

# Valorisation of CO2 capture agents for soil decontamination

Clara Tosi

## ▶ To cite this version:

Clara Tosi. Valorisation of CO2 capture agents for soil decontamination. Organic chemistry. Université de Lyon, 2022. English. NNT: 2022LYSE1010 . tel-04642675

## HAL Id: tel-04642675 https://theses.hal.science/tel-04642675

Submitted on 10 Jul2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



N°d'ordre NNT : 2022LYSE1010

#### THESE de DOCTORAT DE L'UNIVERSITE DE LYON Opérée au sein de L'Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1

Ecole Doctorale N° 206 Chimie, Procédés, Environnement

Spécialité de doctorat : Chimie Discipline : Chimie Organique

Soutenue publiquement le 28/01/2022, par :

Clara Tosi

## Valorisation of CO<sub>2</sub> capture agents for soil decontamination

Devant le jury composé de :

Amgoune, Abderrahmane El Khamlichi, Aicha Lebigue-Julcour, Carine Legrand, Yves-Marie

Professeur, Université Lyon 1 Ingénieure, ADEME Directrice de recherche CNRS Ingénieur de Recherche CNRS Examinateur Examinatrice Rapporteur Rapporteur

Leclaire, Julien Barnes-Davin, Laury Professeur, Université Lyon 1 Directrice R&D, VICAT Directeur de thèse Co-Directrice de thèse

## Université Claude Bernard – LYON 1

Président de l'Université	M. Frédéric FLEURY
Président du Conseil Académique	M. Hamda BEN HADID
Vice-Président du Conseil d'Administration	M. Didier REVEL
Vice-Président du Conseil des Etudes et de la Vie Universitaire	Mme Céline BROCHIER
Vice-Président de la Commission de Recherche	M. Petru MIRONESCU
Directeur Général des Services	M. Pierre ROLLAND

### **COMPOSANTES SANTE**

Département de Formation et Centre de Recherche en Biologie Humaine	Directrice : Mme Anne-Marie SCHOTT
Faculté d'Odontologie	Doyenne : Mme Dominique SEUX
Faculté de Médecine et Maïeutique Lyon Sud - Charles Mérieux BURILLON	Doyenne : Mme Carole
Faculté de Médecine Lyon-Est	Doyen : M. Gilles RODE
Institut des Sciences et Techniques de la Réadaptation (ISTR)	Directeur : M. Xavier PERROT
Institut des Sciences Pharmaceutiques Biologiques (ISBP)	Directrice : Mme Christine et VINCIGUERRA

### **COMPOSANTES & DEPARTEMENTS DE SCIENCES & TECHNOLOGIE**

Département Génie Electrique et des Procédés (GEP)	Directrice : Mme Rosaria FERRIGNO
Département Informatique	Directeur : M. Behzad SHARIAT
Département Mécanique	Directeur M. Marc BUFFAT
Ecole Supérieure de Chimie, Physique, Electronique (CPE Lyon)	Directeur : Gérard PIGNAULT
Institut de Science Financière et d'Assurances (ISFA)	Directeur : M. Nicolas LEBOISNE
Institut National du Professorat et de l'Education	Directeur : M. Pierre CHAREYRON
Institut Universitaire de Technologie de Lyon 1	Directeur : M. Christophe VITON
Observatoire de Lyon	Directrice : Mme Isabelle DANIEL
Polytechnique Lyon	Directeur : Emmanuel PERRIN
UFR Biosciences	Administratrice provisoire : Mme Kathrin GIESELER
UFR des Sciences et Techniques des Activités Physiques et Sportives (STAPS)	Directeur : M. Yannick VANPOULLE
UFR Faculté des Sciences	Directeur : M. Bruno ANDRIOLETTI

#### Acknowledgments

There are many people I need to thank for this accomplishment, which is not only mine but partially theirs too.

I would like to thank the CSAp group Florent, Laurent, Julien for welcoming me and teaching me the fine and frustrating art of scientific research. Julien, I thank you sincerely for giving me the opportunity of this thesis, when it seemed I could go no further in chemistry. To the fellows PhDs Fanny, Benji, Alex, Francesca, Maxime, Marc and Tituoan, thank you for making life in the lab so fun, and scientific and personal discussions so interesting.

Francesca, Bronsina mia, we have accomplished so much. Having you at my side during this rollercoaster of emotions, known as the PhD, was the greatest gift. Thank you for the support and for the many, many laughs.

To *La Mif*, the weirdest group of Italians (and one French) anybody could find in Lyon. Kevin, Matilde, Carolina, Paolo & Paolo, Francesco, the PhD would not have been the same without you and our ateliers, I am so very glad to have met you all.

To my friends and family, thank you for being my support system throughout the years, the sorrows and the joys, regardless of how many borders were separating us.

To Simona, lifelong chemistry partner, from the roofs of La Sapienza to Marseille, Amsterdam, Graz and Lyon, the world is ours, sweetie. To Francisco, long-lost brother, thank you for everything, from walking barefoot in Marseille to surprising me at the wedding.

To Kostia, my husband, my слоник, my love. Thank you for supporting my brightest moments just as lovingly as my darkest, you are and always will be my greatest source of marvel.

To my Father, and my Mother. Thank you for listening to my chemistry related speeches at every dinner, thank you for not understanding that a mass spectra is not a bunch of ghosts and thank you for giving me the tools to always be creative and artistic in life.

The mermaid in this thesis goes to you, miei piccoli amici piumati.

## Table of contents

Résumé en Français13			
Nome	enclati	ure and abbreviations15	
Gener	ral Inti	roduction	
Chapt	er 1 Ir	ntroduction19	
1 A	gene	ral introduction on CO <sub>2</sub> 20	
1.1	Ca	rbon Capture and Storage (CCS)21	
1.2	Op	perational and Capital Expenses (OPEX and CAPEX)	
1.3	Ca	rbon Capture Utilization (CCU)25	
1.4	Ca	rbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS)27	
1.5	Int	tegrated CCUS	
1.6	Ро	ost combustion amine scrubbing29	
1	.6.1	Absorption	
1	.6.2	Desorption	
1	.6.3	First generation solvents	
1	.6.4	Second generation solvents	
1	.6.5	Polyamines and $\alpha\text{-}amino$ acids as substitutes for MEA	
2 N	linera	al carbonation	
2.1	In	situ mineral carbonation	
2.2	Со	onventional <i>ex situ</i> mineral carbonation	
2.3	Int	tegrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM)47	
3 D	epollu	ution of soils	
3.1	Bio	ological depollution techniques	
3.2	Ch	nemical depollution techniques53	
4 O	bjecti	ive of this thesis	
Chapt	er II C	$CO_2$ capture by polyamines and L- $\alpha$ -amino acids	
Object	tive		

1	Sta	te of	the art	. 59
	1.1	Ger	neral properties of polyamines and $\alpha$ -amino acids	. 59
	1.2	Pro	perties of polyamines and $\alpha$ -amino acids as CO $_2$ capture agents	. 61
2	Dy	nami	c Combinatorial Chemistry	. 69
3	Ge	neral	methods	. 71
	3.1	Spe	ciation by <sup>1</sup> H, <sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR	. 71
	3.2	Сус	lic Capacity	. 72
	3.3	Pov	ver Compensated Calorimetry (PCC)	. 73
4	Res	sults	and discussion	.74
	4.1	Eth	ylenediamine (EDA)	. 74
	4.1	.1	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR speciation	. 75
	4.1	.2	Cyclic capacity	. 78
	4.1	.3	Power Compensated Calorimetry	. 80
	4.2	Die	thylenetriamine (DETA)	. 81
	4.2	.1	<sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR speciation	. 82
	4.2	.2	Cyclic capacity	. 86
	4.2	.3	Power Compensated Calorimetry	. 88
	4.3	Gly	cine	. 90
	4.3	.1	<sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR speciation	. 90
	4.3	.2	Cyclic capacity	. 92
	4.3	.3	Power Compensated Calorimetry	. 93
	4.4	L-Ly	/sine	. 93
	4.4	.1	<sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR speciation	. 94
	4.4	.2	Cyclic capacity	. 96
	4.5	L-C	ysteine	. 97
	4.5	.1	<sup>13</sup> C quantitative NMR speciation	. 98
	4.5	.2	Cyclic capacity	. 99

	4.6	L-Aı	ginine	. 100
	4.	6.1	Cyclic capacity	. 101
	4.7	L-As	spartic Acid	. 102
	4.	7.1	Cyclic capacity	. 103
5	Сс	onclusi	ons to chapter 2	. 105
С	hapte	er 3 Int	tegrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM)	. 107
С	bject	ive		. 108
1	St	ate of	the art	. 109
	1.1	IAN	I with industrial amines	. 111
	1.2	IAN	I with αAA	. 114
	1.3	Me	tal leaching and carbonation by mechanochemistry	. 117
2	Μ	lethod	S	. 118
	2.1	Stu	dy of metal-amine-CO $_2$ homogeneous system by NMR speciation	. 118
	2.	1.1	Amplification Factors	. 119
	2.2	Stu	dy of metal-amine-CO <sub>2</sub> heterogeneous system	. 120
	2.3	IAN	l by mechanochemistry	. 120
	2.	3.1	Liquid Assisted Grinding (LAG)	. 121
	2.	3.2	Neat Grinding	. 122
3	Re	esults a	and discussion	. 123
	3.1	Infl	uence of the metal on $CO_2$ -amines systems by speciation	. 123
	3.	1.1	Polyamines-MgCl <sub>2</sub> : homogeneous system	. 123
	3.	1.2	$\alpha\text{-Amino}\ acids\text{-MgCl}_2$ : homogeneous system	. 126
	3.2	IAN	1 of CaCl <sub>2</sub> in solution	. 129
	3.	2.1	DETA-CaCl <sub>2</sub> : heterogeneous system	. 129
	3.	2.2	$\alpha\text{-Amino}\ acids\text{-CaCl}_2\text{:}$ heterogeneous system	. 131
	3.3	Me	chanochemistry	. 134
	3.	3.1	LAG: DETA and MOx	. 134

	3.3	.2	LAG: L-LysK and MgO	143	
	3.3	.3	Neat grinding: αAA and MgO		
	3.3	.4	Neat grinding: αAA and CaO		
4	Cor	nclusio	ons to chapter 3	152	
Ch	apter	4 So	il carbonation and decontamination	153	
Oł	ojectiv	/e		154	
1	Sta	te of t	the art	155	
	1.1	Synt	hetical organic chelating agents	156	
	1.2	αΑΑ	as chelating agents	159	
	1.3	Met	al separation and recovery	163	
2	Des	scripti	ion of the soil used in this study	165	
3	CO	2Met S	Strategy	170	
4	Ger	neral r	methods	172	
5	Res	sults a	nd discussion	175	
	5.1	LAG:	: Water Leaching	175	
	5.2	LAG:	: DETA-CO2	176	
	5.3	LAG:	: GlyK-CO <sub>2</sub>		
	5.4	LAG:	: L-LysK-CO <sub>2</sub>		
	5.5	LAG:	: L-CysK-CO <sub>2</sub>		
	5.6	Scre	ening		
6	Cor	nclusio	on to chapter 4	193	
Ge	General conclusions				
Ex	Experimental section				
1	Ma	aterial	ls	198	
	1.1	Reag	gents and solvents	198	
	1.2	Grav	vimetric Analysis	198	
	1.3	NMF	R	198	

	1.3.	1	Liquid state NMR	198
	1.3.	2	Liquid state NMR D <sub>2</sub> O/DCI	198
	1.3.	3	Solid state NMR	199
	1.4	Pot	entiometric titration	199
	1.5	In s	itu FTIR	199
	1.6	Vol	umetric titrations	199
	1.7	ICP	-OES	
	1.8	CHN	NS analysis	
	1.9	XRD	)	200
	1.10	Calo	orimetry	200
	1.11	Me	chanochemistry	201
2	Me	thod	s	
	2.1	qNN	MR Speciation	
	2.2	qNN	MR Speciation in presence of metals	
	2.3	Pot	entiometric titration	202
2.4 Cyclic Capacity		Сус	lic Capacity	203
	2.4.	1	Absorption step	203
	2.4.	2	Desorption step	203
	2.5	Pov	ver Compensated Calorimetry	203
	2.6	Am	ine-metal heterogeneous systems: carbonation	
	2.7	Soli	d AAS	204
	2.8	Me	chanical grinding	205
	2.8.	1	LAG: Metal Oxides	205
	2.8.	2	LAG: Soils	205
	2.8.	3	Neat grinding	206
	2.9	Seq	uential extraction	206
A	nnexes	5		

1	An	nexes Chapter 2	208
	1.1	Ethylenediamine (EDA)	208
	1.2	Diethylenetriamine (DETA)	209
	1.3	Glycine	210
	1.4	<i>L</i> -Lysine	212
	1.5	L-Cysteine	214
	1.6	L-Arginine	216
	1.7	L-Aspartic Acid	217
2	An	nexes Chapter 3	218
	2.1	EDA and MgCl <sub>2</sub>	218
	2.2	DETA and MgCl <sub>2</sub>	219
	2.3	Glycine MgCl <sub>2</sub>	220
	2.4	L-Lysine and MgCl <sub>2</sub>	221
	2.5	<i>L</i> -Cysteine and MgCl <sub>2</sub>	222
	2.6	LAG: DETA and MOx	223
	2.7	LAG: L-LysK and MgO	225
	2.8	Neat Grinding, MgO, CaO and GlyK-CO <sub>2</sub> (s)	225
	2.9	Neat Grinding, MgO and L-LysK-CO <sub>2</sub> (s)	226
	2.10	Neat Grinding, MgO and L-CysK -CO <sub>2</sub> (s)	226
	2.11	Neat Grinding, CaO and L-LysK -CO <sub>2</sub> (s)	227
	2.12	Neat Grinding, CaO and L-CysK -CO <sub>2</sub> (s)	228
3	An	nexes Chapter 4	228
	3.1	Description of the soil	228
	3.2	Metals omitted	229
	3.3	Extraction rate vs pH	230
В	ibliogr	-aphy	233

#### Résumé en Français

Du fait de l'industrialisation et des besoins énergétiques en croissance, la concentration des gaz à effet de serre est en constante augmentation. Dans les années 2000-2010, 49 Gt de CO<sub>2</sub> ont été émis, dont 78% par des activités industrielles<sup>1</sup>. En 2021, la concentration de CO<sub>2</sub> dans l'atmosphère a atteint 420 ppm<sup>2</sup>. La solution à cette problématique repose certainement sur l'utilisation des énergies renouvelables, mais des technologies capables de réduire les émissions anthropogéniques peuvent aider à minimiser l'effet néfaste des gaz à effet de serre pendant la période de transition.

Pour répondre à cette problématique, nous proposons de développer des technologies CCUS (Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage), impliquant le captage du CO<sub>2</sub> par des solutions d'amines et la carbonatation minérale. C'est dans ce contexte qui se situe ce travail de thèse, où l'objectif principal est de définir et optimiser un système moléculaire, à base d'acide aminés, capable de capter le CO<sub>2</sub>, de transférer le CO<sub>2</sub> au sol pour le carbonater et d'en extraire simultanément les métaux polluants qu'il contient, par lixiviation. Ce travail est donc divisé en deux volets :

- 1. Le captage du CO<sub>2</sub> par des acides aminées en milieu aqueux
- L'utilisation de ces solutions comme extractants pour la décontamination et la carbonatation des sols, en investiguant au préalable leur efficacité pour la carbonatation des métaux, tels que les alcalino-terreux.

Trois acides aminés, la glycine, *L*-lysine et *L*-cystéine, ont été étudiés pour leurs propriétés de captage du CO<sub>2</sub> par une approche de type Chimie Combinatoire Dynamique, en se focalisant sur les adduits carbamates et carbonates formés et ainsi que sur les propriétés structurales qui déterminent leur stabilité.



Figure 1 Adduits potentiel entre acides aminées et  $CO_2$ . a) Sel de bicarbonate de glycine ; b) alpha monocarbamate de *L*-lysine ; c) monocarbamate de *L*-cystéine.

Dans le troisième chapitre ces bibliothèques combinatoires dynamiques, acide aminés-CO<sub>2</sub>, sont étudiées en présence d'un sel de métal soluble, pouvant interagir comme « template »,

ou patron, (MgCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>). L'intérêt est d'observer si le métal possède une affinité envers un ou plusieurs ligands CO<sub>2</sub>-sourcé, qui peut modifier leur distribution au sein du mélange en formant un ou plusieurs complexes stables. Les αAA ont été ensuite testés pour le développement d'un procédé de « Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM) », i.e. pour le captage du CO<sub>2</sub> intégré à la carbonatation minérale d'oxides métalliques. Ces tests ont été effectués par voie mécanochimique liquide/solide et solide/solide.



Figure 2 Example de réaction IAM. Le  $CO_2$  est introduit dans une suspension d'amine et d'oxyde/hydroxyde de métal. Suite à filtration le carbonate métallique, préalablement formé, est séparé de la solution d'amine, qui peut être potentiellement réutilisée pour d'autres cycles de captage/carbonatation minérale.

La dernière partie de ce travail est l'utilisation des solutions d'acides aminés, en présence et en absence de CO<sub>2</sub>, pour la remédiation des sols. L'étude s'est focalisée sur un sol contaminé en Eléments-Traces Métalliques (ETM), provenant des déchets industriels. Cette partie regroupe l'extraction des métaux, le stockage du CO<sub>2</sub> sous forme de carbonate métallique et la régénération de l'agent extractant.



Figure 1 Principe de dépollution des sols par des solution d'amines chargées en CO<sub>2</sub>. Extraction de métaux par complexation d'amine et formation simultanée de carbonates métalliques.

Ce travail de thèse s'inscrit dans le cadre d'une collaboration de recherche et développement industrielle en collaboration avec l'ADEME et l'entreprise Vicat, et vise comme objectif l'utilisation des émissions CO<sub>2</sub> comme ressource pour la carbonatation des déchet industriels, qui pourront ensuite être réutilisés dans la production du ciment.

### Nomenclature and abbreviations

$\alpha$ : CO <sub>2</sub> loading	CHNS: Carbon, Hydrogen, Nitrogen, Sulfur elementary analysis		
δ: chemical shift (NMR)			
$\Delta_r G^{\circ}$ = standard free reaction energy	CKD: Cement kin Dust		
$\Delta_r H^{\circ}$ = standard reaction enthalpy	Cys: Cysteine		
$\Delta_r S^{\circ}$ = standard reaction entropy	D: Deuterium		
$\lambda$ : wavelength	DCC: Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry		
σ: standard deviation	DCL: Dynamic Combinatorial Library		
μ: micro			
$\nu$ : frequency of vibration (FT-IR)			
$\alpha AA: \alpha$ amino acid	DETA: Diethylenetriamine		
AAS: Amino Acid Salts	<b>DPTA</b> : Diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid		
AF: Amplification Factor	ds: dried soil		
ADA: N-2-(acetamido)iminodiacetic acid	€: euros		
Ala: Alanine	EDA: Ethylenediamine		
AMP: Amino methyl propanol	EDDS: Ethylenediamine-disuccinic acid		
APCs: amino polycarboxylates			
Arg: Arginine	EDTA: Ethylehediaminetetraacetic acid		
Asp: Aspartic Acid	EOR: Enhanced Oil Recovery		
atm: atmosphere	ETM : Eléments-Traces Métalliques		
°C: Celsius	FT-IR: Fourier-transform Infrared spectroscopy		
$\overline{C}_{P}\text{=}$ specific heat capacity of the solvent (kJ/kg*K)	g: gram		
CAPEX: Capital Expenditures	GHG: Greenhouse Gas		
CC: Cyclic Capacity	Gly: Glycine		
CCS: Carbon Capture and Storage	Gton: Gigaton		
CCU: Carbon Capture and Utilization	IAM: Integrated Amine Mineralization		
CCUS: Carbon Capture Storage and Utilization	ICP-OES: Inductively Coupled Plasma- Optical Emission Spectroscopy		
cEF: complete E factor	i.e.: in example		

h: hour	ppm: part per million	
HM: heavy metal	PTFE: Polytetrafluoroethylene	
K: Kelvin	<b>PZ</b> : Piperazine	
kJ: kilojoule	qNMR: quantitative NMR	
Lys: Lysine	Q <sub>Reb</sub> : reboiler duty (kJ/s)	
M: mol/L	$Q_{Reg}$ : regeneration duty (kJ/kg)	
m: meter	rpm: round per minute	
$\overline{m}_{\rm solv} {=}$ average mass flow rate (kg/s)	\$: dollar	
MC: Mineral Carbonation	s: second	
MEA: Monoethanolamine	SCCO <sub>2</sub> : Super Critical CO <sub>2</sub>	
min: minutes	SOx: Sulphur Oxides	
MHz: MegaHertz	t: time / ton	
mL: milliliters	T: Temperature	
mm: millimeters	TGA-MS: Thermogravimetric Analysis	
mol: moles	coupled with Mass Spectrometer	
NMR: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	TMPCI: Tetramethyl phosphonium chloride	
NOx: Nitrogen Oxides	TMS: Tetramethylsilane	
NTA: Nitrile triacetic acid	W: watt	
OPEX: Operational Expenses	w/w: weight/weight concentration	
P: pressure	WC: Tungsten Carbide	
<i>p</i> : partial pressure	wt%: weight percentage	
PCC: Post Combustion Capture / Power	x: molar fraction	
Compensated Calorimetry	XRD: X-Ray Diffraction	
PDA: Propanediamine	y: year	
pH: potential of hydrogen		

#### **General Introduction**

The constantly uprising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere is urging the development of Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage technologies.

The purpose of this thesis is to develop an integrated carbon capture and mineral carbonation process coupled to the decontamination of a soil, provided by the cement industry. The main objective is to identify a molecular system based on amino acids, which is capable to capture CO<sub>2</sub>, store it permanently as carbonate products into a polluted soil, and simultaneously extract the metal contaminants via leaching techniques.

The first chapter reports the state of the art on carbon capture technologies, focusing on CO<sub>2</sub> capture by amine scrubbing, conventional mineral carbonation as well as Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM), and a general overview of soil remediation techniques.

In the second chapter, the CO<sub>2</sub> capture properties of three amino acids ( $\alpha$ AA), such as glycine, *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine, were investigated via a Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry approach. The study quantified the populations of carbamates and carbonated adducts formed in solution, as well as the structural properties influencing their stability.

The third chapter presents the study of these Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries (DCLs), in the presence of a soluble metal salt (MgCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>), which can act as a "template". The interest of this study resides in determining if the affinity of each metal cation towards one or more library member, modifies their distribution, by forming one or more soluble complexes.

The  $\alpha AA$  were then tested in an Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM) set-up, in which  $CO_2$  capture was coupled with the mineral carbonation of metal oxides. These experiments were conducted by a mechanochemical approach both in solid/solid and solid/liquid conditions.

The fourth and final chapter of this work explores the utilization of amino acids, both under  $CO_2$ -loaded and unloaded forms, as washing solutions for soil remediation. The study focuses on a soil polluted by heavy metals, derived from industrial wastes. In this section, metal extraction  $CO_2$  capture as metal carbonates and amine regeneration are merged and combined in a single, two-pots CCUS process.

## Chapter 1 Introduction

#### 1 A general introduction on CO<sub>2</sub>

During the last decades, the greenhouse effect has dramatically escalated, owed partly to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Due to the uprising industrialization, with ever-growing need for energy, the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission is constantly increasing. Only in the years 2000-2010 were released 49Gt of CO<sub>2</sub>, 78% of which were produced by the industrial processes and fossil fuel combustion<sup>1</sup>. Since 2010 the tendency of carbon dioxide emission has been constantly uprising<sup>2</sup>, until reaching a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration level of 420 ppm in the atmosphere as of 2021 (Fig.1a).



Fig. 1 a) Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration from 1200 to 2018, measured in parts per million (ppm). Atmospheric concentrations - Our World in Data<sup>3</sup>; b) Global average (red) land-sea temperature anomaly from 1850 to 2019, expressed in degrees Celsius (°C). Average temperature anomaly, Global (ourworldindata.org)<sup>4</sup>.

In order to slow down the exponential growth of  $CO_2$  atmospheric concentration, the energy sources for modern way of living should be immediately revolutionized, which currently does not seem realistic.

The most impacting consequence of this continuous CO<sub>2</sub> emissions growth is global warming and, subsequently, climate change. Global warming modifies the average global temperature, influences rainfall patterns, amplifies coastal erosion, lengthens the growing season in some regions and melts ice caps and glaciers, presenting the most dreadful prospective for the future of humanity.

In Fig.1b is represented the variation of the average temperature (red line), in comparison to the period from 1961 and 1990, represented by the 0 °C baseline. The impressive increase in  $CO_2$  concentration in the atmosphere since the beginning of the industrialized era (Fig.1a) and

the rise of average temperature from 1980 until now (Fig.1b) are extremely off-putting and point to the worldwide necessity for radical actions.

If the long-term solution undoubtedly relies on the use of renewable energies, new developing technologies based on reducing anthropogenic emissions will help to stay on course during the transition time<sup>5</sup>.





Carbon dioxide emissions from energy and material production can arise from various sources and fuel type: coal, oil, gas, cement production and gas flaring, as shown in Fig.2.

As production of coal and oil fuels represent the two most important sources of CO<sub>2</sub>, the research for reducing GHG emissions focuses on finding valuable environmentally friendly alternatives to those energy sources, as well as reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the atmosphere.

Several processes targeting those goals fall into the classification of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU), and Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS).

#### 1.1 Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS)

Carbon Capture and Storage technologies focus on the carbon dioxide cycle, which includes its separation from flue gases, its compression at 20 bars and lastly its transportation to its final storing location.

Flue gases are composed of around 15% of carbon dioxide mixed with inert N<sub>2</sub>, as well as sulfur and nitrogen oxides (SOx and NOx). In order to perform an effective carbon capture step, the reactive oxides of sulfur and nitrogen have to previously be separated from the mixture, for instance via an alkaline scrubber<sup>7</sup> (eq.1-3).

$$CaCO_{3} + SO_{2} \longrightarrow CaSO_{3} + CO_{2}$$
(1)  
$$Ca(OH)_{2} + SO_{2} \longrightarrow CaSO_{3} + H_{2}O$$
(2)  
$$4NH_{3} + O_{2} + 2NO_{2} \longrightarrow 3N_{2} + 6H_{2}O$$
(3)

Within the different methods for CO<sub>2</sub> capture currently investigated, is possible to find *calcium looping*<sup>8</sup>, *pre-combustion* and *post combustion*<sup>9</sup>.

*Calcium looping* uses calcium oxide to extract  $CO_2$  to form CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The carbonated product is then calcinated at 950 °C, producing a highly concentrated  $CO_2$  gas flow and regenerating CaO, which can be reused for further capture cycles (eq.4)<sup>10-12</sup>.

flue gas  
CaO 
$$\leftarrow$$
 CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $\xrightarrow{950^{\circ}C}$  CaO (4)  
CO<sub>2</sub>

The *pre-combustion capture* involves the separation of carbon dioxide from fossil fuel, or biomass fuel, before the combustion step. A first step of partial oxidation of fossil fuel leads to the formation of syngas (eq.5), which is rich with carbon monoxide and hydrogen.

$$C_{x}H_{y} + (x/2)O_{2} \longrightarrow xCO + (y/2)H_{2}$$
(5)  

$$CO + H_{2}O \longrightarrow CO_{2} + H_{2}$$
(6)

Syngas then reacts with water vapor to form  $CO_2$  (eq.6), which is then separated from the gas mixture<sup>13</sup>. *Pre-combustion* capture is cheaper than *post-combustion* capture by 21–24% <sup>14</sup>, nevertheless its implementation in industrial plants is still in progress due to the complexity of the set-up<sup>15</sup>.

Finally, *post combustion capture* separates CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases with the use of chemical solvents<sup>16</sup>, usually aqueous alkanolamines, which are then regenerated by stripping carbon dioxide via high temperature desorption, a process which will be furtherly discussed in section 1.3 (page 26).

After the capture step, carbon dioxide is carried to its final storing site. Due to the large volumes of CO<sub>2</sub> involved, the gas is transported from the capture plant to the storing site via pipeline<sup>17</sup>. For logistical and economical reason, CO<sub>2</sub> is compressed at 20 bars and converted from gaseous to supercritical state.

The final storing site should be able to contain large quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>, to deal with the 35 Gton of carbon dioxide emitted each year<sup>18</sup>, and should ensure a long-term sequestration<sup>16</sup>. At present day there are two possible storage solutions: *ex situ* mineral carbonation and *in situ* geological storage. While the former will be furtherly discussed in section 2 of this chapter, the latter is depicted in Fig.3.



Fig. 3 Geological storage options for CO<sub>2</sub>: unmineable coal seams, saline water-saturated reservoirs and oil reservoirs (Enhanced Oil Recovery).

Geological storage is considered the most mature option for the isolation of  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere. It consists in injecting gas, liquid or supercritical  $CO_2$  into oil reservoirs, saline aquifers or coal bed formation which are usually located at 800-1000m underground. Several pilot-scale and industrial-scale projects have already been developed and the Sleipner project, which stores approximately 1 million tons of  $CO_2$  annually, has been in operation since 1996<sup>17</sup>. Studies showed that a well-regulated storage presents few risks of leakage (less than 0.0008%/year) and enables a long-term storage for  $CO_2$ , over 10000 years<sup>19-20</sup>. In addition, the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  oxides and silicates in a geological reservoir allow the transformation of carbon dioxide in magnesium and calcium carbonates via mineral carbonation reaction (eq.7-10)<sup>21</sup>.

$$CaO + CO_2 \longrightarrow CaCO_3$$
 (7)

$$MgO + CO_2 \longrightarrow MgCO_3$$
 (8)

$$CaSiO_3 + CO_2 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow CaCO_3 + H_4SiO_4$$
(9)

$$Mg_{3}Si_{2}O_{5}(OH)_{4} + 3CO_{2} + 2H_{2}O \longrightarrow 3MgCO_{3} + 2H_{4}SiO_{4}$$
 (10)

$$H_4 SiO_4 \longrightarrow SiO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (11)

The extent of the CO<sub>2</sub>-water-mineral interaction depends on the pressure, temperature and metal abundance of the storing site. These factors can inhibit the gas-solid or liquid-solid mass transfer, slowing the mineral carbonation rate<sup>22</sup>. In addition, the formation of passivating silica layers (eq.11) within the minerals, furtherly hinders the reaction. Mineral carbonation is the only downhill pathway involving CO<sub>2</sub> as a reactant, since the enthalpies of formation of carbonates are between -179<sup>23</sup> and -118<sup>24</sup> kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> (calcium carbonate and magnesium carbonate, respectively). In current times, geological storage is one of the only technologies capable to meet the Gt scale of storage <sup>16</sup>.

#### 1.2 Operational and Capital Expenses (OPEX and CAPEX)

Although many different capture plant configurations and technologies have already been developed, the post-combustion carbon capture step still presents prohibitive costs<sup>8</sup>. These costs are usually divided into operational expenses, OPEX, and capital expenses, CAPEX.



Fig. 4 Operational expenses (OPEX) and Capital expenses (CAPEX) of a post-combustion carbon capture plant. Reproduced from Marocco *et al.*, 2019<sup>25</sup>.

In general, OPEX indicate the energy costs required for the separation of  $CO_2$  from flue gases. If the capture plant itself needs an important amount of energy to run, it will force a higher production of coal, higher  $CO_2$  emissions and so on. Taking a Post-Combustion amine-

scrubbing capture plant as an example, the regeneration step of the absorber represents around 50% of the operational costs while 30% is used for the compression of carbon dioxide<sup>26, 27</sup>.

On the other hand, CAPEX correspond to the costs of the capture infrastructure. They include the cost of the capturing solvent, the material of the set-up which should resist to high temperature and corrosion form acid gas and basic amine, as well as the plant size<sup>28</sup>. The latter is related to the chemistry of the process. Henry's Law states that the dissolution of a gas (CO<sub>2</sub>) into a liquid (amine sorbent) is directly proportional to its partial pression over the liquid. Since CO<sub>2</sub> capture is performed at atmospheric pressure, the contact surface between liquid and gas must be wide to ensure high capture performances, which consequently means large plants.

In 2018 the price of CO<sub>2</sub> capture by monoethanolamine (**MEA**) in a post-combustion capture facility was 50\$/ton of  $CO_2^{27}$ , while the carbon tax in France was around 45\$/ton of  $CO_2$ .

This economic gap lowers the interest of industries to construct  $CO_2$  capture plants, which could instead be promoted by introducing an additional economic incentive in the CCS process. In this context can be introduced Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU) and Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS).

#### 1.3 Carbon Capture Utilization (CCU)

CCU refers to the use of captured-CO<sub>2</sub> as a feedstock for industrial and chemical processes to yield valuable carbon-containing products<sup>8</sup>.

As shown in Fig.5b CCU technologies can vary from chemical synthesis to food and beverage industry. For the latter  $CO_2$  can be used as a carbonating agent for beverages, packaging gas for food preservation and, in its supercritical state, for the extraction of caffeine and cannabis from seeds and beans<sup>29-30</sup>.



Fig. 5 a) Number of active CCU plants and their repartition between Geological storage (26), Enhanced Oil Recovery (28) and other combined cycles (5) in 2020; b) number of operational (blue) and under development (orange) CCU plants for different  $CO_2$ -products in 2020. Reproduced from: https://co2re.co/FacilityData.

Furthermore, algae such as *Dunaliella salina* or seaweed<sup>31</sup> can be used for CCU processes. The algae can fix CO<sub>2</sub> from three sources: atmosphere, flue-gases and soluble carbonates. Carbon dioxide is transformed into glucose via photosynthesis, increasing the algae growth. The biomass can later on be harvested, dried and used for the production of biofuels<sup>32</sup>. In 2008 the cost of dried biomass was estimated at  $2.55 \notin$ /kg, which was considered too high to justify biofuel production<sup>32</sup>.

 $CO_2$  can also be used as a synthon for the chemical synthesis of polymers, cyanate<sup>33</sup>, carbamates or hydrocarbons<sup>34</sup>. As an example, in eq.12 is reported the synthesis of a five-membered cyclic carbonate, which can be used as solvents or for further reactions with ammonia to yield polyurethane<sup>33, 35</sup>.

$$CO_{2} + \underset{R_{4}NX}{R} \xrightarrow{R} O_{0} O \qquad (12)$$

Carbon dioxide can be used for the catalyzed synthesis of methanol starting from syngas (CO,  $H_2$ , CO<sub>2</sub>), a reaction studied and performed for many years already<sup>36</sup>. Another example is the industrial synthesis of urea<sup>37</sup> (eq.13, 14):

$$CO_{2} + 2NH_{3} \longrightarrow O_{H_{2}N} O^{-}NH_{4}^{+}$$
(13)  
$$O_{H_{2}N} O^{-}NH_{4}^{+} \longrightarrow O_{H_{2}N} O^{-}NH_{2}^{+} H_{2}O$$
(14)

It is one of the industrial examples of the largest conversion of  $CO_2$  into valued products, with a production of  $1.5*10^8$  t/year and a consumption of carbon dioxide of  $1.12*10^8$  t/year<sup>37</sup>.  $CO_2$ is also used in its supercritical form (SCCO<sub>2</sub>) for the synthesis of salicylic acid, in presence of a base catalyzer (eq.15)<sup>38</sup>:



Finally, in Table 1 are reported the consumption of CO<sub>2</sub> to produce Urea, salicylic acid and cyclic carbonates and the market shares of those products.

Table 1 Production of Urea, Methanol and Carbonates with respective  $CO_2$  use expressed in ton/year (t/y). Data provided by Marocco *et al.*<sup>25</sup>

Compound	Production (t/y)	CO <sub>2</sub> consumption (t/y)	Market share
Urea	1.5*10 <sup>8</sup>	1.12*10 <sup>8</sup>	75%
Salicylic Acid	7.0*10 <sup>4</sup>	3.0*10 <sup>4</sup>	40%
Carbonates	8.0*10 <sup>4</sup>	4.0*10 <sup>4</sup>	50%

#### 1.4 Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS)

A most deployed example of Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage (CCUS) technology is Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) (Fig.8), with 28 operational facilities in 2021 (Fig.5a).

After being captured and compressed, supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is injected in oil reservoirs to enhance oil extraction, resulting in a production increase of 30% to 60% higher than regular oil extraction technologies<sup>39</sup>. In this way carbon dioxide is permanently stored in the geological reservoir<sup>18</sup>. While EOR represents an additional economic incentive for CCS, it also promotes the use of oil-based energy and the increase of anthropogenic emissions.



Fig. 6 Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) technology, from capture to geological storage. The captured and compressed CO<sub>2</sub> is transported via pipeline to an oil reservoir. The injection of CO<sub>2</sub> in the reservoir enhances oil extraction.

Another example of CCUS technology is Enhanced Gas Recovery (EGR) in which CO<sub>2</sub> in injected in depleted gas reservoir for the recovery of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Depleted gas reservoirs present few risks of gas leakage and a wide storing volume of 140 Gt worldwide<sup>40</sup>.

#### 1.5 Integrated CCUS

Integrated CCUS indicates a process in which the CO<sub>2</sub> loaded solution is used to yield new carbamated/carbonated products in a step prior to desorption.

In 2020 our group developed an integrated CCUS pathway coupling CO<sub>2</sub> capture by amine scrubbing and metal separation<sup>41</sup>. A CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solution of Diethylenetriamine was used for the separation of lanthanum, cobalt and nickel contained in lithium batteries. Carbon dioxide is stored as La<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and the metals are separated with high purity (> 97%), providing a potential new way to integrate CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage with metal recovery<sup>41</sup>.

An important example of integrated CCUS is *mineral carbonation*, where ores, tailings, fly ashes, or brines can be transformed into mineral carbonates<sup>25</sup>. The latter can then be integrated in construction fillers, cement additive and other industrial applications.<sup>42</sup> The integrated CO<sub>2</sub> absorption and carbonation of metals and minerals represents one of the three subjects of this work and will be furtherly discussed in section 2 of the introduction.

#### 1.6 Post combustion amine scrubbing

Post combustion amine scrubbing is one of the most deployed technology for  $CO_2$  capture. The basic process of amine scrubbing, patented by Bottoms in 1930<sup>43</sup>, aimed at removing H<sub>2</sub>S and CO<sub>2</sub> from natural and fuel gases using Piperazine (**PZ**)<sup>44</sup>. As shown in Fig.7, in the first steps the fuel passes through the boiler and the alkaline sulfur removal step (eq.1,2,3, page 23). The resulting gas mixture is then cooled at 40 °C and introduced in the absorber tank. There, the sorbent is loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>-rich sorbent) and transferred to the stripper where the solution is heated at 100-120 °C. After the thermal-desorption step, the pure  $CO_2$  gas flow is compressed and the regenerated amine (CO<sub>2</sub>-lean sorbent) is reintroduced in the absorber tank<sup>7</sup>.



Fig. 7 Post-combustion capture system. The fuel is introduced in the boiler and transferred to an alkaline washing step for SOx and NOx removal. The gas mixture is cooled at 40 °C and introduced in the  $CO_2$  absorber where is captured by the amine solution. The  $CO_2$ -rich sorbent is transferred in the stripper, the elevated temperature (100-120 °C) enables the desorption of  $CO_2$  with the regeneration of the lean sorbent. Carbon dioxide is finally pressurized at 20 bars and ready to be transferred for further utilization or to its final storing site<sup>45</sup>.

#### 1.6.1 Absorption

In the absorption step, an aqueous solution of amine circulates in the absorber tank to capture  $CO_2$  at ambient pressure and 40 °C. Due to the electrophilic character of the carbon,  $CO_2$  can undergo an attack by a nucleophile or by a Lewis Base:

$$AH + CO_{2} + B \implies ACO_{2}^{-} + BH^{+}$$
(16)  
$$2R_{2}NH + CO_{2} \implies R_{2}NCO_{2}^{-} + R_{2}NH_{2}^{+}$$
(17)  
$$CO_{2} + OH^{-} \implies HCO_{3}^{-}$$
(18)

Eq.16 presents the general nucleophilic attack on carbon dioxide, with abstraction of the proton by a Bronsted base (B). Eq.17 presents a carbamation reaction, where the amine plays the role of both nucleophile and base. The presence of water leads to a carbonation reaction (eq.18), in which the role of the nucleophile is played by the hydroxide, initially present as such or deprotonated by another base<sup>46</sup>.

As every covalent associative process, carbamation and carbonation equilibria display a strongly negative reaction enthalpy. Studies in literature report that the reaction enthalpy for  $CO_2$  capture from flue gases varies from -60/-80 kJ/mol for carbamation, to -45/-50 kJ/mol for carbonation<sup>41, 47-50</sup>. The heat dissipated by the exothermic reaction is then partially recycled in the heat exchanger of the PCC set up. For both pathways the gas capture into a liquid displays a negative entropy (-70/-90 J/K\*mol)<sup>51</sup> due to the loss of free molecules in gaseous state<sup>52</sup>.



Fig. 8 On the left a carbon capture cycle in presence of a nucleophile (AH) and a base, on the right the enthalpy associated with CO<sub>2</sub> capture reactions. Courtesy of Prof. J. Leclaire.

To date, two mechanisms are proposed for carbamation<sup>46</sup>: termolecular and zwitterionic. The termolecular mechanism presents the formation of carbamic acid<sup>53-54</sup> as a transient species (eq.19), which is directly deprotonated by a base (eq.20)<sup>55-56</sup>:

$$R_{2}NH + CO_{2} \xrightarrow{R} N_{N} \stackrel{O}{\downarrow} OH$$
(19)  
$$R_{N} \stackrel{O}{\downarrow} OH + B \xrightarrow{R} R_{2}NCO_{2}^{-} + BH^{+}$$
(20)

For the zwitterionic mechanism, the nucleophilic attack of the amine on carbon dioxide yields an ammonium-carbamate zwitterion (eq.21), which is then deprotonated (eq.22)<sup>57-58</sup>.

$$R_{2}NH + CO_{2} \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c} O \\ H^{+}H^{+}H^{-}H^{-} \\ H^{-}H^{+}H^{-} \\ H^{-}H^{-} \\ H^{-} \\ H^{-}$$

#### 1.6.2 Desorption

As mentioned in the previous section, the capture of gaseous  $CO_2$  presents a negative entropy, hindering the desorption step, while the negative enthalpy of formation of both ammonium carbamates and carbonates favors the capture step. In the operating conditions, the enthalpic gain is higher than the entropic loss. Therefore, Gibb's free energy is negative, resulting in an exothermic spontaneous reaction (eq.23).

$$\Delta G_R^0 = \Delta H_R^0 - T \Delta S_R^0 \quad (23)$$

In order to regenerate the solvent and separate carbon dioxide, the  $CO_2$ -rich solution obtained in the absorption step is transferred to the stripper tank, where carbon dioxide is thermally desorbed at 100-120 °C. The energetic cost of this process is defined as the reboiler duty ( $Q_{reb}$ ) and depends on the heat of desorption, the sensible heat and the heat of vaporization (eq.24)<sup>26</sup>.

$$Q_{Reb} = (n_{CO_2} \Delta H_{CO_2}) + (\bar{m}_{solv} \bar{C}_P (T_{in} - T_{out})) + (n_{vap, H_2O} H_{vap, H_2O})$$
(24)

 $Q_{Reb}$  = reboiler duty (kJ/s)

 $\mathbf{n_{CO_2}} = \text{stripping molar flow rate (kg/s)}$ 

 $\Delta H_{CO_2}$  = heat of CO<sub>2</sub> desorption (kJ/kg)

 $\overline{\mathbf{m}}_{solv}$  = average mass flow rate (kg/s)

 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{P}}$  = specific heat capacity of the solvent (kJ/kg \* K)

 $T_{in} - T_{out}$  = temperature difference in and out of the stripper (K)

 $\mathbf{n_{vap,H_20}} = \text{molar flow rate of vaporised water}$ 

 $H_{vap,H_2O} =$  latent heat of water vaporization

The first component indicates the heat of desorption  $(n_{CO_2}\Delta H_{CO_2})$  required to release CO<sub>2</sub> from the amine solvent. It depends on the capture reaction enthalpy and the quantity of captured CO<sub>2</sub>, also defined as loading (eq.28-31, page 35). The second component  $(\bar{m}_{solv}\bar{C}_P(T_{in} - T_{out}))$  refers to the energy required to heat the solvent circulating in the stripper tank, where  $\Delta T$  is the temperature difference of the solvent entering and leaving the desorption tank. The third component  $(n_{vap,H_2O}H_{vap,H_2O})$  indicates the heat of water vaporization required to regenerate the solvent. Finally, the regeneration duty (Q<sub>reg</sub>, kJ/kg) is calculated dividing the reboiler duty by the molar flow rate (eq.25)<sup>26</sup>.

$$Q_{reg} = \frac{Q_{reb}}{n_{CO_2}} \qquad (25)$$

Considering the enthalpy of formation of ammonium carbamates and carbonates previously discussed, amine sorbents which preferably form the latter should require less energy for the CO<sub>2</sub> stripping/amine regeneration step.

After the desorption, the stripped amine solvent is reintroduced in the absorber tank and pure  $CO_2$  can be compressed at 20 bar for further use or sequestering<sup>52</sup>. In a post combustion capture plant, most of the energy cost of the process comes from the low-temperature (100-120 °C) stripping step for the desorption of  $CO_2$ .

Concerning the industrial limitations of PCC, its main disadvantages are: dimension of the equipment, consumption of water (millions of liter per hour<sup>27</sup>), toxic byproducts deriving from amine degeneration and the disposal of expired solvents<sup>16</sup>. On the other hand, PCC units do not require the construction of new facilities, but they can directly be implemented onto already existing coal-fired power plants.

In order to maximize the advantages of PCC, the scientific community has focused on the determination of the ideal solvent for  $CO_2$  absorption.

The latter should be<sup>59</sup>:

- non-toxic and environmentally friendly. Since amine emissions may occur through the cleaned exhaust gas it is important that the chemicals have low or no environmental effects<sup>60</sup>
- resistant to thermal degradation, to limit the solvent losses
- non-corrosive, to preserve the stainless-steel units and limit metal pollution in the solvent
- have low viscosity, to enhance gas-liquid mass transfer and consequently CO<sub>2</sub> capture
- present fast reaction kinetics with CO<sub>2</sub>, to reach maximum loading in short time spans
- high absorption capacity, to assure an efficient capture process
- low desorption energy requirement, to reduce the overall energy costs (OPEX)
- preferably inexpensive, to limit the CAPEX

#### 1.6.3 First generation solvents

Amine-based scrubbing solvents are divided into first generation (aqueous solution of one amine, most frequently an alkanolamine)<sup>61-62</sup>, and second generation solvents (aqueous solution of mixed amines)<sup>44</sup>. Monoethanolamine (**MEA**) is probably the most extensively studied first generation absorbent used for post combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture<sup>63</sup> which has been implemented into commercial facilities. It is subject to oxidative and thermal degradation<sup>7</sup> into 2-oxazolidone (eq.26) and dihydroxyethylurea<sup>64</sup> (eq.27), occurring during the stripping step, but it is inexpensive (42\$/kg) and presents high initial absorption rates<sup>65</sup> (7.66\* 10<sup>-7</sup> mole/s-Pa-m<sup>2</sup>, for 7M **MEA**)<sup>66</sup>.

$$H_{O} \xrightarrow{H} CO_{2^{-}} \xrightarrow{O} H_{2}O \qquad (26)$$

$$MEA-carbamate \qquad 2-Oxazolidone$$

$$HO \xrightarrow{NH_2 +} HO \xrightarrow{H} CO_2^{-} \xrightarrow{HO} HO \xrightarrow{N} HO \xrightarrow{N} OH (27)$$
  
MEA MEA-carbamate N,N'-di(2-hydroxyethyl)urea

The capture performance of a solvent is measured via several parameters, such as loading  $(\alpha(CO_2))$ . The literature presents several loading definitions<sup>47, 49, 67</sup> resulting in a lack of unity

in the reported data, which complicates the task of solvent benchmarking and impedes a clear comparison between published results.

Hereafter are listed four different expressions of  $\alpha(CO_2)$ :

$$\alpha_{alk}(CO_2) = \frac{moles_{CO_2}}{moles_{alkalinity}} (28)$$

$$\alpha_{R_2NH}^g(CO_2) = \frac{g_{CO_2}}{kg_{solution}} (29)$$

$$\alpha_{R_2NH}^n(CO_2) = \frac{moles_{CO_2}}{moles_{R_2NH}} (30)$$

$$\alpha_N(CO_2) = \frac{moles_{CO_2}}{moles_{R_2NH} * \#N} (31)$$

The  $CO_2$  loading aims at quantifying the carbon dioxide content in an absorbent solution, and it can be expressed per moles of alkalinity (eq.28)<sup>66</sup>, mass of total solution (eq.29)<sup>49</sup>, moles of amine (eq.30) or normalized per moles of nitrogen (eq.31).

Another factor used to compare the efficiency of solvent is the called Cyclic Capacity (CC). The calculation of the cyclic capacity is shown in eq.32:

$$\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{rich} - \alpha_{lean} (32)$$

Where  $\alpha$ (rich) is the loading value after absorption and  $\alpha$ (lean) the loading value after desorption. This parameter helps to determine the capture yield and the productivity of a solvent. Unfortunately, the literature presents heterogeneity cyclic capacity, for many values are determined in different operating conditions (T of absorption/desorption, concentration, partial CO<sub>2</sub>).

