

# Study of the activity of murepavadin on Pseudomonas aeruginosa cystic fibrosis clinical strains and identification of resistance mechanisms

Aya Ghassani

### ► To cite this version:

Aya Ghassani. Study of the activity of murepavadin on Pseudomonas aeruginosa cystic fibrosis clinical strains and identification of resistance mechanisms. Biochemistry, Molecular Biology. Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 2023. English. NNT: 2023UBFCE027. tel-04475232

## HAL Id: tel-04475232 https://theses.hal.science/tel-04475232v1

Submitted on 23 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





## Thèse de doctorat de L'ETABLISSEMENT UNIVERSITE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTE

### Préparée à l'UFR SCIENCES MEDICALES ET PHARMACEUTIQUE

Ecole doctorale Environnements-Santé n°554

Doctorat en Biologie Moléculaire et Microbiologie

Par

Aya GHASSANI

# Etude de l'activité de la murepavadine sur des souches cliniques de *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* dans le contexte de la mucoviscidose et identification des mécanismes de résistance

Thèse présentée et soutenue à Besançon le 15 Décembre 2023

Composition du Jury :

Pr. Geneviève Héry-Arnaud Pr. Olivier Dussurget Dr. Yanyan Li (présidente du jury) Dr. Cédric Muller Pr. Katy Jeannot Rapportrice Rapporteur Examinatrice Examinateur Directrice de thèse





## Doctoral thesis from UNIVERSITE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTE Prepared at UFR SCIENCES MÉDICALES ET PHARMACEUTIQUE

Ecole doctorale Environnements-Santé n°554

Doctorate in Microbiology and Molecular Biology

By

## Aya GHASSANI

## Study of the activity of murepavadin on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis clinical strains and identification of resistance mechanisms

Thesis presented and defended at Besançon, France, on December 15th, 2023

Thesis committee:

Pr. Geneviève Héry-Arnaud Pr. Olivier Dussurget Dr. Yanyan Li (jury president) Dr. Cédric Muller Pr. Katy Jeannot Reviewer Reviewer Moderator Moderator Thesis supervisor

### Acknowledgements

This thesis manuscript is a result of the three years of hard work that would have not been possible without the expertise of different researchers and the support of my team as well. I address for them my deepest thanks.

First, I would like to thank the members of the jury for accepting to evaluate my work and to share their expertise. It is my honor to that my thesis evaluated by them. I thank Professor Geneviève Héry-Arnaud and Professor Olivier Dussurget for accepting to be reviewers. I thank the moderators Doctor Yanyan Li and Doctor Cédric Muller for accepting to examine my work. Your presence will be so valuable.

Another time I thank Dr. Yanyan Li, who was also a member of my annual meeting CSST, I thank her for her advice in order to advance in my project. I also thank Dr. Philippe Bulet for his advice during my annual CSST, in addition to his contribution in some parts of my project, precisely in polyamines quantification at the platform of Archamps, Biorpark, along with Dr. Sébastien Voisin.

I thank Dr. Séverine Zirah for sharing with us her experitise in metabolomics and for welcoming me in their lab at the National Museum of Natural History to do all the preparations for the metabolomics project.

I thank my supervisor Pr. Katy Jeannot for giving me the opportunity to do this PhD, for her time dispite her charged planning, her follow-up, and support.

I thank Pr. Patrick Plésiat, for his scientific expertise, advice and his implication in my article writing.

I can never thank enough Dr. Catherine Llanes. You have all my respect. The world would be surely a better place if everyone was like you..

Thanks alot to Dr. Anaïs Potron for her kindness and also for her support.

Thanks to Dr. Benoît Valot for his help in statistical and bio-informatic analysis, and for his patience as well.

It is also very important to thank my collegues that ensured a supportive work environment during the past 3 years. Many thanks to Julie C, Eline, Susie, Soumaya, Xavier, Lison, Alexandre and Chloé. Your presence made a difference. Thanks to Loïs, Ani, Jean-Baptiste, Pauline, Maxime, Julie R, Emma, Léa, Audrey G, Audrey L, Séverine, Daniel, Charles, Coralie, Adeline, Charlotte, Mathieu, Sandra, Anaghim, you ensured a friendly work environment.

Most importantly, I thank infinitely every single member of my team who listened to me, to my continuous nagging in the bad times, you know yourselves. I address my warmest thanks to my family, my close friends and my fiancé for their continuous support. I would have not reached to this point without you.

# **Table of Contents**

I. Introduction	1
II.Bibliographical synthesis	5
1.Cystic Fibrosis	6
2. The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria	9
2.1Composition	9
2.2 Outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria	11
2.3 Biogenesis of the outer membrane	11
2.3.1 Folding and translocation of the β-barrel OMPs	12
2.3.1.1 The Bam complex	13
2.3.2 Lipoproteins biosynthesis	15
2.3.3 Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and translocation	16
2.3.3.1 LPS role and structure	16
2.3.3.2 LPS biosynthesis	
2.3.3.2.1 Lipid A biosynthesis	
2.3.3.2.2 Lipid A modifications	
2.3.3.2.3 Core oligosaccharides structure and biosynthesis	
2.3.3.2.4 Lipid A-core transport through the inner membrane by MsbA	27
2.3.3.2.5 O-polysaccharide structure and biosynthesis	
2.3.3.3 LPS Transport by the LPT complex	
3.Outer membrane proteins as interesting antibiotic targets	
3.1 Antimicrobial peptides	
3.1.1 Polymyxins class of cationic AMPs	
3.1.2 Mode of action of polymyxins	
3.1.3 Synthetic antimicrobial peptides	
3.1.4 Protein epitope mimetic approach and peptidomimetics	
3.1.5 Protegrin-1 (PG-1)	40
3.1.6 Murepavadin (POL7080)	
3.1.6.1 Mode of action of murepavadin	44
3.1.6.2 Murepavadin <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> activity	45
3.1.7 Resistance to antimicrobial peptides	45
3.1.7.1 Resistance to polymyxins	45

3.1.7.2 Resistance to murepavadin	9
III.Results	1
Chapter 1: Alteration of LpxL1, BamA and PmrB proteins contribute to decrease the	e
murepavadin susceptibility	2
1.1 Context and objective	2
1.2 Manuscript in revision and accepted in Antimicrobial Agent and Chemotherapy after mino modifications	or 3
1.3 Additional results	0
1.3.1 Susceptibility of murepayadin in colistin resistant clinical strains	0
1.3.2 Impact of BamA and LpxL1 mutations on the membrane permeability	0
Chapter 2: Response to murepavadin in <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	2
2.1 Transcriptome analysis of PA14 strain exposed to ¼ MIC of murepavadin	3
2.2 Murepavadin influences the polyamine content of the culture supernatant	5
Chapter 3: MexXY/OprM efflux pump confers resistance to murepavadin in <i>pmrB</i> mutants 11'	7
3.1 MexXY/OprM impact the susceptibility to murepavadin	8
3.2 MexXY/OprM efflux pump exports a small peptide	9
3.3 Relation between the efflux pump MexXY/OprM and polyamines	1
IV. Discussion and Perspectives 12.	3
Chapter 1: Alteration of LpxL1, BamA and PmrB proteins contribute to decrease the	e
murepavadin susceptibility	4
Chapter 2: Response of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> to murepavadin	б
Chapter 3: MexXY/OprM efflux pump confers resistance to murepavadin in pmrl	В
mutants	0
V. Materials and Methods13.	3
1.Microbiology	4
1.1 Bacterial strains and plasmids	4
1.2 Culture media	7
1.3Determination of antibiotic susceptibility, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method	d
	8
1.4 Membrane permeability measurement	9

2.Molecular biology	
2.1 Primers	
2.2 Nucleic acids purification	
2.2.1 Genomic DNA extraction	
2.2.2 Plasmid DNA extraction	
2.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	
2.4 Gel electrophoresis	
2.5 Purification of PCR product	
2.6 DNA cloning	
2.6.1 Digestion by restriction enzymes	
2.6.2 NEB cloning	
2.7 Bacterial transformation	
2.7.1 By thermic shock	
2.7.2 By electroporation	
2.7.3 Bacterial conjugation	
2.8 Gene mutation by allelic replacement	
2.9 DNA sequencing	
2.9.1 Sanger sequencing	
2.9.2 Whole genome sequencing (WGS)	
3.mRNA transcripts quantification by RT-qPCR	
3.1 RNA extraction and reverse transcription (RT)	
3.2 Quantification of mRNA transcripts	
4.RNA sequencing	
5. Polyamines	
5.1 Cell-surface and supernatent polyamines samples preparation	
5.2 Polyamines analysis and quantification	
6. Global metabolomic analysis	
VI. Appendix	
VII. Bibliography	

# List of figures

Figure 1: Different classes of CFTR mutations
Figure 2: Comparison of the mucociliary clearance in a normal airway and in a CF airway 7
Figure 3: Structure of the cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria
Figure 4: Pathway for OMPs translocation and folding in the outermembrane of Gram-
negative bacteria
Figure 5: Models proposed for folding and insertion of OMPs by BamA14
Figure 6: Structural representation of lipoproteins showing three fatty acid tails and a cap
region16
Figure 7: A representation of the general LPS structure of Gram-negative bacteria17
Figure 8: A simplified overview of LPS biosynthesis mechanism
Figure 9: Representation of a hexa-acyl lipid A structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa 19
Figure 10: The first steps of lipid A biosynthesis
Figure 11: Steps for the synthesis of lipid IVA21
Figure 12: Secondary modifications of LpxL2 and LpxL1 enzymes
Figure 13: Predicted 3D structure of PAO1 LpxL123
Figure 14: Representation of a hexa-acylated lipid A structure of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and the
modifications that could occur
Figure 15: Schematic representation of the core oligosaccharide structure and the genes
involved in its assembly
Figure 16: Schematic representation of the mechanism of action of MsbA
Figure 17: Schematic representation of the ABC-transporter dependent pathway for Common
Polysaccharide Antigen (CPA) synthesis
Figure 18: Schematic representation of the Wzy- dependent pathway for O-Specific Antigen
(OSA) synthesis
Figure 19: Schematic representation of the Lpt complex
Figure 20: Two dimentional structure of colistin (polymyxin E) and polymyxin B
Figure 21: Schema representing the approach for the synthesis of $\beta$ -hairpin mimetics
Figure 22: 3D structure of PG-1 and its amino acid sequence indicated in letter code
Figure 23: Schematic representation of the development of murepavadin by PEM approach 41
Figure 24: Structure of murepavadin
Figure 25: Mode of action of murepavadin

Figure 26: Schematic representation of two-component systems involved in polymyxins
resistance in <i>P. aeruginosa</i>
Figure 27: Schematic representation of a classic two-component system
Figure 28: Mechanisms of polymyxins resistance in <i>P. aeruginosa</i>
Figure 29: Membrane permeability of PAO1 reference strain and its <i>lpxL1</i> and <i>bamA</i> mutant
derivatives
Figure 30: Difference between the membrane permeability measurement of PAO1 reference
strain and its <i>lpxL1</i> and <i>bamA</i> mutant derivatives grown in the presence of 16 x MIC of
murepavadin or not
Figure 31: Amount of polyamines identified in the supernatant of culture and cell surface
extracts from the PAO1 reference strain
Figure 32: PLS-DA of the metabolomic data
Figure 33: Amount of polyamines identified in supernatants and cell surface extracts of PAO1
reference strain, AB8.2 and AB8.2Δ <i>mexXY</i>
Figure 34: Proposed model for the biosynthesis of unusual polyamines (sym-
homospermidine, thermospermine, thermine and caldopentamne) from existing polyamines.

# List of tables

Table 1: Antimicrobial categories and agents
Table 2: Substitutions in BamA identified in bacterial species and impact on resistance to
antimicrobial peptides
Table 3: List of mutations identified in Lpt complex components in Gram-negative bacteria
and their impact
Table 4: Drawbacks and advantages of the protein epitope mimetics of PG-1 until discovery
of murepavadin POL7080
Table 5: MIC of PG-1 and its 5 epitope mimetics on P. aeruginosa, S. aureus and E. coli43
Table 6: List of mutations identified in <i>in vitro</i> selected resistant mutants to murepavadin 50
Table 7: Mutations identified in two non-pmrB colistin resistant clinical strains and their
susceptibility to murepavadin
Table 8: Relative expression of genes in the reference strains PAO1 and PA14 exposed to <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
murepavadin MIC, grown in minimal medium M63 supplemented with glucose 20%, or in
МН
Table 9: MIC of murepavadin tested on AB8.2 mutant and its derivatives and also in the
clinical strains 3795 and 2243 and their derivatives
Table 10: List of bacterial strains.    134
Table 11: List of plasmids used
Table 12: List of culture media used.    138
Table 13: List of primers used for allelic replacement
Table 14: Primers used for RT-qPCR.    141

## Abbreviations

**ABC**: ATP binding cassette

ACP: acyl carrier protein

**AMP**: antimicrobial peptides

AMR: antimicrobial resistance

**ATP**: adenosine tri-phosphate

**BAM**: β-barrel assembly machinery

**BET**: ethidium bromide

CAMP: cationic antimicrobial peptide

cDNA: complementary DNA

**CF**: cystic fibrosis

**CFTR**: CF transmembrane conductance regulator

**Cm**: carbamoyl

cMHB: cation adjusted mueller-hinton broth

CPA: common polysaccharide antigen

**CPS**: capsule polysaccharide

**DMSO**: dimethyl sulfoxide

Etn: ethanolamine

EUCAST: european committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing

GalN: 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-galactose

Glc: D-glucose

GPAT: glycerol 3-phosphate acyltransferase

**HIFI**: high fidelity

HK: histidine kinase

**ICU**: intensive care unit

IM: inner membrane

**IPTG**: isopropyl- β-D-thiogalactopyranoside

kDa: kilodalton

Kdo: 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid

L-Ara4N: 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose

LC-ESI-MS: liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry

**LP**: lipoprotein

**LPS**: lipopolysaccharide

**LPT**: lipopolysaccharide transport

LptD: lipopolysaccharide transport protein D

**MHA**: mueller-hinton agar

**MDR**: multidrug resistant

MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration

mRNA: messenger RNA

**NBD**: nucleotide-binding domains

**NEB**: new england biolabs

**NPN**: 1-N-phenylnapthylamine

NRT: non reverse transcriptase

**OD**: optical density

**OM**: outer membrane

**OMP**: outer membrane protein

**OMPTA**: outer-membrane protein targeting antibiotic

**OSA:** O-specific antigen

**PCR**: polymerase chain reaction

**PDC**: *Pseudomonas d*erived AmpC

**PEM:** protein epitope mimetic

PIA: Pseudomonas isolation agar

POTRA: polypeptide transport associated

**PG-1**: protegrin-1

Rha: L-rhamnose

**RND**: resistance-nodulation-cell division

**Rpm**: round per minute

**RR**: response regulator

**RT**: reverse transcription

SDS-PAGE: sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

**Skp:** seventeen kilodalton protein

SurA: survival protein A

**TAE**: tris-acetate EDTA

**TCS**: two component system

TGS: tris, Glycine, SDS

TMD: transmembrane domain Und-PP: pyrophosphate undecaprenyl UV: ultraviolet VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia WHO: World Health Organisation WGS: whole genome sequencing XDR: extensively drug resistant

# I. Introduction

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a Gram-negative, aerobic, rod-shaped bacterium that is widely distributed in nature. It is distributed widely across diverse habitats, including soil, marshes, coastal marine environments, and can even colonize both plant and animal tissues (Sitaraman, 2015).

In healthcare facilities, it can be found in ventilators, taps, sinks, etc, (Lister et al., 2009). Their presence in hospitals' equipments makes patients admitted in intensive care unit (ICU), having immune deficiences or suffering from respiratory diseases at high risk of pneumonia and colonization by this pathogen. Indeed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* accounted for 16.2% of patients infections in ICU based on an international observational point-prevalence study (Reynolds and Kollef, 2021). In addition to that, it is responsible for 10% -20% of isolates related to ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) (Reynolds and Kollef, 2021).

In cystic fibrosis (CF), chronic infections with *P. aeruginosa* often lead to respiratory airways inflammation and decline in lung functioning (Taccetti et al., 2021). In fact, it is estimated that over 50% of adult CF patients will have at least one *P. aeruginosa* infection in their lifetime (Registre francais de la mucoviscidose, 2021). Unfortunately, infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* has become a predominant cause morbidity and mortality in CF patients (Bhagirath et al., 2016; Reynolds and Kollef, 2021).

The treatment of patients infected with P. aeruginosa poses significant challenges and complexities as the bacterium has the ability to develop resistance to multiple classes of antibiotics, even during the course of treatment (Lister et al., 2009; Taccetti et al., 2021). It possesses intrinsic resistance mechanisms to several anti-Gram-negative antibiotic classes, and may acquire additional ones, notably under antibiotic selective pressure (Poole, 2004). Intrinsic resistance is mainly due to the collaboration between the efficient drug efflux mechanisms that export some antibiotics outside the cell, the low permeability of the outer membrane, and the production of antibiotic-hydrolyzing enzymes such as β-lactamases (Pseudomonas Derived AmpC, PDC) (Pang et al., 2019). In addition, *P. aeruginosa* can acquire foreign DNA encoding resistance determinants carried by plasmids or transposons through horizontal gene transfer from different Pseudomonas species (Pang et al., 2019). But the most frequent way of becoming resistant to antimicrobial agents remains the acquisition of genetic mutations particularly in cystic fibrosis isolates (Dale et al., 2018; Hancock and Speert, 2000; Lambert, 2002). Several mutations in various genetic determinants have been identified, but some are more prevalent in cystic fibrosis isolates (Lambert, 2002). Thus, the overproduction of the RND efflux pump MexXY/OprM subsequent to the mexZ inactivation mutation is frequent among CF isolates resistant to aminoglycosides ((Muller et al., 2011). This gene codes for the local repressor (TetR family of transcriptional regulators) of the efflux pump operon *mexXY*. The overproduction of MexXY/OprM is associated with a reduced (2- to 4-fold) susceptibility to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, cephalospirins zwitterionic as cefepime (Aires et al., 1999). Another important determinant of antimicrobial resistance is driven by the overproduction of the PDC enzyme. This Ambler class C enzyme is the most frequent resistance mechanism to ceftazidime, and piperacillin/tazobactam in *P. aeruginosa* isolates from acute and chronic-infections (Berrazeg et al., 2015). Mutations in several genes participating in the regulatory pathways of gene *ampC* (*dacB*, *ampR*, *ampD*,...) are associated with the upregulation of this one (Moya and Oliver, 2009). Moreover, the PDC activity spectrum can be extended to other  $\beta$ -lactamases as ceftazidime/avibactam, and ceftolozane/tazobactam) through deletions and/or aminoacid substitutions in the sequence of PDC enzyme, particularly in the omega loop region (Berrazeg et al., 2015).

*P. aeruginosa* is well-known for its ability to accumulate antimicrobial resistance mechanisms, leading to multidrug resistant isolates. To better categorize these isolates, a classification has been proposed by Magiorakos and collaborators in 2012 (Magiorakos et al., 2012). This latter included three categories, the first (i) corresponding to isolates that are resistant to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories is designed MultiDrug Resistant (MDR), the second (ii) corresponding to Extensively Drug-Resistant category (XDR) included isolates that are susceptible to only one or two antimicrobial categories, and the third (iii) PanDrug Resistant (PDR) isolates, that are resistant to all agents in all antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al., 2012) (**table 1**). Approximately 30% of *P. aeruginosa* isolates identified in respiratory samples of patients with healthcare associated pneumonia are considered MDR (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a). These isolates pose significant challenges for the treatment of associated infections, requiring last-resort treatment options, such as polymyxins (colistin, and polymyxin B).

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a growing global health concern. It is estimated by the World Health Organization (WHO) that by 2050, 10 million lives per year will be at risk of death due to the rise of drug resistance against antibiotics (O'NEILL, 2016). Therefore, huge efforts have been implemented around the world in order to discover new antibiotics and to slow down the spread of drug resistance (Rima et al., 2021).

Antimicrobial categories	Antimicrobial agents		
Aminoglycosides	gentamicin, tobramycin, amikacin,		
	netilmicin		
Antipseudomonal carbapenems	imipenem, meropenem		
Antipseudomonal cephalosporins	ceftazidime, cefepime		
Antipseudomonal fluoroquinolones	ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin		
Antipseudomonal penicillins + $\beta$ -lactamase	ticarcillin-clavulanic acid, piperacillin-		
inhibitors	tazobactam		
Monobactams	aztreonam		
Phosphonic acids	fosfomycin		
Polymyxins	colistin, polymyxin B		

**Table 1**: Antimicrobial categories and agents as defined by (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

The main objective of my PhD project was to characterize the antimicrobial activity of a newly developed antimicrobial agent called murepavadin on a large collection of CF clinical isolates. Murepavadin is a peptidomimetic with specific activity against *Pseudomonas* spp, currently inphase I clinical trials, that is the first of a new class of outer membrane protein targeting antibiotics (OMPTA). This antibiotic displays excellent *in vitro* activity against drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* clinical strains (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a). A special attention was payed on isolates that were less susceptible to murepavadin and efforts were put to identify the associated resistance mechanisms. A second part of the project was focused on understanding the molecular response of *P. aeruginosa* following the exposure to murepavadin. Finally, a third part, done in collaboration with Xavier Vuillemin (PhD student), focuses on identifying the role of the multidrug efflux system MexXY/OprM in resistance to polymyxins and murepavadin.

# II. Bibliographical synthesis

## 1. Cystic Fibrosis

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a life-shortening genetic disorder commonly found in Caucasian population, with approximately an incidence of 1 out of 3000 births in both Europe and North America (Malhotra et al., 2019). This disease is due to mutations in *CFTR* gene (CF transmembrane conductance regulator), situated in the long arm of chromosome 7, and codes for the ABC transporter CFTR protein. CFTR is a chloride channel found on apical surfaces of secretory epithelial cells that regulate Cl<sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> secretions for water secretion (Bhagirath et al., 2016). To date, more than 2,000 mutations have been identified, with some being more common than others (Registre francais de la mucoviscidose, 2021). These mutations could be classified into different classes based on the dysfunction of CFTR protein (**Figure 1**).



**Figure 1:** Different classes of CFTR mutations. Class I mutations cause defects in protein synthesis. Class II mutations impacts protein maturation. Class III mutations cause defects in protein regulation and functioning. Class IV mutations cause dysfunctions in ion conductance. Class V mutations reduce protein expression. Class VI mutations reduce protein stability in the membrane; (Haq et al., 2022).

Mutations of class I, result in no-protein synthesis, class II mutations, the most common and accounting for 80% of CF cases in France, cause defects in folding and maturation of CFTR.

Class III affects protein regulation (defects in ATP binding and hydrolysis), Class IV mutations cause defective chloride conductance, class V mutations lead to reduced expression of CFTR, and finally class VI mutations reduce protein stability at the surface of epithelial cells (Haq et al., 2022).

Despite the presence of CFTRs in different body organs, their malfunctioning in lung epithelial cells account for highest morbidity rates (Davies, 2002). A malfunctioning CFTR in lung epithelial cells prevents  $Cl^-$  and  $HCO_3^-$  ions secretion, causing their accumulation in the intracellular space and increase in the intracellular osmotic pressure. These events drive the unstoppable water reabsorption, leading to mucus dehydration and its transformation into a sticky and thick consistency (**Figure 2**) (Li and Schneider-Futschik, 2023; Malhotra et al., 2019).



**Figure 2:** Comparison of the mucociliary clearance in a normal airway and in a CF airway. In the normal airway, two layers of mucus cover the epithelium. The inner layer is less viscous in which cilia beat, and the outer viscous layer traps particles and microorganisms. Epithelial cells beating causes unidirectional flow of the mucus toward the esophagus. In CF aiway, one viscous layer covers the epithelial cells and traps microorganisms. This consistency of mucus limits the movement of cilia and the elimination of microorganisms towards the esophagus; (Lyczak et al., 2002).

As a consequence of this accumulation, mucociliary clearance in respiratory airways is reduced and major antimicrobial proteins involved in defence mechanisms cannot reach their target. This issue favors the colonization of pathogens like *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Haemophilus influenzae* with *P. aeruginosa* being the most severe, accounting for 80% of chronic lung infections in CF (Cogen et al., 2022).

Since 2002, systematic neonatal screening for CF has been extended throughout France. This screening enables the identifications of 95% of newborns with CF (Registre francais de la mucoviscidose, 2021). Today, with better management of CF infections and thanks to the early

diagnosis, the life expectancy has already improved to more than 47 years old in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe (Malhotra et al., 2019; McKone et al., 2021). As a result, the number of deaths has decreased. Also, the number of adult CF patients have increased since 1992 while that of enfant patients have decreased; 38.3 % of CF patients in France were adults and 61.7 % were enfants in 2021, (Registre francais de la mucoviscidose, 2021).

Despite the improvement in managing infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*, they are still challenging. Its ability to resist naturally to antibiotics and to adapt to high antibiotic concentrations and prolonged treatments, favors the occurance of genetic mutations that ensure stable resistance, thus multi-drug resistance (Rossi et al., 2021). The CF environment not only supports the survival of the same initial pathogenic strains, but also new sub-populations, also refered as hypermutator strains often emerge and are very commonly isolated from CF patients (Bhagirath et al., 2016).

In CF lung, the host immune system is hyperinflammed and is uncapable of clearing the infection effectively. Even though immune cells accumulate and release powerful antimicrobial compounds and reactive oxygen species for the aim of bacterial infections, their presence in addition to the pathogen's virulence factors enhance progressive damage, and eventually leading to respiratory failure (Malhotra et al., 2019).

For more efficient treatments for CF patients, inhaled antibiotics are currently used and are advantageous over systemic treatments. This is due to more efficient delively of antibiotic directly into the airway with high concentrations and with less toxic effects (Li and Schneider-Futschik, 2023). Inhaled tobramycin, inhaled aztreonam lysine and colistin methane sulfonate are commonly prescribed as anti-*Pseudomonas* inhaled therapy (Cogen et al., 2022). Unfortunatlely, their might fail in performing their function and thus the development alternatives is desirable.

Indeed, Gram-negative bacteria are of particular threat when it comes to eradicating them from airway of CF patients. A principal cause for the failure of antibiotics to target them is their outer membrane (OM) that serves as a major player in intrinsic resistance (Hancock, 1997). The OM is considered a major obstacle due to its high impermeability that adds more difficulties for drug discovery (Lehman and Grabowicz, 2019). However, by taking adventage of its significant role, it is considered an attractive target for the development of new antibiotics due to its richness in potential targets.

### 2. The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria

The bacterial envelope of Gram-negative bacteria is an essential structure which participates in the first steps of interaction between the antibiotic and the bacteria. It is a key factor in antimicrobial susceptibility because it modulates the penetration of many antibiotics according to the hydrophobicity of the molecules. Thus, understanding the mechanisms contributing to its permeability is essential in developing effective antimicrobial strategies (Tang et al., 2021). Currently, several groups are attempting to bypass the outer membrane using various strategies such as combining antibiotics with compounds like siderophores which are recognized by the bacteria and internalized. The typical example is the cefiderocol, a new antibiotic active against P. aeruginosa isolates where the cephalosporin is conjugated with a chlorocathecol group (Candel et al., 2022). The antibiotic enters into the periplasmic space through the siderophores transporters. Thus, classical resistance mechanisms to cephalosporins such the overproduction of efflux pumps, and the alteration of porins are avoided. Understanding the structure, function, and regulation of the outer membrane components is essential for understanding resistance of Gram-negative bacteria. (MacNair et al., 2020). By identifying vulnerabilities in the outer membrane structure or disrupting essential functions, researchers aim to develop novel drugs that can overcome the challenges posed by the impermeability and resistance mechanisms of Gram-negative bacteria. Therefore, exploring the outer membrane and its associated functions can provide valuable insights for antibiotic discovery.

### 2.1 Composition

The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria consists of several layers that provide protection and structural integrity to the bacterial cell. It is composed of three main components: the outer membrane (OM), the peptidoglycan layer, and the inner membrane (IM) (**Figure 3**). The outer membrane is the outermost layer of the cell envelope in Gram-negative bacteria. It is the most distinguishing feature from Gram-positive bacteria (Silhavy et al., 2010). Since the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria is an essential part in this project, it will be discussed more in details in the later parts.



**Figure 3:** Structure of the cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria. The cell envelope is composed mainly of two phospholipid bilayers: an outer membrane (OM) mainly composed of the LPS and outer membrane proteins (OMPs) in addition to lipoproteins that staples the OM to the peptidoglycan layer, an inner membrane or cytoplasmic membrane (CM) that contains membrane proteins, separated by the peptoglycan layer situated in the periplasm. Chaperones like Skp and SurA are present in the periplasm to assist in folding of OMPs; adapted from (Maldonado et al., 2016).

Beneath the OM lies the rigid peptidoglycan layer. It provides structural support and protects the cell against osmotic stress. The peptidoglycan is a polymer of repeating units of disaccharide N-acetyl glucosamine-N-acetyl muramic acid linked by glycosidic bonds forming glycan strands. They are cross-linked into a mesh-like structure that is rigid enough to determine the cell's shape (Silhavy et al., 2010). While the peptidoglycan layer in Gram-negative bacteria is few nanometers thick, that of Gram-positive bacteria ranges between 30-100 nm thick (Silhavy et al., 2010; Sperandeo et al., 2017a).

Finally, the semi-permeable IM, also called the cytoplasmic membrane or plasma membrane, is the innermost layer of the cell envelope. It is a symmetrical phospholipid bilayer composed of phospholipids, mainly phosphatidyl ethalonamine (70-80%), phosphatydilglycerol and cardiolipin and harbors  $\alpha$ -helical membrane proteins (Koebnik et al., 2000). Other proteins for the transportation of lipopolysaccharides are present in the IM like MsbA, responsible for flipping lipid A-core complex from the cytoplasm towards the periplasm during LPS biosynthesis. Also located in the inner membrane are subunits of the lipopolysaccharide transport (LPT) complex for transporting the LPS across the membrane, and histidine kinases (HK) of two-component systems (Gao and Stock, 2009; Maldonado et al., 2016). The IM plays a crucial role in nutrient uptake, energy production, and the transport of molecules in and out of the cell (Koebnik et al., 2000).

Above the inner membrane is the periplasmic space, that holds several periplasmic-binding proteins that serve crucial functions such as protein folding or aminoacid transport. Those include chaperon survival protein A (SurA) and seventeen kilodalton protein (Skp) (Silhavy et al., 2010). Those are essential chaperons for the transportation of nascent outer membrane proteins in order to get properly folded and inserted in the outer membrane (Xu et al., 2023).

### 2.2 Outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria

The OM of Gram-negative bacteria acts as a barrier against various environmental factors, including antibiotics and detergents (Whitfield and Trent, 2014). It consists of an assymetric lipid bilayer of lipopolysaccharides (LPS) situated on the outer leaflet, and phospoholipids in the inner leaflet (Andolina et al., 2018a). In addition, several OMPs with diverse functions decorate the OM, and account for approximately 50% of its mass (Silhavy et al., 2010). They also play a role in maintaining the integrity of the outer membrane (Rollauer et al., 2015). They can be divided into lipoproteins, anchored into the inner leaflet, and  $\beta$ -barrel proteins inserted into the outer leaflet (Andolina et al., 2018a; Silhavy et al., 2010). Porins constitute an important element of OMP that facilitate nutrient transport and uptake of small molecules (Koebnik et al., 2000).

The LPS is the major component of the outer membrane; it is composed of 3 distinct parts: the lipid A, the core-oligosaccharide and the O-antigen (King et al., 2009). The LPS part will be discussed below in more details. This unique structure of the OM maintains the selective permeability barrier and integrity of bacterial cells, and prevents the entry of cytotoxic molecules (Lin et al., 2002; Tang et al., 2021).

### 2.3 Biogenesis of the outer membrane

The biogenesis of the outer membrane usually occurs at three different levels (Brown, 2016; Silhavy et al., 2010):

- Folding and translocation of the β-barrel OMPs,
- Lipoproteins biosynthesis,
- Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and translocation,

### 2.3.1 Folding and translocation of the $\beta$ -barrel OMPs

Outer membrane proteins (OMPs) that are integrated into the outer leaflet of the OM adopt  $\beta$ barrel-shaped structures with a number of antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheets ranging from 8 to 26 strands in length (Rollauer et al., 2015). This specific structure maintains their stability towards harsh environmental conditions. The central space of the  $\beta$ -barrel OMPs structure is hydrophilic, while the outer surface is hydrophobic (Rollauer et al., 2015). The assembly of the OMPs is carried through the Sec/Bam pathway, which ensures their proper folding and insertion into the outer leaflet of the outer membrane (Figure 4) (Brown, 2016; Steenhuis et al., 2021). Initially, a nascent OMP is synthesized by ribosomes with a signal peptide at the N-terminal end. This nascent OMP can be delivered to SecYEG translocon by the SecA and SecB chaperones after being translated or during synthesis on the ribosome (Rollauer et al., 2015). The SecYEG translocon transports the precursor through the inner membrane to the periplasmic space (Brown, 2016). Transportation across the periplasm is facilitated by the SurA and Skp chaperones, which interact with the Bam complex and donates the nascent OMPs (Xu et al., 2023; Zerbe et al., 2017). The Bam complex will finalise the folding and insertion of the OMPs into the OM. OMPs that get aggregated or misfolded are degraded by DegP chaperone, that functions as a protease (Rollauer et al., 2015).



**Figure 4:** Pathway for OMPs translocation and folding in the outermembrane of Gram-negative bacteria. A nascent OMP is delivered to the SecYEG translocon either by the two cytoplasmic chaperone SecA and SecB, or by the ribosome during synthesis. The Sec translocase transports the precursor OMP to the periplasm. It is then delivered to the Bam complex by Skp and SurA chaperones where it will be integrated into the outer membrane. In case of aggregations or misfolding, the nascent OMP is degraded by DegP chaperone (Rollauer et al., 2015).

### 2.3.1.1 The Bam complex

The Bam complex is a conserved protein complex in Gram-negative bacteria that plays a critical role in the assembly and insertion of  $\beta$ -barrel OMPs. In *P. aeruginosa*, this complex consists of five core subunits, a large  $\beta$ -barrel BamA integral protein, and four lipoproteins BamBCDE (Plummer and Fleming, 2016).

BamA, which is also an OMP itself, is the central component of the complex and is an essential protein for cell viability. This transmembrane protein interacts with newly synthesized OMPs and facilitates their folding and assembly. In *E. coli*, the absence of BamA results in misfolding of OMPs and cell death (Xu et al., 2023). BamA is constituted of 5 POlypeptide TRansport Associated domains (POTRA) that are extended in the periplasm, with the  $\beta$ -barrel part at the C-terminal domain (Steenhuis et al., 2021). BamB, BamC, BamD, and BamE are accessory proteins that fulfill functions in reception and transfer of the nascent OMPs to the BamA, in addition to the complex stability (Steenhuis et al., 2021). BamB and BamD are lipoproteins that are anchored to the inner leaflet while BamC and BamE are periplasmic proteins. The

mechanism by which BamA inserts the folds OMP into the outer leaflet is not completely elucided. Two models have been proposed, (i) the « assisted » model and (ii) the « budding » model (**Figure 5**) (Steenhuis et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2023). In the first one, BamA facilitates the localisation and installation of the folded/partially folded OMP by permeabilizing locally the outer membrane (Steenhuis et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2023). In the budding model, an opening is formed in BamA called the « lateral gate », due to the weekness of hydrogen bond between the first  $\beta$ -strand and the last  $\beta$ -strand of the barrel. Once the OMP precursor reaches the interior of BamA, the  $\beta$ -strands gets templated and folded, and buds off laterally from BamA into the OM (Konovalova et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2023).



**Figure 5:** Models proposed for folding and insertion of OMPs by BamA. In the budding model, the  $\beta$ -strands of the  $\beta$ -fold barrel at the lateral gate serve as a template for folding the OMP. In the assisted model, folding occurs at the periplasmic side. When folding is completed, BamA forces the insertion of the OMP into the OM by causing defects. OM= outer membrane; (Konovalova et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2023).

The Bam complex is a potential target for new inhibitors against Gram-negative bacteria due to its essential role in the OM biogenesis. In addition, BamA is highly conserved among Gram-negative bacteria (Xu et al., 2023). Currently, two inhibitor peptides with potent antimicrobial activity are under development called JB-95 and Darobactin A, target the Bam complex (Overly Cottom et al., 2023). The  $\beta$ -hairpin peptidomimetic JB-95 show mostly an activity against *E. coli* (*E. coli* ATCC25922) with low MIC (0.25 µg/mL) including multi-drug resistant clinical strains, and less activity on *Acinetobacter bumanii* ATCC17978 (MIC = 1 µg/ml), *S. aureus* ATCC29213 (MIC = 2 µg/ml) and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC27853 (MIC = 4 µg/ml) (Overly Cottom et al., 2023; Urfer et al., 2016). Darobactin A has also demonstrated a good antimicrobial activity on *E. coli* (*E. coli* ATCC25922) and PAO1 reference strain with MIC = 2 µg/mL (Böhringer et al., 2021; Overly Cottom et al., 2023).

Mutations in the Bam complex were observed in *E. coli, P. aeruginosa* and *P. fluorescens* resistant to Bam inhibitors (Steenhuis et al., 2021) or in *in vitro* selected *P. aeruginosa* mutants resistant to murepavadin (**table 2**) (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b). However, due to the importance of Bam complex, it is expected that low selection pressure might exist to develop resistance against Bam inhibitors. Therefore, targeting the Bam complex can potentially overcome the protective barrier of the outer membrane, allowing antibiotics to reach their targets more effectively thus can provide a novel approach to combat antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Substitutions	Species	Impact on MIC to antimicrobials	Reference
S <sub>509</sub> G	P. aeruginosa	Colistin resistance (2-fold)	(Jochumsen et al., 2016)
G <sub>429</sub> R, G <sub>429</sub> V, T <sub>434</sub> A, Q <sub>445</sub> P, A <sub>705</sub> T, E <sub>435</sub> K, F <sub>394</sub> V, G <sub>807</sub> V, Q <sub>445</sub> P, G <sub>443</sub> D, L <sub>501</sub> Q	E. coli	Darobactin resistance	(Imai et al., 2019; Kaur et al., 2021; Miller et al., 2022)
E470K	E. coli	MRL-494 resistance	(Hart et al., 2019; Steenhuis et al., 2021)
T <sub>663</sub> P, G <sub>540</sub> D,	E. coli	LlpA resistance	(Steenhuis et al., 2021)
G <sub>667</sub> V, T <sub>671</sub> A, R <sub>666</sub> C	P. fluorescens	LlpA resistance	(Ghequire et al., 2018)
D <sub>703</sub> Y	E. coli	Peptide 8 resistance	(Steenhuis et al., 2021)
$\begin{array}{l} L_{501}Q,G_{429}V,\\ G_{807}V \end{array}$	E. coli	Dynobactin A resistance	(Miller et al., 2022)
G <sub>504</sub> D	P. aeruginosa	Murepavadin resistance	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)

Table 2: Substitutions in BamA identified in bacterial species and impact on resistance to antimicrobial peptides.

## 2.3.2 Lipoproteins biosynthesis

This pathway involves the localization and transport of lipoproteins destined to be integrated into the inner leaflet of the OM. Unfolded lipoproteins are transported to the the periplasmic space by the Sec translocation system, where they undergo post-translational modifications (Brown, 2016). A cap of the aminoacid glycerylcysteine is added to the precursor of mature lipoproteins, to which three fatty acid chains are attached (Brown, 2016). Due to the presence of both fatty acid chains at the N-terminal side and a peptide region at the C-terminal side, the lipoprotein can anchor both phospholipids on the inner leaflet of the OM and the peptidoglycan layer with its peptide part (**Figure 6**) (Brown, 2016). Lipoproteins are thought to contribute to the structural integrity, rigidification, and stability of the outer membrane (Brown, 2016).



