-1)}\binom{\left|E_{c}\right|}{2} \\
& =\frac{y^{2}}{a b}\left|E_{c}\right|\left(1-\frac{(y-1)^{2}\left(\left|E_{c}\right|-1\right)}{2(a-1)(b-1)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{y^{2}}{a b}\left|E_{c}\right|\left(1-\frac{(y-1)^{2}}{2(b-1)}\right) \geq \frac{y^{2}}{a b}\left|E_{c}\right|\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality holds since $\frac{(y-1)^{2}}{2(b-1)} \leq \frac{y^{2}}{b} \leq \frac{1}{C} \leq \varepsilon^{2}$. Let $Z$ be the number of colors in $E(S, T)$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}[Z]=\sum_{c} \mathbb{P}[c$ is present in $E(S, T)] \geq \sum_{c} \frac{y^{2}}{a b}\left|E_{c}\right|$
$\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)=y^{2}\left(1-\varepsilon^{2}\right)$. Since $y^{2}=e(S, T) \geq Z$, we have $y^{2}-Z$ is non-negative with expectation at most $\varepsilon^{2} y^{2}$. By Markov's inequality, we have $\mathbb{P}\left[y^{2}-Z \geq\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon y^{2}\right] \leq \varepsilon$, which implies that with high probability, $(S, T)$ is nearly rainbow.

Let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ be random partitions of $A$ and $B$ into sets of size $y$. Let $Y$ denote the number of pairs $\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right)$ which are not nearly rainbow, then we have $\mathbb{E}[Y]=\frac{a b}{y^{2}} \mathbb{P}\left[\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right)\right.$ is not nearly rainbow $] \leq \frac{a b}{y^{2}} \varepsilon$. By Markov's inequality, we have $\mathbb{P}\left[Y \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{a b}{y^{2}}\right] \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, which implies that with high probability, there exists a partition satisfying the lemma.

### 5.2. Obtaining long rainbow cycles

Proof. [The proof of Lemma 5.1] For any $\varepsilon>0$, let $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon / 3, C^{\prime} \geq 1 / \varepsilon$ and $C=6 C^{\prime} / \varepsilon$. Let $y=\left\lceil C^{\prime} \log n / p\right\rceil,|A| \geq C \log n / p,|B| \geq C C^{\prime 2}(\log n / p)^{2}$. Assume that $\delta(H) \geq(1-\eta) n \geq C \frac{\log n}{p}$, let $G$ be the subgraph of $H$ obtained by choosing every color class with probability $p$. The degree of vertex $v$ in $G$ is binomially distributed with $\left(d_{H}(v), p\right)$. By Lemma 1.1 , for each vertex $v$, $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|d_{G}(v)-p \cdot d_{H}(v)\right| \geq \varepsilon p \cdot d_{H}(v)\right] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} p \cdot d_{H}(v)}{3}} \leq 2 / n$. By the union bound, with high probability, all vertices of $G$ have degree $(1-\varepsilon) p \cdot d_{H}(v)$.

By Lemma 4.11 with $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$, we obtain that with high probability,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{G}(S, T) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) y^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every nearly rainbow pair $(S, T)$ of sets of size $y$. Let $b$ be the smallest integer larger that $C^{\prime} y^{2}$ that is divisible by $y$ and $A^{\prime} \subseteq A, B^{\prime} \subseteq B$ be subsets of sizes $y$ and $b$ respectively. By Lemma 4.12 with $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$, we can obtain that there exists a partition $\left\{B_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $B^{\prime}$ into parts of size $y$ such that all but at most $\varepsilon^{\prime}$-fraction of pairs $\left(A^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ are nearly rainbow. If we let $J=\{j$ : $\left(A^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is nearly rainbow $\}$, then $|J| \geq\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) b / y$. Therefore, we have

$$
e_{G}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \geq \sum_{j \in J} e_{G}\left(A^{\prime}, B_{j}^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) b}{y} \cdot\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) y^{2} \geq\left(1-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) b y .
$$

Note that $\varepsilon^{\prime}|A| \geq y$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}|B| \geq b$ since $\varepsilon^{\prime}=2 C^{\prime} / C$. Therefore, there exists a collection of at least $\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)|A| / y$ disjoint subsets of $A$, each of size of $y$ and a collection of at least $\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)|B| / b$ disjoint subsets of $B$, each of size of $b$.