For the  $CO_2$  capture mechanism by **MEA** solutions, two moles of **MEA** are needed to fix one mole of  $CO_2$  through carbamation (eq.33), and one mole of **MEA** per mole of carbon dioxide for the carbonation reaction (eq.34). The bicarbonate ion shown in eq.18 can also be obtained by hydrolysis of the carbamate (eq.35).

$$2 \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{NH_2} + CO_2 \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{NH_3^+} + \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{NH_3^+} + \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{CO_2^-} (33)$$

$$HO \xrightarrow{NH_3} + CO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{NH_3^+} + HCO_3^- (34)$$

$$HO \xrightarrow{NH_2} + H_2O \xrightarrow{HO} \xrightarrow{NH_2} + HCO_3^- (35)$$

The carbamation/carbonation distribution of a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solution of **MEA** was investigated by NMR analysis, only to confirm that the carbamate is indeed the most abundant specie. However, the exact share between the ammonium carbamate and carbonate is not yet reported in the literature<sup>68-69</sup>.

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, **MEA** displays some drawbacks, such as thermal and oxidative degradation during the stripping step, and corrosion of the stainless steel tanks (16.44 miles per year at 80 °C with loading 0.2) at high concentration  $(5M)^{70}$ . However, the biggest disadvantage is the high energy requirement for desorption, which is estimated to be around 3.8 MJ/kg of CO<sub>2</sub> captured<sup>61</sup>.

Another first generation solvent is Piperazine (**PZ**)<sup>71</sup>. In a study conducted in 2019, Kim *et al.*<sup>65</sup> investigated the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption of a 3.5M solution of **PZ** at 40 °C, proving a fast rate of 9.12 (mol of  $CO_2/m^{2*}s^*kPa$ )<sup>65</sup>, while Freeman *et al.*<sup>66</sup> determined that the absorption rate of a 8M solution of Piperazine is two times faster than a 7M solution of **MEA** (1.98\*10<sup>-6</sup> and 7.66\* 10<sup>-7</sup> mole/s-Pa-m<sup>2</sup>, respectively)<sup>66</sup>. The presence of two amine groups allows an intramolecular extraction of the hydrogen atom, giving rise to a zwitterionic monocarbamate **PZ-1** (eq.36), as well as dicarbamate **PZ-2** (eq.37), although the latter is usually followed by hydrolysis of the ammonium carbamate in carbonate (eq.35).


Studies have shown that 8M PZ is two orders of magnitude more resistant to oxidative and thermal degradation compared to 7M MEA<sup>67</sup>. Piperazine is also more resistant to temperature, since it can be used up to 150 °C without significant thermal degradation<sup>62</sup>, while MEA presents thermal degradation from 110-125 °C<sup>72</sup>. Finally, the energy required for the regeneration of an 8M solution of Piperazine was estimated at 2.9 MJ/kgCO<sub>2</sub><sup>73</sup>. Despite several advantages, PZ is more expensive than MEA (83  $\epsilon$ /kg compared to 42  $\epsilon$ /kg) and may presents precipitation and solubility issues at high concentrations (8M)<sup>73</sup>.

## 1.6.4 Second generation solvents

Second generation solvents consist in a mixture of two amines, each with one or more performant capture qualities (absorption rate, absorption capacity, low volatility). Second generation solvents were introduced trying to enhance the qualities of single-amine sorbents while reducing their drawbacks. Two examples can be given of such systems: blended solution of **MEA** with diethylethanolamine (**DEEA**), and Piperazine (**PZ**) with Amino methyl propanol (**AMP**) (Fig.9).



Fig. 9 Structures of amines combined in second generation solvents.

Tertiary amines and hindered amines such as **DEEA** and **AMP** capture CO<sub>2</sub> by forming ammonium-bicarbonate salts, thus presenting a slow initial absorption rate. On the other hand, this allows an overall high capture capacity for a total of one mole of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of nitrogen. Furthermore, since the enthalpy of formation of ammonium carbonates is lower compared to that of ammonium carbamates, CO<sub>2</sub> desorption can attend higher extent using sterically hindered amines compared to primary and secondary amines<sup>63, 74</sup>.

As previously mentioned, **MEA** presents a carbamation loading of 0.5 mole CO<sub>2</sub>/mole of amine, while **DEEA** shows a loading of 1 mole CO<sub>2</sub>/mole amine. A study performed by Luo *et al.* in 2016<sup>75</sup> showed that a blended solution of **MEA/DEEA**, with molar ratio of 2.5:2.5 and a

total amine concentration of 5M, presented a 32% increase in absorption capacity compared to single-blended 5M **MEA**<sup>75</sup>.

A study conducted in 2011 by Bruder *et al.*<sup>76</sup>, stated that Piperazine enables faster mass transfer compared to other alkanolamines, and could be used as a promoter for CO<sub>2</sub> capture by **AMP**. In 2016, Rabesteiner *et al.*<sup>77</sup> led a pilot plant study on a mixed solution of 3M **AMP** and 2M **PZ**. Their results showed that the overall CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the second-generation solvent was higher compared to benchmark 5M **MEA** (3 mols of CO<sub>2</sub>/kg of solvent compared to 2.7 mols of CO<sub>2</sub>/kg of solvent) and that the system showed a regeneration energy of 3.15 MJ/kgCO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in an energy saving of 17% compared to the standard solvent 5M **MEA**<sup>77</sup>.

## 1.6.5 Polyamines and $\alpha$ -amino acids as substitutes for MEA

As shown for **PZ**, polyamines can form zwitterionic ammonium carbamates and polycarbamates<sup>78</sup>; in the case of a general  $\alpha, \omega$ -diamine the reaction can be described as eq.38:

$$H_2N \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} NH_2 + CO_2 \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} {}^{+}H_3N \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} NH_2 \stackrel{CO_2}{\longrightarrow} (38)$$

This indicates that, at same concentration, a solution of polyamine may theoretically capture more CO<sub>2</sub> through carbamation, compared to a solution of **MEA**. A key pending question is of course the energy cost of this capture. Primary and secondary polyamines can yield ammonium bicarbonates salts by hydrolysis of carbamates (eq.39), although the reaction is deterred by the high stability of the zwitterionic intramolecular ammonium carbamate.

$$^{+}H_{3}N \overset{f}{\underset{H}{ }} N \overset{CO_{2}^{-}}{\underset{H_{2}O}{ }} \overset{O}{\underset{H_{2}O}{ }} H_{2}N \overset{f}{\underset{n}{ }} NH_{3}^{+} \overset{O}{\underset{O}{ }} \overset{O}{\underset{OH}{ }} (39)$$

In 2017, Muchan *et al.*<sup>79</sup> studied the correlation between the absorption capacity of 2M Ethylenediamine (EDA), Diethylenetriamine (DETA), triethylenetetramine (TETA) and tetraethylenepentamine (TEPA), and the number of amines moieties on the absorbent backbone.



Fig. 10 Polyamines investigated by Muchan et al. 79.

In Table 2 are reported the results obtained by Muchan *et al.*, where it can be observed that the overall loading and the initial absorption rate increase with an increasing number of amine groups <sup>79</sup>. The increasing secondary amine groups present additional reaction sites for the formation of ammonium carbamates and polycarbamates, thus increasing the absorption rate of the amine<sup>80-81</sup>.

Table 2 CO<sub>2</sub> loading at 25 °C, initial absorption/desorption rate, heat duty for solvent regeneration, and enthalpy of absorption for 2M **MEA**, **EDA**, **DETA**, **TETA**, and **TEPA**. Data reported by Muchan *et al.*<sup>79</sup>

R₂NH 2M	α(CO <sub>2</sub> ) (mol CO <sub>2</sub> /mol amine)	In. absorption rate (10 <sup>-2</sup> mol/min)	In. desorption rate (10 <sup>-2</sup> mol/min)	Q <sub>reg</sub> (kJ/mol)	-ΔH (kJ/mol)
MEA	0.55	0.67	1.23	175.72	55.94
EDA	0.95	0.57	1.42	127.55	48.58
DETA	1.33	0.59	2.35	67.01	56.49
TETA	1.66	0.67	2.63	63.14	56.64
TEPA	2.12	0.74	4.14	41.13	70.18

It can be observed that with an increasing number of amine sites the desorption rate increases and the heat of regeneration decreases. The authors suggest the hypothesis that the CO<sub>2</sub>loaded species yielded by **TEPA**, **TETA** and **DETA** are more labile than those yielded by **EDA** and **MEA**, thus require less energy for the desorption of CO<sub>2</sub>. Their hypothesis is partially supported by a study conducted by Ermatchkov *et al.*<sup>82</sup> on a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solution of **PZ** 1.5M. The investigation determined that the enthalpy of formation of **PZ**-monocarbamate (eq.36, page 36) and **PZ**-dicarbamate (eq.37, page 36) was -30.07 kJ/mol and -10.99kJ/mol respectively, therefore showing a lower stability of the dicarbamate. On the other hand, while the **PZ** yields dicationic-dianionic dicarbamate species, **TEPA** could yield more stable zwitterionic polycarbamates. It can be observed that the enthalpy of formation decreases with an increasing number of amine groups, which suggests that the ammonium-carbamates yielded by **TEPA** are more stable than the ammonium-carbamates yielded by **MEA**. The discrepancies in the data reported by Muchan *et al.* could be clarified with an NMR study of

the ammonium carbamate/carbonate species yielded by each amine in the operating conditions.

The repartition between ammonium carbamate and carbonate of a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine can also be influenced by the length of the chain between two primary amine groups. Zhang *et al.* <sup>83</sup> observed that, at same operational conditions, Propanediamine (**PDA**) formed 3.6 times more carbonate compared to Ethylenediamine (**EDA**), concluding that polyamines with longer carbon chains have a higher tendency to form ammonium carbonates, while polyamines with short carbon chains tend to form zwitterionic ammonium carbamates<sup>83</sup>.

In the shortest polyamines the anion/cation couple of the intramolecular zwitterionic carbamate can fold into a seven membered ring (Fig.11). Although five and six membered rings are reported to be more stable for entropic and enthalpic reasons (tension with respect to the ideal tetrahedral configuration and number of frozen degrees of freedom respectively)<sup>84</sup>, this is the most stable and any further chain extensions would attenuate the extra stabilization observed with respect to the intermolecular pairing.

A study published in 2017 by Pike *et al.*<sup>85</sup> discussed the Gibb's free energy loss, principally of entropic origin, caused by the formation of an intermolecular hydrogen bond. Experimentally it was measured to be around 6 kJ/mol<sup>85</sup>. This is basically what is gained when comparing the stability of a zwitterionic intramolecular, versus intermolecular, ammonium carbamate salt bridge.



Fig. 11 a) Favored seven membered ring intramolecular salt bridge of an **EDA** monocarbamate zwitterion, b) disfavored intermolecular salt bridge of a **PDA** monocarbamate.

In longer polyamines, zwitterionic carbamates are not as stable as the ring size increases and its stability decreases. Carbamation becomes less favorable, and the proportion of carbonation increases.

Previously introduced ethylenediamine (EDA)<sup>80</sup> and diethylentriamine (DETA) are studied at laboratory scale as polyamines candidates for commercial CO<sub>2</sub> capture. These were considered as substitutes for MEA due to several advantages, such as higher CO<sub>2</sub> loading and

higher absorption rate. However, they also present some drawbacks, such as high energy for regeneration (-85 kJ/mol for **EDA** 12M, 40 °C)<sup>86</sup> and degradation through oxidation of the amine (to cyclic urea for instance<sup>72,87</sup>).



It is also essential to consider the life cycle analysis, i.e. the footprint associated with the synthesis, use and final disposal of the absorber solvent.

For this reason, many studies have focused on amino acid salts (AAS) as alternative promising candidates for  $CO_2$  absorption. The absorbent solutions have to be produced by deprotonation of neutral amino acids with either an organic or an inorganic base. Compared to industrial amine solvents they can be synthetized from biological sources<sup>88</sup> (i.e. extraction from protein hydrolysates, enzymatic processes, fermentation by microorganisms)<sup>89</sup>.



Fig. 12 Structures of amino acids investigated for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

AAS such as proline, sarcosine and glycine (Fig.12) have only been studied at laboratory scale, but show high absorber efficiency. Glycine, for instance, showed a loading capacity similar to **MEA**<sup>90</sup>, due to the presence of a single primary amine group on its bone structure.

In 1997, Hook<sup>63</sup> reported that amino acid potassium salt solutions such as **GlyK** and **AlaK** have lower volatility compared to alkanolamines, due to the ionic nature of the solutions<sup>91,92</sup>. Unfortunately, the comparison is difficult to make, since the literature presents incomplete and sometimes incoherent studies on volatility of  $\alpha$ AA. In Table 3 are summarized the values reported by the literature for neutral (i.e. zwitterionic) amino acids, compared to **MEA**.

Table 3 Vapor pressure of **MEA**, *L*-lysine (*L*-Lys), *L*-cysteine (*L*-Cys) and *L*-aspartic acid (*L*-Asp) at 25 °C. Values reported by the literature.

Amine	Vapor Pressure (mm Hg)				
MEA	0.404				
<i>L</i> -Lys	5.28*10 <sup>-9 [93]</sup>				
L-Cys	6.73*10 <sup>-7 [94]</sup>				
<i>L</i> -Asp	2.6*10 <sup>-7 [94]</sup>				

Hook also claims that AAs present fewer oxidative degradation issues<sup>63, 95-96</sup> during the removal of acid gases from oxygen-rich gas streams like flue gases in comparison to alkanolamines. On the other hand, thermal degradation of amino acids solution, such as sodium *L*-Sarcosinate, *L*-Alaninate and Glycinate, was proved to be more rapid than **MEA**<sup>90</sup>. In Table 4 are reported the results obtained by Huang *et al.*<sup>90</sup> which show a higher amine loss for amino acids compared to **MEA**.

Table 4 Thermal degradation of amino acid salts compared to MEA, at 2.5M and 135 °C<sup>90</sup>.

Amine	C (M)	T °C	Amine loss after 50h
MEA	2.5	135	3%
Na-Sarcosinate	2.5	135	8%
Na-Alanine	2.5	135	38%
Na-Glycine	2.5	135	58%

An investigation conducted by Weiss *et al.*<sup>97</sup> in 2018, observed four possible degradation products of glycine between 185 °C and 280 °C, shown hereafter in Fig.13.



Fig. 13 Thermal degradation products of glycine for temperatures between 185 °C and 200 °C observed by Weiss *et al.*<sup>97</sup>. a) 1,3-Diazetine-2,4,dione; b) Isomer of Fig.12a, 1,2-Diazetine-3,4-dione; c) 2-Aziridinone; d) intermediate dimer, **Gly-Gly**.

In 2017, Zhao *et al.*<sup>91</sup> indicated that a solution of Potassium Lysinate presents higher loadings than **MEA** (1 mole of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of lysine), explained by the presence of a second primary amine group on the amino acid<sup>82</sup>. In addition, the heat of absorption of a 3.3 M solution of *L*-LysK was estimated at -55/-70 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> which is lower than -80/-85 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> for conventional 5 M **MEA** at 0.1–0.5 loading range (mol CO<sub>2</sub>/mol of amine)<sup>91, 95</sup>.

The carbon capture chemistry of polyamines and amino acids such as EDA, DETA, *L*-lysine, glycine and *L*-cysteine will be furtherly discussed in Chapter 2. In Chapter 3 and 4 the  $CO_2$ 

amine sorbents will be investigated as potential metal chelating and metal mineralization agents for an integrated CCUS process.

## 2 Mineral carbonation

As introduced in section 1.4, mineral carbonation can be listed as an example of CCUS, as it encompasses capture from flue gas and sequestration of  $CO_2$  under the form of carbonates, which can be subsequently commercialized as filling material or cement component. In this perspective, the utilization provides an incentive for capture and sequestering. Mineral carbonation is defined as the reaction between minerals containing alkaline earth metals and carbon dioxide, to yield solid metal carbonates (eq.41, 42):

$$MO + CO_2 \longrightarrow MCO_3 + heat$$
(41)  
$$MSiO_3 + CO_2 \longrightarrow MCO_3 + SiO_2 + heat$$
(42)

Formation of carbonates provides a solution of long-term stable storage<sup>98</sup>, through a thermodynamically downhill pathway<sup>99</sup>. While the enthalpy of formation of ammonium carbamates and carbonates, yielded by CO<sub>2</sub> amine-capture, is around -80kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> and -45kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, it reaches -118kJ/mol for magnesium carbonate and -179 kJ/mol, for calcium carbonate. This underlines the stability of these CO<sub>2</sub> sequestering products (Fig.14).



Fig. 14 Reaction enthalpies from carbon dioxide to the formation of  $CO_2$ -loaded amines and  $CaCO_3$ . Reproduced from Hong *et al.*,  $2020^{23}$ .

The important availability of raw materials, such as magnesium and calcium bearing minerals, constitutes one of the great advantages of mineral carbonation. Natural silicates such as serpentine ((Mg, Fe, Ni)<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>) (100,000Gt)<sup>100</sup>, olivine ((Mg,Fe)<sub>2</sub>[SiO<sub>4</sub>]) and wollastonite

 $(CaSiO_3)$  (90 Mt)<sup>101</sup> (Fig.15) are used both for *in situ* and *ex situ* mineralization since they have low material costs, high reactivity, and can be readily available near CO<sub>2</sub> emission sources<sup>102</sup>.



Fig. 15 a) Serpentine ((Mg, Fe, Ni)<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>), b) olivine ((Mg,Fe)<sub>2</sub>[SiO<sub>4</sub>]), c) wollastonite (CaSiO<sub>3</sub>).

On the other hand, metal oxides (CaO and MgO) are available in alkaline industrial by-products such as fly ash and cement kiln dust (CDK), which production is around 750 million tons and 220 million tons per year, respectively<sup>103</sup>. Studies have reported that 200–300 Mt of CO<sub>2</sub> can be stored annually in alkaline industrial residues<sup>99</sup>, while up to 10,000–1000,000Gt of carbon<sup>42</sup> could be stored by mineral carbonation (Table 5).

Table 5 Sequestration capacity by reservoir type<sup>24</sup>.

Reservoir type	Range of storage capacity (Gt of CO <sub>2</sub> )
Mineral carbonation <sup>42</sup>	10,000 - 1,000,000
Saline aquifers	1000 - 10,000
Oil and gas fields	675 - 900

Mineral carbonation can be conducted *in situ, ex situ* or integrated to carbon capture. These different strategies and pathways will be detailed and discussed hereafter.

## 2.1 In situ mineral carbonation

After capture and compression,  $CO_2$  is stored in its supercritical or fluid state in reactive geologic formations at > 800 m depth. In the presence of  $CO_2$ , the starting mineral substrate slowly dissolves (mineralysis) into soluble metal ions, which are then carbonated. This mineralization step is generally the rate determining step, since the solubilization of metal cations can be impeded by the formation of passivating silica layers (eq.9-10, 43-44). The reactions occurring during the global carbonation process from Mg, Ca, Fe-rich silicate are listed below.

${\rm Mg_2SiO_4}$	+	2CO <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	2MgCO <sub>3</sub>	+	SiO <sub>2</sub>	(43)
Mg <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	+	3CO <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	3MgCO <sub>3</sub>	+	$2SiO_2 + 2H_2O$	(10)
Fe <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	÷	2CO <sub>2</sub>	$\rightarrow$	2FeCO <sub>3</sub>	+	SiO <sub>2</sub>	(44)
CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	+	CO <sub>2</sub>	>	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	+	SiO <sub>2</sub>	(9)

At a depth > 800 m the *in situ* carbonation reaction occurs at around 40 °C - 80 °C and from 74 to 200 bars of  $CO_2^{104}$ .

Two examples of in situ operating sites are the Columbia River flood basalts in Washington State and the Hellisheiði geothermal power plant in southwest Iceland. The former is a pilot demonstration project which stored 1,000 t of pure liquid  $CO_2$  in 2013. The latter currently captures and stores 33% of  $CO_2$  emitted by the Hellisheiði power plant, corresponding to ~12,000 t annually<sup>105</sup>.

## 2.2 Conventional ex situ mineral carbonation

*Ex situ* pathways designate a reaction between either pre-extracted minerals or leached solution of oxides and silicates with carbon dioxide above-ground. Both necessitate rock mining and ore grinding as pre-requisites<sup>24</sup>.

Mineral carbonation can also be divided in direct and indirect carbonation. The former implies a direct reaction between minerals and  $CO_2$  (can be performed *in situ/ex situ*), while the latter requires the upstream extraction of Ca and Mg from raw material followed by the reaction of the leached metals with  $CO_2$  (*ex situ*).<sup>106</sup>

Leaching of the minerals can be performed by chemical means with acids and/or organic ligands, which are reported to improve the mineralysis rate<sup>107</sup>. After dissolution of calcium and magnesium cations, the acidic aqueous solutions are treated with a base, triggering an important pH swing, then with  $CO_2$  to form mineral carbonated salts. The pH swing is necessary to prevent the dissolution of carbonates<sup>98</sup>. A study conducted by Kim *et al.*<sup>106</sup> showed that 10.5 was the optimal end-of carbonation pH to obtain high  $CO_2$  storage via CaCO<sub>3</sub> coherently with the pKa of  $CO_3^{2^-}/HCO_3^{-}$  of 10.32.

The choice of the acid is important, not only regarding its environmental impact, but also for the mineral dissolution efficiency and the overall metal carbonation. The use of strong concentrated acids, such as hydrochloric or nitric, can be problematic since their recovery can

make the overall process energy-intensive<sup>42</sup>. It is also necessary to consider that the use of strong acid dramatically lowers the pH of the resulting solution, thus a fraction of the base needed in the process will be sacrificed to swing back the overall pH of the solution and will not serve as carbon capture agent, while substantial amount of salt will be co-produced by the neutralization.

The use of acetic or citric acid, on the other hand, would provide conjugated bases which will act as chelating agents toward Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, increasing the availability of these cations in solution for further carbonate formation<sup>108</sup>. Several organic chelating agents, such as gluconic acid, **EDTA** (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), oxalic acid, and glutamic acid<sup>109</sup>, were studied for their ability to enhance the dissolution rates and yields of Ca- and Mg-bearing minerals. The conjugated base, i.e. the active form of these ligands is presented in Fig.16.



Fig. 16 Ligands deriving from organic carboxylic acids used for metal dissolution.

It must be mentioned that the choice of the organic chelating agents has to be tailor-made with respect to the mineral composition, in order to avoid the formation of insoluble or highly stable metal-chelant complexes which would overall hinder the carbonation reaction. An example can be given by the oxalate-Magnesium couple for the mineral carbonation of olivine (Mg<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>) discussed by Bonfils *et al.*<sup>110</sup>. The study showed that a solution of olivine and 0.1M disodium oxalate, at 120 °C and 20 bars of CO<sub>2</sub> did not yield MgCO<sub>3</sub>, but rather a Mg-oxalate complex<sup>110</sup>.

Other strategies have been reported where the dissolution and carbonation of minerals was performed in absence of acid and chelating agents, but in presence of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>.

Studies have reported the 85% conversion of  $Mg_2SiO_4$  (olivine) to  $MgCO_3$  (magnesite) at 185 °C, with a CO<sub>2</sub> pressure of 139 atm, for 3h with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, while CaSiO<sub>3</sub> (wollastonite) was converted completely to calcium carbonate at 100 °C, pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (pCO<sub>2</sub>) of 40 atm in distilled water for one hour<sup>99, 109, 111</sup>.

$$Mg_{2}SiO_{4} \xrightarrow{CO_{2}} 2MgCO_{3} + SiO_{2}$$
(45)  
NaHCO\_{3}  
185°C, 3h  
P(CO\_{2})=139 atm

For the carbonation reaction reported in eq.45 it was observed that increasing concentrations of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> promoted higher carbonation rates of olivine (9.5% carbonation for 0.32M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 70% carbonation for 0.64M HCO<sub>3</sub>). Although the precise role of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was not defined by the authors<sup>111</sup>, it is hypothesized that NaHCO<sub>3</sub> plays the role of pH buffer, releasing readily-accessible carbonate ions and promoting further carbonation of olive by carbon dioxide.

A strategy designed by a Finnish group, known as "the ÅA (Åbo Akademi) route" consists in the treatment of serpentine with ammonium salts and  $CO_2^{112}$ .

$$Mg_{3}Si_{2}O_{5}(OH)_{4}(s) + 3(NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}(s) \xrightarrow{} 3MgSO_{4}(s) + 2SiO_{2}(s) + 2H_{2}O(g) + 2NH_{3}(g)$$
(46)  
400°C

$$MgSO_4(s) + 2H_2O(I) + 2NH_3(g) \longrightarrow Mg(OH)_2(s) + (NH_4)_2SO_4(aq)$$
(47)  
$$T_{amb}, P_{amb}$$

$$Mg(OH)_{2}(s) + CO_{2}(g) \xrightarrow{} MgCO_{3}(s) + H_{2}O(g)$$

$$500^{\circ}C$$

$$20 \text{ bar}$$

$$(48)$$

First, a water-soluble Mg sulphate is produced (eq.46), then converted into Mg hydroxide and ammonium chloride by ammonia solution (eq.47).  $Mg(OH)_2$  is finally carbonated in a pressurized reactor (eq.48) achieving > 50% of conversion. In this specific example it was observed than for a same CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure, carbon dioxide could be used either as a pure gas or as a simulated flue gas with no effect on the carbonation rate<sup>112-113</sup>.

This last example shows the possibility to directly use flue gases, rather than purified and compressed  $CO_2$ , for mineral carbonation. This integrated approach would imply that  $CO_2$ -rich solutions could be directly used as  $CO_2$  feedstock without the need of separating, stripping and compressing  $CO_2$ . In the specific case of  $CO_2$  separation by amine scrubbing technology,

the  $CO_2$  rich solution formed in the absorber tank could be used for mineral carbonation via an Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM) approach.

## 2.3 Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM)

The integrated absorption mineralization pathway focuses on the optimization of both the rate-determining mineralization step and the Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage chain. In the IAM process the use of CO<sub>2</sub>-rich solutions as CO<sub>2</sub> feedstock would allow to skip the energy-costly stripping and compressing steps. At the same time, the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded absorbents could act as chelating agents for Calcium and Magnesium, thereby accelerating mineralysis.

In section 1.6.4 of this chapter (page 37) was mentioned that, tertiary or sterically hindered amines produce mostly ammonium carbonates upon CO<sub>2</sub> capture (eq.48). Therefore, when tertiary or sterically hindered amines are led to react with CO<sub>2</sub> and metal oxides (MgO, CaO), the following reaction may proceed:



Fig. 17 Pathway of carbon capture by an aqueous amine solution with formation of ammonium carbonates (eq.49), and transcarbonation of metal oxide CaO into CaCO<sub>3</sub> (eq.50,51), with concomitant regeneration of the amine (eq.52).

First the amine captures  $CO_2$  by forming ammonium-bicarbonate salts (eq.49), then CaO is introduced in the reaction and hydrolyzed into  $Ca(OH)_2$  (eq.50). The bicarbonates are transferred from the ammonium salt to  $Ca(OH)_2$ , yielding  $CaCO_3$  (eq.51). In this step  $HCO_3^-$  are consumed, releasing  $OH^-$  in solution which can regenerate the amine sorbent (eq.52).

In the case of a primary or secondary amine solvent (i.e. **MEA**, **GlyK**) which preferably capture CO<sub>2</sub> as ammonium carbamates, the mechanism is similar:



Fig. 18 Mechanism of carbon capture by an aqueous amine solution with formation of ammonium carbamates (eq.53), hydrolysis of CaO into and its carbonation to  $CaCO_3$  (eq.50,54), accompanied by regeneration of the amine (eq.55).

First CO<sub>2</sub> is captured as an ammonium carbamate by two equivalents of amine (eq.53), then the solution is mixed with CaO which is hydrolyzed into Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (eq.50). The carbamate is then hydrolyzed into bicarbonate and transferred to the alkaline earth hydroxide, yielding CaCO<sub>3</sub>. This reaction simultaneously regenerates one amine equivalent and releases OH<sup>-</sup> in solution (eq.54). Finally, the second amine equivalent is regenerated (eq.55), thus completing the IAM cycle. In case of polyamines such as **EDA** and **DETA** the mechanism is the same, except that only one equivalent of amine would be required to yield the ammonium-carbamate (section 1.6.5, page 38).

For both Fig.18 and Fig.19, the first step is the formation of ammonium carbamates and carbonates in solution, whose chemistry mostly depends on the nature of the amine sorbent. Since  $CO_2$  is an acid gas, the overall pH of the solution decreases by several units in this step (49, 55), potentially going below the pKa of  $CO_3^2$ -/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (10.32 at 25 °C).

The increase in pH which accompanies the mineral carbonation step entails the precipitation of metal carbonates without the need of an additional base treatment<sup>108</sup> (section 2.2, page 45). Furthermore, the regeneration of the capture solvents occurs through an internal pH swing, at 50–75 °C, which is significantly below the thermal regeneration of solvents at 100–120 °C<sup>108</sup>. This enables a 38% reduction of the energy duty, compared with the traditional steam-based regeneration process<sup>102</sup>. Finally, compared to the operating conditions observed for conventional *ex situ* mineral carbonation (400 °C, 139 atm of CO<sub>2</sub>), the IAM pathway allows us to work in mild conditions.

Another advantage of the one-step pathway is that mineral-bearing solvents undergo continuous looping between the CO<sub>2</sub>-rich and lean states, which are respectively neutral and basic from a pH perspective thereby facilitating the accelerated conversion of calcium and magnesium oxides to carbonate.<sup>99</sup>

In the literature, several examples of IAM operate on **MEA**-containing slurries of calcium or magnesium oxides<sup>102, 109, 114-116</sup>. Park's group in Korea obtained a conversion of calcium oxide of 67% using 5M **MEA**, 20wt% of CaO (aq) at 30 °C, stirring for 24h at 220 rpm <sup>116</sup>. Other studies showed complete conversion of CaO into calcium carbonate and 70% conversion of MgO to hydrated magnesium carbonate at 50 °C with 5M **MEA** and 15 wt% solid for a reaction time of 3h<sup>109</sup>.

Table 6 IAM reactions with MEA 5M, with CaO and MgO obtained by Kang et al.<sup>116</sup> and Liu et al.<sup>109</sup>.

R₂NH	C (M)	MOx	C (wt%)	T (°C)	rpm	t (h)	MCO <sub>3</sub>
		CaO	20	30	220	24	67%
MEA	5	CaO	15	50	/	3	100%
		MgO	15	50	/	3	70%

As previously mentioned in section 1.6, **MEA** is a vastly used, yet not optimal, carbon sorbent. An environmentally benign alternative to its use in the IAM processes can be provided by amino acids.

Sodium Glycinate (**GlyNa**) is currently being investigated as a solvent for IAM processes. Experiments ran by Gadikota's group in 2021 showed about 94% conversion of calcium oxide to calcium carbonate at 75 °C in 1.0 M **GlyNa** and a reaction time of 3h, while works with CaSiO<sub>3</sub> and MgO showed a conversion of 31% and 87% in the same reaction conditions<sup>115</sup>.

Table 7 Results reported by Liu *et al.*<sup>115</sup> on the conversion of metal oxides to metal carbonate via IAM reaction with 1M **GlyNa** at 75 °C, 300 rpm for a reaction time of 3h.

R₂NH	C (M)	MOx	C (wt%)	T (°C)	rpm	t (h)	MCO <sub>3</sub>
GlyNa 1		CaO	15	75	300	3	94%
	1	CaSiO₃					31%
		MgO					87%

Liu and Gadikota state that **GlyNa** undergoes multiple CO<sub>2</sub> capture/regeneration cycles in the aqueous phase, increasing availability of aqueous carbon species for carbonate precipitation, thus achieving high mineral carbonation rates<sup>115</sup>. This shows that Na-glycinate is an alternative to aqueous industrial amines for simultaneous CO<sub>2</sub> capture/ mineral carbonation coupled to chemical regeneration of the solvent.

In Fig. 19 are reported the thermodynamic features of the IAM process. The first circle (red) presents the CO<sub>2</sub> capture loop starting from flue gases, while the second circle (blue) shows the metal dissolution loop starting from metal oxides and silicates.



Fig. 19 Thermodynamic features and simplified view of integrated  $CO_2$  absorption and mineralization. Dark blue M: major metals (Mg, Ca); E- = metal extractant; AH B =  $CO_2$  absorbent (incl. base); MOCO<sub>2</sub>: metal carbonate; MO(SiO<sub>2</sub>): base metal oxide or silicate. On the left: base-metal loop, dissolution of major metals from minerals by extractants E and their regeneration upon carbonation. On the right:  $CO_2$  capture loop and absorbent regeneration upon carbonation. Left and right Gibb's enthalpy of formation of  $CO_2$ -loaded products expressed in kJ/mol. Courtesy of Prof. J. Leclaire.

Taking a step back, it can be claimed that the IAM process has significant advantages both compared to classical amine scrubbing and classical mineral carbonation. As per the latter, it reduces the reaction time from days to hours, operating conditions from 500 °C, 139 atm to 40 °C, 1 atm. For the former, it reduces energy duty associated to absorbent regeneration and  $CO_2$  compression. The IAM involves a reduced energy cost, since  $CO_2$  carbonation reaction takes place at a mild temperature of 40 °C, and the heat released from the exothermicity of the mineral carbonation reaction could be reused.<sup>102</sup>

This approach of simultaneous capture, conversion, and storage of  $CO_2$  to carbonates exemplifies the importance and potential impact of integrated pathways.

Mineral carbonation also brings benefits from a circular economy perspective, since it can be applied to both natural resources and industrial waste (fly ash, CDK), valorizing the latter<sup>102, 106</sup>.

A study ran by Zhao's group in Beijing in 2018 confirmed the technical feasibility of an IAM process operating from fly ash, reporting a calcium carbonation extent of 53% using a 2M solution of Piperazine at 40  $^{\circ}C^{102}$ .

In terms of market perspectives, a process converting two wastes stream into a valued product may be implemented in the cement and concrete production chains. In the same paper, Ji states that carbonation of fly ash improves the properties that are requested for a use as an ingredient in cement and concrete<sup>102</sup>. There are indeed several markets for carbonate-based products, such as cement voids filler, cement additive, MgCO<sub>3</sub> boards for construction and stabilizers.<sup>42</sup> This underlines the potential important economic incentive to develop efficient, environmentally sensible IAM processes.

## 3 Depollution of soils

As mentioned in the previous paragraph, cement and concrete industries represent an interesting target for the use of recycled waste materials. Carbonates and siliceous phases, for instance, can be used to produce binding agents, mineral aggregates, and concrete, necessary for commercial construction products (i.e. bricks, pavers, etc).

Within the class of industrial wastes, one can find polluted soils, which can, similarly to fly ash, be turned into valuable and environmentally friendly products. The interest in the use of polluted soils as renewable sources resides in their components, which are silicates, oxides, clays and various metal salts. These are potential substrates for mineral carbonation.

Soils are usually composed of three phases: solid, liquid and gas. The solid material usually presents a width < 2mm and can be constituted of organic or inorganic components. The organic components mainly derive from dead vegetation, and they are usually found in the humus fraction of the soil (organic and topsoil layer, Fig.20). The topsoil also contains clay and iron oxides which can be leached by natural weathering, descending in the subsoil. The latter contains other inorganic constituents such as silicates, carbonates, iron oxides and alkaline earth soluble salts. Finally, the parent material and bedrock are constituted by limestone, sandstone, granite and unweathered rocks. The repartition between those components varies from soil to soil and it is usually determined by XRD analysis.



Fig. 20 Example of the different phases of a soil. Organic layer and topsoil are constituted of organic components, clay and iron oxides, the subsoil contains inorganic matter such as silicates, carbonates and soluble salts while the parent material and the bed rock are mostly constituted of limestone, granite and unweathered rocks.

It is important to define the term "polluted", which covers soils containing organic pollutants or heavy metals. The latter usually includes Hg, Cd, Cu, Ti, Pb, Ni, Mn, Mo and Zn<sup>117-118</sup>.

Polluted sites can also be subdivided into seriously polluted sites, i.e. where the pollutants have penetrated rather far into the soil (30 cm depth from the top soil), and sites where the pollution is mainly present at the surface<sup>119</sup> (within 10 cm from the top soil)<sup>117</sup>.

Pollutants themselves can be subdivided according to their physical state, as they can be found absorbed within the soil, in the liquid or solid state, around or inside soil particles. Knowing the state and distribution of the pollutant facilitates the depollution task, which can be based on biological or chemical methods<sup>118-119</sup>.

## 3.1 Biological depollution techniques

Several strategies of soil depollution can be executed through *in situ* biological techniques, aiming to depollute the soil without removing it from its site. A few of those strategies are listed hereafter:

- Bio-restoration or bio-extraction, wherein organic compounds are decomposed using microbial degradation (fungi, bacteria) and the products pumped up via special wells.
- *In situ* extraction, in which an aqueous extractant is introduced in the contaminated site. The soluble pollutants are then dissolved and pumped up from the site.

 Phytoremediation, wherein the inherent abilities of living plants to remove pollutants from the environment is used to reduce the volume, mobility, or toxicity of contaminants within soil, groundwater, or other contaminated media<sup>120</sup>.

Phytoremediation, gathers different pathways such as phytoextraction, or phytoaccumulation. Through this strategy, the metal contaminants initially present in the soil are transferred from the roots to the upper compartments of the plants. It is primarily used for the removal of Ni, Co and Zn from contaminated soils<sup>104</sup>.

## 3.2 Chemical depollution techniques

There are different chemical depollution techniques developed for industrial use. It is possible to divide them in two subcategories: *in situ* and *ex situ*. The former includes:

- Solidification/stabilization. Building agents or chemical reactants are used to immobilize the pollutant in static mass or in a stabilized chemical form to inhibit the leaching process<sup>121</sup>.
- Extraction using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> (SCCO<sub>2</sub>). Charged metal species are converted into neutral metal complexes by organic ligands, which are soluble in SCCO<sub>2</sub><sup>122</sup>.

Supercritical carbon dioxide (SCCO<sub>2</sub>) is a good solvent for soil cleaning, since it is safe and has a good penetration into pores. Unfortunately, further specifications about pore sizes is not easily accessible in the literature.

*In situ* operations have lower implementation costs<sup>121</sup> but are not always applicable, especially if the contamination is deep (30 cm under the surface) or the surface too extended<sup>119</sup>. *Ex situ* operations on the other hand are expensive, due to the step of polluted soil excavation, but is compatible with broader range of treatments.

Within those can be found<sup>119, 123</sup>:

- Thermal decontamination, where pollutants are evaporated to a gas phase at temperatures between 150 and 700 °C and then destructed and completely removed.
- Extraction/wet classification, where the soil is washed with water/acid treatments, followed by separation of clean soil particles and treatment of the extractant.

Soil washing with chelating agents, same principle as extraction but with the use of
organic chelating agent with high potential extraction efficiency and a specificity for
heavy metals.

There are several studies involving this last technique, which benchmark the variety of organic chelating agent eligible for soil decontamination.

For metals such as lead, zinc and copper, studies showed high extraction performance by **EDTA**, citric acid (Fig.15), **DPTA** (diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid) and **ADA** (N-2-(acetamido)iminodiacetic acid) (Fig.21)<sup>123</sup>.



Fig. 21 Chemical structure of **DPTA** (diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid) and **ADA** (N-2-(acetamido)iminodiacetic acid).

It must be noted that all the conventional soil washing mentioned above produce large amounts of secondary wastes. The use of acidic solutions, or organic synthetic chelatant results in an extraction of polluting metals, while simultaneously polluting the environment. The addition of extracting solution *ex situ* demand further treatments of separation and purification, resulting in expensive and usually tainting steps.

Since soil plays a crucial and strategic life-supporting role, there is a need for an environmentally friendly technology for soil decontamination, while preferably creating resources rather than wastes and by-products.

## 4 Objective of this thesis

The objective of this thesis is to design and optimize a sustainable technology which simultaneously captures CO<sub>2</sub>, stores it into a soil and extracts its metal contaminants. To tackle this challenge, the work was divided into two main tasks:

- 1. The study of carbon capture and mineralization by amino acid-based solvents in aqueous media
- 2. The use of such sorbents on soil samples, to investigate their extraction efficiency as well as their capacity to carbonate its alkaline metal matrix

This work is the first brick of a wider project developed in collaboration with ADEME and the Vicat cement industry (France). The prospect is to use carbon emissions from cement industries to depollute, and concomitantly carbonate soils of industrial sites, which may be subsequently reintegrated in the cement production chain.

The outline of the manuscript will follow the order proposed in the introduction: first, we will summarize the state of the art and detail the results gathered for amine-based sorbents; then we will investigate the efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine sorbents for mineral carbonation on monometallic substrates. Finally, we will analyze the extraction/carbonation efficiency of loaded and unloaded sorbents on a real system, such as a sample of polluted soil.



# Chapter II CO<sub>2</sub> capture by polyamines and *L*-α-amino acids

## Chapter 2 Objective

The second chapter of this thesis will focus on polyamines and AAs as CO<sub>2</sub> capture agents. A thorough investigation of the literature will be depicted, focusing on the characteristics of the chosen amines, as well as their CO<sub>2</sub> capture properties in water. At current times, the discussion present in the literature on new possible carbon capture absorber revolves on the regeneration energy, loading capacity and cyclic capacity of an amine. The studies present discordant calculations and methods as well as incomplete investigations, especially for amino acids. The nature of the CO<sub>2</sub> adducts formed by a capture solvent (carbamate/carbonate) is rarely discussed in the literature, although the type of the CO<sub>2</sub> loaded products plays a crucial role in carbon capture strategies.

The investigation performed and reported in this chapter analyzes the capture process on a deeper molecular level, qualifying and quantifying the amine-CO<sub>2</sub> adducts formed in aqueous meanings by industrial polyamines and amino acids (monocarbamates, polycarbamates, carbonates). Those data will furtherly allow a general comprehension of the efficiency and suitability of each amine in the field of carbon capture.



## 1 State of the art

## 1.1 General properties of polyamines and α-amino acids

In this section will be presented the general properties of various amines: five industrial polyamines, monoethanolamine (MEA), piperazine (PZ), triethylenetetramine (TETA), diethylenetriamine (DETA) and ethylendiamine (EDA), and six natural alpha amino acids potassium salts, *L*-lysine (*L*-Lys), *L*-cysteine (*L*-Cys), glycine (Gly), sarcosine (Sar), *L*-arginine (*L*-Arg) and *L*-aspartic acid (*L*-Asp), as presented in Fig.22.



Fig. 22 Industrial polyamines and alpha amino acids investigated in this chapter.

Physical and chemical properties of polyamines such as viscosity, degradation, cost and volatility are listed in Table 8. These factors have to be considered when evaluating solvents for carbon capture, since they play a crucial role in the overall functioning of capture plants.

For instance, as discussed in Chapter 1 page 30, the absorption step in Post Combustion Amine Scrubbing Capture Plants is performed at 40 °C, while desorption is achieved at 120 °C. For this reason, amines with high boiling point (**DETA** > **MEA** > **PZ** > **EDA**) are investigated for their resistance to thermal degradation. A study published in 2012 by Rochelle<sup>72</sup> listed the temperatures at which thermal degradation was first observable for different amines. A sorbent with higher degradation temperature is preferred, because it accounts for better energy performance throughout the thermal swing cycle. In Table 8 is possible to notice that

**PZ** is more thermally stable compared to the other polyamines, while **DETA** presents the lowest degradation temperature; the degradation order can then be defined as **DETA** > **EDA** > **MEA** > **PZ**. The different tendency between boiling point and temperature of degradation indicate that other factors, such as oxidation, can play an important role on the degradation of an amine. Experiments of oxidative degradation proved that at stripper conditions (120/125 °C) a solution of 7 M **MEA** oxidates almost 7 times faster compared to an 8M solution of **PZ**<sup>124</sup>.

Table 8 General information on **MEA, PZ, TETA**, **DETA** and **EDA**, provided by the literature. \*Value at 60 °C. \*\*Value for reagent at technical grade, 60% purity.

Amine	€/kg	Viscosity(cP)		Density (mg/mL)	Vapor Pressure (mm Hg) at 25 °C	Boiling Point (°C)	Degradation T (°C) <sup>[72]</sup>
MEA	42	18.95 <sup>[125]</sup> 5.06 *		1.02	0.404	170 <sup>[126]</sup>	111-125
PZ	83	/		1.1	0.16 <sup>[127]</sup>	146 <sup>[125]</sup>	162
TETA	74**	8.59 <sup>[128]</sup>		0.98	4.12 10 <sup>-4[128]</sup>	266 <sup>[126]</sup>	/
DETA	60	7.14 <sup>[129]</sup>		0.96	0.23 <sup>[93]</sup>	207 <sup>[126]</sup>	108-118
EDA	39	1.5 <sup>[129]</sup>		0.9	12.1 <sup>[130-131]</sup>	116 <sup>[125]</sup>	117

In CO<sub>2</sub> capture, the environmental impact generated during the production and use of the solvent have to be considered; thus using bio sourced<sup>132</sup> solvents, such as amino acid, is essential. In 2003 more than 2 million tons of amino acids were produced per year, with a market growth of 10% and higher each year<sup>133</sup>. The most common production is the application of coryneform bacteria in bioprocesses<sup>133</sup>, while other techniques are extraction from protein hydrolysates<sup>89</sup>, enzymatic processes and chemical synthesis<sup>134</sup>. While evaluating amino acids as carbon capture agents it must be considered that a large-scale production, like the one needed for CO<sub>2</sub> capture, could constitute a competition for the food industry, which consumes the largest part of the amino acid productions to this day<sup>135</sup>.

General properties of the amino acids in their free form can be found in Table 9. An aspect to consider in the evaluation of an amine for carbon capture is its vapor pressure, or volatility (Table 8 and 9). An amine with low volatility will present fewer losses throughout the process and will be more profitable; unfortunately, the literature is not complete for the volatility of amino acids.

Other properties, such as viscosity, play an important role when working at high concentration (30wt%, 5M for **MEA**) like in PCC units. A chemical absorbent with low viscosity can result in less mass-transfer resistance between gas and liquid phases, thereby increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate<sup>136</sup>, while higher viscosity lowers carbon dioxide solubilization<sup>137</sup>, therefore

inhibiting its capture. Another effect of higher viscosity is consequent increased corrosion of the absorption tank, which weighs on the maintenance costs of the capture units. A study performed with *L*-LysK on carbon steel confirmed this tendency. At 33 °C, it was observed that a 2.5M solution of *L*-LysK presented a viscosity 2.7 times higher than a 0.5M solution, and the corrosion rate resulted to be 12 times higher than the corrosion provoked by a 0.5M *L*-LysK solution<sup>136</sup>.

Table 9 General information on amino acids. Values of  $pKa_1$ ,  $pKa_2$ ,  $pKa_3$  correspond respectively to the carboxyl group, the alpha amine group and the side chain of the amino acid. \* Value for *L*-Lys\*HCl. \*\*Value at 20 °C.

Amine	L-Lysine	L-Cysteine	Glycine	L-Arginine	L-Aspartic Acid
€/kg	119*	470	80	281	107
R-chain	basic	neutral	non-polar	basic	acidic
pKa₁	2.18	1.71	2.34	2.17	2.09
pKa₂	8.95	8.33	9.60	9.04	9.82
pKa₃	10.79	10.78	/	12.48	3.86
PI	9.87	5.02	5.97	10.76	2.98
Solubility in water (g/L) at 25 °C	1000*	25	253.1	87.1	5.3
Melting Point (°C)	224 <sup>[126]</sup>	260 <sup>[126]</sup>	290 <sup>[125-126]</sup>	<b>244</b> <sup>[126]</sup>	270 <sup>[125]</sup>
Vapor Pressure (mm Hg) at 25 °C	5.28**10 <sup>-9 [94]</sup>	6.73 * 10 <sup>-7 [94]</sup>	6.73 * 10 <sup>-7 [94]</sup> / /		2.6 *10 <sup>-7 [94]</sup>
Market size (ton/year)	600,000*[135]	1,500 <sup>[132]</sup>	22,000 <sup>[132]</sup>	1,200 <sup>[132]</sup>	/
Production process	Fermentation	Extraction/ Enzymatic method	Chemical Synthesis	Fermentation	/

A study performed by Veawab<sup>138</sup> observed the corrosion effect of concentrated solution of **MEA** and 2-amino-2methyl-1-propanol (**AMP**). Experiments were performed with **MEA** and **AMP** at 3M, 80 °C and 0.2 moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of amine; it was noticed that the corrosion rate of **AMP** was 1.5 times faster than **MEA**<sup>138</sup>. This phenomenon was attributed to a higher presence of bicarbonates in an **AMP** solution, considered an iron corroding agent<sup>138-139</sup>.

## 1.2 Properties of polyamines and $\alpha$ -amino acids as CO<sub>2</sub> capture agents

The amines presented above will be investigated in this section for their carbon capture properties. As mentioned in the general introduction, several factors determine an amine potential for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption; within those can be listed capture efficiency (maximum

experimental loading, eq. 31, page 35), cyclic capacity (eq. 32 page 35), and regeneration energy (reaction enthalpy).

**DETA** has been investigated in the literature<sup>58, 71, 140-142</sup> and is presented as a potential absorber for  $CO_2^{79}$  for its high absorption rate<sup>65</sup>, higher boiling point and lower vapor pressure compared to **MEA**<sup>140</sup>. The presence of three amine groups, two primary and one secondary, enable a higher carbamation loading of  $CO_2$  up to 1.5 moles of carbon dioxide per moles of amine, compared to 0.5 for carbamated **MEA**. This means that a 1M solution of **DETA** is as efficient as a 3M solution of **MEA**, from a carbamation point of view. Experiments determining the heat of absorption of **DETA** in industrial conditions (3M, 40 °C) gave a value of enthalpy of around -80kJ/mol of  $CO_2^{143}$ . The value, even if lower than **MEA**, is higher than the ideal binding enthalpy (between -70 and -60)<sup>144</sup> for industrial costs. Considering that Diethylenetriamine is highly degradable, it doesn't represent an ideal solvent for post combustion capture<sup>60</sup>.