Figure 6: Structural representation of lipoproteins showing three fatty acid tails and a cap region represented in green; adapted from (Brown, 2016).

### 2.3.3 Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and translocation

### 2.3.3.1 LPS role and structure

LPS, a complex and essential component of the OM in Gram-negative bacteria, plays multifaceted roles that contribute to bacterial survival, immune interactions, and pathogenicity. The unique structure of LPS forms a protective barrier against the external environment, guarding bacteria from various harmful agents, including detergents and antibiotics, thus enabling their resilience in challenging conditions (Sperandeo et al., 2017b). Beyond its structural function, LPS is a highly conserved structure within all Gram-negative bacteria, making it a pattern to be recognized by the immune system, and serves as a potent virulence factor which can subsequently initiate bacterial infection clearance (Steimle et al., 2016). This is primarily achieved through the lipid A or endotoxin region, an immunostimulatory molecule

that is recognized by the host's immune cells, leading to an inflammatory response (Alexander and Rietschel, 2001).

The LPS comprises three distinct components: the lipid A region, the core oligosaccharide, and the O antigen or O-chain (King et al., 2009) (**Figure 7**).



**Figure 7:** A representation of the general LPS structure of Gram-negative bacteria. It consists of the phospholipid lipid A structure linked to the polysaccharide made of the core region and the O-specific antigen. The lipid A is a double phosphorylated diglucosamine (GlcN), with a number of sugar residues that vary slightly with different species. The inner core is composed of three sugar residues Kdo and three Hep residues that are phosphorylated while the outer core is composed of three different sugar types. The O-specific chain is a repetitive unit of carbohydrate units. Kdo: 2-keto-3- deoxyoctulosonic acid; Hep: D-glycero-D-manno-heptose, P: phosphate; (Alexander and Rietschel, 2001).

The lipid A region, located at the innermost layer and highly conserved among bacterial species, consists of a disaccharide backbone to which fatty acid chains that anchor the LPS to the OM are attached. Positioned in the middle part, the core oligosaccharide, composed of 9 to 11 oligosaccharide units, adds complexity to LPS structure. Meanwhile, the O-antigen or O-chain, occupying the outermost and variable section, features repetitive carbohydrate units that not only confer variability among strains but also aid bacteria in evading phagocytosis and resisting the lytic action of the complement system (King et al., 2009; Sperandeo et al., 2017a). The complex forms of O-antigen and core oligosaccharides are not essential for bacterial growth, however they are needed for protective and virulence functions, and thus they are present in clinical and environmental isolates (Raetz et al., 2007). While the majority of Gram-negative bacteria possess the O-antigen, there are strains that lack this component, highlighting the diverse adaptations within this bacterial group.

#### 2.3.3.2 LPS biosynthesis

The process of LPS biosynthesis starts at the cytoplasmic side of the inner membrane and is gradually completed with the maturation of the LPS and its incorporation into the outer membrane (**Figure 8**).



**Figure 8:** A simplified overview of LPS biosynthesis mechanism. After the completion of the biosynthesis of the mature lipid A and its attachement with the core oligosaccharide, the latter intermediate is flipped through the inner membrane by the action of MsbA complex. The O-antigen after being synthesized, gets attached to lipid A-core. The mature LPS is transported through the LPT complex situated in the membrane and is incorporated into the outer side of the outer membrane. CM: cytoplasmic membrane, OM: outer membrane, Lpt: LPS transport; (Maldonado et al., 2016).

The different parts are synthesized separately before being assembled and integrated into a cohesive structure that gets positioned on the outer leaflet that faces the external environment (King et al., 2009). The biosynthesis of each part of the LPS will be discussed in details in the next parts. Briefly, the biosynthesis of lipid A is initiated with a coordinated action of several enzymes at the cytosolic face of the inner membrane that results in the formation of the lipid A. A flippase lipid-activated ATP-ase MsbA flips lipid A-core towards the periplasmic site (Maldonado et al., 2016). Finally the mature LPS is translocated through the outer membrane with the help of the LPT complex (Brown, 2016). The process of LPS biosynthesis is very well coordinated, otherwise it can lead to defects in LPS structure and function (Maldonado et al., 2016). The end result of this process is the formation of a densely packed LPS layer interacting with numerous divalent cations like  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  present in the surrounding environment, which interact with the negatively charged lipid A and oligosaccharide core. Consequently, this rigid and tightly packed layer enhances the outer membrane's impermeability to hydrophobic

molecules and large hydrophilic compounds, which are restricted from passage through the narrow porin channels (Sperandeo et al., 2017a), thus mediating resistance from the external stress factors.

### 2.3.3.2.1 Lipid A biosynthesis

The lipid A is composed of double phosphorylated diglucosamine backbone to which pentaacyl or hexa-acyl chains are attached (**Figure 9**).



**Figure 9:** Representation of a hexa-acyl lipid A structure of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Lipid A is composed of two phosphorylated diglucosamine residues (GlcN<sup>I</sup> and GlcN<sup>II</sup>). Two 12-carbon acyl chains are added at position 2 and 2', to which 12-carbon secondary acyl chains, hydroxylated are added. O-linked 10 carbon hydroxydecanoyl substituents are added at position 3 of GlcN<sup>I</sup> and GlcN<sup>II</sup>. The latter at position GlcN<sup>I</sup>(red) is not present in 75% of lipid A of laboratory strains. (King et al., 2009).

Lipid A is the LPS part that is reponsible for inducing inflammatory response (Alexander and Rietschel, 2001). The number or carbons as well as acylchains vary between clinical strains or laboratory strains of PA, as well as between different Gram-negative bacteria. About 75% of laboratory *P. aeruginosa* strains have the penta-acyl form, wherease *E. coli* lipid A is hexa-acylated. Usually, hyperacylated / hexa-acylated clinical strains induce high inflammatory reponse against the immune system (King et al., 2009).

The enzymes involved in the lipid A pathway are constitutively expressed and are highly conserved. They can be found in the cytoplasm or in the inner surface of the inner membrane (Raetz et al., 2008). The first step of lipid A synthesis begins with the 3-O-acylation of the lipid

A precursor UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc), catalysed by LpxA enzyme in the cytoplasm (**Figure 10**).



**Figure 10:** The first steps of lipid A biosynthesis. The starting compound is UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (1) that receives a R-3-hydroxydecanoic fatty by LpxA. A second deacetylation step is done by LpxC on LpxA product, producing UDP-3-O-(3-hydroxydecanoyl) glucosamine (3). LpxD catalyses the third step by transferring another R-3-hydroxydeconate to the amine at position 2 of product (3), and produces UDP-2,3-diacyl-GlcN (4); adapted from (King et al., 2009).

LpxA is an acyl-carrier protein (ACP) that has fatty acids linked to it as substrates. Unlike *E. coli* whose LpxA has preference for a 14-carbon hydroxymyristoic acid, *P. aeruginosa* LpxA favors the addition of shorter fatty acid chains (King et al., 2009). The next step is the deacylation by LpxC to generate a free amine substrate at position 2. The following step envolves the addition of the 12-carbon 3-hydroxylauroyl group by LpxD enzyme. A longer fatty acid chain is added by LpxD homologues of *E. coli*. The resulting intermediate is UDP-2,3-diacyl-GlcN (Raetz et al., 2008). The next steps involved the biosynthesis of Lipid IVA, an essential intermediate in Gram-negative bacteria.



**Figure 11:** Steps for the synthesis of lipid IVA. UDP-2,3-diacyl-GlcN (4) is cleaved into lipid X (5). Two lipid X molecules are condensed to generate a tetra-acylated disaccharide (6). Finally, a phosphate group is added at carbon 4'. The end product is lipid IVA.  $P_i$ : phosphate; adapted from (King et al., 2009).

Once UDP-2,3-diacyl-GlcN is generated, it is then cleaved by the nucleotidase LpxH to form 2,3-diacylglucosamine-1-phosphate, also called lipid X or lipid A precursor (**Figure 11**). A tetra-acylated disaccharide lipid A is then generated by condensing two lipid X molecules by the action of the disaccharide synthase LpxB. The next five steps are catalysed at IM proteins. The tetra-acylated lipid A is further modified by the addition of a phosphate group to the 4'-position of the sugar residue by the action of the kinase LpxK to generate the intermediate lipid IVA (Raetz et al., 2008). Lipid IVA is thought to have endotoxin antagonist function in human cells.

In *E. coli* strains, the next step is the addition of two 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid (Kdo) molecules; this step is obligatory for the completion of lipid A biosynthesis. They derive from arabinose 5-phosphate, and their addition is catalysed by KdtA enzyme. The resulting intermediate is Kdo2-IVA (King et al., 2009). In *P. aeruginose* however, it has been shown that lipid A biosynthesis could be completed without the absolute necessity of Kdo residues addition to lipid-IVA (King et al., 2009). The final step before the completion of lipid A and before its transportion, is its decoration with secondary acyl groups (**Figure 12**).



**Figure 12:** Secondary modifications of LpxL2 and LpxL1 enzymes. LpxL2 is responsible for the addition of laurate group at carbon 2', and LpxL1 adds a 2-hydroxylaurate group at carbon 2 on lipid IVA intermediate. The resulting product is lipid A; adapted from (King et al., 2009).

Acylation patterns of lipid A vary significantly among Gram-negative bacteria. *In E. coli*, the acyltransferase LpxL (HtrB) and LpxM acylate lipid A at carbons C2' and C3' respectively (King et al., 2009). HtrB enzyme adds a 12-carbon laurate group whereas LpxM adds a 14-carbon myristate group. In *P. aeruginosa*, two additional acyl groups are added, a 12-carbon fatty acid at position C2' and a second hydroxylated (2-hydroxylaurate) at position C2. These modifications are mediated by the lauroyltransferase enzymes LpxL1 and LpxL2, which are encoded by the *P. aeruginosa* genes PA0011 and PA3243, respectively. LpxL1 adds a 2-hydroxylaurate group while LpxL2 adds a laurate group at the second carbon of each glucosamine sugar (Hittle et al., 2015; Six et al., 2008). PA0011 and PA3243 share 30% and 47% amino-acid sequence identity with *E. coli* LpxL (HtrB) (Hittle et al., 2015). Even though a putative 3D structure was established, the protein is not well characterized in *P. aeruginosa*.


**Figure 13:** Predicted 3D structure of PAO1 LpxL1. Stucture obtained from AlphaFold Protein Structure Database (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk). UniProt ID is Q9I7B5.

LpxL1 is a monomer of 33 kDa (295 amino acids) (*E. coli* LpxL, 36 kDa), and is predicted to be like that of *E. coli* LpxL, to be imbedded in the inner membrane with single N-terminal transmembrane helix (**Figure 13**) (Raetz et al., 2007; Six et al., 2008, uniprot ID Q917B5).

Any defect that occurs in lipid A biosynthesis could alter the membrane integrity and permeability. Hittle et al showed that deleting either *lpxL1* or *lpxL2* increases the membrane permeability compared to parental strains using the ethidium bromide uptake assay, but does not affect growth (Hittle et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016a). While an increase in membrane permeability was expected to also increase susceptibility to cationic antimicrobial peptides, only the deletion of *lpxL2* increases the susceptiblity to polymyxin B and colistin by 2 and 1.5 folds respectively. In two other studies, deletion of PA0011 gene encoding *lpxL1*, increased susceptibility to polymyxin B by at least 2-fold compared to the reference strain PAO1 (Shen et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016a). Moreover, an *lpxL1* frameshift mutation was observed *in vitro* selected PAO1 mutants resistant to the newly developed anti-Pseudomonas peptidomimetic murepayadin, suggesting that *lpxL1* mutations could be responsible for 16-fold decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b). This indicates the important role of LpxL1 in antibiotic resistance and outer membrane integrity (Wang et al., 2016b). Wang et al also showed that PA0011 gene contributes to resistance to carbapenems, gentamicin, and kanamycin with deficient mutants exhibiting a 2-fold increase in susceptibility (Wang et al., 2016a).

PA0011 gene is influenced by external stimuli such as temperature and osmotic changes (Shen et al., 2012). In *E. coli, htrB* gene is required for growth above 33°C, but not in *P. aeruginosa* (Clementz et al., 1996; Hittle et al., 2015). In one study, PA0011 deficient mutants were approximately 20 times more likely to die than PAO1 after exposure to 50°C for 20 minutes (Shen et al., 2012). Additionally, measuring PA0011 expression by luminescence with the *luxCDABE* reporter operon or by RT-qPCR showed that PA0011 expression was significantly higher at 21°C rather than 37°C. As a result, PAO1 $\Delta$ PA0011, which is more susceptible to carbapenems, gentamicin, kanamycin and tetracycline than PAO1, becomes less susceptible when grown at 37°C (Wang et al., 2016b). These results indicate that PA0011 impacts susceptibility to antibiotics in a temperature-dependent manner.

The regulation of PA0011 is not fully understood, but one study showed that PA0011 promoter is activated by antibiotics such as tetracycline, streptomycin, azitromycin, erythromycin and ciprofloxacin (Shen et al., 2012).

#### 2.3.3.2.2 Lipid A modifications

Lipid A is subjected to modifications in Gram-negative bacteria. Indeed, bacteria tend to add modifications or patterns to their lipid A part, like acylation or adding positively charged molecules to phosphate groups as a form of adaptation to external stimuli or to stresses (**Figure 14**).



**Figure 14:** Representation of a hexa-acylated lipid A structure of *P. aeruginosa* and the modifications that could occur. The most common modification is the addition of 4-amino-4-deoxy- L- arabinose (L-Ara4N) substituents at the phosphate groups (blue). Addition of palmitoyl group is possible at C3' and deacetylation at C3. GlcN: diglucoseamine; adapted from (King et al., 2009; Maldonado et al., 2016).

In response to exposure to antimicrobial peptides or bactericidal agents, bacteria can reduce their susceptibility to cationic antimicrobial peptides (cAMPs) by reducing the negative charges of the phosphate substituents in lipid A. This is done by adding positively charged molecules such as 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose (L-Ara4N) to the phosphate residues. Reducing the negative charge of the OM reduces susceptibility to cAMPs (Maldonado et al., 2016). The *arnBCADTEF-ugd* operon of *P. aeruginosa* is responsible for the biosynthesis and transport of L-Ara4N. Other secondary modifications may occur by varying the number of acyl chains in the lipid A; *P. aeruginosa* lipid A is typically penta-acylated, but, hexa- or hepta-acylated lipid A is found in clinical isolates (Liang et al., 2016). Other modifications involing addition of a palmitoyl group on carbon 3', or deacetylation on carbon 3 have been reported, particularly in CF strains.

#### 2.3.3.2.3 Core oligosaccharides structure and biosynthesis

The core oligosaccharide plays a critical role in pathogenicity as it inhibits the clearance and internalization of *P. aeruginosa*. It consists of an inner core, proximal to lipid A, and an outer core, proximal to the O-antigen, which is less conserved (**Figure 15**) (Sperandeo et al., 2017b).



**Figure 15:** Schematic representation of the core oligosaccharide structure and the genes involved in its assembly. Dotted lines indicate substitutions that could not be found in all strains. Kdo= 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid, Hep: L-glycero-D-manno-heptose, P<sub>i</sub>: phosphate, Etn: ethanolamine, Cm: carbamoyl, GalN: 2-amino-2-deoxy-galactose, Glc: glucose, Ala: alanine, Rha: rhamnose; adapted from (King et al., 2009).

The conserved inner core of Gram-negative bacteria including that of *P. aeruginosa* typically contains two residues of Kdo (Kdo<sup>I</sup> and Kdo<sup>II</sup>) and two L-glycero-D-mannoheptose (Hep<sup>I</sup> and Hep<sup>II</sup>), which serve as phosphorylation sites. The presence of negatively charged phosphate groups on heptoses is associated with increased intrinsic resistance to antibiotics like novobiocin, in addition to a more stable outer membrane (King et al., 2009; Whitfield and Trent, 2014). Core phosphorylation patters can vary between *P. aeruginosa* strains. Phosphorylation occurs on positions 2 and 4 of Hep<sup>I</sup> and position 6 on Hep<sup>II</sup> that could be mono, di or tri phosphate substituents. Some strains might also have diphosphoethanolamine on phosphate 2 of Hep<sup>I</sup>. Phosphorylation is essential for *P. aeruginosa* viability, as mutations in the kinases lead to bacterial death. In addition to phosphorylation, other modifications can occur at Hep<sup>I</sup> and Hep<sup>II</sup> such as the addition of ethanolamine (Etn) and O-carbamoyl (Cm) groups respectively. The addition of Cm group was predicted after the identification of PA5005 gene,

homologue to O-carbamoyltransferase of *Streptomyces clavuligerus* by 31% aminoacid identity (King et al., 2009). In contrast, the outer core is more diverse and less conserved as it is more exposed to environmental stresses and selective pressure. In *P. aeruginosa*, it is constituted of 3 sugar types : three D-glucose (Glc) residues, one L-rhamnose (L-Rha) residue, and one 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-galactose (D-galactosamine, GalN) residue (King et al., 2009). Once the core is synthesized and attached to the lipid A, it is transported from the cytoplasmic side to the periplasm by MsbA (King et al., 2009).

The mechanism of core assembly in *P. aeruginosa* was predicted based on homology with *E. coli* and *Salmonella* genes (**Figure 15**). Briefly, the initial step involves WaaA enzyme adding the first two Kdo groups (Kdo<sup>I</sup> and Kdo<sup>II</sup>) to the glucosamines of lipid A. Once Kdo residues are added, the heptosyltransferases WaaC and WaaF add Hep<sup>I</sup> and Hep<sup>II</sup> to the inner core. The addition of the first outer core sugar has been identified to be accomplished by WapG, homologue to WaaG in *E. coli*. It is responsible for the addition of GalN residue to Hep<sup>II</sup>. Another glycosyltransferase WapH then adds Glc<sup>II</sup> to GalN. Next, rhamnosyltransferases WapR catalyses the addition of Rha to Glc<sup>I</sup>. The final step involved WaaG that adds the last sugar Glc<sup>III</sup> to Glc<sup>I</sup>.

# 2.3.3.2.4 Lipid A-core transport through the inner membrane by MsbA

The MsbA protein is part of the ABC transporter superfamily which is responsible for the transport of the lipid A-core from the cytoplasmic to the periplasmic face of the inner membrane in Gram-negative bacteria. Inactivation of MsbA leads to the accumulation of LPS in the cytoplasmic part of the inner membrane, in addition to causing lethality of strains, indicating that it is an essential gene (**Figure 16**) (Bertani and Ruiz, 2018; Ghanei et al., 2007; King et al., 2009).

MsbA has two transmembrane domains (TMDs) embedded in the inner membrane and two nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs) located in the cytoplasm (Whitfield and Trent, 2014). MsbA uses ATP hydrolysis to flip lipid A-core from the cytoplasmic side to the periplasmic face of the cytoplasmic membrane, where it is further modified and assembled into LPS. Following translocation of lipid A-core to the periplasmic side, it is anchored at the outer leaflet of the IM so that the O-antigen can be incorporated. Once the mature LPS is produced, the

lipopolysaccharide transport protein (LPT) complex translocates it through the outer membrane and incorporates it into the outer leaflet (Sperandeo et al., 2017b).



**Figure 16:** Schematic representation of the mechanism of action of MsbA. MsbA, integrated in the inner membrane, uses ATP hydrolysis to drive the translocation of lipid A-core complex from the cytoplasmic side of the inner membrane towards the periplasmic side of the inner membrane. ATP: adenosine tri-phosphate, ADP: adenosine di-phosphate, P: phosphate, IM: inner membrane; adapted from (Maldonado et al., 2016).

# 2.3.3.2.5 O-polysaccharide structure and biosynthesis

The O-polysaccharide extends outward from the outer membrane and plays a critical role in the interactions between bacterial strains and their environment. It also triggers a powerful immune response from the host due to its high immunogenicity. In *P. aeruginosa* infections, the O-specific antigen provides protection against phagocytosis and confers resistance to complement-mediated killing in addition to protecting the bacteria against oxidative stress (King et al., 2009). In cases of long-term *P. aeruginosa* infections, strains frequently decrease and eventually eliminate O-antigen production. This is due to selective pressure from the immune system, which targets the O-antigen (King et al., 2009). This outermost part of the LPS is highly diverse and consists of variable number of repeatable oligosaccharide units. Unlike the core oligosaccharide which is synthesized on the lipid A molecule, the O-polysaccharide is synthesized separately from the rest of the LPS molecule (Bertani and Ruiz, 2018).

Two forms of O-antigens can be synthesized, Common Polysaccharide Antigen (CPA) and O-Specific Antigen (OSA). The CPA is a homopolymer of D-rhamnose residues. Most *P*.

*aeruginosa* isolates produce the CPA form. The OSA is composed of repetitive units of different sugars (King et al., 2009).

The mechanism of ligation of the O-antigen to the lipid A-core is different between the two forms. CPA biosynthesis follows the ABC transporter-dependent pathway (**Figure 17**).



**Figure 17:** Schematic representation of the ABC-transporter dependent pathway for Common Polysaccharide Antigen (CPA) synthesis. The O-chain of D-rhamnose residues is polymerized on a lipid carrier in the cytoplasm. Once polymerization is terminated, the chain is flipped by the ABC transporter Wzm/Wzt to the periplasmic side and is ligated on lipid A-core complex. IM: inner membrane, LPS: lipopolysaccharide; adapated from (Melamed and Brockhausen, 2021).

Briefly, the O-chain is fully polymerized at the cytoplasmic side of the inner membrane and, once completed, it is transported by the ABC transporter Wzm/Wzt to the periplasmic side of the inner membrane (Melamed and Brockhausen, 2021). In contrast, the OSA biosynthesis follows the Wzy-dependent pathway (**Figure 18**) (King et al., 2009).



**Figure 18:** Schematic representation of the Wzy- dependent pathway for O-Specific Antigen (OSA) synthesis. A repeating unit is synthesized sequentially on a lipid carrier in the cytoplasm and is transported by Wzx to the periplasmic side (1). Wzy extends the O-units together to optimal chain length (2). WaaL ligates the elongated O-chain to lipid A-core (3). P: phosphate; adapted from (Hong et al., 2018).

In this mechanism, individual O-repeating units are flipped across the inner membrane and are ligated together with the help of the *wzy* gene product.

# 2.3.3.3 LPS Transport by the LPT complex

Due to the amphipathic property of the LPS, it needs to be transported through the OM from the periplasm to the outer leaflet of the OM. The Lpt complex protects the hydrophobic acyl chains from the aqueous environment of the periplasmn, and ensures the proper membrane transport. The Lpt complex, composed of seven subunits, LptA, LptB, LptC, LptD, LptE, LptF, LptG, is not present in all Gram-negative bacteria (Sperandeo et al., 2017a). In *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*, LPS is an essential component of the outer membrane and the presence of an entire Lpt complex is required for viability (Lo Sciuto et al., 2018). Amino-acid substitutions or the absence of any of the Lpt proteins can impair bacterial growth, susceptibility to antibiotics, and virulence (Lo Sciuto et al., 2018; Steeghs et al., 2001).

During the biogenesis of the outer membrane in Gram-negative bacteria, LptA-LptG proteins assemble to form a complex that spans the entire envelope from the cytoplasm to the OM (**Figure 19**) (Andolina et al., 2018b; Botos et al., 2016; Robinson, 2019; Whitfield and Trent,

2014). The Lpt complex is organised into two sub-assemblies, LptB<sub>2</sub>CFG in the IM and LptDE in the outer membrane, connected by the central and periplasmic proteins LptA (Lo Sciuto et al., 2018).



**Figure 19:** Schematic representation of the Lpt complex. LptB<sub>2</sub>FG extract the LPS from the inner membrane and delivers it to the bridge formed of LptC, two subunits of LptA and the N-terminal side of LptD that translocates LPS molecules. A complex formed of the  $\beta$ -barrel LptD and LptE, situated in the outer membrane, transfers and incorporates LPS molecules into the outer leaflet of the outer membrane; adapted from (Martorana et al., 2021).

The transport process begins with the ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter LptB<sub>2</sub>FG, which extracts LPS molecules from the inner membrane using the ATP hydrolysis activity of LptB. The LPS molecules are then transferred to a bridge formed of two copies of LptA, which interacts with LptC (Andolina et al., 2018a). LptC is an IM protein with a single TM domain and a large periplasmic region (Villa et al., 2013). The OM, LptD-LptE complex, which is organized as a « plug-and-barrel » structure, (Bollati et al., 2015) translocates LPS from LptA and incorporates it into the outer leaflet of the OM (Andolina et al., 2018a). LptD is an integral outer membrane protein with two important domains: (i) a C-terminal  $\beta$ -barrel domain to which the lipoprotein LptE is anchored in the lumen, and (ii) a N-terminal periplasmic  $\beta$ -jellyroll domain that interacts with LptA (Botos et al., 2016; Sperandeo et al., 2017a). LptE is a

lipoprotein component that interacts with the LptD to form the LPS transport and assembly machinery at the OM in *P. aeruginosa*. It acts as a chaperone to insert and direct LptD into the membrane (Grabowicz et al., 2013; Lo Sciuto et al., 2018). Although the function of LptE is not well understood its loss reduces LptD integration into the outer membrane, suggesting that it plays an important role in LptD function and assembly (Lo Sciuto et al., 2018). Despite being essential for the Lpt complex in *P. aeruginosa*, LptE may be dispensable for growth, but its loss impairs mebrane integrity and drug resistance (Botos et al., 2016; Lo Sciuto et al., 2018).

After synthesis in the cytoplasm, both LptD and LptE pass through the Sec translocon but the completion of their biogenesis pathways diverges at the periplasmic side (**Figure 4**). After signal sequence cleavage, LptD is delivered to the BamABCDE complex by the periplasmic chaperone SurA for assembly into the OM (Chimalakonda et al., 2011). In the case of LptE which is destined for the OM, it gets released from the IM after lipid modifications and delivered to the periplasmic chaperones for assembly into the OM (Chimalakonda et al., 2011; Tokuda, 2009).

The impact of *lpt* genes mutations on Gram-negative bacteria has been discussed extensively in literature (**table 3**). While some mutations may have only a minor effect on the function of the Lpt complex, others may completely abolish its function. Mutations in *lpt* genes can lead to impaired LPS transport due to unfunctional proteins, and to a variety of phenotypes, including impaired growth as shown for LptD mutations in *Yersinia pestis*, increased or decreased susceptibility in *P. aeruginosa* to inhibitors of Lpt complex.

LpT complex subunit	Mutation	Species	Impact	Reference
LptA	Gene deletion	P. aeruginosa	Increased susceptibility to aminoglycosides and increased membrane permeability	(Krahn et al., 2012)
LptC	$G_{56}V$	E. coli	Increased susceptibility to polymyxin B and colistin and increased outer membrane permeability	(Fernández et al., 2013)
LptD	DupLRDKGM <sub>210-</sub> 215	P. aeruginosa	Resistance to murepavadin	(Srinivas et al., 2010)
LptD	G <sub>214</sub> D	P. aeruginosa	Resistance to murepavadin	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
LptD	P <sub>231</sub> A, P <sub>246</sub> A	Yersinia pestis	Reduced viability	(Botos et al., 2016)
LptD	$\Delta_{330-352}, \Delta_{335-359}$	P. aeruginosa	Increased susceptibility to antibiotics (rifampicin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, novobiocin, azithromycine, colistin) and increased membrane permeability	(Balibar and Grabowicz, 2016)
LptD	Δ529-538	E. coli	Increased outer membrane permeability	(Balibar and Grabowicz, 2016; Freinkman et al., 2011)
LptE	K <sub>136</sub> D, R <sub>91</sub> D, K <sub>136</sub> D	E. coli	Reduced binding of LPS to LPT complex and reduced LPS export	These BAETA
LptE	YPISA116-120YRA	E. coli	Increased susceptibility to hydrophobic antibiotics (rifampicin and bacitracin)	(Balibar and Grabowicz, 2016; Chimalakonda et al., 2011)

Table 3: List of mutations identified in Lpt complex components in Gram-negative bacteria and their impact.

#### 3. Outer membrane proteins as interesting antibiotic targets

Bacterial outer membrane proteins (OMPs) are a desirable target for designing antibiotics due to their essential roles (Andolina et al., 2018a). Disrupting membrane's essential functions can increase bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics and boost the immune system's ability to clear infections. Additionally, as OMPs are located on the bacterial surface, they are more accessible to therapeutic agents than intracellular targets. For example, Bam complex is currently a target in the development of anti Gram-negative drugs. The heptapeptide Darobactin A, is an antimicrobial peptide produced by *Photorhabdus*. It targets BamA, preventing protein folding and membrane insertion. Darobactin A has shown, in vitro and in vivo, promising antibacterial activity against several Gram-negative strains including polymyxin-resistant P. aeruginosa, yet not on all *P.aeruginosa* strains (Imai et al., 2019). Further attempts to enhance the antibacterial activity of darobactin A lead to the development of two other analog derivatives. Those are darobactin B and darobactin B9. So far, the recently developed darobactin analogs show a stronger activity to reference strain PAO1 than darobactin A, with darobactin B9 being the strongest (MIC of darobactins B and B9 on PAO1 is 2-fold and 4-fold less than that of darobactin A). Interestingly, the two analogs show also a primising antibacterial activity on 66 CF P. aeruginosa clinical strains with MIC<sub>90</sub> for darobactin B and darobactin B9 at 8 mg/L. This observed MIC value is even lower than the recently authorized drugs: ceftazidimeavibactam (CZA) (MIC<sub>90</sub> >256 mg/L) and ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T) (MIC = 96 mg/L) (Marner et al., 2023). These results make darobactins B and B9 promising potential candidates for patients infected with multidrug resistant pathogens.

The Lpt complex is another attractive target for the development of new antibiotics. In addition, the Lpt complex is a relatively conserved in most Gram-negative bacteria, suggesting that these compounds are likely effective against a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria, including those that are resistant to other antibiotics. Several antibiotics that target the Lpt complex are currently in clinical development (Robinson, 2019). Among them, thanatin is a peptide produced by the Podisus maculiventris insect (Robinson, 2019) that targets LptA, a protein essential for the transport of LPS across the OM. LptA forms a bridge through which LPS is translocated, and thanatin is thought to disrupt this process by binding to the N-terminal  $\beta$ -strand of LptA and blocking its interaction with LptC, another protein involved in LPS transport (Fehlbaum et al., 1996). Murepavadin is a macrocyclic peptidomimetic antibiotic that targets LptD, a  $\beta$ -barrel protein that forms the central pore of the Lpt complex. LptD is essential for the translocation of LPS, and murepavadin is thought to prevent this process by binding to LptD and disrupting its structure. Both thanatin and murepavadin have shown promising activity against a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria, including multidrug-resistant strains. They are also well tolerated in animal models, suggesting that they could be safe and effective antibiotics for the treatment of human infections (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a).

IMB-881, is a synthetic antibacterial agent that also interferes with the assembly of the subunits of the Lpt complex. IMB-881 was found to inhibit the interaction between LptA and LptC using yeast two-hybrid screening and surface plasmon resonance experiments (Zhang et al., 2019). Exposition of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 strain to IMB-881 resulted in defective morphology and accumulation of membrane material in the periplasm. Overall, the efficacity of OMP targets gives promises for preventing life-threatening bacterial infections.

#### 3.1 Antimicrobial peptides

With the advancement of combinatorial chemistry, the incorporation of aminoacids and peptides into heterocyclic-based molecules has become possible. The presence of aminoacids in small molecules reduces their toxicity, and enhances their pharmacokinetics and potency properties (Wang et al., 2018). As a result, peptide drugs have gained significant interest and are expected to play a major role in the future of drug discovery, especially in the fight against drug resistance (Chen and Jiang, 2023).

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are small, diverse, naturally occurring molecules, found in virtually all life forms. They play a crucial role in the innate immune response of various organisms, including humans, animals, plants, and microorganisms (Chen and Jiang, 2023).

AMPs have potent antimicrobial activity against a broad spectrum of bacteria, fungi, viruses, and even cancer cells (Zhang et al., 2021). They have a selective activity against bacterial membranes, which are typically composed of negatively charged phospholipids. AMPs, which are consituted of 10 to 50 amino acid residues, are typically cationic and amphipathic (i.e, they have both hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions), interact with the negatively charged bacterial cell membranes and insert their hydrophobic regions into the membrane, disrupting its structure and leading to cell death (Chen and Jiang, 2023; Mahlapuu et al., 2016). Only few AMPs have negative charge (Chen and Jiang, 2023). Unlike conventional antibiotics, which target specific proteins and develop resistance rapidly, the evolution of resistance to AMP is much slower (Herzog and Fridman, 2014; Yu et al., 2017). This is because altering the composition or structure of the bacterial membrane in order to acquire resistance is a difficult and costly process in terms of energy requirement, and it poses a significant risk to the survival of the bacteria (Lima et al., 2021). Aditionnally, AMPs are less toxic than antibiotics because they break down into amino acids instead of generating harmful metabolites (Rima et al., 2021). These characteristics make AMPs highly desirable alternatives to antibiotics and promising candidates for addressing the global crisis of drug resistance.

Despite targeting membranes, AMPs are selective towards mammalian cells. This is due to fundamental differences in the membrane composition. Mammalian membranes have negatively charged phospholipids, but they are localized in the inner leaflet facing the cytoplasmic side. Additionally, mammalian membranes are rich in cholesterol, which stabilizes the phospholipid bilayer and reduces the activity of AMPs. Therefore, AMPs interact much weaker with mammalian membranes than with bacterial membranes (Mahlapuu et al., 2016).

Efforts are underway to discover AMPs that target *P. aeruginosa*. Chen and collaborators provide a list of AMPs, in which some are in clinical development (Chen and Jiang, 2023). In additon to the POL7080 peptidomimetic, which is in phase I clinical trials (to be discussed in part 3.1.6), P-113D (Demegen Inc., USA) is a 12-amino acid antimicrobial peptide newly developed for aerosolisation treament for CF patients, to target *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. It is derived from its parent histatin-5, a 24-amino acid histidine-rich peptide produced by the salivary glands of higher primates (Sajjan et al., 2001). Lytixar <sup>TM</sup>

(LTX-109, Lytix Biopharma AS), a synthetic peptidomimetic developed for topical use against *P. aeruginosa* infections is currently in pre-clinical development (Mercer and O'Neil, 2013).

In the following parts, we focus on the polymyxin class of AMPs and on the peptidomimetic murepavadin because our work focuses not only on studying the antibacterial activity of murepavadin, but also on identifying resistance mechanisms to murepavadin and cross-resistance with colistin, the last resort treatment for CF patients.

#### 3.1.1 Polymyxins class of cationic AMPs

The increased reports of resistance to Gram-negative bacteria and the emergence of MDR strains have led to the reconsideration of polymyxin antibiotics, which have been placed aside for several years due to their nephrotoxic effects (Olaitan et al., 2014).

Polymyxins are non-ribosomal cationic, cyclic antimicrobial peptides that were first isolated in the 1940s from the Gram-positive bacterium *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. They are currently being prescribed as rescue treatments for patients infected with MDR Gram-negative strains (Landman et al., 2008; Olaitan et al., 2014; Srinivas and Rivard, 2017).

Of the five polymyxins (A-E), only polymyxin E (colistin) and polymyxin B are used in clinical setting (**Figure 20**). They have a similar structure, differing by only one amino acid, and a similar mode of action (Landman et al., 2008; Srinivas and Rivard, 2017).



**Figure 20:** Two dimentional structure of colistin (polymyxin E) and polymyxin B (Alfei and Schito, 2020; Kadar et al., 2013).

#### 3.1.2 Mode of action of polymyxins

Polymyxin B and colistin display antimicrobial activity against Gram-negative bacteria such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Escherichia coli*, which are nosocomial pathogens known to exhibit resistance to conventional antibiotics. However, despite the susceptibility of these pathogens to polymyxins, and particularly to colistin, the emergence of resistant strains has already begun (Landman et al., 2008).

Like all AMPs, the mechanism of action of polymyxins involves their binding to the negatively charged Gram-negative outer membrane of bacteria, causing damage to the membrane structure by acting as detergent. Polymyxins displace positively charges ions such as  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ , which stabilize the LPS from their binding sites, due to their attraction for the negatively charged lipid A of LPS (Moffatt et al., 2019). As a result of membrane destabilisation and permeabilisation, colistin enters the bacterial cell by self-promoted uptake (Dijkmans et al., 2015). This interaction changes the inner membrane integrity and ultimatly causes leakage of the contents and cell lysis. Damage to the membrane integrity upon polymyxin exposure, may make bacteria more vulnerable to hydrophobic antimicrobials such as erythromycin (Landman et al., 2008).

# 3.1.3 Synthetic antimicrobial peptides

Despite their importance and diversity, natural AMPs may have undesirable side effects, degrade fastly, or exhibit hemolytic activity. In addition, the discovery of new natural AMPs can be difficult due to high production costs, low scalability and low yield (Lima et al., 2021). Therefore, one solution is to develop synthetic AMPs. The goal of designing synthetic AMPs is to retain the antimicrobial properties while excluding or improving undersirable properties. One approach is to start with a natural AMP template and make modifications to obtain structurally related peptides with improved properties. Another approach is to generate libraries of AMPs by combining different AMPs and using *in silico* technologies to predict new AMPs. One example of a synthetic AMP is AamAP1-Lysine, which is derived from scorpion venom. AamAP1-lysine has activity against *S. aureus, E. coli, Candida albicans* and *P. aeruginosa* (Almaaytah et al., 2014; Lima et al., 2021). Interestingly, the modified sAamAP1-Lysine has much lower minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) (from 4 to 20 fold) against the same

pathogens than the initial AamAP1, making it a good candidate for future studies (Lima et al., 2021).

# 3.1.4 Protein epitope mimetic approach and peptidomimetics

Peptides and proteins that occur naturally offer promising starting points for designing and creating biologically active peptidomimetics that serve as new drugs, vaccines or other active molecules. One way to generate mimetics is by the protein epitope mimetic approach (PEM). Starting from naturally occuring peptides of known structure, the peptide epitopes, secondary structure backbone or the side chains could be grafted into alternative macrocyclic scaffolds to create libraries of thousands or peptides (**Figure 21**) (Luther et al., 2017; Zerbe et al., 2017).



**Figure 21:** Schema representing the approach for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -hairpin mimetics. The template of 8-residue loop  $\beta$ -hairpin sequence is attached to an organic template like D-Pro-L-Pro (1) to generate a peptidomimetic (2). Temp: template; X: stands for any aminoacid; adapted from (Shankaramma et al., 2002).

 $\beta$ -hairpin cationic host-defense AMPs are a large family of short, positively charged peptides, are interesting starting points for generating protein epitope mimetics due to their important role in immune system of many organisms (Obrecht et al., 2011). Therefore, they are being investigated as potential new antibiotics. The  $\beta$ -hairpin structure is present in several cationic AMPs (CAMPs), all of which are stabilised by disulfide bridges. Therefore, by grafting the cationic hairpin sequences onto template that preserves the  $\beta$ -hairpin geometry to generate libraries of peptidomimetics are considered for further optimization as antibacterial agents (Shankaramma et al., 2002). During this PhD project, we worked principally with murepavadin

peptidomimetic derived from Protegrin-1. For this reason, I will present these two in more details in the following parts.