Then, with high probability,

$$
e_{G}(A, B) \geq\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \frac{|A|}{y}\left(1-\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \frac{|B|}{b}\left(1-2 \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) y b \geq(1-\varepsilon)|A||B| .
$$

Proof. [The proof of Lemma 5.2] Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\gamma n}\right\}$ be a rainbow path forest and $|E(\mathcal{P})|$ is as large as possible. Suppose that $|E(\mathcal{P})|<(1-4 \delta) n$. Note that $|V(\mathcal{P})| \leq|E(\mathcal{P})|+\gamma n<n-\gamma n$. Thus, we can obtain $\gamma n$ non-empty
paths. Since otherwise we can replace the empty path by a vertex outside $V(\mathcal{P})$. Let $P_{i}=v_{i, 1} \cdots v_{i,\left|P_{i}\right|}$ for $i \in[\gamma n]$. For simplicity, we denote $c\left(v_{i, j-1} v_{i, j}\right)$ by $c\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ and $v_{i, j-1} v_{i, j}$ by $e\left(v_{i, j}\right)$. Let $C_{0}$ be the set of colors not used on $E(\mathcal{P})$ and $C_{i}=\left\{c(x): x \in N_{C_{i-1}}\left(v_{i, 1}\right) \cap V(\mathcal{P}) \backslash \bigcup_{j \in[\gamma n]}\left\{v_{j, 1}\right\}\right\} \cup C_{i-1}$ for $i \in[\gamma n]$. Note that for each $c \in C_{i} \backslash C_{i-1}$, there is a vertex $x \in V(\mathcal{P})$ such that $c\left(v_{i, 1} x\right) \in C_{i-1}$ and $c(x)=c$. Firstly, we need to prove the following claim.

Claim 5.1 $N_{C_{i-1}}\left(v_{i, 1}\right) \subseteq V(\mathcal{P}) \backslash \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\gamma n}\left\{v_{j, 1}\right\}$ for $i \in[\gamma n]$.
Proof. Suppose that there is an edge $v_{i, 1} v_{j, 1}$ with color from $C_{i-1}$ for some $j \in[i+1, \gamma n]$. The case when there is an edge $v_{i, 1} x$ for some $x \notin V(\mathcal{P})$ is identical. We proceed the following process.

Step1 Let $i_{0}=i$ and $x_{0}=v_{j, 1}$.
Step2 We maintain that if $i_{t} \geq 1$, then $c\left(v_{i_{t}, 1} x_{t}\right) \in C_{i_{t}-1}$.
Step3 Let $c_{t}=c\left(v_{i_{t-1}, 1} x_{t-1}\right)$. Note that $c_{t} \in C_{i_{t-1}-1}, t \geq 1$.
Step4 Let $i_{t}$ be the smallest number such that $c_{t} \in C_{i_{t}}$. Note that $c_{t} \in$ $C_{i_{t}} \backslash C_{i_{t}-1}$ for $t \geq 1$.

Step5 For $t \geq 1$, if $i_{t} \geq 0$, then let $x_{t}$ be the vertex of $V(\mathcal{P})$ with $c\left(x_{t}\right)=c_{t}$. Since $c_{t} \in C_{i_{t}} \backslash C_{i_{t}-1}$, by the definition, there is a vertex $x_{t} \in V(\mathcal{P})$ such that $c\left(v_{i_{t}, 1} x_{t}\right) \in C_{i-1}$ and $c\left(x_{t}\right)=c_{t}$.
Step6 The iteration stops if $i_{s}=0$.
Note that $i_{0}>i_{1}>\cdots>i_{s}$. Since $c_{t} \in C_{i_{t-1}-1}$ and $i_{t}$ is the smallest number such that $c_{t} \in C_{i_{t}}$, we have $i_{t-1}-1 \geq i_{t}$. We also have $x_{t} \neq x_{t^{\prime}}$ for $t \neq t^{\prime}$. Since $c\left(x_{t}\right)=c_{t} \in C_{i_{t}} \backslash C_{i_{t}-1}$ and $c\left(x_{t^{\prime}}\right)=c_{t^{\prime}} \in C_{i_{t^{\prime}}} \backslash C_{i_{t^{\prime}}-1}$, the case $c\left(x_{t}\right)=c\left(x_{t^{\prime}}\right)$ occurs only when $i_{t}=i_{t^{\prime}}$, that is, $t=t^{\prime}$. Our next goal is to find a larger rainbow path forest.