Ethylenediamine (EDA) is a shorter amine studied since 1955 for CO<sub>2</sub> capture<sup>86, 145-148</sup>. It presents a maximum carbamation loading of 1 mole of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of amine, low thermal degradation and low viscosity. On the other hand, EDA presents a heat of absorption of -87 kJ/mol and is 30 times more volatile than MEA<sup>86, 130-131</sup>. The higher number of binding sites present on EDA would allow it to reach the same capture efficiency as PZ, and double the capture efficiency of MEA while working at half concentrations. Working with a 5M solution of EDA would double the capture efficiency compared to MEA's capture units, but the solvent regeneration costs will be higher. EDA presents higher enthalpy of absorption than MEA, since its intramolecular ammonium-carbamate salt bridge is more stable than an intermolecular salt bridge by 6kJ/mol<sup>85</sup>, which means that more energy will be required to strip CO<sub>2</sub> and regenerate the amine.

Furthermore, 8M EDA showed lower viscosity compared to an 8M solution of PZ, , because of its smaller molecular weight<sup>86</sup>. An experiment run on an 8M solution of EDA loaded at 0.4 mol of  $CO_2$ /mol of amine showed a 10% lost in EDA after 16 weeks at 100 °C, proving a slow thermal degradation at said temperature. The stripper could therefore be operated at 100-110 °C to avoid thermal degradation <sup>86</sup>.

An investigation conducted on Triethylenetetramine (TETA), showed that a 1.2M solution of TETA reached the same overall CO<sub>2</sub> loading of a 4.9M solution of MEA, coherently with its higher number of binding sites<sup>149</sup>. For all polyamines with more binding site than MEA (DETA, EDA, TETA) working at 5M in amine concentration would enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and offer

the possibility of reducing the solvent flow rate in the scrubbing process. Further studies are necessary to confirm that properties such as viscosity, vapor pressure and  $CO_2$  solubility of those amines are suited at industrial operation conditions for both the absorption and the desorption step.

Table 10 Data on amino acid-CO<sub>2</sub> systems from literature<sup>150-153</sup>. Initial absorption/desorption rate expressed in mol CO<sub>2</sub>/ (mol amine\*min), maximum/minimum experimental loading and cyclic capacity expressed in mol CO<sub>2</sub>/mol amine.

R₂NH	т°С	C (mol/L)	α <sub>min</sub>	α <sub>max</sub>	Cyclic capacity	Initial absorption rate	Initial desorption rate
L-LysK <sup>[152]</sup>	60	2.27	0.88	1.22	0.34	/	/
GlyK <sup>[150]</sup>	/	1	0.27	0.74	0.47	3.93 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.84 10 <sup>-2</sup>
L-Cysk <sup>[150]</sup>	/	1	0	0.49	0.49	3.18 10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.46 10 <sup>-2</sup>
L-ArgK <sup>[150]</sup>	/	1	0.54	1.11	0.57	3.56 10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.19 10 <sup>-2</sup>
L-SarK <sup>[151]</sup>	80	4	0.1	0.95	0.85	/	/

The amino acids discussed in this section present a variety of different R-groups: hydrogen, primary amines, guanidinium groups, carboxylic acid and thiols. The nature of the R-group, which determines whether an amino acid is classified as non-polar (hydrophobic), polar or charged (acid or basic), plays a key role in CO<sub>2</sub> capture, either as the nucleophile or as the base necessary for the reaction (Ch.1, section 1.5).

Several studies present in literature<sup>154-156</sup> examined which inorganic bases are best suited for the deprotonation of amino acid, furtherly used in carbon capture processes. An investigation on NaOH, LiOH and KOH observed that KOH has higher solubility limit at 25 °C (121 g/100 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O), compared to NaOH (109 g/100 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O) and LiOH (12.8 g/100 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O)<sup>154-155</sup>. Furthermore, amino acid neutralized with NaOH showed in general lower absorption rate than those neutralized with KOH<sup>156</sup>. For these reasons, KOH is considered the most suitable inorganic base for the deprotonation of amino acids in carbon capture.

When working with amino acids at high loading and concentration, the precipitation of bicarbonate may be observed, as reported by a study performed on 5 M Potassium Sarcosinate (**SarK**)<sup>63, 156</sup>. The precipitation could have a positive effect in displacing the equilibrium towards higher production of bicarbonate, although it may also lead to severe operating difficulties, since the system would have to be adjusted to handle slurries<sup>134</sup>.

Within the amino acids chosen for this investigation, salts of *L*-LysK have proven to have higher absorption capacity than **MEA**, since the presence of two primary amine groups allows a maximal carbamation loading of 1 mole of  $CO_2$  per mole of amine. Upon  $CO_2$  capture, *L*-lysine

can yield two regioisomers for ammonium monocarbamates (on  $\alpha$ -NH<sub>2</sub>, and  $\epsilon$ -NH<sub>2</sub>) and a dicarbamate, coupled with diammonium (Fig.23).



Fig. 23 *L*-Lysine ammonium carbamates on the  $\alpha$ -NH<sub>2</sub> (*L*-Lys-1a, purple) and  $\epsilon$ -NH<sub>2</sub> (*L*-Lys-1e, red). For the *L*-lysine dicarbamate *L*-Lys-2 (green) the correspondent diammonium counterion is omitted for clarity.

A study conducted by Al-Terkawi *et al.*<sup>157</sup> in 2020, reported a solvent-free method for the regioselective production of *L*-lysine ammonium carbamate *L***-Lys-1e**. The reaction performed by mechanochemistry with pure *L*-lysine, for 5 minutes of grinding at 500 rpm under 5 bars of pure CO<sub>2</sub> flux, yielded a complete conversion of *L*-lysine in epsilon ammonium carbamate<sup>157</sup>, confirmed by <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $\delta_{N-CO_2}$ = 164.8 ppm). In Table 11 are reported the experimental conditions tested in the study, with the respective monocarbamate products ( $\alpha, \varepsilon$ ).

Table 11 Experimental conditions reported by Al-Terkawi et al.<sup>157</sup> for CO<sub>2</sub> capture by *L*-lysine in different reaction set-ups.  $\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon$  indicate the *L*-lysine ammonium carbamate regioisomers yielded in those specific operating conditions and LAG indicates Liquid Assisted Grinding.

Method	C (M)	m (g)	pCO₂ (bar)	rpm	H₂O (mL)	t (min, h)	Reactor	Product
Solution	0.7	0.5	/	/	5	4h	/	α, ε
LAG	/	0.5	5	800	0.05	5h	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	α, ε
Neat Grinding	/	0.5	5	500	/	5min	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	3

The authors justify the regioselectivity of the neat-grinding reaction by the crystalline structure of *L*-lysine. Since the latter was determined to be in its zwitterionic form<sup>158</sup>, with  $\alpha$ -NH<sub>2</sub> protonated ( $\alpha$ -NH<sub>3</sub>),  $\epsilon$ -NH<sub>2</sub> is consequently more reactive towards CO<sub>2</sub>, yielding  $\epsilon$ -monocarbamates. The investigation also reports experiment of CO<sub>2</sub> capture by *L*-lysine in aqueous solutions and by Liquid Assisted Grinding (LAG). The latter presents a reactive grinding in which both solid and liquid reactants are used, the specific technique and parameters will be furtherly discussed in Chapter 3. It can be noticed that both pathways yield a mixture of alpha ( $\delta_{N-CO_2}$ = 163.7 ppm) and epsilon ammonium carbamates. When the CO<sub>2</sub> capture experiment was performed in water, a considerable fraction of bicarbonate was observed ( $\delta_{HCO_3}$ = 160.6 ppm), yielding ammonium-bicarbonate salts in solution<sup>157</sup>.

The results reported by Al-Terkawi's study show that the operating conditions in which  $CO_2$  is captured by *L*-lysine have a great influence on the regioselectivity of the ammonium carbamate. Furthermore, studies<sup>152, 159</sup> also show fast kinetics of absorption<sup>159</sup> and high  $CO_2$  loading, indicating that *L*-LysK can act as a good absorbent alternative for  $CO_2$  capture<sup>160</sup>. Although, it must be considered that studies for *L*-LysK were performed at a maximum concentration of  $3M^{161}$  due to its limited solubility, which can represent an important industrial drawback.



Fig. 24 Carbamate and carbonate adducts for **MEA** and **GlyK** with relative protonated ammonium counterion. The intermolecular salt bridge is indicated with a blue circle.

Glycine presents a short carbon chain and a single amine group, with a maximal carbamation loading of 0.5, hence comparable to **MEA**. In Fig.24 are reported the ammonium carbamate and carbonate adducts for **MEA** and **GlyK**. For both amines the carbamate-ammonium salt and the bicarbonate-ammonium salt have to be formed through intermolecular interactions. The molecular structure of carbamate **GlyK-1**, though, forces in close proximity two negative charges (carbamate and carboxylate), which can lower the stability of the adduct.

This last affirmation can be explained taking dicarboxylic acids as an example (Fig.25). If the nitrogen of **GlyK-1** is virtually substituted by a  $-CH_2$ -, succinic acid is obtained. In such a backbone the carboxylic acids present a  $pka_1$ = 4.2 and  $pka_2$ = 5.6, compared to 2.34 for glycine. The difference between the pKas of succinic acid indicate the difficulty encountered for the deprotonation of both carboxylic acids, giving a qualitative indication of the repulsion between two carboxylates in such proximity. This reasoning gives a perception of the repulsion present in the **GlyK-1** carbamate structure, which will influence its stability.

GlyK-1 Succinate Fig. 25 Structures of GlyK-1 carbamate and Potassium Succinate.

At present times there are no quantitative studies on the repartition between glycine carbamate/carbonate, while it presents a fundamental point for the overall knowledge of glycine capture mechanism. This aspect will be investigated in section 4 of this chapter. Furthermore, studies on potassium glycinate have shown lower oxidative degradation than **MEA**<sup>162</sup>, but faster thermal degradation rates<sup>90</sup>. It must be considered that investigation of glycine as a carbon capture agent were only developed for a maximal concentration of 3M<sup>96</sup>, while **MEA** is used at 5M in PCC units. Glycine's high absorption kinetics, solubility and availability enables it to be a frequently used solvent<sup>96, 109</sup>.

Cysteine is considered a neutral amino acid and presents a thiol group on its R-chain. *L*-Cys shows a high initial absorption rate (3.18 E–02 mol CO<sub>2</sub>/(mol amine\*min)), although it is lower than the one of glycine, coherently with studies which show that amino acids with bulkier substituent group have slower absorption rate and faster desorption rate<sup>150</sup>. Currently the only example of a nucleophilic attack of the thiol group on CO<sub>2</sub> is limited to the formation of thiocarbonates via electrochemical reduction of disulfides<sup>163-164</sup>.



Fig. 26 Principle of carbon capture by benzyl disulfide by Singh<sup>163</sup> and Harris<sup>164</sup>; a) Electrochemical reduction of benzyl disulfide into benzyl thiolate (RS<sup>-</sup>); b)  $CO_2$  capture and formation of thiocarbonate (R-S-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>); c) oxidation of the latter, release of  $CO_2$  and d) regeneration of the precursor benzyl disulfide.

In a study published in 2017 by Singh *et al.*<sup>163</sup>, benzyl disulfide was electrochemically reduced (Fig.26a) to benzyl thiolate (RS<sup>-</sup>) in ionic liquid medium and used as an *in situ* capture agent (Fig.26b) for CO<sub>2</sub>. The generated S-benzylthiocarbonate<sup>165</sup> (RS-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) was characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, FT-IR and electrochemical analysis and quantum chemical calculations gave a binding energy of -66.3 kJ/mol, resulting in a stable adduct. The thiocarbonate is then oxidized, releasing CO<sub>2</sub> and regenerating the sulfide precursor<sup>163</sup> (Fig.26c,d). This process demonstrates that capture/release can be conducted by electrochemical means. This same concept was also

extended to water, with no apparent gain in reduction potential or reaction energy<sup>164</sup>. The formation and stability of cysteine carbamates have not been investigated in literature, but will be furtherly discussed in this chapter.

Arginine (*L*-Arg) contains a basic guanidinium side chain (pKa= 12.48), and presents low solubility at 298K (Table 9, page 61). Studies have confirmed a comparable absorption rate of 0.5M *L*-ArgK to 0.5M **MEA**<sup>166</sup>. The high alkalinity of the guanidinium group could promote the formation of carbamates and bicarbonates, acting as a base for both the zwitterion and termolecular mechanisms<sup>166</sup>.



Fig. 27 CO<sub>2</sub> capture by *L*-ArgK. Both ammonium carbamate *L*-ArgK-1 and ammonium bicarbonate *L*-ArgK,  $HCO_3$ <sup>-</sup> structures were observed and characterized by Shen et al.<sup>166</sup>.

Both *L*-ArgK-1 ammonium carbamate and *L*-ArgK bicarbonate salt were observed by <sup>13</sup>C NMR with chemical shift of  $\delta_{N-CO_2}$ = 163.7 ppm and  $\delta_{HCO_3}$ = 160.4 ppm<sup>166</sup>. Furthermore, arginine has a relatively low cyclic capacity (Table 10, page 63), which may be attributed to the stability of the carbamate/carbonate ammonium salt<sup>150</sup>. Qualitative and quantitative studies on *L*-ArgK-CO<sub>2</sub> adducts will be furtherly discussed in the results section.

Aspartic Acid (*L*-Asp) is the only acidic  $\alpha$ AA investigated in this work, although it is not often considered a suitable solvent for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. In the literature, aspartic acid is studied in the formation of carbon dioxide hydrates.



Fig. 28 Example of gas-hydrate with a gas molecule (blue circle) trapped in a water-molecule cage<sup>167-168</sup> linked by hydrogen bonds.

Gas hydrates are ice-like crystalline compounds formed by trapping gas molecules in hydrogen bonded water molecules at high pressure (25-40 atm) and low temperature conditions (2-8 °C), and are being investigated for their use in CO<sub>2</sub> capture and transportation. Aspartic acid has proven to have an inhibitory effect on gas hydrate formation at low concentrations (0.01M). A study performed by Sa *et al.* in 2014<sup>169</sup> affirmed than this tendency is correlated to the hydropathy index of the amino acid. The latter indicates the hydrophobic or hydrophilic character of an amino acid, where the most hydrophobic is isoleucine (4.5) and the most hydrophilic is arginine (-4.5). Aspartic acid is an hydrophilic amino acid and presents an hydropathy index of  $-3.5^{169}$ . Furthermore, is was observed that the inhibition impact of aspartic acid can be reduced by increasing working concentrations from 0.01 and 0.1M. Due to the low solubility of the AA, an increase in concentration leaves unreacted aspartic acid in the system, which promotes hydrate formation, hence reducing the inhibitory effect <sup>168</sup>. At present times there are no specific studies on aspartic acid for its role as CO<sub>2</sub> capture agent, as well as possible carbamate and carbonate formation. Those aspects will be discussed in section 4 of this chapter.

## 2 Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry

In this investigation carbon capture by various amines is tackled via a Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry approach (DCC). DCC has been developed in the 90's and it is defined as combinatorial chemistry under thermodynamic control. While combinatorial chemistry consists in creating extensive libraries of prefabricated molecules, DCC uses the reversible interaction between basic components to create a mixture of all possible combinations, also known as Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries (DCLs)<sup>170</sup>.The reaction between an amine and carbon dioxide can give rise to a DCL with a variety of ammonium carbamates/carbonates adducts, all in equilibrium one with the other at mild conditions.



Fig. 29 Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry (DCC). a) building blocks, b) dynamic combinatorial library (DCL) in equilibrium, c) addition of a template, d) optimal combination of host, thermodynamically favored <sup>170</sup>.

Library members of DCLs are all in equilibrium, and they have the ability to interconvert into one another through reversible chemical processes, involving covalent bonds, noncovalent interactions or metal-ligand coordination (Fig. 29)<sup>171</sup>.

Through this approach it is possible to create all combinations, which relative proportion is directly dependent on their stability. As observable in Fig.30, when an external stimulus (i.e. chemical stimulus) is introduced in the system, the stability of the components varies and consequently so does the distribution of species in the library.



Fig. 30 Redistribution of a Dynamic Combinatorial Library (DCL) after introduction of a chemical stimuli (template) in the dynamic system <sup>171</sup>.

DCC is commonly used in host-guest recognition chemistry, in which the library is modified driven by the thermodynamic control of the host-guest interaction.

In the context of this thesis, two aspects of DCC are explored. The first will be discussed in this chapter, and it concerns the dynamic combinatorial libraries developed between carbon dioxide and polyamines/amino acids. The focus will be on determining which carbamate/carbonate adducts are more abundant and what properties characterize their stability.

The second aspect will be discussed in Chapter 3, where a three-level dynamic combinatorial chemistry will be developed by introducing a metal chloride salt in the DCL. The metal salt may act as a template, showing preferential affinity towards one or multiple ligands, therefore impacting the distribution of the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded adducts. This effect will be investigated in homogeneous and heterogeneous systems with MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>, for the identification and understanding of the affinities between those alkaline metals and carbamates/carbonates adducts in aqueous meanings.

## 3 General methods

A series of experiments was performed on polyamines and amino acids to collect comprehensive knowledge on the repartition of carbamates/carbonates with increased CO<sub>2</sub> loading and correlate these molecular data to performances indicators such as cyclic capacity and reaction enthalpy.

In this section the objective and general methods of those experiments will be presented; further information on materials, reagents and reactors can be found in the experimental section.

## 3.1 Speciation by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR

A speciation analysis is defined as the qualitative identification and quantitative measurement of chemical species in a system. It can be performed by NMR<sup>141</sup>, potentiometric<sup>172</sup> or conductimetric analysis<sup>173</sup>. In this work, speciation experiments were used to computationally analyze a R<sub>2</sub>NH-CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O library.

Speciation experiments were done by means of quantitative <sup>13</sup>C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (qNMR) and <sup>1</sup>H qNMR on a 500MHz Advance Bruker (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C nuclei 125MHz), using an internal reference whose initial concentration was accurately measured. <sup>13</sup>C qNMR has been approved as a valid method for this purpose, although this type of experiment is not widely used in literature<sup>141, 172, 174</sup>.

The set-up used in this study involves the preparation of a 10 mL 0.5 M solution of amine at 25 °C in D<sub>2</sub>O, furtherly divided into 10 aliquots of 1 mL each. Increasing quantities of pure CO<sub>2</sub> are introduced in the aliquots and measured by continuous gravimetric analysis. It is considered that maximum  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) is reached when the weight of the sample does not increase upon continuous loading. The possible evaporation of the solvent throughout the experiment, although minimal for water at 25 °C, renders the gravimetric measurement inaccurate. For this reason, CO<sub>2</sub> loading is precisely attested by qNMR analysis.

This technique provides information on the carbamation state of various adducts, while the protonation degree of the amines can be assessed by potentiometric techniques, or extrapolated from the experimental data, but not observable by NMR. This is due to the fast exchange of protons between protonated and unprotonated amines, which is not detectable
through NMR analysis<sup>175</sup>. In this study <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR was chosen as the most suitable technique, compared to <sup>1</sup>H qNMR, since it enabled the integration of the carbonate signal ( $\delta$ = 160 ppm) and since less overlapping between the NMR peaks was generally observed in the spectrum. The corresponding aliphatic carbon peaks of each species were integrated with respect to an internal reference, and the molar fraction of such species was calculated for each  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading; the same method was applied to the integration of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

A graph representing the evolution of the adducts abundance was then obtained by plotting the molar fraction of each species vs  $\alpha(CO_2)$ , and the experimental points were fitted with a  $3^{rd}$  degree polynomial.

It must be noted that in this work, from this point on, CO<sub>2</sub> loading will be calculated as:

$$\alpha(CO_2) = \frac{\sum n_i * \#_{(CO_2)i}}{moles_{R_2NH} * \#N} \quad (31)$$

Where  $n_i * \#_{(CO_2)_i}$  corresponds to the number of moles of the species "i" multiplied by the number of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules bound to it and #N is the number of nitrogen sites present on the molecule backbone. The overall experimental loading is therefore defined as normalized  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) and it is expressed as moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of nitrogen.

## 3.2 Cyclic Capacity

As defined in Chapter 1, the cyclic capacity of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption/desorption of an amine is given by the difference between the rich and the lean loadings in CO<sub>2</sub> (eq. 32, page 35). To experimentally determine this parameter amines were loaded with a continuous flow of pure CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C, the signals corresponding to carbamate and carbonate species were followed *in situ* by a FT-IR probe. At the end of the absorption step, the system was flushed by continuous flow of pure N<sub>2</sub> at 100 °C to induce desorption. At the end of each absorption/desorption step an aliquot of solution was taken for <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis, which allowed a precise quantification of the reached CO<sub>2</sub> loading. The absorption/desorption cycles were performed between 2 and 5 times on the amines solutions to test their regeneration/degradation properties. For industrial use, new carbon absorbing solvents with high cyclic capacity are more suitable than solvents with low cyclic capacity, as it allows reducing solvent circulation flow rate, size of the amine plant and overall costs<sup>59</sup>.

## 3.3 Power Compensated Calorimetry (PCC).

In addition to  $\alpha(CO_2)$  and cyclic capacity, another determining parameter for the choice of new potential CO<sub>2</sub> absorbing solvent is the reaction enthalpy ( $\Delta_r$ H<sup>°</sup> kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>). The latter can be determined by calorimetric measurements such as Power Compensated Calorimetry (PCC). In those experiments a solution of amine is introduced in a thermostatic and stirred calorimetry reactor (Fig.31) and loaded with a continuous flow of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>. In this work PCC experiments are run under "industrial type conditions"<sup>143</sup>, for an amine concentration of 0.5-3M, above room temperature (40 °C) and with a mixture of gases (CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> at ratios 15:85).



Fig. 31 Power Compensated Calorimetry (PCC) reactor set-up. The solution is introduced in the vessel and the system is brought at 40  $^{\circ}$ C by heating the liquid present in the second tank. Gases are introduced by mass flowmeter (non-present in the picture) and the heat liberated by the exothermic reaction is compensated by a 50 W calorimetry probe.

To maintain the system at 40 °C, the heat dissipated by the exothermic reaction is compensated by a 50 W probe. The enthalpy of reaction ( $\Delta_r$ H<sup>°</sup>(kJ)) is then measured and calculated by a software. A <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis is performed at the end of the reaction to quantify the CO<sub>2</sub> moles absorbed by the amine solvent, necessary to determine  $\Delta_r$ H<sup>°</sup> (kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>). In this way it is possible to collect important information about the regeneration energy needed for each potential absorber in future industrial applications. Given that higher recovery energy means higher operational costs, PCC experiments give a perception of the suitability of the solvent as a carbon dioxide absorber.

# 4 Results and discussion

In this section will be presented the results obtained via <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation, cyclic capacity and power compensated calorimetry experiments performed over two industrial polyamines and five amino acids.

#### 4.1 Ethylenediamine (EDA)

An aqueous solution of Ethylenediamine loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> yields a mixture of monocarbamate, dicarbamate and bicarbonate. As shown in Fig.32 two categories of equilibria, involving the carbamate bond, can take place:

- C-N formation or cleavage (eq.56-57)
- Disproportionation, which can occur at fixed CO<sub>2</sub> through intermolecular reaction (eq.58)



Fig. 32 Summary of absorption/desorption by Ethylendiamine in water. Formation of monocarbamate **EDA-1** (eq.56), dicarbamate **EDA-2** (eq.57), concomitant protonation phenomena omitted for clarity. Disproportionation of monocarbamate and bicarbonate into free amine and dicarbamate (eq.58). Formation of bicarbonate salt **EDA-HCO3**<sup>-</sup> (eq.59).

As mentioned in Ch.1, carbonates enthalpy of formation is 15-30 kJ<sup>41, 47-50</sup> lower compared to carbamates, for this reason the cleavage of an ammonium-bicarbonate salt will require less energy compared to the cleavage of a carbamate. As previously mentioned, structural characteristics of the amine (distance between amine groups, steric hindrance) will determine which route (carbamation/carbonation) will predominate. Parameters such as initial pH, concentration, ionic strength and temperature can also influence this repartition, although their screening was not investigated in this thesis.

The resulting adducts of the EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> dynamic system are shown, with a color code, in Fig.33. In the quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR speciation of a 1M aqueous solution of EDA, four magnetically distinct species can be observed: EDA, monocarbamate EDA-1, dicarbamate EDA-2 and bicarbonate.



Fig. 33 **EDA**- $CO_2$  adducts color coded for clarity. **EDA** (blue), monocarbamate **EDA-1** (red), dicarbamate **EDA-2** (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue). Carbons with different NMR signals are denominated on each adduct.

# 4.1.1 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation

The **EDA**-CO<sub>2</sub> library was investigated by both <sup>13</sup>C qNMR and <sup>1</sup>H qNMR. The presence of few adducts with limited peak overlap, enabled an accurate interpretation and quantification of the <sup>1</sup>H spectra, while <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra were analyzed to quantify the amount of bicarbonate. Colored stacked <sup>13</sup>C spectra presenting the evolution of each species upon CO<sub>2</sub> loading can be found in the Annexes (page 207).



Fig. 34 Stacked <sup>1</sup>H qNMR spectra of **EDA** 1M in water upon increasing  $\alpha(CO_2)$ . Color code: **EDA** (blue), monocarbamate **EDA-1** (red), dicarbamate **EDA-2** (green), bicarbonate (light blue).

Ethylenediamine presents a symmetrical structure, for which it is possible to observe a singlet <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal at  $\delta$ = 2.62 ppm. The formation of the asymmetric monocarbamate **EDA-1** is represented by the formation of two triplets with different chemical shifts ( $\delta$ = 2.70 ppm and  $\delta$ = 3.10 ppm for a CO<sub>2</sub> loading of 0.07). The different chemical shift of the two triplets is justified by the relative proximity of the protons to the carbamate group. Further on, the formation of dicarbamate **EDA-2** can be observed by the evolution of a singlet at  $\delta$ = 3.05 ppm, where the multiplicity of the signal is justified by the symmetrical structure of the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded adduct. The variation in chemical shift observable in both figures is due to the pH variation of the solution, which decreases with CO<sub>2</sub> loading.

In Fig.35 is reported the chemical speciation obtained by plotting the molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) of the species with the total  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading. Monocarbamate **EDA-1** is the most abundant species, only partially transformed in dicarbamate **EDA-2** upon CO<sub>2</sub> loading. At  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.35 dicarbamate is hydrolyzed to yield **EDA-1** and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; the dicarbamate low abundance can be explained by the instability caused by the proximity of the two anionic groups, as discussed for **GlyK** on page 65. Moreover, the dicarbamates require intermolecular pairing with one diammonium or two monoammoniums, which are unfavored respectively enthalpically and entropically.



Fig. 35 Chemical speciation of 1M EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> in deuterated water. The graph is expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). The experimental data (circles) are fitted with a 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial. Color code: EDA (blue), monocarbamate EDA-1 (red), dicarbamate EDA-2 (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

At the experimental maximal loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57), the **EDA**-CO<sub>2</sub> library is composed of 73% of monocarbamate and 27% of bicarbonates. From the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR data it was possible to extrapolate the molar fraction of carbamates and carbonates groups per moles of nitrogen, defined as the normalized molar fraction ( $X_i^N$ ):

$$X^{N}_{(-N-CO_{2}^{-})} = \frac{\sum n_{N-CO_{2}^{-}} * \#_{CO_{2}}}{moles_{R_{2}NH} * \#N}$$
(60)  
$$X^{N}_{(HCO_{3}^{-})} = \frac{n_{HCO_{3}^{-}}}{moles_{R_{2}NH} * \#N}$$
(61)

The normalized molar fraction of ammonium groups (eq.62) is obtained as the sum of eq.60 and eq.61, therefore equal to the  $\alpha$ (CO)<sub>2</sub> (eq. 31):

$$X^{N}_{NH_{3}^{+}} = \frac{\sum n_{i} * \#_{CO_{2}}}{moles_{R_{2}NH} * \#N} = \alpha(CO_{2})$$
(62)

Consequently, the molar fraction of free  $-NH_2$  groups was obtained by subtraction of ammonium (eq.62) and carbamate (eq.60) from the initial molar fraction of the free amine group (eq.63):

$$X^{N}_{NH_{2}} = 1 - X_{NH_{3}^{+}} - X_{(N-CO_{2}^{-})}$$
(63)

An extrapolation of the ammonium and the residual non-protonated amine was executed, calculating also the normalized molar fractions of carbonate and carbamate (Fig.36).





Fig. 36 Evolution of the normalized molar fraction  $(X_i^N)$  of deprotonated amine (blue), ammonium (gray), total carbamate (red) and bicarbonate (light blue) plotted against increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)) for a 1M solution of **EDA** in D<sub>2</sub>O.

From Fig.35 and Fig.36 it is possible to conclude that, at high loading, the system presents ammonium salts of monocarbamates and carbonates, with no residual free  $-NH_2$  in solution.

## 4.1.2 Cyclic capacity

To complement this analysis, an aqueous solution of **EDA** 0.26M was investigated for cyclic capacity, which was determined by following the loading of the solution via *in situ* FT-IR and <sup>13</sup>C gNMR.

Group	Vibration mode	This work (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Literature (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	
CO32-	doubly degenerate stretching	1388	1388	
HCO₃ <sup>-</sup> :	C–OH bending	1301	1298	
C-0	stretching HCO <sub>3</sub> ⁻	1360	1360	
COO-	primary asymm. stretching	1560	1568	
COO-	primary symm. stretching	1486	1486	
N-COO	stretching	1322	1322	
COO-	secondary asymm. stretching	1478	1481	
N-COO	secondary stretching	1296	1296	

Table 12 IR absorption frequencies for EDA-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>176, 177</sup>.

The FT-IR probe enabled to record full spectra on the range of 650-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in particular wavelengths specific to carbamates and carbonates, permitting to detect saturation corresponding to equilibriums or steady states (Fig.37).



Fig. 37 Evolution of IR frequencies in the first absorption step for a 0.26M **EDA** aqueous solution loaded with a pure flux of  $CO_2$  at 200 mL/min. The normalized intensities of wavelengths corresponding to carbamate (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>, red) and bicarbonate (1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>, light blue) are plotted against reaction time (h).

The FT-IR analysis confirmed a higher kinetics for the formation of ammonium carbamate compared to ammonium carbonate, coherently with the kinetics information reported by the literature<sup>102</sup>.

A maximal CO<sub>2</sub> loading of 0.58  $\pm$  0.01 (same as **EDA** 1M,  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57) was reached for the absorption steps at 25 °C, while loading for the desorption steps at 100 °C was calculated at 0.08  $\pm$  0.02 (Fig.38). From those data it was possible to obtain the mean cyclic capacity of 0.26M **EDA** in water, calculated as reported in Ch.1, eq.32, for a total cyclic capacity value of 0.5  $\pm$  0.03.

$$\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{rich} - \alpha_{lean} (32)$$

It must be mentioned that even in industrial conditions (120 °C) the amine sorbents never reach a full desorption state, since the required energy supply would be too high.





Fig. 38 Cyclic capacity of **EDA** 0.26M in D<sub>2</sub>O. Color code:  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) for absorption and desorption steps (green), molar fraction of carbamate **EDA-1** (red) and molar fraction of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (blue). The  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) and the molar fractions are plotted with the number of cycles performed in the cyclic capacity experiment (n = 5).

Since the 0.26M solution was not investigated by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation, it is not possible to determine the evolution and abundance of the adducts upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading. Nevertheless, through the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis performed for the cyclic capacity, it is possible to determine the repartition between molar fractions of N-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the lean and rich state. It can be observed that monocarbamate **EDA-1** is the most abundant species in the rich state, representing 77% ± 2% of the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded adducts, while carbonate accounts for 23% ± 2%. There is no visible trace of dicarbamate **EDA-2**, probably due to the lower working concentration. Overall, the carbamate/carbonate repartition of 0.26M **EDA** is similar to that of 1M **EDA** (73% carbamates, 27% carbonates), which allows us to compare the two systems, despite the different operating conditions.

#### 4.1.3 Power Compensated Calorimetry

A PCC experiment was run at 40 °C on 500 mL of a 1 M aqueous solution of **EDA**, loaded with a continuous flow of pure  $CO_2$ , fixed at 0.4 ln/min. The overall reaction enthalpy was measured to be -89.03 kJ/mol of  $CO_2$ .

Overall, **EDA** presents high CO<sub>2</sub> loading and high cyclic capacity, which must be considered positive features for carbona capture, nevertheless, its synthetic origin, corrosivity and enthalpy value do not favor it as the preferred carbon dioxide sorbent.

## 4.2 Diethylenetriamine (DETA)

When working on a longer polyamine the system may present a wider variety of ammonium monocarbamates, polycarbamates and bicarbonate adducts. As for **EDA**, different categories of equilibria, involving the carbamate bond, can take place:

- C-N formation or cleavage (eq.64-67)
- Disproportionation of monocarbamates into dicarbamates and uncarbamated amine (eq.68, 69).
- C-N bond exchange, which can occur at fixed CO<sub>2</sub> loading through *inter* and/or *intra*molecular substitution reactions (transcarbamation) (eq. 70, 71).



Fig. 39 Reactions of  $CO_2$  absorption by diethylenetriamine. Reversible C-N bond formation/cleavage (eq.64-69,), disproportionation (eq.68, 69) transcarbamation (eq.70, 71) (concomitant protonation phenomena omitted for clarity), and bicarbonate formation (eq.72).

A study performed on **DETA** 0.5M in MeOH demonstrated that transcarbamation exchanges (eq.70, 71) are almost isoenergetic, promoting this phenomenon in the library<sup>41</sup>.

In an aqueous solution of loaded **DETA**, both carbamation and carbonation occur, albeit in different proportions. In Fig.39 monocarbamate **DETA-1a** and **DETA-1s** are represented as intramolecular zwitterion, although, they may also form a less stable intermolecular salt bridges with an ammonium counterion at low loading. For dicarbamates the formation of intermolecular salt bridges is inevitable. Considering equilibrium (66), the disproportionation of 2 molecules of **DETA-1a** yield **DETA-2a** and its correspondent diammonium counterion, with the hypothesis that dimeric adducts (**DETA-2a**, **DETA-2H**) are entropically more favored than trimeric adducts (**DETA-2a**, 2 **DETA-H**) (Fig. 41).



Fig. 40 Transcarbamation of **DETA-1a** (intramolecular zwitterion) to **DETA-2a**, represented with its diammonium counterion. Yellow circles indicate a potential protonation exchange, while red circles indicate the transcarbamation observable by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis.

# 4.2.1 <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation

Chapter 2

In the quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR speciation of a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA**, six magnetically distinct species can be observed: **DETA**, asymmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1a**, symmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1s**, symmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2s**, asymmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2a** and **HCO**<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. In Fig.41 are reported the different species already shown in Fig.39, with a color code differentiation for further clarity and a labeling of carbons which will be carried throughout the thesis.



Fig. 41 DETA- $CO_2$  adducts color coded. Carbons with different signals are denominated on each adduct and the corresponding intermolecular ammonium counterion is omitted for clarity.

Diethylentriamine, having a symmetrical structure, shows only two aliphatic NMR signals at  $\delta_{a1}$  = 39.83 ppm and  $\delta_{a2}$  = 50.58 ppm. The proximity of carbon a1 and carbon a2 to, respectively, a primary and a secondary amine explains the difference in chemical shift. The chemical shift of each species with increasing quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> can be found in the Annexes (page 208). The stacked spectra of aliphatic and carbonyl signals of **DETA** upon increasing loading are displayed in Fig.42 and Fig.43, respectively. The variation in chemical shift

observable in both figures is due to the pH variation of the solution, which decreases with  $\mbox{CO}_2$  loading.







Fig. 43 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation of **DETA** 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O. Zoom on the carbonyl zone from 160 to 165 ppm. On the ordinate axis are reported the increasing values of  $CO_2$  loading. Legend of the color code below.

In Fig.44 is reported the speciation of **DETA** 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O, analyzed via <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. The molar fraction of uncarbamated **DETA** decreases upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading, yielding asymmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1a**. The symmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1s** can be observed starting from  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.10. At higher loading is possible to observe the formation of symmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2s** and asymmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2a**. The latter is destabilized by the two negative charges in close proximity, as previously discussed for **GlyK**, which may explain its low abundance.



Fig. 44 Chemical speciation of a 0.5M solution of **DETA** in deuterated water. The graph is expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). Experimental data fitted with 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial. Color code: **DETA** (blue), asymmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1a** (red), symmetrical monocarbamate **DETA-1s** (purple), symmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2s** (green), asymmetrical dicarbamate **DETA-2a** (yellow), bicarbonate **HCO**<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

Up until a loading of  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.2$ , the most abundant CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species is the asymmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1a**, which could lead to **DETA-1s** through intramolecular transcarbamation (eq.70). At higher loading, the formation of dicarbamates must occur by intermolecular abstraction of a proton from the zwitterionic monocarbamate **DETA-1a**, where the base role can be played either by **DETA** or **DETA-1a** itself. At higher  $\alpha(CO_2)$  loading (0.4/0.45) dicarbamates disproportionate to yield symmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1s** and bicarbonates HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. From the general profile of the chemical speciation is possible to conclude that monocarbamate **DETA-1a** and dicarbamates act as CO<sub>2</sub>-transient species. At high loading the **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> library presents 34% of ammonium bicarbonates and 66% of ammonium carbamates, calculated with respect to the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species.

As for **EDA**, alternative quantification of the ammoniums and the residual non-protonated amine was expressed by calculating the normalized molar fractions of carbonate and carbamate (eq.60-63) (Fig.45).



Fig. 45 Evolution of the normalized molar fraction  $(X_i^N)$  for **DETA** 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O, plotted against increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). Color-code: deprotonated amine (blue), ammonium (gray), total carbamate (red) and bicarbonate (light blue). The dotted red line indicates  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.25.

Until  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.25$  (red dotted line) the increase in molar fraction for ammonium and carbamate are overlapping, indicating the exclusive formation of *intra* and *inter* molecular ammonium-carbamates adducts. From loading 0.25 the ammonium growth follows that of bicarbonate. At high loading, the ammonium normalized molar fraction reaches  $X_i^N = 0.6$ , which indicate the presence of diammoniums in solution. In fact, since **DETA** presents three nitrogen atoms, the maximum molar fraction of mono-ammonium **DETA** is  $X_i^N = 0.33$ . It is then possible to calculate the diammonium minimal normalized fraction  $(X_{2-NH_3}^N)$  as follows:

$$X_{2-NH_3^+}^N = \frac{(X_{NH_3^+}^N - 0.33)}{2} \quad (73)$$

Where  $(X_{NH_3^+}^N)$  is the ammonium normalized fraction (eq.62, page 76). From eq.73 is possible to determine that at  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.4$  the diammonium represents 10% of the ammonium groups, while at maximum experimental loading ( $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.58$ ), the diammonium fraction increases to 20%. Those calculations strengthen the hypothesis discussed at page 81, for which it was considered that dicarbamate **DETA-2a** formed a dimeric adduct with diammonium **DETA-2H** counterion, and not a trimeric adduct with two ammoniums **DETA-H** (Fig.41).

The protonation state of the bicarbonate was verified *a posteriori*. The overall pH of a 0.5M solution of **DETA** was followed upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading with a potentiometric probe. The data allowed to establish a correlation between the pH value of the solution and  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) (Fig. 46).



Fig. 46 Evolution of the overall pH of a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA** upon increasing  $CO_2$  loading, pH values are plotted against  $\alpha(CO_2)$ .

Considering that  $H_2CO_3$  presents a pKa<sub>1</sub> = 6.37 and pKa<sub>2</sub> = 10.32, it can be confirmed that carbonates  $CO_3^{2-}$  are present in the solution until loading 0.05 (pH 10.32); after which bicarbonates will be formed in the system. Therefore, the hypothesis of a 1:1 stoichiometry between ammoniums and bicarbonates showed in Fig.45 is confirmed *a posteriori*.

The results obtained by chemical speciation and potentiometric analysis show that, compared to **EDA**, which CO<sub>2</sub> capture is mostly driven by carbamation, **DETA** presents both carbonation and carbamation pathways. This could lead to favorable kinetics and thermodynamic features, as fast absorption rate, high cyclic capacity and low reaction enthalpy.

# 4.2.2 Cyclic capacity

The cyclic capacity of **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> was determined by following the loading of the solution via FT-IR and  $^{13}$ C qNMR. The solution of amine was studied at 0.5M, and the experiment proceeded as described in section 3.2.

In Fig.47a are shown the wavelengths corresponding to the stretching band of the ammonium bicarbonate (1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and ammonium carbamate (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>). As observed for **EDA** and as reported in the literature<sup>102</sup>, the kinetics of ammonium carbonates is slower compared to that of ammonium carbamates.



Fig. 47 a) Evolution of IR frequencies in the first absorption step for a 0.5M **DETA** aqueous solution loaded with a pure flux of  $CO_2$  at 200 mL/min. The normalized intensities of wavelengths corresponding to carbamate (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>, red) and bicarbonate (1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>, light blue) are plotted against reaction time (h); b) Evolution of carbamate frequency (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>) for all five cycles of absorption/desorption, plotted against time. The end of the absorption step is indicated by a dotted black line, while the continuous black line indicates the end of the desorption step.

In Fig. 47b, is represented the evolution of wavelength 1320 cm<sup>-1</sup> throughout the whole cyclic capacity experiment. The intensity of the signal decreases during the desorption, although never reaching the same intensity corresponding to full stripping, meaning that  $CO_2$  is never completely desorbed from the system. The experiment was performed over five consecutives absorption/desorption cycles, although only four are reported in Fig.26 due to an acquisition error of the FT-IR probe. To precisely quantify the  $CO_2$  loading of each rich/lean phase, <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis was performed at the end of each absorption/desorption step. Fig.48 shows the rich and lean loading values for five consequent cycles. Coherently with FT-IR analysis the system never reaches desorption, for a lean loading of 0.11 ± 0.01.



Fig. 48 Cyclic capacity of an aqueous solution of **DETA** 0.5M. Values of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) for each absorption/desorption phase vs number of cycles (n = 5).

An aqueous solution of **DETA** 0.5M loaded with a pure  $CO_2$  flow at 200 mL/min, at 25 °C, and stripped with a pure  $N_2$  flow at 200 mL/min at 100 °C, shows a cyclic capacity of 0.46 ± 0.01; confirming a high absorption and desorption capacity. The cyclic capacity of **EDA** 0.26 M is slightly higher than the one of **DETA** 0.5 M (CC = 0.5 ± 0.03 and CC = 0.46 ± 0.01, respectively), despite presenting higher concentration of carbamates in solution (77% ± 2% for **EDA** and 64% for **DETA**). Overall the two polyamines show a similar chemistry for  $CO_2$  capture, although the direct comparison between the systems should be performed at same operational conditions.

## 4.2.3 Power Compensated Calorimetry

The PCC experiment was run at 40 °C on 500 mL of a 0.5 M aqueous solution of diethylenetriamine, loaded with a continuous flow of pure CO<sub>2</sub>, fixed at 0.25 L/min. The overall reaction enthalpy was measured to be -82.42 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>. The profile of the PCC experiment can be found in the Annexes, page 209.





To investigate the contribution of carbamation and carbonation on the reaction enthalpy, aliquots of the solution were collected and analyzed via <sup>13</sup>C qNMR throughout the experiment, enabling to determine the molar fraction of ammonium carbamates and carbonates upon increasing  $CO_2$  loading.

Fig.49 highlights a linear correlation between the reaction enthalpy  $\Delta_r H^{\circ}$  (kJ/mol) and molar fraction of carbamates. The reaction enthalpy for **DETA** can then be expressed as the sum of the reaction enthalpy of carbamates and carbonates, each multiplied by their respective molar fractions (eq.74):

$$\Delta_{r}H^{\circ} = x_{N-CO_{2}^{-}}\Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(N-CO_{2}^{-}) + x_{HCO_{3}^{-}}\Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(HCO_{3}^{-})$$
(74)  
$$\Delta_{r}H^{\circ} = x\Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(N-C) + (1-x)\Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(0-C)$$
(75)  
$$\Delta_{r}H^{\circ} = x(\Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(N-C) - \Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(0-C)) + \Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(0-C)$$
(76)  
$$\Delta_{r}H^{\circ} = x\Delta\Delta_{r}H^{\circ} + \Delta_{r}H^{\circ}(0-C)$$
(77)

Where  $\Delta \Delta_r H^{\circ}$  in eq.77 represents the difference between carbamation enthalpy and carbonation enthalpy (eq.76).



Fig. 50 Linear regression between  $\Delta_r H^{\circ}$  (kJ/mol) and carbamate molar fraction.

Although the model is an approximation and would need further studies and investigations, Fig.50 reports the linear regression expressed by eq.76. The equation delivers a carbonation enthalpy of -55.2kJ/mol and a carbamation enthalpy of -109kJ/mol. The difference between these two values suggest that solvents which yield more carbonates than carbamates require less regeneration energy and are therefore more suited for carbon capture.

Overall, **DETA** presents interesting features for carbon capture, such as high CO<sub>2</sub> loading and high cyclic capacity over five cycles. At the same time, its corrosivity, thermal degradation, enthalpy value and synthetic origin do not favor it as the ideal carbon dioxide sorbent.

## 4.3 Glycine

Glycine is the only non-polar and not chiral amino acid investigated in this work. Frequently used in carbon capture<sup>92, 96, 109, 178</sup>, as mentioned in section 1.2, it is commonly compared to **MEA** for its capture capacity, although its carbamate/carbonate repartition is not investigated in literature. The glycine-CO<sub>2</sub> library encompasses two types of adducts, a monocarbamate **GlyK-1**, and an ammonium/bicarbonate salt (Fig.51).



Fig. 51 Adducts of **GlyK** upon CO<sub>2</sub> loading.

A 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK** was produced neutralizing the amino acid with one equivalent of KOH. The initial pH of the solution was measured at 10.57 and enabled the determination of the protonated/deprotonated proportion of the amino acid via the Henderson-Hasselbach relation:

$$pH = pKa + \log\frac{[A^-]}{[AH]}$$
(78)

Since the pKa of the glycine amine group is 9.60, at the given initial pH 90% of the  $NH_2$  groups are deprotonated.

# 4.3.1 <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation

The  ${}^{13}C$  qNMR speciation of a 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK** is shown in Fig.52 (corresponding  ${}^{13}C$  qNMR spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 209).

The investigation revealed a maximum experimental loading of  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.8$ , higher than 1M EDA and 0.5M DETA (0.57 and 0.58, respectively), with a rapid growth of monocarbamate GlyK-1 at low loading. At loading  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.40$  the monocarbamate is hydrolyzed to yield carbonates, with a sequential increase in free amine. At high loading the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded system is composed of 9% of monocarbamate and 91% of bicarbonate.





Fig. 52 Chemical speciation of a 0.5M solution of **GlyK** in deuterated water. The graph is expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). Experimental data fitted with 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial. Color code: **GlyK** (blue), monocarbamate **GlyK-1** (red), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

The normalized molar fraction of ammonium and free amine in solution were calculated from the data obtained by the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation (Fig.53a). Glycine was then compared to **EDA** to analyze the influence of the second amine/carboxylate substituent on  $CO_2$  capture.



Fig. 53 Normalized molar  $(X_i^N)$  fraction of amine (blue), ammonium (gray), carbamates (red) and bicarbonate (light blue) for a) 0.5M solution of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O and b) 1M aqueous solution of **EDA**-CO<sub>2</sub>.

For **EDA** (Fig.53b) the presence of two primary amine groups expectedly favors the formation of carbamates, yielding mostly zwitterionic monocarbamate **EDA-1**. Glycine on the other hand can only form intermolecular ammonium-carbamate adducts, which can thus be considered as a transient species for the formation of bicarbonate-ammonium salts. Thermodynamically, this could enable higher cyclic capacity upon bicarbonate desorption.

# 4.3.2 Cyclic capacity

To verify this hypothesis a cyclic capacity experiment was conducted on a 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>. The solution was loaded with a pure flow of CO<sub>2</sub> at 200 mL/min, at 25 °C and desorption was performed with a pure flux of N<sub>2</sub> at 200 mL/min at 100 °C. The evolution of the species was analyzed by *in situ* FT-IR and <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. The results showed a rich loading of 0.78 ± 0.02, and a lean loading of 0.13 ± 0.07 (Fig.54). Overall **GlyK** presents a cyclic capacity of 0.65 ± 0.04, higher than **DETA** and **EDA**, for a more efficient CO<sub>2</sub> desorption and amine regeneration.



Fig. 54 Cyclic capacity of an aqueous solution of 0.5M of  ${\rm Gly}K.$  Value of  $CO_2$  loading versus number of absorption/desorption cycles (n = 3).

Furthermore, the FT-IR evolution for the first absorption step of 0.26M EDA and 0.5M GlyK were compared, to investigate the influence of glycine carbonation chemistry on the overall kinetics of absorption (Fig.55). It can be noticed that while EDA presents fast kinetics of carbonation and slow kinetics of carbonation, glycine shows the opposite trends. It can be hypothesized that, given the instability of ammonium monocarbamate GyK-1, the formation of ammonium bicarbonate is enhanced.



Fig. 55 Evolution of the normalized intensities of wavelengths corresponding to a) carbamate (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>, red); b) bicarbonate (1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>, light blue) for a 0.26M aqueous solution of **EDA** (empty circles) and a 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK** (full circles). Both solutions were loaded with a pure flux of CO<sub>2</sub> at 200 mL/min at 25 °C.