## 3.1.5 Protegrin-1 (PG-1)

One famous example to serve as a parent molecule for the generation of peptidomimetics is Protegrin-1 (PG-1). PG-1 is a small cationic cysteine-rich, 18-residue peptide with a  $\beta$ - hairpin structure stabilised by disulfide bridges (**Figure 22**) (Soundrarajan et al., 2019). It is found in porcine leukocytes in which it participates in the first line of non-specific defense in the immune system of pigs (Ranade and Ramalingam, 2020).



N-terminus RGGRLCYCRRRFCVCVGR C-terminus

Figure 22: 3D structure of PG-1 (green) and its amino acid sequence indicated in letter code; adapted from (Soundrarajan et al., 2019).

Protegrin-1 is a broad sprectrum antimicrobial peptide with potent activity against MDR Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria. It works by binding to the negatively charged bacterial outer membrane, aggregating and permeabilizing the membrane through pore formation (Ranade and Ramalingam, 2020). The disulfide bridges in PG-1 are essential for its ability to form pores (Ranade and Ramalingam, 2020). Despite its strong antibacterial activity, PG-1 is also hemolytic and cytotoxic to human red blood cells (Shankaramma et al., 2002; Soundrarajan et al., 2019; Zerbe et al., 2017). Therefore, researchers have modified PG-1 to enhance its antibacterial activity and reduce its cytotoxicity. One approach has been to design  $\beta$ -hairpin protein mimetics based on the PG-1 structure (Obrecht et al., 2011).

Such mimetics are 14-amino acid  $\beta$ -hairpin PEM molecules that were generated by incorporating PG-1 side-chain residues to a 12-amino acid loop sequence attached to a D-

Proline-L-Proline scaffold. These molecules have remarkable antimicrobial properties, with different activities against different bacteria (**Figures 21** and **23**).



**Figure 23:** Schematic representation of the development of murepavadin by PEM approach. PG-1 residues are incorporated into a 12-residue  $\beta$ -hairpin scaffold (gray). The peptidomimetics L8-1, L19-45, L27-11, POL7001 resulted after rounds of optimisations and screaning for enhancing antibacterial properties. Dab: L-2,4-diaminobutyric acid, Lp: L-Proline, Dp: D-Proline; adapted from (Zerbe et al., 2017).

The first macrocyclic mimetic derived of PG-1 is L8-1. It displayed little membrane lytic activity to human red blood cells with respect to PG-1 (hemolytic activity of L8-1 was 1% while that of PG-1 was 37% at 100  $\mu$ g/mL peptide concentration) (**table 4**) (Herzog and Fridman, 2014; Shankaramma et al., 2002).

Further rounds for optimizing structure-function relationship, target-affinity and specificity, drug like properties, plasma stability finally led to the discovery of murepavadin with an antimicrobial activity specific to *P. aeruginosa* (**table 5**).

PG-1 mimetics	Drawback	Advantage	Reference	
PG-1	Membrane lytic activity	Rapid bactericidal effect	(Herzog and Fridman, 2014; Shankaramma et al., 2002; Steinberg et al., 1997)	
	Broad-spectrum activity (against Gram-positive and Gram- negative bacteria and fungi)	(reduced viable CFU by more than three log units in less than 15 minutes		
	Cytotoxic effects toward mamalian cells due to high hemolytic activity to red blood (37% at 100 $\mu$ g/mL peptide concentration)			
L8-1	Broad spectrum activity (against Gram-positive and Gram- negative bacteria and fungi)	Reduced hemolytic activity to 1% of fresh human red bood cells (at 100 $\mu$ g/mL peptide concentration)	(Herzog and Fridman, 2014; Shankaramma et al., 2002)	
L19-45	Broad spectrum activity (against Gram-positive and Gram- negative bacteria and fungi)	Active against P. aeruginosa	(Zerbe et al., 2017)	
L27-11	Rapid degradation by trypsin- like enzymes in human serum	Narrower spectrum of antimicrobial activity specific to <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	(Herzog and Fridman, 2014; Zerbe et al., 2017)	
	<b>—</b> · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · ·	
POL7001	Toxic effects when introduced intravenously	Clinical candidate	(Zerbe et al., 2017)	
		More stable toward proteolysis	_01/)	
Murepavadin	Toxic effects when introduced	Cosely related to POL7001	(Zerbe et al., 2017)	
	intravenously	Currently in Phase I clinical trials		
		Retained for use in aerosolisation form		

**Table 4**: Drawbacks and advantages of the protein epitope mimetics of PG-1 until discovery of murepavadinPOL7080.

					MIC (mg	g/L)	
Strain		PG-1	L8-1	L19-45	L27-11	POL7001	Murepavadin (POL7080)
P. aeruginosa	ATCC 27853	3	8	1	0.1	0.008	0.008
P. aeruginosa	PAO1	ND	8	2	0.004	0.008	0.004
S. aureus	ATCC 25923	6	8	64	64	>64	>64
E. coli	ATCC 25922	3	8	8	64	>64	>64

**Table 5**: MIC of PG-1 and its 5 epitope mimetics on *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* (Shankaramma et al., 2002; Srinivas et al., 2010).

# 3.1.6 Murepavadin (POL7080)

Murepavadin, formly known as POL7080, is a 14 amino acid cyclic peptide developed by Polyphor AG by PEM approach (**Figure 24**). This peptidomimetic was fully synthesized and inspired from natural scaffold of PG-1. It has been optimized for drug-like properties and antibacterial activity specific to *P. aeruginosa* (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a) after several rounds and iterations of optimisations (**Figure 23**).



Figure 24: Structure of murepavadin (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a).

# 3.1.6.1 Mode of action of murepavadin

Murepavadin is the first-in-class antibiotic called «Outer-Membrane Protein Targeting Antibiotic » OMPTA (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018a). It has a new and unique mechanism of action making it a promising therapeutic agent for cystic fibrosis patients colonized by *P*. *aeruginosa*.

Unlike other cationic antimicrobial peptides, such as colistin and PG-1, which promote cell lysis, murepavadin works through a non-lytic mechanism of action that is specific to *P. aeruginosa*. Murepavadin binds to the  $\beta$ -barrel protein LptD, which is involved in the transport of LPS to the OM. By blocking the transport of LPS, murepavadin disrupts the outer membrane biogenesis and ultimately leads to cell death. Murepavadin is specific to *P. aeruginosa* because of additional residues in the N-terminal domain of LptD that are not present in other Gramnegative bacteria. This specificity makes murepavadin less likely to harm the human native existing microbiota (**Figure 25**) (Srinivas et al., 2010)



**Figure 25:** Mode of action of murepavadin. Murepavadin interacts with LptD and blocks the translocation of LPS molecules to the outer membrane. LPS gets accumulated in the periplasmic space leading to cell death. OM: outer membrane, IM: inner membrane, LPS: lipopolysaccharide; adapted from (Andolina et al., 2018a).

#### 3.1.6.2 Murepavadin in vitro and in vivo activity

Murepavadin is a promising therapeutic antimicrobial peptide for showing important antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa*. It is still currently in Phase I clinical trials for development as aerosolisation treatment before being commercialized to patients. Several studies have been recently published and documented the efficient antimicrobial activity of murepavadin against clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains. Murepavadin have showed to exhibit good antimicrobial activity against both MDR and XDR clinical isolates with an MIC<sub>50/90</sub>= 0.12/0.12 mg/L (Sader et al., 2018a). In addition to that, murepavadin showed the highest activity (MIC <sub>50/90</sub>= 0.12/0/25) on a collection of 785 XDR clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains in comparison with conventional antibiotics (colistin MIC <sub>50/90</sub> =  $\frac{1}{2}$ , meropenem MIC <sub>50/90</sub> = 16/>32, ceftolozane-tazobactam MIC <sub>50/90</sub> = 2/>32, tobramycin with MIC <sub>50/90</sub> =  $\frac{8}{8}$ ) used for treatment of cystic fibrosis patients. It also has an activity against colistin resistant mutants (Sader et al., 2018b).

In addition to its efficacity *in vitro*, murepavadin has a direct relationship between dosage and its pharmacokinetics when tested in living organisms. It also shows strong capability to penetrate the epithelial lung fluid, which emphasizes its effectiveness *in vivo* in treating lung infections, even those caused by extensively drug-resistant isolates (Dale et al., 2018; Luther et al., 2017).

# 3.1.7 Resistance to antimicrobial peptides

#### 3.1.7.1 Resistance to polymyxins

Gram-negative bacteria have an OM that serve as the first line of interaction with polymyxins and cationic AMPs. Therefore, the majority of resistance mechanisms occur at the level of the OM and specifically the LPS. In several Gram-negative bacteria, including *P. aeruginosa, Salmonella enterica* and *Escherichia coli*, drug resistant strains tend to add substituents like 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose (L-Ara4N) residues, phosphoethanolamine (PEtN) and/or galactose amine to the lipid A or LPS core (Jeannot et al., 2017; Moffatt et al., 2019). This reduces the net negative charge of the OM, thereby reducing its affinity for cationic polymyxins (Moffatt et al., 2019).

In *P. aeruginosa*, polymyxin resistance mainly occurs when amino-arabinose residues are added to the surface of the OM by attaching to the phosphate groups of the lipid A. The synthesis, translocation and the attachment of the amino-arabinose residues is controlled by a large operon *arnBCADTEF-ugd*. At least four TCS PmrAB, PhoPQ, CprRS and ParRS TCS have been mainly identified to activate this operon and thus cause polymyxin resistance (**Figure 26**) (Jeannot et al., 2017; Jochumsen et al., 2016; Olaitan et al., 2014).



**Figure 26:** Schematic representation of two-component systems involved in polymyxins resistance in *P. aeruginosa.* The TCSs PhoPQ, PmrAB, ParRS and CprRS contribute to polymyxins resistance. PhoQ, PmrB, ParS and CprS are the sensor kinases situated in the inner membrane. PhoP, PmrA, ParR and CprR are response regulators situated in the cytoplasm. ParRS and CprRS are directly activated by polymyxins and antimicrobial peptides, while PhoPQ and PmrAB are directly activated by low concentration of divalent cations. The activation of these TCSs activates the *arnBCADTEF-ugD* operon that results in the production of amino-arabinose residues and their incorporation on the outer membrane, thus polymyxins resistance. ParRS also activates the expression of the multi-drug efflux pump *mexXY* operon resulting in aminoglycosides resistance. It also inhibits *oprD* expression resulting in carbapenems resistance, adapted from (Jeannot et al., 2017).

Two-component systems (TCS) are a family of global bacterial regulators, located into the inner membrane, that sense environmental signals. They are composed of a sensor transmembrane histidine kinase (HK) and a cytoplasmic or response regulator (RR). In the presence of signal, the HK of a TCS autophosphorylates at a conserved histidine residue by transferring the phosphate group from adenosine triphosphate (ATP). A wide variety of stimuli, such as oxygen levels, nutrient levels, osmolarity, cell envelop stress, antimicrobial peptides, can trigger this autophosphorylation event (Gao and Stock, 2009; Sivaneson et al., 2011). The phosphorylated

HK then transfers the phosphate group to conserved aspartate residue on the RR (**Figure 27**) (Depardieu et al., 2007). As a result, the output domain of the RR corresponding to a DNA binding domain binds to specific promoter region to regulate gene expression (Sivaneson et al., 2011).



**Figure 27:** Schematic representation of a classic two-component system. It is composed of a sensor (blue) integrated in the membrane close to its N-terminal, and a response regulator (green) located in the cytoplasm. Conserved motifs are located in the sensor (hatched blue). The conserved histidine residue gets phosphorylated and transfers the phosphate on a conserved aspartate residue located in the receiver domain of the regulator. The effector domain of the regulator is a DNA binding site, that regulate gene expression as a result of response regulator phosphorylation. His: histidine, P: phosphate, Asp: aspartate, a.a: amino acids; adapted from (Depardieu et al., 2007).

The number of TCS in bacterial genomes is variable and is correlated with the bacterium's need to cope with complex environment (Sivaneson et al., 2011). *P. aeruginosa* has approximately 130 TCS genes, which confirms its great capacity for adaptation (Rodrigue et al., 2000).

PmrAB TCS is activated by low concentrations of divalent cations (Ca2+, Mg 2+) and cationic antimicrobial peptides including colistin and polymyxin B (McPhee et al., 2003). Downstream to *pmrA* and *pmrB* genes, three other genes PA4773 (*speD2*), PA4774 (*speE2*) and PA4775 are implicated in polyamines biosynthesis and are activated in response to antimicrobial peptides exposure (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020; Bolard et al., 2019; Johnson et al., 2012). Indeed, *P. aeruginosa* reference strain PA14 exposure to sub-inhibitory concentration of polymyxin B upregulated all the mentioned five genes in addition to the *arnBCADTEF-ugd* operon (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). In addition to LPS modifications by the activation of the *arnBCADTEF*-

*ugd* operon, the presence of polyamines ensures a protective role against antimicrobial agents in which the MIC of colistin and polymyxin B increases significantly in the other reference strain PAO1 after the incubation with polyamines (Johnson et al., 2012; Kwon and Lu, 2006).

In addition to activation of TCSs by environmental signals, mutations in the genes encoding TCS are commonly found in colistin-resistant clinical strains isolated from CF patients. Gainof function mutations of *pmrB*, *parR* and *parS* genes or loss of function mutation of *phoQ*, lead to constitutive activation of *arnBCADTEF-ugd* operon and the production of amino-arabinose residues (Jochumsen et al., 2016). Resistance to colistin has been mainly attributed to *pmrB* mutations (Moskowitz et al., 2012). Indeed, mutations in *pmrAB* are very common in colistin resistant CF clinical strains and frequently they correspond to amino acid substitutions and contribute to high-resistance levels to colistin (MIC > 256 µg/mL) (Moskowitz et al., 2012).

Besides LPS modification, the activation of the ParRS TCS activates the *mexXY* operon, which encodes for the multidrug efflux pump MexXY/OprM. MexXY/OprM is one of several resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) family of multi-drug efflux systems that participate significantly in multi-drug resistance. It is considered as a primary player in aminoglycosides resistance (Morita et al., 2012), and has been shown to be implicated in colistin resistance in *pmrB* mutants (Puja et al., 2020). Other forms of polymyxin resistance include the encapsulation of AMPs with anionic bacterial capsule polysaccharides (CPS), resulting in reduced amount of AMPs reaching the bacterial surface (**Figure 28**) (Llobet et al., 2008). The production of CPS has been observed in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* and were described to increase resistance to polymyxins (Llobet et al., 2008).



**Figure 28:** Mechanisms of polymyxins resistance in *P. aeruginosa.* (A) shows the membrane of a susceptible strain to polymyxins. Polymyxins are positively charged and interact with the negatively charged outer membrane. (B) showing a modified outer membrane. The addition of amino-arabinose residues (red shape) on the lipid A of the LPS increases the positive charges and prevents polymyxins from attacking the membrane. (C) *P. aeruginosa* increases the production of efflux pumps that span the membrane like MexXY/OprM. (D) Formation of capsular polysaccharide to trap polymyxins, OM: outer membrane, IM: inner membrane; adapted from (Moffatt et al., 2019).

#### 3.1.7.2 Resistance to murepavadin

Despite the novel mechanism of action of murepavadin, very few mutations confering resistance to murepavadin have been identified so far (**table 6**). These include mutations in the LptD protein and the TCS PmrAB. Since murepavadin's main target is LptD, it is not surprising that mutations in this protein lead to resistance. Indeed, a duplication mutation of 6 residues LRDKGM from the position 210 to 215 in the  $\beta$ -jellyroll periplasmic domain was identified in an *in vitro* spontaneous resistant mutant selected from the PAO1 reference strain exposed at

5xMIC of murepavadin. This mutations was associated with an MIC of 64 mg/L (1064-fold the MIC of the reference PAO1 = 0.06 mg/L) (Andolina et al., 2018a; Srinivas et al., 2010).

Gene	Mutation	Reference
acrB2	V <sub>909</sub> A	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
crbA	$D_{640}G$	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
lpxl1	frAla <sub>12</sub>	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
lpxT	A <sub>55</sub> T	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
lpxl2	fsE110, fsV125, fsQ202, fsT176, fsE63	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
msbA	Q94R	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
<i>lptD</i>	InsLRDKGM210-215, G214D	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b; Srinivas et al., 2010)
bamA2	G <sub>504</sub> D	(Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b)
pmrB	$G_{188}S$ , $V_{136}L$ , $T_{132}P$ , $R_{155}H$ , $A_{330}P$ , $G_{188}D$ , $\Delta L_{172}$	(Romano et al., 2019)

Table 6: List of mutations identified in *in vitro* selected resistant mutants to murepavadin.

fs: frameshift, ins: insertion.

Furthermore, mutations in the histidine kinase PmrB of the TCS PmrAB have also been documented to reduce the susceptibility to murepavadin with at least a 4-fold increase in MIC relative to the wild type PA14 strain (Romano et al., 2019). This suggests cross-resistance between collistin and murepavadin through the TCS PmrAB.

In another study attempting to select *in vitro* mutants of *P. aeruginosa* that are resistant to murepavadin to identify potential resistance mechanisms, the authors identified numerous mutated genes that were predicted to participate in reducing the susceptibility of the mutants to murepavadin (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b). The majority of those genes are implicated in LPS biosynthesis (*lpxL1, lpxL2, bamA2, lptD and lpxT*) and LPS transport (*msbA*) (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b). Other mutations were identified in *acrB2*, and the *cbrA* genes, which encodes the multidrug efflux pump subunit AcrB and the sensor kinase CbrA of the two-component system CbrAB, respectively. Mutations in these genes contributed to a at least 2-fold increase in MIC. However, none of these mutations have been confirmed to reduce the susceptibility to murepavadin in clinical strains. To our knowledge, no other mutations have been documented so far.

# III. Results

# Chapter 1: Alteration of LpxL1, BamA and PmrB proteins contribute to decrease the murepavadin susceptibility

# 1.1 Context and objective

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is well-known in being a significant respiratory pathogen in Cystic Fibrosis patients (CF). Early colonisation by this pathogen can be managed through administration of antibiotics essentially by inhalation route (tobramycin), which can postpone the establishment of *P. aeruginosa* infections until older age (Reyne et al., 2023). Nevertheless, once *P. aeruginosa* settles in the respiratory tracts of CF patients, eliminating it becomes an intricate challenge leaving the patients chronically infected.

The commonly inhaled antibiotic colistin, tobramycin and aztreonam are used to treat CF patients chronicaly infected with *P. aeruginosa*. Inhaled antibiotic therapy is often more advantageous over systemic therapy due to a more localized delivery mode of the antibiotic and thus greater airway concentration, in addition to reduced toxicity (Li and Schneider-Futschik, 2023). Moreover, the number of CF patients who are continuously receiving inhaled antibiotics with different combinations is increasing (Taccetti et al., 2021). Despite the major importance of inhaled therapy in maintaining patients with chronic infections, resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to those antibiotics has emerged, which makes the development of alternative treatments urgently needed.

Antimicrobial peptides are one of the possible alternatives to antibiotics because they can be active against drug-resistant bacteria (Wang et al., 2022). Several antimicrobial peptides have been described in literature, each being different from the other in structure, origin, spectrum of activity and mode of action (Rima et al., 2021). Murepavadin is a novel peptidomimetic, positively charged, that is under development (phase 1- ongoing) for patients suffering from CF (Dale et al., 2018). It targets specifically the LptD complex of the outer membrane of *P. aeruginosa* (Martin-Loeches et al., 2018b). Previous published work showed the promising activity of murepavadin on *P. aeruginosa* clinical strains with low MIC (0.125 mg/L) (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021a). Despite being still in development and not commercialized, some CF clinical strains displayed high MIC value to murepavadin (MIC >4 mg/L). An initial study of the mechanism of action identified *in vitro* mutations in LptD as the mechanism of resistance to this peptidomimetic (Srinivas et al., 2010). The objectives of this work were to study the antibacterial activity of murepavadin on a large collection of *P. aeruginosa* clinical strains

(*n*=230), that were isolated from chronically infected CF patients from 12 different French hospitals, and to characterize the mechanisms affecting the activity of murepavadin. We also tested its activity on 11 colistin resistant strains and aimed at the identification of the cross-resistance with the frequently used inhaled antibiotics. In this work, we demonstrated the excellent activity of murepavadin on *P. aeruginosa* CF clinical strains despite some strains exhibited high MIC values (128 mg/L). We have also identified mechanisms affecting the murepavadin activity through mutations in the *lpxL1* and *bamA* genes, that are involved in LPS biosynthesis and transport. Finally, we have shown that alterations of the histidine kinase of the PmrAB two component system can confer resistance to murepavadin as well to colistin and tobramycin, two other inhaled antibiotics.

1.2 Manuscript accepted in Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy Journal after minor modifications

1	Mutations in genes <i>lpxL1</i> , <i>bamA</i> and <i>pmrB</i> impair the susceptibility of cystic
2	fibrosis strains of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> to murepavadin
3	
4	Aya GHASSANI <sup>a</sup> , Pauline TRIPONNEY <sup>b</sup> , Maxime BOUR <sup>b</sup> , Patrick PLESIAT <sup>a</sup> , Katy
5	JEANNOT <sup>a,b,c,#,</sup> and MucoMicrobes study Group
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> UMR6249 CNRS Chrono-environnement, Université de Franche-Comté, 25000 Besançon,
8	France
9	<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire associé au Centre National de Référence de la résistance aux antibiotiques,
10	25000 Besançon, France
11	<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Jean Minjoz, 25000
12	Besançon, France
13	
14	Running title: Murepavadin resistance of CF P. aeruginosa strains
15	Keywords : Murepavadin, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Antimicrobial resistance
16	ORCID number : 0000-0002-4634-8550
17	
18	# Corresponding author. Centre National de Référence de la résistance aux antibiotiques,
19	Laboratoire de Bactériologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, 3 boulevard Fleming, 25030
20	Besançon, France. Tel +33 3 70 63 21 69; email: katy.jeannot@univ-fcomte.fr

#### 21 Abstract

22 Murepavadin is a peptidomimetic exhibiting specific inhibitory activity against *Pseudomonas* species. In the present study, its in vitro activity was assessed on 230 cystic fibrosis (CF) strains 23 24 of P. aeruginosa isolated from twelve French hospitals, in comparison with twelve other 25 antipseudomonal antibiotics. Although murepavadin is still in pre-clinical stage of development, 9.1% (n=21) of the strains displayed a resistance superior to 4 mg/L, a level at 26 27 least 128-fold higher than the modal MIC value of the whole collection ( $\leq 0.06$  mg/L). Wholegenome sequencing of these 21 strains along with more susceptible isogenic counterparts 28 coexisting in the same patients revealed diverse mutations in genes involved in the synthesis 29 30 (*lpxL1* and *lpxL2*) or transport of lipopolysaccharides (*bamA*, *lptD*, and *msbA*), or encoding histidine kinases of two-component systems (pmrB and cbrA). Allelic replacement experiments 31 with wild-type reference strain PAO1 confirmed that alteration of genes *lpxL1*, *bamA* and/or 32 pmrB can increase murepavadin resistance from 8- to 32-fold. Furthermore, we found that 33 specific amino-acid substitutions in histidine kinase PmrB (G188D, Q105P, and D45E) reduce 34 35 the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to murepavadin, colistin and tobramycin, three antibiotics 36 used or intended to be used (murepavadin) in aerosols to treat colonized CF patients. Whether colistin or tobramycin may select mutants resistant to murepavadin or the opposite needs to be 37 38 addressed by clinical studies.

## 39 Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in cystic fibrosis (CF) 40 (1). Because of its ability to survive in multiple environments, this Gram-negative pathogen 41 frequently colonizes the airways of CF individuals generating *in situ* a chronic inflammation 42 itself responsible for a decline of the respiratory function. In an attempt to control such a 43 deleterious lung invasion, international guidelines recommend the administration of repeated 44 45 cures of inhaled antibiotics to chronically infected patients (2, 3). Aerosols of tobramycin, colistin methane sulfonate, and in a lesser extent aztreonam are thus commonly used with this 46 indication. More recently, murepavadin (POL7080, Spexis), a new peptidomimetic derived 47 from the porcine cationic antimicrobial peptide protegrin-I secreted by neutrophils, has been 48 recognized as potentially useful to treat CF and non-CF bronchiectasis patients by inhalation 49 (upcoming Phase 1 clinical trial) (4, 5). The project of using the intravenous route was 50 abandoned because of significant nephrotoxic effects (6). This 14 amino acid-long, β-hairpin-51 52 configured, cationic macrocyclic peptide that is stabilized by a D-proline-L-proline bond, is selectively active on *Pseudomonas* species (4). Unlike colistin which interacts with negatively 53 charged residues born by the lipid A of lipopolysaccharides (LPS), murepavadin targets outer 54 membrane proteins, mainly the  $\beta$ -barrel LPS transport protein D (LptD) (7, 8). This latter forms 55 56 a complex with the outer membrane anchored protein LptE, to translocate newly synthetized 57 LPS molecules from the periplasmic space to the bacterial surface. Interaction of murepavadin with or near the β-jellyroll periplasmic domain of LptD is believed to prevent the correct 58 insertion of LPS into the outer membrane, leading to detrimental misfunctions (9). In preclinical 59 60 studies, murepavadin showed an excellent in vitro activity (MIC<sub>90</sub> from 0.12 to 0.25 mg/L) on non-CF clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa*, some of those being multidrug resistant (10, 11). 61 Despite the potential application of the peptide in CF, its activity on CF strains was documented 62 rather scarcely while revealing bacteria with MIC values greater than 4 mg/L (12). Because 63

P. aeruginosa can adapt easily to most antipseudomonal antibiotics through mutations (13), 64 65 some studies focused on the emergence of resistant mutants to murepavadin or its peptidomimetic analogue POL7001 in vitro (14-16). Thus, tandem duplication of a sequence 66 LRDKGM in protein LptD was associated with a 64-fold increased resistance of reference 67 strain PAO1 (4); whereas Romano et al. found that complementation of strain PA14 with pmrB 68 alleles encoding altered peptides (G185S, G188D, and L172del) resulted in 2- to 16-fold higher 69 70 murepavadin MICs (16). Finally, alteration of several genes (*cbrA*, *acrB2*, *lpxL1*, *lpxL2*, *lpxlT*, msbA, and bamA) by missense or frameshift mutations was predicted to reduce murepavadin 71 72 susceptibility of PAO1 or its hypermutator mutant PAO1 $\Delta mutS$  in time-kill experiments (15). However, except for some *pmrB* mutants, the impact of these mutations on murepavadin 73 activity was not confirmed further. 74

75 The present study was set up to improve our knowledge on the antipseudomonal activity of murepavadin. Its MIC values were compared to that of currently used antibiotics for 230 CF 76 77 isolates collected from 105 patients in 12 French hospitals. To get an insight into the mechanisms contributing to a decreased activity of the peptide in this particular clinical context, 78 79 we next compared the genomic sequences of isolates coexisting in a same patient but differing 80 in their resistance levels, and introduced the most prevalent mutations found into wild-type reference P. aeruginosa strain PAO1. Thus, we show that some of these mutations generate a 81 cross-resistance between murepavadin and common antibiotics in CF such as tobramycin and 82 colistin. The risk of co-selection of multidrug resistant strains with murepavadin in CF needs 83 to be considered, especially in a hypermutator genetic background. 84

#### **Results and discussion**

In vitro susceptibility of CF strains to murepavadin. Two hundred and thirty isolates of P. 86 87 aeruginosa collected over a three-month period from 105 French CF patients were tested for their resistance levels to 13 antipseudomonal antibiotics including murepavadin (Table 1 and 88 Table S1). According to the EUCAST 2023 breakpoints established for P. aeruginosa, 10.9% 89 of these isolates were susceptible or susceptible at increased exposure to all the currently 90 91 approved antibiotics (no breakpoints have been defined yet for murepavadin), 38.7% were nonsusceptible to at least one agent in less than three antimicrobial categories, 37.0% fitted with 92 the definition of MDR, 12.1% were XDR, and 1.3% PDR (17). Among these molecules, colistin 93 (94.4%), ceftazidime plus avibactam (87.4%), ceftolozane plus tazobactam (80.0%), and 94 meropenem (80.0%) were the most frequently active (Table 1). The MIC values of murepavadin 95 ranged from  $\leq 0.06$  to  $\geq 128$  mg/L (Table 1). While the murepavadin MIC<sub>50</sub> value determined 96 97 on our collection (0.125 mg/L) was identical to that reported previously for non-CF strains, a notable proportion of CF strains appeared to be more resistant (MIC<sub>90</sub> = 4 mg/L) than the 98 isolates of these studies (0.12 and 0.25 mg/L, respectively) (10, 11). Corroborating this 99 observation, the MIC<sub>90</sub> of the antibiotic was found equal to 2 mg/L for CF strains collected in 100 Northern Ireland, the Netherlands, Spain, and Australia (12). Because murepavadin is intended 101 to be administrated to CF patients by aerosolization, its activity was compared to that of 102 103 antibiotics already used under the form of aerosols, such as colistin, aztreonam-lysin, and 104 tobramycin. MIC<sub>50</sub>/MIC<sub>90</sub> values of these molecules were equal to 1/2 mg/L, 4/128 mg/L and 2/32 mg/L, respectively (Table 1). Murepavadin retained a good activity on most strains 105 considered as clinically resistant to tobramycin (MIC > 2 mg/L), colistin (MIC > 4 mg/L) and 106 aztreonam (MIC > 16 mg/L), thereby suggesting that this new drug could be an alternative to 107 these common treatments. On the other hand, a high resistance to the peptide (arbitrarily fixed 108 >4 mg/L) was noted in 16 (7%), 8 (3.5%) and 9 (3.9%) isolates resistant to the three antibiotics 109
respectively. Finally, a few strains with such relatively high murepavadin MICs turned out to be susceptible to one or more of these older molecules, mostly tobramycin (n = 5) and colistin (n = 13) (Table S1).

113 Though none of the CF-patients from this work ever received murepavadin, 21 isolates (9.1%) from 15 individuals exhibited a resistance level greater than 4 mg/L, including eight isolates 114 (3.5%) for which the MIC values were  $\geq 128$  mg/L (Table S1). To get an insight into the 115 mechanisms involved in these phenotypes, we sequenced the genomes of these 21 bacteria 116 along with those of more susceptible isolates (MIC  $\leq 4 \text{ mg/L}$ ) coexisting in the same sputum 117 samples (13 patients out of 15). Finally, intra-patient comparisons of these genome sequences 118 119 were carried out in search of the most common SNPs (Table 2). The number of genomic alterations between concomitant clones varied from 2 (patient III-9) to 892 (patient XII-2) 120 (Table S2). CF patients are often initially colonized by a single strain of *P. aeruginosa* which 121 diversifies over the course of the disease to give rise to phenotypically distinct but genotypically 122 related subpopulations well adapted to the lung environment (18). This evolution is usually 123 124 boosted by the emergence of hypermutator clones deficient in one or several DNA proofreading 125 systems (19, 20). Consistent with the relatively high divergence observed between some intrapatient clones, mutations in the DNA mismatch repair system (genes mutS, mutL, uvrD) and/or 126 127 8-oxodG system (genes *mutM*, *mutT*, *mutY*) were noticed in 23 out of the 37 sequenced strains (62.2%) (Table S2). On the other hand, a minimal divergence of 22 SNPs was found associated 128 with a large murepavadin MIC difference of 1,024-fold (from 0.125 to  $\geq$  128 mg/L) between 129 two clones colonizing patient XI-4. 130

### 131 Mutations in genes lpxL1 and bamA impact the activity of murepavadin in P. aeruginosa

resistance > 4 mg/L (*i.e.*,  $\geq$  128-fold the modal MIC for the whole population) displayed diverse

132

134 SNPs in genes band (n = 3 strains), cbrA (n = 3), lpxL1 (n = 4), lpxL2 (n = 1), lptD (n = 2),

CF strains. Compared with their more susceptible counterparts, isolates with a murepavadin

msbA (n = 2) and/or pmrB (n = 4) (Table 2). Genes cbrA and pmrB encode the sensor histidine 135 136 kinases of two-component systems CbrA-CbrB, and PmrA-PmrB, respectively while the other loci are involved in the transport (*msbA*, *lptD*, *bamA*) or biosynthesis of LPS (*lpxL1*, *lpxL2*) 137 (21). A first analysis of the distribution of these mutations among the selected strains failed to 138 139 establish a correlation between murepayadin MICs and the alteration of specific genes or the number of mutated genes per isolate, suggesting that in CF strains murepavadin resistance is 140 141 multifactorial and likely involves still unidentified loci. Supporting this assumption, several strains turned out not to harbor alterations in the short list of genes cited above, such as III-3-1, 142 XI-4-3, XI-6-2, and XI-6-6 (murepavadin MIC  $\geq 128$ mg/L, Table 2). 143

144 Genes *lpxL1* (synonym of *htrB1*) and *lpxL2* (*htrB2*) encode lauryl transferases known to modify the structure of lipid A in a site-specific manner. While LpxL1 mediates the addition of 2-145 hydroxylaurate at the C-2 position of lipid A, LpxL2 adds laurate at C-2'(22). Defects in either 146 gene result in an increased permeability of the outer membrane, and hypersusceptibility to 147 various antibiotics and polycationic peptides (23). Various patient-specific mutations were 148 149 noted in the LpxL1-encoding gene resulting in either truncated peptides (W84\*, E265\*), amino 150 acid substitutions (G30S, T76P, H120N, T60A, R75K, R93K, K181R, E256D), or disruption of the gene *lpxl1* itself (ins<sub>2nt</sub> 516-517). To assess the impact of some of these alterations on 151 murepayadin susceptibility levels, we replaced the *lpxL1* gene of strain PAO1 with the mutated 152 alleles from clinical strains III-9-1 (inferred amino acid variation T76P), VII-1-1 (E265\*) and 153 IX-5-2 (H120N), respectively. These changes resulted in an 8-fold increase in murepavadin 154 resistance (from 0.06 to 0.5 mg/L) (Table 3), in agreement with the reported emergence of a 155 resistant lpxL1 disruption mutant (MIC > 16 mg/L) along with several lpxL2 mutants from 156 157 hypermutator strain PAO1*AmutS* during time-kill experiments (15). Though it has been suggested that production of penta-acylated LPS molecules in LpxL1-deficient mutants results 158 in decreased susceptibility to polymyxins, our results did not confirm such effects (Table 3) 159

(23). In addition to *lpxL1*, the *bamA* gene was found to contain various single point mutations 160 in a subset of CF strains exhibiting diverse resistance levels to murepavadin (from 2 to  $\geq 128$ 161 mg/L), including the CF strain III-9-3 (Table 2). Its product, the β-barrel outer membrane 162 protein BamA, is a component of the BAM complex (BamA-E). This complex plays an 163 essential role in the folding of  $\beta$ -barrel proteins such as LptD and their insertion into the outer 164 membrane (9). All the mutations identified in the selected CF strains (K291E, D535E, D603G, 165 166 and T617I) were mapped in the  $\beta$ -strands of the C-terminal  $\beta$ -barrel domain. To assess the impact of the D535E substitution on murepavadin susceptibility, we first replaced the wild-type 167 bamA gene of PAO1 with the mutated allele of strain III-9-3. This only resulted in a modest 2-168 169 fold increase in the peptide MIC (0.125 mg/L). However, the double replacement of *lpxL1* and bamA genes in the reference strain with the mutated alleles from strains III-9-1 and -3 that code 170 for the T76P and D535E variations, respectively, had multiplicative effects on resistance to 171 172 murepavadin (e.g., 32-fold increase as compared with the wild-type parent) (Table 3). The fact that the resistance of this double mutant (2 mg/L) is far below that of some CF strains (Table 173 174 2) reinforces the notion that other loci contribute to higher MIC values. Like with *lpxL1*, colistin susceptibility was unchanged in *bamA* mutants as compared with PAO1. 175

Cross-resistance between tobramycin, colistin and murepavadin in pmrB clinical mutants. In 176 addition to the genes involved in the transport and synthesis of LPS, single point mutations 177 were identified in *pmrB* and *cbrA* leading to amino acid substitutions in their respective 178 products, PmrB (M48I, R79H, F168L, L172P, P175L, V215A, P254S) and CbrA (A81T, 179 Y457H, G502S, N855S). These histidine kinases sense and transmit stress signals from the cell 180 envelope to their cognate cytoplasmic response regulators PmrA and CbrA, respectively, 181 allowing for an appropriate adaptation of P. aeruginosa. Previous studies demonstrated that 182 specific mutations in these phosphor-relays confer a dual resistance to polymyxins and 183 aminoglycosides (24, 25). While inactivation of CbrA caused a modest augmentation of 184

tobramycin (2-fold) and colistin MICs (4-fold), mutational activation of PmrB had much greater
effects on the resistance to these cationic antibiotics (16-fold and 32-fold, respectively) (24,
25).

In the present strain collection, only one isolate (V-5-1) classified as colistin resistant (MIC = 188 128 mg/L) by reference to the EUCAST 2023 breakpoints, showed a mutation in sensor PmrB 189 (P254S) (Table 2). To investigate on a possible PmrB-mediated cross-resistance to 190 murepavadin, colistin and tobramycin, we selected eleven fully sequenced colistin-resistant 191 pmrB mutants from the collection of the French National Reference Center for antibiotic 192 resistance. As indicated in Table 4, these non-CF strains quite highly resistant to colistin (from 193 194 16 to > 256 mg/L) showed a susceptibility to murepavadin ranging from 0.25 to 8 mg/L. Reminiscent of the CF strains described in this study, multiple mutations in genes bamA, cbrA, 195 lptD, lpxL1 and lpxL2, were also present in these bacteria. Constructs of plasmid vector 196 pME6012 carrying the *pmrAB* operons from three strains (3795, 2243, 3890) were used to 197 complement the deletion mutant PAO1*ApmrAB*. Confirming the impact of PmrB amino-acid 198 199 substitutions G188D, Q105P and D45E on the susceptibility to murepavadin, MIC values of the peptide increased from 16 to 64-fold upon complementation, thus reaching 0.5 and 2 mg/L, 200 respectively (Table 3). Colistin MICs varied in parallel (from 32-fold to 256-fold) suggesting 201 202 that the degree of resistance to both antibiotics is modulated by specific amino acid variations in different regions of PmrB (26). Of note, the G188D and Q105P substitutions are located in 203 the HAMP and periplasmic domains of PmrB, respectively while D45E affects the periplasmic 204 domain of the sensor. Consistent with our results, spontaneous pmrB mutants 8- to 32-fold more 205 resistant than parental strain PA14 to murepavadin analogue POL7001 have been previously 206 described (16). As shown by our laboratory, alteration of sensor PmrB can potentially be 207 responsible for a decreased susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to aminoglycosides (up to 16-fold) 208 209 (Table 3) (25). Since mutations may target the *pmrB* gene in the context of CF lung chronic

colonization, the probability that some of them affect the activity of the three molecules,
murepavadin, colistin and tobramycin, should be considered. Longitudinal studies enrolling
cohorts of CF patients would be necessary to validate this hypothesis, looking at the emergence
of cross-resistant mutants under murepavadin, colistin or tobramycin aerosol therapy.

214 Other mutations identified. Unexpectedly, mutations in the murepavadin target protein LptD were identified in only two CF strains that otherwise displayed multiple alterations in their 215 216 DNA repair systems (4) (Tables 2 and Table S2). A tandem duplication of the PSDE sequence 217 spanning from positions 151 to 154 was found in V-5-1, a strain with a murepavadin resistance equal to 8 mg/L that also harbored mutations in PmrB (P254S) and BamA (D603G). Though 218 219 the impact of this structural change on the function of LptD was not investigated further, it is interesting to note that a tandem duplication of residues LRDKGM at positions 210 to 215 220 together with a G214D change was reported previously for an *in vitro* selected mutant showing 221 a 64-fold higher murepavadin resistance than its parent PAO1 (4, 15). The second isolate (IX-222 223 3-5) of this study displaying a LptD variant (M261T) contained a concomitant V215A change 224 in PmrB, for a resistance level to murepavadin equal to 32 mg/L. Again, highlighting the 225 multifactorial nature and complexity of mechanisms contributing to elevated MICs of the peptide, 511 SNPs were identified between the susceptible isolate IX-3-3 (MIC = 0.125 mg/L) 226 227 and its counterpart, IX-3-5 (Table S2).