Claim 5.2 $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{\gamma n} \cup\left\{v_{i_{0}, 1} x_{0}, v_{i_{1}, 1} x_{1}, \ldots, v_{i_{s-1}, 1} x_{s-1}\right\} \backslash\left\{e\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.e\left(x_{s-1}\right)\right\}$ is a rainbow path forest.

Proof. Our proof is divided into three steps, we prove that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is rainbow in the first step, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a forest in the second step and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a path forest in the last step.

Note that $c\left(x_{\ell}\right)=c_{\ell}=c\left(v_{i_{\ell-1}, 1} x_{\ell-1}\right), c_{s}=c\left(v_{i_{s-1}} x_{s-1}\right) \in C_{i_{s}}=C_{0}$ for $\ell \in[s-2]$ and $C_{0} \cap C(\mathcal{P})=\emptyset$, thus $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is rainbow.

Suppose that there is a cycle. Let $v_{i_{\ell}, 1}, x_{\ell}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{i_{\ell}, 1}$ be the cycle sequence. Since $x_{\ell} \in V(\mathcal{P})$, we may assume that $x_{\ell}=v_{t, j}$ for some $t$ and $j$. Since $e\left(x_{t}\right)$ is absent in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, we have that $u_{1}=v_{t, j+1}$. Let $r$ be the smallest index for which $u_{r} \neq v_{t, j+r}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, we have that $u_{r-1} u_{r}$ must be the form of $x_{\ell^{\prime}} v_{\ell^{\prime}, 1}$ for some $\ell \neq \ell^{\prime}$ with $u_{r-1}=x_{\ell^{\prime}}$ and $u_{r}=v_{\ell^{\prime}, 1}$. However, $e\left(x_{\ell^{\prime}}\right)=u_{r-2} u_{r-1}$ is absent in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Note that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ has maximum degree 2 . Since the degrees of vertices $x_{0}, v_{i_{0}, 1}$, $\ldots, v_{i_{s-1}, 1}$ increase from 1 to 2 and the degrees of other vertices do not increase from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a path forest.
From the above claim, we obtain a larger rainbow path forest $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, contradicting the maximality of $\mathcal{P}$.
Let $m_{i}=\left|C_{i}\right|-\left|C_{0}\right|$ for $i \in[s]$. Note that $|C(G)|=\left|C_{0}\right|+e(\mathcal{P})$ and $e(\mathcal{P}) \leq$ $(1-4 \delta) n$. We have $\left|N_{C_{i}}(v)\right| \geq|N(v)|-\left(|C(G)|-\left|C_{i}\right|\right) \geq(1-\delta) n-\left(\left|C_{0}\right|+\right.$ $e(\mathcal{P}))+\left(\left|C_{0}\right|+m_{i}\right) \geq 3 \delta n+m_{i}$ for any vertex $v$.

From the definition of $C_{i}$, we have $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left|C_{0}\right|+\mid N_{C_{i-1}}\left(v_{i, 1}\right) \cap\left\{v_{j, k}: k \geq\right.$ $2, j \in[\gamma n]\} \mid$. From Claim 5.1, we have $\left|N_{C_{i-1}}\left(v_{i, 1}\right) \cap\left\{v_{j, k}: k \geq 2, j \in[\gamma n]\right\}\right| \geq$ $\left|N_{C_{i-1}}\left(v_{i, 1}\right)\right|-i$. Thus, $\left|C_{i}\right| \geq\left|C_{0}\right|+m_{i-1}+3 \delta n-i$ and $m_{i} \geq m_{i-1}+3 \delta n-i$. Iterating it, we obtain $m_{i} \geq 3 i \delta n-\binom{i}{2}$. Let $i=\gamma n$, we have $2 n \geq 3 \gamma \delta n^{2}-$ $(\gamma n)^{2} / 2$, which contradicts with $3 \gamma \delta-\gamma^{2} / 2>2 / n$.
Proof. [The proof of Lemma 5.3] Suppose that $\left|P_{1}\right|<|V(\mathcal{P})|-4 b$, let $P_{1}=$ $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{k}$ and let $U$ be the set of vertices of these paths $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}$ which have length at least $2 m$. Assume that $v_{1} \in X$ without loss of generality. Notice that there are at most $2 m r$ vertices of paths $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}$ which have length at most $2 m$. Thus, $|U| \geq|V(\mathcal{P})|-\left|P_{1}\right|-2 m r \geq 3 b$. Note that $\| U \cap X|-|U \cap Y|| \leq$ $r \leq b$. Thus, we can choose $U^{\prime} \subseteq U \cap Y$ with $\left|U^{\prime}\right|=b$ and $U^{0} \subseteq U \cap X$ with $\left|U^{0}\right|=b$.