## 4.3.3 Power Compensated Calorimetry

To assess the energetic impact of the fixation pathway, a PCC experiment was performed on a 3 M aqueous solution of **GlyK**, in industrial conditions. The reaction enthalpy for carbon dioxide absorption by glycine was measured at  $-\Delta H_{abs} = 68.61$  kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>, which falls in the ideal zone<sup>27</sup> of enthalpy values for an efficient CO<sub>2</sub> capture process.

Overall, the carbonation chemistry of glycine provides a higher maximal loading compared to **MEA** (0.8 vs 0.5 mol CO<sub>2</sub>/mol of nitrogen), fast kinetics of absorption, high cyclic capacity and low enthalpy of reaction. Based on the data obtained in this work and on the already existing studies and applications of **GlyK** in carbon capture technologies and researches<sup>92, 96, 109, 178</sup>, glycine can be considered an efficient carbon capture solvent.

## 4.4 L-Lysine

A 0.5 M aqueous solution of *L*-lysine was produced from chloride salt (*L*-LysCl) neutralized with two equivalents of KOH. The resulting 0.5 M *L*-LysK solution presented an overall pH of 11.79, from which was possible to calculate the protonated/deprotonated proportion of the amino acid, via the Henderson-Hasselbach relation. The alpha amine group resulted completely deprotonated, while the epsilon amine group presented a deprotonated fraction of 91%.

A CO<sub>2</sub> loaded *L*-LysK solution can potentially yield carbonates, carbamates and dicarbamates. The negative charges of the latter should be stable, compared to EDA, due to the C<sub>5</sub> carbon chain between the two amine groups, as in DETA-2s.



Fig. 56 Adducts of potassium lysinate with carbon dioxide. *L*-LysK (blue), epsilon monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1e (red), alpha monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1a (purple), dicarbamate *L*-LysK-2 (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

# 4.4.1 <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation

Colored spectra of the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation of an aqueous solution of *L*-LysK 0.5M can be found in the Annexes (page 214). The results obtained by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation are showed in Fig. 57, wherein molar fractions of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded adducts are plotted against increasing  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>). It is possible to observe that until  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.4 free amine is consumed to yield exclusively monocarbamates *L*-LysK-1e and *L*-Lysk-1a, the latter being more abundant.

The low formation of the epsilon carbamate *L*-LysK-1e (red), whose maximum abundance is 20%, can be due to the partial protonation of the epsilon-NH<sub>2</sub>. Consequently, the dicarbamate *L*-Lysk-2 (green) is hardly formed, resulting in the least abundant species in the library. Once the solution reaches loading of 0.4, monocarbamates are hydrolyzed to yield carbonates and uncarbamated amine in solution. At high loading the *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> library presents an overall percentage of carbamates of only 7%, while bicarbonates represent a total of 93%.



Fig. 57 Chemical speciation of a 0.5M solution of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O. The graph is expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). Color code: *L*-LysK (blue), epsilon monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1e (red), alpha monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1a (purple), dicarbamate *L*-LysK-2 (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue). The experimental values (dots) are fitted with 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial (curve).

To assess the protonation state of the amine upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading, the same 0.5M aqueous solution of **L-LysK** was followed with a pH probe throughout the reaction. The potentiometric analysis showed that at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.5 the solution presents an overall pH of 8.5 (graph in Annexes, page 210). Consequently, only 26% of  $\alpha$ -NH<sub>2</sub> and 0.5% of  $\epsilon$ -NH<sub>2</sub> are deprotonated, which explains the low abundance of ammonium carbamates at high loading.

To assess the influence of the substituents on the  $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -diamine backbone on carbon capture, the ammonium/free amine evolution of **L-LysK** and **DETA** were compared. The common feature between *L*-lysine and Diethylenetriamine is the  $\alpha$ , $\omega$ -diamine backbone, the basic groups are highlighted with red circles in Fig.58. It can be observed that while **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> capture is undergoing carbamation, *L*-lysine capture is mainly reacting by carbonation. At loading 0.7 *L*-lysine-monocarbamates are almost completely hydrolyzed to yield carbonates, thereby increasing free amine in solution. It is also observable that the tendency of **DETA** to form carbamates limits its absorption at loading 0.6, while *L*-LysK shows higher absorption capacity reaching a maximum experimental loading of 0.8, due to carbonates.



Fig. 58 Molar fraction of free amine (blue), ammonium (gray), (carbamates (red) and carbonates (light blue) versus total  $CO_2$  loading for a) *L*-LysK 0.5M, b) **DETA** 0.5M. Red circles highlight the 5 atoms separating the primary amine groups on both amine structures.

## 4.4.2 Cyclic capacity

Cyclic capacity was measured on the same 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-LysK over three absorption/desorption cycles (Fig.59). *L*-Lysine showed a rich loading of 0.76  $\pm$  0.05 within the three cycles, while desorption reached 0.17  $\pm$  0.09. An experimental error encountered during the second desorption cycle impeded the solution to reach 100 °C, which justifies the different profile of the second cycle as well as the high lean-loading.



Fig. 59 Cyclic capacity of an aqueous solution of 0.5M of L-LysK. Rich and lean values of  $CO_2$  loading versus number of absorption/desorption cycles (n = 3).

Overall, *L*-LysK presents a cyclic capacity of  $0.60 \pm 0.14$ . Coherently with what observed for glycine, the higher cyclic capacity of *L*-lysine could be explained by the higher percentage of bicarbonates in solution (93% at high loading) compared to EDA (23% bicarbonates) and DETA (34% bicarbonates).

The evolution of carbamates and carbonates wavelengths followed by *in situ* FT-IR of *L*-LysK and **DETA** were confronted, to assess the influence of the  $\alpha,\omega$ -diamine backbone on the kinetics of absorption.



Fig. 60 Evolution of the normalized intensities of wavelengths corresponding to a) carbamate (1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>, red); b) bicarbonate (1361 cm<sup>-1</sup>, light blue) for a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA** (empty circles) and a 0.5M aqueous solution of **L-LysK** (full circles). Both solutions were loaded with a pure flux of CO<sub>2</sub> at 200 mL/min at 25 °C.

It can be observed that the carbamation of *L*-lysine is slower compared to **DETA**, with the same behavior observed for glycine vs **EDA**. Carbonation on the other hand evolves simultaneously at the beginning of the absorption step and grows steeper for *L*-lysine.

Finally, a PCC experiment was run on a 3M aqueous solution of *L*-lysine neutralized with one equivalent of KOH, at 40 °C with a combined flow of CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> at respectively 15% and 85%. The operating parameters were chosen to reflect industrial conditions. The reaction enthalpy for *L*-LysK with  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.8 was measured to be  $\Delta_r$ H<sup>0</sup> = -72.9 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>, which is close to the optimal absorption enthalpy of sorbents (-70 to -60 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>)<sup>27</sup>.

*L*-lysine appears to be a good potential new sorbent for  $CO_2$  capture, showing maximum experimental loading of 0.8, absorption by carbonation, 0.60 ± 0.14 of cyclic capacity and low enthalpy of absorption.

## 4.5 L-Cysteine

The expertise of the CSAp groups on thiol chemistry, the potential formation of thiocarbonates in water and the metal-binding character of L-cysteine were the main factors which lead to the investigation of the neutral amino acid as a CO<sub>2</sub> sorbent.

The thiol group on its side chain gives rise to the possible formation of a disulfide bond in oxidative conditions, forming *L*-cystine, dimer of *L*-cysteine (Fig.61).



Fig. 61 Oxidation of *L*-cysteine into its dimer *L*-cystine.

There are several ways to prevent the formation of disulfide bonds in solution, for instance degassing water to remove oxygen or prepare the solution and the solvent through a series of freeze-pump steps. Such precaution steps may hamper the industrial process, so it was decided to work in the simplest conditions and investigate the *L*-cysteine-CO<sub>2</sub> system without any preliminary processing.

An aqueous solution of *L*-CysK loaded with  $CO_2$  gives rise to two main adducts: monocarbamate *L*-CysK-1 and bicarbonate  $HCO_3^-$  (Fig.62).



Fig. 62 Adducts of potassium cysteinate loaded with CO<sub>2</sub>. Free amine *L*-CysK (blue), monocarbamate *L*-CysK-1 (red), bicarbonate (light blue).

# 4.5.1 <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR speciation

To perform a <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation experiment, a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-CysK was prepared, neutralizing the amino acid with two equivalents of KOH. The resulting pH of the solution was measured to be 11.63. For the Henderson-Hasselbach relation it was calculated that 99% of the amine was in its deprotonated form and 88% of the thiol was in its sulfide form.

Although sulfides may not act as nucleophiles for carbon capture, in water they can act as a Bronsted base. To take this reactivity into account, the  $\alpha(CO_2)$  loading was redefined to moles of carbon dioxide per moles of nitrogen and sulfur moieties (eq.79):

$$\alpha(CO_2)_{S,N} = \frac{moles_{CO_2}}{moles_{R_2NH} * \# S,N} = \frac{moles_{CO_2}}{moles_{S,N}}$$
(79)

The colored spectra obtained by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation can be found in the Annexes, page 212. The speciation of L-CysK (Fig.63a) shows a fast growth of monocarbamate L-CysK-1 (red) versus bicarbonate (light blue) at low loading. It can be observed that the molar fraction of monocarbamate decreases starting from  $\alpha(CO_2)_{S,N}$  = 0.4, indicating its hydrolysis into HCO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>. The ammonium bicarbonate (light blue) increases rapidly, and at high loading its speciation species dominate the system. At the end of the the L-CysK-CO2 library is composed of 21% of monocarbamate and 79% of bicarbonate for a maximal  $\alpha(CO_2)_{S,N}$  of 0.7.



Fig. 63 a) *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> speciation in water. The graph is expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading  $(\alpha(CO_2)_{5,N})$ . Polynomial fit color code: *L*-CysK (blue), monocarbamate *L*-CysK-1 (red), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue); b) Normalized molar fraction ( $X_i^N$ ) of amine/thiolate (blue), ammonium/thiol (gray), carbamates (red) and bicarbonates (light blue) for a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-CysK -CO<sub>2</sub>.

In Fig.63b is represented the repartition between amine/thiolate and ammonium/thiol, carbonates and carbamates. It can be observed that, at maximal loading, the amine/thiolate fraction is completely consumed to yield carbamates and ammonium/thiol. The observed tendency was confirmed by a potentiometric analysis. The latter was performed on a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-CysK, which showed a pH shift from 11.63 to 8.6 upon  $\alpha(CO_2)$  loading (graph in Annexes, page 211). Since the thiol group presents a pKa of 10.78, at high  $\alpha(CO_2)$  it will be completely protonated into R-SH.

#### 4.5.2 Cyclic capacity

A 0.5M solution of **L-CysK** was investigated at 25-100 °C to determine the cyclic capacity of the system. Fig.64 shows two consecutives cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption/desorption from which was obtained an overall value of cyclic capacity of 0.36  $\pm$  0.004. Despite the high

concentration of carbonates, the lean  $CO_2$  loading reaches a minimum of 0.34  $\pm$  0.004, resulting in a poor regeneration of *L*-CysK.



Fig. 64 Cyclic capacity of an aqueous solution of 0.5M of *L*-CysK. Value of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>S,N</sub> loading are plotted against the number of absorption/desorption cycles.

Generally, *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> system shows high maximal  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>S,N</sub> loading with a prevalent carbonation chemistry, although the ineffectiveness of desorption indicates that *L*-cysteine does not represent an ideal solvent for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

#### 4.6 L-Arginine

*L*-Arginine was chosen for this study as a basic amino acid with a guanidinium group, in order to investigate the influence played by the latter on the amino acid carbon capture thermodynamics, kinetics and chemistry.

A 0.5M aqueous solution of Potassium Argininate was prepared neutralizing the amino acid with one equivalent of KOH. The solution reached a pH of 13.71, which resulted in 99% of deprotonated amine and 94% of deprotonated guanidine group, according to the Henderson-Hasselbach relation.

A qualitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR investigation was performed on the resulting 0.5M solution of *L*-ArgK, loaded with a pure flux of CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C. <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis showed the presence of ammonium carbamate (*L*-ArgK-1) on the alpha amino group, and bicarbonates. The presence of a guanidinium group enables the formation of single and double bicarbonate salts, *L*-ArgK-HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and *L*-ArgK-(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub> respectively.



Fig. 65 Potential adducts of *L*-ArgK- $CO_2$  dynamic system. Free amine *L*-ArgK (blue), monocarbamate *L*-Argk-1 (red), guanidinium bicarbonate salt *L*-ArgK-HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue), ammonium and guanidinium bicarbonate salt (*L*-ArgK-(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>) (orange).

*L*-Arginine was not investigated via a quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR speciation, although the maximum experimental loading and the carbamate/bicarbonate composition was explored via a cyclic capacity experiment.

#### 4.6.1 Cyclic capacity

A 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-ArgK loaded with  $CO_2$  at 25 °C showed a maximum richloading of 0.45 for both absorption cycles. After the desorption step, achieved by flushing  $N_2$ in the solution at 100 °C, the lowest lean-loading was determined at 0.23±0.03, for a final value of 0.21±0.06 of cyclic capacity (Fig.66).



Fig. 66 Cyclic capacity of a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-ArgK loaded with carbon dioxide.  $CO_2$  loading is fitted with the number of cycles of absorption/desorption performed on the system (n = 2).

From the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra obtained for the cyclic capacity of *L*-ArgK (Annexes, page 215), it was possible to quantify the molar fraction of free amine, ammonium, carbamate and carbonate for each absorption/desorption step.



Fig. 67 Repartition of free amine (blue), ammonium (gray), carbamates (red) and bicarbonates (light blue) in a cyclic capacity experiment of *L*-ArgK 0.5M. Molar fraction is plotted against the number of absorption/desorption cycle (n = 2).

In Fig.67 the normalized molar fractions are plotted against the cyclic capacity cycles. Monocarbamate *L*-ArgK-1 presents low abundance throughout the experiments, while bicarbonate is the most abundant CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species for each absorption cycle. At high loading 50% of the amine is in a protonated form, suggesting the formation of a double bicarbonate-ammonium salt upon CO<sub>2</sub> loading (*L*-ArgK-(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>).

The *L*-ArgK-CO<sub>2</sub> system presented an affinity for bicarbonates, coherently with the guanidinium group nature, and the general set-up showed a low cyclic capacity, compared to other amines investigated in this work, which does not promote its suitability for carbon capture.

#### 4.7 L-Aspartic Acid

*L*-Aspartic Acid is the only  $\alpha$ AA with an acid R-chain investigated in this thesis. As for the other AAs, *L*-Asp was neutralized with two equivalents of KOH; the 0.5M *L*-AspK aqueous solution presented a pH of 10.6, for an overall 85% of deprotonated amine.

Upon CO<sub>2</sub> loading, *L*-AspK can yield monocarbamate L-AspK-1 and bicarbonate (Fig. 68).



Fig. 68 CO<sub>2</sub> loaded adducts of *L*-AspK, free amino acid (blue), monocarbamate *L*-AspK-1 (red), bicarbonate  $HCO_3^-$  (light blue).

## 4.7.1 Cyclic capacity

As for *L*-ArgK, no complete speciation was performed on this  $\alpha$ AA. A cyclic capacity was run in the same conditions described in section 3.2: 0.5M aqueous solution followed by *in situ* FT-IR and at 25-100 °C and followed by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis (spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 216). Throughout the absorption phases potassium aspartate reached loadings of 0.67 ± 0.004 moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of nitrogen, while during desorption phases the minimum loading is reached at 0.27 ± 0.002 moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of nitrogen, for a final value of cyclic capacity for *L*-AspK of 0.4 ± 0.002.



Fig. 69 Cyclic capacity of a 0.5M solution of *L*-AspK. The value of CO2 loading is fitted with the number of absorption/desorption cycles (n = 2).

Results from a <sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis were used to gain further information about the composition of the system in both the absorption and the desorption phases. The normalized molar fractions of free amine, ammonium, carbamate and carbonate are plotted in Fig.70 versus the number of absorption/desorption cycles.







Visibly, at high loading the system is driven by carbonation, while at low values of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) both carbamate and carbonate are present in solution. The formation of monocarbamates at low loading could explain the limited CO<sub>2</sub> desorption and the lower cyclic capacity of *L*-AspK compared to *L*-lysine and glycine. As observed for arginine, these characteristics do not promote the suitability of aspartic acid salts for carbon capture.

# 5 Conclusions to chapter 2

Throughout this second chapter, properties for carbon capture such as maximum experimental loading, cyclic capacity and enthalpy of absorption were investigated for seven different amines. The investigation was performed via a molecular approach as new CO<sub>2</sub> loaded adducts were identified and quantified for each dynamic combinatorial library. In Table 13 are reported the experimental results discussed in this chapter.

Table 13 Values of maximum experimental  $CO_2$  loading, bicarbonate/carbamate composition of the systems at maximum loading, cyclic capacity and enthalpy of absorption for 0.5M aqueous solutions of **DETA**, **EDA**, *L*-LysK, *L* -CysK, **GlyK**, *L*-ArgK, *L*-AspK determined by experiments performed in this work. \* value at 20 °C.

Amine	Solubility in water (g/L) at 25 °C	$\alpha_{exp}^{max}$	HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	N-CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	сс	-Δ <sub>r</sub> H⁰ (kJ/mol of CO₂)
EDA		0.6	27%	73%	$0.5 \pm 0.03$	89.03
DETA		0.57	34%	66%	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	82.42
GlyK	253.1	0.8	91%	9%	$0.65 \pm 0.04$	68.61
<i>L</i> -LysK	1000*	0.8	93%	7%	$0.60 \pm 0.14$	72.9
L-CysK	25	0.7	79%	21%	$0.36 \pm 0.04$	/
L-ArgK	87.1	0.45	90%	10%	$0.21 \pm 0.06$	/
L-AspK	5.3	0.7	100%	/	$0.4 \pm 0.002$	/

It can be observed that at high loading industrial polyamines such as **DETA** and **EDA** present a chemistry of carbamation with relatively high cyclic capacity, comprised between 0.46 and 0.5. For the literature, the enthalpy of absorption of **EDA** and **DETA** (correspondingly -89.03 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> and -82.42 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>) is considered too high, which indicates that these polyamines are not the most suited solvents for carbon capture. Contrary to industrial polyamines, the five amino acids show a chemistry of bicarbonates. The highest cyclic capacity values were obtained with *L*-lysine and glycine (respectively 0.52 and 0.65), which also reported the lowest enthalpy of absorption (correspondingly -72.9 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub> and -68.61 kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>).

Those characteristics led to the conclusion that, within the seven amine systems observed in this chapter, glycine and *L*-lysine present the most promising properties for further carbon capture applications.

In the context of carbon capture utilization and storage, determining an efficient carbon sorbent is fundamental, although not sufficient. The integrated carbonation of metals is also a critical aspect, which will be furtherly illustrated and discussed in the next chapter.

# Chapter 3 Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM)
# Chapter 3 Objective

The third chapter of this thesis will focus on Integrated Absorption Mineralization (IAM) as a CCUS strategy combining amine-based CO<sub>2</sub> capture and mineral carbonation. The state of the art will present recent examples of IAM with various industrial amines and amino acids, applied to calcium and magnesium-based salts. The chemical regeneration of the amine sorbent will be discussed, as well as cyclic IAM processes and present applications for CO<sub>2</sub> capture from flue gases.

In this chapter, **DETA**, **EDA**, *L*-LysK, *L*-CysK and **GlyK**, previously explored for their carbon capture properties, will be investigated for the integrated carbonation of metals. The study will be divided into two model-substrates systems: chlorides and oxides. In the first system the amines will be tested for their ability to carbonate MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>, in both homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions. In the second system, alkaline and transition metal oxides will be treated via mechanochemistry by CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amines.

These two model systems were chosen as intermediary to the CO<sub>2</sub> metal-free amine libraries presented in Chapter 1 and real and complex system, which will be presented in Chapter 4. For both models the study will be focused on the carbonation yield of metals, carbon capture efficiency and chemically assisted amine regeneration.



# 1 State of the art

The concept of Integrated Absorption Mineralization was previously introduced in Chapter 1 section 2.3, as an alternative strategy to conventional amine scrubbing and *ex situ* mineral carbonation. The IAM technique couples the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption properties of amine solvents with the downhill<sup>115</sup> reaction of mineral carbonation, in a single CCUS process<sup>179</sup>.

The interest of creating such innovative routes relies on the need to decrease the energetic expenditures of amine scrubbing, specifically the thermal desorption and solvent regeneration step. The formation of mineral carbonates can be performed at 40 °C<sup>180-181</sup>, like for the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption step, enabling chemical regeneration<sup>182</sup> of the amine sorbent and eliminating thermal desorption. At the same time, IAM proposes an alternative for conventional *ex situ* mineral carbonation, as it removes the need of pH-swings necessary<sup>24</sup> to yield CaCO<sub>3</sub> and MgCO<sub>3</sub> from Ca, Mg-based minerals.

Hereafter are summarized the IAM processes reported in the literature<sup>99, 180-181</sup>, divided in two alternative approaches: one-pot and two-pots.

The first strategy consists in a one-pot IAM reaction, wherein an aqueous solution of amine is mixed with solid calcium or magnesium-containing salts, forming a reactive slurry (Fig.71a). The mixture is then loaded with carbon dioxide, which is introduced in the reactor as a pure gas or as a  $CO_2/N_2$  mixture.



Fig. 71 One-pot IAM reaction. a)  $CO_2$  is directly introduced into a slurry of aqueous amine and  $Ca^{2+}$  salts. Once the mineralization reaction is complete the mixture is filtered yielding  $CaCO_3$  and the regenerated lean amine solution; b)  $CO_2$  is introduced into an aqueous solution of amine until it reaches saturation (step 1), solid  $Ca^{2+}$  salts are added to the solution (step 2); the resulting slurry yields  $CaCO_3$  and regenerated amine, which are separated upon filtration. Adapted from Liu *et al.*<sup>99</sup>.

Carbon dioxide is captured by the amine, yielding ammonium carbamates and carbonates (eq.53 and 49)<sup>7</sup>. Simultaneously, the metal oxide salts are hydrolyzed into metal hydroxides (eq.50), which can then react with bicarbonates ion present in solution (eq.51). Ammonium

carbamates can then act as  $CO_2$  transient species, and  $Ca(OH)_2$  and  $Mg(OH)_2$  can enhance their hydrolysis leading to the formation of carbonate salts (eq.54) and amine regeneration (eq.55).

$$2 R_2 NH + CO_2 \longrightarrow R_2 NH_2^+ + R_2 NCO_2^-$$
(53)  

$$R_3 N + H_2 O + CO_2 \longrightarrow R_3 NH^+ + HCO_3^-$$
(49)  

$$CaO + H_2 O \longrightarrow Ca(OH)_2$$
(50)  

$$HCO_3^- + Ca(OH)_2 \longrightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2 O + OH^-$$
(51)  

$$R_2 NCO_2^- + Ca(OH)_2 \longrightarrow R_2 NH + CaCO_3 + OH^-$$
(54)  

$$R_2 NH_2^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow R_2 NH + H_2 O$$
(55)

Once the metal feedstock is totally converted into metal carbonates, the slurry is filtered, enabling the simultaneous recovery of the solid product and the lean amine solution. One-pot IAM can also take place in two consecutives steps (Fig.71b). In this case a solid metal salt is introduced into an amine solution after its saturation with carbon dioxide. The mixture is then filtered and CaCO<sub>3</sub> and amine are recovered separately.

The reaction can also be performed in a two-pots set-up (Fig.72). First, the amine solution is introduced into an absorber tank and loaded with carbon dioxide<sup>99, 182</sup>, yielding ammonium carbamates and carbonates (eq.53 and 49).



Fig. 72 Two-steps IAM reaction. Carbon dioxide is captured from flue gases in an amine absorber, yielding a  $CO_2$  rich solution, which is introduced in a carbonation tank containing  $Ca^{2+}$ -bearing salts. Once the reaction is complete, the slurry is transported in a sedimentation tank from which are recovered the solid  $CaCO_3$  product and the lean amine solution. The latter can be then reintroduced in the absorption tank and reused for further carbonation cycles. Adapted from Zhang *et al.*<sup>182</sup>.

The CO<sub>2</sub>-rich solution is then poured into a reactor containing the metal salt, where metal hydrolysis (eq.50) and CO<sub>2</sub> transfer reactions, from ammonium carbamates and carbonates to metal carbonates, take place (eq.51, 54). The resulting slurry is stirred until complete conversion of metal oxides into carbonates. The mixture can then be transferred to a sedimentation tank to separate the solid from the lean amine solution. The chemically regenerated amine obtained during one-pot and two-steps IAM processes, can potentially be reused for further capture and mineralization cycles<sup>115</sup>.

# 1.1 IAM with industrial amines

The literature presents several examples of IAM with a variety of industrial amines and mineral substrates. The most investigated amines are **MEA**, **DEA**, **MDEA**, **AMP** and **PZ**, for their high  $CO_2$  absorption capacity and fast absorption rates, previously discussed in Chapter 2<sup>65, 71, 183</sup>.

In a study published in 2017, Arti *et al.*<sup>180</sup> compared the efficiency of mineral and thermal regeneration of a CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated solution of **AMP**. Thermal stripping was accomplished by heating the solution at 90 °C for 70 min, achieving 68% of CO<sub>2</sub> desorption. Mineral regeneration on the other hand was performed by introducing CaCl<sub>2</sub> in a 1:1 ratio with captured CO<sub>2</sub>, converting 97.4% of ammonium carbamate/carbonate into calcium carbonate within 30 min. Arti *et al.* also investigated the IAM process on **MEA**, **DEA** and **MDEA**. The results are reported in Table 14. It can be observed that all four amines present higher desorption rates via mineral desorption compared to thermal desorption. In addition, the cyclic capacity is 1.3–3 times higher, providing an example of efficient amine regeneration with no thermal degradation drawback<sup>180</sup>.

Table 14 Comparison between thermal and mineral desorption on an IAM process with  $CO_2$ -loaded amines and  $CaCl_2$ . Values extracted from the study of Arti *et al.*<sup>180</sup>; cyclic capacity (CC) expressed in mol of  $CO_2$  per mol of amine, absorption and desorption rate expressed in mmol/(mol\*s), mass yield expressed as  $CaCO_3(g)/CaCl_2(g)$ .

	Thermal desorption			Mineral desorption					
R₂NH	СС	Abs rate	Des rate	СС	Abs. rate	Des. rate	Initial pH	Final pH	Mass yield CaCO₃
MEA	0.22	0.204	0.054	0.64	0.204	0.355	8.10	6.8	0.24
DEA	0.49	0.200	0.108	0.66	0.200	0.383	8.09	6.6	0.63
MDEA	0.50	0.163	0.138	0.65	0.163	0.526	8.50	6.7	0.62
AMP	0.52	0.192	0.083	0.73	0.192	0.352	8.60	5.9	0.75

Arti *et al.* also reported a noticeable pH shift of the amine/Ca solution during CO<sub>2</sub> capture. In a conventional mineral carbonation process, the formation of MCO<sub>3</sub> is obtained first by adding a strong acid (i.e. HCI) to mineralize the metal-bearing mineral, followed by a strong base to induce carbonate precipitation, and this represents a pH swing. In an IAM system both pH changes are spontaneous: first the addition of a metal salt decreases the overall pH of the system, inducing the hydrolysis of ammonium carbamates into bicarbonates and carbonates ions, second the formation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> induces the regeneration of the amine, causing a final pH increase (eq.51 and 54).

In 2021, Liu and Gadikota<sup>115</sup> proposed an IAM process with either **MEA** or **AMP** to mineralize CaO, CaSiO<sub>3</sub>, and MgO, which are abundant constituents of fly ash, an alkaline industrial residue. The experiments were performed on a slurry at 75 °C, with a partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>  $(p_{CO_2})$  of 1 atm, a 15wt% solid and a 300 rpm stirring rate for a reaction time of 3h.



Fig. 73 Carbonation yield (%) of CaO (orange), CaSiO<sub>3</sub> (green) and MgO (blue). Reactions performed with **MEA** and **AMP** at 1M, 2M and 5M at 75 °C,  $p_{CO_2}$ 1 atm, 3h, 300 rpm. Results reported by Liu *et al.*<sup>115</sup>.

It can be observed that  $CaSiO_3$  is the least reactive metal salt with a maximum carbonation yield of 42% with 2M **AMP**. This phenomenon is due to the lower dissolution rates of calcium silicates, compared to CaO, and to the formation of passivating silica layers which inhibit the carbonation reaction (eq.80, 81)<sup>99, 184-185</sup>.

$$CaSiO_3 + H_2O \longrightarrow Ca(OH)_2 + SiO_2 \quad (80)$$
$$Ca(OH)_2 + CO_2 \longrightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2O \quad (81)$$

Calcium oxide proves to be the most reactive substrate, with a carbonation yield of 98.9% with 5M **MEA**, while in the same operating conditions MgO attains a maximum carbonation yield of 66.6%. The higher solubility product (pKs) of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, compared to Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (pKs = 11.15 and 5.19, respectively) can affect its reactivity, resulting in a lower carbonation yield compared to CaO<sup>186</sup>. Coherently with the IAM chemical desorption principle, the amine regeneration yield parallels the yield of mineral carbonation, which means that the CaO system enables higher amine recovery yields compared to CaSiO<sub>3</sub> and MgO.

A study published in 2021 by Ji *et al.*<sup>181</sup> proposed two IAM reactions, **MEA**/Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and **PZ**/Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, in a one-pot, one-step set-up. 600 mL of a 0.5 M aqueous solution of amine were mixed with 2 equivalents of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and the slurry was set at 55 °C under constant stirring. Contrary to previous reports, in which CO<sub>2</sub> was introduced as a pure gas, Ji *et al.* introduced a  $CO_2/N_2$  (40:60 ratio) mixture into the slurry. This was chosen to check the efficiency of mineral carbonation for carbon capture from industrial-type flue gases.

Overall, CaCO<sub>3</sub> was obtained with an 87% purity with both amines, while CO<sub>2</sub> capture was observed to be more efficient with Piperazine than with **MEA** (97% and 90% removal, respectively). Mineral carbonation can entail potential amine loss, due to the absorption of the latter within carbonated products. To assess the extent of **MEA** and **PZ** loss, two samples of CaCO<sub>3</sub> were tested by TGA (Thermogravimetric Analysis) experiments. One sample was collected after filtration while the other was collected and washed with ultrapure water. Both solids were then dried at 60 °C overnight. TGA results showed that 0.65 mmol/g of **MEA** were lost in the unwashed sample, while no amine could be detected in the washed solid. In the case of **PZ**, no amine was detected in either solid, a phenomenon which could be explained by the higher pKa value of **PZ** compared to **MEA** (9.73 and 9.5, respectively). The higher affinity of PZ towards H<sup>+</sup> ions reduces the concentration in free amine in solution, reducing its retention in the solid<sup>181</sup>.

In 2020, Zhang *et al.* investigated a cyclic experiment with a mixture of **MEA/MDEA** and  $Ca(OH)_2^{182}$  (Fig.74). Following the two-steps set-up showed in Fig.72, a 2M aqueous solution of **MEA/MDEA** was loaded with a pure flux of CO<sub>2</sub> at 20 °C and then poured in a reactor containing Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>. In order to promote CO<sub>2</sub> stripping and chemical regeneration of the amine, the calcium hydroxide amount was calculated to reach a CO<sub>2</sub>/Ca molar ratio of 1:1.



Fig. 74 CO<sub>2</sub> loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>), expressed in moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per moles of nitrogen on the amine backbone) of a 2M **MDEA/MEA** solution in five cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption and chemical regeneration. Experiments performed with CO<sub>2</sub>/Ca ratio of 1:1 at 20 °C, stirring rate 600 rpm, reaction time of 30min. Results reported from Zhang *et al.* <sup>182</sup>.

The carbonation reaction was then performed at 20-60 °C for 30 minutes, stirred at 600 rpm. After the solid carbonate was collected, the amine lean solution was reused for four consecutive absorption/mineralization cycles, showing an average cyclic capacity of 0.537 ( $\alpha(CO_2) = mol(CO_2)/moles$  of N) and a constant absorption decrease of 5% throughout the five consecutives cycles.

By the examples reported in the literature is possible to affirm that Integrated absorption mineralization is an extremely versatile strategy applicable to various amine sorbents and metal feedstocks. It is also possible to observe that, despite the use of different operating conditions, the carbonation yields and amine recovery are generally satisfactory.

# 1.2 IAM with αAA

IAM reactions were also tested with glycine, as a potential natural substitute for industrial amines.  $CO_2$ -capture efficiency and mechanism of glycine was investigated in this work and discussed in Chapter 2, where it was observed that the  $\alpha$ AA forms both ammonium-carbamate (eq.82) and carbonate (eq.83) adducts upon  $CO_2$  capture, with a majority of the latter (91%) (Fig 52, page 90, glycine speciation).

$$2 H_2 N CO_2 K^+ + CO_2 \longrightarrow {}^{+}K^-O_2 C N H CO_2^- + {}^{+}H_3 N CO_2 K^+$$
(82)

$$H_2N CO_2^{-}K^+ + CO_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow HCO_3^{-} + {}^{+}H_3N CO_2^{-}K^+$$
 (83)

In 2020 Liu *et al.*<sup>109</sup> reported a series of experiments performed with 1M **GlyNa** and 15wt% of CaO, CaSiO<sub>3</sub>. The reactions were performed in a one-pot set-up, for 3h, at a stirring rate of 300 rpm and at temperatures between 25 °C and 90 °C.

The results obtained Liu and Gadikota are reported in Fig.75. As previously observed, calcium silicate presents low carbonation yield compared to CaO, with a slight increase for experiments performed at 75 °C (CaCO<sub>3</sub> < 40%), compared to experiments performed at 25 °C and 55 °C. Coherently with its higher reactivity, calcium oxide presents elevated carbonation yields, comprised between 77% and 97%<sup>109</sup>. In the IAM pathway, lower temperatures favor the thermodynamics of carbon capture, while higher temperatures favor the kinetics of mineral dissolution and carbonate precipitation. For this reason, higher carbonation yield is reached at higher temperatures.



Fig. 75 Carbonation yield (%) of CaO (orange) and CaSiO<sub>3</sub> (green) for IAM experiments with 1M **GlyNa**, 15wt% solid, for 3h at 300 rpm at different temperatures (25 °C, 50 °C, 75 °C and 90 °C). Results reported from Liu *et al.*<sup>109</sup>.

In the same operating conditions (1M amine, 75 °C, 3h, 15wt% CaO), CaO carbonation is more efficient with glycine  $(97\%)^{109}$ , than with **MEA**  $(60\%)^{115}$ ; this phenomenon could be explained by the different CO<sub>2</sub>-capture mechanism of **MEA** and **GlyNa**. While monoethanolamine yields mainly ammonium carbamates<sup>68-69</sup>, required to hydrolyze into bicarbonates, **GlyNa** directly yields bicarbonates ions in solution, enhancing the mineral carbonation reaction.

A mineral carbonation reaction involving MgO and **GlyNa** was performed by Liu *et al.* at 0.5 M, 1 M and 2.5 M amine concentrations<sup>115</sup>, yielding 67%, 88% and 74% of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively.



Fig. 76 Carbonation yield (%) of MgO (blue). Reaction performed with **GlyNa** at 0.5M, 1M and 2.5 M at 75 °C,  $p_{CO_2}$ 1 atm, 3h, 300 rpm. Results reported by Liu *et al*.<sup>115</sup>.

As previously observed with CaO, the carbonation extent of MgO is higher when treated with a 1M solution of Sodium glycinate (88%) at 75 °C, compared to 1M **MEA** (61%). Again, the different carbonation yield can be attributed to the higher concentration of bicarbonate salts in a **GlyNa** solution.

When magnesium oxide is treated with a 2.5M solution of **GlyNa**, its carbonation decreases (74%). The phenomenon can be due to the higher viscosity of the solution, which inhibits mineral dissolution and, consecutively, mineral carbonation<sup>115</sup>.

Overall, Integrated Absorption Mineralization proves to be an efficient and valid alternative strategy to conventional amine scrubbing and *ex situ* mineral carbonation. The chemical regeneration of amine solvents could drastically reduce the energy penalty and costs of amine scrubbing<sup>7, 27, 44</sup>, while generating mineral carbonates which are valuable products in various markets<sup>42, 187</sup>. Furthermore, the lower operational temperature could prevent amine degradation and tank corrosion <sup>188-189</sup>, avoiding potential environmental issues.

In the next sections will be presented a variety of IAM processes performed with two industrial polyamines (**DETA** and **EDA**) and three αAA (**GlyK**, *L*-LysK and *L*-CysK).

First, the influence of the amines on mineral carbonation will be investigated in solution with metal chloride salts in both homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions. Then, IAM reactions will be performed via mechanochemical reactions for the carbonation of metal oxides. The investigation of both chloride and oxide salts will help gain fundamental knowledge over reactivity, carbonation efficiency and amine regeneration of two model systems.

# 1.3 Metal leaching and carbonation by mechanochemistry

In this thesis, mechanochemistry was chosen as a greener technique <sup>190</sup>, since it has proven to be an efficient alternative to solution reactions, while involving considerably lower amounts of solvents<sup>191</sup>.

Mechanochemistry is defined as the chemical and physicochemical transformation of compounds during the aggregation caused by the mechanical energy<sup>192</sup>. It presents several advantages, such as simplicity, lower reaction time and solvent waste reduction <sup>193,194</sup>. During a mechanochemical process, the solid reagents can undergo particle size reduction<sup>24</sup>, crystalline structure decomposition, specific surface area expansion, and bond breakage<sup>195</sup>. In complement, this mechanical activation can lower the activation energy required for the reaction, increasing reactivity<sup>194-195</sup>.

Mechanochemistry is applied in numerous fields, such as chemical engineering, organic synthesis, building and coal industry, pharmacy and extractive metallurgy. For the latter, mechanochemistry has proven to be a useful tool for metal lixiviation and recovery from industrial wastes<sup>194-195</sup>.

There are two types of mechanochemical set-ups for metal lixiviation: dry grinding and wet grinding. In the former the metal-bearing material is grinded to reduce size particles and increase the reactive surface area, then the material is mixed in a separate reactor with a leaching solution, usually containing acids or chelating agents<sup>196-197</sup>. The latter consists in a one-pot process in which the material is mixed with the leaching solution directly in a grinding reactor<sup>194</sup>.

An example of metal recovery was provided by the extraction of Lithium and Cobalt contained in Lithium batteries with PVC (polyvinyl chloride). After 30h of grinding the reaction reported in eq.84 yielded 100% and 90% of cobalt and lithium chlorides, respectively<sup>198</sup>.

 $nLiCoO_{2}+ 3 - \left[CHCH_{2}CI \right]_{n} \xrightarrow{Mechanical} nLiCl + nCoCl_{2} + C_{6n}H_{9n}O_{2n} eq.84$ 

In 2000, Zhang *et al.* proposed a dry-grinding process to extract lithium and cobalt from lithium batteries<sup>199</sup>. LiCo<sub>0.2</sub>Ni<sub>0.8</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was grinded with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for 60 minutes and then the resulting solid was introduced in a leaching solution of HNO<sub>3</sub> 1N, obtaining 90% extraction of Co, Ni and Li.

Another example is the extraction of lead from Cathode-Ray tube (CRT) glass. While conventional leaching processes enabled the extraction of 5% of Pb, a wet grinding reaction with 5M NaOH at 70 °C reached 97% of metal extraction<sup>200</sup>.

In the early 2000's Zhang *et al.*<sup>201</sup> and Wang *et al.*<sup>202</sup> proposed the transformation of waste metal oxides into sulfides via mechanochemical reactions. The use of an additive such as iron or aluminum triggered the transformation of metal oxides into sulfides (eq.85, 86 respectively), the reaction has proven applicable also to lead and copper oxides.

$$4ZnO + 4S + 3Fe \longrightarrow 4ZnS + Fe_{3}O_{4} eq.85$$
$$3ZnO + 3S + 2AI \longrightarrow 4ZnS + AI_{2}O_{3} eq.86$$

In this thesis mechanochemistry was chosen as an innovative tool for the carbonation and leaching of pure metal oxides by CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amines, i.e. via IAM reactions. Considering the applications of dry and wet grinding depicted in the literature, the concomitant leaching of each metal will be investigated and discussed. This will allow to obtain a general overview on the carbonation/leaching behavior of metals in H<sub>2</sub>O/amine/CO<sub>2</sub> ternary systems.

# 2 Methods

The experiments presented in this chapter can be divided into three main classes: speciations, carbonation, and mechanical grinding. The studies were performed to assess the amine catalytic effect on metal carbonation, to quantify the potential modification of carbamate/carbonate repartition in the amine libraries induced by the metal salts and to identify the conditions promoting metal carbonation.

In this section, will be presented the objective and the general methods followed in the experiments, while further information on materials and reagents can be found in the experimental section, page 198.

#### 2.1 Study of metal-amine-CO<sub>2</sub> homogeneous system by NMR speciation

In this chapter, the speciation experiments are performed in ternary mixtures of CO<sub>2</sub>-amines-MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The objective, as for the amine-CO<sub>2</sub> speciation experiment reported in Chapter 2, is to analyze the evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub>-amine dynamic combinatorial library (DCL) induced by the presence of soluble metal salts.

Unless otherwise stated, the speciation experiments were performed on a 0.5M solution of amines in  $D_2O$ , with an internal reference fixed at 0.05M and 0.25 equivalents of metal chloride salt with respect to the amine. The solution was divided in 10 aliquots and loaded with increasing quantities of  $CO_2$ , determined by gravimetric analysis. Each sample was then analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C quantitative NMR (qNMR) to identify and quantify the amine- $CO_2$  adducts within the DCL.

The evolution of the library is then monitored by plotting the molar fraction of each species vs  $\alpha(CO_2)$ , the CO<sub>2</sub> loading is defined as eq.31:

$$\alpha(CO_2) = \frac{\sum n_i * \#_{(CO_2)i}}{moles_{R_2NH} * \#N}$$
(31)

As a reminder,  $\#_{CO_2}$  is the number of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules bound to the specie "i" and N the number of nitrogen atoms in the amine structure. This experimental loading is therefore expressed as moles of CO<sub>2</sub> per mole of nitrogen sites on the absorbent.

# 2.1.1 Amplification Factors

The binding of each metal salt with either monocarbamates, polycarbamates or bicarbonates should modify the repartition of the species in the amine-CO<sub>2</sub> library.

The metal can therefore act as a template. Its effect can be quantified by calculating the amplification factors, defined as the difference between the molar fraction of a species "i" in the templated library  $(X_i^M)$  and the molar fraction of the same species in the untemplated library  $(X_i^0)$ , divided by the molar fraction of the metal template itself  $(X_M^0)$  (eq.87):

$$AF_{i}^{M}(\alpha) = \frac{X_{i}^{M}(\alpha) - X_{i}^{0}(\alpha)}{X_{M}^{0}} \quad (87)$$

By construction, the sum of the amplification factors should equal zero:

Where "M" indicates the system in presence of metal template. The  $X_i$  used in those calculations are provided by the polynomial model. If the model deviates, it can entail a concomitant deviation of the mass balance of the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species (eq.88). For this reason, the evolution of eq.88 is calculated for each  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) and used as an indicator of the accuracy of the model. Only values over this indicator were considered significant in this work.

# 2.2 Study of metal-amine-CO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous system

The procedure of the investigation of heterogeneous systems is similar to the speciation. First, a 0.5M solution of amine is prepared in deionized H<sub>2</sub>O with 0.25 equivalents of a metal chloride salt, then the solution is divided in aliquots of 4 mL and loaded with increasing quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>, assessed by gravimetry. At low loading ( $\alpha < 0.15$ ) the precipitation starts after 20/30 minutes, while it is faster (< 15 min) at high loading ( $\alpha > 0.30$ ). The precipitate is then left to stabilize for 6-12 h. Afterwards, the samples are centrifuged at 500 rpm for 10 minutes and the solid is washed with 1 mL of deionized water. All liquid and solid phases are then gathered and lyophilized.

In order to analyse the repartition of each building block (CO<sub>2</sub>, amine, metal) in each phase, three different analyses are performed: <sup>1</sup>H qNMR to quantify the amine, volumetric analysis to quantify the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> and ICP-OES analysis for the quantification of the metal. Finally, the stoichiometry between amine, metal and CO<sub>2</sub> in both liquid and solid phase, provides an indication on the nature of the precipitate which could be either a metal carbonate or an insoluble metal carbamate complex. Additional analysis such as TGA-MS and XRD would be required to define the exact composition of the solid and liquid phases.

### 2.3 IAM by mechanochemistry

In this chapter, metal leaching and carbonation experiments were performed on metal oxides with both Liquid Assisted Grinding (LAG)<sup>203</sup> and neat grinding techniques. The simple distinction between neat grinding and liquid assisted grinding depends on the amount of solvent employed. The critical parameter is "eta",  $\eta$ , expressed in  $\mu$ L of solvent per mg of solid reactant. For neat grinding,  $\eta = 0$ , while for LAG experiments  $\eta$  can be between 0 and 2  $\mu$ L/mg.



Fig. 77 Mechanochemical techniques for increasing volume of solvent added to the reaction. The parameter  $\eta$  indicates the volume of solvents (µL) added per mass of solid reagent (mg).

While the affecting parameters of neat grinding are mainly temperature, reaction time and speed, LAG is a more versatile approach<sup>204</sup>. The catalytic amount of liquid added in the reaction promotes the mobility of the reagents and can therefore accelerate or enable

reactions, that would not proceed as easily in neat grinding<sup>205</sup>. A study performed by Friščić *et al.*<sup>206</sup> on crystallization by mechanical grinding, claimed that in LAG conditions the solubility of reactants did not affect the reaction outcome. On the contrary, the solubility of the reagents/products has to be considered for slurry and solution reactions.

To perform an IAM reaction an amine sorbent is first loaded with  $CO_2$  and then introduced in a grinding reactor containing a metal oxides salt. Both LAG and neat-grinding reactions can then be considered IAM experiments performed in a two-steps set-up.

# 2.3.1 Liquid Assisted Grinding (LAG)

The LAG experiments were performed on metal oxides as the solid reagent, with a precise amount of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine solution. The objective of the experiments was to determine if the presence of a liquid CO<sub>2</sub> carrier (CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine) would enhance the CO<sub>2</sub> transfer from the amine to the metal, therefore increasing the carbonation kinetics and yield.



Fig. 78 Mechanical activation of chemical reactions; a) manual grinding with mortar and pestle; b) mechanochemical LAG in a planetarium reactor, the blue drops represent the added solution, brown circles indicate the solid reagent, and the gray spheres represent the grinding marbles in the reactor.

Once the grinding reaction is completed (3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm) the resulting mixture is recovered with water or methanol, centrifuged, and separated into liquid and solid phases. As for experiments in heterogeneous systems, both phases were studied by ICP-OES, <sup>1</sup>H qNMR and volumetric analysis, to determine the solid/liquid repartition of metal, carbon dioxide and amine. The final objective was to determine the behavior of various metals towards mineral carbonation and attritive leaching, while at the same time quantify the potential amine loss into the solid phase for each experiment.

### 2.3.2 Neat Grinding

To perform neat grinding experiments, it was necessary to obtain a solid CO<sub>2</sub> carrier which would react with solid metal oxides. For this reason, solid adducts of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amino acids were prepared (the preparation procedure can be found on page 203 of the experimental section). The solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> were investigated by solid-state NMR and <sup>13</sup>C qNMR, to quantify the bicarbonate/carbamate composition of the library and assess the global CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the sample. A neat grinding experiment was then performed with equivalent quantities of metal oxide with respect to CO<sub>2</sub>.

The absence of a liquid binder, such as water or concentrated amine solution, could have either positive or negative physical effect on the overall carbonation reaction, by influencing the phase transfer kinetics. In fact, it may lower CO<sub>2</sub> transfer from the amine to the metal, hence inhibiting carbonation, or it might prevent the leaching of the metal in the liquid phase, hence promoting carbonation.

As for carbonation and LAG experiment, the goal of these investigations was to determine which operating condition would provide the highest metal carbonation yield with minimum amine loss. Compared to the IAM strategies discussed in the previous section, neat and LAG grinding would significantly reduce the waste production of IAM reactions, i.e. water volumes, as well as the energy expenses, considering that a 30 minutes reaction at ambient temperature and pressure would require less energy compared to hours of reactions at 75-90 °C.

# 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Influence of the metal on CO<sub>2</sub>-amines systems by speciation

### 3.1.1 Polyamines-MgCl<sub>2</sub>: homogeneous system

A one-pot IAM experiment was performed by introducing pure  $CO_2$  in an aqueous solution of **EDA** 0.5M, in presence of sub-stoichiometric amounts of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.125 M). The presence of a metal template may modify the distribution of the  $CO_2$ -loaded adducts (carbamates and carbonates) in the Dynamic Combinatorial Library, as mentioned in Chapter 2, section 2, page 69. Fig.79a presents the comparison between the <sup>13</sup>C qNMR chemical speciation of the templated and untemplated library, represented by continuous and dotted line respectively. The <sup>13</sup>C qNMR stacked spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 217.