ATPase MsbA is a member of the ABC-transporter superfamily. The role of this transmembrane protein is to flip complete lipid A-core molecules from the inner to the outer side of the cytoplasmic membrane before their modification and subsequent transport by the Lpt machinery to the cell surface (27). Five CF strains of our collection produced MsbA proteins with single amino acid substitutions (V419I in I-5-4, I-5-2, I-5-1; H135R in VI-7-2; I39V in X-6-2). In contrast to the other isolates, strain VI-7-2 did not appear to contain alterations in genes *bamA*, *cbrA*, *lptD*, *lpxL1*, *lpxL2* and *pmrB*. Interestingly, its genetic

divergence from its susceptible counterpart VI-7-1 (MIC  $\leq 0.06$  mg/L) was limited to 26 SNPs, 235 236 which suggests a contribution of the H135R mutation to the resistance of VI-7-2 to murepavadin (8 mg/L). Little is known about the impact the alteration of MsbA may have on the fitness of 237 P. aeruginosa. In Escherichia coli, experiments demonstrated that the deletion of the MsbA-238 encoding gene drastically decreases cell viability both in vitro and in vivo (28). However, amino 239 acid substitutions in the protein, which shares 40.3% sequence identity with its homologue in 240 241 *P. aeruginosa*, can be tolerated as those conferring resistance to quinoline compounds targeting MsbA (28). Further experiments are required to clarify to which extent mutations in MsbA and 242 LptD reduce the susceptibility of CF strains to murepavadin and may affect the fitness of P. 243 244 aeruginosa.

Conclusion. The present study confirms the good in vitro activity of murepavadin on CF 245 strains, making this original antipseudomonal peptide endowed with a unique mode of action 246 an interesting alternative to older antibiotics currently used by inhalation, such as colistin, 247 tobramycin and aztreonam. However, although murepavadin is still under development, a 248 249 notable proportion of the *P. aeruginosa* strains that already colonize CF patients display various degrees of resistance to the drug, including some isolates for which MICs are > 4 mg/L (9.1%)250 (*i.e.*,  $\geq$  128-fold the modal value of our strain population). It is now well established that long-251 252 term and repeated administration of antibiotics to CF patients select bacterial subpopulations increasingly resistant to one or more antimicrobials (29). A trivial explanation for the presence 253 of P. aeruginosa relatively resistant to murepavadin in patients never treated with this drug 254 could be that current treatments by aminoglycosides (mostly tobramycin) and/or polymyxins 255 (mostly colistin) select mutation-based mechanisms of cross-resistance implying global 256 257 regulators or two-components such as PmrAB. Aminoglycosides, polymyxins and murepavadin have in common to interact with components of the bacterial outer membrane. Thus, it is 258 259 tempting to speculate that still unknown mechanisms impairing the activities of these antibiotic

families are related to the structure or physiology of the cell envelope, as for the resistant strains 260 261 from patients III-3, X-6, XI-4 and XI-6. However, it remains unclear which selective pressure in the CF lung can lead to the emergence of mutants specifically resistant to murepavadin while 262 the drug has never been used (e.g. lpxL1). Mutants exhibiting various modifications in the 263 structure of the lipid A have already been reported in the context of CF, which could reflect a 264 phenotypic adaption of *P. aeruginosa* to this particular lung environment, not necessarily linked 265 266 to the presence of antibiotics (30). Understanding the phenotypic and genotypic evolution of CF strains under murepavadin therapy will be key to the positioning of this new agent among 267 the antibiotic resources available against P. aeruginosa. 268

269

### 270 Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, culture media, and growth conditions. The strains and plasmids used in this 271 study are described in Table S3. During a four-month multicenter national survey (GERPA 272 273 MUCO II, from October 2019 to January 2020) involving twelve French hospitals (Besançon, Brest, Limoges, Lyon La Croix Rousse, Nantes, Paris Foch, Paris Necker, Paris Robert Debré, 274 Toulon, Toulouse, Reims and Rennes), 718 isolates of P. aeruginosa were collected from 120 275 276 CF patients (ten patients per participating center, and six colonies randomly picked from a single sputum sample per individual). A subcollection of 230 strains from 105 CF patients aged 277 1 to 52-years (median 24-years) was selected for the present study, to retain only those isolates 278 279 exhibiting different antibiotic susceptibility profiles (at least a 2-fold MIC difference for at least 2 antibiotics, data not shown). The collection was enriched with 11 non-CF colistin-resistant 280 clinical strains of *P. aeruginosa* (MIC > 4 mg/L) harboring a PmrB mutation, isolated between 281 2014 and 2019 in eleven French hospitals (repository of the French National Reference Center 282 for antibiotic resistance, Besancon hospital). All strains were grown at 35 +/-2°C in Mueller-283

Hinton broth (MHB) (Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, Md, United States) with
adjusted concentrations of divalent cations Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> or on Mueller-Hinton Agar (MHA)
plates (Bio-Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). In conjugation experiments, transconjugants
were selected on *Pseudomonas* Isolation Agar (PIA, Becton Dickinson) supplemented with
2,000 mg/L streptomycin. The plasmid has been excised after culture of the transconjuguants
on a M9 minimal medium (42 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 22 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 19 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 8.5 mM NaCl)
with 5% sucrose as a source of carbon and energy.

291 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of ticarcillin, piperacillin plus 4 mg/L tazobactam, aztreonam, ceftazidime, ceftolozane plus 4 mg/L 292 tazobactam, ceftazidime plus 4 mg/L avibactam, cefepime, imipenem, meropenem, amikacin, 293 tobramycin and ciprofloxacin were determined in MHB by using customized microplates 294 containing lyophilized antibiotic powders (Thermo Fisher, Illkirch-87 Graffenstaden, France). 295 MICs of colistin (from 0.12 to 256 mg/L) and murepavadin (from 0.06 to 128 mg/L) were 296 determined by the standard microdilution method in MHB using titrated powders of colistin 297 298 sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich) and murepavadin (ProbeChem, China) (31). The strains were 299 categorized as susceptible (S), susceptible at increased exposure (I) or resistant (R) according to the EUCAST 2023 clinical breakpoints (32). Quality controls in MIC experiments were 300 301 performed on a regular basis with P. aeruginosa strains ATCC 27853 and PAO1, and E. coli NCTC 13846. 302

303 *SNP identification.* Twenty-one CF strains with a murepavadin MIC value > 4 mg/L were 304 submitted to complete genome sequencing along with 17 more susceptible isolates ( $\leq$  4 mg/L) 305 coexisting in the same sputum samples. Whole DNA was extracted from overnight cultures by 306 using the PureLink Genomic DNA mini kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Libraries were prepared 307 (Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation kit) and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform 308 (Illumina, San Diego, CA; P2M platform, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France). Fastq files were generated and demultiplexed with bcl2fastq Conversion Software (v2.20; Illumina). The final
average sequencing depth was > 80 X for all of the strains. The reads were assembled using
Shovill-Spades (v3.14.0) and the contigs annotated with Prokka (v1.14.5). Single Nucleotide
Polymorphisms (SNPs) (accession number NC\_002516.1) were detected by mapping the reads
against the reference strain PAO1 sequence, by using BioNumerics (v7.6.3) software (Applied
Maths) with a minimum sequencing depth of 10 X. Sequence Types (STs) were determined
according to the MLST scheme available at PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org).

Allelic replacement. Respective sequences of genes lpxL1 (888-bp in length) and bamA (2,394-316 bp) were amplified by PCR from whole DNA extracts of strains III-9-1, III-9-3, VII-1-1 and 317 318 IX-5-2 (Genomic DNA extraction kit, Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt) by using the primers listed in Table S4. The resultant fragments were cloned into plasmid pKNG101 by using the 319 NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) 320 (33). The recombinant plasmids were next transferred to E. coli CC118 $\lambda$ pir by transformation 321 and then to strain PAO1 by triparental mating with helper strain E. coli HB101(pRK2013) (34). 322 323 Transconjugants were selected on PIA medium containing 2,000 mg/L streptomycin. Excision of integrated plasmids was obtained by replica plating on M9 minimal agar medium 324 supplemented with 5% sucrose. The allelic replacement of genes *lpxL1* and *bamA* in PAO1 was 325 326 checked PCR sequencing (RUO3500 Genetic Analyzer, Applied Biosystems) with specific primers (Table S4). 327

## 328 Acknowledgements

We thank Emma Girardin and Jade Chaillon for their excellent technical assistance. We are grateful to the members of the MucoMicrobes study group for collecting strains: Emilie Cardot-Martin (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Foch, Paris), Vincent Cattoir (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes), Lise Crémet (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes), Anne Doléan-Jordheim (Hospices civils de Lyon), Agnès Ferroni (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire
de Necker, Paris), Fabien Garnier (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Limoges), Hélène GuetRevillet (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse), Thomas Guillard (Centre Hospitalier
Universitaire de Reims), Geneviève Hery-Arnaud (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Brest),
Guenièvre Imbert (Centre Hospitalier de Toulon), and Patricia Mariani (Centre Hospitalier
Universitaire Robert Debré, Paris).

## 339 Funding

340 This work was supported by the French Cystic Fibrosis Associations "Vaincre la341 Mucoviscidose" and "Grégory Lemarchal".

342

### 343 **Transparency declarations**

344 None to declare.

345

### 346 **References**

347	1.	Malhotra S, Hayes D, Jr., Wozniak DJ. 2019. Cy	Cystic 1	Fibrosis	and	Pseudomonas
348		aeruginosa: the Host-Microbe Interface. Clin Microbe	biol Re	ev 32:e00	138-	18.

2. Mogayzel PJ, Jr., Naureckas ET, Robinson KA, Mueller G, Hadjiliadis D, Hoag JB,

350 Lubsch L, Hazle L, Sabadosa K, Marshall B, Pulmonary Clinical Practice Guidelines

- 351 C. 2013. Cystic fibrosis pulmonary guidelines. Chronic medications for maintenance of
  352 lung health. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 187:680-9.
- 353 3. Castellani C, Duff AJA, Bell SC, Heijerman HGM, Munck A, Ratjen F, Sermet-354 Gaudelus I, Southern KW, Barben J, Flume PA, Hodkova P, Kashirskaya N,

- Kirszenbaum MN, Madge S, Oxley H, Plant B, Schwarzenberg SJ, Smyth AR, Taccetti
  G, Wagner TOF, Wolfe SP, Drevinek P. 2018. ECFS best practice guidelines: the 2018
  revision. *J Cyst Fibros* 17:153-178.
- Srinivas N, Jetter P, Ueberbacher BJ, Werneburg M, Zerbe K, Steinmann J, Van der
   Meijden B, Bernardini F, Lederer A, Dias RL, Misson PE, Henze H, Zumbrunn J,
- 360 Gombert FO, Obrecht D, Hunziker P, Schauer S, Ziegler U, Kach A, Eberl L, Riedel K,
- 361 DeMarco SJ, Robinson JA. 2010. Peptidomimetic antibiotics target outer-membrane
  362 biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Science* 327:1010-3.
- 363 5. Spexis. 2023. Spexis. Inhaled Murepavadin. Available online:
  364 https://spexisbio.com/pol7080/. (accessed on 17 August 2023).
- 6. Polyphor. Polyphor Ltd. 2019. Polyphor temporarily halts enrollment in the phase III
  studies of murepavadin for the treatment of patients with nosocomial pneumonia.
  Polyphor Ltd, Allschwil, Switzerland. <u>https://www.polyphor.com/news/corporate-</u>
  news-details/?newsid=1775911.
- 369 7. Werneburg M, Zerbe K, Juhas M, Bigler L, Stalder U, Kaech A, Ziegler U, Obrecht D,
- Eberl L, Robinson JA. 2012. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide transport to the outer
  membrane in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by peptidomimetic antibiotics. *Chembiochem*13:1767-75.
- Andolina G, Bencze LC, Zerbe K, Muller M, Steinmann J, Kocherla H, Mondal M,
   Sobek J, Moehle K, Malojcic G, Wollscheid B, Robinson JA. 2018. A Peptidomimetic
   antibiotic interacts with the periplasmic domain of LptD from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ACS Chem Biol* 13:666-675.
- Robinson JA. 2019. Folded synthetic peptides and other molecules targeting outer
  membrane protein complexes in Gram-negative bacteria. *Front Chem* 7:45.

- 379 10. Sader HS, Dale GE, Rhomberg PR, Flamm RK. 2018. Antimicrobial activity of
  380 murepavadin tested against clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the
  381 United States, Europe, and China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 62:e00311-18.
- 382 11. Sader HS, Flamm RK, Dale GE, Rhomberg PR, Castanheira M. 2018. Murepavadin
   383 activity tested against contemporary (2016-17) clinical isolates of XDR *Pseudomonas* 384 *aeruginosa. J Antimicrob Chemother* 73:2400-2404.
- 12. Ekkelenkamp MB, Canton R, Diez-Aguilar M, Tunney MM, Gilpin DF, Bernardini F,
  Dale GE, Elborn JS, Bayjanov JR, Fluit A. 2020. Susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* recovered from cystic fibrosis patients to murepavadin and 13 comparator
  antibiotics. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 64:e01541-19.
- 13. Lister PD, Wolter DJ, Hanson ND. 2009. Antibacterial-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: clinical impact and complex regulation of chromosomally encoded
   resistance mechanisms. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 22:582-610.
- Melchers MJ, Teague J, Warn P, Hansen J, Bernardini F, Wach A, Obrecht D, Dale GE,
  Mouton JW. 2019. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of murepavadin in
  neutropenic mouse models. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 63:e01699-18.
- 395 15. Diez-Aguilar M, Hernandez-Garcia M, Morosini MI, Fluit A, Tunney MM, Huertas N,
  396 Del Campo R, Obrecht D, Bernardini F, Ekkelenkamp M, Canton R. 2021. Murepavadin
  397 antimicrobial activity against and resistance development in cystic fibrosis
  398 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 76:984-992.
- 399 16. Romano KP, Warrier T, Poulsen BE, Nguyen PH, Loftis AR, Saebi A, Pentelute BL,
- 400 Hung DT. 2019. Mutations in *pmrB* confer cross-resistance between the LptD inhibitor
- 401 POL7080 and colistin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
  402 63:e00511-19.

Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, Harbarth
S, Hindler JF, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson DL, Rice LB, Stelling J,
Struelens MJ, Vatopoulos A, Weber JT, Monnet DL. 2012. Multidrug-resistant,
extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert
proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect*18:268-81.

- 409 18. Cramer N, Wiehlmann L, Tummler B. 2010. Clonal epidemiology of *Pseudomonas*410 *aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis. *Int J Med Microbiol* 300:526-33.
- 411 19. Chung JC, Becq J, Fraser L, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Bond NJ, Foweraker J, Bruce KD,
  412 Smith GP, Welch M. 2012. Genomic variation among contemporary *Pseudomonas*413 *aeruginosa* isolates from chronically infected cystic fibrosis patients. *J Bacteriol*414 194:4857-66.
- 415 20. Oliver A, Canton R, Campo P, Baquero F, Blazquez J. 2000. High frequency of
  416 hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Science*417 288:1251-4.
- 418 21. King JD, Kocincova D, Westman EL, Lam JS. 2009. Review: Lipopolysaccharide
  419 biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Innate Immun* 15:261-312.
- 420 22. Hittle LE, Powell DA, Jones JW, Tofigh M, Goodlett DR, Moskowitz SM, Ernst RK.
- 421 2015. Site-specific activity of the acyltransferases HtrB1 and HtrB2 in *Pseudomonas*422 *aeruginosa* lipid A biosynthesis. *Pathog Dis* 73:ftv053.
- 423 23. Moskowitz SM, Ernst RK. 2010. The role of *Pseudomonas* lipopolysaccharide in cystic
  424 fibrosis airway infection. *Subcell Biochem* 53:241-53.
- 425 24. Yeung AT, Bains M, Hancock RE. 2011. The sensor kinase CbrA is a global regulator
  426 that modulates metabolism, virulence, and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas*427 *aeruginosa. J Bacteriol* 193:918-31.

- Bolard A, Schniederjans M, Haussler S, Triponney P, Valot B, Plésiat P, Jeannot K.
  2019. Production of norspermidine contributes to aminoglycoside resistance in *pmrAB*mutants of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 63:e01044-19.
- 431 26. Moskowitz SM, Brannon MK, Dasgupta N, Pier M, Sgambati N, Miller AK, Selgrade
- SE, Miller SI, Denton M, Conway SP, Johansen HK, Hoiby N. 2012. PmrB mutations
  promote polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from colistin-
- 434 treated cystic fibrosis patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56:1019-30.
- 435 27. Ghanei H, Abeyrathne PD, Lam JS. 2007. Biochemical characterization of MsbA from
  436 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Biol Chem* 282:26939-26947.
- 437 28. Alexander MK, Miu A, Oh A, Reichelt M, Ho H, Chalouni C, Labadie S, Wang L,
- 438 Liang J, Nickerson NN, Hu H, Yu L, Du M, Yan D, Park S, Kim J, Xu M, Sellers BD,
- 439 Purkey HE, Skelton NJ, Koehler MFT, Payandeh J, Verma V, Xu Y, Koth CM,
- 440 Nishiyama M. 2018. Disrupting Gram-negative bacterial outer membrane biosynthesis
  441 through inhibition of the lipopolysaccharide transporter MsbA. *Antimicrob Agents*442 *Chemother* 62:e01142-18.
- 443 29. Hauser AR, Jain M, Bar-Meir M, McColley SA. 2011. Clinical significance of microbial
  444 infection and adaptation in cystic fibrosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 24:29-70.
- 445 30. Ernst RK, Hajjar AM, Tsai JH, Moskowitz SM, Wilson CB, Miller SI. 2003.
  446 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipid A diversity and its recognition by Toll-like receptor 4. *J*447 *Endotoxin Res* 9:395-400.
- 448 31. Clinical laboratory testing and in vitro diagnostic test systems\_Susceptibility testing of
  449 infectious agents and evaluation of performance of antimicrobial susceptibility test
  450 devices. ISO 207776-2. AFNOR, Genève.

451	32.	The	European Com	nitte	e on Ant	timicro	bial Su	sceptibility 7	Testing. Bro	eakpoint	t tables
452		for	interpretation	of	MICs	and	zone	diameters.	Version	13.0,	2023.
453		http	://www.eucast.or	g.							

- 454 33. Kaniga K, Delor I, Cornelis GR. 1991. A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving
  455 reverse genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the *bla*A gene of *Yersinia*456 *enterocolitica. Gene* 109:137-41.
- 457 34. Ditta G, Stanfield S, Corbin D, Helinski DR. 1980. Broad host range DNA cloning
  458 system for Gram-negative bacteria: construction of a gene bank of *Rhizobium meliloti*.

459 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 77:7347-51.

Antibiotic		MIC (mg/L)														Percentage of	
	≤0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC90	susceptibility (%) <sup>a</sup>
Piperacillin/tazobactam							109*	33	20	17	10	10	15	16**	8	128	70.5
Aztreonam						87*	32	30	19	19	12	15	16**		4	128	73.0
Ceftazidime					45*	51	35	23	12	13	10	41**			4	64	66.9
Ceftolozane/tazobacta m <sup>b</sup>				44*	72	48	20	16	10	7	13**				1	16	71.3
ceftazidime/avibactam <sup>c</sup>				38*	51	59	36	17	9	6	14**				2	16	80.0
Cefepime					12*	18	47	58	39	16	18	22**			8	64	58.7
Amikacin						27*	35	40	45	28	25	19**	11**		16	128	63.9
Tobramycin			23*	29	48	37	20	22	22	9	20**				2	32	68.3
Imipenem				48*	47	29	18	22	28	29	9				2	32	61.7
Meropenem				105*	30	17	15	17	29	14	2	1			1	32	80.0
Colistin			11*	48	89	66	3	6	3	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	94.3
Ciprofloxacin		44*	26	32	44	34	19	12	16	3					1	8	44.3
Murepavadin	69	47	22	18	30	12	11	7	1	1	4	8**			0.12	4	NA

# **TABLE 1** Antibiotic susceptibility levels of the 230 CF strains of *P. aeruginosa* selected for this study

- 463 \* MIC values  $\leq$  to the concentration indicated in the column, \*\* MIC values  $\geq$  to the concentration indicated in the column
- <sup>464</sup> <sup>a</sup> Interpretation according to EUCAST 2023 clinical breakpoints
- 465 <sup>b</sup> With a fixed concentration of 4 mg/L tazobactam
- 466 <sup>c</sup> With a fixed concentration of 4 mg/L avibactam
- 467 NA, not applicable
- 468 The values highlighted in grey and in bold correspond to strains categorized as resistant according to the clinical breakpoints and
- 469 Epidemiological Cut-Off values (ECOFF) of EUCAST 2023 recommendations, respectively.

		MIC	(mg/L)			Mutati	ons identifie	e <b>d</b> <sup>a</sup>		
Patient	Strain	MUR	CST	BamA	CbrA	LpxL1	LpxL2	LptD	MsbA	PmrB
	4	2	1	K291E	-	G30S, W84*	-	-	V419I	F168L
I-5	2	16	1	K291E	-	G30S, W84*	-	-	V419I	F168L
	1	64	2	K291E	-	G30S, W84*	-	-	V419I	L172P
	2	0.25	1	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
III-3	1	≥128	≤0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1*	4	4	-	-	T76P	-	-	-	-
III-9	3*	64	8	D535E	-	T76P	-	-	-	-
	4	<b>≥128</b> <sup>b</sup>	8	D535E	-	T76P	-	-	-	-
	4	0.25	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
V-5	1	8	128	D603G		-		<b>PSDE</b> <sup>c</sup>	-	P254S
	1	≤0.06	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VI-7	2	8	≤0.25	-	-	-	-	-	H135R	-
	2	≤0.06	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VII-1	1*	64	≤0.25	-	-	E265*	-	-	-	-
	2	0.125	≤0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IX-3	3	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	V215A

471 TABLE 2 Protein or gene alterations identified at least two times in CF-strains with
472 murepavadin MIC > 4 mg/l.

	5	32	1	-	-	-	-	M261T	-	V215A
	5	0.125	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IV E	3	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	M48I
12-2	2*	≥128	4	-	-	H120N	-	-	-	M48I
	6	≥128	16	-	-	∆245-248 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	-	M48I
	4	0.25	≤0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
X-6	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>A</b> -0	1	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	64	1	-	A81T	Ins <sub>2nt</sub> <sup>e</sup>	-	-	139V	-
	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	R79H
Λ-9	2	8	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	R79H
 XI_4	1	0.125	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
711-4	3	≥128	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	P175L
XI-6	6	≥128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	≥128	>256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1	≤0.06	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
XII-2	3	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	8	≤0.25	-	N855S	-	R298C	-	-	-
Single stre	ains									
II-2	2	8	256	T617I	Y457H, G502S	-	R279H	-	K469N	-

-

474 MUR, Murepavadin, CST, colistin

- 475 <sup>a</sup> In comparison with the amino-acid sequences of the PAO1 strain (-)
- <sup>b</sup> Two strains with a murepavadin MIC  $\geq$  128 mg/L showed strictly identical genomic sequences
- <sup>c</sup> Duplication of PSDE amino-acid sequence at position 151-154
- <sup>d</sup> Deletion of 10 nucleotides from position 736 to 745
- 479 <sup>e</sup>Insertion of 2 nucleotides (CC) at positions 516-517
- 480 Values in bold correspond to murepavadin MIC > 4 mg/L. Proteins in bold contained alterations
- 481 which were not present in isolates with murepavadin MIC  $\leq$  4 mg/L. The nucleotide sequence of
- 482 strains marked with an asterix were used for allelic replacement experiments.

# TABLE 3 Impact of the replacement of genes *lpxL1*, *bamA* and *pmrB* with mutated alleles on susceptibility of strain PAO1 to inhaled antibiotics

	Altera	ations in pr	oteins	Ν	AIC (mg/L)	
Strains	Lpxl1	BamA	PmrB	Murepavadin	Colistin	Tobramycin
PAO1 wild-type	_	_	_	$\leq$ 0.06	0.5	0.5
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>III-9-1</sub>	T76P	_	_	0.5	0.5	0.25
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>VII-1-1</sub>	E265*	_	-	0.5	0.5	0.25
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>IX-5-2</sub>	H120N	_	-	0.5	0.5	0.25
PAO1::bamA <sub>III-9-3</sub>	_	D535E	_	0.125	0.5	0.5
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>III-9-1</sub> . <i>bamA</i> <sub>III-9-3</sub>	T76P	D535E	_	2	0.5	0.5
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i>	-	_	_	0.03	0.5	0.25
PAO1 <i>\DeltapmrAB</i> (pME6012)	_	_	-	0.03	0.5	0.25
PAO1 <i>\DeltapmrAB</i> (pAB3795)	-	-	G188D	2	128	2
PAO1 <i>ΔprmAB</i> (pAB2243)	_	_	Q105P	2	128	4
PAO1 <i>ΔpmrAB</i> (pAB3890)	_	_	D45E	0.5	16	2

486

487 Values in bold correspond to MICs increased at least 4-fold as compared with those for wild-488 type PAO1

## 490 TABLE 4 Murepavadin susceptibility of colistin-resistant clinical strains harboring

### 491 mutations in gene pmrB

Clinical		MIC	IIC (mg/L)		
strains	Mutations identified	Colistin	Murepavadin		
5115	PmrB (R92H, G123S), LpxL1 (K145N, P87A), BamA	>256	8		
	(Q533R)				
3890	PmrB ( <b>D45E</b> ), LpxL1 (P191L)	>256	4		
5101	PmrB (R92H, G123D), BamA (D494A), CbrA (N225S),		4		
	LpxL2 (Δ45-311) <sup>a</sup> , LpxL1 (P87A)	>256			
3795	PmrB (G188D), BamA (Q533R), LptD (D593N, K785R),	>256	4		
	LpxL2 (R3 frameshift, K304R) <sup>b</sup>				
5071	PmrB (F168L), LpxL1 (R96H), BamA (V30L)	>256	2		
2243	PmrB ( <b>Q105P</b> ), BamA (T657R), LpxL2 (Δ45-311) <sup>a</sup>	256	2		
3038	PmrB (D47N), BamA (T743Q)	128	0.5		
2739	PmrB (V6A, V264A), BamA (T743Q)	64	4		
4660	PmrB (G121D, V313A), BamA (Q533R, T743Q), LpxL1	32	> 64		
	(V11 frameshift) <sup>c</sup> , CbrB (V125A)				
6305	PmrB (A256V)	32	0.25		
6369	PmrB (V28G), BamA (Q533R)	16	1		

492

Amino acid substitutions refer to the protein sequences encoded by both wild-type strainsPAO1 and PA14

- <sup>a</sup> Deletion of 801 nucleotides from the position 133 to 933
- <sup>b</sup> Insertion of 1 nucleotide (C) at position 8
- <sup>c</sup> Deletion of 1 nucleotide (G) at position 34
- 498 Murepavadin MICs indicated in bold correspond to values > 4 mg/L. Alleles encoding amino
- acid variations noted in bold were cloned in plasmid pME601

# 500 Supplemental data

# **Table S1** Antibiotic susceptibility of 230 CF strain

~ ~ .							MIC	(mg/L)						
CF-Strain	TIC	PTZ	ATM	CZD	СТ	CZA	FEP	AKN	TMN	IPM	MEM	CST	CIP	MUR
I-1-1	16	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	1/4	8	4	1	4	1	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
I-2-1	32	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	2/4	4	4	0.5	2	1	≤0.5	0.25	≤0.06
I-3-1	256	16/4	32	32	32/4	32/4	8	≤2	≤0.25	1	16	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.125
I-3-2	32	≤4/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	2/4	2	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
I-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	4	0.5	2	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	4
I-4-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	2	4	0.5	8	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	2
I-5-1	>512	256/4	128	>64	16/4	8/4	>64	64	16	32	32	2	4	64
I-5-2	>512	64/4	>128	>64	16/4	4/4	64	8	1	32	32	1	4	16
I-5-4	512	32/4	128	>64	8/4	4/4	64	8	1	32	16	1	8	2
I-6-1	32	64/4	8	32	1/4	4/4	16	32	4	8	2	2	≤0.12	0.125
I-7-1	64	32/4	8	8	1/4	2/4	8	64	4	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	0.5	0.125
I-7-2	128	64/4	16	16	2/4	4/4	8	4	1	1	1	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
I-8-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	8	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	1	0.25
I-9-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	1/4	8	32	4	2	1	1	2	2
I-10-1	32	8/4	8	2	1/4	2/4	2	≤2	0.5	2	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	≤0.06

II-1-2	>512	>256/4	128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	>128	>32	16	64	2	0.5	0.25
II-1-4	128	16/4	32	8	2/4	8/4	16	4	0.5	32	16	1	1	0.25
II-2-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	>128	>32	≤0.5	≤0.5	256	16	8
II-3-1	>512	128/4	32	64	4/4	16/4	64	64	8	16	16	2	8	4
II-4-1	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	32	4	64	32	2	4	1
II-5-4	>512	256/4	128	>64	16/4	>32/4	>64	32	4	32	16	≤0.5	2	0.125
II-6-1	64	16/4	16	4	2/4	4/4	8	16	2	1	1	2	1	≤0.06
II-6-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	8	1	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	≤0.06
II-7-6	256	256/4	32	64	4/4	2/4	32	64	2	2	1	1	0.5	0.125
II-8-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	2	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.5
II-8-5	≤8	8/4	8	4	2/4	4/4	2	4	8	1	≤0.5	1	0.25	≤0.06
II-9-4	256	16/4	16	8	4/4	4/4	32	16	1	32	16	1	1	0.25
II-9-6	64	64/4	16	32	4/4	8/4	16	32	4	32	32	1	2	0.125
II-10-1	128	>256/4	32	>64	16/4	>32/4	64	64	4	64	16	1	8	1
II-10-3	>512	128/4	32	>64	4/4	32/4	>64	64	8	64	32	1	16	4
III-1-1	128	≤4/4	8	8	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	8	32	4	8	2	≤0.5	≤0.12	2
III-1-5	>512	≤4/4	128	>64	8/4	2/4	64	32	4	16	8	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.5
III-2-1	64	≤4/4	≤2	4	1/4	2/4	2	≤2	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.125
III-2-2	512	>256/4	128	>64	8/4	8/4	64	16	32	4	4	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
III-3-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	8	>128	16	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	>64

III-3-2	$\leq 8$	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	2/4	8	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	0.5	0.25
III-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	≤0.5/4	4	8	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
III-5-1	32	8/4	8	4	1/4	2/4	2	8	1	2	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	0.25
III-6-1	64	8/4	8	4	1/4	4/4	4	≤2	0.5	2	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	8
III-7-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	1	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	0.125
III-8-1	16	8/4	4	4	1/4	1/4	8	8	1	32	4	2	0.5	0.25
III-8-2	128	32/4	8	32	2/4	4/4	16	16	2	16	16	≤0.5	2	0.125
III-8-5	512	>256/4	128	32	8/4	16/4	>64	128	8	64	64	≤0.5	4	4
III-9-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	>32	8	1	4	16	4
III-9-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	16	8	1	16	16	2
III-9-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	>32	8	1	8	16	64
III-9-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	>32	8	1	8	16	>64
III-9-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	>32	8	1	8	16	>64
III-9-6	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	>32	8	1	8	16	1
III-10-1	16	16/4	4	4	1/4	2/4	2	8	2	2	1	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
III-10-6	32	16/4	8	4	2/4	4/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	8	4	≤0.5	≤0.12	≤0.06
IV-1-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	8	8	1	1	≤0.5	2	2	1
IV-2-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	4	0.5	8	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	1
IV-2-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	2/4	1/4	8	64	8	1	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.5
IV-3-1	512	128/4	64	32	8/4	4/4	64	128	16	32	32	1	2	0.125

IV-3-5	64	32/4	4	2	1/4	1/4	8	16	2	4	4	1	4	4
IV-3-6	256	≤4/4	16	16	≤0.5/4	1/4	32	16	1	2	2	≤0.5	1	0.125
IV-4-1	16	8/4	4	8	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	4	32	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	16	1
IV-4-2	64	64/4	16	16	1/4	≤0.5/4	8	4	32	1	≤0.5	1	16	≤0.06
IV-5-1	32	8/4	8	4	1/4	4/4	2	4	1	2	≤0.5	2	0.25	≤0.06
IV-6-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
IV-7-1	≤8	8/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	≤0.06
IV-8-3	32	8/4	8	4	2/4	2/4	4	4	1	2	1	2	≤0.12	0.125
IV-8-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	≤1	≤2	0.5	4	≤0.5	2	0.25	≤0.06
IV-9-2	32	8/4	8	4	1/4	4/4	8	≤2	0.5	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	≤0.06
IV-10-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	2/4	4	4	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	2	≤0.06
IV-10-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	8	16	1	1	≤0.5	2	4	0.125
V-1-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	8	2/4	1/4	8	8	1	8	2	1	0.5	0.125
V-1-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	4/4	1/4	8	16	1	16	16	≤0.5	0.5	1
V-2-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	4	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	2	0.125
V-2-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	8	16	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	4	0.5
V-3-1	>512	128/4	128	>64	16/4	>32/4	>64	64	8	32	32	1	2	2
V-3-4	>512	8/4	64	>64	>32/4	>32/4	64	64	8	16	16	2	1	≤0.06
V-3-5	>512	≤4/4	16	32	8/4	8/4	32	32	4	2	4	2	1	≤0.06
V-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	4/4	2/4	8	128	16	1	≤0.5	1	2	0.25

V-4-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
V-4-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	2	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
V-5-1	>512	32/4	32	>64	>32/4	>32/4	64	128	8	16	16	128	4	8
V-5-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	1/4	8	128	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	2	0.25
V-6-1	32	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	2/4	2	≤2	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.125
V-6-2	64	16/4	16	4	2/4	4/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
V-6-3	16	≤4/4	4	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
V-7-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	8	2	4	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
V-7-4	32	16/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	16	8	0.5	16	8	2	16	0.125
V-7-6	>512	256/4	128	>64	32/4	4/4	>64	32	>32	64	16	1	8	0.25
V-8-1	256	256/4	64	64	8/4	16/4	32	16	1	16	2	1	1	0.125
V-8-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	≤0.5/4	4	4	0.5	16	1	2	1	0.25
V-8-3	16	8/4	4	>64	≤0.5/4	2/4	4	4	≤0.25	16	8	1	0.5	0.125
V-8-6	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	8	≤2	≤0.25	8	≤0.5	1	0.25	≤0.06
V-9-1	32	16/4	8	16	2/4	2/4	8	8	1	2	2	2	0.25	0.125
V-10-1	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	16/4	8/4	64	32	>32	32	8	1	>16	1
V-10-4	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	32/4	>64	32	>32	64	32	1	>16	0.25
VI-1-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	≤0.5/4	8	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	0.5	1
VI-1-6	≤8	≤4/4	4	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	8	1	1	1	1	0.25	0.25
VI-2-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	8	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	0.5	≤0.06

VI-3-1	≤8	8/4	4	2	1/4	1/4	4	128	>32	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	16	0.125
VI-4-1	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	64	8	32	16	≤0.5	4	≤0.06
VI-5-3	128	16/4	32	8	2/4	8/4	16	8	1	16	>64	1	1	0.125
VI-5-4	64	32/4	8	16	2/4	2/4	16	4	≤0.25	1	1	1	0.25	≤0.06
VI-6-2	>512	64/4	128	>64	16/4	4/4	16	32	2	8	8	≤0.5	1	≤0.06
VI-7-1	32	8/4	8	2	1/4	2/4	2	8	>32	2	1	8	0.5	≤0.06
VI-7-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	4	32	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.25	8
VI-8-1	64	32/4	8	8	1/4	2/4	8	32	8	16	8	≤0.5	1	2
VI-8-3	64	32/4	8	8	1/4	2/4	8	16	2	4	4	≤0.5	0.5	1
VI-9-1	128	64/4	32	16	8/4	8/4	16	8	2	32	8	2	1	1
VI-9-3	128	128/4	64	32	4/4	32/4	16	32	2	16	8	1	0.5	0.125
VI-9-4	128	64/4	16	16	1/4	2/4	8	16	1	32	4	1	2	≤0.06
VI-9-6	16	16/4	4	4	1/4	1/4	8	8	1	4	4	1	1	0.125
VI-10-1	≤8	16/4	8	4	4/4	4/4	8	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	0.25
VI-10-2	512	128/4	128	>64	16/4	32/4	64	128	16	16	16	≤0.5	2	≤0.06
VI-10-3	512	256/4	>128	>64	32/4	32/4	>64	>128	16	16	16	8	2	≤0.06
VII-1-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	1	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.25	64
VII-1-2	32	64/4	8	8	1/4	1/4	8	8	1	4	1	2	0.5	≤0.06
VII-2-1	32	≤4/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	2/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	1	≤0.5	2	0.25	≤0.06
VII-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	2/4	8	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	1	4	≤0.06

VII-4-2	32	8/4	4	2	1/4	2/4	16	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
VII-6-1	32	8/4	8	2	1/4	2/4	2	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.125
VII-6-2	32	8/4	8	2	≤0.5/4	2/4	2	4	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.5
VII-6-6	64	8/4	8	4	1/4	2/4	16	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	2	0.25	≤0.06
VII-7-1	128	32/4	32	64	2/4	8/4	16	4	1	16	16	1	0.5	≤0.06
VII-7-2	128	16/4	16	4	1/4	4/4	4	≤2	0.5	8	8	1	0.5	≤0.06
VII-8-1	32	8/4	4	4	1/4	2/4	16	8	1	16	2	2	0.25	≤0.06
VII-9-2	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	16/4	8/4	>64	64	16	32	32	1	4	1
VII-9-3	16	≤4/4	4	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	2	16	2	4	4	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.5
VII-10-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	2/4	2/4	16	16	>32	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	0.5
VII-10-2	16	8/4	8	8	2/4	4/4	16	8	>32	1	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	0.125
VIII-1-3	32	≤4/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	2/4	2	4	0.5	2	1	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
VIII-2-2	≤8	≤4/4	4	32	1/4	2/4	8	16	1	8	4	≤0.5	2	≤0.06
VIII-2-3	512	256/4	128	>64	8/4	4/4	>64	32	2	32	16	≤0.5	2	0.125
VIII-3-1	16	≤4/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	1	≤0.5	1	0.25	≤0.06
VIII-4-2	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	16/4	>64	>128	>32	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	16	0.5
VIII-4-3	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	>128	>32	1	4	1	1	1
VIII-5-1	16	≤4/4	≤2	4	1/4	≤0.5/4	8	32	4	4	16	1	2	0.125
VIII-5-3	64	>256/4	>128	>64	32/4	16/4	64	64	4	16	16	1	2	1
VIII-6-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.125