Suppose that there is an edge of $H$ from $v_{1}$ to a vertex $x \in U^{\prime}$ on some path $P_{i}$ of length at least $2 m$. Let $e_{1}=e_{2}=v_{1} x$. Partition $P_{i}$ into $P_{i}^{1}$ and $P_{i}^{2}$, we may assume that the length of $P_{i}^{1}$ is at least $m$ without loss of generality. Let $P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1}+e_{1}+P_{i}^{1}, P_{i}^{\prime}=P_{i}^{2}$ and $P_{j}^{\prime}=P_{j}$ for all other $j$.

Suppose that $\left|N_{H}\left(v_{1}\right) \cap P_{1}\right| \geq b$. Let $W \subseteq N_{H}\left(v_{1}\right) \cap P_{1}$ with size $b$. $W^{+}=$ $\left\{v_{i-1}: v_{i} \in W\right\}$. Note that $W \subseteq Y$ and $W^{+} \subseteq X$. Since $\left|W^{+}\right|,\left|U^{\prime}\right|=b$, we have $\left|E_{H}\left(W^{+}, U^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq b+1$. There exists a vertex $v_{j} \in W^{+}$such that $\left|N_{H}\left(v_{j}\right) \cap U^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Thus, there exists some vertex $x \in N_{H}\left(v_{j}\right) \cap U^{\prime}$ such that $c\left(v_{1} v_{j+1}\right) \neq c\left(v_{j} x\right)$. Denote $v_{1} v_{j+1}$ by $e_{1}$ and $v_{j} x$ by $e_{2}$. We may assume that $x \in P_{i}$ and the length of $P_{i}$ is at least $2 m$. Similarly, we can also partition $P_{i}$ into $P_{i}^{1}$ and $P_{i}^{2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the length of $P_{i}^{1}$ is at least $m$. Let $P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1}+e_{1}+e_{2}-v_{j} v_{j+1}+P_{i}^{1}, P_{i}^{\prime}=P_{i}^{2}$ and $P_{j}^{\prime}=P_{j}$ for all other $j$.

Suppose that $\left|N_{H}\left(v_{1}\right) \cap P_{1}\right|<b$ and there is no edge from $v_{1}$ to $U^{\prime}$. Since $N_{H}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 3 b$ and there are at most $2 m r \leq b$ vertices from $v_{1}$ to the vertices of paths $P_{i}$ of length at most $2 r$, there is a set $T \subseteq N_{H}\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash V(\mathcal{P})$ of size $b$ and $T \subseteq Y$. Since $\left|U^{0}\right|,|T| \geq b$, there is an edge $t x$ in $H$ where $t \in T$ and $x \in U^{0}$. Since $H$ is properly edge-colored, we have $c\left(v_{1} t\right) \neq c(t x)$. Note that the vertex $x$ is on some path $P_{i}$ of length at least $2 m$. Denote $v_{1} t$ by $e_{1}$ and $t x$ by $e_{2}$. Similarly, we can also partition $P_{i}$ into $P_{i}^{1}$ and $P_{i}^{2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the length of $P_{i}^{1}$ is at least $m$. Let $P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1}+e_{1}+e_{2}+P_{i}^{1}$, $P_{i}^{\prime}=P_{i}^{2}$ and $P_{j}^{\prime}=P_{j}$ for all other $j$.

### 5.3. Concluding remarks

The Andersen conjecture has not yet been proved, so the relevant conclusions about it can be further improved.

## 6 - Concluding remarks

### 6.1. Rainbow Hamilton cycles in hypergraphs systems

Inspired by a series of very recent successes on rainbow matchings [110, 109, 112, 113], rainbow Hamilton cycles [28, 30, 77] and rainbow factors [27, $36,120]$, we suspect the threshold for a rainbow spanning subgraph in (hyper)graph system is asymptotically same with the threshold for a spanning subgraph in a (hyper)graph.