Fig. 79 a) Chemical speciation of EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> compared to the chemical speciation of EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> 1M in D<sub>2</sub>O expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>). The evolution of the species in the templated library are represented by continuous lines, while the evolution of the untemplated library is indicated by dotted lines. The black dotted line in graph a indicates the mass balance of the amine in the templated library. b) Amplification factors upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> DCL in presence of 0.25 eq. of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, the blue line indicates the deviation of the polynomial model, calculated as the sum of the AF. Color code: EDA (blue), monocarbamate EDA-1 (red), dicarbamate EDA-2 (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

It can be observed that the presence of the metal template entails a slight, yet not significant, increase of monocarbamate **EDA-1** and bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and a concomitant decrease of dicarbamate **EDA-2** and free amine **EDA**. The equilibrium displacement can be quantified by the amplification factors, presented in Fig.79b, wherein the blue line indicates the sum of the amplification factors (eq.88), which should be equal to zero. The deviation of this mass balance

indicates that the visible fluctuation of the populations can be mostly attributed to the polynomial model, rather than to template effects.

In absence of CO<sub>2</sub>, the amine-metal solution displays a pH of 10.5 and presents the formation of a white suspension, which can be justified by the formation of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>. Given the concentration of magnesium and OH<sup>-</sup> ions in solution it was possible to calculate the moles of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> formed in solution ( $1.6*10^{-7}$ ), and given the solubility of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> (Ks =  $10^{-11.15}$ , table 6), it is possible to confirm that the white precipitate observed in the amine-metal solution is in fact the metal hydroxide.

Table 15 Metal carbonate and hydroxide pKs at 25 °C and ionic strength 0. \*Value for calcite.

Metal	pKs MCO <sub>3</sub> M <sup>n+</sup> (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) <sub>m</sub>	pKs M <sup>n+</sup> (OH) <sub>n</sub>
Mg	7.46	11.15
Са	8.35*	5.19

The introduction of increasing quantities of carbon dioxide increases the solution pH, dissolving the suspension and yielding a transparent and colorless solution. It can be hypothesized that Magnesium forms soluble complexes with free **EDA** or monocarbamate **EDA-1**<sup>207</sup> (Fig.80a,b). Since the capture equilibrium is not driven to the precipitation of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, the final IAM step is inhibited and there cannot be any chemical regeneration of the amine solvent.



Fig. 80 Potential soluble complex present in the EDA-CO<sub>2</sub> templated library with chloride counterion. a) Mg(EDA)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and b) Mg(EDA)<sub>2</sub>(EDA-1)Cl.

The introduction of  $MgCl_2$  as a metal template in a 0.5M **DETA** solution yielded similar results. In Fig.81a the chemical speciations of the templated and untemplated libraries are put in comparison. The <sup>13</sup>C qNMR stacked spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 218.



Fig. 81 a) Chemical speciation of DETA-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (continuous line) compared to the chemical speciation of DETA-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O (dotted line) expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). The black dotted line in graph a indicates the mass balance of the amine in the templated library. b) Amplification factors upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the DETA-CO<sub>2</sub> DCL in presence of 0.25 eq. of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Color code: DETA (blue), asymmetric monocarbamate DETA-1s (red), symmetric dicarbamate DETA-2a (orange), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

To determine the pH variation caused by the presence of the metal salt, a potentiometric titration of the solution was recorded upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading. The pH of the starting solution resulted to be 10.44, instead of 11.5 for untemplated **DETA** 0.5M, and the overall pH decreased until reaching a final value of 8.3 instead of 6.8 observed for **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.5. The two potentiometric analyses can be found in the Annexes, page 219. Once again the initial basic pH of the solution lead to the formation of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> (pKs = 11.15, Table 15, page 122), which was dissolved once CO<sub>2</sub> loading reached  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.25 (pH = 9.74).

At higher loading ( $\alpha(CO_2)=0.5$ ) the asymmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1a** and free amine **DETA** present a slight increase, with consequent decrease of symmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1s**. It can be hypothesized that once the metal is dissolved from its hydroxide salt, it forms soluble complexes with free and loaded amine (Fig.82).



Fig. 82 Potential soluble complex present in the DETA-CO<sub>2</sub> template library with chloride counterion. a)  $Mg(DETA)_2Cl_2$ ; b) Mg(DETA)(DETA-2s).

The amplification factors, calculated by eq.87, are presented in Fig.81b. As for **EDA**, the mass balance is not optimal (oscillating blue line), indicating that most variation observed in the AF graph seems to derive from the polynomial model.

At  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.57$  (maximal experimental loading), the concentration of  $HCO_3^{-1}$  ions in solution is 0.31M. From this data it was possible to calculate the maximum potential concentration of MgCO<sub>3</sub> in the system, which resulted to be  $1.26*10^{-7}$ . In those conditions, and given a Ks of  $10^{-7.46}$  (Table 15, page 122), the magnesium carbonate should precipitate. Since no precipitation was observed in the aliquots, it is possible to conclude that MgCO<sub>3</sub> is not the main Mg-bearing species in solution, which strengthen the hypothesis of the formation of magnesium-amine soluble complexes in the library.

In the chosen operating conditions, the introduction of Magnesium did not entail any significant amplification in the **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> dynamic library, although it is not excluded that at higher amine concentration the system could yield insoluble MgCO<sub>3</sub>, completing the IAM process.

# 3.1.2 α-Amino acids-MgCl<sub>2</sub>: homogeneous system

Glycine, *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine were investigated for IAM experiments in presence of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, in the previously described operating conditions. In Fig.83a is reported the chemical speciations of **GlyK** 0.5M, analyzed by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR, in presence and in absence of the metal template (spectra in Annexes, page 219). The curves present very similar profiles, with slight variations between the templated and untemplated libraries until a CO<sub>2</sub> loading of 0.3.



Fig. 83 a) Chemical speciation of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O (continuous line), compared to the chemical speciation of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O (dotted line), expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). The mass balance of the amine species is indicated by a black dotted line in graph a. b) Amplification factors upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> DCL in presence of 0.25 eq. of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The blue continuous line indicates the mass balance of the AF. Color code: **GlyK** (blue), monocarbamate **GlyK-1** (red), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (light blue).

At low loading, the AF calculated by eq.87 reveal an increase of bicarbonate, counterbalanced by an equivalent decrease of monocarbamate **GlyK-1**. In the case of glycine, the sum of all AF (eq.88) is equal to zero for all values of  $\alpha(CO_2)$ , which indicates that all variations are significant, and do not derive from the polynomial model.

Contrary to **EDA** and **DETA** and despite an initial pH of 10.98, the glycine/magnesium solution did not present any visible formation of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The stability constant between glycine and Mg is logK = 2.22 for a ML stoichiometry, it is therefore possible to form amine-metal soluble complexes in the templated library. Nevertheless, based on the amplification factors, magnesium seems more prone to form bicarbonates salts in solution. The presence of the metal could entail the hydrolysis of the monocarbamate **GlyK-1** (eq.89), or a counterion exchange with **GlyK-HCO<sub>3</sub>** (eq.90).

$$2 \stackrel{^{CO_2C} N \stackrel{CO_2^{-}K^+}{H}}{} + MgCl_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow Mg(HCO_3)_2 + 2(Cl^{-+}H_3N \stackrel{CO_2^{-}K^+}{K}) + 2H_2N \stackrel{CO_2^{-}K^+}{K}$$
(89)

$$2 \left( HCO_{3}^{-+}H_{3}N \frown CO_{2}^{-}K^{+} \right) + MgCl_{2} \longrightarrow Mg(HCO_{3})_{2} + 2\left( Cl^{-+}H_{3}N \frown CO_{2}^{-}K^{+} \right)$$
(90)

Similarly, a 0.5 M solution of *L*-LysK was investigated in presence of 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> and compared to the speciation of untemplated *L*-LysK in D<sub>2</sub>O (Fig.84a). The stacked <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 220.

The solution presented an initial pH of 11.18 and formation of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> in absence of CO<sub>2</sub>, until  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.1. Compared to **EDA**, **DETA** and **GlyK**, lysine induces the most significant variations within the library. The molar fractions of monocarbamates *L*-LysK-1e and *L*-LysK-1a decrease by an AF of -0.5 and -1 respectively. In parallel, bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is amplified throughout the reaction, reaching an AF of 1.5 at maximum CO<sub>2</sub> loading.



Fig. 84 a) Chemical speciation of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O (continuous line), compared to the chemical speciation of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O (dotted line), expressed in molar fraction (X<sub>i</sub>) vs loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>)). The mass balance of the amine species in the templated library is indicated by a black dotted line in graph a. b) Amplification factors upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> DCL in presence of 0.25 eq. of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Color code: *L*-LysK (blue), epsilon monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1e (red), alpha monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1a (purple), dicarbamate *L*-LysK-2 (green), bicarbonate HCO<sub>3</sub> (light blue).

It must be mentioned that the drastic variation observed for free lysine (Fig.84b, dark blue columns) is due to an analysis or polynomial error, since the decrease of mono and dicarbamates should entail the increase of free amine in solution, and not its loss. Duplicates of these experiments could provide further data to verify those trends and reduce the experimental error.

Nevertheless, the amplification of bicarbonate can be attributed to the presence of metal, although it is not possible to determine whether there is formation of MgCO<sub>3</sub>, Mg(HCO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or of a mixture between protonated amine, bicarbonate and metal. The significant amplification of bicarbonate reported for **GlyK** and *L*-LysK was observed in less extent in the templated libraries of **EDA** and **DETA**. It can be hypothesized that the limited formation of Mg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> does not affect the carbamate-driven CO<sub>2</sub> capture of industrial polyamines, while it influences the carbonate-driven amino acids libraries. Further experiments at higher metal concentrations could entail the precipitation of Mg(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and potentially modify the CO<sub>2</sub> capture chemistry of polyamines from carbamation to carbonation.

Contrary to the other amines, *L*-CysK was not studied via a complete speciation. Two solutions of *L*-CysK 0.5M in D<sub>2</sub>O and *L*-CysK 0.5M with 0.25 eq. of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O were investigated at loading  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.43 to compare the carbamate/carbonate repartition in the templated and untemplated library, although the results did not show any variation in the system. The <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of the *L*-CysK-Mg system can be found in the Annexes, page 221.

Although none of the experiments presented above resulted in the precipitation of MgCO<sub>3</sub> enhanced by amine-capture, the results showed that amino acids can act as potential absorber for metal carbonation. These preliminary results call for further the investigation of the optimal operating conditions for an efficient IAM process with amino acids.

3.2 IAM of CaCl<sub>2</sub> in solution

# 3.2.1 DETA-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: heterogeneous system

To investigate the influence of CaCl<sub>2</sub> on a **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> library, a 0.5M aqueous solution of amine was prepared with 0.083 equivalents of CaCl<sub>2</sub> and loaded with increasing amounts of CO<sub>2</sub>. The amine:metal ratio (6:1) is different from the previous **DETA**/Mg system (ratio 4:1). It was initially chosen based on the hypothesis that calcium could enhance the formation of **DETA-2s**, by yielding a dicarbamate-metal complex shown in Fig.85.



Fig. 85 Hypothetical Ca(**DETA-2s**)<sub>2</sub>(**DETA-H**) complex yielded by the introduction of CO<sub>2</sub> into a 0.5M solution of **DETA** with 0.083 equivalents of CaCl<sub>2</sub>: the dicarbamate species act as ligand for the metal cation while the overall negative charge of the complex is counterbalanced by a molecule of protonated amine in the second coordination sphere.



Fig. 86 Carbonation via an IAM experiment of an aqueous solution of 0.083M CaCl<sub>2</sub> with 0.5M **DETA**, loaded with pure CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C. a) Loading  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.3$ , calcium carbonation yield 82% and amine retention in the solid 1%; b) loading  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.43$ , calcium carbonation yield 86% and amine retention 4%; c) loading  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.52$ , calcium carbonation yield 63% and amine retention in the solid 1%. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

The experimental results showed no amplification of the symmetric dicarbamate **DETA-2s**, instead they revealed an efficient carbonation of calcium. In Fig.86a, b, c are reported the results obtained by loading the amine-metal solution with an increase in  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) of 0.3, 0.43 and 0.52, respectively. Each graph displays the partition between solid and liquid phase of calcium cation (red), carbon dioxide (green) and **DETA** (blue).

As depicted in section 2.2, page 118, the experimental set up requires the solid to be washed and separated from the liquid phase. These steps could entail the partial loss of the sample. When the mass balance of the components does not reach 100%, the lost fraction is represented by a lined pattern. This percentage is calculated as the difference between the initial moles of a component and the sum of the moles in the solid and liquid phase, determined by experimental analysis. The loss is graphically arbitrarily displayed between solid and liquid.

$$\% \ loss = n^i - (n^S + n^L)$$
 (91)

It can be noticed that regardless the  $CO_2$  loadings, calcium is mostly retained in the solid and displays elevated carbonation yields. The latter were calculated as the ratio between the moles of  $CO_2$  in the solid phase and the initial moles of metal (eq.92), while the amine retention in the solid was determined as the ratio between the moles of **DETA** in the solid versus the initial moles of amine (eq.93).

$$MCO_{3}(\%) = \frac{n_{CO_{2}}^{S}}{n_{Ca}^{i}} (92)$$
$$R_{2}NH_{(s)}(\%) = \frac{n_{R_{2}NH}^{S}}{n_{R_{2}NH}^{i}} (93)$$

The highest calcium carbonation extent, 82% and 86%, are obtained with  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.3$  and 0.43 respectively, while higher loading yields only 63% of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The phenomenon could be explained by the lower pH reached with higher CO<sub>2</sub> loading, which could inhibit carbonate formation.

Overall, the three examples show a rather low amine retention in the solid (1%-4%) and an efficient mineral carbonation yield obtained at room temperature with an amine:metal ratio of 6:1. Furthermore, the remaining fraction of amine and  $CO_2$  in the liquid could potentially be reused for further calcium carbonation cycles, thus improving the chemical regeneration of **DETA**.

### 3.2.2 α-Amino acids-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: heterogeneous system

Integrated absorption mineralization of  $CaCl_2$  was then investigated with a 0.5M aqueous solutions of glycine, *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine. The solutions were prepared with 0.125M  $CaCl_2$ , for a final amine:metal ratio of 4:1 and were loaded with pure  $CO_2$  at 25 °C, for a one-pot IAM experiment.

Fig.87a, b, c reports the results obtained for the three amino acids, glycine, *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine respectively, with a total  $CO_2$  loading between 0.44 and 0.49. The three experiments present a general pattern in which the majority of calcium is found in the solid with medium/high carbonation yields, while the  $\alpha$ AA is nearly all transferred to the liquid phase. This indicates that the solid does not contain calcium-amino acid complexes.

The quantification of the amine residue in the solid was measured at 1, 2% which indicates a high chemical regeneration of the amine and a low contamination of the solid. Contrary to **DETA**, the formation of a white precipitate was immediate upon CO<sub>2</sub> introduction, nevertheless the samples were left to stabilize for 12h.



Fig. 87 Carbonation via an IAM experiment of an aqueous solution of 0.083M CaCl<sub>2</sub> with 0.5M solution of amino acids loaded with pure CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C. a) **GlyK**,  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.49$ ; b) *L*-LysK,  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.44$ ; c) *L*-CysK,  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.49$ . Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

Glycine, Fig.87a, shows a carbonation yield of 58%, which indicates that 30% of the introduced  $CO_2$  is transformed in CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Consequently, the recovered amine presents a loading of 0.25, compared to the initial 0.49, which indicate an efficient, yet unoptimized, amine regeneration. Lysine and cysteine (Fig.87b,c) display a calcium carbonation yield of 69% and 87%, respectively, which corresponds to 20% of the introduced  $CO_2$ . For both amino acids, the  $\alpha(CO_2)$  loading after carbonation is 0.25, compared to the initial 0.49 and 0.49 for lysine and cysteine.

The last IAM experiment with CaCl<sub>2</sub> was performed with *L*-LysK 0.5M with increasing concentrations of metal and final *L*-LysK:Ca ratios of 1:1 and 2:1. A higher metal concentration could have a greater impact in displacing the reaction equilibrium towards the carbonate formation, thus promoting CO<sub>2</sub> stripping.



Fig. 88 IAM experiment of an aqueous solution of 0.5M *L*-LysK loaded with pure CO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C, with different quantities of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. a) *L*-LysK:Ca ratio 1:1,  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.45; b) *L*-LysK:Ca ratio 2:1,  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.49. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

Fig.88a reports the results obtained via an IAM experiment with an amine:metal ratio of 1:1. It can be observed that, while the carbonation yield is elevated (70%), the amine retention increased from 1% (Fig.88b, lysine:calcium ratio of 4:1) to 10% and 20% of the metal is leached in the solution. On the contrary, increasing the metal concentration for a final amine:metal ratio of 2:1 seems to improve the metal carbonation yield (89% compared to 69%) with a less significant amine retention (4% instead of 10%).

The lower carbonation yield in presence of higher concentration of CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.5M) could be explained by a study performed by Arti *et al.*<sup>180</sup>. Arti *et al.* noticed an important pH drop when CaCl<sub>2</sub> was introduced in a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solution of **MEA**, for which the overall pH changed from 8 to 6<sup>180</sup>. The author hypothesized that a higher concentration of metal decreases the pH of the solution, resulting in partial protonation of the primary amine groups thus inhibiting CO<sub>2</sub> capture via carbamate formation.

Concerning the higher retention of *L*-LysK in the precipitate, it is likely that the formation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> is obtained faster at higher calcium concentrations, causing the amine to be accidentally trapped into the solid matrix, thus affecting its recovery.

Finally, from the results reported in Table 16, it can be concluded that the IAM of CaCl<sub>2</sub> by **DETA** is efficient, although the retention of the industrial amine can represent an additional form of pollution of the carbonated product. Glycine seems to be the least efficient amino acid in the chosen operating conditions, while *L*-cysteine presents carbonation yields comparable to **DETA**, with lower amine retention. Finally, *L*-lysine provided the highest calcium carbonation yield (89%) and a higher optimization of the operating parameters could provide higher metal carbonation extents and higher amine recovery.

R₂NH	R <sub>2</sub> NH:Ca	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	CaCO₃(s) yield	R <sub>2</sub> NH(s) loss
	6:1	0.30	82%	1%
DETA		0.43	86%	4%
		0.52	63%	1%
	4:1	0.44	69%	1%
<i>L</i> -LysK	2:1	0.49	89%	4%
	1:1	0.45	70%	10%
L-CysK	4:1	0.49	87%	1%
GlyK	4:1	0.49	58%	2%

Table 16 Results of the carbonation of  $CaCl_2$ , with a 0.5M amine solution in  $H_2O$ , loaded with pure  $CO_2$ .

# 3.3 Mechanochemistry

# 3.3.1 LAG: DETA and MOx

The LAG experiments were performed using a variety of metal oxides as the solid reagent, and 1 mL of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded **DETA** as solvent. It was decided to work in equivalent conditions between the metal and CO<sub>2</sub>, to incite complete metal carbonation. To provide a higher concentration of carbon dioxide in the reaction, the amine solutions were prepared with a final concentration of 5M in deionized water, instead of 0.5M. The solutions were then loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> and analyzed by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR to determine the precise value of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>).

Two possible reactions are shown in eq.94 and eq.95. In the first example,  $CO_2$  can be transferred from an ammonium carbamate to the metal carbonate, or there can be complexation between the metal and the  $CO_2$ -loaded amine. In eq.95, on the other hand, is reported the case in which the metal binds preferably the amine, resulting in a soluble complex which can then be leached from the reactive mixture.

$$MO + R_2N-CO_2^- + R_2NH_2^+ \longrightarrow 2R_2NH + MCO_3 (94)$$
  
$$M'O + 2R_2NH + H_2O \longrightarrow M'^{2+}(R_2NH)_{22} 2OH^- (95)$$

The LAG experiments were performed in duplicate. For each **DETA**-metal system one sample was recovered and washed with purified water and the other with methanol, then the solid/liquid phases were separated. Methanol was chosen as a polar and less dissociative solvent, compared to water, to investigate the influence of the solvent during washing. It was assumed that the carbonate is insoluble in MeOH, while the amine-CO<sub>2</sub> libraries are not, which would provide a higher separation of the organic/inorganic components, as well as a higher amine recovery.

The Liquid Assisted Grinding experiments with **DETA** were performed on 11 metal oxides and the specific experimental conditions of each amine/metal system can be found in Table 17.

The parameter  $\eta$  ( $\mu$ L/mg) was introduced in Section 2.3, page 118, and indicates the ratio between the liquid solvent and the solid reagents in the reaction. For LAG experiments  $\eta$ values should be between 0 and 2  $\mu$ L/mg. From Table 17 it can be noticed that some experiments were performed a higher liquid/solid ratio which bring them in a slurry category

rather than LAG. Since the priority was given to the control of metal/CO $_2$  stoichiometric ratios,

the  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  factor resulted to be outside the ideal range.

Table 17 Experimental conditions for LAG experiments with a 5M aqueous solution of **DETA** and MOx; grindings were performed in a tungsten carbide reactor (WC) for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm.  $MCO_3$  (%) indicates the carbonation yield and  $R_2NH(s)$ % indicated the amine retention in the solid. \*Ti, Al, Ni and Fe experiments could not be exploited, as the ICP-OES quantification failed, the metal carbonation yield reported for these metals has to be considered an approximation.

R₂NH	M (mol/L)	α(CO₂)	η (μL/mg)	MOx	M:CO <sub>2</sub>	Solvent	MCO₃ (%)	R₂NH(s) %
	5.03	0.45	4.68	11.0	1:0.47	H <sub>2</sub> O	31%	6%
			4.96	LI <sub>2</sub> O	1:0.50	MeOH	40%	39%
	5.30	0.39	3.89	MaO	1:0.97	H <sub>2</sub> O	17%	6%
			3.97	IvigO	1:0.98	MeOH	66%	29%
	E 11	0.46	4.48	*^/ 0	1:1.6	H <sub>2</sub> O	16%	0%
	5.11	0.40	4.16	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1:1.5	MeOH	14%	1%
	E 02	0.45	2.56	620	1:0.98	H <sub>2</sub> O	51%	7%
	5.05	0.45	2.63	CaU	1:1	MeOH	100%	41%
	5.03	0.45	3.51	*TiO <sub>2</sub>	1:1.91	H <sub>2</sub> O	12%	0%
			3.59		1:1.95	MeOH	6%	0%
DETA	5.03	0.45	2.21	MnO	1:1.07	H <sub>2</sub> O	28%	2%
DETA			2.28		1:1.10	MeOH	34%	0%
	5.30	0.39	2.96	*Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1:1.47	H <sub>2</sub> O	12%	0%
			2.89		1:1.59	MeOH	13%	0%
	5.00	0.34	2.29	CoO	1:0.99	H <sub>2</sub> O	6%	0%
			2.33		1:1.01	MeOH	3%	0%
	5.00	0.34	2.27	*NiO	1:0.98	H <sub>2</sub> O	4%	0%
	5.00		2.29	NIC	1:0.99	MeOH	3%	0%
	5.03	0.45	1.86	CuO	1:1	H <sub>2</sub> O	8%	0%
	5.05		1.89	CuO	1:1.02	MeOH	10%	0%
	5.03	0.45	1.79	7nO	1:0.99	H <sub>2</sub> O	43%	56%
	5.05	0.45	1.80	2110	1:1	MeOH	63%	72%

Table 18 Stability constant of different metal cations with DETA for ML and ML<sub>2</sub> complexes and pKs of metal hydroxides/carbonates at 25 °C<sup>126, 208</sup>. \* Value at 18 °C, \*\*value at 20 °C.

M <sup>x+</sup>	LogK	(25 °C)	pKs (25 °C)		
	DETA (ML <sub>1</sub> /M.L <sup>1</sup> )	DETA (ML <sub>2</sub> /M.L <sup>2</sup> )	Hydroxide	Carbonate	
Li⁺	/	/	/	/	
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	/	/	11.15	7.46	
Al <sup>3+</sup>	/	/	33.5	/	
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	/	/	5.19	8.35	
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	3.99	6.91	12.8	9.3	
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	/	/	/	/	
Co <sup>2+</sup>	8.57	14.77	14.9	9.98	
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	10.96	19.27	15.2	6.87	
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	16.1	21.2	19.32	9.63	
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	8.62	12.4	15.52	10	

Considering the equilibrium showed in eq.94, a series of calculations were made for the MO-DETA systems. In order to calculate the equilibrium constant of eq.96, the latter was divided into separate equilibriums with known constants (eq.97-103). The formation of the carbonate ion (eq.100) is divided into three additional equilibriums indicating the carbonic acid dissociation (eq.101-103).

$$MO + DETA-CO_{2} \longrightarrow MCO_{3} + DETA$$
(96)  

$$M(OH)_{2} \longrightarrow M^{2+} + 2OH^{-}$$
(97)  

$$CO_{3}^{2-} + M^{2+} \longrightarrow MCO_{3}$$
(98)  

$$DETA-CO_{2} \longrightarrow DETA + CO_{2}$$
(99)  

$$CO_{2} + 2OH^{-} \longrightarrow CO_{3}^{2-} + H_{2}O$$
(100)  

$$CO_{3}^{2-} + H^{+} \longrightarrow HCO_{3}^{-}$$
(101)  

$$HCO_{3}^{-} + H^{+} \longrightarrow CO_{2} + H_{2}O$$
(102)  

$$2H_{2}O \longrightarrow CO_{2} + 2H^{+} + 2OH^{-}$$
(103)

Starting from these equilibriums, eq.96 can be calculated as:

$$(eq.96) = (-eq.97) * (eq.98) * (-eq.99) * (eq.100)$$

 $(eq.96) = (-eq.97) * (eq.98) * (-eq.99) * [(-eq.101) * (-eq.102) * (eq.103)^2]$ 

$$K_{(eq.96)} = \frac{1}{Ks_{OH}} * K_{co_3} * \frac{1}{K_{DETA-1a}} * \frac{1}{K_{a2}} * \frac{1}{K_{a1}} * K_w^2$$

The assumption was made for which  $Ks_{(MO)} = Ks_{(M(OH)_2)}$ . A previous investigation conducted by our group allowed the determination of the equilibrium constant of eq.99, in which the asymmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1a** is dissociated into uncarbamated amine and CO<sub>2</sub>. This value was estimated at 48 L/mol<sup>41</sup>. Finally, for the pKs listed in table 18 and for a value of Kw = 10<sup>-14</sup>, K<sub>a1</sub> = 10<sup>-6.37</sup> and K<sub>a2</sub> = 10<sup>-10.32</sup> it was possible to calculate the equilibrium constants of eq.96 for Mg, Ca, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn (Table 19).

Table 19 Equilibrium constants of eq.96 for Mg, Ca, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu and Zn, calculated via eq.97-101.

M <sup>2+</sup>	K <sub>(eq.96)</sub>
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	5.00 10 <sup>-10</sup>
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	7.06 10 <sup>-17</sup>
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	3.23 10 <sup>-10</sup>
Co <sup>2+</sup>	8.49 10 <sup>-09</sup>
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	2.18 10 <sup>-05</sup>
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	5.00 10 <sup>-04</sup>
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	3.38 10 <sup>-08</sup>

These calculations indicate that calcium is more prone to precipitate as carbonate, compared to nickel and copper, which on the contrary could be leached in solution via the formation of a soluble **DETA**-metal complex.

In Fig.89 are presented the results obtained with  $Li_2O$  (a, b), MgO (c, d) and CaO (e, f) after water and methanol treatment. It can be observed that the amine retention in the solid after MeOH washing (Fig.89b, d and f) is 7 times higher than with water (Fig.89a, c and e).

At the same time, the dissolution of carbonates and carbamates decreases, which entails a higher percentage of  $CO_2$  in the solid. In the case of CaO, when the solid is washed with Methanol (Fig.89f), carbon dioxide is completely retained in the solid, showing a potential mineral carbonation of 100%.

Unfortunately, the mass balance for calcium is not complete, as shown by the red lined patterned bars. Potentially, a significant fraction of the sample was lost during the recovery of the mixture from the grinding reactor.

Furthermore, the solid cannot be considered pure since it presents 41% of **DETA**. The high presence of amine in the solid could also indicate the formation of a Ca-**DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> complex. Based on the literature and on the CaCl<sub>2</sub> carbonation results, the hypothesis of a stable metal/amine complex seems unlikely. Additional XRD and TGA-MS analysis could reveal the nature of the solid, confirming the presence of metal carbonate or of a metalorganic complex.



Fig. 89 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in H<sub>2</sub>O; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) Li<sub>2</sub>O, Li:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.47, water washing; b) Li<sub>2</sub>O, Li:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.5, MeOH washing; c) MgO, Mg:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.97, water washing; d) MgO, Mg:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.99, MeOH washing; e) CaO, Ca:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.98, water washing; f) CaO, Ca:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1, MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

The results obtained with manganese are showed in Fig.90a, b. The stochiometric amount of CO<sub>2</sub> present in the soil enables only partial carbonation of MnO, yielding 28%-34% of MnCO<sub>3</sub>. The solvent influence appears to be the same as for Li<sub>2</sub>O, MgO and CaO: MeOH inhibits metal lixiviation, increasing carbonation. Furthermore, no amine is detected in the solid, although the significant amine loss in case of MeOH calls for additional experiments and analysis to confirm that no amine is actually retained in the solid phase.

Titanium, aluminum, nickel and Iron experiments could not be exploited, as the ICP-OES quantification failed. The graphs are omitted from the discussion but can be found in the Annexes, page 222.



Fig. 90 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in H<sub>2</sub>O; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) MnO,  $Mn:CO_2 = 1:1.07$ , water washing; b) MnO,  $Mn:CO_2 = 1:1.10$ , MeOH washing. The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).



Fig. 91 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in H<sub>2</sub>O; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) CoO,  $Co:CO_2 = 1:0.99$ , water washing; b) CoO,  $Co:CO_2 = 1:1.01$ , MeOH washing; c) CuO,  $Cu:CO_2 = 1:1.1$ , water washing; d) CuO,  $Cu:CO_2 = 1:1.02$ , MeOH washing; e) ZnO, Zn:CO\_2 = 1:0.99, water washing; f) ZnO, Zn:CO\_2 = 1:1.1, MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red), CO\_2 (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

In Fig.91 are reported the results of the LAG experiments run on cobalt (Fig.91a, b), copper (Fig.91c, d) and zinc oxides (Fig.91e, f). It can be observed that cobalt and copper present very low carbonation extent (3%-10%), with no retention of the amine in the solid. At the same time, a metal leaching effect is observable for both systems in water and methanol.



Fig. 92 pKs of MCO<sub>3</sub> (orange) and logK of  $ML_2$  metal-**DETA** complexes (blue) at 25 °C, ionic strength 0. Values listed for  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ .

In Fig.92 are shown the pKs of MCO<sub>3</sub> (orange) and the logK for ML<sub>2</sub> metal-**DETA** complexes (blue) for each metal. Cobalt and copper both display a higher affinity for **DETA** complexation (logK = 14.77 and 21.1, respectively) than carbonate formation (pKs = 9.98 and 9.63, respectively), confirmed by the low carbonation extent and high fraction of metal and amine in the liquid phase. The formation of Werner complexes is a driving force toward metal leaching, as illustrated by the presence of 50% of Co<sup>2+</sup> in the liquid phase (Fig.91a). From Fig.92 it can be observed that nickel presents a logK = 19.27 for a ML<sub>2</sub> complex with **DETA**, and a pKs of 6.87 for NiCO<sub>3</sub>. Although the results obtained with nickel are not discussed in this chapter, it could be expected to follow the same tendencies as cobalt and copper, resulting in high metal leaching and low metal carbonation. Finally, the residual metal in the solid phase could be attributed to an unreacted fraction of metal oxide.



Fig. 93 Potential structure of DETA-Metal Werner complex with a ML<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry.

The results obtained by LAG of ZnO with **DETA** show a different behavior (Fig.91e, f). The calculated carbonation extent reaches 43% with water, and 63% with MeOH, although in the same conditions the amine retention rises from 56% in water to 72% in Methanol.

As shown in Fig.94, Zinc presents close values for both carbonation (pKs = 10) and **DETA** complexation (logK = 12.4). Given these constants and the elevated presence of **DETA** in the solid, the potential formation of a Zn-**DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> must be considered. This hypothesis is strengthened by the several examples of Zn-carboxylate and Zn-carbamate complexes<sup>209-213</sup> reported in the literature.



Fig. 94 Potential structures for a Zn(DETA-CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex for different carbamate adducts. From the left: Zn(DETA-1a)<sub>2</sub>, Zn(DETA-1s)<sub>2</sub>, Zn(DETA-2s)<sub>2</sub>.

Table 20 Stoichiometry between DETA/Zn and  $CO_2/DETA$  for the solid and liquid phases of LAG experiments between DETA 5M and ZnO.

	H <sub>2</sub> O w	ashing	MeOH washing		
	S	L	S	L	
DETA/Zn	0.48	1.32	0.92	0.00	
CO <sub>2</sub> /DETA	1.94	0.84	1.19	0.00	

The stoichiometries between zinc, amine and  $CO_2$  for water and methanol (Fig.91g, h) reported in Table 20 indicate the potential formation of a Zinc/**DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> complex in both solid and liquid phases, although it is not possible to determine with certainty if there is only the formation of complexes or if there is presence of zinc carbonate in the solid. Further information could be obtained by additional TGA-MS analysis on the solid phase, since the CO<sub>2</sub> desorption from an organic adduct takes place at 200 °C, while the desorption of CO<sub>2</sub> from metal carbonates takes place at around 800 °C.

Furthermore, an additional <sup>13</sup>C qNMR speciation can provide useful information of the evolution of carbamated species, by which it could be possible to determine any potential amplification of monocarbamates or dicarbamates, as discussed in section 3.1 of this chapter.

# Chapter 3 3.3.2 LAG: L-LysK and MgO

The LAG experiments between lysine and MgO were performed using a solid adduct of CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded *L*-LysK. The latter was characterized by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR, which revealed a total loading of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.79 (moles of CO<sub>2</sub>/moles of N) for a library composed by 79% of bicarbonate and 21% of carbamates (Annexes, page 224).

The amount of MgO necessary for the reaction was then calculated to fit a stochiometric ratio of 1:1 between Mg<sup>2+</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Three experiments were performed with the same quantities of solid reagents (*L*-LysK, MgO), to which were added increasing quantities of water in order to investigate the influence of a liquid binder on the reaction outcome.

The reactions were performed in a Tungsten Carbide (WC) reactor for 30 minutes at 500 rpm. In Table 21 are reported all the experimental conditions, while the results are shown in Fig.95a, b, c.

Table 21 Experimental conditions for LAG experiments between L-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s), MgO and H<sub>2</sub>O. The reactions were performed in a WC reactor with 30min grinding at 500 rpm.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	η (μL/mg)	M:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH(s)
	0.8	0.5	1:1	41%	8%
L-LysK		1.0	1:0.99	37%	13%
		2.0	1:0.97	31%	6%

It can be noticed that for  $\eta$  = 0.5, 1 and 2, the lixiviation extent of magnesium stays constant at around 5%, indicating that the increasing volume of water does not have a direct impact on the metal dissolution<sup>206</sup>.



Fig. 95 LAG experiments with 0.3g of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.8. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for 30 minutes a) MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:1,  $\eta$  = 0.5; b) MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:0.99,  $\eta$  = 1; c) MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:0.97,  $\eta$  = 2. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).
The amine retention shows no specific tendencies correlated to the increasing  $\eta$  factor, and oscillates between 6% and 13%.

The literature reported a reaction between **GlyNa** 1M and MgO, performed at 75 °C under continuous flow of  $CO_2$  which reached 88% of metal carbonation<sup>115</sup>. The LAG experiments reported above do not reach the same results, although it must be considered that the proposed experimental set-up provides lower water waste, shorter reaction times (30 minutes compared to 3h) and lower reaction temperature (25-35 °C compared to 75 °C)<sup>115</sup>.

Overall, the efficiency of the unoptimized process shows a potential alternative pathway for the carbonation of MgO.

## 3.3.3 Neat grinding: aAA and MgO

The neat grinding experiments were performed with solid CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amino acid adducts and metal salts, to observe the potential carbonation yield of alkaline-earth metal oxides in a solvent-free set-up.

Table 22 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of MgO with solid **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>. The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor for increasing grinding times (30 minutes, 1h, 2h) at 500 rpm. The  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> percentage are referred to the solid amino acid and were determined by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. MCO<sub>3</sub>(s) indicate the carbonation yield while R<sub>2</sub>NH(s) indicates the amine retention in the solid.

	R <sub>2</sub> NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	%N-CO2 <sup>-</sup>	Grinding Time	Mg:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH(s)
	<b>GlyK</b> 0.6				30min	1:0.94	8.9%	0.1%
		0.61	100%	0%	1h	1:0.76 6.8%	0.2%	
					2h	1:0.81	0.9%	0.2%

The solid CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded **GlyK** is previously characterized by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR in the absence of metal salt, determining a total loading of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61 for a library composed by 100% of bicarbonate (Annexes, page 224). The reactions were then run with a Mg:CO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 1:1 at three different grinding times, 30 minutes, 1 hour and 2 hours (Table 22). The resulting reaction mixture was then washed three times with 5 mL of water. The solid and liquid phases were separated and analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H qNMR, volumetric analysis and ICP-OES to quantify the repartition of amine, CO<sub>2</sub> and metal, respectively.

From the results reported in Fig.96a, b, c is possible to notice that the carbonation yields decrease with increasing reaction time (8.9%, 6.8% and 0.9% for 30 minutes, 1h and 2h of grinding, respectively). Almost 50% of magnesium is leached in the liquid phase, while the remaining metal fraction in the solid can be attributed to unreacted MgO.



Fig. 96 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:0.94; b) 1h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:0.76; c) 2h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> 1:0.81. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

**GlyK** is mainly recovered in the liquid phase, with an amine retention in the solid of maximum 1-2%. At the same time the majority of CO<sub>2</sub> is transferred to the liquid. These preliminary results could indicate the formation of organic and metal-based carbonates, which are dissolved in the liquid phase. This hypothesis is strengthened by what was previously discussed for a chemical speciation of **GlyK** in presence of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, where it was observed an amplification of bicarbonates in solution with no consequent precipitation of MgCO<sub>3</sub>.

The lysine-magnesium experiments were performed with a solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> adduct loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57, composed by 6% of *L*-LysK-1e, 16% of *L*-LysK-1a and 78% of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (<sup>13</sup>C qNMR analysis in the Annexes, page 225). The operating conditions are reported in Table 23.

Table 23 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of MgO with solid *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>. The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor for increasing grinding times (30 minutes, 1h, 2h) at 500 rpm. The  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> percentage are referred to the solid amino acid and were determined by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. MCO<sub>3</sub>(s) indicate the carbonation yield while R<sub>2</sub>NH(s) indicates the amine retention in the solid.

R₂NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	%N-CO2 <sup>-</sup>	Grinding Time	Mg:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH(s)
	0.57			30min	1:1.02	21%	2%
<i>L</i> -LysK		78%	22%	1h	1:0.96 13%	2%	
				2h	1:0.99	12%	2%

The data revealed higher carbonation yields in the solid state (12%-21%) (Fig.97a, b, c) compared to **GlyK** (1%-9%). It can also be observed that a lower amount of magnesium is leached in presence of *L*-lysine, while once again the remaining metal fraction in the solid can be attributed to unreacted MgO.

The majority of *L*-lysine can be found in the liquid phase, with a constant amine retention in the solid of 2%. It can be observed that the  $CO_2$  lost fraction is more significant with increasing reaction times. This could indicate partial desorption of carbon dioxide, which would also justify the lower carbonation yields. Additional experiments at shorter reaction times are needed to confirm this hypothesis.



Fig. 97 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.02; b) 1h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.96; c) 2h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.99. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

In similar operating conditions, 30 minutes of grinding at 500 rpm, the LAG and neat grinding of *L*-LysK-MgO showed very different results, for the LAG provided a carbonation yield of 41%, compared to 21% for the neat grinding. These results could be due to the higher concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the LAG experiment ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.8), compared to the neat experiment ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57) but also to the presence of water. As reported by the literature, the presence of a liquid binder can improve the reaction outcome, compared to neat grinding. In order to determine the impact of those factors on the overall carbonating efficiency of the set-up, additional experiments are needed.

Finally, the neat grinding reactions were performed with *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) (Fig.98a, b, c). The solid presented a loading of  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61, with only *L*-CysK-HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> adduct (Annexes, page 227).

Table 24 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of MgO with solid *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>. The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor for increasing grinding times (30 minutes, 1h, 2h) at 500 rpm. The  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N-CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> percentage are referred to the solid amino acid and were determined by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. MCO<sub>3</sub>(s) indicate the carbonation yield while R<sub>2</sub>NH(s) indicates the amine retention in the solid.

R₂NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	% N-CO2 <sup>-</sup>	Grinding Time	Mg:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH(s)
	0.61			30min	1:0.9416%1:1.0518%1:1.0129%	16%	0%
L-CysK		100%	0%	1h		0%	
				2h		29%	4%

Mineral carbonation of MgO assisted by  $CO_2$ -loaded *L*-cysteine increases with increasing grinding reaction times, for an MgCO<sub>3</sub> extent of 16%, 18% and 29% for 30min, 1h, 2h of grinding, respectively. *L*-Cysteine presents similar trends to glycine and *L*-lysine, with low amine retention in the solid (0-4%) for a significant amine recovery.



Fig. 98 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.94; b) 1h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.05; c) 2h, MgO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.01. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

For all the experiments described above, additional TGA-MS, NMR and XRD analysis could provide more information about the state of the metal (MgO, MgCO<sub>3</sub>, Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, Mg(HCO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or  $Mg(R_2NH)(CO_2)$ ) in the solid phase.

Overall, the neat grinding experiences with solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> and MgO showed low amine retention in the solid and lower yield of mineral carbonation, compared to the literature. Nevertheless, the unoptimized experimental set-up showed that both *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine can be considered for further investigation of the mineral carbonation of MgO.

Table 25 Metal carbonation and amine retention in the solid obtained by neat grinding experiments of MgO with solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> adducts. Reactions were performed in a WC reactor at 500 rpm for 30min, 1h, and 2h.

DINU	Crinding time	M	gO
K2INH	Grinding time	MCO <sub>3</sub> (s)	R₂NH (s)
	30'	8.9%	0.1%
GlyK	1H	6.8%	0.2%
	2H	0.9%	0.2%
	30'	25%	2%
<i>L</i> -LysK	1H	16%	2%
	2H	18%	2%
	30′	16%	0%
L-CysK	1H	18%	0%
	2H	29%	4%

#### 3.3.4 Neat grinding: αAA and CaO

Finally, the two-pots IAM experiment via neat-grinding was performed with solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> and CaO. As for MgO, the mechanochemistry experiments were executed in a reactor of tungsten carbide at 500 rpm for a grinding time of 30 minutes, 1 hour and 2 hours.

Table 26 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of CaO with solid **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>. The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor at 500 rpm. Carbonation yield and amine retention are indicated as  $MCO_3(s)$  and  $R_2NH(s)$ .

R₂NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	% N-CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Grinding Time	Ca:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH (s)
			0%	30min	1:0.98	45%	5%
GlyK	0.61	100%		1h	1:1.03	50%	10%
				2h	1:1.01	47%	6%

The solid **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>(s), analyzed via <sup>13</sup>C qNMR, displayed an  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) of 0.61, with a library composed of 100% of bicarbonate (Annexes, page 221). The grinding was performed with a Ca:CO<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry of 1:1. The results reported in Fig.99a, b, c, show that the mineral carbonation extent varies from 47% to 50%, which is significantly higher than the results obtained by neat-grinding of MgO (< 9%). Although the 1:1 stoichiometric condition could enable in theory complete carbonation, optimization may be required to reach 100% of CaCO<sub>3</sub>.The majority of the amine is recovered in the liquid phase, with an amine retention in the solid of 5-10%. After the carbonation reaction, the amine recovered in the liquid phase presents a CO<sub>2</sub> loading of 0.56, 0.43 and 0.42, compared to the initial  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. These preliminary results indicate that the amine is partially regenerated by chemical stripping of carbon dioxide, although additional experiences are needed to determine if the liquid phase could be reused for further carbonation cycles.



Fig. 99 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:0.98; b) 1h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.03; c) 2h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.01. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

The integrated mineral carbonation of CaO with *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) was performed with a new batch of *L*-LysK (s), and an excess of CO<sub>2</sub> with respect to the metal (Table 27). The loading of the solid amino acid adduct was determined by <sup>13</sup>C NMR, which displayed an  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) of 0.77 and a library mainly composed of bicarbonates (77%). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra can be found in the Annexes, page 223.

Table 27 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of CaO with solid *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>. Carbonation yield and amine retention are indicated as  $MCO_3(s)$  and  $R_2NH(s)$ . The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor at 500 rpm.

R₂NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	% N-CO <sub>2</sub> -	Grinding Time	Ca:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R₂NH(s)
	0.77	77%	23%	30min	1:1.47	82%	5%
L-LysK				1h	1:1.54	75%	2%
				2h	1:1.74	86%	1%

Fig.100a,b, c reports the results obtained with increasing Ca:CO<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry, 1:1.47, 1:1.54 and 1:1.74, respectively. Although CaCO<sub>3</sub> yield does not increase proportionally to the CO<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry, the reaction performed with a 1:1.74 Ca:CO<sub>2</sub> ratio presents the maximum carbonation yield at 86% (Fig.100c). Those results indicate that a non-stochiometric supply of CO<sub>2</sub> may be a way to drive the reaction towards complete carbonation.

The amine retained in the solid is comprised between 1% and 5% for a high purity of the solid and high potential amine recovery. Although no metal was detected in the liquid phase, additional duplicates of these experiments are needed to confirm these preliminary results.



Fig. 100 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.77. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.47; b) 1h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.54; c) 2h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.74. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

Lastly, three IAM neat grinding experiments were performed with CaO *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) and loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.52. The <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra performed to calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> loading can be found in the Annexes, page 224. As for glycine, the solid presents 100% of *L*-CysK-HCO<sub>3</sub> adduct.

Table 28 Experimental conditions for the neat grinding of CaO with solid *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>. The grinding reactions were performed in a WC reactor at 500 rpm at increasing reaction times (30 minutes, 1h, 2h). Carbonation yield and amine retention are indicated as  $MCO_3(s)$  and  $R_2NH(s)$ .

R₂NH	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	%HCO₃ <sup>-</sup>	% N-CO2 <sup>-</sup>	Grinding Time	Ca:CO <sub>2</sub>	MCO₃(s)	R <sub>2</sub> NH(s)
				30min	1:1.08	52%	0%
L-CysK	0.52	100%	0%	1h	1:1.11	49%	1%
				2h	1:1.04	45%	1%

As previously observed for the IAM experiment of MgO, *L*-cysteine presents less amine retention in solid (0-1%). The mineral carbonation yield is similar to that of glycine, around 50%, coherently with the hypothesis that a stochiometric quantity of  $CO_2$  does not enable full carbonation of CaO.



Fig. 101 Neat grinding experiments with 0.3g of *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.52. Grinding reactions performed at 500 rpm for a) 30 minutes, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.08; b) 1h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.11; c) 2h, CaO:CO<sub>2</sub> =1:1.04. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).

Overall, the Integrated Absorption Mineralization experiments performed on CaO with solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> showed efficient carbonation performances with low amine pollution of the solid. CaO proved to be more reactive compared to MgO, coherently with the results brought by the literature. This phenomenon could be due to the higher solubility of the hydroxide (pKs = 5.19 for Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, compared to 11.15 for Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>) and to a lower solubility of the carbonate (pKs = 8.35 for CaCO<sub>3</sub>, compared to 7.46 for MgCO<sub>3</sub>).

The neat-grinding pathway was chosen as a greener alternative to IAM processes in solution, although the limited quantities of  $CO_2$  introduced in the reaction could have prevented the obtention of higher carbonate yields.

Table 29 Metal carbonation and amine pollution in the solid obtained by neat grinding experiments of CaO with solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> adducts. Reactions were performed in a WC reactor at 500 rpm for 30min, 1h, and 2h.

	Grinding time	C	aO	
	Grinding time	MCO3(s)	R2NH(s)	
	30'	45%	5%	
GlyK	1H	<mark>50%</mark>	10%	Commenté [ct1]:
	2H	47%	6%	
	30'	82%	5%	
<i>L</i> -LysK	1H	75%	2%	
	2H	86%	1%	
	30'	52%	0%	
L-CysK	1H	49%	1%	
	2H	55%	1%	

## 4 Conclusions to chapter 3

In this chapter were presented several Integrated Absorption Mineralization experiments for various amine-metal systems. The investigation of a homogeneous system, such as R<sub>2</sub>NH-MgCl<sub>2</sub>, showed the influence of a metal template on a dynamic combinatorial library, although it displayed no efficient carbonation of the metal.

The analysis of a heterogeneous R<sub>2</sub>NH-CaCl<sub>2</sub> system proved the efficiency of amino acids for IAM experiments. *L*-LysK, for instance, provided a carbonation yield of 89% with 4% of amine retention in the solid. The amine recovery by chemical CO<sub>2</sub> stripping was achieved at room temperature and for short reaction times, providing a less energetic alternative to the current methods proposed in the literature.

Finally, the liquid assisted grinding and neat grinding of both transition metal oxides and alkaline/alkaline earth oxides proved mechanochemistry a useful tool for both mineral carbonation and metal lixiviation. MgO showed limited reactivity compared to CaO, coherently with the literature. The comparison between neat grinding and LAG for a *L*-LysK MgO system showed that the introduction of a limited volume of a liquid binder can improve mineral carbonation whilst keeping a low amine retention in the solid.

*L*-Lysine proved to be the most efficient amine for CaO carbonation, reaching 86% conversion after 30 minutes of neat grinding at 500 rpm. Further studies are required to simultaneously optimize metal carbonation and amine regeneration.