VIII-7-1	32	32/4	32	64	1/4	2/4	8	4	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	4	≤0.06
VIII-7-3	64	16/4	16	>64	8/4	4/4	16	8	1	2	1	1	16	2
VIII-7-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	8	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
VIII-8-1	16	≤4/4	4	2	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	1	1	1	1	≤0.06
VIII-9-1	64	16/4	32	64	16/4	4/4	>64	>128	>32	16	16	≤0.5	>16	≤0.06
VIII-9-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	2	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	1	≤0.5	0.25	≤0.06
VIII-10-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	4	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	0.25	≤0.06
VIII-10-2	64	8/4	8	4	2/4	4/4	8	8	1	1	≤0.5	2	1	0.125
IX-1-1	≤8	≤4/4	64	16	4/4	2/4	32	128	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	1
IX-1-3	32	32/4	64	>64	8/4	4/4	64	128	16	2	2	≤0.5	1	1
IX-1-4	128	128/4	>128	32	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	16	32	4	64	32	≤0.5	2	≤0.06
IX-1-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	16	4/4	4/4	>64	128	16	4	2	≤0.5	1	1
IX-2-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	64	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.25	≤0.06
IX-3-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	8	8	1	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	0.125
IX-3-3	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	128	32	32	32	1	8	8
IX-3-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	8	4/4	1/4	8	16	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	0.125
IX-3-5	256	128/4	32	>64	8/4	8/4	64	32	2	64	16	1	4	32
IX-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	2	4	≤0.25	8	1	2	1	2
IX-4-4	128	32/4	16	8	1/4	4/4	8	16	1	32	16	2	8	0.5
IX-5-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	4	0.5	>64

IX-5-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	1
IX-5-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	16	8	2	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.25	0.125
IX-5-6	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	2/4	1/4	8	128	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	16	4	>64
IX-6-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	2/4	1/4	16	4	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	4	0.5
IX-7-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	8	8	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	0.5	0.25
IX-8-1	128	16/4	32	8	1/4	8/4	8	16	2	8	16	1	1	≤0.06
IX-8-2	256	32/4	32	8	2/4	8/4	16	16	1	≤0.5	2	1	1	≤0.06
IX-8-5	128	16/4	16	4	1/4	4/4	8	8	1	8	16	1	1	0.125
IX-10-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	2/4	≤1	8	0.5	1	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	≤0.06
IX-10-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	2	0.5	1
X-1-1	16	8/4	4	4	2/4	≤0.5/4	8	32	4	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	1
X-1-2	16	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	4	64	8	8	8	16	8	1
X-2-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	1/4	16	16	2	16	2	2	0.5	≤0.06
X-2-3	64	8/4	8	2	1/4	2/4	8	8	1	≤0.5	1	2	2	0.125
X-3-2	32	≤4/4	≤2	4	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	8	0.125
X-3-6	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	8	8	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	4	0.125
X-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	4	16	1	1	≤0.5	2	8	2
X-5-1	128	8/4	16	8	1/4	≤0.5/4	32	16	1	32	8	1	1	0.5
		1	1			4 4 1 4	0	-0	1	1	-	-		
X-5-3	256	≤4/4	8	64	32/4	16/4	8	≤2	I	1	1	1	1	1

X-6-1	>512	>256/4	128	>64	32/4	16/4	>64	128	16	32	16	1	0.5	4
X-6-2	256	256/4	64	>64	8/4	4/4	32	128	16	16	8	1	8	64
X-6-4	16	8/4	4	8	2/4	2/4	16	64	8	4	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.25
X-6-5	64	256/4	16	64	2/4	2/4	32	128	16	16	16	1	1	1
X-6-6	32	128/4	16	32	4/4	2/4	32	128	16	2	2	≤0.5	≤0.12	0.5
X-7-2	16	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	2/4	4	8	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	2	0.125
X-7-3	32	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	2/4	8	32	2	1	≤0.5	2	4	0.125
X-7-5	128	32/4	32	8	4/4	8/4	32	64	4	2	2	1	1	≤0.06
X-8-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	4	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	4	0.25
X-9-1	128	32/4	64	16	4/4	4/4	32	32	4	32	32	2	8	4
X-9-2	256	64/4	64	32	8/4	8/4	64	32	4	32	32	2	8	8
X-9-3	128	8/4	16	8	2/4	2/4	32	32	8	16	8	2	16	4
X-10-1	≤8	8/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	8	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	0.5	≤0.06
XI-1-4	16	≤4/4	4	4	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	2	0.25	0.125
XI-1-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	2/4	8	8	1	2	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	0.125
XI-2-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	16	2	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.06
XI-2-3	64	8/4	8	4	2/4	4/4	8	8	1	16	2	2	0.5	≤0.06
XI-2-6	16	8/4	4	16	1/4	1/4	8	32	8	8	4	≤0.5	2	0.125
XI-3-1	256	256/4	64	>64	8/4	2/4	64	64	8	1	2	≤0.5	2	0.25
XI-3-2	16	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	≤1	4	0.5	1	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	0.125

XI-3-4	256	8/4	128	>64	4/4	≤0.5/4	16	16	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	1
XI-4-1	16	≤4/4	4	2	1/4	1/4	2	32	4	2	≤0.5	1	0.25	0.125
XI-4-3	256	32/4	32	64	2/4	2/4	16	64	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.12	>64
XI-5-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	≤1	4	0.5	4	≤0.5	2	1	≤0.06
XI-6-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	2/4	1/4	8	64	8	≤0.5	≤0.5	>256	1	>64
XI-6-4	16	≤4/4	≤2	4	1/4	1/4	8	16	2	4	≤0.5	4	2	4
XI-6-6	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	4/4	2/4	16	64	8	2	≤0.5	128	2	>64
XI-7-1	16	≤4/4	4	16	2/4	2/4	32	64	8	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	1
XI-7-2	64	16/4	8	8	2/4	4/4	16	128	16	16	≤0.5	2	0.25	0.5
XI-7-3	16	≤4/4	4	8	1/4	2/4	16	64	8	64	8	2	0.25	1
XI-8-4	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	4	0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	2	0.125
XI-8-5	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	1/4	1/4	4	4	0.5	8	2	1	1	0.125
XI-9-1	32	8/4	8	4	2/4	4/4	16	16	2	1	≤0.5	1	2	1
XI-9-4	512	256/4	64	>64	4/4	16/4	64	>128	32	4	4	≤0.5	4	4
XI-9-5	≤8	8/4	≤2	2	4/4	2/4	16	64	8	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	0.5
XI-10-1	>512	>256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	16/4	64	16	2	32	16	1	0.5	0.5
XI-10-2	256	256/4	64	>64	8/4	4/4	32	16	1	32	8	1	0.25	1
XII-1-1	16	≤4/4	≤2	2	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	4	4	≤0.25	1	≤0.5	1	2	≤0.06
XII-1-2	>512	256/4	>128	>64	>32/4	>32/4	>64	64	8	32	8	≤0.5	16	0.25
XII-2-1	64	16/4	8	8	2/4	2/4	16	16	2	32	4	1	≤0.12	≤0.06

XII-2-2	>512	256/4	128	>64	32/4	>32/4	>64	32	4	32	32	≤0.5	2	8
XII-2-3	128	>256/4	32	64	4/4	8/4	32	16	2	32	16	2	0.25	1
XII-2-6	64	128/4	16	32	2/4	4/4	16	8	2	32	8	2	0.25	0.5
XII-3-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	≤0.5/4	16	>128	32	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.25	0.25
XII-3-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	1/4	4	>128	32	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	2
XII-3-3	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	2/4	2/4	8	>128	>32	4	≤0.5	1	1	≤0.06
XII-4-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	4	32	4	1	1	2	1	0.125
XII-5-1	32	8/4	4	2	2/4	2/4	8	128	32	4	4	2	1	2
XII-5-3	32	16/4	16	4	2/4	4/4	16	32	2	1	1	1	1	0.5
XII-6-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	1/4	1/4	4	8	>32	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	0.5	0.25
XII-6-2	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	2	1/4	1/4	4	8	1	4	1	≤0.5	0.5	0.25
XII-7-1	128	32/4	32	8	1/4	8/4	8	8	1	16	16	2	1	≤0.06
XII-8-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	≤0.5/4	≤0.5/4	≤1	≤2	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	≤0.12	≤0.06
XII-9-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	≤1	2/4	1/4	8	8	>32	1	≤0.5	1	0.5	0.5
XII-10-1	≤8	≤4/4	≤2	4	2/4	4/4	8	128	16	≤0.5	≤0.5	2	≤0.12	≤0.06

502 TIC, ticarcillin; PTZ, piperacillin/tazobactam; ATM, aztreonam; CZD, ceftazidime; CT, ceftolozane/tazobactam; CZA, ceftazidime/avibactam;

503 FEP, cefepim ; AKN, amikacin ; TMN, tobramycin ; IPM, imipenem ; MEM, meropenem ; CST, colistin ; CIP, ciprofloxacin ; MUR,

504 murepavadin.

## **TABLE S2**

Patient	Strain	Murepavadin MIC (mg/L)		SNI	S	Mutations in genes linked to hypermutability
	I-5-4	2	108			MutL (R251C, R287W), MutT (E236D), UvrD (T547)
I-5	I-5-2	16	100	250 3	75	MutL (R251C, R287W), MutT (E236D), UvrD (T547)
	I-5-1	64		.556		MutL (R251C, R287W), MutT (E236D), UvrD (T547)
III 3	III-3-2	0.25	40			MutL (A406T), MutM (A66T)
111-5	III-3-1	≥128	49			MutL (A406T), MutM (A66T)
	III-9-1	4	7			
III-9	III-9-3	64	/		7	
	III-9-4	≥128 ª	-	. 2		MutY (A354T), UvrD (S662N, N666S)
V-5	V-5-4	0.25	23			MutS (I579V), MutY (G311S), UvrD (A96T)
<b>v</b> -5	V-5-1	8	23			MutS (I579V), MutY (G311S), UvrD (A96T)
VI-7	VI-7-1	≤ 0.06	26			
V 1-7	VI-7-2	8	20			
VII_1	VII-1-2	≤ 0.06	26			MutL (E428D)
¥ 11-1	VII-1-1	64	20			MutL (E428D)

**TABLE S1** Number of SNPs identified in CF-clinical strains with murepavadin MIC > 4 mg/L compared with the PAO1 reference sequence
	IX-3-2	0.125	MutL (ΔV383, S386A), MutM (A66T), MutS (Q155*), MutT (E55A, G289A, MutY (H100Y, L164H L268I, A301S, A308E)
IX-3	IX-3-3	8	541         MutL (ΔV383, S386A), MutM (A66T), MutS (E509, frameshift <sup>a</sup> ), MutT (E55A, G289A), MutY (H100Y, L268I, A301S, A308E)
	IX-3-5	32	MutL (ΔV383, S386A), MutM (A66T), MutS (E509X), MutT (E55A, G289A), MutY (H100Y, L268I, A301S, A308E)
	IX-5-5	0.125	
IV 5	IX-5-3	1	88 80
IX-5	IX-5-2	≥128	
	IX-5-6	≥128	
	X-6-4	0.25	26
V 6	X-6-5	1	
Λ-0	X-6-1	4	
	X-6-2	64	MutS (E729, frameshift)
V O	X-9-1	4	
Λ-7	X-9-2	8	
VI A	XI-4-1	0.125	22 MutL (N595S)
A1- <del>4</del>	XI-4-3	≥128	MutL (N595S)
	XI-6-4	4	267
XI-6	XI-6-6	≥128	367 366 MutY (Y31, frameshift)
	XI-6-2	≥128	MutY (Y31, frameshift)

	XII-2-1	$\leq$ 0.06	11	MutL (R370H, S515N)
XII-2	XII-2-3	1	889	MutL (R370H, S515N)
	XII-2-2	8	892	MutL (Q17*), MutS (L80P), MutT (V4A)
Single strains				
II-2	II-2-2	8		MutL (Y560, frameshift)
III-6	III-6-1	8		MutL (S43A, I55V, S389A, A391S, G394A, S515G, S531T), MutM (I27V, A103S), MutS (A781S, M803V), MutT (D42E, A48V, A94P, H95D, V105A, A120V, G138S, V152L, A154S, E263A), MutY (G27D, A57E, E63Q, Q94R, V205L, S206A, A217T, D242E, G270D, E272A, G311T, R314P, G319A), UvrD (E227K, S494G, T659A)

508 <sup>a</sup> Two isolates from patient III-9 had strictly identical genomes and a murepavadin resistance  $\geq 128$  mg/L)

Strains or plasmids	Characteristics	Reference
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
PAO1	Wild-type susceptible strain	(1)
2243	Clinical strain, CSr, PmrB (Q105P, Y345H)	(2)
3795	Clinical strain, CSr, PmrB (G188D, Y345H)	(2)
3890	Clinical strain, CSr, PmrB (S2P, A4T, D45E, Y345H)	(2)
III-9-1	CF clinical strain, murepavadin MIC= 4 mg/L	This study
III-9-3	CF clinical strain, murepavadin MIC= 64 mg/L	This study
IX-5-2	CF clinical strain, murepavadin, MIC $\geq 128 \text{ mg/L}$	This study
VII-1-1	CF clinical strain, murepavadin, MIC= 64 mg/L	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>III-9-1</sub>	Allelic replacement of the <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain III-9-1 (T76P) in strain PAO1	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>1X-5-2</sub>	Allelic replacement of the <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain IX-5-2 (H120N) in strain PAO1	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>VII-1-1</sub>	Allelic replacement of the <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain VII-1-1 (E265*) in strain PAO1	This study
PAO1::bamA <sub>III-9-3</sub>	Allelic replacement of the b <i>amA</i> gene by that of strain III-9-3 (D535E) in strain PAO1	This study
PAO1::lpxl1 <sub>III-9-1</sub> bamA <sub>III-9-3</sub>	Allelic replacement of the <i>bamA</i> gene by that of strain III-9-3 (D535E) in mutant PAO1:: $lpxL1_{III-9-1}$	This study
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i>	PAO1 mutant with in-frame deletion of operon <i>pmrAB</i>	(2)
E. coli		
CC118 λ <i>pir</i>	CC118 lysogenized with phage $\lambda pir$	(3)
HB101	subE44 hsdS20(rB- mB-) recA13 ara-14 proA2 lacY1 galK2 rpsL20 xyl-5 mtl-1	(4)
Plasmids		
pKNG101	Suicide vector in <i>P. aeruginosa ; sacB<sup>r</sup> mobRK2 oriR6K</i> ; Str <sup>r</sup>	(5)
pKNG101-III-9-1	pKNG101 with <i>lpxl1</i> gene of III-9-1 clinical strain cloned, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pKNG101-IX-5-2	pKNG101 with <i>lpxl1</i> gene of IX-5-2 clinical strain cloned, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study

### 509 TABLE S3 List of strains and plasmids used in this study

pKNG101-VII-1-1	pKNG101 with <i>lpxl1</i> gene of VII-1-1 clinical strain cloned, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pKNG101-III-9-3	pKNG101 with <i>bamA</i> gene of III-9-3 clinical strain cloned, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pRK2013	Helper plasmid, mob1, tra1, colE1, Kan <sup>r</sup>	(6)
pME6012	Broad host-range expression plasmid; Tet <sup>r</sup>	(7)
pAB2243	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 2243	(2)
pAB3795	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3795	(2)
pAB3890	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3890	(2)

510 CS<sup>r</sup>, colistin resistance; Tet<sup>r</sup>, tetracycline resistance; Str<sup>r</sup>, streptomycin resistance; Kan<sup>r</sup>, kanamycin resistance.

Nucleotide sequence (5'- 3')	Reference
CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTTCGTG	This study
TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTGAAAAAGGCGTCTTCCG	This study
CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGATGAAACGCTTTCTGCTACC	This study
TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTCAGAAGGTCTGGCCCAG	This study
CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTTCGTG	This study
TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTGAAAAAGGCGTCTTCCG	This study
AGAGCAACCGTCCGGCTCGA	This study
CCGTCTGGATTACGAGCCAC	This study
	Nucleotide sequence (5'- 3')         CCCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTTCGTG         TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTGAAAAAGGCGTCTTCCG         CCCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGATGAAACGCTTTCTGCTACC         TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTCAGAAGGTCTGGCCCAG         CCCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGAGGAGGTCTGGCCCAG         CCCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTTCGTG         AGAGCAACCGTCCGGCTCGA         CCGTCTGGATTACGAGCCAC

#### 512 **TABLE S4** List of the primers used in this study

#### 513

#### 514 **References**

- Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL, Mizoguchi SD, Warrener P, Hickey MJ, Brinkman FSL, Hufnagle WO, Kowalik DJ, Lagrou M, Garber RL, Goltry L, Tolentino E, Westbrock-Wadman S, Yuan Y, Brody LL, Coulter SN, Folger KR, Kas A, Larbig K, Lim R, Smith K, Spencer D, Wong GK-S, Wu Z, Paulsen IT, Reizer J, Saier MH, Hancock REW, Lory S, Olson MV. 2000. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406:959-964.
- Bolard A, Schniederjans M, Haussler S, Triponney P, Valot B, Plésiat P, Jeannot K.
   2019. Production of norspermidine contributes to aminoglycoside resistance in *pmrAB* mutants of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63.
- Herrero M, de Lorenzo V, Timmis KN. 1990. Transposon vectors containing nonantibiotic resistance selection markers for cloning and stable chromosomal insertion of
  foreign genes in Gram-negative bacteria. J Bacteriol 172:6557-67.
- Lacks S, Greenberg B. 1977. Complementary specificity of restriction endonucleases
  of *Diplococcus pneumoniae* with respect to DNA methylation. J Mol Biol 114:153-68.
- 5. Kaniga K, Delor I, Cornelis GR. 1991. A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving
  reverse genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the *blaA* gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Gene 109:137-41.
- 532 6. Ditta G, Stanfield S, Corbin D, Helinski DR. 1980. Broad host range DNA cloning
  533 system for Gram-negative bacteria: construction of a gene bank of *Rhizobium meliloti*.
  534 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 77:7347-51.

Find S, Itoh Y, Nishijyo T, Schnider U, Keel C, Wade J, Walsh U, O'Gara F, Haas D.
2000. Small, stable shuttle vectors based on the minimal pVS1 replicon for use in Gramnegative, plant-associated bacteria. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13:232-7.

#### 1.3 Additional results

#### 1.3.1 Susceptibility of murepavadin in colistin resistant clinical strains

The susceptibility of murepavadin was determined on two colistin resistant clinical strains that does not have PmrB mutations with minimum colistin MIC value  $\geq 8 \text{ mg/L}$  (**table 7**). The strain 543 had a mutation in *pmrA* gene encoding for the response regulator PmrA. We have previously shown the cross-resistance between colistin and murepavadin through the two-component system PmrAB. In addition to that, we have shown that the deletion of *pmrAB* operon in PAO1 reduces by 2-folds the MIC of murepavadin. Furthermore, in a *pmrB* PAO1 mutant AB8.2, murepavadin MIC was 16-folds higher (1 mg/L) with respect to PAO1 ( $\leq 0.06 \text{ mg/L}$ ). Therefore, PmrA mutation could explain the increased resistance to colistin and to reduced susceptibility to murepavadin. In addition to that, the strain 5934 had mutations in *cbrA* and *msbA* genes. As shown previously, alterations of CbrA and MsbA proteins could reduce the susceptibility to murepavadin and colistin.

 Table 7: Mutations identified in two non-pmrB colistin resistant clinical strains and their susceptibility to murepavadin

Clinical strain	Alterations identified	MIC (mg/L)	
		Colistin	Murepavadin
543	OprD (frN <sub>112</sub> ), GyrA(T <sub>83</sub> I), PmrA (G <sub>19</sub> E), ParC (S <sub>87</sub> L)	>256	1
5934	CbrA (A <sub>321</sub> D), MsbA (D <sub>411</sub> S)	8	8

fs: frameshift

#### 1.3.2 Impact of BamA and LpxL1 mutations on the membrane permeability

Since alteration of the outer membrane constituents (BamA, and/or lipid A) may compromise its permeability, we measured N-phenyl-1-naphtylamine (NPN) dye fluorescence kinetic for 90 seconds in the *lpxL1*, *bamA* mutant, *lpxL1-bamA* double mutant as well in the PAO1 reference strain. Because colistin is known to permeabilize the outer membrane, we added colistin to PAO1 at 16xMIC as our positive control (gray line). NPN is a hydrophobic fluorescent probe, which fluoresce weakly in aqueous environment and strongly in hydrophobic environments. Increased penetration of the NPN molecules into the membrane is reflected by increased and rapid emission of fluorescence. Among all the tested mutants, the *bamA* mutant had the highest fluorescence levels (violet line) (**Figure 29**). This indicates that the alteration of BamA mutation has a significant effect on the membrane permeability. Due to the important role of BamA in the proper folding and insertion of OMPs into the outer membrane, a compromised BamA might strongly impact the incorporation of OMPs including LptD (the target of murepavadin), but also other OMPs, into their proper location. However, no significant difference was found between our reference strain PAO1 (red line), the three *lpxL1* mutants (blue, green and yellow) and surprisingly, the double *lpxL1-bamA* mutant (navy line), in which their fluorescence levels were weak compared to the positive control (PAO1 exposed to colistin). The difference of *lpxL1* on membrane permeability. Interestingly, in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*, the loss of the secondary acyl group added by LpxL1 enzyme reduces the susceptibility of the strains to hydrophobic antibiotic rifampicin by 10-fold with respect to wild type strain, and renders the membrane less permeable (Pérez-Ortega et al., 2023). Unlike, in *E. coli*, the loss of secondary acyl chains increases the membrane permeability, suggesting that the impact of LpxL1 on membrane permeability depend on the bacterial species (Hittle et al., 2015).



**Figure 29**: Membrane permeability of PAO1 reference strain and its *lpxL1* and *bamA* mutant derivatives. The fluoresence of NPN was measured for each strain, during 90 seconds. The membrane permeability was measured in PAO1 strain exposed to 16 xMIC of colistin as a positive control (grey line), PAO1 reference strains (line red), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>IX-5-2</sub> (blue line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>VII-1-1</sub> (green line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>III-9-1</sub> (yellow line ), PAO1 ::*bamA* <sub>III-9-3</sub> (violet line) and PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>III-9-1</sub>-*bamA* <sub>III-9-3</sub> (dark blue line ).

To study the impact of murepavadin on the membrane permeability in PAO1 strain, *lpxL1*, *bamA*, and *lpxL1-bamA* mutants, we exposed the strain and mutants to 16 x MIC of murepavadin using an injector (TECAN), and measured the NPN fluorescence during 90 seconds. The addition of murepavadin did not cause significant increase in membrane permeability regardless of the strain and mutants tested except for the double mutant *bamA-lpxL1* (**Figure 30**). Our results for the PAO1 strain were similar to that previously reported, where murepavadin does not permeabilize the outer membrane in contrast to several antimicrobial peptides such as colistin. Further experiments are needed to confirm this observation in particular by analysing the lipid A structure in *lpxL1, bamA* and the double mutant *lpxL1-bamA*.



**Figure 30**: Difference between the membrane permeability measurement of PAO1 reference strain and its *lpxL1* and *bamA* mutant derivatives grown in the presence of 16 x MIC of murepavadin or not. The fluoresence of NPN was measured during 90 seconds. The membrane permeability was measured in PAO1 strain exposed to 16 xMIC of colistin as a positive control (grey line), PAO1 reference strains (red line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>IX-5-2</sub> (blue line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>VII-1-1</sub> (green line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>III-9-1</sub> (yellow line), PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>III-9-3</sub> (violet line) and PAO1 ::*lpxL1* <sub>III-9-1</sub>-*bamA* <sub>III-9-3</sub> (dark blue line).

### Chapter 2: Response to murepavadin in Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Resistance to polymyxins is governed by two-component regulatory systems, ParRS, CprRS and PmrAB, which activate the expression of the *arnBCADTEF* operon responsible for modifying the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Jeannot et al., 2017). The *arn* gene products facilitate the addition of 4-aminoarabinose to the lipid A component of LPS, leading to a reduction in its

overall negative charge. Consequently, the altered LPS limits the interaction with the outer membrane and uptake of polycationic antibiotics, including polymyxins, host defense peptides, and aminoglycosides (Fernández et al., 2010). In addition to LPS modifications, a recent publication documented the increase in polyamine biosynthetic genes in PA14 reference strain upon exposure to sub-inhibitory concentrations to polymyxin B (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). Polyamines were also shown to contribute to aminoglycoside tolerance in *P. aeruginosa* (Bolard et al., 2019; Puja et al., 2020). The production of polyamines might confer protective roles to the strain by binding to the negatively charged outer membrane. So far, nothing has been described concerning the response of *P. aeruginosa* upon exposure to murepavadin (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> MIC). To identify genes involved in the response to murepavadin, we compared the transcriptomes of the PA14 reference strain grew in the presence of murepavadin with that of the strain unexposed. The results were controlled by RT-qPCR. Finally, we quantified by mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) the amount of polyamines present on cell-surface and in the supernatent of the PA01 reference strain exposed or not to murepavadin.

#### 2.1 Transcriptome analysis of PA14 strain exposed to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> MIC of murepavadin

To gain insight into the response of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* upon exposure to murepavadin, we compared the transcriptome of the reference strain PA14 not exposed to murepavadin to that of PA14 exposed to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> MIC of murepavadin, grown in MH broth. Overall, a total of 201 genes were differentially expressed in PA14 exposed to subinhibitory concentration of murepavadin, from which 70.38% were upregulated and 29.62% were downregulated (appendix, table S1). Among the most upregulated genes in presence of murepavadin, three genes were involved in the polyamines biosynthesis pathways. These genes belong to the same locus PA14\_63110, PA14\_63120, and PA14\_63130, which were homologous of genes speD2 (PA4773), speE2 (PA4774) and a hypothetical protein encoded by PA4775 in the PAO1 strain, respectively. Interestingly, the same genes were previously shown to be upregulated in PA14 in response to exposure to polymyxin B at sub-inhibitory concentration (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). These genes convert amino-acid precursors to different polyamines such as spermidine, spermine and norspermidine. SpeD2 is an S-adenosyl methionine decarboxylase while SpeE2 is an aminopropyl transferase that transfers the aminopropyl group from the decarboxylated Sadenosyl methionine and incorporates it into an existing polyamine molecule (Bolard et al., 2019). The *speD2-speE2* operon is known to be activated by and co-transcribed with the TCS PmrAB. Additionally, spdH was slightly downregulated (-2.5-fold). SpdH is a spermidine dehydrogenase, which is involved in the degradation of spermine and spermidine, suggesting that the latter two polyamines are not degraded. Interestingly, a PA14\_24360 gene, with no homologue in PAO1 strain, located just downstream of genes encoding the two-component CprRS, was significantly upregulated (16-fold) and was the second most upregulated gene among the 201 differentially expressed gene. PA14\_24360 encodes for a putative serine-type endopeptidase outer membrane autotransporter protein (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). This gene PA14\_24360 was also slightly upregulated (2-fold) in PA14 strain exposed to a sub-inhibitory concentration (0.25xMIC) of polymyxin B (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). As PA14\_24360, PA1797 homologue in PA14, located just downstream the ParRS-two component operon was upregulated (6.5 fold), suggesting that ParRS was activated (Fernández et al., 2010), as in the presence of polymyxins (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020). Finally, the response regulator encoding gene phoP (2.6-fold), the efflux pump gene mexY (2.5-fold) and arnA (7.4 fold), one gene of the large operon arnBCADTEF-ugd, were overexpressed after murepavadin exposure. Collectively, transcriptomic results suggest the activation of several TCSs including ParRS, CprRS and PhoPQ that result in the activation of arnBCADTEF-ugd operon, in addition to the efflux pump MexXY/OprM and the activation of polyamine biosynthetic genes.

In order to confirm the transcriptomic data, the expression of a set of genes was measured by RT-qPCR in PA14 and PAO1 reference strains unexposed and exposed to a sub-inhibitory concentration of murepavadin (1/4 MIC). As MH broth contains polyamines, measurements were also determined in M63 minimal medium supplemented with 20% glucose. The reason for also culturing the strains in minimal medium is because MH is rich in polyamines, and that might cause a bias in interpreting the results. In the case of minimal medium, due to absence of polyamines, we might see even the real impact of the expression levels of polyamines biosynthetic genes. Surprisingly, all the relative expression values were weak in comparison with those of transcriptomic data, even for genes whose expression was high in transcriptomic data (spE2, and speD2). The response to murepavadin differed between the PAO1 and PA14 strains in MH and in M63 minimal medium (table 8). While some genes were slightly upregulated in PAO1 strain in minimal medium (i.e PA4114, the homologue of spermidine acyltransferase PA14-10740 in PA14, 2.63-fold), they were slightly downregulated in PA14 strain (- 2,11-fold). RT-qPCR data confirmed only the overexpression of genes mexX and arnB (3.73 and 3.93-fold, respectively) in PA14 strain cultured in minimal medium exposed to murepavadin. This suggests that the response to murepavadin involves the modification of the LPS through the addition of aminoarabinose residues and the overproduction of the RND efflux pump MexXY/OprM. This is aligning with the work of Romano et al, that found upregulation of *arn* operon genes in PA14 subjected to 2 x MIC to POL7000, a homologue peptidomimetic to murepavadin (Romano et al., 2019).

	M63+ glucose 20% medium		Mueller Hinton medium	
Gene	Strain PAO1	Strain PA14	Strain PAO1	Strain PA14
PA4114	2.63	-2.11	-1.18	1.05
oprM	2.20	1.53	-1.60	1.00
mexX	-1.37	3.73	-1.18	1.05
mexY	1.18	1.25	2.18	1.13
PA1797	1.82	2.58	2.03	1.90
speE2	2.10	1.60	1.68	1.60
speD2	1.60	1.55	1.75	1.63
PA4775	1.40	-1.48	1.15	1.70
pmrA	1.93	2.83	1.15	1.10
pmrB	1.45	1.18	1.35	1.03
arnB	2.03	3.93	1.78	1.95
cprR	1.50	1.43	1.25	1.05
cprS	1.58	2.73	1.15	-1.25
phoP	1.58	1.30	1.23	1.00
phoQ	1.78	1.95	1.30	1.00
speC	-1.11	1.20	-1.14	-1.25

**Table 8**: Relative expression of genes in the reference strains PAO1 and PA14 exposed to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> murepavadin MIC, grown in minimal medium M63 supplemented with glucose 20%, or in MH.

#### 2.2 Murepavadin influences the polyamine content of the culture supernatant

Polyamines were previously found on the cell surface of PAO1 *pmrB* mutants and were shown to increase resistance to aminoglycosides (Bolard et al., 2019). Therefore, we were interested in quantifying polyamines in the supernatant and on the cell surface PAO1 and compare with that exposed to murepavadin at ½ MIC of murepavadin. Five polyamines (1,3-diaminopropane, norspermidine, spermidine, cadaverine and putrescine), were quantified by LC-ESI-MS in collaboration with the plateform of Archamps, Biopark (Dr P.Bulet), in the supernatent of cultures and on the cell surface of the strain PAO1 exposed or not ½ murepavadin MIC concentration. As shown in the **Figure 31**, all polyamines tested were detected in significant quantities in Mueller Hinton broth (hatched bars) indicating that Mueller-Hinton contains a significant amount of polyamines. The amount of 1,3-diaminopropane, putrescine, and

cadaverine in the supernatant and at the cell surface of the PAO1 strain (**Figure 31**, **A** and **B**) was higher than those of the control suggesting that the strain produced and secreted these polyamines (black bars). In opposite, the norspermidine seems to be catabolized by the strain PAO1. Addition of murepavadin led to a reduction of all polyamines tested in the supernatent in comparison with the strain unexposed (grey bars). At the cell-surface, addition of murepavadin did not change the number of polyamines. All these data suggest that murepavadin might influence the polyamines metabolism (production and secretion). However, further experiments are needed to confirm these preliminary data.



**Figure 31:** Amount of polyamines identified in the supernatant of culture (A) and cell surface extracts (B) from the PAO1 reference strain (black bars). The quantities of polyamines after the addition of murepavadin at 1/2MIC are represented by (grey bars). The hatched bars represent the quantities of polyamines in the control, MH.

# Chapter 3: MexXY/OprM efflux pump confers resistance to murepavadin in *pmrB* mutants

This work is achieved in collaboration with Xavier Vuillemin (PhD student), who is interested in resistance mechanisms to polymyxins through the efflux pump MexXY/OprM. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, four RND (MexXY/OprM, MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ and MexEF-OprN) multidrug efflux systems contribute significantly to antimicrobial resistance in both laboratory and clinical isolates (Puzari and Chetia, 2017). RND efflux pumps are tripartite structures, composed of a RND transporter located into the inner membrane (MexB, MexD, MexY, MexF), a periplasmic membrane fusion protein (MexX, MexA, MexC and MexE) (MFP), and an outer membrane protein (OMF) (OprM, OprJ and OprN) located into the outer membrane (Morita et al., 2012).

MexXY/OprM efflux pump has initially been known in the efflux of aminoglycosides (Aires et al., 1999; Morita et al., 2012). The upregulation of MexXY/OprM efflux pump has been shown to be a common mechanism of low susceptibility to aminoglycosides in CF clinical isolates due to the alteration of the local repressor MexZ (Morita et al., 2012). More recently, it was shown that it participates in colistin resistance, although polymyxins (antimicrobial peptides) seem not to be substrates of the pump (Puja et al., 2020). Given that murepavadin is a peptidomimetic derived from antimicrobial peptide, the role of MexXY/OprM in resistance to murepavadin has been explored.

#### 3.1 MexXY/OprM impact the susceptibility to murepavadin

MexXY has been shown to be implicated in the reduced susceptibility to colistin in PmrB mutant background (Bolard et al., 2019). In order to evaluate the impact of MexXY/OprM efflux pump on the susceptibility to murepayadin, we determined the MIC of murepayadin in several in vitro and clinical strains (table 9). As previously observed for colistin, MexXY/OprM did not change the MIC of murepavadin in the parental strain PAO1 after deletion of the operon mexXY, nor after complementation with pAGH97 plasmid carrying mexXY operon. However, in AB8.2 mutant, a PAO1 derived *pmrB* mutant (substitution V28G in PmrB) that constitutively express the arnBCADTEF operon responsible for the addition of 4-aminoarabinose residues to the lipid A, the deletion of mexXY operon resulted in a decreased of one dilution of the MIC of murepavadin (from 1 to 0.5 mg/L). And, the complementation with a plasmid encoding the mexXY operon (pAGH97), led to a significant increase of the MIC of murepavadin (4-fold). This effect was similar to that observed with the deletion of the mexZ gene encoding the local repressor of mexXY operon. The susceptibility of murepavadin was also evaluated in two pmrB mutated clinical strains (substitution G188D and Q105P in strains 3795 and 2243 respectively). Interestingly, the impact of the deletion of *mexXY* operon was more important in clinical strains (from 16 to 64-fold). Like colistin, the efflux pump MexXY/OprM, seems to participate in reducing susceptibility to murepavadin in both laboratory and clinical pmrB mutants. These data suggest that resistance to murepavadin, similarly to colistin, through the efflux pump MexXY/OprM might depend on the TCS PmrAB and/or the membrane permeability subsequent to the addition of Ara4N to the LPS. In contrast, the contribution of MexXY/OprM was observed in resistance to synthetic antimicrobial peptides independently to *pmrB* mutations (1037, HHC-36, HHC-10, Bac2A) (Neidig et al., 2023).

Mutant	Murepavadin (mg/L) MIC	
PAO1	≤0.03	
PAO1∆ <i>mexXY</i>	≤0.03	
PAO1∆ <i>mexXY</i> (pAGH97)	≤0.03	
AB8.2	1	
$AB8.2\Delta mexXY$	0.5	
AB8.2∆ <i>mexXY</i> (pAGH97)	4	
$AB8.2\Delta mexZ$	4	
3795	2	
$3795 \Delta mexXY$	≤0.03	
2243	2	
$2243 \Delta mexXY$	0.125	

**Table 9**: MIC of murepavadin tested on AB8.2 mutant and its derivatives and also in the clinical strains 3795 and 2243 and their derivatives.

#### 3.2 MexXY/OprM efflux pump exports a small peptide

To approach the molecules that could be exported by the efflux pump MexXY/OprM, we performed the global metabolome of the supernatant of AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY mutant in comparison with AB8.2(pAGH97) mutant overproducing the MexXY/OprM efflux pump. We also exposed each mutant to murepavadin at  $\frac{1}{2}$  MIC, to look for differences in the metabolome in the presence of murepavadin. The preparation of the samples was carried out as part of an internship at the National Museum of Natural History (MNHN, Paris, Dr S Zirah, Unité "Molécules de

Communication et Adaptation des Micro-organismes"). Six biological replicates were performed in total for each mutant, either exposed or not to  $\frac{1}{2}$  murepavadin MIC and they were cultured in minimal medium M63 supplemented with glucose 20%. For each mutant in each condition, we also prepared cell-surface extracts in addition to supernatant samples. The data were statistically processed based on Partial Least Square-Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). In metabolomics, PLS-DA method is used to analyze metabolomic data with the goal of distinguishing between different experimental groups or conditions based on their metabolic profiles. **Figure 32** shows the discrimination of our data by setting three variables: x-variate 1 discriminates the data representing the sterile culture medium from that of bacterial cultures and explains 11% of the variability, x-variate 2 separates our data based on the mutant type (AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY or AB8.2(pAGH97)) and explains 4% the variability, and x-variate 3 that separates the data based on the nature of the sample (supernatant or cell surface) and explains 20% the variability.



**Figure 32**: PLS-DA of the metabolomic data. Three variables were applied to discriminate the samples from the type of mutants and from the origin of sample (supernatant or cell-surface extracts). Variable 1 discriminates the sterile culture M63+glucose 20% (green circles) from the bacterial cultures (red and blue symbols), variable 2 discriminates samples from the mutant AB8.2 $\Delta$ *mexXY* (red color), and AB8.2(pAGH97) (blue color), and variable 3 discriminates supernatant samples (triangle symbols) from cell-surface samples (square symbols).

First analysis did not reveal significant difference between murepavadin exposure and nonexposure, neither in the supernatant samples and in the cell-surface extracts. However, the comparison of secretomes of the AB8.2(pAGH97) and AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY mutants revealed the presence of a small peptide of a Mw 1350.7 Da only in the 12 replicates of the mutant AB8.2(pAGH97) and lacking from the AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY mutant. The identification of this unknown peptide exclusively in MexXY overproducing mutants suggest that its exported by MexXY/OprM, and it is not influenced by murepavadin presence. Interestingly, we did not identify murepavadin in the supernatant suggesting that murepavadin is not exported by the pump. However, further analysis is needed to finish the analysis of the metabolomic data.

#### 3.3 Relation between the efflux pump MexXY/OprM and polyamines

In order to understand whether MexXY/OprM is responsible for the export of polyamines, we measured the area under the peaks of five polyamines (1,3-diaminopropane, putrescine, cadaverine, norspermidine and spermidine) by LC-ESI-MS in the supernatant and on the cell surface of strain PAO1, mutant AB8.2, AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY grown in MH. No significant differences were observed between the PAO1 strain and its *pmrB* mutant in the supernatant, and at the cell surface except for norspermidine, and spermidine. These latter were increased in the *pmrB* mutant in comparison with the parental strain (**Figure 33**, **A** and **B**). Interestingly, inactivation of the MexXY/OprM led to a significant reduction of the polyamine amount in the supernatant as well on the cell surface for the five polyamines, except for norspermidine at the cell surface indicating that MexXY/OprM plays a role in polyamines export to the supernatant.



**Figure 33**: Amount of polyamines identified in supernatants (A) and cell surface extracts (B) of PAO1 reference strain, AB8.2 and AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY. Hashed bars represent the quantities of polyamines in the control, MH.