Let $1 \leq d, \ell \leq k-1$. For $n \in(k-\ell) \mathbb{N}$, define $h_{d}^{\ell}(k, n)$ to be the smallest integer $h$ such that every $n$-vertex $k$-graph $H$ satisfying $\delta_{d}(H) \geq h$ contains a Hamilton $\ell$-cycle. Han and Zhao [72] gave the result that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{d}^{k-1}(k, n) \geq\left(1-\binom{t}{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor} \frac{\lceil t / 2\rceil^{\lceil t / 2\rceil}(\lfloor t / 2\rfloor+1)^{\lfloor t / 2\rfloor}}{(t+1)^{t}}+o(1)\right)\binom{n}{t} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \in[k-1]$ and $t=k-d$. In particular, $h_{d}^{k-1}(k, n) \geq(5 / 9+o(1))\binom{n}{2},(5 / 8+$ $o(1))\binom{n}{3}$ for $k-d=2,3$. Lang and Sanhueza-Matamala [105] conjectured that the minimum $d$-degree threshold for $k$-uniform tight Hamilton cycles coincides with the lower bounds in (6.1). This leads to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.1 For every $k \geq 4, \mu>0$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that the following holds for $n \geq n_{0}$. Given a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta_{k-3}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq(5 / 8+$ $\mu)\binom{n}{3}$ for $i \in[n]$, then there is a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

Furthermore, we believe the following holds.
Conjecture 6.2 For every $k, d, \mu>0$, there exists $n_{0}$ such that the following holds for $n \geq n_{0}$. Given a $k$-graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta_{d}\left(G_{i}\right) \geq h_{d}^{k-1}(k, n)+\mu\binom{n}{d}$ for $i \in[n]$, then there is a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

### 6.2. Exact results and the stability in graph and hypergraph systems

For rainbow Hamilton cycles in graph systems, the exact minimum degree threshold is known [77]. It is natural to ask whether exact results also hold for other structures in the rainbow setup, for example,

Question 6.1 Given a graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$, if $\delta\left(G_{i}\right) \geq r n /(r+1)$, does there exist a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow $K_{r}$-factor?

In the non-rainbow setup, exact results can typically be obtained by considering an extremal and non-extremal case separately, where the latter often
gives stability, that is, even a smaller minimum degree condition is sufficient if the graph is far from any extremal construction. For graphs there are also more arguments that also work in the rainbow setup, for example, [111], thus, we can consider the stability in graph and hypergraph systems.

### 6.3. Rainbow structures in random graph systems

Ferber, Han and Mao [53] gave the following results in random graph systems.

Theorem 6.1 ([53]) For any $\varepsilon>0, p=w(\log n / n)$ and a random graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 2]}$ on $V$ where $n$ is even, if each $G_{i}$ is independent sample of $G(n, p)$ on the same vertex set $[n]$, then the following holds with high probability. For every spanning subgraphs $H_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ with $\delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\varepsilon) n p$, then there is an $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 2]}$-rainbow perfect matching.

Theorem $6.2([53])$ For any $\varepsilon>0, p=w(\log n / n)$ and a random graph system $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ on $V$ where $n$ is even, if each $G_{i}$ is independent sample of $G(n, p)$ on the same vertex set $[n]$, then the following holds with high probability. For every spanning subgraphs $H_{i}$ of $G_{i}$ with $\delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geq(1 / 2+\varepsilon) n p$, then there is an $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n / 2]}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

Later, Anastos and Chakraborti [12] determined the threshold for the existence of a rainbow Hamilton cycle in a collection of random subgraphs of Dirac graphs in various settings.

Theorem 6.3 ([12]) There exits a constant $c$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ is an n-vertex graph system and for every $i \in[n], \delta\left(G_{i}\right) \geq n / 2$, where $p=w(\log n / n)$. Then with high probability, there exist $a \boldsymbol{G} \cap G(n, p)$ rainbow Hamilton cycle.

Theorem 6.4 ([12]) There exits a constant $c$ such that the following holds. Suppose $G$ is and $n$-vertex graph with $\delta(G) \geq n / 2, p=w(\log n / n), \boldsymbol{G}=\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i \in[n]}$ is an $n$-vertex random graph system, where each $G_{i}$ is independently distributed as $G_{p}$, for $i \in[n]$. Then with high probability, there is a $\mathbf{G}$-rainbow Hamilton cycle.

Based on these results, we can consider the rainbow factors, rainbow trees in random graph system.
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