# Chapter 4 Soil carbonation and decontamination

## Chapter 4 Objective

The fourth chapter of the thesis focuses on the depollution of a metal-contaminated soil, provided by the cement industry Vicat, using CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amines as chelating and leaching agents.

The state or the art will present a general overview of synthetic chelating agents and possible natural substitutes. Although amino acids have been widely discussed as ligands for metal complexes, the literature reports scarce studies on their role as chelating agents for soil remediation. Nevertheless, their efficiency and suitability will be exploited. In this chapter, CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solutions of **DETA**, *L*-LysK, *L*-CysK and **GlyK** will be used as lixiviants for soil depollution via Liquid Assisted Grinding experiments. Each system will be investigated for its efficiency and selectivity towards metal extraction and soil remediation, and the recycling potential of chelating agents will be analyzed. Finally, the possible carbonation of the soil matrix upon CO<sub>2</sub> introduction will be explored and discussed. The approach proposed in this chapter could provide an example of simultaneous metal remediation and mineral carbonation of soils.



## 1 State of the art

In the general introduction (Chapter 1, section 3, page 53) were presented several techniques for soil depollution, including soil washing with metal chelating agents<sup>214</sup>, which can be applied for *ex situ* treatments of soils contaminated by heavy metals (Hg, Cd, Cu, Ti, Pb, Ni, Mn, Mo and Zn<sup>117-118</sup>).

Heavy metals (HMs) such as lead, zinc, cadmium, chromium and mercury<sup>107</sup>, can be retained in the soil matrix in different forms and phases, depending on the nature of the soil. The binding mechanisms between soils and metals can consist in metal absorption on Fe/Mn oxides, clay particles, organic matter and carbonates. Heavy metals can also be retained as exchangeable ions<sup>215</sup> adsorbed on the surface of clay and humus, or in the insoluble fraction of the soil, denominated the "residual fraction".

The latter is composed of primary minerals, formed from primary igneous rocks, and secondary minerals, formed from weathering of primary rocks (i.e. aluminum silicates). The metals fixed in this fraction are usually recovered using a mixture of HF/HNO<sub>3</sub> and cannot be liberated via natural weathering<sup>216</sup>.

Soil washing, or chemical leaching, was introduced in Chapter 1, section 3.2, page 54, and is a technique which consists in extracting metals via the use of acid solutions or via chelating agents. Via this technique the heavy metals are transferred from the solid matrix to the liquid phase, and then recovered from the leachate.

In literature there are numerous examples<sup>119, 214</sup> of soil washing procedures with strong acids solutions such as HCl, HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. These are very effective for the disruption of the soil matrix, liberating heavy metals in solution and thus yielding high metal recovery rates<sup>214</sup>. The use of acids, though, can alter the physical, chemical and biological features of the soil<sup>215, 217</sup> such as its texture, porosity and pH. These modifications influence the habitat of microorganisms, bacteria and fungi, which limits the suitability of strong acid for sustainable soil remediation<sup>107</sup>. For this reason, despite their high extraction efficiency, mineral acids are often substituted with organic chelating agents.

## 1.1 Synthetical organic chelating agents

Chelating agents present an efficient soil remediation method<sup>196</sup>, for they desorb trace metals from soil by forming stable and water-soluble metal complexes.

In Fig.102 is represented an example of *ex situ* process for soil washing with chelating agents. The contaminated soil is introduced in a soil scrubbing unit, wherein it is contacted with an aqueous stream of chelating agent; the washing solution is then recovered and treated to regenerate the chelating agent which can then be reused for other soil washing steps.



Fig. 102 Example of *ex situ* process for soil washing by chelating agent. Overall view of the extraction route with consequent regeneration and recycle of the chelants. Modified from a study published by Mohamed *et al.*<sup>218</sup>

A different example for *ex situ* soil washing can be introducing the soil in a stirred vessel with the washing solution for several hours, and then filtering the mixture to recover the leachate and the depolluted soil. Specific operating conditions such as washing time, concentration of the extracting agent, temperature and stirring rate depend on the type of pollution and depolluting agent in involved.

According to the literature, the choice of a suitable chelating agent is based on many factors<sup>196-197, 219-220</sup>, reported hereafter:

- Extraction selectivity towards target metals.
- Extraction strength: the newly formed chelant-metal complexes should be stable over a wide pH range.

- The chelant should form metal complexes with low adsorption affinity towards solid soil surfaces.
- Recycling potential and low biodegradability in the soil, meaning that it should not be degraded by the microorganisms, bacteria and fungi present in the soil.
- Low toxicity and a low potential to harm the environment.
- Cost-effective.

It must be considered that polluted soils may present different properties based on their phase composition; for instance, the carbonate percentage of a soil can have a great influence on the soil pH. For this reason chelants should display a constant extraction strength over a wide pH range<sup>221</sup>.

The most employed class of synthetical chelating agents for soil decontamination are amino polycarboxylates (APCs) <sup>107, 220, 222</sup>. They are chosen for their high affinity towards HMs and because they are cost effective. A few structures are represented in Fig.103.



Fig. 103 Structure of APCs chelating agents: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), nitrile triacetic acid (NTA), N-2-(acetamido)iminodiacetic acid (ADA), diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) and ethylenediamine-disuccinic acid [S,S]-EDDS. Price in euros/kg by Sigma Aldrich at reagent pure grade 98%. \* value for 1L of EDDS trisodium salt solution.

A study published in 2005 reported that the biodegradability of APCs increases when the number of tertiary amines in the ligand structure decreases (order of biodegradability **NTA/ADA > EDTA > DTPA**)<sup>223</sup>. In addition, the presence of primary and secondary amines

results in an increased susceptibility of APCs to biodegradation <sup>223-224</sup>. Therefore, **EDDS**, **NTA** and **ADA** will be more readily biodegraded compared to **DTPA** and **EDTA**. In the study, the author reported that the biodegradability of a chelant-metal complex would be influenced by the stability of said complex, although the correlation is not linear and it cannot be considered the only affecting factor.

The most investigated APC is **EDTA**, prevalently chosen for its ability to increase the solubility of heavy metals in the soil<sup>225</sup>. The chelating agent is usually employed as its disodium salt **Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA** and presents high complexation constants with metals such as Pb, Zn, Cu, Cd and Fe, (for which the logK is, respectively, 18, 17.5, 19.7, 17.4 and 25)<sup>226</sup>. In the field of soil depollution process based on **EDTA** washing, several researches focused on the development of the most efficient operating conditions for metal recovery and chelant regeneration. A study published in 2016 showed that adding Na<sub>2</sub>S or Na<sub>2</sub>S/Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> to a leachate solution of **EDTA** with Pb, Cd and Zn allowed a metal recovery of, respectively 99.32%, 99.61%, and 99.55%<sup>221</sup>, with simultaneous regeneration of the ligand (eq.104).

In 2012, Udovic *et al.*, investigated the environmental impact of **EDTA** compared to HCl. Solutions of Na<sub>2</sub>**EDTA** and HCl at different concentrations (3.75–45 mM for **EDTA** and 0.5–4M for HCl) were introduced on a Pb-polluted calcareous soil (CaCO<sub>3</sub> > 44%), displaying near to neutral pH (6.76-6.89). A 30mM solution of **EDTA** and a 4M solution of HCl showed similar HMs removal efficiency, measured by ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy). Nevertheless, the solutions displayed different impacts on soil properties. To determine the environmental impact of both washing agents, Udovic *et al.* tested the soil with enzyme activity assays. The latter consisted in comparing the substrate (glucose)-induced respiration (SIR), Phosphatase activity and Dehydrogenase activity of an untreated soil sample versus samples treated with **EDTA** and HCl. The test confirmed that HCl had a greater negative impact on soil enzyme activity<sup>215</sup>, compared to **EDTA** leaching <sup>107</sup>. However, **EDTA** increased the soil pH (7.33-7.5 compared to 6.76-6.89), which could cause further repercussions on the soil microorganisms.

Furthermore, in 2016 Jez *et al.* investigated the extent of **EDTA** retention in a Pb-contaminated soil by mixing 10 mL of **EDTA** (120 mmol/kg of soil) with 10 grams of dried soil for 2h and 24h at 200 rpm, 25 °C. The chelating agent was used as a disodium salt (**Na<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>EDTA**) and as

calcium-disodium salt (**CaNa<sub>2</sub>EDTA**). in free acid form (**H**<sub>4</sub>**EDTA**), and it was observed that 20% of **EDTA** can be retained in calcareous soils, while acidic soil can retain 64% of the chelating agent<sup>227</sup>. This means that **EDTA** can represent an additional pollution source for the treated soils. Although low toxicity and low environmental harm are fundamental points in the choice of a chelating agent, the literature presents scarce and discording studies about the long-term effect of **EDTA** soil washing<sup>228</sup>.

In a study published in 2009, Udovic and Lestan<sup>229</sup> stated that metal remediation experiments with **EDTA** increased metal mobility in the soil exchangeable fraction, which caused higher natural weathering of heavy metals from the soil. In 2014, Jelusic *et al.*<sup>228</sup> reported opposite results, claiming that soil treatment with **EDTA** did not influence a metal shift between soil fractions. Consequently, Jelusic *et al.*<sup>228</sup> state that **EDTA** soil washing did not cause undesirable long-term metal lixiviation phenomena.

Although the literature presents incomplete and incoherent results about the long-term effect of soil remediation with **EDTA**, researches are investigating other potential new chelating agents which could substitute the use of synthetical APCs.

## 1.2 $\alpha AA$ as chelating agents

Amino acids may represent a viable alternative to synthetic amino polycarboxylates; nevertheless, they have hardly been explored as soil remediation chelators.



Fig. 104 Structures of EDTA, EDDS and general amino  $\alpha$  acid with highlighted common binding sites.

Natural amino acids are known as metal complexing  $agents^{230-232}$ , they present the same fundamental binding sites of APCs (carboxylates/amines), plus a variety of structures and binding sites present on the R-chain, which could enable high selectivity towards metals (i.e. Pb, Cd, Zn, Ni)<sup>230, 233</sup>. Since amino acids play an important role in agriculture as fertilizers<sup>234</sup> and nitrogen source for plant growth<sup>235</sup>, the potential retention of  $\alpha$ AA in the soil during the washing treatment could stand as a benefic advantage, rather than a drawback.

In 2020, Dolev *et al.*<sup>236</sup> evaluated several amino acids as chelating agents for soil remediation. Solutions of free  $\alpha$ AA, including glycine, *L*-cysteine and *L*-aspartic acid, were introduced in soil samples polluted with Cu, Pb, Zn, Cd and Ni. Except for aspartic acid, which was adjusted at pH 7 with NaOH, the amino acids solutions were buffered by the soil, which displayed a pH of 6.8<sup>236</sup>. The metal-leaching experiments were performed by mixing 20 mL of a 0.25 M solution of free amino acids with 3g of soil and stirring the mixture at 60 °C for 2 h. In Table 30 are reported the initial concentrations of metals in the soil and the amino acid/metal ratio.

Table 30 Metal concentration of the soil as reported by Dolev *et al.*<sup>236</sup>, and amine/metal ratio calculated for the mixture of 20 mL of a 0.25 M solution of amino acid with 3 grams of soil.

Metal	ppm (mg/kg)	aAA/M
Cd	1105 ± 19	169
Cu	367 ± 4	289
Ni	612 ± 2	160
Zn	665 ± 33	164
Ca	72.067 ± 0.4	930



Fig. 105 Metal extraction yield (%) obtained from the lixiviation of polluted soil with 0.25M aqueous solutions of glycine, cysteine and aspartic acid compared to **EDTA**; the reactions were performed stirring the mixture at 60 °C for 2 h. Data extrapolated by Dolev *et al.* 2020<sup>236</sup>.

The extraction results obtained by  $\alpha AA$  in the article are compared to those of **EDTA**, and are showed in Fig.105.It can be noted that amino acids present similar efficiency to **EDTA**, except for calcium: 70% of cadmium is extracted by both *L*-cysteine and **EDTA** and around 40% of copper is extracted by glycine, *L*-aspartic acid and **EDTA**. Amino acids do not show a strong selectivity towards one metal, but rather a general high extraction efficiency.

Fig.105 also shows that the highest extraction of calcium is obtained by **EDTA** (40%), which can have a negative impact on the soil, altering its physical and chemical properties. On the contrary, amino acids extract a maximum of 5% of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, therefore can be considered soil-friendly washing agents. Furthermore, the low extraction of Ca<sup>2+</sup> suggests a low selectivity of amino acids towards matrix cations, which enhances the AAs efficiency for metal recovery.

Table 31 Stability constant (logK) of metal complexes for a  $ML_2$  metal:ligand stoichiometry at 25 °C by Dolev  $2020^{236}$ . \* Value for  $L^2$ . \*\* Value  $M(HL)_2^{208}$ .

Ľ	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	Pb <sup>2+</sup>	Zn <sup>2+</sup>
Gly	7.7	15.1	11.1	8.1	9.2
L-Cys*	16.9	/	20.2	16.9	17.9
L-Asp*	7.5	15.9	12.3	8.5	10.2
<i>L</i> -Lys	/	15.05 <sup>237</sup>	9,15**	/	/

The extraction efficiency of amino acids can be justified by the stability constant of  $\alpha$ AA-metal complexes stated in Table 31; the structures of said metal-amino acid complexes are shown in Fig.106, as reported by the literature:



Fig. 106 Metal complexes present in literature; a) Cr(III) complex with  $\alpha AA^{231}$ ; b) Cadmium (II) complex with glycine<sup>238</sup>; c) Zn(II) complex with *L*-cysteine<sup>239</sup>; d) *L*-aspartic acid tridentate metal complex<sup>236</sup>.

The metal complexes reported in Fig.106 reveal the variety of binding sites which can be involved in metal-binding of chelating amino acids. The chromium  $(II)^{231}$  and cadmium  $(II)^{238}$  complexes involve a carboxyl/ammine chelation pattern, while zinc<sup>239</sup> is chelated by the sulfhydryl and amine groups of *L*-cysteine. *L*-Aspartic acid can form stable complexes as a trident ligand, due to the carboxyl group on the side chain<sup>236</sup>.

Although *L*-lysine was not directly investigated as a soil remediation agent, it represents an amino acid of interest in this work. In 1963, Busch<sup>240</sup> investigated the Ni(**Lys**)<sub>3</sub> complexes for which it was noticed that carboxyl and alpha amine groups are the metal binding sites, while the amino butyl chain remains free (Fig.107a). In the same study it was observed that basic amino acids with shorter chains (n(CH<sub>2</sub>) = 2, 2,4-diaminobutyric acid; n(CH<sub>2</sub>) = 3, ornithine) can form either bidentate or tridentate complexes (Fig.107b,c).



Fig. 107 Diamine-nickel metal complexes; a)  $ML_3 Ni(II)$  complex with lysine <sup>240</sup>; b)  $ML_2 Ni(II)$  complexes with diamine tridentate amino  $acids^{240}$ , logK = 15.97 for  $ML_2$  diaminobutyric acid complex at 25 °C, 0.1 ionic strength; c)  $ML_3 Ni(II)$  complexes with bidentate diamine amino acids with  $n(CH_2) = 3$ , ornithine, logK = 10.17 at 25 °C, ionic strength 0.

The efficient metal extraction, high stability constant and versatility structures of amino acid/metal complexes obtained by the literature, support the choice of amino acids as potential chelating agents for soil remediation.

Another important aspect studied by Dolev *et al.* was the influence of pH on metal remediation by amino acids. Samples of contaminated soil were treated with two solutions of Aspartic Acid, one at pH 2.3 and the other at pH 7, adjusted with NaOH.

It is expected that at low pH the amino acid solution will dissolve the matrix carbonates liberating trace metals, while its chelating effect towards the metal will lower. On the other hand, at a neutral pH, *L*-AspNa is deprotonated (pKa<sub>1</sub> = 2.09, pKa<sub>3</sub> = 3.86), its chelating effect is increased, thus the amino acid is more efficient towards metal complexation<sup>236</sup>. The results reported by Dolev *et al.* confirmed these tendencies, demonstrating that amino acids are efficient chelating agents for soil remediation even at neutral pH. The discussion reported by the authors focuses on the pKas in absence of metals, while it should be considered that the formation of stable metal complexes could alter the pKa of the amino acids<sup>241</sup>.

#### 1.3 Metal separation and recovery

In section 1.1 of this chapter, was mentioned that chelating agents such as **EDTA** can be regenerated inducing metal precipitation. If the metals extracted from a polluted soil could be recovered with high purity, this step would provide an economic benefit which accompanies the soil remediation.

In 2016, our group investigated a new method for simultaneous CO<sub>2</sub> capture and metal separation via a supramolecular approach<sup>242</sup>, focusing on the use of polycarbamates as potential metal chelating agents. Polycarbamates were considered as potential metal ligands as they are analogues of polycarboxylates, which are widely used metal chelating agents. In our preliminary investigations a solution of 0.5M **DETA** was studied in presence of two bimetallic systems Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Nd<sup>3+</sup> and Co<sup>2+/</sup>Sm<sup>3+</sup>, in MeOH and with an amine:metal stoichiometry of 12:1. The study, performed with trifluoroacetate metal salts (Fe(CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, Nd(CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) yielded soluble amine-metal complexes. Carbon dioxide was then added in the solution, causing the formation of a white precipitate, identified as a polycarbamate complex of Nd<sup>III</sup>. The Co<sup>2+/</sup>Sm<sup>3+</sup> metallic couple showed similar results for the same operating conditions.

FeX<sub>3</sub> + NdX<sub>3</sub> 
$$\longrightarrow$$
 Nd(DETA)<sup>3+</sup>, 3X + Fe(DETA)<sup>3+</sup>, 3X  $\xrightarrow{CO_2}$  Fe(DETA)<sup>3+</sup>, 3<sup>X</sup> + Nd(DETA-2s)<sup>+</sup>, X DETA 12 eq.

X=CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>

In this way, the CO<sub>2</sub> introduced into the **DETA**/bimetallic solution induces phase and metal separation, proving a spontaneous separation between transition and rare earth metals via formation of soluble amine complexes and insoluble polycarbamate complexes<sup>242</sup>.

Furthermore, in 2020, our group proposed a CO<sub>2</sub>-capture based process to recycle metals contained in batteries<sup>41</sup>. The investigation was conducted on an aqueous bimetallic system prepared from LaCl<sub>3</sub> and NiCl<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 108a) wherein the introduction of 12 equivalents of **DETA** yielded soluble amine-Nickel complexes and solubilized LaCl<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 108b).

A pure stream of  $CO_2$  was then introduced in the solution where it is captured by **DETA** as symmetric dicarbamate **DETA-2s**. Lanthanum is then complexed by the polycarbamate yielding La(**DETA-2s**)<sub>2</sub>H. The latter is then hydrolyzed causing the precipitation of La<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. After full precipitation was reached, the solid and liquid phases were separated enabling the recovery of both Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and La<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Fig.108c).

In addition, this metal separation process was tested with exhaust fumes of an internal combustion engine as  $CO_2$  source, instead of a pure gas flux. Even in those conditions the metals were successfully recovered, with yield and purity of 99% for both metals.



Fig. 108 Separation of LaCl<sub>3</sub> and NiCl<sub>2</sub> via supramolecular approach by addition of **DETA** and  $CO_2$ ; a) aqueous solution of LaCl<sub>3</sub> and NiCl<sub>2</sub>; b) introduction of 12 eq. of **DETA** and formation of soluble Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> complexes; c) introduction of CO<sub>2</sub> and precipitation of La<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

Furthermore, the same metal separating method was applied to a trimetallic La<sub>2</sub>CoNi<sub>9</sub> alloys. The first step of the process saw the introduction of CO<sub>2</sub> in a **DETA**-metals solution, which caused selective separation of La<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> from the trimetallic system, with a 99.4% yield and a 99.8% purity.

The resulting bimetallic (Co,Ni) solution was treated via two additional CO<sub>2</sub> loading steps and two EtOH/*i*-PrOH washing steps, after which it was possible to collect 55% of Cobalt as a complex of **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> at 97% purity and 95% of Ni as Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 98% purity. The efficiency of those proposed separation processes is based on the intrinsic affinity of each metal towards CO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub> ligands, resulting in a versatile tool which can be applied to a variety of substrates.

In this chapter several amines will be investigated for their efficiency and selectivity towards metal extraction and remediation both in presence and in absence of CO<sub>2</sub>. Despite being an industrial polyamine, **DETA** will be exploited as a potential chelating agent for soil remediation and used as reference to which other natural chelating agents (glycine, *L*-lysine and *L*-cysteine) will be compared. Furthermore, the amine capacity to capture CO<sub>2</sub> will be exploited to introduce carbon dioxide in the soil, potentially allowing mineral carbonation and stable CO<sub>2</sub> storage.

## 2 Description of the soil used in this study

The soil investigated in his work was brought from St. Jean d'Illac, near Bordeaux. The soil is a waste product of an industrial site and was chosen for its elevated concentration of heavy metal. Before starting the investigation, the soil was analyzed by several techniques to gain maximum knowledge about its composition.

In order to collect a representative sample of the soil, two kilos of the matter were dried at 40 °C and grinded in an industrial mechanochemistry reactor until reaching a particle diameter of < 2mm. The sample was then separated by the quartering technique, which consist in creating four equal sections, two of which will be chosen to form a final reduced sample, representative of the whole soil (Fig.109).



Fig. 109 The six steps of the quartering technique: 1) Drying and grinding, 2) flattened cone form, 3) first division, 4) second division, 5) collection of two opposite quarters (colored in brown), 6) obtention of a reduced and quartered sample.

An X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis provided a general comprehension of the abundance of the different phases in the soil. In Fig.110 is showed a simplified representation of the soil in which the different major components are divided in layers, although this does not represent the actual distribution of the components in the soil. It can be observed that Quartz and Aluminosilicates are the major components, accounting for 46% and 39% of the soil, respectively.



Fig. 110 Simplified representation of the phases of the soil, expressed in weight percentage obtained by an XRD analysis. Phases in order of abundance: Quartz (46%), Aluminosilicates (39%), Sulfates (7%), Carbonates (6%), Others (2%).

Apart from Quartz and Aluminosilicates it is possible to observe the presence of carbonates, sulfates and other minerals such as Anglesite (PbSO<sub>4</sub>), which is symptomatic of a lead pollution. It is also possible to notice that calcium carbonates and sulfates represent 9% of the overall composition (Table 32).

Table 32 Detailed composition of the soil, divided in principal major phases: silicates, carbonates, sulfates, alumino-silicates and other.

Phase	Mineral	Composition	Percentage
Silicates	Quartz	SiO <sub>2</sub>	46%
Carbonatos	Calcite	6260	4%
Carbonates	Aragonite	CaCO3	2%
Sulfator	Gypsum	$CaSO_4*2H_2O$	5%
Sullates	Arcanite	K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	2%
	Clinochlore	(Mg, $Fe^{2+}$ ) <sub>5</sub> Al(Si <sub>3</sub> Al)O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>8</sub>	5%
	Chlorite IIb	(Fe, Mg, Al) <sub>6</sub> (Si,Al) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>8</sub>	2%
Alumino-silicates	Kaolinite	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	4%
A latitude Shieuces	Orthoclase	KAISi₃O <sub>8</sub>	10%
	Albite	NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	9%
	Muscovite 2M2	KAl <sub>2</sub> (Si <sub>3</sub> Al)O <sub>10</sub> (OH, F) <sub>2</sub>	9%
Othor	Hematite	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1%
Other	Anglesite	PbSO <sub>4</sub>	1%

In order to qualify and quantify the metallic components of the soil, three external laboratories were charged to perform a complete ICP-OES analysis following an acid mineralization using HF/HNO<sub>3</sub>. From the results obtained by the three laboratories was calculated the average initial concentration of each metal and its standard deviation. In Fig. 111 are reported the parts per million of each metal in the soil, also defined as

milligrams of metal per kilogram of dried soil (DS); metal concentrations expressed in mmols per gram of soil can be found in the annexes, page 227.



Fig. 111 Concentration of metals expressed in parts per million (ppm) also defined as milligram of metal per kilogram of dried soil (mg/kg DS) and its relative error. a) concentration of most abundant metals in the soil (majors), b) concentration of less abundant metals in the soil (minors).

The soil presents two groups of metals, those showing the highest concentrations (up to 60000 ppm) are defined as major metals (Fig. 111a) and include magnesium, iron, calcium, titanium, lead and aluminum, in agreement with the phases identified by XRD. The remaining metals, whose concentration varies from several ppm to 500 ppm are defined as minor metals and include chromium, copper, nickel, cobalt, zinc, cadmium, manganese, arsenic, barium, molybdenum, antimony and selenium (Fig. 111b).

In 2012, a French prefectural decree imposed specific leaching limits for metals in materials used for the cement production. The leaching limit indicates how many ppm of a certain metal can be leached from a material by natural weathering.

To determine the natural leaching extent of metals in the investigated soil, a simple water extraction was performed by an external laboratory. The experimental procedure consisted in introducing a sample of 111 g of soil in 900 mL of distilled water, stirred for 24 h. Afterwards the solution was tested for leached metals and the results are reported in Table 33, together with the leaching limit per metal permitted by law.

Table 33 Concentration of metals in the soil, metal leaching and limit given by a French law of 2012.

Element	Soil (ppm)	Leaching (ppm)	2012 Law (ppm)
Cr	80.3	< 0.01	0.5
Cu	328.3	0.03	2
Ni	39.2	0.04	0.4
Zn	414.0	0.1	4
Cd	3.1	0.0002	0.04
As	203.0	0.57	0.5
Ba	468.9	0.26	20
Sb	28.5	0.49	0.06
Se	38.0	0.19	0.1
Pb	3400.8	0.08	0.5

Table 33 indicates that arsenic (As), antimony (Sb) and selenium (Se) exceed the legal lixiviation limit, presenting therefore a source of pollution, while lead is contained in the matrix in its stable form of PbSO<sub>4</sub>. Once the information about phase composition, mineral percentage, metal presence and limitations were gathered, a sequential extraction of the soil was investigated.

Sequential extraction is a tool to determine the mobility of trace metals based on their mineral phase (carbonates, oxides, etc.). First used around the 1970's<sup>216</sup> the protocol was modified<sup>243-245</sup> throughout the years until it was officially standardized. It is divided into four steps: the first is the extraction via acetic acid to leach the acid-soluble fraction (carbonates); second is the treatment with Hydroxylammonium Chloride (NH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup>) to leach the reduceable fraction (oxides); third is the reaction with Hydrogen Peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and Ammonium Acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) to lixiviate the oxidable fraction (organic matter); finally, any metal which was not leached in the first three steps is considered as contained in the residual section.

The protocol can be found in the experimental section (section 2.9, page 202) and the results are reported in Table 34. The sequential extraction reveals a higher percentage of carbonate for cadmium (Cd 69%), copper (Cu 37%) and manganese (Mn 47%); selenium is contained completely in the organic portion, while the rest of the metals is mainly contained in the residual fraction. It must be mentioned though, that the metal distribution determined by sequential extraction does not directly reflect the metal association with the different soil phases<sup>246</sup>. In Table 35 can be found the pKs values for metal carbonates and metal hydroxides at 25 °C.

Table 34 Extraction rate divided in the four steps of the sequential extraction performed on the polluted soil. First extraction concerns the carbonate fraction, second the oxides, third the organic matter and fourth the residual fraction.

		Sequential extraction									
Flowent	Extraction 1		Extract	Extraction 2		Extraction 3		Extraction 4			
Liement	Carbon	Carbonates		Oxides Fe		Organic matter		Residual fraction			
	mg/kg	%	mg/kg	%	mg/kg	%	mg/kg	%			
As	1.5	1%	9	4%	4	2%	185	93%			
Cd	1.3	69%	0	17%	0	9%	0	5%			
Со	3.9	23%	1	8%	1	6%	11	63%			
Cr	12	14%	1	1%	17	21%	52	64%			
Cu	122	37%	19	6%	87	26%	102	31%			
Mn	256	47%	65	12%	57	11%	161	30%			
Ni	9	21%	4	10%	5	13%	23	56%			
Pb	50	2%	103	3%	16	1%	3029	95%			
Sb	1	2%	1	3%	1	3%	26	92%			
Se	0	1%	1	1%	39	101%	-1	-3%			
Sn	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	37	95%			
Zn	133	35%	66	17%	40	11%	140	37%			
Ba	6	1%	21	4%	97	21%	346	74%			

Table 35 Metal carbonate and hydroxide pKs at 25 °C and ionic strength 0. \*  $ML_3$ , ionic strength 0.5. \*\* value for  $ML_2/MO$ . \*\*\*Value for  $Ba(OH)_2$ \*8  $H_2O$ .

Metal	pKs MCO <sub>3</sub> M <sup>n+</sup> (CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) <sub>m</sub>	pKs M <sup>n+</sup> (OH) <sub>n</sub>
As <sup>3+</sup>	/	/
Cd <sup>2+</sup>	13.74	14.35
Co <sup>2+</sup>	9.98	14.9
Cr <sup>3+</sup>	/	29.08*
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	9.63	19.32
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	9.3	12.8
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	6.87	15.2
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	11	15.1**
Sb <sup>3+</sup>	/	/
Se <sup>2+</sup>	/	/
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	10	15.52
Ba <sup>2+</sup>	8.3	3.6***
Al <sup>3+</sup>	/	33.5
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	/	38.8
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	7.46	11.15
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	8.35	5.19

Furthermore, the soil was analyzed by GC-MS and CNHS to determine the nature of the organic components as well as the overall concentration of carbon, nitrogen and hydrogen. The most abundant organic materials are reported in Fig.112, while the complete list can be found in the Annexes, page 228.



Fig. 112 a) Organic components analyzed by GC-MS with the respective concentrations expressed in ppm; b) initial presence of Carbon, Hydrogen and Nitrogen in the soil, determined by CHNS analysis represented in weight percentage (% wt).

Comprehending the starting material, both in terms of structure and composition, is fundamental for further treatment and investigation. It allows to determine the efficiency of the extraction treatments, as well as an understanding of the process at a molecular level.

## 3 CO<sub>2</sub>Met Strategy

As previously mentioned, the final application of this thesis is to use CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded aminebased solvents for metal carbonation and depollution of contaminated soils. The hypothetical principle behind this process is depicted in Fig.113.

The first step follows the red arrow (1), wherein an aqueous amine solvent,  $L_0$ , is loaded with  $CO_2$  yielding monocarbamates  $L_1$ ; the ligands structure is simplified using blue, grey and red circles to represent the amine, the ammonium and the carbamate, respectively.

Equation 2 indicates the potential disproportionation of monocarbamates  $L_1$  into diammonium ( $L_0$ -H) and dicarbamates ( $L_2$ ) ligands, which was previously discussed in Chapter 2. The library of ligands ( $L_0$ -H,  $L_2$ ) is then introduced as a reactive solution in the soil, represented by a solid matrix of alkaline metal silicates (MgSiO<sub>3</sub>), (green arrow).

In this step the ligands dissolve the matrix and form complexes with its components ( $L_0X$ ,  $L_2M$ ) (3). The new-formed complexes between carbamates and matrix metals ( $L_2M$ ) can then undergo hydrolysis in a mineral carbonation step (4) yielding metal carbonates and simultaneously releasing free amine in the system. At the same time the disruption of the matrix discharges trace metals in solution, where they can form complexes with the previously liberated amines ( $L_0M$ ) (5), facilitating metal extraction from the soil.



Fig. 113 Hypothetical CO<sub>2</sub>Met Strategy. 1) Ligand generation of CO<sub>2</sub> loaded amines, 2) Disproportionation of monocarbamates  $L_1$  into diammonium ( $L_0H$ ) dicarbamates ( $L_2$ ); 3) disruption of soil matrix through formation of complexes between alkaline metal silicates and CO<sub>2</sub> loaded ligands, 4) mineral carbonation with concomitant release of free amine, 5) liberation of metals from the soil matrix and further complexation. Courtesy of Prof. Julien Leclaire. A) First hypothetical pathway via disproportionation of ligands; B) second hypothetical pathway with direct hydrolysis of monocarbamated ligand.

The potential pathways A, with disproportionation of the ligand, is supported by previous investigations conducted by our group. In a study performed with **DETA** and LaCl<sub>3</sub>, it was in fact observed that the metal template could enhance the disproportionation of monocarbamates into dicarbamates by forming stable ML<sub>2</sub> complexes<sup>41</sup>. Since alkaline earth and lanthanides present similar behaviors towards metal complexation<sup>247-248</sup>, it could be assumed that matrix cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) could also promote the formation of dicarbamates and thus follow the previously illustrated path.

The second potential pathway is reported in equilibrium B. In this case the monocarbamates  $L_1$  undergo hydrolysis, yielding diammoniums ( $L_0$ -H) and carbonates. The matrix could then be

dissolved via the formation of  $SiO_3(L_0H)$  complexes, liberating matrix cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) which can then precipitate as carbonates. Once again, the uncarbamated amine would be released in the soil enabling trace-metal complexation. This second pathway is supported by the results reported in Chapter 3, where the introduction of MgCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub> in an CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine system did not show an amplification of polycarbamates, but rather an enhancement in bicarbonates and carbonate formation.

## 4 General methods

Samples of soils were treated with 0.5M aqueous amine solutions either CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded and unloaded, whose composition is presented in chapter 2. Experiments were performed by Liquid Assisted Grinding (LAG) using a planetarium grinding reactor. Further information about material and methods can be found in the experimental section (page 197).

The soil was mixed with the leaching solution in the reactor (Fig.114a), where it was grinded for 30 minutes at 500 rpm. Then the mixture was collected with the help of a specific volume of water (Fig.114b), centrifuged (Fig.114c) and finally separated into solid and liquid phase (Fig.114d).



Fig. 114 Liquid Assisted Grinding of soils, general procedure: a) mechanical grinding of soil with extractive solution at 500 rpm for 30 minutes; b) collection of the sample; c) centrifugation; d) separation of solid and liquid phase.

The leachate was then mineralized with an aqueous solution of  $HNO_3$  5% and analyzed with ICP-OES, to identify and quantify the extracted metals.

Those results allowed to determine the extraction rate of a metal ( $ER_M$  %), calculated as the number of moles of a leached metal over its initial number of moles in the soil sample (eq.105):

$$ER_M \% = \frac{n_M^{liquid}}{n_M^{initial}}$$
(105)

The treated soil, on the other hand, was not analyzed by ICP-OES, since the complete dissolution of the solid matrix would have required an acid digestion with HF/HNO<sub>3</sub> which was not performable in our laboratories.

However, the solid was lyophilized and analyzed via CHNS elementary analysis to quantify the amount of carbon and nitrogen present in the soil after the treatment. To those results were subtracted the initial amounts of Carbon and Nitrogen found in the soil matrix (Fig.112), enabling the quantification of amine and  $CO_2$  fixation in the soil.

The fixation rate of the amines  $(FR_{R_2NH}\%)$  and the fixation rate of carbon dioxide  $(FR_{CO_2}\%)$  are calculated as the number of moles of amine and CO<sub>2</sub> in the solid fraction over their initial number of moles (eq.106, 107):

$$FR_{R_2NH} \% = \frac{n_{R_2NH}^{solid}}{n_{R_2NH}^{initial}} (106)$$
$$FR_{CO_2} \% = \frac{n_{CO_2}^{solid}}{n_{CO_2}^{initial}} (107)$$

The experiments were run under specific conditions of liquid to solid ratio, amine concentration,  $\alpha(CO_2)$  loading, volume and number of washes, washing solution, nature of the reactor, duration and speed of grinding, all listed in Table 36.

Table 36 Conditions of grinding, liquid to solid ratio (L/S), concentration of amine solution expressed in Molarity (C(M)),  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading, volume and number of aqueous washes expressed in milliliters (V(mL)), material of the reactor (stainless steel (SS) or tungsten carbide (WC)), time expressed in minutes (min) and calculated as the number of cycles times the duration of the cycle and speed of grinding (rpm).

L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	V (mL)	Washes	Reactor		t(min)	rpm
1:1	0.5	0.5	8	3	Stainless Steel	WC	3*10'	500

From these operating conditions it was possible to calculate the ratio between the moles of amine (Ligand/M) the moles of nitrogen/sulfur binding sites (N, S/M), the moles of  $CO_2$  and the major metals ( $CO_2/M$ ), reported in Table 37.

Table 37 Ratios between moles of ligand/moles of metal (Ligand/M), moles of N, S binding sites/ moles of metal (N, S/M), and moles of CO<sub>2</sub>/moles of metal (CO<sub>2</sub>/M). The ratios were determined for the operating conditions of 1 gram of soil treated with 1 mL of a 0.5M solution of amine loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.5.

				n(N, S)/n(M)		n(CO <sub>2</sub> )/n(M)			
М	M $n_M(1g)$	Ligand/M	DETA	Lys/Cys	Gly	DETA	Lys/Cys	Gly	
Mg	0.00028	1.81	5.44	3.62	1.81	2.72	1.81	0.91	
Fe	0.00070	0.71	2.13	1.42	0.71	1.07	0.71	0.36	
Ca	0.00115	0.44	1.31	0.87	0.44	0.65	0.44	0.22	
AI	0.00220	0.23	0.68	0.45	0.23	0.34	0.23	0.11	
∑м	0.00433	0.12	0.35	0.23	0.12	0.17	0.12	0.06	

In addition, to investigate whether the hardness of the material enhanced metal extraction and/or the mineral carbonation, it was decided to test two reactors, the first made of stainless steel and the second made of tungsten carbide (WC). The latter also presented the possibility of following the evolution of temperature and pressure inside the reactor throughout the grinding experiment. All experiments performed in a stainless-steel reactor were run in triplicates. The ICP-OES results are reported as the average value, and the associated standard deviation ( $\sigma_{SS}$ ) is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma_{SS} = \sigma_T + \sigma_i \quad (108)$$

Where  $\sigma_T$  is relative to the triplicates experiments and  $\sigma_i$  to the metal initial concentration. On the other hand, the experiments performed in a tungsten carbide reactor were only executed once, therefore the standard deviation associated with the data will be  $\sigma = \sigma_i$ .

For some experiments the ICP results for Cobalt and Molybdenum showed an extraction rate ER > 100%. This error can be due to different factors: the preparation of the sample, analysis perturbation, or malfunction of the device. For clarity, those metals were omitted from the discussion, although the values can be found in the annexes, page 229.

## 5 Results and discussion

In this section will be presented the results obtained by Liquid Assisted Grinding of the soil with amine-based leaching. Each leaching agent will be examined separately for clarity.

#### 5.1 LAG: Water Leaching

The first experiment performed on the dried soil was an extraction with water, to identify which metals were extracted in simple aqueous meaning. A liquid assisted grinding reaction was performed by grinding 1 g of dried soil with 1 mL of deionized water, at 500 rpm, for 3 cycles of 10 minutes of grinding in a stainless-steel reactor. The ICP-OES analysis results are displayed in Fig.115.





It can be observed that the overall extraction rate is low (ER < 15%). Calcium, as one of the major matrix components, presents the highest extraction rate (ER = 13%) while all other metals are poorly lixiviated (ER < 6%). Those results are coherent with the sequential extraction performed on the soil (section 2, page 167), which shows that Cd and Mn are mostly present as carbonates, therefore leached in acid solutions, while Ni and Cr are contained in the residual fraction, displaying low accessibility.

## 5.2 LAG: DETA-CO₂

The first amine sorbent tested for the soil was Diethylenetriamine. A 5 mL stock solution of aqueous 0.5M **DETA** was prepared and a 2.5 mL aliquot of the solution was loaded with  $\alpha(CO_2) = 0.33$  expressed in moles of  $CO_2$  per mole of nitrogen. The lixiviants were then used for LAG experiments on one gram of dried soil, with the following operating conditions:

Table 38 Reaction conditions for **DETA**/dried soil (blue) and **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub>/dried soil (red) liquid assisted grinding in a stainless-steel reactor. Liquid to solid ratio (L/S), concentration of amine solution expressed in Molarity (C(M)),  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) loading, volume and number of aqueous washes expressed in milliliters (V(mL)), material of the reactor, time expressed in minutes (min) and speed of grinding (rpm).

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
DETA	1:1	0.5	/	11.47	8 mL*3	Stainless Steel	3*10'	500
DETA	1:1	0.5	0.33	9	8 mL*3	Stainless Steel	3*10'	500

After the attritive leaching, or grinding, the leachate solutions were analyzed by ICP-OES, which permitted to calculate the extraction rate of each metal. In Fig.116 are reported the results obtained via **DETA** treatment (blue), compared to those obtained via leaching with **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> (red).



Fig. 116 Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of 1 g of dried soil with 1 mL of an aqueous solution of **DETA** 0.5M (blue), and **DETA** 0.5M loaded with 0.33 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red). Reaction performed in a stainless-steel reactor. The pie chart reports the ratio between the concentration of each metal and the sum of metals concentrations in the leachate obtained by **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Color code: calcium (beige), iron (red), magnesium (brown, zinc (yellow).

The pie chart shown in Fig.116 reports the metal composition of the leachate, calculated as the relative concentration of the metal "i" over the total metal concentrations in solution.

It shows that even though the extraction rate of calcium is only 8%, the alkaline earth metal presents the highest concentration in the leachate solution.

Table 39 LogK of **DETA**-metal complexes of ML<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry<sup>208</sup>.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	Pb <sup>2+</sup>	Zn <sup>2+</sup>	Cr <sup>2+</sup>	Mn <sup>2+</sup>	Fe <sup>3+</sup>
DETA	13.84	20.9	18.6	10.37	14.3	9.34	6.91	/

Soil treatment with **DETA** 0.5M shows no extraction of As, Ba, Ti, Pb and Al, low-medium extraction rates for chromium, zinc and cadmium (ER $\leq$ 20%), and an extraction rate of over 40% for nickel. The extraction of nickel can be explained by the formation of a Werner-like<sup>249</sup> complex Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub><sup>41</sup> (Fig.117), which presents a high stability constant (logK = 18.6, Table 39).



Fig. 117 Structure of the Werner-like complex of [Ni(DETA)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> 2X<sup>-</sup>.

On the other hand, the attritive leaching performed using **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> as leaching agent shows lower extraction rates for calcium, chromium, zinc and cadmium, and higher for nickel with an ER of 74% (Fig.116). As shown in Fig.44, page 83 (chemical speciation of **DETA**), for a solution of diethylenetriamine loaded with 0.33 equivalents of CO<sub>2</sub> in the absence of metal salt, the most abundant species are free **DETA** and asymmetric monocarbamate **DETA-1a**. A study published by our group in 2020<sup>41</sup>, demonstrated that the presence of a NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt in a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded diethylenetriamine solution can enhance the hydrolysis of carbamates into carbonates<sup>41</sup>, freeing the amine and forming a (Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub> complex <sup>250</sup>(eq.109):

NiX<sub>2</sub> + 2MX<sub>2</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O + 2+<sub>H<sub>3</sub>N</sub> 
$$N_{H_{3}}^{N}$$
 [Ni(**DETA**)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, 2X<sup>-</sup> + 2MCO<sub>3</sub> + 4H<sup>+</sup> + 4X<sup>-</sup> (109)  
DETA-1a

It was hypothesized that the higher metal extraction obtained with **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> could be due to the higher acidity of the solution, for which the pH is 9, compared to 11.47 of **DETA** 0.5M. To verify this hypothesis, the extraction rate of each metal was plotted against the pH values of the different leaching solutions (**DETA**, **GlyK**, *L*-LysK and *L*-CysK in their CO<sub>2</sub> loaded and unloaded state).



Fig. 118 Extraction rate of nickel (%) plotted against the pH value of the different leaching solutions **DETA**, **GlyK**, *L*-LysK and *L*-CysK, in their  $CO_2$ -loaded and unloaded state.

Fig.118 shows no direct correlation between the extraction yield of nickel and the pH of the reactive solution, therefore it must be concluded that all leaching effects must be attributed to the reactivity between the metals and the leaching solution. For the other metals the correlation between the extraction yield and the working pH can be found in the Annexes, page 229.

Furthermore, it must be mentioned that, based on the stability constants in Table 39, the most stable complex would have been  $Cu(DETA)_2$ , with a logK of 20.9. In theory, copper should be more readily accessible than nickel, since 37% of  $Cu^{2+}$  can be found in the carbonates fraction and 31% in the residual fraction, compared to 21% and 56% respectively for nickel. Nevertheless, Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> and NiCO<sub>3</sub> (pKs = 15.2 and 6.87, respectively) are more soluble than  $Cu(OH)_2$  and  $CuCO_3$  (pKs = 19.32 and 9.63), therefore more easily leached from the solid matrix.

In presence of **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub>, the Calcium extraction is lower compared to the aqueous extraction showed in section 5.1 (ER 8% vs 13%); this can be explained by the formation of calcium carbonate in the soil which is highly insoluble, therefore poorly leached.

To justify this hypothesis, a CHN elementary analysis was performed on the soil sample to determine what fraction of carbon dioxide and organic lixiviant was fixed on the solid matrix (Fig.119a,b).



Fig. 119 CHN results obtained on a sample of soil treated by LAG with **DETA** and **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> in a stainlesssteel reactor. a) mmols of **DETA** (blue) and CO<sub>2</sub> (green) fixed in the soil; b) fixation rate of Diethylenetriamine (blue) and CO<sub>2</sub> (green) in the solid matrix.

When the soil was treated with unloaded aqueous **DETA**, the fixation rate of the latter reached 25%; whereas after an attritive leaching with **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> the fixation rate for the amine rose to 33% and the fixation rate of carbon dioxide reached 57%. Via the CHNS analysis is not possible to determine what kind of adduct is present in the soil, i.e. carbamates or carbonates, but the high fixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> could validate our previous hypothesis of mineral carbonation. Additional TGA and TGA-MS analysis could depict whether CO<sub>2</sub> is fixed as a mineral carbonate or an ammonium carbamate in the soil.

The same experiment was performed in a tungsten carbide reactor, with the following operating conditions:

Table 40 Reaction conditions for  $\mbox{DETA}/\mbox{dried}$  soil (blue) and  $\mbox{DETA}-\mbox{CO}_2/\mbox{dried}$  soil (red) liquid assisted grinding in a tungsten carbide reactor.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
DETA	1:1	0.5	/	11.47	8 mL*3	WC	3*10'	500
DETA	1:1	0.5	0.41	8.59	8 mL*3	WC	3*10′	500

The ICP-OES results of the attritive leaching can be found in Fig.120a. Unfortunately, the extraction rate for nickel in the tungsten carbide reactor experiments is unacceptable (ER = 210% for **DETA** leaching and ER = 164% for **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> treatment, probably due to analysis errors), therefore it is not possible to compare the efficiencies of nickel extraction between stainless-steel and tungsten-carbide reactors. The values for the metals omitted in Fig.120a can be found in the Annexes, page 228.


Fig. 120 a) LAG of dried soil in a WC reactor. Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of soil with an aqueous solution of **DETA** 0.5M (blue), and by an aqueous solution of **DETA** 0.5M loaded with 0.41 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red). The pie chart reports the relative concentration of each metal in the leachate obtained by **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Color code: calcium (beige), iron (red), magnesium (brown); b) Fixation rates of amine (blue) and  $CO_2$  (green) obtained by CHNS on solid phase of LAG with **DETA** and LAG with **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> expressed in %.

The **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> solution employed in this experiment presents an  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) of 0.41, which is higher than the loading reported for the previous experiment,  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.33. The results of Fig.120a show that the extraction rate of cadmium is constant at 69% with and without the introduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, this high constant seems related to the use of a WC reactor. It is hypothesized, yet not confirmed, that the hardness of the material provides a more energetic grinding, which increases the contact area between the reagents, affecting the reaction outcome. Once released, the metal can be complexed by **DETA** in a ML<sub>2</sub> complex stoichiometry<sup>251</sup> (Fig.121).



Fig. 121  $[Cd(DETA)_2]^{2+}$  complex with general counterion, by Lib *et al.*, 2007<sup>251</sup>.

Furthermore, in Fig.122 are shown the evolution of both temperature (°C) and pressure ( $\Delta P$ , mbar) throughout the reaction, measured by the Easy-GTM tungsten carbide reactor. It can be observed that the temperature oscillates between 21 °C and 36 °C, while the  $\Delta P$  (pressure variation with respect to the atmospheric pressure) varies between 36 mbar to 253 mbar, both mild conditions for metal leaching and mineral carbonation.



Fig. 122 Evolution of temperature (°C, orange) and pressure (mbar, blue) of the grinding reaction of 1g of dried soil with a CO<sub>2</sub> loaded solution of 0.5M **DETA**, for three cycles of 10 minutes of grinding at 500 rpm.

To investigate the influence of the material of the reactor on the fixation rate of amine and carbon dioxide, the treated soil was tested via CHN analysis. As shown in Fig.120b, the amine reached a fixation rate of 45% while after lixiviation with **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub> the extraction rate of amine and carbon dioxide reached, respectively, 49% and 95%. From the comparison of Fig.119 and Fig.120b, is possible to conclude that the hardness of the grinding material has a significant impact not only on the metal extraction in the leachate, but also on the fixation of amine and CO<sub>2</sub> in the solid phase. Overall, 25 to 50% of **DETA** can be retained in the soil, and it can be considered an additional form of pollution. For this reason, our investigation moved to the use of natural  $\alpha$ AA, which represent a more environmentally benign choice.