# IV. Discussion and Perspectives

## Chapter 1: Alteration of LpxL1, BamA and PmrB proteins contribute to decrease the murepavadin susceptibility

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of murepavadin on CF clinical strains isolated from patients in France. Even though murepavadin showed an excellent antimicrobial activity, high MIC values (> 4mg/L) were determined in 21 clinical strains. Genome sequencing of these strains revealed mutations in several genes involved in biosynthesis and transport of LPS (msbA, lptD, bamA, lpxL1, lpxL2), and in histidine kinases of two-component systems CbrA-CbrB, and PmrA-PmrB. The most mutated gene in our collection was *lpxL1*, which encodes an acyl transferase that incorporate secondary modifications to the lipid A. These modifications correspond to the first steps of the LPS synthesis. Evaluation of the impact of mutations using the Pholyphen-2 software, which predicted probably/possibly damage (score  $\geq 0.784$ ) on the function of LpxL1, suggested that the protein was inactive. Indeed, several clinical strains presented a truncated protein (e.g. W84\*, E265\*,  $\Delta$ 245-248) by a premature stop codon or by a frameshift (e.g. I172 frameshift). Thus, in the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain and in clinical isolates, loss of function of the LpxL1 protein was associated with a decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin but not to colistin. However, it appears that the impact of mutations in LpxL1 differs on susceptibility to cationic peptides depending on the P. aeruginosa strain studied and the bacterial species. Indeed, in the reference P. aeruginosa strain PAK, a slight increase of 1.3-fold to colistin was observed in a mutant deleted of the *lpxL1* gene but not to polymyxin B (Hittle et al., 2015). In a different genetic background, in the PAO1 strain, this same deletion resulted in a decrease of MIC of polymyxin B (2-fold), vancomycin, erythromycin and rifampicin (Wang et al., 2016). Finally, in Bordetella bronchiseptica and Haemophilus influenzae, deletion of htrB gene, the homologue of *lpxL1*, led to a slight increase (2-fold) in susceptibility to cationic peptides such as polymyxin B, colistin (Pérez-Ortega et al., 2023), and significant increase to human defensin HBD-2 (45-fold) (Anaya-López et al., 2012). Because LPS partly conditions the fluidity of the outer membrane, the change in structure of LPS from a hexa-acylated to a penta-acylated form could modify the permeability of the outer membrane. The measurement of membrane permeability using the NPN fluorescence probe confirms that murepavadin does not permeabilize the outer membrane in comparison with colistin, which is a powerful membrane permeabilizer (Mohamed, 2016; Srinivas et al., 2010) (Figure 29). The three LpxL1 mutants tested were indeed all very slightly more permeable than the reference strain PAO1 (Figures 29 and 30). These results are in agreement with those previously described by Hittle et al,

indicating an increase in membrane permeability using ethidium bromide after deletion of the *htrb1* (older name of *lpxL1*) gene in the PAO1 strain (Hittle et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016b). Therefore, although the membrane permeability was increased, the strains were less susceptible to murepavadin, suggesting an interaction of murepavadin with the lipid A or a change in the conformation of LptD in the presence of a modified lipid A, decreases the affinity of murepavadin with LptD. Thus, it would be interesting to study other forms of lipid A to evaluate the impact on the susceptibility to murepavadin, as well as modifications of LptD on the susceptibility of murepavadin by directed mutagenesis. Given that CF strains frequently have modifications at the lipid A level (acylation, hydroxylation) (Moskowitz and Ernst, 2010), a study of these modifications on the susceptibility to murepavadin is important.

We also showed that mutations localized in the BamA protein, whose role is essential for the insertion of outer membrane proteins such as LptD, modified the susceptibility to murepavadin. It is likely that the modification of BamA, decreases or modifies the targeting of LptD to the outer membrane or that BamA is also a target of murepavadin, as has been suggested by (Díez-Aguilar et al., 2021b). It would also be interesting to test other molecules in development such as darobactin A, B, B9 or thanatin, which have BamA as their main target. The identification of cross-resistance in clinical strains before these molecules are even available would improve the development of these molecules. Without much surprise, we found that the outer membrane of the mutant PAO1::bamA III-9-3 was more permeable than the reference strain PAO1 and even at a level significantly higher than the strain grown in the presence of colistin, highlighting the major impact of the mutation on BamA (Figure 29). However, the mutant did not show any change in its permeability in the presence of murepavadin. This result was surprising and further investigations seem necessary to ensure the validity of our results. In the first place, we want to reproduce the experiments performed. These results having been obtained late in the PhD work, we did not have time to reproduce the experiments of NPN. In addition, it would be interesting to use another fluorescent molecule, such as the fluorescent nucleic acid stain SYTOX to measure the permeability of the outer membrane to confirm these results. SYTOX green stain labels nucleic acids and gives as a result an intense green fluorescence (Roth et al., 1997).

We confirmed that PmrB mutations in CF clinical strains decrease susceptibility to murepavadin (Romano et al., 2019). This is important as *pmrB* mutants are frequent among CF strains, and in addition to the decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin, they are resistant to colistin and aminoglycosides, antibiotics used in aerosol therapy in CF patients. However, the mechanism responsible for the decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin of CF clinical strains

dependent on the two-component PmrA-PmrB system remains to be elucidated. The response of the reference strain PA14 to murepavadine (transcriptomic data) should allow us to advance on this question.

Finally, in 4 CF clinical strains that had a high-level of resistance to murepavadin (MIC $\geq$ 128 mg/L), we did not identify mutations in the selected genes, suggesting that other genetic determinants are involved in the decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin. If some CF clinical strains had many mutations in their genome compared to the strain with an MIC of less than 4 mg/L, others had a low number of SNPs (7 SNPs) allowing the search for genes potentially involved in the decrease in susceptibility to murepavadin. A similar strategy to that used for the genes *bamA*, *lpxL1* and *pmrB* will be used for the candidate genes. Thus, among the candidate genes are other genes involved in LPS synthesis such as *msbA*, *lpxL2* whose role in murepavadin resistance needs to be elucidated.

In total, the decrease in the susceptibility to murepavadin in CF clinical strains is complex and involves several mechanisms of resistance, the majority of which are linked to the synthesis of LPS. The accumulation of mutations in these genetic determinants leads to high levels of resistance to murepavadin (MIC>128 mg/L). Finally, a side that has not been mentioned and which is no less interesting is the response of the immune system and the fitness of these strains. Indeed, the alteration of LPS could be associated with a decrease in fitness and a decrease in the immune response. In both *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*, reduced acylation has been shown to reduce the immune potency of the LPS and thus helping the strain to escape the immune system (Moskowitz and Ernst, 2010; Nijland and Hofland, 2014). Therefore, it would be important to analyse the impact of LPS modification on the immune system response.

### Chapter 2: Response of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to murepavadin

Given that the alteration of the PmrA or PmrB proteins belonging to the TCS PmrAB complex, confers cross-resistance to polymyxins, aminoglycosides, and murepavadin, it is interesting to better understand the response of *P. aeruginosa* to these molecules including murepavadin. It is likely that part of this response is common for these different antibiotics. Thus, among the most highly overexpressed genes were the genes *speD2*, *speE2*, and PA4775 when the reference strain PA14 was cultured in the presence of a sub-inhibitory concentration of murepavadin. These were also overexpressed in the presence of polymyxin B or colistin, as well as antimicrobial peptides such as T7 and G3KL dendrimers (Ben Jeddou et al., 2020; Johnson et

al., 2012). The genes *speD2* and *speE2* encode enzymes which are implicated in accessory polyamines biosynthesis, while the gene PA4775 is of unknown function. In P. aeruginosa, there are homologous of the genes speD2 (24.2 % sequence identity with PA0654) and speE2 (38.3 % sequence identity with PA1687) involved in the synthesis of polyamines such as putrescine, cadaverine, or spermidine. However, these latter were not overexpressed in the PA14 strain. SpeD2 is a probable S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) decarboxylase, while SpeE2 is a probable aminopropyl transferase that extracts the aminopropyl group from dSAM and incorporates it to existing polyamines. These two enzymes can accept several substrates and synthesize various polyamines. Previously, we showed in the laboratory that these three genes were also highly overexpressed in a *pmrB* mutant, resistant to colistin and aminoglycosides. While the deletion of this gene locus abolished resistance to aminoglycosides, it did not lead to any change in the susceptibility to colistin, suggesting that the resistance mechanisms were different for these two families of antibiotics. Moreover, we found that the amount of norspermidine present on the membrane surface of the *pmrB* mutant was significantly higher than that of mutants whose speD2, speE2, and PA4775 genes were inactive, suggesting that norspermidine synthesis was associated with resistance to aminoglycosides (Bolard et al., 2019).

Interestingly, we observed that the deletion of this locus in a *pmrB* mutant having MIC to murepavadin at 1mg/L decreased the MIC by 16-fold (MIC= 0.06 mg/L), suggesting that the synthesis of a polyamine could contribute to the resistance of this molecule as well as for aminoglycosides. Polyamines being positively charged molecules; they could decrease the interaction of murepavadin with the outer membrane and protect LptD protein. Other work done in Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Haemophilus influenzae has shown that spermine or putrescine contributed to increasing resistance to polymyxin B and LL-37 (Goytia and Shafer, 2010) (Banerji et al., 2021). However, mass spectrometry data in the presence of murepavadin did not confirm these results. Indeed, the data showed only a slight increase in the amount of norspermidine and 1-3 diaminopropane on the surface of the bacteria. However, our work has limitations. First, the reference strain used for the transcriptomic analysis (PA14) and mass spectrometry (PAO1) was different. During the PhD work, the publication of Gutu et al. highlighted that the genes PA1559-PA1560 corresponded to only one gene called cprA which was involved in polymyxin resistance and is non-functional in strain PAO1, which is why we performed the transcriptome with the reference strain PA14 (Gutu et al., 2015). It would be interesting to reproduce the mass spectrometry results with strain PA14 and use a culture medium with minimal amounts of polyamines, such as a M63 medium supplemented with glucose, as that used for the metabolomics analysis. The MH medium containing a large amount of polyamines, variations could be masked by bacterial metabolism.

Among bacteria, other species such as *Thermus thermophilus*, an extreme thermophile bacterium, is capable of synthesizing more than 15 polyamines including unusual polyamines (Oshima, 2010). *T. thermophilus* exhibits SpeD2 and SpeE enzymes that are close homologues to those of *P. aeruginosa* (56% and 61% aminoacid sequence identities with PA4773 and PA4774 respectively). SpeE and SpeD2 of *T. thermophilus* are capable of extending further putrescine, spermidine, thermine by adding aminopropyl groups (Oshima, 2010). Based on this observation, we propose the model presented in **Figure 34** showing the possible polyamines that could be synthesized by SpeE2 and SpeD2. The model is based on the uptake of excess polyamines present in MH broth as substrates for the production of other polyamines, and maintaining polyamines on the cell surface polyamines to guarantee protection against murepavadin. Another hypothesis that could explain the decrease in polyamines in the supernatant is their uptake by cells and their catabolism into other products that could participate to resistance.



**Figure 34**: Proposed model for the biosynthesis of unusual polyamines (sym-homospermidine, thermospermine, thermine and caldopentamne) from existing polyamines. *P. aeruginosa* could import standard polyamines present in the extracellular space (putresceine, spermidine, cadaverine, norspermidine and 1,3 diaminopropane), while keeping th cell surace polyamines intact. The imported polyamines are used as substrated for the synthesis of oher longer polyamines. Once synthesized, we don't know if they are further catabolised or exported again to the extracellular space; adapted from (Bolard et al., 2019; Oshima, 2010).

In this model, only the commonly known polyamines are used as starting points for the synthesis of other polyamines. Further addition of aminopropyl group to putrescine, spermidine and norspermidine, results in sym-homospermidine, thermospermine, the isomer of spermine, and thermine respectively. Further extension of thermine can also results in caldopentamine (Oshima, 2007). These hypotheses need to be validated by studying *in vitro* enzymatic reactions of SpeE2 and SpeD2. This is achieved by expressing the enzymes in *E. coli*, performing protein purification, running in vitro liquid cultures in the presence of different polyamine substrates, and analysing the polyamines produced by mass spectrometry. As a next step, quantification of those new polyamines (sym-homospermidine, thermospermine, thermine, caldopentamine) again on the cell-surface and in the supernatant, but also in the intracellular space in PAO1 exposed or not to ¼ MIC of murepavadin would be interesting. This will reconfirm our previous quantification and also give us information about the localisation of those polyamines, whether they are exported to provide a protection against murepavadin extracellularly or catabolised. of sym-homospermidine, thermospermine, Comparison of the amount thermine.

caldopentamine polyamines in samples coming from mutants PAO1Δ4773 and PAO1Δ4774 with that of PAO1 will also provide elements helping to confirm the ability of PA4773 (SpeD2) and PA4774 (SpeE2) to synthesize those polyamines. In addition to MH, it would be interesting to carry out exactly the same quantifications in minimal medium M63+ glucose 20% lacking polyamines. This should allow us to compare the response of the strains, and to determine whether they still produce polyamines or not. One way to also confirm the protective role of polyamines, or by analysing the bactericidal impact of murepavadin in the presence of exogenous polyamines. Collectively, by performing this set of experiments we will have a clearer answer about the response to PAO1 to murepavadin and about the implication of polyamines in resistance to murepavadin. Doing the same set of experiment in PA14 is also important, inorder to link our quantification results with transcriptomic results.

In addition to polyamine biosynthesis, the PA14 strain exposed to murepavadin has an upregulation of the *arn* operon, which contributes to decrease the polymyxin susceptibility through the addition of L-Ara4N residues on the lipid A. This is particularly interesting as it suggests that murepavadine may antagonize colistin activity. In order to verify this hypothesis, we will be able to determine the sensitivity of colistin in the presence of murepavadine. PhoPQ, ParRS and CprRS seemed to be activated based on transcriptomic results, but not reconfirmed with RT-qPCR. If those TCSs respond to murepavadin exposure, the use of murepavadin should be reconsidered due to the common response with aminoglycosides and polymyxins leading to cross-resistance between the mentioned antibiotics.

## Chapter 3: MexXY/OprM efflux pump confers resistance to murepavadin in *pmrB* mutants

The last part of this work was to better understand the role of the MexXY/OprM active efflux system. This work, which began during my PhD, is now the PhD subject of Xavier Vuillemin, who is in his second year. Since murepavadin was able to activate the TCS ParR-ParS (previous chapter) and since this positively regulates the MexXY/OprM active efflux system, we evaluated its role in murepavadin susceptibility. Thus, as with colistin, MexXY/OprM contributes to acquired resistance to murepavadin in a *pmrB* mutant derived from the reference (PAO1) and in clinical isolates with a mutated *pmrB* gene (strains 2243 and 3795) (Puja et al., 2020). However, how the MexXY/OprM efflux system participates in resistance to murepavadine and colistin remains to be elucidated. To evaluate whether the system was able

to export molecules capable of modifying the surface of *P. aeruginosa*, we performed a nontargeted metabolomic approach with *pmrB* mutants in which the MexXY/OprM system was inactivated (AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY) or overexpressed in an expression vector AB8.2(pAGH97). We did not identify any significant differences between the two mutants, with the exception of a 1350.7 Da peptide present only in the supernatant of the AB8.2(pAGH97) mutant and absent from the mutant in which the system was absent. The characterization of this peptide by mass spectrometry MS/MS is ongoing in collaboration with the team of Dr. S. Zirah (Molecules of Communication and Adaptation of Microorganisms, UMR7245, National Museum of Natural History).

One possibility is that MexXY could export either a positively charged molecule that could attach to the negatively charged outer membrane, or a negatively charged molecule that could sequester the positively charge polymyxins and murepavadin. Measurement of Zeta potential (difference in the electric potential between the aqueous layer and the fluid layer surrounding the bacterial surface) for the mutant AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY and AB8.2(pAGH97) cultivated in the presence of colistin or murepavadin will help in knowing the charge of the exported molecule.

We hypothesized the export of polyamines by MexXY/OprM, so we quantified cadaverine, putrescine, spermidine, spermine, norspermidine and 1,3-diaminopropane in the supernatant and cell surface of AB8.2 (pAGH97). The decrease of both supernatant and cell surface polyamines upon MexXY inhibition might indicate the possibility of MexXY to export them. In the same mutant AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY, transcriptomic data revealed overexpression of polyamines catabolism genes (data not shown). Indeed, the concentration of polyamines is strictly balanced, and accumulation might be toxic rather beneficial. The overexpression of catabolism genes could be a result of accumulation of polyamines in intracellular space. This observation reinforces our hypothesis about MexXY/OprM export to polyamines. This preliminary work also supports the idea of the implication of polyamines in murepavadin resistance. Indeed, MIC of murepavadin in PAO1 pmrB mutant AB16.2 and AB16.2 \Delta4773, AB16.2A4774, AB16.2A4774 (mutants inactivated in genes implicated in polyamines synthesis), was reduced 16-fold (data not shown). In both Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria, certain efflux pumps that belong to major facilitator superfamily (MFS) of transporters, small multi-drug resistance (SMR) and RND efflux pumps (Teelucksingh et al., 2020) are implicated in polyamines transport precisely spermidine and also confer resistance to aminoglycosides, as MexXY/OprM. The SMR excretion complex MdtJI in E. coli, the MFS Blt in Bacillus subtilis and BpeAB-OprB in Burkholderia pseudomallei have been shown to export spermidine (Higashi et al., 2008; Teelucksingh et al., 2020; Woolridge, 1997). In addition to that, the putative proteobacterial antimicrobial compound efflux (PACE) efflux pumps AceI of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and its homologue in *Pseudomonas protegens* suggested the efflux of cadaverine polyamines. This was concluded after obtaining a significant overexpression (at least 20-fold) of the efflux pump AceI of *Acinetobacter baumannii*, in addition to reduced accumulation of intracellular cadaverine in comparison with the mutant deprived of AceI (Hassan et al., 2019). Moreover, the expression of the homologue of AceI of *Pseudomonas protegens* in *E. coli* also reduced the accumulation of intracellular cadaverine. None is published about RND efflux pumps so far. Therefore, it will be interesting to further identify the impact of polyamines on murepavadin resistance by (i) identifying the molecules exported by the MexXY/OprM, and (ii) analysing transcriptomic data of mutants AB8.2 and AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY grown in presence of murepavadin or colistin.

### 1. Microbiology

### 1.1 Bacterial strains and plasmids

 Table 10: List of bacterial strains.

Strain	Characteristics	Reference
Escherichia coli		
CC118 λpir	Strain CC118 lysogenized with phage $\lambda pir$	(Herrero et al., 1990)
HB101	subE44 hsdS20(rB- mB-) recA13 ara-14 proA2 lacY1 galK2 rpsL20 xyl-5 mtl-1	(Lacks and Greenberg, 1977)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
PAO1	Wild type reference strains	(Stover et al., 2000)
PA14	Wild type reference strains	(Liberati et al., 2006)
AB8.2	PAO1 spontaneous colistin-resistant mutant with V28G substitution in <i>pmrB</i> gene	(Bolard et al., 2019)
AB8.2(pAGH97)	Mutant AB8.2 with pAGH97 plasmid overexpressing <i>mexXY</i> operon, Tic <sup>r</sup>	This study
$AB8.2\Delta mexXY$	Mutant AB8.2 with deletion of <i>mexXY</i> operon	(Puja et al., 2020)
AB8.2∆ <i>mexXY</i> (pAGH97)	Mutant AB8.2 <i>\DeltamexXY</i> with pAGH97 plasmid overexpressing <i>mexXY</i> operon, Tic <sup>r</sup>	(Puja et al., 2020)
$AB8.2\Delta mexZ$	PAO1 with in-frame deletion of 627-bp in gene <i>mexZ</i>	(Bolard et al., 2019)

PAO1∆ <i>mexXY</i>	PAO1 with in-frame deletion of 4,185-bp in operon <i>mexXY</i> , Tic <sup>r</sup>	(Guénard et al., 2014)
PAO1∆ <i>mexXY</i> (pAGH97)	PAO1∆ <i>mexXY</i> complemented with plasmid pAGH97, Tic <sup>r</sup>	(Guénard et al., 2014)
2243	Clinical strain, CS <sup>r</sup> , PmrB (Q105P, Y345H)	(Bolard et al., 2019)
3795	Clinical strain, CS <sup>r</sup> , PmrB (G188D, Y345H)	(Bolard et al., 2019)
3890	Clinical strain, CS <sup>r</sup> , PmrB (S2P, A4T, D45E, Y345H)	(Bolard et al., 2019)
$2243\Delta mexXY$	2243 clinical strain with <i>mexXY</i> operon deletion	(Bolard et al., 2019)
3795∆mexXY	3795 clinical strain with <i>mexXY</i> operon deletion	(Bolard et al., 2019)
III-9-1	CF clinical strain with T76P substitution mutation in LpxL1, murepavadin MIC= 4 mg/L	This study
III-9-3	Clinical strain with T76P substitution mutation in LpxL1 and D535E substitution mutation in BamA, murepavadin MIC= 64 mg/L	This study
IX-5-2	Clinical strain with H120N substitution mutation in LpxL1, murepavadin MIC ≥128 mg/L	This study
VII-1-1	Clinical strain with premature stop codon E265* in LpxL1, murepavadin, MIC= 64 mg/L	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>III-9-1</sub>	PAO1 with allelic replacement of <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain III-9-1 (T76P)	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>IX-5-2</sub>	PAO1 with allelic replacement of <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain IX-5-2 (H120N)	This study
PAO1:: <i>lpxL1</i> <sub>VII-1-1</sub>	PAO1 with allelic replacement of the <i>lpxL1</i> gene by that of strain VII-1-1 (E265*)	This study

PAO1::bamA <sub>III-9-3</sub>	PAO1 with allelic replacement of the b <i>amA</i> gene by that of strain III-9-3 (D535E)	This study
PAO1::lpxl1 <sub>III-9-1</sub> bamA <sub>III-9-3</sub>	PAO1:: $lpxL1_{III-9-1}$ with allelic replacement of the b <i>amA</i> gene by that of strain III-9-3 (D535E)	This study
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i>	PAO1 with in-frame deletion of operon <i>pmrAB</i>	(Bolard et al., 2019)
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i> (pME6012)	PAO1 $\Delta pmrAB$ with broad host-range expression plasmid, Tet <sup>r</sup>	(Bolard et al., 2019)
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i> (pB2243)	PAO1 $\Delta pmrAB$ complemented with pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 2243, Tet <sup>r</sup>	(Bolard et al., 2019)
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i> (pB3795)	PAO1 $\Delta pmrAB$ complemented with pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3795, Tet <sup>r</sup>	(Bolard et al., 2019)
PAO1∆ <i>pmrAB</i> (pME3890)	PAO1 $\Delta pmrAB$ complemented with pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3890, Tet <sup>r</sup>	(Bolard et al., 2019)

Kan<sup>r</sup>: kanamycin resistant, Tet<sup>r</sup>: tetracycline resistant, Tic<sup>r</sup>: ticarcilline resistance

 Table 11: List of plasmids used.

Plasmid	Characteristics	Reference
pKNG101	Suicide vector in <i>P. aeruginosa; sacB<sup>r</sup> mobRK2 oriR6K</i> ; Str <sup>r</sup>	(Kaniga et al., 1991)
pKNG101-III-9-1	pKNG101 with gene <i>lpxL1</i> of clinical strain III-9-1, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pKNG101-IX-5-2	pKNG101 with gene <i>lpxL1</i> of clinical strain IX-5-2, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pKNG101- VII-1-1	pKNG101 with gene <i>lpxL1</i> of clinical strain VII-1-1, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pKNG101- III-9-3	pKNG101 with gene <i>bamA</i> of clinical strain III-9-3, Str <sup>r</sup>	This study
pAGH97	pAK1900-derived <i>mexXY</i> expression vector, Tic <sup>r</sup>	(Aires et al., 1999)
pRK2013	Helper plasmid, mob1, tra1, colE1, Kan <sup>r</sup>	(Ditta et al., 1980)
pME6012	Broad host-range expression plasmid; Tet <sup>r</sup>	(Heeb et al., 2000)
pAB2243	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 2243	(Bolard et al., 2019)
pAB3795	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3795	(Bolard et al., 2019)
pAB3890	pME6012 carrying genes <i>pmrAB</i> from clinical strain 3890	(Bolard et al., 2019)

1.2 Culture media

 Table 12: List of culture media used.

Culture medium	Composition	Reference
Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA)	0.2% beef extract, 1.75% acid hydrolysate of casein, 0.15% starch, 1.7% agar	Becton, Dickinson and Company
Mueller-Hinton broth cation- adjusted (cMHB)	0.3% beef extract, 1.75% acid hydrolysate of casein, 0.15% starch with adjusted concentrations of the divalent cations Ca2+ (20 to 25 $\mu$ g/ml) and Mg2+ (10 to 12.5 $\mu$ g/ml)	Becton, Dickinson and Company
LB broth	1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl	Becton, Dickinson and Company
<i>Pseudomonas</i> isolation agar PIA	2% bacto peptone, 14.70 mM MgCl2, 57.39 mM K2SO4, 25 μg/ml Irgasan, 1.36% agar, 2% glycerol	Becton, Dickinson and Company
M63 + 20% Glucose	10 g (NH4 )2 SO4, 68 g KH2 PO4, 2.5 mg FeSO4·7H2 O in 800 mL H 2O, adjust pH to 7.0 with KOH, add H2O to 1 L	Current protocols in molecular biology, volume 1
M9 minimal broth medium with 5 % sucrose	8.54 mM NaCl, 25.18 mM NaH2PO4, 18.68 mM NH4Cl, 22 mM KH2PO4, 2 mM MgSO4, 5% sucrose, 0.8% agar	(Kaniga et al., 1991)

## 1.3 Determination of antibiotic susceptibility, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method

Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of selected antibiotics including colistin and murepavadin was determined by the standard serial 2-fold dilution method in calibrated Mueller-hinton broth (cMHB) with inocula of final concentration 5 x  $10^5$  CFU/ml, following the recommendations of European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

(EUCAST 2021). Growth was visually assessed after 18 h of incubation at 37°C. Strains were categorised as « susceptible » or « resistant » to the different antibiotics according to EUCAST breakpoints.

#### 1.4 Membrane permeability measurement

Bacterial membrane permeability was measured by measuring the fluorescence of the nonpolar probe 1-N-phenylnapthylamine (NPN) which fluoresce strongly in hydrophobic environment and weekly in acqueous environment (Loh et al., 1984). Starting from 5ml overnight culture cultivated at 37°C, 250 rpm, a fresh culture was prepared the next day in 50 ml total volume by diluting 50 times in cMHB and incubated until OD reaches  $OD_{600}=0.5$  (250 rpm, 37°C). 1ml from the culture is captured and cetrifuged at 7000 rpm for 30 seconds. The supernatent was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 1 mL Hepes Sodium buffer (5mM, pH= 7.2). 200µl of the suspension was diposited in a well of black 96-well plate that permits the reading of fluorescence. The fluorescence was measured by Tecan robot every 500 ms during 90 s, after the injection of 10 µM of NPN by the integrated injector. Murepavadin and colistin were injected at a final concentration = 16x MIC (excitation at 350 nm and emission at 420 nm) and the fluorescence was measured again. Two biologycal replicates were performed, and the results were processed by substracting the background noise (fluorescence of Hepes buffer) from each sample.
### 2. Molecular biology

### 2.1 Primers

 Table 13: List of primers used for allelic replacement.

Name	Sequence (5' > 3')	Reference
Allelic replacement		
lpxL1		
Lpxl1-for3- NEB	CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTT CGTG	This study
Lpxl1-rev3- NEB	TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTGAAAAAGGCGTCTTC CG	This study
bamA		
bamA-fwd- neb	CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGATGAAACGCTTTCTGC TACC	This study
bamA-rev- neb	TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTCAGAAGGTCTGGCCC AG	This study
Sequencing		
bamA		
BamA-fwd	GCCCTTTGCGGCAGATTCTCT	This study
BamA-R1	AGGTCGCCGGAGAGCTTTT	This study
BamA- F2	TGGGCAACACCGTCTTCTCC	This study
BamA-R2	TGTTCTGGCTGATCGAGCCG	This study
BamA-F3	AGAGCAACCGTCCGGCTCGA	This study
BamA-R3	CCGTCTGGATTACGAGCCAC	This study
BamA F4	TCAAGGACAGCACGCTGGG	This study
BamA-R4	TGATGGCTGCGGTGATCAGG	This study

lpxL1		
Lpxl1-for3- NEB	CCCCCCCTGCAGGTCGACGGAGCGAGGAGTGTTT CGTG	This study
Lpxl1-rev3- NEB	TTCTACTTATGGTACCCGGGTGAAAAAGGCGTCTTC CG	This study

**Table 14**: Primers used for RT-qPCR.

Name	Sequence (5' > 3')	Reference
RT-qPRC		
rpsl		
RT_rpsl_Fw	GCAACTATCAACCAGCTGGTG	(Dumas et al., 2006)
RT_rpsl_Rv	GCTGTGCTCTTGCAGGTTGTG	(Dumas et al., 2006)
pmrA		
pmrA RTAB1	GTTCGACCTGCTGGTGCT	This study
pmrA RTAB2	TCGAGATCGAAGGGCTTG	This study
pmrB		
pmrB1	CCTCTCGCTGAAGCAGGTGA	(Ben Jeddou et al., 2020)
pmrB2	CTGGTCTTCGGTGGCAAGGT	(Ben Jeddou et al., 2020)
speD2 -63110		
SpeD2 RT1	CAAGCAACTGGTCATCGAGC	This study
SpeD2 RT2	ATCGGGCTGAACTTGTGGAA	This study
<i>speE2</i> 63120		
SpeE RT- fwd	CGATCGAGGACAGCGACCC	This study
SpeE RT- rev	GCACGCGGTCGTAGTTGT	This study
cprR		
CprR RT- fwd	CACCGTCATCGACTACCTCGA	This study

CprR RT- rev	TCACGTCGTAGCGGTTGGCG	This study
cprS		
cprS RT1	CGAAGAGGAGGATGACGACG	(Puja et al., 2020)
cprS RT2	ACGATGAACCAGACCAGCAG	(Puja et al., 2020)
phoQ		
PhoQ RT1	GAACACGAAGAACTCCTCGC	This study
PhoQ RT2	CCAAGGTCCTCGGCTATATCT	This study
phoP		
phoP RT-Fwd	TAGTGGAAGACGAGGCGCTGT	This study
phoP RT- rev	ATTCGCTGACCCGGTAGAGG	This study
mexX		
MexX RT-Fwd	CACATCCAATGGACCGGCTCG	This study
MexX RT- rev	GGCGGTACGCTTCCAGGCG	This study
mexY		
RT_mexY_AB1	ATCAGGAAGGTGGTCAGCAC	(Bolard et al., 2019)
RT_mexY_AB2	CGAACATGGTCAGCACGTTG	(Bolard et al., 2019)
arnB		
arnB RT1	AAGTGATCACGCCGTCGCT	This study
arnB RT2	AGTGCACCGGCACGATGG	This study
speC		
speC RT1	CTCGGTGATTTCGGTAGCGGG	This study
speC RT2	TCGCCGACAAGCAGGAAACC	This study
PA1797		
PA1797 RT1	AGCCAGACACCGGATACTTT	This study
PA1797 RT2	CTTGCGCGAGTTCTACGTAC	This study
PA4775		

PA4775 RTAB1	ACGAGCTGCTGGTGATCTTC	(Bolard et al., 2019)
PA4775 RTAB2	CAGGTGACCTTCGTGCCAG	(Bolard et al., 2019)
oprM		
OprM-RT1	AGTTGCAGCTGACCAAGGAC	(Puja et al., 2020)
OprM-RT2	GCATTCTGGTCCTGGGCTAC	(Puja et al., 2020)
PA4114		
PA4114 RT-fwd	CCATCACCCGCGACGACTG	This study
PA4114 RT-rev	GGCGAAGCCGACCATGCG	This study

#### 2.2 Nucleic acids purification

#### 2.2.1 Genomic DNA extraction

Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using Nucleospin Microbial DNA mini kit (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt) following the manufacturer's recommendations. For the purpose of whole genome sequencing, Genomic DNA was extracted with the PureLink Genomic DNA mini kit (ThermoFisher Scientific).

#### 2.2.2 Plasmid DNA extraction

Plasmid DNA was extracted and purified using NucleoSpin plasmid kit (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt) following the manufacturer's instructions.

#### 2.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Genes were amplified in thermocycler Veriti (thermofischer scientific, USA), using either Mytaq Red DNA Polymerase (Bioline, London, UK) or iProof High Fidelity Master Mix (Bio-Rad). With Mytaq Red DNA polymerase, 50µl final reaction volume was prepared by mixing the following components: 10µl of 5X Mytaq Red reaction buffer, 2µl of each primer at 10µM, Mytaq Red DNA polymerase 0.25µl, 100 ng of template DNA and 50µl qsp water. With iProof High Fidelity Master Mix, 50µl final was prepared from the following components: 25µl iProof High Fidelity Master Mix, 2.5µl from each primer at 10µM, 1.5µl of 3% dimethyl sulfoxide

(DMSO), and 50-500ng DNA, water at qsp 50µl. The thermocycler was programmed according to the following conditions : 1 cycle of initial denaturation step at 98°C for 3 minutes, 35 cycles of denaturation step at 95°C for 15seconds, 15 seconds of annealing and 30seconds/kb of extention at 72°C. Finally a final extention cycle of 7 minutes at 72°C.

#### 2.4 Gel electrophoresis

DNA fragments resulted from restriction digestion or PCR amplification, were analysed based on their size by migrating them on agarose gel. The gels were prepared in TAE 1X buffer (Trisacetate 40 mM, EDTA 1 mM, pH = 8.0) with  $0.5\mu$ g/ml ethidium bromide (BET) added to the buffer. The percentage of agarose used was either 0.8% or 1.3% depending on the fragment size. Migration was achieved during 25 minutes at 110v. The bands were visualized under UV light UV ChemiDoc XRS system (BioRad).

#### 2.5 Purification of PCR product

PCR fragments were purified either directly from the agarose gel or from the PCR product using kit NucleoSpin Gel and PCR clean up (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 2.6 DNA cloning

#### 2.6.1 Digestion by restriction enzymes

Plasmid DNA were digested with specific and suitable restriction enzymes following manufacturer's recommendation. A total volume of reaction mixture of  $25\mu$ l was prepared by mixing 500 ng plasmid DNA, 2.5µl of cutsmart buffer 10X (New England Biolabs, France) and 1µl HIFI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, France). The reaction mix was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour.

#### 2.6.2 NEB cloning

Amplified and purified PCR product of the genes of interest were mixed with plasmid DNA after being linearized with the suitable restriction enzyme. A total volume of 20µl recombinant plasmid DNA resulted after using the NEBuilder HIFI DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (New

England Biolabs, France) by mixing 10µl NEBuilder HIFI DNA assembly master mix (New England Biolabs, France) with the linearized plasmid and DNA fragment at a ratio 1 :2 of insert:vector. The mix was incubated at 50°C for 30 minutes.

#### 2.7 Bacterial transformation

#### 2.7.1 By thermic shock

Chemically competent cells *E. coli* CC118 $\lambda pir$  previously rendered competent upon treatment with rubidium chloride were used (Hanahan, 1983). 50µl of competent cells were mixed with 2µl of ligated plasmid and incubated on ice for 30 min. The bacteria were then subjected to a thermic shock at 42°C for 30 seconds and then incubated again on ice for 2 minutes, then cultivated at 37°C for 1 hour under constant agitation (225rpm) after being diluted with 950ml room temperature cMHB. *E. coli* CC118 $\lambda pir$  transformants were selected on MH agar supplemented with streptomycine 50µg/ml in case of pKNG101.

#### 2.7.2 By electroporation

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains were rendered electrocompetent after being treated with 300mM sucrose following the protocol described by Choi et al. (Choi et al., 2006). 100µl of electrocompetent cells were mixed with 50-500 ng plasmid DNA and transferred to a 2mm electroporation cuvette. A pulse is applied : 25 AF; 200 V; 2.5 kV using the electroporator MicroPulser (Bio-Rad). After that, 1 ml of warm cMHB was added over the cells and they were cultivated for 1 hour at 37°C under constant agitation (250rpm). 100µl were finally spread on MH agar plate with the corresponding selection antibiotic.

#### 2.7.3 Bacterial conjugation

Tri-partite conjugation was performed in the presence of the recepient *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain, the helper *E. coli* HB101 strain containing plasmid pRK2013, and the donor strain *E. coli* CC118 $\lambda$ *pir* containing the recombinant pKNG101 plasmid. 40µl of overnight cultures of each of the donor and helper strains were placed as spots superposing each other on the surface of MHA and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. In the meantime, the recipient strain was incubated at 42°C without agitation. After that, another 40µl of the recepient strain is

spotted over the previous bacterial spots. After 4 hours of incubation at 37°C, the bacterial spots are collected in 1ml cMHB and cultured for 1 hour at 37°C under constant agitation. Transconjugants were selected on PAI agar plates supplemented with streptomycin 2000µg/ml.

#### 2.8 Gene mutation by allelic replacement

Allelic replacement of lpxL1 or bamA genes of PAO1 by mutated lpxL1 or bamA genes was achieved by homologous recombination events. After cloning the gene of interest in pKNG101 vector, the vector was transformed in *E. coli cc118\lambdapir*. Transformants were selected on MH agar plates supplemented with streptomycin 50 $\mu$ g/ml. After selecting the good transformant by verifying the good plasmid, the vector was then transferred to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by tripartite conjugation in the presence of the helper strain *E. coli* HB101(pRK2013) and *P. aeruginosa* transconjugants were selected on PAI strep 2000 $\mu$ g/ml. Transconjugants were then isolated on M9 minimal medium supplemented with 5% sucrose in order to allow the excision of pKNG101. Finally, the clones that become sensitive to streptomycin are selected. The successful allelic replacement of *lpxL1* or *bamA* genes was confirmed by amplifying the gene and verifying the gene sequence by Sanger sequencing.

#### 2.9 DNA sequencing

#### 2.9.1 Sanger sequencing

Sanger sequencing was done after amplifying the genes with suitable primers (table 13) based on the method dideoxyribonucleotides, at the Sequencing platform of UFR Santé of Besançon and CHRU Besançon.

#### 2.9.2 Whole genome sequencing (WGS)

WGS was performed in the P2M platform (Institut Pasteur, Paris, France). In brief, the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for library construction. The pooled libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform using paired-end 150-bp runs. The fastq files were generated and demultiplexed with bcl2fastq Conversion Software (v2.20; Illumina). The final average sequencing depth was around 80 X. The reads were asssembled using shovill-spades (v3.14.0), and the contigs were annotated with

Prokka (v1.14.5). Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis was conducted using the reference strain PAO1 genome (accession number NC\_002516.1) and BioNumerics (v7.6.3) software (Applied Maths) with a minimum sequencing depth of 10. Others genome analysis were performed using CLC Genomics Workbench (v20.0.4) and benchling.

#### 3. mRNA transcripts quantification by RT-qPCR

#### 3.1 RNA extraction and reverse transcription (RT)

Overnight bacterial cultures were diluted the next morning in cMHB or in minimal medium M63 supplemented with 20% glucose at an absorbance  $OD_{600}= 0.1$  either with or without <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> murepavadin MIC. Bacterial cultures were cultivated at 37°C under constant shaking (250 rpm) until the absorbance reaches  $OD_{600}=0.8$ . 500µl of the culture were mixed with 1mL RNA protect (Qiagen), then centrifuged inorder to collect the pellet. Collected pellets were dryed and then conserved at -20°C. The extraction of total RNA was performed using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the supplier's recommendations. 2µg of the total RNA were reverse transcribed using Kit ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (Promega). A control free non reverse transcritptase sample (NRT) was made in parallel. Resulting complementary DNA (cDNA) were stored at -20°C for further use.