## 5.3 LAG: GlyK-CO2

A solution of **GlyK** 0.5M, prepared by dissolving 0.005 moles of glycine with 1 equivalent of KOH in 10 mL of purified water, was used as extracting agent in LAG experiments, with the following operating conditions:

Table 41 Reaction conditions for **GlyK**/dried soil (blue) and **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>/dried soil (red) liquid assisted grinding.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
GlyK	1:1	0.5	/	10.56	8 mL*3	Stainless Steel	3*10'	500
GlyK	1:1	0.5	0.5	8.56	8 mL*3	Stainless Steel	3*10′	500



Fig. 123 a) Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of dried soil with an aqueous solution of **GlyK** 0.5M (blue), and by an aqueous solution of **GlyK** 0.5M loaded with 0.5 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red), the pie chart presents the relative concentration of metals in the leachate solution, color code: calcium (beige), iron (red), magnesium (brown) and zinc (yellow); b) fixation rates of amine (blue) and  $CO_2$  (green) obtained by CHN analysis on soils after LAG treatment.

From the ICP-OES results shown in Fig.123a glycine appears to be an efficient lixiviant for nickel, with an extraction rate of 86% and 87% with both **GlyK** and **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> leaching solutions. In presence of  $CO_2$  the extraction of zinc increases from 29% to 65%, this effect could be due to a higher disruption of the matrix causing higher mobility of the metal in the solution.

Nickel and zinc complexes of glycine are widely discussed in literature<sup>230, 252-255</sup> and the higher extraction of nickel, compared to zinc, reflects the higher stability constant of the complexes. Both metals can be found in ML<sub>2</sub> and ML<sub>3</sub> stoichiometry, and in both cases Gly-Nickel complexes are more stable than Gly-Zn complexes (Fig. 124).



Fig. 124 Structures and stability constants of Ni<sup>2+,</sup> Zn<sup>2+</sup> glycine complexes in  $ML_2$  and  $ML_3$  stoichiometries. Values obtained from the literature<sup>208, 236</sup>.

The  ${}^{13}$ C NMR speciation of glycine, presented in Chapter 2, showed that for a loading of 0.5 the Gly-CO<sub>2</sub> library is composed of 50% of monocarbamate and 50% of bicarbonates. According to the CHN results in Fig.123b, 70% of CO<sub>2</sub> is transferred from carbamates/bicarbonates ammonium salts to metal-carbonates, while less than 20% of the

amine is retained in the soil, providing a high ratio between mineral carbonation efficiency and amine contamination.

In Table 42 are listed the operating conditions for the LAG experiments with **GlyK/GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub> performed in a tungsten carbide reactor.

Table 42 Reaction conditions for  ${\bf GlyK}/{\rm dried}$  soil (blue) and  ${\bf GlyK}-{\rm CO}_2/{\rm dried}$  soil (red) liquid assisted grinding.

$R_2NH$	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	$\alpha(CO_2)$	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
GlyK	1:1	0.5	/	10.22	8 mL*3	WC	3*10'	500
GlyK	1:1	0.5	0.31	9.6	8 mL*3	WC	3*10'	500



Fig. 125 a) extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of dried soil with an aqueous solution of **GlyK** 0.5M (blue), and by a 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK** loaded with 0.31 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red) in a WC reactor, the pie chart presents the relative concentration of metals in the leachate solution, color code: calcium (beige), magnesium (brown); b) CHN analysis performed on the soil after lixiviation, fixation rate of **GlyK** (blue) and  $CO_2$  (green).

Unfortunately, the extraction rate of nickel (ER = 123%) had to be omitted from the ICP-OES results reported in Fig.125a, due to an analysis error. As for **DETA**, the mobility of Cadmium is enhanced in a WC reactor, with an extraction rate of 46% and 38% with **GlyK** and **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The literature reports an ML<sub>4</sub> complex<sup>238</sup> for glycine and cadmium, with a stability constant of 7.7, where two glycine molecules behave as bidentate ligands, while two other glycine units are bound to the metal by the oxygen of the carbonyl group (Fig.126).



Fig. 126 Cadmium (II) complex with glycine<sup>238</sup>.

In Fig.125b are reported the fixation rates of glycine and carbon dioxide on the soil, after the LAG experiment. A 10% increase in the fixation rate of both amine and carbon dioxide can be noted for **GlyK** and **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>. For both experiments (stainless steel, tungsten carbide) glycine presents high fixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> with the low fixation rate of amine, indicating an efficient mineral carbonation effect with a high potential for amine recycling.

## 5.4 LAG: L-LysK-CO2

A liquid assisted grinding experiment was performed using a 0.5M aqueous solution of **L-LysK** in a stainless-steel reactor, with the following operating conditions:

Table 43 Reaction conditions for *L*-LysK/dried soil (blue) and *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>/dried soil (red) liquid assisted grinding.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
L-LysK	1:1	0.5	/	11.56	8 mL *3	Stainless Steel	3*10'	500
L-LysK	1:1	0.5	0.46	8.87	8 mL *3	Stainless Steel	3*10'	500

The results of the ICP-OES analysis performed on the leached solution can be found in Fig.127a. In presence of free *L*-LysK, the highest extraction rates are reached by chromium and nickel with 18% and 37% respectively; when the soil is treated with a CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded solution of *L*-LysK chromium and nickel extraction rates are increased to 25% and 65%.



Fig. 127 a) Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of dried soil with an aqueous solution of *L*-LysK 0.5M (blue), and by an aqueous solution of *L*-LysK 0.5M loaded with 0.46 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red), the pie chart presents the relative concentration of metals in the leachate solution, color code: calcium (beige), iron (red), magnesium (brown). b) Fixation rate of amine (blue) and  $CO_2$  (green) in the soil provided by CHNS analysis. Reaction performed in a stainless-steel reactor for 3 cycles of 10 minutes of grinding at 500 rpm.

The CHN elementary analysis reported in Fig.127b, show that after both treatments only 20% of *L*-LysK is trapped in the soil matrix, and 60% of the introduced CO<sub>2</sub> is fixed on the soil. The interpretation of both ICP-OES and CHN results suggest that the matrix is dissolved in presence of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>, and free amine forms chromium and nickel complexes<sup>231, 240</sup> (logK =  $10.11^{256-257}$  and 9,15<sup>208</sup>, respectively), as shown in the introduction. On the other hand, matrix cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>) are reprecipitated as carbonates. Based on the mols of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> contained in the solid phase it was possible to calculate a maximum yield of CaCO<sub>3</sub> of 24% and a maximum carbonation yield of 96% for MgCO<sub>3</sub>.

A liquid assisted grinding experiment was performed in a Tungsten carbide reactor and the experimental conditions are reported in Table 44. It must be mentioned that, due to an experimental error, the CO<sub>2</sub>-loading of the *L*-LysK 0.5M solution is  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.27, significantly lower than the loading reported for the previous experiment ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.46).

Table 44 Reaction conditions for liquid assisted grinding reaction of dried soil with *L*-LysK soil (blue) and *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> (red) in a reactor of tungsten carbide.

R₂NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
L-LysK	1:1	0.51	/	10.82	8 mL *3	WC	3*10′	500
<i>L</i> -LysK	1:1	0.51	0.27	9.75	8 mL *3	WC	3*10'	500



Fig. 128 Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of 1 g of dried soil with 1 mL of *L*-LysK 0.5M (blue), and 1 mL of *L*-LysK 0.5M loaded with 0.27 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red), the pie chart presents the relative concentration of metals in the leachate solution after treatment with *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>, color code: calcium (beige), magnesium (brown); b) CHN analysis performed on the soil after lixiviation, fixation rate of *L*-LysK (blue). Lixiviation performed in a tungsten carbide reactor.

The ICP-OES results reported in Fig.128a are visibly different compared to those obtained with a stainless-steel reactor (Fig.127a). First of all, there is no extraction of chromium, while 14% of Sb and Se are lixiviated using *L*-LysK as extracting agent. Cadmium shows the same behavior observed in the **DETA** and **GlyK** experiments; the use of a WC reactor enhances its availability and 51-54% of the metal is extracted and complexed via lysine.

It can also be noted that higher extraction of nickel is obtained with *L*-LysK (75%) instead of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> (59%), contrary to what observed for the experiment performed in a stainless-steel reactor. This tendency could be explained by the higher amine retention in the solid, which would inhibit the complexation and extraction of metal. The CHN analysis reported in Fig.128b display a higher amine retention in the solid compared to the previous *L*-LysK experiment (28-37% compared to 22-24%), while the fixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> was omitted as the value was unacceptable (FR = 153%). In addition, a lower CO<sub>2</sub> loading entails lower percentage of carbonated matrix, confirmed by a higher percentage of extracted calcium in solution for Fig.128a.

## 5.5 LAG: L-CysK-CO2

The dried soil was tested in a liquid assisted grinding experiment with a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-CysK, with the following operating conditions:

Table 45 Reaction conditions for *L*-CysK/dried soil (blue) and *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>/dried soil (red) liquid assisted grinding.



Fig. 129 Extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of dried soil with an aqueous solution of *L*-CysK 0.5M (blue), and by an aqueous solution of *L*-CysK 0.5M loaded with 0.48 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red), the pie chart reports the relative concentration of metal in the leachate solution after treatment with *L*-CysK, color code: calcium (beige), iron (red), zinc (yellow), lead (gray); b) Fixation rate of *L*-CysK (blue) and  $CO_2$  (green) after LAG treatment of dried soil.

The ICP-OES results in Fig.129a show a 30% extraction of Pb, obtained by lixiviation with **L-CysK** (blue), which corresponds to 13.5% of the leachate composition. Several studies in literature<sup>239, 258-260</sup> have discussed the affinity between cysteine and lead, attributing the stability of the Pb(*L*-Cys)<sub>2</sub> complex to the trident character of the cysteinate ligand (binding sites: thiolate  $-S^-$ , carboxylate  $-COO^-$  and amine  $-NH_2$ ). In 2015 Jalilehvand *et al.*<sup>258</sup> investigated the formation of Pb(*L*-Cys)<sub>2</sub> complexes at different pH, observing the formation of two possible ML<sub>2</sub> isomers at pH 9.1 to 10.4, shown in Fig.130.



Fig. 130 Structures for Pb-Cysteinate complex with ML<sub>2</sub> stoichiometry at pH 9.1-10.4, reported by Jalilehvand *et al.*,  $2015^{258}$ , logK (ML<sub>2</sub>) = 18.57 at 25 °C.

Since the reaction was performed at pH 10.81, it can be assumed that *L*-cysteine forms soluble complexes with  $Pb^{2+}$  increasing its mobilization and extraction (ML<sub>2</sub>, logK = 16.9<sup>236</sup>). As mentioned in the introduction, Cysteinate can also form stable ML<sub>2</sub> complexes with zinc, where the metal is bounded by NH<sub>2</sub> and S<sup>-</sup> groups (Fig.106c).

Observing the ICP-OES results it can be noticed that *L*-**CysK**-CO<sub>2</sub> lixiviating solution presents a low extraction efficiency, compared to *L*-**CysK**. This trend can be attributed to the lower pH of the solution, 8.77 compared to 10.81; in those conditions both thiolate and amine binding sites are protonated, decreasing the complexation character of the amino acid.

Furthermore, the CHNS results reported in Fig.129b, show higher fixation rate for *L*-cysteine, compared to **DETA**, *L*-LysK and **GlyK**. 73% of the amine is retained in the soil in after lixiviation with *L*-CysK, while 77% of cysteine and 84% of CO<sub>2</sub> are fixed in the solid matrix after leaching with *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>. Knowing that the *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> lixiviating solution is mostly composed of bicarbonates salts (Chapter 2, Section 4.5, page 96), is possible to assume that CO<sub>2</sub> is transferred from ammonium salts to metal carbonates, while the amine is trapped in the matrix. While the high fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> presents an interesting result for mineral carbonation, a high amine fixation rate is a grave drawback, for it impedes the recycling of the chelating agent resulting in higher process costs.

As for **DETA**, *L*-LysK and **GlyK** the leaching experiment was also performed in a tungsten carbide reactor, with the following operating conditions:

Table 46 Reaction conditions for liquid assisted grinding of dried soil with *L*-CysK (blue) and *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> (red) in a WC reactor.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	$C_{R_2NH}$ (M)	α(CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Washes	Reactor	t(min)	rpm
L-CysK	1:1	0.5	/	10.43	8 mL*3	WC	3*10′	500
L-CysK	1:1	0.5	0.27	9.75	8 mL*3	WC	3*10′	500



Fig. 131 a) ICP-OES results, extraction rate of metals obtained by lixiviation of dried soil with an aqueous solution of *L*-CysK 0.5M (blue), and *L*-CysK 0.5M loaded with 0.27 equivalents of  $CO_2$  (red), the pie chart reports the relative concentration of metal in the leachate solution after treatment with *L*-CysK, color code: calcium (beige), magnesium,( brown); b) CHNS results, fixation rate of *L*-CysK (Blue) and  $CO_2$  (green) in LAG treatment of dried soil. Lixiviation performed in a tungsten carbide reactor.

Compared to the LAG experiments performed in a stainless-steel reactor, the ICP-OES results reported in Fig.131a show no extraction of chromium, lead and zinc with neither *L*-CysK nor *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> solutions. On the other hand, cadmium extraction in visibly increased, reaching an ER of 60% and 40% with *L*-CysK and *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

As for the other leaching solutions, the increased mobility of cadmium is explained by the use of a harder reactor material (WC rather than stainless steel), while the high extraction of cadmium can be due to the formation of a  $ML_2$  complex with cysteine. *L*-CysK presents a high affinity for Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+ 239, 259</sup>, and both Pb(*L*-Cys)<sub>2</sub> and Cd(*L*-Cys)<sub>2</sub> complexes present a stability constant of logK = 16.9<sup>236</sup> (Section 1.2, Table 31, page 159). The competition between the two metals in solution could therefore explain the drastic decrease in lead extraction (30% to 1%) with the simultaneous increase in cadmium recovery (10% to 60%).

Finally, the CHNS analysis performed on the soil after lixiviation with *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> solution (Fig. 131b) show a 94% fixation rate of amine and 65% fixation of CO<sub>2</sub>. In the case of *L*-cysteine, the use of a harder reactor material has a negative impact on the fixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> and amine, for it lowers the former while increasing the latter.

#### 5.6 Screening

To conclude, a few operating conditions were screened to test a possible optimization of the process. For the experiments performed in a stainless-steel reactor, the modified conditions can be found in Table 47.

Table 47 Modified grinding cycles conditions (in red) of LAG experiments performed in a stainless-steel reactor with 0.5M *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> and **GlyK** on dried soil. The reactions underwent 1 and 2 grinding cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm, instead of 3 cycles as for previous experiments.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S	C(M)	α (CO <sub>2</sub> )	рН	Cycles of	grinding
L-LysK-CO <sub>2</sub>	1:1	0.5	0.48	8.86	1*10′	2 * 10′
GlyK	1:1	0.5	/	11.09	1*10′	2 * 10′

For LAG experiments in a stainless-steel reactor the number of cycles was reduced to 1 and 2, to test whether a shorter reaction time would result as effective as a 30 minutes grinding. The test was performed with a  $CO_2$  loaded solution of *L*-LysK 0.5M and a free solution of **GlyK** 0.5M, since both systems showed interesting results for basic operating conditions. The extraction rates of both systems are represented hereafter in Fig. 132, each compared to the results obtained with 3 consecutives grinding cycles.



Fig. 132 Metal extraction rate ( $ER_M(\%)$ ) obtained by LAG of dried soil with a) 0.5M aqueous solution of **L-LysK** loaded with 0.48 equivalents of  $CO_2$ , and b) a 0.5M aqueous solution of **GlyK**. Color code: results obtained after 1 grinding cycle (orange), 2 grinding cycles (blue) and three grinding cycles (red).

The results reported in Fig.132 show a general metal extraction efficiency for both *L*-lysine and glycine systems. Although it is not possible to determine a linear correlation between the number of grinding cycles and the extraction rate of metals, it seems that a shorter grinding time allows a broader extraction of metals such as Zn, Cr, Ca and Cd. Overall both *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> and GlyK display an efficient metal extraction character, with a large spectrum of possible metal-organic complexes. Additional TGA and XRD analysis of the soil after the reactive leaching would provide the information necessary to verify this hypothesis.

The other modified parameter was the liquid to solid ratio. As previously mentioned, in Liquid Assisted Grinding experiments (LAG) the L/S ratio can vary from 0 - 2 mL/g<sup>205</sup>; for this reason part of the experiments were conducted with 0.1 mL of leaching solution for 1 gram of dried soil, for a final L/S ratio of 0.1.

Table 48 Modified conditions of LAG experiments (in red) performed in a Tungsten Carbide reactor with a liquid/solid ratio of 1:10 for *L*-LysK, *L*-CysK and GlyK on dried soil.

R <sub>2</sub> NH	L/S (mL/g)	C(M)	α (CO2)	рН	Cycles
<i>L</i> -LysK	0.1	0.5	/	11.86	3 * 10′
	0.1	0.5	0.41	9.53	3 * 10'
L-CysK	0.1	0.5	/	11.40	3 * 10'
	0.1	0.5	0.39	9.40	3 * 10'
GlyK	0.1	0.5	/	11.73	3 * 10'
	0.1	0.5	0.39	9.47	3 * 10'

The results obtained treating the dried soil with 0.1 mL of a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-LysK and **GlyK** are reported in Fig.133a,b. *L*-CysK presented the same trends displayed by *L*-LysK, it was omitted from the text to avoid repetition although the results can be found in the Annexes, page 230.



Fig. 133 ICP-OES results for LAG experiments of dried soil treated with 0.1 mL of a 0.5 M aqueous solution of a) *L*-LysK (blue) and *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> (red); b) GlyK (blue) and GlyK-CO<sub>2</sub> (red).

In Fig.133a, it can be noticed that calcium and magnesium present the highest extraction rates observed so far. It can be hypothesized that the CO<sub>2</sub> introduced in the solid was not sufficient to carbonate the matrix, which resulted in the lixiviation of major metals, while the small volume of washing solution was not enough to complexate and leach the trace metals.

Since the purpose of this investigation was to eliminate trace metals whilst carbonating the solid matrix, those results cannot be considered an optimization of our previous experiments. The same conditions were tested for a dried soil/glycine lixiviation (Fig.133b); due to analysis error the extraction values of Mg, Ca and Ni obtained by LAG with **GlyK** were not acceptable, therefore not reported in the graph. Overall, the extraction of matrix metal is coherent with the results shown for *L*-lysine. Finally, Fig.133b shows the first example of a high extraction of copper, reaching ER = 60%. The literature presents several examples of copper complexes with glycine and glycine-glycine dimers<sup>261-262</sup> (Fig.134).



Fig. 134 a) Glycine-copper complex with  $ML_2$  stoichiometry; b) possible structure of a ternary complex of glycine-copper-glycylglycine, reported by Martin *et al.*<sup>261</sup>.

From the data reported in Table 31, page 159, copper presents higher stability constants for both *L*-lysine and glycine (logK = 15.05, 15.1, respectively) compared to nickel (logK = 9.15, 11.1, respectively). Despite this factor, the extraction of copper was unsuccessful throughout the previous experiments, potentially due to its low solubility. In order to determine if the extraction of copper is due to a physical or chemical effect, additional analysis should be performed with amino acids and industrial polyamines in these operating conditions.

## 6 Conclusion to chapter 4

In this chapter was proposed a new approach for simultaneous soil remediation and mineral carbonation. Four amines, including three natural amino acids, were investigated as chelating agents for metal recovery, exploiting their CO<sub>2</sub>-capture properties to investigate *in situ* mineral carbonation of the soil matrix. Metal extraction and the fixation of both carbon dioxide and chelating agent were quantified for each proposed system to determine the efficiency of the approach.

Table 49 Results obtained in this work, divided in experiments performed in a stainless-steel and WC reactor. For each experiment are indicated the highest metal extraction (%), amine fixation rate (%) and  $CO_2$  fixation rate (%).

D MU	Stainless Steel				WC				
	M <sup>n+</sup>	ER <sub>M</sub> %	$FR_{R_2NH}$ %	$FR_{CO_2}$ %	M <sup>n+</sup>	$\text{ER}_{M}$ %	$FR_{R_2NH}\%$	$FR_{CO_2}$ %	
DETA	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	40	25	/	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	69	45	/	
DETA-CO <sub>2</sub>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	74	33	57	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	69	49	95	
GlyK	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	86	18	/	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	46	36	/	
GlyK-CO <sub>2</sub>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	87	19	70	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	38	28	83	
L-LysK	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	37	22	/	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	75	/	/	
L-LysK-CO <sub>2</sub>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	65	24	58	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	59	/	/	
L-CysK	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	56	73	/	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	57	51	/	
L-CysK-CO <sub>2</sub>	Ni <sup>2+</sup>	35	77	84	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	38	94	65	

From the results obtained in this work, it can be concluded that nickel and cadmium are the most extracted metals, when working in a stainless steel and WC reactor. Other affinities were observed such as *L*-lysine-chromium, glycine-zinc and *L*-cysteine-lead, which could be exploited by an optimized process. Furthermore, the amines were retained in the soil with a range of 19%-94% with no specific pattern traceable to the reactor material.

Finally, the overall experiments showed a fixation rate of CO<sub>2</sub> higher than 57%, proving a high mineral carbonation efficiency of the process. More tests and analysis should be run on the liquid assisted grinding of heavy-metal polluted soil, to determine the operating conditions for an optimized mineral carbonation and metal extraction.

[Tapez ici]

## General conclusions

At present times, the economic and energetic costs of conventional amine scrubbing impede the vast application of this carbon capture technology; in addition, the use of industrial amines such as **MEA** and **PZ**, increases the footprint associated to the use and disposal of the amine sorbent. These problematics push the scientific community to find an innovative technology which could simultaneously lower the energetic and economic costs of CCS, while limiting the footprint of the technology. The objective of this thesis was therefore to design a sustainable strategy which captured CO<sub>2</sub> via amino acids, to store it into a heavy-metal polluted soil while extracting its metal contaminants.

The investigation of CO<sub>2</sub> capture by industrial polyamine (**DETA**, **EDA**) and  $\alpha$ -amino acids (**GlyK**, *L*-LysK, *L*-CysK) on the molecular level enabled the identification and quantification of the different amine-CO<sub>2</sub> adducts formed in aqueous solutions. It was observed that industrial polyamines are principally reacting by carbamation, yielding mono and polycarbamates upon CO<sub>2</sub> capture. On the contrary,  $\alpha$ AA undergo carbonation which enables to reach higher CO<sub>2</sub> loading compared to **DETA** and **EDA**. Furthermore, the thermodynamic study of carbon capture by the selected amine-based sorbent revealed a lower reaction enthalpy of amino acid compared to the benchmark amine sorbent **MEA**. This confirms the potential of amino acids as substitutes to industrial amines in the field of carbon capture.

The amine sorbents previously investigated were then explored for the integrated carbon capture and carbonation of metals. The study focused on two model-substrates: soluble metal chlorides and metal oxides. The introduction of magnesium chloride in the **DETA**-CO<sub>2</sub>, **EDA**-CO<sub>2</sub> dynamic combinatorial libraries did not induce any significant amplification of either carbonates or carbamates in solution. On the contrary, MgCl<sub>2</sub> induced the amplification of bicarbonate salts in solution of  $\alpha$ AA loaded with CO<sub>2</sub>. In contrast, CaCl<sub>2</sub> was carbonated into insoluble CaCO<sub>3</sub> by all investigated amines. *L*-Lysine displayed the highest carbonation yield (89%) with low amine retention in the solid (4%), enabling potential recovery of the amine sorbent after chemical stripping.

Carbonation of alkaline and transition metal oxides by CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded amine was investigated via mechanochemistry in both Liquid Assisted Grinding and neat grinding conditions. A thorough study conducted with **DETA** 5M on 11 metal oxides proved that mechanochemistry can be

considered a useful tool for both mineral carbonation and metal lixiviation. Furthermore, *L*-lysine proved to be the most efficient amine for CaO carbonation, reaching 86% conversion after 30 minutes of neat grinding at 500 rpm.

Finally, **DETA**, **GlyK**, *L*-LysK and *L*-CysK were investigated as chelating agents for soil depollution via LAG experiments, with concomitant *in situ* mineral carbonation of the soil matrix. The results reported in this chapter showed high extraction yields of both nickel and cadmium for all leaching agents, while specific affinities, such as *L*-lysine-chromium, glycine-zinc and *L*-cysteine-lead, were observed. Both *L*-lysine and glycine displayed high CO<sub>2</sub> sequestering yields (60% and 70%, respectively) with limited amine retention in the soil matrix (24% and 19%, respectively). These preliminary data confirmed amino acids are environmentally benign and powerful mineral carbonation and remediation agents.

Overall, this thesis paves the way toward an alternative approach to conventional amine scrubbing, metal remediation and soil depollution, via a Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage strategy.



## 1 Materials

## 1.1 Reagents and solvents

All reagents and solvents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Thermo Fisher Scientific at reagent-grade purity and used as received.  $CO_2$  (99,95%) and  $N_2$  (> 99.9%) were obtained from Air Liquid.  $D_2O$  (99,90% D) and DCl (99,90% D) were purchased from Eurisotop. Ultrapure water was collected from an ACCU 20 Ultra-Pure Water from Fischer Scientific.

#### 1.2 Gravimetric Analysis

Gravimetric analyses were conducted on a Mettler Toledo XSR high precision (± 0.01 mg) analytical balance.

## 1.3 NMR

## 1.3.1 Liquid state NMR

All experiments for liquid NMR were recorded on an Advance Bruker spectrometers Av300MHz (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C nuclei 70MHz) and Av500MHz (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C nuclei 125MHz) at the Centre Commun de RMN (CCRMN) of the University of Lyon. The chemical shifts are indicated in ppm (parts per million) in reference to Tetramethilysilane **(TMS)**. Spectra for speciation, calorimetry and cyclic capacity were recorded at 25 °C in D<sub>2</sub>O, D<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>O (10%) on an Advance III 500MHz spectrometer with a standard BBFO probe from Bruker. T<sub>1</sub> relaxation time measurement of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C were run with the standard inversion recovery experiment from Bruker pulse program library. Spectra were recorded at 25 °C ± 0.1, and samples were kept at 4 °C before measurement. Accuracy of the quantitative analyses was ensured by running the experiments using 90 degrees pulses and relaxation delay of 75s, therefore higher than five times T<sub>1</sub> (NCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 10 < T<sub>1</sub> < 15 s).

#### 1.3.2 Liquid state NMR D<sub>2</sub>O/DCl

 $^{1}$ H qNMR spectra for capture experiments were recorded at 25 °C in D<sub>2</sub>O/DCl (95:5) on an Av300 MHz at the CCRMN at the University of Lyon.

## 1.3.3 Solid state NMR

Solid-state NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker AVANCE III 500 WB spectrometer (11.7T, <sup>13</sup>C at 125.73 MHz) equipped with a Bruker 4mm MAS H/X/Y (magic angle spinning) probe. HPDEC (High power decoupling) spectrum was recorded at a spinning rate of 10 kHz, a  $\pi/2$  pulse of 3.7 $\mu$ s was applied on <sup>13</sup>C and 69 kHz <sup>1</sup>H Spinal-64 decoupling was applied during acquisition. The spectral width was set to 400 ppm (50.5KHz), the recycle delay was set to 60s and 1400 scans were accumulated. For data processing, the spectrum was referenced to adamantane (38.5 ppm) and line broadening of 20Hz was applied.

## 1.4 Potentiometric titration

Potentiometric titrations and pH measurements in water were performed at 25 °C on a Mettler Toledo T5 titrator excellence with a DGi-102 mini probe.

## 1.5 In situ FTIR

Infrared measurements were performed with Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) technology using ReactIR 15 workstation from Mettler Toledo. The instrument was equipped with a 0.3 mm AgX DiComp probe (Wetted Materials: Alloy C22; Diamond; Gold). *In situ* IR spectra were recorded with 128 scans per-spectrum, with a 60s interval, in the optical range of 4000 – 650cm<sup>-1</sup> and with a  $\Delta v = 2$  cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution.

## 1.6 Volumetric titrations

Titration for the determination of the CO<sub>2</sub> loading in solids was performed with a Chittick apparatus using a 3 mL burette. Between 5 and 10 mg of solid were titrated with 1 mL of  $H_2SO_4$ 1 M. The calibration was performed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and  $H_2SO_4$  1 M obtaining a correlation of y = 13.225 with a R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9943.

## 1.7 ICP-OES

ICP-OES analysis were performed on a Plasma Quant 9000 Elite Analytik-Jena apparatus. The vertical torch used for the analysis is composed of an aluminium-oxide injector (2 mm), a syalon outer tube and an alumina inner tube. All samples and calibrating solutions were diluted in a  $HNO_3$  5% matrix.



Fig. 135 a) ICP-OES instrument, b) vertical torch used for the analysis.

## 1.8 CHNS analysis

The elementary analysis to quantify the presence of C, H, N and S in solid samples is performed by three different analysers:

- C, H microanalyzer: the sample is burned at 1050 °C under  $O_2$  flux. The resulting  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  are quantified by IR detectors.
- N microanalyzer, DUMAS method: the sample is burned at 1050 °C under O<sub>2</sub> and Helium flux. Nitrogen is oxidized into NOx and then reduced in N<sub>2</sub>, quantified by thermal conductivity detector.
- S analyser LECO SC 144: the sample is burned at 1350 °C under O<sub>2</sub> flux. The sulphur is transformed into SO<sub>2</sub> and quantified by IR detectors.

## 1.9 XRD

X-Ray Diffraction analysis for the identification of crystalline phases was performed on an EVA V5.0 Brucker analyzer and mineral quantification was performed with Rietveld refinement on a TOPAS V5.0 Brucker in VICAT Laboratories in L'Isle d'Abeau.

## 1.10 Calorimetry

Calorimetry experiments were performed on an Atlas HD Potassium reactor with a 1L vessel by Syrris using a Coated Heater 50W 500mm. The reactions were followed by pH probe 400mm with Swivel adaptor, turbidity probe 400mm. The introduction of  $N_2/CO_2$  was controlled by mass-flowmeters EL-FLOW Select by Bronkhorst and followed by a Bronkhorst

Flowsuit program. Conductivity was followed with a Seven Compact Conductivity system by Mettler Toledo.

### 1.11 Mechanochemistry

All grinding experiments were performed in a Fritsch Pulverisette 7 Planetarium device. All reactors presented a volume of 20 mL and were used with 60 marbles of 5 mm of diameter. Three types of reactors were used: stainless-steel, 20 mL tungsten carbide and an Easy-GTM reactor in tungsten carbide with an integrated sensor to measure temperature and pressure.

## 2 Methods

#### 2.1 qNMR Speciation

10 mL of a 0.5M solution of amine was prepared in a volumetric flask, brought to volume with D<sub>2</sub>O. In the case of  $\alpha$ -AA, the solutions were prepared neutralizing the amino acids with the necessary equivalents of KOH (1 equivalent for glycine and *L*-arginine, 2 equivalents for *L*-lysine, *L*-cysteine and *L*-aspartic acid). The solution was prepared including **TMPCI** as an internal reference for a concentration of 0.1 M. The solution was then divided into 10 aliquots of 1 mL using a Mettler Toledo Pipette Mann and introduced in PTFE tubes of 10 mL. The aliquots were loaded with increasing quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> followed by gravimetric analysis. Pure CO<sub>2</sub> was delivered at a fixed flow rate (5 or 10 mL/min) through a mass-flow controller. After absorption, a 550 µL aliquot of each sample was transferred into an NMR tube and stored at 4 °C before analysis to avoid CO<sub>2</sub> desorption. Each sample was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C qNMR. The concentration of each adduct was determined as the sum of the integration of their <sup>13</sup>C peaks, compared to the reference. The total loading of each NMR sample is calculated as the sum of the number of moles of the species, each one multiplied by the number of CO<sub>2</sub> moles bound, divided by the initial number of amine moles by the number of nitrogen present on its backbone:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum n_i * \#_{(CO_2)i}}{n_{R_2NH} * \#_N}$$
(31)

 $#_{(CO_2)i}$  = number of bound CO<sub>2</sub> molecules per species.

 $\#_N$  =number of nitrogen atoms on the amine backbone

The experimental molar fraction of each species was then plotted against total loading of  $CO_2$ and fitted with a 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial.

## 2.2 qNMR Speciation in presence of metals

A stock solution was prepared dissolving 0.005n of amine and 0.0005n of **TMPCI** in 5 mL of D<sub>2</sub>O in a volumetric flask, obtaining a solution of 1 M in amine and 0.1 M in internal reference. A stock solution of metal chloride salt MgCl<sub>2</sub> was prepared dissolving 0.001265 n of salt in 5 mL of D<sub>2</sub>O in a volumetric flask, obtaining a solution 0.25 M of metal. Solutions were prepared separately to prevent precipitation of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> in the volumetric flask. In a PTFE tube of 10 mL were introduced 0.5 mL of amine solution and 0.5 mL of metal solution. This allowed to have 10 aliquots with amine at 0.5 M, **TMPCI** at 0.05 M and MgCl<sub>2</sub> at 0.125 M, for a final amine:metal ratio of 4:1. Each aliquot was then loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> with a 5 mL/min flow controlled by a mass flowmeter, and the loading in CO<sub>2</sub> was followed by gravimetric analysis. 550 µL of each aliquot were transferred in an NMR tube and analyzed by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR and <sup>1</sup>H qNMR. The concentration of each CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded adduct was determined as the sum of the integration of their <sup>13</sup>C qNMR peaks, compared to the integration of the reference. The molar fraction was plotted against total loading of CO<sub>2</sub> and fitted with a 3<sup>rd</sup> degree polynomial. The influence of the introduction of a metal template in the amine-CO<sub>2</sub> system was quantified using amplification factors.

## 2.3 Potentiometric titration

A stock solution of 100 mL was prepared dissolving 0.05 moles of amine in deionized water, for a final amine concentration of 0.5 M. An aliquot of 50 mL of said solution was loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> at 20 mL/min and followed by gravimetric analysis until maximum loading was reached. 550  $\mu$ L of loaded solution was then introduced in an NMR tube with 50  $\mu$ L of a 0.1 M solution of **TMPCI** in D<sub>2</sub>O as an internal reference, for NMR quantification of the overall loading. 15 mL of free amine solution were then titrated with 15 mL of loaded solution, and vice versa, to obtain direct correlation between pH and  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>). The experiments were run between one and three times and, when possible, the medium value and the relative deviation standard were calculated.

#### 2.4 Cyclic Capacity

A 100 mL 0.5 M stock solution of the amine sorbent was prepared with deionized water in a volumetric flask and transferred to a three-neck round bottom flask with a magnetic stirrer. For further <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C quantitative analysis, 550  $\mu$ L of the stock solution were collected and introduced in an NMR tube with 50  $\mu$ L of a **TMPCI** 0.5 M solution in D<sub>2</sub>O as internal reference. The solution was then subject of 2-5 cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption/desorption.

#### 2.4.1 Absorption step

The temperature and FT-IR probe were inserted in solution, the stirring rate was set to 500 rpm and the heating was set at 25 °C. The solution was then loaded with pure  $CO_2$  at 200 mL/min, the flowrate being controlled by a mass-flowmeter. FT-IR intensities at 1320 and 1361 cm<sup>-1</sup> were monitored and the absorption step was stopped once all infrared intensities had reached a plateau. After the first absorption step another <sup>13</sup>C qNMR sample was collected, as described in the previous section, allowing the quantification of the loading value in the rich state.

## 2.4.2 Desorption step

The loaded solution was heated at 100 °C and a 200 mL/min flow of pure N<sub>2</sub> was introduced by a mass-flowmeter to induce stripping. Once the FT-IR signals reached a new low plateau the desorption step was considered complete and stopped. An NMR sample was collected for further analysis and the loading value of the lean state wad calculated. The solution volume was then adjusted with deionized water before starting another absorption/desorption cycle. At the end of the different cycles the values were averaged for  $\alpha_{max}$  and  $\alpha_{min}$  to calculate the mean cyclic capacity with its relative error.

#### 2.5 Power Compensated Calorimetry

A stock solution of 1L of amine 0.5M was prepared in deionized water in a volumetric flask and transferred in the calorimetry reactor. The stirrer, heating probe, pH probe (turbidity and conductivity optional) probes were introduced in the reactor as well. The system was brought at 40 °C through the system chiller and stirred at 700 rpm. The Power compensated Calorimetry step was launched after the system was stabilized at 40 °C for 5 min. PCC was run at 40 °C, the gases flow was controlled by a flowmeter and was set for a mixture of  $CO_2/N_2$  at

respectively 0.15 L/min and 0.85 L/min; the total volume and temperature of both gases was measured by Bronkhorst Flowsuit program. The reaction was followed by *in situ* FT-IR and gas addition was stopped once the FT-IR signals reached a plateau. The system was let to stabilize for 30-40 minutes before completely stop the PCC experiment, to allow the program to accurately calculate the enthalpy of reaction. NMR samples were collected at the beginning and at the end of the reaction to assure a quantitative measure of the CO<sub>2</sub> loading of the solution. 550 µL of solution was introduced in an NMR tube with 50 µL of a 0.5 M **TMPCI** solution in D<sub>2</sub>O and analysed by <sup>13</sup>C qNMR as described in previous sections. From the enthalpy of reaction (kJ) obtained by PCC and the precise value of CO<sub>2</sub> loading reached by the system it was possible to calculate the enthalpy of reaction in kJ/mol of CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 2.6 Amine-metal heterogeneous systems: carbonation

A stock solution is prepared with 0.025 moles of amine and 0.00625 moles of metal chloride salt (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) for a total amine concentration of 0.5 M and an amine/metal ratio of 4:1. The solution is prepared in deionized water in a volumetric flask and divided in aliquots of 5 mL each introduced in a PTFE tube of 10 mL. Each sample is then loaded with increasing quantities of pure CO<sub>2</sub> at 50 mL/min, followed by time of flux and gravimetric analysis. The tubes are closed, and the samples are left to stabilize for 12 h. After this time a white precipitate can be observed in the samples; the tubes are centrifuged at 500 rpm for 10 minutes and the solid is washed with deionized water. All liquid and solid phases are collected and lyophilized. A gravimetric measure is taken for all samples and phases after lyophilization of  $CO_2/amine/metal$  in between the phases : volumetric titration to quantify  $CO_2$  (section 1.6); <sup>1</sup>H NMR for the quantification of the amine, where the solid is dissolved in a solution of  $D_2O/DCI$  (95:5) containing an internal reference (liquid NMR section 1.3.2) and finally ICP-OES analysis to determine the repartition of metal, where the sample is dissolved in a 5% solution of HNO<sub>3</sub> in ultrapure water.

#### 2.7 Solid AAS

Procedure by Al-Terkawi et al.,  $2020^{157}$ . 1g of amino acid and 1 equivalent of KOH were dissolved in 6 mL of deionized H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH in a 1/5 ratio. CO<sub>2</sub> was bubbled in the solution at 200 mg/min for 1 hour, with noticeable formation of a white precipitate. At the end of the reaction the precipitate is filtered, washed with a cold mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH ratio 1:5; the

solid is then vacuum-dried for 12h. The solid was weighted, then analysed via solid-state NMR and liquid state quantitative <sup>13</sup>C-NMR to qualify and quantify its loading state. On each solid was calculated the yield and the complete E factor (cEF), as:

$$cEF = \frac{\text{raw materials} + \text{reagents} + \text{solvents} + \text{water}(g)}{\text{final product}(g)} - 1$$
 (6)

#### 2.8 Mechanical grinding

#### 2.8.1 LAG: Metal Oxides

A stock solution of **DETA** 5M was prepared in deionized water in a volumetric flask and loaded with CO<sub>2</sub> via mass flowmeter, and the added CO<sub>2</sub> was controlled via gravimetric analysis. For each reaction was used a 1 mL of the stock solution and then one equivalent of metal oxide, in reference to CO<sub>2</sub>, was added in the WC reactor. The Liquid assisted grinding was followed by Easy-GTM and let for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. Each experiment was run twice, after the reaction the resulting material of one sample was collected with water and the other with methanol. Each sample was washed and centrifuged three times with 5 mL of the chosen solvent, and its liquid phases were gathered. Both solid and liquid phases were lyophilised (water treatment), or vacuum-dried (methanol treatment). Solid and liquid phases were then tested by ICP-OES, Volumetric titration and <sup>1</sup>H NMR (section 1.3.2) to quantify respectively the metal, CO<sub>2</sub> and **DETA**.

#### 2.8.2 LAG: Soils

A 5mL stock solution of amine 0.5 M was prepared in deionized water in a volumetric flask. A 2 mL aliquot of said solution was loaded with  $CO_2$  via mass flowmeter, and the added  $CO_2$  was controlled via gravimetric analysis.

A 1 g sample of dried soil was introduced in a grinding reactor (stainless-steel/ WC, chapter 4), then 1 mL of the free amine/ loaded amine solution was introduced in the reactor. The grinding was performed at 500 rpm with 3 cycles of 10 minutes of grinding followed by 15 min pause, for a total reaction time of 30 minutes. The sample was then collected with 8mL of deionized water, centrifuged and washed 3 times with 8 mL of deionized water. The liquid phases were either studied separately or united; the solid phase was lyophilized and weighted after lyophilisation. The liquid phase was investigated by ICP-OES and the solid phase was investigated by CHNS.

## 2.8.3 Neat grinding

0.3 grams of solid  $\alpha$ AA-CO<sub>2</sub> (section 2.7) were introduced in an WC reactor. The metal oxide was introduced in equivalent conditions to the introduced moles of CO<sub>2</sub>. Grindings were performed at 500 rpm for 30 min, 1 h and 2 h; the latter was performed in an EASY-GTM reactor to follow temperature and pressure throughout the reaction. Resulting solids were collected with 5 mL of deionized water and the solid was washed 3 times. Solid and liquid phases were then separated and analysed separately as previously described.

## 2.9 Sequential extraction

Method of sequential extraction performed on 1 g of dried soil:

- Extraction 1, carbonates: 1 gram of dried soil is introduced in 40 mL a 0.11M aqueous solution of acetic acid, at 25 °C and stirred for 16 h at 500 rpm. The solid is then filtered and used for the next step.
- Extraction 2, Fe/Mn oxides: the solid is introduced in 40 mL of an aqueous solution of Hydroxylammonium Chloride ((NH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>+</sup>)Cl<sup>-</sup>) at concentration 0.1 M and pH 2. The mixture is stirred for 16h at 500 rpm.
- Extraction 3, organic fraction: the solid is introduced in 2x10 mL 8.8 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 80 °C for 1 h; after which it is dried. The soil is then treated with 50 mL of a 1 M aqueous solution of Ammonium Acetate (pH 2, 16 h of stirring).

The residual fraction is obtained as the difference between the initial concentration of metals, and the sum of the first three extractions. Liquid/solid separation is performed by centrifugation and filtration of the supernatant on a 0.45  $\mu$ m Whatman filter (#40).

Images in this thesis were created and modified with BioRender.

- 1 Annexes Chapter 2
- 1.1 Ethylenediamine (EDA)







Fig. 137  $^{13}C$  qNMR speciation of a 1M solution of **EDA** in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on the carbonyl zone from 160-165. Legend of the color code on the right.



Fig. 138 Evolution of chemical shift for a 0.5M solution of **DETA** in  $D_2O$  loaded with  $CO_2$  at 25 °C. a) chemical shift of aliphatic peaks from 37 to 41 ppm; b) chemical shift of aliphatic peaks from 44 to 51 ppm.



Fig. 139 Reaction enthalpy of a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA** upon  $CO_2$  loading. The graph is expressed in  $\Delta_r H^{\circ}$  (kJ) versus reaction time (h).

## 1.3 Glycine



Fig. 140  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of GlyK in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on sp^3 signals from 41 to 46 ppm.



Fig. 141  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of GlyK in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on  $sp^2$  signals from 160 to 165 ppm.



Fig. 142 pH evolution of a 0.5M aqueous solution of GlyK upon increasing CO\_2 loading at 25 °C.

#### 1.4 L-Lysine

The attribution of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals to the CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species was determined observing the evolution of the stacked spectra and the chemical shift of the species. In Fig.143 are reported the chemical shift of the epsilon -CH<sub>2</sub>. In the first spectrum ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0), the only visible signal is the one of free *L*-LysK (blue). Upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading ( $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.09) a second signal appears at  $\delta$  = 41.15, which was attributed to the  $\epsilon$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of the *L*-LysK-1e (red). A third signal is visible for  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.21, which was attributed to the  $\epsilon$ -CH<sub>2</sub> of the *L*-LysK-1a (purple) ( $\delta$  = 39.5). At high loading, the monocarbamate *L*-LysK-1a (purple) is the most abundant CO<sub>2</sub>-loaded species, as confirmed by the pH measure. Furthermore, Fig.145 reports the evolution of the sp<sup>2</sup> signals for the speciation of *L*-LysK. The attribution of the carbamate signals was confirmed by the work of Al-Terkawi *et al.*<sup>157</sup>which reported a chemical shift for the epsilon carbamate of  $\delta_{N-CO_2}$  = 164.8 ppm, while the alpha carbamate was observed at  $\delta_{N-CO_2}$  = 163.7 ppm.



Fig. 143  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for L-LysK 0.5M in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on the epsilon carbon from 38 to 42 ppm.



Color Code: L-LysK L-LysK-1e L-LysK-1a L-LysK-2 HCO<sub>3</sub>-





Fig. 145  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for L-LysK 0.5M in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on the  $sp^2$  signals from 160 to 165 ppm.



Fig. 146 pH evolution of a 0.5M aqueous solution of *L*-LysK upon increasing  $CO_2$  loading at 25 °C.

## 1.5 L-Cysteine



Fig. 147  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for L-CysK 0.5M in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on the sp^3 signals from 56 to 61 ppm.



Fig. 148  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra evolution for L-CysK 0.5M in  $D_2O$  at 25 °C. Zoom on the sp² signals from 160 to 166 ppm.



Fig. 149 pH evolution of a 0.5M aqueous solution of L-CysK upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading at 25 °C.
### 1.6 *L*-Arginine



Color Code: L-ArgK-L-ArgK-1 HCO3-

Fig. 150  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra for a cyclic capacity experiment performed on a 0.5M solution of L-ArgK in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>3</sup> signals from 53 to 57 ppm.



Fig. 151  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra for a cyclic capacity experiment performed on a 0.5M solution of L-ArgK in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>2</sup> signals from 159 to 167 ppm.

### 1.7 L-Aspartic Acid







Fig. 153  $^{13}\text{C}$  qNMR spectra for a cyclic capacity experiment performed on a 0.5M solution of L-AspK in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>2</sup> signals from 159 to 165 ppm.

- 2 Annexes Chapter 3
- 2.1 EDA and MgCl<sub>2</sub>







Fig. 155  $^{13}$ C qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of **EDA** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>2</sup> signals from 160 to 165 ppm.

### 2.2 DETA and MgCl<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 156  $^{13}$ C qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of **DETA** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>3</sup> signals from 37 to 50 ppm.



Fig. 157  $^{13}$ C qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of **DETA** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp<sup>2</sup> signals from 160 to 165 ppm.



Fig. 158 pH titration at 25 °C of a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA** (orange) and a 0.5M aqueous solution of **DETA** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (blue) upon increasing CO<sub>2</sub> loading.

## 2.3 Glycine MgCl<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 159  $^{13}$ C qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of **GlyK** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl2 in D2O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp3 signals from 41 to 46 ppm.



Fig. 160  $^{13}$ C qNMR spectra evolution for a 0.5M solution of **GlyK** with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl2 in D2O at 25 °C. Zoom on sp2 signals from 161 to 165 ppm.

### 2.4 L-Lysine and MgCl<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 161 Evolution of <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of a 0.5M solution of *L*-LysK in D<sub>2</sub>O with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. Zoom on the aliphatic signals, 39 to 41 ppm for the epsilon carbon, 54 to 57 ppm for alpha carbon.



# Legend: L-LysK L-LysK-1e L-LysK-1a L-LysK-2 HCO3<sup>-</sup>

Fig. 162 Evolution of  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra of a 0.5M solution of L-LysK in  $D_2O$  with 0.25 equivalents of MgCl\_2. Zoom on the carbonyl signals.

## 2.5 L-Cysteine and MgCl<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 163 Stacked  $^{13}C$  spectra of 0.5M L-CysK in D<sub>2</sub>O with 0.125M MgCl<sub>2</sub> in absence and in presence of CO<sub>2</sub>. Zoom on the aliphatic signal of the alpha carbon (56-59 ppm) and on the carbonyl signal of the CO<sub>2</sub> loaded species (161-164 ppm).

### 2.6 LAG: DETA and MOx



Fig. 164 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in  $H_2O$ ; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a)  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Al:CO_2 = 1:1.6$ , water washing; b)  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Al:CO_2 = 1:1.5$ , MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red),  $CO_2$  (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).



Fig. 165 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in  $H_2O$ ; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) TiO<sub>2</sub>, Ti:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.91, water washing; b) TiO<sub>2</sub>, Ti:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.95, MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).



Fig. 166 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in H<sub>2</sub>O; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.1.47, water washing; b) Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe:CO<sub>2</sub> = 1:1.59, MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red), CO<sub>2</sub> (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).



Fig. 167 Results obtained by LAG with a 5M solution of **DETA** in  $H_2O$ ; grindings performed for 3 cycles of 10 minutes at 500 rpm. a) NiO, Ni: $CO_2 = 1:0.98$ , water washing; b) NiO, Ni: $CO_2 = 1:0.99$ , MeOH washing. Color code: metal (red),  $CO_2$  (green), amine (blue). The graphs are divided into solid fraction (negative values) and liquid fraction (positive values).



## 2.7 LAG: L-LysK and MgO



Fig. 168 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of **L-LysK**-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.79. Zoom on the aliphatic signals of the epsilon carbon (39-41 ppm) and the sp<sup>2</sup> signals (160-164 ppm).

# 2.8 Neat Grinding, MgO, CaO and GlyK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s)



Fig. 169 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of **GlyK**-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. Zoon on the CH<sub>2</sub> signal (41.41 ppm) and on the bicarbonate peak (160.23 ppm).



Fig. 170 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.57. Zoom on the aliphatic signals of the epsilon carbon (39-41 ppm) and the sp<sup>2</sup> signals (160-164 ppm).

## 2.10 Neat Grinding, MgO and L-CysK -CO<sub>2</sub>(s)



Fig. 171 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of *L*-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.61. Zoon on the alpha CH<sub>2</sub> signal (56.34 ppm) and on the bicarbonate peak (160.24 ppm).

## 2.11 Neat Grinding, CaO and L-LysK -CO<sub>2</sub>(s)



Fig. 172 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of *L*-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.77. Zoom on the aliphatic signals of the epsilon carbon (39-41 ppm) and the alpha carbon (54.4-56.5 ppm).



### Legend: L-LysK L-LysK-1e L-LysK-1a HCO3-

Fig. 173  $^{13}C$  qNMR spectra of  $\textit{L-LysK-CO}_2(s)$  loaded at  $\alpha(CO_2)$  = 0.77. Zoom on the bicarbonate and carbamate sp<sup>2</sup> signals (160.6-164.8 ppm).

2.12 Neat Grinding, CaO and L-CysK -CO<sub>2</sub>(s)



Fig. 174 <sup>13</sup>C qNMR spectra of L-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub>(s) loaded at  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) = 0.52. Zoom on the aliphatic signal of the alpha carbon (56.4 ppm) and on the bicarbonate sp<sup>2</sup> signal (160.24 ppm).

# 3 Annexes Chapter 4

# 3.1 Description of the soil

Table 50 Initial mmol of metals per gram of soil.

Majors		Minors					
Metal	mmol/g of soil	Metal	mmol/g of soil	Metal	mmol/g of soil		
Mg	0.26	Cr	0.00162	Mn	0.01003		
Fe	0.68	Cu	0.00530	As	0.00272		
Ca	0.97	Ni	0.00073	Ba	0.00350		
Ti	0.07	Со	0.00031	Mo	0.00005		
Pb	0.02	Zn	0.00593	Sb	0.00025		
Al	2.12	Cd	0.00003	Se	0.00048		

Paramètres analytiques	Résultats	Unités	Méthodes	Detection	Références de qualité	CONNECC
Analyse sur le produit Analyses physiques						
Préparation de l'échantillon - homogénéisation	-		NF ISO 11464 eVou NF ISO 14507			
Humidté totale	21.1	% brut	Séchage en étuve - NF ISO 11485			
Fraction soumise à analyse	90.5	%	NF ISO 11464 eVou NF ISO 14507			
Matères sèches Analyse élémentaire	78.9	% brut	Séchage en étuve - NF ISO 11465			•
Carbone organique total (COT)	18.7	gkg sec	NF EN 13137			
Analyses physicochimiques Analyses physicochimiques de base						
Indice hydrocarbures C10-C40	48	mg/kg sec	GC/FID - ISO 16703			
Composés organiques BTEX						
Benzène	<0.5	mg/kg sec	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
Toluène	<0.5	mg/kg sec	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
Ethylbenzène	<0.5	mg/kg sec	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
Xylène ortho	<0.5	mg/kg sec	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
Xylènes (m + p)	<1.0	mg/kg sec	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
Somme BTEX	<3.00	mg/kg	HSS/GC/MS - NF ISO 22155			
HAP						
Naphtalène	0.20	mg/kg sec	GC/MS - XP X33-012			
Acénaphtène	0.14	mg/kg sec	GC/MS - XP X33-012			
Acénaphtylène	0.09	mg/kg sec	GC/MS - XP X33-012			
Fluorène	0.17	mg/kg sec	GC/MS - XP X33-012			•

Fig. 175 Nature and abundance of hydrocarbons in the soil.

## 3.2 Metals omitted

Table 51 Metals omitted in Chapter 4, experiments performed in a stainless-steel reactor.

Reactor	Lixiviant	Metal	Medium ER (%)	SD/2 %
	ЦО	Со	0.7%	0.00144864
	H <sub>2</sub> U	Мо	187.7%	0.20480562
		Со	13.0%	0.00848042
	DETA 0.5 IVI	Мо	358.5%	0.26720638
	DETA CO2	Со	29.9%	0.04888261
		Мо	252.4%	0.32635365
		Со	20.6%	0.02456211
	LYSK U.5 IVI	Мо	285.7%	0.60708642
Chaimlean sheal	LysK, CO <sub>2</sub>	Со	27.7%	0.02378085
Stainless steel		Мо	256.4%	0.37037994
		Со	40.7%	0.0325931
	GIVK U.SIVI	Mo 419.8% (		0.49962758
		Со	32.6%	0.08784817
	GIVK, CO <sub>2</sub>	Мо	301.2%	0.34311058
	Cuck	Со	27.2%	0.03102416
	Cysk	Мо	636.8%	0.46486255
	Curk CO2	Со	6.2%	0.01608795
	Cysic, COZ	Мо	354.5%	0.20553629

Reactor	Lixiviant	Metal	Medium ER (%)	SD/2 %
		Ni	210%	2.2636E-06
	DETA 0.5M	Со	36728%	1.0772E-06
		Мо	18%	1.6883E-07
		Ni	164%	2.2636E-06
	DETA, CO2	Со	27240%	1.0772E-06
		Мо	65%	1.6883E-07
	LysK 0.5M	Со	18453%	1.0772E-06
		Мо	21%	1.6883E-07
	1	Со	11940%	1.0772E-06
W/C	LYSK CO2	Мо	49%	1.6883E-07
VVC		Ni	123%	2.2636E-06
	GlyK 0.5M	Со	29561%	1.0772E-06
		Мо	37%	1.6883E-07
		Ni	109%	2.2636E-06
	GlyK, CO₂	Со	25627%	1.0772E-06
		Мо	60%	1.6883E-07
	Cuck	Со	6805%	1.0772E-06
	CYSK	Мо	26%	1.6883E-07
	CVCK CO	Со	2181%	1.0772E-06
	$Cysk, CO_2$	Мо	51%	1.6883E-07

Table 52 Metals omitted in Chapter 4, experiments performed in a tungsten carbide reactor.

# 3.3 Extraction rate vs pH



Fig. 176 Metal extraction rate ( $ER_M$  (%)) plotted against pH values. The latter refer to the pH values of the leaching solutions discussed in chapter 4. The metals are grouped for their extraction rate interval for clarity; a) chrome (gray), magnesium (orange), lead (blue); b) antimony (orange), selenium (blue).



Fig. 177 Metal extraction rate ( $ER_M$  (%)) plotted against pH values. The latter refer to the pH values of the leaching solutions discussed in chapter 4. The metals are grouped for their extraction rate interval for clarity; a) titanium (orange), aluminum (blue); b) barium (orange), arsenic (blue).



Fig. 178 Metal extraction rate ( $ER_M$  (%)) plotted against pH values. The latter refer to the pH values of the leaching solutions discussed in chapter 4. The metals are grouped for their extraction rate interval for clarity; a) cadmium (gray), calcium (orange), zinc (blue); b) manganese (gray), iron (orange), copper (blue).



Fig. 179 ICP-OES results for LAG experiments of dried soil treated with 0.1 mL of a 0.5 M aqueous solution of L-CysK (blue) and L-CysK-CO<sub>2</sub> (red).

## Bibliography

1. Norahim, N.; Yaisanga, P.; Faungnawakij, K.; Charinpanitkul, T.; Klaysom, C., **2018**, *41*, 211-223.

2. Ahmed, R.; Liu, G.; Yousaf, B.; Abbas, Q.; Ullah, H.; Ali, M. U., *Journal of Cleaner Production* **2020**, *242*, 118409.

3. Bereiter, B.; Eggleston, S.; Schmitt, J.; Nehrbass-Ahles, C.; Stocker, T. F.; Fischer, H.; Kipfstuhl, S.; Chappellaz, J., **2015**, *42*, 542-549.

4. Morice, C. P.; Kennedy, J. J.; Rayner, N. A.; Jones, P. D., 2012, 117 (D8).

5. A.I.E., 20 Years of Carbon Capture and Storage: Accelerating Future Deployment. 2016. Friedlingstein, P.; O'Sullivan, M.; Jones, M. W.; Andrew, R. M.; Hauck, J.; Olsen, A.; 6. Peters, G. P.; Peters, W.; Pongratz, J.; Sitch, S.; Le Quéré, C.; Canadell, J. G.; Ciais, P.; Jackson, R. B.; Alin, S.; Aragão, L. E. O. C.; Arneth, A.; Arora, V.; Bates, N. R.; Becker, M.; Benoit-Cattin, A.; Bittig, H. C.; Bopp, L.; Bultan, S.; Chandra, N.; Chevallier, F.; Chini, L. P.; Evans, W.; Florentie, L.; Forster, P. M.; Gasser, T.; Gehlen, M.; Gilfillan, D.; Gkritzalis, T.; Gregor, L.; Gruber, N.; Harris, I.; Hartung, K.; Haverd, V.; Houghton, R. A.; Ilyina, T.; Jain, A. K.; Joetzjer, E.; Kadono, K.; Kato, E.; Kitidis, V.; Korsbakken, J. I.; Landschützer, P.; Lefèvre, N.; Lenton, A.; Lienert, S.; Liu, Z.; Lombardozzi, D.; Marland, G.; Metzl, N.; Munro, D. R.; Nabel, J. E. M. S.; Nakaoka, S. I.; Niwa, Y.; O'Brien, K.; Ono, T.; Palmer, P. I.; Pierrot, D.; Poulter, B.; Resplandy, L.; Robertson, E.; Rödenbeck, C.; Schwinger, J.; Séférian, R.; Skjelvan, I.; Smith, A. J. P.; Sutton, A. J.; Tanhua, T.; Tans, P. P.; Tian, H.; Tilbrook, B.; van der Werf, G.; Vuichard, N.; Walker, A. P.; Wanninkhof, R.; Watson, A. J.; Willis, D.; Wiltshire, A. J.; Yuan, W.; Yue, X.; Zaehle, S., Earth Syst. Sci. Data 2020, 12, 3269-3340.

7. Rochelle, G. T., Science 2009, 325.

8. Tapia, J. F. D.; Lee, J.-Y.; Ooi, R. E. H.; Foo, D. C. Y.; Tan, R. R., Sustainable Production and Consumption **2018**, *13*, 1-15.

9. Ramezani, R.; Mazinani, S.; Di Felice, R., *Chemical Engineering Science* **2019**, *206*, 187-202.

10. Blamey, J.; Anthony, E. J.; Wang, J.; Fennell, P. S., *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* **2010**, *36*, 260-279.

11. Erans, M.; Manovic, V.; Anthony, E. J., Applied Energy **2016**, 180, 722-742.

12. Hornberger, M.; Spörl, R.; Scheffknecht, G., Energy Procedia 2017, 114, 6171-6174.

13. Jansen, D.; Gazzani, M.; Manzolini, G.; Dijk, E. v.; Carbo, M., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2015**, *40*, 167-187.

14. Osman, A. I.; Hefny, M.; Abdel Maksoud, M. I. A.; Elgarahy, A. M.; Rooney, D. W., *Environmental Chemistry Letters* **2021**, *19*, 797-849.

15. Theo, W. L.; Lim, J. S.; Hashim, H.; Mustaffa, A. A.; Ho, W. S., *Applied Energy* **2016**, *183*, 1633-1663.

16. Haszeldine, R. S., Science 2009, 325 (5948), 1647-1652.

17. Holloway, S., Phil. Trans. R. Soc. 2007, 365 (1853), 1095-1107.

18. Dowell, N.; Fennell, P. S.; Shah, N.; Maitland, G. C., Nat. Clim. Change 2017, 7.

19. Alcalde, J.; Flude, S.; Wilkinson, M.; Johnson, G.; Edlmann, K.; Bond, C. E.; Scott, V.; Gilfillan, S. M. V.; Ogaya, X.; Haszeldine, R. S., *Nature Communications* **2018**, *9*, 2201.

20. Juan Alcaldea, S. F., Mark Wilkinsonb, Gareth Johnsonb\*, Katriona Edlmannb, Clare E. Bonda, Vivian Scottb, Stuart M.V. Gilfillanb, Xènia Ogayac and R. Stuart Haszeldineb., Quantifying geological CO2 storage security to deliver on climate mitigation. In *GHGT-14*, Melbourne, Australia, 2018.

21. O'Connor, W. K., Dahlin, David C., Nilsen, David N., Rush, G.E., Walters, Richard P., and Turner, Paul C. , *United States: N. p.* **2001**.

Baines, S. J.; Worden, R. H., *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 2004, 233,
1.

23. Hong, S.; Sim, G.; Moon, S.; Park, Y., Energy & Fuels 2020, 34, 3532-3539.

24. Sanna, A.; Uibu, M.; Caramanna, G.; Kuusik, R.; Maroto-Valer, M. M., *Chemical Society Reviews* **2014**, *43*, 8049-8080.

25. Marocco Stuardi, F.; MacPherson, F.; Leclaire, J., *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry* **2019**, *16*, 71-76.

26. Li, K.; Leigh, W.; Feron, P.; Yu, H.; Tade, M., Applied Energy **2016**, *165*, 648-659.

27. Leclaire, J.; Heldebrant, D. J., *Green Chemistry* **2018**, *20*, 5058-5081.

28. Agbonghae, E. O.; Hughes, K. J.; Ingham, D. B.; Ma, L.; Pourkashanian, M., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2014**, *53*, 14815-14829.

29. Cuéllar-Franca, R. M.; Azapagic, A., *Journal of CO*<sub>2</sub> Utilization **2015**, *9*, 82-102.

30. Aladić, K.; Jarni, K.; Barbir, T.; Vidović, S.; Vladić, J.; Bilić, M.; Jokić, S., *Industrial Crops* and Products **2015**, *76*, 472-478.

31. Hughes, A. D.; Black, K. D.; Campbell, I.; Davidson, K.; Kelly, M. S.; Stanley, M. S., *Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology* **2012**, *2*, 402-407.

32. Brennan, L.; Owende, P., *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2010**, *14*, 557-577.

33. Mikkelsen, M.; Jørgensen, M.; Krebs, F. C., *Energy & Environmental Science* **2010**, *3*, 43-81.

34. Assen, N. v. d.; Sternberg, A.; Kätelhön, A.; Bardow, A., *Faraday Discussions* **2015**, *183*, 291-307.

35. Xiaoding, X.; Moulijn, J. A., *Energy & Fuels* **1996**, *10*, 305-325.

36. Laudenschleger, D.; Ruland, H.; Muhler, M., *Nature Communications* **2020**, *11*, 3898.

37. Meessen, J., Chemie Ingenieur Technik 2014, 86, 2180-2189.

38. lijima, T.; Yamaguchi, T., Applied Catalysis A: General 2008, 345, 12-17.

39. Energy, U. S. D. o., Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery. Energy, Ed. 2010.

40. Oldenburg, C. M.; Pruess, K.; Benson, S. M., Energy & Fuels 2001, 15, 293-298.

- 41. Septavaux, J.; Tosi, C.; Jame, P.; Nervi, C.; Gobetto, R.; Leclaire, J., *Nature Chemistry* **2020**.
- 42. Sanna, A.; Hall, M. R.; Maroto-Valer, M., *Energy & Environmental Science* **2012**, *5*, 7781-7796.
- 43. Bottoms, R. R. Separating acid gases. US Patent 1783901 2/12/1930, 1930.

44. Rochelle, G. T., 3 - Conventional amine scrubbing for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. In *Absorption-Based Post-combustion Capture of Carbon Dioxide*, Feron, P. H. M., Ed. Woodhead Publishing: **2016**; pp 35-67.

45. Teir, S., Arasto, A., Sormunen, R., Jussila-suokas, J., Saari, P., **2018**.

46. Kim, S.; Shi, H.; Lee, J. Y., International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control **2016**, 45, 181-188.

47. Kim, I.; Hoff, K. A.; Mejdell, T., Energy Procedia 2014, 63, 1446-1455.

48. Kim, I.; Svendsen, H. F., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2007**, *46*, 5803-5809.

49. Kim, Y. E.; Moon, S. J.; Yoon, Y. I.; Jeong, S. K.; Park, K. T.; Bae, S. T.; Nam, S. C., Separation and Purification Technology **2014**, *122*, 112-118.

50. McCann, N.; Maeder, M.; Hasse, H., Energy Procedia 2011, 4, 1542-1549.

51. McCann, N.; Maeder, M.; Hasse, H., *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2011**, 43, 664-669.

52. Septavaux, J.; Germain, G.; Leclaire, J., *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2017**, *50*, 1692-1701.

53. Khanna, R. K.; Moore, M. H., *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* **1999**, 55, 961-967.

54. Dijkstra, Z. J.; Doornbos, A. R.; Weyten, H.; Ernsting, J. M.; Elsevier, C. J.; Keurentjes, J. T. F., *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* **2007**, *41*, 109-114.

55. Versteeg, G. F.; Van Dijck, L. A. J.; Van Swaaij, W. P. M., *Chemical Engineering Communications* **1996**, *144*, 113-158.

56. Crooks, J. E.; Donnellan, J. P., *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2* **1989**, 331-333.

57. Caplow, M., Journal of the American Chemical Society 1968, 90, 6795-6803.

58. Hartono, A.; da Silva, E. F.; Svendsen, H. F., *Chemical Engineering Science* **2009**, *64*, 3205-3213.

59. Bernhardsen, I. M.; Knuutila, H. K., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2017**, *61*, 27-48.

60. Eide-Haugmo, I.; Brakstad, O. G.; Hoff, K. A.; Sørheim, K. R.; da Silva, E. F.; Svendsen, H. F., *Energy Procedia* **2009**, *1*, 1297-1304.

61. Luis, P., Desalination **2016**, *380*, 93-99.

62. Rochelle, G.; Chen, E.; Freeman, S.; Van Wagener, D.; Xu, Q.; Voice, A., *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2011**, *171*, 725-733.

63. Hook, R. J., Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 1997, 36, 1779-1790.

64. Davis, J.; Rochelle, G., Energy Procedia 2009, 1, 327-333.

65. Kim, J.; Lee, J.; Lee, Y.; Kim, H.; Kim, E.; Lee, K. S., Energy **2019**, 187, 115908.

66. Freeman, S. A.; Dugas, R.; Van Wagener, D. H.; Nguyen, T.; Rochelle, G. T., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2010**, *4*, 119-124.

67. Freeman, S. A.; Davis, J.; Rochelle, G. T., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2010**, *4*, 756-761.

McCann, N.; Phan, D.; Wang, X.; Conway, W.; Burns, R.; Attalla, M.; Puxty, G.; Maeder, M., *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2009, *113*, 5022-5029.

69. Cieslarova, Z.; dos Santos, V. B.; do Lago, C. L., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2018**, *76*, 142-149.

70. Nainar, M.; Veawab, A., *Energy Procedia* **2009**, *1*, 231-235.

71. Liu, J.; Li, X.; Zhang, Z.; Li, L.; Bi, Y.; Zhang, L., *Greenhouse Gases: Science and Technology* **2019**, *9*, 349-359.

72. Rochelle, G. T., Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering 2012, 1, 183-190.

73. Cousins, A.; Huang, S.; Cottrell, A.; Feron, P. H. M.; Chen, E.; Rochelle, G. T., **2015**, *5*, 7-16.

74. Sartori, G.; Ho, W.; Savage, D.; Chludzinski, G.; Wlechert, S. J. S.; methods, p., **1987**, *16*, 171-200.

75. Luo, X.; Liu, S.; Gao, H.; Liao, H.; Tontiwachwuthikul, P.; Liang, Z., *Separation and Purification Technology* **2016**, *169*, 279-288.

76. Brúder, P.; Grimstvedt, A.; Mejdell, T.; Svendsen, H. F., *Chemical Engineering Science* **2011**, *66*, 6193-6198.

77. Rabensteiner, M.; Kinger, G.; Koller, M.; Hochenauer, C., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2016**, *51*, 106-117.

78. Leclaire, J., Guillaume Husson,<sup>†</sup> Nathalie Devaux,<sup>†</sup> Vincent Delorme,<sup>†</sup> Laurence Charles,<sup>‡</sup> Fabio Ziarelli,§ Perrine Desbois,  $\perp$  Alexandra Chaumonnot,  $\perp$  Marc Jacquin,  $\perp$  Fre'de' ric Fotiadu,<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>†</sup> and Ge'rard Buono<sup>†</sup>, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132.

79. Muchan, P.; Narku-Tetteh, J.; Saiwan, C.; Idem, R.; Supap, T., Separation and Purification Technology **2017**, *184*, 128-134.

80. Singh, P.; Versteeg, G. F., *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* **2008**, *86*, 347-359.

81. Khalili, F.; Rayer, A. V.; Henni, A.; East, A. L. L.; Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Kinetics and Dissociation Constants pKa of Polyamines of Importance in Post-Combustion Carbon Dioxide CO2 Capture Studies. In *Recent Advances in Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Chemistry*, American Chemical Society: 2012; Vol. 1097, pp 43-70.

82. Ermatchkov, V.; Pérez-Salado Kamps, Á.; Maurer, G., The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics **2003**, *35*, 1277-1289.

83. Zhang, R.; Yang, Q.; Liang, Z.; Puxty, G.; Mulder, R. J.; Cosgriff, J. E.; Yu, H.; Yang, X.; Xue, Y., *Energy & Fuels* **2017**, *31*, 11099-11108.

84. Brown, H. C.; Brewster, J.; Shechter, H. J. J. o. t. A. C. S., 1954, 76, 467-474.

85. Pike, S. J.; Hutchinson, J. J.; Hunter, C. A., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 6700-6706.

86. Zhou, S.; Chen, X.; Nguyen, T.; Voice, A. K.; Rochelle, G. T., **2010**, *3*, 913-918.

87. Hatchell, D.; Namjoshi, O.; Fischer, K.; Rochelle, G. T. J. E. P., **2014**, *63*, 1558-1568.

88. Hirasawa, T.; Shimizu, H., *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **2016**, *42*, 133-146.

89. Sandeaux, J.; Sandeaux, R.; Gavach, C.; Grib, H.; Sadat, T.; Belhocine, D.; Mameri, N., **1998**, *71*, 267-273.

90. Huang, Q.; Bhatnagar, S.; Remias, J. E.; Selegue, J. P.; Liu, K., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2013**, *19*, 243-250.

91. Zhao, Y.; Shen, S.; Bian, Y.; Yang, Y.-n.; Ghosh, U., *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2017**, *111*, 100-105.

92. Aronu, U. E.; Svendsen, H. F.; Hoff, K. A., International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control **2010**, *4*, 771-775.

93. Daubert, T. E. a. D., R.P. , *Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Chemicals* (*Data Compilation*). Hemisphere Publishing Corporation: New York, 1989.

94. EPA Predictive Models and Tools for Assessing Chemicals under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

95. Zhang, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wang, J.; Soltanian, M. R.; Olabi, A. G., *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2018**, *98*, 179-188.

96. Hu, G.; Smith, K. H.; Wu, Y.; Mumford, K. A.; Kentish, S. E.; Stevens, G. W., *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* **2018**, *26*, 2229-2237.

97. Weiss, I. M.; Muth, C.; Drumm, R.; Kirchner, H. O. K., BMC Biophysics 2018, 11, 2.

98. Lee, S.; Kim, J.-W.; Chae, S.; Bang, J.-H.; Lee, S.-W., *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2016**, *16*, 336-345.

- 99. Liu, M.; Gadikota, G., Energy & Fuels 2019, 33, 1722-1733.
- 100. Wang, X.; Maroto-Valer, M. M., *Energy* **2013**, *51*, 431-438.

101. Zhao, H.; Park, Y.; Lee, D. H.; Park, A.-H. A., *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2013**, *15*, 15185-15192.

102. Ji, L.; Yu, H.; Li, K.; Yu, B.; Grigore, M.; Yang, Q.; Wang, X.; Chen, Z.; Zeng, M.; Zhao, S., *Applied Energy* **2018**, *225*, 356-366.

103. Elbaz, A.; Aboulfotoh, A.; Dohdoh, A.; Wahba, A. J. J. M. E. S., **2019**, *10*, 1062-1073.

104. Haug, T. A.; Munz, I. A.; Kleiv, R. A., Energy Procedia 2011, 4, 5029-5036.

105. Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó.; Sigfússon, B.; Marieni, C.; Goldberg, D.; Gislason, S. R.; Oelkers, E. H., *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* **2020**, *1*, 90-102.

106. Kim, M.-J.; Jung, S., Journal of CO2 Utilization 2020, 42, 101306.

- 107. Udovic, M.; Lestan, D., Chemosphere 2012, 88, 718-724.
- 108. Gadikota, G., Communications Chemistry 2021, 4, 23.

109. Liu, M.; Gadikota, G., Fuel **2020**, 275, 117887.

110. Bonfils, B.; Julcour-Lebigue, C.; Guyot, F.; Bodénan, F.; Chiquet, P.; Bourgeois, F., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2012**, *9*, 334-346.

111. Gadikota, G.; Matter, J.; Kelemen, P.; Park, A.-h. A., *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2014**, *16*, 4679-4693.

112. Zevenhoven, R.; Slotte, M.; Åbacka, J.; Highfield, J., Energy 2016, 117, 604-611.

113. Zevenhoven, R.; Fagerlund, J.; Nduagu, E.; Romão, I.; Jie, B.; Highfield, J., *Energy Procedia* **2013**, *37*, 5945-5954.

114. Li, L.; Liu, W.; Qin, Z.; Zhang, G.; Yue, H.; Liang, B.; Tang, S.; Luo, D., *Energy* **2021**, *222*, 120010.

115. Liu, M.; Hohenshil, A.; Gadikota, G., *Energy & Fuels* **2021**, *35*, 8051-8068.

116. Kang, D.; Park, S.; Jo, H.; Park, J., Chemical Engineering Journal **2014**, 248, 200-207.

117. Kim, D.-S.; An, K.-G.; Kim, K.-H., *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A* **2003**, *38*, 839-853.

118. Cioica, N.; Tudora, C.; Iuga, D.; Deak, G.; Matei, M.; Nagy, E. M.; Gyorgy, Z. J. E. S. W. C., **2019**, *112*, 03024.

119. Rulkens, W. H.; Tichy, R.; Grotenhuis, J. T. C., *Water Science and Technology* **1998**, *37*, 27-35.

120. Etim, E. E., International Journal of Environment and Bioenergy 2012, 3, 120-136.

121. E.O. Dada, K. I. N., A.A. Osuntoki, M.O. Akinola, *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management* **2015**, *8*, 606 – 615.

122. Park, K.; Lee, J.; Sung, J., Chemosphere **2013**, *91*, 616-622.

123. Tandy, S.; Bossart, K.; Mueller, R.; Ritschel, J.; Hauser, L.; Schulin, R.; Nowack, B., *Environmental Science & Technology* **2004**, *38*, 937-944.

124. Voice, A. K.; Closmann, F.; Rochelle, G. T., Energy Procedia 2013, 37, 2118-2132.

125. *The Merck Index - An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals.* Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2013.

126. Haynes, W. M., *CRC Handbook of chemistry and Physics*. CRC Press Inc.: Boca Raton, FL, 1990-1991.

127. Kirk-Othmer, *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*. John Wiley and Sons: New York, NY, 1978; Vol. 1-26.

128. EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. CompTox Chemicals Dashboard. .

129. Sax, N. I. L., R.J., *Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary*. Van Nostrand Rheinhold Co: New York, NY, **1993**.

130. T. Boublík, V. F., E. Hála, *The vapour pressures of pure substances* Elsevier Science Publishers Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, Tokyo: **1984**, *17*.

131. Gaube, J., Boublik, T., Fried, V., & Hala, E. Berichte der bunsengesellschaft für physikalische chemie, **1985**, *89*, 352-352.

132. Pfefferle, W.; Möckel, B.; Bathe, B.; Marx, A., Biotechnological Manufacture of Lysine. In *Microbial Production of I-Amino Acids*, Faurie, R.; Thommel, J.; Bathe, B.; Debabov, V. G.; Huebner, S.; Ikeda, M.; Kimura, E.; Marx, A.; Möckel, B.; Mueller, U.; Pfefferle, W., Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003; pp 59-112.

133. Hermann, T., Journal of Biotechnology 2003, 104, 155-172.

134. Sang Sefidi, V.; Luis, P., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2019**, *58*, 20181-20194.

135. R. Kelle, T. h. a. B. B., L-Lysine Production. In *Handbook of Corynebacterium glutamicum*, Francis, T. a., Ed. 2005; pp 465-482.

136. Mazinani, S.; Ramazani, R.; Samsami, A.; Jahanmiri, A.; Van der Bruggen, B.; Darvishmanesh, S., *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2015**, *396*, 28-34.

137. Aschenbrenner, O.; Styring, P., Energy & Environmental Science 2010, 3, 1106-1113.

138. Veawab, A.; Tontiwachwuthikul, P.; Chakma, A., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **1999**, *38*, 3917-3924.

139. Davies, D. H.; Burstein, G. T., Corrosion 1980, 36, 416-422.

140. Chang, Y.-C.; Leron, R. B.; Li, M.-H., *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2013**, *64*, 106-113.

141. Hartono, A.; da Silva, E. F.; Grasdalen, H.; Svendsen, H. F., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2007**, *46*, 249-254.

- 142. Sheng, M.; Xie, C.; Zeng, X.; Sun, B.; Zhang, L.; Chu, G.; Luo, Y.; Chen, J.-F.; Zou, H., *Fuel* **2018**, *234*, 1518-1527.
- 143. Kim, I.; Svendsen, H. F., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2011**, *5*, 390-395.
- 144. Mathias, P. M., Fluid Phase Equilibria 2014, 362, 102-107.
- 145. Ciftja, A. F.; Hartono, A.; Svendsen, H. F., Energy Procedia 2013, 37, 1605-1612.
- 146. Hikita, H.; Asai, S.; Ishikawa, H.; Honda, M., *The Chemical Engineering Journal* **1977**, *14* (1), 27-30.
- 147. Sada, E.; Kumazawa, H.; Butt, M. A., *The Chemical Engineering Journal* **1977**, *13*, 213-217.
- 148. Weiland, R. H.; Trass, O., 1971, 49, 767-772.
- 149. Schäffer, A.; Brechtel, K.; Scheffknecht, G., Fuel 2012, 101, 148-153.
- 150. Song, H.-J.; Park, S.; Kim, H.; Gaur, A.; Park, J.-W.; Lee, S.-J., International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control **2012**, *11*, 64-72.
- 151. Kang, D.; Park, S.; Jo, H.; Min, J.; Park, J., *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data* **2013**, *58*, 1787-1791.
- 152. Shen, S.; Yang, Y.-n.; Wang, Y.; Ren, S.; Han, J.; Chen, A., *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2015**, *399*, 40-49.
- 153. Portugal, A. F.; Sousa, J. M.; Magalhães, F. D.; Mendes, A., *Chemical Engineering Science* **2009**, *64*, 1993-2002.
- 154. Majchrowicz, M. E.; Brilman, D. W. F.; Groeneveld, M. J., *Energy Procedia* **2009**, *1*, 979-984.
- 155. Majchrowicz, M. E.; Kersten, S.; Brilman, W., Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research **2014**, *53*, 11460-11467.
- 156. Aronu, U. E.; Ciftja, A. F.; Kim, I.; Hartono, A., Energy Procedia 2013, 37, 233-240.
- 157. Al-Terkawi, A.-A.; Lamaty, F.; Métro, T.-X., ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering **2020**, *8*, 13159-13166.
- 158. Williams, P. A.; Hughes, C. E.; Martin, J.; Courvoisier, E.; Buanz, A. B. M.; Gaisford, S.; Harris, K. D. M., *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2016**, *120*, 9385-9392.
- 159. Shen, S.; Yang, Y.-n.; Bian, Y.; Zhao, Y., *Environmental Science & Technology* **2016**, *50*, 2054-2063.
- 160. Shen, S.; Zhao, Y.; Bian, Y.; Wang, Y.; Guo, H.; Li, H., *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics* **2017**, *115*, 209-220.
- 161. Li, C.; Zhao, Y.; Shen, S., *Energy & Fuels* **2019**, *33*, 10090-10098.
- 162. Epp, B.; Fahlenkamp, H.; Vogt, M., Energy Procedia 2011, 4, 75-80.
- 163. Singh, P.; Rheinhardt, J. H.; Olson, J. Z.; Tarakeshwar, P.; Mujica, V.; Buttry, D. A., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 1033-1036.
- 164. Harris, D.; Bushnell, E., The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2019, 123, 3383-3388.
- 165. Stueber, D.; Patterson, D.; Mayne, C. L.; Orendt, A. M.; Grant, D. M.; Parry, R. W., *Inorganic Chemistry* **2001**, *40*, 1902-1911.
- 166. Shen, S.; Yang, Y.-n., *Energy & Fuels* **2016**, *30*, 6585-6596.
- 167. Bozorgian, A.; Arab Aboosadi, Z.; Mohammadi, A.; Honarvar, B.; Azimi, A., **2020**, *2*, 420-426.

168. Bavoh, C. B.; Lal, B.; Osei, H.; Sabil, K. M.; Mukhtar, H., *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering* **2019**, *64*, 52-71.

169. Sa, J.-H.; Kwak, G.-H.; Lee, B. R.; Ahn, D.; Lee, K.-H., *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2014**, *16*, 26730-26734.

170. Lehn, J. M., Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5.

171. Corbett, P. T.; Leclaire, J.; Vial, L.; West, K. R.; Wietor, J.-L.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Otto, S., *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*.

172. Hu, X. E.; Yu, Q.; Barzagli, F.; Li, C. e.; Fan, M.; Gasem, K. A. M.; Zhang, X.; Shiko, E.; Tian, M.; Luo, X.; Zeng, Z.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, R., *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2020**, *8*, 6173-6193.

173. Derks, P. W. J.; Huttenhuis, P. J. G.; van Aken, C.; Marsman, J.-H.; Versteeg, G. F., *Energy Procedia* **2011**, *4*, 599-605.

174. Hartono, A.; Aronu, U. E.; Svendsen, H. F., *Energy Procedia* **2011**, *4*, 209-215.

175. Jakobsen, J. P.; Krane, J.; Svendsen, H. F., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 2005, *44*, 9894-9903.

176. Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H., *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **2009**, *42*, 339-341.

177. Böttcher, T.; Kolodkin-Gal, I.; Kolter, R.; Losick, R.; Clardy, J., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2013**, *135*, 2927-2930.

178. Guo, D.; Thee, H.; Tan, C. Y.; Chen, J.; Fei, W.; Kentish, S.; Stevens, G. W.; da Silva, G., *Energy & Fuels* **2013**, *27*, 3898-3904.

179. Liu, M.; Asgar, H.; Seifert, S.; Gadikota, G., *Sustainable Energy & Fuels* **2020**, *4*, 1265-1275.

180. Arti, M.; Youn, M. H.; Park, K. T.; Kim, H. J.; Kim, Y. E.; Jeong, S. K., *Energy & Fuels* **2017**, *31*, 763-769.

181. Ji, L.; Zhang, L.; Zheng, X.; Feng, L.; He, Q.; Wei, Y.; Yan, S., *Journal of CO*<sub>2</sub> Utilization **2021**, *51*, 101653.

182. Zhang, W.; Li, J.; Wang, Q.; Qiu, X., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2020**, *97*, 103056.

183. Dubois, L.; Thomas, D., Energy Procedia 2013, 37, 1648-1657.

184. Zhang, D.; Ghouleh, Z.; Shao, Y., *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2017**, *21*, 119-131.

Chen, J.; Schaef, H. T.; Li, Q.; Vakifahmetoglu, C.; Riman, R. E.; Bowden, M. E.; Rosso, K. M., Carbonation of Wollastonite [CaSiO<sub>3</sub>] Under Hydrothermal Conditions During Exposure to Gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>: Implications for ex situ Mineralization. 2011; Vol. 2011, pp GC51B-0956.

186. A, O., **1976,** *65*, 933-933.

187. Lee, M.-G.; Kang, D.; Yoo, Y.; Jo, H.; Song, H.-J.; Park, J., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2016**, *55*, 11795-11800.

188. Nguyen, T.; Hilliard, M.; Rochelle, G., Energy Procedia 2011, 4, 1624-1630.

189. Du, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Rochelle, G. T., *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **2017**, *58*, 1-9.

190. Ardila-Fierro, K. J.; Hernández, J. G., 2021, 14, 2145-2162.

191. Tan, D.; García, F., Chemical Society Reviews 2019, 48, 2274-2292.

192. Heinicke, G.; Hennig, H.-P.; Linke, E.; Steinike, U.; Thiessen, K.-P.; Meyer, K., **1984**, *19*, 1424-1424.

193. Guo, X.; Xiang, D.; Duan, G.; Mou, P., Waste Management 2010, 30, 4-10.

194. Ou, Z.; Li, J.; Wang, Z., Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts **2015**, *17*, 1522-1530.

195. Tan, Q.; Li, J., Environmental Science & Technology 2015, 49, 5849-5861.

196. Leštan, D.; Luo, C.-I.; Li, X.-d., *Environmental Pollution* **2008**, *153*, 3-13.

197. Peters, R. W.; Shem, L., Use of Chelating Agents for Remediation of Heavy Metal Contaminated Soil. In *Environmental Remediation*, American Chemical Society: 1992; Vol. 509, pp 70-84.

198. Saeki, S., Lee, J., Zhang, Q., Saito, F., Int. J. Miner. Process 2004, 74, 373–S378.

199. Zhang, Q.; Lu, J.; Saito, F.; Nagata, C.; Ito, Y., *Advanced Powder Technology* **2000**, *11*, 353-359.

200. Zhang, C.; Wang, J.; Bai, J.; Guan, J.; Wu, W.; Guo, C., *Waste Management & Research* **2013**, *31*, 759-763.

201. Zhang, Q.; Wang, J.; Saito, F.; Okura, T.; Nakamura, I., *Chemistry Letters* **2002**, *31*, 1094-1095.

202. Wang, J.; Lu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Saito, F., *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2003**, *42*, 5813-5818.

203. Ying, P.; Yu, J.; Su, W., **2021**, *363*, 1246-1271.

204. Friščić, T., Journal of Materials Chemistry 2010, 20, 7599-7605.

205. T. Friščić, C. M., H. M. Titi, Angew. Chem. **2020**, 132, 1030 – 1041.

206. Friščić, T.; Jones, W., *Crystal Growth & Design* **2009**, *9*, 1621-1637.

207. Blake, I. O.; Leś, A., **1981**, *19*, 463-475.

 Smith, A. E. M. R. M., *Critical Stability Constants*. Department of Chemistry College of Science Texas A & M University College Station, Texas 1982; Vol. Volume 5 • First Supplement.
Clegg, W.; Little, I. R.; Straughan, B. P., *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions* 1986, 1283-1288.

210. Palacios, E. G.; Juárez-López, G.; Monhemius, A. J., Hydrometallurgy 2004, 72, 139-148.

211. Zubair, M.; Sirajuddin, M.; Haider, A.; Ullah, K.; Ullah, I.; Munir, A.; Ali, S.; Tahir, M. N., *Inorganica Chimica Acta* **2018**, *482*, 567-578.

212. Notni, J.; Schenk, S.; Görls, H.; Breitzke, H.; Anders, E., *Inorganic Chemistry* **2008**, *47*, 1382-1390.

- 213. McCowan, C. S.; Groy, T. L.; Caudle, M. T., Inorganic Chemistry 2002, 41, 1120-1127.
- 214. Yao, Z.; Li, J.; Xie, H.; Yu, C., *Procedia Environmental Sciences* **2012**, *16*, 722-729.

215. Wasay, S. A.; Barrington, S. F.; Tokunaga, S., *Environmental Technology* **1998**, *19*, 369-379.

216. A. Tessier, P. G. C. C., M. Bisson, ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY 1979, 51, 844-851.

217. Qiao, J.; Sun, H.; Luo, X.; Zhang, W.; Mathews, S.; Yin, X., *Chemosphere* **2017**, *167*, 422-428.

218. Mohamed, M., Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 2013, 1, 363-368.

 Hong, P. K. A.; Jiang, W., Factors in the Selection of Chelating Agents for Extraction of Lead from Contaminated Soil: Effectiveness, Selectivity, and Recoverability. In *Biogeochemistry of Chelating Agents*, American Chemical Society: 2005; Vol. 910, pp 421-432.
Martins, J. G.; Neto, I. F. F.; Pinto, I. S. S.; Soares, E. V.; Barros, M. T.; Soares, H. M. V. M., Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A 2014, 49, 344-354.

221. Naghipour, D.; Gharibi, H.; Taghavi, K.; Jaafari, J., *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **2016**, *4*, 3512-3518.

222. Knepper, T. P., TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2003, 22, 708-724.

223. Nörtemann, B., Biodegradation of Chelating Agents: EDTA, DTPA, PDTA, NTA, and EDDS. In *Biogeochemistry of Chelating Agents*, American Chemical Society: 2005; Vol. 910, pp 150-170.

224. Pinto, I. S. S.; Neto, I. F. F.; Soares, H. M. V. M., *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **2014**, *21*, 11893-11906.

225. Shahid, M.; Austruy, A.; Echevarria, G.; Arshad, M.; Sanaullah, M.; Aslam, M.; Nadeem, M.; Nasim, W.; Dumat, C., *Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal* **2014**, *23*, 389-416.

226. Voglar, D.; Lestan, D., Chemosphere **2013**, *91*, 76-82.

- 227. Jez, E.; Lestan, D., Chemosphere 2016, 151, 202-209.
- 228. Jelusic, M.; Lestan, D., Science of The Total Environment 2014, 475, 132-141.
- 229. Udovic, M.; Lestan, D., Chemosphere 2009, 74, 1367-1373.

230. James M. White, R. A. M. a. N. C. L., [Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Duquesnb University] **1956**, 2367-2370.

- 231. Anderson, M. M. A.-M. D. NOVEL CHROMIUM (III) ALPHA AMINO ACID COMPLEXES. 2007.
- 232. McAuliffe, C. A.; Murray, S. G., Inorganica Chimica Acta Reviews 1972, 6, 103-121.

233. Foti, C.; Giuffrè, O.; Lando, G.; Sammartano, S., *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data* **2009**, *54*, 893-903.

234. Liu, X. Q., Lee, K.S., Agriculture Science. InTech **2013**, 119–158.

235. Watson, T. G., **1976**, *96*, 263-268.

236. Dolev, N.; Katz, Z.; Ludmer, Z.; Ullmann, A.; Brauner, N.; Goikhman, R., *Environmental Research* **2020**, *183*, 109140.

237. Brookes, G.; Pettit, L. D., *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions* **1976**, 42-46.

238. Barbara W. Low, F. L. H. a. F. M. R., *Contribution from the Department of Biochemistry, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University* ] **1959**, *81*.

239. Brown2, H. S. a. T. L., ournal of the American Chemical Society **1964**, *87*, 1904-1909.

240. BUSCH, G. R. B. a. D. H., Inorganic Chemistry 1963, 5, 2110-2113.

241. Hoq, M. F.; Shepherd, R. E. J. I. C., 1984, 23, 1851-1858.

242. Poisson, G.; Germain, G.; Septavaux, J.; Leclaire, J., *Green Chemistry* **2016**, *18*, 6436-6444.

243. SHUMAN, L. M., **1985**, *140*, 11-22.

244. Förstner, U., Metal Transfer Between Solid and Aqueous Phases. In *Metal Pollution in the Aquatic Environment*, Förstner, U.; Wittmann, G. T. W., Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981; pp 197-270.

- 245. Clevenger, T. E., Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 1990, 50, 241-254.
- 246. Ehsan, S.; Prasher, S. O.; Marshall, W. D., 2006, 35, 2084-2091.
- 247. Martin, B.; Richardson, F. S., Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics 1979, 12, 181-209.
- 248. Dressler, L.; Golbik, R.; Ulbrich-Hofmann, R., 2014, 395, 791-799.
- 249. Constable, E. C.; Housecroft, C. E., Chemical Society Reviews 2013, 42, 1429-1439.
- 250. George, T. D.; Wendlandt, W. W., *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry* **1963**, *25*, 395-405.
- 251. Lib, Z.-X. L. a. H.-H., Acta Cryst. 2007, E63, 939–941.

252. Casale, A.; De Robertis, A.; De Stefano, C.; Gianguzza, A.; Patanè, G.; Rigano, C.; Sammartano, S., *Thermochimica Acta* **1995**, *255*, 109-141.

- 253. Gupta, M.; Srivastava, M. N., Polyhedron 1985, 4, 475-479.
- 254. Ibrahim, M.; Radadi, R., International Journal of Electrochemical Science **2015**, *10*, 4946-4971.
- 255. Hammes, G. G.; Steinfeld, J. I., *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1962**, *84*, 4639-4643.
- 256. Vinokurov, E. G.; Bondar, V. V., *Russian Journal of Coordination Chemistry* **2003**, *29*, 66-72.
- 257. Farooq, O.; Uddin Malik, A.; Ahmad, N., *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry* **1973**, *46*, 136-140.
- 258. Jalilehvand, F.; Sisombath, N. S.; Schell, A. C.; Facey, G. A., *Inorganic Chemistry* **2015**, *54*, 2160-2170.
- 259. Vadas, T. M.; Ahner, B. A., **2009**, *38*, 2245-2252.
- 260. Dişbudak, A.; Bektaş, S.; Patır, S.; Genç, Ö.; Denizli, A., *Separation and Purification Technology* **2002**, *26*, 273-281.
- 261. Martin, R.-P.; Mosoni, L.; Sarkar, B. J. J. o. B. C., 1971, 246, 5944-5951.
- 262. Yasui, T.; Shimura, Y., Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan 1966, 39, 604-608.

#### Resumés

### Valorisation of CO<sub>2</sub> capture agents for soil decontamination

The work presented in this thesis focuses on the development of a CCUS technology which integrates carbon capture, mineral carbonation and decontamination of a soil, provided by the cement industry. Natural  $\alpha$  amino acids, glycine, L-lysine and L-cysteine, were investigated via a Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry approach for their CO<sub>2</sub> capture properties. We herein demonstrated that  $\alpha AA$  can reach higher CO<sub>2</sub> loading, higher cyclic capacities and present lower enthalpies of reaction (-68/-72 kJ/mol of CO2) compared to MEA, thus should be considered as potential substitutes to industrial amines in the field of CO<sub>2</sub> capture. The CO<sub>2</sub>loaded amino acids solutions were then investigated for an Integrated Absorption Mineralization process for the mineral carbonation of alkalin<sup>e</sup>-earth metal oxides, where L-LysK provided a calcium carbonation yield of 89% with 4% of amine loss. Finally, the amino acids were investigated, both in absence and in presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, as washing solutions for the remediation of a polluted soil. GlyK, L-LysK and L-CysK displayed high extraction efficiency towards nickel, cadmium and lead, while sequestering 57%-70% of CO2 as mineral carbonate in the soil. The preliminary results reported in this thesis show that amino acids can be considered potential sorbents for CO2 capture, metal carbonation enhancers as well as efficient chelating agents for metal extraction.

Keywords: CO<sub>2</sub> capture, Dynamic Combinatorial Chemistry, amino acids, mineral carbonation, soil depollution, amine recovery, CCUS

### Valorisation des solutions de captage de CO<sub>2</sub> pour la décontamination des sols

Ce travail de thèse s'intéresse au développement d'une technologie CCUS qui intègre le captage du CO<sub>2</sub> ainsi que la carbonatation minérale couplée à la remédiation des sols. Une série d'acides aminés, glycine, L-lysine et L-cysteine, a été étudiée par une approche de Chimie Combinatoire Dynamique pour leurs propriétés de captage du CO<sub>2</sub>. Les résultats observés montrent que les acides aminés peuvent atteindre des taux de charge  $\alpha$ (CO<sub>2</sub>) et des capacité cycliques élevées, par rapport à la MEA, ainsi que des faibles enthalpies de réaction (-68/-72 kJ/mol de CO<sub>2</sub>). Nous pouvons en conclure que les acides aminés peuvent être considérés comme substituts de choix aux amines industrielles utilisées pour le captage de CO2. Les solutions d'acides aminées ont été ensuite étudiés pour un processus de carbonatation minérale d'oxides de métaux alcalino-terreux, intégré au captage du CO2. En présence de L-LysK-CO<sub>2</sub> 89% de CaO a été carbonaté, avec une perte d'amine limitées à 4%. Le dernier chapitre de ce travail est consacré à l'utilisation des solutions d'acide aminé pour la dépollution de sols. Ce processus de type CCUS inclut le captage de CO<sub>2</sub>, sa fixation sous forme de carbonate métallique ainsi que l'extraction de métaux contaminant et la régénération partielle de l'agent aminé. GlyK, L-LysK et L-CysK ont montré une efficacité d'extraction envers nickel, cadmium et plomb, avec la séquestration de 57%-70% de CO<sub>2</sub> sous forme de carbonate minéral dans le sol. Les résultats préliminaires obtenus dans ce travail de thèse montrent que les acides aminés tels la glycine, la L-lysine et la L-cysteine peuvent être considéré des potentiels agents d'une stratégie CCUS intégrée et éco-efficiente.

Mots clefs : captage de CO<sub>2</sub>, Chimie Combinatoire Dynamique, acides aminés, carbonatation minérale, décontamination des sols, régénération d'amine, CCUS