#### 3.2 Quantification of mRNA transcripts

The expression levels of the genes of interests was measured using RotorGene RG6000 Real Time PCR Instrument (QIAGEN) using the primers listed primers listed in table 14. Each series of measurements included NRT control, the dilution range of the cDNA of PA14 strain (1/10, 1/50, 1/100, 1/500, 1/1000) and a negative control NTC with RNase free water and without cDNA. A 15  $\mu$ l reaction mix was reconstituted using 3 $\mu$ l cDNA diluted at 1/10, 7.5 $\mu$ l QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen) and 1.5 mM of each primer. Expression levels of messenger RNA (mRNA) transcripts were compared to that of PA14 or PAO1 after normalizing the expression levels with house-keeping gene *rpsl*. The expression levels were measured in duplicates for two independent biologycal replicates.

#### 4. RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing was performed at the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform by Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland).

#### 5. Polyamines

#### 5.1 Cell-surface and supernatent polyamines samples preparation

Supernatent and cell surface polyamines were extracted based on the protocol established by (Johnson et al., 2012). 100mL of bacterial fresh cultures from PAO1, AB8.2, AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY, and AB8.2(pAGH97) mutants, were prepared either with or without murepavadin at ½ MIC starting from overnight cultures (1/100 dilution) in cMHB and cultivated with constant shaking (250 rpm) at 37°C until OD600= 0.8. 500µl of the supernatents were conserved after centrifuging the 50ml bacterial cultures for 10 mins (RT, 5000g). This volume contains the supernatent polyamines. The pellets were then resuspended in 250µL of 10 mM HEPES sodium salt, then incubated again for 10 minutes at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. Finally, the suspension was centrifuged and suspended with the same buffer for 3 times (10 min, RT, 5 000 g) to remove whole cells and conserve 250 µl of supernatant. This supernatant volume contains the cell-surface polyamines. Three biological replicates were perfomed for each mutant.

#### 5.2 Polyamines analysis and quantification

Polyamines analysis was perfomed by Liquid Chromatography-ElectroSpray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS) at the platform BioPark at Archamps Technopole located in St-Julien en Genevois, France.

#### 6. Global metabolomic analysis

Overnight cultures were prepared from AB8.2 $\Delta$ mexXY and AB8.2(pAGH97) and incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, the 15 mL liquid cultures were centrifuged for 10 min, RT, 3 500 g, and pellets were resuspended in 4 mL of M63+20% glucose minimal media. Fresh cultures of total volume of 15mL in M63+20% glucose minimal media were prepared, either with or without ½ MIC of murepavadin, by calibrating the OD<sub>600</sub>= 0.1 and incubating them with constant shaking 250 rpm, 37°C until OD<sub>600</sub>=0.8. The cultures were then centrifuged for 10 min, RT, 5000 g. 2 mL of the filtered supernatant were conserved for further analysis. This volume represents the polyamines present in the supernatant. The pellets were then resuspended in 1.5 mL of 10 mM HEPES sodium salt, then incubated again for 10 minutes at 37°C with shaking at 250rpm. Finally, the suspensions were centrifuged and resuspended with the same buffer for 3 times (10min, RT, 5 000 g) to remove whole cells and conserve 1 mL of supernatant. This supernatant volume contains the cell-surface polyamines. Six biological replicates were perfomed for each strain and for each condition. The polyamines were analysed by mass spectrometry at the mass spectrometry platform of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

# VI. Appendix

**Table S1**: List of genes identified by RNAseq to be differentially expressed in PA14 +1/4 MIC of murepavadin in comparison with the PA14 strain unexposed.

	Gene (PAO1		
PA14_locus	orthologue)	description	Fold change
PA14_00440	<i>trpA</i> (PA0035)	tryptophan synthase subunit alpha	-3,9
	PA0040 PA0692		
	PA2463 PA4540		
PA14_00490	PA4624	hemolysin activation/secretion protein	2,7
PA14_00510	PA0041 PA2462	hemagglutinin	2,2
PA14_00560	<i>exoT</i> (PA0044)	exoenzyme T	-3,1
	PA0082 PA1652		
PA14_00990	PA2360	TssA1	2,5
PA14_01400	PA0115	hypothetical protein	3,4
PA14_02010	PA0161	hypothetical protein	2,4
PA14_02810	<i>pcaT</i> (PA0229)	dicarboxylic acid transporter PcaT	3,8
PA14_03290	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,3
PA14_03400	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,5
PA14_04270	<i>carP</i> (PA0327)	transcriptional regulator	3,2
PA14_04710	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	-2,5
PA14_04780	PA0364	LaoA	3,1
PA14_05340	PA0410	twitching motility protein Pill	-2,3
PA14_05380	PA0412 PA3706	methyltransferase PilK	-3,0
		adenosylmethionine-8-amino-7-oxononanoate	
PA14_05460	<i>bioA</i> (PA0420)	aminotransferase	-1,9
PA14_05600	PA0431	hypothetical protein	-3,4
PA14_07560	<i>rpsU</i> (PA0579)	30S ribosomal protein S21	1,9
PA14_08350	<i>trpD</i> (PA0650)	anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase	-2,4
PA14_08860	rpID (PA4262)	50S ribosomal protein L4	-2,4
PA14_08950	PA4253	50S ribosomal protein L14	-2,2
PA14_09010	<i>rplR</i> (PA4247)	50S ribosomal protein L18	-2,6
PA14_09020	<i>rpsE</i> (PA4246)	30S ribosomal protein S5	-2,2
PA14_09610	PA4200 PA3037	hypothetical protein	-4,2
PA14_10220	PA4154	SH3 domain-containing protein	4,2
PA14_10530	mpaR (PA4132)	GntR family transcriptional regulator	3,1
PA14_10740	PA4114	diamine acetyltransferase	2,1
PA14_11140	PA4078	nonribosomal peptide synthetase	2,8
	PA1531 PA3261		
PA14_11480	PA3959 PA4049	hypothetical protein	-2,9
PA14_12130	<i>lis</i> (PA3996)	lipoyl synthase	-2,2
PA14_12390	hemL (PA3977)	glutamate-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase	-2,9
PA14_12900	PA3940	DNA binding protein	2,0

PA14_14860         PA3804         hypothetical protein         -2,2           PA14_1525         PA3766         amino acid permease         -2,6           PA14_16250         PA3724         elastase Las8         -4,1           PA14_16260         PA3716         PA3723         FMN oxidoreductase         -4,4           PA14_1630         PA1138         PA3711         LysR family transcriptional regulator         -2,5           PA14_1630         PA138 PA3766         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1630         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1630         PA0531 PA5430         iner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730 <i>pA3631</i> PA5430         iner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730 <i>pA9631</i> PA5430         iner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1830         PA3559         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_1830 <i>PA3559</i> Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_1830 <i>PA3559</i> Hypothetical protein         5,2           PA14_19130 <i>rhl</i> (PA3476)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll         1,5           PA14_20740	PA14_13140	PA3421 PA3922	hypothetical protein	3,3
PA14_15700         PA3766         amino acid permease         -2,6           PA14_16250         PA3724         elastase LasB         4,1           PA14_16260         PA2715         FNM voidoreductase         4,4           PA14_16260 <i>spdH</i> (PA3713)         hypothetical protein         -2,5           PA14_16360 <i>spdH</i> (PA3713)         hypothetical protein         -2,5           PA14_16450         PA012 PA37266         methyltransferase         -3,3           PA14_16450         PA012 PA3706         methyltransferase         -3,2           PA14_16250         PA012 PA3706         methyltransferase         -3,2           PA14_16300         PA012 PA37050         methyltransferase         -2,1           PA14_1730         PA3631 PA3631 PA3630         inner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730 <i>sufc</i> (PA3625)         stationary phase survial protein SurE         -2,7           PA14_1830 <i>anna</i> (PA3559         Probable mucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_1830 <i>anna</i> (PA3559         Probable mucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_1830 <i>anna</i> (PA376)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhl         1,5           PA14_18400         PA3559         fiagelia	PA14_14860	PA3804	hypothetical protein	-2,3
PA14_16250         PA3724         elastase Las®         4,1           PA14_1630         PA2716 PA3723         FNN oxidoreductase         4,4           PA14_1630         PA121680         PA21716         V258           PA14_1630         PA1138 PA3711         LysR family transcriptional regulator         -5,2           PA14_1630         PA0128 PA3706         methyltransferase         -3,2           PA14_16820         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1630         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730         PA3631 PA5430         inner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730         g/pD (PA3524)         glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         -2,7           PA14_1830         PA3520         Hyobtelicial protein         5,2           PA14_1830         PA3520         Hyobtelicial protein         5,2           PA14_19110         PA3478         rhamnosyltransferase chain B         3,7           PA14_19130         r/l/ (PA3476)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhli         1,5           PA14_2020         PA3200         flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FigA         2,7           PA14_21201         PA3205         flagellar basal b	PA14_15700	PA3766	amino acid permease	-2,6
PA14_16260         PA2716 PA3723         FMN oxidoreductase         4,4           PA14_16360         spdH (PA3713)         hypothetical protein         -2,5           PA14_1630         PA01138 PA3711         LysR family transcriptional regulator         -5,2           PA14_16450         PA0412 PA3706         methyltransferase         -3,2           PA14_16450         PA0412 PA3706         efflux transmembrane protein         2,7           PA14_16450         PA0412 PA3631 PA5430         iner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1730         glpD (PA35631         stationary phase survival protein SurE         -2,7           PA14_1730         glpD (PA3554)         glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_1830         arA (PA3554)         UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose formyltransferase         7,7           PA14_1830         arA (PA375)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhli         11,5           PA14_1830         arA (PA375)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhli         11,2           PA14_1330         io orthologue         hypothetical protein         2,4           PA14_20100         PA3231         hypothetical protein         3,5           PA14_22010         PA3231         hypothetical protein         2,5           PA14_2	PA14_16250	PA3724	elastase LasB	4,1
PA14_16360         spdH (PA3713)         hypothetical protein         -2,5           PA14_16450         PA1138 PA3711         LysR family transcriptional regulator         -5,2           PA14_16450         PA0158 PA366         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_16450         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         -2,7           PA14_1650         dpD (PA3666)         tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase         -2,1           PA14_17430         PA3631 PA5430         inner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_17430         glpD (PA3584)         glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         -2,7           PA14_18300         PA3529         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_18300         PA3520         Hypothetical protein         5,2           PA14_18400         PA3520         Hypothetical protein         5,2           PA14_18101         PA3478         rhamnosyttransferase chain B         3,7           PA14_21030         c/l/2 (PA3476)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll         1,5           PA14_21030         c/l/2 (PA3326)         ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit         2,6           PA14_22100         PA3210         hypothetical protein         2,7           PA14	PA14_16260	PA2716 PA3723	FMN oxidoreductase	4,4
PA14_16380         PA1138 PA3711         LysR family transcriptional regulator         -5,2           PA14_16820         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         2,7           PA14_16820         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         2,7           PA14_16950         dp0 (PA3666)         tetrahydrodipicolinate succivplase         -2,1           PA14_17370         PA3631 PA5430         inner membrane protein         -2,6           PA14_17300         glp2 (PA3625)         stationary phase survival protein SurE         -2,7           PA14_18300         PA3559         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_18300         PA3559         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_18300         PA3520         Hypothetical protein         5,7           PA14_19130         r/M1 (PA3476)         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll         1,5           PA14_2130         OAPP2 (PA3262)         ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit         2,6           PA14_21300         r/PA12 (PA3261)         hypothetical protein         2,7           PA14_21300         PA3231         hypothetical protein         2,8           PA14_22400         PA3230         hypothetical protein         2,2	PA14_16360	<i>spdH</i> (PA3713)	hypothetical protein	-2,5
PA14_16450         PA0412 PA3706         methyltransferase         -3,2           PA14_16820         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         2,7           PA14_16950         dapD (PA3666)         tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase         -2,7           PA14_17370         PA3631 PA5430         inner membrane protein         -2,6           PA14_17370         PA3631 PA5430         inner membrane protein         -2,7           PA14_17380         gl/D (PA3584)         glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase         2,3           PA14_18300         pA3559         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7,7           PA14_18300         PA3520         Hypothetical protein         5,2           PA14_18100         PA3520         Hypothetical protein forein         5,2           PA14_19110         PA1478         rhamnosyltransferase chain B         3,7           PA14_21030         c/p2 (PA326)         ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit         2,6           PA14_21330         no orthologue         hypothetical protein         2,7           PA14_22400         PA3231         hypothetical protein         2,8           PA14_22400         PA3290         hypothetical protein         2,8           PA14_22980         PA3190	PA14_16380	PA1138 PA3711	LysR family transcriptional regulator	-5,2
PA14_16820         PA0158 PA3676         efflux transmembrane protein         2,7           PA14_16950 <i>dapD</i> (PA3666)         tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase         -2,1           PA14_17450 <i>surE</i> (PA3625)         stationary phase survival protein SurE         -2,7           PA14_17480 <i>surE</i> (PA3525)         stationary phase survival protein SurE         -2,7           PA14_18300         PA3553         Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase         7.3           PA14_18300         PA3554         UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose formyltransferase         7.4           PA14_18300         PA3520         Hypothetical protein         5.2           PA14_19110         PA3478         rhamosyltransferase chain B         3.7           PA14_19130         rh3476         autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll         1.5           PA14_20740         PA3520         flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA         2.4           PA14_21740         rh3205         flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA         2.4           PA14_22400         PA3221         hypothetical protein         2.6           PA14_22400         PA3205         hypothetical protein         4.2           PA14_22400         PA3189         ABC sugar transporter permease         2.7	PA14_16450	PA0412 PA3706	methyltransferase	-3,2
PA14_16950dapD (PA3666)tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase-2,1PA14_17370PA3631 PA5430inner membrane protein-2,6PA14_17370gl/pD (PA3625)stationary phase survival protein SurE-2,7PA14_17380gl/pD (PA3584)gl/ycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase2,3PA14_18300PA3559Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase7,7PA14_18300PA3550Hypothetical protein5,2PA14_18800PA3520Hypothetical protein5,2PA14_19130rh/l (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1,5PA14_210740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,4PA14_212030c/p22 (PA326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,7PA14_222400PA3231hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22300PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_2300PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease3,7PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,5PA14_2300PA3185ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,5PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_23300PA291 PA3186	PA14_16820	PA0158 PA3676	efflux transmembrane protein	2,7
PA14_17370PA3631 PA5430inner membrane protein-2,6PA14_17450surf (PA3625)stationary phase survival protein Surf-2,7PA14_17370glpD (PA3584)glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase2,3PA14_18300PA3559Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase7,7PA14_18300PA3550Hypothetical protein5,2PA14_18300PA3570Hypothetical protein5,3PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_1920PA3530flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,4PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,7PA14_21303clp22 (PA326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_22040PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22040PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_220740PA3205hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22080PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_22090PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23030PA3187ABC transporter permease3,1PA14_23040PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_23050PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_23060PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_23050PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA	PA14_16950	dapD (PA3666)	tetrahydrodipicolinate succinylase	-2,1
PA14_17450surE (PA3625)stationary phase survival protein SurE-2,7PA14_17930g/bD (PA3584)g/screl-3-phosphate dehydrogenase2,3PA14_18300PA3559Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase7,7PA14_18300PA3520Hypothetical protein5,2PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_19130rh/l (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhl1,5PA14_2030c/pP2 (PA3250)flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,4PA14_2130c/pP2 (PA3250)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_2280PA3231hypothetical protein4,2PA14_2280PA3189ABC sugar transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_2300PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA291 PA3186hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA291 PA3186hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2330vaze (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_2340no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2340no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2<	PA14 17370	PA3631 PA5430	inner membrane protein	-2,6
PA14_17930g/pD (PA3584)glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase2,3PA14_18300PA3559Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase7,7PA14_18350arnA (PA3554)UDP-4-amino-4-dexy-L-arabinose formyltransferase7,4PA14_18800PA3520Hypothetical protein5,2PA14_19110PA3478rharmosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_19130rh/ll (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1,9PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA2,4PA14_21030c/pP2 (PA326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_21200no orthologuehypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3235hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22900PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_22900PA3183ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23000PA3187ABC transporter permease3,2PA14_23000PA3187ABC transporter permease3,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2300PA3155hypothetical protein2,2PA14_23200PA3104)secretion prot	PA14 17450	surE (PA3625)	stationary phase survival protein SurE	-2,7
PA14_18300PA3559Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase7.7PA14_18350 <i>arnA</i> (PA3554)UDP-4-amino-4-dexy-L-arabinose formyltransferase7.4PA14_18300PA3520Hypothetical protein5.2PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_19130 <i>rhll</i> (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1,5PA14_21030 <i>clpP2</i> (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein3,7PA14_22400PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,4PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3205hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_22000PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23040PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_2306wzz (PA038 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,4PA14_2330vzz (PA038 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,4PA14_2390Noothologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390Noothologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390Noothologuehypothetical protein2,2 <td> PA14 17930</td> <td><i>qlpD</i> (PA3584)</td> <td>glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td> <td>2,3</td>	 PA14 17930	<i>qlpD</i> (PA3584)	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	2,3
PA14_18350ornA (PA3554)UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose formyltransferase7.4PA14_18800PA3520Hypothetical protein5.2PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3.7PA14_19130rh/ll (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1.9PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2.4PA14_21303c/pP2 (PA326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2.6PA14_21300no orthologuehypothetical protein3.9PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein4.2PA14_22900PA31205hypothetical protein4.2PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter substrate-binding protein2.5PA14_23010PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3.1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2.4PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2.3PA14_23300PA2291 PA3186hypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300PA2291 PA3186hypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.4PA14_23300rothologuehypothetical protein2.5PA14_23400no orthologue <td< td=""><td> PA14_18300</td><td>PA3559</td><td>Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase</td><td>7.7</td></td<>	 PA14_18300	PA3559	Probable nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase	7.7
PA14_18800PA3520Hypothetical protein5.2PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_19130rh/ll (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein RhII1,9PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA2,4PA14_2130c/lpP2 (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_2130no orthologuehypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22900PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,6PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC sugar transporter permease3,2PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,4PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter permease2,3PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter permease2,3PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,4PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter NP-binding protein2,2PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter NP-binding protein2,3PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter NP-binding protein2,4PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter NP-binding Protein2,2PA14_2380wcrp (PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,3PA14_2	PA14_18350	arnA (PA3554)	UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose formyltransferase	7.4
PA14_19110PA3478rhamnosyltransferase chain B3,7PA14_19130rh/l (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1,9PA14_20740PA350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA2,4PA14_21030c/p2 (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein2,7PA14_22400PA3205hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22900PA3205hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter substrate-binding protein2,5PA14_22900PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter permease3,2PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23060wzc (PA0938 PA3160)C-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23900PA3185hypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,2PA14_24800no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_24800no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_24800no orthologueHypothetical protein2,5PA14_24800no orthologueHypothetical protein2,5PA14_24800no orthologueHypothetical protein3,6PA14_24800no orthologu	PA14 18800	PA3520	Hypothetical protein	5.2
PA14_19130rhll (PA3476)autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll1,5PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA2,4PA14_21030clpP2 (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22900PA3231hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22900PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23000PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprBprecursor2,2PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23060wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24370PA076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_24360proteinduced cyclic protein3,4PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein3,4PA14_2580etfA	 PA14 19110	PA3478	rhamnosyltransferase chain B	3.7
PA14_20740PA3350flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FIgA2.4PA14_21030 <i>clpP2</i> (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2.6PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein2.7PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3.9PA14_22740PA3205hypothetical protein4.2PA14_22900PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2.8PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2.7PA14_23000PA3187ABC transporter permease3.1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter permease3.2PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2.3PA14_23040PA2291 PA3186precursor2.3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2.4PA14_23060wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2.1PA14_23800xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2.2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2.8PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3.4PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3.4PA14_2590PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2.8PA14_2480snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15.7PA14_2570PA0913 PA2958hypothetical protein3.4PA14_2580no orthologuehypothetical protein3.4PA14_2580no orthologuehypothetical protein </td <td>PA14 19130</td> <td><i>rhll</i> (PA3476)</td> <td>autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll</td> <td>1.9</td>	PA14 19130	<i>rhll</i> (PA3476)	autoinducer synthesis protein Rhll	1.9
PA14_21030 <i>ClpP2</i> (PA3326)ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit2,6PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein2,7PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein3,9PA14_22740PA3205hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22800PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3187ABC transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter atP-binding protein2,5PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter permease3,1PA14_2300PA3187ABC transporter atP-binding protein2,3PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_2300PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23800wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23900PA0686 PA3103general scretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_23900PA0686 PA3103general scretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24700PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300PA01435hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300PA3076hypothetical protein2,5PA14_24300PA01435hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300PA0313 PA2958hypothetical protein	PA14 20740	PA3350	flagellar basal body P-ring biosynthesis protein FlgA	2.4
PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein2,7PA14_21830no orthologuehypothetical protein3,9PA14_22400PA3231hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22980PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22900PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,2PA14_23030PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,3PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23040pa3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23060wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23900no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900pA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_23900PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein3,4PA14_25800snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25800PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28800no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28800PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,5 <t< td=""><td>PA14 21030</td><td>clpP2 (PA3326)</td><td>ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit</td><td>2.6</td></t<>	PA14 21030	clpP2 (PA3326)	ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit	2.6
PA14_22400PA3201hypothetical protein3,5PA14_22400PA3205hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22980PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22990PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB2,3PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_2306wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_2390no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_25790PA2951electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2580 <i>etfA</i> (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28300PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28300PA2791hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300pA0791hypothetical protein2,2PA14_24300PA3076hypothetical protein2,2PA14_24800snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA	PA14 21830	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2.7
PA14_22740PA3205hypothetical protein4,2PA14_22980PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22900PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB2,3PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23060wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23900no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900no orthologueHypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900no orthologueHypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900no orthologueHypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24370PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,5PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300pA076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24300pA813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,5PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,5PA14_25800etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28300PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28300PA2791Apothetical protein3,2	PA14 22400	PA3231	hypothetical protein	3.9
PA14_22980PA3190sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein2,8PA14_22990PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB10,0002,3PA14_23030PA2291PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23060vzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23900no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23900pA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_23070PA3076hypothetical protein4,6PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,5PA14_25800et/f (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha2,2PA14_28300PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28300PA2791hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300pA2791hypothetical protein2,5PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein2,5PA14_28300pA2791sialidase2,2PA14_28300pA2791sialidase2,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300pA2791sialidase2,2PA14_28300pA2791hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300pA2791hy	PA14 22740	PA3205	hypothetical protein	4.2
PA14_22990PA3189ABC sugar transporter permease2,7PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprBglucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_2390no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390pA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_2370PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein4,6PA14_24370PA076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2580etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2850PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_2850PA2791hypothetical protein3,2PA14_2860PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_2860PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_2850PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850No orthologuehypothetical protein2,3	PA14 22980	PA3190	sugar ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	2.8
PA14_23000PA3188ABC sugar transporter permease3,1PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprBglucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB2,3PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_2390no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein4,6PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_2580etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2860no orthologuehypothetical protein3,4PA14_2860no orthologuehypothetical protein3,4PA14_2880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2860no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2860pA2794sialidase2,2PA14_2860PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_2860pA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_2860pA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein <td>PA14 22990</td> <td>PA3189</td> <td>ABC sugar transporter permease</td> <td>2.7</td>	PA14 22990	PA3189	ABC sugar transporter permease	2.7
PA14_23010PA3187ABC transporter ATP-binding protein2,5PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,2PA14_2390no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390roo orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_2480snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2580etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28300pA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2830no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2830no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2840snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA	PA14 23000	PA3188	ABC sugar transporter permease	3.1
PA14_2000PA2291 PA3186glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprBPA14_2300PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23400no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein2,6PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein2,6PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24300no orthologueHypothetical protein4,6PA14_24300pA076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_2480snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_2570PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_2580etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2830no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2830PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein3,2PA14_2860PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2	PA14_23010	PA3187	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	2.5
PA14_23030PA2291 PA3186precursor2,3PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23400no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2390xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_2390PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein4,6PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein3,4PA14_2480snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850<			glucose/carbohydrate outer membrane porin OprB	
PA14_23050PA3185hypothetical protein2,4PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23400no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23980xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_23990PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2860no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2880no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_28800no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3	PA14_23030	PA2291 PA3186	precursor	2,3
PA14_23360wzz (PA0938 PA3160)O-antigen chain length regulator2,1PA14_23400no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23980xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_23990PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_28300pA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500<	PA14_23050	PA3185	hypothetical protein	2,4
PA14_23400no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_23980xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_23990PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2850PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28800PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850pothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850pothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2	PA14_23360	wzz (PA0938 PA3160)	O-antigen chain length regulator	2,1
PA14_23980xcpP (PA3104)secretion protein XcpP2,2PA14_23990PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,2PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28500PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,2PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no	PA14_23400	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_23990PA0686 PA3103general secretion pathway protein E2,8PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15.7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_2860no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_2830PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_2830PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500pothetical protein2,3PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_23980	<i>xcpP</i> (PA3104)	secretion protein XcpP	2,2
PA14_24360no orthologueHypothetical protein16.0PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15.7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28590no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28500PA2747hypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28500no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1 <t< td=""><td>PA14_23990</td><td>PA0686 PA3103</td><td>general secretion pathway protein E</td><td>2,8</td></t<>	PA14_23990	PA0686 PA3103	general secretion pathway protein E	2,8
PA14_24370PA3076hypothetical protein-4,6PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_2580etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_28300no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28300PA2791sialidase2,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein3,2PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_2850PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_24360	no orthologue	Hypothetical protein	16.0
PA14_24770PA1945hypothetical protein3,4PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15,7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_24370	PA3076	hypothetical protein	-4,6
PA14_24860snr1 (PA3032)cytochrome c Snr15.7PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_24770	PA1945	hypothetical protein	3,4
PA14_25790PA0813 PA2958hypothetical protein2,9PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_24860	snr1 (PA3032)	cytochrome c Snr1	5.7
PA14_25880etfA (PA2951)electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha-2,1PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_25790	PA0813 PA2958	hypothetical protein	2,9
PA14_27990PA2794sialidase2,2PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_25880	<i>etfA</i> (PA2951)	electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha	-2,1
PA14_28360no orthologuehypothetical protein3,2PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_2850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14_27990	PA2794	sialidase	2,2
PA14_28530PA2751 PA0951hypothetical protein2,8PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14 28360	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	3.2
PA14_28600PA2747hypothetical protein2,3PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14 28530	PA2751 PA0951	hypothetical protein	2.8
PA14_28790no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1PA14_28850no orthologuehypothetical protein2,1	PA14 28600	PA2747	hypothetical protein	2.3
PA14 28850 no orthologue hypothetical protein 2.1	PA14 28790	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2.1
	PA14 28850	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2.1

1	PA2696 PA5571		
PA14_29260	PA3898	transcriptional regulator	4,8
PA14_29470	PA0558 PA2679	hypothetical protein	3,0
PA14_29590	mvaU (PA2667)	transcriptional regulator	2,2
PA14_30200	<i>cspD</i> (PA2622)	cold-shock protein CspD	2,3
PA14_30210	PA2621	ATP-dependent Clp protease adaptor protein ClpS	2,3
PA14_30240	infA (PA2619)	translation initiation factor IF-1	1,8
PA14_30620	PA2588	AraC family transcriptional regulator	2,1
PA14_30690	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_31150	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_31300	PA2569	hypothetical protein	3,5
PA14_31580	PA2550	acyl-CoA dehydrogenase	2,6
PA14_31610	PA2549	TerC family protein	2,0
PA14_31870	<i>muxA</i> (PA2528)	RND efflux membrane fusion protein	3,5
PA14_32820	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_33360	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	3,3
PA14_33530	<i>fpvF</i> (PA2410)	hypothetical protein	-2,7
PA14_35700	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,5
PA14_35770	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_35780	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,2
PA14_35790	no orthologue	homospermidine synthase	2,4
PA14_36330	hcnA (PA2193)	hydrogen cyanide synthase HcnA	3,2
PA14_36470	PA2174	hypothetical protein	6.8
	PA5284 PA0992		
	PA2128 PA4081		
PA14_37060	PA4086	fimbrial subunit CupA1	2,6
PA14_37690	PA2072	sensory box protein	4,3
PA14_38360	PA2022 PA3559	nucleotide sugar dehydrogenase	4,6
PA14_38440	<i>liuA</i> (PA2015)	citronelloyl-CoA dehydrogenase, GnyD	2,3
PA14_38580	PA2004	Hypothetical protein	5.7
PA14_38825	pqqA (PA1985)	coenzyme PQQ synthesis protein PqqA	5.2
PA14_39100	PA1966	hypothetical protein	-6,3
PA14_39360	PA1945	sigma-54 dependent transcriptional regulator	3,7
PA14_39470	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,3
PA14_39790	PA1913	hypothetical protein	-2,2
DA14 20080	PA1898 PA3477	transprintional regulator	2.5
PA14_39980	PA1136 PA1430		2,5
PA14_40290	105A (PA1871)	LasA protease	3,5
PA14_40780	PA1837	hypothetical protein	-2,4
PA14_40850	PA1831	nypotnetical protein	2,3
PA14_41280	PA1797	hunothetical protoin	0.5
PA14_41980	PA1745		6.5
PA14_422/0	PA1/23	pscJ type III export protein	-2,8
PA14_42280	<i>pscL</i> (PA1/22)	type III export protein PSCI	-2,7
PA14_42290	<i>pscH</i> (PA1/21)	type III export protein PscH	-6,8
PA14_42300	PA1/20	type III export protein PscG	-2,7
PA14_42430	<i>exsC</i> (PA1710)	exoenzyme S synthesis protein C	-2,3

PA14_42440	<i>popD</i> (PA1709)	translocator outer membrane protein PopD precursor	-3,7
PA14_42460	<i>pcrH</i> (PA1707)	regulatory protein PcrH	-3,1
PA14_42470	<i>pcrV</i> (PA1706)	type III secretion protein PcrV	-2,6
PA14_42500	<i>pcrD</i> (PA1703)	type III secretory apparatus protein PcrD	-2,4
PA14_42570	<i>pscN</i> (PA1697)	type III secretion system ATPase	-3,0
PA14_42610	<i>pscQ</i> (PA1694)	type III secretion system protein	-5,1
PA14_42620	pscR (PA1693)	type III secretion system protein	-3,3
	PA0082 PA1656		
PA14_43050	PA2360	HsiA2	2,1
PA14_43100	no orthologue	RhsP2	2,1
PA14_43350	<i>kdpD</i> (PA1636)	two-component sensor KdpD	-2,9
PA14_43640	<i>gpsA</i> (PA1614)	NAD(P)H-dependent glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	-2,2
PA14_43680	fabA (PA1610)	3-hydroxydecanoyl-ACP dehydratase	-2,3
PA14_43690	fabB (PA1609)	3-oxoacyl-ACP synthase	-2,2
PA14_43900	PA1592	hypothetical protein	3,8
PA14_44000	<i>sucB</i> (PA1586)	dihydrolipoamide succinyltransferase	-2,3
PA14_44311	PA1559	hypothetical protein	9.5
PA14_44670	<i>zipA</i> (PA1528)	cell division protein ZipA	1,9
PA14_44800	PA1519	transporter	4,5
PA14_44920	PA1509	hypothetical protein	2,1
PA14_44950	PA1507	transporter	3,3
PA14_45950	<i>rsaL</i> (PA1431)	regulatory protein RsaL	3,3
PA14_46080	PA1420	hypothetical protein	-9,8
PA14_46110	PA0287 PA1418	sodium:solute symport protein	-9,2
PA14_46530	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	3,4
PA14_46540	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	4,0
PA14_47110	PA1325	hypothetical protein	2,8
PA14_47130	PA1323	hypothetical protein	6.0
PA14_47440	PA1296	2-hydroxyacid dehydrogenase	1,9
PA14_48140	<i>aprX</i> (PA1245)	hypothetical protein	2,3
PA14_48790	PA1199	lipoprotein	2,1
PA14_49020	no orthologue	Pf5 repressor C	1,9
PA14_49180	<i>phoP</i> (PA1179)	response regulator of PhoPQ TCS	2,6
PA14_50770	PA1051 PA2311	transporter	-4,4
PA14_50810	PA1048	hypothetical protein	2,4
PA14_51530	no orthologue	ExoU	-2,2
PA14_51570	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,0
PA14_51580	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,9
	PA0611 PA0906		
PA14_52530	PA1359	transcriptional regulator	-3,9
PA14_53630	PA0825	hypothetical protein	2,6
PA14_53840	PA0805	hypothetical protein	2,1
PA14_54420	<i>mucA</i> (PA0763)	anti-sigma factor MucA	3,4
PA14_54430	PA0762	RNA polymerase sigma factor AlgU	2,1
PA14_54620	PA0747 PA3570	aldehyde dehydrogenase	4,9
	PA0745 PA1629		
PA14_54640	PA3591	Dspl	3,2

	PA0706 PA3156		
PA14_55170	PA3853	chloramphenicol acetyltransferase	2,1
PA14_56300	PA4333	fumarase	-1,9
PA14_56390	PA4338	hypothetical protein	2,9
PA14_56930	warA (PA4379)	hypothetical protein	2,4
PA14_57670	<i>trpS</i> (PA4439)	tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase	-2,1
PA14_57800	hisG (PA4449)	ATP phosphoribosyltransferase	-2,9
PA14_58610	PA1972 PA4517	hypothetical protein	2,8
PA14_58630	speC (PA4519)	ornithine decarboxylase	1,8
PA14_59190	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,6
PA14_59390	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,4
PA14_59590	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,3
PA14_59845	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,6
PA14_60030	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	2,7
PA14_60830	mexY	cytoplasmic protein of the MexXY/OprM	2,5
PA14_61190	PA0040	hypothetical protein	4,3
PA14_61200	PA4625	hypothetical protein	4,9
PA14_61350	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	3,4
PA14_61380	no orthologue	hypothetical protein	6.8
PA14_61750	<i>ipK</i> (PA4669)	4-diphosphocytidyl-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase	2,1
PA14_62590	<i>panC</i> (PA4730)	pantoatebeta-alanine ligase	-2,3
PA14_63110	<i>speD2</i> (PA4773)	S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase	16.7
PA14_63120	speE2 (PA4774)	hypothetical	9.5
PA14_63130	PA4775	hypothetical protein	3,1
PA14_63570	PA4810 PA4883	nitrate-inducible formate dehydrogenase subunit gamma	-2,7
PA14_64900	PA4913	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	2,7
PA14_66850	PA5059	TetR family transcriptional regulator	5.8
PA14_66875	no orthologue	polyhydroxyalkanoate synthesis protein PhaF	2,2
PA14_67190	PA5088	type VI secretion lipase immunity protein, Tli5b3	2,1
PA14_67990	PA5147	A/G-specific adenine glycosylase	-3,0
PA14_68400	PA5178	LysM domain/BON superfamily protein	3,3
PA14_68450	PA5182	hypothetical protein	3,1
PA14_69040	PA5228	5-formyltetrahydrofolate cyclo-ligase	1,9
PA14_70930	PA5371	hypothetical protein	2,1
	PA1902 PA3066		
PA14_72660	PA4213 PA5507	hypothetical protein	-7,1
PA14_72900	PA5526	lipoprotein	3,1
PA14_73170	<i>glmS</i> (PA5549)	glucosaminefructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase	-2,4
PA14_73320	atpl (PA5561)	F0F1 ATP synthase subunit I	3,1

# VII. Bibliography

- Aires, J.R., Köhler, T., Nikaido, H., Plésiat, P., 1999. Involvement of an Active Efflux System in the Natural Resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to Aminoglycosides. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43, 2624–2628. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.43.11.2624
- Alexander, C., Rietschel, E.Th., 2001. Invited review: Bacterial lipopolysaccharides and innate immunity. Journal of Endotoxin Research 7, 167–202. https://doi.org/10.1177/09680519010070030101
- Alfei, S., Schito, A.M., 2020. Positively Charged Polymers as Promising Devices against Multidrug Resistant Gram-Negative Bacteria: A Review. Polymers 12, 1195. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12051195
- Almaaytah, A., Tarazi, S., Abu-Alhaijaa, A., Altall, Y., Alshar'i, N., Bodoor, K., Al-Balas, Q., 2014. Enhanced Antimicrobial Activity of AamAP1-Lysine, a Novel Synthetic Peptide Analog Derived from the Scorpion Venom Peptide AamAP1. Pharmaceuticals 7, 502– 516. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph7050502
- Andolina, G., Bencze, L.-C., Zerbe, K., Müller, M., Steinmann, J., Kocherla, H., Mondal, M., Sobek, J., Moehle, K., Malojčić, G., Wollscheid, B., Robinson, J.A., 2018a. A Peptidomimetic Antibiotic Interacts with the Periplasmic Domain of LptD from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. ACS Chem. Biol. 13, 666–675. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00822
- Andolina, G., Bencze, L.-C., Zerbe, K., Müller, M., Steinmann, J., Kocherla, H., Mondal, M., Sobek, J., Moehle, K., Malojčić, G., Wollscheid, B., Robinson, J.A., 2018b. A Peptidomimetic Antibiotic Interacts with the Periplasmic Domain of LptD from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ACS Chemical Biology 13, 666–675. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00822
- Balibar, C.J., Grabowicz, M., 2016. Mutant Alleles of *lptD* Increase the Permeability of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Define Determinants of Intrinsic Resistance to Antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60, 845–854. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01747-15
- Ben Jeddou, F., Falconnet, L., Luscher, A., Siriwardena, T., Reymond, J.-L., van Delden, C., Köhler, T., 2020. Adaptive and Mutational Responses to Peptide Dendrimer Antimicrobials in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64, e02040-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02040-19

- Berrazeg, M., Jeannot, K., Ntsogo Enguéné, V.Y., Broutin, I., Loeffert, S., Fournier, D., Plésiat,
   P., 2015. Mutations in β-Lactamase AmpC Increase Resistance of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa Isolates to Antipseudomonal Cephalosporins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59, 6248–6255. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00825-15
- Bertani, B., Ruiz, N., 2018. Function and Biogenesis of Lipopolysaccharides. EcoSal Plus 8, ecosalplus.ESP-0001-2018. https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0001-2018
- Bhagirath, A.Y., Li, Y., Somayajula, D., Dadashi, M., Badr, S., Duan, K., 2016. Cystic fibrosis lung environment and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. BMC Pulm Med 16, 174. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-016-0339-5
- Böhringer, N., Green, R., Liu, Y., Mettal, U., Marner, M., Modaresi, S.M., Jakob, R.P., Wuisan, Z.G., Maier, T., Iinishi, A., Hiller, S., Lewis, K., Schäberle, T.F., 2021. Mutasynthetic Production and Antimicrobial Characterization of Darobactin Analogs 9.
- Bolard, A., Schniederjans, M., Haüssler, S., Triponney, P., Valot, B., Plésiat, P., Jeannot, K., 2019. Production of Norspermidine Contributes to Aminoglycoside Resistance in *pmrAB* Mutants of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01044-19
- Bollati, M., Villa, R., Gourlay, L.J., Benedet, M., Dehò, G., Polissi, A., Barbiroli, A., Martorana, A.M., Sperandeo, P., Bolognesi, M., Nardini, M., 2015. Crystal structure of LptH, the periplasmic component of the lipopolysaccharide transport machinery from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. FEBS J 282, 1980–1997. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13254
- Botos, I., Majdalani, N., Mayclin, S.J., McCarthy, J.G., Lundquist, K., Wojtowicz, D., Barnard, T.J., Gumbart, J.C., Buchanan, S.K., 2016. Structural and Functional Characterization of the LPS Transporter LptDE from Gram-Negative Pathogens. Structure 24, 965–976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2016.03.026
- Brown, D.G., 2016. Drug discovery strategies to outer membrane targets in Gram-negative pathogens. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry 24, 6320–6331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2016.05.004
- Candel, F.J., Henriksen, A.S., Longshaw, C., Yamano, Y., Oliver, A., 2022. In vitro activity of the novel siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, in Gram-negative pathogens in Europe by site of infection. Clinical Microbiology and Infection.
- Chen, N., Jiang, C., 2023. Antimicrobial peptides: Structure, mechanism, and modification. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 255, 115377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2023.115377
- Chimalakonda, G., Ruiz, N., Chng, S.-S., Garner, R.A., Kahne, D., Silhavy, T.J., 2011. Lipoprotein LptE is required for the assembly of LptD by the β-barrel assembly machine

in the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 2492–2497. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019089108

- Clementz, T., Bednarski, J.J., Raetz, C.R.H., 1996. Function of the htrB High Temperature Requirement Gene of Escherichia coli in the Acylation of Lipid A. Journal of Biological Chemistry 271, 12095–12102. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.20.12095
- Cogen, J.D., Nichols, D.P., Goss, C.H., Somayaji, R., 2022. Drugs, Drugs, Drugs: Current Treatment Paradigms in Cystic Fibrosis Airway Infections.
- Dale, G.E., Halabi, A., Petersen-Sylla, M., Wach, A., Zwingelstein, C., 2018.
   Pharmacokinetics, Tolerability, and Safety of Murepavadin, a Novel Antipseudomonal Antibiotic, in Subjects with Mild, Moderate, or Severe Renal Function Impairment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00490-18
- Davies, J.C., 2002. Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic ®brosis: pathogenesis and persistence.
- Depardieu, F., Podglajen, I., Leclercq, R., Collatz, E., Courvalin, P., 2007. Modes and Modulations of Antibiotic Resistance Gene Expression. Clin Microbiol Rev 20, 79–114. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00015-06
- Díez-Aguilar, M., Ekkelenkamp, M., Morosini, M.-I., Huertas, N., del Campo, R., Zamora, J.,
  Fluit, A.C., Tunney, M.M., Obrecht, D., Bernardini, F., Cantón, R., 2021a. Anti-biofilm activity of murepavadin against cystic fibrosis *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates.
  Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 76, 2578–2585. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab222
- Díez-Aguilar, M., Hernández-García, M., Morosini, M.-I., Fluit, A., Tunney, M.M., Huertas, N., del Campo, R., Obrecht, D., Bernardini, F., Ekkelenkamp, M., Cantón, R., 2021b. Murepavadin antimicrobial activity against and resistance development in cystic fibrosis *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 76, 984–992. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa529
- Dijkmans, A.C., Wilms, E.B., Kamerling, I.M.C., Birkhoff, W., Ortiz-Zacarías, N.V., van Nieuwkoop, C., Verbrugh, H.A., Touw, D.J., 2015. Colistin: Revival of an Old Polymyxin Antibiotic. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring 37, 419–427. https://doi.org/10.1097/FTD.00000000000172
- Ding, Y., Hao, J., Xiao, W., Ye, C., Xiao, X., Jian, C., Tang, M., Li, G., Liu, J., Zeng, Z., 2023. Role of efflux pumps, their inhibitors, and regulators in colistin resistance. Front. Microbiol. 14, 1207441. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1207441
- Ditta, G., Stanfield, S., Corbin, D., Helinski, D.R., 1980. Broad host range DNA cloning system for gram-negative bacteria: construction of a gene bank of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 77, 7347–7351. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.12.7347

- Dumas, J.-L., Delden, C., Perron, K., Köhler, T., 2006. Analysis of antibiotic resistance gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by quantitative real-time-PCR. FEMS Microbiology Letters 254, 217–225. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2005.00008.x
- Ekkelenkamp, M.B., Cantón, R., Díez-Aguilar, M., Tunney, M.M., Gilpin, D.F., Bernardini,
  F., Dale, G.E., Elborn, J.S., Bayjanov, J.R., Fluit, A., 2020. Susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Recovered from Cystic Fibrosis Patients to Murepavadin and 13 Comparator Antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01541-19
- Fehlbaum, P., Bulet, P., Chernysh, S., Briand, J.P., Roussel, J.P., Letellier, L., Hetru, C., Hoffmann, J.A., 1996. Structure-activity analysis of thanatin, a 21-residue inducible insect defense peptide with sequence homology to frog skin antimicrobial peptides. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 1221–1225. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.3.1221
- Fernández, L., Álvarez-Ortega, C., Wiegand, I., Olivares, J., Kocíncová, D., Lam, J.S., Martínez, J.L., Hancock, R.E.W., 2013. Characterization of the Polymyxin B Resistome of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57, 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01583-12
- Fernández, L., Gooderham, W.J., Bains, M., McPhee, J.B., Wiegand, I., Hancock, R.E.W., 2010. Adaptive Resistance to the "Last Hope" Antibiotics Polymyxin B and Colistin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is Mediated by the Novel Two-Component Regulatory System ParR-ParS. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54, 3372–3382. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00242-10
- Freinkman, E., Chng, S.-S., Kahne, D., 2011. The complex that inserts lipopolysaccharide into the bacterial outer membrane forms a two-protein plug-and-barrel. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 2486–2491. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015617108
- Gao, R., Stock, A.M., 2009. Biological Insights from Structures of Two-Component Proteins. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 63, 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073214
- Ghanei, H., Abeyrathne, P.D., Lam, J.S., 2007. Biochemical Characterization of MsbA from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 282, 26939–26947. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M702952200
- Ghequire, M.G.K., Swings, T., Michiels, J., Buchanan, S.K., De Mot, R., 2018. Hitting with a BAM: Selective Killing by Lectin-Like Bacteriocins. mBio 9, e02138-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02138-17
- Grabowicz, M., Yeh, J., Silhavy, T.J., 2013. Dominant Negative lptE Mutation That Supports a Role for LptE as a Plug in the LptD Barrel. Journal of Bacteriology 195, 1327–1334. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02142-12

- Guénard, S., Muller, C., Monlezun, L., Benas, P., Broutin, I., Jeannot, K., Plésiat, P., 2014. Multiple Mutations Lead to MexXY-OprM-Dependent Aminoglycoside Resistance in Clinical Strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 221– 228. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01252-13
- Gutu, A.D., Rodgers, N.S., Park, J., Moskowitz, S.M., 2015. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* High-Level Resistance to Polymyxins and Other Antimicrobial Peptides Requires cprA, a Gene That Is Disrupted in the PAO1 Strain. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 59.
- Hanahan, D., 1983. Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. Journal of Molecular Biology 166, 557–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(83)80284-8
- Hancock, R., 1997. The bacterial outer membrane as a drug barrier. Trends in Microbiology 5, 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)81773-8
- Hancock, R.E.W., Speert, D.P., 2000. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: mechanisms and impact on treatment. Drug Resistance Updates 3, 247–255. https://doi.org/10.1054/drup.2000.0152
- Haq, I., Almulhem, M., Soars, S., Poulton, D., Brodlie, M., 2022. Precision Medicine Based on CFTR Genotype for People with Cystic Fibrosis. Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine.
- Hart, E.M., Mitchell, A.M., Konovalova, A., Grabowicz, M., Sheng, J., Han, X., Rodriguez-Rivera, F.P., Schwaid, A.G., Malinverni, J.C., Balibar, C.J., Bodea, S., Si, Q., Wang, H., Homsher, M.F., Painter, R.E., Ogawa, A.K., Sutterlin, H., Roemer, T., Black, T.A., Rothman, D.M., Walker, S.S., Silhavy, T.J., 2019. A small-molecule inhibitor of BamA impervious to efflux and the outer membrane permeability barrier. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 116, 21748–21757. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912345116
- Hassan, K.A., Naidu, V., Edgerton, J.R., Mettrick, K.A., Liu, Q., Fahmy, L., Li, L., Jackson, S.M., Ahmad, I., Sharples, D., Henderson, P.J.F., Paulsen, I.T., 2019. Short-chain diamines are the physiological substrates of PACE family efflux pumps.
- Heeb, S., Itoh, Y., Nishijyo, T., Schnider, U., Keel, C., Wade, J., Walsh, U., O'Gara, F., Haas, D., 2000. Small, Stable Shuttle Vectors Based on the Minimal pVS1 Replicon for Use in Gram-Negative, Plant-Associated Bacteria. MPMI 13, 232–237. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.2.232
- Herrero, M., de Lorenzo, V., Timmis, K.N., 1990. Transposon vectors containing non-antibiotic resistance selection markers for cloning and stable chromosomal insertion of foreign genes in gram-negative bacteria. J Bacteriol 172, 6557–6567. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.11.6557-6567.1990

- Herzog, I.M., Fridman, M., 2014. Design and synthesis of membrane-targeting antibiotics: from peptides- to aminosugar-based antimicrobial cationic amphiphiles. Med. Chem. Commun. 5, 1014–1026. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4MD00012A
- Higashi, K., Ishigure, H., Demizu, R., Uemura, T., Nishino, K., Yamaguchi, A., Kashiwagi, K., Igarashi, K., 2008. Identification of a Spermidine Excretion Protein Complex (MdtJI) in *Escherichia coli*. J. BACTERIOL. 190.
- Hittle, L.E., Powell, D.A., Jones, J.W., Tofigh, M., Goodlett, D.R., Moskowitz, S.M., Ernst, R.K., 2015. Site-specific activity of the acyltransferases HtrB1 and HtrB2 in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipid A biosynthesis. Pathogens and Disease 73, ftv053. https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftv053
- Hong, Y., Liu, M.A., Reeves, P.R., 2018. Progress in Our Understanding of Wzx Flippase for Translocation of Bacterial Membrane Lipid-Linked Oligosaccharide. J Bacteriol 200. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00154-17
- Imai, Y., Meyer, K.J., Iinishi, A., Favre-Godal, Q., Green, R., Manuse, S., Caboni, M., Mori, M., Niles, S., Ghiglieri, M., Honrao, C., Ma, X., Guo, J.J., Makriyannis, A., Linares-Otoya, L., Böhringer, N., Wuisan, Z.G., Kaur, H., Wu, R., Mateus, A., Typas, A., Savitski, M.M., Espinoza, J.L., O'Rourke, A., Nelson, K.E., Hiller, S., Noinaj, N., Schäberle, T.F., D'Onofrio, A., Lewis, K., 2019. A new antibiotic selectively kills Gram-negative pathogens. Nature 576, 459–464. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1791-1
- Jeannot, K., Bolard, A., Plésiat, P., 2017. Resistance to polymyxins in Gram-negative organisms. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 49, 526–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.11.029
- Jochumsen, N., Marvig, R.L., Damkiær, S., Jensen, R.L., Paulander, W., Molin, S., Jelsbak, L., Folkesson, A., 2016. The evolution of antimicrobial peptide resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is shaped by strong epistatic interactions. Nat Commun 7, 13002. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13002
- Johnson, L., Mulcahy, H., Kanevets, U., Shi, Y., Lewenza, S., 2012. Surface-Localized Spermidine Protects the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Outer Membrane from Antibiotic Treatment and Oxidative Stress. J Bacteriol 194, 813–826. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05230-11
- Kadar, B., Kocsis, B., Nagy, K., Szabo, D., 2013. The Renaissance of Polymyxins. CMC 20, 3759–3773. https://doi.org/10.2174/09298673113209990185
- Kaniga, K., Delor, I., Cornelis, G.R., 1991. A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving reverse genetics in Gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the blaA gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Gene 109, 137–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(91)90599-7

- Kaur, H., Jakob, R.P., Marzinek, J.K., Green, R., Imai, Y., Bolla, J.R., Agustoni, E., Robinson, C.V., Bond, P.J., Lewis, K., Maier, T., Hiller, S., 2021. The antibiotic darobactin mimics a β-strand to inhibit outer membrane insertase. Nature 593, 125–129. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03455-w
- King, J.D., Kocíncová, D., Westman, E.L., Lam, J.S., 2009. Review: Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Innate Immun 15, 261–312. https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425909106436
- Koebnik, R., Locher, K.P., Van Gelder, P., 2000. Structure and function of bacterial outer membrane proteins: barrels in a nutshell. Mol Microbiol 37, 239–253. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01983.x
- Konovalova, A., Kahne, D.E., Silhavy, T.J., 2017. Outer Membrane Biogenesis. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 71, 539–556. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093754
- Krahn, T., Gilmour, C., Tilak, J., Fraud, S., Kerr, N., Lau, C.H.-F., Poole, K., 2012. Determinants of Intrinsic Aminoglycoside Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56, 5591–5602. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01446-12
- Kwon, D.H., Lu, C.-D., 2006. Polyamines Induce Resistance to Cationic Peptide, Aminoglycoside, and Quinolone Antibiotics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50, 1615–1622. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.5.1615-1622.2006
- Lacks, S., Greenberg, B., 1977. Complementary specificity of restriction endonucleases of *Diplococcus pneumoniae* with respect to DNA methylation. Journal of Molecular Biology 114, 153–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(77)90289-3
- Lambert, P.A., 2002. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa 95.
- Landman, D., Georgescu, C., Martin, D.A., Quale, J., 2008. Polymyxins Revisited. Clin Microbiol Rev 21, 449–465. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00006-08
- Lehman, K.M., Grabowicz, M., 2019. Countering Gram-Negative Antibiotic Resistance: Recent Progress in Disrupting the Outer Membrane with Novel Therapeutics. Antibiotics 8, 163. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8040163
- Li, D., Schneider-Futschik, E.K., 2023. Current and Emerging Inhaled Antibiotics for Chronic Pulmonary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* Infections in Cystic Fibrosis. Antibiotics 12, 484. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12030484
- Liang, Y., Guo, Z., Gao, L., Guo, Q., Wang, L., Han, Y., Duan, K., Shen, L., 2016. The role of the temperature-regulated acyltransferase (PA3242) on growth, antibiotic resistance and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbial Pathogenesis 101, 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2016.09.019

- Liberati, N.T., Urbach, J.M., Miyata, S., Lee, D.G., Drenkard, E., Wu, G., Villanueva, J., Wei, T., Ausubel, F.M., 2006. An ordered, nonredundant library of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PA14 transposon insertion mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 2833– 2838. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0511100103
- Lima, P.G., Oliveira, J.T.A., Amaral, J.L., Freitas, C.D.T., Souza, P.F.N., 2021. Synthetic antimicrobial peptides: Characteristics, design, and potential as alternative molecules to overcome microbial resistance. Life Sciences 278, 119647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119647
- Lin, J., Huang, S., Zhang, Q., 2002. Outer membrane proteins: key players for bacterial adaptation in host niches. Microbes and Infection 4, 325–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(02)01545-9
- Lister, P.D., Wolter, D.J., Hanson, N.D., 2009. Antibacterial-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* : Clinical Impact and Complex Regulation of Chromosomally Encoded Resistance Mechanisms. Clin Microbiol Rev 22, 582–610. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00040-09
- Llobet, E., Tomás, J.M., Bengoechea, J.A., 2008. Capsule polysaccharide is a bacterial decoy for antimicrobial peptides. Microbiology 154, 3877–3886. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2008/022301-0
- Lo Sciuto, A., Martorana, A.M., Fernández-Piñar, R., Mancone, C., Polissi, A., Imperi, F., 2018. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LptE is crucial for LptD assembly, cell envelope integrity, antibiotic resistance and virulence. Virulence 9, 1718–1733. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2018.1537730
- Loh, B., Grant, C., Hancock, R.E., 1984. Use of the fluorescent probe 1-Nphenylnaphthylamine to study the interactions of aminoglycoside antibiotics with the outer membrane of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 26, 546– 551. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.26.4.546
- Luther, A., Moehle, K., Chevalier, E., Dale, G., Obrecht, D., 2017. Protein epitope mimetic macrocycles as biopharmaceuticals. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology 38, 45–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.02.004
- Lyczak, J.B., Cannon, C.L., Pier, G.B., 2002. Lung Infections Associated with Cystic Fibrosis 15.
- MacNair, C.R., Tsai, C.N., Brown, E.D., 2020. Creative targeting of the Gram-negative outer membrane in antibiotic discovery. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1459, 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14280
- Magiorakos, A.-P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R.B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M.E., Giske, C.G., Harbarth, S., Hindler, J.F., Kahlmeter, G., Olsson-Liljequist, B., Paterson, D.L., Rice,

L.B., Stelling, J., Struelens, M.J., Vatopoulos, A., Weber, J.T., Monnet, D.L., 2012. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 18, 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x

- Mahlapuu, M., Håkansson, J., Ringstad, L., Björn, C., 2016. Antimicrobial Peptides: An Emerging Category of Therapeutic Agents. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00194
- Maldonado, R.F., Sá-Correia, I., Valvano, M.A., 2016. Lipopolysaccharide modification in Gram-negative bacteria during chronic infection. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 40, 480–493. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuw007
- Malhotra, S., Hayes, D., Wozniak, D.J., 2019. Cystic Fibrosis and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the Host-Microbe Interface. Clin Microbiol Rev 32. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00138-18
- Marner, M., Kolberg, L., Horst, J., Böhringer, N., Hübner, J., Kresna, I.D.M., Liu, Y., Mettal, U., Wang, L., Meyer-Bühn, M., Mihajlovic, S., Kappler, M., Schäberle, T.F., 2023.
  Antimicrobial Activity of Ceftazidime-Avibactam, Ceftolozane-Tazobactam, Cefiderocol, and Novel Darobactin Analogs against Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates from Pediatric and Adolescent Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Microbiology Spectrum 11.
- Martin-Loeches, I., Dale, G.E., Torres, A., 2018a. Murepavadin: a new antibiotic class in the pipeline. Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy 16, 259–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2018.1441024
- Martin-Loeches, I., Dale, G.E., Torres, A., 2018b. Murepavadin: a new antibiotic class in the pipeline. Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy 16, 259–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2018.1441024
- Martorana, A.M., Moura, E.C.C.M., Sperandeo, P., Di Vincenzo, F., Liang, X., Toone, E., Zhou, P., Polissi, A., 2021. Degradation of Components of the Lpt Transenvelope Machinery Reveals LPS-Dependent Lpt Complex Stability in *Escherichia coli*. Front. Mol. Biosci. 8, 758228. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.758228
- McKone, E.F., Ariti, C., Jackson, A., Zolin, A., Carr, S.B., Orenti, A., van Rens, J.G., Lemonnier, L., Macek, M., Keogh, R.H., Naehrlich, L., 2021. Survival estimates in European cystic fibrosis patients and the impact of socioeconomic factors: a retrospective registry cohort study. Eur Respir J 58, 2002288. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02288-2020
- McPhee, J.B., Lewenza, S., Hancock, R.E.W., 2003. Cationic antimicrobial peptides activate a two-component regulatory system, PmrA-PmrB, that regulates resistance to polymyxin

B and cationic antimicrobial peptides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: PmrA-PmrB of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Molecular Microbiology 50, 205–217. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03673.x

- Melamed, J., Brockhausen, I., 2021. Biosynthesis of Bacterial Polysaccharides, in: Comprehensive Glycoscience. Elsevier, pp. 143–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819475-1.00097-3
- Mercer, D.K., O'Neil, D.A., 2013. Peptides as the next generation of anti-infectives. Future Medicinal Chemistry 5, 315–337. https://doi.org/10.4155/fmc.12.213
- Miller, R.D., Iinishi, A., Modaresi, S.M., Yoo, B.-K., Curtis, T.D., Lariviere, P.J., Liang, L., Son, S., Nicolau, S., Bargabos, R., Morrissette, M., Gates, M.F., Pitt, N., Jakob, R.P., Rath, P., Maier, T., Malyutin, A.G., Kaiser, J.T., Niles, S., Karavas, B., Ghiglieri, M., Bowman, S.E.J., Rees, D.C., Hiller, S., Lewis, K., 2022. Computational identification of a systemic antibiotic for Gram-negative bacteria. Nat Microbiol 7, 1661–1672. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01227-4
- Moffatt, J.H., Harper, M., Boyce, J.D., 2019. Mechanisms of Polymyxin Resistance, in: Li, J., Nation, R.L., Kaye, K.S. (Eds.), Polymyxin Antibiotics: From Laboratory Bench to Bedside, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 55–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16373-0\_5
- Mohamed, Y.F., 2016. Membrane permeabilization of colistin toward pan-drug resistant Gramnegative isolates. b r a z i l i a n j o u r n a l o f m i c r o b i o l o g y.
- Morita, Y., Tomida, J., Kawamura, Y., 2012. MexXY multidrug efflux system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Front. Microbio. 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00408
- Moskowitz, S.M., Brannon, M.K., Dasgupta, N., Pier, M., Sgambati, N., Miller, A.K., Selgrade,
  S.E., Miller, S.I., Denton, M., Conway, S.P., Johansen, H.K., Høiby, N., 2012. PmrB
  Mutations Promote Polymyxin Resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolated from
  Colistin-Treated Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56, 1019–1030. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05829-11
- Moskowitz, S.M., Ernst, R.K., 2010. The Role of *Pseudomonas* Lipopolysaccharide in Cystic Fibrosis Airway Infection, in: Wang, X., Quinn, P.J. (Eds.), Endotoxins: Structure, Function and Recognition, Subcellular Biochemistry. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9078-2\_11
- Moya, B., Oliver, A., 2009. b-Lactam Resistance Response Triggered by Inactivation of a Nonessential Penicillin-Binding Protein. PLoS Pathogens 5.
- Muller, C., Plésiat, P., Jeannot, K., 2011. A Two-Component Regulatory System Interconnects Resistance to Polymyxins, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones, and β-Lactams in

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55, 1211–1221. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01252-10

- Neidig, A., Strempel, N., Waeber, N.B., da Cruz Nizer, W.S., Overhage, J., 2023. Knock-out of multidrug efflux pump MexXY-OprM results in increased susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbiol Immunol.
- Nijland, R., Hofland, T., 2014. Recognition of LPS by TLR4: Potential for Anti-Inflammatory Therapies. Mar. Drugs.
- Obrecht, D., Bernardini, F., Dale, G., Dembowsky, K., 2011. Emerging New Therapeutics Against Key Gram-Negative Pathogens, in: Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry. Elsevier, pp. 245–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386009-5.00012-6
- Olaitan, A.O., Morand, S., Rolain, J.-M., 2014. Mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: acquired and intrinsic resistance in bacteria. Front. Microbiol. 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00643
- O'neill, j., 2016. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations.
- Oshima, T., 2010. Enigmas of biosyntheses of unusual polyamines in an extreme thermophile, *Thermus thermophilus*. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 48, 521–526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.03.011
- Oshima, T., 2007. Unique polyamines produced by an extreme thermophile, *Thermus thermophilus*. Amino Acids 33, 367–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-007-0526-z
- Overly Cottom, C., Stephenson, R., Wilson, L., Noinaj, N., 2023. Targeting BAM for Novel Therapeutics against Pathogenic Gram-Negative Bacteria. Antibiotics 12, 679. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12040679
- Pang, Z., Raudonis, R., Glick, B.R., Lin, T.-J., Cheng, Z., 2019. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: mechanisms and alternative therapeutic strategies. Biotechnology Advances 37, 177–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.11.013
- Pérez-Ortega, J., van Harten, R.M., Haagsman, H.P., Tommassen, J., 2023. Physiological consequences of inactivation of lgmB and lpxL1, two genes involved in lipid A synthesis in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. Research in Microbiology 174, 104049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2023.104049
- Plummer, A.M., Fleming, K.G., 2016. From Chaperones to the Membrane with a BAM! Trends in Biochemical Sciences 41, 872–882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2016.06.005

- Poole, K., 2004. Efflux-mediated multiresistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 10, 12–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2004.00763.x
- Puja, H., Bolard, A., Noguès, A., Plésiat, P., Jeannot, K., 2020. The Efflux Pump MexXY/OprM Contributes to the Tolerance and Acquired Resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to Colistin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02033-19
- Puzari, M., Chetia, P., 2017. RND efflux pump mediated antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa:* a major issue worldwide. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 33, 24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-016-2190-5
- Raetz, C.R.H., Guan, Z., O. Ingram, B., A. Six, D., Song, F., Wang, X., Zhao, J., 2008. Discovery of new biosynthetic pathways: the lipid A story.
- Raetz, C.R.H., Reynolds, C.M., Trent, M.S., Bishop, R.E., 2007. Lipid A Modification Systems in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 76, 295–329. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.76.010307.145803
- Ranade, S.S., Ramalingam, R., 2020. A Review on Bioactive Porcine Peptide, Protegrin-1. Int J Pept Res Ther 26, 1493–1501. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10989-019-09955-8
- Reyne, N., McCarron, A., Cmielewski, P., Parsons, D., Donnelley, M., 2023. To bead or not to bead: A review of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lung infection models for cystic fibrosis. Front. Physiol. 14, 1104856. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1104856
- Reynolds, D., Kollef, M., 2021. The Epidemiology and Pathogenesis and Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections: An Update. Drugs 81, 2117–2131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-021-01635-6
- Rima, Mariam, Rima, Mohamad, Fajloun, Z., Sabatier, J.-M., Bechinger, B., Naas, T., 2021. Antimicrobial Peptides: A Potent Alternative to Antibiotics. Antibiotics 10, 1095. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10091095
- Robinson, J.A., 2019. Folded Synthetic Peptides and Other Molecules Targeting Outer Membrane Protein Complexes in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Front. Chem. 7, 45. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00045
- Rodrigue, A., Quentin, Y., Lazdunski, A., Méjean, V., Foglino, M., 2000. Two-component systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: why so many? 8.
- Rollauer, S.E., Sooreshjani, M.A., Noinaj, N., Buchanan, S.K., 2015. Outer membrane protein biogenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370, 20150023. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0023
- Romano, K.P., Warrier, T., Poulsen, B.E., Nguyen, P.H., Loftis, A.R., Saebi, A., Pentelute, B.L., Hung, D.T., 2019. Mutations in *pmrB* Confer Cross-Resistance between the LptD

Inhibitor POL7080 and Colistin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 63. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00511-19

- Rossi, E., La Rosa, R., Bartell, J.A., Marvig, R.L., Haagensen, J.A.J., Sommer, L.M., Molin, S., Johansen, H.K., 2021. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* adaptation and evolution in patients with cystic fibrosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 19, 331–342. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00477-5
- Roth, B.L., Poot, M., Yue, S.T., Millard, P.J., 1997. Bacterial Viability and Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing with SYTOX Green Nucleic Acid Stain. APPL. ENVIRON. MICROBIOL. 63.
- Sader, H.S., Dale, G.E., Rhomberg, P.R., Flamm, R.K., 2018a. Antimicrobial activity of murepavadin tested against clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from the United States, Europe, and China. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 62, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00311-18
- Sader, H.S., Flamm, R.K., Dale, G.E., Rhomberg, P.R., Castanheira, M., 2018b. Murepavadin activity tested against contemporary (2016–17) clinical isolates of XDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 73, 2400–2404. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky227
- Sajjan, U.S., Tran, L.T., Sole, N., Rovaldi, C., Akiyama, A., Friden, P.M., Forstner, J.F., Rothstein, D.M., 2001. P-113 D, an Antimicrobial Peptide Active against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Retains Activity in the Presence of Sputum from Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45, 3437–3444. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.12.3437-3444.2001
- Shankaramma, S.C., Athanassiou, Z., Zerbe, O., Moehle, K., Mouton, C., Bernardini, F., Vrijbloed, J.W., Obrecht, D., Robinson, J.A., 2002. Macrocyclic Hairpin Mimetics of the Cationic Antimicrobial Peptide Protegrin I: A New Family of Broad-Spectrum Antibiotics. ChemBioChem 3, 1126–1133. https://doi.org/10.1002/1439-7633(20021104)3:11<1126::AID-CBIC1126>3.0.CO;2-I
- Shen, L., Ma, Y., Liang, H., 2012. Characterization of a novel gene related to antibiotic susceptibility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Antibiot 65, 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2011.111
- Silhavy, T.J., Kahne, D., Walker, S., 2010. The Bacterial Cell Envelope. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2, a000414–a000414. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a000414
- Sitaraman, R., 2015. *Pseudomonas spp.* as models for plant-microbe interactions. Front. Plant Sci. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00787

- Sivaneson, M., Mikkelsen, H., Ventre, I., Bordi, C., Filloux, A., 2011. Two-component regulatory systems in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: an intricate network mediating fimbrial and efflux pump gene expression: The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Roc systems. Molecular Microbiology 79, 1353–1366. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07527.x
- Six, D.A., Carty, S.M., Guan, Z., Raetz, C.R.H., 2008. Purification and Mutagenesis of LpxL, the Lauroyltransferase of *Escherichia coli* Lipid A Biosynthesis. Biochemistry 47, 8623–8637. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi800873n
- Soundrarajan, N., Park, S., Le Van Chanh, Q., Cho, H., Raghunathan, G., Ahn, B., Song, H., Kim, J.-H., Park, C., 2019. Protegrin-1 cytotoxicity towards mammalian cells positively correlates with the magnitude of conformational changes of the unfolded form upon cell interaction. Sci Rep 9, 11569. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47955-2
- Sperandeo, P., Martorana, A.M., Polissi, A., 2017a. The lipopolysaccharide transport (Lpt) machinery: A nonconventional transporter for lipopolysaccharide assembly at the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. Journal of Biological Chemistry 292, 17981– 17990. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R117.802512
- Sperandeo, P., Martorana, A.M., Polissi, A., 2017b. Lipopolysaccharide biogenesis and transport at the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids 1862, 1451–1460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2016.10.006
- Srinivas, N., Jetter, P., Ueberbacher, B.J., Werneburg, M., Zerbe, K., Steinmann, J., Van der Meijden, B., Bernardini, F., Lederer, A., Dias, R.L.A., Misson, P.E., Henze, H., Zumbrunn, J., Gombert, F.O., Obrecht, D., Hunziker, P., Schauer, S., Ziegler, U., Kach, A., Eberl, L., Riedel, K., DeMarco, S.J., Robinson, J.A., 2010. Peptidomimetic Antibiotics Target Outer-Membrane Biogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Science 327, 1010–1013. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1182749
- Srinivas, P., Rivard, K., 2017. Polymyxin Resistance in Gram-negative Pathogens. Curr Infect Dis Rep 19, 38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-017-0596-3
- Steeghs, L., de Cock, H., Evers, E., Zomer, B., Tommassen, J., 2001. Outer membrane composition of a lipopolysaccharide-deficient *Neisseria meningitidis* mutant.
- Steenhuis, M., van Ulsen, P., Martin, N.I., Luirink, J., 2021. A ban on BAM: an update on inhibitors of the β-barrel assembly machinery. FEMS Microbiology Letters 368, fnab059. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnab059
- Steimle, A., Autenrieth, I.B., Frick, J.-S., 2016. Structure and function: Lipid A modifications in commensals and pathogens. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 306, 290– 301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2016.03.001

- Steinberg, D.A., Hurst, M.A., Fujii, C.A., Kung, A.H., Ho, J.F., Cheng, F.C., Loury, D.J., Fiddes, J.C., 1997. Protegrin-1: a broad-spectrum, rapidly microbicidal peptide with in vivo activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 41, 1738–1742. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.41.8.1738
- Stover, C.K., Pham, X.Q., Erwin, A.L., Mizoguchi, S.D., Warrener, P., Hickey, M.J., Brinkman, F.S.L., Hufnagle, W.O., Kowalik, D.J., Lagrou, M., Garber, R.L., Goltry, L., Tolentino, E., Westbrock-Wadman, S., Yuan, Y., Brody, L.L., Coulter, S.N., Folger, K.R., Kas, A., Larbig, K., Lim, R., Smith, K., Spencer, D., Olson, M.V., 2000. PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen 406, 6.
- Taccetti, G., Francalanci, M., Pizzamiglio, G., Messore, B., Carnovale, V., Cimino, G., Cipolli, M., 2021. Cystic Fibrosis: Recent Insights into Inhaled Antibiotic Treatment and Future Perspectives. Antibiotics 10, 338. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10030338
- Tang, X., Chang, S., Qiao, W., Luo, Q., Chen, Y., Jia, Z., Coleman, J., Zhang, K., Wang, T., Zhang, Z., Zhang, C., Zhu, X., Wei, X., Dong, C., Zhang, X., Dong, H., 2021. Structural insights into outer membrane asymmetry maintenance in Gram-negative bacteria by MlaFEDB. Nat Struct Mol Biol 28, 81–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-020-00532y
- Teelucksingh, T., Thompson, L.K., Cox, G., 2020. The Evolutionary Conservation of *Escherichia coli* Drug Efflux Pumps Supports Physiological Functions. Journal of Bacteriology 202.
- Tokuda, H., 2009. Biogenesis of Outer Membranes in Gram-Negative Bacteria. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry 73, 465–473. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.80778
- Urfer, M., Bogdanovic, J., Lo Monte, F., Moehle, K., Zerbe, K., Omasits, U., Ahrens, C.H., Pessi, G., Eberl, L., Robinson, J.A., 2016. A Peptidomimetic Antibiotic Targets Outer Membrane Proteins and Disrupts Selectively the Outer Membrane in Escherichia coli. Journal of Biological Chemistry 291, 1921–1932. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.691725
- Villa, R., Martorana, A.M., Okuda, S., Gourlay, L.J., Nardini, M., Sperandeo, P., Deho, G., Bolognesi, M., Kahne, D., Polissi, A., 2013. The *Escherichia coli* Lpt Transenvelope Protein Complex for Lipopolysaccharide Export Is Assembled via Conserved Structurally Homologous Domains. Journal of Bacteriology 195, 1100–1108. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02057-12
- Wang, B., Li, B., Liang, Y., Li, J., Gao, L., Chen, L., Duan, K., Shen, L., 2016a. Pleiotropic effects of temperature-regulated 2-OH-lauroytransferase (PA0011) on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* antibiotic resistance, virulence and type III secretion system. Microbial Pathogenesis 91, 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2015.11.003

- Wang, M., Rakesh, K.P., Leng, J., Fang, W.-Y., Ravindar, L., Channe Gowda, D., Qin, H.-L., 2018. Amino acids/peptides conjugated heterocycles: A tool for the recent development of novel therapeutic agents. Bioorganic Chemistry 76, 113–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2017.11.007
- Wang, Y., Chang, R.Y.K., Britton, W.J., Chan, H.-K., 2022. Advances in the development of antimicrobial peptides and proteins for inhaled therapy. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 180, 114066. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2021.114066
- Whitfield, C., Trent, M.S., 2014. Biosynthesis and Export of Bacterial Lipopolysaccharides. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 83, 99–128. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060713-035600
- Woolridge, D.P., 1997. Efflux of the Natural Polyamine Spermidine Facilitated by the *Bacillus subtilis* Multidrug Transporter Blt\*.
- Xu, Q., Guo, M., Yu, F., 2023. β-Barrel Assembly Machinery (BAM) Complex as Novel Antibacterial Drug Target. Molecules 28, 3758. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093758
- Yu, G., Baeder, D.Y., Regoes, R.R., Rolff, J., 2017. Predicting drug resistance evolution: insights from antimicrobial peptides and antibiotics.
- Zerbe, K., Moehle, K., Robinson, J.A., 2017. Protein Epitope Mimetics: From New Antibiotics to Supramolecular Synthetic Vaccines. Acc. Chem. Res. 50, 1323–1331. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00129
- Zhang, Q.-Y., Yan, Z.-B., Meng, Y.-M., Hong, X.-Y., Shao, G., Ma, J.-J., Cheng, X.-R., Liu, J., Kang, J., Fu, C.-Y., 2021. Antimicrobial peptides: mechanism of action, activity and clinical potential. Military Med Res 8, 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-021-00343-2
- Zhang, X., Li, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, J., Lin, Y., Hong, B., You, X., Song, D., Wang, Y., Jiang, J., Si, S., 2019. Identification of an anti-Gram-negative bacteria agent disrupting the interaction between lipopolysaccharide transporters LptA and LptC. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 53, 442–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.11.016



**Title:** Study of the activity of murepavadin on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis (CF) clinical strains and identification of resistance mechanisms.

Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, cystic fibrosis, antibiotic resistance, antimicrobial peptides

**Abstract:** Murepavadin, is a peptidomimetic derived from protegrin-1, that shows so far a promising activity against multi-drug resistant P. aeruginosa. In this project, we improved our knowledge on murepavadin activity and demonstrated once again its promising activity when tested on a large panel of clinical strains isolated from CF patients. However, low susceptibility levels to murepavadin still appeared. By analysing whole genome sequences of isolates with low susceptibility in comparison with those with higher susceptibility, we could identify several determinants and confirm their implication in reducing susceptibility, with some causing crossresistance to commonly used antibiotic treatments. A second part of the project was focued on understanding the molecular response of Р. aeruginosa following the

exposure to murepavadin. We could show the activation of pathways implicated in LPS modification as a response to murepavadin. A third part, was focued on studying the role of the multi-drug efflux pump MexXY/OprM, which is frequently found to be upregulated in CF clinical isolates. We could show that it also plays part in reducing the susceptibility to murepavadin in PAO1 pmrB mutants. Global metabolomic analysis to approach the molecule exported by this pump identified a small potential peptide that could be exported by MexXY/OprM. However more analysis to identify this peptide are still ongoing. Finally, we also demonstrated a relation between this efflux pump and polyamines also in PAO1 *pmrB* mutants, suggesting that polyamines might also participate in reducing the susceptibility to murepavadin.

**Titre :** Etude de l'activité de la murepavadine sur des souches cliniques de *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* dans le contexte de la mucoviscidose et identification des mécanismes de résistance.

Mots clés : Pseudomonas aeruginosa, mucoviscidose, résistance aux antibiotiques, peptides antimicrobiens

**Résumé :** La murepavadine est un peptidomimétique dérivé de la protégrine-1, qui montre jusqu'à présent une activité prometteuse contre la P. aeruginosa multirésistante. Dans ce projet, nous avons amélioré nos connaissances sur l'activité de la murepavadine et démontré une fois de plus son activité prometteuse lorsqu'elle a été testée sur un large panel de souches cliniques isolées de patients atteints de mucoviscidose. Cependant, de faibles niveaux de sensibilité à la murepavadine sont toujours apparus. En analysant les séquences du génome entier des isolats peu sensibles par rapport à ceux plus sensibles à la murepavadine, nous avons pu identifier plusieurs déterminants et confirmer leur implication dans la réduction de la sensibilité, certains entraînant une résistance croisée aux traitements antibiotiques couramment utilisés. Une deuxième partie du projet a été consacrée à la compréhension de la réponse moléculaire de P. aeruginosa après

l'exposition à la murepavadine. Nous avons pu montrer l'activation des voies impliquées dans la modification du LPS en réponse à la murepavadine. Une troisième partie a été consacrée à l'étude du rôle de la pompe d'efflux MexXY/OprM, qui est fréquemment sur-exprimée dans les isolats cliniques de la mucoviscidose. Nous avons pu montrer qu'elle joue également un rôle dans la réduction de la sensibilité à la murepavadine chez les mutants pmrB de PAO1. Une approche métabolomique globale de la molécule exportée par cette pompe a permis d'identifier un petit peptide potentiel qui pourrait être exporté par MexXY/OprM. Cependant, d'autres analyses visant à identifier ce peptide sont encore en cours. Enfin, nous avons également démontré une relation entre cette pompe d'efflux et les polyamines dans les mutants PAO1 pmrB, ce qui suggère que les polyamines pourraient également participer à la réduction de la sensibilité à la murepavadine.



Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté 32, avenue de l'Observatoire 25000 Besançon